1 *Repeated truncation of a modular antimicrobial peptide gene for*
2 *neural context*
3

4 M.A. Hanson[*] and B. Lemaitre
5
6 Global Health Institute, School of Life Science, École Polytechnique Fédérale de
7 Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland.
8   *Author for Correspondence: Mark Hanson, Global Health Institute, School of Life
9   Science, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne,
10   Switzerland (mark.hanson@epfl.ch)
11
12 ORCID IDs:
13 Hanson: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6125-3672
14 Lemaitre: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7970-1667

Abstract

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are host-encoded antibiotics that combat
invading pathogens. These genes commonly encode multiple products as post-
translationally cleaved polypeptides. Recent studies have highlighted roles for AMPs
in neurological contexts suggesting functions for these defence molecules beyond
infection. During our immune study characterizing the antimicrobial peptide gene
Baramicin, we recovered multiple *Baramicin* paralogs in *Drosophila melanogaster*
and other species, united by their N-terminal IM24 domain. Not all paralogs were
immune-induced. Here, through careful dissection of the *Baramicin* family's
evolutionary history, we find that these non-immune paralogs result from repeated
events of duplication and subsequent truncation of the coding sequence from an
immune-inducible ancestor. These truncations leave only the IM24 domain as the
prominent gene product. Surprisingly, using mutation and targeted gene silencing
we demonstrate that two such genes are adapted for function in neural contexts in
D. melanogaster. We also show enrichment in the head for independent *Baramicin*
genes in other species. The *Baramicin* evolutionary history reveals that the IM24
Baramicin domain is not strictly useful in an immune context. We thus provide a
case study for how an AMP-encoding gene might play dual roles in both immune and
non-immune processes via its multiple peptide products. We reflect on these
findings to highlight a blind spot in the way researchers approach AMP research in
in vivo contexts.
37
38 Key words: AMPs, Drosophila, Immunity, Baramicin, Neurology

39
Significance statement:
41
42 Antimicrobial peptides are immune proteins recently implicated in neurological
43 roles. To date little attention has been paid to the contributions of different gene
44 products in this function. Here we show that an antimicrobial peptide gene encodes
45 multiple products with either immune-specific or neurological roles.

Introduction

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are immune effectors best known for their role in defence against infection. These antimicrobials are commonly encoded as a polypeptide including both pro- and mature peptide domains (Zanetti 2005; Hanson and Lemaitre 2020). AMP genes frequently experience events of duplication and loss (Wang and Zhu 2011; Vilcinskas et al. 2013; Sackton et al. 2017; Hanson, Lemaitre, et al. 2019) and undergo rapid evolution at the sequence level (Tennessen 2005; Jiggins and Kim 2007; Hellgren et al. 2010; Halldórsdóttir and Árnason 2015; Hanson et al. 2016; Chapman et al. 2019). The selective pressures that drive these evolutionary outcomes are likely the consequence of host-pathogen interactions (Unckless et al. 2016). However AMPs and AMP-like genes in many species have also recently been implicated in non-immune roles in flies, nematodes, and humans. These new contexts suggest that the evolutionary forces acting on AMP genes may not be driven strictly by trade-offs in host defence, but rather by conflicts between roles in immunity and other non-immune functions.

For instance, Diptericins are membrane-disrupting antimicrobial peptides of flies (Diptera) that are required for defence against infection by *Providencia* bacteria (Unckless et al. 2016; Hanson, Dostálová, et al. 2019). It was therefore surprising that the *D. melanogaster* gene *Diptericin B (DptB)* affects memory processes (Barajas-azpeleta et al. 2018). In this study, *DptB* derived from the fly fat body (analogous to the mammalian liver) regulated the ability of the fly to form long-term memory associations (Barajas-azpeleta et al. 2018). Another AMP-like gene, nemuri, regulates fly sleep and promotes survival upon infection (Toda et al. 2019). Studies in nematodes have also shown that an immune-induced polypeptide (NLP-29) binds to a G-protein coupled receptor (NPR-12) triggering neurodegeneration through activation of the NPR-12-dependent autophagy pathway (Lezi et al. 2018), and injury triggers epidermal AMPs including NLP-29 to promote sleep (Sinner et al. 2021). *Drosophila* AMPs have also recently been shown to regulate behaviours after seeing parasitoid wasps (Ebrahim et al. 2021), during feeding with different bacteria (Kobler et al. 2020), or following infection (Hanson et al. 2021). In humans, the *Cathelicidin* gene encodes the AMP LL-37, which is implicated in glia-mediated

neuroinflammation and Alzheimer's disease (Lee et al. 2015; De Lorenzi et al. 2017).

Indeed recent evidence suggests Alzheimer's disease is an infectious syndrome

(Dominy et al. 2019), though the importance of this process is debated (Abbott

2020). Notably, AMPs share a number of properties with classic neuropeptides

(Brogden et al. 2005), further muddying the distinction between peptides of the

immune and nervous systems.

We recently described a novel antifungal peptide gene of *Drosophila*

melanogaster that we named *Baramicin A* (*BaraA*) (Hanson et al. 2021). A unique

aspect of *BaraA* is its precursor protein structure, which encodes a polypeptide

cleaved into multiple mature products by interspersed furin cleavage sites. The use

of furin cleavage sites to produce more than one mature peptide from a single

polypeptide precursor is widespread in animal AMP genes (Gerdol et al. 2020;

Hanson and Lemaitre 2020), including multiple peptide repeats in bees and other

flies (Casteels-Josson et al. 1993; Hanson et al. 2016). However, *BaraA* represents an

exceptional case as many tandem repeat peptides are cleaved by furin from a single

precursor protein, effectively resembling a "protein-based operon". The immature

precursor protein of *D. melanogaster BaraA* encodes three types of domains: an

IM24 domain, three tandem repeats of IM10-like domains, and an IM22 domain.

BaraA mutants are susceptible to infection by fungi, and *in vitro* experiments

suggest the *BaraA* IM10-like peptides have antifungal activity (Hanson et al. 2021).

The other *Baramicin* domains encoding IM22 and IM24 remain uncharacterized.

Curiously, *BaraA* deficient flies also display an erect wing behavioural phenotype

upon immune stimulation even in the absence of infection, suggesting that *BaraA*

products could have non-microbial targets (Hanson et al. 2021).

In this study, we describe the evolution of the Drosophilid *Baramicin* gene

family. Three unique *Baramicin* genes (*BaraA, B, and C*) are present in the genome of

D. melanogaster. Surprisingly, only *BaraA* is immune-induced, while *BaraB* and

BaraC are enriched in the nervous system. Both *BaraB* and *BaraC* have truncations

compared to the ancestral *Baramicin* gene, and these two genes effectively encode

just the *Baramicin* IM24 domain. We found similar truncations in other species, and

confirmed loss of immune expression for IM24-specific *Baramicins* of other species.

We also confirmed enrichment in the head or nervous system for IM24-specific

genes in *D. melanogaster* and other species. We resolved the genomic ancestry of the

Baramicins, which confirmed that these repeated truncations creating IM24-specific

genes came from independent events (convergent evolution). The complex 'protein

operon' polypeptide nature of *Baramicin* draws attention to how different sub-

peptides can be adapted to context-specific roles, like in immunity or neurology.

Attention to the multiple peptide products of AMP genes could explain how these

immune effectors affect both immune and neurological processes.

Results

Baramicin is an ancestral immune effector

The *Baramicin A* gene was only recently described as encoding antifungal

effectors by our group (Hanson et al. 2021), and another recent study also

confirmed *Baramicin*'s important contribution to Toll immune defence (Huang et al.

2020). These initial characterizations were done only in *D. melanogaster*, and

focused on one *Baramicin* gene (*BaraA*). We will therefore first provide a basic

description of the immune *Baramicins* of other species and also the larger *Baramicin*

gene family of *D. melanogaster* to establish that this is a classically immune gene

family. This is relevant to non-immune duplicate genes discussed later.

In *D. melanogaster, BaraA* is regulated by the Toll immune signalling pathway

(Huang et al. 2020; Hanson et al. 2021). Using BLAST, we recovered *BaraA*-like

genes encoding each Baramicin peptide (IM24, IM10-like, and IM22) across the

genus *Drosophila* and in the outgroup *Scaptodrosophila lebanonensis*. In many

species, this was the only *Baramicin* gene present, suggesting *Dmel\BaraA*

resembles the ancestral *Baramicin* structure. We performed infection experiments

to confirm that *BaraA*-like genes were immune-inducible in the diverse species *D.*

melanogaster, *D. pseudoobscura*, *D. willistoni*, *D. virilis*, and *D. neotesteacea* (last

common ancestor ~53mya (Suvorov et al. 2021)) with *Micrococcus luteus* and

Candida albicans, two microbes that stimulate the Toll pathway (**Fig.** 1A). In all five

species, *BaraA*-like genes were immune-induced (**Fig.** 1B-F). We therefore confirm

the ancestral *Baramicin* was an immune-induced gene. Deviations from immune

function derived.

Figure 1: The ancestral *BaraA* gene was immune-induced. A) Cladogram of species used
in B-F. B-F) Expression of the Toll-responsive gene *BomBc3* (brown) or *BaraA-like* genes
(orange) in diverse *Drosophila* species upon infection. In all cases, both *BomBc3* and *BaraA-
like* genes are induced upon infection by either *C. albicans* yeast or *M. luteus* bacteria.

The D. melanogaster genome encodes up to four Baramicins: BaraA1, BaraA2,

BaraB and BaraC

In *D. melanogaster*, we recovered four *Baramicin* genes. First, we realized

that a duplication of *BaraA* is actively segregating in wild flies (**Fig. 2**A). The *D.*

melanogaster R6 genome assembly encodes two 100% identical *BaraA* genes

(*CG33470* and *CG18279*, *BaraA1* and *BaraA2* respectively). We screened 132 DGRP

lines for the *BaraA* duplication event, finding only ~14% (18/132) of strains were

PCR-positive for two *BaraA* copies (supplementary data file 1). Perhaps as a

consequence of the identical sequences of these two genes, this genome region is

poorly resolved in RNA sequencing studies and the Drosophila Genetic Reference

Panel (DGRP, see **Fig.** S1) (Mackay et al. 2012; Leader et al. 2018). Because this

region is poorly resolved, it is unclear if our PCR assay might be sensitive to cryptic

sequence variation. However our PCR screen nevertheless confirms that this region

is variable in the wild, and we additionally note that common fly strains seem to

differ in their *BaraA* copy number, where extra gene copies correlates with

increased expression after infection (see (Hanson et al. 2021) S10 Fig).

We also recovered two paralogous *Baramicin* genes in *D. melanogaster*

through reciprocal BLAST searches: *CG13749* and *CG30285*, which we name *BaraB*

and *BaraC* respectively (**Fig.** 2B). The three *Baramicin* gene loci are scattered on the

right arm of chromosome II at cytological positions 44F9 (*BaraB*), 50A5 (*BaraA*),

and 57F8 (*BaraC*). These paralogous *Baramicins* are united by the presence of the

IM24 domain. In the case of *BaraB*, we additionally recovered a frameshift mutation

(2R_4821599_INS) causing a premature stop segregating in the DGRP leading to the

loss of IM13 and IM22 relative to the *BaraA* gene structure (**Fig. 2**B); this truncation

is present in the Dmel_R6 genome assembly, but many DGRP strains encode a CDS

with either a standard (e.g. DGRP38) or extended (e.g. DGRP101) IM22 domain (a

DGRP *BaraB* alignment is provided in supplementary data file 2). Moreover, in

contrast to BaraA, the initial IM10-like peptide of BaraB no longer follows a furin

cleavage site, and encodes a serine (R<u>S</u>XR) in its IM10-like motif instead of the

universal proline (R<u>P</u>XR) of *BaraA*-like IM10 peptides across the genus. Each of

these mutations prevents the secretion of classical IM10-like and IM22 peptides by

BaraB. Finally, *BaraC* encodes only IM24 tailed by a transmembrane domain at the

C terminus (TMHMM v2.0 (Krogh et al. 2001)), and thus lacks both the IM10-like

peptides and IM22 (**Fig. 2**B).

BaraB and BaraC are not immune-inducible

BaraA is strongly induced following microbial challenge (Fig. 1), being

predominantly regulated by the Toll pathway with a minor input from the Immune

Deficiency (Imd) pathway (Huang et al. 2020; Hanson et al. 2021). We therefore

assayed the expression of *BaraB* and *BaraC* in wild-type flies, and also flies with

defective Toll (spz^{rm7}) or Imd (Rel^{E20}) signalling to see if their basal expression relied

on these pathways. Surprisingly, neither gene was induced upon infection

regardless of microbial challenge (**Fig. 3**A and **Fig.** S2A-B). Of note: *BaraC* levels

were consistently reduced in spz^{rm7} mutants regardless of treatment (cumulative

data in **Fig.** S2C, p = .005), suggesting *BaraC* basal expression is affected by Toll

signalling. We next generated a novel time course of development from egg to adult

to monitor the expression of the three *Baramicin* genes. We found that expression of

all genes increased over development and reached their highest level in young

adults (**Fig.** 3B). Of note, *BaraB* expression approached the lower limit of our assay's

detection sensitivity at early life stages. However *BaraB* was robustly detected

beginning at the pupal stage, indicating it is expressed during metamorphosis. *BaraC*

expression also increased markedly between the L3 larval stage and pupal stage.

Collectively we reveal that *BaraA* is part of a larger gene family. While the

BaraA gene was first described as an immune effector, the two *Baramicin* paralogs

BaraB and *BaraC* are not induced by infection in *D. melanogaster*. Both *BaraB* and

BaraC first see increased expression during pupation, and are ultimately expressed

at their highest levels in adults.

Dmel\BaraB is required in the nervous system over the course of development

A simple interpretation of the truncated gene structure and low levels of

BaraB expression is that this gene is undergoing pseudogenization. Indeed, AMP

gene pseudogenization is common in insects including *Drosophila* (Quesada et al.

2005; Rolff and Schmid-Hempel 2016; Hanson, Lemaitre, et al. 2019). To explore

BaraB function, we used two mutations for *BaraB* (*ΔBaraB^{LC1}* and *ΔBaraB^{LC4},*

generously gifted by S.A. Wasserman). These mutations were made using a CRISPR

double gRNA approach to replace the *BaraB* locus with sequence from the pHD-

DsRed vector. The *ΔBaraB^{LC1}* and *ΔBaraB^{LC4}* mutations differ in their ultimate effect,

as *ΔBaraB^{LC1}* is an incidental insertion of the DsRed cassette in the promoter of the

gene. This disruption reduces gene expression, resulting in a hypomorph state (**Fig.**

S3A). The *ΔBaraB^{LC4}* mutation however deletes the locus as intended, leading to

BaraB null flies (**Fig. 3**C).

We further introgressed both *ΔBaraB* mutations into the DrosDel isogenic

background (referred to as *iso*) for seven generations according to Ferreira et al.

(Ferreira et al. 2014). At the same time, we combined the original *ΔBaraB*

chromosomes with a CyO-GFP balancer chromosome in a mixed genetic background

to distinguish homozygous/heterozygous larvae. In all cases, *ΔBaraB^{LC4}* homozygous

individuals failed to develop to the adult stage, whereas homozygous *ΔBaraB^{LC1}*

adults emerged (**Fig. 3**D). We next assessed *BaraB* hypomorph viability by crossing

ΔBaraB^{LC1} homozygous males to *ΔBaraB^{LC1}/CyO* heterozygous females. The resulting

offspring ratio departed from mendelian inheritance, and was exacerbated by

rearing at 29°C (**Fig. S3**B). Using our *CyO-GFP* reporter to track hetero- vs.

homozygous larvae revealed that the major lethal phase occurs primarily in the late

larval and pupal stages (**Fig. S3**C-F), consistent with a role for *BaraB* in the larva/

Figure 3: *D. melanogaster BaraB and BaraC* have neural functions. A) Only *BaraA* is immune-induced. *BaraB* and *BaraC* do not respond to infection, though basal *BaraC* expression relies on Toll signalling (Fig. S3C). B) Time series of whole animal *Baramicin* expression over the course of development. Expression values are normalized within each gene, with expression in the adult set to 100% (left panel). For context, normalizing each gene to *BaraA* in adults shows that *BaraC* and especially *BaraB* expression is much lower at a whole fly level (right panel). C) *ΔBaraB* mutations generated in this study. *ΔBaraB^{LC1}* is an incidental hypomorph with reduced *BaraB* expression (Fig. S3A), while *ΔBaraB^{LC4}* has the intended genetic replacement of *BaraB* with a DsRed cassette. Both mutations cause expression of DsRed in the eyes, ocelli, and abdomen. D) Partial lethality of *ΔBaraB^{LC1}* hypomorphs or complete lethality of *ΔBaraB^{LC4}* null flies in varied genetic backgrounds. E) The nubbin-like wing phenotype is copied by *BaraB* gene silencing using the neural driver *elav-Gal4*. F) Specific wing mechanosensory neurons seem to express *BaraB* in adults. Meanwhile the *BaraC* gene almost perfectly matches the expression pattern of glia specific *Repo*-expressing cells in single cell RNA sequencing of the adult fly brain (Li et al. 2021).

pupa stage as suggested by an increase in expression at this stage (**Fig.** 3B). Some

ΔBaraBLC1 homozygous flies also exhibited locomotor defects, and/or a nubbin-like

wing phenotype (FlyBase: FBrf0220532 and e.g. in **Fig. 3**E) where the wings were

stuck in a shrivelled state for the remainder of the fly's lifespan. However, a

proportion of *ΔBaraBLC1* homozygotes successfully emerged, and unlike their

siblings, had no immediate morphological or locomotory defects. The lifespan of

morphologically normal *iso ΔBaraBLC1* adults is nevertheless significantly shorter

compared to wild-type flies and *iso ΔBaraBLC1/CyO* siblings (**Fig. S4**G). We confirmed

these developmental defects using ubiquitous gene silencing with *Actin5C-Gal4 (Act-*

Gal4) to drive two *BaraB* RNAi/interfering RNA (IR) constructs (*TRiP-IR* and *KK-IR*).

Both constructs resulted in significant lethality and occurrence of nubbin-like wings

(**Table** S1). Genomic deficiency crosses also confirmed significantly reduced

numbers of eclosing *BaraB*-deficient flies at 25°C (n = 114, χ^2 p < .001) and 29°C (n =

63, χ^2 p < .001) (**Fig. S3**H). We therefore conclude that full gene deletion causes

lethality at the larva-pupa transition stage, and *BaraB* hypomorph flies suffer

significant costs to fitness during development, and have reduced lifespan even

following successful eclosion.

These data indicate *BaraB* is unlikely to be pseudogenized. While whole-fly

BaraB expression is low, *BaraB* appears to perform an integral developmental role.

The fact that there is a bimodal outcome in hypomorph-like *ΔBaraBLC1* adults (either

severe defects or generally healthy) suggests *BaraB* is involved in passing some

checkpoint during larval/pupal development. Flies deficient for *BaraB* may be more

likely to fail at this developmental checkpoint, resulting in either lethality or

developmental defects.

Baramicin B plays an important role in the nervous system

We next sought to determine in which tissue(s) *BaraB* is required. A previous

screen using neural *elav-Gal4* driven RNA interference highlighted *BaraB* silencing

for lethality effects (n = 15) (Neely et al. 2010). Given *BaraB* mutant locomotory

defects, we started by silencing *BaraB* in the nervous system using the pan-neural

elav-Gal4 driver with both the *TRiP-IR* and *KK-IR BaraB-IR* lines *(IR = interfering*

RNA). We also used a combination of *UAS-Dicer2 (Dcr2)* and/or 29°C for greater

silencing efficiency. In all cases, *BaraB-IR* driven by *elav-Gal4* caused a significant (p

< .02) departure from mendelian inheritance in lethality and nubbin-like wing

presentation (Table S1). Moreover the frequency of both lethality and the nubbin-

like wing phenotype was increased with increasing strength of gene silencing.

This analysis suggests that *BaraB* plays an important role in the nervous system,

explaining both the lethality and nubbin-like wing phenotypes. Interestingly, *BaraB*

is expressed in specific subset of mechanosensory neuron cells in the wing in

FlyCellAtlas (Li et al. 2021) (**Fig.** 3F), despite very low levels of *BaraB* expression in

other FlyCellAtlas tissue datasets. We additionally investigated the effect of *BaraB*

silencing in non-neural tissues including the fat body (*c564-Gal4*), hemocytes (*hml-*

Gal4), the gut (esg-Gal4, MyO-Gal4), the wing disc (*nubbin-Gal4*), and in myocytes

(*mef2-gal4*), which did not present with increased lethality or nubbin-like wings. We

also screened neural drivers specific for glia (*Repo-Gal4*), motor neurons (*D42-*,

VGMN-, and *OK6-Gal4*), and a *BaraA-Gal4* driver (Hanson et al. 2021) that could

overlap *BaraB*-expressing cells. However all these *Gal4>BaraB-IR* flies were viable

and never exhibited overt morphological defects.

Baramicin C is expressed in glia

Tissue-specific transcriptomic data indicate that *BaraC* is expressed in

various neural tissues including the eye, brain, and the thoracic abdominal ganglion

(**Fig. S4**A), but also the hindgut and rectal pads pointing to a complex expression

pattern (Hammonds et al. 2013; Leader et al. 2018). We next searched FlyCellAtlas

(Li et al. 2021) to narrow down which neural subtypes *BaraB and BaraC* were

expressed in. *BaraB* expressing cells were few and showed only low expression in

this dataset. However *BaraC* was robustly expressed in all glial cell types, fully

overlapping the glia marker *Repo* (**Fig. 3**F). To confirm the observation that *BaraC*

was expressed in glia, we compared the effects of *BaraC* RNA silencing (*BaraC-IR*)

using *Act-Gal4* (ubiquitous), *elav-Gal4* (neural) and *Repo-Gal4* (glia) drivers on

BaraC expression. *Act-Gal4* reduced *BaraC* expression to just ~14% that of control

flies (**Fig. S4**B). By comparison *elav-Gal4* reduced *BaraC* expression to ~63% that of

controls, while *Repo-Gal4* led to *BaraC* levels only 57% that of controls (overall

controls vs. neural/glia-IR, p = .002). We also screened for overt lethality, and

locomotor or developmental defects upon *BaraC* silencing using ubiquitous *Act-Gal4*

and neural *elav-Gal4>Dcr2* or *Repo-Gal4*. However *BaraC* silencing never produced

overt phenotypes in morphology or locomotor activity (not shown).

Collectively, our results support the notion that *BaraC* is expressed in the

nervous system, and are consistent with *BaraC* expression being most localized to

glial cells.

Repeated genomic turnover of the Baramicin gene family

Our results thus far show that *BaraA*-like genes are consistently immune-

induced in all *Drosophila* species (**Fig.** 1), however the two paralogs *Dmel\BaraB*

and *Dmel\BaraC* are not immune-induced, and are truncated in a fashion that

deletes some or all of the antifungal IM10-like peptides (**Fig. 2**B). These two

Baramicins are now enriched in the nervous system (**Fig. 3**E-F). In the case of *BaraB*,

a role in the nervous system is evidenced by severe defects recapitulated using pan-

neural RNA silencing. In the case of *BaraC*, nervous system expression is evidenced

by a clear overlap with *Repo*-expressing cells.

While *BaraA*-like genes are conserved throughout the genus *Drosophila*,

BaraB is conserved only in Melanogaster group flies, and *BaraC* is found only in

Melanogaster and Obscura group flies, indicating that both paralogs stem from

duplication events of a *BaraA*-like ancestor (**Fig. 4**). To determine the ancestry of

each *D. melanogaster Baramicin* gene, we traced their evolutionary history by

analyzing genomic synteny through hierarchical orthologous groups (Train et al.

2019). Ancestry tracing revealed that these three loci ultimately stem from a single-

locus ancestor encoding only one *Baramicin* gene that resembled *Dmel\BaraA* (**Fig.**

4A). This is evidenced by the presence of only a single *BaraA*-like gene in the

outgroup *S. lebanonensis*, and also in multiple lineages of the subgenus Drosophila

(**Fig. 4**B). Indeed, the general *BaraA* gene structure encoding IM24, tandem repeats

of IM10-like peptides, and IM22 is conserved in *S. lebanonensis* and all *Drosophila*

species (**Fig. 4**C). On the other hand, the *Dmel\BaraC* gene comes from an ancient

duplication restricted to the subgenus Sophophora, and *Dmel\BaraB* resulted from a

more recent duplication found only in the Melanogaster group (**Fig. 4**B).

We originally found outgroup *Baramicins* by reciprocal BLAST searches, and

screened *BaraA-like* genes encoding the full suite of Baramicin peptides for immune

induction (i.e. encoding IM24, IM10-likes, and IM22: expression in **Fig.** 1). However

following genomic synteny analysis, we realized that the *D. willistoni BaraA*-like

gene *Dwil\GK10648* is syntenic with the *Dmel\BaraC* locus (**Fig. 4**A), yet this gene is

immune-induced (**Fig.** 1D) and retains a *BaraA*-like gene structure (**Fig. 4**C). On the

other hand, *Dwil\GK10645* is found at the locus syntenic with *BaraA*, but has

undergone an independent truncation to encode just an IM24 peptide (similar to

Dmel\BaraC). Thus these two *D. willistoni* genes have evolved similar to *D.*

melanogaster BaraA/BaraC, but in a vice versa fashion. This suggests a pattern of

convergent evolution with two key points: **i)** the duplication event producing

Dmel\BaraA and *Dmel\BaraC* originally copied a full-length *BaraA*-like gene to the

BaraC locus, and **ii)** the derivation of an IM24-specific gene structure has occurred

more than once (*Dmel\BaraC* and *Dwil\GK10645*). Indeed, another independent

IM24-specific *Baramicin* gene is present in *D. virilis* (*Dvir\GJ25897*), which is a direct

sister of the *BaraA*-like gene *Dvir\GJ21309* (the signal peptides of these genes is

identical at the nucleotide level, and see **Fig. 4**C). Thus *Baramicins* in both *D.*

willistoni and *D. virilis* have convergently evolved towards an IM24-specific protein

structure resembling *Dmel\BaraC*. We checked the expression of these truncated

Baramicins in each species upon infection. As was the case for *Dmel\BaraC*, neither

gene is immune-induced (**Fig. S5**A-C). Given the glial expression of *Dmel\BaraC,* we

reasoned that the heads of adult flies (rich in nerve tissue) should be enriched in

BaraC compared to whole animals. Indeed we saw a significant enrichment of *BaraC*

in the heads of *D. melanogaster* males compared to whole flies, which was not the

case for *BaraA* (**Fig. S5**D). When we checked the heads of *D. willistoni* and *D. virilis*,

we indeed saw a consistent and significant enrichment in the head for the IM24-

specific genes *Dwil\GK10645* and *Dvir\GJ25897*, while *BaraA*-like genes were more

variable in expression (**Fig. S5**E-F).

Genomic synteny shows the gene structure and immune expression of *BaraA*

are the ancestral state, and *Dmel\BaraB* and *Dmel\BaraC* are paralogs derived from

independent duplication events. Strikingly, we observe a parallel evolution of

expression pattern and gene structure in *Baramicins* of *D. willistoni* and *D. virilis.*

Moreover these independent IM24-specific *Baramicins* across species are not

immune induced, and are enriched in the head. Expression data across genes and

species are shown in **Fig.** S5 and summarized in **Fig. 4**C.

Residue 29 in the IM24 domain evolves in lineage-specific fashions

Thus far we have shown that IM24-specific genes are expressed in the

nervous system, yet IM24 is the only peptide domain conserved across all *Baramicin*

genes. We were therefore interested to better understand the properties of IM24 to

know if any evolutionary patterns might distinguish the IM24 domains of nervous

system-expressed genes from IM24 domains of immune-induced genes. We were

unable to model the protein satisfactorily with various protein prediction

techniques, preventing a 3D comprehension of the IM24 peptide. Therefore we

asked if we could highlight any residues in this traditionally immune peptide that

might correlate with nervous system or immune-induced gene lineages to better

understand what aspect of IM24 contributes to it being retained in neural contexts.

To do this, we screened for positive selection (elevated non-synonymous

mutation rate) in the IM24 domain using the HyPhy package implemented in

Datamonkey.org (Delport et al. 2010) using separate codon alignments of *Baramicin*

IM24 domains beginning at their conserved Q^1 starting residue. As is recommended

with the HyPhy package (Delport et al. 2010), we employed multiple statistical

approaches including Likelihood (FEL), Bayesian (FUBAR), and Count-based (SLAC)

analyses to ensure patterns in selection analyses were robust to different methods

of investigation. Specifically, we used locus-specific alignments (e.g. genes at the

stum locus in **Fig. 4**B were all analyzed together) to ensure IM24 evolution reflected

locus-specific evolution. FEL, FUBAR, and SLAC site-specific analyses each suggest

Figure 4: *Baramicin* evolutionary history. A) Detailed map of genomic neighbourhoods in the outgroup drosophilids *S. lebanonensis, D. willistoni,* and *D. melanogaster*, detailing inferred duplication, inversion, and translocation events. Gene names are given as found in *D. melanogaster*. B) Cladogram and genomic loci further detailing the series of events leading to the extant *Baramicin* loci of *Drosophila* species. Loci in *S. lebanonensis* and flies in the subgenus *Drosophila* encode only one *Baramicin* gene, indicating the ancestral drosophilid likely encoded only one *Baramicin*. C) IM24-specific *Baramicins* arose from convergent evolution both in gene structure and expression profile. Genomic loci are described here as *ATP8A* or *stum* reflecting prominent genes neighbouring the *Baramicins* (see Fig. 4A). Expression dynamics relating to immune-induction or enrichment in the head (checked boxes) are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. S5. The *Baramicin* loci in *D. willistoni* are syntenic with *D. melanogaster*, but evolved in a vice versa fashion (purple arrow). The *D. virilis Baramicins GJ21309* and *GJ25897* are direct sister genes (100% identity at N-terminus).

strong purifying selection in many residues of the IM24 domain (p-adj < .05, data in
supplementary data file 3), agreeing with the general protein structure of IM24
being broadly conserved (**Fig.** 5A). However one residue (site 29) was consistently
highlighted as evolving under positive selection using each type of statistical
approach for genes located at the Sophophora *ATP8A* locus (*BaraA* genes and
Dwil\GK10645: p-adj < .05; **Fig. 5**A). This site is universally Proline in *Baramicin*
genes located at the stum locus (*BaraC*-like), in both *D. willistoni Baramicins*, and in
the outgroup *S. lebanonensis*, suggesting Proline is the ancestral state. However this
residue diverges in both the *BaraA* (commonly Threonine) and *BaraB* (commonly
Valine) lineages. We also note that two sites on either side of site 29 (site 27 and site
31) similarly diverge by lineage in an otherwise highly conserved region of the IM24
domain. FUBAR analysis (but not FEL or SLAC) similarly found evidence of positive
selection at site 31 in the *BaraA* locus genes (p-adj = .026). Thus this neighbouring
site could also be evolving in a non-random fashion. Similar analyses of the *BaraB*
and *stum* loci *Baramicins* did not find evidence of site-specific positive selection.

We highlight site 29 as a key residue in IM24 that diverged in *Baramicin* in
lineage-specific fashions. This ancestrally Proline residue has settled on a Threonine
in most *BaraA*-like genes of Obscura and Melanogaster group flies, and a Valine in
most *BaraB* genes, which are unique to the Melanogaster group. The ancestral
Proline residue is found in both *D. willistoni Baramicins*, alongside significant
enrichment of both genes in the head, despite only one gene being immune-induced
(**Fig.** 4C, **Fig.** S5). Thus it is unclear how this site contributes to tissue-specific
Baramicin functions, but Threonine and Valine residues evolved in the *BaraA* and
BaraB lineages.

Another IM24 domain in Baramicin lineages varies through relaxed selection
Visual inspection of aligned IM24 proteins makes it evident that the overall
IM24 domain is broadly conserved, except in sites 40-48 (**Fig. 5**A). However a motif
aligned to residues [40]HHASSPAD[48] of *Dmel\BaraB* departs in lineage-specific
fashions; the three C-terminal residues of this motif are also diagnostic of each gene
lineage (*BaraA, BaraB,* and *BaraC* have RGE, PXE, or (S/N)GQ respectively; **Fig. 5**A).

However even with additional branch-site selection analyses (aBSREL and BUSTED

(Murrell et al. 2015)), we found no evidence of positive selection at this motif, and in

fact many residues also failed to show evidence of purifying selection. For instance,

six of nine sites in the *BaraA* locus analysis failed to reach significance (p < .05) for

purifying selection in SLAC analysis (supplementary data file 3).

458   Given an absence of positive selection, and many residues failing to reach

significance for purifying selection at the residue 40-48 motif, we this motif is

diversifying due to drift effects through relaxed selection. The high conservation of

IM24 residues up- and downstream of this motif is nevertheless striking. One

possible explanation may be that these residues act as a linker between the two

functional parts of IM24 up- and downstream of residues 40-48. Perhaps supporting

this interpretation, we found that *D. yakuba BaraB* independently lost immune

induction alongside an insertion at site 40 (**Fig.** 5). Such speculation awaits

validation by robust protein modelling efforts.

Overt structural change best explains Baramicin loss of immune induction

469   We found that site 29 evolves rapidly in *Baramicin* lineages, but this site is

common to both immune and non-immune Baramicin genes (e.g. in *D. willistoni*).

Thus IM24 sequence variation does not explain why IM24-specific Baramicins lose

immune inducibility. However within the *BaraA/BaraB* lineage, we observed that

BaraB genes commonly encode Valine at IM24 site 29, compared to Threonine in

BaraA. As the *BaraB* locus is derived from an ancestral immune-induced (**Fig.** 4A), it

is unclear if other *BaraB* genes are immune-inducible, and thus what IM24

evolutionary patterns (like Valine at site 29) might predict *BaraB* functional

divergence.

478   We therefore performed infection experiments in diverse species across the

Melanogaster group to see if their *BaraB* genes had similarly lost immune induction

(see **Fig.** S6 for qPCR data). Surprisingly, we found that the non-immune expression

of *Dmel\BaraB* is extremely recent, as Melanogaster sister species like *D. sechellia*

and *D. mauritiana* encode immune inducible *BaraB* loci (summary in **Fig.** 5B).

However, we also found that *D. simulans BaraB* lacked immune induction, despite *D.*

simulans being most closely related to *D. sechellia* (Chakraborty et al. 2021). Thus

IM24 sequence evolution does not predict immune induction.

This drew our attention to the overall protein structure of the various extant *BaraB*

genes. A striking feature of the Dmel\BaraB protein is the absence of a functional

signal peptide (**Fig.** 2B). This signal peptide sequence is conserved in all *Baramicin*

lineages, except in *Dmel/BaraB* and also *Dsim/BaraB*. Indeed despite *D. simulans*

being more closely related to *D. sechellia* and *D. mauritiana*, both *Dmel\BaraB* and

Dsim\BaraB encode a homologous N-terminus of parallel length (**Fig.** 5A). We also

found that *Dyak\BaraB* is not immune-responsive, but note that *D. yakuba* has an

insertion upstream of residue 40 that elongates the IM24 domain (**Fig.** 5B black X

boxes), and its sister species *D. erecta* encodes multiple indels and premature stops

suggesting *BaraB* is pseudogenized in this lineage. Loss of the BaraB signal peptide

is therefore more specifically associated with loss of immune expression in the

Melanogaster species complex (*D. simulans, D. sechellia, D. mauritiana,* and *D.*

melanogaster). The last common ancestor of *D. simulans, D. sechellia,* and *D.*

mauritiana is estimated to be just ~250,000 years ago, and these species diverged

from *D. melanogaster* ~3 million years ago (Chakraborty et al. 2021). The fact that *D.*

simulans uniquely encodes this *Dmel\BaraB*-like sequence suggests it was either

introgressed from one species to the other prior to the complete development of

hybrid inviability, or reflects incomplete lineage sorting of this locus in the

Melanogaster species complex.

Overall, we find no evidence to suggest IM24 domain sequence has evolved

drastically to allow for function in the nervous system. The Proline residue at site 29

of neural *Baramicin* genes appears to be the ancestral state. Rather than small

sequence changes, overt structural changes like truncation to focus on the IM24

domain and loss of a signal peptide in *Dmel\BaraB* are associated with *Baramicins*

expressed in the nervous system. After duplication, *Baramicin* daughter lineages

have repeatedly derived neural-specific expression through subfunctionalization of

the IM24 domain from the overall precursor protein. Importantly, this finding

suggests that the ancestral *Baramicin* encoded peptides with distinct roles in either

the immune response or the nervous system.

Figure 5: *BaraB* and IM24 rapid evolution. A) Evolution of the signal peptide and core IM24 domain. Residue highlighting indicates agreement with *Dmel\BaraB*. Arrows indicate site 29 and the *D. melanogaster* [40]HHASSPAD[48] domain. Insertion events in *D. yakuba* and *D. sechellia BaraB* are denoted as XX to save space. While longer than other Baramicin proteins, the *D. sechellia* signal peptide is predicted to remain functional (SignalP 5.0). The cladogram on the left shows genomic relatedness (by speciation and locus) independent of sequence similarity. Background colouring is included to show birth of novel *Baramicin* loci/lineages. B) *BaraB* immune or non-immune expression by phylogeny. The *D. erecta BaraB* gene is pseudogenized by multiple premature stop codons, and the *D. yakuba* gene is not immune-induced and encodes a 9-residue insertion in the IM24 peptide bordering the HHASSPAD domain (see XX site in A). However the *BaraB* genes of *D. suzukii*, and both *D. mauritiana* and *D. sechellia* remain inducible by infection, while the *BaraB* genes of *D. simulans* and *D. melanogaster* are not and are expressed at very low levels (Fig. S6). This pattern suggests that *BaraB* of *D. melanogaster* (and *D. simulans*) acquired its non-immune role only recently, and is correlated with the loss of the *BaraB* signal peptide.

Discussion

Discussion

Recent studies have suggested AMP genes drive behaviour or disease

through interactions with the nervous system. Many of these genes encode

polypeptides with multiple mature products. To date, little attention has been paid

to AMP genes in these neural contexts at the level of the sub-peptides they encode.

Here we demonstrate that the *Baramicin* antimicrobial peptide gene of *Drosophila*

ancestrally encodes distinct peptides involved with either the nervous system or the

immune response. Given the ancestral *Baramicin* encoded all Baramicin peptide

domains, this antimicrobial peptide gene has always produced sub-peptides that

interact with either the nervous system (IM24) or invading pathogens (IM10-like,

IM22). These peptides are matured from a longer precursor protein, accomplished

via furin cleavage. Importantly, this suggests that AMP genes can mediate these

distinct neural and immune roles via specialized sub-peptides, and not necessarily

due to dual action of a single peptide.

The goal of generating different gene products is commonly accomplished

through alternate transcript isoforms. For instance, the insect *doublesex* gene

determines sexual differentiation, with male- or female-specific isoforms mediated

by alternative splicing. Select hymenopteran lineages have even lost coding

sequence for the male-specific exon (Baral et al. 2019). These context-specific

isoforms are similar in theme to loss of immune-relevant peptides in non-immune

Baramicin genes, except that in *Baramicins* this is achieved through gene

duplication. Moreover the 'protein operon' structure of immune-induced *Baramicins*

can act as a mechanism to allow peptides with distinct roles to be produced

simultaneously from a single mRNA transcript.

There is building evidence that immune-induced AMPs and AMP-like genes

affect the nervous system. Loss of Metchnikowin protects flies from

neurodegeneration after traumatic brain injury (Swanson et al. 2020), *Induced by*

infection (IBIN) regulates behavioural changes in flies after seeing parasitoid wasps

(Ebrahim et al. 2021), epidermal nematode AMPs trigger motor neuron autophagy

(Lezi et al. 2018) and sleep (Sinner et al. 2021) after infection, and loss of *Diptericin*

B produced by the fat body leads to memory deficits in *Drosophila* (Barajas-azpeleta

et al. 2018). This last example is intriguing, as *Diptericin B* also encodes a

polypeptide matured by furin cleavage, and its effect on memory was derived from

peptide secreted into the hemolymph by the fat body and not from neural

expression. Similarly, we recently found that *BaraA* deletion causes infected flies to

display an erect wing behavioural phenotype, which was independent of active

infection, and could be rescued by priming the hemolymph with *BaraA* expressed by

the fat body (Hanson et al. 2021). Thus some component of *BaraA* likely interacts

with some host target(s) to prevent this behaviour during the immune response.

The present study suggests this could be due to action of BaraA IM24, given

evidence for IM10-like peptides as antifungal peptides (Hanson et al. 2021), and loss

of these peptides in nervous system-specific *Baramicins*. However AMPs and

neuropeptides have many similar features, including cationic charge and

amphipathicity (Brogden et al. 2005). Thus while we present evidence that IM24 of

different *Baramicin* genes underlies *Baramicin* interactions with the nervous

system, we cannot exclude the possibility that IM24 is also antimicrobial.

One human AMP recently implicated in chronic neuroinflammatory disease is

the Cathelicidin LL-37 (Lee et al. 2015; De Lorenzi et al. 2017; Moir et al. 2018). Like

Baramicin, the *Cathelicidin* gene family is unified by its N-terminal "Cathelin"

domain. However to date no one has described antimicrobial activity of the Cathelin

domain in vitro (Zanetti 2005). Instead, *Cathelicidin* research has focused almost

exclusively on the mature peptide LL-37 at the C-terminus of mammalian

Cathelicidin genes. Reflecting on *Baramicin* evolution and the implication of

Cathelicidin in neurodegenerative diseases, what does the Cathelin domain do?

While this study was conducted in fruit flies, we hope we have emphasized the

importance of considering each peptide of AMP genes for in vivo function. This is

relevant to neural processes even if the gene is typically thought of for its role in

innate immunity. Indeed, recent studies of *Drosophila* AMPs have emphasized that in

vitro activity does not always predict the interactions that these genes can have in

vivo (Clemmons et al. 2015; Hanson, Dostálová, et al. 2019). Care should be taken

not to conflate in vitro activity with realized in vivo function. Most studies focus on

AMPs specifically in an immune role, but this is akin to 'looking for your keys under

the streetlight.' To understand AMP functions in vivo, genetic approaches will be

necessary that allow a more global view of gene function.

In summary, we reflect on the structural characteristic of AMP genes through

the lens of *Baramicins*. We found that one sub-peptide of the immune-induced

Baramicin ancestor is readily adapted for functions relating to the nervous system.

Meanwhile, other sub-peptides known to suppress fungi are repeatedly lost in

daughter genes that lack immune inducibility, suggesting they are irrelevant to

neural functions. As AMP genes commonly encode polypeptides matured by furin

cleavage (including *Baramicin*), it will be interesting to consider the functions of

AMP genes in neural processes not simply at the level of the gene, but at the level of

the mature peptides produced by that gene. This consideration may explain how

some polypeptide immune effectors play dual roles in disparate contexts.

Data availability statement

All data are included within the manuscript and supplementary files.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Maria Litovchenko for advice, Ana Marija Jakšić for generously providing DGRP flies, Huang et al. (Huang et al. 2020) for collaborative cooperation, Brian McCabe for consultation, and Florent Masson, Hannah Westlake, and the anonymous reviewers and the editors at MBE for commentary on our initial manuscript. This research was supported by Sinergia grant CRSII5_186397 awarded to Bruno Lemaitre. The *BaraB*[LC1] and *BaraB*[LC4] mutations were graciously provided by Steven Wasserman and generated by Lianne Cohen, who we also thank for their critical involvement in characterizing *Baramicin A*.

Materials and Methods

DGRP population screening and bioinformatics analyses

Genomic sequence data were downloaded from GenBank default reference assemblies and Kim et al. (Kim et al. 2021), and DGRP sequence data from http://dgrp2.gnets.ncsu.edu/ (Mackay et al. 2012). Sequence comparisons and alignment figures were prepared using Geneious R10 (Kearse et al. 2012), Prism 7, and Inkscape. Alignments were performed using MUSCLE or MAFFT followed by manual curation, and phylogenetic analyses were performed to validate sequence patterns using the Neighbour Joining, PhyML, RaxML, and MrBayes plugins in Geneious. *BaraA* copy number screening was performed using primers specific to the duplication and *CG30059* control primers for DNA extraction (primers in supplementary data file 5). We found a significant correlation between *BaraA* PCR status and variant sites starting at 2R_9293471_SNP and extending to 2R_9293576_SNP (Pearson's correlation matrix: $0.0001 < p\text{-value} < 0.005$ at all nine sites), however the status of genetic variants at this site is poorly resolved and so we cannot be confident that our ~14% estimate for the *BaraA* duplication in the DGRP would hold true if long-read sequencing was employed. DGRP annotation of the *BaraA* locus in Fig. S1 was generated using the UCSC *D. melanogaster* DGRP2 genome browser. Selection analyses were performed using the HyPhy package implemented in datamonkey.org (Delport et al. 2010). Codon alignments of the IM24 domain used in **Fig. 5**A are included as a .fasta file in supplementary data file 3 alongside outputs from FEL, FUBAR, SLAC, and aBSREL selection analyses.

Fly genetics

The *BaraB*[LC1] and *BaraB*[LC4] mutations were generated using CRISPR with two gRNAs and an HDR vector by cloning 5' and 3' region-homologous arms into the pHD-DsRed vector, and consequently *ΔBaraB* flies express DsRed in their eyes, ocelli, and abdomen. The following PAM sites were used for CRISPR bordering the *BaraB* region. Slashes indicate the cut site: 5': GCGGGCAACAGATGTGTTCA/GGG 3': GTCCATTGCTTATTCAAAAA/TGG. These mutants were generated in the laboratory of Steve Wasserman by Lianne Cohen, who graciously allowed their use in this study. All fly stocks including Gal4 and RNAi lines are listed in **supplementary data file 4**. Experiments were performed at 25°C unless otherwise indicated. When possible, genetic crosses of 6-8 males and 6-8 females were

648 performed in both directions to test for an effect of the X or Y chromosomes on
649 *BaraB*-mediated lethality; crosses in both directions yielded similar results in all
650 cases and reported data are pooled results. Fly diet consisted of a nutrient-rich lab
651 standard food: 3.72g agar, 35.28g cornmeal, 35.28g yeast, 36mL grape juice, 2.9mL
652 propionic acid, 15.9mL moldex, and H_2O to 600mL.
653
Infection experiments
Bacteria and yeast were grown to mid-log phase shaking at 200rpm in their
respective growth media (LB, BHI, or YPG) and temperature conditions, and then
pelleted by centrifugation to concentrate microbes. Resulting cultures were diluted
to OD = 200 at 600nm before infections to measure gene expression. The following
microbes were grown at 37°C: *Escherichia coli strain 1106* (LB) and *Candida albicans*
(YPG)*. Micrococcus luteus* was grown at 29°C in LB. For **Fig.** 1 and S2, pooled fly
samples were collected either 6 hours post-infection (*E. coli*) or 24 hours post-
infection (*C. albicans, M. luteus*) prior to RNA extraction on pools of 5 adult males.
These timepoints correspond to the maximal expression inputs of the Imd (6hpi) or
Toll (24hpi) NF-κB signalling pathways, which are most specifically induced by
Gram-negative bacteria (Imd) or Gram-positive bacteria or fungi (Toll) (Lemaitre et
al. 1997). Flies were pricked in the thorax as described in (Hanson, Dostálová, et al.
2019).
RNA extractions were performed using TRIzol™, Ambion DNAse treatment,
and PrimeScript RT according to manufacturer's protocols. RT-qPCR was performed
using PowerUP SYBR Green master mix with primers listed in supplementary data
file 5. Gene expression differences were analyzed using the PFAFFL method (Pfaffl
2001). For gene expression experiments requiring dissection of heads, pools of 20
males were used for either whole flies or heads dissected in ice-cold PBS and
transferred immediately to a tube kept on dry ice.
675
676 ***Selection analysis using HyPhy package***
677
Codon aligned nexus tree files were generated using either the Neighbour-
joining (1000 bootstraps) or PhyML (100 bootstraps) methods including proteins
beyond those shown in **Fig. 5**. These tree files were analyzed using the HyPhy
package with only 174nt pertaining to just the IM24 domain codons included. The
cladogram in **Fig. 5**A is manually drawn from known species divergences (Kim et al.
2021). Use of either tree building method was chosen for convenience to best reflect
known lineage sorting, as use of just 174nt was too information poor to resolve
exact phylogenetic relatedness reliably. Tree files were qualitatively screened to
ensure topologies broadly matched known species sortings, and thus ensure only
relevant comparisons were made given the genomic synteny analysis in **Fig. 4** is
principally informative of true gene lineages. HyPhy analyses were run separately
for each *Baramicin* lineage within their clade, defined by genomic synteny; i.e. based
on locus (e.g. ATP8A locus), and not considering convergent gene structures. We
used three site-specific analyses (FEL, FUBAR, and SLAC) that use three independent
statistical approaches (Likelihood, Bayesian, and Count-based methods

respectively). We also employed both BUSTED and aBSREL branch-site analyses,
which are likelihood methods that differ in their approach of testing whole-
phylogeny selection or branch-specific comparisons respectively; an anology might
be performing analysis of variance (ANOVA) at the level of the entire ANOVA, or
comparing multiple groups against each other and subsequently using multiple test
correction. Each tree was rooted using the *Scaptodrosophila lebanonensis Baramicin*
as an outgroup with ancestral characteristics; we did not include *Baramicins* of the
subgenus Drosophila as including these resulted in long-branch attraction of the
Willistoni group *Baramicins* to subgenus Drosophila lineages, which would
confound relevant phylogenetic comparisons. When applicable, all internal branches
were assessed for potential selection. For *Baramicins* of the *ATP8A* locus, one site
(site 29) was highlighted as experiencing positive selection using FEL, FUBAR, and
SLAC analyses (p-adj = .011, .013, and .039 respectively). Additionally, site 31 was
also highlighted by FUBAR (p-adj = .026), but not FEL or SLAC analyses (p-adj > .05).
BUSTED analysis also supported diversifying selection in the *BaraA* lineage (ATP8A
locus, LRT p-adj = .008), indicating at least one site on at least one test branch has
experienced diversifying selection within the ATP8A lineage. The aBSREL branch-
site analysis specifically highlights the branch distinguishing the Willistoni group
Baramicins from the other Sophophora species (p-adj = .0045), suggesting variation
between these branches drives the signals of diversifying selection in the BUSTED
analysis. This result is intuitive, as we find a parallel but opposite evolution of
Baramicin protein structure in *Baramicins* of the *ATP8A* locus in *D. willistoni*
compared with *Baramicins* of other Sophophora species. Furthermore, in whole-
gene phylogenies, both *D. willistoni Baramicins* cluster together, supporting the
notion that these two daughter genes have evolved independent from the selection
that shaped the orthologues of *Dmel\BaraA* and *Dmel\BaraC*, also seen in qPCR data
that showed both genes were significantly enriched in the head (**Fig.** S6). This
phylogenetic clustering of the two *D. willistoni Baramicins* holds true when
additional *Baramicins* from recently sequenced genomes of the Willistoni group are
included (from (Kim et al. 2021) in supplementary data file 3), indicating this is
characteristic of the Willistoni group lineage and not specific to *D. willistoni*.

References:

References:

Abbott A. 2020. Are infections seeding some cases of Alzheimer's disease? *Nature*
587:22–25.

Barajas-azpeleta R, Wu J, Gill J, Welte R. 2018. Antimicrobial peptides modulate
long-term memory. *PLoS Genetics*:1–26.

Baral S, Arumugam G, Deshmukh R, Kunte K. 2019. Genetic architecture and sex-
specific selection govern modular, male-biased evolution of *doublesex*. *Sci.*
Adv. 5:eaau3753.

Brogden KA, Guthmiller JM, Salzet M, Zasloff M. 2005. The nervous system and
innate immunity: The neuropeptide connection.

Casteels-Josson K, Capaci T, Casteels P, Tempst P. 1993. Apidaecin multipeptide
precursor structure: a putative mechanism for amplification of the insect
antibacterial response. *The EMBO journal* 12:1569–1578.

Chakraborty M, Chang C-H, Khost DE, Vedanayagam J, Adrion JR, Liao Y, Montooth
KL, Meiklejohn CD, Larracuente AM, Emerson JJ. 2021. Evolution of genome
structure in the Drosophila simulans species complex. *Genome Res* 31:380–
396.

Chapman JR, Hill T, Unckless RL. 2019. Balancing selection drives maintenance of
genetic variation in Drosophila antimicrobial peptides. *Genome Biology and*
Evolution 11:2691–2701.

Clemmons AW, Lindsay SA, Wasserman SA. 2015. An Effector Peptide Family
Required for Drosophila Toll-Mediated Immunity. *PLoS Pathogens* 11.

De Gregorio E, Spellman PT, Tzou P, Rubin GM, Lemaitre B. 2002. The Toll and Imd
pathways are the major regulators of the immune response in Drosophila.
EMBO Journal 21:2568–2579.

De Lorenzi E, Chiari M, Colombo R, Cretich M, Sola L, Vanna R, Gagni P, Bisceglia F,
Morasso C, Lin JS, et al. 2017. Evidence that the human innate immune
peptide LL-37 may be a binding partner of amyloid-β and inhibitor of fibril
assembly. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* 59:1213–1226.

Delport W, Poon AFY, Frost SDW, Kosakovsky Pond SL. 2010. Datamonkey 2010: A
suite of phylogenetic analysis tools for evolutionary biology. *Bioinformatics*
26:2455–2457.

Dominy SS, Lynch C, Ermini F, Benedyk M, Marczyk A, Konradi A, Nguyen M,
Haditsch U, Raha D, Griffin C, et al. 2019. Porphyromonas gingivalis in

759   Alzheimer's disease brains: Evidence for disease causation and treatment
760   with small-molecule inhibitors. *Science Advances* 5.

761 Ebrahim SAM, Talross GJS, Carlson JR. 2021. Sight of parasitoid wasps accelerates
762   sexual behavior and upregulates a micropeptide gene in Drosophila. *Nat*
763   *Commun* 12:2453.

764 Ferreira ÁG, Naylor H, Esteves SS, Pais IS, Martins NE, Teixeira L. 2014. The Toll-
765   dorsal pathway is required for resistance to viral oral infection in Drosophila.
766   *PLoS Pathog.* 10:e1004507.

767 Gerdol M, Schmitt P, Venier P, Rocha G, Rosa RD, Destoumieux-Garzón D. 2020.
768   Functional Insights From the Evolutionary Diversification of Big Defensins.
769   *Front Immunol* 11:758.

770 Halldórsdóttir K, Árnason E. 2015. Trans-species polymorphism at antimicrobial
771   innate immunity cathelicidin genes of Atlantic cod and related species. *PeerJ*
772   3:e976.

773 Hammonds AS, Bristow CA, Fisher WW, Weiszmann R, Wu S, Hartenstein V, Kellis M,
774   Yu B, Frise E, Celniker SE. 2013. Spatial expression of transcription factors in
775   Drosophila embryonic organ development. *Genome Biol.* 14:R140.

776 Hanson MA, Cohen LB, Marra A, Iatsenko I, Wasserman SA, Lemaitre B. 2021. The
777   Drosophila Baramicin polypeptide gene protects against fungal infection.
778   *PLoS Pathog* 17:e1009846.

779 Hanson MA, Dostálová A, Ceroni C, Poidevin M, Kondo S, Lemaitre B. 2019. Synergy
780   and remarkable specificity of antimicrobial peptides in vivo using a
781   systematic knockout approach. *eLife* 8.

782 Hanson MA, Hamilton PT, Perlman SJ. 2016. Immune genes and divergent
783   antimicrobial peptides in flies of the subgenus Drosophila. *BMC evolutionary*
784   *biology* 16:228.

785 Hanson MA, Lemaitre B. 2020. New insights on Drosophila antimicrobial peptide
786   function in host defense and beyond. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 62:22–30.

787 Hanson MA, Lemaitre B, Unckless RL. 2019. Dynamic Evolution of Antimicrobial
788   Peptides Underscores Trade-Offs Between Immunity and Ecological Fitness.
789   *Frontiers in Immunology* 10:2620.

790 Hellgren O, Sheldon BC, Buckling A. 2010. In vitro tests of natural allelic variation of
791   innate immune genes (avian ??-defensins) reveal functional differences in
792   microbial inhibition. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 23:2726–2730.

Huang J, Lou Y, Liu J, Bulet P, Jiao R, Hoffmann JA, Liegeois S, Li Z, Ferrandon D. 2020.
The BaramicinA gene is required at several steps of the host defense against
Enterococcus faecalis and Metarhizium robertsii in a septic wound infection
model in Drosophila melanogaster. bioRxiv Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.11.23.394809

Jiggins FM, Kim KW. 2007. A screen for immunity genes evolving under positive
selection in Drosophila. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 20:965–970.

Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper
A, Markowitz S, Duran C, et al. 2012. Geneious Basic: An integrated and
extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of
sequence data. *Bioinformatics*.

Kim BY, Wang J, Miller DE, Barmina O, Delaney EK, Thompson A, Comeault AA,
Peede D, D'Agostino ERR, Pelaez J, et al. 2021. Highly contiguous assemblies
of 101 drosophilid genomes. *eLife* 10:e66405.

Kobler JM, Rodriguez Jimenez FJ, Petcu I, Grunwald Kadow IC. 2020. Immune
Receptor Signaling and the Mushroom Body Mediate Post-ingestion Pathogen
Avoidance. *Curr Biol* 30:4693-4709.e3.

Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane
protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete
genomes. *J Mol Biol* 305:567–580.

Leader DP, Krause SA, Pandit A, Davies SA, Dow JAT. 2018. FlyAtlas 2: A new version
of the Drosophila melanogaster expression atlas with RNA-Seq, miRNA-Seq
and sex-specific data. *Nucleic Acids Research* 46:D809–D815.

Lee M, Shi X, Barron AE, McGeer E, McGeer PL. 2015. Human antimicrobial peptide
LL-37 induces glial-mediated neuroinflammation. *Biochemical Pharmacology*
94:130–141.

Lemaitre B, Reichhart JM, Hoffmann JA. 1997. Drosophila host defense: differential
induction of antimicrobial peptide genes after infection by various classes of
microorganisms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America 94:14614–14619.

Lezi E, Zhou T, Koh S, Chuang M, Sharma R, Pujol N, Chisholm AD, Eroglu C,
Matsunami H, Yan D. 2018. An Antimicrobial Peptide and Its Neuronal
Receptor Regulate Dendrite Degeneration in Aging and Infection. *Neuron*
97:125-138.e5.

Li H, Janssens J, De Waegeneer M, Kolluru SS, Davie K, Gardeux V, Saelens W, David
F, Brbić M, Leskovec J, et al. 2021. Fly Cell Atlas: a single-cell transcriptomic

atlas of the adult fruit fly. Genomics Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.04.451050

Mackay TFC, Richards S, Stone EA, Barbadilla A, Ayroles JF, Zhu D, Casillas S, Han Y,
Magwire MM, Cridland JM, et al. 2012. The Drosophila melanogaster Genetic
Reference Panel. *Nature* 482:173–178.

Moir RD, Lathe R, Tanzi RE. 2018. The antimicrobial protection hypothesis of
Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 14:1602–1614.

Murrell B, Weaver S, Smith MD, Wertheim JO, Murrell S, Aylward A, Eren K, Pollner
T, Martin DP, Smith DM, et al. 2015. Gene-wide identification of episodic
selection. *Mol Biol Evol* 32:1365–1371.

Neely GG, Hess A, Costigan M, Keene AC, Goulas S, Langeslag M, Griffin RS, Belfer I,
Dai F, Smith SB, et al. 2010. A Genome-wide Drosophila screen for heat
nociception identifies α2δ3 as an evolutionarily conserved pain gene. *Cell*
143:628–638.

Petersen AJ, Katzenberger RJ, Wassarman DA. 2013. The innate immune response
transcription factor relish is necessary for neurodegeneration in a Drosophila
model of ataxia-telangiectasia. *Genetics* 194:133–142.

Pfaffl MW. 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time
RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29:e45.

Quesada H, Ramos-Onsins SE, Aguad?? M. 2005. Birth-and-death evolution of the
Cecropin multigene family in Drosophila. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 60:1–
11.

Rolff J, Schmid-Hempel P. 2016. Perspectives on the evolutionary ecology of
arthropod antimicrobial peptides. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
Society B: Biological Sciences 371.

Sackton TB, Lazzaro BP, Clark AG, Wittkopp P. 2017. Rapid expansion of immune-
related gene families in the house fly, musca domestica. *Molecular Biology*
and Evolution.

Sinner MP, Masurat F, Ewbank JJ, Pujol N, Bringmann H. 2021. Innate Immunity
Promotes Sleep through Epidermal Antimicrobial Peptides. *Current Biology*
31:564-577.e12.

Suvorov A, Kim BY, Wang J, Armstrong EE, Peede D, D'Agostino ERR, Price DK,
Waddell P, Lang M, Courtier-Orgogozo V, et al. 2021. Widespread
introgression across a phylogeny of 155 Drosophila genomes. *Current*
Biology:S0960982221014962.

Swanson LC, Rimkus SA, Ganetzky B, Wassarman DA. 2020. Loss of the
Antimicrobial Peptide Metchnikowin Protects Against Traumatic Brain Injury
Outcomes in Drosophila melanogaster. *G3 (Bethesda)* 10:3109–3119.

Tennessen JA. 2005. Molecular evolution of animal antimicrobial peptides:
Widespread moderate positive selection.

Toda H, Williams JA, Gulledge M. 2019. A sleep-inducing gene, nemuri , links sleep
and immune function in Drosophila. *Science* 515:509–515.

Train C-M, Pignatelli M, Altenhoff A, Dessimoz C. 2019. iHam and pyHam: visualizing
and processing hierarchical orthologous groups. *Bioinformatics (Oxford,*
England) 35:2504–2506.

Unckless RL, Howick VM, Lazzaro BP. 2016. Convergent Balancing Selection on an
Antimicrobial Peptide in Drosophila. *Current Biology* 26:257–262.

Van Wetering S, Mannesse-Lazeroms SPG, Van Sterkenburg MAJA, Hiemstra PS.
2002. Neutrophil defensins stimulate the release of cytokines by airway
epithelial cells: Modulation by dexamethasone. In: Inflammation Research.
Vol. 51. p. 8–15.

Vilcinskas A, Mukherjee K, Vogel H. 2013. Expansion of the antimicrobial peptide
repertoire in the invasive ladybird Harmonia axyridis. *Proceedings of the*
Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.

Wang Y, Zhu S. 2011. The defensin gene family expansion in the tick Ixodes
scapularis. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*.

Zanetti M. 2005. The role of cathelicidins in the innate host defenses of mammals.
Curr Issues Mol Biol 7:179–196.

887