Integrative network analysis interweaves the missing links in cardiomyopathy diseasome

Pankaj Kumar Chauhan & Ramanathan Sowdhamini*

National Centre for Biological Sciences (Tata Institute of Fundamental Research), GKVK Campus, Bangalore Karnataka 560065 INDIA

*Correspondence: mini@ncbs.res.in

Abstract

Cardiomyopathies are progressive disease conditions that give rise to an abnormal heart phenotype and are a leading cause of heart failures in the general population. These are complex diseases that show co-morbidity with other diseases. The molecular interaction network in the localised disease neighbourhood is an important step toward deciphering molecular mechanisms underlying these complex conditions. In this pursuit, we employed network medicine techniques to systematically investigate cardiomyopathy's genetic interplay with other diseases and uncover the molecular players underlying these associations. We predicted a set of candidate genes in cardiomyopathy by exploring the DIAMOnD algorithm on the human interactome. We next revealed how these candidate genes form association across different diseases and highlighted the predominant association with brain, cancer and metabolic diseases. Through integrative systems analysis of molecular pathways, heart-specific mouse knockout data and disease tissue-specific transcriptomic data, we screened and ascertained prominent candidates that show abnormal heart phenotype,

23 including *NOS3, MMP2* and *SIRT1*. Our computational analysis broadens the understanding

24 of the genetic associations of cardiomyopathies with other diseases and holds great potential

25 in cardiomyopathy research.

Introduction

Cardiomyopathies are a severe and chronic health issue across the world[1–4]. These are

complex heart muscle diseases compounded by genetic and environmental factors.

Cardiomyopathies share overlapping genetic and phenotypic features with other diseases [5–7].

Evidence from a growing number of studies suggests that several drugs, including anti-

cancer, antiretroviral and antipsychotic, pose a potential risk of cardiotoxicity and drug-

induced cardiomyopathies [8,9]. It is well understood that sarcomere genes are the major drivers

for cardiomyopathy phenotypes [1,10,11]. Besides sarcomeric genes, cellular energy-related, Ca^{2+}

handling and other genes are also implicated in cardiomyopathy [10–13]. A subset of these genes

influences cardiomyopathy expressivity and severity [14,15]. We refer to such genes (variants)

as 'modifier genes' that influence the function of another gene. Hamilton et al. defined

modifier genes as the genetic variants that can change the phenotypic outcome of an

independent 'conditioning' variant at another locus [16]. Genome-wide association studies

(GWAS) and candidate gene analysis approaches point to many common genetic variants as

one of the plausible reasons for toxicity or induced cardiomyopathy [17–19]. Hence, a

fundamental knowledge of the cardiomyopathies and the molecular players involved is

critical for developing novel approaches for its prevention and treatment. Modifier genes are

suitable candidates for finding biomarkers [20,21], drug toxicity explanations [22,23], and possible

missing links between distinct diseases.

The emergence of network biology has allowed us a more refined understanding of

complex systems like protein-protein interactions and disease-disease links [24,25]. Disease

network analysis is helpful in disease epidemiology as it facilitates and projects a simple

concept of the relative risks of diseases and characteristics of their shared architecture [26–28]. In

this direction, the first genotype-based human disease network was constructed based on

commonly shared genes between diseases. It was a novel attempt to show the global

organization of diseases and functional modules [29]. Now, this methodology has been used in

therapeutic innovation and disease drug repurposing [30]. Cardiomyopathies have been reported

in several disease network studies [29,31]. However, to the best of our knowledge, none of the

studies exclusively focus on cardiomyopathies, potential modifiers and their associations,

consequently requiring in-depth, rigorous analysis. In this perspective, our study is the first of

its kind large-scale exploration of candidate (potential modifier) genes and disease

associations involved in cardiomyopathy.

The current study employs an integrative systems biology approach to understand

cardiomyopathy-centric complexities and the shared genetic architecture. Firstly, we

performed comprehensive mining of publicly available genetic, protein-protein interaction

(PPI), mouse phenotype, and transcriptome data related to cardiomyopathies. Secondly, we

generated a cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome network based on genetic data. Thirdly, we

explored human interactome datasets to predict candidate (potential modifier) genes in

cardiomyopathies and assessed their associations with other diseases. Furthermore, we

screened our findings using mouse-abnormal heart phenotype data and transcriptome

datasets from the European Nucleotide Archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/home)

repository to associate the cardiomyopathy-centric candiate genes to other disease

phenotypes.

Results

Figure 1. Cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome: *A graph representing the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome network, in which two diseases are linked if a gene is implicated in both diseases. It is constructed by projecting a cardiomyopathy-centric bipartite graph. Each node represents a distinct disease in the network, and it is coloured based on the disease category it belongs to. The size of each node is proportional to the number of genes in that particular disease. The name of diseases with > 20 associated genes are labelled in the network. There are 12 disease categories in the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome as labelled in the legend. The links between disease pairs are shown in grey colour. The weight of a link is proportional to the number of genes implicated in both diseases.*

Genetic connectivity of cardiomyopathy genes with other pathophysiological diseases

To identify the molecular players underlying genetic links between cardiomyopathies and other diseases, we first constructed a comprehensive diseasome network based on the genetic data from publicly available datasets (see Methods). We extracted a non-redundant set of 4,406 disease phenotypes and associated genes in this process. Furthermore, each disease was partitioned into disease-category after merging similar diseases using fuzzy matching.

ultimately reducing the size to 2722 distinct disease-gene associations (*see Table S1A*). Next,

to construct cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome, we investigated only those disease-gene

associations that consisted of at least one cardiomyopathy gene(*see Table S1B*). This exercise

resulted in a bipartite network with 146 diseases and 1,929 genes (*see Table S1C*).

Ultimately, this bipartite network was projected to the disease network based on common

genes. This disease-projected network was termed as cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome

consisting of 146 diseases with 1193 distinct links (*Figure 1*).

Evaluation of the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome network properties

Cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome network revealed that cardiomyopathies were linked with

cardiovascular, neoplasms, musculoskeletal, metabolic and nervous system disease-categories

(*Figure 1,2A*). The predominant category was the cardiovascular system occupying 28.7% of

the total associations, followed by musculoskeletal and congenital, each sharing 13.7%

interactions. Surprisingly, neoplasms were also linked to cardiomyopathies (12.2%)

dominated by the RAF1 gene (41 %). Metabolic disorders were another significant

contributor (10.0%). We performed network statistics such as degree, betweenness and

closeness centrality, degree distribution (k) and gene distribution on the cardiomyopathy-

centric diseasome network (*see Table S2*). The degree distribution points that most diseases

are linked to only a few other diseases (*Figure 2B-C, also see Figure S1*). In contrast,

intended cardiovascular diseases such as DCM (k=96) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

(HCM) (k=63) are linked to many diseases. For comparison of cardiomyopathic-centric

diseasome with random control, we reshuffled the genes of each disease (10,000 trials).

Results show that cardiomyopathic-centric diseasome has significantly higher disease links

(z-score = 6.652, p-value = 1.44e-11) than random expectation. Also, most of the intra-

disease category links are significantly high compared to the one in the random networks

(*Figure 2D-E*).

Figure 2. Diseasome properties: Overview of the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome network. A) Circos plot showing the inter disease category association of diseases in the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome network. The names of the 12 disease classes are shown on the right and coloured accordingly. B) Distribution of the degree k (number of diseases sharing common genes) in cardiomyopathy diseasome. The green dots represent the logarithmically binned data. C) Distribution of the gene size g (number of genes implicated in a particular disease) in the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome. D) Number of disease links in the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome versus random expectation. E) Intra-disease category links distributions for real data and random trials. Green bars represent real data while gray bars show average intra-disease category links in the random trials. Error bars denote standard deviation.

Functional diversity of cardiomyopathy genes can be critical in the risk of cardiotoxicity and phenotypic modulation of cardiomyopathies. We conducted a suite of analyses to statistically quantify biological and functional diversity in the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome network using the molecular pathways homogeneity (PH) and gene ontology homogeneity (GH) [29] distributions (*Figure 3*). We also performed randomization of genes in each pathway and GO terms to evaluate the significance of real distribution v/s random simulations using normal distribution test statistics (z-score and P-values, see

Methods). We observed that disease-associated PH are significantly higher at perfect

homogeneity value in comparison to the random control (fold change = 19.7, z-score = 23.31,

p-value =1.75e-120). Similar trend was observed in gene ontology homogeneity (GH)

analysis in all three branches (molecular function fold change = 7.9, z-score = 9.3, p-value

=7.02e-21; cellular components fold change = 2.5, z-score = 5.6, p-value =1.07e-08; and

biological processes fold change = 12.5, z-score = 13.7, p-value =5.07e-43; respectively).

Disease degree vs PH or GH distribution showed that higher PH or GH values showed

decline in the disease degree. Likewise, distribution of common shared genes between two

diseases and their average PH followed the similar trend. These results point that diseases

with higher number similar functional genes tend to have few disease association. On the

whole, pathway and gene ontology homogeneity analysis demonstrated that disease genes

tend to be connected functionally and this property can be explored to predict new genes.

Figure 3. Diseasome properties: A) A distribution of the molecular pathways homogeneity
(PH) of individual diseases in cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome. Purple bars represent the
actual diseasome, and the green bars show the random control calculated for each disease by
randomly choosing the same number of genes. The PH is formulated as the maximum
possible fraction of genes associated with the same molecular pathway in individual disease.

B) A lineplot of disease degree versus PH value distribution in the diseasome. C) The distribution of number of common genes between two disease phenotype and their average PH value in the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome. D) The GO homogeneity measure of cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome genes for all GO categories - cellular component (CC)(Middle), biological process (BP) (Bottom), and molecular function (MF) (Top). The GH for each disease term was calculated like the PH analysis. Red bars represent the actual diseasome histogram, and the green bars show the random control. E) A lineplot of disease degree and GH value distribution for each GO category in the diseasome.

Identification of candidate genes in cardiomyopathies and disease modules

Further extending the clustering of genes visible in the PH and GH analysis of cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome, we predicted new candidate (potential modifier) genes in cardiomyopathies. For this, we utilized the DIseAse MOdule Detection (DIAMOnD) algorithm on network topology created by the human interactome (see Methods) [32]. The DIAMOnD algorithm is a popular method used in several studies for disease-gene prediction [33–35]. It explores the topological neighbourhood of the seed genes in the human interactome and identifies newer genes based on significant connectivity to the seed genes (see Methods) [32]. An exhaustive number of DIAMOnD genes (1000 candidate genes) were predicted for each cardiomyopathy. Since the DIAMOnD algorithm continues to identify and associate newer genes to the initial set of seed genes, it is necessary to set a limit for the expansion of such association in the entire gene dataset. For this, we quantified the biological relevance of the newly predicted gene using the molecular pathway data (see Methods). We tabulated all the molecular pathways enriched in pathway enrichment of seed genes (adjusted p-value = 0.05) for individual cardiomyopathies. Subsequently, DIAMOnD genes showing enrichment with same pathways were considered true hits for candidate genes. We profiled approximately the first 601, 508, and 31 DIAMOnD genes that show a clear and significant biological association with HCM, DCM, and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ACM), respectively (*Figure 4A-F*). In minor forms like idiopathic

cardiomyopathy (IdCM), hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy (HoCM), restrictive

cardiomyopathy (RCM) and HcCM, we found 20, 10, 7, and 1 DIAMOnD genes,

respectively, while in amyloid cardiomyopathy (AmCM), mitochondrial cardiomyopathy

(MtCM), diabetic cardiomyopathy (DbCM) boundary was not possible due to a limited

number of seed genes. To further filter the candidate genes associated with cardiomyopathies,

we verified if their ortholog genes in the mouse knockout dataset showed abnormal heart

phenotype (see Methods). Only mapped candidates were used for further analysis. This result

led to the identification of 53, 45 and 2 mapped candidate genes in HCM, DCM, and ACM,

respectively (*Figure 4G-I,* and *also see Table S3*). IdCM, HoCM, RCM, and HcCM

DIAMOnD genes did not show any overlapping genes with mouse phenotype data (*see*

Figure S2). Further, to compare our constructed human interactome, we considered two

independent datasets (HuRI and BioPlex3) [36,37]. We looked for the interaction of human

ribosomal proteins. Both of these datasets failed to account for interactions in the ribosomal

complex. However, these interactions were present in our human interactome and the

STRING database [38] (*see Figure S3*). We, therefore, continued with our interactome only.

Figure 4. Candidate genes: Graph illustration of the biologically relevant association of the predicted DIAMOnD genes and filtering based on abnormal heart phenotype in the mouse. (A,B,C) Panels correspond to true molecular pathways hits of DIAMOnD genes of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (A), dilated cardiomyopathy (B), and arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (C), respectively (red lines, seed genes; green lines, DIAMOnD genes). (D,E,F) Panels show the distribution of p-value for DIAMOnD genes where a sliding window of DIAMOnD genes size equal to seed genes was used for Fisher's test for each iteration. The cut-off of p-value = 0.05 (green line) was chosen for selecting DIAMOnD genes in each cardiomyopathy. Red arrow marks the boundary of reliable DIAMOnD genes (G,H,I) Panels are Venn diagrams that project the overlap of DIAMOnD genes and mouse genes showing abnormal heart phenotype.

Candidate genes fill the missing links in cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome

Next, we assessed the role of these candidates or potential modifiers in the expansion of the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome. Apart from the original 269 cardiomyopathies associations to other diseases, the inclusion of predicted candidate genes to cardiomyopathies

209 leads to an additional 221 links to other diseases (*see Table S4*). Interestingly, apart from

210 heart-related diseases and cancers, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, lipodystrophy, non-

211 alcoholic fatty liver disease, brain disorders (like Alzheimer's, depressive disorder,

212 schizophrenia, and mental retardation) were part of cardiomyopathy associations due to the

213 common candidate genes.

*Figure 5. Tissue expression of candidate candidates: Overview of the tissue specific RNA
expression of the candidate genes in cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome. A) The protein-
transcript per million (pTPM) expression values of candidate genes across major tissue into*

GTEx dataset of the Human Protein Atlas database. In the heatmap, values are log2 transformed with green color showing abundant transcripts while pink color depicting extremely low expressed transcripts. Red arrows point to important candidates observed in the study. Heart muscle tissue is highlighted with red box. B) A violinplot shows the expression of NOS3 gene in Alzheimer's and dilated cardiomyopathy. Transcript per million (TPM) of NOS3 derived from Brain and heart tissues (PRJEB28518 and PRJNA494688) were used. C) Tissue specific expression of SIRT1 gene in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and Diabetes mellitus. Expression of SIRT1 (TPM) was derived from dermal endothelial and heart tissues (PRJNA352990 and PRJNA358470). D) MMP2 gene expression in rheumatoid arthritis and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy from SRP009315 and PRJNA352990 datasets.

The notable candidates associated with these diseases were *IL6, NOS3, MMP2, SIRT1, CAV1* and *ESR2* (*Table 1, also see Table S4A*). We observed that these candidates contributed to 22.62 %, 11.31%, 9.76%, 5.65%, 5.65% and 5.14% of the new disease association with cardiomyopathies, respectively *(see Table S4B)*. We examined the RNA expression of the modifier genes in the primary tissues to associate whether candidates are indeed expressed in the heart and other tissues. We explored the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) dataset for finding gene expression of candidates for this analysis [39]. Except for *TBL1Y, PAX5* and *ESR2*, most candidates showed reliable and abundant expression in the HPA tissues. The RNA expression of important candidates like *IL6, NOS3, MMP2, SIRT1* and *CAV1* was observed in the heart muscle tissue *(see Table S4C)*.

We extended our study of candidate genes identified in cardiomyopathy to establish a connection with non-cancer and non-heart related diseases. Our analysis predicts that IL6 is a potential gene relevant to the association of bipolar disorder, depressive disorder and schizophrenia with DCM. Similarly, *NOS3* connects Alzheimer's disease and Diabetes mellitus with HCM and DCM. On the other hand, *MMP2* is implicated in the association of rheumatoid arthritis to HCM and DCM. *SIRT1* is found to connect diabetes mellitus and non-alcoholic liver disease to HCM and DCM. Lastly, *CAV1* is involved in relating lipodystrophy to HCM and DCM.

To further support identified candidate genes, we analysed disease tissue-specific

RNA-seq datasets from the ENA repository (see Methods). However, we could not find

disease tissue-specific data for many diseases. So we considered only diseases whose data

was available as the case studies. *IL6, NOS3, MMP2* and *SIRT1* were implicated in these

diseases. The results showed that most candidate genes are expressed in the tissues of

interest. We could not find sufficient expression of *IL6* in our datasets, so we ignored it. Our

results revealed that *NOS3* is expressed in both Alzheimer's and DCM. Similarly, *SIRT1* was

expressed in HCM, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and Diabetes mellitus. Further, *MMP2*

expression was observed in HCM and rheumatoid arthritis (*see Figure 5B-D*).

NOS3 (Nitric Oxide Synthase 3) produces the gasotransmitter nitric oxide (NO) that

is implicated in vascular smooth muscle relaxation through a cGMP-mediated signal

transduction pathway [40]. Polymorphisms in the *NOS3* gene have been implicated in dilated

cardiomyopathy [41]. SIRT1 (Sirtuin 1), a crucial multi-functional protein mainly expressed in

the endocrine tissues, is involved in controlling DNA repair, tissue regeneration, cell survival,

inflammation, signalling, and circadian [42]. Its deficiency leads to progressive dilated

cardiomyopathy in adult mice [43]. Also, PPARα-Sirt1 complex attributes to cardiac

hypertrophy and failure by suppressing the ERR transcriptional pathway [44]. MMP2 (Matrix

Metalloproteinase 2) protein is known to degrade collagen, elastin, fibronectin, gelatin, and

laminin and has both proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory roles in numerous tissues [45]. Its

expression is altered in skeletal muscle during heart failure and diabetic cardiomyopathy in

rats [46,47].

We observed that *NOS3, SIRT1* and *MMP2* are prominent candidate genes. Further,

these genes can be subjected to experimental validation. Similarly, this approach paves the

way for candidate genes in a larger tissue-specific disease association studies.

Table 1: *A representative disease-disease association of predicted candidate genes that are*
screened based on mouse phenotype genes

S. No.	Disease A	Disease B	Candidate genes
	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Alzheimer's disease	*NOS3*
1	Alzheimer's disease	Dilated cardiomyopathy	*NOS3*
2	Dilated cardiomyopathy	Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease	*SIRT1*
3	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease	*SIRT1*
4	Dilated cardiomyopathy	Rheumatoid arthritis	*IL6, MMP2, IL2RA*
5	Dilated cardiomyopathy	Diabetes mellitus	*NOS3, IL6, SIRT1, IL2RA*
6	Dilated cardiomyopathy	Lipodystrophy	*CAV1*
7	Dilated cardiomyopathy	Schizophrenia	*IL6, ESR2*
8	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Depressive disorder	*IL6, ESR2*
9	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Diabetes mellitus	*NOS3, IL6, SIRT1,IL2RA*
10	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Schizophrenia	*IL6, ESR2*
11	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Parkinson disease	*IL6*
12	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	rheumatoid arthritis	*IL6, MMP2, IL2RA*
13	Dilated cardiomyopathy	Parkinson disease	*IL6*
14	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Lipodystrophy	*CAV1*
15	Dilated cardiomyopathy	Unipolar depression	*IL6*
16	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Mental depression	*IL6*
17	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Intellectual disability	*RAC1*
18	Dilated cardiomyopathy	Bipolar disorder	*IL6*
19	Dilated cardiomyopathy	Intellectual disability	*RAC1*
20	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Bipolar disorder	*IL6*

Discussion

Cardiomyopathies are important age-related diseases. Genetic, environmental and dietary

factors contribute to disease severity; however, much remains to be discovered. Here, we

applied an integrative systems biology approach to analyse human genetic, molecular

interactome and transcriptome data to elucidate the molecular players involved in

cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome. In cardiomyopathy research, no such attempts have been

made previously involving genetic connectivity, protein interactions and tissue expression.

Our cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome connected to many pathophysiological diseases from

neurological, musculoskeletal, metabolic and neoplasms. These associations are constructed

using datasets of already reported genetic studies and hence could play a significant role in

deciphering drug-toxicity, off-target binding and/or novel targets in such diseases.

Our analysis revealed that the molecular players in a specific disease are generally

clustered (i.e. form disease modules) supported by means of PH and GH assessment. Such

genes carry out similar functions or are part of similar cellular processes. Notably, based on

PH and GH assessment, disease genes were far more homogenised than the random control,

suggesting a bona fide clustering of such genes involved in a disease. We expanded

cardiomyopathy involved (seed) genes using DIAMOnD and assessed for biological

relevance using the molecular pathways dataset (MSIgDB). Additionally, the mouse

phenotype dataset helped to screen candidate (potential modifier) genes using IPMC

phenotype data for heart-related abnormalities. Our analysis identified a set of candidate

genes across different cardiomyopathies (HCM, DCM and ACM). We looked at the

prominent diseases associated with these candidates. Our structured enquiries highlighted the

notable candidates involved in linking cardiomyopathies to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease,

diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, as well as brain disorders like Alzheimer's, bipolar

disorder, mental retardation, schizophrenia, and depressive disorders.

Tissue-specific transcriptome datasets could be of vital use in discovering candidate

genes. We used HPA and ENA RNA-seq) data to associate candidate genes from

cardiomyopathy to other diseases. Interestingly, we found that the majority of the genes were

abundant in major tissues, including heart muscle. We showed that *NOS3, CAV1* and *SIRT1*

are expressed in the cardiomyopathies as well as other non-cancer and non-heart related

diseases. Such clues arising from diseasome network analysis can benefit in drug repurposing

studies and assist in finding possible off-targets and hidden genetic overlaps between

cardiomyopathies and other co-morbidities.

In summary, unlike previous studies that provide a limited description of

cardiomyopathies from the purview of the diseasome network, the current study focuses on

the key molecular entities involved in these heart diseases. Our integrative network-driven

approach predicts and highlights the prominent candidate (potential modifier) genes that

associate cardiomyopathies with Alzheimer's disease, rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes and non-

alcoholic fatty liver disease. Our approach demonstrates the power of network study to find

such novel candidate genes. Furthermore, this study points to the molecular candidates that

can be a target for developing effective therapies against cardiomyopathies, apart from

providing us with the molecular markers for such genetic disorders. Additionally, similar

work can be performed for other disease-centric networks as well.

Limitations of the study

This study strongly relies on disease genes association data as well as human interactome

data. The primary limitation of this study is the incompleteness of initial data. Further, each

dataset may contain noise, which may remain in the outcome even after processing. Methods

employed for this very challenging problem of automated full-text analysis in large-scale data

are limited in accuracy. Further, this study is predictive in nature, and experimental validation

(such as cell assay, RNAseq) is beyond the scope of the current study. Nevertheless,

cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome and candidate genes prediction would facilitate a

comprehensive understanding of cardiomyopathies.

Methods

Statement on Data

328   Authors from this study reporting experiments on human data, human genome data and/or the

329   use of human tissue samples confirm that all experiments were performed in accordance with

330   the relevant guidelines and regulations.

1 Data sources and processing

Publicly available gene and disease association data were downloaded from OMIM(v2018),

ClinVar(2020), HumSaVar(2020) and DisGeNet(2020) datasets (Amberger et al. 2015;

Landrum et al. 2018; Piñero et al. 2020). From the OMIM dataset, only '(3)' marked diseases

were selected for curated data. Similarly, in DisGeNet, diseases with a score above 0.4 were

considered. HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee (HGNC) gene symbols were assigned to

each gene for a consistent and accurate name [51]. Synonymic or alternative gene names were

reduced to the HGNC gene primary symbol, as reported in HGNC (June 2020 release). All

disease-gene datasets were merged and manually curated for a comprehensive non-redundant

disease-gene data. Similar diseases, disease sub-types or the same disease with different

names were merged (e.g. d-2 hydroxyglutaric aciduria and l-2 hydroxyglutaric aciduria were

merged and named hydroxyglutaric aciduria) using fuzzy-wuzzy module in python. MeSH

2020 (2020), GARD (2020), and Literature data were integrated to categorise diseases. The

category names were assigned according to significant organ systems based on MeSH 2020,

and similar types were merged, thus restricting the number of the category to 12. A different

'multiple' group was created to cater to diseases belonging to multiple categories. The

dominant type was assigned if a disorder was part of many categories. In the case of multiple

dominant categories, it was assigned to the 'multiple' category. For human interactome

construction, PPI data (HPRD, MINT, and IntAct) were integrated with other interactions

(e.g. protein complex and kinase substrate) from CORUM and Phosphositeplus as well as

transcription factors from the TRRUST database [52–57]. For checking biasness analysis of

interactome HuRI, BioPlex3 and STRING datasets were used [36–38]. Custom python and R

scripts were used for the analysis (available at

http://caps.ncbs.res.in/download/cardiomyo_diseasome).

2 Diseasome construction and network analysis

A bipartite network was constructed from the previous disease-gene data with diseases as one

category of nodes and genes as another type. A disease-gene pair was linked if the gene was

part of that disease. The diseasome was constructed by projecting the bipartite network on

disease nodes. Further cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome network was obtained by retaining

only those diseases in which at least one cardiomyopathy gene was common. Network

analyses like degree distribution of diseases and distribution of genes in disease were carried

out in the networkx module of python. Random networks (diseasome) were generated by

shuffling the genes in the original diseasome. For each disease, an equivalent number of

randomly shuffled genes were assigned and diseasome was constructed based on the common

shared genes between two diseases. Statistical significance of cardiomyopathy-centric

diseasome was calculated from 10000 trial of random networks. Normal distribution was

used for calculating z-score and p-values. Network visualization was made using Gephi.

3 Molecular pathways and gene ontology homogeneity (PH and GH) of

cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome

In accordance with a previous study [29], we calculated each disease's PH and GH homogeneity

in the cardiomyopathy-centric diseasome. For PH, we used the MSigDB dataset [58]. Each

disease's pathways homogeneity (PH) was measured as the maximum fraction of genes in the

same disease with the same pathways. It is defined as :

$$PHi = \max j \left(\frac{nji}{ni} \right)$$

374   (1)

, wherein ni denotes the number of genes in the disorder i that are associated with any

pathway, and nji the number of genes with a specific pathway j. We also created the random

control PH, where the same number of genes were picked randomly from the   MSigDB

dataset, and pathway homogeneity was measured for them. We iterated this 10000 times and

used all diseases k with perfect pathway homogeneity (where PH =1) for the statistical

significance. The normal distribution test statistics was performed to calculate z-score:

$$z - score \ = \ \frac{\sum_i^k PH_i{}^{ori} - \ \mu \sum_i^k PH_i{}^{\text{rand}}}{\sigma \sum_i^k PH_i{}^{\text{rand}}}$$

381   (2)

Similarly, gene ontology homogeneity analysis was applied to diseasome using the above

approach. GO for disease genes were obtained from the UniProt database. This analysis

mapped 4923 out of 4995 disease genes through UniProt primary gene retrieval. GH was

calculated separately for biological process (BP), molecular function (MF), and cellular

component (CC).

4 Candidate gene prediction and filtering

The DIAMOnD algorithm was used on previous human interactome data to predict new

candidate genes. The DIAMOnD algorithm uses hypergeometric distribution for new genes

prediction [32]. It is defined by Ghiassian *et. al* as:

$$p\left(k, k_s, k_{s_0}\right) = \frac{\binom{s_0}{k_s}\binom{N-s_0}{k-k_s}}{\binom{N}{k}}$$

(3)

392 where N is network of proteins containing a small number (s_0) of seed proteins associated

393 with a particular disease and $p\left(k, k_s, k_{s_0}\right)$ is probability that a protein with a total of k links

394 has exactly k_s links to seed proteins. To assess whether a particular protein has more

395 connections to seed proteins than expected under null hypothesis, cumulative probability

396 $p - value\left(k, k_s\right)$ is calculated as:

$$p - value\left(k, k_s\right) = \sum_{k_i=k_s}^{k} p\left(k, k_i\right)$$

(4)

The DIAMOnD algorithm iteratively ranks all the proteins in the network. Therefore, in order

to set a limit on the number of potential DIAMOnD genes, a strategy is required to define the

boundary for accurate predictions. We used the MSIgDB pathways for independent

biologically relevant associations [58]. We retrieved the biological pathways significantly

enriched with seed genes (Fisher's exact test and FDR corrected using BH). Next, we

assessed if these pathways were also enriched in a statistically significant manner with the

DIAMOnD genes (p-value <0.05).

Next, mouse genes affecting the heart were used as screening for candidate genes. For this,

the mouse cardiovascular abnormality phenotype dataset was downloaded from the

International Mouse Phenotypic Consortium (IMPC), and non-heart specific phenotypes

genes were dropped from further analysis [59]. To compare with candidate genes, mouse genes

were first mapped to human orthologs from the HGNC dataset [51]. Overlapping genes between

the candidates and the mouse orthologs were considered genuine potential candidates.

5 Tissue specific expression of candidate genes

Tissue-specific RNA expression data was downloaded from Human Protein Atlas

(https://www.proteinatlas.org/) [39]. From this, only the GTEx dataset was used to ascertain

candidate gene expression. We considered protein-transcript per million (pTPM) value

greater than two as a cut-off for the presence of a gene in the tissue of interest. We also

looked at the expression of candidates in the disease tissues. For this disease-specific RNA-

seq datasets were downloaded from the ENA browser

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/home). FASTQ format RNA-seq data of study Project

IDs Alzheimer's (PRJEB28518), DCM (PRJNA494688), HCM (PRJNA352990), non-

alcoholic fatty liver disease (PRJNA558102), diabetes mellitus (PRJNA358470) and

rheumatoid arthritis (SRP009315) were downloaded. The raw sequences were first subjected

to quality check using FastQC tool kit version 0.11.8. The pre-processed reads were aligned

against human reference transcripts version 38 Ensembl release 96 using fast aligner Salmon

version 2.7.3a [60]. Downstream analysis was carried out in the R 3.4 package.

6. Visualization of network and transcriptomic data

Gephi tool was used for network visualization. The circos plot was constructed using Circos

software. The Heatmap and Violin plot were generated using python packages matplotlib and

seaborn.

Quantification and statistical analysis

The statistics used in the study is described in the individual method sections above.

References

1. Antunes, M. de O. & Scudeler, T. L. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *IJC Heart and*

Vasculature vol. 27 100503 (2020).

2.   Hershberger, R. E., Hedges, D. J. & Morales, A. Dilated cardiomyopathy: The complexity

of a diverse genetic architecture. *Nature Reviews Cardiology* vol. 10 531–547 (2013).

3.   Corrado, D., Link, M. S. & Calkins, H. Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy.

New England Journal of Medicine **376**, 61–72 (2017).

4.   Muchtar, E., Blauwet, L. A. & Gertz, M. A. Restrictive cardiomyopathy: Genetics,

pathogenesis, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and therapy. *Circulation Research* **121**,

819–837 (2017).

5.   Nakamura, M. & Sadoshima, J. Cardiomyopathy in obesity, insulin resistance and

diabetes. *The Journal of Physiology* **598**, 2977–2993 (2020).

6.   Yilmaz, S. *et al.* The expanding phenotypic spectrum of ARFGEF2 gene mutation:

Cardiomyopathy and movement disorder. *Brain and Development* **38**, 124–127 (2016).

7.   Csanády, M. *et al.* Hearing disturbances in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Is the

sensorineural disorder neurogenic or myogenic? *International Journal of Cardiology*

116, 53–56 (2007).

8.   Gupta, A., Mandala, A. & Wee, Y. Drug-Induced Cardiomyopathy: An Institutional

Experience. *Heart, Lung and Circulation* **26**, S132–S133 (2017).

9.   Albakri, A. Drugs-related cardiomyopathy: A systematic review and pooled analysis of

pathophysiology, diagnosis and clinical management. *Review Article Internal Medicine

and Care* **3**, 1–19 (2019).

10.   Maron, B. J., Maron, M. S., Maron, B. A. & Loscalzo, J. Moving Beyond the Sarcomere to

Explain Heterogeneity in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: JACC Review Topic of the

Week. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* vol. 73 1978–1986 (2019).

11.   Dellefave, L. & McNally, E. M. The genetics of dilated cardiomyopathy. *Current Opinion*

457   *in Cardiology* **25**, 198 (2010).

458   12.   McNally, E. M. & Mestroni, L. Dilated Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation Research* **121**, 731–

459   748 (2017).

460   13.   Chauhan, P. K. & Sowdhamini, R. LIM domain-wide comprehensive virtual mutagenesis

461   provides structural rationale for cardiomyopathy mutations in CSRP3. *Scientific Reports*

462   *2022 12:1* **12**, 1–11 (2022).

463   14.   Chen, Y. *et al.* Identifying modifier genes for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Journal of*

464   *Molecular and Cellular Cardiology* **144**, 119–126 (2020).

465   15.   Ding, Y. *et al.* A modifier screen identifies DNAJB6 as a cardiomyopathy susceptibility

466   gene. *JCI Insight* **1**, 88797 (2016).

467   16.   Hamilton, B. A. & Yu, B. D. Modifier Genes and the Plasticity of Genetic Networks in

468   Mice. *PLoS Genetics* **8**, e1002644 (2012).

469   17.   Wells, Q. S. *et al.* Genome-wide association and pathway analysis of left ventricular

470   function after anthracycline exposure in adults. *Pharmacogenetics and Genomics* **27**,

471   247–254 (2017).

472   18.   Wang, X. *et al.* Hyaluronan Synthase 3 Variant and Anthracycline-Related

473   Cardiomyopathy: A Report From the Children's Oncology Group. *Journal of Clinical*

474   *Oncology* **32**, 647 (2014).

475   19.   Garcia-Pavia, P. *et al.* Genetic Variants Associated With Cancer Therapy-Induced

476   Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* **140**, 31–41 (2019).

477   20.   Smeriglio, P., Langard, P., Querin, G. & Biferi, M. G. The Identification of Novel

478   Biomarkers Is Required to Improve Adult SMA Patient Stratification, Diagnosis and

479   Treatment. *Journal of Personalized Medicine* **10**, 1–23 (2020).

480   21.   Lebrun, A. H. *et al.* Analysis of Potential Biomarkers and Modifier Genes Affecting the

481   Clinical Course of CLN3 Disease. *Molecular Medicine* **17**, 1253 (2011).

482   22.   Arbitrio, M. *et al.* Pharmacogenomics Biomarker Discovery and Validation for

483   Translation in Clinical Practice. *Clinical and Translational Science* **14**, 113–119 (2021).

484   23.   To, T. L. *et al.* A Compendium of Genetic Modifiers of Mitochondrial Dysfunction Reveals

485   Intra-organelle Buffering. *Cell* **179**, 1222-1238.e17 (2019).

486   24.   Barabási, A. L. & Oltvai, Z. N. Network biology: Understanding the cell's functional

487   organization. *Nature Reviews Genetics* vol. 5 101–113 (2004).

488   25.   Rzhetsky, A., Wajngurt, D., Park, N. & Zheng, T. Probing genetic overlap among complex

489   human phenotypes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 11694–11699 (2007).

490   26.   Zanzoni, A., Soler-López, M. & Aloy, P. A network medicine approach to human disease.

491   *FEBS Letters* vol. 583 1759–1765 (2009).

492   27.   Barabási, A. L., Gulbahce, N. & Loscalzo, J. Network medicine: A network-based

493   approach to human disease. *Nature Reviews Genetics* vol. 12 56–68 (2011).

494   28.   Feldman, I., Rzhetsky, A. & Vitkup, D. Network properties of genes harboring inherited

495   disease mutations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 4323–4328 (2008).

496   29.   Goh, K. il *et al.* The human disease network. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 8685–8690

497   (2007).

498   30.   Dudley, J. T. *et al.* Computational repositioning of the anticonvulsant topiramate for

499   inflammatory bowel disease. *Science Translational Medicine* **3**, 96ra76-96ra76 (2011).

500   31.   Halu, A., de Domenico, M., Arenas, A. & Sharma, A. The multiplex network of human
501   diseases. *npj Systems Biology and Applications* **5**, 1–12 (2019).

502   32.   Ghiassian, S. D., Menche, J. & Barabási, A.-L. A DIseAse MOdule Detection (DIAMOnD)
503   Algorithm Derived from a Systematic Analysis of Connectivity Patterns of Disease
504   Proteins in the Human Interactome. *PLOS Computational Biology* **11**, e1004120 (2015).

505   33.   Sharma, A. *et al.* A disease module in the interactome explains disease heterogeneity,
506   drug response and captures novel pathways and genes in asthma. *Human Molecular*
507   *Genetics* **24**, 3005–3020 (2014).

508   34.   Ghiassian, S. D. *et al.* Endophenotype Network Models: Common Core of Complex
509   Diseases. *Scientific Reports 2016 6:1* **6**, 1–13 (2016).

510   35.   Bis-Brewer, D. M., Danzi, M. C., Wuchty, S. & Züchner, S. A network biology approach to
511   unraveling inherited axonopathies. *Scientific Reports 2019 9:1* **9**, 1–12 (2019).

512   36.   Luck, K. *et al.* A reference map of the human binary protein interactome. *Nature 2020*
513   *580:7803* **580**, 402–408 (2020).

514   37.   Huttlin, E. L. *et al.* The BioPlex Network: A Systematic Exploration of the Human
515   Interactome. *Cell* **162**, 425–440 (2015).

516   38.   Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased
517   coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets.
518   *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D607–D613 (2019).

519   39.   Uhlén, M. *et al.* Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science (1979)* **347**, (2015).

520   40.   Jani, M. S., Zou, J., Veetil, A. T. & Krishnan, Y. A DNA-based fluorescent probe maps
521   NOS3 activity with subcellular spatial resolution. *Nature Chemical Biology 2020 16:6* **16**,
522   660–666 (2020).

523   41.   Matsa, L. S. *et al.* Haplotypes of NOS3 Gene Polymorphisms in Dilated Cardiomyopathy.

524   *PLOS ONE* **8**, e70523 (2013).

525   42.   Bellet, M. M. *et al.* Histone deacetylase SIRT1 controls proliferation, circadian rhythm,

526   and lipid metabolism during liver regeneration in Mice. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*

527   **291**, 23318–23329 (2016).

528   43.   Planavila, A. *et al.* Dilated cardiomyopathy and mitochondrial dysfunction in Sirt1-

529   deficient mice: A role for Sirt1-Mef2 in adult heart. *Journal of Molecular and Cellular*

530   *Cardiology* **53**, 521–531 (2012).

531   44.   Oka, S. *et al.* PPARα-Sirt1 complex mediates cardiac hypertrophy and failure through

532   suppression of the ERR transcriptional pathway. *Cell Metab* **14**, 598–611 (2011).

533   45.   Cancemi, P. *et al.* The Role of Matrix Metalloproteinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) in Ageing

534   and Longevity: Focus on Sicilian Long-Living Individuals (LLIs). *Mediators of Inflammation*

535   **2020**, (2020).

536   46.   Carvalho, R. F. *et al.* Heart failure alters matrix metalloproteinase gene expression and

537   activity in rat skeletal muscle. *International Journal of Experimental Pathology* **87**, 437

538   (2006).

539   47.   van Linthout, S. *et al.* Reduced MMP-2 activity contributes to cardiac fibrosis in

540   experimental diabetic cardiomyopathy. *Basic Res Cardiol* **103**, 319–327 (2008).

541   48.   JS, A., CA, B., F, S., AF, S. & A, H. OMIM.org: Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man

542   (OMIM®), an online catalog of human genes and genetic disorders. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**,

543   D789–D798 (2015).

544   49.   MJ, L. *et al.* ClinVar: improvements to accessing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, D835–D844

545   (2020).

546   50.   Piñero, J. *et al.* The DisGeNET knowledge platform for disease genomics: 2019 update.

547   *Nucleic Acids Research* **48**, D845–D855 (2020).

548   51.   Bruford, E. *et al.* The HGNC Database in 2008: a resource for the human genome.

549   *Nucleic Acids Research* **36**, D445–D448 (2008).

550   52.   Keshava Prasad, T. S. *et al.* Human Protein Reference Database - 2009 update. *Nucleic*

551   *Acids Research* **37**, (2009).

552   53.   Licata, L. *et al.* MINT, the molecular interaction database: 2012 Update. *Nucleic Acids*

553   *Research* **40**, (2012).

554   54.   Orchard, S. *et al.* The MIntAct project - IntAct as a common curation platform for 11

555   molecular interaction databases. *Nucleic Acids Research* **42**, (2014).

556   55.   Hornbeck, P. v., Chabra, I., Kornhauser, J. M., Skrzypek, E. & Zhang, B. PhosphoSite: A

557   bioinformatics resource dedicated to physiological protein phosphorylation. *Proteomics*

558   **4**, 1551–1561 (2004).

559   56.   Giurgiu, M. *et al.* CORUM: The comprehensive resource of mammalian protein

560   complexes - 2019. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**, D559–D563 (2019).

561   57.   Han, H. *et al.* TRRUST: a reference database of human transcriptional regulatory

562   interactions. *Scientific Reports 2015 5:1* **5**, 1–11 (2015).

563   58.   Liberzon, A. *et al.* Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1739–

564   1740 (2011).

565   59.   Dickinson, M. E. *et al.* High-throughput discovery of novel developmental phenotypes.

566   *Nature 2016 537:7621* **537**, 508–514 (2016).

60.   Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon: fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression using dual-phase inference. *Nat Methods* **14**, 417 (2017).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank P. Murugavel, Adwait Joshi, Nitish Malhotra and Neha Kalmankar for technical help and discussions. PKC would like to thank NCBS-TIFR (Department of Atomic Energy, India) for the fellowship. The authors would like to thank NCBS (TIFR) for infrastructural facilities.

Author Contributions statement

RS and PKC conceptualized the study. PKC collected data, performed data analysis and visualization. PKC wrote the manuscript and RS wrote the final draft of manuscript.

Sources of Funding

RS acknowledges funding and support provided by the JC Bose Fellowship (SB/S2/JC-071/2015) from the Science and Engineering Research Board, India and Bioinformatics Centre Grant funded by the Department of Biotechnology, India (BT/PR40187/BTIS/137/9/2021).

Disclosures

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and code availability

This paper presents an analysis of existing, publicly available data. All codes are available at the following link http://caps.ncbs.res.in/download/cardiomyo_diseasome.

Any additional information required to re-analyse the data can be acquired from the

authors.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Materials and Figures. Further details regarding disease-genes,

diseasome properties, modifier genes in each cardiomyopathy.

Figure S1: **Cardiomyopathy diseasome connectivity distribution, related to Figure**

2.

This figure shows connectivity distribution of cardiomyopathy diseasome. Majority of

diseases are connected to a few other diseases only. DCM and HCM show high

connectivity with k-value of 96 and 63 respectively.

Figure S2: Boundary estimation of the predicted DIAMOnD genes, related to

figure 4.

Graph illustration of the biological validation of the predicted DIAMOnD genes.

(A,B,C,D) Panels correspond to true molecular pathways hits and corresponding p-

values of DIAMOnD genes of idiopathic cardiomyopathy (A), hypertrophic obstructive

cardiomyopathy (B), restrictive cardiomyopathy (C), and histocoid cardiomyopathy (D),

respectively. These pathways were firstly enriched (adjusted p-value = 0.05) using seed

genes of individual cardiomyopathy. In the pathways hits plot, red lines depict seed

genes and green lines refer to the DIAMOnD genes. In the p-value plot, red line

highlights p-value of seed genes and green line marks the p-value = 0.05. E. Venn

diagrams showing overlap between predicted DIAMOnD genes and genes showing

abnormal heart phenotype in mouse for the above diseases.

Figure S3: Interaction coverage of the human ribosomal complex proteins in reference datasets, related to Figure 4.

Network visualization of the ribosomal complex interactions in HuRI (A), Bioplex3 (B), our human interactome (C), and STRING (D) datasets. The isolated proteins in each dataset are labelled to distinguish them in the network.

Table S1: Disease-gene genetic data, related to Figure 1-2.

A. List of the all diseases considered in this study. **B.** Various cardiomyopathies and genes implicated in them. **C.** A bipartite network data for the diseases with at least one cardiomyopathy gene. **D.** The disease projection data of the bipartite network.

Table S2: Network properties of the cardiomyopathy diseasome, related to Figure 2.

List of the Degree Centrality (DC), Betweenness Centrality (BC), Closeness Centrality (CC) and Clustering for each disease in the cardiomyopathy diseasome. These network statistics show the connectivity of the diseasome.

Table S3: List of modifier genes in HCM,DCM and ACM, related to Figure 4.

A List of the filtered DIAMOnD genes in the hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, dilated cardiomyopathy and arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy.

Table S4: Modifier genes in disease-disease association and their HPA data, related to Table 1 and Figure 5.

A. List of new cardiomyopathy and other diseases association due to the modifier genes. **B.** Major modifier genes in terms of frequency in the disease-disease association. **C.** The RNA expression (pTPM) of the modifier genes in the HPA dataset.