An Exhaustive Multiple Knockout Approach to Understanding Cell Wall Hydrolase Function in *Bacillus subtilis*

Sean A. Wilson[1,2], Raveen K. J. Tank[3,4], Jamie K. Hobbs[3], Simon J. Foster[5], Ethan C. Garner[1,2,*]

[1] Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States.

[2] Center for Systems Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States.

[3] Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom.

[4] Current address: School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

[5] School of Biosciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom.

Corresponding Author: Ethan C. Garner

NW 445.20, Northwest Building, 52 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138

*E-mail: egarner@g.harvard.edu

ABSTRACT

Most bacteria are surrounded by their cell wall, containing a highly crosslinked protective envelope of peptidoglycan. To grow, bacteria must continuously remodel their wall, inserting new material and breaking old bonds. Bond cleavage is performed by cell wall hydrolases, allowing the wall to expand. Understanding the functions of individual hydrolases has been impeded by their redundancy: single knockouts usually present no phenotype. We used an exhaustive multiple-knockout approach to determine the minimal set of hydrolases required for growth in Bacillus subtilis. We identified 42

candidate hydrolases. Strikingly, we were able to remove all but two of these genes in a

single strain; this "Δ40" strain shows only a mild reduction in growth rate, indicating that

none of the 40 hydrolases are necessary for growth. The Δ40 strain does not detectably

shed old wall, suggesting that turnover is not essential for growth. The remaining

hydrolases in the Δ40 strain are LytE and CwlO, previously shown to be synthetically

lethal. Either can be removed in Δ40, indicating that either hydrolase alone is sufficient

for cell growth. Screening of environmental conditions and biochemistry revealed that

LytE activity is inhibited by Mg2+ and that RlpA-like proteins may stimulate LytE activity.

Together, these results suggest that the only essential function of cell wall hydrolases in

B. subtilis is to enable cell growth by expanding the wall and that LytE or CwlO alone is

sufficient for this function. These experiments introduce the Δ40 strain as a tool to study

hydrolase activity and regulation in B. subtilis.

IMPORTANCE

In order to grow, bacterial cells must both create and break down their cell wall.

The enzymes that are responsible for these processes are the target of some of our

best antibiotics. Our understanding of the proteins that break down the wall – cell wall

hydrolases – has been limited by redundancy among the large number of hydrolases

many bacteria contain. To solve this problem, we identified 42 cell wall hydrolases in

Bacillus subtilis and created a strain lacking 40 of them. We show that cells can survive

using only a single cell wall hydrolase; this means that to understand the growth of *B.*

subtilis in standard laboratory conditions, it is only necessary to study a very limited

number of proteins, simplifying the problem substantially. We additionally show that the

Δ40 strain is a research tool to characterize hydrolases, using it to identify 3 'helper'

hydrolases that act in certain stress conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Most bacterial cells are surrounded by a peptidoglycan (PG) cell wall – a load-

bearing structure that protects cells from lysing due to their high internal turgor (1).

Bonds must be broken in the PG for cells to expand during growth (2). PG is built from

disaccharide subunits linked to stem peptides. As new PG is inserted into the wall, the

disaccharides are polymerized into long chains, and their stem peptides are crosslinked

into the existing wall (3).

The enzymes that break PG bonds are termed cell wall hydrolases (hereafter

'hydrolases'). Hydrolases fall into several broad categories with different chemical

specificities (4). Amidases cleave the stem peptide from the sugar subunit.

Endopeptidases cleave bonds between peptides within the stem peptide. Lytic

transglycosylases (LTGs) and lysozymes (both of which are muramidases), cleave

between the disaccharide subunits (MurNAc-GlcNAc), reversing the transglycosylase

reaction that polymerizes glycan chains. Glucosaminidases target the other bond

between sugar subunits (GlcNAc-MurNAc), reversing a cytoplasmic step of PG

synthesis. The LTG reaction mechanism is not a hydrolysis reaction; however, to avoid

introducing new terminology and to improve readability, we will use the term

'hydrolases' generally to refer to all PG cleavage enzymes, including LTGs. A wide

array of different protein domains are capable of hydrolase activity – for example, there

are at least 7 distinct domains with LTG activity and well over 100 distinct domains with

hydrolase activity discovered thus far (5, 6).

Hydrolase activity is essential: without the breakage of PG bonds, the cell wall

cannot expand to accommodate the accumulating biomass it contains (2). Hydrolases

are also involved in a variety of other processes that require modification of the cell wall:

turning over old PG, cell separation, and sporulation, conjugation, and motility (4, 7).

Perhaps owing to the multiple cellular functions that require hydrolases, many bacteria

have a large number of hydrolases. *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli,* for example,

each contain at least 20 hydrolases (4, 8). The large number of hydrolases in each

bacterium, combined with a high degree of functional and enzymatic redundancy

between them, has made it difficult to identify specific cellular functions for many

hydrolases. Single knockouts rarely present clear phenotypes due to compensation by

other hydrolases (4, 9). However, multiple-knockout approaches in *B. subtilis* have been

successful in revealing the importance of LytE and CwlO for cell growth, uncovering the

role of LytC and LytD in cell wall turnover, and identifying LytE, LytF, and CwlS as cell

separation hydrolases (4, 10, 11).

lytE and *cwlO* had been previously shown to be synthetically lethal when both

are deleted in *B. subtilis* (10, 12). The requirement of LytE or CwlO for cell growth was

demonstrated via microscopy and genetics: upon depletion of LytE in a *cwlO* null

mutant, or vice versa, cell elongation slows and then stops completely before cells lyse

(12). To test whether any other hydrolases were essential for *B. subtilis* growth, we

employed an exhaustive multiple-knockout approach. We created a minimal hydrolase

strain that allows the study of hydrolases in isolation, making it easier to assign

functions to uncharacterized hydrolases. Using this multiple hydrolase knockout strain, it

is straightforward to assay the biochemical activity and determine the effect of

hydrolases alone or in any desired combination on phenotypes like cell width, cell wall

turnover, cell growth, or any other process.

RESULTS

Construction of a multiple hydrolase knockout strain

To identify the minimal set of hydrolases required for growth in *B. subtilis* PY79,

we constructed a strain in which we sequentially removed as many hydrolases as

possible. We used PHMMER to screen the *B. subtilis* proteome for proteins containing

cell wall hydrolase domains present in known hydrolases (4, 5, 8, 13, Table S2). The

results of this search are shown in Table 1. Cell wall hydrolases present in *B. subtilis*

168 but not present in PY79, our wild-type (WT) background, are included for

completeness, though we did not generate knockouts for these. Candidate hydrolases

previously shown to be unable to degrade intact PG (indicated in Table 1) were also not

knocked out, nor were candidate hydrolases with transmembrane domains, as these

are unlikely to be able to reach into the cell wall space far enough to directly participate

in growth. We found 56 candidate hydrolases in the initial search (all shown in Table 1);

of these, 50 were present in PY79. 4 were excluded due to previous work

demonstrating lack of activity against intact PG (AmiE, NagZ, LytB, and PgdS), and 4

were excluded due to being membrane-bound (MltG, SweC, SpoIIQ, and SpoIVFA),

leaving 42 candidate hydrolases for our study.

We next generated single knockouts for each of the candidate hydrolases by

replacing the gene with an antibiotic resistance cassette flanked by loxP sites. We then

sequentially combined all knockouts into a single strain, using Cre-lox mediated loop

outs to remove markers when necessary (Figure 1). After each loop out step, we

verified deletion of all modified loci by PCR. After all knockouts had been combined into

a single strain, whole-genome sequencing was used to confirm all deletions and to

identify any genomic rearrangements or mutations that occurred during the construction

process. Despite the multiple rounds of transformation and loop outs this strain was

subjected to, with up to 4 resistance cassettes removed simultaneously multiple times,

we found no evidence of genomic rearrangements based on read coverage from DNA

extracted during exponentially growing cells (Figure S1) (14), and only 8 SNPs leading

to 5 point mutations in genes involved in unrelated processes (Table S1).

Ultimately, this effort produced a strain lacking 40 hydrolases, which we termed

"Δ40". The Δ40 strain is lacking all the identified hydrolases that met our criteria save

two - LytE and CwlO, two synthetically lethal endopeptidases previously shown to be

essential for growth (10). We were able to further knock out either *lytE* or *cwlO* in the

Δ40 strain, but not both, due to their synthetic lethality.

Hydrolase activity is greatly reduced in the Δ40 strain

To assess whether any other unidentified hydrolases remained in the Δ40 strain,

we conducted PG profiling of both wild type (WT) cells and the Δ40 strain (15), allowing

us to determine the abundance of hydrolase products in their cell walls (Figure 2, Table

S3).

Our PG profiling assay has limitations: as PG profiling relies on muramidase

digestion to yield soluble muropeptides for HPLC analysis, we could not use this assay

to detect hydrolases with muramidase activity. Likewise, as D,D-endopeptidases

produce products that are indistinguishable from unmodified PG, we cannot

unambiguously assign specific PG products to D,D-endopeptidases in these

experiments.

We compared the relative abundance of different PG hydrolase products in the

Δ40 and WT strains (Figure 2B, Table S3). The Δ40 strain showed a very small amount

of amidase activity (~20-fold reduction vs WT, 0.8% vs 0.04% of all muropeptides,

p=0.0161, unpaired t test) and a reduction of glucosaminidase activity (~2-fold reduction

vs WT, 0.8% vs 0.5% of all muropeptides, p=0.0279, unpaired t test), indicating that

these classes of hydrolases had been successfully reduced in the Δ40 strain. The

residual glucosaminidase activity could represent A) a yet unknown minor

glucosaminidase with a novel fold or B) sample degradation during PG purification. We

observed no change in D,L-endopeptidase activity in the Δ40 strain (5.2% vs 4.8% of all

muropeptides, p=0.4094, unpaired t test), an expected result given Δ40 retains the D,L-

endopeptidases LytE and CwlO. In agreement with previous work (15), L,D-

endopeptidase activity was not detected in any strain. D,L-endopeptidases cleave

between the mDap and iso-D-Glu residues in the stem peptide, while L,D-

endopeptidases cleave between iso-D-Glu and L-Ala.

Unexpectedly, the Δ40 strain also showed an increase in LTG activity (Figure 2B,

~1.75-fold increase vs WT, 1.4% vs 2.3% of all muropeptides, p=0.0125, unpaired t

test). We found that this remaining LTG activity required MltG. Removing *mltG* from the

Δ40 strain substantially reduced apparent LTG activity (Figure 2B, ~80-fold reduction vs

Δ40, 2.3% vs 0.03% of all muropeptides, p<0.0001, unpaired t test). MltG's catalytic

domain is predicted to be extracellular, although MltG is likely too small to reach far

enough into the cell wall space to directly participate in cell wall expansion. MltG has

been shown to be involved in membrane-proximal PG metabolism, cleaving PG at a

specific distance from the membrane to produce 7-dissacharide long glycan strands

(16–18).

Additionally, we measured the rate of autolysis in the Δ40 strain. Autolysis occurs

when hydrolases become dysregulated and degrade the wall in an uncontrolled way,

leading to cell lysis. Disruption of the energized membrane via energetic poisons, or

treatment with antibiotics can cause autolysis (19). In *B. subtilis*, the hydrolases LytC

and LytD are the main effectors of autolysis, with LytE and LytF additionally having

smaller effects (7, 20).

Δ*cwlO* and Δ*lytE* cells had approximately WT rates of autolysis, with nearly 100%

of cells being lysed after 5H of treatment with 75 mM sodium azide or 100 µg/ml

ampicillin. In contrast, the Δ40 strain had a significantly slower rate of autolysis in both

treatment conditions, with the Δ40 Δ*lytE* strain showing only a ~10% reduction in OD_{600}

after 24H of treatment and the Δ40 strain itself showing a ~40% OD_{600} reduction after

24H. The nonzero autolysis rate in the Δ40 Δ*lytE* background could imply the

involvement of CwlO in autolysis, could represent non-hydrolase-mediated lysis, or

could suggest a remaining hydrolase with a minor role in autolysis.

Cell growth and morphology are similar in the Δ40 strain relative to wild type.

We next characterized the growth rate of the Δ40 strain. The Δ40 strain grew

slightly slower than WT cells in rich, undefined media (LB), but grew at the same rate as

WT cells in both rich, defined media (CH) and fully synthetic media ($S7_{50}$ with glucose

and amino acids, see Methods for details) (Figure 3A). This suggests that the activity of

LytE and CwlO together are mostly sufficient for normal cell growth, although when

pushed towards higher growth rates other cell wall hydrolases may contribute to growth.

To investigate the individual effects of LytE and CwlO on the cell growth rate, we made

knockouts of *lytE* and *cwlO* in both WT and Δ40 backgrounds. Δ40 Δ*lytE* and Δ40 Δ*cwlO*

both exhibited a reduction in growth rate compared to Δ40 which was especially

pronounced in LB media. We observed cell lysis in both Δ40 Δ*lytE* and Δ40 Δ*cwlO*

strains in phase-contrast images, which could contribute to their slower growth rates as

measured in bulk by OD_{600} (Figure 4A). On the other hand, Δ*lytE* or Δ*cwlO* in a WT

background had the same growth rate as WT. This suggests either that in WT cells,

other hydrolases participate in but are not strictly required for growth, or that LytE and

CwlO are not being expressed highly enough to maintain normal growth on their own in

the Δ40 background.

Next, we quantified cell dimensions in these strains using FM 5-95 membrane

stain. Δ40 cells had a WT cell length and were 3% wider (Figure 4B, $p<0.0001$, unpaired

t-test with Welch's correction). Δ*cwlO* cells were 13% wider and 18% shorter than WT

cells, a phenotype that persisted in the Δ40 Δ*cwlO* strain (Figure 4B, $p<0.0001$ for all

comparisons: unpaired t-test with Welch's correction for width comparisons, Mann-

Whitney test for length comparisons). Δ40 Δ*cwlO* cells were less able to control their

width as compared to Δ40 cells, having a 1.5x wider cell width distribution (Figure 4B,

7.5% vs. 11.33% coefficient of variation, F test $p<0.001$). In contrast, Δ*lytE* cells were

only slightly wider than WT cells (Figure 4B, 1%, $p<0.0001$, unpaired t-test with Welch's

correction), and Δ40 Δ*lytE* cells were slightly narrower (Figure 4B, 1%, $p<0.0001$,

unpaired t-test with Welch's correction) than Δ40 strain alone, with a slight decrease in

length (Figure 4B, $p<0.0001$, Mann-Whitney test). Thus, CwlO appears to be involved in

cell width maintenance, as removing *cwlO* causes changes in cell width both in Δ40 and

WT backgrounds, consistent with previous reports (12). Furthermore, given that

removing *cwlO* increases the cell width coefficient of variation in the Δ40 background

but does not increase the width variation when deleted from WT cells, other hydrolases

must also have a role in width homeostasis.

We then quantified the chain length for the Δ40 strain and derivatives. Individual

B. subtilis cells are often found connected to their siblings via a common cell wall

septum as cell separation and cell division do not always occur at the same time in this

organism. Cell separation requires the action of hydrolases that cleave between the two

connected cells – several different hydrolases serve this purpose in B. subtilis, primarily

LytF, CwlS, and LytE (11, 21, 22). In WT cells, the average chain length was

comparable to the length of individual cells (Figure 4C, ~4.5 µm per chain vs ~3.5 µm

per cell). The maximum chain length observed was 150 µm. Δ*cwlO* and Δ*lytE* mutants

had a similar average chain length although the Δ*lytE* mutant had a larger maximum

chain length (Figure 4C, 300 µm), consistent with the known role of LytE in cell

separation.

In contrast, the Δ40 strain had a significant increase in the average chain length

(Figure 4C, 120 µm), nearly as long as the longest observed WT chain. The Δ40 Δ*cwlO*

strain was similar to the Δ40 strain, while the Δ40 Δ*lytE* strain had a large increase in

the average chain length (250 µm), almost double that of the longest observed WT

chain. Because the Δ40 Δ*lytE* strain lacks all cell separation hydrolases, the remaining

cell separation in this strain was likely due to mechanical tearing of cells under the

vigorous shaking conditions needed to be able to measure accurate culture OD_{600} for

these experiments; the ends of the chains had visible, phase-light debris resembling

torn cells still attached visible by TEM (Figure S3). In gentler culture conditions on a

roller drum, this strain grows as a large clump of cells visible to the naked eye.

Additionally, we tested the ability of the Δ40 strain to sporulate. Hydrolases are

involved in both entry into sporulation, as well as exit from the spore during germination

(23–25). We found that the Δ40 was not able to sporulate (Figure 2B, p=0.3859, one

sample t test vs efficiency of 0), likely because it lacks SpoIID and SpoIIP, causing a

block at the engulfment stage of sporulation (25).

Δ40 cells do not detectably turn over their cell wall

Hydrolases are involved in cell wall turnover, where old PG material is shed from

the cell wall (26). We measured the rate of cell wall turnover of both WT and Δ40 cells

using pulse-chase labeling with the radioactive cell wall precursor ^{3}H-N-

acetylglucosamine (^{3}H-GlcNAc). This revealed that, while WT cells turn over PG at a

rate of about 50% per generation in agreement with previous work (26), turnover in Δ40

strain was not detectable, with a rate not significantly different from zero (Figure 5A,

p=0.4837, one sample t test vs. rate of 0). These results suggests that LytE and CwlO,

the only identifiable remaining hydrolases in the Δ40 strain, likely do not contribute to

cell wall turnover. Furthermore, this data suggests that cell wall turnover is not an

essential process: cell growth only requires the cleavage of bonds so the cell can

expand.

As hydrolase-deficient mutants have been shown to have altered cell wall

thickness (27, 28) we measured the cell wall thickness of the Δ40 strain using

transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM). We found

that the wall was significantly thicker only in dehydrated samples measured using AFM

(Figure 5B, center, p=0.0007, unpaired t test); measurements on TEM images or on

hydrated AFM samples showed no significant differences (TEM: Figure 5B, left,

p=0.1382, unpaired t test; hydrated AFM: Figure 5B, right, p=0.2887, unpaired t test).

The WT cell wall was more uniform in appearance in both TEM and AFM images, while

the Δ40 strain had more heterogeneity in density and thickness, in particular on the

outer face of the wall, with an increase in the presence of "ruffles" on the outer face of

the cell wall in the Δ40 strain (Figure 5C,D). These "ruffles" may represent the additional

old cell wall material present due to the strongly reduced turnover rate. The internal face

of the cell wall appeared denser than the external face of the wall in both the WT and

Δ40 strains (Figure 5D). The internal face of the cell wall in the Δ40 strain appeared to

have both a denser meshwork and an increased number of larger pores as compared

with the WT strain.

Substantial changes to the cell wall ultrastructure occur during sample prep for

TEM, especially in the outer layers of the cell wall (29–31); it would be interesting to

apply additional, less perturbative EM modalities such as cryo-electron microscopy to

the Δ40 strain to help clarify the exact nature of the changes to the Δ40 cell wall. It is

possible that both TEM and hydrated AFM highlights mostly the denser, newer cell wall

material, while dehydrated AFM allows visualization of all the cell wall, including the

more loosely-bound older wall material.

Δ40 Δ*cwlO* cells are sensitive to various stresses, including ionic stress

Although the Δ40 strain grew mostly normally under our standard lab conditions,

we wondered whether the absence of so many hydrolases would sensitize cells to

stress conditions. We used a spot dilution assay to measure the viability of our strains

under a variety of stress conditions: temperature, ionic stress, pH, and osmotic stress

(Figure 6). In all conditions, including our control (37˚C), Δ40 cells had fewer CFUs than

WT. This is expected because Δ40 cells grow in long chains, and thus cells cannot

readily separate into individual CFUs. In all stress conditions Δ40 cells were similarly

viable to WT cells, as were Δ*lytE,* Δ*cwlO,* and Δ40 Δ*lytE* cells. However, Δ40 Δ*cwlO*

cells were susceptible to multiple stresses, including low pH, low temperature, and ionic

stress.

We were particularly intrigued by the susceptibility of Δ40 Δ*cwlO* to Mg^{2+}. Mg^{2+} is

coordinated between PG and teichoic acids (32), and this Mg^{2+} binding is thought to

give structural stability to the cell wall (31, 33). High levels of Mg^{2+} are often protective

against cell wall perturbations, including knockouts of hydrolases, PBPs, or components

of the Rod complex (10, 34); thus, the Mg^{2+} sensitivity of the Δ40 Δ*cwlO* strain seemed

counterintuitive. Our experiments indicated Δ40 Δ*cwlO* cells were sensitive to both Ca^{2+}

and Mg^{2+}; growth was inhibited by the addition of 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM $MgSO_4$, and 10

mM $CaCl_2$, but not by the addition of 20 mM NaCl, suggesting that the growth inhibition

was not due to changes in ionic strength or chloride ions. We did observe growth

inhibition due to ionic stress at far higher salt concentrations (500 mM NaCl). Notably,

cells were not sensitive to an equivalent osmotic stress (1M sorbitol), indicating the

sensitivity is to ionic stress, not osmotic stress.

As Δ*cwlO* mutants in the WT background were Mg^{2+} insensitive, we sought to

identify which hydrolases caused cells to be sensitive to Mg^{2+} when they were removed.

To find these hydrolases, we returned to intermediate strains used to construct the Δ40

strain, which are missing subsets of hydrolases. We transformed a *cwlO* knockout into

these intermediate strains, then screened these crosses for the same small colony

phenotype and the Mg^{2+} sensitivity seen in the Δ40 Δ*cwlO* strain. This identified two

genes: *yabE* and *ydjM*. Notably, during construction of the Δ40 strain, we had noticed

that *yocH* seemed significant – at several intermediate verification steps, a WT copy of

yocH had reintegrated itself during transformation with genomic DNA from single KO

strains – we therefore used PCR product for all transformations after this. Furthermore,

a Δ*ydjM* Δ*yocH* Δ*cwlO* mutant was previously demonstrated to be sick, with short and

sometimes anucleate cells (10). Because *yabE*, *ydjM*, and *yocH* have similar hydrolase

domains, and because *yocH* and *ydjM* had been identified previously to be involved in a

synthetic sick interaction with *cwlO,* we additionally tested whether the removal of *yocH*

contributed to the Δ40 Δ*cwlO* Mg^{2+} sensitivity phenotype, and found that it did.

In total, we identified three genes, *yabE*, *ydjM*, and *yocH*, whose absence in a

Δ*cwlO* background caused the Mg^{2+} sensitivity: A Δ*yabE* Δ*ydjM* Δ*yocH* Δ*cwlO* strain

showed a similar stress profile to Δ40 Δ*cwlO*, including sensitivity to MgCl$_2$ and CaCl$_2$

(Figure 6A). *yabE*, *ydjM*, and *yocH* are 3 uncharacterized RlpA-like superfamily domain-

containing proteins expressed during exponential growth. Like *lytE* and *cwlO, yocH* and

ydjM are in the *walR* regulon, while *yabE* is regulated by *sigA* (Table 1). All are likely

lytic transglycosylases: *yocH* has been shown to have lytic activity and has homology to

the *E. coli* lytic transglycosylase *mltA* (35), and all three share a similar catalytic domain.

Because *yabE*, *ydjM*, and *yocH* all contain a RlpA-like protein domain, we refer to these

genes collectively as RLPAs, and to the triple deletion of all three genes as ΔRLPAs.

LytE is inhibited by Mg^{2+} *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and RLPAs suppress Mg^{2+} lethality *in vivo*

Finally, we sought to identify the source of Mg^{2+} growth inhibition in the ΔRLPAs

Δ*cwlO* background. Because LytE is essential in the absence of CwlO, we hypothesized

that the sensitivity of the Δ40 Δ*cwlO* strain to Mg^{2+} (and, by extension, the sensitivity of

the ΔRLPAs Δ*cwlO* strain to Mg^{2+}) could be explained by Mg^{2+} inhibition of LytE. To

investigate this, we first characterized the response of Δ*cwlO* cells to the removal of

LytE. We constructed an otherwise wildtype strain with *cwlO* knocked out and *lytE*

under inducible control and monitored its growth by time-lapse phase-contrast

microscopy. When *lytE* was induced, cell growth was normal (Movie S1). When *lytE*

induction was removed, cell growth initially slowed, followed by a period of 'stuttery'

growth, where elongating cells intermittently shrank while showing accompanying

fluctuations in their phase contrast signal (Movie S2). Ultimately, cells lysed about 1-2

doubling times after the removal of *lytE* induction, as previously observed (10, 12). Next,

we performed the same imaging in the ΔRLPAs Δ*cwlO* strain after the addition of 10

mM MgCl$_2$ and observed the same 'stuttery' phenotype, suggesting that LytE function

might be inhibited by Mg^{2+} (Movie S4). Without the addition of Mg^{2+}, cell growth of the

ΔRLPAs Δ*cwlO* strain was normal (Movie S3). In WT cells or Δ*cwlO* cells, the presence

of Mg^{2+} has no effect on cell viability or growth – growth is only inhibited in the absence

of the RLPAs. Thus, the RLPAs appear to allow LytE to maintain its activity in the

presence of Mg^{2+}. This ΔRPLAs ΔcwlO strain additionally had a similar environmental

stress response profile as the Δ40 ΔcwlO strain (Figure 7A).

To test whether LytE activity is directly inhibited by Mg^{2+}, we overexpressed and

purified both full-length LytE and a truncated LytE protein with only its catalytic domain.

In vitro activity assays with and without the addition of Mg^{2+} showed that indeed LytE

activity is inhibited by Mg^{2+} (Figure 7B). Additionally, we reasoned that if the Mg^{2+}-

sensitivity phenotype was due to direct inhibition of LytE by Mg^{2+}, increasing the levels

of LytE should protect cells from death by increasing the total amount of LytE activity.

Indeed, overexpression of LytE allowed the ΔRLPAs strain to survive in the presence of

higher levels of Mg^{2+}, although 100 mM $MgCl_2$ still inhibited growth (Figure 7C).

Thus, we conclude that LytE activity is inhibited by Mg^{2+} both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

Furthermore, our data indicates that the RPLAs allow LytE to maintain normal function

in the presence of Mg^{2+}, though the specific mechanism is unclear. Whether the RLPAs

act directly or indirectly on LytE remains to be determined, but we anticipate that the

RLPAs interact with and activate LytE similar to what has been observed for the

Mycobacterium smegatis hydrolases RipA and RpfB: RipA's C-terminus (containing a

NLPC/P60 domain like LytE) interacts with RpfB's RlpA-like LTG domain (36), and RipA

and RpfB have synergistic activity *in vitro* (37). By analogy, LytE's catalytic NLPC/P60

domain may interact with the RlpA-like domains in YabE, YdjM, and YocH, leading to

increased LytE activity, allowing LytE to continue to function in the presence of Mg^{2+}.

The ΔRLPAs Δ*cwlO* strain also has increased sensitivity to ionic stress and low

temperatures, suggesting RLPAs might stimulate LytE activity under those conditions as

well.

DISCUSSION

Bacterial cell growth requires the action of PG hydrolases, but previous *in vivo*

hydrolase studies have been impeded by their diversity and redundancy. We

constructed and validated a *B. subtilis* strain lacking all hydrolases potentially involved

in cell growth besides LytE and CwlO. These deletions constitute 40 genes in total,

representing 10% of secreted proteins and 1% of all genes. The resulting Δ40 strain

enables the investigation of given hydrolases and the cellular contexts in which they

function, and in this work, allowed several new discoveries regarding their sufficiency,

regulation, and genetic interplay.

First, we found that the Δ40 strain is viable. This demonstrates that LytE and

CwlO alone can function to expand the cell wall to allow cell growth. Furthermore, as

single knockouts of LytE and CwlO in the Δ40 strain are viable and allow growth (albeit

at a somewhat reduced rates with some shape defects), this demonstrates *B. subtilis*

requires only one of these two hydrolases to grow.

Our minimal hydrolase strain allowed us to show that RlpA-like lytic

transglycosylases enhance LytE activity *in vivo* and that this enhancement can be

important for growth under conditions where LytE activity is inhibited, including the

presence of divalent cations, ionic stress, and cold. Although the mechanism for LytE

enhancement is unclear, we hypothesize that RlpAs stimulate LytE activity via a direct

interaction, as has been observed for similar proteins in *M. smegmatis* (37). Synthetic

lethal or synthetic sick interactions are straightforward to identify and characterize in the

Δ40 strain, giving a useful tool to interrogate genetic relationships between different

hydrolases or between hydrolases and other genes of interest – such as those involved

in cell wall synthesis.

Surprisingly, the growth rate of the Δ40 strain is only slightly impaired under

standard lab conditions. What, then, is the function of these 40 hydrolases, and why

does *B. subtilis* encode so many of them? This multitude of hydrolases likely arises from

the fact that hydrolases are involved in other processes aside from cell growth such as

sporulation (4) and cell motility (38). Additionally, some hydrolases might be only be

needed under nutrient conditions not tested here, such as during phosphate limitation

where teichoic acids are not produced, where cells may require hydrolases that are not

regulated by teichoic acids (39–41). Finally, these other hydrolases may be important

during non-exponential growth states such as during stationary phase, where the

recycling of cell wall turnover products, lacking in the Δ40 strain, reduces cell lysis (42).

Thus, a broader screen of the sensitivity of the Δ40 strain in different nutrient and

environmental conditions will allow the determination of which hydrolases are useful for

which conditions.

In summary, the Δ40 minimal hydrolase strain provides a powerful experimental

background to investigate the function, regulation, and interplay of hydrolases,

improving our understanding of precisely how these enzymes conduct their cellular

tasks. In the future, individual hydrolases can be reintroduced into the Δ40 strain to

investigate their specific activities in the absence of confounding contributions from the

other 39 genes. Using the Δ40 strain, PG profiling can determine the biochemical

activity of hydrolases. Uncovering synthetic genetic interactions between hydrolases

and other genes of interest – now easy to do for all 40 hydrolases at once – will allow us

to flesh out our understanding of bacterial cell growth. Understanding the function of cell

wall hydrolases is essential for a complete understanding of how bacteria grow, and the

Δ40 strain will allow rapid progress to this end.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Carl Wivagg, Alex Bisson, Matthew Holmes, Ferran

Garcia-Pichel, and Susanne Neuer for helpful advice and discussions, and Georgia

Squyres for both helpful advice, discussions, and reading of the manuscript. We thank

David Rudner and Yannik Brunet for plasmids. This work was funded by National

Institutes of Health Grants DP2AI117923-01 to ECG, as well as support from the

Volkswagen Foundation. This work was supported by the NSF-Simons Center for

Mathematical and Statistical Analysis of Biology at Harvard (1764269) and the Harvard

Quantitative Biology Initiative. Some work was performed at the Center for Nanoscale

Systems at Harvard University, supported by NSF ECS-0335765. HPLC-MS work was

performed by the Harvard Center for Mass Spectrometry Core Facility, and sequencing

was performed by the Bauer Core Facility at Harvard University. We also acknowledge

the support of the Wellcome Trust (212197/Z/19/Z).

METHODS

Strains, media, and growth conditions

Glycerol stocks stored at -80˚C were struck onto LB agar plates. For strain bSW61 (*lytE::pSpac-lytE, ΔcwlO*), these plates were additionally top spread with 1 mM IPTG. After incubation overnight at 37˚C, colonies were inoculated into 1 mL media (the specific media used depended on the experiment, see figure legends for details) and grown on a roller at 37˚C until they reached mid-exponential-phase growth (OD_{600} ~0.2). Cells were diluted 1:10 in prewarmed media and again grown until mid-exponential phase; this process was repeated until the start of the experiment. Alternately, a 1:10 dilution series of cells were grown overnight in media on a roller at 25˚C. The next day, the culture whose OD_{600} was nearest to 0.2 was diluted 1:10 and grown in media at 37˚C as above. $S7_{50}AA$ indicates $S7_{50}$ media with added amino acids as in (43). CH indicates casein hydrolysate media, as in (44). LB indicates Luria Broth (Lennox) media for liquid media experiments and Luria Broth (Miller) for solid media (plates).

Strain construction

The wild type strain for this work was *B. subtilis* PY79. Strains used in this study are listed in Table S4. Constructs were created using Gibson assembly of PCR products. Linear Gibson assembly products were transformed into competent *B. subtilis*. Transformants were selected on LB plates containing the appropriate antibiotic. The resulting strains were verified by PCR. Constructs used in this study, as well as any plasmids used to create each construct, are listed in Table S4. Primers, along with strain construction details, are listed in Table S5. Resistance cassettes and promoters

were amplified from purified plasmids (listed in Table S4), all other fragments were

amplified from WT gDNA.

To combine knockouts, the parent strain was transformed with PCR product

containing the locus (homology arms + resistance cassette) or gDNA as indicated. All

resistance cassettes used have loxP sites flanking the cassette, allowing Cre-based

loop out using plasmid pDR244 (a gift from David Rudner) of the cassette to yield a

markerless knockout. Removal of the plasmid was accomplished by shifting streaks to

42˚C where it cannot be replicated due to a temperature-sensitive origin. Successful

loop outs were confirmed via loss of antibiotic resistance.

PHMMER search

We used pfamscan version 1.6 to search the *B. subtilis* 168 and PY79 proteomes

for all pfam domains using default parameters: e-value: 0.01, significance E-values [hit]:

0.03, significance bit scores [sequence]: 25, significance bit scores [hit]: 22. We then

filtered the list for domains of interest using the list of domains in Table S2, and

identified putative membrane-bound/cytoplasmic proteins using UniProt (45).

PG purification, HPLC conditions, and MS data analysis

PG purification was conducted as in (46), with an HF treatment step instead of

HCl to remove teichoic acids and the addition of a protein digestion step. Cells were

grown in a baffled flask to an OD_{600} of ~0.5 in 50 mL of CH media. Cells were mixed

50/50 with 50 mL of boiling 10% SDS and boiled for 15 min in a water bath, then

pelleted at 5000x g and washed 5x with ddH2O. Cells were then resuspended in 2 mL

DNAse/RNase buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$) with 20 μL

DNAse I and 20 μL RNAse A, then incubated overnight at 37˚C and washed 3x with

ddH2O to remove nucleic acids. Next, cells were resuspended in 2 mL Proteinase K

buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1 mM CaCl$_2$) with 20 μL Proteinase K, incubated overnight at

45^{0}C, and washed 3x with ddH2O to remove proteins. Next, cell walls were treated with

48% (v/v) hydrofluoric acid on ice for 24H, then washed twice with 100 mM Tris pH 8

and 4 times with ddH2O. Then, the PG was resuspended in 12.5 mM NaHPO$_4$ pH 5.5

with 5000 units of mutanolysin and digested overnight (16h) at 37˚C on a roller to yield

soluble muropeptides. Undigested material was pelleted by spinning at 16000x g for 5

mins and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. Soluble muropeptides were

reduced with sodium borohydride (1 mg/mL) for 30 mins and the reaction was stopped

by adding 10 μL 30% phosphoric acid. The pH was adjusted to 4-6 using NaOH, and

the reduced soluble muropeptides were characterized by high-resolution LC-MS

operating in both positive and negative mode. Soluble reduced muropeptides were

separated on a Waters column with the following method: column temperature 52˚C,

flow rate 0.5 mL/min, linear gradient of solvent A (0.1% (w/v) formate) to 10% solvent B

(acetonitrile + 0.1% (w/v) formate) over 80 min.

Feature detection was performed on the raw MS data using Dinosaur (47).

Feature detection was done separately on both the positive and negative mode scans

with default parameters. Feature data were analyzed using a custom MATLAB program,

available at https://bitbucket.org/garnerlab/wilson_40_2020/. We first filtered feature

data for charge < 3. Next, we filtered for the top 10 features present during each scan.

For each of these features, theoretical m/z values were compared with observed m/z

with a cutoff of 10 ppm. We required that a compound be present on both the positive

and negative scans, and consolidated features matching the same compound within a

retention time within 1 min. Finally, we filtered out compounds corresponding to in-

source decay (loss of glucosamine without a change in retention time), and compounds

present at less than 0.1% of all muropeptides. Retention times shown in Table S3 were

analyzed manually.

Growth rates

Cells were grown to an OD_{600} of ~0.3-0.5 on a roller drum at 37˚C and diluted to

an OD_{600} of ~0.05 in baffled flasks in a water bath shaker at 37˚C. Samples were

withdrawn at 5 min intervals and OD_{600} was measured in a plastic cuvette using a

Biowave Cell Density Meter CO8000. T vs. OD_{600} curves were fit to a single exponential

$(OD_{600} = Ae^{BT})$ to extract a growth rate (B).

Autolysis rates

Cells were grown to an OD_{600} of ~0.3-0.5 on a roller drum at 37˚C and diluted to

an OD_{600} of ~0.025 into prewarmed CH in baffled flasks at 37˚C. Once cells reached an

OD_{600} of 0.5, sodium azide (75 mM final) or ampicillin (100 µg/mL final) was added to

part of culture and transferred to a prewarmed 96 well plate. OD_{600} was measured every

2 minutes for 24H at 37˚C using a BioTek Epoch 2 Microplate Spectrophotometer. The

plate was shaken at maximum RPM in between measurements.

Sporulation efficiency

Sporulation was induced by resuspension according to (44). Cells were grown to

an OD_{600} of ~0.3-0.5 in CH media, pelleted, and resuspended in resuspension medium.

Sporulation efficiency was assessed by measuring the number of heat-resistant CFUs

per mL of culture after 36H. The cultures were heated to 80˚C for 20 mins, then plated.

CFU counts were then done after 24H of incubation at 37˚C.

Turnover rates

Turnover rates were measured as in (43) with some modifications; the method is

summarized below. Cells were grown in $S7_{50}AA$ to an OD_{600} of ~0.3-0.5 on a roller drum

at 37˚C and diluted to an OD_{600} of ~0.05 in 3 mL of prewarmed $S7_{50}AA$ containing 1 uCi

of ^{3}H-N-acytylglucosamine [6-^{3}H] (specific activity: 20 Ci/mmol, American Radiolabeled

Chemicals, Inc., St. Louis, MI, USA) in 25mm wide test tubes in a water bath shaker at

37˚C. Cells were labeled for 3 generations (until OD_{600} ~0.4), then filtered, washed twice

with prewarmed $S7_{50}AA$, and resuspended in 25 mL of prewarmed $S7_{50}AA$. Samples

were withdrawn at 5 min intervals and OD_{600} was measured in a plastic cuvette using a

Biowave Cell Density Meter CO8000. Samples were mixed 50:50 with ice cold 10%

(v/v) TCA + 20 mM unlabeled GlcNAc, incubated on ice for 10 mins, then filtered and

washed. Filters were dried, resuspended in Ultima Gold LSC cocktail (PerkinElmer,

Waltham, MA, USA) and radioactivity was measured using a scintillation counter (Tri-

Carb 2100 TR, PerkinElmer). Decays/min vs. OD_{600} plots were fit to a single exponential

(DPM = Ae^{BT}) to extract a turnover rate (B).

Cell dimensions

Cells were grown to an OD_{600} of ~0.3-0.5 in a water bath shaker at 37˚C. 1 mL of

culture was stained with FM 5-95 and concentrated to 100 μL by centrifugation at 2000x

g and resuspension. 5 μL of concentrated cells were spotted under 2% (w/v) agarose

pads in CH containing 0.5 ug/mL FM 5-95. Images were collected on a Nikon Ti-E

microscope using a Nikon CFI Plan Apo DM Lambda 100X Oil objective, 1.45 NA,

phase ring Ph3 using an ORCA-Flash4.0 V2 sCMOS camera. Analysis was performed

using Morphometrics v1.1 (48). Zero length or width cells were discarded, as well as

any cells with width greater than length. Outliers were removed using Graphpad Prism

ROUT with default parameters (1%).

Chain length

Cells were grown to an OD_{600} of ~0.3-0.5 on a roller drum at 37˚C and diluted to

an OD_{600} of ~0.025 into prewarmed CH in baffled flasks at 37˚C. Once cells reached an

OD_{600} of 0.5, 1-5 µl of culture was spotted under a prewarmed 2.5% (w/v) agarose pad

in CH. A 10x10 image tile series was collected (~1.5 mm square). A custom MATLAB

program was used to register and stitch the images together, and then chain length was

measured manually with the assistance of a custom MATLAB program.

Electron microscopy and cell wall thickness measurements

Electron microscopy was performed as in (49). Briefly, exponentially growing

cells were fixed in 100 mM MOPS buffer pH 7 containing 2% (w/v) paraformaldayde,

2.5% (w/v) gluteraldehyde, and 1% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide overnight at 4°C, washed,

stained with 2% (w/v) osmium tetroxide in 100 mM MOPS for 1 hr, washed, and stained

overnight with 2% (w/v) uranyl acetate. The cells were then dehydrated and embedded

in Embed 812 resin.

Serial ultrathin sections (80 nm) were cut with a Diatome diamond knife (EMS,

PA) on a Leica Ultracut UCT (Leica Microsystems, Germany) and collected on 200-

mesh thin-bar formvar carbon grids. Sections were imaged on a Hitatchi HT7800

transmission electron microscope.

Images collected were segmented (inner cell wall, outer cell wall) using DeepCell

(50), and cell wall thickness was measured using a custom Matlab program available at

https://bitbucket.org/garnerlab/wilson_40_2020/. Briefly, the distance between the inner

and outer cell wall was measured every 10 nm along a user-defined line, and the mean

of that measurement was taken to be the cell's cell wall thickness.

AFM imaging and cell wall thickness measurement

B. subtilis cells at mid-exponential phase were boiled rapidly to kill bacterial cells

& inactivate any potential hydrolase activity. Cells were broken by French Press and

FastPrep, then suspended in 5% (w/v) SDS and boiled for 25 min, and sacculi collected

by centrifugation at 20,000 g for 3 mins. The resulting pellets were washed with distilled

water to remove all traces of SDS, then re-suspended in Tris-HCl (50 mM, pH7)

containing 2 mg/ml pronase and incubated at 60°C for 90 mins. The resulting sacculi

were then re-suspended in LC-MS Chromasolv water for storage at -20°C.

Freshly cleaved mica discs were incubated with Cell-Tak™, (285 ml 100 mM

NaHCO$_3$ (pH 8) then 10 µl of Cell-Tak (Corning, 5% (w/v) in acetic acid) and 5 µl of 1 M

NaOH, covered and left for 20 minutes then washed five times with HPLC grade water)

to ensure attachment of sacculi on the glass surface. Sacculi were diluted in HPLC-

grade water to appropriate concentration and dried onto mica using N$_2$. These were

further washed and dried with N$_2$ again to remove any unattached sample.

All AFM data was taken on a JPK Nanowizard III in QI (quantitative imaging)

mode. Samples were imaged in HPLC Grade water using a FastScanD cantilever

(Bruker, Santa Barbara), nominal spring constant 0.25 N/m with a 256 x 256-pixel scan

region, driven at ~167 Hz with a typical Z length of ~ 300 nm using peak interaction

forces of 2-3 nN. Images were flattened to median of differences and first order planefit

using Gwyddion.

Spot dilution assay

Cells were grown to an OD_{600} of 0.5 and diluted 1:10 into 100 µL of LB media in a

96 well plate. A 1:10 serial dilution series was made, and 3 µL of each dilution was

spotted onto the plate using a multichannel pipettor. The plates were allowed to dry and

incubated in at 37˚C or 42˚C as indicated for 18h. Plates incubated at 25˚C or 18˚C

were left for additional time (24h and 48h, respectively). Plates were photographed

using a Canon SC1011 scanner with the lid open.

For the colony morphology assay in Figure 1, this protocol was followed except

that a colony of cells of each strain were simply resuspended in 100 µL of media using a

toothpick (omitting the broth culture step).

LytE purification

His-SUMO-tagged full length LytE missing the signal peptide (26-355) and His-

SUMO-tagged truncated LytE missing its 3x LysM domains (185-255) were

overexpressed and purified under denaturing conditions from E. coli BL21. 3L of LB

Amp culture was grown to an OD_{600} of 0.7 and overexpression was induced with 1 mM

IPTG. Cultures were induced for 6H, then harvested by centrifugation. Pellets were

frozen at -80˚C for storage. For purification, pellets were thawed and resuspended in

lysis buffer (100 mM sodium phosphate, 10 mM Tris, 10 mM imidazole, 1% (v/v) Trition,

8M Urea, pH 8). Cells were lysed by sonication, and cell debris was removed by

centrifugation. A His column was equilibrated in lysis buffer (3 mL bed volume). Clarified

lysate was passed through the His column. The bound protein was washed once with

50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 1% (v/v) Triton, 8M Urea, pH 8.

Proteins were renatured on the column in 50 mM HEPES, pH 8 with 1% (v/v) Triton and

20 mM imidazole with a steady reduction of urea concentration (8M, 6M, 4M, 2M, 1M,

0M) and increasing NaCl concentration (150 mM, 237.5 mM, 325 mM, 412.5 mM,

456.25 mM, 500 mM). Refolded proteins were eluted from the column by increasing the

imidazole concentration to 250 mM. DTT was added to 1 mM to all fractions. Fractions

containing the target protein were pooled and dialyzed overnight at 4˚C in cleavage

buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT) with the addition of purified

Ulp1 to cleave the 6His-SUMO tag. Buffer was exchanged once and further dialyzed for

several hours. A new column was equilibrated in cleavage buffer without DTT and the

pooled, cleaved protein was run through the column to remove the 6His-SUMO tag.

Fractions contained cleaved protein were pooled and concentrated to a volume of 2 mL,

then stored in dialysis in cleavage buffer. Activity tests were performed using purified

PG in cleavage buffer plus 0.5% (w/v) Pluronic F-108 and PG from Sigma to an OD_{600}

of 0.25 at 37˚C. OD_{600} was measured every 2 minutes for 24H using a BioTek Epoch 2

Microplate Spectrophotometer. The plate was shaken at maximum RPM in between

measurements.

Data availability

All custom software used in this work is available at

https://bitbucket.org/garnerlab/wilson_40_2020/. Raw HPLC-MS data for PG profiling

experiments available from ftp://massive.ucsd.edu/MSV000086886

(doi:10.25345/C5R21D). Raw and error corrected sequencing reads for whole genome

sequencing available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/study/?acc=PRJNA702153

(BioProject PRJNA702153).

Table 1: List of cell wall hydrolases in _Bacillus subtilis_ identified using PHMMER.

Cell wall hydrolases were identified via a PHMMR (13) search with default parameters

of the _B. subtilis_ subsp. 168 and _B. subtilis_ subsp. PY79 proteome for PFAM domains

associated with known cell wall hydrolases (Table S2). For each hydrolase, we report its

name (and any aliases), whether it is knocked out in the Δ40 strain (KO) or present in

PY79 (Y/N), its UniProt accession number, its locus tag, any reported regulons it is a

member of (^ indicates source Faria et al. 2016, * indicates source SubtiWiki (97)), the

PHMMR search significance e-value, and any relevant references showing its

biochemical activity. Abbreviations: DLEP, D,L-endopeptidase; LDEP, L,D-

endopeptidase; DDEP, D,D-endopeptidase; LTG, lytic transglycosylase; SU, specificity

untested (only cell wall degradative activity shown). **CwlP and CwlT are two-domain

cell wall hydrolases and so appear twice on this table. Only the activity for the specific

PFAM domain is listed in the Activity column.

Figure 1: Construction of the Δ40 strain via sequential knockout and loopout.

Colony morphology of each cloning intermediate for the Δ40 strain. WT cells were

transformed with a series of resistance-cassette-marked knockouts (starting with

Δ*cwlQ*). Periodically, antibiotic resistance cassettes were removed via Cre-loxP

mediated loopout (indicated by LO). Arrows indicate sequential integrations (e.g., the

strain indicated by Δ*yocH* contains Δ*yocH* and Δ*cwlQ*). Dense cell suspensions were

spotted and incubated overnight to visualize colony morphology.

Figure 2: The Δ40 strain has a reduced cell wall hydrolytic complement

A: HPLC analysis of isolated muropeptides in WT and Δ40 strains. Purified cell

walls were digested to yield soluble muropeptides which were separated and

characterized via HPLC-MS. The total ion current (TIC) elution profile is shown. Strains

used: PY79, WT; bSW431, Δ40; bSW537, Δ40 Δ*mltG*.

B: Identification of cell wall hydrolase products in WT and Δ40 cells by

peptidoglycan profiling. High-resolution mass spectrometry was used to identify

separated muropeptides. Muropeptides missing a GlcNAc were classified as

glucosaminidase products. Anhydromuropeptides were classified as LTG products.

Crosslinked muropeptides lacking MurNAc-GlcNAc and MurNAc-GlcNAc itself was

classified as amidase products. Crosslinked muropeptides lacking MurNAc-GlcNAc-L-

Ala-iso-D-Glu, and MurNAc-GlcNAc-L-Ala-iso-D-Glu itself, was classified as D,L-

endopeptidase products. For additional details about muropeptide classification, see

Supplemental Table 2. Crosslinking index was calculated as in (98). For each set of

hydrolase products, the sum of the MS intensity for those products was divided by the

total MS intensity for all detected muropeptides (% of total). D,L-endopeptidase

products are still present as expected, because the strain retains the D,L-

endopeptidases LytE and CwlO. Amidase products are strongly reduced.

Glucosaminidase products are reduced in abundance by roughly twofold. Lytic

transglycosylase products are still present in the Δ40 strain but are strongly reduced if

mltG is additionally knocked out. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW431, Δ40; bSW537, Δ40

Δ*mltG*.

Figure 3: The Δ40 strain grows at a similar rate as WT cells, does not sporulate,

and has a much slower autolysis rate in response to both sodium azide and

ampicillin.

A (left): The Δ40 strain has a slower growth rate vs WT in LB media. Cultures were

grown in LB media at 37˚C to an OD_{600} of ~0.3-0.5, diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.05, and

samples were collected every 6 minutes for 1 hrs (~3 doublings). OD_{600} vs time plots

were fit to a single exponential to obtain the growth rate. Each point represents the

doubling time from a single experiment, and solid lines show mean and standard

deviation. The dotted line shows the mean WT growth rate, for comparison. Δ40 has a

slower growth rate to WT. *lytE* and *cwlO* knockouts grow much more slowly in the Δ40

background than in a WT background. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW23, Δ*cwlO*;

bSW295, Δ*lytE*; bSW431, Δ40; bSW433, Δ40 Δ*cwlO*; bSW435, Δ40 Δ*lytE*.

A (middle): The Δ40 strain has a similar growth rate to WT in CH media. Cultures

were grown in CH media at 37˚C. Samples were collected and data was analyzed as in

(A). While Δ40 has a similar growth rate to WT, *lytE* and *cwlO* knockouts grow more

slowly in this background than in a WT background. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW23,

$\Delta cwlO$; bSW295, $\Delta lytE$; bSW431, $\Delta 40$; bSW433, $\Delta 40$ $\Delta cwlO$; bSW435, $\Delta 40$ $\Delta lytE$.

A (right): The $\Delta 40$ strain has a similar growth rate to WT in minimal media.

Cultures were grown in $S7_{50}AA$ media at 37˚C. Samples were collected and data was

analyzed as in (A). Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW431, $\Delta 40$.

B: The $\Delta 40$ strain is unable to sporulate. Sporulation was induced by resuspension

and sporulation efficiency was determined as in (24). The WT strain produced $\sim 10^8$

spores/mL while the $\Delta 40$ strain produced ~ 100 spores/mL, all of which lacked the

distinctive $\Delta 40$ colony morphology and likely represent contamination. Strains used:

PY79, WT; bSW431, $\Delta 40$.

C: The $\Delta 40$ strain shows slower autolysis in response to sodium azide (left) and

ampicillin (right). Cultures were grown in CH media at 37˚C to an OD_{600} of 0.5 (azide

treatment) or 0.15 (ampicillin treatement) in baffled flasks with vigorous shaking, then

diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.025 in a 150 μL of prewarmed CH in a 96-well plate. Sodium

azide (75 mM) or ampicillin (100 μg/mL) was added and OD_{600} readings were taken

using a plate reader every 2 minutes for 24H. The plate was shaken vigorously in

between measurements. The $\Delta 40$ strain takes around 10 times as long to reach half the

intial OD_{600} as the WT strain, and the $\Delta 40$ $\Delta lytE$ strain in particular has a strongly

reduced rate of autolysis. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW23, $\Delta cwlO$; bSW295, $\Delta lytE$;

bSW431, $\Delta 40$; bSW433, $\Delta 40$ $\Delta cwlO$; bSW435, $\Delta 40$ $\Delta lytE$.

Figure 4: The $\Delta 40$ strain has mild shape defects but a significant chaining

phenotype.

A: Representative phase contrast images of hydrolase mutant strains. Δ40 cells

primarily form long chains, Δ40 Δ*cwlO* cells have variable widths, and Δ40 Δ*lytE* cells

sometimes have phase-light, lysed cells still attached to their poles (see Figure S2 for

TEM images). Both Δ40 Δ*cwlO* and Δ40 Δ*lytE* have a population of phase-light, lysed

cells. Scale bar is 10 μm.

B: Cell lengths (left) and widths (right) in hydrolase mutants. Cells were labeled

with membrane stain and imaged by epifluorescence microscopy. Cell dimensions were

measured from these images using Morphometrics. Solid lines in violins show medians.

Dashed line outside violins shows WT median for comparison. Shaded region outside

violins shows WT quartiles. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW23, Δ*cwlO*; bSW295, Δ*lytE*;

bSW431, Δ40; bSW433, Δ40 Δ*cwlO*; bSW435, Δ40 Δ*lytE*.

C: Chain lengths in hydrolase mutants (left, zoomed view of right). Chain length

was measured manually from tiled and stitched phase contrast images. The Δ40 strain's

median chain length is around 30 times longer than the WT median chain length.

Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW23, Δ*cwlO*; bSW295, Δ*lytE*; bSW431, Δ40; bSW433, Δ40

Δ*cwlO*; bSW435, Δ40 Δ*lytE*.

Figure 5: The Δ40 strain does not detectably turn over cell wall.

A: Cell wall turnover rate is negligible in the Δ40 strain. *Left*: Pulse-chase radiolabel

measurements were used to determine the cell wall turnover rate. Cells were labeled

with H^3-GlcNAc, which incorporates into the cell wall. The ^{3}H-GlcNAc was then washed

out and radioactivity was subsequently measured for 3 generations. A decrease in

radioactivity indicates that material is being removed from the cell wall, e.g. that cell wall

is turning over. Each experiment was replicated at least 3 times. Dotted lines show

single exponential fit to mean data. *Right*: Single exponential fits to each experiment at

left. Each point represents the time constant (-k) obtained from a fit to a single

experiment. Error bars show SD. The Δ40 turnover rate is not significantly different from

zero (one sample t test, p=0.4837). Mean initial radioactivity was 167766 DPM/OD_{600} for

the WT strain and 174334 DPM/OD_{600} for the Δ40 strain. Strains used: PY79, WT;

bSW431, Δ40.

B: Cell wall thickness in the Δ40 strain. Cell wall thickness was measured via

transmission electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy as described in

Methods. Briefly, for TEM imaging, exponentially growing cells were fixed, osmicated,

stained with uranyl acetate, embedded in Embed 812, sectioned, and imaged without

additional staining. For AFM imaging, exponentially growing cells were boiled, broken,

protease treated, and then adhered to mica for imaging. Each point is the mean cell wall

thickness measured for a single cell. WT AFM measurements are reproduced from (99),

Δ40 AFM measurements were performed as part of this study. Error bars show SD.

Strains used: PY79, WT (for TEM measurements); 168, WT (for AFM measurements);

bSW431, Δ40.

C: Representative TEM images of cell wall thickness. Representative TEM images

of cell wall thickness analyzed in B. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW431, Δ40. Scale bar

is 20 nm.

D: Representative AFM images of sacculi. Representative AFM images of cell walls

analyzed in B. Strains used: 168, WT; bSW431, Δ40. Scale bars are 100 nm.

Figure 6: The Δ40 strain has similar viability to WT in a range of stress conditions,

but Δ40 Δ*cwlO* is sensitive to ionic, cold, and low pH stress. Spot dilution assays of

different strains under various stress conditions. Cultures of each strain were plated in a

1:10 dilution series onto LB plates containing various stressors and grown overnight at

the specified temperature, or at 37°C if not indicated. Most conditions supported normal

growth, but growth of the Δ40 Δ*cwlO* strain was inhibited at 25°C, pH 5.5, or with the

addition of 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM $MgSO_4$, 10 mM $CaCl_2$, or 300 mM NaCl. Strains used:

PY79, WT; bSW23, Δ*cwlO*; bSW295, Δ*lytE*; bSW431, Δ40; bSW433, Δ40 Δ*cwlO*;

bSW435, Δ40 Δ*lytE*.

Figure 7: Three uncharacterized RlpA-like proteins stimulate LytE activity in the

presence of divalent cations.

A: The removal of three RlpA-like proteins makes Δ*cwlO* cells stress-sensitive.

Spot dilution assays were performed as in Figure 4. Δ*yabE* Δ*yocH* Δ*ydjM* (ΔRLPAs)

Δ*cwlO* showed the same stress sensitivity profile as Δ40 Δ*cwlO*, except that 10 mM

$MgSO_4$ and 25°C only partially inhibited growth. Strain used: bSW490, Δ*cwlO* Δ*yabE*

Δ*yocH* Δ*ydjM*.

B: Mg^{2+} directly inhibits LytE activity. LytE was expressed in E. coli and purified both

with and without its N-terminal LysM domains and incubated with purified cell walls in

150 μL of 50 mM HEPES pH 7, 2 mM DTT, 500 mM NaCl with 0.5% (w/v) Pluronic F-

108 in a 96-well plate. OD_{600} was measured every 2 min using a plate reader. The

addition of 25 mM $MgCl_2$ almost completely inhibited the activity of LytE.

C: LytE overexpression rescues Mg²⁺ sensitivity in the ΔRLPAs Δ*cwlO*

background. Spot dilutions were performed as in Figure 5A, with the indicated

concentration of $MgCl_2$ and the addition of 1 mM IPTG to drive LytE overexpression.

Strains used: PY79, WT; (ΔRLPAs) Δ*cwlO*, bSW490, Δ*cwlO* Δ*yabE* Δ*yocH* Δ*ydjM*;

(ΔRLPAs) Δ*cwlO* lytE overexpression, bSW519, Δ*cwlO* Δ*yabE* Δ*yocH* Δ*ydjM*

amyE::pHyperSpank-lytE.

REFERENCES

1. Brill J, Hoffmann T, Bleisteiner M, Bremer E. 2011. Osmotically controlled synthesis of the compatible solute proline is critical for cellular defense of Bacillus subtilis against high osmolarity. J Bacteriol 193:5335–5346.

2. Vollmer W. 2012. Bacterial growth does require peptidoglycan hydrolases. Mol Microbiol 86:1031–1035.

3. Typas A, Banzhaf M, Gross CA, Vollmer W. 2012. From the regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis to bacterial growth and morphology. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:123–136.

4. Smith TJ, Blackman SA, Foster SJ. 2000. Autolysins of Bacillus subtilis: Multiple enzymes with multiple functions. Microbiology 146:249–262.

5. Vermassen A, Leroy S, Talon R, Provot C, Popowska M, Desvaux M. 2019. Cell wall hydrolases in bacteria: Insight on the diversity of cell wall amidases, glycosidases and peptidases toward peptidoglycan. Front Microbiol 10.

6. Dik DA, Marous DR, Fisher JF, Mobashery S. 2017. Lytic transglycosylases: concinnity in concision of the bacterial cell wall. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 52:503–542.

7. Blackman SA, Smith TJ, Foster SJ. 1998. The role of autolysins during vegetative growth of Bacillus subtilis 168. Microbiology 144:73–82.

8. Holtje J V. 1995. From growth to autolysis: The murein hydrolases in Escherichia coli. Arch Microbiol 164:243–254.

9. Heidrich C, Ursinus A, Berger J, Schwarz H, Höltje JV. 2002. Effects of multiple deletions of murein hydrolases on viability, septum cleavage, and sensitivity to

large toxic molecules in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 184:6093–6099.

10. Bisicchia P, Noone D, Lioliou E, Howell A, Quigley S, Jensen T, Jarmer H, Devine

KM. 2007. The essential YycFG two-component system controls cell wall

metabolism in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 65:180–200.

11. Fukushima T, Afkham A, Kurosawa SI, Tanabe T, Yamamoto H, Sekiguchi J.

2006. A new D,L-endopeptidase gene product, YojL (renamed CwlS), plays a role

in cell separation with LytE and LytF in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 188:5541–

5550.

12. Meisner J, Llopis PM, Sham LT, Garner E, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ, Montero

Llopis P, Sham LT, Garner E, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2013. FtsEX is required

for CwlO peptidoglycan hydrolase activity during cell wall elongation in Bacillus

subtilis. Mol Microbiol 89:1069–1083.

13. Potter SC, Luciani A, Eddy SR, Park Y, Lopez R, Finn RD. 2018. HMMER web

server: 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Res 46:W200–W204.

14. Skovgaard O, Bak M, Løbner-Olesen A, Tommerup N. 2011. Genome-wide

detection of chromosomal rearrangements, indels, and mutations in circular

chromosomes by short read sequencing. Genome Res 21:1388–1393.

15. Atrih A, Bacher G, Allmaier G, Williamson MP, Foster SJ. 1999. Analysis of

Peptidoglycan Structure from Vegetative Cells of Bacillus subtilis 168 and Role of

PBP 5 in Peptidoglycan Maturation. J Bacteriol 181:3956–3966.

16. Yunck R, Cho H, Bernhardt TG. 2016. Identification of MltG as a potential

terminase for peptidoglycan polymerization in bacteria. Mol Microbiol 99:700–718.

17. Sassine J, Pazos M, Breukink E, Vollmer W. 2021. Lytic transglycosylase MltG

cleaves in nascent peptidoglycan and produces short glycan strands. Cell Surf

7:100053.

18.   Taguchi A, Page JE, Tsui HCT, Winkler ME, Walker S. 2021. Biochemical

reconstitution defines new functions for membrane-bound glycosidases in

assembly of the bacterial cell wall. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118:1–10.

19.   Jolliffe LK, Doyle RJ, Streips UN. 1981. The energized membrane and cellular

autolysis in Bacillus subtilis. Cell 25:753–763.

20.   Margot P, Pagni M, Karamata D. 1999. Bacillus subtilis 168 gene lytF encodes a

7-D- muropeptidase expressed by the alternative vegetative sigma factor , cD.

Microbiology 145:57–65.

21.   Ohnishi R, Ishikawa S, Sekiguchi J. 1999. Peptidoglycan hydrolase LytF plays a

role in cell separation with CwlF during vegetative growth of Bacillus subtilis. J

Bacteriol 181:3178–3184.

22.   Ishikawa S, Hara Y, Ohnishi R, Sekiguchi J. 1998. Regulation of a new cell wall

hydrolase gene, cwlF, which affects cell separation in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol

180:2549–2555.

23.   Heffron JD, Orsburn B, Popham DL. 2009. Roles of Germination-Specific Lytic

Enzymes CwlJ and SleB in Bacillus anthracis. J Bacteriol 191:2237–2247.

24.   Chastanet A, Losick R. 2007. Engulfment during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis is

governed by a multi-protein complex containing tandemly acting autolysins. Mol

Microbiol 64:139–152.

25.   Morlot C, Uehara T, Marquis KA, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2010. A highly

coordinated cell wall degradation machine governs spore morphogenesis in

Bacillus subtilis. Genes Dev 24:411–422.

26.   Pooley HM. 1976. Layered distribution, according to age, within the cell wall of

bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 125:1139–1147.

27.   Fan DP, Beckman MM. 1971. Mutant of Bacillus subtilis demonstrating the

requirement of lysis for growth. J Bacteriol 105:629–636.

28.   Fan DP, Beckman MM, Cunningham WP. 1972. Ultrastructural studies on a

mutant of Bacillus subtilis whose growth is inhibited due to insufficient autolysin

production. J Bacteriol 109:1247–1257.

29.   Graham LL, Beveridge TJ. 1990. Evaluation of freeze-substitution and

conventional embedding protocols for routine electron microscopic processing of

eubacteria. J Bacteriol 172:2141–2149.

30.   Graham LL, Beveridge TJ. 1994. Structural differentiation of the Bacillus subtilis

168 cell wall. J Bacteriol 176:1413–1421.

31.   Matias VRF, Beveridge TJ. 2005. Cryo-electron microscopy reveals native

polymeric cell wall structure in Bacillus subtilis 168 and the existence of a

periplasmic space. Mol Microbiol 56:240–251.

32.   Kern T, Giffard M, Hediger S, Amoroso A, Giustini C, Bui NK, Joris B, Bougault C,

Vollmer W, Simorre JP. 2010. Dynamics characterization of fully hydrated

bacterial cell walls by solid-state NMR: Evidence for cooperative binding of metal

ions. J Am Chem Soc 132:10911–10919.

33.   Hussain S, Wivagg CN, Szwedziak P, Wong F, Schaefer K, Izoré T, Renner LD,

Holmes MJ, Sun Y, Bisson-Filho AW, Walker S, Amir A, Löwe J, Garner EC.

2018. MreB filaments align along greatest principal membrane curvature to orient

cell wall synthesis. Elife 7:1–45.

34.   Formstone A, Errington J. 2005. A magnesium-dependent mreB null mutant:

Implications for the role of mreB in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 55:1646–1657.

35.   Shah IM, Dworkin J. 2010. Induction and regulation of a secreted peptidoglycan

hydrolase by a membrane Ser/Thr kinase that detects muropeptides. Mol

Microbiol 75:1232–1243.

36.   Hett EC, Chao MC, Rubin EJ. 2010. Interaction and modulation of two

antagonistic cell wall enzymes of mycobacteria. PLoS Pathog 6:1–14.

37.   Hett EC, Chao MC, Deng LL, Rubin EJ. 2008. A mycobacterial enzyme essential

for cell division synergizes with resuscitation-promoting factor. PLoS Pathog 4.

38.   Sanchez S, Dunn CM, Kearns DB. 2021. CwlQ is required for swarming motility

but not flagellar assembly in Bacillus subtilis. bioRxiv 2021.01.13.426625.

39.   Calamita HG, Doyle RJ. 2002. Regulation of autolysins in teichuronic acid-

containing Bacillus subtilis cells. Mol Microbiol 44:601–606.

40.   Atilano ML, Pereira PM, Yates J, Reed P, Veiga H, Pinho MG, Filipe SR. 2010.

Teichoic acids are temporal and spatial regulators of peptidoglycan cross-linking

in Staphylococcus aureus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:18991–18996.

41.   Yamamoto H, Miyake Y, Hisaoka M, Kurosawa SI, Sekiguchi J. 2008. The major

and minor wall teichoic acids prevent the sidewall localization of vegetative DL-

endopeptidase LytF in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 70:297–310.

42.   Reith J, Mayer C. 2011. Peptidoglycan turnover and recycling in Gram-Positive

bacteria. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 92:1–11.

43.   Vitkovic LA, Cheung HY, Freese E. 1984. Absence of correlation between rates of

cell wall turnover and autolysis shown by Bacillus subtilis mutants. J Bacteriol

157:318–320.

44.   Harwood CR, Cutting SM. 1990. Molecular Biological Methods for Bacillus

(Modern Microbiological Methods). Wiley.

45.   Bateman A, Martin MJ, Orchard S, Magrane M, Agivetova R, Ahmad S, Alpi E,

Bowler-Barnett EH, Britto R, Bursteinas B, Bye-A-Jee H, Coetzee R, Cukura A, da

Silva A, Denny P, Dogan T, Ebenezer TG, Fan J, Castro LG, Garmiri P,

Georghiou G, Gonzales L, Hatton-Ellis E, Hussein A, Ignatchenko A, Insana G,

Ishtiaq R, Jokinen P, Joshi V, Jyothi D, Lock A, Lopez R, Luciani A, Luo J, Lussi

Y, MacDougall A, Madeira F, Mahmoudy M, Menchi M, Mishra A, Moulang K,

Nightingale A, Oliveira CS, Pundir S, Qi G, Raj S, Rice D, Lopez MR, Saidi R,

Sampson J, Sawford T, Speretta E, Turner E, Tyagi N, Vasudev P, Volynkin V,

Warner K, Watkins X, Zaru R, Zellner H, Bridge A, Poux S, Redaschi N, Aimo L,

Argoud-Puy G, Auchincloss A, Axelsen K, Bansal P, Baratin D, Blatter MC,

Bolleman J, Boutet E, Breuza L, Casals-Casas C, de Castro E, Echioukh KC,

Coudert E, Cuche B, Doche M, Dornevil D, Estreicher A, Famiglietti ML,

Feuermann M, Gasteiger E, Gehant S, Gerritsen V, Gos A, Gruaz-Gumowski N,

Hinz U, Hulo C, Hyka-Nouspikel N, Jungo F, Keller G, Kerhornou A, Lara V, Le

Mercier P, Lieberherr D, Lombardot T, Martin X, Masson P, Morgat A, Neto TB,

Paesano S, Pedruzzi I, Pilbout S, Pourcel L, Pozzato M, Pruess M, Rivoire C,

Sigrist C, Sonesson K, Stutz A, Sundaram S, Tognolli M, Verbregue L, Wu CH,

Arighi CN, Arminski L, Chen C, Chen Y, Garavelli JS, Huang H, Laiho K,

McGarvey P, Natale DA, Ross K, Vinayaka CR, Wang Q, Wang Y, Yeh LS,

928   Zhang J, Ruch P, Teodoro D. 2021. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase

929   in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res 49:D480–D489.

930 46. Welsh MA, Taguchi A, Schaefer K, Van Tyne D, Lebreton F, Gilmore MS, Kahne

931   D, Walker S. 2017. Identification of a Functionally Unique Family of Penicillin-

932   Binding Proteins. J Am Chem Soc 139:17727–17730.

933 47. Teleman J, Chawade A, Sandin M, Levander F, Malmström J. 2016. Dinosaur: A

934   Refined Open-Source Peptide MS Feature Detector. J Proteome Res 15:2143–

935   2151.

936 48. Ursell T, Lee TK, Shiomi D, Shi H, Tropini C, Monds RD, Colavin A, Billings G,

937   Bhaya-Grossman I, Broxton M, Huang BE, Niki H, Huang KC. 2017. Rapid,

938   precise quantification of bacterial cellular dimensions across a genomic-scale

939   knockout library. BMC Biol 15:1–15.

940 49. Dion MF, Kapoor M, Sun Y, Wilson S, Ryan J, Vigouroux A, van Teeffelen S,

941   Oldenbourg R, Garner EC. 2019. Bacillus subtilis cell diameter is determined by

942   the opposing actions of two distinct cell wall synthetic systems. Nat Microbiol

943   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0439-0.

944 50. Van Valen DA, Kudo T, Lane KM, Macklin DN, Quach NT, DeFelice MM, Maayan

945   I, Tanouchi Y, Ashley EA, Covert MW. 2016. Deep Learning Automates the

946   Quantitative Analysis of Individual Cells in Live-Cell Imaging Experiments. PLoS

947   Comput Biol 12:1–24.

948 51. Foster SJ. 1991. Cloning, expression, sequence analysis and biochemical

949   characterization of an autolytic amidase of Bacillus subtilis 168 trpC2. J Gen

950   Microbiol 137:1987–98.

951   52.   Foster SJ. 1993. Analysis of Bacillus subtilis 168 prophage-associated lytic

952   enzymes; Identification and characterization of CWLA-related prophage proteins.

953   J Gen Microbiol 139:3177–3184.

954   53.   Kuroda A, Sekiguchi J. 1990. Cloning, sequencing and genetic mapping of a

955   Bacillus subtilis cell wall hydrolase gene. J Gen Microbiol 136:2209–2216.

956   54.   Nugroho FA, Yamamoto H, Kobayashi Y, Sekiguchi J. 1999. Characterization of a

957   new sigma-K-dependent peptidoglycan hydrolase gene that plays a role in

958   Bacillus subtilis mother cell lysis. J Bacteriol 181:6230–6237.

959   55.   Longchamp PF, Mauel C, Karamata D. 1994. Lytic enzymes associated with

960   defective prophages of Bacillus subtilis: Sequencing and characterization of the

961   region comprising the N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase gene of prophage

962   PBSX. Microbiology 140:1855–1867.

963   56.   Longchamp PF, Mauel C, Karamata D. 1984. Lytic enzymes associated with

964   defective prophages of Bacillus subtilis : sequencing and characterization of the

965   region comprising the N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase gene of prophage

966   PBSX.

967   57.   Regamey A, Karamata D. 1998. The N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase

968   encoded by the Bacillus subtilis 168 prophage SPβ. Microbiology 144:885–893.

969   58.   Kuroda A, Asami Y, Sekiguchi J. 1993. Molecular cloning of a sporulation-specific

970   cell wall hydrolase gene of Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 175:6260–6268.

971   59.   Smith TJ, Foster SJ. 1995. Characterization of the involvement of two

972   compensatory autolysins in mother cell lysis during sporulation of Bacillus subtilis

973   168. J Bacteriol 177:3855–3862.

974   60.   Sekiguchi J, Akeo K, Yamamoto H, Khasanov FK, Alonso JC, Kuroda A. 1995.

975   Nucleotide sequence and regulation of a new putative cell wall hydrolase gene,

976   cwlD, which affects germination in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 177:5582–5589.

977   61.   Atrih A, Zöllner P, Allmaierr G, Foster SJ. 1996. Structural analysis of Bacillus

978   subtilis 168 endospore peptidoglycan and its role during differentiation. J Bacteriol

979   178:6173–6183.

980   62.   Popham DL, Helin J, Costello CE, Setlow P. 1996. Muramic lactam in

981   peptidoglycan of Bacillus subtilis spores is required for spore outgrowth but not for

982   spore dehydration or heat resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:15405–15410.

983   63.   Gilmore ME, Bandyopadhyay D, Dean AM, Linnstaedt SD, Popham DL. 2004.

984   Production of Muramic δ-Lactam in Bacillus subtilis Spore Peptidoglycan. J

985   Bacteriol 186:80–89.

986   64.   Margot P, Karamata D. 1992. Identification of the structural genes for N-

987   acetylmuramoyl-l-alanine amidase and its modifier in Bacillus subtilis 168:

988   inactivation of these genes by insertional mutagenesis has no effect on growth or

989   cell separation. Mol Gen Genet MGG 232:359–366.

990   65.   Lazarevic V, Margot P, Soldo B, Karamata D. 1992. Sequencing and analysis of

991   the Bacillus subtilis lytRABC divergon: a regulatory unit encompassing the

992   structural genes of the N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase and its modifier. J

993   Gen Microbiol 138:1949–1961.

994   66.   Kuroda A, Sekiguchi J. 1991. Molecular cloning and sequencing of a major

995   Bacillus subtilis autolysin gene. J Bacteriol 173:7304–7312.

67.   Fischer KE, Bremer E. 2012. Activity of the osmotically regulated yqiHIK promoter

from bacillus subtilis is controlled at a distance. J Bacteriol 194:5197–5208.

68. Palomino MM, Sanchez-Rivas C, Ruzal SM. 2009. High salt stress in Bacillus

subtilis: involvement of PBP4* as a peptidoglycan hydrolase. Res Microbiol

160:117–124.

69. Litzinger S, Duckworth A, Nitzsche K, Risinger C, Wittmann V, Mayer C. 2010.

Muropeptide rescue in Bacillus subtilis involves sequential hydrolysis by β-N-

acetylglucosaminidase and N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase. J Bacteriol

192:3132–3143.

70. Lambert EA, Sherry N, Popham DL. 2012. In vitro and in vivo analyses of the

Bacillus anthracis spore cortex lytic protein SleL. Microbiology 158:1359–1368.

71. Lambert EA, Popham DL. 2008. The Bacillus anthracis SleL (YaaH) protein is an

N-Acetylglucosaminidase involved in spore cortex depolymerization. J Bacteriol

190:7601–7607.

72. Üstok FI, Chirgadze DY, Christie G. 2015. Structural and functional analysis of

SleL, a peptidoglycan lysin involved in germination of Bacillus spores. Proteins

Struct Funct Bioinforma 83:1787–1799.

73. Margot P, Mauël C, Karamata D. 1994. The gene of the N-acetylglucosaminidase,

a Bacillus subtilis 168 cell wall hydrolase not involved in vegetative cell autolysis.

Mol Microbiol 12:535–545.

74. Rashid MH, Mori M, Sekiguchi J. 1995. Glucosaminidase of Bacillus subtilis:

Cloning, regulation, primary structure and biochemical characterization.

Microbiology 141:2391–2404.

75. Horsburgh GJ, Atrih A, Williamson MP, Foster SJ. 2003. LytG of Bacillus subtilis

is a novel peptidoglycan hydrolase: The major active glucosaminidase.

Biochemistry 42:257–264.

76.   Quay DHX, Cole AR, Cryar A, Thalassinos K, Williams MA, Bhakta S, Keep NH.

2015. Structure of the stationary phase survival protein YuiC from B.subtilis. BMC

Struct Biol 15:12.

77.   Ishikawa S, Yamane K, Sekiguchi J. 1998. Regulation and characterization of a

newly deduced cell wall hydrolase gene (cwlJ) which affects germination of

Bacillus subtilis spores. J Bacteriol 180:1375–1380.

78.   Moriyama R, Fukuoka H, Miyata S, Kudoh S, Hattori A, Kozuka S, Yasuda Y,

Tochikubo K, Makino S. 1999. Expression of a germination-specific amidase,

sleB, of bacilli in the forespore compartment of sporulating cells and its

localization on the exterior side of the cortex in dormant spores. J Bacteriol

181:2373–2378.

79.   Boland FM, Atrih A, Chirakkal H, Foster SJ, Moir A. 2000. Complete spore-cortex

hydrolysis during germination of Bacillus subtilis 168 requires SleB and YpeB.

Microbiology 146:57–64.

80.   Sudiarta IP, Fukushima T, Sekiguchi J. 2010. Bacillus subtilis CwlQ (previous

YjbJ) is a bifunctional enzyme exhibiting muramidase and soluble-lytic

transglycosylase activities. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 398:606–612.

81.   Beachy EH, Keck W, de Pedro MA, Schwarz U. 1981. Exoenzymatic Activity of

Transglycosylase Isolated from Escherichia coli. Eur J Biochem 116:355–358.

82.   Sudiarta IP, Fukushima T, Sekiguchi J. 2010. Bacillus subtilis CwlP of the Sp-β

prophage has two novel peptidoglycan hydrolase domains, muramidase and

cross-linkage digesting DD-endopeptidase. J Biol Chem 285:41232–41243.

83.   Fukushima T, Kitajima T, Yamaguchi H, Ouyang Q, Furuhata K, Yamamoto H,

1045   Shida T, Sekiguchi J. 2008. Identification and characterization of novel cell wall

1046   hydrolase CwlT: A two-domain autolysin exhibiting N-acetylmuramidase and DL-

1047   endopeptidase activities. J Biol Chem 283:11117–11125.

1048   84.   Jorgenson MA, Chen Y, Yahashiri A, Popham DL, Weiss DS. 2014. The bacterial

1049   septal ring protein RlpA is a lytic transglycosylase that contributes to rod shape

1050   and daughter cell separation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol 93:113–

1051   128.

1052   85.   Kerff F, Amoroso A, Herman R, Sauvage E, Petrella S, Filée P, Charlier P, Joris

1053   B, Tabuchi A, Nikolaidis N, Cosgrove DJ. 2008. Crystal structure and activity of

1054   Bacillus subtilis YoaJ (EXLX1), a bacterial expansin that promotes root

1055   colonization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:16876–16881.

1056   86.   Abanes-De Mello A, Sun YL, Aung S, Pogliano K. 2002. A cytoskeleton-like role

1057   for the bacterial cell wall during engulfment of the Bacillus subtilis forespore.

1058   Genes Dev 16:3253–3264.

1059   87.   Kuroda A, Rashid MH, Sekiguchi J. 1992. Molecular cloning and sequencing of

1060   the upstream region of the major Bacillus subtilis autolysin gene: a modifier

1061   protein exhibiting sequence homology to the major autolysin and the spoIID

1062   product. J Gen Microbiol 138:1067–1076.

1063   88.   Kuroda A, Sekiguchi J. 1992. Characterization of the Bacillus subtilis CwbA

1064   protein which stimulates cell wall lytic amidases. FEMS Microbiol Lett 95:109–

1065   113.

1066   89.   Yamaguchi H, Furuhata K, Fukushima T, Yamamoto H, Sekiguchi J. 2004.

1067   Characterization of a new Bacillus subtilis peptidoglycan hydrolase gene, yvcE

1068   (named cwlO), and the enzymatic properties of its encoded protein. J Biosci

1069   Bioeng 98:174–181.

1070   90.   Margot P, Wahlen M, Gholamhuseinian A, Piggot P, Karamata D. 1998. The lytE

1071   gene of Bacillus subtilis 168 encodes a cell wall hydrolase. J Bacteriol 180:749–

1072   752.

1073   91.   Suzuki T, Tahara Y. 2003. Characterization of the Bacillus subtilis ywtD gene,

1074   whose product is involved in γ-polyglutamic acid degradation. J Bacteriol

1075   185:2379–2382.

1076   92.   Fukushima T, Uchida N, Ide M, Kodama T, Sekiguchi J. 2018. DL-

1077   endopeptidases function as both cell wall hydrolases and poly-γ-glutamic acid

1078   hydrolases. Microbiol (United Kingdom) 164:277–286.

1079   93.   Schmidt DMZ, Hubbard BK, Gerlt JA. 2001. Evolution of enzymatic activities in

1080   the enolase superfamily: Functional assignment of unknown proteins in bacillus

1081   subtilis and Escherichia coli as L-Ala-D/L-Glu epimerases. Biochemistry

1082   40:15707–15715.

1083   94.   Hourdou ML, Guinand M, Vacheron MJ, Michel G, Denoroy L, Duez C, Englebert

1084   S, Joris B, Weber G, Ghuysen JM. 1993. Characterization of the sporulation-

1085   related γ-D-glutamyl-(L)mesodiaminopimelic-acid-hydrolysing peptidase I of

1086   Bacillus sphaericus NCTC 9602 as a member of the metallo(zinc)

1087   carboxypeptidase A family: Modular design of the protein. Biochem J 292:563–

1088   570.

1089   95.   Fukushima T, Yao Y, Kitajima T, Yamamoto H, Sekiguchi J. 2007.

1090   Characterization of new L,D-endopeptidase gene product CwlK (previous YcdD)

1091   that hydrolyzes peptidoglycan in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Genet Genomics 278:371–

1092   383.

1093   96.   Horsburgh GJ, Atrih A, Foster SJ. 2003. Characterization of LytH, a

1094   differentiation-associated peptidoglycan hydrolase of Bacillus subtilis involved in

1095   endospore cortex maturation. J Bacteriol 185:3813–3820.

1096   97.   Pedreira T, Elfmann C, Stülke J. 2022. The current state of SubtiWiki, the

1097   database for the model organism Bacillus subtilis. Nucleic Acids Res 50:D875–

1098   D882.

1099   98.   Glauner B, Holtje J V., Schwarz U. 1988. The composition of the murein of

1100   Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem 263:10088–10095.

1101   99.   Pasquina-Lemonche L, Burns J, Turner RD, Kumar S, Tank R, Mullin N, Wilson

1102   JS, Chakrabarti B, Bullough PA, Foster SJ, Hobbs JK. 2020. The architecture of

1103   the Gram-positive bacterial cell wall. Nature 582:294–297.

1104

Name (alias)	PY79	UniProt	Locus tag	Regulons	e-value	References	Activity
AMIDASE							
Amidase_2 (PF01510)							
cwlA	Y/KO	P24808	BSU25900		5.60E-19	(51–53)	Amidase (51)
cwlH (yqeE)	Y/KO	P54450	BSU25710	gerE^*, sigK^*	7.50E-25	(54)	Amidase (54)
xlyA	Y/KO	P39800	BSU12810	xpf*	1.00E-23	(55)	Amidase (56)
xlyB (yjpB)	Y/KO	O34391	BSU12460		2.20E-18	similarity; xlyA	ND
blyA (yomC)	N	O31982	BSU21410		2.30E-18	(57)	Amidase (57)
Amidase_3 (PF01520)							
cwlC	Y/KO	Q06320	BSU17410	sigK^*	3.4E-44	(58, 59)	Amidase (59)
cwlD	Y/KO	P50864	BSU01530	lexA^*, sigE^*, sigG^*	1.10E-48	(19, 51, 52, 53)	Amidase (63)
lytC (cwlB)	Y/KO	Q02114	BSU35620	sigA^*, sigD^*, sinR^*, slrR^*, yvrHB^*	6.4E-54	(7, 59, 64–66)	Amidase (66)
yqiI	Y/KO	P54525	BSU24190	sigA*	5.50E-56	(67)	SU (67)
yrvJ	Y/KO	O32041	BSU27580	sigH^	2.30E-44	similarity; lytC	ND
Amidase_6 (PF12671)							
yhbB (ygaQ)	Y/KO	O31589	BSU08920	sigE^*	1.50E-39	uncharacterized	ND
yjcM	Y/KO	O31635	BSU11910	abrB*, sigD*	1.30E-23	uncharacterized	ND
SpoIIP (PF07454)							
spoIIP	Y/KO	P37968	BSU25530	sigE^*, sigF^*, sigG^*, spoVT^*	9.70E-79	(24, 25)	amidase, DDEP (25)
Beta-lactamase (PF00144)							
pbpE	Y/KO	P32959	BSU34440	sigW^*	3.40E-61	(68)	SU (68)
pbpX	Y/KO	O31773	BSU16950	sigM^*, sigV^*, sigW^, sigX^*	7.90E-54	similarity; pbpE	ND
amiE (ybbE)	Y	O05213	BSU01670	murR*	7.40E-76	does not hydrolyse PG (69)	
N-ACETYLGLUCOSAMIDASE/LYTIC TRANSGLYCOSYLASE							
Glyco_hydro_3 (PF01915)							
nagZ (yzbA, ybbD)	Y	P40406	BSU01660	murR*	6.50E-131	does not hydrolyse PG (69)	
Glyco_hydro_18 (PF00704)							
yaaH (sleL)	Y/KO	P37531	BSU00160	sigB^*, sigE^*, spoIIID^*	1.20E-26	cleaves small fragments (70–72)	Glucosaminidase (B. anthracis SleL,(71))
ydhD	Y/KO	O05495	BSU05710	sigE^*	9.60E-30	similarity; yaaH	ND
ykvQ	Y/KO	O31682	BSU13790	sigK^*	2.50E-23	similarity; yaaH	ND
yvbX	Y/KO	O32258	BSU34020		1.10E-33	similarity; yaaH	ND

Gene		ID	Regulator	E-value	Reference/Note	Activity
Glucosaminidase (PF01832)						
lytD (cwlG)	Y/KO	P39848 BSU35780	sigD^*, sigG^	3.30E-11	(7, 73, 74)	Glucosaminidase (73)
lytG	Y/KO	O32083 BSU31120		6.80E-24	(75)	Glucosaminidase (75)
3D (PF06725)						
yabE	Y/KO	P37546 BSU00400	sigA*	1.80E-22	similarity; yuiC, yocH	ND
yocH	Y/KO	O34669 BSU19210	abrB^*, sigA^*, spo0A^*, walR^*	3.50E-22	(35)	SU (35)
yuiC	Y/KO	O32108 BSU32070	codY^*, sigF^*	9.40E-21	(76)	LTG (76)
yorM	N	O31901 BSU20330		7.90E-11	similarity; yuiC, yocH	ND
Hydrolase_2 (PF07486)						
cwlJ (ycbQ)	Y/KO	P42249 BSU02600	sigE^*, sigK^*, spoIIID^*	1.60E-18	(77)	ND
sleB (ypeA)	Y/KO	P50739 BSU22930	sigG^*	1.20E-25	(23, 78, 79)	LTG (79)
ykvT	Y/KO	O31685 BSU13820	walR*	5.80E-28	similarity; sleB, cwlJ	ND
SLT (PF01464)						
xkdO	Y/KO	P54334 BSU12680	xpf*	6.20E-20	similarity; cwlQ, cwlP	ND
cwlQ (yjbJ)	Y/KO	O31608 BSU11570	sigD^*	1.70E-34	(80, 81)	LTG + muramidase (80)
yqbO	Y/KO	P45931 BSU26030		5.70E-24	similarity; cwlQ, cwlP	ND
cwlP (yomI)	Y/KO	O31976 BSU21350		1.80E-32	(82)	Muramidase** (82)
Lysozyme_like (PF13702)						
yocA	Y/KO	O34636 BSU19130		4.30E-59	similarity; CwlT	ND
cwlT (yddH)	N	P96645 BSU04970	immR*	2.10E-42	(83)	Muramidase** (83)
DPBB_1 (PF03330)						
ydjM (yzvA)	Y/KO	P40775 BSU06250	phoP*, walR*	1.00E-09	similarity; PaRlpA (84)	ND
yoaJ (EXLX1)	N	O34918 BSU18630	fur*	2.90E-07	does not hydrolyse PG (85)	
SpoIID (PF08486)						
spoIID (spoIIC)	Y/KO	P07372 BSU36750	sigE^*, spoIIID^*	1.30E-23	(25, 86)	LTG (25)
lytB (cwbA)	Y	Q02113 BSU35630	sigA^*, sigD^*, sinR^*, slrR^*, yvrHB^*	3.90E-23	does not hydrolyse PG (87, 88)	
YceG (PF02618)						
mltG (yrrL)	Y	O34758 BSU27370	spo0A^*	3.20E-90	similarity; EcMltG (16); membrane bound	LTG (17-18)
sweC (yqzC)	Y	O32023 BSU24940	spo0A^*	1.40E-07	similarity; EcMltG (16); membrane bound	ND

PEPTIDASE

DL-endopeptidase

				NLPC/P60 (PF00877)		
cwlO (yzkA,yvcE)	Y	P40767 BSU34800	sigA*, walR*	4.40E-29	(89)	DLEP (89)
cwlS (yojL)	Y/KO	O31852 BSU19410	abh^*, abrB^*, ccpA^*, sigD^*, sigH^*	4.70E-29	(11)	DLEP (11)
lytE (papQ, cwlF)	Y	P54421 BSU09420	sigA^*, sigH^*, sigI^*, spo0A^*, walR^*	3.30E-28	(22, 90)	SU (22)
lytF (cwlE, ydhD)	Y/KO	O07532 BSU09370	sigD^*, sinR^*, slrR^*	1.40E-28	(20, 21)	DLEP (21)
pgdS (ywtD)	Y	P96740 BSU35860	sigD^*	1.00E-22	does not hydrolyze PG (91, 92)	
ykfC	Y/KO	O35010 BSU12990	codY*	1.80E-29	(93)	DLEP (93)
cwlT (yddH)	N	P96645 BSU04970	immR*	3.50E-34	(83)	DLEP** (83)
				Peptidase_M14 (PF00246)		
yqgT	Y/KO	P54497 BSU24830		2.70E-27	similarity to B. sphaericus EP1, (94)	ND
				Peptidase_C92 (PF05708)		
yycO	Y/KO	Q45607 BSU40280	sigK^*	5.0E-5		ND

LD-endopeptidase

				Peptidase_M15 (PF01427)		
cwlK (ycdD)	Y/KO	O34360 BSU02810		3.10E-20	(95)	LDEP (95)
				Peptidase_M23 (PF01551)		
lytH (yunA, yutA)	Y/KO	O32130 BSU32340	sigK^*	1.80E-21	(96)	LDEP (96)
spoIIQ	Y	P71044 BSU36550	sigF^*	1.00E-24	membrane bound	ND
spoIVFA	Y	P26936 BSU27980	sigE^*, spoIIID^*	3.40E-12	membrane bound	ND
cwlP (yomI)	N	O31976 BSU21350		1.80E-27	(82)	DDEP** (82)

A HPLC profile (TIC)

Δ40 ΔmltG
Δ40
WT

Time (min)

B

Glucosaminidase products

Percent of all muropeptides

WT   Δ40

*

Anhydro-muropeptides

**

WT   Δ40   Δ40 ΔmltG

*

Amidase products

*

WT   Δ40

DL-endopeptidase products

ns

WT   Δ40

C

Crosslinking index

ns

WT   Δ40   Δ40 ΔmltG

A

ΔRLPAs ΔcwlO

42 ºC
37 ºC
25 ºC
18 ºC
10 mM CaCl2
10 mM MgSO4
20 mM NaCl
10 mM MgCl2
pH 8.5
pH 5.5
1M sorbitol
500 mM NaCl

B

LytE activity assay

Percent of initial OD

Time (h)

- Buffer +Mg
- Buffer
- Full-length LytE +Mg
- Truncated LytE +Mg
- Full-length LytE
- Truncated LytE

C

WT   ΔRLPAs ΔcwlO   ΔRLPAs ΔcwlO
                                   LytE overexpression

[MgCl2], mM

1.5
0.75