1 Physiological and transcriptomic variability indicative of differences in key functions within a
2 single coral colony
3

4 Jeana L. Drake[1+], Assaf Malik[1+], Yotam Popovits[1+], Oshra Yosef[1], Eli Shemesh[1], Jarsoław
5 Stolarski[2], Dan Tchernov[1], Daniel Sher[1*] and Tali Mass[1*]
6
7 [1]Department of Marine Biology, University of Haifa, 199 Aba Koushy Avenue, Haifa, Israel,
8 3498838
9 [2]Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Twarda 51/55, Warsaw, Poland, 00-818
10 +These authors contributed equally
11 *Corresponding authors

12
13 **Running Head**
14 Coral intracolonial biological variation

Abstract

Polyps in different locations on individual stony coral colonies experience variation in numerous

environmental conditions including flow and light, potentially leading to transcriptional and

physiological differences across the colony. Here, we describe high-resolution physiological

measurements and differential gene expression from multiple locations within a single colony of

Stylophora pistillata, aiming to relate these to environmental gradients across the coral colony.

We observed broad transcriptional responses in both the host and photosymbiont in response to

height above the substrate, cardinal direction, and, most strongly, location along the branch axis.

Specifically, several key physiological processes appear more active toward branch tips,

including toxin production for prey capture or defense, several metabolic pathways, and

biomineralization. Further, the increase in gene expression related to these processes toward

branch tips is conserved between *S. pistillata* and *Acropora* spp. The photosymbiont appears to

respond transcriptionally to relative light intensity along the branch and due to cardinal direction.

These differential responses were observed across the colony despite its genetic homogeneity

and likely inter-polyp communication. While not a classical division of labor, each part of the

colony appears to have distinct functional roles related to polyps' differential exposure to

environmental conditions.

Keywords

Differential gene expression, biomineralization, toxins, host and symbiont

1 Introduction

Coral reefs are one of the most biodiverse ecosystems on Earth, creating shallow-water habitats for a significant number of marine species [1], although they face a suite of anthropogenic stressors with both local and global causes [2-5]. Most stony corals (order Scleractinia), the sessile builders of the reef system, grow as colonies of up to hundreds of thousands of genetically identical polyps formed by budding with colonies ranging in size from centimeters to meters in diameter. The reef-building corals are also host to intracellular symbiotic dinoflagellates, whose photosynthetic activity restricts the scleractinian coral holobiont to the top ~100 m of the ocean and fulfills much of the host's carbon nutritional requirements [e.g., 6]. Nevertheless, uptake of dissolved nutrients and active feeding on particles from the water column both play significant roles as well [e.g., 7, 8] [reviewed in 9], with active predation utilizing toxins produced and delivered by cnidocytes [recently reviewed by 10]. These fixed carbon sources ultimately power the production of the external calcium carbonate skeleton that forms the reef and persists after the animal has died.

While the individual polyps in most corals are genetically identical, each polyp inhabits a distinct location within the colony, which can be morphologically complex (e.g., in the case of branching corals). Thus, it has been suggested that individual polyps within a coral colony are differentially exposed to environmental conditions such as water flow and light availability [e.g., 11, 12], which have distinct effects on coral physiology. These micro-environmental conditions may play a role in feeding capability (i.e. zooplankton; [13]), reproductive success [14], photosynthesis [15, 16], calcification rate [16], and waste removal [17]. For instance, reductions in flow between the colony branches can trap food particles, making it easier for the hunting tentacles of the

polyps to efficiently pull them from the water column [11]. Similarly, differences in light

exposure due to the coral's geometric branching leads to self-shading that can reduce light

availability by more than 50% and can be an effective mechanism of photoprotection in shallow

water [18]. This can lead to fine-scale spatial heterogeneity in photosynthetic parameters

between individual polyps, as well as between polyps and the coenosarc, the tissue between

polyps through which they communicate [19]. Such plasticity has been observed in changes in

gene expression in coral branch tips versus bases across multiple colonies [20], but has not

previously been linked, at high spatial resolution, to detailed measurements indicative of relevant

environmental parameters and physiology within a single coral colony.

Here we combined high-resolution transcriptomic analysis with field measurements and

physiological assays to describe intra-colony plasticity in the Indo-Pacific stony coral,

Stylophora pistillata. Our whole-genome expression and physiological data are from a single

coral colony at three locations along each branch, with each branch taken from a different

location within the colony. This high-resolution dataset enables us to resolve, for the first time,

variability along three main axes – tip-junction-base, top-bottom and the cardinal direction

(figure 1a, b). Each of these axes is associated with changes in gene expression and physiology,

which we suggest are due in part to light and current direction and strength. It appears that

polyps in the interior of the colony are exposed to relatively stagnant conditions, whereas the

colony periphery likely experiences higher flow, light exposure, chances for predation, and

requirements for competition and defense leading to the dynamic physiological and

transcriptional responses observed here.

2 Methods

Extensive descriptions of all methods can be found in the Supplementary Information.

(a)   Sample collection

An *S. pistillata* colony collected from the coral nursery of the Inter-University Institute for Marine Science (IUI), Eilat, Israel was fragmented into three branches each from three horizontal concentric rings (Top, Middle, Bottom) and from all sides of the colony, for a total of nine branches, that were further divided into sections according to their position on the branch (Tip, Junction, Base) and flash-frozen in TRI-Reagent (Sigma) (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, table S1) Five additional branches distributed around the colony were chosen for physiological examination, following the same study parameters and then kept at –80 ˚C.

(b)   Oxygen measurements and bacterial counts

Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations in seawater between branches of five *S. pistillata* colonies located in the IUI coral nursery were measured as five radial transects per colony, from the center of each colony, mid-way to the periphery, and at 10 cm above each respective colony, using Unisense oxygen microsensors mounted on a Unisense UnderWater Meter System. All measured oxygen concentrations were normalized to 1 ATM (sea surface pressure) and then values for each colony were normalized to the 'inside' value for that colony to achieve a relative DO concentration for locations within each colony. Bacterial counts at 5-7 locations per colony just outside the colonies and within the coral colonies were performed on samples collected in-situ on five different colonies growing at the same site. All water samples were stored at −80 °C in 0.0625% glutaraldehyde until analysis by flow cytometry. Just prior to analysis, thawed bacterial count samples were diluted 1:1 in ultra-pure water with 2 μm-diameter fluorescent beads added to each sample as an internal standard (Polysciences, Warminster, PA, USA) before

analysis of chlorophyll and phycoerythrin fluorescence and SYBR Green staining on a

FACSCanto™ II Flow Cytometry Analyzer Systems (BD Biosciences). Data were acquired and

processed with FlowJo software.

(c)　Tissue analysis

Tissue was removed from the frozen coral fragment skeleton by airbrush and homogenized in

phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Sub-samples of each fragment's total homogenate were

analyzed for nematocyst and symbiont cell counts by light and fluorescence microscopy,

chlorophyll filter-extraction in 90% acetone [21], and homogenate protein measurements. The

remainder of the homogenate was centrifuged; the supernatant was analyzed for host protein and

hemolysis while the pellet was sonicated and analyzed for symbiont protein. Quantitative

hemolytic assays of crude coral tissue extracts were performed against washed O Rh positive

human red blood cells (4% erythrocytes v/v) [22] in a three-fold dilution series. HU_{50} was

defined as the amount of coral protein required to cause 50% hemolysis [23].

(d)　Skeleton analysis

After tissue extraction, all fragments were cleaned of organic residues overnight in 3% sodium

hypochlorite, rinsed copiously in deionized water, and dried. Skeleton surface areas of all

sectioned fragment were estimated using the aluminum foil method in triplicate [24]. Replicate

distances between polyps and polyp surface areas were imaged by binocular microscope and

analyzed using NIS-Elements (Nikon) software. Major and minor axes and circularity were

measured for each corallite and the ratio of the two axes (major divided by the minor) were

calculated; a ratio of 1 indicates a circle and the larger the ratio, the more elliptical the shape.

Corallites were qualitatively analyzed by scanning electron microscopy after a water wash, tissue

removal by airbrush, overnight drying, fragmenting, and platinum sputter-coating.

(e)   RNA extraction, processing, and sequencing

RNA was extracted from fragments stored in Tri-Reagent, purified, and washed in 70% ethanol.

Following on-column DNA digestion, RNA libraries were prepared at the Weizmann Institute of

Science and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500.

(f)   Differential expression analysis

In order to detect correct taxonomic classifications within our transcriptome data, high-quality

RNA-Seq reads were mapped to the selected genome-based predicted proteome databases of the

formerly classified *Symbiodinium* spp. (now elevated to family Symbiodiniaceae; [25]),

stramenopiles/alveolates/Rhizaria, and Cnidaria/Metazoa from UniRef, NCBI, and

Reefgenomics.org using Diamond [26]. Then, RNA-Seq reads were mapped to the merged host

genome assembly (NCBI GCA_002571385.1) and the relevant symbiont genome assembly

(*Symbiodinium microadriaticum*, NCBI GCA_001939145.1) using STAR [27-29]. In total, 83-

84% of the reads were aligned to either host or symbiont genomes. Differential expression (DE)

analysis was conducted using Bioconductor DEseq2 [30], separately for the host and the

symbiont genes, using DEseq2 (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Due to lower read

counts in the symbiont data and in order to achieve balanced distribution of read counts in those

data (as indicated in MA plots), genes with a lowest quartile of <12 reads were excluded yielding

~10,000 remaining informative symbiont genes, and only samples with >300k reads total were

included. Specifically, we tested: (1) the additive effect of branch position, ring height and

cardinal direction; (2) the additive and interaction effect of branch position and ring height

(excluding the cardinal direction factor) (see electronic supplementary mater, tables S3, S4, S5).

The second model was not tested for photosymbiont genes since the power of such models is

limited when the read counts per samples are low. We also note that the additive model (1)

cannot consider the ring Top samples since no cardinal direction factor exists in these four

samples. As the additive model output was used for further analysis of putative toxin and known

biomineralization-related genes, these samples were excluded in those analyses as well.

Sequencing information is available in a read-only format on BioProject (PRJNA640617:

https://dataview.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/object/PRJNA640617?reviewer=ta79noetg8fhsvhebud2uj85d2

); data will be made freely available on BioProject upon acceptance of the manuscript for

publication. NMDS analysis was conducted using metaDMS in the R Vegan package with Bray

Curtis dissimilarity based on $\log_{10}$FPM values of all expressed genes. For the symbiont NMDS,

since read counts were relatively low, pairs of tips from the same branch are represented together

based on the sum of read counts for both tips.

(g)   Branch genetics and SNPs analysis

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) analysis was conducted for each sample using the

Broad Institute-recommended RNA-Seq SNPs practice (https://gatk.broadinstitute.org) by

STAR reads mapping, removing various alignment biases, variant calling using GATK version

3.5, and finally variant filtration and annotation [31]. We considered only SNP loci with

coverage greater than 30 RNA-Seq reads in all tested samples. Identity By Decent (IBD) analysis

was conducted using SNPRealte in R.

(h)   Host and symbiont functional enrichment analysis

Biological terms were assigned to genes based on UniProt *S. pistillata* (www.uniprot.org),

KEGG *S. pistillata* (www.kegg.jp), *S. pistillata* Trinotate annotations [32], and UniProt *S.*

microadriaticum databases. Host KEGG term enrichment reflects concrete and relevant gene

functions. However, low read counts for the photosymbiont required gene set enrichment

analysis (GSEA) which is dependent on GO terms. Enrichment analysis was conducted in

Bioconductor GOSeq [33] and using the score-based gene set enrichment analysis tool [34, 35]

using $\log_2$ fold changes ($\log_2$FC) as scores. We then hierarchically clustered the biological terms

based on pairwise distances between groups of genes. Terms trees were constructed using

Bioconductor-ggtree [36].

(i)   Environmental and Physiological Statistics

Statistical tests of all skeletal, physiological, and environmental data were performed in RStudio

[37]. If a parameter displayed both homogeneity of variance (Fligner-Killeen test) and normal

distribution (Shapiro-Wilke test), either a t-test or a one-way ANOVA was performed, with

TukeyHSD post hoc analysis for parameters with significant differences between branch

locations. Parameters with non-homogenous variance and/or non-normal distribution were

analyzed using Wilcoxon's rank sum test or the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test, with Dunn's test

for post hoc analysis for parameters with significant differences between branch locations.

3 Results and Discussion

(a)   Differences in dissolved oxygen and microbial counts in water across colony structure

Branching corals' three-dimensional structure can lead to different micro-environments within

the colony. We therefore first aimed to characterize indicators of the environmental conditions

experienced by polyps at different locations within the coral colony, that could potentially affect

their gene expression. We examined five colonies of *S. pistillata* in-situ (at depths of ~5 m) and

focused on the water column characteristics of oxygen concentration, which has been shown to

vary within colonies and affect coral physiology [17], and bacterial counts. We chose these

parameters because, unlike flow fields, both are easily quantifiable properties related to

interstitial water column stability. As shown in figure 1c, we measured higher oxygen

concentrations in water collected close to the core (interior) of the corals, compared to samples

collected towards the colony peripheries (p<0.05, Wilcoxon rank sum test; electronic

supplementary material, tables S6, S7). We also observed higher microbe densities in water

collected from the colony interiors versus the immediately surrounding seawater (figure 1d,

p<0.05, Wilcoxon rank sum test; electronic supplementary material, tables S6, S7). Both of these

observations may be due to lower rates of water exchange at the base of the branches due to

decreased water flow within the colony, as suggested by [11]. Further, coral branch density has

been found to affect intercolonial water flow, with lower mass transport for the less densely

branched *Pocillopora eydouxi* whose overall colony structure is similar to the *S. pistillata* studied

here (figure 1a) compared to the more densely branched *P. meandrina* [38]. Flow reduction

within the colony would cause the accumulation of oxygen produced by photosynthesis [17] and

we speculate might also support the retention of microbes actively growing between branches

[39], or those chemotaxing toward the coral tissue [40]. Higher microbial densities between the

polyps at the interior of the colonies might enable polyps to feed directly on the bacteria or on

bacterial predators [39, 41], and mucus release by the corals [42, 43] could provide organic

carbon for the growth of microorganisms, further maintaining feedbacks between the coral, flow,

and these water-column microbes. Diminished flow in the interior of the colonies could also

result in increased interactions with various infectious organisms that target the oral ectoderm

[e.g., 44], leading to diseases such as skeletal band eroding disease [45], which has been

observed to commence at colony interiors. Regardless of the mechanism driving the changes in

oxygen concentration and microbial abundance, these differences further support the notion that

the environment along the coral branches from colony exterior to interior differs depending on

the morphology of the coral and may affect the coral holobiont with some polyps inhabiting

more or less favorable areas.

(b)   High-resolution mapping of physiology and gene expression within an individual coral

colony

Asking whether the differences in environmental conditions results in different physiology and

gene expression across a colony, we divided a small *S. pistillata* coral colony into 13 branches,

recording the position of each branch within the colony (cardinal direction and height ring). We

then further sub-divided the branches into locations from deeper inside the colony (base),

approximately mid-way up the branch to the location where tips begin to diverge (junction), and

toward the outside (two tips per branch) (figure 1b inset). Five branches were analyzed for

physiology (e.g., figure 1b) and eight branches were analyzed for gene expression using the

additive model in DESeq2, resulting in 32 transcriptome libraries across the three-dimensional

space of the coral colony (electronic supplementary material, table S1). The *S. pistillata* colony

examined here was genetically homogenous (not chimeric, electronic supplementary material,

figure S1), and thus any changes in physiology or gene expression between regions are likely a

response of the polyps to their local micro-environmental conditions rather than genotypic

differences. The expression of >10,000 of the ~24,000 tested host genes was affected by at least

one of the tested factors: location at branch, ring height, and cardinal direction (electronic

supplementary material, tables S2, S3). We observed a clear differentiation in host gene

expression between branch positions and from the top to the bottom of the colony (figure 1e,

figure 2, electronic supplementary material, table S3). In contrast, the effect of the cardinal

direction of the colony was far less pronounced (figure 1e). Combined, we observed an

interaction effect between factors (electronic supplementary material, tables, S3, S5), as, for

instance, groups of genes involved in signaling pathways important in development that are

significantly enriched in branch tips versus bases as compared to up versus down interactions

(figure 2b, electronic supplementary material, figure S2). On the other hand, of ~12,000 tested

symbiont genes, only ~150 were DE (electronic supplementary material, table S4). The host vs.

symbiont differences, shown here, are partly attributed to technical differences in statistical

power due to lower symbiont read counts (see also Methods), and likely represent an

underestimation of the symbiont differential expression. Comparing colony interior with

periphery, host gene expression patterns are more similar between junction and base branch

positions compared to tips, although not the same at junctions and bases (figure 1e).

Differentiation in gene expression patterns of the photosymbionts is not as clearly delineated as

for the host (figure 1f), but nevertheless the expression patterns differ between cardinal

directions ($p < 0.0007$, ADONIS test, electronic supplementary material, table S8), likely

reflecting the different light regimes experienced on different sides of the colony, visible in

figure 1a.

(c) Patterns in gene expression are conserved between Stylophora pistillata *and* Acropora *spp.*

A previous study analyzed the differences in gene expression between branch tips and bases in a

different coral, *Acropora* spp. [20]. This provided an opportunity to identify conserved intra-

colonial patterns in gene expression between these two coral species, which likely diverged at

least 200 million years ago [46]. We identified ~9,600 putative orthologous expressed genes

between *S. pistillata* and two species of *Acropora* spp. [20], based on reciprocal BLAST.

Interestingly, from a total of 952 genes that were DE in *Acropora* spp. along the branch axis,

70% were also DE in *S. pistillata* (672), and 90% of these exhibited the same fold change trend

(figure 2a, electronic supplementary material, table S9). The dependence of fold changes and DE

significance between the two species is highly significant (Fisher's exact test, p-value < 2.2e-16).

Overall, these results indicate that micro-environmental dependence of gene DE, specifically at

tips versus bases, reflects an evolutionarily conserved pattern rather than a species-specific or

colony-specific pattern, being observed in members of both robust (here) and complex [20]

scleractinian clades.

(d)   Gene expression patterns suggest differences in host versus photosymbiont metabolism

We next inferred potential broad-scale changes in cellular and metabolic functions within the

host and the symbiont by identifying KEGG and Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment patterns

associated with micro-environmental changes, in both the host (figure 2b, electronic

supplementary material, tables S10, S11), and the photosymbiont (figure 2c, electronic

supplementary material, table S12). As expected, differential expression of broad clusters of

host KEGG terms and photosymbiont GO terms (figure 2b, c) mirrors the pattern observed for

the differential expression of all genes within the host and symbiont (figure 1e, f), with many

more terms exhibiting DE in the coral host along the branch axis compared to the height ring or

cardinal axis (figure 2b), and more terms differed along cardinal direction for the photosymbiont

(figure 2c).

Most differentially expressed host genes grouped by KEGG term tended to be up-regulated in the

tip relative to the junction or base, although genes with KEGG terms associated with protein

production, modification, and degradation exhibited quite variable expression (figure 2b,

electronic supplementary material, tables S10, S11). Specifically, many terms related to cell

metabolism were enriched in the tips versus the bases, including carbohydrate metabolism (e.g.,

glycolysis and the TCA cycle, oxidative phosphorylation, mitochondrial biosynthesis), amino

acid biosynthesis and metabolism, and lipid metabolism (figure 2a). We further tested which

functional groups are enriched with conserved tip vs. base DE gene patterns between the taxa

(figure 2a, b). As indicated by green KEGG term text in figure 2b, many fundamental processes

such as metabolism show similar DE trends and enrichment between these two genera

(electronic supplementary material, tables S9, S10), indicating a conserved response between the

taxa. "Zooming in" from the KEGG terms to the underlying genes, we note that genes related to

oxidative phosphorylation, such as ATP synthase, cytochrome c oxidase, co-enzyme Q, and

NADH dehydrogenase tend to be up-regulated at branch tips across the species. In addition,

multiple genes for succinate dehydrogenase as well as other individual genes comprising the

citric acid cycle, were also more highly expressed at branch tips than junctions or bases in *S.*

pistillata and many of these showed similar regulation patterns in the *Acropora* spp. Finally,

most of the >100 differentially expressed genes with KEGG terms for mitochondrial biogenesis

are up-regulated at tips compared with junctions and bases in *S. pistillata* and many of their

likely homologs are also up-regulated at branch tips in *Acropora* spp.

Intriguingly, the patterns of gene expression observed in the host were not all recapitulated in the

photosymbiont. Although some symbiont GO terms associated with photosynthesis were

enriched in the tip vs the base in the symbionts (e.g., those involved in light-harvesting and the

thylakoid), others were not, including terms involved with carbon fixation (figure 2c). In

accordance with the gene expression data, no differing patterns in photophysiology were found

along branch axis, measured here as chlorophyll and symbiont density per both total protein and

area (electronic supplementary material, tables S7, S13). Indeed, previous studies have shown

that the products of photosynthesis can be translocated from the base to the tips in branching

corals [47, 48]. Rather than exhibiting tip-to-base functional differences, many of the terms

associated with symbiont photosynthesis (both light and dark reactions) were found to differ

along cardinal direction rather than branch axis, being enriched in south-facing branches (figure

2c). This may be due to the direction of the sun. These enrichment patterns are an

underestimation due to the limited number of tested photosymbiont genes and DE detection

power (see Methods). Furthermore, correlations between mRNA abundance and physiological

patterns may not be high due to translation level effects, translocation of host/symbiont products,

food particle capture by the host, etc., which may lead to differences in symbiont transcriptional

control.

(e) Developmental pathways are differentially expressed along the branch axis

In addition to pathways related to metabolism, several KEGG terms associated with development

and morphological plasticity in colony architecture were also differentially expressed between

branch tips and junctions or bases. For example, the Wnt signaling pathway was enriched at

branch tips versus the bases in both *S. pistillata* (figure 2b) and *Acropora* spp. [20]. This

pathway, which transmits information from outside to inside cells, is associated with cell

differentiation and axis patterning in cnidarians [e.g., 49, 50 among others] among others,

reviewed in [reviewed in 51], as well as in bilaterians such as *Drosophila* [e.g., 52]. Similarly,

the TGFβ signaling pathway was also enriched in *S. pistillata* branch tips (figure 2b), although

this was not observed in *Acropora* spp. [20]; this pathway has been implicated for a role in

development and calcification in corals [53]. At the individual gene level, we observed that

fibroblast growth factors, which could aid in development of limbs [reviewed in 54] and in

production of nerve cells [reviewed in 55] were significantly up-regulated at branch tips. Half of

the genes involved in mitochondrial biosynthesis were also up-regulated at branch tips. Further,

two different bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), which may play a role in axial patterning in

cnidarian polyps [56] and in budding [57], were up-regulated at branch tips here. Finally, several

retinol dehydrogenases are up-regulated at branch tips, where light levels tend to be higher, an

observation shared with *Acropora* spp. [20]. These proteins are associated with photoreceptors in

higher organisms, although the link to chromophores in non-eye-bearing invertebrates such as

corals has not been characterized [58].

In addition to morphological plasticity, gamete production has also been shown to vary along

branches axes across several coral taxa with gametogenesis typically occurring preferentially

deeper in the colony [59-61]. We observed the highest expression of several testis-specific genes,

such as testis-specific chromodomain protein Y 1-like and testis-specific protein kinases at *S.*

pistillata branch junctions (electronic supplementary material, table S2). In humans, these genes

are associated with chromosome Y [62] and/or may be involved in sperm structure [63]. This is

consistent with observations that the highest planulae production in *Pocillopora damicornis*

occurs at branch junctions [61]. Interestingly, we observed up-regulation of octopamine

receptors at branch tips, suggestive of higher maturation of ovaries and testes in that location

[64].

(f)   Changes in expression of genes potentially related to predation along branch axis

As described above, many metabolic processes were enriched in the tips versus the bases,

whereas there is little evidence for higher photosynthesis by photosymbionts at branch tips.

Asking whether this could be due to more heterotrophic food input in the tips (e.g., through

predation), we analyzed the tissue toxicity (hemolytic activity) and gene expression patterns of

putative toxins. Although there was no difference in nematocyst abundance along branch axes

(figure 3a, electronic supplementary material, table S7), tissue hemolytic activity was highest at

branch tips (figure 3b, electronic supplementary material, table S7), consistent with previous

studies in *S. pistillata* [65]. Using reciprocal BLAST, we identified 22 putative toxin genes [66],

encoding cytolysins, phospholipase A2 toxins, SCRiPs (small cysteine rich peptides) and a

potential Kunitz/K channel toxin (electronic supplementary material, table S14). We also

compared these genes to the reciprocal BLAST results against the *Acropora* spp. dataset [20],

finding only two orthologous matches, a SCRiP and an uncharacterized protein. Like their *S.*

pistillata counterparts, they were not differentially expressed between branch tips and bases in

any taxa (electronic supplementary material, table S15). In our putative toxin dataset, we

observed 13 and 11 DE genes between branch tips and bases or junctions, respectively (figure

3c, d, electronic supplementary material, table S15 and figure S3). Up-regulation of genes

encoding potential toxins at branch tips has previously been observed in colonial corals [20, 67].

The two most abundantly expressed putative toxin genes encode for a cytolysin and a second

SCRiP, with the rest of the toxin genes having much lower overall expression levels (figure 3c,

d; electronic supplementary material, table S15). The cytolysin was more abundantly expressed

at the tips, whereas the SCRiP was more abundantly expressed at branch bases (figure 3c, d).

The highly expressed cytolysin is of particular interest as it belongs to the actinoporin family,

and a hemolytic protein from this family, likely the protein or an isoform of the highly expressed

gene here (electronic supplementary material, figure S4), has previously been isolated from *S.*

pistillata [65]. Actinoporins are ~20 kDa water-soluble proteins which are lethal when injected

into crustaceans [68], and which insert themselves into membranes, particularly those containing

sphingomyelins [69]. They have been immunolocalized to tentacle nematocysts as well as to

mesenterial filaments [70]. SCRiPs are ~5 kDa peptides which may be anthozoan-specific [71,

72], with evidence that they have neurotoxic effects [72], although their function remains to be

fully clarified. Taken together, all data support that branch tips play the predominant role in

venom-based competition, defense, and predation.

The higher hemolytic activity and larger number of toxins more abundantly expressed at branch

tips suggest that the tips play the dominant role in venom-based competition, defense, and

predation, although more work is needed to identify the role of putative toxins such as SCRiPs,

which are more abundantly expressed at branch bases, and the potential contribution and roles of

photosymbiont toxin production [73, 74]. While it is difficult to disentangle toxin effects on

competition and defense from predation, based on higher flow at the edges of colonies [11],

colonies' edges are likely exposed to higher food loads than are colony interiors. We therefore

queried genes related to digestion and observed that many peptidases were differentially

expressed, but not in a clear pattern along the branches with approximately half up-regulated at

the tips. Similarly, multiple lipases were differentially expressed between branch locations, but

no general trend was observed. However, pancreatic lipase expression, which has been observed

in gastric cirri in a scyphozoan [75], was found to be significantly up-regulated to a high degree

at branch tips compared to junctions and bases here. We also observed up-regulation of three

chitinases in branch tips versus bases, which could support extracellular digestion of arthropod

prey [75]. In contrast, an amylase which converts starch and glycogen - biomolecules used by

the photosymbiont and host, respectively, to store photosynthates [76] - into simple sugars, was

down-regulated at the tips versus junctions and bases. Thus, while our results suggest differences

along the branch axis of functions related to pry capture and defense, including both toxicity and

digestion, further work is needed to fully characterize to what extent different parts of the corals

obtain their nutrition from different sources (predation versus the photosymbionts).

(g)   Changes in expression of known biomineralization genes along branch axis

Shallow water stony corals are most notable for their production of massive reef structures,

growing in size by adding mineral and new polyps at the tips. We therefore examined in depth

skeletal morphological patterns and related gene expression across the three branch locations.

Unlike most other physiological parameters across the colony which were not significantly

different between branch positions (electronic supplementary material, tables S7, S13), those

linked to differences in biomineralization were significantly different between tip versus junction

and base locations. Qualitatively, coenosteal spines appear narrowest and thinnest at the tip while

base spines exhibit a more distinct microtuberculate texture in their lower portions (figure 4aii,

iv, and vi). Such microtuberculate texture with better differentiation of mineral fiber bundles

may suggest higher organic matrix content which separates the mineral phase packages to a

greater extent [77, 78]. Corallites were closer together and more elliptically shaped at the tip than

at the branch junction or base (figure 4b and c; electronic supplementary material, tables S7,

S13). Comparable trending toward ellipticity at the tips has been reported in other Pocilloporids

[79]. Further, genetically identical *S. pistillata* branches have been shown to have more distantly

spaced corallites with thicker theca and longer septa when grown under light spectra biased

toward blue wavelengths [80]. Such a spectral shift along a single branch, due to absorbance of

red light by water, has been observed in *S. pistillata* tissue at the colony level on the sides versus

at the top of ~30 cm diameter colonies [81] and at the branch level along *Acropora millepora*

branches toward the colony interior [82]. Growth patterns can also be influenced by flow-

induced effects on calcification, with more branches per area but less sub-branching observed in

pocilloporids grown under high versus low flow conditions [83].

We next examined differential expression of known biomineralization-related genes including

those for proteins sequenced from *S. pistillata* skeleton and likely directly involved in the

calcification mechanism [77, 84-88], as well as a bicarbonate anion transporter observed

predominantly in the calicoblastic cell layer and proposed to be indirectly involved in

calcification [89] (figure 4e, e; electronic supplementary material, table S16, figure S5). We did

not include genes for known skeletal proteins from *Acropora millepora* and *A. digitifera* [90,

91], as not all orthologs have been found in *S. pistillata* skeleton although they exist in the

genome, or non-orthologous proteins within the same functional group occur in *S. pistillata*

skeleton [92]. Similar to the global gene expression pattern for the host, we observed an up-

regulation of biomineralization genes biased toward the tip, with minimal differential expression

from the top of the colony (up) to the bottom (down) (figure 4d, e; electronic supplementary

material, table S16). Of the 36 biomineralization-related genes that were DE between branch tips

and bases, eight orthologous genes were also DE and in the same direction in *Acropora* spp. [20]

(electronic supplementary information, table S17). In *S. pistillata*, the bicarbonate anion

transporter SLCγ4, a coadhesin-like protein, USOMP8 and a hypothetical protein are strongly

up-regulated at branch tips relative to the junctions and bases but are expressed in only low

amounts. In contrast, a ZP-domain containing protein, carbonic anhydrase (STPCA2),

mammalian ependymin-related protein, and CARP3 are moderately up-regulated at branch tips

versus junctions and bases and are very highly expressed overall. These proteins exhibit very

different functions with SLCγ4 and STPCA2 involved in regulating carbonate chemistry within

the calcifying space [89, 93], while CARP3, which is a highly acidic protein (35% Asp, 15% Glu

[94]) can direct mineralogy toward formation of Mg-calcite and vaterite [95, 96]. CARP3 is up-

regulated in newly-settled coral polyps [97, 98], in colonies growing at shallow depths [99], and

in coral cell cultures reared at increased pCO_2 [100]. USOMP8 has been sequenced from

skeletons of two stony coral species to date [85, 86, 90], and although its function is not known,

it is structurally similar to a bone morphogenetic protein inhibitor [86]. Of the remaining known

highly acidic coral skeleton genes, CARPs 1 and 4 are up-regulated at branch tips and are

moderately expressed; both of these proteins are biased toward Asp residues [94] and are likely

involved in nucleation initiation in early mineralization zones of growing septa [77, 101]. In

contrast, CARP2 exhibits very low although significantly up-regulated expression at branch tips

and is the sole CARP described to date strongly biased toward Glu rather than Asp content [94].

While this protein is suggested to have a role in skeleton extension based on its localization to

more soluble growth areas [77], its down-regulation upon settlement in pocilloporid larvae [97,

98], association with ACC phases pre-settlement [97], and low overall expression in the present

study may instead point to a role in inhibiting aragonite nucleation. Taking together the existing

data and our new cross-colony analysis provides further genetic support for high

biomineralization activity of branch tips in contrast to junctions and bases [e.g., 102]. Energy for

higher calcification rates at branch tips could come from two sources. First, there is evidence of

translocation of ATP from tissue regions deeper in the colony with relatively high photosynthetic

rates [103] to branch tips. Secondly, our and previous data suggest that the potential for

predation is higher at branch tips, with higher toxin gene expression and hemolytic activity at

that location (figure 3) [20, 65]. This further suggests that biomineralization gene expression and

skeleton morphology patterns observed at the sub-polyp scale (i.e., centers of calcification in

growing tips of septa) are recapitulated at the branch scale [99].

Unlike the genes up-regulated at branch tips for which functions of many have been elucidated to

some extent, all biomineralization genes down-regulated at branch tips remain to be functionally

well-characterized in corals and all but one, annotated as 'deleted in malignant brain tumors 1-

like', exhibit very low expression overall.

4 Summary and Conclusions

Some colonial cnidarians, particularly hydrozoans, exhibit a clear division of labor inside the

colony, with functionally and morphologically distinct polyps across the colony [104]. While no

obvious division of labor at the polyp scale is observed in corals, our results show, from

molecular to physiological and morphological scales, a functional specialization by clusters of

polyps due to their locations on coral branches likely related to the microenvironments they

encounter [105] (figure 5). In simplest terms, polyps at the tips are more exposed to light and

water flow, and their skeletons are likely extending at a faster rate, than are those found lower in

the branches. Branch tips are also sites of higher potential for envenomation for colony defense

and predation, as well as possibly for enhanced prey capture. These plastic responses by

genetically identical polyps can then be communicated through the coenosarc to the rest of the

colony to allow for enhanced resource sharing [106-108]. Such plasticity may aid in colony

recovery after partial mortality events, such as those due to bleaching [109], and may be integral

to adaptation to environmental change [110]. Further, the expression patterns underlying the

tested micro-environmental differences are found to be evolutionary conserved in the distantly-

related stony corals *S. pistillata* and *Acropora* spp.

5 Electronic Supplementary Material Availability, Tables
All electronic supplementary tables are available on the Dryad data repository under the dataset
https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tht76hdzc.
498

6 Funding
This study was supported by grants 1239/13 and 312/15 from the Israel Science Foundation (to
DS and TM, respectively) and by the associated grant number 2236/16 from the Israeli National
Center for Personalized Medicine (to DS). This project has received funding to TM from the
European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
innovation programme (grant agreement No 755876). JLD was supported by the Zuckerman
STEM Leadership Program. We thank the Crown Genomics Institute of the Nancy and Stephen
Grand Israel National Center for Personalized Medicine, Weizmann Institute of Science for
transcriptome sequencing. Computations presented in this work were performed on the Hive
computer cluster at the University of Haifa, which is partly funded by ISF grant 2155/15.
509
7 Acknowledgements
We thank Yarden Ben-Tabou de-Leon for drawing the summary figure 5 and Dikla Aharonovich
for guidance and laboratory assistance.

8 Author Contributions
YP, OY, DT, DS, and TM designed the study. YP, OY, ES prepared the samples. JLD, AM, YP,
OY, ES, and JS analyzed the data. All authors wrote the manuscript and approve of this
submission.

9 References
1.   Spalding M., Grenfell A. 1997 New estimates of global and regional coral reef areas.
Coral Reefs **16**(4), 225-230.
2.   Hoegh-Guldberg O., Jacob D., Taylor M., Bolaños T.G., Bindi M., Brown S., Camilloni
I., Diedhiou A., Djalante R., Ebi K. 2019 The human imperative of stabilizing global climate
change at 1.5° C. *Science* **365**(6459), eaaw6974.
3.   Hughes T.P. 1994 Catastrophes, phase shifts, and large-scale degradation of a Caribbean
coral reef. *Science* **265**(5178), 1547-1551.
4.   Graham N.A., Wilson S.K., Jennings S., Polunin N.V., Bijoux J.P., Robinson J. 2006
Dynamic fragility of oceanic coral reef ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences* **103**(22), 8425-8429.
5.   Bellwood D.R., Hughes T.P., Folke C., Nyström M. 2004 Confronting the coral reef
crisis. *Nature* **429**(6994), 827-833.
6.   Falkowski P.G., Dubinsky Z., Muscatine L., Porter J.W. 1984 Light and the bioenergetics
of a symbiotic coral. *Bioscience* **34**(11), 705-709.
7.   Grover R., Maguer J.-F., Allemand D., Ferrier-Pages C. 2008 Uptake of dissolved free
amino acids by the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*
211, 860-865.

8.   Martinez S., Kolodny Y., Shemesh E., Scucchia F., Nevo R., Levin-Zaidman S., Paltiel
Y., Keren N., Tchernov D., Mass T. 2020 Energy sources of the depth-generalist mixotrophic
coral *Stylophora pistillata*. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **7**, 988.
9.   Houlbrèque F., Ferrier-Pagès C. 2009 Heterotrophy in tropical scleractinian corals.
Biological Reviews **84**(1), 1-17. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008.00058.x).
10.   Schmidt C.A., Daly N.L., Wilson D.T. 2019 Coral venom toxins. *Frontiers in Ecology*
and Evolution **7**, 320.
11.   Chang S., Elkins C., Alley M., Eaton J., Monismitha S. 2009 Flow inside a coral colony
measured using magnetic resonance velocimetry. *Limnol Oceanogr* **54**(5), 1819-1827.
12.   Carpenter L.W., Patterson M.R. 2007 Water flow influences the distribution of
photosynthetic efficiency within colonies of the scleractinian coral *Montastrea annularis* (Ellis
and Solander, 1786); implications for coral bleaching. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* **351**(1-2), 10-26.
13.   Sebens K.P., Witting J., Helmuth B. 1997 Effects of water flow and branch spacing on
particle capture by the reef coral *Madracis mirabilis* (Duchassaing and Michelotti). *J Exp Mar*
Biol Ecol **211**(1), 1-28.
14.   Mass T., Brickner I., Hendy E., Genin A. 2011 Enduring physiological and reproductive
benefits of enhanced flow for a stony coral. *Limnol Oceanogr* **56**(6), 2176-2188.
15.   Mass T., Einbinder S., Brokovich E., Shashar N., Vago R., Erez J., Dubinsky Z. 2007
Photoacclimation of *Stylophora pistillata* to light extremes: metabolism and calcification. *Mar*
Ecol Prog Ser **334**, 93-102.
16.   Dennison W.C., Barnes D.J. 1988 Effect of water motion on coral photosynthesis and
calcification. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* **115**(1), 67-77.
17.   Mass T., Genin A., Shavit U., Grinstein M., Tchernov D. 2010 Flow enhances
photosynthesis in marine benthic autotrophs by increasing the efflux of oxygen from the
organism to the water. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**(6), 2527-2531.
18.   Anthony K.R., Hoogenboom M.O., Connolly S.R. 2005 Adaptive variation in coral
geometry and the optimization of internal colony light climates. *Funct Ecol* **19**(1), 17-26.
19.   Ralph P., Gademann R., Larkum A., Kühl M. 2002 Spatial heterogeneity in active
chlorophyll fluorescence and PSII activity of coral tissues. *Mar Biol* **141**(4), 639-646.
20.   Hemond E.M., Kaluziak S.T., Vollmer S.V. 2014 The genetics of colony form and
function in Caribbean *Acropora* corals. *BMC Genomics* **15**(1), 1133.
21.   Ritchie R. 2008 Universal chlorophyll equations for estimating chlorophylls a, b, c, and d
and total chlorophylls in natural assemblages of photosynthetic organisms using acetone,
methanol, or ethanol solvents. *Photosynthetica* **46**(1), 115-126.
22.   Primor N., Zlotkin E. 1975 On the ichthyotoxic and hemolytic action of the skin secretion
of the flatfish *Pardachirus marmoratus* (Soleidae). *Toxicon* **13**(4), 227-231.
23.   Bartosz G., Finkelshtein A., Przygodzki T., Bsor T., Nesher N., Sher D., Zlotkin E. 2008
A pharmacological solution for a conspecific conflict: ROS-mediated territorial aggression in sea
anemones. *Toxicon* **51**(6), 1038-1050.
24.   Marsh Jr J.A. 1970 Primary productivity of reef□building calcareous red algae. *Ecology*
51(2), 255-263.
25.   LaJeunesse T.C., Parkinson J.E., Gabrielson P.W., Jeong H.J., Reimer J.D., Voolstra
C.R., Santos S.R. 2018 Systematic revision of Symbiodiniaceae highlights the antiquity and
diversity of coral endosymbionts. *Curr Biol* **28**(16), 2570-2580. e2576.
26.   Buchfink B., Xie C., Huson D.H. 2015 Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
DIAMOND. *Nat Methods* **12**(1), 59-60.

27.   Dobin A., Davis C.A., Schlesinger F., Drenkow J., Zaleski C., Jha S., Batut P., Chaisson
M., Gingeras T.R. 2013 STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**(1), 15-
21.
28.   Voolstra C.R., Li Y., Liew Y.J., Baumgarten S., Zoccola D., Flot J.-F., Tambutté S.,
Allemand D., Aranda M. 2017 Comparative analysis of the genomes of *Stylophora pistillata* and
Acropora digitifera provides evidence for extensive differences between species of corals.
Scientific Reports **7**(1), 17583.
29.   Aranda M., Li Y., Liew Y.J., Baumgarten S., Simakov O., Wilson M.C., Piel J., Ashoor
H., Bougouffa S., Bajic V.B. 2016 Genomes of coral dinoflagellate symbionts highlight
evolutionary adaptations conducive to a symbiotic lifestyle. *Scientific Reports* **6**(1), 1-15.
30.   Love M.I., Huber W., Anders S. 2014 Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* **15**(12), 1-21.
31.   DePristo M.A., Banks E., Poplin R., Garimella K.V., Maguire J.R., Hartl C., Philippakis
A.A., Del Angel G., Rivas M.A., Hanna M. 2011 A framework for variation discovery and
genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat Genet* **43**(5), 491.
32.   Bryant D.M., Johnson K., DiTommaso T., Tickle T., Couger M.B., Payzin-Dogru D., Lee
T.J., Leigh N.D., Kuo T.-H., Davis F.G. 2017 A tissue-mapped axolotl de novo transcriptome
enables identification of limb regeneration factors. *Cell Reports* **18**(3), 762-776.
33.   Young M.D., Wakefield M.J., Smyth G.K., Oshlack A. 2012 goseq: Gene Ontology
testing for RNA-seq datasets. *R Bioconductor* **8**, 1-25.
34.   Sergushichev A.A. 2016 An algorithm for fast preranked gene set enrichment analysis
using cumulative statistic calculation. *BioRxiv*, 060012.
35.   Subramanian A., Tamayo P., Mootha V.K., Mukherjee S., Ebert B.L., Gillette M.A.,
Paulovich A., Pomeroy S.L., Golub T.R., Lander E.S. 2005 Gene set enrichment analysis: a
knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences* **102**(43), 15545-15550.
36.   Yu G., Lam T.T.-Y., Zhu H., Guan Y. 2018 Two methods for mapping and visualizing
associated data on phylogeny using ggtree. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**(12), 3041-3043.
37.   Team R. 2019 RStudio: Integrated Development for R. (Boston, MA, RStudio, Inc.
38.   Hossain M.M., Staples A.E. 2020 Mass transport and turbulent statistics within two
branching coral colonies. *Fluids* **5**(3), 153.
39.   Schiller C., Herndl G.J. 1989 Evidence of enhanced microbial activity in the interstitial
space of branched corals: possible implications for coral metabolism. *Coral Reefs* **7**(4), 179-184.
40.   Garren M., Son K., Raina J.-B., Rusconi R., Menolascina F., Shapiro O.H., Tout J.,
Bourne D.G., Seymour J.R., Stocker R. 2014 A bacterial pathogen uses
dimethylsulfoniopropionate as a cue to target heat-stressed corals. *The ISME Journal* **8**(5), 999-
1007.
41.   Bak R.P.M., Joenje M., Jong I.d., Lambrechts D.Y.M., Nieuwland G. 1998 Bacterial
suspension feeding by coral reef benthic organisms. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **175**, 285-288.
(doi:10.3354/meps175285).
42.   Ritchie K.B. 2006 Regulation of microbial populations by coral surface mucus and
mucus-associated bacteria. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **322**, 1-14.
43.   Nakajima R., Yoshida T., Azman B.A.R., Zaleha K., Othman B.H.R., Toda T. 2009 In
situ release of coral mucus by *Acropora* and its influence on the heterotrophic bacteria. *Aquat
Ecol* **43**(4), 815-823.

44.   Gibbin E., Gavish A., Domart-Coulon I., Kramarsky-Winter E., Shapiro O., Meibom A.,
Vardi A. 2018 Using NanoSIMS coupled with microfluidics to visualize the early stages of coral
infection by *Vibrio coralliilyticus*. *BMC Microbiol* **18**(1), 1-10.
45.   Winkler R., Antonius A., Abigail Renegar D. 2004 The skeleton eroding band disease on
coral reefs of Aqaba, Red Sea. *Mar Ecol* **25**(2), 129-144.
46.   Park E., Hwang D.-S., Lee J.-S., Song J.-I., Seo T.-K., Won Y.-J. 2012 Estimation of
divergence times in cnidarian evolution based on mitochondrial protein-coding genes and the
fossil record. *Mol Phylogen Evol* **62**(1), 329-345.
47.   Rinkevich B., Loya Y. 1983 Oriented translocation of energy in grafted reef corals. *Coral
Reefs* **1**(4), 243-247.
48.   Pearse V.B., Muscatine L. 1971 Role of symbiotic algae (zooxanthallae) in coral
calcification. *Biological Bulletin* **141**, 350-363.
49.   Duffy D.J., Plickert G., Kuenzel T., Tilmann W., Frank U. 2010 Wnt signaling promotes
oral but suppresses aboral structures in *Hydractinia* metamorphosis and regeneration.
Development **137**(18), 3057-3066.
50.   Trevino M., Stefanik D.J., Rodriguez R., Harmon S., Burton P.M. 2011 Induction of
canonical Wnt signaling by alsterpaullone is sufficient for oral tissue fate during regeneration
and embryogenesis in *Nematostella vectensis*. *Dev Dyn* **240**(12), 2673-2679.
51.   Lee P.N., Pang K., Matus D.Q., Martindale M.Q. 2006 A WNT of things to come:
evolution of Wnt signaling and polarity in cnidarians. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* **17**(2), 157-167.
(doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2006.05.002).
52.   Siegfried E., Perrimon N. 1994 *Drosophila* wingless: a paradigm for the function and
mechanism of Wnt signaling. *Bioessays* **16**(6), 395-404.
53.   Gutner-Hoch E., Ben-Asher H.W., Yam R., Shemesh A., Levy O. 2017 Identifying genes
and regulatory pathways associated with the scleractinian coral calcification process. *PeerJ* **5**,
e3590.
54.   Xu X., Weinstein M., Li C., Deng C.-X. 1999 Fibroblast growth factor receptors
(FGFRs) and their roles in limb development. *Cell Tissue Res* **296**(1), 33-43.
55.   Guillemot F., Zimmer C. 2011 From cradle to grave: the multiple roles of fibroblast
growth factors in neural development. *Neuron* **71**(4), 574-588.
56.   Reinhardt B., Broun M., Blitz I.L., Bode H.R. 2004 HyBMP5-8b, a BMP5-8 orthologue,
acts during axial patterning and tentacle formation in *Hydra*. *Dev Biol* **267**(1), 43-59.
57.   Surekha K.L., Khade S., Trimbake D., Patwardhan R., Nadimpalli S.K., Ghaskadbi S.
2020 Differential expression of BMP inhibitors gremlin and noggin in *Hydra* suggests distinct
roles during budding and patterning of tentacles. *Dev Dyn*.
58.   Albalat R. 2012 Evolution of the genetic machinery of the visual cycle: a novelty of the
vertebrate eye? *Mol Biol Evol* **29**(5), 1461-1469.
59.   Chornesky E.A., Peters E.C. 1987 Sexual reproduction and colony growth in the
scleractinian coral *Porites astreoides*. *Biological Bulletin* **172**(2), 161-177.
60.   Oliver J.K. 1987 Aspects of skeletal growth in the Indo-Pacific staghorn coral *Acropora
formosa*, James Cook University.
61.   Stimson J. 1978 Mode and timing of reproduction in some common hermatypic corals of
Hawaii and Enewetak. *Mar Biol* **48**(2), 173-184.
62.   Lahn B.T., Page D.C. 1999 Retroposition of autosomal mRNA yielded testis-specific
gene family on human Y chromosome. *Nat Genet* **21**(4), 429-433.

63.   Walden P.D., Cowan N.J. 1993 A novel 205-kilodalton testis-specific serine/threonine
protein kinase associated with microtubules of the spermatid manchette. *Mol Cell Biol* **13**(12),
7625-7635.
64.   Chiu Y.-L., Shikina S., Yoshioka Y., Shinzato C., Chang C.-F. 2020 De novo
transcriptome assembly from the gonads of a scleractinian coral, *Euphyllia ancora*: molecular
mechanisms underlying scleractinian gametogenesis. *BMC Genomics* **21**(1), 1-20.
65.   Ben-Ari H., Paz M., Sher D. 2018 The chemical armament of reef-building corals: inter-
and intra-specific variation and the identification of an unusual actinoporin in *Stylophora*
pistilata. *Scientific Reports* **8**(1), 1-13.
66.   Rachamim T., Morgenstern D., Aharonovich D., Brekhman V., Lotan T., Sher D. 2015
The dynamically evolving nematocyst content of an anthozoan, a scyphozoan, and a hydrozoan.
Mol Biol Evol **32**(3), 740-753.
67.   Hemond E.M., Vollmer S.V. 2015 Diurnal and nocturnal transcriptomic variation in the
Caribbean staghorn coral, *Acropora cervicornis*. *Mol Ecol* **24**(17), 4460-4473.
68.   Giese C., Mebs D., Werding B. 1996 Resistance and vulnerability of crustaceans to
cytolytic sea anemone toxins. *Toxicon* **34**(8), 955-958.
69.   Alvarez C., Mancheno J.M., Martínez D., Tejuca M., Pazos F., Lanio M.E. 2009
Sticholysins, two pore-forming toxins produced by the Caribbean Sea anemone *Stichodactyla*
helianthus: their interaction with membranes. *Toxicon* **54**(8), 1135-1147.
70.   Basulto A., Pérez V.M., Noa Y., Varela C., Otero A.J., Pico M.C. 2006
Immunohistochemical targeting of sea anemone cytolysins on tentacles, mesenteric filaments
and isolated nematocysts of *Stichodactyla helianthus*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A:*
Comparative Experimental Biology **305**(3), 253-258.
71.   Sunagawa S., DeSalvo M.K., Voolstra C.R., Reyes-Bermudez A., Medina M. 2009
Identification and gene expression analysis of a taxonomically restricted cysteine-rich protein
family in reef-building corals. *PLoS ONE* **4**(3), e4865.
72.   Jouiaei M., Sunagar K., Federman Gross A., Scheib H., Alewood P.F., Moran Y., Fry
B.G. 2015 Evolution of an ancient venom: recognition of a novel family of cnidarian toxins and
the common evolutionary origin of sodium and potassium neurotoxins in sea anemone. *Mol Biol*
Evol **32**(6), 1598-1610.
73.   Nakamura H., Asari T., Ohizumi Y., Kobayashi J.i., Yamasu T., Murai A. 1993 Isolation
of zooxanthellatoxins, novel vasoconstrictive substances from the zooxanthella *Symbiodinium*
sp. *Toxicon* **31**(4), 371-376.
74.   Beedessee G., Hisata K., Roy M.C., Satoh N., Shoguchi E. 2015 Multifunctional
polyketide synthase genes identified by genomic survey of the symbiotic dinoflagellate,
Symbiodinium minutum. *BMC Genomics* **16**(1), 1-11.
75.   Steinmetz P.R. 2019 A non-bilaterian perspective on the development and evolution of
animal digestive systems. *Cell Tissue Res* **377**(3), 321-339.
76.   Kopp C., Domart-Coulon I., Escrig S., Humbel B.M., Hignette M., Meibom A. 2015
Subcellular investigation of photosynthesis-driven carbon assimilation in the symbiotic reef coral
Pocillopora damicornis. *MBio* **6**(1).
77.   Mass T., Drake J.L., Peters E.C., Jiang W., Falkowski P.G. 2014 Immunolocalization of
skeletal matrix proteins in tissue and mineral of the coral *Stylophora pistillata*. *Proceedings of*
the National Academy of Sciences **111**(35), 12728-12733.
78.   Coronado I., Fine M., Bosellini F.R., Stolarski J. 2019 Impact of ocean acidification on
crystallographic vital effect of the coral skeleton. *Nature Communications* **10**(1), 1-9.

79. Schmidt-Roach S., Miller K.J., Lundgren P., Andreakis N. 2014 With eyes wide open: a
revision of species within and closely related to the *Pocillopora damicornis* species complex
(Scleractinia; Pocilloporidae) using morphology and genetics. *Zool J Linn Soc* **170**(1), 1-33.
80. Rocha R.J., Silva A.M., Fernandes M.H.V., Cruz I.C., Rosa R., Calado R. 2014
Contrasting light spectra constrain the macro and microstructures of scleractinian corals. *PloS*
One **9**(8).
81. Kaniewska P., Magnusson S.H., Anthony K.R., Reef R., Kühl M., Hoegh☐Guldberg O.
2011 Importance of macro- versus microstructure in modulating light levels inside coral
colonies. *J Phycol* **47**(4), 846-860.
82. Wangpraseurt D., Polerecky L., Larkum A.W., Ralph P.J., Nielsen D.A., Pernice M.,
Kühl M. 2014 The in situ light microenvironment of corals. *Limnol Oceanogr* **59**(3), 917-926.
83. Paz-García D.A., Aldana-Moreno A., Cabral-Tena R.A., García-De-León F.J., Hellberg
M.E., Balart E.F. 2015 Morphological variation and different branch modularity across
contrasting flow conditions in dominant *Pocillopora* reef-building corals. *Oecologia* **178**(1),
207-218.
84. Puverel S., Tambutté E., Pereira-Mouries L., Zoccola D., Allemand D., Tambutté S. 2005
Soluble organic matrix of two Scleractinian corals: Partial and comparative analysis.
Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B **141**, 480-487.
85. Peled Y., Drake J.L., Malik A., Almuly R., Lalzar M., Morgenstern D., Mass T. 2020
Optimization of skeletal protein preparation for LC–MS/MS sequencing yields additional coral
skeletal proteins in *Stylophora pistillata*. *BMC Materials* **2**(1), 8. (doi:10.1186/s42833-020-
00014-x).
86. Drake J.L., Mass T., Haramaty L., Zelzion E., Bhattacharya D., Falkowski P.G. 2013
Proteomic analysis of skeletal organic matrix from the stony coral *Stylophora pistillata*.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **110**(10), 3788-3793.
87. Mummadisetti M.P., Drake J.L., Falkowski P.G. accepted The spatial network of skeletal
proteins in a stony coral. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*.
88. Bertucci A., Tambutté S., Supuran C., Allemand D., Zoccola D. 2011 A new coral
carbonic anhydrase in *Stylophora pistillata*. *Mar Biotechnol* **13**(5), 992-1002.
(doi:10.1007/s10126-011-9363-x).
89. Zoccola D., Ganot P., Bertucci A., Caminiti-Segonds N., Techer N., Voolstra C.R.,
Aranda M., Tambutté E., Allemand D., Casey J.R. 2015 Bicarbonate transporters in corals point
towards a key step in the evolution of cnidarian calcification. *Scientific Reports* **5**.
90. Ramos-Silva P., Kaandorp J., Huisman L., Marie B., Zanella-Cleon I., Guichard N.,
Miller D.J., Marin F. 2013 The skeletal proteome of the coral *Acropora millepora*: The evolution
of calcification by cooption and domain shuffling. *Mol Biol Evol*. (doi:10.1093/molbev/mst109).
91. Takeuchi T., Yamada L., Shinzato C., Sawada H., Satoh N. 2016 Stepwise evolution of
coral biomineralization revealed with genome-wide proteomics and transcriptomics. *PLoS One*
11(6), e0156424. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156424).
92. Zaquin T., Malik A., Drake J.L., Putnam H.M., Mass T. accepted Evolution of protein-
mediated biomineralization in scleractinian corals. *Frontiers in Genetics*.
93. Zoccola D., Innocenti A., Bertucci A., Tambutté E., Supuran C.T., Tambutté S. 2016
Coral carbonic anhydrases: regulation by ocean acidification. *Mar Drugs* **14**(6), 109.
94. Mass T., Drake Jeana L., Haramaty L., Kim J.D., Zelzion E., Bhattacharya D., Falkowski
Paul G. 2013 Cloning and characterization of four novel coral acid-rich proteins that precipitate

carbonates in vitro. *Curr Biol* **23**(12), 1126-1131.
(doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.007).
95.   Gavriel R., Nadav☐Tsubery M., Glick Y., Yarmolenko A., Kofman R.,
Keinan☐Adamsky K., Berman A., Mass T., Goobes G. 2018 The coral protein CARP3 acts from
a disordered mineral surface film to divert aragonite crystallization in favor of Mg☐calcite.
Advanced Functional Materials **28**(21), 1707321.
96.   Laipnik R.a., Bissi V., Sun C.-Y., Falini G., Gilbert P.U., Mass T. 2020 Coral acid rich
protein selects vaterite polymorph in vitro. *Journal of Structural Biology* **209**(2), 107431.
97.   Akiva A., Neder M., Kahil K., Gavriel R., Pinkas I., Goobes G., Mass T. 2018 Minerals
in the pre-settled coral *Stylophora pistillata* crystallize via protein and ion changes. *Nature
Communications* **9**(1), 1880.
98.   Mass T., Putnam H.M., Drake J.L., Zelzion E., Gates R.D., Bhattacharya D., Falkowski
P.G. 2016 Temporal and spatial expression patterns of biomineralization proteins during early
development in the stony coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
Biological Sciences* **283**(1829), 20160322.
99.   Malik A., Einbinder S., Martinez S., Tchernov D., Haviv S., Almuly R., Zaslansky P.,
Polishchuk I., Pokroy B., Stolarski J. 2021 Molecular and skeletal fingerprints of scleractinian
coral biomineralization: from the sea surface to mesophotic depths. *Acta Biomater* **120**, 263-276.
100.   Drake J.L., Schaller M.F., Mass T., Godfrey L., Fu A., Sherrell R.M., Rosenthal Y.,
Falkowski P.G. 2017 Molecular and geochemical perspectives on the influence of CO_2 on
calcification in coral cell cultures. *Limnol Oceanogr*.
101.   Mass T., Drake J.L., Heddleston J.M., Falkowski P.G. 2017 Nanoscale visualization of
biomineral formation in coral proto-polyps. *Curr Biol* **27**(20), 3191-3196. e3193.
102.   Goreau T.F. 1959 The physiology of skeleton formation in corals. I. A method for
measuring the rate of calcium deposition by corals under different conditions. *Biological Bulletin*
116, 59-75. (doi:10.2307/1539156).
103.   Fang L.-S., Chen Y.-w.J., Chen C.-S. 1989 Why does the white tip of stony coral grow so
fast without zooxanthellae? *Mar Biol* **103**(3), 359-363.
104.   Cartwright P., Bowsher J., Buss L.W. 1999 Expression of a Hox gene, Cnox-2, and the
division of labor in a colonial hydroid. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **96**(5),
2183-2186.
105.   Rueffler C., Hermisson J., Wagner G.P. 2012 Evolution of functional specialization and
division of labor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**(6), E326-E335.
106.   Mackie G. 1986 From aggregates to integrates: physiological aspects of modularity in
colonial animals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B, Biological
Sciences* **313**(1159), 175-196.
107.   Rosen B. 1986 Modular growth and form of corals: a matter of metamers? *Philosophical
Transactions of the Royal Society of London B, Biological Sciences* **313**(1159), 115-142.
108.   Ryland J., Warner G. 1986 Growth and form in modular animals: ideas on the size and
arrangement of zooids. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B, Biological
Sciences* **313**(1159), 53-76.
109.   Matsuda S.B., Huffmyer A.S., Lenz E.A., Davidson J.M., Hancock J.R., Przybylowski
A., Innis T., Gates R.D., Barott K.L. 2020 Coral bleaching susceptibility Is predictive of
subsequent mortality sithin but not between coral species. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*
8(178). (doi:10.3389/fevo.2020.00178).

809 110.   Kenkel C.D., Matz M.V. 2016 Gene expression plasticity as a mechanism of coral
810   adaptation to a variable environment. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **1**(1), 1-6.

9 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Micro-environments and responses in sections of individual coral colonies. The *S.*
pistillata colony analyzed for physiological and transcriptomic differences across the colony (a);
with branches that were analyzed for physiological parameters noted on opposite sides of a three-
dimensional model of the colony (b). Inset in (b) shows branch axis sampling locations at tips
(salmon), junction (green), and base (blue). In-situ water column concentrations of dissolved
oxygen (c) and total microbial cells (d) between branches inside and outside five colonies of *S.*
pistillata. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on log_{10}FPM of all expressed
genes of the coral host (e) and photosymbiont (f). In the host NMDS (e), the ring and branch axis
factors are color- and shape-coded, respectively. In the symbiont NMDS (f), the cardinal
direction and branch axis factors are color- and shape-coded, respectively. The samples are
significantly clustered by these factors (electronic supplementary material, table S5), where ring
and branch position clusters are marked by dashed and solid ellipses, respectively.

Figure 2. Venn diagram (a) representing the proportional counts of shared tip vs. base DE genes
in *S. pistillata* (here) and two species of *Acropora* [20] (electronic supplementary material, table
S9); shaded regions represent genes showing the same DE patterns, where "up" and "down"
denote up- and down-regulated. Enrichment patterns of clustered *S. pistillata* KEGG terms (host;
b) and photosymbiont GO terms (UniProt *S. microadriaticum*; c) across branch axis, colony
height ring, and cardinal direction (host; b) and cardinal direction and branch position
(photosymbiont; c) comparisons. Hierarchical tree clustering reflects functional similarity
between terms. Color-coded heatmap scores equal to log_{10}(adjusted p-value) of enriched terms
multiplied by 1 and -1 for up- and down-regulated genes, respectively (for clarity, values <-2 and
>2 are shown as 2 and -2). In the *S. pistillata* terms tree (b), terms enriched in both *S. pistillata*
(here) and two species of *Acropora* [20] for tip vs base comparisons, are shown as green labels.

Figure 3. Nematocyst potential. Nematocyst abundance (a) and hemolytic units per area (b), and
gene expression patterns of putative toxins as log_2FC between branch tips (positive values) and
bases (negative values) (c), and log_{10}FPKM along the branch axis (d). Only significantly DE
toxin genes are shown (p<0.05). The two most abundant transcripts, a cytolysin annotated as
stichotoxin and an uncharacterized gene, for which reciprocal BLAST hits suggest it is a SCRiP
(electronic supplementary material, table S14), are noted with a red star (d).

Figure 4. Biomineralization differences between branch locations. Tip corallites exhibited
microstructural differences of coenosteal spines compared to junction and base corallites (a; tip
in i, ii; junction in iii, iv; base in v, vi). Additionally, corallites at tips were more closely spaced
(b) and more elliptical (c) than were those at junctions or bases of *S. pistillata* fragments. Several
known biomineralization genes were DE, shown as log_2FC between branch tips (positive values)
and bases (negative values) (d) and log_{10}FPKM along the branch axis (e) to clarify genes with
high versus low expression. Only significantly DE genes are shown (p<0.05).

Figure 5. Schematic of environmental parameters (e.g., flow and light intensity, left) and
resulting differential gene expression patterns and physiological outcomes for key processes

focusing on those enhanced at branch tips (right). We cannot assign gene expression to specific

tissue layers or cell types in most cases, except for the likely localization of toxin and

biomineralization genes to the oral ectoderm and calicoderm, respectively.