1

A ciliopathy complex builds distal appendages to initiate ciliogenesis

Dhivya Kumar[1], Addison Rains[2], Vicente Herranz-Pérez[3,4] , Quanlong Lu[11], Xiaoyu Shi[5,6], Danielle L. Swaney[8,9,10], Erica Stevenson[8,9,10], Nevan J. Krogan[8,9,10] , Bo Huang[5,7], Christopher Westlake[11], Jose Manuel Garcia-Verdugo[3], Bradley Yoder[2] and Jeremy F. Reiter[1,7]

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[2]Department of Cell, Developmental, and Integrative Biology, University of Alabama, Birmingham

[3]Laboratory of Comparative Neurobiology, Cavanilles Institute of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Biology, University of Valencia, CIBERNED, 46980 Valencia, Spain

[4]Predepartamental Unit of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universitat Jaume I, 12071 Castelló de la Plana, Spain

[5]Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143 USA

[6]Department of Developmental and Cell Biology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697 USA

[7]Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[8]Department of Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[9]California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, QBI, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[10]J. David Gladstone Institutes, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[11]Center for Cancer Research, NCI Frederick, Laboratory of Cellular and Developmental Signaling, Frederick, MD 21702, USA.

*Correspondence: Jeremy.Reiter@ucsf.edu

Running Title: The distal centriole supports ciliogenesis

ABSTRACT

Cells inherit two centrioles, the older of which is uniquely capable of generating a cilium. We identified that three evolutionarily conserved proteins that underlie human ciliopathies, CEP90, MNR and OFD1, form a complex. This complex localized to both distal centrioles and centriolar satellites, proteinaceous granules surrounding centrioles. Cells and mice lacking CEP90 or MNR did not generate cilia, failed to assemble distal appendages, and did not transduce Hedgehog signals. Disrupting the satellite pools did not affect distal appendage assembly, indicating that it is the centriolar populations of MNR and CEP90 that are critical for ciliogenesis. CEP90 recruited the most proximal known distal appendage component, CEP83, to root distal appendages formation, an early step in ciliogenesis. In addition to distal appendage formation, MNR, but not CEP90, restricted centriolar length by recruiting OFD1. We conclude that a complex of disease-associated proteins, MNR, OFD1 and CEP90, acts at the distal centriole to support ciliogenesis by restraining centriole length and assembling distal appendages.

KEYWORDS: mother centriole, distal appendages, expansion microscopy, centriole length, centriolar satellites

INTRODUCTION

Centrioles are ancient, microtubule-based structures with two main functions: first, they are core components of the centrosome, the primary microtubule organizing center, and, second, they are the foundations for cilia, cellular antennae specialized for signaling. While the main barrel of each centriole is comprised of nine radially arranged microtubule triplets, many proteins apart from tubulin comprise the centrioles (Winey and O'Toole, 2014; Keller et al., 2005, 2009; Jakobsen et al., 2011; Andersen et al., 2003). To date, the parts list of centrioles is incomplete.

Centriole structure can vary between species, but within a particular cell type, centriole length is nearly uniform, as are centriolar number and timing of assembly (Goehring and Hyman, 2012; Kong et al., 2020). Coordination with the cell cycle entrains this uniformity: centrioles duplicate during interphase and, at mitosis, each daughter cell inherits an older, mother centriole and a younger, daughter centriole (Nigg and Holland, 2018; Breslow and Holland, 2019).

The differences in centriolar age dictates different structures and functions. Only the mother centriole can assemble a cilium, and only it possesses subdistal and distal appendages. Through the distal appendages, the mother centriole attaches to pre-ciliary vesicles, an early step in ciliogenesis (Tanos et al., 2013; Sillibourne et al., 2013; Schmidt et al., 2012b). In contrast, sub-distal appendages are dispensable for ciliogenesis (Tanos et al., 2013; Mazo et al., 2016; Chong et al., 2020). Although components of appendages have been identified (Bowler et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2018; Chong et al., 2020), how they are assembled remains enigmatic.

Related to their roles in fundamental cellular processes such as cell division and intercellular communication, centriole dysfunction causes diverse human developmental disorders including ciliopathies and microcephaly (Nigg and Holland, 2018; Reiter and Leroux, 2017). For example, mutations in *CEP90* (*Centrosomal protein of 90 kDa*, also known as *PIBF1*), *MNR* (*MOONRAKER*, also known as *KIAA0753* or *OFIP*) or *OFD1* cause Joubert syndrome (JBTS), a ciliopathy characterized by brainstem and cerebellar malformations (Wheway et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2020; Hebbar et al., 2018; Stephen et al., 2017; Hammarsjö et al., 2017; Coene et al., 2009). Similarly, in many cancers, the number or structure of centrioles is dysregulated (Gönczy, 2015; Marteil et al., 2018).

CEP90 has been identified as a component of centriolar satellites, protein assemblies that orbit the centrosome (Kim et al., 2012; Kodani et al., 2015). Knockdown of *CEP90* alters the localization of centriolar satellites, which has been proposed to compromise ciliogenesis (Kim and Rhee, 2011; Kim et al., 2012).

We have used a combination of label retention expansion microscopy and structured illumination microscopy (LR-ExSIM) (Shi et al., 2019) and found that, in addition to being a

component of centriolar satellites, CEP90 is a component of the distal end of centrioles. Proteomic
analyses revealed that CEP90 is part of an evolutionarily conserved complex that includes MNR
and OFD1. Mouse genetic studies revealed that CEP90 and MNR are key regulators of mother
centriole function, essential for normal vertebrate development, Hedgehog signaling and
ciliogenesis. In investigating how CEP90 and MNR function in ciliogenesis, we found that both
are required for the assembly of distal appendages. Mutant analysis demonstrated that the
components of this distal centriole complex are recruited to the centriole in a hierarchical manner,
culminating in CEP90, which recruits the most proximal distal appendage protein, CEP83, to
initiate distal appendage formation. Thus, our work identifies a complex of evolutionarily ancient
proteins that functions at the distal mother centriole to build distal appendages, an early and
critical step in ciliogenesis.

RESULTS

CEP90 localizes to centriolar satellites and a ring at the distal ends of centrioles

CEP90 has previously been identified as a component of centriolar satellites (Kim and
Rhee, 2011). We confirmed that CEP90 localizes to centriolar satellites by immunostaining human
retinal pigment epithelial (RPE1) cells with antibodies to CEP90 and PCM1, a marker of centriolar
satellites (Fig. 1a). In addition, a centrosomal pool of CEP90 did not co-localize with PCM1 (Fig.
1a). To more precisely identify where centrosomal CEP90 localized, we dispersed centriolar
satellites with nocodazole and found that CEP90 localized with γ-tubulin at centrioles (Fig. 1b).
Three-dimensional structured illumination microscopy (3D-SIM) revealed that CEP90 forms rings
at centrioles (Fig. 1b).

Independent of nocodazole, we disrupted centriolar satellites in RPE1 cells by deleting
PCM1, a scaffold for centriolar satellites, using CRISPR/Cas9 (Supplementary Fig 1). As
described previously, centriolar satellites were not detected in *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells (Odabasi et
al., 2019). Consistent with the loss of satellites, localization of CEP90 to puncta around the
centrosome was absent in *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells (Fig. 1c). As in nocodazole-treated cells, the rings
of CEP90 at centrioles remained in *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells (Fig. 1c), indicating that CEP90 localizes
to centrioles independently of PCM1 and centriolar satellites.

Expansion microscopy involves physically expanding samples embedded in a hydrogel
(Wassie et al., 2019). To precisely map the localization of CEP90 at centrioles, we combined
expansion microscopy together with multi-color label retention and SIM (LR-ExSIM) to minimize
signal loss during expansion and provide ~30 nm lateral resolution (Shi et al., 2019). The distal
appendage component CEP164 imaged by LR-ExSIM was comparable to data previously

obtained using STORM: CEP164 formed a discontinuous ring at the mother centriole (Shi et al.,
2019, 2017). Intriguingly, LR-ExSIM resolved that the ring of CEP90 is comprised of discrete
puncta with a mode of nine and separated by a mean angle of ~ 36 nm (Fig. 1d-g). In agreement
with 3D-SIM microscopy, the CEP90 ring at the mother centriole as observed by LR-ExSIM had
a smaller diameter (228 ± 23 nm) and was proximal to the distal appendages. Thus, CEP90
comprises a nine-fold ring at the distal centriole.

CEP90, OFD1 and MNR form a distal centriole complex

To gain insight into the function of CEP90 at the distal centriole, we proteomically identified
CEP90 interactors. More specifically, we generated RPE1 cell lines stably expressing GFP
(control) or YFP-CEP90. To discriminate proteins interacting with CEP90 at centriolar satellites
and those interacting with CEP90 at centrioles, we also generated *PCM1^{-/-}* RPE1 cell lines stably
expressing GFP or YFP-CEP90 in which centriolar satellites are disrupted (Fig. 2a). We
immunoprecipitated GFP from these cell lines and detected co-immunoprecipitating proteins by
LC/MS/MS. Significance analysis of interactome (SAINTexpress (Teo et al., 2014)) analysis with
Bayesian false discovery rate (BFDR) < 0.05 indicated high confidence interactors (Fig. 2b and
c). Some CEP90 interactors, such as PCM1, CEP131 and BBS4, were identified in wild type cells,
but not in *PCM1^{-/-}* cells, suggesting that these interactions are dependent on centriolar satellites.
Consistent with this conclusion, many of these PCM1-dependent interactors, including CEP131
and BBS4, are components of centriolar satellites (Hori and Toda, 2017).

To identify centriolar interactors of CEP90, we assessed which interactors were detected
in both WT and *PCM1^{-/-}* RPE1 cells, as these interactions are predicted to be independent of
centriolar satellites. Notably, OFD1 and MNR, previously described components of centriolar
satellites and centrosomes that interact with each other (Chevrier et al., 2016), were detected as
CEP90 interactors (Fig. 2b and c). Using co-immunoprecipitation, we confirmed that CEP90
interacts with PCM1, MNR and OFD1 (Fig. 2d). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that CEP90, MNR
and OFD1 are largely co-conserved in metazoans, but absent in ecdysozoa such as *Drosophila*
melanogaster and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Fig. 2e).

To assess whether MNR and OFD1 co-localize with CEP90, we immunostained RPE1
cells. CEP90 co-localized with MNR at centriolar satellites (Fig. 3a), and in cells treated with
nocodazole to disperse centriolar satellites, at centrioles (Fig. 3b). Similarly, MNR and OFD1 co-
localized at centriolar satellites (Fig. 3c), and centrioles in nocodazole treated cells (Fig. 3d). Thus,
like CEP90 and OFD1, MNR is a component of both centriolar satellites and centrioles.

To gain insight into the spatial organization of distal centriole proteins with respect to distal
appendages, we analyzed radially oriented centrioles with 3D-SIM (Fig. 3e). Consistent with
previous observations, distal appendage components CEP164 and CEP83 were organized into
respectively larger and smaller rings at the mother centriole (Yang et al., 2018; Bowler et al.,
2019). Of the distal centriole proteins, MNR was organized into the smallest ring (244 ± 29 nm)
(Fig. 3e and 3f). Talpid3, OFD1 and CEP90 also were organized into rings that, intriguingly, were
of different diameters at the mother and daughter centrioles. At the mother centriole, rings, from
largest to smallest, were comprised of Talpid3 (345 ± 27 nm), OFD1 (317 ± 37 nm) and CEP90
(291 ± 35 nm). At the daughter centriole, these rings were smaller and ordered differently from
largest to smallest as OFD1 (288 ± 21 nm), CEP90 (263 ± 21 nm) and Talpid3 (255 ± 24 nm) (Fig.
3e and 3f), indicating that the distal centriole is reorganized when the daughter centriole matures
into a mother.

Centriole biogenesis is coordinated with the cell cycle. Procentrioles form during S phase
and elongate in G2. To ascertain when distal centriole proteins are recruited to centrioles, we
used EdU incorporation and CENPF staining to distinguish between cells in different stages of
the cell cycle (Viol et al., 2020). CEP90, OFD1 and MNR localized to mother and daughter
centrioles in cells in G1 (EdU negative, CENPF negative) and in S phase (EdU positive, CENPF
negative) (Fig. 3g). In G2 (EdU negative, CENPF positive), both centrosomes (marked by γ-
tubulin) each possessed two puncta of CEP90, OFD1 and MNR (Fig. 3g). Therefore, CEP90,
OFD1 and MNR are recruited to the distal end of elongating procentrioles in G2.

CEP90 and MNR are critical for vertebrate development, ciliogenesis and Hedgehog
signaling

To assess the function of CEP90 and MNR, we generated RPE1 cell lines lacking CEP90
and MNR using CRISPR/Cas9. Immunoblot analyses confirmed loss of protein in the mutant cell
lines (Supplementary Fig 1 a-d). Notably, $CEP90^{-/-}$ and $MNR^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells possess centrioles but
both lacked cilia (Fig. 4a and 4b). As we previously identified a role for OFD1 in cilium assembly
(Singla et al., 2010; Hunkapiller et al., 2011), we conclude that the CEP90, MNR, OFD1 distal
centriole complex is essential for ciliogenesis.

To query the function of CEP90 and MNR in vertebrates, we obtained *Cep90* and *Mnr*
mutant mice from IMPC. The *Cep90* mutant mice (*Pibf1*tm1.1 (KOMP)Vlcg) contain a deletion of 7 exons,
and the *Mnr* mutant mice (*4933427D14Rik*tm1 (KOMP)Vlcg) contain a deletion of 10 exons.

Heterozygous *Cep90* and *Mnr* mice were viable and fertile, with no obvious phenotypes.
Homozygous *Cep90* and *Mnr* mice did not survive beyond embryonic day (E) 9.5. At E9.5, *Cep90*-

[/-] and *Mnr*[/-] embryos displayed pericardial edema (Fig. 4c) and unlooped, midline hearts. One key

role for primary cilia is in transducing extracellular signals including Hedgehog proteins, secreted

morphogens required for vertebrate development. As lack of Smoothened, a central component

of the Hedgehog signal transduction pathway, or lack of cilia also produces unlooped, midline

hearts (Corbit et al., 2005; Huangfu et al., 2003), we examined the presence of cilia in *Cep90*[/-]

and *Mnr*[/-] embryos. γ-tubulin-positive centrioles were detected in both wild type and *Cep90*[/-]

embryonic nodes at E8.5, but cilia were absent from *Cep90*[/-] nodes (Fig. 4d). Consistent with a

defect in ciliogenesis, *Cep90*[/-] embryos also displayed attenuated expression of the Hedgehog

target gene, *Gli1*, indicating defects in Hedgehog signal transduction (Fig. 4e). Similarly, cilia

detected by ARL13B staining were absent in neural tube sections from E9.5 *Mnr*[/-] embryos (Fig.

4f). Thus, CEP90 and MNR are both required for vertebrate development, ciliogenesis, and

Hedgehog signaling.

To identify regions in CEP90 required for cilium assembly, we tested whether CEP90

lacking either the N-terminus, C-terminus or central domain (Kim et al., 2012) could support

ciliogenesis in *CEP90*[/-] RPE1 cells (Fig. 4g). Unlike expression of the full length CEP90 (FL-

CEP90), CEP90 lacking the N terminal ($CEP90^{363-757}$) or the C-terminal ($CEP90^{1-363}$) regions failed

to rescue ciliogenesis defects in *CEP90*[/-] RPE1 cells (Fig. 4g-i). A construct of CEP90 lacking

residues required for interaction with PCM1 ($CEP90^{\Delta271-363}$) localized to the centrosomal region,

and partially rescued ciliogenesis defects in *CEP90*[/-] RPE1 cells (Fig 4g-i). These data support a

role for both N and C-terminal regions of CEP90 in ciliogenesis, further highlighting its function as

a critical centriolar scaffold.

We also examined whether disease-associated variants of CEP90 compromise its ability to

support cilia assembly or centriolar satellite localization. Previously, we identified a rare variant in

CEP90 ($CEP90^{E89Q}$) associated with microcephaly (Kodani et al., 2015). Surprisingly, this variant

of CEP90 displayed normal localization to centrioles and centriolar satellites (Fig. 4i), but failed to

support ciliogenesis in *CEP90*[/-] RPE1 cells (Fig. 4h). We also assessed two mutant forms of

CEP90 ($CEP90^{R405Q}$ and $CEP90^{D637A}$) implicated in Joubert syndrome (Wheway et al., 2015)

(Fig.4g). Interestingly, both Joubert associated variants of CEP90 perturbed centriolar satellite

morphology (Fig. 4i), and showed reduced ability to support ciliogenesis in *CEP90*[/-] RPE1 (Fig.

4h). Thus, our data suggest that distinct human disease-associated mutations in *CEP90*

differentially compromise centriolar satellite morphogenesis and ciliogenesis.

MNR, but not CEP90, restricts centriolar length

Since distal centriole proteins OFD1, Talpid3 and C2CD3 control centriole length, we

analyzed $CEP90^{-/-}$ and $MNR^{-/-}$ centrioles labeled with Tubulin^{Ac} and distal centriole protein

CEP162 by 3D-SIM. Compared to control centrioles, centriole length was not altered in $CEP90^{-/-}$

cells. However, centriole length was highly variable in $MNR^{-/-}$ cells, with ~30% of $MNR^{-/-}$ cells

containing hyper-elongated centrioles (some of which were over a micron long, Fig 5. a-c). The

hyper-elongated centrioles in $MNR^{-/-}$ cells possessed the distal centriole component CEP162 at

one end, suggesting that proximal-distal polarity is maintained despite the elongation (Fig. 5 a).

Serial section transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis confirmed the presence of

elongated centrioles in $MNR^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells (Fig. 5 d-e). Therefore, MNR restrains centriole

lengthening (Fig. 5f).

The abnormal centriole morphology in $MNR^{-/-}$ cells is reminiscent of the phenotype we

previously observed in $OFD1$ mutant cells (Singla et al., 2010). Therefore, we examined the

localization of OFD1 in $MNR^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells. OFD1 failed to localize to the centrioles in the absence

of MNR, but not CEP90 (Fig. 5 g-h). Overexpressed MNR localizes to microtubules (Chevrier et

al., 2016) and microtubule-associated MNR can sequester endogenous OFD1 (Supplementary

figure 2). Therefore, MNR is necessary and sufficient to recruit OFD1 (Fig. 5 g-h). FOPNL has

been shown to promote microtubule depolymerization (Feng et al., 2017; Srivastava and Panda,

2017). Interestingly, the centriolar localization of FOPNL was attenuated in $MNR^{-/-}$, but not $CEP90^{-/-}$

$^{/-}$ cells (Fig. 5 i-j). Our data support a model where MNR recruits FOPNL and OFD1 to restrain

centriole length, with CEP90 being dispensable for centriole length control and dedicated to

ciliogenesis.

CEP90 and MNR are required for the removal of CP110 and CEP97 from the mother
centriole at the initiation of ciliogenesis

Ciliogenesis depends on a specialized protein transport machinery called intraflagellar

transport (IFT). We examined whether IFT88 localization to basal bodies depends on CEP90 or

MNR, and found that IFT88 was decreased at the mother centriole of both $CEP90^{-/-}$ and $MNR^{-/-}$

RPE1 cells (Fig. 6 a,b).

A critical early step in ciliogenesis is the removal from the distal mother centriole of two

proteins that can inhibit ciliogenesis, CP110 and CEP97. We tested whether CEP90 or MNR

function removal of CP110 and CEP97 by examining $CEP90^{-/-}$ and $MNR^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells. We found

that cells lacking either CEP90 or MNR fail to remove CP110 and CEP97 (Fig. 6 c-f). Taken

together, these results reveal that CEP90 and MNR are required for early steps of ciliogenesis.

CEP90 and MNR are required for ciliary vesicle docking and distal appendage assembly

Recent studies show that inhibitory daughter centriole proteins (such as CEP120 and

Centrobin) must be removed from the mother centriole to enable ciliogenesis (Wang et al., 2018;

Mahjoub et al., 2010). As removing daughter centriole proteins requires distal centriole proteins,

such as Talpid3, we examined the localization of Talpid3 and found that Talpid3 is recruited to

the distal centriole independently of CEP90 (Supplementary Fig 3a and b). Furthermore, daughter

centriole proteins are asymmetrically localized in $CEP90^{-/-}$ and $MNR^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells (Supplementary

Fig 3 c and d). Therefore, CEP90 and MNR regulate distal appendage formation through a

mechanism independent of Talpid3 recruitment or daughter centriole protein removal.

As ciliary vesicle formation contributes to removing CP110 and CEP97 from the mother

centriole (Westlake et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2015), we investigated whether persistence of CP110

and CEP97 in $CEP90^{-/-}$ and $MNR^{-/-}$ cells was due to defective recruitment of preciliary vesicles.

To assess whether CEP90 and MNR affect preciliary vesicle recruitment, we examined

the localization of Myosin-Va-positive preciliary vesicles (Wu et al., 2018) to centrioles in wild

type, $CEP90^{-/-}$ and $MNR^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells. Consistent with the defect in distal appendage formation,

cells lacking either CEP90 or MNR showed reduced Myosin-Va at the mother centriole (Fig. 6g-

h). To confirm the requirement for both CEP90 and MNR in preciliary vesicle recruitment, we

examined wild-type and knockout cells by serial section TEM, which confirmed that centrioles in

cells lacking CEP90 or MNR fail to dock to preciliary vesicles (Fig. 6i-j and Supplementary Figures

4 and 5). Thus, CEP90 and MNR are essential for ciliary vesicle recruitment to the mother

centriole, a key early step of ciliogenesis (Fig. 6k).

An early step of ciliogenesis is the acquisition of appendages by the mother centriole,

defining its maturation into a basal body. Sub-distal appendages mediate the anchoring of the

basal body to microtubules and regulate the spatial positioning of the cilium in the cell (Mazo et

al., 2016). In $CEP90^{-/-}$ and $MNR^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells, the localization of components of sub-distal

appendages, such as Ninein and CEP170, were unaffected (Supplementary Fig 6a-d), indicating

that CEP90 and MNR are dispensable for subdistal appendage formation.

A function of distal appendages is the recruitment of small preciliary vesicles to the mother

centriole which fuse and give rise to the ciliary membrane (Tanos et al., 2013; Schmidt et al.,

2012a). $CEP90^{-/-}$ and $MNR^{-/-}$ centrioles fail to recruit distal appendage proteins CEP83, FBF1,

SCLT1, ANKRD26 and CEP164, indicating that they are essential for distal appendage formation

(Fig. 7 c-g). CEP90 and MNR are not critical for distal appendage protein abundance

(Supplementary Fig 6e), suggesting that they are not required for distal appendage protein
stability but rather are essential for distal appendage assembly at the mother centriole.

CEP90 functions at the mother centriole to support distal appendage formation

Using siRNA-mediated gene knockdown, a previous study showed that CEP90 promotes
centriolar satellite accumulation in the vicinity of centrosomes. We confirmed that, in $CEP90^{-/-}$
RPE1 cells, PCM1- positive centriolar satellites failed to accumulate around centrosomes
(Supplementary Fig 7a and 7b). Interestingly, loss of MNR did not affect targeting of PCM1-
positive centriolar satellites to the centrosome (Supplementary Fig 7a and 7b), indicating that
CEP90 and MNR have some distinct functions in centriolar satellite distribution. These
observations raised the interesting possibility that CEP90-dependent targeting of centriolar
satellites to the centrosomal area promotes distal appendage formation and ciliogenesis.

As $PCM1^{-/-}$ cells lack centriolar satellites but retain CEP90 at centrioles (Fig. 1c), these
cells can help disentangle the functions of CEP90 and MNR at centriolar satellites and centrioles.
In accordance with previous observations (Wang et al., 2016; Odabasi et al., 2019), RPE1 cells
lacking PCM1 displayed compromised ciliogenesis (Supplementary Fig 7c). In stark contrast to
$CEP90^{-/-}$ cells, distal appendage components CEP83, SCLT1and CEP164 localized equivalently
to the mother centrioles of $PCM1^{-/-}$ and wild type RPE1 cells (Supplementary Fig 7d-i), indicating
that distal appendage assembly is independent of PCM1. Furthermore, serial section
transmission electron microscopy confirmed the presence of distal appendages in $PCM1^{-/-}$ RPE1
cells (Supplementary Fig 7j). As the critical centriolar satellite scaffold PCM1 is not required for
either CEP90 localization to centrioles or distal appendage formation, we conclude that the
centriolar satellite population of CEP90 is dispensable for distal appendage assembly.

If the centriolar satellite population is dispensable, we predicted that MNR and OFD1
recruitment of CEP90 to the distal centriole would be critical for distal appendage assembly and
ciliogenesis. Indeed, we found that CEP90 failed to localize to centrioles in $MNR^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells,
while MNR localized to the centrioles in the absence of CEP90 (Fig. 8 a-d).

To investigate how CEP90 can be specifically required for the formation distal
appendages, we investigated whether CEP90 interacts with the distal centriole base. Co-
immunoprecipitation analysis revealed an interaction between CEP90 and the proximal most-
component of the distal centriole, CEP83 (Fig. 8e). We propose that MNR recruits CEP90 to the
distal centriole, and CEP90, in turn, recruits CEP83 to initiate distal appendage assembly (Fig.
8f). Therefore, distal centriolar proteins are recruited in a hierarchical manner, with MNR recruiting
OFD1 to restrict centriole length, and CEP90 dedicated to distal appendage assembly.

DISCUSSION

CEP90 and MNR are critical for distal appendage assembly and ciliogenesis

Through the process of mitosis, vertebrate daughter cells each inherit two centrioles. Yet, only the older, mother centriole templates a cilium. In no small part, this unique function of the mother centriole depends on its distal appendages, acquired during the previous G2/M phase. In this study, we identify a complex of CEP90, OFD1 and MNR as part of the distal centriole critical for distal appendage formation and ciliogenesis.

Consequent to their role in distal appendage formation, CEP90 and MNR are also required for subsequent events in ciliogenesis, including recruitment of the preciliary vesicles that give rise to the ciliary membrane, and loading of IFT88 (Sillibourne et al., 2013; Tanos et al., 2013). Unlike some other centriolar proteins, such as CEP120 (Tsai et al., 2019), CEP90 and MNR are specifically required for distal appendage formation, but dispensable for subdistal appendage formation, revealing that discrete mother centriolar complexes support distal and subdistal appendage assembly.

In mice, we found that CEP90 and MNR are essential for embryonic development, and particularly for heart looping and Hedgehog signaling. Primary cilia are required for Hedgehog signal transduction in vertebrates. A central component of the Hedgehog pathway is the seven-pass transmembrane protein, Smoothened (Smo). Interestingly, *Cep90, Mnr* and *Smo* mutants arrested at similar points in embryonic development and displayed similar phenotypes, emphasizing the importance of CEP90 and MNR in ciliary Hedgehog signaling.

MNR recruits OFD1 to regulate centriole length

CEP90 and MNR colocalize with their interactor OFD1 at the distal ends of centrioles. We found that distal centriole proteins are recruited to the centriole in a hierarchical manner. MNR forms the innermost ring at the distal centriole and is essential for the recruitment of OFD1 and CEP90, whereas CEP90 is dispensable for the recruitment of the other complex members. Overexpressed MNR localizes to microtubules (Chevrier et al., 2016) bringing along OFD1. Therefore, we propose that MNR binds to the distal centriolar microtubules to recruit OFD1, culminating in CEP90 recruitment (Fig. 8f).

We had previously demonstrated that OFD1 was essential to restrain centriolar length (Singla et al., 2010). We have found that one of its partners, MNR, is also critical to restrict centriolar elongation. However, its other partner, CEP90, does not control centriolar length. Thus, within the complex comprised of MNR, OFD1 and CEP90, the subunits have distinct functions,

with CEP90 dedicated to founding distal appendages. Consequently, the requirement for MNR and OFD1 in distal appendage assembly may be due to their roles in recruiting CEP90 to the distal centriole.

CEP90 recruits CEP83 to initiate distal appendage assembly at the mother centriole

The functions of centriolar satellites, although intimately associated with centrosomes, remain unclear (Odabasi et al., 2019; Prosser and Pelletier, 2020). CEP90 is a component of centriolar satellites, where it interacts with PCM1, the major scaffolding protein of centriolar satellites (Kim et al., 2012). Like CEP90, its interactors MNR and OFD1 are centriolar satellite proteins. As loss of CEP90 disrupts the peri-centrosomal localization of centriolar satellites, we initially hypothesized that centriolar satellites transport CEP90 to centrioles, or that CEP90 acts at centriolar satellites to transport distal appendage components to the mother centriole. In *PCM1*$^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells, centriolar satellites are disrupted but CEP90 remains at the distal centriole, indicating that satellites are dispensable for CEP90 localization at centrioles. Moreover, to our surprise, we found that *PCM1*$^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells assemble distal appendages. Thus, centriolar satellites (and CEP90 at centriolar satellites) are dispensable for distal appendage assembly, indicating that CEP90 functions at the distal centriole to build distal appendages.

A combination of expansion and structured illumination super-resolution microscopy revealed that CEP90 decorates the distal centriole in a discontinuous ring-like pattern with nine-fold symmetry; this ring of CEP90 is proximal to and smaller than the ring of CEP164. At the centriole, CEP90 interacts with and is critical for the recruitment of the distal appendage component CEP83. As CEP83 is the root of the distal appendage (Tanos et al., 2013), CEP90 is required to initiate distal appendage formation. In support of this conclusion, no distal appendage-like structures were observable in serial section transmission electron micrographs of cells lacking CEP90. These data raise the tantalizing possibility that the nine-fold ring of CEP90 at the distal centriole templates the assembly of the distal appendages.

In addition to MNR, OFD1 and CEP90, Talpid3 and C2CD3 localize to the distal centriole and are required for distal appendage formation (Singla et al., 2010; Thauvin-Robinet et al., 2014; Ye et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2018). We did not identify C2CD3 or Talpid3 in our proteomic analysis, suggesting that they might be part of a distinct, distal centriole complex (Tsai et al., 2019). Talpid3 recruitment, and the subsequent removal of daughter centriole protein, Centrobin occurs normally in *CEP90*$^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells. Therefore, CEP90 acts independently or downstream of Talpid3 recruitment and daughter centriole protein removal to regulate distal appendage assembly.

Mutations in *CEP90* cause Joubert syndrome (Kodani et al., 2015; Wheway et al., 2015;
Hebbar et al., 2018), while *OFD1* and *MNR* cause Orofaciodigital and Joubert syndrome (Singla
et al., 2010; Stephen et al., 2017; Chevrier et al., 2016; Coene et al., 2009). Joubert and
Orofaciodigital syndromes have partially overlapping clinical features. Ultrastructural studies have
identified a cogwheel-like structure at the distal domain of human centrioles (Paintrand et al.,
1992; Ibrahim et al., 2009), raising the possibility that CEP90, MNR and OFD1 may be
components of this structure. We propose that this distal centriolar complex both controls
centriolar length and builds distal appendages, and that inherited defects in this cogwheel that
compromise these critical functions attenuate ciliogenesis, Hedgehog signaling and embryonic
patterning, resulting in Joubert and Orofaciodigital syndromes.
416

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Mouse lines

Cep90 (*Pibf1*$^{tm1.1(KOMP)Vlcg}$) and *Mnr* (*4933427D14Rik*$^{tm1.1(KOMP)Vlcg}$) mice, generated in a
C57BL/6NJ background were obtained from the International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium.
Mice were housed in a barrier facility with veterinary supervision and given food and water *ad*
libitum. All mouse protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee
at the University of California, San Francisco and the University of Alabama, Birmingham.

Cell lines and cell culture

Human retinal epithelial (RPE1-hTERT) cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Thermo Fisher;
catalog 10565042) supplemented with 10 % FBS at 37 ºC in 5% CO_2. To induce ciliation, cells
were serum starved in Opti-MEM reduced serum media for indicated times.

RPE1 cell lines stably expressing eYFP-CEP90 were generated using lentiviruses containing the
human *CEP90* cDNA (gift from Kunsoo Rhee (Kim and Rhee, 2011; Kim et al., 2012)) in the
pLVX-IRES-Puro (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) plasmid background. *CEP90*$^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells
expressing mNeonGreen-tagged full length, truncation and disease-associated mutation variants
of CEP90 were generated using lentiviruses containing human *CEP90* cDNA in a pLVX-EF1α^{Δ}-
mNeonGreen plasmid background. Lentiviruses were generated using the Lenti-X Packaging
Single Shot system (Takara Bio), according to manufacturer's guidelines. Infected cells were
plated in a glass-bottom 96 well plate (Cellvis; catalog P96-1.5H-N) using limiting dilution and
monoclonal cell lines expressing eYFP-CEP90 were manually selected based on fluorescence.

RPE1 cells stably expressing HA-CEP83 under the control of tetracycline-inducible promoter were

a gift from Barbara Tanos and Bryan Tsou (Tanos et al., 2013). CEP83 expression was induced

with 1 µg/ml doxycycline (Fischer Chemical; Catalog BP26535) for 48 h.

All cell lines were routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination and found negative.

Generation of *CEP90*^{-/-}, *MNR*^{-/-} and *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells by CRISPR/Cas9 gene targeting

RNA guided targeting of *CEP90* and *MNR* was achieved by coexpression of Cas9 along with

guide RNAs. The pSpCas9 (BB)-2A-GFP (PX458) was a gift from Feng Zhang (Addgene plasmid

48138; http://n2t.net/addgene:48138 ; RRID:Addgene_48138). The gRNA sequences used for

CEP90   and   MNR   were   5′-GATGAGGAAATATCATCCGT-3′   and   5′-

GTATAAAATACCCGACCACA-3′ respectively.

PCM1^{-/-} RPE1 cells were generated by electroporating recombinant Cas9 along with sgRNAs

(CRISPRevolution sgRNA EZ kit, Synthego). The sgRNA targeting exon 4 of human *PCM1*: 5′-

GAAAAGAAUAAGAAAAAGUU-3′ was used. 1.5 nmol sgRNA was resuspended in 15 µl

nuclease-free TE for a final concentration of 100 µM (100 pmol/µl). The RNP mixture containing

1.8 µl of sgRNA with 3 µl (90 pmol) Truecut Cas9 v2 (Thermo Fisher; catalog A36498) in a total

volume of 5 µl was incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. The RNP mixture was

electroporated into hTERT-RPE1 cells using the Neon transfection system (Thermo Fisher)

according to manufacturer's instruction using the following parameters: 1350 V pulse voltage, 20

ms pulse width and 2 pulses. All electroporated cells were serially diluted, and single colonies

screened by western blotting, immunofluorescence and PCR analyses. For genotyping, the

following   PCR   primers   were   used: 5′-TGGGATGCACTAAATTGCCTA-3′   and   5′-

TTACCTGCCGTTTGAAGACA-3′ for *CEP90* alleles, 5′- TCCAGTGAACCAACTCACAGA-3′ and

5′-TAGGAGCGTGGCTGTGTCTAT -3′ for MNR alleles, and 5′- ACAGGCCATGTTAATTTTTGCT

-3′ and 5′- CCATCCCCAGTGATTAAAATTC -3′ for *PCM1* alleles. PCR products were cloned and

sequenced.

Plasmids and transfections

Myc-DDK tagged *Mnr* (*4933427D14Rik*) cDNA in cloned in a pCMV6 plasmid was obtained from

Origene (MR211309). hTERT-RPE1 cells were transfected using TransIT-LT1 transfection

reagent (Mirus Bio) according to manufacturer guidelines.

Antibodies

The list of primary antibodies and the dilution they were used at are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488, 568 and 647 were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, and used at a 1:500 dilution.

Immunofluorescence

For immunostaining, cells were fixed with either 100% cold methanol for 3 minutes or 4% paraformaldehyde in dPBS for 15 minutes at room temperature, and then incubated in blocking buffer (2.5% BSA, 0.1% Triton-X 100 in PBS) for 1 hour at room temperature. Paraformaldehyde fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 minutes at room temperature prior to addition of blocking buffer. Coverslips were incubated with primary antibodies in blocking buffer overnight at 4 °C, washed three times with PBS and incubated in secondary antibodies in blocking buffer for 1-2 h at room temperature. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33352 (Thermo Fisher Scientific; catalog H3570). Coverslips were washed three times with PBS and mounted with Prolong Diamond (Thermo Fisher Scientific; catalog P36961).

In experiments requiring disruption of the microtubule cytoskeleton, cells were treated with 20 µM Nocodazole (Sigma Aldrich; catalog SML1665) for 2 h at 37 ºC prior to fixation.

Identification of cell cycle stages was performed as described previously (Viol et al., 2020), using the Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor 555 imaging kit (Life Technologies). Briefly, *PCM1*$^{-/-}$ RPE1 cells were treated with 10 µM EdU for 30 minutes and fixed in 100% cold methanol for 3 minutes. The Click-IT reaction was performed according to manufacturer guidelines, and samples subsequently processed for indirect immunofluorescence. Cells lacking CENPF and EdU in the nucleus were categorized as G1. EdU-positive, CENPF-negative cells were categorized as S, while cells with nuclear CENPF staining, without EdU staining were classified as being in G2.

Super-resolution microscopy

3D-SIM and 2D-SIM was performed using the DeltaVision OMX-SR microscope (GE Healthcare) using the 60x/1.42 NA oil immersion PSF objective and three sCMOS cameras. Immersion oil with refractive index of 1.518 was used for most experiments. Z stacks of 5-6 µm were collected using a 0.125 µm step size. Raw images were reconstructed using SoftWorx 6.5.2 (GE Healthcare) using default parameters.

Label-retention expansion microscopy was performed as described previously (Shi et al., 2019). Briefly, RPE1 cells were cultured on 16-well chambered slides (Sigma; catalog GBL112358-8EA)

499   coated with 0.1% gelatin (Sigma Aldrich; catalog G1393). Cells were serum starved in Opti-MEM

500   to induce ciliation for 24 h prior to fixation. After incubation with primary antibodies, cells were

501   incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated to NHS-MA-biotin or NHS-MA-DIG.

502   After gel polymerization and proteinase K digestion, gels were stained with fluorescently labeled

503   streptavidin or digitonin antibodies and images were acquired on the DeltaVision OMX-SR.

Fluorescence intensity measurements and statistical analyses

For fluorescence intensity measurements, z stacks were acquired on the DeltaVision OMX-SR

using widefield settings. Identical laser power and exposure settings were used for control and

experimental samples. Average intensity projections were generated using Image J (Schneider

et al., 2012), and images transferred to CellProfiler image analysis software (McQuin et al., 2018).

Cilia number were quantified by using Hoechst stained nuclei to count the total number of cells,

and a cilia marker (Arl13b/Tubulin[Ac]) to identify cilia using the object identification module in

CellProfiler using difference in signal intensity and size to segment cilia. For quantification of

centrosomal intensity, a mask around the centrosomal area was generated using a centrosomal

marker (γ-tubulin/FOP) to identify the centrosome in CellProfiler. This centrosomal mask was

used to determine fluorescence intensity (integrated intensity) and area (in pixels) for the channel

of interest. Fluorescence intensity values in a pericentrosomal area were used to measure

background. Cells were serum starved for 24 h prior to fixing, to synchronize cells in G0/G1 and

eliminate cell cycle-dependent alterations in centrosomal proteins. Data were exported to

Microsoft Excel, and graphs generated in GraphPad Prism 8.

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8. Results represented are mean ±

standard deviation. Statistical differences between data sets were analyzed using one-way Anova

with Tukey's multiple comparison tests or a two-tailed unpaired student's t test. P value < 0.05

was considered significant and indicated with an asterisk. NS denotes not significant.

Transmission electron microscopy

For electron microscopy, cells were plated on 8-well Permanox slides (Nunc; catalog177445),

gently washed in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) and fixed in 3.5% EM-grade glutaraldehyde

(Electron Microscopy Sciences; catalog 16210) in 0.1M Phosphate buffer (PB) for 10 minutes at

37 ℃. Fixative was removed, replaced with fresh fixative, and samples incubated at 4 ℃ for 1 h.

Slides were washed three times with 0.1 M PB and processed for TEM as described previously

(Singla et al., 2010).

Co-immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting

Cells grown in 10 cm petri dishes were washed twice with ice-cold dPBS and lysed for 30 minutes

on ice with frequent pipetting. Lysis buffer used was 10 mM Tris/Cl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM

EDTA, 0.5 % Nonidet P40 substitute supplemented with 1x protease inhibitor (Roche cOmplete

mini, EDTA free; catalog 4693159001) and 1x phosphatase inhibitor (Thermo Fisher PhosStop;

catalog 4906845001) cocktails. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 17,000 g for 10 minutes

at 4 °C. GFP-trap magnetic agarose (Chromotek; catalog gtma-10) was used for eYFP-CEP90

co-immunoprecipitation assays, and HA-magnetic beads (Pierce; catalog 88837) for CEP83-HA

co-immunoprecipitation assays. Co-immunoprecipitation assays were performed according to

manufacturer guidelines. Samples were separated on 4-15% Criterion TGX Precast gels (Bio-

Rad), and transferred to Immobilon PVDF membranes (0.45 μm pore size) for

chemiluminescence detection.

Mass spectrometry and data analysis

Eluates obtained after co-immunoprecipitation were reduced by the addition of 1 mM DTT at 60°C

for 15 minutes, cooled to room temperature, alkylated by the addition of 3 mM iodoacetamide for

45 minutes in the dark. Alkylation was quenched by the addition of 3 mM DTT and proteins were

digested overnight at 37°C with 1 μg trypsin (0.5 μg/μl; Promega). Following digestion, peptides

were acidified with trifluoroacetic acid (0.5% final, pH < 2), desalted using UltraMicroSpin Columns

(PROTO 300 C18 300Å; The NEST Group) according to manufacturer's specifications, and dried

under vacuum centrifugation. Samples were resuspended in 4% formic acid, 4%

acetonitrile solution, and separated by an reversed-phase gradient over a nanoflow column (360

μm O.D. x 75 μm I.D.) packed with 25 cm of 1.8 μm Reprosil C18 particles with (Dr. Maisch). The

HPLC buffers were 0.1% formic acid and 100% acetonitrile on 0.1% formic acid for buffer A and

B respectively. The gradient was operated at 400 nL/min from 0 to 28% buffer B over 40min,

followed by a column wash at 95% B, with a total acquisition time of 50 min. Eluting peptides were

analyzed in on a Bruke timsTOF Pro mass spectrometry system equipped with a Bruker

nanoElute high-pressure liquid chromatography system interfaced via a captiveSpray source. A

data-dependent PASEF acquisition (Meier et al., 2018) method was used for data acquisition

using the following parameters: 100-1700 m/z range, 0.85-1.30 V*s/cm^2 trapped ion mobility

range, 1600V spray voltage, intensities of 200,000 were repeated for in PASEF 0 times, intensities

of 100,000 to 200,000 were repeated for in PASEF 5 times, intensities of less than 100,000 were

repeated for in PASEF 10 times, 4 PASEF MS/MS scans with a total cycle time of 0.53 s, and

active exclusion for 0.4 min. Data was search against the human proteome database (canonical

sequences downloaded from Uniprot 3/21/2018) using MaxQuant (Cox and Mann, 2008;

Prianichnikov et al., 2020). Peptide and protein identifications were filtered to 1% false-discovery

rate at the peptide and protein level, and protein-protein interaction analysis was performed using

SAINTexpress (Teo et al., 2014). The mass spectrometry data files (raw and search results) have

been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (Deutsch et al., 2017; Perez-Riverol et al.,

2019) (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository with

dataset identifier PXD022372.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank E Yu for help with animal husbandry and genotyping of mice used in this study. We

thank members of the Reiter and Yoder labs for helpful discussions. This work was supported by

NIH R01HD089918 to J.F.R and B.Y., NIH R01R01DE029454 and R01AR054396 to J.F.R., the

Valencian Council for Innovation, Universities, Science and Digital Society

(PROMETEO/2019/075) to J.M.G-V and the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and

Universities (PCI2018-093062) to V.H-P, NIH NIGMS R01GM124334 to B.H., by the NIH Pathway

to Independence Award K99GM126136/R00GM126136 and the UCSF Mary Anne Koda-Kimble

Seed Award for Innovation to X.S. D.K. is supported a Jane Coffin Childs Postdoctoral Fellowship,

and the Program for Breakthrough Biomedical Research Award, which is partially funded by the

Sandler Foundation. B. H. and J.F.R. are Chan Zuckerberg Biohub Investigators.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. CEP90 localizes to centriolar satellites and the distal centriole. a. 3D-SIM of

immunostained RPE1 cells reveals localization of CEP90 (yellow) at centriolar satellites (PCM1,

cyan) and centrioles (Tubulin^{Ac}, magenta) in wild type RPE1 cells. Scale bar = 2 µm. **b**. Treatment

with nocodazole disperses the centriolar satellites, highlighting 3D-SIM of CEP90 (yellow) rings

at centrioles (γ-tubulin, magenta). Distal appendage component CEP164 (cyan) indicates the

mother centriole in C and D. Scale bar = 1 µm. **c**. 3D-SIM of *PCM1$^{-/-}$* RPE1 cells shows that

CEP90 (yellow) localizes to centrioles (γ-tubulin, magenta) independent of centriolar satellites.

Scale bar = 1 µm. **d**. LR-ExSIM of RPE1 cells immunostained for CEP90 (yellow) and CEP164

(magenta) reveals that rings of CEP90 are comprised of discrete puncta. CEP90 rings are smaller

and more proximal to CEP164 rings. Scale bar = 1 µm. **e**. Schematic of the ring of CEP90 punctae

(yellow), distal appendages (magenta), and sub-distal appendages (blue) at the distal centriole.

CEP90 decorates the distal end of mother and daughter centrioles. **f**. Example of an LR-ExSIM

image of a radially oriented centriole used to quantify the number and angle between adjacent

puncta of CEP90. Scale bar = 0.5 µm. **g**. Histogram of number of discrete puncta of CEP90 and

CEP164 observed per centriole in LR-ExSIM images. **h**. Histogram of the angular spacing

between adjoining centriolar CEP90 puncta observed by LR-ExSIM.

Figure 2. CEP90 forms a complex with OFD1 and MNR. a. Schematic depicting workflow used

to identify CEP90 interactors at centriolar satellites and centrioles using WT and *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1

cells. *PCM1*^{-/-} cells localize CEP90 to the distal centriole and WT cells localize CEP90 to the distal

centriole and centriolar satellites. **b**. Venn diagram comparing high confidence interactors of

CEP90 in WT and *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells. **c**. Interactome dot representation of selected CEP90

interactors identified in the proteomic screen. Hits were grouped based on their cellular

localization. Average number of peptide spectra is represented by dot shade. Abundance of a

peptide spectrum produced in relation to the most abundant spectrum is depicted by dot size.

Bayesian false discovery rate (BFDR) is represented by rim color. **d**. Immunoblot of a subset of

CEP90 interactions identified by proteomics were validated by co-immunoprecipitation (IP).

CEP90 interacts with PCM1, OFD1 and MNR, but not α-tubulin or GAPDH. FT, flow through. **e.**

Coulson plot showing the phylogenetic distribution of a subset of centriolar proteins in select

ciliated metazoan species. Orthologs identified with high confidence are indicated with a filled

circle, and a subset of CEP90 interactors further explored in this study are highlighted in blue.The

dendrogram on top (made using iTOL (Ciccarelli et al., 2006)) shows the evolutionary relationship

between species.

Figure 3. CEP90 co-localizes with OFD1 and MNR. a. Immunostaining of RPE1 cells for CEP90

(yellow), MNR (cyan) and γ-tubulin (magenta) demonstrating that CEP90 co-localizes with MNR

at centriolar satellites. Scale bar = 2 µm. **b.** 3D-SIM of RPE1 cells treated with nocodazole to

disperse centriolar satellites highlights co-localization of CEP90 (yellow) and MNR (cyan) at

centrioles (γ-tubulin, magenta). Scale bar = 1 µm. **c**. Immunostaining of OFD1 (yellow), MNR

(cyan) and γ-tubulin (magenta) reveals CEP90 and MNR co-localization at centriolar satellites.

Scale bar = 2 µm. **d**. 3D-SIM of immunostained cells treated with nocodazole reveals a ring of

OFD1 (yellow) co-localizing with MNR (cyan) at centrioles (γ-tubulin, magenta). Scale bar =1 µm.

e. Measurements of ring diameters measured in 3D-SIM images. For distal centriole proteins, ring

diameters were measured at the mother (MC) and daughter centriole (DC). Scatter dot plot shows

mean ± SD. Asterisks indicate p <0.05, and ns indicate not significant determined using unpaired

t test. **f**. Schematic representation of a radial view of a mother centriole with distal appendages

(purple), OFD1 (orange), CEP90 (yellow) and MNR (green). **g**. Localization of distal centriole

proteins (yellow) to centrioles (γ-tubulin, magenta) is cell cycle dependent. Cells in G1 were

identified as lacking both EdU and CENPF staining, cells in S as being positive for EdU but lacking

CENPF, and cells in G2 as being positive for CENPF but lacking EdU staining. Two puncta of

CEP90, OFD1 and MNR localized were observed at one centrosome during G1 and S, and four

puncta at two centrosomes after centrosome duplication during G2. Scale bar = 5 μm.

Figure 4. CEP90 and MNR are essential for ciliogenesis. a. WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} serum

starved RPE1 cells were immunostained for cilia (ARL13B, magenta), centrosomes (γ-tubulin,

yellow) and nuclei (Hoechst, blue). Scale bar = 10 μm. **b**. Quantification of ciliation frequency of

WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells serum starved for times indicated. **c.** Images of control,

Cep90^{-/-} and *Mnr*^{-/-} embryos at embryonic day (E) 9.5. Arrows point to pericardial edema observed

in mutant embryos. **d**. Whole-mount immunostaining of nodes of littermate control and *Cep90*^{-/-}

embryos at E8.5 for centrosomes (γ-tubulin, yellow), cilia (Tubulin[Ac], magenta) and nuclei

(Hoechst, blue). Arrows in control image point to cilia projecting into the node lumen, which are

absent in *Cep90*^{-/-} embryos. **e**. *In situ* hybridization for *Gli1* in E8.5 littermate control and *Cep90*^{-/-}

embryos, revealing decreased expression in the absence of CEP90 indicative of disrupted

Hedgehog signaling. **f**. Immunostaining of E9.5 neural tube sections of littermate control and *Mnr*

[-/-] embryos for centrosomes (FOP, yellow), cilia (ARL13B, magenta) and nuclei (Hoechst, blue),

indicating that MNR is required for ciliogenesis in vivo. Scale bar = 5 μm. **g**. Schematic

representation of full length (FL) human CEP90, truncation constructs and disease-associated

mutations. Coiled-coil (CC) domains identified by MARCOIL (Zimmermann et al., 2018) at 90%

threshold are indicated in cyan. **h**. Quantification of ciliation frequency of *CEP90*^{-/-} RPE1 (control),

and *CEP90*^{-/-} cells expressing mNeonGreen (mNG) tagged disease-variants and truncations of

CEP90. Graph shows mean ± SEM, and asterisks indicate p <0.05 determined by one-way

ANOVA. **i**. *CEP90*^{-/-} RPE1 cells, and *CEP90*^{-/-} cells expressing the denoted mNG-tagged versions

of CEP90 were serum starved for 24 h and immunostained for cilia (ARL13B), centriolar satellites

(CEP131) and mNeonGreen. Scale bar = 2 μm.

Figure 5. MNR, but not CEP90, restricts centriole length. a. 3D-SIM images of WT, *CEP90*^{-/-}

and *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells immunostained for cilia (Tubulin[Ac], magenta) and CEP162 (yellow), a distal

centriolar protein. Scale bar = 1 μm. **b**. Graph of centriolar lengths measured using 3D-SIM

images. Centriole lengths are longer and have a wider distribution in *MNR*^{-/-} cells than in WT or

CEP90^{-/-} cells. Lines indicate mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate p <0.05 determined using one-way

ANOVA. **c**. Histogram of centriole lengths observed in WT, *MNR*^{-/-} and *CEP90*^{-/-} cells. **d**. Serial

section TEM confirms the presence of elongated centrioles in *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells. Scale bar = 500

nm. **e**. Centriole lengths of WT and *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells measured using TEM images. Horizontal

lines indicate means ± SEM, and asterisks indicate p<0.05 determined using unpaired t test. **f**.

Schematic depicting distinct roles of CEP90 and MNR in regulating centriole length. **g**. 3D-SIM

imaging of serum-starved WT, *CEP90*-/- and *MNR*-/- RPE1 cells immunostained for OFD1 (yellow),

centrioles (γ-tubulin, cyan) and cilia (Tubulin^{Ac}, magenta). Boxed regions are depicted in insets

throughout. OFD1 localizes to centrioles in WT and *CEP90*-/-, but not *MNR*-/- cells. Scale bar = 1

μm. **h**. Quantification of OFD1 fluorescence intensity at centrioles in WT, *CEP90*-/- and *MNR*-/-

cells. Horizontal lines indicate means ± SEM. Asterisks indicate p<0.05 determined using one-

way ANOVA.

Figure 6. CEP90 and MNR are required for early ciliogenesis. a WT, *CEP90*-/- and *MNR*-/-

RPE1 cells immunostained for IFT88 (yellow), centrioles (γ-tubulin, cyan) and cilia (Tubulin^{Ac},

magenta. IFT88 is not recruited to the centrosome of *CEP90*-/- or *MNR*-/- cells. **b.** Quantification of

IFT88 fluorescence intensity at WT, *CEP90*-/- and *MNR*-/- centrosomes. **c**. WT, *CEP90*-/- and *MNR*-

/- serum-starved RPE1 cells immunostained for CP110 (yellow), centrioles (γ-tubulin, cyan) and

cilia (Tubulin^{Ac}, magenta). Scale bar = 1 μm. **d**. Quantification of whether CP110 localizes to one

or two centrioles. In the absence of CEP90 or MNR, CP110 continues to localize to the distal

mother centriole. Scale bar = 1 μm. **e**. WT, *CEP90*-/- and *MNR*-/- serum-starved RPE1 cells

immunostained for CEP97 (yellow), γ-tubulin (cyan) and Tubulin^{Ac} (magenta). Scale bar = 1 μm.

f. Quantification of whether CEP97 localizes to one or two centrioles. As with CP110, CEP90 and

MNR are required to remove CEP97 from the distal mother centriole. Scale bar = 1 μm. **g**. 3D-

SIM images of RPE1 cells immunostained for Myo-Va (yellow) and centrioles (γ-tubulin,

magenta). Myo-Va can not localize near centrosomes (left), can localize to preciliary vesicles

(denoted centrosomal Myo-Va, middle), or can localize to the ciliary pocket (denoted ciliary Myo-

Va, right). Scale bar = 1 μm. **h**. Quantification of three distinct Myo-Va staining patterns in WT,

CEP90-/- and *MNR*-/- cells. **i.** TEM images of serum-starved *Cep90*+/+ and *Cep90*-/- MEFs confirms

the absence of preciliary vesicle docking at the *Cep90*-/- mother centriole. Scale bar = 200 nm. **j**.

TEM images of WT and *MNR*-/- RPE1 cells confirms the absence of preciliary vesicle vesicle

docking at the *MNR*-/- mother centriole. Scale bar = 250 nm. **k**. Model based on our data

highlighting the role of CEP90 and MNR in maturation of the mother centriole.

Figure 7. CEP90 and MNR recruit distal appendage components to the mother centriole.

3D-SIM images and quantification of centrosomal intensity of WT, *CEP90*-/- and *MNR*-/- RPE1 cells

immunostained for γ-tubulin (cyan), Tubulin^{Ac} (magenta) and distal centriole components (yellow)

CEP83 (**a,b**), FBF1 (**c,d**), SCLT1 (**e,f**), ANKRD26 (**g,h**) and CEP164 (**i,j**). Scale bar = 1 μm.

Horizontal lines in scatter dot plots indicate means ± SEM. Asterisks indicate p<0.001 determined
by one-way ANOVA.

Figure 8. MNR recruits CEP90 which recruits CEP83 to build distal appendages. a. 3D-SIM
imaging of WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} serum-starved RPE1 cells immunostained for CEP90
(yellow), γ-tubulin (cyan) and Tubulin[Ac] (magenta). Scale bar = 1 µm. **b**. Quantification of CEP90
fluorescence intensity at centrioles. Horizontal lines in scatter dot plots indicate means ± SEM.
Asterisks indicate p < 0.0001, ns = not significant, determined using one-way ANOVA. CEP90
fails to localize to *MNR*^{-/-} centrioles. **c**. 3D-SIM imaging of WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} serum-starved
RPE1 cells immunostained for MNR (yellow), γ-tubulin (cyan) and CEP164 (magenta). Scale bar
= 1 µm. **d.** Quantification of MNR fluorescence intensity at centrioles. Horizontal lines in scatter
dot plots indicate means ± SEM. Asterisks indicate p < 0.0001, determined using one-way
ANOVA. MNR localization is reduced but present at *CEP90*^{-/-} centrioles. **e**. WT or RPE1 cells
expressing CEP83-HA were lysed, immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody bound beads and
immunoblotted with antibodies to HA and CEP90. **f**. Model of the hierarchical recruitment of distal
centriole proteins starting with MNR which recruits OFD1 to restrain centriole elongation and
CEP90 which recruits CEP83, the base of the distal appendage.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES:

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1. Generation of *CEP90*^{-/-}, *MNR*^{-/-} and *PCM1*^{-/-} cell lines.
Sequence analysis of genomic DNA isolated from control and *CEP90*^{-/-} (**a**), *MNR*^{-/-} (**b**) and *PCM1*^{-/-}
(**c**) RPE1 cell lines generated using CRISPR-Cas9. Insertions and deletions, and translation
products resulting from genome editing are indicated. **d**. Immunoblot analysis of whole cell lysates
from control, *CEP90*^{-/-}, *MNR*^{-/-} and *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cell lines confirms loss of protein in mutant cell
lines.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2. Overexpressed MNR localizes to microtubules and recruits
endogenous OFD1. a. RPE1 cells transiently transfected with myc-tagged MNR and
immunostained with antibodies to myc-tag and α-tubulin. Scale bar = 10 µm. **b.** RPE1 cells
transiently transfected with myc-tagged MNR, and immunostained with antibodies to myc-tag and
OFD1. Scale bar = 10 µm. **c**. RPE1 cells transiently transfected with myc-tagged MNR, and
immunostained with antibodies to myc-tag and CEP90. Scale bar = 10 µm. **d**. RPE1 cells
transiently transfected with myc-tagged MNR, and immunostained with antibodies to myc-tag and
FOPNL. Scale bar = 10 µm.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3. CEP90 regulates distal appendage assembly independent of Talpid3 recruitment and removal of Centrobin. a. WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells were serum starved for 24 h and stained with antibodies to Talpid3, γ-tubulin (centrosome marker) and Arl13b (cilia marker). 3D-SIM imaging reveals ring of Talpid3 at mother and daughter centrioles. Scale bar = 1 μm. **b**. Quantification of Talpid3 fluorescence intensity at centrioles. Scatter dot plots show mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate p<0.001, determined using one-way ANOVA. **c**. WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} serum-starved RPE1 cells immunostained for Centrobin (yellow), centrioles (FOP, cyan) and distal appendages (CEP164, magenta). Scale bar = 1 μm. **d**. Quantification of whether Centrobin localizes to one or two centrioles. CEP90 and MNR are not required to remove Centrobin from the distal mother centriole.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4. Serial section TEM of WT and *Cep90*^{-/-} MEF cells. TEM images of WT and *Cep90*^{-/-} MEF cells confirms the absence of preciliary vesicle vesicle docking, and distal appendages at the *Cep90*^{-/-} mother centriole. Scale bar = 500 nm. Blue arrowheads indicate subdistal appendages, and pink arrowheads indicate distal appendages. CP indicate ciliary pocket.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5. Serial section TEM of WT and *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells. TEM images of WT and *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells confirms the absence of preciliary vesicle vesicle docking and distal appendages at the *MNR*^{-/-} mother centriole. Centrioles are hyper-elongated in the absence of MNR. Scale bar = 500 nm. Blue arrowheads indicate subdistal appendages, and pink arrowheads indicate distal appendages. CP indicate ciliary pocket.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 6. CEP90 and MNR are dispensable for sub-distal appendage assembly at the mother centriole. a. 3D-SIM imaging of serum-starved WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells immunostained for Ninein (yellow), centrioles (γ-tubulin, cyan) and cilia (ARL13B, magenta). Boxed regions are depicted in insets throughout. Ninein localizes to centrioles in WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} cells. Scale bar = 1 μm. **b**. Quantification of Ninein fluorescence intensity at centrioles in WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} cells. Horizontal lines indicate means ± SEM. Asterisks indicate p<0.05 determined using one-way ANOVA, ns indicates not significant. **c**. 3D-SIM imaging of serum-starved WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells immunostained for CEP170 (yellow), centrioles (γ-tubulin, cyan) and cilia (Tubulin[Ac], magenta). Boxed regions are depicted in insets throughout. CEP170 localizes to centrioles in WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} cells. Scale bar = 1 μm. **b**. Quantification of CEP170 fluorescence intensity at centrioles in WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} cells. Horizontal lines indicate means ± SEM. Asterisks indicate p<0.05 determined using one-way ANOVA.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 7. Centriolar satellites are dispensable for distal appendage assembly at the mother centriole. a. 3D-SIM images of WT, *CEP90*^{-/-} and *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1 cells immunostained with antibodies to PCM1, a centriolar satellite marker, Arl13b and γ-tubulin. Scale bar = 2 μm. **b**. Quantification of PCM1 intensity in the pericentrosomal area. PCM1-positive centriolar satellites fail to accumulate around centrosomes in *CEP90*^{-/-}, but not in *MNR*^{-/-} cells. **c**. Ciliogenesis is disrupted in *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells at 24 h post serum starvation. **d**. WT and *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells were serum starved for 24 h and stained with antibodies to CEP83, γ-tubulin (centrosome marker) and Tubulin[Ac] (cilia marker). 3D-SIM imaging reveals ring of CEP83 at the mother centrioles in *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells. Scale bar = 1 μm. **e**. Quantification of CEP83 fluorescence intensity at centrioles. Scatter dot plots show mean ± SEM. NS = not significant, determined using one-way ANOVA. **f**. WT and *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells were serum starved for 24 h and stained with antibodies to SCLT1, γ-tubulin (centrosome marker) and Arl13b (cilia marker). 3D-SIM imaging reveals ring of SCLT1 at the mother centrioles in WT and *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells. Scale bar = 1 μm. **g**. Quantification of SCLT1 fluorescence intensity at centrioles. Scatter dot plots show mean ± SEM. NS = not significant, determined using one-way ANOVA. **h**. WT and *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells were serum starved for 24 h and stained with antibodies to CEP164 and γ-tubulin (centrosome marker). 3D-SIM imaging reveals ring of CEP164 at the mother centrioles in *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells. Scale bar = 1 μm. **i**. Quantification of CEP164 fluorescence intensity at centrioles. Scatter dot plots show mean ± SEM. Asterisks indicate p< 0.001, determined using one-way ANOVA. **j**. Representative TEM images of WT and *PCM1*^{-/-} RPE1 cells serum starved for 1 hour. Distal appendages are marked with red arrows.

REFERENCES

Andersen, J.S., C.J. Wilkinson, T. Mayor, P. Mortensen, E.A. Nigg, and M. Mann. 2003. Proteomic characterization of the human centrosome by protein correlation profiling. *Nature*. 426:570–574. doi:10.1038/nature02166.

Bowler, M., D. Kong, S. Sun, R. Nanjundappa, L. Evans, V. Farmer, A. Holland, M.R. Mahjoub, H. Sui, and J. Loncarek. 2019. High-resolution characterization of centriole distal appendage morphology and dynamics by correlative STORM and electron microscopy. *Nat. Commun.* 10. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-08216-4.

Breslow, D.K., and A.J. Holland. 2019. Mechanism and Regulation of Centriole and Cilium Biogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 88:691–724. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111153.

Chevrier, V., A.-L. Bruel, T.J.P. Van Dam, B. Franco, M. Lo Scalzo, F. Lembo, S. Audebert, E.
Baudelet, D. Isnardon, A. Bole, J.-P. Borg, P. Kuentz, J. Thevenon, L. Burglen, L. Faivre,
J.-B. Rivière, M.A. Huynen, D. Birnbaum, O. Rosnet, and C. Thauvin-Robinet. 2016.
OFIP/KIAA0753 forms a complex with OFD1 and FOR20 at pericentriolar satellites and
centrosomes and is mutated in one individual with oral-facial-digital syndrome. *Hum. Mol.*
Genet. 25:497–513. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddv488.

Chong, W.M., W.-J. Wang, C.-H. Lo, T.-Y. Chiu, T.-J. Chang, Y.-P. Liu, B. Tanos, G. Mazo, M.-
F.B. Tsou, W.-N. Jane, T.T. Yang, and J.-C. Liao. 2020. Super-resolution microscopy
reveals coupling between mammalian centriole subdistal appendages and distal
appendages. *Elife*. 9. doi:10.7554/elife.53580.

Ciccarelli, F.D., T. Doerks, C. Von Mering, C.J. Creevey, B. Snel, and P. Bork. 2006. Toward
automatic reconstruction of a highly resolved tree of life. *Science (80-.).* 311:1283–1287.
doi:10.1126/science.1123061.

Coene, K.L.M., R. Roepman, D. Doherty, B. Afroze, H.Y. Kroes, S.J.F. Letteboer, L.H. Ngu, B.
Budny, E. van Wijk, N.T. Gorden, M. Azhimi, C. Thauvin-Robinet, J.A. Veltman, M. Boink,
T. Kleefstra, F.P.M. Cremers, H. van Bokhoven, and A.P.M. de Brouwer. 2009. OFD1 Is
Mutated in X-Linked Joubert Syndrome and Interacts with LCA5-Encoded Lebercilin. *Am.*
J. Hum. Genet. 85:465–481. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2009.09.002.

Corbit, K.C., P. Aanstad, V. Singla, A.R. Norman, D.Y.R. Stainier, and J.F. Reiter. 2005.
Vertebrate Smoothened functions at the primary cilium. *Nature*. 437:1018–1021.
doi:10.1038/nature04117.

Cox, J., and M. Mann. 2008. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized
p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.*
26:1367–1372. doi:10.1038/nbt.1511.

Deutsch, E.W., A. Csordas, Z. Sun, A. Jarnuczak, Y. Perez-Riverol, T. Ternent, D.S. Campbell,
M. Bernal-Llinares, S. Okuda, S. Kawano, R.L. Moritz, J.J. Carver, M. Wang, Y. Ishihama,
N. Bandeira, H. Hermjakob, and J.A. Vizcaíno. 2017. The ProteomeXchange consortium in
2017: Supporting the cultural change in proteomics public data deposition. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. 45:D1100–D1106. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw936.

Feng, S., Y. Song, M. Shen, S. Xie, W. Li, Y. Lu, Y. Yang, G. Ou, J. Zhou, F. Wang, W. Liu, X.
Yan, X. Liang, and T. Zhou. 2017. Microtubule-binding protein FOR20 promotes

microtubule depolymerization and cell migration. *Cell Discov.* 3:1–13.

doi:10.1038/celldisc.2017.32.

Goehring, N.W., and A.A. Hyman. 2012. Organelle growth control through limiting pools of

cytoplasmic components. *Curr. Biol.* 22:R330–R339. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.046.

Gönczy, P. 2015. Centrosomes and cancer: Revisiting a long-standing relationship. *Nat. Rev.*

Cancer. 15:639–652. doi:10.1038/nrc3995.

Hammarsjö, A., Z. Wang, R. Vaz, F. Taylan, M. Sedghi, K.M. Girisha, D. Chitayat, K.

Neethukrishna, P. Shannon, R. Godoy, K. Gowrishankar, A. Lindstrand, J. Nasiri, M.

Baktashian, P.T. Newton, L. Guo, W. Hofmeister, M. Pettersson, A.S. Chagin, G.

Nishimura, L. Yan, N. Matsumoto, A. Nordgren, N. Miyake, G. Grigelioniene, and S.

Ikegawa. 2017. Novel KIAA0753 mutations extend the phenotype of skeletal ciliopathies.

Sci. Rep. 7. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-15442-1.

Hebbar, M., A. Kanthi, A. Shukla, S. Bielas, and K.M. Girisha. 2018. A biallelic 36-bp insertion in

PIBF1 is associated with Joubert syndrome. *J. Hum. Genet.* 63:935–939.

doi:10.1038/s10038-018-0462-7.

Hori, A., and T. Toda. 2017. Regulation of centriolar satellite integrity and its physiology. *Cell.*

Mol. Life Sci. 74:213–229. doi:10.1007/s00018-016-2315-x.

Huangfu, D., A. Liu, A.S. Rakeman, N.S. Murcia, L. Niswander, and K. V. Anderson. 2003.

Hedgehog signalling in the mouse requires intraflagellar transport proteins. *Nature.*

426:83–87. doi:10.1038/nature02061.

Hunkapiller, J., V. Singla, A. Seol, and J.F. Reiter. 2011. The ciliogenic protein oral-facial-digital

1 regulates the neuronal differentiation of embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells Dev.* 20:831–

841. doi:10.1089/scd.2010.0362.

Ibrahim, R., C. Messaoudi, F.J. Chichon, C. Celati, and S. Marco. 2009. Electron tomography

study of isolated human centrioles. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 72:42–48. doi:10.1002/jemt.20637.

Jakobsen, L., K. Vanselow, M. Skogs, Y. Toyoda, E. Lundberg, I. Poser, L.G. Falkenby, M.

Bennetzen, J. Westendorf, E.A. Nigg, M. Uhlen, A.A. Hyman, and J.S. Andersen. 2011.

Novel asymmetrically localizing components of human centrosomes identified by

complementary proteomics methods. *EMBO J.* 30:1520–1535. doi:10.1038/emboj.2011.63.

Keller, L.C., S. Geimer, E. Romijn, J. Yates, I. Zamora, and W.F. Marshall. 2009. Molecular

architecture of the centriole proteome: The conserved WD40 domain protein POC1 is required for centriole duplication and length control. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 20:1150–1166. doi:10.1091/mbc.E08-06-0619.

Keller, L.C., E.P. Romijn, I. Zamora, J.R. Yates, and W.F. Marshall. 2005. Proteomic analysis of isolated Chlamydomonas centrioles reveals orthologs of ciliary-disease genes. *Curr. Biol.* 15:1090–1098. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.05.024.

Kim, K., K. Lee, and K. Rhee. 2012. CEP90 Is Required for the Assembly and Centrosomal Accumulation of Centriolar Satellites, Which Is Essential for Primary Cilia Formation. *PLoS One*. 7:e48196. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048196.

Kim, K., and K. Rhee. 2011. The pericentriolar satellite protein CEP90 is crucial for integrity of the mitotic spindle pole. *J. Cell Sci.* 124:338–347. doi:10.1242/jcs.078329.

Kodani, A., T.W. Yu, J.R. Johnson, D. Jayaraman, T.L. Johnson, L. Al-Gazali, L. Sztriha, J.N. Partlow, H. Kim, A.L. Krup, A. Dammermann, N.J. Krogan, C.A. Walsh, and J.F. Reiter. 2015. Centriolar satellites assemble centrosomal microcephaly proteins to recruit CDK2 and promote centriole duplication. *Elife*. 4:e07519. doi:10.7554/eLife.07519.

Kong, D., N. Sahabandu, C. Sullenberger, A. Vásquez-Limeta, D. Luvsanjav, K. Lukasik, and J. Loncarek. 2020. Prolonged mitosis results in structurally aberrant and over-elongated centrioles. *J. Cell Biol.* 219. doi:10.1083/jcb.201910019.

Lu, Q., C. Insinna, C. Ott, J. Stauffer, P.A. Pintado, J. Rahajeng, U. Baxa, V. Walia, A. Cuenca, Y.S. Hwang, I.O. Daar, S. Lopes, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, P.K. Jackson, S. Caplan, and C.J. Westlake. 2015. Early steps in primary cilium assembly require EHD1/EHD3-dependent ciliary vesicle formation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 17:228–240. doi:10.1038/ncb3109.

Mahjoub, M.R., Z. Xie, and T. Stearns. 2010. Cep120 is asymmetrically localized to the daughter centriole and is essential for centriole assembly. *J. Cell Biol.* 191:331–346. doi:10.1083/jcb.201003009.

Marteil, G., A. Guerrero, A.F. Vieira, B.P. De Almeida, P. Machado, S. Mendonça, M. Mesquita, B. Villarreal, I. Fonseca, M.E. Francia, K. Dores, N.P. Martins, S.C. Jana, E.M. Tranfield, N.L. Barbosa-Morais, J. Paredes, D. Pellman, S.A. Godinho, and M. Bettencourt-Dias. 2018. Over-elongation of centrioles in cancer promotes centriole amplification and chromosome missegregation. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1–17. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03641-x.

Mazo, G., N. Soplop, W.-J. Wang, K. Uryu, and M.-F. Bryan Tsou. 2016. Spatial Control of
Primary Ciliogenesis by Subdistal Appendages Alters Sensation-Associated Properties of
Cilia Developmental Cell Spatial Control of Primary Ciliogenesis by Subdistal Appendages
Alters Sensation-Associated Properties of Cilia. *Dev. Cell*. 39:424–437.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.10.006.

McQuin, C., A. Goodman, V. Chernyshev, L. Kamentsky, B.A. Cimini, K.W. Karhohs, M. Doan,
L. Ding, S.M. Rafelski, D. Thirstrup, W. Wiegraebe, S. Singh, T. Becker, J.C. Caicedo, and
A.E. Carpenter. 2018. CellProfiler 3.0: Next-generation image processing for biology.
PLOS Biol. 16:e2005970. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PBIO.2005970.

Meier, F., A.D. Brunner, S. Koch, H. Koch, M. Lubeck, M. Krause, N. Goedecke, J. Decker, T.
Kosinski, M.A. Park, N. Bache, O. Hoerning, J. Cox, O. Räther, and M. Mann. 2018. Online
parallel accumulation–serial fragmentation (PASEF) with a novel trapped ion mobility mass
spectrometer. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*. 17:2534–2545. doi:10.1074/mcp.TIR118.000900.

Nigg, E.A., and A.J. Holland. 2018. Once and only once: Mechanisms of centriole duplication
and their deregulation in diseases. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 19:297–312.
doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.127.

Odabasi, E., S. Gul, I.H. Kavakli, and E.N. Firat-Karalar. 2019. Centriolar satellites are required
for efficient ciliogenesis and ciliary content regulation. *EMBO Rep.* 20.
doi:10.15252/embr.201947723.

Paintrand, M., M. Moudjou, H. Delacroix, and M. Bornens. 1992. Centrosome organization and
centriole architecture: Their sensitivity to divalent cations. *J. Struct. Biol.* 108:107–128.
doi:10.1016/1047-8477(92)90011-X.

Perez-Riverol, Y., A. Csordas, J. Bai, M. Bernal-Llinares, S. Hewapathirana, D.J. Kundu, A.
Inuganti, J. Griss, G. Mayer, M. Eisenacher, E. Pérez, J. Uszkoreit, J. Pfeuffer, T.
Sachsenberg, Ş. Yilmaz, S. Tiwary, J. Cox, E. Audain, M. Walzer, A.F. Jarnuczak, T.
Ternent, A. Brazma, and J.A. Vizcaíno. 2019. The PRIDE database and related tools and
resources in 2019: Improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47:D442–
D450. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1106.

Prianichnikov, N., H. Koch, S. Koch, M. Lubeck, R. Heilig, S. Brehmer, R. Fischer, and J. Cox.
2020. Maxquant software for ion mobility enhanced shotgun proteomics. *Mol. Cell.*
Proteomics. 19:1058–1069. doi:10.1074/mcp.TIR119.001720.

Prosser, S.L., and L. Pelletier. 2020. Centriolar satellite biogenesis and function in vertebrate
cells. *J. Cell Sci.* 133. doi:10.1242/jcs.239566.

Reiter, J.F., and M.R. Leroux. 2017. Genes and molecular pathways underpinning ciliopathies.
Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 18:533–547. doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.60.

Schmidt, K.N., S. Kuhns, A. Neuner, B. Hub, H. Zentgraf, and G. Pereira. 2012a. Cep164
mediates vesicular docking to the mother centriole during early steps of ciliogenesis. *J. Cell*
Biol. 199:1083–1101. doi:10.1083/jcb.201202126.

Schmidt, K.N., S. Kuhns, A. Neuner, B. Hub, H. Zentgraf, and G. Pereira. 2012b. Cep164
mediates vesicular docking to the mother centriole during early steps of ciliogenesis. *J. Cell*
Biol. 199:1083–1101. doi:10.1083/jcb.201202126.

Schneider, C.A., W.S. Rasband, and K.W. Eliceiri. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
image analysis. *Nat. Methods*. 9:671–675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089.

Shen, Y., H. Wang, Z. Liu, M. Luo, S. Ma, C. Lu, Z. Cao, Y. Yu, R. Cai, C. Chen, Q. Li, H. Gao,
Y. Peng, B. Xu, and X. Ma. 2020. Identification of two novel pathogenic variants of PIBF1
by whole exome sequencing in a 2-year-old boy with Joubert syndrome. *BMC Med. Genet.*
21:192. doi:10.1186/s12881-020-01130-x.

Shi, X., G. Garcia, J.C. Van De Weghe, R. McGorty, G.J. Pazour, D. Doherty, B. Huang, and
J.F. Reiter. 2017. Super-resolution microscopy reveals that disruption of ciliary transition-
zone architecture causes Joubert syndrome. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 19:1178–1188.
doi:10.1038/ncb3599.

Shi, X., Q. Li, Z. Dai, A. Tran, S. Feng, A.D. Ramirez, Z. Lin, X. Wang, T.T. Chow, I.B. Seiple,
and B. Huang. 2019. Label-retention expansion microscopy. *bioRxiv*. 687954.
doi:10.1101/687954.

Sillibourne, J.E., I. Hurbain, T. Grand-Perret, B. Goud, P. Tran, and M. Bornens. 2013. Primary
ciliogenesis requires the distal appendage component Cep123. *Biol. Open*. 2:535–545.
doi:10.1242/bio.20134457.

Singla, V., M. Romaguera-Ros, J.M. Garcia-Verdugo, and J.F. Reiter. 2010. Ofd1, a Human
Disease Gene, Regulates the Length and Distal Structure of Centrioles. *Dev. Cell*. 18:410–
424. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2009.12.022.

Srivastava, S., and D. Panda. 2017. A centrosomal protein FOR20 regulates microtubule

assembly dynamics and plays a role in cell migration. *Biochem. J.* 474:2841–2859.
doi:10.1042/BCJ20170303.

Stephen, J., T. Vilboux, L. Mian, C. Kuptanon, C.M. Sinclair, D. Yildirimli, D.M. Maynard, J.
Bryant, R. Fischer, M. Vemulapalli, J.C. Mullikin, M. Huizing, W.A. Gahl, M.C. V. Malicdan,
and M. Gunay-Aygun. 2017. Mutations in KIAA0753 cause Joubert syndrome associated
with growth hormone deficiency. *Hum. Genet.* 136:399–408. doi:10.1007/s00439-017-
1765-z.

Tanos, B.E., H.J. Yang, R. Soni, W.J. Wang, F.P. Macaluso, J.M. Asara, and M.F.B. Tsou.
2013. Centriole distal appendages promote membrane docking, leading to cilia initiation.
Genes Dev. 27:163–168. doi:10.1101/gad.207043.112.

Teo, G., G. Liu, J. Zhang, A.I. Nesvizhskii, A.C. Gingras, and H. Choi. 2014. SAINTexpress:
Improvements and additional features in Significance Analysis of INTeractome software. *J.*
Proteomics. 100:37–43. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2013.10.023.

Thauvin-Robinet, C., J.S. Lee, E. Lopez, V. Herranz-Pérez, T. Shida, B. Franco, L. Jego, F. Ye,
L. Pasquier, P. Loget, N. Gigot, B. Aral, C.A.M. Lopes, J. St-Onge, A.L. Bruel, J. Thevenon,
S. González-Granero, C. Alby, A. Munnich, M. Vekemans, F. Huet, A.M. Fry, S. Saunier,
J.B. Rivière, T. Attié-Bitach, J.M. Garcia-Verdugo, L. Faivre, A. Mégarbané, and M. V.
Nachury. 2014. The oral-facial-digital syndrome gene C2CD3 encodes a positive regulator
of centriole elongation. *Nat. Genet.* 46:905–911. doi:10.1038/ng.3031.

Tsai, J.J., W. Bin Hsu, J.H. Liu, C.W. Chang, and T.K. Tang. 2019. CEP120 interacts with
C2CD3 and Talpid3 and is required for centriole appendage assembly and ciliogenesis.
Sci. Rep. 9:1–15. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-42577-0.

Viol, L., S. Hata, A. Pastor-Peidro, A. Neuner, F. Murke, P. Wuchter, A.D. Ho, B. Giebel, and G.
Pereira. 2020. Nek2 kinase displaces distal appendages from the mother centriole prior to
mitosis. *J. Cell Biol.* 219. doi:10.1083/jcb.201907136.

Wang, L., M. Failler, W. Fu, and B.D. Dynlacht. 2018. A distal centriolar protein network controls
organelle maturation and asymmetry. *Nat. Commun.* 9. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06286-y.

Wang, L., K. Lee, R. Malonis, I. Sanchez, and B.D. Dynlacht. 2016. Tethering of an E3 ligase by
PCM1 regulates the abundance of centrosomal KIAA0586/Talpid3 and promotes
ciliogenesis. *Elife*. 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.12950.

Wassie, A.T., Y. Zhao, and E.S. Boyden. 2019. Expansion microscopy: principles and uses in
biological research. *Nat. Methods*. 16:33–41. doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0219-4.

Westlake, C.J., L.M. Baye, M. V. Nachury, K.J. Wright, K.E. Ervin, L. Phu, C. Chalouni, J.S.
Beck, D.S. Kirkpatrick, D.C. Slusarski, V.C. Sheffield, R.H. Scheller, and P.K. Jackson.
2011. Primary cilia membrane assembly is initiated by Rab11 and transport protein particle
II (TRAPPII) complex-dependent trafficking of Rabin8 to the centrosome. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. U. S. A. 108:2759–2764. doi:10.1073/pnas.1018823108.

Wheway, G., M. Schmidts, D.A. Mans, K. Szymanska, T.-M.T. Nguyen, H. Racher, I.G. Phelps,
G. Toedt, J. Kennedy, K.A. Wunderlich, N. Sorusch, Z.A. Abdelhamed, S. Natarajan, W.
Herridge, J. van Reeuwijk, N. Horn, K. Boldt, D.A. Parry, S.J.F. Letteboer, S. Roosing, M.
Adams, S.M. Bell, J. Bond, J. Higgins, E.E. Morrison, D.C. Tomlinson, G.G. Slaats, T.J.P.
van Dam, L. Huang, K. Kessler, A. Giessl, C. V. Logan, E.A. Boyle, J. Shendure, S. Anazi,
M. Aldahmesh, S. Al Hazzaa, R.A. Hegele, C. Ober, P. Frosk, A.A. Mhanni, B.N.
Chodirker, A.E. Chudley, R. Lamont, F.P. Bernier, C.L. Beaulieu, P. Gordon, R.T. Pon, C.
Donahue, A.J. Barkovich, L. Wolf, C. Toomes, C.T. Thiel, K.M. Boycott, M. McKibbin, C.F.
Inglehearn, F. Stewart, H. Omran, M.A. Huynen, P.I. Sergouniotis, F.S. Alkuraya, J.S.
Parboosingh, A.M. Innes, C.E. Willoughby, R.H. Giles, A.R. Webster, M. Ueffing, O.
Blacque, J.G. Gleeson, U. Wolfrum, P.L. Beales, T. Gibson, D. Doherty, H.M. Mitchison, R.
Roepman, and C.A. Johnson. 2015. An siRNA-based functional genomics screen for
the identification of regulators of ciliogenesis and ciliopathy genes. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 17:1074–
1087. doi:10.1038/ncb3201.

Winey, M., and E. O'Toole. 2014. Centriole structure. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 369.
doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0457.

Wu, C.T., H.Y. Chen, and T.K. Tang. 2018. Myosin-Va is required for preciliary vesicle
transportation to the mother centriole during ciliogenesis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 20:175–185.
doi:10.1038/s41556-017-0018-7.

Yang, T.T., W.M. Chong, W.J. Wang, G. Mazo, B. Tanos, Z. Chen, T.M.N. Tran, Y. De Chen,
R.R. Weng, C.E. Huang, W.N. Jane, M.F.B. Tsou, and J.C. Liao. 2018. Super-resolution
architecture of mammalian centriole distal appendages reveals distinct blade and matrix
functional components. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1–11. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04469-1.

Ye, X., H. Zeng, G. Ning, J.F. Reiter, and A. Liu. 2014. C2cd3 is critical for centriolar distal

appendage assembly and ciliary vesicle docking in mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*

A. 111:2164–2169. doi:10.1073/pnas.1318737111.

Zimmermann, L., A. Stephens, S.Z. Nam, D. Rau, J. Kübler, M. Lozajic, F. Gabler, J. Söding,

A.N. Lupas, and V. Alva. 2018. A Completely Reimplemented MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit

with a New HHpred Server at its Core. *J. Mol. Biol.* 430:2237–2243.

doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.007.

1010

Fig 1. CEP90 localizes to centriolar satellites and the distal tip of centrioles.

Fig 2. CEP90 forms a complex with OFD1 and MNR at the distal centriole

Fig 3. CEP90 colocalizes with OFD1 and MNR at centriolar satellites and at the distal centriole

Fig 4. CEP90 and MNR are required for ciliogenesis

a WT RPE1 — *CEP90⁻/⁻* RPE1 — *MNR⁻/⁻* RPE1
ARL13B, γ-tubulin, DAPI; 10 μm

b % ciliated cells; 24 h / 72 h / 96 h for WT, *CEP90⁻/⁻*, *MNR⁻/⁻*

c Control — *Cep90⁻/⁻* — *Mnr⁻/⁻*; E9.5

d *Cep90⁺/⁺* — *Cep90⁻/⁻*; E8.5 Embryonic Node; Tubulin^Ac, γ-tubulin, Nuclei

e *Cep90⁺/⁺* — *Cep90⁻/⁻*; E8.5

f *Mnr⁺/⁻* — *Mnr⁻/⁻*; E9.5 Neural tube; ARL13B, FOP, Nuclei; 5 μm

g FL-CEP90 1 ... 757; E89Q, R405Q, D637A; cc domains
CEP90¹⁻³⁶³ 1 ... 363
CEP90³⁶³⁻⁷⁵⁷ 363 ... 757
CEP90^Δ271-363 1 ... 271 363 ... 757

h % ciliated cells; Control, FL, 1-363, 363-757, Δ271-363, E89Q, R405Q, D637A; ***

i mNG / FL-CEP90-mNG / CEP90¹⁻³⁶³-mNG / CEP90³⁶³⁻⁷⁵⁷-mNG; ARL13B, CEP131; 2 μm
CEP90^Δ271-363-mNG / CEP90^E89Q-mNG / CEP90^R405Q-mNG / CEP90^D637A-mNG; ARL13B, CEP131

Fig 5. MNR, but not CEP90 restricts centriole length

a WT RPE1   *CEP90*^{-/-} RPE1   *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1

3D-SIM   CEP162   Tubulin[Ac]   1 µm

b Centriole length (µm) — WT, *CEP90*^{-/-}, *MNR*^{-/-}; ns, ***

c Counts vs Centriole length (µm) — WT, *CEP90*^{-/-}, *MNR*^{-/-}

d WT RPE1   *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1   500 nm

e Centriole length (µm) — WT, *MNR*^{-/-}; **

f WT   *CEP90*^{-/-}   *MNR*^{-/-}

g WT RPE1   *CEP90*^{-/-} RPE1   *MNR*^{-/-} RPE1

3D-SIM   OFD1   γ-tubulin   Tubulin[Ac]   1 µm

h OFD1 intensity (AU) — WT, *CEP90*^{-/-}, *MNR*^{-/-}; ***, ***, ***

Fig 6. CEP90 and MNR are required for the early steps of ciliogenesis

Fig 7. CEP90 and MNR are required for recruitment of distal appendage components to the mother centriole.

Fig 8. MNR recruits CEP90 which recruits CEP83 to build the distal appendage

Supplementary Fig 1. Generation of *CEP90⁻ᐟ⁻*, *MNR⁻ᐟ⁻* and *PCM1⁻ᐟ⁻* RPE1 cell lines

a *CEP90⁺ᐟ⁺* allele: 5'- TAGTTTAGAAACAACAGTTCCTACGGATGATATTTCCTCATCAGAAGAG -3'
CEP90⁺ᐟ⁺ translate : MSRKISKESKKVNISSSLESEDISLETTVPTDDISSSEEREGKVRI----

CEP90⁻ᐟ⁻ allele 1: 5'- TAGTTTAGAAACAACAGTTCCTA--|GATGATATTTCCTCATCAGAAGAG -3'
CEP90⁻ᐟ⁻ allele 1 translate: MSRKISKESKKVNISSSLESEDISLETTVPR*

CEP90⁻ᐟ⁻ allele 2: 5'- TAGTTTAGAAACAACAGTTCCTACG|--TGATATTTCCTCATCAGAAGAG -3'
CEP90⁻ᐟ⁻ allele 2 translate: MSRKISKESKKVNISSSLESEDISLETTVPT*

b *MNR⁺ᐟ⁺* allele: 5'- TTTGATTCCTTCCTTTCCACCCTGTGTCGGGTATTTTATACTTAGTATGTC -3'
MNR⁺ᐟ⁺ allele translate: MGPGQ---SSQKCGHTKYKIPDHRVERKESKSQAACQCSHQPSKVEISSSGAKVY----

MNR⁻ᐟ⁻ allele 1: 5'- TTTGATTCCTTCCTTTCCACCCTGT|-GTCGGGTATTTTATACTTAGTATGTC -3'
MNR⁻ᐟ⁻ allele 1 translate: MGPGQ---SSQKCGHTKYKIPDTGWKGRNQRVKQPVSVATSHPK*

MNR⁻ᐟ⁻ allele 2: 5'- TTTGATTCCTTCCTTTCCACCCTGT|NGGTCGGGTATTTTATACTTAGTATGTC -3'
MNR⁻ᐟ⁻ allele 2 translate:MGPGQ---SSQKCGHTKYKIPDXQGGKEGIKESSSLSV*

c *PCM1⁺ᐟ⁺* allele : 5'- GATCATCAGAAAAGAATAAGAAAAAGTTTGGTGTAGAAAGTGATAAAAGAG -3'
PCM1⁺ᐟ⁺ allele translate: MATGGGPFEDGMNDQDLPNWSNENVDDRLNNMDWGAQQKKANRSSEKNKKKFGVES---

PCM1⁻ᐟ⁻ allele : 5'- GATCATCAGAAAAGAATAAGAAAAA|NGTTTGGTGTAGAAAGTGATAAAAGAG -3'
PCM1⁻ᐟ⁻ allele translate: MATGGGPFEDGMNDQDLPNWSNENVDDRLNNMDWGAQQKKANRSSEKNKKXVWCRK*

<div style="color:green">PAM site</div>
<div style="color:blue">Cut site</div>
- = deletion
N = insertion
* = stop codon

Supplementary Fig 2. Overexpressed MNR localizes to microtubules, and recruits endogenous OFD1

Supplementary Fig 3. CEP90 and MNR regulate distal appendage assembly independent of Talpid3 recruitment and removal of Centrobin.

Supplementary Fig 4. Serial section TEM of WT and *Cep90*⁻/⁻ MEF cells.

Supplementary Fig 5. Serial section TEM of WT and *MNR*⁻ᐟ⁻ RPE1 cells.

Serial sections →

Supplementary Fig 6. Components of sub-distal appendages are recruited to the mother centriole in the absence of CEP90 and MNR

Supplementary Fig 7. Centriolar satellites are dispensable for distal appendage assembly at the mother centriole

Table 1. List of primary antibodies used in this study for immunofluorescence (IF) and western blotting (WB)

Antibody	Host	Source	Catalog Number	Dilution/Fixation (IF)	Dilution (WB)
CEP90	Rabbit	Proteintech	14413-1-AP		1:500
CEP90	Rabbit	Kunsoo Rhee[1]		1:500/MeOH	
MNR	Rat	Olivier Rosnet[2]		1:500/MeOH	
MNR	Rabbit	Novus Biologicals	NBP1-90929		1:500
OFD1	Rabbit	Novus Biologicals	NBP1-89355	1:500/MeOH	1:500
FOPNL	Rabbit	Sigma Prestige	HPA040599	1:100/MeOH	
CEP164	Goat	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	sc-240226	1:100/MeOH	
CEP164	Mouse	Novus Biologicals	NBP2-43707		1:2000
γ-tubulin	Mouse	Sigma GTU-88	T6557	1:500/MeOH	
Tubulin^Ac	Rabbit	Cell Signaling Technology	5335T	1:2000/MeOH	
Tubulin^Ac	Mouse	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	sc-23950 AF647	1:100/MeOH	
α-tubulin	Mouse	Sigma Aldrich	T5168	1:1000/PFA	
β-actin	Mouse	Proteintech	6008-1-Ig		1:100,000
GAPDH	Mouse	Proteintech	60004-1-Ig		1:100,000
FBF1	Rabbit	Proteintech	11531-1-AP	1:100/MeOH	
ANKRD26	Rabbit	GeneTex	GTX128255	1:100/MeOH	
CEP83	Rabbit	Sigma Prestige	HPA038161	1:100/MeOH	
CENPF	Mouse	BD Biosciences	610768	1:100/MeOH	
Myo-Va	Rabbit	Novus Biologicals	NBP1-92156	1:100/MeOH	
CP110	Mouse	Millipore	MABT1354	1:100/MeOH	
CEP97	Rabbit	Proteintech	22050-1-AP	1:100/MeOH	
IFT88	Rabbit	Proteintech	13967-1-AP	1:100/MeOH	
FOP	Rabbit	Proteintech	11343-1-AP	1:500/MeOH/ PFA	
PCM1	Rabbit	Proteintech	19856-1-AP		1:500
PCM1	Mouse	Santacruz	sc-398365	1:100/MeOH	
Talpid3	Rabbit	Proteintech	24421-1-AP	1:100/MeOH	
Centrobin	Mouse	Abcam	Ab70448	1:100/MeOH	
Ninein	Rabbit	Michel Bornens	L79	1:200/MeOH	
ODF2	Mouse	Novus Biologicals	H00004957-M01	1:100/MeOH	
CEP170	Mouse	ThermoFisher	72-413-1	1:100/ MeOH	
Myc-tag	Goat	Novus Biologicals	NB600-335	1:500/PFA	
Arl13b	Rabbit	Proteintech	177100-1-AP	1:500/MeOH/ PFA	
mNeonGreen	Mouse	Chromotek	32F6	1:500/PFA	
Talpid3	Rabbit	Proteintech	24421-1-AP	1:100/MeOH	
CEP162	Rabbit	Sigma Prestige	HPA030170	1:100/MeOH	
CEP131	Guinea pig	Kodani et al.,2015[3]		1:100/PFA/ MeOH	