2GUIdEStaR (G-quadruplex, uORF, IRES, Epigenetics, Small RNA, 3Repeats), the integrated metadatabase in conjunction with neural 4network methods

5Jee Eun Kang

6BK21 FOUR R&E center for life sciences, Korea University, Seoul 02841, South Korea

7Correspondence should be addressed to Jee Eun Kang; jekang_39@yahoo.com

9Current address: National Institute of Horticultural & Herbal Science, Rural Development 10Administration, Wanju, 55365, South Korea

12Abstract

13GUIdEStaR integrates existing databases of various types of G-quadruplex, upstream Open Reading 14Frame (uORF), Internal Ribosome Entry Site (IRES), methylation to RNA and histone protein, 15small RNA, and repeats. GUIdEStaR consists of approx. 40,000 genes and 320,000 transcripts. An 16mRNA transcript is divided into 5 regions (5'UTR, 3'UTR, exon, intron, and biological region) 17where each region contains presence-absence data of 169 different types of elements. Recently, 18artificial intelligence (AI) based analysis of sequencing data has been gaining popularity in the area 19of bioinformatics. GUIdEStaR generates datasets that can be used as inputs to AI methods. At the 20GUIdEStaR homepage, users submit gene symbols by clicking a "Send" button, and shortly result 21files in CSV format are available for download at the result website. Users have an option to send 22the result files to their email addresses. Additionally, the entire database and the example Java 23codes are also freely available for download. Here, we demonstrate the database usage with three 24neural network classification studies- 1) small RNA study for classifying transcription factor (TF) 25genes into either one of TF mediated by small RNA originated from SARS-CoV-2 or by human 26microRNA (miRNA), 2) cell membrane receptor study for classifying receptor genes as either with 27virus interaction or without one, and 3) nonsense mediated mRNA decay (NMD) study for 28classifying cell membrane and nuclear receptors as either NMD target or non-target. GUIdEStaR is 29available for access to the easy-to-use web-based database at www.guidestar.kr and for download at 30https://sourceforge.net/projects/guidestar.

31Introduction

32Varied types of sequence compositions, structures, and epigenetic modifications are interwoven for 33orchestrating gene functions. Here, we introduce the integrative database, GUIdEStaR that unites 34existing databases of G-quadruplex (GQ) provided by Chariker et al., uORF from uORF-Tools, 35IRES from IRESbase, Epigenetic modification from Met-DB V2.0 and Choi et al., Small RNA 36from DASHR 2.0, and Repeats from RepeatMasker [1-7]. These elements are interdependent in

37controlling gene regulation through functional interactions. GQ, which is a topological change
38required of numerous conserved regulatory elements, has become increasingly important in recent
39years as the mutual dependency between GQ and epigenetic modification has been unveiled [8,9].
40uORFs with a conserved peptide are often cleaved by miRNA for controlling the translation of their
41main ORFs that are frequently regulatory genes such as transcription factors and 5' signal
42transduction factors [10-12]. They, together with IRES are known to select a specific RNA variant
43for translation and cellular localization [13]. Genes containing small RNA loci implicate various
44functions in cellular signaling, and the binding affinity of small RNA is regulated by epigenetic
45modification [14-16]. And repeats function as a gene regulator; for example, downregulation caused
46by intronic repeats can be suppressed by epigenetic modification [17,18]. Finally, epigenetic
47modification regulates gene expression, biogenesis of miRNA, and suppression of transposable
48elements [19,20].

49   Currently, there are no integrative bioinformatics resources that offer users with a
50means to study the associations of these elements. GUIdEStaR provides an effective analysis
51tool for studying interdependences of these elements. With one-click process at the
52GUIdEStaR homepage, users are given the access to the presence-absence data of 845
53elements per transcript, which can be inputted to neural methods. GUIdEStaR consists of
54approx. 40,000 genes and 320,000 transcripts.

55   Here, we conducted three studies to demonstrate how to chain GUIdEStaR with
56neural network methods- classification and attribute selection. The first study was to classify
57human transcription factors (TFs) into either one of TF mediated by small RNA that has its
58origin in coronavirus or mediated by human miRNA [21, 22]. In addition to GUIdEStaR, the
59datasets included log base2 (fold change in gene level abundance) (log base2 FC) information
60in eight different cell types (ciliated cells, basal cells, club cells, goblet cells, neuroendocrine
61cells, ionocytes, and tuft cells) from single-cell (sc) RNA-seq [23,24]. The top ranked
62attributes included epigenetic modification to Histone H3, piRNA, short interspersed nuclear
63element (SINE) families, long interspersed nuclear element (LINE) families, epigenetic
64modification- RNA N6-methyl-adenosine (m6A) target sites of WTAP, METTL14, and
65METTL3, and log base2 FC information. The binary neural network models achieved the
66average accuracy of 90% (Table 1, Table S1, supplementary data).

67   The second study was to classify cell membrane receptors into either with virus
68interaction or without one. Recent studies documented that protease functioned as co-receptor
69to facilitate virus entry and interacted with methyltransferase to modulate the activation of a
70receptor [25,26]. It was reported that cell membrane receptor bound by a growth factor
71increased transcript abundances of specific TFs and proteases by the intracellular signaling
72cascades [27,28]. In addition to data from GUIdEStaR, we included the sign functions of the
73log base2 FCs of diverse TFs, growth factors, proteases, and methyltransferases.
74Interestingly, the top attributes that contributed the most to the classification were binary data
75based upon the sign functions of log base2 FCs in ciliated cells and SINE-mammalian-wide
76interspersed repeats (MIR). The average accuracy of the binary classifiers was 72%. The
77third study was to classify the cell membrane and nuclear receptors as either that of nonsense
78mediated mRNA decay (NMD) target or that of non-NMD target. The top 10 attributes
79included GQ (4:1:1), long term repeat (LTR) family, Tc1-Mariner-like elements (DNA-
80TcMar), LINE-L2, DNA-hAT-Blackjack, and m6A modification target sites of METTL14
81and YTHDF1, where the GQ format x:y:z was interpreted as "x represents the number of
82guanine tracts, y represents the number of locations at which a G4 could form, and z
83represents the number of G4 that could form simultaneously in the sequence" [1]. The
84average accuracy was 72%. GUIdEStaR is freely available to access the user-friendly web-
85based database at www.guidestar.kr and to download the entire database and the example
86Java codes at https://sourceforge.net/projects/guidestar.

87Materials and Methods

88Database Integration:

89Ensembl gff file (hg38 version: 101) was used to determine the transcript location. A
90transcript ID (Ensembl ENST) file consists of 845 attributes in the case of mRNA, where
91each of 5' UTR, 3' UTR, exon, intron, and biological region comprises 169 presence/ absence
92attributes in the order mentioned above. For example, the first 169 presence-absence data of

93GUIdEStaR were from 5' UTR region of a transcript: GQ provided by Chariker et al., uORF
94from uORF-Tools, IRES from IRESbase, epigenetic modifications to the histone protein H3
95provided by Choi et al., target sites of m6A writers (KIAA1429, METTL3, METTL14, and
96WTAP), readers (YTHDC1 and YTHDF1), and eraser (FTO) in 5' UTR, CDS, 3' UTR,
97mRNA, lncRNA, sncRNA, tRNA, miRNA, and tumor suppressor miRNA (miRNATS) from
98Trew database of Met-DB V2.0, small RNAs from DASHR 2.0, and repeats from
99RepeatMasker. If a transcript is an lncRNA, it contains three regions in the order of exon,
100intron, and biological region. G-quadruplex, uORF, IRES, small RNA, and repeats have
101different binary values per region and per transcript, while epigenetic information (Trew
102database) has a different value per region and per gene. Epigenetic modifications to the
103histone protein H3 (H3K27me3 and H3K4me3) have the same values in all five regions of a
104gene. In three example studies, the example Java codes generated datasets that were readily
105inputted to neural network methods (Supplementary Data: Example_JAVA_codes).

106Implementation of the web-based database:

107Java Servlet and HTML with Javascript were employed to implement the database querying
108and retrieval system. At the homepage, www.guidestar.kr, a user is allowed to submit gene
109symbols to the system by listing genes in text box and clicking "Send" button. Then, the
110Servlet program searches user's gene symbols against the integrated database, retrieves the
111presence-absence data per region, compresses result files, and sends the compressed zip file
112to the user if he/ she chooses the option. And it generates an HTML page that displays the
113retrieved data and a "Download" button that enables the user to save the results in his/her
114local computer.

121Fig1. (A) Data integration of GUIdEStaR. (B) Workflow of the small RNA study

123Database usage demonstration:

124In all three studies, we retrieved the presence/ absence data of 845 attributes from each of all
125mRNA transcripts belonging to a gene, splitted them into 5' UTR, 3' UTR, exon, intron, and
126biological region, set an attribute to have the value- "true" if the attribute was present in at
127least one transcript, and included additional scRNA-seq data of SARS-CoV-2 infection, log
128base2 FC information, in eight different cell types (Supplementary Data:
129neural_network_inputs) [24]. We built binary classifiers using Weka's meta classifier,
130MultiClassClassifierUpdateable with default values (method: against-all, random number
131seed: 1, base classifier: Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD), loss function: hinge loss (SVM),
132learning rate: 0.01, lambda: 1.0E-4, epochs: 500, epsilon: 0.001, normalization) [30].
133Resampling preprocessing step was applied in prior to running each classifier due to the small
134sample number. We assessed the contribution of an attribute to the classification accuracy,
135using CorrelationAttributeEval with default values for all parameters [30]. For receptor
136studies, additional 1048 binary values were generated by the sign function that evaluated
137whether x and y had the same sign where x and y were the log base2 FCs of a receptor gene
138(subject) and of an attribute- gene family (factor) in a dataset. An attribute gene belonged to
139one of the following families: growth factor families (bone morphogenetic protein, ciliary
140neurotrophic factor, LIF, interleukin, epidermal growth factor, erythropoietin, fibroblast
141growth factor, GDNF family, IGF like family, macrophage, nerve growth factor,
142neurotrophin, platelet derived growth factor, T cell, megakaryocyte, transforming, and tumor
143necrosis factor), TF families (AP-2, ARID/BRIGHT, AT hook, BED ZF, Brinker, C2H2 ZF,
144and other 67 families), proteases (peptidase, transamidase, retropepsin, separase, elastase,
145glutamyltransferase, and other 51 families), 8 different types of histone methyltransferases
146(ASH1L, DOT1L, EHMT1, MLL, NSD1, PRDM2, SET, and SETMAR, and other 29 genes),
1474 different types of DNA methyltransferases (DNMT1, TPMTP3, DPY30, MGMT, and other
14813 genes), 3 different types of RNA methyltransferases (METTL14, NSUN2, TRDMT1,
149VIRMA, and 87 other genes), or other 10 different types of methylation enzymes (ICMT,
150SHMT1P1, PCMT1, CARM1P1, EHMT1, CMTR1, AP000812, ARMT1, AS3MT,
151AC006022, and other 103 genes) (Table S2, JAVA_code_examples: input_files_to_codes).

152Small RNA study:

153Small RNAs originated from SARS-CoV-2 and from human were selected based on the study
154of Demirci et al. [31]. TF target genes mediated by SARS-CoV-2 encoded small RNAs were
155selected based on the same study, while those mediated by human miRNA were based on
156miRDB and TRRUST v2 (Supplementary Data: neural_network_inputs: small_RNA)
157[32,33].

158Cell membrane receptor with virus interaction study:

159Cell membrane receptors that were known to bind to growth factors were selected based upon
160Wikipedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cell_surface_receptor). Among the receptors, those
161interacting with different types of viruses were selected based upon the database, Viral
162Receptor (Supplementary Data: neural_network_inputs: receptor_virus_non_virus) [34].

163Cell membrane and nuclear receptors of NMD target vs. non-target:

164Nuclear receptors were selected based on wikipedia
165(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuclear_receptor). Genes containing NMD-target transcripts

166were selected based upon gene annotation of the gff file from Ensembl Homo sapiens 101
167(Supplementary Data: neural_network_inputs: receptor_NMD_non_NMD).

169Results and Discussion

170The accuracies of binary classifiers estimated with cross-validation (folds=10) are shown in
171Table 1 (Table S1, Supplementary Data: neural_network_outputs). The top 10 attributes in
172the small RNA study included epigenetic modification to Histone H3, target sites of m6A
173writers (WTAP target site overlapping with lncRNA, WTAP with mRNA, METTL14 with
174miRNATS), repeats (SINE families and LINE families), and log base2 FC data (basal cells,
175tuft cells, and ciliated cells of infected/bystander cell data) (Supplementary data).

176Table 1. Accuracies of binary classifiers

Accuracy	5'UTR	Biol. Reg.	Exon	Intron	3'UTR
Small RNA targets (virus origin vs. human origin)	88 %	88 %	93 %	91 %	89 %
Receptors with virus interaction vs. receptors without virus interaction	73 %	68 %	72 %	78 %	71 %
Cell membrane receptors vs. nuclear receptors	83 %	80%	85 %	88 %	82 %
Receptors of NMD target vs. receptors of non-NMD target	75 %	70%	69 %	77 %	70 %

178   It was interesting to find that the top 10 attributes in the cell membrane receptor with
179virus interaction study were gene expression comparisons only from ciliated cells: sign
180functions of log base2 FCs of the following families- protease family (nicalin), transcription
181factor family (NFX), and growth factor families (erythropoietin, epidermal growth factor,
182ciliary neurotrophic factor, fibroblast growth factor, GDNF family, neurotrophin, T cell,
183platelet derived growth factor). It was consistent with the scRNA-seq study that ciliated cells
184were mainly the targets of SARS-COV-2 infection [24]. Top 10 attributes in the receptors of
185NMD target study included repeats (LTR, DNA-TcMar, LTR-endogenous retrovirus1 (LTR-
186ERV1), LINE-L2, DNA-hAT-Blackjack, LTR-ERVL-MaLR), RNA, and target sites of m6A
187writer and reader (METTL14 target site overlapping with mRNA, METTL14 with 3' UTR,
188YTHDF1 with mRNA).

189   Although omics data availability rapidly increases, the bottleneck is the lack of
190bioinformatics software and databases that provide users with a convenient means to extract
191meaningful information that conveys valuable knowledge. GUIdEStaR provides an easy
192access to key elements of a transcript/gene with a single inquiry. Due to the highly dynamic
193nature of epigenetic modification, neural network methods such as association rule may
194reveal useful insights for studying requirements interdependencies of the elements in a

195transcript and a link between particular features and a specific condition of cellular
196microenvironment.

197Conclusions

198Despite the importance of G-quadruplex, uORF, IRES, Epigenetics, Small RNA, and
199Repeats, no single resource is available for accessing these information with transcript/gene
200symbol. GUIdEStaR is the first database that integrates the information into a single data
201bank, and enables users to retrieve data from database with an easy-to-use query interface.
202Artificial intelligence methods are nowadays widely used in various fields of biological
203sciences. GUIdEStaR generates binary datasets, inputs to neural network methods, to
204promote artificial intelligence driven biological investigations. Here, we also provide a
205glimpse of the database usage in conjunction with neural network methods; we demonstrate
206the feasibility of using these six elements as discriminative features to identify human TFs
207and cell membrane receptors that interact with sequences of viral origin in addition to the
208identification of receptors of NMD target. We plan to integrate sequence composition and
209epigenetic modification at single nucleotide resolution into the current GUIdEStaR to provide
210a useful insight for studying the molecular mechanisms underlying the requirements
211interdependencies in gene regulation.

212Data Availability

213GUIdEStaR is freely available as web-based database at www.guidestar.kr. Additionally,
214users can download the entire database and the example Java codes from the 'Download'
215page, or at https://sourceforge.net/projects/guidestar/files/.

216Conflicts of Interest

217The authors declare no competing interests.

218Funding Statement

219This work was supported by grants from National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-
2202020R1A6A3A01099714).

221Supplementary Materials

222   • Table S1. Evaluation of the binary classifiers:

223   https://sourceforge.net/projects/guidestar/files/supplementary_table.zip/download

224   • Table S2. Families of TF, histone methyltransferase, DNA methyltransferase, RNA
225methyltransferase, and other methylation enzyme used in receptor study datasets:

226   https://sourceforge.net/projects/guidestar/files/supplementary_table.zip/download

227   • Example_Java_codes: customized GUIdEStaR database, Java codes and
228input_files_to_codes:

229   https://sourceforge.net/projects/guidestar/files/Example_JAVA_codes.zip/download

230   • Supplementary_data: neural_network_inputs and neural_network_outputs (small_RNA,
231receptor_virus_non_virus, receptor_cell_memb_nuclear, and receptor_NMD_non_NMD):

232   https://sourceforge.net/projects/guidestar/files/supplementary_data.zip/download

234References

2351. Chariker JH, Miller DM, Rouchka EC. Computational Analysis of G-Quadruplex Forming
236Sequences across Chromosomes Reveals High Density Patterns Near the Terminal Ends.
237PLoS One. 2016 Oct 24;11(10):e0165101.

2382. Scholz A, Eggenhofer F, Gelhausen R, Grüning B, Zarnack K, Brüne B, Backofen R,
239Schmid T. uORF-Tools-Workflow for the determination of translation-regulatory upstream
240open reading frames. PLoS One. 2019 Sep 12;14(9):e0222459.

2413. Zhao J, Li Y, Wang C, Zhang H, Zhang H, Jiang B, Guo X, Song X. IRESbase: A
242Comprehensive Database of Experimentally Validated Internal Ribosome Entry Sites.
243Genom. Proteom. Bioinform. 18(2): 129-139 (2020).

2444. Choi S. H. , Heo K. , Byun H. M. , An W. , Lu W. , Yang A. S. (2011). Identification of
245preferential target sites for human DNA methyltransferases. Nucleic Acids Research, 39,
246104–118. 10.1093/nar/gkq774

2475. Liu H, Wang H, Wei Z, Zhang S, Hua G, Zhang SW, et al. MeT-DB V2.0: elucidating
248context-specific functions of N6-methyl-adenosine methyltranscriptome. Nucleic Acids Res.
2492018;46(D1):D281–D2D7.

2506. Kuksa PP, Amlie-Wolf A, Katanić Ž, Valladares O, Wang LS, Leung YY. DASHR 2.0:
251integrated database of human small non-coding RNA genes and mature products.
252Bioinformatics. 2019 Mar 15;35(6):1033-1039.

2537. Smit, AFA, Hubley, R & Green, P. RepeatMasker Open-4.0. 2013-2015
254<http://www.repeatmasker.org>.

2558. Kaplan OI, Berber B, Hekim N, Doluca O. G-quadruplex prediction in E. coli genome
256reveals a conserved putative G-quadruplex-Hairpin-Duplex switch. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016
257Nov 2; 44(19): 9083–9095.

2589. Mukherjee AK, Sharma S, Chowdhury S. Non-duplex G-Quadruplex Structures Emerge as
259Mediators of Epigenetic Modifications. Trends Genet. 2019 Feb;35(2):129-144.

26010. Somers J, Pöyry T, Willis AE. A perspective on mammalian upstream open reading frame
261function. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2013 Aug;45(8):1690-700.

11. Baldrich P, Campo S, Wu MT, Liu TT, Hsing YI, San Segundo B. MicroRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression in the response of rice plants to fungal elicitors. RNA Biol. 2015;12(8):847-63.

12. Baldrich P, Campo S, Wu MT, Liu TT, Hsing YI, San Segundo B. MicroRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression in the response of rice plants to fungal elicitors. RNA Biol. 2015;12(8):847-63.

13. Alexandrova J, Paulus C, Rudinger-Thirion J, Jossinet F, Frugier M. Elaborate uORF/IRES features control expression and localization of human glycyl-tRNA synthetase. RNA Biol. 2015 Dec; 12(12): 1301–1313.

14. Aravin AA, Sachidanandam R, Bourc'his D, Schaefer C, Pezic D, Toth KF, Bestor T, Hannon GJ. A piRNA pathway primed by individual transposons is linked to de novo DNA methylation in mice. Mol Cell. 2008 Sep 26;31(6):785-99.

15. Pasero P, Bensimon A, Schwob E. Single-molecule analysis reveals clustering and epigenetic regulation of replication origins at the yeast rDNA locus. Genes Dev. 2002 Oct 1;16(19):2479-84.

16. Morales L, Oliveros JC, Fernandez-Delgado R, tenOever BR, Enjuanes L, Sola I. SARS-CoV-Encoded Small RNAs Contribute to Infection-Associated Lung Pathology. Cell Host Microbe. 2017 Mar 8;21(3):344-355.

17. Čutová M, Manta J, Porubiaková O, Kaura P, Šťastný J, Jagelská EB, Goswami P, Bartas M, Brázda V. Divergent distributions of inverted repeats and G-quadruplex forming sequences in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genomics. 2020 Mar;112(2):1897-1901.

18. Chen HY, Ma SL, Huang W, Ji L, Leung VH, Jiang H, Yao X, Tang NL. The mechanism of transactivation regulation due to polymorphic short tandem repeats (STRs) using IGF1 promoter as a model. Sci Rep. 2016 Dec 2;6:38225.

19. Kumar S, Chinnusamy V, Mohapatra T. Epigenetics of Modified DNA Bases: 5-Methylcytosine and Beyond. Front Genet. 2018;9:640.

20. Alarcón, C. R., Lee, H., Goodarzi, H., Halberg, N., and Tavazoie, S. F. (2015b). N6-methyladenosine marks primary microRNAs for processing. Nature 519, 482–485.

21. Merino GA, Raad J, Bugnon LA, Yones C, Kamenetzky L, Claus J, Ariel F, Milone DH, Stegmayer G. Novel SARS-CoV-2 encoded small RNAs in the passage to humans. Bioinformatics. 2020 Nov 27;btaa1002.

22. Morales L, Oliveros JC, Fernandez-Delgado R, tenOever BR, Enjuanes L, Sola I. SARS-CoV-Encoded Small RNAs Contribute to Infection-Associated Lung Pathology. Cell Host Microbe. 2017 Mar 8;21(3):344-355.

23. Tabansky I, Stern JN, Pfaff DW. Implications of Epigenetic Variability within a Cell Population for "Cell Type" Classification. Front Behav Neurosci. 2015 Dec 16;9:342.

24. Ravindra NG, Alfajaro MM, Gasque V, Habet V, Wei J, Filler RB, Huston NC, Wan H, 299 Szigeti-Buck K, Wang B, Wang GQ, Montgomery RR, Eisenbarth SC, Williams AJ, Pyle 300 AM, Iwasaki A, Horvath TL, Foxman EF, Pierce RW, van Dijk D, Wilen CB. 2020. Single-301 cell longitudinal analysis of SARS-CoV-2 infection in human airway epithelium. bioRxiv

25. Qi F., Qian S., Zhang S., Zhang Z. Single cell RNA sequencing of 13 human tissues 303 identify cell types and receptors of human coronaviruses. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.

26. Alumkal JJ, Herman JG. Distinct epigenetic mechanisms distinguish TMPRSS2-ERG 305 fusion-positive and -negative prostate cancers. Cancer Dis. 2012;2:979–981.

27. Kar A., Gutierrez-Hartmann A. Molecular mechanisms of ETS transcription factor 307 mediated tumorigenesis. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2013;48:522–543.

28. Henkart PA. ICE family proteases: mediators of all apoptotic cell death? Immunity. 309 1996;4(3):195–201.

29. 3 Characterization of intergenic regions and gene definition. Nature (2019). 311 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature28172

30. Eibe Frank, Mark A. Hall, and Ian H. Witten (2016). The WEKA Workbench. Online 313 Appendix for "Data Mining: Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques", Morgan 314 Kaufmann, Fourth Edition, 2016.

31. Saçar Demirci MD, Adan A. Computational analysis of microRNA-mediated interactions 316 in SARS-CoV-2 infection. PeerJ

2020;2020:1−17.

32. Chen Y, Wang X. miRDB: an online database for prediction of functional microRNA 319 targets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020 Jan 8;48(D1):D127-D131.

33. Han H, Cho JW, Lee S, Yun A, Kim H, Bae D, Yang S, Kim CY, Lee M, Kim E, Lee S, 321 Kang B, Jeong D, Kim Y, Jeon HN, Jung H, Nam S, Chung M, Kim JH, Lee I. TRRUST 322 v2:an expanded reference database of human and mouse transcriptional regulatory 323 interactions. Nucleic Acids Res 46(D1):D380–D386 (2018).

34. Zhang Z., Zhu Z., Chen W., Cai Z., Xu B., Tan Z., Wu A., Ge X., Guo X., Tan Z., et al. 325 Cell membrane proteins with high N-glycosylation, high expression and multiple interaction 326 partners are preferred by mammalian viruses as receptors. Bioinformatics. 2019;35:723–728.