Vancomycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium* from the Dallas, Texas area is

conferred predominantly on pRUM-like plasmids

Moutusee Islam,[a] Belle Sharon,[a] Ada Abaragu,[a] Harita Sistu,[a*] Ronda L. Akins[b] and

Kelli Palmer[a]#

[a]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas,

USA

[b]Methodist Health System, Dallas, Texas, USA

Running Head: Genomic analysis of Dallas VREfm isolates

#Address correspondence to Kelli Palmer, kelli.palmer@utdallas.edu

*Present address: Harita Sistu, Department of Microbiology, University of

Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts, USA

Keywords: *Enterococcus*, mobile genetic element, plasmid, vancomycin resistance.

Abstract

Vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* (VREfm) is a significant public health concern

because of limited treatment options. Genomic surveillance can be used to monitor

VREfm transmission and evolution. Genomic analysis of VREfm has not been reported

for the Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington, Texas, area, which is currently the 4th largest

metropolitan area in the United States. Our study aimed to address this gap in

knowledge by analyzing the genomes of 46 VREfm and one vancomycin-sensitive

comparator collected during routine fecal surveillance of high-risk patients upon

admission to a Dallas, Texas hospital system (August to October, 2015). 31 complete

and 16 draft genome sequences were generated. The closed VREfm genomes

possessed up to 12 extrachromosomal elements each. Overall, 251 closed putative

plasmid sequences assigned to previously described and newly defined *rep* family

types were obtained. Phylogenetic analysis identified 10 different sequence types (STs)

among the isolates, with the most prevalent being ST17 and ST18. Strikingly, all but

three of the VREfm isolates encoded *vanA*-type vancomycin resistance within Tn*1546*-

like elements on a pRUM-like (*rep17*) plasmid backbone. Relative to a previously

reported typing scheme for the *vanA*-encoding Tn*1546*, new variants of the Tn*1546*

were identified that harbored a combination of 7 insertion sequences (IS), including 3

novel IS elements reported in this study (IS*Efa16*, IS*Efa17* and IS*Efa18*). We conclude

that pRUM-like plasmids are important vectors for vancomycin resistance in the Dallas,

Texas area and should be a focus of plasmid surveillance efforts.

Importance

Vancomycin is an antibiotic used to treat infections caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-positive bacteria. Vancomycin resistance is common in clinical isolates of the Gram-positive pathogen *Enterococcus faecium.* In *E. faecium*, vancomycin resistance genes can be disseminated by plasmids with different host ranges and transfer efficiencies. Surveillance of resistance plasmids is critical to understanding antibiotic resistance transmission. This study analyzed the genome sequences of VREfm collected from the Dallas, Texas area, with particular focus on the mobile elements associated with vancomycin resistance genes. We find that a single plasmid family, the pRUM-like family, is associated with vancomycin resistance in the majority of isolates sampled. Our work suggests that the pRUM-like plasmids should continue to be studied to understand their mechanisms of maintenance, transmission, and evolution in VREfm.

Introduction

Originally described as a commensals of the healthy human gut, the enterococci attracted attention in the 1990s due to their alarming resistance to multiple antibiotics (1). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) were first reported in 1988 in Europe (2) and in the USA in 1989 (3) and are a major concern (4). Vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* (VREfm) is particularly concerning due to limited treatment options and widespread occurrence of vancomycin resistance among infection isolates of *E. faecium* (4).

Phylogenetic analysis of *E. faecium* strains revealed the presence of two major clades, referred to as Clade A and B, with some evidence for subclades A1 and A2 within Clade A (5, 6). Clade B *E. faecium* strains are mostly commensals of the healthy human gut. The multidrug-resistant *E. faecium* strains responsible for hospital outbreaks typically belong to Clade A1, whereas animal gut commensals typically belong to Clade A2 (6). Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) pre-dates whole genome-based phylogenetic analyses and has been used globally to classify *E. faecium* isolates by nucleotide sequence variations occurring in 7 housekeeping genes (7). Isolates are assigned to different sequence types (ST) based on the allelic variants. Most reported hospital outbreaks of VREfm have emerged from a single genetic lineage, referred to as clonal complex-17 (CC17), that was founded by the sequence type ST17 (8).

Vancomycin resistance genes are encoded within mobile elements in VREfm and can be horizontally disseminated (9-11). VanA-type resistance commonly occurs among VREfm, and VanA-type resistance genes are typically encoded within Tn*1546* (12). Tn*1546* may be chromosomally integrated or plasmid-borne. Different plasmid backbones carrying Tn*1546*, including Inc18, pRUM, and pLG1, have been reported

worldwide (for *e.g.* references (10, 13)). Structural variations of Tn*1546* due to the

presence of insertion sequences (IS) including IS*1251,* IS*1216,* IS*1485* and IS*Ef1* have

also been reported. These previous studies characterized Tn*1546* structural variation

by PCR mapping and then sequencing of the overlapping fragments (10, 13, 14).

However, in the absence of a completely closed genome assembly, conclusive links

between *vanA*, Tn*1546* variants, and specific plasmid backbones in VREfm can be

difficult to achieve.

Surveillance of antibiotic resistance elements is important because changes in their

host range and transfer frequency could evolve, which could impact clinical care.

Utilization of whole genome sequencing for epidemiologic study provides an

opportunity for multilevel analysis that includes detection of novel mobile genetic

elements and monitoring DNA sequence changes in resistance gene vectors. The

advent of long-read next generation sequencing (NGS) by Pacific Biosciences and

Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) has made it possible to generate genome

assemblies of a large number of bacterial isolates in a cost-effective manner. The

combination of long (ONT in this study) and short (typically Illumina) reads generates

high quality, completely closed genome assemblies with fully assembled mobile genetic

elements (15).

In this study, we analyzed phylogenetic relationships and plasmid diversity among a

previously reported collection of VREfm from the Dallas, Texas area (16). These

isolates were recovered from rectal surveillance of high-risk patients upon admission

to a Dallas hospital system. These represent colonization reservoirs from which future

hospital outbreaks could emerge. Some results of our study are expected, including the

predominance of CC17/Clade A1 among the VREfm isolates. Other results highlight

important areas for future study. Specifically, we note the high number of

extrachromosomal elements in VREfm, with some isolates possessing 12 unique

elements independent from the chromosome. How these multiple elements are

coordinated and maintained in VREfm, and what they contribute to VREfm physiology,

are largely unknown and are important topics for future investigation. Moreover, pRUM-

like plasmids are the dominant carriers of vancomycin resistance genes among these

VREfm. This plasmid family should continue to be investigated in laboratory

experiments to understand its maintenance and transmission mechanisms.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains analyzed in this study. The isolates used in this study (**Dataset**

S1A) were cultured from surveillance rectal swabs of high-risk patients on hospital

admission (August 2015 to October 2015). Their clinical collection and initial

characterization was previously reported (16) and is briefly summarized here. Rectal

swabs from patients admitted with at least one of the following risk factors

(hospitalization for ≥2 consecutive nights in the preceding 30 days, transferred from

another medical facility, residence in a nursing home or extended/long term care facility,

or the presence of decubitus ulcer or a draining wound) were cultured on Spectra VRE

(Remel) agar as a part of routine infection control surveillance. Instead of disposal after

clinical procedures were complete, cultures positive for presumptive VRE growth were

coded numerically, de-identified, and transferred to the University of Texas at Dallas

for further analysis. Isolates were coded by de-identified patient number. If multiple

colonies were collected from a Spectra VRE plate, this was indicated by hyphenated

numerics. For example, the VRE isolates 124-1, 124-2 and 124-3 were collected from

the same rectal swab sample from the same patient (de-identified patient #124). No

patients were sampled longitudinally.

In our previous study of these isolates, presumptive VREfm were confirmed by *ddl*

typing and for the presence of *vanA* or *vanB* genes by PCR (16, 17). All isolates used

in this study, with the exception of 163-1, initially grew on brain heart infusion (BHI)

agar supplemented with 256 μg/mL vancomycin. The vancomycin MIC of isolate 163-

1 was previously determined to be 2 μg/mL by broth microdilution (16). The vancomycin

MIC of 10 additional isolates were previously determined to be >256 μg/mL by broth

microdilution (16). The vancomycin MIC of all other isolates were determined in this

study using the same broth microdilution method previously reported (16).

Genome sequencing and assembly. Methods for DNA isolation, MinION sequencing,

Illumina sequencing, and hybrid genome assembly are described in the Supplemental

Text.

Phylogenetic analyses and MLST. Genome assemblies were annotated with

PROKKA (v 1.12) (18). A sequence alignment was generated for 1706 core genes by

ROARY (v 3.12) (19) using PRANK where the paralogs were not split. A phylogenetic

tree was built by RAxML (v 8.2.12) (20) with rapid bootstrapping (1000 inferences)

using the GTR+GAMMA model and subsequent ML search on the core gene alignment

where the outgroup was specified to be the reference genome *E. faecium* 1,231,501

(GenBank accession number ACAY01). The phylogenetic tree was visualized with

iTOL (v4) (21). MLST was determined by the *E. faecium* MLST database

(https://pubmlst.org/efaecium/) (7, 22). The novel sequence type ST1703 and novel *gyd*

allele number (allele-70) was assigned for the 5 VREfm isolates 111, 121, 137, 154-1,

158 by request from the database.

Plasmid detection and typing. Each contig of <2 Mbp in size in a closed genome

assembly was considered a putative plasmid. *In silico* detection and typing of plasmids

was performed with PlasmidFinder (v 2.1) (23). Putative plasmids in closed genome

assemblies that were not classifiable by PlasmidFinder were analyzed further (see

below).

For comparison with pRUM-like/*rep17* plasmids from Dallas isolates, plasmids were

extracted from PLSDB v.2021_06_23_v2 (24, 25) with the following terms: genus =

Enterococcus, topology = circular, PlasmidFinder = contains *rep17*. Plasmids were

visualized with Easyfig (26).

Definition of pMIX plasmid groups. Putative plasmid sequences that were

unclassifiable by PlasmidFinder were analyzed as follows. Presumptive *rep* genes were

identified from plasmid annotations and analyzed by NCBI Conserved Domain Analysis

(CDS). Confirmed *rep* genes were those that encoded protein domains that matched

known Rep protein families with e-values of e^{-10} to e^{-78}. The Rep sequences were also

scanned by HMMER (v 2.41.1) (27) to analyze Pfam domains. Multiple sequence

alignment of the nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequences of *rep* genes were

performed with MUSCLE (v 3.8.424) where the "group sequences by similarity" option

was selected. A neighbor-joining tree was built based on the sequence alignment using

the "Tamura Nei" genetic distance model (28). The tree was visually scrutinized in order

to sort the plasmids into 9 groups harboring *rep* genes with at least 95% identity in both

nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequence (except for pMI4; see Results). Each

unique *rep* group of plasmids was given a name of format pMIX, where the "X" is a

numeric number from 1 to 9. A representative sequence from each pMIX *rep* group is

in **Dataset S1B**. Representative sequences were compared to PLSDB

v.2021_06_23_v2 (24, 25) using BLASTn with 90% identity and query coverage

thresholds.

Eight closed circular DNA elements of varying sizes (3 to 72 kb) could not be classified

by PlasmidFinder nor by the pMIX *rep* typing scheme described above. Analysis of

these elements is described in the Supplemental Text.

Average nucleotide identity (ANI) analysis. All vs. all ANI was calculated using

ANIclustermap v1.1.0 at default parameters with either all *E. faecium* assemblies or

rep17/pRUM plasmids as input.

Transcriptional activity of predicted toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems. Transcriptional

activity of the TA systems, TA$_{axe\text{-}txe}$ and TA$_{relE}$, was determined by RT-qPCR for the

VREfm isolates 1 and 5, described in the Supplemental Text. The primer sequences

used for qPCR are provided in **Dataset S1C.**

Resistance gene identification. Acquired antimicrobial resistance genes were

detected with ResFinder 3.1 (29).

Tn*1546* analysis. The Tn*1546* nucleotide sequence described in *E. faecium* BM4147

(accession number M97297) was used as a reference to identify variations occurring

in the Tn*1546* identified in this study. The Tn*1546* were classified using a previously

described nomenclature (13). The presence of insertion sequence elements (IS

elements) was denoted with a one-letter code, shown in parentheses: IS*1216* (B)*,*

IS*1251* (C), IS*Efa5* (D), IS*256* (J), IS*Efa16* (K), IS*Efa17* (L)*,* IS*Efa18* (M). The

combination of IS elements within the transposon is described by a two or three letter

code; i.e., group 'BC' possesses one each of IS*1216* and IS*1251*. Arabic numerals,

following the alphabet code, indicate differences due to point mutations or different IS

element insertion sites. For the group 'BC', a previous study (13) described BC1-BC5,

each with specific insertion sites of IS elements. Any novel insertion sites identified in

this present study were therefore numbered from 'BC6' forward. The novel IS*Efa16,*

IS*Efa17,* IS*Efa18* sequences were identified in this study and registered in the ISFinder

public database (30).

Accession numbers. DNA sequences from this study have been deposited under

Bioproject PRJNA682584. Individual accession numbers for all sequence files are

provided in **Dataset S1A**.

Results

ST17 and ST18 VREfm isolates were prevalent in the collection. Genome

sequencing and hybrid assembly were performed for 47 *E. faecium* isolates with Oxford

Nanopore MinION and Illumina technologies. 31 closed and 16 draft genome

assemblies were generated (**Dataset S1A**). In our previous analysis of these isolates

using PCR, all isolates were found to be *vanA*-positive and *vanB*-negative, with the

exception of the isolate 163-1, which had neither *vanA* or *vanB* and had a vancomycin

MIC of 2 µg/mL by broth microdilution (16). We included 163-1 in this study for

comparative purposes to evaluate its relationship to the *vanA*-positive isolates in the

collection. After recovery from Spectra VRE rectal surveillance cultures, all 46 *vanA*-

positive isolates sequenced in this study grew on agar supplemented with 256 µg/mL

vancomycin. Subsequent broth microdilution assays performed over a year later after

genome sequencing and freezer restocking re-confirmed vancomycin resistance for all

isolates except for 9-2 and 154-1, which had vancomycin MICs ≤ 4 μg/mL. Likely, loss

of vancomycin resistance elements during laboratory culture led to these phenotypes;

however, this was not investigated further in this study.

Phylogenetic analysis based on alignment of 1706 core genes allowed us to assess

the diversity of the VREfm isolates (**Figure 1**). Two reference genomes, *E. faecium*

1,231,501 (5) and *E. faecium* ATCC® 700221™(31), were included as representatives

of *E. faecium* clade A2 and A1, respectively. *E. faecium* 1,231,501 is a human

bloodstream isolate from the USA and is susceptible to vancomycin. *E. faecium*

ATCC® 700221™ is a USA isolate and VanA-type VREfm control strain used in

antimicrobial susceptibility testing. As expected, the Dallas VREfm isolates clustered

with the clade A1 reference *E. faecium* ATCC® 700221™.

Ten different sequence types (ST), all belonging to clonal complex 17 (CC17), were

identified in the collection (**Figure 1, Figure 2**). Isolates belonging to ST17 and ST18

are the most prevalent in the collection, comprising 50% of the isolates, which clustered

together in the core genome tree (**Figure 1, Figure 2**). Six STs (ST18, ST664, ST1703,

ST736, ST80 and ST612) are double locus variants of ST17. ST262 and ST412 are

triple locus variants and ST1383 is a single locus variant of ST17 (**Dataset S1D**).

ST1703, a double locus variant of ST17 (*pstS* allele-20*; novel *gyd* allele-70), is a novel

ST in the MLST database and comprised 11% of the collection. We conclude that *E.*

faecium of multiple different STs, all within CC17, colonized the Dallas patients sampled

at the time of the study.

We performed an additional analysis to determine how closely related *E. faecium*

strains are in our collection. All vs. all Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) was calculated

with all *E. faecium* assemblies as input (**Dataset S1E**). Dallas *E. faecium* isolates

possess ≥98.98% ANI, consistent with the core gene phylogeny in **Figure 1**. In most

cases, strains isolated from the same patient were identical or nearly so. For example,

the three isolates from patient 124 shared 99.99% ANI. Similar observations were made

for isolates from patients 100, 16, 53, 71, and 9. Exceptions were patients 51 and 93,

which each were colonized with different *E. faecium* STs (**Figure 1**), also reflected in

the ANI analysis of the isolates (≤99.4% ANI).

Large numbers of plasmids are present in the Dallas isolates. The plasmid content

of the Dallas isolates was analyzed by an *in silico* detection method utilizing the

conserved nucleotide sequences of replication initiation (*rep*) genes (23). Each contig

of <2 Mbp in size in a closed genome assembly was considered a putative plasmid. Of

the 259 closed circular putative plasmids, 141 were assigned to 10 known *rep* families

described in the PlasmidFinder database. The remaining 118 putative plasmids were

categorized into 9 novel plasmid groups (pMI1 to pMI9) and 8 other elements

(discussed in the next section).

The Dallas isolates harbored a variable number of putative plasmids (n=3 to 12) of

sizes ranging from 1.9 to 294 kb (**Figure 1; Dataset S1F**). The most common elements

were a megaplasmid (126 to 294 kb) and a pRUM-like plasmid (17 to 63 kb), which

were each present in all isolates except 144-1, which lacked the megaplasmid. Both

the reference strains (*E. faecium* 1,231,501 and ATCC® 700221™) harbored the

megaplasmid, but 1,231,501 lacked the pRUM-like plasmid. Each of the megaplasmids

harbored the *rep* gene *repUS15*, encoding a RepA_N protein motif. The pRUM-like

plasmid harbored *rep17* or both *rep17* and *rep18*, which we refer to as a mosaic

plasmid. An analysis of plasmid replicon enrichment among specific STs is presented

in the **Supplemental Text** and **Dataset S1G**.

Uncategorized plasmids were sorted into 9 plasmid groups, pMI1 to pMI9.

PlasmidFinder (23) did not identify *rep* genes in a total of 118 circular entities. Of these

118 circular entities, 110 were established to be plasmids based on the presence of

rep genes not currently represented in the PlasmidFinder database (see Materials and

Methods). The remaining 8 circular entities were designated as putative excision

products of genomic islands (**Supplementary Text, Dataset S1H-I**) including a 5 kb

circular fragment of Tn*1546* in isolate 111.

The 110 plasmids not classifiable by PlasmidFinder were sorted into 9 plasmid groups,

pMI1 to pMI9, based on phylogenetic analyses of the *rep* genes (**Figure S1; Dataset**

S1J). The nucleotide sequences of the *rep* genes and their corresponding amino acid

sequences shared >95% identity within each pMIX plasmid group, except for pMI4

(**Table 1**). The clustering of the *rep* gene sequences belonging to the pMI4 plasmid

group supported their inclusion in a single group, despite lower pairwise sequence

identities (**Figure S1**). A total of 6 Rep protein families (RepA_N, Rep_3, Rep_1,

Rep_trans, Rol_rep_N, and Rep_2) were encoded by the *rep* genes of the 9 pMIX

groups (**Dataset S1J**). Specifically, the *rep* genes of pMI1 and pMI3 encoded protein

families RepA_N and Rep_1, respectively. The *rep* genes of pMI2, pMI5, pMI6 and

pMI7 encoded the protein family Rep_3. The *rep* genes of pMI4 and pMI8 encoded

protein families Rep_trans and Rep_2, respectively. The *rep* of pMI9 was the only

exception, encoding two Rep protein families, Rep_trans and Rol_rep_N (**Table 1**).

Each of the Dallas isolates harbored 1 to 5 of the pMIX plasmid groups, except the

isolate 83 (ST17), which had none of them (**Figure 1**). Neither of the two reference

strains harbored any of the pMIX plasmid groups. The pMI1 was the most frequently

occurring pMIX plasmid group, identified in 75% of the isolates (**Table 1**). The pMI8

and pMI9 were the rarest ones, found in only isolates 51_1 (ST612) and 5 (ST18),

respectively. The pMIX plasmid groups were not enriched in any specific ST. We

observed that plasmids within a very narrow size range (standard deviation 0.2 to 2.3)

were grouped together by this *rep* typing scheme (with the exception of pMI1), although

plasmid size was not taken into consideration in assigning plasmid groups.

We used PLSDB (24, 25) to compare representative *rep* sequences from the pMIX

plasmid groups with existing plasmids in the database (as of January 2023). PLSDB

compiles plasmid sequences and their associated metadata, including PlasmidFinder

and other analyses. This was done as an additional check on our analysis, as ours

was initially performed in 2018-2019. We reasoned that the pMIX typing scheme

would be most useful if it encompassed other previously untypeable plasmids in the

database. We applied strict thresholds of 90% identity and 90% query coverage to

filter BLASTn hits to the database. The results support pMI1, pMI3, pMI5, pMI6, and

pMI8 as newly defined *rep* types that include other previously untyped *Enterococcus*

plasmids from around the world (**Dataset S1K**). The only hit to pMI9 *rep* was the

Dallas isolate from which it was defined. In this PLSDB analysis, pMI2 was assigned

to *rep11a*, and pMI4 was assigned to *rep14b*. The pMI7 analysis was more

complicated, with one group of identical hits (100% identity and query coverage) for

many plasmids with no known *rep* type assigned, and another group of hits with 100%

identity but lower 98% coverage that were assigned to *rep17*.

Resistance genes were encoded in both chromosomes and plasmids. Different

combinations of 12 resistance genes predicted to provide resistance against 5 classes

of antibiotics (aminoglycosides, glycopeptides, macrolides, tetracycline, and

trimethoprim) were identified among the Dallas isolates (**Figure 3**; **Dataset S1L;**

Supplemental Text). The most commonly occurring resistance genes were *aac(6')I-1*

(100% of isolates), *msr* (100%), *vanA* (95%), *tetM* (95%), *ermB* (85%), and *aph(3')*

(85%). These resistance genes were encoded in the chromosome and primarily in only

two plasmid types, the pRUM-like plasmid group and the megaplasmid. The pRUM-like

plasmids predominantly encoded 4 of the resistance genes (*ant(6)-Ia, aph(3')III, vanA,*

and *ermB*) conferring resistance against aminoglycosides, vancomycin and

erythromycin (**Figure 3**). The *repUS7* plasmid (pMG1) was found among only 3 of the

Dallas isolates - 66 (ST18), 144-1 (ST18) and 51-4 (ST612) - and encoded *dfrG*, for

trimethoprim resistance. We note that antibiotic resistance of these isolates was not

phenotypically assessed in this study, other than for vancomycin resistance.

All of the Dallas isolates, except for 163-1, encoded VanA-type vancomycin resistance

genes. The *vanA* genes possessed 99.9% nucleotide and 99.7% amino acid sequence

identity with the reference *vanA* sequence (GenBank AAA65956.1 (**Dataset S1L).** The

reference sequence is encoded within the transposon Tn*1546* (GenBank M97297),

originally described in the human fecal isolate *E. faecium* BM4147 collected from

France in 1988 (32) (**Figure S2**). A classical structure of the *vanA* gene cluster,

consisting of *vanHAX* and *vanYZ* encoded within Tn*1546* elements, was observed

among the Dallas VREfm isolates, except for the isolate 17-1, which lacked the genes

vanY and *vanZ*. The Tn*1546* structure varied among the isolates in terms of insertion

site (chromosome versus plasmid), IS elements, and point mutations, discussed further

below.

The Tn*1546* is borne on pRUM-like plasmids in most isolates. The complete Tn*1546* was carried by the pRUM-like plasmid in 42 of 46 *vanA*-positive isolates. A representative pRUM-like plasmid from our collection is shown in **Figure 4**. The isolate 111 did not encode the entire Tn*1546* in the pRUM-like plasmid; rather, it encoded *vanRS* in a 17 kb pRUM-like plasmid while *vanHAX* was encoded within a separate 5 kb circular element (te111_5kb) (**Dataset S1H**). Tn*1546* was chromosomally encoded in 3 isolates, 53-1, 53-2 and 91, each belonging to ST17, with all three strains also harboring a pRUM-like plasmid lacking Tn*1546* (**Table 2**).

We categorized the 43 pRUM-like plasmids encoding Tn*1546* or a fragment of Tn*1546* (for isolate 111) into four groups based on the presence or absence of replication and stability modules on their backbones (**Table 2, Figure 5**), per a previously described typing scheme (14). For the plasmids in our collection, two toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems were observed in different distributions, *axe-txe* ($TA_{axe-txe}$) and a *relE/parE* family toxin associated with an uncharacterized antitoxin component (TA_{relE}) (**Table 2**). Both of the TA systems were actively expressed in two representative VREfm isolates (**Figure S3**).

    i)   Group 1 (rep_{17}; rep_{18}; $TA_{axe-txe}$; TA_{relE}; n=16): These plasmids possess *rep$_{17/18}$* and both $TA_{axe-txe}$ and TA_{relE}. All the group 1 plasmids encoded the *rep18b* (accession number CP018068), except isolate 113, which encoded the *rep18a* (accession number AB158402). The plasmids had a narrow size range (44 to 48 kb) and were present in VREfm isolates from ST17, ST18, ST1383 and ST80.

    ii)   Group 2 (rep_{17}; $TA_{axe-txe}$; TA_{relE}; n=17): These plasmids possess *rep$_{17}$* and both $TA_{axe-txe}$ and TA_{relE}. The group 2 plasmids were classified further based on size and genetic background of the isolates carrying them. Group 2a

plasmids (29-45 kb) were carried by the reference strain ATCC® 700221™,

and isolates belonging to ST18 (5, 66, 97-1, 124-1, 124-2, 124-3, and 162),

ST80 (16-1, 16-2), ST17 (93-2), and ST612 (51-4). The comparatively larger

(57-63 kb) group 2b plasmids were present in all 6 isolates belonging to

ST664 (51-1, 87-1, 93-1, 93-2, 155, and 160). Gene presence/absence

analysis using Roary (19) identified a set of genes that are specific to Group

2b plasmids (**Dataset S1M**), including a ~7.5 kb region encoding helix-turn-

helix XRE-family like proteins (cd00093) inserted immediately upstream of

the *van* gene cluster (**Figure S4**).

iii)   Group 3 (rep_{17}; TA_{relE}; n=5): These plasmids possess rep_{17} and TA_{relE}, and

have a size range of 36-42 kb. They were carried by ST736 isolates (isolates

9-1, 9-2, 52, and 55) and one ST1703 isolate (isolate 121).

iv)   Group 4 (rep_{17}; n=5): These plasmids possess rep_{17} and no known stability

modules, and have a size range of 17-35 kb. These plasmids were carried

by isolates belonging to the novel genetic background ST1703 (111, 137,

154-1, and 158) and by a single ST412 isolate (17-1).

We further analyzed the pRUM-like plasmids in our collection using two approaches: a

core genome phylogeny based on sequence variation in 7 pRUM-like plasmid core

genes (*rep17,* IS*1216, vanS,* ImpB/MucB/SamB family protein, hypothetical protein,

plasmid partitioning protein, and replication control protein PrgN) (**Figure S5**), and an

analysis of ANI **(Figure S6; Dataset S1N).** The pRUM-like plasmids form two clusters

in the phylogenetic tree (**Figure S5)**, which correspond to the presence/absence of

$TA_{axe-txe}$. The pRUM-like plasmids ranged from 93.9 – 100% pairwise ANI. ANI analysis

identified well-defined clusters of plasmids with high percent ANI that correspond to

plasmid groups 2b, 3, and 4, while groups 1 and 2a are intermixed (**Figure S6**). This is

also consistent with the presence/absence of TA$_{axe\text{-}txe}$ being an important discriminator

for grouping of pRUM-like plasmids.

Finally, we compared pRUM-like/*rep17* plasmids from the Dallas collection with other

rep17 plasmids that have previously been published. PLSDB was queried to obtain

circular plasmids assigned to genus *Enterococcus* and to *rep17*. A strict cut-off of

≥99.9% pairwise ANI was applied, which identified a cluster of closely related pRUM-

like/*rep17* plasmids from different sources (**Figure 6**). These plasmids have ≥99.9%

ANI, are from geographically distinct regions (USA [this study], France (33), India (34),

and Australia (35, 36)), and are present in different *E. faecium* STs. The most closely

related are the pRUM-like/*rep17* plasmid from Dallas isolate 16-1 and the *rep17*

plasmid from the VREfm strain 15-307.1. These plasmids have identical gene content

and differ by SNPs and deletions/insertions at 10 sites. VREfm 15-307.1 was isolated

in France in 2015 from a rectal swab of a hospitalized patient, which is similar to the

collection of Dallas 16-1 in 2015.

Dallas VREfm harbored 17 variants of Tn*1546*. The Tn*1546* region of the Dallas

isolates varied from the typical structure, which consists of ORF1 (transposase), ORF2

(resolvase) and the *van* genes flanked by IR$_L$ (inverted repeat, left) and IR$_R$ (inverted

repeat, right) (**Figure 7**). A total of 17 different sequence variations in the Tn*1546* were

observed among 45 of the Dallas isolates (**Figure 7, Table 3**). These 17 variations

were classified using a nomenclature system developed previously (13) based on the

presence of IS elements, point mutations, and deletions relative to the reference

Tn*1546*. VREfm belonging to the same Tn*1546* group shared 99.8%-100% nucleotide

sequence identity in the Tn*1546* region. The isolate 111 was excluded from this

grouping since it harbored a fragmented Tn*1546* occurring in a pRUM-like plasmid and

another circular entity (**Dataset S1H**). The Tn*1546* variation was mostly associated with

the distribution of 7 different IS elements (IS*1251*, IS*1216*, ISEfa*16*, IS*Efa5*, IS*Efa17*,

IS*256*, IS*Efa18*), three of which were novel to the Dallas isolates. Most of the Tn*1546*

groups described in this study are novel relative to those previously reported in the

literature, except for group BC2, which was reported previously in Poland (13). The

most predominant Tn*1546* groups were BC9 (n=14) and J (n=6). The BC9 variety was

observed in ST17 (n=9) and ST18 (n=5) isolates. The reference strain

ATCC® 700221™ harbored the C2 structural variant of Tn*1546* that was described

previously (13) but is not present in the Dallas VREfm isolates. The presence of

different pRUM groups and Tn*1546* types across the phylogeny of *E. faecium* isolates

in this study is presented in **Figure S7**.

Specific structural variations of the Dallas Tn*1546* elements are described further in the

Supplemental Text.

Potential VREfm patient-patient transmission. We used our genome data to look for

identical *E. faecium* clones colonizing different patients. This would be suggestive of

prior transmission of VREfm between patients in our area. We found one possible

instance supported by our genomic data. *E. faecium* isolates from patients 55 and 52

were of identical ST, same pRUM plasmid size and group, same Tn*1546* group (and

are the only members of the group in this study), and possess 99.99% ANI overall.

Their pRUM plasmids possess >99.99% ANI, differing by one SNP. However, the total

genome size for these two strains differs. The isolate from patient 55 has a closed

genome that is 1026 bp longer than the draft genome of the patient 52 isolate.

Discussion

This study provided a snapshot of fecal VREfm colonizing hospitalized patients in Dallas, Texas from August – October 2015. VREfm isolates from previously described and new STs were detected. The most prevalent VREfm isolates, comprising 50% of those analyzed, belonged to ST17 and ST18. These STs were previously reported to be responsible for outbreaks in countries including Portugal (14), Spain (37), Ireland (38), Denmark (39), Columbia (40), Brazil (41), Canada (42). The other 8 STs identified among our collection (ST1383, ST612, ST412, ST80, ST1703 and ST664), were single, double, or triple locus variants of ST17. ST1703 is a novel sequence type described in this study. ST182, which caused an outbreak in San Antonio, Texas in the 1990s (43), was not detected in our collection.

All the plasmid-borne Tn*1546* in Dallas isolates were encoded within pRUM-like plasmids that were clustered into 4 subgroups (1, 2a, 2b, 3, and 4), defined by the presence of the replication module *rep17* and a combination of other replication and stability modules. The patients from whom the isolates in this study were collected resided in different areas of Dallas and in unconnected facilities including nursing homes and personal residences. Therefore, the presence of only one type of plasmid backbone carrying *vanA* among these patients was striking. Our data are consistent with another recent analysis of VREfm from the United States. Chilambi, et al (2020) (44) analyzed gastrointestinal and blood VREfm isolated from pediatric patients at St. Jude's Children's Research Hospital over a 10 year period. VanA-type VREfm was isolated from 23 of 24 patients analyzed, and for all 23, the Tn*1546* was encoded on a *rep17* element. Clearly, these plasmids are significant vectors for vancomycin resistance in *E. faecium,* as proposed by Freitas, et al. (10) in a multilevel analysis of VREfm. In our study, multiple predicted antibiotic resistance genes were detected on

these plasmids, in addition to vancomycin resistance genes. The pRUM-like plasmids

should be a focus of plasmid surveillance. Resistance genes may be consolidating on

this specific backbone, as opposed to the many other plasmids detected in VREfm in

this study.

We detected carriage of up to 12 extrachromosomal elements in the VREfm isolates in

our study. This is consistent with the comprehensive work of Arredondo-Alonso, et al.,

who conducted a large-scale analysis of the *E. faecium* plasmidome (45). The authors

determined that *E. faecium* from hospitalized patients possess the largest plasmidome

in terms of plasmid number and total bp. The striking number of extrachromosomal

elements among VREfm warrants further investigation into their maintenance,

transmission, and functions.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by R01AI116610 and the Cecil H. and Ida Green Chair in

Systems Biology Science to K.P. We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Joslyn Pribble and the

Microbiology lab at Methodist Health System, without whom this work would not be

possible.

References

1.   Bonten MJ, Willems R, Weinstein RA. 2001. Vancomycin-resistant
enterococci: why are they here, and where do they come from? Lancet Infect
Dis 1:314-25.
2.   Uttley AH, Collins CH, Naidoo J, George RC. 1988. Vancomycin-resistant
enterococci. Lancet 1:57-8.

3.   Sahm DF, Kissinger J, Gilmore MS, Murray PR, Mulder R, Solliday J, Clarke
B. 1989. In vitro susceptibility studies of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*
faecalis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 33:1588-91. 172707

4.   Arias CA, Murray BE. 2012. The rise of the *Enterococcus*: beyond vancomycin
resistance. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:266-78. 3621121

5.   Palmer KL, Godfrey P, Griggs A, Kos VN, Zucker J, Desjardins C, Cerqueira
G, Gevers D, Walker S, Wortman J, Feldgarden M, Haas B, Birren B, Gilmore
MS. 2012. Comparative genomics of enterococci: variation in *Enterococcus*
faecalis, clade structure in *E. faecium*, and defining characteristics of *E.*
gallinarum and *E. casseliflavus*. MBio 3:e00318-11. 3374389

6.   Lebreton F, van Schaik W, McGuire AM, Godfrey P, Griggs A, Mazumdar V,
Corander J, Cheng L, Saif S, Young S, Zeng Q, Wortman J, Birren B, Willems
RJ, Earl AM, Gilmore MS. 2013. Emergence of epidemic multidrug-resistant
Enterococcus faecium from animal and commensal strains. MBio 4. 3747589

7.   Homan WL, Tribe D, Poznanski S, Li M, Hogg G, Spalburg E, van Embden
JDA, Willems RJL. 2002. Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for
Enterococcus faecium. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 40:1963-1971.

8.   Lee T, Pang S, Abraham S, Coombs GW. 2019. Antimicrobial-resistant CC17
Enterococcus faecium: The past, the present and the future. J Glob Antimicrob
Resist 16:36-47.

9.   Werner G, Freitas AR, Coque TM, Sollid JE, Lester C, Hammerum AM,
Garcia-Migura L, Jensen LB, Francia MV, Witte W, Willems RJ, Sundsfjord A.
2011. Host range of enterococcal *vanA* plasmids among Gram-positive
intestinal bacteria. J Antimicrob Chemother 66:273-82.

10.   Freitas AR, Tedim AP, Francia MV, Jensen LB, Novais C, Peixe L, Sanchez-
Valenzuela A, Sundsfjord A, Hegstad K, Werner G, Sadowy E, Hammerum
AM, Garcia-Migura L, Willems RJ, Baquero F, Coque TM. 2016. Multilevel
population genetic analysis of *vanA* and *vanB Enterococcus faecium* causing
nosocomial outbreaks in 27 countries (1986-2012). J Antimicrob Chemother
71:3351-3366.

11.   Partridge SR, Kwong SM, Firth N, Jensen SO. 2018. Mobile Genetic Elements
Associated with Antimicrobial Resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev 31.
PMC6148190

12.   Courvalin P. 2006. Vancomycin resistance in gram-positive cocci. Clin Infect
568   Dis 42 Suppl 1:S25-34.

569   13.   Wardal E, Kuch A, Gawryszewska I, Zabicka D, Hryniewicz W, Sadowy E.
570   2017. Diversity of plasmids and Tn*1546*-type transposons among VanA
571   *Enterococcus faecium* in Poland. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 36:313-328.
572   PMC5253160

573   14.   Freitas AR, Novais C, Tedim AP, Francia MV, Baquero F, Peixe L, Coque TM.
574   2013. Microevolutionary events involving narrow host plasmids influences
575   local fixation of vancomycin-resistance in *Enterococcus* populations. PLoS
576   One 8:e60589. PMC3612099

577   15.   Bayliss SC, Hunt VL, Yokoyama M, Thorpe HA, Feil EJ. 2017. The use of
578   Oxford Nanopore native barcoding for complete genome assembly.
579   Gigascience 6:1-6. PMC5467021

580   16.   Ping S, Mayorga-Reyes N, Price VJ, Onuoha M, Bhardwaj P, Rodrigues M,
581   Owen J, Palacios Araya D, Akins RL, Palmer KL. 2021. Characterization of
582   presumptive vancomycin-resistant enterococci recovered during infection
583   control surveillance in Dallas, Texas, USA. Access Microbiol 3:000214.
584   PMC8209702

585   17.   Dutka-Malen S, Evers S, Courvalin P. 1995. Detection of glycopeptide
586   resistance genotypes and identification to the species level of clinically
587   relevant enterococci by PCR. J Clin Microbiol 33:24-7. 227872

588   18.   Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation.
589   Bioinformatics 30:2068-9.

590   19.   Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MT, Fookes M,
591   Falush D, Keane JA, Parkhill J. 2015. Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan
592   genome analysis. Bioinformatics 31:3691-3. PMC4817141

593   20.   Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and
594   post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312-3. PMC3998144

595   21.   Letunic I, Bork P. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and
596   new developments. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W256-W259. PMC6602468

597   22.   Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. 2018. Open-access bacterial population
598   genomics: BIGSdb software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications.
599   Wellcome Open Res 3:124. PMC6192448

600   23.   Carattoli A, Zankari E, Garcia-Fernandez A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Villa L,
601   Moller Aarestrup F, Hasman H. 2014. *In silico* detection and typing of plasmids
602   using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. Antimicrob
603   Agents Chemother 58:3895-903. PMC4068535

604   24.   Galata V, Fehlmann T, Backes C, Keller A. 2019. PLSDB: a resource of
605   complete bacterial plasmids. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D195-D202. PMC6323999

606   25.   Schmartz GP, Hartung A, Hirsch P, Kern F, Fehlmann T, Muller R, Keller A.
607   2022. PLSDB: advancing a comprehensive database of bacterial plasmids.
608   Nucleic Acids Res 50:D273-D278. PMC8728149

609   26.   Sullivan MJ, Petty NK, Beatson SA. 2011. Easyfig: a genome comparison
610   visualizer. Bioinformatics 27:1009-10. PMC3065679

611   27.   Potter SC, Luciani A, Eddy SR, Park Y, Lopez R, Finn RD. 2018. HMMER
612   web server: 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Res 46:W200-W204. PMC6030962

613   28.   Tamura K, Nei M. 1993. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions
614   in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol
615   Biol Evol 10:512-26.

616   29.   Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O,
617   Aarestrup FM, Larsen MV. 2012. Identification of acquired antimicrobial
618   resistance genes. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2640-4. PMC3468078

619   30.   Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M. 2006. ISfinder: the
620   reference centre for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 34:D32-
621   6. PMC1347377

622   31.   McKenney PT, Ling L, Wang G, Mane S, Pamer EG. 2016. Complete Genome
623   Sequence of *Enterococcus faecium* ATCC 700221. Genome Announc 4.
624   PMC4888979

625   32.   Arthur M, Molinas C, Depardieu F, Courvalin P. 1993. Characterization of
626   Tn*1546*, a Tn*3*-related transposon conferring glycopeptide resistance by
627   synthesis of depsipeptide peptidoglycan precursors in *Enterococcus faecium*
628   BM4147. J Bacteriol 175:117-27. 196104

629   33.   Guerin F, Sassi M, Dejoies L, Zouari A, Schutz S, Potrel S, Auzou M, Collet A,
630   Lecointe D, Auger G, Cattoir V. 2020. Molecular and functional analysis of the
631   novel *cfr*(D) linezolid resistance gene identified in *Enterococcus faecium*. J
632   Antimicrob Chemother 75:1699-1703.

34. Bakthavatchalam YD, Puraswani M, Livingston A, Priya M, Venkatesan D,
Sharma D, Iyadurai R, Pichamuthu K, Veeraraghavan B, Mathur P. 2022.
Novel linear plasmids carrying *vanA* cluster drives the spread of vancomycin
resistance in *Enterococcus faecium* in India. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 29:168-
172.

35. van Hal SJ, Espedido BA, Coombs GW, Howden BP, Korman TM, Nimmo
GR, Gosbell IB, Jensen SO. 2017. Polyclonal emergence of *vanA*
vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* in Australia. J Antimicrob
Chemother 72:998-1001.

36. Lee RS, Goncalves da Silva A, Baines SL, Strachan J, Ballard S, Carter GP,
Kwong JC, Schultz MB, Bulach DM, Seemann T, Stinear TP, Howden BP.
2018. The changing landscape of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*
in Australia: a population-level genomic study. J Antimicrob Chemother
73:3268-3278.

37. Valdezate S, Miranda C, Navarro A, Freitas AR, Cabrera JJ, Carrasco G,
Coque TM, Jimenez-Romano E, Saez-Nieto JA. 2012. Clonal outbreak of
ST17 multidrug-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* harbouring an Inc18-
like::Tn*1546* plasmid in a haemo-oncology ward of a Spanish hospital. J
Antimicrob Chemother 67:832-6.

38. Ryan L, O'Mahony E, Wrenn C, FitzGerald S, Fox U, Boyle B, Schaffer K,
Werner G, Klare I. 2015. Epidemiology and molecular typing of VRE
bloodstream isolates in an Irish tertiary care hospital. J Antimicrob Chemother
70:2718-24.

39. de Been M, Pinholt M, Top J, Bletz S, Mellmann A, van Schaik W, Brouwer E,
Rogers M, Kraat Y, Bonten M, Corander J, Westh H, Harmsen D, Willems RJ.
2015. Core Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for High-Resolution
Typing of *Enterococcus faecium*. J Clin Microbiol 53:3788-97. PMC4652124

40. Rios R, Reyes J, Carvajal LP, Rincon S, Panesso D, Echeverri AM, Dinh A,
Kolokotronis SO, Narechania A, Tran TT, Munita JM, Murray BE, Planet PJ,
Arias CA, Diaz L. 2020. Genomic Epidemiology of Vancomycin-Resistant
Enterococcus faecium (VREfm) in Latin America: Revisiting The Global VRE
Population Structure. Sci Rep 10:5636. PMC7101424

41. Camargo IL, Gilmore MS, Darini AL. 2006. Multilocus sequence typing and
analysis of putative virulence factors in vancomycin-resistant and vancomycin-

sensitive *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from Brazil. Clin Microbiol Infect

12:1123-30.

42.   McCracken M, Wong A, Mitchell R, Gravel D, Conly J, Embil J, Johnston L,

Matlow A, Ormiston D, Simor AE, Smith S, Du T, Hizon R, Mulvey MR,

Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance P. 2013. Molecular epidemiology

of vancomycin-resistant enterococcal bacteraemia: results from the Canadian

Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program, 1999-2009. J Antimicrob

Chemother 68:1505-9.

43.   Moreno F, Grota P, Crisp C, Magnon K, Melcher GP, Jorgensen JH, Patterson

JE. 1995. Clinical and molecular epidemiology of vancomycin-resistant

Enterococcus faecium during its emergence in a city in southern Texas. Clin

Infect Dis 21:1234-7.

44.   Chilambi GS, Nordstrom HR, Evans DR, Ferrolino JA, Hayden RT, Maron GM,

Vo AN, Gilmore MS, Wolf J, Rosch JW, Van Tyne D. 2020. Evolution of

vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* during colonization and infection

in immunocompromised pediatric patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

117:11703-11714. PMC7261057

45.   Arredondo-Alonso S, Top J, McNally A, Puranen S, Pesonen M, Pensar J,

Marttinen P, Braat JC, Rogers MRC, van Schaik W, Kaski S, Willems RJL,

Corander J, Schurch AC. 2020. Plasmids Shaped the Recent Emergence of

the Major Nosocomial Pathogen *Enterococcus faecium*. mBio 11.

`

698   **Table 1. Features of the pMIX group plasmids**

Plasmid group	No. of isolates with plasmid group[1]	% of isolates harboring this plasmid[2]	Plasmid size range (kb)	stdv of plasmid size within group	*rep* gene information				
					rep gene size (bp)	% identity within group (*rep* DNA)[3]	% identity within group (*rep* aa)[4]	*Rep* encoded Protein Family Id[5]	pfam Accession[6]
pMI1	35	74	23-62	11.2	1086	99.7	98.9	repA_N	PF06970.11
pMI2	32	68	6-8	0.3	951	100	100	Rep_3	PF01051.21
pMI3	17	36	3.6 -4.3	0.2	990	100	100	Rep_1	PF01446.17
pMI4	11	23	4-11	2.3	972	79.4	67.9	Rep_trans	PF02486.19
pMI5	5	11	83-106	8.7	804	95.40	95.9	Rep_3	PF01051.21
pMI6	4	9	4	0	924	100	100	Rep_3	PF01051.21
pMI7	4	9	3	0	720	100	100	Rep_3	PF01051.21
pMI8	1	2	2	N/A	603	N/A	N/A	Rep_2	PF01719.17
pMI9	1	2	70	N/A	1215	N/A	N/A	Rol_Rep_N	PF18106.1
								Rep_trans	PF02486.19

[1]Specifies the total number of isolates among the 47 Dallas isolates harboring the

particular pMIX group plasmids.

[2]The % of isolates harboring the specific plasmid group.

[3,4]The "% identity (DNA)" and the "% identity (aa)" specifies the % identical sites

calculated from the MUSCLE sequence alignment of DNA and amino acid of the *rep*

genes belonging to the same pMIX group, respectively.

[5,6] The *rep* gene encoded protein family (pfam) and the corresponding pfam accession

numbers, respectively.

717 **Table 2. Features of the pRUM-like plasmid groups**

plasmid groups	MLST[1]	Isolate	rep[2]		TA[3]		size (bp)	Size (kbp) (Avg ±stdev)[4]
			rep17	rep18	axe-txe	relE		
Group 1	ST17	1	■	■	■	■	44,323	44 ± 1.9
		20	■	■	■	■	44,443	
		43-1	■	■	■	■	44,730	
		57	■	■	■	■	44,421	
		83	■	■	■	■	44,442	
		103	■	■	■	■	48,758	
		107-1	■	■	■	■	41,004	
		131-2	■	■	■	■	43,860	
		148	■	■	■	■	45,965	
		161	■	■	■	■	44,443	
	ST18	100-1	■	■	■	■	43,236	
		100-2	■	■	■	■	43,236	
		144-1	■	■	■	■	47,773	
	ST1383	71-1	■	■	■	■	44,420	
		71-2	■	■	■	■	44,420	
	ST80	113	■	a	■	■	41,153	
Group 2a	ST18	5	■		■	■	45,647	37 ± 4.3
		66	■		■	■	38,373	
		97-1	■		■	■	39,713	
		124-1	■		■	■	37,877	
		124-2	■		■	■	37,877	
		124-3	■		■	■	37,877	
		162	■		■	■	34,583	
	ST80	16-1	■		■	■	41,600	
		16-2	■		■	■	33,441	
	ST17	93-3	■		■	■	34,144	
	ST612	51-4	■		■	■	29,409	
Group 2b	ST664	51-1	■		■	■	61,762	59 ± 3
		87-1	■		■	■	57,116	
		93-1	■		■	■	63,108	
		93-2	■		■	■	63,108	
		155	■		■	■	57,134	
		160	■		■	■	57,135	
Group 3	ST736	9-1	■			■	38,857	38 ± 2.6
		9-2	■			■	38,857	
		52	■			■	36,284	
		55	■			■	36,284	
	ST1703	121	■			■	42,671	
Group 4	ST1703	111	■				17,982	28 ± 7.4
		137	■				35,487	
		154-1	■				22,729	
		158	■				30,941	
	ST412	17-1	■				33,158	

[1]Multiple locus sequence type (MLST) of the isolates

[2,3]The presence of *rep* and toxin-antitoxin (TA) genes are indicated by blue boxes. The

pRUM-like plasmid from isolate 113 harbored *rep18a* (reference gene accession

AB158402) and is symbolized as "a" in the corresponding box. The *rep18* for rest of the

resistance plasmids were *rep18b* (reference gene accession CP018068).

[4]The average size of the plasmids for each plasmid group type is shown along with the

standard deviation (Avg. ± stdev).

Table 3. Features of the Tn*1546* groups observed among the Dallas isolates

pRUM-like plasmid group	Tn*1546* Group	Isolate	Identity within Tn*1546* group[1]	No. of Isolates in Tn*1546* group	IS element[2]	vanH (6513, 6514 CG--> AA) Arg --> Lys	vanA (7658 T-->C) Val-->Ala	vanX (8234 G-->T) Lys-->Asn	vanY (9692 C-->T) Pro-->Leu	vanY (9566 G-->A) Gly --> Glu
1	BCL	43-1	N/A	1	IS*1216*, IS*1251*, IS*Efa17*		█	█		
	BC8	113	N/A	1	IS*1216*, IS*1251*		█	█		
	BC11	71-1	99.80%	3	IS1216, IS1251		█	█		
		71-2					█	█		
		144-1					█	█		█
	BC9	1	99.80%	14	IS*1216*, IS*1251*		█	█	█	
		20					█	█	█	
		57					█	█	█	
		83					█	█	█	
		100-1					█	█	█	
		100-2					█	█	█	
		103					█	█	█	
		107-1					█	█	█	
		131-2					█	█	█	
		148					█	█	█	
		161					█	█	█	
		5					█	█	█	
		66					█	█	█	
		162					█	█	█	
2a	BC2	16-1	100%	3	IS*1216*, IS*1251*		█	█		
		16-2					█	█		
		51-4					█	█		
	BBC	97-1	N/A	1	IS*1216*, IS*1251*		█	█		
	BCM	93-3	N/A	1	IS1216, IS1251, IS*Efa18*		█	█		
	BCCL	124-1	100%	3	IS*1216*, IS*Efa17*, IS*1251*, IS*1251*		█	█		
		124-2					█	█		
		124-3					█	█		
2b	J	51-1	100%	6	IS*256*		█	█	█	
		87-1					█	█	█	
		93-1					█	█	█	
		93-2					█	█	█	
		155					█	█	█	
		160					█	█	█	
3	C3	52	100%	2	IS*1251*		█			
		55					█			
	BC6	9_1	100%	2	IS*1251*, IS*1216*		█			
		9_2					█			
	BC7	121	N/A	1	IS*1251*, IS*1216*		█			
4	BCB	154-1	100%	2	IS*1216*, IS*1251*, IS*1216*		█			
		158					█			
	BCK	137	N/A	1	IS*Efa16*, IS*1251*, IS*1216*		█			
	BBCD	17-1	N/A	1	IS*1216*, IS*Efa5*, IS*1251*, IS*1216*		█			
Chromosome integrated Tn*1546*	D1	91-1	N/A	1	IS*Efa5*		█			
	BC10	53-1	100%	2	IS1216, IS1251	█	█	█	█	
		53-2				█	█	█	█	

[1] Nucleotide sequences of the Tn*1546* region for the Tn*1546* groups, including more than one isolate, were aligned and % identity was determined.

[2] The name of the IS elements present in the Tn*1546* group are listed.

[3] Point mutations in the van gene cluster relative to the reference Tn*1546* (accession number M97297). Presence of a point mutation is represented by a colored box.

757
758
759

Figure 1. Phylogeny and plasmid content of Dallas *E. faecium* isolates. The phylogenetic tree of 49 *E. faecium* strains is based on an alignment of SNPs in 1706 core genes. The branches colored green, golden and red are supported by a bootstrap value of >70, 40-60, and <30 respectively. The reference genomes *E. faecium* 1,231,501 and ATCC® 700221™ are labeled in red. Data for MLST, number of presumptive plasmids (shown as ## for incomplete assemblies), and plasmid *rep* types are shown. Plasmid replicon conserved domains i) RepA_N, ii) Rep_3, iii) Rep_trans, iv) Rep1, v) Inc18, vi) Rep_2, vii) Rol_Rep_N **(A)**, *rep* family **(B)**, plasmid size ranges **(C)**, and reference plasmid names **(D)** present in each strain are shown. A dark purple box indicates a chromosomally integrated plasmid whose size range was not determined. The *rep17* and mosaic of *rep17/rep18* are indicated with the gray and light green boxes, respectively. Plasmid groups defined in our study (pMI1 to pMI9; see main text) are highlighted in light blue color.

Figure 2: Sequence type (ST) distribution of Dallas *E. faecium* isolates. A total of 10 STs were observed across 47 isolates. The frequency of STs (percent of total isolates) is shown.

Figure 3. Distribution of predicted antibiotic resistance genes among Dallas
isolate genomes. Shown are 12 resistance genes (6'-N-aminoglycoside
acetyltransferase, (aac(6')I-i), bifunctional enzyme 6'-aminoglycoside
acetyltransferase-2"-aminoglycoside phosphotransferase (aac(6')-aph(2")),
aminoglycoside nucleotidyltransferase (ant(6)-Ia), aph(3')III, vanA, erm(B), erm(T), lnu,
acquired macrolide resistance-like protein (msr), tet(M), tet(L) and dihydrofolate
reductase (dfrG)), and the antibiotics they provide resistance to. A solid colored box
indicates the presence of a certain resistance gene in the isolate. The boxes are color
coded to indicate the location of the gene (chromosome or plasmid).

813
814
Figure 4. Genetic map of a representative Group 2a pRUM-like plasmid. The 39

kb plasmid p97_1_39kb (accession number CP066571) from the Dallas VREfm isolate

97-1 is shown. Arrows represent genes. The genes are color-coded based on their

predicted activity. Maintenance genes are predicted to be responsible for general

maintenance of the plasmid within the bacterial host. Resistance genes provide

antibiotic resistance.

825
826
827
828

A.

		Group 1	Group 2a	Group 2b	Group 3	Group 4
rep	*rep18*	+	-	-	-	-
	rep17	+	+	+	+	+
TA	*axe*	+	+	+	-	-
	relE	+	+	+	+	-

B.

- Group 1 — 37%
- Group 2a — 25%
- Group 2b — 14%
- Group 3 — 12%
- Group 4 — 12%

834 **Figure 5. Classification and distribution of pRUM-like plasmid groups. A**) pRUM-

835 like plasmids were classified based on the presence or absence (indicated by a + or -,

836 respectively) of 2 replication modules (*rep17* and *rep18*) and 2 stability modules (toxin-

837 antitoxin system; $TA_{axe\text{-}txe}$ and TA_{relE}). **B)** Frequency of the pRUM-like plasmid groups

838 among 43 Dallas isolates.

(A)

(B)

RefSeq Accession	Strain	ST	Size (bp)	Country of Origin	Year	Source
NZ_CP066385.1	Dallas 16-1	80	41,600	United States	2015	Rectal Swab
NZ_CP044320.1	15-307-1	17	41,619	France	2015	Rectal Swab
NZ_CP059825.1	A15023	1643	41,614	India	2019	Blood
NZ_CP059774.1	A3895	80	41,278	India	2019	Blood
NZ_KX810025.1	Efm008	80	37,639	Australia	2011	Human
NZ_CP027510.1	AUSMDU00004055	78	33,328	Australia	2015	Not Blood

846
847
848
849 **Figure 6. A cluster of pRUM-like plasmids identified from PLSDB with 99.9%**

850 **ANI.** (A) BLASTn alignment of plasmid sequences with Easyfig. (B) Data for *E.*

851 *faecium* strains and plasmids, arranged in the same order as the alignment.

854
855

856
857
858
859
Figure 7: Tn*1546* structural variations in the Dallas VREfm isolates. A reference
Tn*1546* structure that includes transposase, resolvase and *van* gene cluster flanked by
IR_L and IR_R (inverted repeat left and right, respectively) is delineated at the top. The
Tn*1546* groups are represented below the reference Tn*1546*, and the name of the group
(C3, BC2, BC6, BC7, BC8, BC9, BC10, BC11, BCB, BBC, BCK, BCL, BCM, BBCD, BCCL
D1, J) is shown on the left. Red boxes represent deleted regions relative to the reference
Tn*1546*. Vertical lines with numbers at the bottom represent the nucleotide position within
the reference Tn*1546*. IS elements are represented by downward arrows. Black stars
indicate point mutations. The figure is not drawn to scale.

874