Structure-function Specialisation of the Interfascicular Matrix in the Human Achilles

Tendon

Dharmesh Patel[1]; Danae E. Zamboulis[2]; Ewa M. Spiesz[3]; Helen L. Birch[4]; Peter D. Clegg[2];

Chavaunne T. Thorpe[5#]; Hazel R.C. Screen[1#*]

[#]Joint Last Authorship

[1]Institute of Bioengineering, School of Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary
University of London, Mile End Road, London, E1 4NS, UK.
9
10 [2] Department of Musculoskeletal Biology, Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences,
11 University of Liverpool, West Derby Street, Liverpool, L7 8TX, UK.

12 [3]Department of Bionanoscience, Delft University of Technology, Building 58, Van der
13 Maasweg 9, 2629 HZ Delft, The Netherlands.

14
15 [4]Institute of Orthopaedics and Musculoskeletal Science, UCL, Stanmore Campus, Brockley
16 Hill, Stanmore, Middlesex, HA7 4LP, UK.

17
18 [5]Department of Comparative Biomedical Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, Royal College
19 Street, London, NW1 0TU, UK.
20

21 [*]Corresponding Author: h.r.c.screen@qmul.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Objective

Tendon consists of highly aligned collagen-rich fascicles surrounded by interfascicular matrix (IFM). Some tendons act as energy stores to improve locomotion efficiency; these tendons are prone to debilitating injuries, the incidence of which increases with ageing. In equine tendons, energy storage is achieved primarily through specialisation of the IFM. However, no studies have investigated IFM structure-function specialisation in human tendons. Here, we compare the positional anterior tibialis and energy storing Achilles tendons, testing the hypothesis that the Achilles IFM has specialised composition and mechanical properties, which are lost with ageing.

Methods

We used a multidisciplinary combination of mechanical testing, immunolocalisation and proteomics to investigate structure-function specialisations in functionally distinct human tendons and how these are altered with ageing.

Results

The IFM in the energy storing Achilles tendon is more elastic and fatigue resistant than the IFM in the positional anterior tibialis tendon, with a trend towards decreased fatigue resistance with age in the Achilles IFM. With ageing, alterations occur predominantly to the proteome of the Achilles IFM.

Conclusion

The Achilles tendon IFM is specialised for energy storage, and changes to its proteome with ageing are likely responsible for the observed trends towards decreased fatigue resistance.

45   Knowledge of key energy storing specialisations and their changes with ageing offers insight

46   towards developing effective treatments for tendinopathy.

49   **Keywords:** fascicles; interfascicular matrix; mechanical testing; mass spectrometry; ageing,

Key messages:

What is already known about this subject?

- Energy storing tendons in animals and humans are particularly prone to tendinopathy and the incidence increases with increasing age.
- Previous work in some animal models has shown that the specialisation of tendon properties for energy storage is achieved primarily through adaptation of the interfascicular matrix, with specialisation lost in ageing. However, the structural specialisations that provide the human Achilles tendon with its energy storing ability, and how these are affected by ageing, remain to be established.

What does this study add?

- We demonstrate that the interfascicular matrix in the human Achilles tendon is specialised for energy storing, with increased elastic recoil and fatigue resistance, and that these specialisations are partially lost with ageing, likely due to alterations to the proteome of the interfascicular matrix.

How might this impact on clinical practice or future developments?

- Short term, the specialist IFM mechanics we have demonstrated can be detected with new developments in ultrasound functional imaging, offering improved opportunities for contextual tendinopathy diagnostics. Personalised rehabilitation programmes can now be explored and designed specifically to target IFM mechanics.
- Longer term, the knowledge of key specialisations in injury prone energy storing tendons and how they are affected by ageing, offers crucial insight towards developing cell or tissue engineering treatments targeted at restoring tendon structure and function post-injury, specifically targeted at the IFM.

INTRODUCTION

The Achilles tendon has two functions; transferring muscle-generated forces to move the skeleton, and storing energy during locomotion. Efficient energy storage requires the ability to stretch and recoil with each stride, and the mechanical properties of energy storing tendons are specialised to increase locomotory efficiency,[1,2]. The Achilles tendon is exposed to high stresses and strains during use, and has a much lower safety factor than most primarily positional tendons, such as the anterior tibialis tendon,[3,4], making it prone to age-related tendinopathy,[5-7]. While fundamental tendon structure is well defined, the structural specialisations that provide the Achilles with the mechanical properties required for energy storage remain unclear. This information is crucial to develop novel, targeted treatments that recover Achilles function post-injury.

All tendons have the same general structure, comprised of aligned, collagen-rich fascicles bound by the interfascicular matrix (IFM); a looser, less organised matrix also referred to as the endotenon,[8,9]. Our previous studies using the equine model have identified the importance of the IFM for tendon function, with structural and compositional specialisations within the IFM of the energy storing superficial digital flexor tendon (SDFT) resulting in a highly extensible and fatigue resistant material which likely translates to greater extensibility and fatigue resistance within the whole tendon,[10-14]. However, these specialisations become compromised with ageing, likely contributing to the age-related increased risk of injury to energy storing tendons in horses,[12,15,16].

While structure-function relationships in equine tendon are now well established, little work has been undertaken to elucidate structure-function relationships in human tendon or how these alter with ageing. Indeed, to the authors' knowledge, only one study has directly compared functionally distinct human tendons, demonstrating that the Achilles has a lower

elastic modulus than the anterior tibialis tendon, which is accompanied by several

compositional differences,[17]. While studies have assessed the mechanical properties of the

Achilles tendon *in vivo*,[1,18], or obtained healthy and/or diseased Achilles tissue via biopsy

or during surgery,[19-21], the limited amount of tissue available with these approaches

restricts the subsequent analysis that can be performed. Further, no studies have compared

subunits of functionally distinct human tendons to establish their role in tendon structure-

function relationships.

The aim of this study was therefore to compare the composition and mechanical properties of

the human Achilles and anterior tibialis tendons and their subunits, and identify any age-

related alterations. We hypothesise that the IFM in the energy storing Achilles tendon has

specialised composition and mechanical properties, and these specialisations are lost with

ageing.

METHODS

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in design or conduct of this fundamental mechanistic study.

Sample collection and processing

Collection, storage and use of human tendon was approved by the Human Tissue Authority

(HTA; REC number: 14/NE/0154). Achilles and anterior tibialis tendons were collected,

either post-mortem from the Centre for Comparative and Clinical Anatomy, University of

Bristol (HTA licence: 12135), or the Newcastle Surgical Training Centre, Freeman Hospital,

Newcastle upon Tyne (HTA licence: 12148), or as surgical waste from limbs amputated for

tumour treatment at the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, Stanmore (UCL/UCLH

Biobank for Studying Health and Disease; HTA license: 12055; R&D approval from

UCL/UCLH/RF JRO (Ref: 11/0464)). Donors were divided into two age groups (n=9/group);

middle-aged: 31–58 years, mean 47.6 years (3 female, 6 male); old aged: 72–94 years, mean

84.8 years (4 female, 5 male). Paired Achilles and anterior tibialis tendons were processed

<24 hours post-mortem by quartering longitudinally, and either frozen for mechanical

analysis or prepared for proteomic and histological analysis as described in supplementary

methods,[12,22].

All donor tendons underwent mechanical characterisation of IFM and fascicles. Owing to the

challenges associated with gripping short specimens for mechanical testing, and time required

to laser-capture tissue for proteomic analysis, only 5 paired tendons from each age group

underwent quarter tendon testing, histologic and proteomic analysis. See supplementary table

1 for donor and analysis details.

Mechanical characterisation of tendon, fascicles and IFM

Tendon quasi-static mechanical properties – Immediately before testing, one quarter from

each tendon was thawed and its cross-sectional area measured,[23]. Samples were

preconditioned then pulled to failure. See supplementary methods for details of testing

parameters.

Fascicle and IFM quasi-static mechanical properties – Samples for determination of fascicle

and IFM quasi-static properties were dissected and prepared from one of the tendon quarters

as previously described,[10,24]. Samples were preconditioned then pulled to failure. See

supplementary methods for details of dissection and testing parameters.

Calculation of viscoelastic and failure properties - Force and extension data were recorded at

100Hz. Hysteresis and stress relaxation were calculated during preconditioning. For tendons

and fascicles, maximum modulus, failure strain and stress were calculated during the pull-to-

failure test, and for IFM samples, maximum stiffness, and force and extension at yield and

failure were calculated as previously described,[11].

Fascicle and IFM fatigue properties - Samples for determination of fascicle and IFM fatigue

properties were dissected from one of the tendon quarters and fatigue properties measured by

subjecting samples to cyclic loading until failure as described previously,[13,25], with some

modifications. See supplementary methods for details of testing parameters. Force and

displacement data were collected at 100Hz, with cycles to failure and secondary creep rate

calculated for each sample.

Statistical Analysis –A general linear mixed model was applied to assess differences between

tendon type and with ageing, using tendon type and age as crossed factors and donor as a

nested random effect factor (R, v3.6.1; www.r-project.org). Shapiro-Wilk tests indicated non-

normal distribution of the data, hence data transformations using box-cox transformations

were used.

Protein Immunolocalisation

Immunohistochemistry was used to localise decorin, fibromodulin, lubricin and versican, as

described previously,[22]. See Supplementary Table 2 for antibodies and blocking

conditions. Elastin distribution was assessed by elastic van Gieson's staining.

Quantification of elastin content

The elastin content of Achilles and anterior tibialis tendons from middle-aged and old donors

(n=3/group) was quantified using the FASTIN^{TM} Elastin Assay (Biocolor, UK) as

previously described,[14], and differences between tendon types assessed using paired t-

tests.

Mass Spectrometry Analyses

Laser-Capture Microdissection – Laser-capture microdissection of IFM and fascicles from

tendon cryosections was performed as previously described,[12], with a haematoxylin

staining step (1 min.) to visualise cell nuclei.

Protein Extraction and mass spectrometry analyses – Protein extraction and mass

spectrometry analysis of laser-captured samples was performed as described previously,[12],

using an Ultimate 3000 Nano system (Dionex/Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled to a Q-

Exactive Quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo-Scientific).

Peptide Identification and Quantification - Fascicle and IFM proteins were identified,

searching against the UniHuman reviewed database (http://www.uniprot.org/proteomes/)

using parameters and filters as described previously,[26] (Peaks® 8.5 PTM software;

Bioinformatics Solutions, Canada). Label-free quantification was performed separately for

IFM and fascicles from each tendon and age group (Peaks® 8.5 PTM software). Protein

abundances were normalised for collected laser-capture area and differentially abundant

proteins between groups identified using fold change ≥2 and $p<0.05$ (PEAKS-adjusted p-

values).The mass spectrometry data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange

Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner

repository,[27] with the dataset identifier PXD018212.

Gene Ontology and Network Analysis – The dataset of differentially abundant proteins

between groups were classified for cell compartment association with Ingenuity Pathway

Analysis (IPA, Qiagen) and for matrisome categories using The Matrisome Project

database,[28]. Protein pathway analysis for differentially abundant proteins was performed in

IPA.

RESULTS

Tendon, fascicle and IFM mechanical properties

There were no differences in tendon mechanical properties between age groups or tendon

types, except for maximum modulus and hysteresis, which were significantly greater in the

anterior tibialis tendon (Fig. 1). However, notable variability between donors was evident. At

the fascicle level, ultimate tensile stress, hysteresis and stress relaxation were significantly

greater in fascicles from the anterior tibialis tendon compared to those from the Achilles,

however, no changes in fascicle mechanical properties were evident with ageing. Similarly,

significantly greater hysteresis and force relaxation were evident in the IFM of the anterior

tibialis compared to the Achilles, but no changes occurred with ageing. There were also no

differences in IFM extension or force at the yield point, defined as the point at which

maximum stiffness was reached and indicating the limit of elastic behaviour, between tendon

types or age groups (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Fascicle and IFM fatigue properties

Fascicle fatigue resistance did not differ between tendon types or with ageing. By contrast,

the IFM in the Achilles was more fatigue resistant than that in the anterior tibialis tendon,

characterised by a significantly greater number of cycles to failure (p<0.001) and lower rate

of secondary creep (p<0.001). These differences were lost with ageing, due to indications of

reduced fatigue resistance in the ageing Achilles IFM, seen in a trend towards a decrease in

number of cycles to failure (p=0.09; Fig. 2).

Protein Immunolocalisation

Lubricin, versican and elastin localised to the IFM in both tendon types. Fibromodulin

staining was greater in the fascicles, with little or no staining in the IFM. Decorin was present

throughout the extracellular matrix (ECM) in both tendon types (Fig. 3).

Tendon elastin content

Elastin content in the Achilles from middle-aged and old donors was 2.4±1.6% and

1.05±0.4% respectively. Elastin content in the anterior tibialis was 1.7±0.9% in middle-aged,

and 2.1±0.6% in old tendon. See supplementary figure 2 for individual data. There was a

trend towards a lower elastin content in the old Achilles compared to the old anterior tibialis

tendon, but this did not reach statistical significance (p=0.07).

Protein identification and ontology

Overall, more proteins were identified in the IFM than in fascicles, and a greater proportion

of those identified in fascicles were classified as ECM or ECM-related proteins (Table 1).

	Fascicular Matrix				Interfascicular Matrix			
	Achilles		Anterior tibialis		Achilles		Anterior tibialis	
	Middle	Old	Middle	Old	Middle	Old	Middle	Old
Total Protein Number	**152**	**152**	**148**	**153**	**265**	**259**	**243**	**211**
Number of ECM Proteins	**54 (35.5%)**	**69 (45.4%)**	**58 (39.2%)**	**53 (34.6%)**	**77 (29.1%)**	**81 (31.3%)**	**82 (33.7%)**	**74 (35.1%)**
ECM Glycoproteins	16 (10.5%)	22 (14.5%)	17 (11.5%)	16 (10.5%)	28 (10.6%)	31 (12.0%)	31 (12.8%)	29 (13.7%)
Collagens	15 (9.9%)	16 (10.5%)	15 (10.1%)	15 (9.8%)	20 (7.5%)	18 (6.9%)	17 (7.0%)	17 (8.1%)
Proteoglycans	9 (5.9%)	10 (6.6%)	9 (6.1%)	8 (5.2%)	11 (4.2%)	11 (4.2%)	10 (4.1%)	10 (4.7%)
ECM Affiliated Proteins	4 (2.6%)	6 (3.9%)	6 (4.1%)	5 (3.3%)	9 (3.4%)	8 (3.1%)	9 (3.7%)	7 (3.3%)
ECM Regulators	8 (5.3%)	13 (8.6%)	10 (6.8%)	8 (5.2%)	6 (2.3%)	11 (4.2%)	13 (5.3%)	9 (4.3%)
Secreted Factors	2 (1.3%)	2 (1.3%)	1 (0.7%)	1 (0.7%)	3 (1.1%)	2 (0.8%)	2 (0.8%)	2 (0.9%)

Table 1. Protein number in each of the tendon compartments as identified by LC-

MS/MS and categorisation of matrisome-associated proteins. Numbers in brackets

indicate percentage of total protein number.

Differences in protein abundance between tendon types and age groups – More proteins were

identified as differentially abundant in the IFM than in fascicles, with most changes occurring

in the old Achilles (Fig. 4&5). Many of these proteins were ECM or ECM-associated, with a

predominance of proteoglycans and glycoproteins. By contrast, fewer alterations in protein

abundance were observed in fascicles, and the majority of those that did change were either

collagens, or were not ECM-related. Radar plots indicate that in the IFM, changes in collagen

abundance were consistent for different collagen types, whereas differences in

proteoglycan/glycoprotein abundance differed with protein type (Fig. 4). A similar pattern

was seen for collagens in fascicles as in the IFM, with greater abundance of several collagens

in the old Achilles (Fig. 5).

Pathway analysis – Potential upstream regulators were identified using IPA. TGF-β1 was

predicted to be activated in the old Achilles, and inhibited in the anterior tibialis tendon, in

both the IFM and fascicles (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

The results support our hypothesis, demonstrating specialisation of the IFM in the Achilles

tendon, which is more elastic and fatigue resistant than the IFM in the anterior tibialis tendon.

In contrast to our hypothesis, few changes in mechanical properties were observed with

ageing, although there was a trend towards decreased fatigue resistance with ageing in the

Achilles IFM only. Proteomic analysis revealed a more complex proteome in the IFM, with

age-related alterations in protein abundance predominantly occurring in the Achilles IFM.

Overall, the results we present here are similar to those reported previously in functionally

distinct equine tendons, with greater elasticity in energy storing compared to positional

tendons and their subunits,[10,11]. However, we observed fewer differences between human

tendons than seen previously in equine tendon, in which whole tendons and fascicles also

show several differences in mechanical properties between tendon types,[10,13]. This may

simply arise from the lower numbers of available human samples and high sample variability,

but may also be associated with the unmatched age ranges of human and equine samples, or

with differences in energy storing function, as the Achilles is a less extreme energy store than

the highly specialised equine SDFT,[29,30].

We were not able to obtain tendons from donors under 30 years old, so we are comparing

middle-aged and old, rather than young and old, providing less age contrast than in our

previous studies of equine tendon. It is possible that specialisation of the Achilles was already

diminishing in the middle-aged group, as we observed previously in equine tendon,[15], so

fewer age-related alterations in mechanical properties were evident. Indeed, it is well

established that Achilles tendinopathy is most prevalent in the fourth decade of life,[7,31],

which may well result from this diminishing specialisation coinciding with continual or

increasing usage as individuals take up new sporting activities.

However, it is also apparent that there are large variations in tendon mechanical properties

between individuals, which may mask differences between tendon types or with ageing. The

source of this variation is uncertain, but it should be noted that we had a mixed-sex

population, and minimal information about the health, exercise or injury status of donors.

Tendons with any macroscopic signs of degeneration were excluded from all analyses.

However, whilst some donors did have documented diabetes, in others information of any

systemic diseases were lacking. While the sex of each donor was known, limited sample

numbers means it was not possible to establish if any variability arose from sex-related

differences at baseline or with ageing, as previously reported,[32]. Despite these limitations,

it is notable that we still identified significant compositional and mechanical differences

between energy storing Achilles and positional anterior tibialis tendons.

Few studies have investigated the mechanical or structural properties of functionally distinct

human tendons and their subunits. Those that have, typically analyse a single tendon type to

explore limited mechanical or compositional aspects. The IFM of the human Achilles tendon

has received little attention previously, with data supporting the results we present here,

demonstrating localisation of lubricin to the IFM,[33], and identifying capacity for

interfascicular sliding,[34]. Indeed, a recent modelling study indicated that sliding of tendon

subunits, enabled by IFM, is necessary to accurately predict tendon viscoelasticity and

failure,[35]. However, in contrast to our previous findings in functionally distinct equine

tendons,[10,11,15], we did not identify any differences in interfascicular sliding between

tendon types or age groups, as measured by IFM extension at the point of maximum stiffness.

While the capacity for interfascicular sliding does not appear to differ between tendon types,

our results demonstrate enhanced elasticity and fatigue resistance in the Achilles IFM,

specialisations that likely contribute to efficient energy storage in this tendon.

Histological analysis confirmed that the IFM is rich in proteoglycans, particularly lubricin

and decorin, and also elastin, as seen previously in tendons from other species,[22,36,37].

Mass spectrometry allowed a comprehensive characterisation of the IFM and fascicular

proteomes and comparison between tendon types and age groups, revealing a greater

complexity in the IFM proteome in both tendon types, with almost double the number of

glycoproteins identified in this region compared to the FM, supporting previous findings in

equine tendon,[12]. The protein profile identified is also similar to that detected previously in

the whole Achilles tendon, with many collagens and proteoglycans present,[38]. Elastin

detection by mass spectrometry requires the inclusion of an elastase digestion step,[38] which

was not possible with our samples due to the limited volumes collected by laser capture.

However, by combining quantitative assays to determine whole tendon elastin content, and

immunolocalisation to identify its spatial arrangement, we were able to establish that elastin

was localised to the IFM, with a trend towards lower elastin content in the old Achilles

compared to the old anterior tibialis tendon. Previous research demonstrates a decline in

elastin content in the energy storing equine SDFT with ageing,[14]. In our samples, a

particularly large individual variation in elastin content in the middle-aged Achilles tendon

was evident (supplementary figure 1), which may mask a decline in elastin content in this

tendon with ageing. Previous studies have demonstrated highly variable energy storage

capacity between the Achilles tendons of middle-aged individuals,[39], which also indicates

significant individual variability in human tendons with ageing.

While no significant changes in tendon or subunit quasi-static mechanics were identified with

age, the superior fatigue resistance of the Achilles IFM was lost with ageing, suggesting some

effect of ageing on the Achilles IFM specifically. Indeed, proteomic analysis revealed that the

majority of changes in protein abundance were measured in the IFM from old Achilles

tendons relative to the other groups. Many of these changes were ECM-related, suggesting

dysregulation of homeostasis which may be responsible for the loss of superior fatigue

resistance observed. This is in contrast to previous transcriptomic analysis of the ageing

Achilles, which showed little changes in ECM proteins at the gene level,[40]. It may be that

the changes in ECM protein abundance we observed are post-transcriptionally regulated, or

that separate analysis of IFM and fascicles allows detection of differences that are not

apparent when the tendon is analysed as a whole. Indeed, very few proteins changed in

abundance in both fascicles and IFM with ageing, instead ageing changes occurred

predominantly in the IFM, suggesting differential age-related regulation of protein

homeostasis across fascicles and IFM. Of interest, we measured increased abundance of

collagen VI in the Achilles IFM with ageing. Collagen VI is overexpressed in several fibrotic

diseases, and collagen VI-null mice exhibit improved cardiac structure and function post-

myocardial infarction,[41,42].

TGF-β signalling was predicted to be activated in the Achilles tendon from old donors. TGF-

β signalling is essential for tendon development, and is expressed predominantly within the

IFM of developing and adult tendons,[43-45]. Indeed, our recent work demonstrates

upregulation of TGF-β in the IFM of the energy storing equine SDFT upon commencement

of loading during development,[26]. However, TGF-β signalling has also been shown to be

dysregulated in several age-associated diseases, including atherosclerosis, neurodegenerative

diseases and arthritis, and is upregulated in tendon injury,[46,47]. In cartilage, TGF-β

switches from a protective to a detrimental role with ageing, which is associated with

osteoarthritis development,[48]. It is possible that dysregulation of TGF-β signalling in the

old Achilles drives the changes in the IFM proteome reported here.

Conclusions

In this study, we demonstrate specialisation of the IFM in the energy storing Achilles tendon,

with greater elasticity and fatigue resistance than in the positional anterior tibialis tendon.

Further, we identify age-related alterations in the IFM proteome of the Achilles tendon which

is likely related to the loss of fatigue resistance observed. These changes may contribute to

the increased risk of Achilles tendinopathy with ageing, and provide information crucial for

developing improved tendinopathy diagnostics and IFM-targeted therapeutics.

Author Contributions

DP: Investigation, formal analysis, writing – review & editing; DEZ: Investigation, formal

analysis, visualisation, writing – review & editing; EMS: Investigation, writing – review &

editing; HLB: Conceptualisation, funding acquisition, writing – review & editing; PDC:

Conceptualisation, funding acquisition, supervision, writing – review & editing; CTT:

Conceptualisation, funding acquisition, writing – original draft; HRCS: Conceptualisation,

supervision, funding acquisition, writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr Deborah Simpson for her assistance with mass

spectrometry experiments and data analysis

Funding Sources

This study was funded by Versus Arthritis (grant number: 20262). CTT is funded by a Versus

Arthritis Career Development Fellowship (21216). PDC is supported by the Medical

Research Council (MRC) and Versus Arthritis as part of the MRC-Arthritis Research UK

Centre for Integrated research into Musculoskeletal Ageing (CIMA).

References:

1.   Lichtwark GA, Wilson AM. In vivo mechanical properties of the human Achilles tendon
during one-legged hopping. J Exp Biol. 2005;208(24):4715-25.
2.   Lichtwark GA, Wilson AM. Is Achilles tendon compliance optimised for maximum muscle
efficiency during locomotion? J Biomech. 2007;40(8):1768-75.
3.   Magnusson SP, Aagaard P, Rosager S, Dyhre-Poulsen P, Kjaer M. Load-displacement
properties of the human triceps surae aponeurosis in vivo. The Journal of Physiology.
2001;531(1):277-88.
4.   Ker RF, Alexander RM, Bennett MB. Why are mammalian tendons so thick? J Zool.
1988;216(2):309-24.
5.   Kujala UM, Sarna S, Kaprio J. Cumulative incidence of achilles tendon rupture and
tendinopathy in male former elite athletes. Clin J Sport Med. 2005;15(3):133-5.
6.   de Jonge S, van den Berg C, de Vos RJ, van der Heide HJL, Weir A, Verhaar JAN, et al.
Incidence of midportion Achilles tendinopathy in the general population. British Journal of Sports
Medicine. 2011;45(13):1026.
7.   Clayton RAE, Court-Brown CM. The epidemiology of musculoskeletal tendinous and
ligamentous injuries. Injury. 2008;39(12):1338-44.
8.   Kastelic J, Galeski A, Baer E. The multicomposite structure of tendon. Connective tissue
research. 1978;6(1):11-23.
9.   Kannus P. Structure of the tendon connective tissue. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and
Science in Sports. 2000;10(6):312-20.
10.   Thorpe CT, Udeze CP, Birch HL, Clegg PD, Screen HR. Specialization of tendon mechanical
properties results from interfascicular differences. Journal of the Royal Society Interface.
2012;9(76):3108-17.
11.   Thorpe CT, Godinho MS, Riley GP, Birch HL, Clegg PD, Screen HR. The interfascicular matrix
enables fascicle sliding and recovery in tendon, and behaves more elastically in energy storing
tendons. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials. 2015;52:85-94.
12.   Thorpe CT, Peffers MJ, Simpson D, Halliwell E, Screen HR, Clegg PD. Anatomical
heterogeneity of tendon: Fascicular and interfascicular tendon compartments have distinct
proteomic composition. Scientific Reports. 2016;6:20455.
13.   Thorpe CT, Riley GP, Birch HL, Clegg PD, Screen HR. Fascicles and the interfascicular matrix
show adaptation for fatigue resistance in energy storing tendons. Acta Biomater. 2016;42:308-15.

14.   Godinho MSC, Thorpe CT, Greenwald SE, Screen HRC. Elastin is Localised to the
Interfascicular Matrix of Energy Storing Tendons and Becomes Increasingly Disorganised With
Ageing. Scientific Reports. 2017;7(1):9713.
15.   Thorpe CT, Udeze CP, Birch HL, Clegg PD, Screen HR. Capacity for sliding between tendon
fascicles decreases with ageing in injury prone equine tendons: a possible mechanism for age-
related tendinopathy? European cells & materials. 2013;25:48-60.
16.   Thorpe CT, Riley GP, Birch HL, Clegg PD, Screen HRC. Fascicles and the interfascicular matrix
show decreased fatigue life with ageing in energy storing tendons. Acta Biomaterialia. 2017;56:58-
64.
17.   Birch HL. Tendon matrix composition and turnover in relation to functional requirements. Int
J Exp Pathol. 2007;88(4):241-8.
18.   Fouré A. New Imaging Methods for Non-invasive Assessment of Mechanical, Structural, and
Biochemical Properties of Human Achilles Tendon: A Mini Review. Front Physiol. 2016;7:324-.
19.   Dakin SG, Newton J, Martinez FO, Hedley R, Gwilym S, Jones N, et al. Chronic inflammation is
a feature of Achilles tendinopathy and rupture. British journal of sports medicine. 2018;52(6):359-
67.
20.   Pingel J, Lu Y, Starborg T, Fredberg U, Langberg H, Nedergaard A, et al. 3-D ultrastructure
and collagen composition of healthy and overloaded human tendon: evidence of tenocyte and
matrix buckling. J Anat. 2014;224(5):548-55.
21.   Magnusson SP, Qvortrup K, Larsen JO, Rosager S, Hanson P, Aagaard P, et al. Collagen fibril
size and crimp morphology in ruptured and intact Achilles tendons. Matrix Biol. 2002;21(4):369-77.
22.   Thorpe CT, Karunaseelan KJ, Ng Chieng Hin J, Riley GP, Birch HL, Clegg PD, et al. Distribution
of proteins within different compartments of tendon varies according to tendon type. Journal of
Anatomy. 2016;229(3):450-8.
23.   Goodship AE, Birch HL. Cross sectional area measurement of tendon and ligament in vitro: a
simple, rapid, non-destructive technique. Journal of Biomechanics. 2005;38(3):605-8.
24.   Thorpe CT, Riley GP, Birch HL, Clegg PD, Screen HR. Fascicles from energy-storing tendons
show an age-specific response to cyclic fatigue loading. Journal of the Royal Society Interface.
2014;11(92):20131058.
25.   Legerlotz K, Jones GC, Screen HRC, Riley GP. Cyclic loading of tendon fascicles using a novel
fatigue loading system increases interleukin-6 expression by tenocytes. Scandinavian Journal of
Medicine & Science in Sports. 2013;23(1):31-7.
26.   Zamboulis DE, Thorpe CT, Ashraf Kharaz Y, Birch HL, Screen HRC, Clegg PD. Postnatal
mechanical loading drives adaptation of tissues primarily through modulation of the non-
collagenous matrix. eLife. 2020;9:e58075.
27.   Vizcaíno JA, Côté RG, Csordas A, Dianes JA, Fabregat A, Foster JM, et al. The Proteomics
Identifications (PRIDE) database and associated tools: status in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res.
2013;41(D1):D1063-D9.
28.   Hynes RO, Naba A. Overview of the matrisome--an inventory of extracellular matrix
constituents and functions. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology. 2012;4(1):a004903.
29.   Patterson-Kane JC, Rich T. Achilles Tendon Injuries in Elite Athletes: Lessons in
Pathophysiology from Their Equine Counterparts. ILAR Journal. 2014;55(1):86-99.
30.   Thorpe CT, Screen HR. Tendon Structure and Composition. Advances in experimental
medicine and biology. 2016;920:3-10.
31.   Hess GW. Achilles Tendon Rupture:A Review of Etiology, Population, Anatomy, Risk Factors,
and Injury Prevention. Foot & Ankle Specialist. 2010;3(1):29-32.
32.   Pease LI, Clegg PD, Proctor CJ, Shanley DJ, Cockell SJ, Peffers MJ. Cross platform analysis of
transcriptomic data identifies ageing has distinct and opposite effects on tendon in males and
females. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):14443.

33.   Sun Y-L, Wei Z, Zhao C, Jay GD, Schmid TM, Amadio PC, et al. Lubricin in human achilles
tendon: The evidence of intratendinous sliding motion and shear force in achilles tendon. Journal of
Orthopaedic Research. 2015;33(6):932-7.
34.   Haraldsson BT, Aagaard P, Qvortrup K, Bojsen-Moller J, Krogsgaard M, Koskinen S, et al.
Lateral force transmission between human tendon fascicles. Matrix Biol. 2008;27(2):86-95.
35.   Obuchowicz R, Ekiert M, Kohut P, Holak K, Ambrozinski L, Tomaszewski KA, et al.
Interfascicular matrix-mediated transverse deformation and sliding of discontinuous tendon
subcomponents control the viscoelasticity and failure of tendons. Journal of the Mechanical
Behavior of Biomedical Materials. 2019;97:238-46.
36.   Funakoshi T, Schmid T, Hsu H, Spector M. Lubricin Distribution in the Goat Infraspinatus
Tendon: A Basis for Interfascicular Lubrication. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90(4):803-14.
37.   Kohrs RT, Zhao C, Sun Y-L, Jay GD, Zhang L, Warman ML, et al. Tendon fascicle gliding in wild
type, heterozygous, and lubricin knockout mice. J Orthop Res. 2011;29(3):384-9.
38.   Sato N, Taniguchi T, Goda Y, Kosaka H, Higashino K, Sakai T, et al. Proteomic Analysis of
Human Tendon and Ligament: Solubilization and Analysis of Insoluble Extracellular Matrix in
Connective Tissues. Journal of Proteome Research. 2016;15(12):4709-21.
39.   Arampatzis A, De Monte G, Karamanidis K, Morey-Klapsing G, Stafilidis S, Brüggemann G-P.
Influence of the muscle-tendon unit's mechanical and morphological properties on running
economy. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2006;209(17):3345-57.
40.   Peffers MJ, Fang Y, Cheung K, Wei TK, Clegg PD, Birch HL. Transcriptome analysis of ageing in
uninjured human Achilles tendon. Arthritis Res Ther. 2015;17:33.
41.   Cescon M, Gattazzo F, Chen P, Bonaldo P. Collagen VI at a glance. Journal of Cell Science.
2015;128(19):3525-31.
42.   Luther DJ, Thodeti CK, Shamhart PE, Adapala RK, Hodnichak C, Weihrauch D, et al. Absence
of type VI collagen paradoxically improves cardiac function, structure, and remodeling after
myocardial infarction. Circ Res. 2012;110(6):851-6.
43.   Pryce BA, Watson SS, Murchison ND, Staverosky JA, Dünker N, Schweitzer R. Recruitment
and maintenance of tendon progenitors by TGFβ signaling are essential for tendon formation.
Development. 2009;136(8):1351-61.
44.   Kuo CK, Petersen BC, Tuan RS. Spatiotemporal protein distribution of TGF-βs, their
receptors, and extracellular matrix molecules during embryonic tendon development.
Developmental Dynamics. 2008;237(5):1477-89.
45.   Russo V, Mauro A, Martelli A, Di Giacinto O, Di Marcantonio L, Nardinocchi D, et al. Cellular
and molecular maturation in fetal and adult ovine calcaneal tendons. Journal of Anatomy.
2015;226(2):126-42.
46.   Krieglstein K, Miyazono K, ten Dijke P, Unsicker K. TGF-β in aging and disease. Cell and Tissue
Research. 2012;347(1):5-9.
47.   Morita W, Snelling SJB, Dakin SG, Carr AJ. Profibrotic mediators in tendon disease: a
systematic review. Arthritis Research & Therapy. 2016;18(1):269.
48.   Hodgson D, Rowan AD, Falciani F, Proctor CJ. Systems biology reveals how altered TGFβ
signalling with age reduces protection against pro-inflammatory stimuli. PLOS Computational
Biology. 2019;15(1):e1006685.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Failure and viscoelastic properties of Achilles and anterior tibialis tendons and their subunits from middle-aged and old donors. Due to limited sample numbers, individual data points are plotted for tendon tests (solid line denotes median). Distribution of fascicle and IFM data is shown by violin plots (solid line denotes median, dashed lines indicate the interquartile range and width corresponds to frequency of data points).

Figure 2. Fatigue properties of fascicles and IFM in Achilles and anterior tibialis tendons. Data are plotted on a $\log_{10}$ scale. Distribution of data is shown by violin plots (solid line denotes median, dashed lines indicate the interquartile range and width corresponds to frequency of data points).

Figure 3. Localisation of proteins in the Achilles and anterior tibialis tendons. Representative immunohistochemical images showing distribution of tendon proteoglycans (brown) and elastin (black) in the Achilles and anterior tibialis tendons from middle-aged donors. IFM is indicated by *. Scale bar = 100 μm.

Figure 4. Most changes in the IFM protein abundance are observed in the old Achilles tendon. (A) Heatmap of differentially abundant proteins in the IFM middle-aged anterior tibialis (ATT M) and Achilles tendon (AT M), and old anterior tibialis tendon (ATT O) and Achilles tendon (AT O) ($p<0.05$, fold change ≥ 2). Heatmap colour scale ranges from blue to white to red with blue representing lower abundance and red higher abundance. MatrisomeDB was used to assign protein classifications. (B) Radar plots of collagens, proteoglycans and glycoproteins that showed differential abundance with age or tendon type in the IFM ($p<0.05$, fold change ≥ 2).

Figure 5. Most changes in fascicle protein abundance are observed in the old Achilles tendon. (A) Heatmap of differentially abundant proteins in the fascicles of middle-aged

anterior tibialis (ATT M) and Achilles tendon (AT M), and old anterior tibialis tendon (ATT

O) and Achilles tendon (AT O) (p<0.05, fold change ≥2). Heatmap colour scale ranges from

blue to white to red with blue representing lower abundance and red higher abundance.

MatrisomeDB was used to assign protein classifications. (B) Radar plots of collagens,

proteoglycans and glycoproteins that showed differential abundance with age or tendon type

in fascicles (p<0.05, fold change ≥2).

Figure 6. Pathway analysis identified TGF-β1 as an upstream regulator. TGF-β1 is

predicted to be activated in the Achilles tendon, but inhibited in the anterior tibialis tendon

from aged donors. IPA networks for TGFB1 in the IFM (A) and fascicles (B) of the Achilles

tendon and anterior tibialis tendon of old donors.

Fascicles

Cycles to failure

100000
10000
1000
100
10

Achilles   Anterior Tibialis

Secondary creep rate (%/cycle)

10^0
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
10^{-3}
10^{-4}
10^{-5}
10^{-6}

Achilles   Anterior Tibialis

IFM

Cycles to failure

100000
10000
1000
100
10

p<0.001
p=0.09

☐ Middle-aged
■ Old

Achilles   Anterior Tibialis

Secondary creep rate (%/cycle)

10^0
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
10^{-3}
10^{-4}
10^{-5}
10^{-6}

p<0.001

Achilles   Anterior Tibialis

Scale bar = 100 micrometers

IFM

A

Color Key
-1 0 0.5 1
Value

Gene	Category	Subcategory
PRG4	Core matrisome	Proteoglycans
BGN	Core matrisome	Proteoglycans
PRELP	Core matrisome	Proteoglycans
COL5A3	Core matrisome	Collagens
COL6A1	Core matrisome	Collagens
COL6A2	Core matrisome	Collagens
COL6A3	Core matrisome	Collagens
COL14A1	Core matrisome	Collagens
AMBP	Matrisome-associated	ECM Regulators
MMP3	Matrisome-associated	ECM Regulators
LGALS1	Matrisome-associated	ECM-affiliated Proteins
C9	involved in immune response	
TAGLN	biomarker of ageing, may contribute to replicative senescence	
MAMDC2	overexpressed in replicative senescence	
CFB	involved in immune response	
IGHM	involved in immune response	
APCS	involved in apoptosis	
TNC	Core matrisome	ECM Glycoproteins
DPT	Core matrisome	ECM Glycoproteins
POSTN	Core matrisome	ECM Glycoproteins
FBN1	Core matrisome	ECM Glycoproteins
COMP	Core matrisome	ECM Glycoproteins
CILP	Core matrisome	ECM Glycoproteins
FGG	Core matrisome	ECM Glycoproteins
FGB	Core matrisome	ECM Glycoproteins
FGA	Core matrisome	ECM Glycoproteins
HP	heme iron recycling	
TF	iron transport, saturation associated with telomeric ageing	
ALB	main protein of plasma	

ATT M   AT M   ATT O   AT O

B

Collagens

COL5A3
COL6A1
COL6A2
COL6A3
COL14A1

Proteoglycans and glycoproteins

PRG4
BGN
PRELP
TNC
DPT
POSTN
COMP
FBN1
CILP

Fascicles

FMOD	Core matrisome	Proteoglycans
BGN	Core matrisome	Proteoglycans
MMP3	Matrisome-associated	ECM Regulators
TIMP3	Matrisome-associated	ECM Regulators
COL6A2	Core matrisome	Collagens
COL6A3	Core matrisome	Collagens
COL3A1	Core matrisome	Collagens
COL5A1	Core matrisome	Collagens
COL5A2	Core matrisome	Collagens
VTN	cell adhesion and spreading factor	
APCS	involved in apoptosis	
CLU	upregulated in ageing and in increased levels of organismal stress	
PLA2G2A	involved in cellular senescence, may contribute to ageing inflammatory disorders	
TF	iron transport, saturation associated with telomeric ageing	
KRT10	major epithelial keratin	
KRT2	major epithelial keratin	
KRT9	major epithelial keratin	

ATT M   AT M   ATT O   AT O

Collagens

COL3A1
COL5A1
COL6A3
COL6A2
COL5A2

Proteoglycans and Glycoproteins

FMOD
BGN