Outbreeding depression as a selective force on mixed mating in the mangrove rivulus fish, *Kryptolebias marmoratus*

Authors: Jennifer D. Gresham (Emory University), Kever A. Lewis (University of Alabama), Stephanie P. Summers (University of Alabama), Percy E. Gresham (University of Alabama at Birmingham), and Ryan L. Earley (University of Alabama)

Corresponding author: Jennifer D. Gresham, jdgresham20@gmail.com and 256-998-1747

Abstract

Mixed mating, a reproduction strategy utilized by many plants and invertebrates, optimizes the cost to benefit ratio of a labile mating system. One type of mixed mating includes outcrossing with conspecifics and self-fertilizing one's own eggs. The mangrove rivulus fish (*Kryptolebias marmoratus)* is one of two vertebrates known to employ both self-fertilization (selfing) and outcrossing. Variation in rates of outcrossing and selfing within and among populations produces individuals with diverse levels of heterozygosity. I designed an experiment to explore the consequences of variable heterozygosity across four ecologically relevant conditions of salinity and water availability (10‰, 25‰, and 40‰ salinity, and twice daily tide changes). I report a significant increase in mortality in the high salinity (40‰) treatment. I also report significant effects on fecundity measures with increasing heterozygosity. The odds of laying eggs decreased with increasing heterozygosity across all treatments, and the number of eggs laid decreased with increasing heterozygosity in the 10‰ and 25‰ treatments. Increasing heterozygosity also was associated with a reduction liver mass and body condition in all treatments. My results highlight the fitness challenges that accompany living in mangrove forests ecosystem and provide the first evidence for outbreeding depression on reproductive and condition-related traits.

Introduction

Most eukaryotic organisms engage in sexual reproduction and, in most vertebrates, there are no alternatives to sex. Despite the prevalence of sex, sixty years of modeling suggests that it should be difficult to sustain over evolutionary time, and has remained a fundamental question for biologists for well over 100 years (Darwin 1876; Maynard Smith 1971; Bell 1982; Hartfield and Keightley 2012). A mixed mating strategy, whereby individuals can either fertilize their own eggs (i.e., self-fertilization; selfing) or mate with a conspecific, eliminates some of the costs of traditional sexual reproduction. With self-fertilization, individuals avoid any energy use and risk associated with searching for a mate (Lehtonen et al. 2012); can overcome situations where there might be a lack of mates or pollinators (Baker 1955, reviewed in Pannell et al. 2015); and do not have to contend with diluting well-adapted genotypes (Allard et al. 1972; Becks and Agrawal 2012). However, the opportunity to engage in traditional mating allows species with mixed mating systems to also exploit the benefits of sex, including an escape from inbreeding depression (Jennings 1914; Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1987) and the production of novel genotypes that might be better adapted to a fluctuating environment or at "outrunning" coevolving parasites (Muller 1932; Bell 1988; Lively 2010; Morran et al. 2011; Hartfield and Keightley 2012).

In species with a mixed mating strategy, rates of selfing and outcrossing are expected to vary as biotic (e.g., population density, parasite density, resource availability) or abiotic (e.g., temperature or precipitation variability) environmental conditions alter the cost-benefit ratio associated with each mode of reproduction (Jarne and Charlesworth 1993; Escobar et al. 2011). The economics of employing selfing versus outcrossing as the predominant mode of reproduction can vary spatially. For instance, at the range edge where population density is low

and mates are limited, selection should favor individuals that reproduce by selfing (Baker 1955; Pannell 2000; Grossenbacher et al. 2015). In environments that are stochastic or otherwise challenging, selection should favor recombination of alleles and genetically diverse offspring, and thus individuals that reproduce by outcrossing (Fisher 1930; Muller 1932; Williams 1975). The assumption is that some genetically diverse progeny are more likely to survive in challenging conditions, although models and empirical data do not always support this assumption (Hines and Moore 1981; Robson et al. 1999; Cheptou and Schoen 2002). Rates of selfing versus outcrossing also can vary temporally. Mixed mating should be evolutionarily stable when selection regimes fluctuate between those that would favor selfing and those that would favor outcrossing thus, maintaining both selfing and outcrossing alleles in the population (Goodwillie et al. 2005).

Importantly, for a mixed mating strategy to be evolutionarily stable, natural selection must favor individuals that are able to accurately assess environmental cues and adopt the strategy (selfing or outcrossing) that affords highest fitness for themselves and their offspring (Bull 1981; Bell 1982; Shuster 2008). Many hermaphroditic plants preferentially outcross when and where pollinators and conspecifics are available, but self when these mating opportunities are scarce (Baker 1955; Kalisz et al. 2004; Busch 2005; Hesse and Pannell 2011; de Waal et al. 2014). Similarly, some snails and cestodes will delay selfing until late in life after waiting for potential mates (Jordaens et al. 2007; Escobar et al. 2011). Parasite prevalence also influences mating strategy. Studies using *Caenorhabditis elegans* as the host organism have revealed that novel bacteria strains induce outcrossing compared to strains with which the nematode worms have coevolved, and that the rate of outcrossing compared to selfing also depended on the virulence of the bacteria strain (Lynch et al. 2018).

Often, the costs of selfing and outcrossing do not become evident until individuals are exposed to environmental challenge(s). For instance, obligate outcrossing species may only experience costs associated with finding mates when at the range edge or when mating opportunities are limited for other reasons (Igic and Busch 2013). Obligate outcrossing can also break up well-adapted genotypes, presenting a cost in stable environments. Rotifers increase the frequency of sexual reproduction (outcrossing) while adapting to a new food environment but decrease the frequency of outcrossing once adaptation to the stable environment has been established and sexually-derived offspring become less fit than their asexual congeners (Becks and Agrawal 2012). These results are expected to be similar when comparing obligate outcrossing and obligate selfing populations of the same species. Indeed, higher and less variable fitness was found in the progeny of selfing lineages of the fungus *Aspergillus nidulans* compared to outcrossing lineages when the environment was held constant, even though the parent populations had equal fitness, an example of outbreeding depression (López-Villavicencio et al. 2013). The costs of selfing, not being able to quickly adapt to a new abiotic environment or new parasites, can lead to extinction (i.e., the "dead end hypothesis"), because successive generations are increasingly parasitized and have lower fitness than previous generations (Stebbins 1957; Lande and Schemske 1985; Busch and Delph 2017). Experimental coevolution of the *C. elegans* host with *Serratia marcesans* bacteria conclusively demonstrated that obligate selfing populations were quickly driven to extinction, while outcrossing populations endured (Morran et al. 2011). Models indicate that the lack of adaptive potential, which can lead to extinction in selfing populations, requires a very specific set of genetic and environmental conditions. Burgarella et al. (2015) showed empirically that selfing populations of freshwater snails (*Galba truncatula*) have avoided the consequences of inbreeding depression and probable extinction by

escaping interspecific competition in their unstable environments. For these reasons, it is important to assess inbreeding and outbreeding depression using multiple measures, across multiple environments.

Species that can alternate between selfing and outcrossing offer unique insights into the costs and benefits of both strategies. These species allow comparisons of strategies across different lineages while eliminating the complications of phylogeny, such as different evolutionary histories and adaptations to different environments. With some species, we can often control for, or exploit, population differences in the extent of selfing and outcrossing, while also measuring inbreeding and/or outbreeding depression across multiple environments. However, empirical studies that document the costs and benefits of mixed mating strategies within a species are limited to a few model organisms (*Leavenworthia alabamica;* Busch et al. 2010, Layman et al. 2017*, C. elegans*; Anderson et al. 2010, Penley et al. 2018*,* and some pulmonates; reviewed in Jordaens et al. 2007). Here, I present results of an experiment using an emerging model for the evolution of mating strategies, one of two vertebrates that can toggle between self-fertilization and outcrossing as modes of reproduction. This experiment was designed to measure indicators of inbreeding and/or outbreeding depression (proxy measures for understanding the relative costs of selfing and outcrossing, respectively) across four different environmental conditions in the mangrove rivulus fish (*Kryptolebias marmoratus,* hereafter "rivulus"). Populations of rivulus consist of simultaneous hermaphrodites, a small but variable proportion of males, and no females (androdioecy). Hermaphrodites reproduce primarily by self-fertilization, but occasionally outcross with males. Rates of outcrossing within a population correlates with the proportion of males, and both vary greatly across rivulus' range (Mackiewicz et al. 2006; Tatarenkov et al. 2009). It is likely, but not experimentally documented, that there is

also temporal variation in rates of outcrossing within populations. This mixed mating strategy is stable and has persisted for hundreds of thousands of years (Sato et al. 2002; Tatarenkov et al. 2009), leading to the conclusion that both outcrossing, which increase offspring heterozygosity, and selfing, which decreases offspring heterozygosity, offer important benefits. To better understand how mixed mating has endured in this species, I designed an experiment to measure the effects of heterozygosity on fitness across four different ecologically relevant environmental treatments. I hypothesized that one or more fitness characteristics would be affected by heterozygosity. Specifically, I predicted that some heterozygosity would afford better fitness than complete homozygosity (inbreeding depression). I also predicted that inbreeding depression would become most evident in stressful environments.

Methods

Experimental Design

This experiment was designed to measure fitness-related traits that might indicate either inbreeding or outbreeding depression in rivulus. 1098 fish representing 69 genetically distinct lineages, with varying levels of heterozygosity, were divided among four ecologically relevant treatments: control (constant volume of 25‰ water), low salinity (constant volume of 10‰ water), high salinity (constant volume of 40‰ water), and tidal (varying volume of 25‰ water). A summary of information for each of the 69 lineages and their distribution across treatments can be found in Appendix S1: Table S1.1.

The sample of experimental individuals were two or three generations removed from their wild caught progenitors to minimize parental effects. The progenitors of these experimental fish were held individually in 750 ml clear plastic containers (Rubbermaid® Take-A-Long Deep

Squares) filled with approximately 600 mL of 25‰ synthetic salt water prepared with Instant Ocean® synthetic salt. Each container had a large marble-sized piece of Poly-Fil 100% polyester fiber stuffing (Poly-Fil® Premium Polyester Fiber Fill) suspended from the lid, which was used as a reproductive substrate to induce egg laying and enable egg collection. Each progenitor fish was fed ~2000 *Artemia sp.* nauplii suspended in 4 mL of 25‰ water daily between 0900-1700 h. Eggs from the progenitor fish were collected once per week by manually separating the eggs from the Poly-Fil substrate. The eggs were put into plastic 60 mL cups (shipyouraquatics.com, Item SYAPC400500) filled with approximately 6 mL of 25‰ synthetic salt water, prepared with Instant Ocean® synthetic salt, until hatching. The progenitors and experimental fish were held in the same room with 12h light:12h dark photoperiod and temperature maintained at 25.5 ± 0.59°C (mean ± SEM).

At hatching, experimental fish were randomly assigned to one of the four treatments using a random number generator. At least four fish per lineage were placed in each treatment. Lineages were chosen from two regions of rivulus' range, Belizean Cays and Florida Keys, with the goal of maximizing variation in heterozygosity available in our colony. Previously, the heterozygosity of wild caught fish (F0 generation) was measured using 32 microsatellites (Mackiewicz et al. 2006) and our colony contains fish ranging from 0 to 23 heterozygous microsatellite loci. On average, heterozygosity should be reduced by one half each generation. This likely reduced the heterozygosity range to between 0 and 6 heterozygous microsatellites in my experimental generation but was not confirmed by microsatellite analysis.

The experimental phase for each individual fish was divided into two periods. The first period (juvenile) was from 0 to 89 days post hatch (dph). The second period (treatment) was from 90 to 180 dph. During the juvenile period, individual fish were held in 473 mL white

plastic cups with lids (VWR Multipurpose Containers; 473 mL Translucent HDPE with snap lid), filled with approximately 400 mL of 25‰ salt water prepared with Instant Ocean® synthetic salt. This water was replaced every 30 days, during which time the fish was measured for mass and length (described below). At 89 dph, the fish was transferred into the same type of 473 mL white plastic cup, but with 8, 0.36 cm (9/64" drill bit) diameter holes drilled around the bottom edge to allow for water flow. The fish and cup were then placed into the appropriate transition tank for the individual's assigned treatment. At 90 dph, each fish was measured for standard length and mass, then transferred to its treatment tank (still in its cup with drilled holes; treatments were control, low salinity, high salinity, tide as described below) for 90 more days or until death, whichever happened first. Every 30 days, each fish was removed from its cup, measured for standard length and mass, and assessed for morphological changes indicating sex change. To measure fish for standard length, the fish was placed on a small plastic metric ruler and photographed with a smartphone. Photos were then uploaded into ImageJ and fish were measured digitally; standard length was taken as the distance between the tip of the nose and the posterior margin of the caudal peduncle. Mass was obtained by dabbing the fish on a clean paper towel to remove excess water, placing it into two small plastic weigh boats taped together to form a "clam" (to prevent the fish from jumping out), and weighed on a digital balance tared to the mass of the weigh boats. Fish were assessed for the morphological characters that accompany sex change (orange freckles or orange skin) as described in Scarsella et al. (2018). At 90 dph, a large marble-sized ball of synthetic poly-fiber was suspended from the top of each cup to create an egg-laying substrate. Throughout the treatment period, each cup was checked for eggs once every seven days. These eggs were collected into 60 mL portion cups containing water with the same salinity from which the eggs were collected. Eggs were viewed under a stereomicroscope

to score each as fertilized, unfertilized, or dead. Fertilized eggs are identifiable by the perivitelline membrane inside the chorion; unfertilized eggs do not have the perivitelline membrane (Harrington 1963, Sucar et al. 2016). Dead eggs are identifiable because they are opaque and range in color from white to red. Fertilized eggs were allowed to hatch and hatchlings were euthanized by immersion in 4°C water and measured as described above. The treatment period ended at 180 dph. On this day, surviving fish were removed from treatment tanks, euthanized by immersion in 4°C water, measured as previously described, and dissected. The liver was removed and weighed. Gonads were confirmed as either an ovotestis (hermaphrodite) or testis (male), removed, and weighed. During the experiment, fish were fed ~1000 *Artemia sp.* nauplii suspended in 2 mL of water at the appropriate salinity once per day; fish 0 – 30 dph were fed ~500 nauplii suspended in 1 mL of water at the appropriate salinity.

Treatment Construction

Transition and treatment tanks were constructed from 53 L Sterilite storage containers with a raised false floor made from black corrugated plastic sitting atop a cement block. The white fish cups sat on top of this corrugated plastic. Each transition tank consisted of a single container. The transition tank for the low salinity treatment contained a constant volume of water at 17‰. The transition tank for high salinity contained a constant volume of water at 32‰. Because fish moving into the control or tide treatments were not changing salinity, they shared a "transition" tank of a constant volume of water at 25‰.

Each treatment tank consisted of two storage containers connected via 1.9 cm PVC pipe and water tight bulkheads (Bulkreefsupply.com, 1.9 cm ABS thread x thread); this was considered to be one dual tank. There were two separate dual tanks for each treatment (this is the

random “tank” variable in the statistical models described below). Both transition and treatment tanks received a constant (except the tide treatment) inflow of water from individual sumps; water was pumped in over the side wall using Hydor Centrifugal pumps and flexible plastic tubing. Water from the tanks drained back into the sumps via flexible plastic tubing connected to a bulkhead placed 2.54 cm from the top of the tank. The height of the drain tube and water pressure from the sump was specifically determined to keep the white fish cups filled to 600 mL. Each sump also acted as a filter and contained white filter felt (pentairaes.com, Part no. PF11P), a large sachet filled with carbon pellets (Marineland® Black Diamond Activated Carbon Filter Media), and about 13 cm of plastic biomedia (Sweetwater® SWX Bio-Media) from top to bottom. The control, high salinity, and low salinity treatments consisted of continuously flowing water at 25‰, 40‰, and 10‰, respectively. Although water was continuously flowing through the sumps and tanks, the current within the cups was just enough to remove wastes and maintain a constant salinity and temperature, as checked with dye tests prior to the beginning of the experiment. The tide treatments had continuously flowing water at 25‰ for two-5.5 hour periods (high tide) per day. High tide periods were interspersed with low tides, which consisted of 5.5 hour intervals where the tank water was drained so that each fish cup contained 10 mL of still water. Water levels were controlled by the sump pump connected to digital timers and an extra tank pump connected to digital timers (Defiant®, 15 Amp 7-Day Indoor Plug-In Digital Timer with 2-Grounded Outlets). It took 30 minutes to transition from high tide to low tide and vice versa. Water temperature was maintained at 23.2 ± 0.03 ºC (mean ± SEM). Lighting was automatically controlled to create a 12 h light:12 h dark photoperiod. Figures of the treatment tanks are available in Gresham et al. (2020, Chapter 2). The entire experimental period lasted

17.5 months. The University of Alabama Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all procedures (IACUC Protocol # 15-04-0102).

Fitness Endpoints and Statistical Analyses

Survival to 180 dph as a binary response (yes or no) and age at death were recorded. To understand whether heterozygosity affected the probability of surviving the juvenile period, I used a Cox proportional hazards model with age of death as the response and heterozygosity as the predictor, censored by whether the fish survived the juvenile period (yes = 1, no = 0). To understand how heterozygosity and treatment affected the probability of surviving the treatment period, I used a Cox proportional hazards model with age of death as the response and with heterozygosity, treatment, and their interaction as predictors, censored by whether the fish survived the treatment period (yes = 1, no = 0).

Mass and standard length were measured every 30 days, with Fulton's K body condition ([mass/standard length^3] x 100) calculated from these measurements. I used general linear mixed models to examine how size traits were affected by heterozygosity and/or treatment. Juvenile period models included heterozygosity as the only predictor of body size. Treatment period models included heterozygosity, treatment, and the heterozygosity x treatment interaction as fixed predictors, and tank as a random effect.

I quantified various aspects of fecundity. Egg laying as a binary response (yes = 1, no = 0) was recorded, as well as the total number of eggs, and the total number of fertilized, unfertilized, and dead eggs laid by each fish. Hatch success of fertilized eggs collected in the treatments was also recorded. To understand how heterozygosity and treatment affected fecundity, I ran multiple models with heterozygosity, treatment, and their interaction as fixed

predictors and tank as a random effect. To determine the significance of treatment and heterozygosity (and the interaction) on whether or not individuals laid eggs, I ran a general linear model with a binomial distribution and logit link function, and calculated odds ratios where appropriate. I also ran generalized regression models, with a zero-inflated Poisson distribution and maximum likelihood parameter estimation, for number of eggs laid and the proportion of eggs that were unfertilized. I can infer how the proportion of fertilized eggs is affected by heterozygosity and treatment from the unfertilized egg model. Finally, I calculated hatch success as the proportion of fertilized eggs that successfully hatched for each individual. A general linear mixed model was run as described above, with hatch success as the response variable.

At the end of the treatment period, surviving fish were dissected, and the livers and gonads resected and measured for mass. To determine how heterozygosity and treatment affected gonad size, I calculated residual gonad mass from a regression of body mass versus gonad mass. I ran a general linear mixed model with gonad residuals as the response variable; heterozygosity, treatment, heterozygosity x treatment as the fixed effects, and tank as a random effect. I analyzed the effects of heterozygosity, and treatment on liver size in the same manner as gonad mass, with liver residuals calculated and used as the response variable. I also recorded which individuals changed sex to male and reported those results in Gresham et al. (2020, Chapter 2).

When appropriate, multiple comparisons were conducted using Tukey's HSD pairwise comparisons, which controls for compounding Type I error. Although 872 individuals survived the juvenile period and 675 individuals survived the treatment period, degrees of freedom in the models will vary slightly as reliable measurements were not obtained for all endpoints in all individuals. Size and survival analyses were run using JMP Pro version 15.0 (JMP®, Version 15 Pro 2019). All fecundity models were analyzed in RStudio, using the *car*, *lme4*, *emmeans*, and

glmmTMB packages (Fox and Weisberg 2011; Bates et al. 2015; RStudioTeam 2016; Brooks et al. 2017; R Core Team 2018; Lenth 2019).

Results

Survival to 90 dph was not affected by individual heterozygosity and survival from 90 to 180 dph was affected only by treatment (Table 1.1). Individuals in high salinity had a significantly lower probability of surviving the treatment period than those in low salinity, tide or control conditions; none of the other comparisons were statistically significant (Table 1.1, Figure 1.1).

Table 1.1 - Summary of Cox proportional hazard models of survival to the end of the juvenile period and the end of the treatment period. All juveniles were kept in the same constant condition of 25‰. There were four treatments in the treatment period (control, low salinity, high salinity, and tide). Heterozygosity was modeled as a continuous variable ranging from 1 - 6 heterozygous microsatellites (out of 32). Significant effects are shown in bold. Whole model test data and the parameter estimates can be found in the appendix, Table S1.1. P = P-value, df = degrees of freedom.

Juvenile Period (0-90dph)	*Wald χ2*	*df*	*P*
Heterozygosity	0.01	1	0.93
Treatment Period (90-180dph)	*Wald χ2*	*df*	*P*
Treatment	**132.19**	**3**	**<0.0001**
Heterozygosity	0.04	1	0.84
Treatment x Heterozygosity	6.46	3	0.09

At the end of the juvenile period, there was a marginal effect of heterozygosity on mass and a significant effect of heterozygosity on standard length; animals with greater heterozygosity tended to be heavier and were significantly longer than their more homozygous counterparts (Appendix S1: Table S1.2). Juvenile body condition (Fulton's K), however, did not vary with

heterozygosity (Appendix S1: Table S1.2). The treatment in which the animals lived from 90-180 dph significantly affected mass (Figure 1.2), standard length, and body condition (Appendix S1: Figure S1.1). Individuals in the tide treatment were significantly lighter, shorter, and were in worse body condition than individuals in all other treatments. Individuals in low salinity also were significantly heavier, but not significantly longer or in better condition, than those in the control treatment (Figure 1.2; Appendix S1: Figure S1.1). Body condition decreased with increasing heterozygosity, irrespective of treatment (Appendix S1: Figure S1.1).



Figure 1.1: Treatment effects on survival after fish entered treatment at 90 dph. Survival in high salinity was significantly lower than all other treatments. There were not differences among the remaining three treatments.

Whether or not an individual laid any eggs was affected, independently, by treatment and heterozygosity (Table 1.2). Animals in the control treatment were 4-5 times more likely to lay eggs, while animals in low salinity were 2.5-3 times more likely to lay eggs, than those in the

high salinity and tide treatments (Figure 31.A; Appendix S1: Table S1.3). The likelihood of laying eggs decreased with increasing heterozygosity across treatments (Table 1.2). Both treatment and heterozygosity significantly affected the number of eggs laid, and the relationship between heterozygosity and fecundity varied with treatment (i.e., significant treatment x heterozygosity interaction) (Table 1.2). In both control and low salinity, the number of eggs laid decreased with increasing heterozygosity, a trend that was absent in both high salinity and tide treatments (Figure 1.3B). Heterozygosity also affected the proportion of fertilized/unfertilized eggs in a treatment-dependent fashion. In both high and low salinity, the proportion of fertilized eggs increased with increasing heterozygosity; but in the control, the proportion of fertilized eggs decreased with increasing heterozygosity. In the tide treatment, virtually all eggs were fertilized (98.9 ± 4.7%) (Appendix S1: Table S1.4 and Figure S1.2). Hatch success was not affected by treatment, heterozygosity, or their interaction (Appendix S1: Table S1.4).



Figure 1.2: Treatment effects on mass at 180 dph. Fish in the tide treatment were significantly lighter and shorter and had lower body condition values than fish in all other treatments. Body condition also decreased with increasing heterozygosity in all treatments. Graphs for length and body condition are available as Supplement Figure S1.1. Tables describing treatment effects on all size characters are available in the Supplement as Figure S1.1 and Table S1.2.

Residual gonad mass differed significantly among treatments (Appendix S1: Table S1.5), a result driven largely by the fact that residual gonad mass was significantly lower in control than in low salinity animals; all other comparisons were non-significant. Heterozygosity was the only significant predictor of residual liver mass, which decreased as heterozygosity increased (Appendix S1: Table S1.5).

Table 1.2 - Summary of generalized mixed models for whether or not hermaphrodites laid eggs and the number of eggs laid by hermaphrodites. There were four treatments (control, low salinity, high salinity, and tide). Heterozygosity was modeled as a continuous variable ranging from 1 - 6 heterozygous microsatellites (out of 32). Significant effects are shown in bold. Whole model test data and the parameter estimates can be found in the appendix, Table S1.3. P = P-value, df = degrees of freedom, Y = yes, N = no.

Nominal Logistic for Laying Eggs, Y/N	*Wald χ2*	*df*	*P*
Treatment	**35.73**	**3**	**<0.0001**
Heterozygosity	**3.87**	**1**	**0.049**
Treatment x Heterozygosity	2.84	3	0.42
Number of eggs laid	*Wald χ2*	*df*	*P*
Treatment	**28.43**	**3**	**<0.0001**
Heterozygosity	**35.26**	**1**	**<0.0001**
Treatment x Heterozygosity	**19.93**	**3**	**0.0002**



Figure 1.3. Treatment and heterozygosity effects on fecundity traits. A) The proportion of fish that laid any eggs was only significantly affected by treatment. Fish in low salinity and control treatments were more likely to lay eggs than those in tide and high salinity. B) The number of eggs laid was affected by an interaction of treatment and heterozygosity. In both low salinity and control, the number of eggs laid decreased as function of increasing heterozygosity. This trend is absent in both high salinity and tide. Whole model test data and the parameter estimates can be found in the appendix, Table S1.3.

Discussion

Understanding the evolution and maintenance of mating strategies requires that we know the costs and benefits of alternative strategies across the range of ecologically relevant environments. Mangrove rivulus fish employ a mixed mating strategy of self-fertilization and outcrossing, rates of which vary greatly across the species' geographical range (Turner et al. 1992; Tatarenkov et al. 2009). To ascertain how heterozygosity affects fitness, and which environmental factors might determine the consequences of outcrossing and selfing, I designed an experiment to measure fitness differences among individuals with varying levels of heterozygosity in four different environments. I hypothesized that there would be fitness differences with varying levels of heterozygosity and predicted that individuals with less heterozygosity would show evidence of inbreeding depression. I also predicted that inbreeding depression might not be revealed until the individuals encountered challenging environments. Previously, Ellison et al. (2011) reported that parasite load increased as a function of decreasing heterozygosity in rivulus, indicating potential inbreeding depression in animals caught in the field. In other animals, studies have indicated that inbred individuals do not exhibit fitness decrements until presented with suboptimal ecological conditions. For instance, inbreeding experiments with *Drosophila melanogaster* showed a decrease in reproductive fitness in inbred lineages when exposed to temperature or metal stress, but not under control conditions (Miller 1994). Studies in species of conservation concern have shown little evidence of inbreeding depression under controlled conditions, but significant decreases in reproductive fitness once inbred animals are exposed to food shortages or competition (Galapagos finches; Keller et al. 2002, Mexican wolves, Fredrickson et al. 2007). In light of these studies, I constructed four ecologically relevant treatments: 25‰ salinity as my control; 10‰ and 40‰ salinity treatments

as osmoregulatory stressors, and a twice daily low tide of 25‰ water to serve as a water availability stressor. To understand fitness consequences as best I could, I measured standard length, mass, body condition, fecundity, hatch success, incidence of sex change, liver and gonad masses, and mortality of all individuals. When there was a significant effect of heterozygosity, it always pointed to outbreeding depression. Ecological treatment also had profound effects on fitness characters, emphasizing the challenges associated with rivulus' habitat. Treatment and heterozygosity also frequently interacted to explain variation in fitness.

Heterozygosity was associated with size in opposite ways during the juvenile and treatment periods, similar to what was documented previously in blue tits (*Cyanistes caeruleus*), which exhibited outbreeding depression in early life traits (e.g., decreased hatching rate), but inbreeding depression on late life traits (e.g., adult survival and reproduction; Olano-Marin et al. 2011). Here, I found that standard length and mass increased as a function of increasing heterozygosity during the juvenile period, without any variation in body condition. However, in the treatment period (> 90 dph), mass and length were not affected by heterozygosity, but body condition decreased with increasing heterozygosity.

I found evidence of outbreeding depression during the treatment period for traits associated with reproductive fitness. In all treatments, the odds that hermaphrodites would lay eggs decreased with increasing heterozygosity, and more heterozygous individuals laid proportionally fewer fertilized eggs. Further, in both the control and low salinity treatments, the absolute number of eggs laid by individuals decreased with increasing heterozygosity. This trend was absent in the high salinity and tide treatments, possibly because so few eggs were laid in those treatments. Individuals in the low salinity or control treatments were indeed significantly more likely to lay eggs than those in either the tide or high salinity treatments. Outbreeding

depression related to reproduction is not uncommon in species with mixed mating systems. For instance, in *Leptosiphon jepsonii*, an annual herb that utilizes mixed mating, outcrossing populations had a lower seed set than selfing populations (Goodwillie and Knight 2006). The same has been found in Alabama gladecress (*Leavenworthia alabamica,* Busch et al. 2010). However, a meta-analysis by Winn et al. (2011), indicated that plants that employ mixed mating have as much inbreeding depression related to reproduction as purely outcrossing species. These contradictory conclusions make it much harder to find clear patterns with respect to the consequences of mixed mating and demonstrate the importance of studying as many species as possible.

In support of my hypothesis, I did find significant treatment differences for other fitness characters I measured, other than hatch success. There was significantly lower survival in high salinity compared to all other treatments. Lin and Dunson (1999) also showed more mortality in their 40‰ salinity treatment than in 12‰ or 1‰, suggesting that while 40‰ is an ecologically relevant salinity, it can have severe consequences on individual fitness and population size. Fitness consequences in higher salinities are further supported by rivulus' preference to inhabit and lay eggs in lower salinity (5‰), as well as the increased hatching rate at low salinities (McCain et al. 2020). In addition to reproduction, size also was treatment-dependent. Individuals in the tidal treatment were significantly shorter and lighter than animals in all other treatments. Individuals in the low salinity treatment also were heavier than those in the control treatment, but not those in high salinity. Given considerable mortality in the high salinity treatment compared to the other treatments, and the absence of a size difference, I predicted *post hoc* that there might be selection against smaller animals in the high salinity treatment. I constructed proportional hazards models with age at death as the response and treatment, size (numerous variables run in

separate models), and the interaction of treatment and size as fixed effects, censored by whether or not the individual lived to be 180 dph (yes = 1, no = 0; i.e., completed the treatment period). I modeled mass, standard length, and body condition at 90 dph (immediately before entering treatments) and 150 dph (the measurement prior to the end of study). Treatment and both mass and standard length significantly influenced whether individuals lived to 180 dph, but not body condition or the treatment by size interactions (Appendix S1: Table S1.6). I found that there was selection on smaller animals in all treatments (note that the negative parameter estimate in the model indicates that the hazard of mortality decreases with the increase of the independent variable). Animals that were lighter and shorter at 90 dph and 150 dph were less likely to survive the entire treatment period. Even for marine and euryhaline fish, high salinity can drive mortality and can even dictate sex ratios. The mangrove red snapper (*Lutjanus argentimaculatus*) suffers increased mortality during early life stages when exposed to higher salinity (40‰) than ocean salinity (32‰) (Estudillo et al. 2000). On the contrary, the survival of sailfin mollies (*Poecilia latipinna*) varied between freshwater and saltwater conditions depending on the year and season (Trexler et al. 1992). High salinity during the juvenile period skews sexual differentiation significantly towards males in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) (Saillant et al. 2003). There also is additional evidence that selection against small size is common in a variety of conditions. In the European sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*), there is evidence of higher mortality in smaller individuals in both the wild and laboratory conditions (Garrido et al. 2015). This has also been shown under multiple conditions in Baltic Cod (Grønkjær and Schytte 1999), two species of wrasses (Raventós and Macpherson 2005), brown demoiselle (Vigliola and Meekan 2002), and Atlantic cod (Meekan and Fortier 1996).

It should be noted that my animals were not given the option of escaping a bad environment (high salinity and tidal treatments), a contradiction to their behavior in the wild. Prior and current observations have shown that rivulus are comfortable out of water and have evolved multiple mechanisms to cope with low tide and high salinity conditions. Rivulus can restructure their gills and skin for aerial breathing (Ong et al. 2007; Turko et al. 2020), can coordinate multiple osmoregulatory responses to high salinity (Frick and Wright 2002; Sutton et al. 2018), and have means of escaping unfavorable conditions by slithering or jumping to alternate microhabitats (Taylor 2000; Pronko et al. 2013). Despite the fact that rivulus have mechanisms to adapt to non-optimal conditions such as the tide and high salinity treatments, it appears that living in such habitats over the long-term has significant fitness consequences.

I have shown that rivulus with higher heterozygosity experience outbreeding depression in body condition and most reproductive traits. However, I did not observe inbreeding or outbreeding depression in survival, hatch success, or other size traits (mass and standard length). However, this does not mean that heterozygosity is inconsequential for these other traits. The experimental individuals were two or three selfed generations removed from wild caught individuals. On average, for each generation of selfing, heterozygosity is reduced in each individual by half. Thus, heterozygosity differences among experimental individuals may not have been large enough to quantify fitness differences in survival, size, and/or hatchling success. The highest level of heterozygosity in my experimental animals may only be six out of thirty-two microsatellites. Previous reports about inbreeding and outbreeding depression have discussed the low likelihood of detecting inbreeding or outbreeding depression when the genome is already highly homozygous or heterozygous respectively, because additional homozygosity or heterozygosity is but a fraction of the entire genome (Szulkin and David 2011). I also could not

measure the true heterozygosity of each experimental animal. While offspring of heterozygous parents experience an *average* one-half reduction in heterozygosity, it is not necessarily the same reduction of heterozygosity among all siblings. This source of error may have concealed inbreeding or outbreeding depression in this study because I was using expected instead of actual values for heterozygosity. Using microsatellites as a measure of heterozygosity also has limitations when assessing inbreeding and outbreeding depression. Hoffman et al. (2014) compared the incidence of lungworm infection in seals with heterozygosity measured with microsatellites and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and found a highly significant correlation between lung infection and heterozygosity with SNP data, but only a marginally significant trend with microsatellite genotyping. In rivulus, Lins et al. (2018) reported a range of 0.0305% - 0.0554% SNP heterozygosity in individuals that were completely homozygous at 32 microsatellites, which is very small compared to outcrossing species but not zero.

The ability to observe inbreeding and outbreeding depression is very specific to the traits that are measured, and the conditions tested (Szulkin et al. 2010). I observed outbreeding depression in reproductive traits when the animals were exposed to abiotic stressors. There may also be inbreeding or outbreeding depression in other traits not measured (lifelong reproductive fitness, resource procurement), or in response to biotic stressors such as interspecific competition or parasites. Studies indicate a decrease in major histocompatibility complex (MHC) supertypes with increasing homozygosity, with the possible consequence of decreased immunity against a variety of parasites (Ellison et al. 2012). Indeed, Ellison et al. (2011) showed that more homozygous individuals were more susceptible to infections by multiple parasites. Molloy (2011) also reported that the size of rivulus males increases with increasing heterozygosity, potentially affecting their access to resources and mating opportunities. My results indicate that

even moderate heterozygosity, that is much lower than we find in wild caught individuals (Tatarenkov et al. 2015), can cause significant fitness differences among individuals. This difference in reproductive fitness has the potential to drive evolution towards increased selfing rates but may be counterbalanced by other heterozygosity effects on fitness.

Understanding the how selection maintains a specific reproductive strategy requires assessing the costs and benefits of the strategy across multiple environments. In this study I measured nine fitness characters across four different environments in the mangrove rivulus fish, a vertebrate with a mixed mating strategy of selfing and outcrossing. In addition to demonstrating how challenging certain aspects of the mangrove habitats (e.g., high salinity, tide) can be, I also revealed significant outbreeding depression in reproductive traits. This helps explain how selfing is selectively maintained in rivulus, and along with other studies, helps us understand the temporal and spatially variable economics of mixed mating. That is, the interactive effects of treatment and heterozygosity on fecundity illustrates how the consequences of mixed mating change with environmental conditions. This emerging model system, rivulus, allows us to test hypotheses and provide critical insight about the maintenance of sex and sexual strategies previously only studied in plants and invertebrates

References

Allard, R. W., G. R. Babbel, M. T. Clegg, and a L. Kahler. 1972. Evidence for coadaptation in *Avena barbata*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 69:3043–8.

Anderson, J. L., L. T. Morran, and P. C. Phillips. 2010. Outcrossing and the maintenance of males within *C. elegans* populations. The Journal of heredity 101 Suppl:62–74.

Baker, H. 1955. Self-compatibility and establishment after "long-distance" dispersal. Evolution 9:347–349.

Bates, D., M. Maechler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67:1–48.

Becks, L., and A. F. Agrawal. 2012. The evolution of sex is favoured during adaptation to new environments. PLoS Biology 10:1–11.

Bell, G. 1982. The masterpiece of nature: the evolution and genetics and sexuality, Berkeley. California: University of California Press.

Bell, G. 1988. Recombination and the immortality of the germ line. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 1:67–82.

Brooks, M. E., K. Kristensen, K. J. van Benthem, A. Magnusson, C. W. Berg, A. Nielsen, H. J. Skaug, et al. 2017. glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated generalized linear mixed modeling. The R Journal 9:378–400.

Bull, J. J. 1981. Sex ratio evolution when fitness varies. Heredity 46:9–26.

Burgarella, C., P. Gayral, M. Ballenghien, A. Bernard, P. David, P. Jarne, A. Correa, et al. 2015. Molecular evolution of freshwater snails with contrasting mating systems. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32:2403–2416.

Busch, J. W. 2005. The evolution of self-compatibility in geographically peripheral populations of *Leavenworthia alabamica* (Brassicaceae). American Journal of Botany 92:1503–1512.

Busch, J. W., and L. F. Delph. 2017. Evolution: selfing takes species down Stebbins's blind alley. Current Biology 27:R61–R63.

Busch, J. W., C. R. Herlihy, L. Gunn, and W. J. Werner. 2010. Mixed mating in a recently derived self-compatible population of *Leavenworthia alabamica (Brassicaceae)*. American Journal of Botany 97:1005–1013.

Charlesworth, D., and B. Charlesworth. 1987. Inbreeding depression and its evolutionary consequences. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 18:237–268.

Cheptou, P.-O., and D. J. Schoen. 2002. The cost of fluctuating inbreeding depression. Evolution; international journal of organic evolution 56:1059–1062.

Darwin, C. 1876. The effects of cross and self fertilisation in the vegetable kingdom. D. Appleton.

de Waal, C., J. G. Rodger, B. Anderson, and A. G. Ellis. 2014. Selfing ability and dispersal are positively related, but not affected by range position: a multispecies study on southern African *Asteraceae*. Journal of evolutionary biology 27:950–9.

Ellison, A., J. Allainguillaume, S. Girdwood, J. Pachebat, K. Peat, P. Wright, and S. Consuegra. 2012. Maintaining functional MHC diversity under inbreeding: The case of a selfing vertebrate. Proc. R. Soc. B 279:5004–5013.

Ellison, A., J. Cable, and S. Consuegra. 2011. Best of both worlds? association between outcrossing and parasite loads in a selfing fish. Evolution 65:3021–3026.

Escobar, J. S., J. R. Auld, A. C. Correa, J. M. Alonso, Y. K. Bony, M. A. Coutellec, J. M. Koene, et al. 2011. Patterns of mating-system evolution in hermaphroditic animals: Correlations among selfing rate, inbreeding depression, and the timing of reproduction. Evolution 65:1233–1253.

Estudillo, C. B., M. N. Duray, E. T. Marasigan, and A. C. Emata. 2000. Salinity tolerance of larvae of the mangrove red snapper (*Lutjanus argentimaculatus*) during ontogeny. Aquaculture 190:155–167.

Fisher, R. F. 1930. The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection. Oxford University Press.

Fox, J., and S. Weisberg. 2011. An {R} Companion to Applied Regression. Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.

Fredrickson, R. J., P. Siminski, M. Woolf, and P. W. Hedrick. 2007. Genetic rescue and inbreeding depression in Mexican wolves. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 274:2365–2371.

Frick, N. T., and P. A. Wright. 2002. Nitrogen metabolism and excretion in the mangrove killifish *Rivulus marmoratus* II. Significant ammonia volatilization in a teleost during air-exposure. Journal of Experimental Biology 205:91–100.

Garrido, S., R. Ben-Hamadou, A. M. P. Santos, S. Ferreira, M. A. Teodósio, U. Cotano, X. Irigoien, et al. 2015. Born small, die young: Intrinsic, size-selective mortality in marine larval fish. Scientific Reports 5:1–10.

Goodwillie, C., S. Kalisz, and C. G. Eckert. 2005. The evolutionary enigma of mixed mating systems in plants: Occurrence, Theoretical Explanations, and Empirical Evidence. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 36:47–79.

Goodwillie, C., and M. C. Knight. 2006. Inbreeding depression and mixed mating in *Leptosiphon jepsonii*: A comparison of three populations. Annals of Botany 98:351–360.

Gresham, J. D., K. M. Marson, A. Tatarenkov, and R. L. Earley. 2020. Sex change as a survival strategy. Evolutionary Ecology 34:27–40.

Grønkjær, P., and M. Schytte. 1999. Non-random mortality of Baltic cod larvae inferred from otolith hatch-check sizes. Marine Ecology Progress Series 181:53–59.

Grossenbacher, D., R. Briscoe Runquist, E. E. Goldberg, and Y. Brandvain. 2015. Geographic range size is predicted by plant mating system. Ecology Letters 18:706–713.

Harrington Jr, R. W. 1963. Twenty-four-hour rhythms of internal self-fertilization and of oviposition by hermaphrodites of *Rivulus marmoratus*. Physiological Zoology 36:325–341.

Hartfield, M., and P. D. Keightley. 2012. Current hypotheses for the evolution of sex and recombination. Integrative Zoology 7:192–209.

Hesse, E., and J. R. Pannell. 2011. Density-dependent pollen limitation and reproductive assurance in a wind-pollinated herb with contrasting sexual systems. Journal of Ecology 99:1531–1539.

Hines, W. G. S., and W. S. Moore. 1981. An analysis of sex in random environments, I. Advances in Applied Probability 13:453–463.

Hoffman, J. I., F. Simpson, P. David, J. M. Rijks, T. Kuiken, M. A. S. Thorne, R. C. Lacy, et al. 2014. High-throughput sequencing reveals inbreeding depression in a natural population. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111:3775–3780.

Igic, B., and J. W. Busch. 2013. Is self-fertilization an evolutionary dead end? New Phytologist 386–397.

Jarne, P., and D. Charlesworth. 1993. The evolution of the selfing rate in functionally hermaphrodite plants and animals. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 24:441–466.

Jennings, H. S. 1914. Formulae for the results of inbreeding. The American Naturalist 48:693.

JMP®, Version 15 Pro. 2019. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

Jordaens, K., L. Dillen, and T. Backeljau. 2007. Effects of mating, breeding system and parasites on reproduction in hermaphrodites: pulmonate gastropods (*Mollusca*). Animal Biology 57:137–195.

Kalisz, S., D. W. Vogler, and K. M. Hanley. 2004. Context-dependent autonomous self-fertilization yields reproductive assurance and mixed mating. Nature 430:884–887.

Keller, L. F., P. R. Grant, B. R. Grant, and K. Petren. 2002. Environmental conditions affect the magnitude of inbreeding depression in survival of Darwin's finches. Evolution 56:1229–1239.

Lande, R., and D. W. Schemske. 1985. The evolution of self-fertilization and inbreeding depression in plants. I. Genetic models. Evolution 39:24–40.

Layman, N. C., M. T. R. Fernando, C. R. Herlihy, and J. W. Busch. 2017. Costs of selfing prevent the spread of a self-compatibility mutation that causes reproductive assurance. Evolution 71:884–897.

Lehtonen, J., M. D. Jennions, and H. Kokko. 2012. The many costs of sex. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27:172–178.

Lenth, R. 2019. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means.

Lin, H. C., and W. A. Dunson. 1999. Phenotypic plasticity in the growth of the self-fertilizing hermaphroditic fish *Rivulus marmoratus*. Journal of Fish Biology 54:250–266.

Lins, L. S. F., S. Trojahn, A. Sockell, M.-C. Yee, A. Tatarenkov, C. D. Bustamante, R. L. Earley, et al. 2018. Whole-genome sequencing reveals the extent of heterozygosity in a preferentially self-fertilizing hermaphroditic vertebrate. Genome 61:241–247.

Lively, C. M. 2010. A review of Red Queen models for the persistence of obligate sexual reproduction. Journal of Heredity 101:S13–S20.

López-Villavicencio, M., A. J. M. Debets, M. Slakhorst, T. Giraud, and S. E. Schoustra. 2013. Deleterious effects of recombination and possible nonrecombinatorial advantages of sex in a fungal model. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 26:1968–1978.

Lynch, Z. R., M. J. Penley, and L. T. Morran. 2018. Turnover in local parasite populations temporarily favors host outcrossing over self-fertilization during experimental evolution. Ecology and Evolution.

Mackiewicz, M., A. Tatarenkov, A. Perry, J. R. Martin, J. F. Elder, D. L. Bechler, and J. C. Avise. 2006. Microsatellite documentation of male-mediated outcrossing between inbred laboratory strains of the self-fertilizing mangrove killifish (*Kryptolebias marmoratus*). The Journal of Heredity 97:508–13.

Maynard Smith, J. 1971. What use is sex? Journal of Theoretical Biology 30:319–335.

McCain, S. C., S. Kopelic, T. M. Houslay, A. J. Wilson, H. Lu, and R. L. Earley. 2020. Choice consequences: Salinity preferences and hatchling survival in the mangrove rivulus (*Kryptolebias marmoratus*). Journal of Experimental Biology 223.

Meekan, M. G., and L. Fortier. 1996. Selection for fast growth during the larval life of Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* on the Scotian Shelf. Marine Ecology Progress Series 137:25–37.

Miller, P. S. 1994. Is inbreeding depression more severe in a stressful environment? Zoo Biology 13:195–208.

Molloy, P. P., E. A. Nyboer, and I. M. Côté. 2011. Male-Male Competition in a Mixed-Mating Fish. Ethology 117:586–596.

Morran, L. T., O. G. Schmidt, I. a Gelarden, R. C. Parrish, and C. M. Lively. 2011. Running with the Red Queen: host-parasite coevolution selects for biparental sex. Science (New York, N.Y.) 333:216–8.

Muller, H. J. 1932. Some genetic aspects of sex. American Society of Naturalists 703:118–138.

Olano-Marin, J., J. C. Mueller, and B. Kempenaers. 2011. Heterozygosity and survival in blue tits (*Cyanistes caeruleus*): Contrasting effects of presumably functional and neutral loci. Molecular Ecology 20:4028–4041.

Ong, K. J., E. D. Stevens, and P. A. Wright. 2007. Gill morphology of the mangrove killifish (*Kryptolebias marmoratus*) is plastic and changes in response to terrestrial air exposure. Journal of Experimental Biology 210:1109–1115.

Pannell, J. R. 2000. A hypothesis for the evolution of androdioecy: The joint influence of reproductive assurance and local mate competition in a metapopulation. Evolutionary Ecology 14:195–211.

Pannell, J. R., J. R. Auld, Y. Brandvain, M. Burd, J. W. Busch, P. Cheptou, J. K. Conner, et al. 2015. The scope of Baker's law. New Phytologist 208:656–667.

Penley, M. J., A. B. Greenberg, A. Khalid, S. R. Namburar, and L. T. Morran. 2018. No measurable fitness cost to experimentally evolved host defence in the *Caenorhabditis elegans–Serratia marcescens* host–parasite system. Journal of Evolutionary Biology.

Pronko, A. J., B. M. Perlman, and M. A. Ashley-Ross. 2013. Launches, squiggles and pounces, oh my! the water-land transition in mangrove rivulus (*Kryptolebias marmoratus*). Journal of Experimental Biology 216:3988–3995.

R Core Team. 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Raventós, N., and E. Macpherson. 2005. Effect of pelagic larval growth and size-at-hatching on post-settlement survivorship in two temperate labrid fish of the genus *Symphodus*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 285:205–211.

Robson, A. J., C. T. Bergstrom, and J. K. Pritchard. 1999. Risky business: sexual and asexual reproduction in variable environments. Journal of theoretical biology 197:541–56.

RStudioTeam. 2016. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, Inc., Boston.

Saillant, E., A. Fostier, P. Haffray, B. Menu, and B. Chatain. 2003. Saline preferendum for the European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, larvae and juveniles: Effect of salinity on early development and sex determination. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 287:103–117.

Sato, A., Y. Satta, F. Figueroa, W. E. Mayer, Z. Zaleska-Rutczynska, S. Toyosawa, J. Travis, et al. 2002. Persistence of MHC heterozygosity in homozygous clonal killifish, *Rivulus marmoratus*: Implications for the origin of hermaphroditism. Genetics 162:1791–1803.

Scarsella, G. E., J. D. Gresham, and R. L. Earley. 2018. Relationships between external sexually dimorphic characteristics and internal gonadal morphology in a sex-changing fish. Journal of Zoology 305:133–140.

Shuster, S. M. 2008. The expression of crustacean mating strategies. Pages 224–250 *in* R. Oliveira, M. Taborsky, and H. J. Brockmann, eds. Alternative reproductive tactics: an integrative approach. Cambridge University Press.

Stebbins, G. L. 1957. Self fertilization and population variability in the higher plants. The American Naturalist 91:337.

Sucar, S., G. L. Moore, M. E. Ard, and B. C. Ring. 2016. A simultaneous genetic screen for zygotic and sterile mutants in a hermaphroditic vertebrate *(Kryptolebias marmoratus)*. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics 6:1107–1119.

Sutton, A. O., A. J. Turko, R. L. McLaughlin, and P. A. Wright. 2018. Behavioral and physiological responses of an amphibious, euryhaline mangrove fish to acute salinity exposure. Copeia 106:305–311.

Szulkin, M., N. Bierne, and P. David. 2010. Heterozygosity-fitness correlations: a time for reappraisal. Evolution; international journal of organic evolution 64:1202–17.

Szulkin, M., and P. David. 2011. Negative heterozygosity – fitness correlations observed with microsatellites located in functional areas of the genome. Molecular Ecology 20:3949–3952.

Tatarenkov, A., R. L. Earley, B. M. Perlman, D. S. Taylor, B. J. Turner, and J. C. Avise. 2015. Genetic subdivision and variation in selfing rates among Central American populations of the mangrove rivulus, *Kryptolebias marmoratus*. Journal of Heredity 106:276–284.

Tatarenkov, A., S. M. Q. Lima, D. S. Taylor, and J. C. Avise. 2009. Long-term retention of self-fertilization in a fish clade. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106:14456–14459.

Taylor, D. 2000. Biology and ecology of *Rivulus marmoratus*: new insights and a review. Florida Scientist 63:242–255.

Trexler, J. C., J. Travis, and M. McManus. 1992. Effects of habitat and body size on mortality rates of *Poecilia latipinna*. Ecology 73:2224–2236.

Turko, A. J., B. Cisternino, and P. A. Wright. 2020. Calcified gill filaments increase respiratory function in fishes. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 287.

Turner, B. J., W. P. Davis, and D. S. Taylor. 1992. Abundant males in populations of a selfing hermaphrodite fish, *Rivulus marmoratus*, from some Belize cays. Journal of Fish Biology 40:307–310.

Vigliola, L., and M. G. Meekan. 2002. Size at hatching and planktonic growth determine post-settlement survivorship of a coral reef fish. Oecologia 131:89–93.

Williams, G. C. 1975. Sex and evolution. Princeton University Press.

Winn, A. A., E. Elle, S. Kalisz, P. Cheptou, C. G. Eckert, C. Goodwillie, M. O. Johnston, et al. 2011. Analysis of inbreeding depression in mixed-mating plants provides evidence for selective interference and stable mixed mating. Evolution 65:3339–3359.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION
APPENDIX S1

Table S1.1. Experimental Lineage Summary. Includes the actual number of heterozygous microsatellites of the F0 progenitors, and the theoretical average number of heterozygous microsatellites for the experimental generation, the number of fish that survived to enter all treatments, the number that entered and survived each treatment, and the number that changed sex to male.

Lineage	# Heterozygous microsatellites at F0 generation	# Heterozygous microsatellites at treatment generation	# Lived to enter treatment at 90dph	# Entered, # Finished CONTROL	# Entered, # Finished HIGH SALINITY	# Entered, # Finished LOW SALINITY	# Entered, # Finished TIDE	Number Changed Sex to Male
BERM2	20	5	4	1,1	1,1	0,0	2,2	4
BERM5	1	0	5	2,1	1,0	1,1	1,1	2
BERM10	4	1	23	4,3	7,3	8,8	4,4	5
BERM11	13	3	7	2,2	1,0	2,2	2,2	4
BP1	6	2	4	1,1	0,0	3,2	0,0	1
BP8	0	0	2	0,0	1,1	0,0	1,1	0
BP9	4	0	20	5,5	4,4	7,6	4,4	1
BP13	2	1	15	3,2	5,2	3,3	4,4	2
BP15	1	0	44	11,9	12,5	10,6	11,9	5
BP21	0	0	43	11,8	11,7	9,8	12,10	3
BP23	0	0	24	6,6	6,4	3,3	9,5	14
BUN1	0	0	32	4,4	8,4	9,9	11,6	7
BUN2	0	0	2	0,0	1,1	1,1	0,0	0
BUN3	0	0	11	2,2	2,1	3,3	4,2	4
BUN5	0	0	22	5,5	5,3	6,5	6,6	1
BUN6	0	0	6	3,2	0,0	3,3	0,0	1
BUN7	0	0	9	4,4	2,1	1,1	2,2	1
BWN1	17	4	8	0,0	2,1	1,1	5,4	5
BWN2	21	6	8	4,4	1,0	1,1	2,2	4
BWN3	0	0	9	3,3	1,1	3,3	2,1	4
BWS11	0	0	20	3,3	7,3	5,5	5,3	2
BWS21	2	1	14	4,4	1,0	4,4	5,5	0
BWS30	7	2	15	2,2	4,1	3,2	6,5	3

Lineage	# Heterozygous microsatellites at F0 generation	# Heterozygous microsatellites at treatment generation	# Lived to enter treatment at 90dph	# Entered, # Finished CONTROL	# Entered, # Finished HIGH SALINITY	# Entered, # Finished LOW SALINITY	# Entered, # Finished TIDE	Number Changed Sex to Male
BWS32	3	1	13	4,3	3,2	4,3	2,2	5
BWS37	3	1	29	6,5	10,4	7,7	6,6	0
BWS38	7	2	14	3,3	6,2	3,3	2,2	1
CF1-12	0	0	3	2,2	1,0	0,0	0,0	1
CF4-18	0	0	1	0,0	0,0	0,0	1,1	0
CF5-5	0	0	6	2,2	1,0	1,1	2,2	3
CF15	0	0	9	3,3	2,2	3,3	1,1	4
CROC21	18	5	3	0,0	0,0	2,2	1,1	0
CROC22	2	1	14	4,4	2,0	4,3	4,1	1
CROC23	6	2	11	1,1	3,3	4,4	3,3	4
CROC29	18	5	1	0,0	1,1	0,0	0,0	0
CROC31	20	5	4	2,2	1,1	0,0	1,0	0
CRWL1	0	0	14	4,3	4,4	3,3	3,3	1
CRWL15	0	0	23	4,3	5,2	6,6	8,8	1
CRWL17	0	0	11	3,3	3,2	4,4	1,1	3
CRWL19	0	0	23	5,5	8,4	5,4	5,5	2
DC6	2	1	5	0,0	3,1	2,2	0,0	1
DC8	16	4	8	1,1	2,1	3,3	2,2	2
DC17	3	1	7	1,1	2,2	1,1	3,3	1
DC21	0	0	5	0,0	2,0	2,2	1,1	1
LION2	0	0	4	0,0	1,1	1,1	2,2	1
LION5	0	0	1	0,0	1,1	0,0	0,0	0
LK13	0	0	2	0,0	1,0	0,0	1,1	0
LK15	0	0	10	1,1	4,2	4,3	1,1	4
LMC1	3	1	13	3,2	3,1	4,3	3,3	6

Lineage	# Heterozygous microsatellites at F0 generation	# Heterozygous microsatellites at treatment generation	# Lived to enter treatment at 90dph	# Entered, # Finished CONTROL	# Entered, # Finished HIGH SALINITY	# Entered, # Finished LOW SALINITY	# Entered, # Finished TIDE	Number Changed Sex to Male
LMC3	0	0	11	3,3	1,0	3,3	4,3	0
LMC4	4	1	21	4,4	6,1	3,3	8,6	10
NC18	6	2	6	1,1	2,0	2,1	1,1	2
NC19	4	1	10	1,1	4,2	3,2	2,2	4
NC23	10	3	4	0,0	0,0	1,0	3,3	1
NNKB1	0	0	21	6,6	5,4	5,5	5,4	4
NNKB3	8	1	1	1,1	0,0	0,0	0,0	0
PLT2	4	1	1	0,0	0,0	1,1	0,0	0
SAND19	7	2	15	4,3	4,1	3,2	4,3	0
SAND21	2	1	15	3,3	6,3	3,2	3,3	2
SAND24	17	5	24	6,5	8,3	5,4	5,5	7
SAND29	0	0	19	4,4	5,4	5,3	5,5	6
SAND36	4	1	5	1,1	2,0	1,1	1,1	0
SAND38	8	2	9	2,2	2,0	4,2	1,1	4
SAND40	15	4	13	3,3	6,1	2,2	2,2	1
SOB6	0	0	13	4,4	3,3	3,3	3,2	6
SOB7	5	1	17	5,3	4,1	3,2	5,4	6
SOB9	3	1	33	6,6	7,2	11,9	9,8	4
UM2	2	1	18	3,3	4,2	7,6	4,4	4
UM3	0	0	20	5,4	4,4	4,4	7,4	1
UM9	1	0	13	4,4	2,2	4,4	3,3	3

Table S1.2 - Summary of regression analysis models for size traits. All juveniles were kept in the same constant condition of 25‰. There were four treatments in the treatment period (control, low salinity, high salinity, and tide). Heterozygosity was modeled as a continuous variable ranging from 1 - 6 heterozygous microsatellites (out of 32). Significant effects are shown in bold. F = F-ratio; P = P-value; df = degrees of freedom.

Model Variables	**Mass**				**Length**				**Fulton's K Body Condition**			
Juvenile Period (90dph)	*Estimate*	*F*	*df*	*P*	*Estimate*	*F*	*df*	*P*	*Estimate*	*F*	*df*	*P*
Heterozygosity	0.0008	3.35	1, 866	0.07	**0.099**	**7.28**	**1, 861**	**0.01**	-0.006	2.15	1, 857	0.14
	Mass				**Length**				**Fulton's K Body Condition**			
Treatment Period (180dph)	*Estimate*	*F*	*df*	*P*	*Estimate*	*F*	*df*	*P*	*Estimate*	*F*	*df*	*P*
Treatment		**294.66**	**3, 3.50**	**< 0.0001**		**85.59**	**3, 4.84**	**< 0.0001**		**41.57**	**3, 3.69**	**0.003**
Heterozygosity	-0.0007	0.54	1, 647.6	0.46	0.011	0.05	1, 658.2	0.83	**-0.011**	**4.64**	**1, 647.3**	**0.03**
Treatment x Heterozygosity		0.05	3, 630.4	0.99		0.09	3, 657.2	0.96		1.29	3, 636.8	0.28







		Mass		Length		Body Condition	
Trx	*/Trx*	*Difference*	*Prob>|t|*	*Difference*	*Prob>|t|*	*Difference*	*Prob>|t|*
H	L	-0.002	0.796	-0.201	0.709	0.0241	0.514
H	**T**	**0.046**	**0.0006**	**2.051**	**0.0005**	**0.115**	**0.009**
H	Z	0.006	0.291	0.306	0.441	-0.004	0.992
L	**T**	**0.048**	**<.0001**	**2.252**	**0.0001**	**0.090**	**0.006**
L	C	**0.008**	**0.041**	0.506	0.081	-0.029	0.219
T	**C**	**-0.040**	**0.0002**	**-1.745**	**0.0005**	**-0.119**	**0.003**

Figure S1.1. Treatment differences in two size traits (A. standard length and B. body condition, mass is Figure 2 within the text), when measured at the end of the treatment period (180 dph). Those fish in the tide treatment were significantly smaller in mass, standard length, and body condition than those in all conditions. Those fish in the low salinity also had a significantly larger mass than those fish in the control treatment. C. Body condition decreases with increasing heterozygosity in all treatments. Significant effects are shown in bold. P = P-value.



Figure S1.2. Treatment and heterozygosity effects on the proportion of fertilized eggs. Proportion significantly decreased with increasing heterozygosity in the control treatment, while the inverse is significant in the high salinity and low salinity treatments. There was not an effect of heterozygosity in the tidal treatment as almost all eggs were fertilized.

Table S1.3: Treatment (Trx) effects on whether or not individual fish laid any eggs. Treatment contrasts with significant differences shown in bold. Those fish in the tide and high salinity treatments were less likely to lay eggs than those in control and low salinity. Significant comparisons are shown in bold. SE = standard error; P = P-value.

Treatment contrasts for Binomial Response of Laying Eggs					
Trx	*/Trx*	*Odds Ratio*	*SE*	*Z-ratio*	*P*
C	**H**	**4.20**	**1.30**	**4.64**	**<.0001**
C	L	1.63	0.46	1.74	0.31
C	**T**	**4.67**	**1.51**	**4.76**	**<.0001**
H	**L**	**0.39**	**0.13**	**-2.95**	**0.02**
H	T	1.11	0.40	0.29	0.99
L	**T**	**2.86**	**0.96**	**3.14**	**0.01**

Table S1.4. Treatment and heterozygosity effects on the proportion of unfertilized eggs and hatch success of fertilized eggs. Significant values are shown in bold. There was an interaction of treatment and heterozygosity on the proportion of unfertilized (and thus fertilized) eggs. See Figure S2. Hatch success of fertilized eggs was not affected by treatment or heterozygosity. F = F-ratio, P = p-value, df=degrees of freedom.

Proportion of Unfertilized Eggs		*Wald χ2*	*df*	*P*
Treatment		9.67	3	**<0.0001**
Heterozygosity		152.94	1	**0.02**
Treatment x Heterozygosity		66.40	3	**<0.0001**
Hatch Success of Fertilized Eggs	*Estimate*	*F*	*df*	*P*
Treatment		1.01	3, 94	0.39
Heterozygosity	0.013	0.38	1, 94	0.54
Treatment x Heterozygosity		1.67	3, 94	0.18

Table S1.5. Treatment and heterozygosity effects on the gonad and liver masses, relative to body mass. Significant values are shown in bold. Only treatment affected gonad mass. Gonad mass was significantly larger in fish in the control treatment compared to those in the low salinity treatment. There were not differences in other pairwise comparisons. Liver mass was only affected by heterozygosity. Liver mass decreased as heterozygosity increased. F = F-ratio, P = p-value, df=degrees of freedom.

	Gonad Residuals Mass				**Liver Residuals Mass**			
	Estimate	*F*	*df*	*P*	*Estimate*	*F*	*df*	*P*
Treatment		6.72	3, 4.871	**0.03**		1.66	3, 3.57	0.65
Heterozygosity	0.0003	2.88	1, 663.1	0.09	-0.0003	25.97	1, 660	**<0.0001**
Treatment x Heterozygosity		0.20	3, 662.1	0.9		1.99	3, 659.2	0.11

Table S1.6. Treatment and size effects on survival until the end of treatment at 180 dph. Each size trait, plus treatment and the interaction of the size trait and treatment, were run as separate models. The range of Wald $\chi 2$ values for treatment reflect the values from the different models. Survival decreased with decreasing mass and standard length at 90 dph and 150 dph, the negative parameter estimate indicates that the hazard of mortality decreases with the increase of the independent variable. Body condition was not a significant effect. Treatment alone was a significant effect. F = F-ratio, P = p-value, df=degrees of freedom.

Effects of Size on Survival to 180 dph	*Estimate*	*Wald $\chi 2$*	*df*	*P*
90 dph mass (mg)	**-0.012**	**8.25**	**1**	**0.004**
90 dph standard length (mm)	**-0.152**	**9.09**	**1**	**0.003**
90 dph body condition (Fulton's K)	0.114	0.07	1	0.790
Treatment		**22.15-23.52**	**1**	**<0.0001**
150 dph mass (mg)	**-0.030**	**8.84**	**1**	**0.003**
150 dph standard length (mm)	**-0.352**	**6.75**	**1**	**0.009**
150 dph body condition (Fulton's K)	-1.747	1.76	1	0.185
150 dph mass (mg) x treatment		2.30	3	0.513
150 dph standard length (mm) x treatment		2.19	3	0.534
150 dph body condition (Fulton's K) x treatment		1.95	3	0.583