Title: Functional cooperativity mediated by rationally selected combinations of human

monoclonal antibodies targeting the henipavirus receptor binding protein

Authors: Michael P. Doyle[1], Nurgun Kose[2], Viktoriya Borisevich[3,4], Elad Binshtein[2], Moushimi

Amaya[5], Marcus Nagel[6], Edward J. Annand[7,8], Erica Armstrong[2], Robin Bombardi[2], Jinhui

Dong[2], Kevin L. Schey[6], Christopher C. Broder[5], Larry Zeitlin[9], Erin A. Kuang[9], Zachary A.

Bornholdt[9], Brandyn R. West[9], Thomas W. Geisbert[3,4], Robert W. Cross[3,4], James E. Crowe,

Jr.[1,2,10*]

[*]**Corresponding author**

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, Vanderbilt University Medical

Center, Nashville, Tennessee, 37232, USA.

[2]The Vanderbilt Vaccine Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee,

37232, USA.

[3]Department of Microbiology & Immunology, The University of Texas Medical Branch,

Galveston, Texas, 77555, USA.

[4]Galveston National Laboratory, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas, 77555,

USA.

[5]Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Uniformed Services University of the Health

Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland, 20814

[6]Department of Biochemistry, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, 37232, USA.

[7]Sydney School of Veterinary Science and Marie Bashir Institute for Infectious Diseases and

Biosecurity, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia.

[8]Black Mountain Laboratories & Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness, Health and

Biosecurity, CSIRO, Canberra & Geelong, Australia.

[9]Mapp Biopharmaceutical, Inc., San Diego, California, 92121.

[10]Department of Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, 37232,

USA.

31
32 **Correspondence:**
33
James E. Crowe, Jr., MD
Mail:
Vanderbilt Vaccine Center
11475 Medical Research Building IV
2213 Garland Avenue
Nashville, TN 37232-0417, USA
Telephone   (615)343-8064
Email   james.crowe@vumc.org

Abstract

Hendra virus (HeV) and Nipah virus (NiV), the prototypic members of the *Henipavirus* (HNV)

genus, are emerging, zoonotic paramyxoviruses known to cause severe disease across six

mammalian orders, including humans (Eaton et al., 2006). While several research groups have

made strides in developing candidate vaccines and therapeutics against henipaviruses, such

countermeasures have not been licensed for human use, and significant gaps in knowledge about

the human immune response to these viruses exist. To address these gaps, we isolated a large

panel of human monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) from the B cells of an individual with prior

occupation-related exposure to the equine HeV vaccine (Equivac® HeV). Competition-binding

and hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) studies identified at least six

distinct antigenic sites on the HeV/NiV receptor binding protein (RBP) that are recognized by

human mAbs. Antibodies recognizing multiple antigenic sites potently neutralized NiV and/or

HeV isolates *in vitro.* The most potent class of cross-reactive antibodies achieved neutralization

by blocking viral attachment to the host cell receptors ephrin-B2 and ephrin-B3. Antibodies from

this class mimic receptor binding by inducing a receptor-bound conformation to the HeV-RBP

protein tetramer, exposing an epitope that appears to lie hidden in the interface between

protomers within the HeV-RBP tetramer. Antibodies that recognize this cryptic epitope potently

neutralized HeV and NiV. Flow cytometric studies using cell-surface-displayed HeV-RBP

protein showed that cross-reactive, neutralizing mAbs from each of these classes cooperate for

binding. In a highly stringent hamster model of NiV_B infection, antibodies from both classes

reduced morbidity and mortality and achieved synergistic protection in combination and

provided therapeutic benefit when combined into two bispecific platforms. These studies

identified multiple candidate mAbs that might be suitable for use in a cocktail therapeutic

66 approach to achieve synergistic antiviral potency and reduce the risk of virus escape during

67 treatment.

69 **KEYWORDS:** Hendra Virus; Nipah Virus; Henipavirus Infections; Antibodies, Viral; B-

70 Lymphocytes; Antigen-Antibody Reactions; Antibodies, Monoclonal; Therapy: Epitopes; B-

71 Lymphocyte.

Introduction

Hendra virus (HeV) and Nipah virus (NiV), the prototypic henipaviruses, are emerging zoonotic paramyxoviruses known to cause severe disease in humans and diverse other mammalian orders. Multiple species of of *Pteropid* bats (flying foxes) act as reservoir hosts for these negative-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses in the *Paramyxoviridae* family with which they are understood to have co-evolved (Chua et al., 2002; Halpin et al., 2011; Halpin et al., 2000; Vidgen et al., 2015). HeV is transmitted from flying foxes to horses and from horses to in-contact humans causing severe respiratory and/or encephalitic disease mediated by endothelial vasculitis in both (Escaffre et al., 2013; Field, 2016; Murray et al., 1995a). HeV was identified in 1994, having caused the death of 14 of 21 infected horses and one of two infected humans in Queensland, Australia (Murray et al., 1995b; Selvey et al., 1995). Spillover has occurred sporadically with some seasonal and climatic trend since, causing disease in 105 horses and seven humans, with high case fatality rates (Queensland Government, 2020). NiV, which was discovered four years after HeV when hundreds of pig handlers fell ill with encephalitic disease (Chua et al., 1999), has continued to cause sporadic outbreaks in Bangladesh and India (Arunkumar et al., 2019; Soman Pillai et al., 2020). More direct routes of infection, including human-to-human transmission, and mortality rates approaching 100%, have been observed during recent NiV outbreaks (Chadha et al., 2006; Clayton et al., 2012; Gurley et al., 2007). Anthropogenic and climatic influences on flying foxes are affecting their roosting, feeding and migration habits as well as their susceptibility to heat-stress, disease and injury (Kessler et al., 2018; Plowright et al., 2015). These factors together with their resultant increase in intermediate host contact (humans and domestic animals) are associated with increasing geographic range and frequency of henipavirus disease spillover (Martin et al., 2018; Walsh et al., 2017). While HeV and NiV

outbreaks historically have been confined geographically to Australia and Southeast Asia,

respectively, risk of pandemic spread of these highly pathogenic agents related to regional and

global population densities and difficulty avoiding international transmission via infected

travelers has been highlighted by recent experience with SARS-CoV-2 (Morens and Fauci,

2020). Such consideration prompted the World Health Organization (WHO) to designate

henipavirus infections as priority diseases requiring extensive and immediate research and

development (Sweileh, 2017). The risk of global health crisis associated with henipaviruses is

exacerbated by the lack of licensed antiviral drugs or vaccines for HNV and a dearth of

knowledge of the human immune response to these viruses (Escaffre et al., 2013; Gomez Roman

et al., 2020).

Passive immune transfer studies in both hamsters and ferrets have provided evidence that

neutralizing antibodies are a correlate of immunoprotection from henipaviruses (Bossart et al.,

2009; Guillaume et al., 2004; Guillaume et al., 2006). These data have been corroborated in

multiple studies by investigators using murine, rabbit, or human antibody discovery technologies

to isolate potently neutralizing antibodies to HeV and/or NiV (Aguilar et al., 2009; Mire et al.,

2020; Zhu et al., 2006). One of these studies used phage display technology to isolate a human

monoclonal antibody (hmAb), designated m102.4 (Zhu et al., 2008). This mAb potently

neutralizes both HeV and NiV *in vitro* and protects against infection and disease in experimental

henipavirus challenge models using ferrets or non-human primates (Bossart et al., 2011; Geisbert

et al., 2014; Mire et al., 2016). More recently, two human mAbs, HENV-26 and HENV-32, were

shown to neutralize HeV and NiV by distinct mechanisms and protect from NiV Bangladesh

(NiV$_B$) strain challenge in a ferret model (Dong et al., 2020). While these studies have laid a

foundation for our understanding of how to target henipaviruses therapeutically, many questions

remain regarding the antigenicity of the attachment glycoprotein, and whether escape mutations

from these mAbs can develop *in vivo*.

Here, we isolated hmAbs from circulating B cells of an individual with occupation-related

exposure to the equine HeV vaccine (Equivac® HeV) (Middleton et al., 2014). Members of this

large panel of antibodies target diverse antigenic sites, many of which are sites of vulnerability

for neutralization for at least one virus. In particular, two functional classes of antibodies that we

have termed "receptor-blocking" or "receptor-enhanced" neutralized HeV and NiV *in vitro* by

distinct molecular mechanisms and provided protection when used as monotherapy against lethal

challenge in hamsters with the highly virulent NiV_B strain. Antibodies recognizing these sites

cooperate for binding to the henipavirus RBP glycoprotein that mediates attachment (formerly

designated the G or glycosylated attachment protein, but recently renamed by the International

Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses) (Rima et al., 2019). These mAbs also synergize for

neutralization of both $VSV-NiV_B$, as well as two Cedar viruses chimerized to display the HeV or

NiV_B RBP and F surface glycoproteins. Cocktails of antibodies from these groups show superior

therapeutic efficacy in hamsters, while bispecific antibodies bearing antigen binding fragments

from both mAbs also show therapeutic benefit. In this model, "receptor-blocking" mAbs induce

conformational changes to the RBP that better expose the "receptor-enhanced" antigenic site.

These results suggest these mAbs could be used in a cocktail therapeutic approach to achieve

synergistic neutralizing potency against henipavirus infections.

RESULTS

Cross-reactive, neutralizing antibodies target two distinct antigenic sites on HNV-RBP.

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from an Australian veterinarian with occupation-

related exposure to HeV-RBP (Equivac® HeV) were tested for secretion of antibodies binding to

recombinant forms of the NiV attachment (RBP) glycoproteins for NiV_B, the NiV Malaysian

strain (NiV_M), or HeV. In total, we isolated 41 distinct new mAbs that bind henipavirus RBPs. In

order to group this large panel of mAbs rationally into those that recognized similar antigenic

sites, we used a surface plasmon resonance platform to bin antibodies based on the antigenic

sites they recognized on recombinant protein comprising the HeV-RBP head domain. This

method immobilizes a first antibody on the surface of a gold-coated sensor-chip that captures

soluble antigen, and then assesses the ability of a second antibody to bind to the captured

antigen. The resulting data showed that mAbs binding to HeV-RBP recognized at least 6 distinct

major antigenic sites, designated A-F (**Figure 1A, S1**).

In tandem, we used hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) to map the

antigenic sites of representative antibodies from each group (**Figure 1B**), along with binding and

neutralization assays to determine cross-reactivity and functional activity **(Figure 1C, D)**.

Antibodies belonging to groups A and C cross-reacted with HeV, NiV_M, and NiV_B-RBP, and

neutralized the corresponding viral strains. Group A, specifically, includes the control mAb

m102.4, which has been thoroughly characterized for its ability to block viral attachment to the

host cell receptors ephrin-B2 and ephrin-B3, and potently neutralize both HeV and NiV(2020;

Xu et al., 2013) (Xu et al., 2013). As expected, a representative group A mAb HENV-98 caused

a decrease in deuterium exchange in a region of the HeV-RBP that corresponds to the receptor-

binding site. All group A mAbs also neutralized HeV, NiV_M, and NiV_B strains *in vitro*. Notably,

HENV-117 displayed exceptional potency, with half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50})

values of 14, 8, or 15 ng/mL against HeV, NiV_M, or NiV_B, respectively. To date, this is the most

broad and potent neutralizing mAb targeting HeV and NiV ever described, suggesting it may

possess superior therapeutic activity.

Group D represents a second class of mAbs that cross-neutralize HeV, NiV_M, and NiV_B, albeit

with roughly 10-fold less potency than group A. The group D representative mAb HENV-107

mapped to a distinct site on the HeV-RPB head domain spanning the ß1 and ß6 propeller blades.

This region of the head domain likely lies at the interface between protomers within the dimer-

of-dimers structure of the HeV-RBP tetramer, suggesting a semi-cryptic site of vulnerability on

RBP (Lee and Ataman, 2011). This region has been postulated to be important in fusion

triggering, as point mutations made to this region render F unable to complete its fusion cascade

(Aguilar et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2013).

While mAbs in group C display limited cross-neutralization of HeV and NiV, groups B and E

contain mAbs that only neutralize HeV with appreciable potency. Group F mAbs are weakly

neutralizing or non-neutralizing and appear to target an antigenic site that lies on the RBP face

opposite the receptor-binding domain. This epitope is likely in a site that is poorly accessible in

the membrane-anchored form of RBP, lending to the poor neutralizing activity observed for

these mAbs. Overall, we discovered and mapped cross-reactive, neutralizing mAbs targeting two

distinct major antigenic sites that likely use distinct mechanisms to achieve virus neutralization.

Neutralizing mAbs either compete with, or are enhanced by, ephrin-B2 binding to HeV-

RBP. With the knowledge that group A mAbs map to the receptor-binding domain of HeV-RBP,

we sought to determine if these antibodies could block binding of soluble ephrin-B2 to cell

surface-displayed HeV-RBP. 293F cells were transiently transfected with a cDNA construct

encoding full-length HeV-RBP (head, stalk, transmembrane, and cytoplasmic domains) and

incubated for 72 hours. These cells then were incubated with saturating concentrations of

recombinant, soluble ephrin-B2, followed by addition of anti-RBP mAbs at a concentration of 2

µg/mL to assess the ability of antibodies to bind RPB in its receptor-bound state. Cells were

analyzed by flow cytometry, comparing antibody binding in the presence or absence of ephrin-

B2 (**Figure 2A**). Antibodies in group A displayed a substantial decrease in binding in the

presence of ephrin-B2, supporting the hypothesis that mAbs from this group potently neutralize

by blocking binding of virus to host cells. This receptor-blocking phenotype is reflected in the

activity of the control mAb m102.4, which also displayed decreased signal when associated to

receptor-bound RBP.

We also assessed antibodies from all other epitope binning groups for their ability to bind RBP in

the presence of ephrin-B2. Antibodies from group F did not bind to surface-displayed HeV-RBP,

further suggesting these antibodies cannot access this antigenic site when RBP is in its

tetrameric, membrane-anchored form. Group B, C, and E mAbs bound to HeV-RBP with equal

signal in the presence or absence of ephrin-B2. Surprisingly, cross-reactive and neutralizing

antibodies in group E displayed a "receptor-enhanced" phenotype, in which binding was

increased in the presence of ephrin-B2. As HDX experiments suggested this antigenic site lies at

the putative interface between protomers within the HeV-RBP dimer, it is likely that receptor

binding alters the conformation of HeV-RBP, better exposing this epitope and increasing binding

by mAbs to this site. In summary, cross-reactive and neutralizing mAbs displayed either

"receptor-blocking" or "receptor-enhanced" phenotypes, suggesting distinct neutralization

mechanisms used by antibodies targeting distinct sites.

Negative stain electron microscopy (nsEM) elucidates structural determinants of

recognition by receptor-blocking and receptor-enhanced mAbs. To gain insight into the

structural determinants of recognition by "receptor-blocking" and "receptor-enhanced" mAbs,

we performed nsEM on HeV-RBP complexed with representative Fabs based on the sequence of

HENV-117 (blocking) or HENV-103 (enhanced). Initial studies with HeV-RBP ectodomain

(head and stalk domains) purified by size exclusion chromatography showed substantial

structural heterogeneity of both dimeric and tetrameric complexes (data not shown). In order to

generate more structurally homogeneous antigen suitable for 3D reconstruction, we purified

HeV-RBP by gradient fixation ultracentrifugation using a 10 to 30% glycerol gradient containing

a linear 0 to 0.1% glutaraldehyde gradient. This method achieved highly pure material,

appropriate separation of monomeric, dimeric, and tetrameric species, and structural

homogeneity induced by mild glutaraldehyde fixation. Dimeric HeV-RPB was complexed with a

molar excess of HENV-117 and HENV-103 and assessed using nsEM.

Both HENV-103 and HENV-117 bind simultaneously to the HeV-RBP, further confirming these

mAbs recognize distinct antigenic sites (**Figure 2B**). By docking the crystal model of the head

domain bound the ephrin-B2 receptor to the EM map, we observed that HENV-117 mimics the

binding position of the receptor, confirming its ability to block receptor attachment (**Figure**

S3A). Conversely, HENV-103 approaches the HeV-RBP perpendicular to the receptor binding

domain at the putative interface between protomers within the RBP tetramer (**Figure S3B**). This

antigenic site overlaps with previous published mAbs, including HENV-32. Furthermore,

modeling suggests that HENV-117 uses a long CDRH3 loop, binding to RBP in a manner similar

to the GH loop of ephrin-B2. In summary, HENV-103 and HENV-117 map to distinct antigenic

sites by negative stain EM, with HENV-117 mimicking ephrin-B2 binding, while HENV-103

binds at the putative dimeric interface.

Antibodies provide therapeutic protection in a highly stringent model of Nipah Bangladesh

virus challenge in Syrian golden hamsters. Previous studies of murine and human mAbs

targeting HeV and/or NiV suggested passive immunization as a potential strategy for therapeutic

intervention. To assess therapeutic activity of antibodies in this large panel, we chose 5 candidate

mAbs representing groups A (receptor-blocking HENV-98, HENV-100, HENV-117) and D

(receptor-enhanced HENV-58, HENV-103) to test in a highly stringent NiV_B challenge model in

hamsters (Wong et al., 2003). Disease in this model follows a two-stage disease pattern with

differing sequelae: an acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)-like respiratory tract

component starting at day 3 to 4, and an encephalitic component beginning at days 8 to 12. On

day 0, Syrian golden hamsters were challenged intranasally with 5 x 10^6 PFU NiV_B. The

following day, hamsters were administered a 10 mg/kg dose of antibody by the IP route and

monitored for 28 days after challenge. While the hamster administered a vehicle control solution

succumbed at day 3, as much as 60% survival was achieved in animals administered either

"receptor-blocking" or "receptor-enhanced" mAbs. **(Figure 3A)**. The two most protective mAbs

from each class were HENV-117 and HENV-103, for which surviving animals in each treatment

group were able to maintain body weight throughout the study **(Figure 3B)**. HENV-117 and

HENV-103 were also the two most potent mAbs from groups A and D, suggesting *in vitro*

potency by antibodies targeting these sites correlates with *in vivo* efficacy. In summary, receptor-

blocking and receptor-enhanced mAbs protect hamsters from NiV_B challenge, with HENV-117

and HENV-103 representing the most promising candidates targeting two distinct antigenic sites.

HENV-117 and HENV-103 cooperate for binding to HNV-RBP and reveal synergistic virus

neutralization activity. RNA viruses, including HeV and NiV, use error-prone RNA-dependent

RNA polymerase (RdRP) complexes to achieve genome replication (Welch et al., 2020). While

generation of errors can lead to non-viable genomes in some cases, this process also affords

viruses the ability to escape from small and large molecule antivirals by introducing amino acid

substitutions in the sites recognized by these molecules (Borisevich et al., 2016). This escape

pattern is of concern and has been observed in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies of diverse RNA

viruses, showing that antibody monotherapy approaches against viral pathogens may be

susceptible to failure. In order to combat escape, cocktails of antibodies targeting the same or

differing antigenic sites offer a higher threshold of protection, with escape becoming statistically

highly unlikely. Concurrently, studies of antibody cocktails against Ebola virus, HIV, and more

recently SARS-CoV-2, show the potential for synergistic activity by neutralizing antibodies, in

which one antibody potentiates the activity of another (Howell et al., 2017; Miglietta et al., 2014;

Zost et al., 2020a). With this goal in mind, we sought to determine whether "receptor-blocking"

and "receptor-enhanced" mAbs cooperatively bind to and neutralize henipaviruses. We

hypothesized that "receptor-blocking" mAbs would mimic the structural rearrangements in HeV-

RBP by ephrin-B2, better exposing the "receptor-enhanced" epitope, allowing for synergistic

neutralization by combinations of these antibodies. We chose the most potent and protective

candidates from each class, HENV-103 and HENV-117, for these studies.

We first tested the ability of HENV-117 to enhance the binding of HENV-103 to cell-surface

displayed RBP. Using the surface-display system, we incubated HeV-RBP-transfected cells in

saturating concentrations of mAbs that block ephrin-B2 binding. Without washing, we then

added serial dilutions of HENV-103 chemically labeled with an Alexa Fluor-647 tag. Cells then

were analyzed by flow cytometry to determine if HENV-103 showed increased binding signal

across a dilution series in the presence of "receptor-blocking" mAbs. When cells were incubated

with HENV-103 only, half maximal binding was achieved at 5,289 ng/mL. When cells were first

incubated with saturating concentrations of HENV-117, the EC_{50} of HENV-103 shifted to 350

ng/mL, representing an increase in binding activity of approximately 15-fold (**Figure 4A**).

Notably, this cooperativity is unidirectional, as HENV-103 did not increase the binding of

HENV-117 (**Figure 4A**). This cooperative phenotype also depends on HENV-117, with

increasing HENV-117 concentrations showing increased binding by a constant concentration of

HENV-103 (**Figure 4B**). These data suggest that antibodies that bind the ephrin-B2 binding site

on HeV-RBP, such as HENV-117, mimic the conformational changes induced by ephrin-B2

binding, making a semi-cryptic epitope recognized by HENV-103 more accessible.

In order to show that this cooperative binding phenotype is recapitulated functionally, we

performed neutralization tests using solutions containing antibody pairs to measure synergistic

neutralization potential. In order to perform these multiple comparison neutralization assays

quantitatively in BSL-2 facilities, we used a non-pathogenic henipavirus chimerized with the

HeV or NiV_B glycoproteins. In this system, recombinant Cedar virus (rCedV) was engineered

genetically to express the RPB and F from HeV or NiV_B, as well as a GFP reporter. The resulting

rescued, recombinant, chimeric viruses were termed rCedV-NiV_B or rCedV-HeV. Here, we used

a matrix approach to test antibody pairs for neutralization synergy, in which serial dilutions of

HENV-117 and HENV-103 were mixed together in a pairwise matrix, followed by incubation

with rCedV-HeV. Virus/mAb mixtures then were added to Vero E6 cell monolayer cultures in

96-well plates. At approximately 22 hours after inoculating cells with virus/antibody mixtures,

plates were fixed and GFP+ foci were quantified to enumerate antibody neutralization values. To

calculate synergy, neutralization matrix data were uploaded to the open source program

"SynergyFinder," and synergy scores were calculated using the zero interactions potency (ZIP)

model (Ianevski et al., 2020). A score >10 suggests synergistic activity. We observed that

HENV-103 and HENV-117 gave an overall ZIP score of 13.1, with select physiologically

achievable cocktail concentrations achieving synergy scores >20 **(Figure 4C)**. This synergy was

also observed when using rCedV-NiV_B, as well as a VSV psuedotyped with NiV_B RBP and F, a

platform described in detail previously (**Figure S4A,B**) (Mire et al., 2019). These data together

with binding studies show that antibodies from these classes cooperate for binding to RBP and

synergistically neutralize chimeric and pseudotyped viruses bearing RBP and F proteins from

HeV or NiV_B, suggesting they will likely function to synergistically neutralize pathogenic

henipaviruses.

Antibody cocktails and derivative bispecific mAbs provide improved therapeutic activity in

hamsters. Synergy observed *in vitro* by HENV-103 and HENV-117 against VSV-NiV_B and

rCedV-NiV$_B$ suggested the potential for *in vivo* synergistic protection from NiV$_B$ infection. To

assess this possibility, we took two separate approaches. In the first approach, we tested HENV-

103 and HENV-117 as a cocktail therapy in Syrian golden hamsters. Previously, animals were

treated with 10 mg/kg for each individual mAb. In this study, animals were treated with 5 mg/kg

HENV-103 and 5 mg/kg HENV-117 at 24 hours after intranasal inoculation with NiV$_B$. Using

monotherapy, we found that 3 of 5 animals treated with either HENV-103 or HENV-117

survived throughout the study. However, when given in combination, all animals survived and

maintained/gained weight for 28 days after infection (**Figure 5B**). These data show that HENV-

103 and HENV-117 provide synergistic protection in hamsters when administered together 24

hours after infection with NiV$_B$.

The second approach used two bispecific antibody platforms. The dual variable domain (DVD)

construct bears two heavy and light chain variable domains in each "arm," with the domains

most Fc-distal corresponding to HENV-117 (Wu et al., 2007). A similar construct, termed

Bis4Ab, differs from DVD in that the Fc-distal HENV-117 component contains a full Fab

fragment, whereas the HENV-103 contains only heavy and light chain variable domains in a Fc-

proximal scFv format (Dimasi et al., 2019; Thanabalasuriar et al., 2017). We first tested these

constructs *in vitro* against VSV-NiV$_B$ and found that both DVD and Bis4Ab constructs strongly

neutralized VSV-NiV$_B$ with similar potency (**Figure 5A**). We again tested these in the Syrian

golden hamster model of NiV$_B$ infection and found that in the DVD group, 4/5 hamster survived,

while protection in the Bis4Ab group mirrored that of monotherapy, with 3/5 hamsters surviving.

These data suggest that there may be added complexity to using bispecific antibody platforms

(whether or not both antigen binding fragments can engage antigen simultaneously, serum half-

life in rodents, etc.) and that combined administration of HENV-103 and HENV-117 provides

superior *in vivo* protection in comparison to monotherapy. This feature is complemented by the

added benefit of further protection from escape mutation.

Discussion

Recent epidemics or pandemics of Ebola, 2009 H1N1 influenza, and SARS-CoV-2 viruses

highlight the need for the development of countermeasures against emerging viruses with

pandemic potential before their occurance. Pathogenic henipaviruses, particularly NiV, are

emerging and highly pathogenic agents with confirmed human-to-human transmission for which

licensed treatments or vaccines for human use do not yet (Amaya and Broder, 2020). In this

study, we isolated a panel of mAbs specific for the henipavirus RBP glycoprotein from an

individual with prior occupation-related exposure to equine HeV-RBP subunit vaccine.

Competition-binding and HDX-MS studies identified at least six distinct antigenic sites

recognized by these mAbs. Flow cytometric studies with surface-displayed HeV-RBP showed

that potently neutralizing, cross-reactive antibodies either a) blocked HeV-RBP binding to

ephrin-B2, or b) showed enhanced binding in the presence of ephrin-B2. Antibodies that block

receptor binding also induced the "receptor-enhanced" phenotype, showing that antibodies to

these two classes cooperate for binding to HNV-RBP. In addition, these mAbs also showed

synergy in neutralization of rCedV-HeV particles. As monotherapy, "receptor-blocking" and

"receptor-enhanced" antibodies provided modest protection in a highly lethal NiV_B challenge

model in Syrian golden hamsters. In combination, these antibodies provided complete

therapeutic protection in the same model of infection.

A significant concern when using antibodies as therapeutics against emerging infectious diseases

due to RNA viruses is the potential for viral 'mutational escape' within an infected host or

immune evasion by divergent viral variants. Escape from antibody-mediated neutralization has

been documented even with ultrapotent mAbs targeting conserved epitopes on viral

glycoproteins (Greaney et al., 2020). Using a cocktail of mAbs provides resistance against

escape, with the potential added benefit of synergistic antiviral potency, allowing for lower

dosing. The potential for spillover of divergent variants of bat-borne paramyxoviruses

(henipaviruses and rubulaviruses) is consistent with the inherent propensity of RNA viruses for

rapid evolution. Furthermore, flying foxes serve as ideal reservoir hosts because of their dense

community roosting patterns and relative resistance to paramyxoviral disease (Baker et al., 2012;

Barr et al., 2015; Drexler et al., 2012; Luis et al., 2015; Peel et al., 2019; Sasaki et al., 2012;

Vidgen et al., 2015). The discovery of protective antibodies highlighted here, specifically

HENV-103 and HENV-117, offer the opportunity to construct a cocktail of antibodies with

most-desired protective properties including against mutation escape and spillover variant

viruses. Concurrently, a bispecific antibody with activity of both HENV-103 and HENV-117 is

an attractive therapeutic option that endows a single therapeutic molecule with the synergistic

potency of two individual mAbs. While we showed that two antibodies targeting RBP offer a

synergistic benefit, the possibility exists that having antibodies targeting both RBP and F may

provide also be of benefit. Recently, highly potent and protective anti-F antibodies have been

described and may offer an ideal partner to HENV-103, HENV-117, or both as a triple antibody

cocktail (Dang et al., 2019; Mire et al., 2020).

As with other paramyxoviruses, humans likely elicit highly functional antibodies against the

henipavirus F glycoprotein (Merz et al., 1980). This concept is highlighted by palivizumab, an

anti-F antibody used as a prophylaxis for premature infants to protect from infection by

respiratory syncytial virus (Meissner et al., 1999). Although, as highlighted above, protective

anti-F mAbs have been isolated, these have been uniformly of murine origin. The full antigenic

landscape of the henipavirus F protein may suggest new sites of vulnerability to neutralization by

mAbs and could guide the rational design of henipavirus vaccines. This opportunity is especially

important considering the geographical range of henipaviruses, and the fact that a previously

undescribed virus from this genus may emerge to cause a pandemic. Having knowledge of the

determinants of neutralization for both RBP and F will allow for quick mobilization of platform

technologies to develop vaccines, similar to what we have seen in the response to the SARS-

CoV-2 pandemic (Zost et al., 2020b).

The Syrian golden hamster model of henipavirus infection is a well-characterized model suitable

for down-selection of therapeutic antibody candidates. With wild-type mice being completely

refractory to infection, the hamster is currently the most cost-effective small animal model

available. With these benefits in mind, there are also limitations to these studies. The biphasic

nature of NiV_B disease in hamsters, for instance, in which respiratory disease precedes

neurological sequelae, does not fully recapitulate the human condition. Ferrets, and optimally,

African Green monkeys (AGM), are potential models for further preclinical development of

these promising antibody candidates. This possibility is especially true of the AGM model of

NiV_B infection, in which the therapeutic window for use of antibody therapies (treatment at days

3 to 5) is shorter than that of HeV and NiV_M (treatment at days 5 to 7) (Mire et al., 2016).

Studies in these models might further elucidate if HENV-103 and HENV-117 are superior to

previously described antibodies (Playford et al., 2020).

Here, and in previous studies, functional anti-henipavirus RBP-specific mAbs from multiple

species have been isolated. These antibodies uniformly recognize the head domain of RBP,

suggesting this region is likely the most immunogenic domain of the RBP. Multiple studies

interrogating the function of RBP, and its role in triggering the F protein to undergo significant

conformational rearrangements, have pointed to the RBP stalk domain as playing a significant

role in viral fusogenicity. Specifically, Aguilar *et al*. have shown that the C-terminal portion of

the NiV stalk domain can trigger fusion of membranes in the absence of a head domain (Liu et

al., 2013). While it is likely that the stalk domain, which is partially obstructed by the head

domain, is immunogenically subdominant, it is possible that rare, circulating memory B cells

harboring antibodies targeting this domain exist. Future studies interrogating the antibody

response to these viruses also may shed light on the role of mAbs targeting the stalk domain of

HNV-RBP, and whether these antibodies have the potential to prevent viral and host membrane

fusion.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information including supplemental experimental procedures, figures, and tables can be found with this article online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Chris Gainza and Rachel Nargi from Vanderbilt in the Crowe laboratory for technical support with antibody production and purification, and Joseph Reidy, Andrew Trivette, and Robert Carnahan for intellectual contributions to antibody production and sequencing, and Ian Setliff and Ivelin Georgiev for statistical support. We also thank Mathew Hyde and the UTMB Animal Resource Center for their assistance with animal procedures. This work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) U19 AI142764 and departmental funds from UTMB to Thomas Geisbert. The work of MPD was supported by NIH fellowship grant F31 AI152332. The project described was supported by CTSA award No. UL1 TR002243 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS). The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NIAID or NIH.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization M.P.D. and J.E.C.; Methodology M.P.D., N.K., V.B., I.S., I.G., K.L.S., E.A.K., B.R.W.; Investigation M.P.D., N.K., V.B., E.B., M.A., M.N., E.A., R.B., J.D., I.S., K.L.S., L.Z., E.A.K., Z.A.B., B.R.W., T.W.G., R.W.C., J.E.C.; Resources E.J.A., C.C.B., T.W.G., J.E.C.; Writing – Original Draft M.P.D., J.E.C., Writing – Review & Editing all authors;

Supervision C.C.B., T.W.G., J.E.C.; Project Administration T.W.G., J.E.C.; Funding Acquisition

M.P.D., L.Z., T.W.G., J.E.C., C.C.B.

Declaration of interests. J.E.C. has served as a consultant for Lilly and Luna Biologics, is a

member of the Scientific Advisory Boards of CompuVax and Meissa Vaccines and is Founder of

IDBiologics. The Crowe laboratory at Vanderbilt University Medical Center has received

unrelated sponsored research agreements from IDBiologics and AstraZeneca. E.A.K., Z.A.B.,

and B.R.W. are employees and shareholders of Mapp. L.Z. is an employee, shareholder and co-

owner of Mapp. All other authors declare no competing interests.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Identification of major antigenic sites for recognition of RBP by human mAbs. A)

Surface plasmon resonance competition-binding of human antibodies against HeV-RBP. A first

antibody was applied to a gold-coated sensorchip, and recombinant HeV-RBP head domain was

associated to the coupled antibody. A second antibody was applied to the sensorchip to

determine binding to RBP. Black boxes indicated a pairwise interaction in which the binding of

the second antibody is blocked by the first. White indicates both antibodies could bind

simultaneously. Gray indicates an intermediate competition phenotype. The matrix was

assembled using the Carterra Epitope software. **B)** Hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass

spectrometry profiles for representative mAbs. Decrease (blue) or increase (red) in deuterium

exchange on HeV-RBP in the presence of antibody is mapped onto the crystal structure of HeV-

RBP (PDB 6CMG). Structures are positioned in 3 orientations, with the top structure noting the

ephrin-B2 binding site in yellow. **C)** Half maximal binding (blue) or neutralization (green)

concentrations for antibodies against recombinant proteins or live HeV or NiV, respectively. **D)**

Neutralization curve plots for representative antibodies against HeV, NiV Malaysia, or NiV

Bangladesh viruses. Representative EC_{50} values for binding from 3 independent experiments are

shown. IC_{50} values for neutralization are from a single independent experiment due to limitations

of BSL-4 resources.

Figure 2. Receptor blocking and structural studies. A) Antibody binding to cell-surface-

displayed HeV-RBP when ephrin-B2 is bound. Cells transiently transfected with a cDNA

encoding the full-length HeV-RBP were incubated with a saturating concentration of

recombinantly expressed ephrin-B2. Without washing, cells were incubated with 2 µg/mL

antibody, and binding was compared to binding of antibodies in the absence of ephrin-B2. The

mAb m102.4 served as a control for receptor competition. Pooled data from 3 independent

experiments are shown. **B)** Three-dimensional reconstruction from negative stain electron

microscopy of dimeric HeV-RBP full ectodomain bound to HENV-103 Fab and HENV-117-Fab.

The EM map is shown in gray, the Fabs are in purple and green, and the RBP head domain is

colored by β-propeller. 2D classes are shown, with box size of 128 at A/pix of 3.5.

Figure 3. Therapeutic protection by human antibodies in hamster model of Nipah

Bangladesh challenge. Survival curves (left) and weight maintenance (right) for hamsters

treated with 10 mg/kg antibody (n=5 per group) 24 hours post-inoculation with 5 x 10^6 PFU NiV

Bangladesh by the intranasal route. An untreated control animal (n=1) succumbed to infection 3

days post-inoculation. All weight maintenance charts include control animal in black. Two

historical controls are plotted on survival curves and pooled with the experimental control to

perform statistical analysis by the long rank Mantel-Cox test.

Figure 4. Synergistic binding and neutralization. A) Cooperative binding by HENV-103 and

HENV-117 to cell-surface-displayed HeV-RBP. Cells expressing HeV-RBP were incubated with

unlabeled HENV-103 or HENV-117, followed by addition of a dilution series of Alexa Fluor

647 (AF647) -labeled HENV-103 or HENV-117. Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry and

gated for AF647-positive cells. Data were pooled from 3 independent experiments. **B)**

Dependence of HENV-117 effective concentration on HENV-103 binding enhancement. Cells

were incubated with varying concentrations of unlabeled HENV-117, followed by incubation

with AF647-labeled HENV-103 at 0.5 µg/mL, with enhancement calculated by comparing

AF647+ cells to HENV-103 binding to HeV-RBP in the absence of HENV-117. Representative

data from 3 independent experiments are shown. **C)** Synergistic neutralization of rCedV-HeV by

HENV-103 and HENV-117 combinations. Neutralization values at each matrix concentration

(top) and calculated synergy scores (bottom) are shown. Serial dilutions of HENV-103 and

HENV-117 were mixed with 4,000 PFU rCedV-HeV-GFP for 2 hours, followed by addition to

Vero E6 cell monolayers in 96 well plates. Formalin fixed cells were imaged using a CTL S6

analyzer to count GFP+ cells. Neutralization was calculated by comparing treatment to virus-

only control wells. Values were imported into SynergyFinder using a Zero Interactions Potency

(ZIP) statistical model. Delta scores >10 indicate likely synergy. Two independent experiments

were performed, with data from a single representative experiment shown.

Figure 5. Antibody cocktail and corresponding bispecific antibody therapeutic activity in

hamsters. A) Neutralization of VSV-NiV$_B$ by bispecific antibodies in comparison to equimolar

antibody cocktail. Representative data from two independent experiments is shown, each

performed in technical triplicate. **B)** Syrian golden hamster challenge studies with HENV-103

and HENV-117 cocktail or corresponding bispecific antibodies. Challenge studies were

performed as described above. P values represent statistical significance as determined by

Mantel-Cox log rank test. N=5 animals were included in all groups, with control animals treated

with PBS at 24 hours post-inoculation.

Figure S1 (related to figure 1). ELISA binding curves for representative antibodies from

group A (receptor-blocking), group D (receptor-enhanced), or control antibodies. Values

were fit to a non-linear regression model in GraphPad to generate curves following log

transformation of antibody concentrations. Data representative of three independent experiments

performed in technical duplicate are shown.

Table S1 (related to Figure 1). Sequence features of 5 potently neutralizing, cross reactive

antibodies chosen for *in vivo* studies. Heavy and light chain sequences were analyzed using

IgBLAST to identify V(D)J pairings for each mAb shown.

Figure S2 (related to Figure 1). Pearson correlation analysis of surface plasmon resonance

competition binding.

Figure S3 (related to Figure 2). Prediction of antigenic sites recognized by HENV-103 and

HENV-117 by negative stain EM. A) The RBP head domain with a model of HENV-117 scFv

(gray) overlapping with ephrin-B2 receptor electron density (dot surface PRB: 9PDL). **B)** The

RBP head domain with a model of HENV-103 Fv (blue) from top (right, looking down on

ephrin-B2 binding face) and side (left) view. Black line denoted the putative dimerisation

interface

Figure S4 (related to Figure 3). Synergistic neutralization of rCedV-NiV$_B$ and VSV-NiV$_B$

by HENV-103 and HENV-117. Synergy plots using the zero interactions potency (ZIP) model

generated by SynergyFinder for neutralization of **A)** rCedV-NiV$_B$ or **B)** VSV-NiV$_B$.

Representative data from two (A) or three (B) independent experiments shown.

555
556

Methods

Generation of hmAbs

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from a human subject were isolated from whole

blood and transformed using Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), as previously described (Crowe, 2017).

Briefly, transformed B cells were expanded and co-cultured with irradiated human PBMCs in

96-well plates. Cell supernatants were screened by ELISA using recombinant HeV-RBP or NiV-

RBP head domain proteins. Wells with positive reactivity then were fused to a human-mouse

heteromyeloma cell line (HMMA 2.5) and plated by limiting dilution in 384-well plates. The

resulting hybridomas were cloned as single cells by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

to produce clonal hybridoma cell lines. These clonal hybridoma cells were cultured in T-225

flasks containing serum-free medium, and mAb was purified from spent medium by affinity

chromatography on an ÄKTA^{TM} pure Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) instrument

(GE Healthcare).

Generation of bispecific mAbs

Bispecific mAbs that combined the antigen binding domains of HENV-117 and HENV-103 into

a single molecule were designed, expressed, and purified as follows. The heavy chain of the

HENV-117-103 DVD combines the heavy chain variable domains of first HENV-117, then

HENV-103, each separated by a flexible linker, and then followed by the IgG1 human constant

heavy chain domains. Similarly, the light chain of the HENV-117-103 DVD includes the light

chain variable domains of both HENV-117 and HENV-103, separated by a flexible linker and

then followed by a single human kappa light chain constant domain which naturally pairs with

the corresponding DVD heavy chain. The HENV-117-103 Bis4Ab was constructed by inserting

a HENV-103 single-chain variable fragment (scFv) between CH_1 and CH_2 of the HENV-117

heavy chain. The HENV-103 scFv in the bis4Ab format contains a poly glycine-serine linker

between its variable domains, and the scFv unit is also flanked by poly glycine-serine linkers.

The modified heavy chain is then paired with the standard HENV-117 light chain for expression

and purification (Dimasi et al., 2019). The heavy and light chains of the HENV-117-103 DVD

and the HENV-117-103 Bis4Ab were cloned into pcDNA3 expression vectors. For each of the

bispecific mAbs, the corresponding heavy and light chain plasmids were chemically co-

transfected into ExpiCHO cells (Gibco) and transiently expressed for 9 days. The supernatant

was then clarified by centrifugation and filtration, prior to loading onto a MabSelect SuRe

Protein A (GE Healthcare) affinity chromatography column using an ÄKTA™ Fast Protein

Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) instrument (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with 1X

PBS, and the mAbs were eluted with IgG Elution Buffer (Pierce). Following neutralization with

1 M Tris pH 8.0 to pH ~7, the eluates were concentrated to 5 mg/ml in an Amicon 30K MWCO

centrifugal filter (Millipore), and then sterile-filtered using a 0.22 µM syringe filter (Millex-GP).

HeV and NiV viruses. NiV number 1999011924 was obtained from a patient from the 1999

outbreak in Malaysia. The isolate of NiV_B used was 200401066 and was obtained from a fatal

human case during the outbreak in Rajbari, Bangladesh in 2004 and passaged on Vero E6 cell

monolayer cultures twice, making this a passage 2 virus. HeV was obtained from a patient from

the 1994 outbreak in Australia. All viruses were kindly provided by Dr. Thomas Ksiazek,

UTMB. Each virus was propagated on Vero E6 cells in Eagle's minimal essential medium

supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. The NiV_M, NiV_B and HeV challenge virus stocks were

assessed for the presence of endotoxin using The Endosafe-Portable Test System (PTS) (Charles

River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA). Each virus preparation was diluted 1:10 in Limulus

Amebocyte Lysate (LAL) Reagent Water per the manufacturer's instructions, and endotoxin

levels were tested in LAL Endosafe-PTS cartridges as directed by the manufacturer. Each

preparation was found to be below detectable limits, whereas positive controls showed that the

tests were valid. All experiments involving infectious henipaviruses were carried out at the

UTMB Galveston National Laboratory under biosafety level 4 conditions.

Neutralization assays. The virus neutralizing activity concentrations were determined for NiV_M,

NiV_B, and HeV using a plaque reduction assay. Briefly, antibodies were diluted two-fold from

100 μg/mL to extinction and incubated with a target of ~100 plaque-forming units (pfu) of

NiV_M, NiV_B, or HeV for 45 min at 37 °C. Virus and antibody mixtures then were added to

individual wells of six-well plates of Vero 76 cell monolayer cultures. Plates were fixed and

stained with neutral red two days after infection, and plaques were counted 24 h after staining.

Neutralization potency was calculated based on pfu for each virus in the well without antibody.

The neutralization experiments were performed in triplicate, with independent virus preparations

and duplicate readings for each replicate. Mean half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50})

values were calculated as previously described (Ferrara and Temperton, 2018).

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) epitope binning

A continuous flow micro-spotter (CFM) instrument (Carterra) was used to generate antibody-

coated SPR sensor chips (Xantec) (Abdiche et al., 2014). Briefly, mAbs were diluted to 10

μg/mL in sodium acetate pH 4.5 in a 96-well round bottom plate. A mirroring 96-well plate

containing activation buffer (EDC and sulfo-NHS in 10 mM MES pH 5.5) was used first to

activate the gold-plated surface of the sensor chip, followed by association of antibodies. The

coated chip then was moved to an IBIS-MX96 microarray-based surface plasmon resonance

imager (Carterra), where it was quenched with 1 M ethanolamine to prevent further coupling of

proteins. To bin antibodies, 100 mM HeV-RBP head domain was flowed over the coated sensor

chip. One-by-one, antibodies diluted to 10 μg/mL were tested for their ability to associate with

antigen captured on the sensor chip. Carterra Epitope software was used to analyze data and

construct competition-binding grids.

Hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) of Fab-HeV-RBP complexes.

HDX-MS was performed as previously reported (Bennett et al., 2019). Briefly, antigen (HeV-

RBP) and selected mAbs were prepared individually or in complex at a protein-concentration of

10 pmol/μL in 1× PBS pH 7.4 and incubated for 2 h at 0 °C. Deuterium labeling was performed

by a 20-fold dilution of 3 μL sample in PBS pH 7.4 in D_2O and incubation at 20 °C for 0 s, 100

s, and 1,000 s. The reaction was quenched by a 2-fold dilution in 1× PBS, 4 M guanidinium/HCl,

100 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine to a final pH of 2.3 at 0 °C. Samples were injected

immediately into a nano-ACQUITY UPLC system controlled by an HDX manager (Waters

Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). Online pepsin digestion was performed at 15 °C, 10,000 psi at

a flow of 100 μL/min of 0.1% formic acid in H_2O using an immobilized-pepsin column. A

Waters VanGuard™ BEH C18 1.7 μm guard column was used to trap peptides at 0 °C for 6 min

before separation on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 1.7 μm, 1 mm × 100 mm column at a

flow of 40 μL/min at 0 °C with a 6 min gradient of 5 to 35% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid in

H_2O. UPLC effluent was directed into a Waters Xevo G2-XS with electrospray ionization and

lock-mass acquisition (human Glu-1-Fibrinopeptide B peptide, m/z=785.8427) for peptide

analysis in MS^E-mode. The capillary was set to 2.8 kV, source-temperature to 80 °C, desolvation

temperature to 175 °C, desolvation gas to 400 L/h and the instrument was scanned over a m/z-

range of 50 to 2000. All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Data analysis was

accomplished using Waters ProteinLynx Global Server 3.0.3 software (non-specific protease,

min fragment ion matches per peptide of three, FDR 4% and oxidation of methionine as a

variable modification) for peptide identification and DynamX 3.0 software (minimum intensity

of 500, minimum products 3, minimum products per amino acid 0.3 and a mass error < 15 ppm)

for deuterium uptake calculations. Results are reported as an average of triplicate analyses.

Generation of VSV pseudotyped viruses bearing NiV_B glycoproteins

Recombinant VSVs containing genomic inserts for expression of NiV_B G and F proteins were

kindly provided by Chad Mire and generated as previously described (Mire et al., 2019). Stocks

of each rVSV were propagated and titrated on VSV-G transfected BHK-21 (WI-2), with viral

titers determined by counting GFP+ cells using a CTL S6 Analyzer instrument (company/). To

generate virus bearing both G and F glycoproteins, cells were inoculated with each VSV at

MOI=5 and incubated for 48 hours. Cell supernatants were clarified by centrifugation. Resulting

VSV-NiV_B was titrated on Vero cell monolayers using an xCELLigence instrument to determine

the lowest virus concentration that would induce CPE as measured by cell impedance.

Cooperative binding of antibodies to antigen displayed on the surface of cells

A construct containing cDNA encoding full-length HeV-RBP protein was transfected using

polyethylenimine into 293F cells, and cells were cultured at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 for 3 days. Cells

subsequently were plated at 50,000 cells/well in V-bottom 96-well plates, washed, and incubated

with either 20 µg/mL primary mAb in 30 µL or FACS buffer alone for 30 minutes at 4 °C.

Without washing, 30 µL serially diluted mAb labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 dye (ThermoFisher)

was added to wells and incubated for 30 minutes at 4 °C. Cells were washed and resuspended in

FACS buffer and analyzed using an iQue Plus flow cytometer (Intellicyt). Dead cells were

excluded from analysis by fluorescent staining with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).

Negative stain electron microscopy

For electron microscopy imaging of HeV-RBP protein and Fabs complex, we expressed the

HeV-RBP full ectodomain (head domain with intact stalk domain) with a C-terminal

polyhistidine tag. Expressed protein was isolated by metal affinity chromatography on HisTrap

Excel columns (GE Healthcare), followed by GraFix methods using a 10% to 30% glycerol

gradient and 0 to 0.1% glutaraldehyde gradient (Stark, 2010). Glutaraldehyde was quenched with

1 M Tris-Cl after fractionation. 200 µL fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, with fractions

corresponding to monomeric, dimeric, and tetrameric species pooled. Protein was then buffer

exchanged into 50 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.5 containing 140 mM NaCl. Fabs corresponding to HENV-

103 and HENV-117 were expressed and purified as previously described. Protein complexes

were generated by incubation of HeV-RBP$_{ecto}$ dimer and the two Fab in a 1:5:5 molar ratio

overnight at 4 °C. Approximately 3 µL of the sample at ~10 to 15 µg/mL was applied to a glow-

discharged grid with continuous carbon film on 400 square mesh copper electron microscopy

grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences). Grids were stained with 0.75% uranyl formate (Ohi et al.,

2004). Images were recorded on a Gatan US4000 4k × 4k CCD camera using an FEI TF20 (TFS)

transmission electron microscope operated at 200 keV and control with SerialEM. All images

were taken at 62,000× magnification with a pixel size of 1.757 Å per pixel in low-dose mode at a

defocus of 1.5 to 1.8 μm. The total dose for the micrographs was ~35 e– per $Å^2$. Image

processing was performed using the cryoSPARC software package. Images were imported, CTF-

estimated and particles were picked automatically. The particles were extracted with a box size

of 256 pixels and binned to 128 pixels (pixel size of 3.514 A/pix) and 2D class averages were

performed to achieve clean datasets. Classes were further classified (2D) to separated different

complex variant and classes having the 2 Fab on one RBP domain were selected. *Ab-initio* was

used to generate initial 3D volume that was further refined with a mask over one RBP-Fabs

complex. The final refine volume has a resolution of ~15Å. Model docking to the EM map was

done in Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004). For the RBP head domain PDB: 6PDL was used and for

the Fab PDB:12E8 or the prediction model of the Fv that was generated by SAbPred tool was

used (Dunbar et al., 2016). The 3D EM map has been deposited into EMDB (EMDB XXX).

Chimera software was used to make all structural figures.

Neutralization synergy of VSV-NiV$_B$

VSV-NiV$_B$ pseudotype viruses were generated as described above. In 96-well plates, serial

dilutions of "receptor-blocking" and "receptor-enhanced" mAbs were mixed in a matrix

arrangement, followed by addition of equal volume of VSV-NiV$_B$ diluted 1:500 in DMEM.

Mixtures were incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C prior to addition to Vero cell monolayers in

xCELLigence 96-well E-plates containing 10,000 cells/well. Cells were incubated with virus and

antibody for 1 hour at 37 °C, followed by addition of DMEM + 5% FBS to wells. Plates were

placed back on the xCELLigence instrument for reading of cell impedance every 15 minutes for

72 hours. Neutralization was determined by comparing cell index of treated wells vs. untreated

wells at a single time point (values output by xCELLigence instrument software). Neutralization

values then were imported into SynergyFinder software (Ianevski et al., 2020), with delta scores

calculated using the zero interactions potency (ZIP) synergy model.

Neutralization synergy of rCedV chimeric viruses

Recombinant Cedar virus (rCedV) chimeras displaying RBP and F proteins of HeV or NiV_B

were generated and validated as described elsewhere. Black-walled 96-well plates (Corning Life

Sciences; NY, USA) were coated with 20,000 cells/well Vero E6 cells in DMEM + 10% Cosmic

calf serum and incubated overnight. Approximately 24 hours later, HENV-103 and HENV-117

were diluted to indicated concentrations and incubated 1:1 with 4,000 PFU/well rCedV-HeV-

GFP or $rCedV-NiV_B$-GFP and incubated for 2 hours at 37 °C. Following incubation, 90 µL

virus/antibody mixtures were added to aspirated cell monolayers and were incubated at 37 °C for

22 hours. Medium containing virus/antibody mixtures was aspirated, and cells were fixed with

100 µL/well 4% formalin for 20 minutes at room temperature. After aspiration, cell monolayers

were gently washed 4x with DI water. Formalin-fixed plates were then scanned using the CTL

S6 analyzer (Cellular Technology Limited; OH, USA). Fluorescent foci were counted using the

CTL Basic Count™ feature and S6 software. Percent neutralization was calculated by

normalizing counts to a virus only control. Matrices were then imported into SynergyFinder and

analyzed as described before.

Antibody therapy in Syrian golden hamster model of Nipah Bangladesh

3 to 5 week-old Syrian golden hamsters were inoculated with 5 x 10^6 PFU Nipah Bangladesh

(passage 3) via the intranasal route. At 24 hours post challenge, 5 animals per group were treated

with 10 mg/kg antibody by intraperitoneal administration. Animals were monitored for 28 days

for changes in weight, temperature, and clinical appearance. Animals were humanely euthanized

at the experimental endpoint. A single untreated animal served as a control in each study

highlighted.

REFERENCES

Abdiche, Y.N., Miles, A., Eckman, J., Foletti, D., Van Blarcom, T.J., Yeung, Y.A., Pons, J., and

Rajpal, A. (2014). High-throughput epitope binning assays on label-free array-based biosensors

can yield exquisite epitope discrimination that facilitates the selection of monoclonal antibodies

with functional activity. PLoS One *9*, e92451.

Aguilar, H.C., Ataman, Z.A., Aspericueta, V., Fang, A.Q., Stroud, M., Negrete, O.A.,

Kammerer, R.A., and Lee, B. (2009). A novel receptor-induced activation site in the Nipah virus

attachment glycoprotein (G) involved in triggering the fusion glycoprotein (F). J Biol Chem *284*,

1628-1635.

Amaya, M., and Broder, C.C. (2020). Vaccines to emerging viruses: Nipah and Hendra. Annu

Rev Virol *7*, 447-473.

Arunkumar, G., Chandni, R., Mourya, D.T., Singh, S.K., Sadanandan, R., Sudan, P., Bhargava,

B., Nipah Investigators, P., and Health Study, G. (2019). Outbreak investigation of Nipah virus

disease in Kerala, India, 2018. J Infect Dis *219*, 1867-1878.

Baker, K.S., Todd, S., Marsh, G., Fernandez-Loras, A., Suu-Ire, R., Wood, J.L.N., Wang, L.F.,

Murcia, P.R., and Cunningham, A.A. (2012). Co-circulation of diverse paramyxoviruses in an

urban African fruit bat population. J Gen Virol *93*, 850-856.

Barr, J., Smith, C., Smith, I., de Jong, C., Todd, S., Melville, D., Broos, A., Crameri, S., Haining,

J., Marsh, G.*, et al.* (2015). Isolation of multiple novel paramyxoviruses from pteropid bat urine.

J Gen Virol *96*, 24-29.

Bennett, M.R., Bombardi, R.G., Kose, N., Parrish, E.H., Nagel, M.B., Petit, R.A., Read, T.D.,

Schey, K.L., Thomsen, I.P., Skaar, E.P.*, et al.* (2019). Human mAbs to Staphylococcus aureus

IsdA Provide Protection Through Both Heme-Blocking and Fc-Mediated Mechanisms. J Infect

Dis *219*, 1264-1273.

Borisevich, V., Lee, B., Hickey, A., DeBuysscher, B., Broder, C.C., Feldmann, H., and Rockx,

B. (2016). Escape from monoclonal antibody neutralization affects henipavirus fitness in vitro

and in vivo. J Infect Dis *213*, 448-455.

Bossart, K.N., Geisbert, T.W., Feldmann, H., Zhu, Z., Feldmann, F., Geisbert, J.B., Yan, L.,

Feng, Y.R., Brining, D., Scott, D.*, et al.* (2011). A neutralizing human monoclonal antibody

protects african green monkeys from hendra virus challenge. Sci Transl Med *3*, 105ra103.

Bossart, K.N., Zhu, Z., Middleton, D., Klippel, J., Crameri, G., Bingham, J., McEachern, J.A.,

Green, D., Hancock, T.J., Chan, Y.P.*, et al.* (2009). A neutralizing human monoclonal antibody

protects against lethal disease in a new ferret model of acute nipah virus infection. PLoS Pathog

5, e1000642.

Chadha, M.S., Comer, J.A., Lowe, L., Rota, P.A., Rollin, P.E., Bellini, W.J., Ksiazek, T.G., and

Mishra, A. (2006). Nipah virus-associated encephalitis outbreak, Siliguri, India. Emerg Infect

Dis *12*, 235-240.

Chua, K.B., Goh, K.J., Wong, K.T., Kamarulzaman, A., Tan, P.S., Ksiazek, T.G., Zaki, S.R.,

Paul, G., Lam, S.K., and Tan, C.T. (1999). Fatal encephalitis due to Nipah virus among pig-

farmers in Malaysia. Lancet *354*, 1257-1259.

Chua, K.B., Koh, C.L., Hooi, P.S., Wee, K.F., Khong, J.H., Chua, B.H., Chan, Y.P., Lim, M.E.,

and Lam, S.K. (2002). Isolation of Nipah virus from Malaysian Island flying-foxes. Microbes

Infect *4*, 145-151.

Clayton, B.A., Middleton, D., Bergfeld, J., Haining, J., Arkinstall, R., Wang, L., and Marsh,

G.A. (2012). Transmission routes for nipah virus from Malaysia and Bangladesh. Emerg Infect

Dis *18*, 1983-1993.

Crowe, J.E., Jr. (2017). Principles of broad and potent antiviral human antibodies: Insights for

vaccine design. Cell Host Microbe *22*, 193-206.

Dang, H.V., Chan, Y.P., Park, Y.J., Snijder, J., Da Silva, S.C., Vu, B., Yan, L., Feng, Y.R.,

Rockx, B., Geisbert, T.W.*, et al.* (2019). An antibody against the F glycoprotein inhibits Nipah

and Hendra virus infections. Nat Struct Mol Biol *26*, 980-987.

Dimasi, N., Fleming, R., Wu, H., and Gao, C. (2019). Molecular engineering strategies and

methods for the expression and purification of IgG1-based bispecific bivalent antibodies.

Methods *154*, 77-86.

Dong, J., Cross, R.W., Doyle, M.P., Kose, N., Mousa, J.J., Annand, E.J., Borisevich, V., Agans,

K.N., Sutton, R., Nargi, R.*, et al.* (2020). Potent henipavirus neutralization by antibodies

recognizing diverse sites on Hendra and Nipah virus receptor binding protein. Cell *183*, 1536-

1550 e1517.

Drexler, J.F., Corman, V.M., Muller, M.A., Maganga, G.D., Vallo, P., Binger, T., Gloza-Rausch,

F., Cottontail, V.M., Rasche, A., Yordanov, S.*, et al.* (2012). Bats host major mammalian

paramyxoviruses. Nat Commun *3*, 796.

Dunbar, J., Krawczyk, K., Leem, J., Marks, C., Nowak, J., Regep, C., Georges, G., Kelm, S.,

Popovic, B., and Deane, C.M. (2016). SAbPred: a structure-based antibody prediction server.

Nucleic Acids Res *44*, W474-478.

Eaton, B.T., Broder, C.C., Middleton, D., and Wang, L.F. (2006). Hendra and Nipah viruses:

different and dangerous. Nat Rev Microbiol *4*, 23-35.

Escaffre, O., Borisevich, V., Carmical, J.R., Prusak, D., Prescott, J., Feldmann, H., and Rockx,

B. (2013). Henipavirus pathogenesis in human respiratory epithelial cells. J Virol *87*, 3284-3294.

Ferrara, F., and Temperton, N. (2018). Pseudotype neutralization assays: From laboratory bench

to data analysis. Methods Protoc *1*.

Field, H.E. (2016). Hendra virus ecology and transmission. Curr Opin Virol *16*, 120-125.

Geisbert, T.W., Mire, C.E., Geisbert, J.B., Chan, Y.P., Agans, K.N., Feldmann, F., Fenton, K.A.,

Zhu, Z., Dimitrov, D.S., Scott, D.P.*, et al.* (2014). Therapeutic treatment of Nipah virus infection

in nonhuman primates with a neutralizing human monoclonal antibody. Sci Transl Med *6*,

242ra282.

Gomez Roman, R., Wang, L.F., Lee, B., Halpin, K., de Wit, E., Broder, C.C., Rahman, M.,

Kristiansen, P., and Saville, M. (2020). Nipah@20: Lessons learned from another virus with

pandemic potential. mSphere *5*.

Greaney, A.J., Starr, T.N., Gilchuk, P., Zost, S.J., Binshtein, E., Loes, A.N., Hilton, S.K.,

Huddleston, J., Eguia, R., Crawford, K.H.D.*, et al.* (2020). Complete mapping of mutations to

the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain that escape antibody recognition. bioRxiv.

Guillaume, V., Contamin, H., Loth, P., Georges-Courbot, M.C., Lefeuvre, A., Marianneau, P.,

Chua, K.B., Lam, S.K., Buckland, R., Deubel, V.*, et al.* (2004). Nipah virus: vaccination and

passive protection studies in a hamster model. J Virol *78*, 834-840.

Guillaume, V., Contamin, H., Loth, P., Grosjean, I., Courbot, M.C., Deubel, V., Buckland, R.,

and Wild, T.F. (2006). Antibody prophylaxis and therapy against Nipah virus infection in

hamsters. J Virol *80*, 1972-1978.

Gurley, E.S., Montgomery, J.M., Hossain, M.J., Bell, M., Azad, A.K., Islam, M.R., Molla, M.A.,

Carroll, D.S., Ksiazek, T.G., Rota, P.A.*, et al.* (2007). Person-to-person transmission of Nipah

virus in a Bangladeshi community. Emerg Infect Dis *13*, 1031-1037.

Halpin, K., Hyatt, A.D., Fogarty, R., Middleton, D., Bingham, J., Epstein, J.H., Rahman, S.A.,

Hughes, T., Smith, C., Field, H.E.*, et al.* (2011). Pteropid bats are confirmed as the reservoir

hosts of henipaviruses: a comprehensive experimental study of virus transmission. Am J Trop

Med Hyg *85*, 946-951.

Halpin, K., Young, P.L., Field, H.E., and Mackenzie, J.S. (2000). Isolation of Hendra virus from

pteropid bats: a natural reservoir of Hendra virus. J Gen Virol *81*, 1927-1932.

Howell, K.A., Brannan, J.M., Bryan, C., McNeal, A., Davidson, E., Turner, H.L., Vu, H.,

Shulenin, S., He, S., Kuehne, A.*, et al.* (2017). Cooperativity enables non-neutralizing antibodies

to neutralize ebolavirus. Cell Rep *19*, 413-424.

Ianevski, A., He, L., Aittokallio, T., and Tang, J. (2020). SynergyFinder: a web application for

analyzing drug combination dose-response matrix data. Bioinformatics.

Kessler, M.K., Becker, D.J., Peel, A.J., Justice, N.V., Lunn, T., Crowley, D.E., Jones, D.N., Eby,

P., Sanchez, C.A., and Plowright, R.K. (2018). Changing resource landscapes and spillover of

henipaviruses. Ann N Y Acad Sci *1429*, 78-99.

Lee, B., and Ataman, Z.A. (2011). Modes of paramyxovirus fusion: a Henipavirus perspective.

Trends Microbiol *19*, 389-399.

Liu, Q., Stone, J.A., Bradel-Tretheway, B., Dabundo, J., Benavides Montano, J.A., Santos-

Montanez, J., Biering, S.B., Nicola, A.V., Iorio, R.M., Lu, X.*, et al.* (2013). Unraveling a three-

step spatiotemporal mechanism of triggering of receptor-induced Nipah virus fusion and cell

entry. PLoS Pathog *9*, e1003770.

Luis, A.D., O'Shea, T.J., Hayman, D.T.S., Wood, J.L.N., Cunningham, A.A., Gilbert, A.T.,

Mills, J.N., and Webb, C.T. (2015). Network analysis of host-virus communities in bats and

rodents reveals determinants of cross-species transmission. Ecol Lett *18*, 1153-1162.

Martin, G., Yanez-Arenas, C., Chen, C., Plowright, R.K., Webb, R.J., and Skerratt, L.F. (2018).

Climate change could increase the geographic extent of Hendra virus spillover risk. Ecohealth

15, 509-525.

Meissner, H.C., Welliver, R.C., Chartrand, S.A., Law, B.J., Weisman, L.E., Dorkin, H.L., and

Rodriguez, W.J. (1999). Immunoprophylaxis with palivizumab, a humanized respiratory

syncytial virus monoclonal antibody, for prevention of respiratory syncytial virus infection in

high risk infants: a consensus opinion. Pediatr Infect Dis J *18*, 223-231.

Merz, D.C., Scheid, A., and Choppin, P.W. (1980). Importance of antibodies to the fusion

glycoprotein of paramyxoviruses in the prevention of spread of infection. J Exp Med *151*, 275-

288.

Middleton, D., Pallister, J., Klein, R., Feng, Y.R., Haining, J., Arkinstall, R., Frazer, L., Huang,

J.A., Edwards, N., Wareing, M.*, et al.* (2014). Hendra virus vaccine, a one health approach to

protecting horse, human, and environmental health. Emerg Infect Dis *20*, 372-379.

Miglietta, R., Pastori, C., Venuti, A., Ochsenbauer, C., and Lopalco, L. (2014). Synergy in

monoclonal antibody neutralization of HIV-1 pseudoviruses and infectious molecular clones. J

Transl Med *12*, 346.

Mire, C.E., Chan, Y.P., Borisevich, V., Cross, R.W., Yan, L., Agans, K.N., Dang, H.V., Veesler,

D., Fenton, K.A., Geisbert, T.W.*, et al.* (2020). A cross-reactive humanized monoclonal antibody

targeting fusion glycoprotein function protects ferrets against lethal Nipah virus and Hendra

virus infection. J Infect Dis *221*, S471-S479.

Mire, C.E., Geisbert, J.B., Agans, K.N., Versteeg, K.M., Deer, D.J., Satterfield, B.A., Fenton,

K.A., and Geisbert, T.W. (2019). Use of single-injection recombinant Vesicular Stomatitis Virus

vaccine to protect nonhuman primates against lethal Nipah virus disease. Emerg Infect Dis *25*,

1144-1152.

Mire, C.E., Satterfield, B.A., Geisbert, J.B., Agans, K.N., Borisevich, V., Yan, L., Chan, Y.P.,

Cross, R.W., Fenton, K.A., Broder, C.C.*, et al.* (2016). Pathogenic differences between Nipah

virus Bangladesh and Malaysia strains in primates: Implications for antibody therapy. Sci Rep *6*,

30916.

Morens, D.M., and Fauci, A.S. (2020). Emerging pandemic diseases: How we got to COVID-19.

Cell *182*, 1077-1092.

Murray, K., Rogers, R., Selvey, L., Selleck, P., Hyatt, A., Gould, A., Gleeson, L., Hooper, P.,

and Westbury, H. (1995a). A novel morbillivirus pneumonia of horses and its transmission to

humans. Emerg Infect Dis *1*, 31-33.

Murray, K., Selleck, P., Hooper, P., Hyatt, A., Gould, A., Gleeson, L., Westbury, H., Hiley, L.,

Selvey, L., Rodwell, B.*, et al.* (1995b). A morbillivirus that caused fatal disease in horses and

humans. Science *268*, 94-97.

Ohi, M., Li, Y., Cheng, Y., and Walz, T. (2004). Negative staining and image classification -

powerful tools in modern electron microscopy. Biol Proced Online *6*, 23-34.

Peel, A.J., Wells, K., Giles, J., Boyd, V., Burroughs, A., Edson, D., Crameri, G., Baker, M.L.,

Field, H., Wang, L.F.*, et al.* (2019). Synchronous shedding of multiple bat paramyxoviruses

coincides with peak periods of Hendra virus spillover. Emerg Microbes Infect *8*, 1314-1323.

Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and

Ferrin, T.E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and

analysis. J Comput Chem *25*, 1605-1612.

Playford, E.G., Munro, T., Mahler, S.M., Elliott, S., Gerometta, M., Hoger, K.L., Jones, M.L.,

Griffin, P., Lynch, K.D., Carroll, H.*, et al.* (2020). Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and

immunogenicity of a human monoclonal antibody targeting the G glycoprotein of henipaviruses

in healthy adults: a first-in-human, randomised, controlled, phase 1 study. Lancet Infect Dis *20*,

445-454.

Plowright, R.K., Eby, P., Hudson, P.J., Smith, I.L., Westcott, D., Bryden, W.L., Middleton, D.,

Reid, P.A., McFarlane, R.A., Martin, G.*, et al.* (2015). Ecological dynamics of emerging bat

virus spillover. Proc Biol Sci *282*, 20142124.

Queensland Government, B.Q. (2020). A summary of incidents of Hendra virus (HeV) in horses

for Queensland and New South Wales since 1994.

Rima, B., Balkema-Buschmann, A., Dundon, W.G., Duprex, P., Easton, A., Fouchier, R.,

Kurath, G., Lamb, R., Lee, B., Rota, P.*, et al.* (2019). ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile:

Paramyxoviridae. J Gen Virol *100*, 1593-1594.

Sasaki, M., Setiyono, A., Handharyani, E., Rahmadani, I., Taha, S., Adiani, S., Subangkit, M.,

Sawa, H., Nakamura, I., and Kimura, T. (2012). Molecular detection of a novel paramyxovirus in

fruit bats from Indonesia. Virol J *9*, 240.

Selvey, L.A., Wells, R.M., McCormack, J.G., Ansford, A.J., Murray, K., Rogers, R.J.,

Lavercombe, P.S., Selleck, P., and Sheridan, J.W. (1995). Infection of humans and horses by a

newly described morbillivirus. Med J Aust *162*, 642-645.

Soman Pillai, V., Krishna, G., and Valiya Veettil, M. (2020). Nipah virus: Past outbreaks and

future containment. Viruses *12*.

Stark, H. (2010). GraFix: stabilization of fragile macromolecular complexes for single particle

cryo-EM. Methods Enzymol *481*, 109-126.

Sweileh, W.M. (2017). Global research trends of World Health Organization's top eight

emerging pathogens. Global Health *13*, 9.

Thanabalasuriar, A., Surewaard, B.G., Willson, M.E., Neupane, A.S., Stover, C.K., Warrener, P.,

Wilson, G., Keller, A.E., Sellman, B.R., DiGiandomenico, A.*, et al.* (2017). Bispecific antibody

targets multiple Pseudomonas aeruginosa evasion mechanisms in the lung vasculature. J Clin

Invest *127*, 2249-2261.

Vidgen, M.E., de Jong, C., Rose, K., Hall, J., Field, H.E., and Smith, C.S. (2015). Novel

paramyxoviruses in Australian flying-fox populations support host-virus co-evolution. J Gen

Virol *96*, 1619-1625.

Walsh, M.G., Wiethoelter, A., and Haseeb, M.A. (2017). The impact of human population

pressure on flying fox niches and the potential consequences for Hendra virus spillover. Sci Rep

7, 8226.

1002

1003 Welch, S.R., Tilston, N.L., Lo, M.K., Whitmer, S.L.M., Harmon, J.R., Scholte, F.E.M.,

1004 Spengler, J.R., Duprex, W.P., Nichol, S.T., and Spiropoulou, C.F. (2020). Inhibition of Nipah

1005 virus by defective interfering particles. J Infect Dis *221*, S460-S470.

1006

1007 Wong, K.T., Grosjean, I., Brisson, C., Blanquier, B., Fevre-Montange, M., Bernard, A., Loth, P.,

1008 Georges-Courbot, M.C., Chevallier, M., Akaoka, H.*, et al.* (2003). A golden hamster model for

1009 human acute Nipah virus infection. Am J Pathol *163*, 2127-2137.

1010

1011 Wu, C., Ying, H., Grinnell, C., Bryant, S., Miller, R., Clabbers, A., Bose, S., McCarthy, D., Zhu,

1012 R.R., Santora, L.*, et al.* (2007). Simultaneous targeting of multiple disease mediators by a dual-

1013 variable-domain immunoglobulin. Nat Biotechnol *25*, 1290-1297.

1014

1015   Xu, K., Rockx, B., Xie, Y., DeBuysscher, B.L., Fusco, D.L., Zhu, Z., Chan, Y.P., Xu, Y., Luu,

1016   T., Cer, R.Z.*, et al.* (2013). Crystal structure of the Hendra virus attachment G glycoprotein

1017   bound to a potent cross-reactive neutralizing human monoclonal antibody. PLoS Pathog *9*,

1018   e1003684.

1019

1020   Zhu, Z., Bossart, K.N., Bishop, K.A., Crameri, G., Dimitrov, A.S., McEachern, J.A., Feng, Y.,

1021   Middleton, D., Wang, L.F., Broder, C.C.*, et al.* (2008). Exceptionally potent cross-reactive

1022   neutralization of Nipah and Hendra viruses by a human monoclonal antibody. J Infect Dis *197*,

1023   846-853.

1024

1025   Zhu, Z., Dimitrov, A.S., Bossart, K.N., Crameri, G., Bishop, K.A., Choudhry, V., Mungall, B.A.,

1026   Feng, Y.R., Choudhary, A., Zhang, M.Y.*, et al.* (2006). Potent neutralization of Hendra and

1027   Nipah viruses by human monoclonal antibodies. J Virol *80*, 891-899.

1028

1029   Zost, S.J., Gilchuk, P., Case, J.B., Binshtein, E., Chen, R.E., Nkolola, J.P., Schafer, A., Reidy,

1030   J.X., Trivette, A., Nargi, R.S.*, et al.* (2020a). Potently neutralizing and protective human

1031   antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. Nature *584*, 443-449.

1032

1033   Zost, S.J., Gilchuk, P., Chen, R.E., Case, J.B., Reidy, J.X., Trivette, A., Nargi, R.S., Sutton, R.E.,

1034   Suryadevara, N., Chen, E.C.*, et al.* (2020b). Rapid isolation and profiling of a diverse panel of

1035   human monoclonal antibodies targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Nat Med *26*, 1422-1427.

1036

A. Ephrin-B2 competition binding

% Binding

Receptor-blocking   No receptor interaction   Receptor-enhanced

mAb (HENV-)

150 170 137 122 98 226 100 117 185 160 97 101 224 85 240 113 156 105 143 165 151 141 178 45 223 144 188 103 142 202 58 107 184 m102.4

B. nsEM 3D reconstruction

HENV-103 Fab

HENV-117 Fab

HeV-RBP

EM 2D classes

Nipah Bangladesh virus challenge

Survival

Weight

% Survival

% Initial weight

Days post-inoculation

Treatment group

- HENV-103
- HENV-98
- HENV-117
- HENV-58
- HENV-100
- Control
- Historical controls (n=2)

p = 0.004

p = 0.031

p = 0.004

p = 0.004

p = 0.031

A. Binding to HeV-RBP

B. HENV-103 binding to HeV-RBP

C. rCedV-HeV neutralization synergy

Inhibition (%)

	0	50	100	150	200	250	500	1,000
35	94.0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
30	89.1	95.2	100	100	100	100	100	100
25	84.3	96.4	100	98.8	100	100	100	100
20	80.6	89.1	100	95.2	100	100	100	100
15	64.9	87.9	100	100	97.6	100	100	98.8
10	15.3	79.4	90.3	100	100	96.4	100	100
5	0	0	0	20.1	58.9	66.1	100	100
0	0	0	0	0	33.4	73.4	100	100

HENV−117 concentration (ng/mL) (y-axis)

HENV−103 concentration (ng/mL) (x-axis)

ZIP synergy score: 13.1

δ-score

A.

NiV$_B$-VSV Neutralization *in vitro*

B.

Authentic NiV$_B$ challenge *in vivo*