The SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling assemblies BAF and PBAF differentially regulate cell cycle exit and cellular invasion *in vivo*

Jayson J. Smith[1], Yutong Xiao[1], Nithin Parsan[2], Michael A. Q. Martinez[1], Frances E. Q. Moore[1], Nicholas J. Palmisano[1], Abraham Q. Kohrman[3], Mana Chandhok Delos Reyes[4], Rebecca C. Adikes[1], Taylor N. Medwig-Kinney[1], Simeiyun Liu[1,5], Sydney A. Bracht[1,6], Wan Zhang[1], Kailong Wen[7,8], Paschalis Kratsios[7,8], David Q. Matus[1,9]

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

[2]Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

[3]Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

[4]10 Grant St., Somerville, MA 02145, USA

[5]Molecular, Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, 95064 USA

[6]Department of Cell Biology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

[7]The Grossman Institute for Neuroscience, Quantitative Biology, and Human Behavior, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

[8]Department of Neurobiology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

[9]Lead Contact

[*]Correspondence: david.matus@stonybrook.edu

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Cellular invasion through the basement membrane by the *C. elegans* anchor cell requires both BAF and PBAF SWI/SNF assemblies to arrest the cell cycle and promote the expression of pro-invasive genes.

SUMMARY

Chromatin remodeling complexes, such as the SWItching defective/Sucrose Non-Fermenting (SWI/SNF) ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex, coordinate metazoan development through broad regulation of chromatin accessibility and transcription, ensuring normal cell cycle control and cellular differentiation in a lineage-specific and temporally restricted manner. Mutations in the structural subunits of chromatin, such as histone subunits, and chromatin regulating factors (CRFs) are associated with a variety of diseases including cancer metastasis, which co-opts cellular invasion programs functioning in healthy cells during development. Here we utilize *Caenorhabditis elegans* anchor cell (AC) invasion as an *in vivo* model to identify the suite of chromatin agents and CRFs that promote cellular invasiveness. We demonstrate that the SWI/SNF ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex is a critical regulator of AC invasion, with pleiotropic effects on both G_0 cell cycle arrest and activation of invasive machinery. Using targeted protein degradation and RNA interference (RNAi), we show that SWI/SNF contributes to AC invasion in a dose-dependent fashion, with lower levels of activity in the AC corresponding to aberrant cell cycle entry and increased loss of invasion. Specifically, we implicate the SWI/SNF BAF assembly in the regulation of the

cell cycle, whereas our data suggests that the SWI/SNF PBAF assembly promotes AC attachment to the basement membrane (BM) and promotes the activation of the invasive machinery. Together these findings demonstrate that the SWI/SNF complex is necessary for two essential components of AC invasion: arresting cell cycle progression and remodeling the BM. The work here provides valuable single-cell mechanistic insight into how the SWI/SNF assemblies differentially contribute to cellular invasion and how SWI/SNF subunit-specific disruptions may contribute to tumorigeneses and cancer metastasis.

Keywords: Chromatin regulating factors, chromatin remodeling, SWI/SNF, BAF, PBAF, cell invasion, cell cycle exit, *C. elegans*

INTRODUCTION

Cellular invasion through basement membranes (BMs) is a critical step in metazoan development and is important for human health and fitness. Early in hominid development, trophoblasts must invade into the maternal endometrium for proper blastocyst implantation (1). In the context of immunity, leukocytes become invasive upon injury or infection to travel between the bloodstream and interstitial tissues (2,3). Atypical activation of invasive behavior is associated with a variety of diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis wherein fibroblast-like synoviocytes adopt invasive cellular behavior, leading to the expansion of arthritic damage to previously unaffected joints (4,5). In addition, aberrant activation of cell invasion is one of the hallmarks of cancer metastasis (6).

A variety of *in vitro* and *in vivo* models have been developed to study the process of cellular invasion at the genetic and cellular levels. *In vitro* invasion assays typically involve 3D hydrogel lattices, such as Matrigel, through which cultured metastatic cancer cells will invade in response to chemo-attractants (7). Recently, microfluidic systems have been integrated with collagen matrices to improve these *in vitro* investigations of cellular invasion (8). While *in vitro* invasion models provide an efficient means to study the mechanical aspects of cellular invasion, they are currently unable to replicate the complex microenvironment in which cells must invade during animal development and disease. A variety of *in vivo* invasion models have been studied, including cancer xenograft models in mouse (9–11) and zebrafish (12,13), each having their respective benefits and drawbacks. Over the past ~15 years, *Caenorhabditis elegans* anchor cell (AC) invasion

has emerged as a powerful alternative model due to its visually tractable single-cell nature (**Fig 1 A**) (14).

Previous work demonstrated a high degree of evolutionary conservation in the cell-autonomous mechanisms underlying BM invasion (3,15), including basolateral polarization of the F-actin cytoskeleton and cytoskeletal regulators and the expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) (16–21). Moreover, we have also previously shown that in order to breach the BM, the AC requires the expression of transcription factors (TFs), whose human homologs are common to metastatic cancers, including *egl-43* (EVI1/MEL), *fos*-1 (FOS), *hlh-2* (E/Daughterless), and *nhr-67* (TLX/Tailless) (22) (**Fig 1 B**). In addition to the expression of pro-invasive genes, there is increasing evidence that cells must also arrest in the cell cycle to adopt an invasive phenotype (23). Our previous work has demonstrated that the AC must terminally differentiate and arrest in the G_0/G_1 phase of the cell cycle to invade the BM and make contact with the underlying primary vulval precursor cells (1° VPCs) (22,24). The regulatory mechanisms that couple G_0/G_1 cell cycle arrest with the ability of a cell to invade the BM remain unclear.

Cell-extrinsic and cell-intrinsic factors, such as chromatin remodeling complexes and TFs, can influence the decision to maintain a plastic cell fate or to undergo cell fate specification and terminal differentiation. The determination of a cell to remain plastic or specify is in part the consequence of a complex, genome-wide antagonism between Polycomb group (PcG) transcriptional repression and Trithorax group (TrxG) transcriptional activation (25–27). For example, the binding of pioneer transcription factors OCT4 and SOX2 to target DNA in order to retain pluripotency in murine embryonic stem cells is the indirect consequence of the regulation of chromatin accessibility at these

target regions (28). A recent study has shown that chromatin accessibility of enhancers in crucial cell cycle genes which promote the G_1/S transition, including Cyclin E and E2F transcription factor 1, is developmentally restricted to reinforce terminal differentiation and cell cycle exit during *Drosophila melanogaster* pupal wing morphogenesis (29). In *C. elegans* myogenesis, the SWItching defective/Sucrose Non-Fermenting (SWI/SNF) ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex, a member of the TrxG family of complexes, both regulates the expression of the MyoD transcription factor (*hlh-1*) and acts redundantly to promote differentiation and G_0 cell cycle arrest with core cell cycle regulators: cullin 1 (CUL1/*cul-1)*, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1 (*cki-1)*, FZR1 (*fzr*-1), and the RB transcriptional corepressor (RBL1/*lin*-35). The importance of regulation of chromatin states and the activity of CRFs for the acquisition and implementation of differentiated behaviors is also reflected in the *C. elegans* AC, as previous work has shown that the histone deacetylase *hda-1* (HDAC1/2) is required for pro-invasive gene expression and therefore differentiated cellular behavior and invasion of the underlying BM (24) (**Fig 1 B**). Given these findings, a comprehensive investigation of the regulatory mechanism(s) governing AC invasion should include a thorough description of the suite of CRFs required for G_0/G_1 cell cycle arrest and invasive differentiation in the AC.

In this study we perform an RNA interference (RNAi) screen of *C. elegans* CRFs, specifically focusing on genes involved in chromatin structure and remodeling or histone modification. We identify 82 genes whose RNAi depletion phenotype resulted in a significant AC invasion defect. Among the CRFs identified as significant regulators of AC invasion, the SWI/SNF complex emerged as the most well-represented single chromatin remodeling complex. RNAi knockdown of subunits specific to the SWI/SNF core (*swsn-1*

and *snfc-5/swsn-5*), and both BAF (BRG/BRM-Associated Factors; *swsn-8/let-526*) and PBAF (Polybromo Associated BAF; *pbrm-1* and *swsn-7*) assemblies resulted in penetrant loss of AC invasion. We generated fluorescent reporter knock-in alleles of subunits of the core (*GFP::swsn-4*) and BAF (*swsn-8::GFP*) assembly of the SWI/SNF complex using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering. These alleles, used in conjunction with an endogenously labeled PBAF (*pbrm-1::eGFP*) assembly subunit, enabled us to both determine the developmental dynamics of the SWI/SNF complex core and assembly subunits, and to gauge the efficiency of various knockdown strategies. Using improved RNAi constructs and an anti-GFP nanobody, we demonstrated that the cell autonomous contribution of the SWI/SNF complex to AC invasion is dose dependent. This finding parallels similar studies in cancer (30–33) and *C. elegans* mesoblast development (34). Examination using a CDK activity sensor (35) revealed assembly-specific contributions to AC invasion: whereas BAF promotes AC invasion in a cell cycle-dependent manner, PBAF contributes to invasion in a cell cycle-independent manner. Finally, we utilized the auxin-inducible degron (AID) system combined with PBAF RNAi to achieve strong PBAF subunit depletion in the AC, which resulted in loss of both AC invasion and adhesion to the BM. Together, these findings provide insight into how the SWI/SNF complex assemblies may contribute to distinct aspects of proliferation and metastasis in human malignancies.

RESULTS

An RNAi screen of 269 CRFs identified SWI/SNF as a key regulator of AC invasion

To identify the suite of CRFs that, along with *hda-1,* contribute to AC invasion, we generated an RNAi sub-library of 269 RNAi clones from the complete Vidal and Ahringer RNAi libraries (36,37) targeting genes implicated in chromatin state, chromatin remodeling, or histone modification (**Table S1**) (**Fig 1 B**). Because CRFs act globally to control gene expression, we screened each RNAi clone by high-resolution differential interference contrast (DIC) and epifluorescence microscopy in a uterine-specific RNAi hypersensitive background containing labeled BM (*laminin::GFP*) and an AC reporter (*cdh-3>PH::mCherry*) (**Fig 1 A; Table S1**) (14,22,24,38). This genetic background allowed us to limit the effect of transcriptional knockdown of CRFs to only affect the AC and the neighboring uterine tissue, and only for a time period following the specification of the AC (38). In wild-type animals, by the time the 1˚ fated P6.p vulval precursor cell has divided twice (P6.p 4-cell stage), 100% of ACs have successfully breached the underlying BMs and made contact with the P6.p grand-daughters (14). While the AC also always invades in the uterine-specific RNAi hypersensitive strain we utilized for our CRF screen, there is a low penetrance delay, such that at the P6.p 4-cell stage, when we scored invasion, 2% (2/100 animals) still had an intact BM. Thus, we used this baseline defect as a statistical reference point for this genetic background and define the cut-off threshold for significant defects in invasion from RNAi depletion of CRFs in our screen as those RNAi clones that resulted in a ~13% or greater defect in invasion (4/30 animals, Fisher's exact test = 0.0252). By this threshold, we recovered 82 CRFs (30.5% of total CRFs screened) that significantly regulate AC invasion, suggesting a general role for regulation of chromatin states in the acquisition of invasive behavior (**Table S2**). Interestingly, five subunits of the broadly conserved SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling

complex were recovered as significant regulators of AC invasion: *swsn-1*(SMARCC1/SMARCC2; 23% AC invasion defect), *swsn-5/snfc-5* (SMARCB1; 20% AC invasion defect), *swsn-7* (ARID2; 23% AC invasion defect), *swsn-8/let-526* (ARID1A/ARID1B; 23% AC invasion defect), and *pbrm-1* (PBRM1; 20% AC invasion defect) (**Table S2**). As such, SWI/SNF is overrepresented in our CRF screen, with representation of the core (*swsn-1* and *swsn-5*), BAF (*swsn-8*) and PBAF (*pbrm-1* and *swsn-7*) assemblies. Given the prevalence of SWI/SNF subunits recovered as significant regulators of AC invasion in our RNAi screen and the crucial role SWI/SNF plays in the regulation of animal development (39–44), tumorigenesis (31,45–47), and cell cycle control (34,48–51), we chose to focus our efforts on characterizing the role of the SWI/SNF complex in promoting AC invasion.

To confirm our RNAi results implicating the SWI/SNF complex in the promotion of AC invasion, we obtained two temperature sensitive hypomorphic alleles, *swsn-1(os22)* and *swsn-4(os13)* (40), and scored for defects in AC invasion in a genetic background containing both BM (*laminin::GFP*) and AC (*cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD*) reporters. While we observed no defects in AC invasion in animals grown at the permissive temperature (15°C) (**Fig S1 A**), animals containing hypomorphic alleles for core subunits *swsn-1* and *swsn-4* cultured at the restrictive temperature (25°C) displayed defects in 20% (10/50) and 24% (12/50) of animals, respectively. These results corroborated our data with *swsn-1(RNAi)* from the CRF RNAi screen and suggest that AC invasion also depends on the expression of *swsn-4*, the *C. elegans* SWI/SNF ATPase subunit (**Fig S1 B**).

Improved RNAi vectors revealed distinct contributions of SWI/SNF subunits to AC invasion

BAF and PBAF are the two primary SWI/SNF assemblies in *C. elegans*. Both assemblies consist of core subunits (*swsn-1, swsn-4, swsn-5*) and accessory subunits (*dpff-1*, *swsn-2.1/ham-3, swsn-2.2, swsn-3, swsn-6,* and *phf-10*), collectively referred to as common factors (45,52). These common factors are bound by assembly-specific subunits in a mutually exclusive manner, which confers the distinct character of each of the two assemblies (**Fig 2 A**). Due to the absence of thorough biochemical investigation into the SWI/SNF complex in *C. elegans*, previous publications have classified subunits as part of the SWI/SNF core, accessory, or BAF/PBAF assemblies based on homology and phenotypic analyses (34,42,51,53). To date, the prevailing model for the two SWI/SNF assemblies in *C. elegans* is that either the SWSN-8 subunit associates with common factors to form the BAF assembly, or the SWSN-7, SWSN-9, and PBRM-1 subunits associate with common factors to form the PBAF assembly (42,53,54). Prior investigations into SWI/SNF have revealed a wide array of developmental contexts in which the BAF and PBAF assemblies have overlapping and distinct roles in the regulation of cell cycle control, differentiation, and differentiated behavior (34,51,53,55–59).

First, we generated improved RNAi constructs utilizing the T444T vector to target representative subunits of the core and both SWI/SNF assemblies to investigate the contribution of individual SWI/SNF subunits to AC invasion and to distinguish potentially distinct roles of the BAF and PBAF assemblies (60) (**Table S3**). Knockdown of SWI/SNF subunits in whole-body RNAi sensitive animals following treatment with T444T RNAi vectors resulted in penetrant loss of invasion. The majority of ACs failed to invade

following treatment with RNAi targeting the core SWI/SNF ATPase subunit *swsn-4* or core subunit *swsn-1* (90% and 94%, respectively; n=50 animals; **Fig 2 B, E**). RNAi-mediated knockdown of the BAF assembly subunit *swsn-8* also resulted in significant loss of AC invasion (32%; n=50 animals; **Fig 2 C, E**). Knockdown of the PBAF assembly subunits with *pbrm-1(RNAi), swsn-7(RNAi)*, or *swsn-9(RNAi)* resulted in a less penetrant loss of AC invasion (18%, 20%, and 12%, respectively; n=50 animals; **Fig 2 D, E**). Interestingly, RNAi-mediated knockdown of *swsn-1*, *swsn-4* or *swsn-8* also resulted in multiple uterine cells expressing the AC reporter (*cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD*) which failed to invade the BM (*laminin::GFP*) (32%, 30% and 8%, respectively; **Fig 2 B-C, E**). In all instances where more than one cell expressed the AC reporter, no breach in the underlying BM was detected at the P6.p 4-cell stage. In contrast, only single non-invasive ACs were evident following RNAi treatment targeting PBAF assembly subunits (**Fig 2 D-E**). These results suggest that the SWI/SNF assemblies BAF and PBAF may promote AC invasion through regulation of both a cell cycle-dependent and -independent mechanism, respectively.

Characterization of endogenous GFP reporter alleles and the efficacy of improved SWI/SNF RNAi vectors

Next, to confirm expression of SWI/SNF subunits in the AC and to quantitatively assess the potency of our SWI/SNF RNAi vectors we utilized CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering to generate GFP-tagged alleles of *swsn-4* and *swsn-8*, inserting a codon-optimized GFP tag into the 5' end and 3' end of the *swsn-4* and *swsn-8* loci, respectively (**Fig S2 A**) (61). The GFP-tagged endogenous strains showed ubiquitous and nuclear-localized expression of GFP::SWSN-4 and SWSN-8::GFP throughout the *C. elegans*

developmental life cycle (**Fig S2 B**). We also obtained a strain containing an endogenously eGFP-labeled PBAF subunit (*pbrm-1::eGFP*) from the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center (CGC). We quantified fluorescence protein expression of SWI/SNF core ATPase (GFP::SWSN-4), BAF (SWSN-8::GFP), and PBAF (PBRM-1::eGFP) subunits in the AC during vulval development across the L3 and early L4 stages, as defined by the division pattern of the 1°-fated VPCs (14) (n≥28 animals per stage; **Fig S3 A-C'**). Expression of all three subunits was enhanced in the AC relative to the neighboring ventral uterine (VU; *swsn-4*: 18%, *swsn-8*: 21%, *pbrm-1*: 17% enhanced) and 1° VPC (*swsn-4*: 30%, *swsn-8*: 38%, *pbrm-1*: 23% enhanced) lineages during AC invasion (P6.p 2 cell – 4 cell stage, **Fig S3 A'-C'**). Late in vulval development at the P6.p 8 cell stage, expression of GFP::SWSN-4 and PBRM-1::eGFP increases in the 1° VPCs and is no longer statistically separable from expression in the AC, whereas expression of SWSN-8::GFP in the VPCs remains significantly lower than in the AC (**Fig S3 A'-C'**).

We treated SWI/SNF endogenously labeled GFP-tagged strains with our RNAi vectors to precisely quantify the efficiency of RNAi-mediated knockdown of target SWI/SNF complex subunits and to correlate this loss with the resulting AC phenotypes. Treatment with either *swsn-4(RNAi)* or *swsn-8(RNAi)* vectors resulted in robust depletion of fluorescence expression of GFP::SWSN-4 (94% depletion) and SWSN-8::GFP (81% depletion) in the AC (**Fig S4 A-B, D**) and penetrant loss of invasion (90% and 30%, respectively; n=30 animals for each condition; **Fig S4 E**). We also noted instances where multiple cells expressed the AC reporter (23% and 10%, respectively; n=30 animals for each condition; **Fig S4 E**). Treatment of the PBRM-1::eGFP strain with *pbrm-1(RNAi)* revealed weaker but significant knockdown of PBRM-1 protein (49% depletion), and a

lower penetrance of invasion defects (17%; n=30 animals; **Fig S4 C-E**). Though it remains unclear why PBRM-1::eGFP endogenous protein level in the AC of animals treated with enhanced *pbrm-1(RNAi)* remains considerably higher compared to treatment of strains containing *swsn-4* or *swsn-8* reporter alleles with their respective RNAis, we hypothesize that this disparity is the consequence of differential protein perdurance. Altogether, these results confirmed the differential expression of SWI/SNF core, BAF, and PBAF subunits in the AC and demonstrated the effectiveness of our improved SWI/SNF-targeting RNAi vectors.

The SWI/SNF ATPase SWSN-4 provides dose-dependent regulation of AC invasion

The degree to which the SWI/SNF complex contributes to tumorigenesis in clinical settings has been linked to the dose of functional SWI/SNF ATPase in precancerous and transformed cells (31,33,62). Previous work in *C. elegans* has demonstrated a similar dose dependent relationship between SWI/SNF and cell cycle control (34). To determine whether the phenotypic dosage sensitivity seen in cancer and *C. elegans* mesodermal (M) cell development is also characteristic of SWI/SNF in the promotion of AC invasion (63), we modulated expression of GFP::SWSN-4 using a combination of RNAi-mediated knockdown and AC specific GFP-targeting nanobody technology.

Though RNAi treatment targeting the *swsn-4* subunit in the endogenously-tagged strain resulted in significant knockdown of fluorescence expression of GFP::SWSN-4 in the AC, loss of expression was noted in many other tissues in treated animals, including the 1° VPCs, which contribute to AC invasion non-autonomously (14,64) (**Fig S4**). Thus, to limit loss of expression to the AC, we used an anti-GFP nanobody driven with tissue-

specific promoters to achieve lineage-restricted protein depletion (63) (**Fig 3**). We generated two anti-GFP nanobody constructs, using conserved *cis*-regulatory elements from the *cdh-3* and *egl-43* promoters (22,24,38,65,66) and introduced them into a strain containing the endogenous GFP-tagged allele of *swsn-4* as well as background AC and BM reporters (**Fig 3 B-C**). The *cdh-3*-driven nanobody transgene (*cdh-3>antiGFP-nanobody*) resulted in a weak reduction of GFP::SWSN-4 levels with no significant difference in fluorescence expression in the AC compared to wildtype animals (5.6% depletion; n=80 animals; **Fig 3 F**); however, it expressed specifically in the AC and resulted in defective AC invasion, suggesting partial loss of function (20.6% AC invasion defect; n=102; **Fig 3 B, G**). In contrast, the *egl-43>antiGFP-nanobody* expressed highly in the AC but also in the neighboring ventral and dorsal uterine (VU/DU) cells (**Fig 3 C**, asterisk) (22,38,65). As the AC invades independently of VU/DU cells (14), anti-GFP expression in these tissues should not affect AC invasion. Similar to animals treated with *swsn-4(RNAi)* (**Fig 3 D**), *egl-43>antiGFP-nanobody*-mediated protein depletion of GFP::SWSN-4 resulted in a significant loss of fluorescence expression in the AC (71.2% GFP depletion; n=80 animals; **Fig 3 C, F**) as well as a penetrant loss of invasion and a low frequency of animals with multiple cells expressing the AC reporter (88.2% AC invasion defect, 2.9% multiple AC phenotype; n=101 animals; **Fig 3 G**). These results support our uterine-specific SWI/SNF RNAi results and further suggest a cell-autonomous role for the SWI/SNF complex in promoting cell invasion and cell cycle arrest.

In an effort to further deplete *swsn-4* expression in the AC, we treated transgenic *egl-43>antiGFP-nanobody* animals with *swsn-4(RNAi)* (**Fig 3 E**). Strikingly, in this combination knockdown strategy, 100% of AC invasion was lost and the frequency of

multiple cells expressing the AC specification reporter drastically increased relative to treatment with *swsn-4(RNAi)* or the *egl-43*-driven anti-GFP nanobody conditions alone (83% multiple AC phenotype; n=41 animals; **Fig 3 G**). Together, these results demonstrate a phenotypic spectrum that corresponds to successive loss of *swsn-4* in the AC: whereas moderate loss of the ATPase results in single non-invasive ACs in animals containing the *egl-43>antiGFP-nanobody*, strong loss of expression in the *egl-43>antiGFP-nanobody* background or following treatment with *swsn-4(RNAi)* results in animals with both single and multiple non-invasive ACs; in the strongest knockdown condition – *egl-43>antiGFP-nanobody* animals treated with *swsn-4(RNAi)* - multiple non-invasive ACs were present per animal with near complete penetrance. Though the combination of *swsn-4(RNAi)* and antiGFP-nanobody-mediated depletion resulted in robust loss of expression of the core ATPase of the SWI/SNF complex, the fluorescence expression was not significantly different than treatment with *swsn-4(RNAi)* alone (92.6% vs. 91.6% GFP depletion, respectively; n≥41 animals for each treatment; **Fig 3 G**); therefore we theorize that the fluorescence values were beyond our threshold ability to quantify based on the fluorescence detection limits of our imaging system. Altogether, our data demonstrated that in the AC, the ATPase of the SWI/SNF complex contributes to invasion cell-autonomously and in a dose-dependent manner: moderate loss of expression produced non-invasive single ACs while extreme loss of expression led to a non-invasive hyperproliferative state.

Improved *swsn-4(RNAi)* vector is sufficient to recapitulate null phenotype in M lineage

A recent study focusing on cell cycle control of SWI/SNF throughout *C. elegans* muscle and epithelial differentiation demonstrated tissue and lineage-specific phenotypes following weak or strong loss of core SWI/SNF subunits (32). Within the M lineage that gives rise to posterior body wall muscles (BWMs), coelomocytes (CCs), and reproductive muscles or sex myoblast (SMs) descendants, different cell types responded differently to loss of SWI/SNF. In the BWM, strong loss of SWI/SNF resulted in hyperproliferation, similar to the phenotype we detect in the AC. The opposite is true in the SM lineage, where modest knockdown of *swsn-4* resulted in hyperproliferation while complete loss of *swsn-4* expression resulted in a null phenotype where SMs failed to divide and arrest in S phase (34). We next sought to validate the strength of our enhanced *swsn-4(RNAi)* vector by examining proliferative state in the SMs. To accomplish this, we treated animals containing a lineage-restricted cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) activity sensor (*unc-62>DHB::2xmKate2*) with *swsn-4(RNAi)* (**Fig S5 A**). In this genetic background, we determined the number (**Fig S5 B**) and cell cycle state (**Fig S5 C**) of SM cells at a time when the majority of SMs in control animals had finished cycling and subsequently differentiated (late P6.p 8 cell stage; 16 SM cell stage). Animals treated with *swsn-4(RNAi)* had significantly fewer SM cells than controls (mean SMs/animals = 5; n=31 animals; **Fig S5 B**) with many instances of SMs that failed to enter a single round of cell division (n=20 single SMs out of 43 animals). Interestingly, 27.9% (12/43) of animals treated with *swsn-4(RNAi)* were absent of SMs on either the left or the right side, whereas 100% (30/30) control animals had SMs on both sides, which may indicate a defect in specification, early cell division, and/or migration of SMs. To quantify cell cycle state, we measured localization of an SM-specific CDK sensor, which uses a fragment of

mammalian DNA Helicase B (DHB) fused to two copies of mKate2 (35,67). In cells with low CDK activity that are quiescent or post-mitotic, the ratiometric CDK sensor is strongly nuclear localized (35,66,67). In cycling cells with increasing CDK activity, the CDK sensor progressively translocates from the nucleus to the cytosoplasm, with a ratio approaching 1.0 in S phase and >1 in cells in G_2 (35). Thus, the cytoplasmic:nuclear (C/N) ratio of DHB::2xmKate2 can serve as a proxy to identify cell cycle state. Quantification of the cell cycle state in single SMs that fail to ever divide in the *swsn-4(RNAi)* condition resulted in a mean C/N ratio indicative of arrest in S phase (35) (Avg. C/N ratio = 0.803; n=20 SMs; **Fig S5 C**), whereas the majority of SMs in the control condition were differentiating and arrested in a G_0 cell cycle state (mean C/N ratio=0.320; n=90 SMs; **Fig S5 C**). Together, these results suggested that the strength of our enhanced *swsn-4(RNAi)* targeting vector is sufficient to recapitulate the *swsn-4* null condition in the SM lineage, as we detected both the hypoproliferative phenotype and S-phase arrest that was observed using a lineage-restricted catalytically inactive SWI/SNF ATPase (34).

The BAF assembly contributes to AC invasion via regulation of G_0 cell cycle arrest

Having established that strong depletion of the SWI/SNF complex results in a fully penetrant defect in AC invasion with a high percentage of animals possessing multiple, non-invasive ACs (**Fig 3**), we next sought to determine whether the extra ACs observed were the result of inappropriate AC proliferation (22,66). To determine whether the SWI/SNF complex is required for G_0/G_1 cell cycle arrest in the AC, we quantified CDK activity in the AC using a ubiquitously expressed *rps-27*>DHB::GFP transgene paired with AC (*cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD*) and BM (*laminin::GFP*) reporters in live animals following

RNAi-mediated knockdown of SWI/SNF core (*swsn-4*), BAF (*swsn-8*), and PBAF (*pbrm-1*) subunits (**Fig 4**). In wild-type invasive ACs, we observed strong nuclear localization of the CDK sensor and quantified a cytoplasmic/nuclear (C/N) ratio indicative of G_0/G_1 arrest (mean C/N ratio: 0.226+/-0.075, n=67 animals) (**Fig 4 A, F**). In order to distinguish whether the wild-type AC C/N ratio is actually indicative of G_0 rather than G_1 arrest, we quantified the CDK activity in the neighboring uterine Pi cells at the P6.p 8 cell 1° VPC stage following their terminal division to establish a G_0 reference point (68,69) (mean C/N ratio: 0.206+/-0.078, n=30 animals) (**Fig 4 E-F**). We found no statistically significant difference between the CDK activity of terminal Pi cells and wild-type invading ACs, suggesting that the wild-type AC exists in a CDK^{low} G_0, pro-invasive state (**Fig 4 A, E-F**). In animals treated with *pbrm-1(RNAi)*, the CDK sensor also localized principally in the nucleus of ACs that failed to invade (mean C/N ratio: 0.157+/-0.063, n=41 animals) and only a single non-invasive AC was observed (**Fig 4 D, F**). In contrast, following treatment with *swsn-8*(*RNAi*) the majority of ACs that failed to invade the BM were in the G_1/S phases of the cell cycle based on CDK sensor ratiometric quantification (mean C/N ratio: 0.636+/-0.204, n=21 animals) (**Fig 4 C, F**). Loss of expression of the core ATPase of the SWI/SNF complex through treatment with *swsn-4*(*RNAi*) resulted in variable localization of the CDK-sensor and a broad range of C/N ratios (C/N ratio min: 0.240, C/N ratio max: 1.140, mean C/N ratio: 0.566+/-0.205; n=40 animals) in animals with single or multiple non-invasive ACs (**Fig 4 B, F**). Importantly, 14% (8/58) of ACs that failed to invade the BM following *swsn-4(RNAi)* treatment were present in the G_0 phase (C/N ratio < 0.3) of the cell cycle (**Fig 4 B, E**). This finding reemphasizes the dependence of both SWI/SNF BAF and PBAF assemblies on the core ATPase of the complex, as the distribution of cell

cycle states in ACs following *swsn-4(RNAi)* treatment represents both the cell cycle-dependent and cell cycle-independent phenotypes seen in ACs deficient in the *swsn-8* and *pbrm-1* subunits, respectively.

While previous work in our lab, based on localization of a DNA licensing factor, CDT-1, has demonstrated indirectly that ACs must arrest in a G_0/G_1 cell cycle state (22,24), we lacked a sensitive enough tool to distinguish between these two interphase states. From our recent work utilizing a CDK sensor to examine the proliferation-quiescence decision in *C. elegans*, we can distinguish between pre-terminal cells in the somatic gonad in G_1 (mean C/N ratio: 0.67+/-0.10) as compared to terminally differentiated G_0 uterine cells (mean C/N ratio: 0.30+/-0.11) (35). Here, we compare CDK activity measurements in the ACs of control animals with that of the terminal Pi lineage to provide the first quantitative demonstration that ACs arrest in a CDK^{low} G_0 state in order to invade (**Fig 4 A, E, F**). Furthermore, by combining the CDK sensor with loss of SWI/SNF subunits, our data indicate that the SWI/SNF BAF assembly is specifically responsible for regulation of G_0 cell cycle arrest in the AC.

Forced G_0 arrest through ectopic CKI-1 rescues invasive potential in BAF-deficient but not PBAF-deficient ACs

We have previously proposed and characterized a dichotomy that exists between invasion and proliferation in the AC (22,24). As evidence of this, loss of two of the three TFs that function in a cell cycle-dependent manner to maintain the AC in a cell cycle-arrested state (*nhr-67*/Tlx and *hlh-2*/Daughterless) can be rescued through induced expression of a cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor, *cki-1* (p21/p27) (22). These results

suggest that, at least in some cases, TF activity can be bypassed completely to promote AC invasion by maintaining G_0 arrest through direct cell cycle manipulation. To determine the extent to which the BAF assembly contributes to AC invasion through regulation of cell cycle arrest, we used a heat-shock inducible transgene to ectopically express CKI-1::mTagBFP2 in SWI/SNF-deficient ACs (**Fig 5**). Since the heat shock inducible transgene is ubiquitous and expresses variably between different animals and different tissues within an individual animal, we limited our analysis to animals with ACs with obvious mTagBFP2 fluorescence expression. While forced arrest in G_0 was insufficient to significantly rescue AC invasion in animals treated with *swsn-4(RNAi)* (**Fig 5 B, B', E**) or *pbrm-1(RNAi)* (**Fig 5 D, D', E**), ectopic *cki-1* (CKI-1::mTagBFP2) expression in the AC significantly rescued cellular invasion in animals treated with *swsn-8(RNAi)* (**Fig 5 C, C', E**). Strikingly, in 85.7% (6/7) of animals treated with *swsn-8(RNAi),* forced G_0 arrest in ACs that had proliferated prior to ectopic CKI-1 expression led to multiple ACs breaching the BM (**Fig 5 F**), a phenotype we have reported previously using CKI-1 overexpression paired with loss of NHR-67. This demonstrated that mitotic ACs maintain the capacity to invade if they are re-arrested into a G_0 state (24). To corroborate our CKI-1 heat shock data, we used an AC-specific CKI-1 transgene (*cdh-3>CKI-1::GFP*) to induce G_0 cell cycle arrest in *swsn-4-* and *swsn-8-* depleted ACs (**Fig S6**). Similar to the heat shock results, lineage-restricted expression of CKI-1::GFP failed to rescue invasion in animals deficient in *swsn-4* (**Fig S6 A-B**). However, transgenic *cdh-3>CKI-1::GFP* animals treated with *swsn-8(RNAi),* had invasion defects significantly lower than control animals treated with *swsn-8(RNAi)* which lacked the G_0 rescue transgene (**Fig S6 B**). Altogether, these data corroborate our DHB-based CDK sensor data (**Fig 4**), suggesting that the SWI/SNF

assemblies differentially contribute to AC invasion with BAF specifically required for G_0 cell cycle arrest.

SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling promotes the invasive GRN in the AC

Previous work has demonstrated that the gene regulatory network (GRN) that promotes AC invasion consists of both cell cycle-dependent and cell cycle-independent TF subcircuits (22,66) (**Fig 1 B**). In the cell cycle-dependent subcircuit of the TF-GRN, *egl-43* (EVI1/MEL), *hlh-2* (E/Daughterless), and *nhr-67* (TLX/Tailless) cooperate in a type 1 coherent feed-forward loop that is reinforced via positive feedback to retain the AC in a post-mitotic, invasive state (22,66). The cell cycle-independent subcircuit of the AC TF-GRN is governed by the *fos-1* (FOS) TF with feedback from both *egl-43* and *hlh-2* (22). Since loss of SWI/SNF expression in the AC results in both single and multiple cells expressing the AC reporter, we treated endogenously GFP-labeled strains for each TF in the GRN with *swsn-4(RNAi)* to determine whether SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling contributes to the regulation of either or both AC GRN subcircuits (**Fig 6**). In the cell cycle-dependent subcircuit, knockdown of the SWI/SNF ATPase resulted in significant loss of protein expression of EGL-43::GFP and NHR-67::GFP in the AC (39.19% and 25.97% GFP depletion, respectively; n≥41 animals; **Fig 6 A,C,E**). No significant difference was detected in the mean fluorescence expression of GFP::HLH-2 fusion protein in the AC upon knockdown of *swsn-4,* however the range of expression was broad following *swsn-4(RNAi)* treatment (-2.32% GFP depletion; n≥50 animals; **Fig 6 B,E**). In the cell cycle-independent subcircuit, loss of the SWI/SNF complex following treatment of *fos-1::GFP* animals with *swsn-4(RNAi)* resulted in a more moderate depletion of expression in the

AC (10.6% GFP depletion; n≥50 animals; **Fig 6 D**). These results suggest that the SWI/SNF complex contributes to AC invasion in both the cell cycle-dependent and -independent subcircuits of the AC GRN likely via remodeling chromatin states either directly in regulatory regions of the lineage-specific TFs or in regulatory regions of genes that contribute to the regulation of the AC GRN.

The PBAF assembly regulates AC contact with underlying BM

Previous investigations into SWI/SNF have demonstrated divergent roles for the PBAF assembly in cell cycle regulation. In yeast, Remodeling the Structure of Chromatin (RSC), the homologous complex to PBAF, is required for progression through mitosis (70,71). In *Drosophila,* the homologous complex PBAP does not appear to be required for mitotic progression; rather, cycling and G_2/M transition is solely regulated by the BAF/BAP assembly (50). In the *C. elegans* M lineage, RNAi-mediated loss of BAF subunits results in hyperproliferation of the developing tissue, whereas knockdown of PBAF subunits has little effect on cell cycle control (34). Similarly, in this study, RNAi-mediated loss of PBAF subunits *pbrm-1*, *swsn-7*, or *swsn-9* resulted exclusively in single non-invasive cells expressing the AC reporter.

Given both the discrepancy in knockdown efficiency between *pbrm-1(RNAi)* and RNAi targeting either the SWI/SNF ATPase (*swsn-4*) or BAF assembly subunit (*swsn-8*) in the AC (**Fig S4**), and the dose-dependent phenotype following loss of ATPase (**Fig 3**), we next sought to determine whether strong loss of PBAF expression contributes to the extra AC phenotype. We used an auxin inducible degron (AID)-RNAi combination knockdown strategy to achieve strong depletion of the PBAF assembly (72,73). We

generated a strain with *pbrm-1* endogenously labeled with mNeonGreen and an auxin inducible degron (AID) (*pbrm-1::mNG::AID*) in a genetic background containing AC (*cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD*) and BM (*laminin::GFP*) reporters. We then quantified fluorescence expression in the AC in this strain. When grown under standard conditions, 6.45% of the ACs had not invaded the BM by the P6.p 4 cell stage, suggesting a partial loss of function of *pbrm-1* (n=30; **Fig 7 A, E-F**). This partial loss of function phenotype is likely due to the insertion of the mNG::AID tag into the genomic locus, causing a putative hypomorphic allele. Next, we introduced a ubiquitous, mRuby-labeled TIR1 transgene (*eft-3>TIR1::mRuby*) into the animals and assessed AC invasion under standard conditions (aux(-)) or in the presence of the auxin hormone (aux(+); **Fig 7 B**). We observed no statistically significant difference in the fluorescence expression of PBRM-1::mNG::AID protein in the AC, nor did we observe any differences in AC invasion defects between the strains with and without the TIR1 transgene when grown on aux(-) media (TIR1+: 3.17% depletion, 16.67% invasion defect; n=30; **Fig 7 E-F**). However, in both conditions, some ACs that invaded seemed to do so only partially, as most of the ventral epidermal BM remained intact beneath ACs (**Fig 7 B**, black arrow). In the aux(+) condition, there was a significant reduction in PBRM-1::mNG::AID protein level in the AC of animals containing the TIR1 transgene relative to the same strain grown in the aux(-) condition or the strain without the TIR1 transgene (49.26% and 50.87%, respectively; n=30; **Fig 7 E**); however, there was no significant difference in the penetrance of AC invasion defects (16.67% invasion defect; n=30; **Fig 7 F**). Similar to our previous results with *pbrm-1(RNAi)* treated animals, no extra ACs were noted following loss of expression of PBAF in the AC using the AID system.

Since the PBAF assembly in *C. elegans* consists of several subunits, *pbrm-1* (PBRM1), *swsn-7* (ARID2), and *swsn-9* (BRD7/BRD9), we next investigated whether combinatorial knockdown of PBAF subunits would enhance the penetrance of AC invasion defects and lead to extra ACs. To accomplish this, we treated *pbrm-1::mNG::AID* animals containing ubiquitous TIR1 with *swsn-7(RNAi)* or *swsn-9(RNAi)* in both aux(-) and aux(+) conditions. In the aux(-) condition, there was no significant difference in PBRM-1::mNG::AID expression in the AC of animals treated with *swsn-7(RNAi)* compared to animals treated with empty vector control (n=30; **Fig 7 E**), however there was a significant increase in loss of AC invasion (50.00% invasion defect; n=30; **Fig 7 F**). Strikingly, in one case, the AC was completely detached from the BM, as we detected no AC membrane protrusions (*cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD*) in contact with the ventral surface of the gonad (**Fig 7 F**). Animals treated with *swsn-7(RNAi)* and aux(+) had significantly lower expression of PBRM-1::mNG::AID in the AC when compared to animals treated with *swsn-7(RNAi)* in the aux(-) condition (48.50% depletion; n=30; **Fig 7 E**). While no significant difference was seen in loss of AC invasion in aux(+) (48.39% AC invasion defect), 16% (5/31) of animals in this treatment had ACs entirely detached from the ventral BM (n=31; **Fig 7 F-G**). In contrast to treatment with *swsn-7(RNAi)*, in the *swsn-9(RNAi)* aux(-) condition, PBRM-1::mNG::AID expression in ACs was significantly lower than that in the ACs of animals treated with empty vector control aux(-) (38.69% depletion; n=30; **Fig 7 E**). It is unclear why transcriptional knockdown of *swsn-9* specifically results in a decrease in PBRM-1 protein expression in the AC and we theorize this may be the result of a potential stabilizing interaction between the SWSN-9 and PBRM-1 proteins. We did detect a significant decrease in expression of PBRM-1::mNG::AID in ACs in *swsn-*

9(RNAi) aux(+) compared to the *swsn-9(RNAi)* aux(-) condition (19.14% depletion; n=30; **Fig 7 E**), however, we saw no statistically significant difference in penetrance of AC invasion defects between the two conditions (30.00% vs. 43.33%; n=30; **Fig 7 F**). We also noted one animal with a detached AC in the *swsn-9(RNAi)* aux (-) condition and zero in the aux(+) condition (**Fig 7 F**). Importantly, we only observed one AC per animal across all of these treatments, supporting the hypothesis that the PBAF assembly does not contribute to G_0 cell cycle arrest in the AC.

Detached ACs in both the *swsn-7(RNAi)* and *swsn-9(RNAi)* AID combination knockdown conditions suggest that the PBAF assembly regulates AC contact with the ventral epidermal BM. A previous study has shown that AC attachment is regulated by the *fos-1/egl-43* cell cycle-independent subcircuit of the AC GRN via regulation of lamellipodin/*mig-10b* and non-autonomously via netrin/*unc-6* signaling (74). ACs deficient in components of this pathway are attached to the ventral epidermal BM when specified and gradually lose contact over time, with peak loss of contact occurring at the time of AC invasion at the P6.p 4-cell stage (74). In order to determine whether the PBAF assembly remodels chromatin to promote activation of this subcircuit of the AC GRN, we treated endogenously tagged *fos-1::GFP* (22) animals with *pbrm-1(RNAi)* and quantified fluorescence expression in ACs that displayed invasion defects (**Fig S7 A-B**). Animals treated with *pbrm-1(RNAi)* had a modest but statistically significant loss of FOS-1::GFP protein levels in non-invasive ACs (33.60% depletion; n=20; **Fig S7 B**), suggesting that the PBAF assembly partially regulates the *fos*-dependent pathway that mediates attachment to the underlying BM.

Since depletion of the PBAF assembly resulted in moderate loss of FOS-1::GFP in the AC, we next examined functional interactions between FOS-1 and PBRM-1. Given that the PBRM-1::mNG::AID allele was slightly hypomorphic, with ~17% invasion defects in backgrounds with TIR1, we used the strain containing TIR1 as a sensitized background. We found that even without the addition of auxin, co-depletion with *fos-1(RNAi)* resulted in almost complete loss of AC invasion (96.77% invasion defect; n=31; **Fig S7 C-D**). Finally, we examined whether RNAi-mediated depletion of *pbrm-1* is synergistic with loss of downstream targets of FOS-1, the matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Previously, it has been shown that animals harboring null mutations for five of the six MMPs encoded in the *C. elegans* genome (*zmp-1,-3,-4,-5* and *-6*), show delayed AC invasion (21). RNAi depletion of *pbrm-1* in quintuple MMP mutants significantly and synergistically enhanced late invasion defects (scored at the P6.p 8-cell stage) in this background (24.24% invasion defect; n=33; **Fig S7 E-F**) as compared to loss of either *pbrm-1* (3.8%; n=52) or MMPs (0%; n=35) alone. Together, these results suggest that the PBAF assembly functions with FOS-1 to regulate AC invasion.

DISCUSSION

A tissue-specific CRF RNAi screen identified genes critical for cellular invasion

A complex dialog between microenvironmental cues, activation of pro-invasive GRNs, and subsequent remodeling of the BM dictates the ability for a cell to adopt an invasive phenotype (22,75). Broad regulation of cell behavioral programs like invasion through BMs requires modulation of chromatin states in the regulatory regions of hundreds or thousands of genes. Previous work in the *C. elegans* AC and in cancer cell

invasion has emphasized the necessity for dynamic chromatin states and chromatin regulating factors in the promotion of cellular invasion (24,76–80). In this study, we used the *Caenorhabditis elegans* AC invasion model as a single cell, *in vivo* system to identify a suite of CRFs that contribute to the process of cellular invasion. We performed a tissue-specific RNAi feeding screen to assess 269 genes implicated in chromatin binding, chromatin remodeling complexes, or histone modification. We do not claim that genes that we failed to identify as regulators of cellular invasion in the screen are unimportant for the process; however, RNAi-mediated loss of the majority of CRF genes in the screen did result in some penetrance of AC invasion defects (**Table S1**). This finding was expected, as many of the genes we screened are global regulators of the genome and broadly contribute to various cell biological processes. We extracted a list of the most penetrant regulators of cell invasion from the broader list (**Table S2**). Many genes and gene classes that we recovered as significant regulators of AC invasion are homologous to human genes that have been previously studied in the context of cellular invasion and tumorigenesis including *cec-6*/CBX1/CBX8 (79,81), *cfi-1*/ARID3A/ARID3C (82), *psr-1*/JMJD6 (83), *skp-1*/SNW1 (84), and several TAFs (*taf*-1/TAF1/TAF1L, taf-*5*/TAF5/TAF5L, *taf-7.1*/TAF7/TAF7L) (85–87). Additionally, we recovered nematode-specific genes including *nra-3, and cec-2,* and genes whose human homologs have not been previously studied in the context of cellular invasion to our knowledge, such as *cec-3* (homologous human protein is uncharacterized) and *gna-2*/GNPNAT. Since the majority of the CRF genes we identified as significant regulators of AC invasion have been previously studied in the context of invasion in human development and cancer metastasis, these results demonstrate the utility of the *C. elegans* AC invasion system as

a genetically and optically tractable *in vivo* environment to corroborate and characterize previously identified CRFs that promote cellular invasion in human diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and cancer. Future studies should continue to characterize the relationship between CRFs identified here and cellular invasion.

The dose of SWI/SNF ATPase dictates the severity of defects in AC invasion and G_0 arrest

For the majority of this study, we focused on characterizing the contribution of the SWI/SNF ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex to cellular invasion because it was highly represented among our list of significant regulators of AC invasion (**Table S2**) and has been extensively studied in the context of both cellular invasion and cell cycle control across a variety of animal models and in human cancers (30,34,39,41,47,54,57,80,88–93). The SWI/SNF complex is a highly conserved eukaryotic chromatin remodeler that is generally associated with activating chromatin states and antagonism of the Polycomb repressive complex (PRC) (25,94). Prior whole-exome studies have determined that over 20% of human tumors harbor mutations in one or more subunits of the SWI/SNF complex (31,47,95). Despite the high frequency of mutations detected in SWI/SNF subunits in cancer, the distribution of these mutations is non-random across the array of human malignancies, and mutation of specific subunits has been strongly linked to individual cancer subtypes (31,95). One of the most frequently mutated subunits of the chromatin remodeling complex across SWI/SNF-deficient cancers is the core ATPase subunit BRG1/SMARCA4 (47,96). Aberrant expression of BRG1 has been studied in the context of a variety of cancers, including lung (97,98) and

ovarian small cell carcinomas (99). BRM/SMARCA2, the mutually exclusive ATPase paralog to BRG (78), is also a frequent target for mutation and aberrant expression in tumorigenesis (31,95,100).

Due to the high degree of structural similarity between BRG and BRM, mutation or epigenetic silencing of either ATPase can be compensated by expression of the other. Previous investigation has determined BRM to be an effective synthetic lethal target in BRG1-deficient cancer, and vice-versa (101,102). The wide spectrum of phenotypic severity seen in both intellectual disorders and cancers that result from mutated or altered expression of the SWI/SNF ATPases is dependent on the dose of functional SWI/SNF in affected tissues (31,33). For example, levels of BRG1 have been used as specific, context-dependent prognostic indicators, especially in cancer. In breast cancer, low expression of BRG1 is associated with better patient outcomes (30), whereas increased expression of BRG1 has been linked to increased tumor growth and cellular invasiveness in the context of hepatocellular carcinoma (32). Despite the compensatory nature of BRG1/BRM in many tumorigenic contexts, concomitant loss of expression of the ATPases has been described in metastatic murine models and patient-derived non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines and is associated with poor patient survival (88,103,104).

In *C elegans*, the sole SWI/SNF ATPase, *swsn-4,* has a high degree of homology to both mammalian BRG1 and BRM, providing a unique opportunity to accessibly model the connection between the dual loss of BRG1/BRM associated with poor prognostic outcomes in NSCLC and cellular invasion in the AC. A recent study demonstrated a phenotypic dosage-sensitivity following loss of SWI/SNF expression in relation to terminal

differentiation of *C. elegans* muscle and vulval tissues (34). In early M cell development, the mesodermal lineage that gives rise to BWM, SM, and coelomocytes (105), RNAi knockdown targeting subunits *swsn-1* (SMARCC1/2) or *swsn-4* (BRG1/BRM) did not affect cell cycle exit. However, strong loss of expression of either core subunit using a lineage-specific knockout strategy in the M cell descendants resulted in extra BWM cell divisions (34). In contrast to these results from early M cell development, later in larval development, RNAi-mediated knockdown of the SWI/SNF core resulted in hyperproliferation of M lineage descendants, whereas gene knockouts resulted in hypoproliferation of the tissue (34). These results demonstrate that the context- and dose-dependent relationship seen in mammalian development and SWI/SNF-deficient cancers may represent a more general behavior of the complex.

Our data here using a combination of an improved *swsn-4(RNAi)* vector with an anti-GFP-targeting nanobody construct demonstrates that cellular invasion and cell cycle control depend on the dose of functional SWI/SNF ATPase present in the AC at the time of invasion. In addition to reflecting the dose-dependent nature of the SWI/SNF ATPase in cancer, our data in the AC is consistent with work done in *C. elegans* early mesoblast development where complete loss of the *swsn-4* ATPase using a catalytically dead mutant and lineage-specific knockout strategy results in loss of cell cycle arrest (34). A limitation to our experimental strategy is that we cannot be sure that combining *swsn-4(RNAi)* with an anti-GFP-targeting nanobody to deplete the SWI/SNF ATPase results in complete loss of protein expression, as we limited our analysis to quantitative fluorescence measurements. However, we show that treatment with the improved *swsn-4(RNAi)* vector alone is sufficient to phenocopy the null phenotype previously reported in

late mesoblast (SM) development (**Fig S5**). Additionally, enhancement of the AC mitotic phenotype statistically tracked with a progressive step down in mean expression of the ATPase in the AC across our experiments. Using endogenous fluorescent reporters, we also provide evidence that the SWI/SNF complex broadly regulates both cell cycle-dependent and -independent subcircuits of the AC GRN (**Fig 6**). Altogether, this data supports the hypothesis that SWI/SNF cell-autonomously contributes to cell cycle control in a dose-dependent manner and provides the first line of evidence to link SWI/SNF ATPase dosage to the dichotomy between invasion and proliferation (**Fig 7 H**).

The SWI/SNF BAF assembly promotes cellular invasion through induction of G_0 cell cycle arrest

The SWI/SNF core complex is composed of an ATPase, core, and accessory factors, which are thought to collectively provide a platform of common factors that is bound by assembly-specific subunits in a mutually exclusive manner. In *C. elegans*, the association of SWSN-8 (BAF250a/ BAF250b/ ARID1A/ ARID1B) with the common factors forms the SWI/SNF BAF assembly. Since we identified representative subunits from the core of the complex and both SWI/SNF BAF and PBAF assemblies in our CRF RNAi screen, we took a cell biological and genetic approach to investigate the role of the each SWI/SNF assembly in promoting cellular invasion. Here, using a DHB-based CDK sensor (35,67), we show that loss of either core or BAF assembly subunits specifically results in mitotic ACs that failed to invade the BM. Our cell cycle sensor data first establishes that wild-type AC invades in a G_0 CDK^{low} state, and second, that a major contribution of the BAF assembly to AC invasion is through maintenance of this G_0 arrest, as many ACs that

failed to invade the BM had increasing CDK activity, indicative of cells cycling in G_1, S or G_2. Alternatively, 14% of ACs that failed to invade the BM following loss of *swsn-4*/ATPase of the complex had CDK activity ratios indicative of G_0 cell cycle arrest, suggesting a cell cycle-independent defect. In support of this, forced arrest of BAF-deficient ACs in G_0 was sufficient to significantly rescue invasion, whereas CKI-1 induction failed to rescue invasion in ACs with RNAi-mediated loss of *swsn-4*/ATPase. Altogether, our results indicate that the SWI/SNF complex contributes to AC invasion through regulation of G_0 cell cycle arrest via the BAF assembly. Further investigation will require biochemical techniques to provide a mechanistic explanation for how exactly BAF regulates the chromatin landscape of cell cycle regulators and pro-invasive genes to promote invasion. Targeted DNA adenine methyltransferase identification (TaDa) is an attractive biochemical approach that may be adaptable to the AC invasion system, as this approach has been characterized as an effective, tissue-specific method to identify TF-target sequence interactions in the *C. elegans* epidermis (106).

The PBAF assembly regulates AC invasion and attachment to the BM

Based on homology and phenotypic characterization in this study and previous publications, the *C. elegans* PBAF assembly consists of the PBRM-1 (PBRM1/BAF180), SWSN-7 (BAF200/ARID2), and SWSN-9 (BRD7/BRD9) in association with the SWI/SNF common factors. Previous work in *C. elegans* has not revealed a connection between the PBAF assembly and cell cycle arrest. Our initial experiments with improved RNAi vectors targeting PBAF subunits resulted in a lower penetrance of AC invasion defects relative to loss of core or BAF subunits. Additionally, our CDK sensor data suggested that

non-invasive ACs deficient in *pbrm-1* remain in a G_0 cell cycle state. Thus, our data shows no PBAF contribution to cell cycle control in the AC. To confirm this, we used the auxin inducible degron (AID) system to robustly deplete the PBAF assembly through combined loss of endogenous PBRM-1::mNG::AID with RNAi-mediated knockdown of either of the other two PBAF assembly subunits, *swsn-7* or *swsn-9*. This combination knockdown strategy corroborated our previous results as we saw no significant penetrance of extra ACs. Rather, here we associate a striking AC detachment phenotype with strong combined knockdown of the PBAF assembly subunits. We also note aberrant BM morphology in some ACs deficient in PBAF subunits, with only one of the two BMs removed, suggesting that this assembly regulates attachment and extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling in wild-type ACs to promote invasion. We hypothesize that the PBAF assembly is regulating ventral BM attachment and ECM remodeling potentially through the regulation of HIM-4/Hemicentin, an extracellular immunoglobulin-like matrix protein that functions in the AC to fuse the two BMs through the formation of a novel BM-BM adhesion, the B-LINK (107). Finally, although RNAi-mediated transcriptional knockdown of PBAF assembly subunits only partially depleted levels of FOS-1::GFP, a key TF responsible for the expression of MMPs and other pro-invasive targets, we detected significant enhancement of invasion defects when depleting *fos-1* in a putative hypomorphic *pbrm-1* background. Reciprocally, depletion of *pbrm-1* enhanced the invasion defect of a quintuple MMP mutant. Since we noted multiple instances of AC-BM detachment following PBAF assembly subunit depletion, we propose that PBAF functions synergistically with FOS-1 by facilitating activating chromatin states at the regulatory regions of pro-invasive genes required for BM attachment. Collectively, these results

reveal a distinct contribution for each SWI/SNF assembly to the process of cellular invasion and reiterate the dependence of each assembly on the functional dose of ATPase in a cell.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful to David Gray, Ed Luk, Laura Mathies, Benjamin Martin, Robert Morabito, Valerie Reinke, Courtney Tello, and Gerald Thomsen for advice and comments on this manuscript. We would also like to thank Thom Geer of Nobska Imaging for advice and 'scientific enabling'. Some *C. elegans* strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.J.S. and D.Q.M. designed the experiments. J.J.S., Y.X., and M.A.Q.M. performed the experiments. J.J.S., A.Q.K., F.E.Q.M., M.C., N.P., and Y.X. organized and performed the RNAi screen. J.J.S., A.Q.K., S.L., T.N.M.-K., Y.X., K.W. and P.K generated strains. J.J.S performed the data analysis and prepared the manuscript with feedback from other authors. J.J.S. and D.Q.M acquired funding for the completion of this project.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) [1R01GM121597-01 to D.Q.M.]. D.Q.M. is also a Damon Runyon-Rachleff Innovator supported (in part) by the Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation [DRR-47-17]. J.J.S was supported by an NIH/NIGMS Diversity Supplement [3R01GM121597-02S1] and the W. Burghardt Turner Fellowship. M.A.Q.M. [3R01GM121597-03S1] and F.E.Q.M. [3R01GM121597-04S1] are supported by an NIH/NIGMS Diversity Supplement. A.Q.K. [F31GM128319], R.C.A [1F31GM1283190], and T.N.M.-K. [F31HD100091-01] were supported by the NIH. N.J.P [132969-PF-18-226-01-CSM] was supported by the American Cancer Society (ACS). P.K. [R01NS118078] is supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke.

FIGURES

Figure 1. Summary of *C. elegans* AC invasion through the underlying BM and AC GRN.

Figure 2. Enhanced RNAi targeting SWI/SNF core, BAF, and PBAF assembly subunits results in penetrant invasion defects.

Figure 3. AC invasion and cell cycle arrest depend on dosage of SWI/SNF ATPase.

Figure 4. CDK sensor reveals SWI/SNF contribution to G_0 arrest in the AC.

Figure 5. BAF depletion is rescued by G_0 arrest.

Figure 6. SWI/SNF regulates endogenously tagged TFs in the AC invasion GRN.

Figure 7. PBAF promotes AC contact to the BM.

MATERIALS & METHODS

C. elegans strains and culture conditions

All animals were maintained under standard conditions and cultured at 25°C, except strains containing temperature-sensitive alleles *swsn-1(os22), swsn-4(os13)*, and the uterine-specific RNAi hypersensitive strain used in the chromatin remodeler screen containing the *rrf-3(pk1426)* allele, which were maintained at either 15°C or 20°C (108). The heat shock inducible *cki-1::mTagBFP2* transgene was expressed via incubating animals at 32°C for 2-3 hours in a water bath starting at the P6.p 2-cell VPC stage. Animals were synchronized for experiments through alkaline hypochlorite treatment of gravid adults to isolate eggs (109). In the text and figures, we designate linkage to a promoter through the use of the (>) symbol and fusion of a proteins via a (::) annotation.

Molecular biology and microinjection

SWI/SNF subunits *swsn-4* and *swsn-8* were tagged at their endogenous loci using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing via microinjection into the early adult hermaphrodite syncytial gonad (110,111). Repair templates were generated as synthetic DNAs from either Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) as gene blocks (gBlocks) or Twist Biosciences as DNA fragments and cloned into *ccdB* compatible sites in pDD282 by New England Biolabs Gibson assembly (112). Homology arms ranged from 690-1200 bp (see **Tables S5** for additional details). sgRNAs were constructed by EcoRV and NheI digestion of the plasmid pDD122. A 230 bp amplicon was generated replacing the sgRNA targeting sequence from pDD122 with a new sgRNA and NEB Gibson assembly was used to generate new sgRNA plasmids (see **Table S5** for additional details). Hermaphrodite adults were co-injected with guide plasmid (50 ng/μL), repair plasmid (50 ng/μL), and an extrachromosomal array marker (pCFJ90, 2.5 ng/μL), and incubated at 25 ºC for several days before screening and floxing protocols associated with the SEC system (112).

RNA interference (RNAi)

All 269 RNAi clones assessed in the chromatin remodeler screen were derived from the commercially available Vidal or Ahringer RNAi libraries. Presence of inserts into the L4440 RNAi vector was confirmed via colony PCR amplification of all L4440 vectors used in the chromatin remodeler screen. Vectors which resulted in penetrant loss of invasion (see **Table S2**) were also sequenced to confirm the identity of the insert targeting chromatin remodeler genes in the L4440 vector using Sanger sequencing at the Genomics Core Facility at Stony Brook University. An RNAi sub-library of SWI/SNF subunits was constructed by cloning 950-1000 bp of synthetic DNA based on cDNA sequences available on WormBase (www.wormbase.org) into the highly efficient T444T RNAi vector (113,114). Synthetic DNAs were generated by Twist Biosciences as DNA fragments and cloned into restriction digested T444T using NEB Gibson Assembly (see **Tables S6** for additional details). For all experiments, synchronized L1 stage animals were directly exposed to RNAi through feeding with bacteria expressing dsRNA (115).

Auxin-mediated degradation

To combine RNAi with the depletion of AID-tagged proteins, 1 mM K-NAA was used, and its effects were analyzed as previously described(116). Briefly, L1 animals were first synchronized by sodium hypochlorite treatment and transferred to NGM plates seeded with the RNAi vector of interest. At the P6.p 1-cell stage, a time in development where the AC has already undergone specification, animals were transferred to RNAi-seeded plates treated with K-NAA. Animals were staged by DIC.

Live cell microscopy

All micrographs included in this manuscript were collected on a Hamamatsu Orca EM-CCD camera mounted on an upright Zeiss AxioImager A2 with a Borealis-modified CSU10 Yokagawa spinning disk scan head using 405nm, 488 nm, and 561 nm Vortran lasers in a VersaLase merge and a Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.4 (NA) Oil DIC objective. MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices) was used for microscopy automation. Several experiments and all RNAi screening were scored using epifluorescence visualized on a Zeiss Axiocam MRM camera, also mounted on an upright Zeiss AxioImager A2 and a Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.4 (NA) Oil DIC objective. Animals were mounted into a drop of M9 on a 5% Noble agar pad containing approximately 10 mM sodium azide anesthetic and topped with a coverslip.

Assessment of AC invasion

Both for the purposes of the CRF RNAi screen and all other experiments AC invasion was scored at the P6.p 4-cell stage, when 100% of wild-type animals exhibit a breach in the BM (14). In strains with the laminin::GFP transgene, an intact green fluorescent barrier under the AC was used to assess invasion. Wild-type invasion is defined as a breach as wide as the basolateral surface of the AC (14). Raw scoring data is available in **Tables S1 and S4.**

Image quantification and statistical analyses

Images were processed using Fiji/ImageJ (v.2.1.0/1.53c) (117). Expression levels of GFP::SWSN-4, SWSN-8::GFP, PBRM-1::eGFP, and PBRM-1::mNG::AID were measured by quantifying the mean gray value of AC nuclei, defined as somatic gonad cells near the primary vulva expressing the *cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD* transgene. Background subtraction was performed by rolling ball background subtraction (size=50).

For characterization of experiments involving SWI/SNF endogenous tags and AC GRN TFs::GFP treated with *SWI/SNF(RNAi)* and GFP-targeting nanobody the L3 stage, only animals exhibiting defects in invasion were included in the analysis. Data was normalized to negative control (empty vector) values for the plots in **Fig 3** and **Fig S8**. Quantification of either CDK cell cycle sensor (either DHB::GFP or DHB::2xmKate2) was performed by hand, as previously described (35). Images were overlaid and figures were assembled using Adobe Photoshop 2020 (v. 21.1.2) and Adobe Illustrator 2020 (v. 24.1.2), respectively. Statistical analyses and plotting of data were conducted using RStudio (v. 1.2.1335). Statistical significance was determined using either a two-tailed Student's t-test or Fisher's exact probability test. Figure legends specify when each test was used and the p-value cut-off.

REFERENCES

1. Rowe RG, Weiss SJ. Breaching the basement membrane: who, when and how? Trends Cell Biol. 2008;18(11):560–74.
2. Nourshargh S, Alon R. Leukocyte Migration into Inflamed Tissues. Immunity. 2014;41(5):694–707.
3. Medwig TN, Matus DQ. Breaking down barriers: the evolution of cell invasion. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2017;47:33–40.
4. Liu Y, Pan YF, Xue YQ, Fang LK, Guo XH, Guo X, et al. UPAR promotes tumor-like biologic behaviors of fibroblast-like synoviocytes through PI3K/Akt signaling pathway in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Cell Mol Immunol. 2018;15(2):171–81.
5. Ye Y, Gao X, Yang N. LncRNA ZFAS1 promotes cell migration and invasion of fibroblast-like synoviocytes by suppression of miR-27a in rheumatoid arthritis. Hum Cell. 2018;31(1):14–21.
6. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. Cell. 2011;144(5):646–74.
7. Justus CR, Leffler N, Ruiz-Echevarria M, Yang L V. In vitro cell migration and invasion assays. J Vis Exp. 2014;(88):1–8.
8. Li BB, Scott EY, Dean Chamberlain M, Duong BTV, Zhang S, Done SJ, et al. Cell invasion in digital microfluidic microgel systems. Sci Adv. 2020;6(29).
9. Eibl G, Reber HA. A xenograft nude mouse model for perineural invasion and recurrence in pancreatic cancer. Pancreas. 2005;31(3):258–62.
10. Busuttil RA, Liu DS, Di Costanzo N, Schröder J, Mitchell C, Boussioutas A. An

orthotopic mouse model of gastric cancer invasion and metastasis. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):2–9.

11. Cheng B, Wang Q, Song Y, Liu Y, Liu Y, Yang S, et al. MIF inhibitor, ISO-1, attenuates human pancreatic cancer cell proliferation, migration and invasion in vitro, and suppresses xenograft tumour growth in vivo. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):1–10.
12. Wen J, Yin P, Li L, Kang G, Ning G, Cao Y, et al. Knockdown of Matrix Metallopeptidase 9 Inhibits Metastasis of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Cells in a Zebrafish Xenograft Model. Biomed Res Int. 2020;2020.
13. Guo Y, Fan Y, Pei X. Fangjihuangqi Decoction inhibits MDA-MB-231 cell invasion in vitro and decreases tumor growth and metastasis in triple-negative breast cancer xenografts tumor zebrafish model. Cancer Med. 2020;9(7):2564–78.
14. Sherwood DR, Sternberg PW. Anchor cell invasion into the vulval epithelium in C. elegans. Dev Cell. 2003;5(1):21–31.
15. Kelley LC, Lohmer LL, Hagedorn EJ, Sherwood DR. Traversing the basement membrane in vivo: A diversity of strategies. J Cell Biol. 2014;204(3):291–302.
16. Sherwood DR, Butler JA, Kramer JM, Sternberg PW. FOS-1 promotes basement-membrane removal during anchor-cell invasion in C. elegans. Cell. 2005;121(6):951–62.
17. Hagedorn EJ, Yashiro H, Ziel JW, Ihara S, Wang Z, Sherwood DR. Integrin Acts Upstream of Netrin Signaling to Regulate Formation of the Anchor Cell's Invasive Membrane in C. elegans. Dev Cell. 2009;17(2):187–98.
18. Hagedorn EJ, Kelley LC, Naegeli KM, Wang Z, Chi Q, Sherwood DR. ADF/cofilin promotes invadopodial membrane recycling during cell invasion in vivo. J Cell

Biol. 2014;204(7):1209–18.

19. Morrissey MA, Jayadev R, Miley GR, Blebea CA, Chi Q, Ihara S, et al. SPARC Promotes Cell Invasion In Vivo by Decreasing Type IV Collagen Levels in the Basement Membrane. PLoS Genet. 2016;12(2).
20. Hagedorn EJ, Ziel JW, Morrissey MA, Linden LM, Wang Z, Chi Q, et al. The netrin receptor DCC focuses invadopodia-driven basement membrane transmigration in vivo. J Cell Biol. 2013;201(6):903–13.
21. Kelley LC, Chi Q, Cáceres R, Hastie E, Schindler AJ, Matus DQ, et al. Adaptive F-actin polymerization and localized ATP production drive basement membrane invasion in the absence of MMPs. Dev Cell. 2019;48(3):313–28.
22. Medwig-Kinney TN, Smith JJ, Palmisano NJ, Tank S, Zhang W, Matus DQ. A developmental gene regulatory network for C. elegans anchor cell invasion. Development. 2020 Jan 1;147(1):dev185850.
23. Kohrman AQ, Matus DQ. Divide or Conquer: Cell Cycle Regulation of Invasive Behavior. Trends Cell Biol. 2016;27(1):12–25.
24. Matus DQ, Lohmer LL, Kelley LC, Schindler AJ, Kohrman AQ, Barkoulas M, et al. Invasive Cell Fate Requires G1 Cell-Cycle Arrest and Histone Deacetylase-Mediated Changes in Gene Expression. Dev Cell. 2015;35(2):162–74.
25. Brand M, Nakka K, Zhu J, Dilworth FJ. Polycomb/Trithorax Antagonism: Cellular Memory in Stem Cell Fate and Function. Cell Stem Cell. 2019;24(4):518–33.
26. Simon JA, Tamkun JW. Programming off and on states in chromatin: Mechanisms of Polycomb and trithorax group complexes. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2002;12(2):210–8.

27. Kadoch C, Williams RT, Calarco JP, Miller EL, Weber CM, Braun SMG, et al. Dynamics of BAF – Polycomb complex opposition on heterochromatin in normal and oncogenic states. Nat Publ Gr. 2016;49(2):213–22.

28. Friman ET, Deluz C, Meireles-Filho ACA, Govindan S, Gardeux V, Deplancke B, et al. Dynamic regulation of chromatin accessibility by pluripotency transcription factors across the cell cycle. bioRxiv. 2019;1–28.

29. Ma Y, McKay DJ, Buttitta L. Changes in chromatin accessibility ensure robust cell cycle exit in terminally differentiated cells. PLoS Biol. 2019;17(9):1–29.

30. Bai J, Mei P, Zhang C, Chen F, Li C, Pan Z, et al. BRG1 Is a Prognostic Marker and Potential Therapeutic Target in Human Breast Cancer. PLoS One. 2013;8(3):1–9.

31. Kadoch C, Hargreaves DC, Hodges C, Elias L, Ho L, Ranish J, et al. a n a ly s i s Proteomic and bioinformatic analysis of mammalian SWI / SNF complexes identifies extensive roles in human malignancy. Nat Publ Gr. 2013;45(6):592–601.

32. Kaufmann B, Wang B, Zhong S, Laschinger M, Patil P, Lu M, et al. BRG1 promotes hepatocarcinogenesis by regulating proliferation and invasiveness. PLoS One. 2017;12(7):1–14.

33. Bögershausen N, Wollnik B. Mutational Landscapes and Phenotypic Spectrum of SWI/SNF-Related Intellectual Disability Disorders. Front Mol Neurosci. 2018;11(August):1–18.

34. van der Vaart A, Godfrey M, Portegijs V, van den Heuvel S. Dose-dependent functions of SWI/SNF BAF in permitting and inhibiting cell proliferation in vivo. Sci Adv. 2020;6(21).

35. Adikes RC, Kohrman AQ, Martinez MAQ, Palmisano NJ, Smith JJ, Medwig-Kinney TN, et al. Visualizing the metazoan proliferation-quiescence decision in vivo. Elife. 2020;9:1–74.

36. Rual JF, Ceron J, Koreth J, Hao T, Nicot AS, Hirozane-Kishikawa T, et al. Toward improving Caenorhabditis elegans phenome mapping with an ORFeome-based RNAi library. Genome Res. 2004;14(10 B):2162–8.

37. Kamath RS, Ahringer J. Genome-wide RNAi screening in Caenorhabditis elegans. Methods. 2003;30(4):313–21.

38. Matus DQ, Li X-Y, Durbin S, Agarwal D, Chi Q, Weiss SJ, et al. In vivo identification of regulators of cell invasion across basement membranes. Sci Signal. 2010;3(120):ra35.

39. W W. The SWI / SNF Family of ATP-Dependent Chromatin Remodelers : Similar Mechanisms for Diver Function. In: Workman JL, editor. Protein Complexes that Modify Chromatin. Springer-Verlag Berling Heidelberg; 2003. p. 144–65.

40. Sawa H, Kouike H, Okano H. Components of the SWI/SNF complex are required for asymmetric cell division in C. elegans. Mol Cell. 2000;6(3):617–24.

41. Cui M, Fay DS, Han M. Lin-35/Rb cooperates with the SWI/SNF complex to control Caenorhabditis elegans larval development. Genetics. 2004;167(3):1177–85.

42. Large EE, Mathies LD. Caenorhabditis elegans SWI/SNF subunits control sequential developmental stages in the somatic gonad. G3 (Bethesda). 2014;4(3):471–83.

43. Ertl I, Porta-De-La-Riva M, Gómez-Orte E, Rubio-Peña K, Corrales D, Cornes E,

et al. Functional interplay of two paralogs encoding SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling accessory subunits during Caenorhabditis elegans development. Genetics. 2016;202(3):961–75.

44. Cenik BK, Shilatifard A. COMPASS and SWI/SNF complexes in development and disease. Nat Rev Genet. 2021;22(1):38–58.

45. Kadoch C, Crabtree GR. Mammalian SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes and cancer: Mechanistic insights gained from human genomics. Sci Adv. 2015;1(5):e1500447–e1500447.

46. Nickerson JA, Wu Q, Imbalzano AN. Mammalian SWI/SNF enzymes and the epigenetics of tumor cell metabolic reprogramming. Front Oncol. 2017;7(APR):1–9.

47. Mittal P, Roberts CWM. The SWI/SNF complex in cancer — biology, biomarkers and therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2020;17(7):435–48.

48. Muchardt C, Yaniv M. When the SWI/SNF complex remodels...the cell cycle. Oncogene. 2001;20(24):3067–75.

49. Nagl NG, Zweitzig DR, Thimmapaya B, Beck GR, Moran E. The c-myc gene is a direct target of mammalian SWI/SNF-related complexes during differentiation-associated cell cycle arrest. Cancer Res. 2006;66(3):1289–93.

50. Moshkin YM, Mohrmann L, van Ijcken WFJ, Verrijzer CP. Functional Differentiation of SWI/SNF Remodelers in Transcription and Cell Cycle Control. Mol Cell Biol. 2007;27(2):651–61.

51. Ruijtenberg S, Van Den Heuvel S. G1/S Inhibitors and the SWI/SNF Complex Control Cell-Cycle Exit during Muscle Differentiation. Cell. 2015;162(2):300–13.

52. Son EY, Crabtree GR. The role of BAF (mSWI/SNF) complexes in mammalian neural development. Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet. 2014;(650).

53. Mathies LD, Blackwell GG, Austin MK, Edwards AC, Riley BP, Davies AG, et al. SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling regulates alcohol response behaviors in Caenorhabditis elegans and is associated with alcohol dependence in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(10):3032–7.

54. Kuzmanov A, Karina EI, Kirienko N V., Fay DS. The Conserved PBAF Nucleosome-Remodeling Complex Mediates the Response to Stress in Caenorhabditis elegans. Mol Cell Biol. 2014;34(6):1121–35.

55. Hayes GD, Riedel CG, Ruvkun G. The Caenorhabditis elegans somi-1 zinc finger protein and SWI/SNF promote regulation of development by the mir-84 microRNA. Genes Dev. 2011;25(19):2079–92.

56. Shibata Y, Uchida M, Takeshita H, Nishiwaki K, Sawa H. Multiple functions of PBRM-1/Polybromo- and LET-526/Osa-containing chromatin remodeling complexes in C. Elegans development. Dev Biol. 2012;361(2):349–57.

57. Weinberg P, Flames N, Sawa H, Garriga G, Hobert O. The SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex selectively affects multiple aspects of serotonergic neuron differentiation. Genetics. 2013;194(1):189–98.

58. Riedel CG, Dowen RH, Lourenco GF, Natalia V, Heimbucher T, West JA, et al. DAF-16/FOXO employs the chromatin remodeller SWI/SNF to promote stress resistance and longevity. 2013;15(5):491–501.

59. Kipreos ET, van den Heuvel S. Developmental Control of the Cell Cycle: Insights from Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. 2019;211(3):797–829.

60. Sturm Á, Saskoï É, Tibor K, Weinhardt N, Vellai T. Highly efficient RNAi and Cas9-based auto-cloning systems for C. Elegans research. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(17):1–13.

61. Dickinson DJ, Goldstein B. CRISPR-based methods for caenorhabditis elegans genome engineering. Genetics. 2016;202(3):885–901.

62. Rago F, DiMare MT, Elliott GN, Ruddy DA, Sovath S, Kerr G, et al. Degron mediated BRM/SMARCA2 depletion uncovers novel combination partners for treatment of BRG1/SMARCA4-mutant cancers. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2019;508(1):109–16.

63. Wang S, Tang NH, Lara-Gonzalez P, Zhao Z, Cheerambathur DK, Prevo B, et al. A toolkit for GFP-mediated tissue-specific protein degradation in C. elegans . Development. 2017;144(14):2694–701.

64. Ziel JW, Matus DQ, Sherwood DR. An expression screen for RhoGEF genes involved in C. elegans gonadogenesis. Gene Expr Patterns. 2009;9(6):397–403.

65. Rimann I, Hajnal A. Regulation of anchor cell invasion and uterine cell fates by the egl-43 Evi-1 proto-oncogene in Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol. 2007;308(1):187–95.

66. Deng T, Stempor P, Appert A, Daube M, Ahringer J, Hajnal A, et al. The caenorhabditis elegans homolog of the evi1 proto-oncogene, egl-43, coordinates G1 cell cycle arrest with pro-invasive gene expression during anchor cell invasion. PLoS Genet. 2020;16(3).

67. Spencer SL, Cappell SD, Tsai F, Overton KW, Wang L, Meyer T. The Proliferation-Quiescence Decision Is Controlled by a Bifurcation in CDK2 Activity

at Mitotic Exit. 2013;155(2):369–83.

68. Kimble J, Hirsh D. The postembryonic cell lineages of the hermaphrodite and male gonads in Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol. 1979;70(2):396–417.

69. Newman AP, White JG, Sternberg PW. Morphogenesis of the C. elegans hermaphrodite uterus. Development. 1996 Nov 1;122(11):3617 LP – 3626.

70. Cao Y, Cairns BR, Kornberg RD, Laurent BC. Sfh1p, a component of a novel chromatin-remodeling complex, is required for cell cycle progression. Mol Cell Biol. 1997;17(6):3323–34.

71. Angus-Hill ML, Schlichter A, Roberts D, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Cairns BR. A Rsc3/Rsc30 zinc cluster dimer reveals novel roles for the chromatin remodeler RSC in gene expression and cell cycle control. Mol Cell. 2001;7(4):741–51.

72. Zhang L, Ward JD, Cheng Z, Dernburg AF. The auxin-inducible degradation (AID) system enables versatile conditional protein depletion in C. elegans. Development. 2015;142(24):4374–84.

73. Martinez MAQ, Kinney BA, Medwig-Kinney TN, Ashley G, Ragle JM, Johnson L, et al. Rapid degradation of C. elegans proteins at single-cell resolution with a synthetic auxin. G3 (Bethesda). 2020;10(January):267–80.

74. Wang L, Shen W, Lei S, Matus D, Sherwood D, Wang Z. MIG-10 (Lamellipodin) stabilizes invading cell adhesion to basement membrane and is a negative transcriptional target of EGL-43 in C. elegans. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2014;452(3):328–33.

75. Sherwood, DR., Plastino J. Invading , Leading and Navigating Cells in

Caenorhabditis elegans : Insights into Cell Movement in Vivo. In: WormBook : the online review of C elegans biology. 2018. p. 53–78.

76. Ribeiro-Silva C, Vermeulen W, Lans H. SWI/SNF: Complex complexes in genome stability and cancer. DNA Repair. 2019.

77. Lu P, Roberts CW. The SWI/SNF tumor suppressor complex. Nucleus. 2013;4(5):374–8.

78. Hargreaves DC, Crabtree GR. ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling: Genetics, genomics and mechanisms. Cell Res. 2011;21(3):396–420.

79. Yang YF, Pan YH, Tian QH, Wu DC, Su SG. CBX1 Indicates Poor Outcomes and Exerts Oncogenic Activity in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Transl Oncol. 2018;11(5):1110–8.

80. Bracken AP, Brien GL, Verrijzer CP. Dangerous liaisons: interplay between SWI/SNF, NuRD, and Polycomb in chromatin regulation and cancer. Genes Dev. 2019;1–24.

81. Wang G, Tang J, Zhan W, Zhang R, Zhang M, Liao D, et al. CBX8 suppresses tumor metastasis via repressing snail in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Theranostics. 2017;7(14):3478–88.

82. Ma J, Zhan Y, Xu Z, Li Y, Luo A, Ding F, et al. ZEB1 induced miR-99b/let-7e/miR-125a cluster promotes invasion and metastasis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Lett. 2017;398:37–45.

83. Zhou D.X., Zhou D., Zhan S.Q., Wang P., Qin K., Gan W. LXF. Inhibition of JMJD6 expression reduces the proliferation, migration and invasion of neuroglioma stem cells. Neoplasma. 2017;64(5).

84. Bondy-Chorney E, Baldwin RM, Didillon A, Chabot B, Jasmin BJ, Côté J. RNA binding protein RALY promotes Protein Arginine Methyltransferase 1 alternatively spliced isoform v2 relative expression and metastatic potential in breast cancer cells. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2017;91(March):124–35.

85. Zhong S, Yan H, Chen Z, Li Y, Shen Y, Wang Y, et al. Overexpression of TAF1L promotes cell proliferation, migration and invasion in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. J Cancer. 2019;10(4):979–89.

86. Gillison ML, Akagi K, Xiao W, Jiang B, Pickard RKL, Li J, et al. Human papillomavirus and the landscape of secondary genetic alterations in oral cancers. Genome Res. 2019;29(1):1–17.

87. Yang L, Zeng W, Sun H, Huang F, Yang C, Cai X, et al. Bioinformatical Analysis of Gene Expression Omnibus Database Associates TAF7/CCNB1, TAF7/CCNA2, and GTF2E2/CDC20 Pathways with Glioblastoma Development and Prognosis. World Neurosurg. 2020;138:e492–514.

88. Herpel E, Rieker RJ, Dienemann H, Muley T, Meister M, Hartmann A, et al. SMARCA4 and SMARCA2 deficiency in non–small cell lung cancer: immunohistochemical survey of 316 consecutive specimens. Ann Diagn Pathol. 2017;26:47–51.

89. Shibata Y, Uchida M, Takeshita H, Nishiwaki K, Sawa H. Multiple functions of PBRM-1/Polybromo- and LET-526/Osa-containing chromatin remodeling complexes in C. Elegans development. Dev Biol. 2012;361(2):349–57.

90. Zhang Z, Wang F, Du C, Guo H, Ma L, Liu X, et al. BRM/SMARCA2 promotes the proliferation and chemoresistance of pancreatic cancer cells by targeting

JAK2/STAT3 signaling. Cancer Lett. 2017;402:213–24.

91. Wu RC, Wang TL, Shih IM. The emerging roles of ARID1A in tumor suppression. Cancer Biol Ther. 2014;15(6):655–64.

92. Clapier CR, Iwasa J, Cairns BR, Peterson CL. Mechanisms of action and regulation of ATP-dependent chromatin-remodelling complexes. Nat Publ Gr. 2017.

93. Vries RGJ, Bezrookove V, Zuijderduijn LMP, Kia SK, Houweling A, Oruetxebarria I, et al. Cancer-associated mutations in chromatin remodeler hSNF5 promote chromosomal instability by compromising the mitotic checkpoint. Genes Dev. 2005;19(6):665–70.

94. Kadoch C, Copeland RA, Keilhack H. PRC2 and SWI/SNF Chromatin Remodeling Complexes in Health and Disease. Biochemistry. 2016;55(11):1600–14.

95. Shain AH, Pollack JR. The Spectrum of SWI/SNF Mutations, Ubiquitous in Human Cancers. PLoS One. 2013;8(1).

96. Fernando TM, Piskol R, Bainer R, Sokol ES, Trabucco SE, Zhang Q, et al. Functional characterization of SMARCA4 variants identified by targeted exome-sequencing of 131,668 cancer patients. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):1–13.

97. Medina PP, Romero OA, Kohno T, Montuenga LM, Pio R, Yokota J, et al. Frequent BRG1/SMARCA4-Inactivating mutations in human lung cancer cell lines. Hum Mutat. 2008;29(5):617–22.

98. Network TCGAR. Corrigendum: Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature. 2014;514(7521):262.

99. Witkowski L, Carrot-Zhang J, Albrecht S, Fahiminiya S, Hamel N, Tomiak E, et al. Germline and somatic SMARCA4 mutations characterize small cell carcinoma of the ovary, hypercalcemic type. Nat Genet. 2014;46(5):438–43.

100. Dutta A, Sardiu M, Gogol M, Gilmore J, Zhang D, Florens L, et al. Composition and Function of Mutant Swi/Snf Complexes. Cell Rep. 2017;18(9):2124–34.

101. Hoffman GR, Rahal R, Buxton F, Xiang K, McAllister G, Frias E, et al. Functional epigenetics approach identifies BRM/SMARCA2 as a critical synthetic lethal target in BRG1-deficient cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(8):3128–33.

102. Sasaki M, Ogiwara H. Synthetic lethal therapy based on targeting the vulnerability of SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex-deficient cancers. Cancer Sci. 2020;111(3):774–82.

103. Reisman DN, Sciarrotta J, Wang W, Funkhouser WK, Weissman BE. Loss of BRG1/BRM in human lung cancer cell lines and primary lung cancers: Correlation with poor prognosis. Cancer Res. 2003;63(3):560–6.

104. Marquez-Vilendrer SB, Rai SK, Gramling SJ, Lu L, Reisman DN. Loss of the SWI/SNF atpase subunits BRM and BRG1 drives lung cancer development. Oncoscience. 2016;3(11–12):322–36.

105. Sulston JE, Horvitz HR. Post-embryonic cell lineages of the nematode, Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol. 1977;56(1):110–56.

106. Katsanos D, Barkoulas M. Tissue-specific transcription factor target identification in the Caenorhabditis elegans epidermis using targeted DamID. bioRxiv. 2020.

107. Morrissey MA, Keeley DP, Hagedorn EJ, McClatchey STH, Chi Q, Hall DH, et al.

B-LINK: A Hemicentin, Plakin, and Integrin-Dependent Adhesion System that Links Tissues by Connecting Adjacent Basement Membranes. Dev Cell. 2014;31(3):319–31.

108. Simmer F, Tijsterman M, Parrish S, Koushika SP, Nonet ML, Fire A, et al. Loss of the putative RNA-directed RNA polymerase RRF-3 makes C. Elegans hypersensitive to RNAi. Curr Biol. 2002;12(15):1317–9.
109. Porta-de-la-Riva M, Fontrodona L, Villanueva A, Cerón J. Basic Caenorhabditis elegans methods: Synchronization and observation. J Vis Exp. 2012;(64):1–9.
110. Dickinson DJ, Ward JD, Reiner DJ, Goldstein B. Engineering the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using Cas9-triggered homologous recombination. Nat Methods. 2013;10(10):1028–34.
111. Dickinson DJ, Goldstein B. CRISPR-based methods for caenorhabditis elegans genome engineering. Genetics. 2016;202(3):885–901.
112. Dickinson DJ, Pani AM, Heppert JK, Higgins CD, Goldstein B. Streamlined genome engineering with a self-excising drug selection cassette. Genetics. 2015;200(4):1035–49.
113. Grove C, Cain S, Chen WJ, Davis P, Harris T, Howe K, et al. Using WormBase, a genome biology resource for Caenorhabditis elegans and related nematodes. Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1757:399–470.
114. Sturm Á, Saskoi É, Tibor K, Weinhardt N, Vellai T. Highly efficient RNAi and Cas9-based auto-cloning systems for C. elegans research. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(17):e105.
115. Conte Jr. D, T. Macneil L, J. M. Walhout A, C. Mello C. RNA Interference in

Caenorhabditis Elegans. Current Protocol in Microbiology. 2015. 1–39 p.

116. Martinez M, Matus D. Auxin-mediated Protein Degradation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Bio-Protocol. 2020;10(8).

117. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9(7):676–82.

Figure 1


A
B
L3 Stage
mitotic uterine cells
AC
BM
1° VPCs
P6.p 1 cell
P6.p 2 cell
P6.p 4 cell
P6.p 8 cell
(Development)
hda-1
(HDAC1/2)
+
CRFs
?
fos-1
(FOS)
egl-43
(EVI1/MEL)
hlh-2
(E/Daughterless)
nhr-67
(NR2E1/TLX)
Cell cycle independent
pro-invasive genes
G0/G1
cell cycle arrest
AC invasion

Figure 1. Summary of *C. elegans* AC invasion through the underlying BM and AC GRN.

(A) Schematic depicting AC invasion in the mid-L3 stage of *C. elegans* development (left) and micrographs demonstrating the coordination of AC (magenta, *cdh-3>PH::mCherry*) invasion through the BM (green, *laminin::GFP*) with primary vulval development. The fluorescent AC-specific membrane marker and BM marker are overlaid on DIC in each image. In this and all other figures, white arrowheads indicate AC(s), yellow arrowheads indicate boundaries of breach in BM, and white brackets indicate 1° VPCs. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(B)** Overview of the transcription factor GRN governing AC invasion (22,24), which consists of cell cycle-independent (*fos-1*) and dependent (*egl-43, hlh-2,* and *nhr-67*) subcircuits, which together with *hda-1* promote pro-invasive gene expression and maintain cell cycle arrest in the AC.

Smith, et al. (2021)

Figure 2



Smith, et al. (2021)

Figure 2. Enhanced RNAi targeting SWI/SNF core, BAF, and PBAF assembly subunits results in penetrant invasion defects.

(A) Schematic depicting the *C. elegans* SWI/SNF core (middle, green) and accessory (middle, gray) subunits and BAF (left, blue), and PBAF (right, orange) assemblies. **(B-D)** DIC (left), corresponding fluorescence images (middle), and fluorescence overlay (right) representing loss of AC (magenta, *cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD*) invasion through the BM (green, *laminin::GFP*) following RNAi depletion of SWI/SNF core (*swsn-1* and *swsn-4*) **(B)**, BAF (*swsn-8*) **(C)**, and PBAF (*pbrm-1, swsn-7,* and *swsn-9*) **(D)** subunits. In cases where multiple cells expressed the AC reporter (2+ACs) in the same animal, each is indicated with a white arrowhead. In cases where multiple cells expressed the AC reporter (2+ACs), a representative image from the same treatment of a single AC that fails to breach the BM is displayed as an inset (white dashed box, bottom left). **(E)** Stacked bar chart showing the penetrance of AC invasion defects following treatment with SWI/SNF RNAi depletion, binned by AC phenotype (n≥50 animals examined for each treatment). Scale bar, 5 μm.

Figure 3


LAM::GFP
GFP::SWSN-4
cdh-3>mCh::moeABD
Overlay
A
Empty vector
B
cdh-3>antiGFP-nano
C
egl-43>antiGFP-nano
D
swsn-4(RNAi)
E
egl-43>antiGFP-nano
F
Normalized M.G.V (%)
n.s.
****
p<0.00001
****
p<0.00001
n.s.
Empty vector
cdh-3> antiGFP-nano
egl-43> antiGFP-nano
swsn-4(RNAi)
egl-43> antiGFP-nano +swsn-4(RNAi)
G
AC invasion defect (%)
Phenotype
2+ AC block
1 AC block
Invasion

Figure 3. AC invasion and cell cycle arrest depend on dosage of SWI/SNF ATPase. **(A-E)** Representative fluorescence images depicting expression of BM marker (*laminin::GFP*) and endogenous GFP::SWSN-4 (left), AC reporter (*cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD*, middle), and fluorescence overlay (right) across experimental treatments. In cases where multiple cells expressed the AC reporter in the same animal, each is indicated with a single white arrowhead. Asterisk indicates anti-GFP nanobody expression in neighboring VU cell. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(F)** Quantification of mean gray values (M.G.V.) of endogenous GFP::SWSN-4 in ACs in control animals (empty vector) and across all experimental treatments normalized to mean fluorescent expression in wildtype animals (n≥40 animals per treatment, p values for Student's *t*-test comparing expression of successive knockdown are displayed on the figure). In this and all other figures, open circles and error bars denote mean±standard deviation (s.d.). **(G)** Stacked bar chart showing quantification of AC invasion defects corresponding to each treatment, binned by AC phenotype (n≥40 animals per condition; p values for Fisher's exact test comparing phenotypes of successive knockdown strategies are displayed above compared groups). Grey brackets indicate statistical significance between invasion total in each condition compared to invasion defect total. Black brackets indicate statistical significance between incidences of invasion defects with multiple ACs compared to incidences of invasion defects with single ACs.

Figure 4


A
DIC
cdh-3>mCh::moeABD
Overlay
DHB::GFP
Empty vector
C/N: 0.232
B
swsn-4(RNAi)
1AC
C/N: 0.418
2+ACs
C/N1: 0.835
C/N2: 0.419
C/N3: 0.893
C
swsn-8(RNAi)
1AC
C/N: 0.826
2+ACs
C/N1: 0.848
C/N2: 0.665
D
pbrm-1(RNAi)
C/N: 0.225
E
DIC
DIC
DHB::GFP
Empty vector
P6.p 8 cell VPC (Z = 1)
Pi cells (z=9)
C/N1: 0.159
C/N2: 0.179
C/N3: 0.201
Pi cells (z=9)
F
DHB::GFP Cytoplasmic/Nuclear (C/N) ratio
1.2
0.9
0.6
0.3
0
n.s.
0.206
0.226
0.566
0.636
0.157
Pi cells
Empty vector
swsn-4(RNAi)
swsn-8(RNAi)
pbrm-1(RNAi)
AC
G2
S
G1
G0
1.2
0.67
0.3
0

Figure 4. CDK sensor reveals SWI/SNF contribution to G_0 arrest in the AC. Micrographs depicting DIC (left), AC (*cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD*, center-left), DIC overlay (center-right), and DHB-based CDK activity sensor (right) in empty vector **(A)** and following treatment with SWI/SNF RNAi targeting subunits of the core **(***swsn-4***, B)**, BAF **(***swsn-8***, C)** and PBAF **(***pbrm-1*, **D)** assemblies. In cases where treatment resulted in multiple cells expressing the AC reporter in the same animal, representative images of both single (1AC) and mitotic (2+ACs) phenotypes are given, and each AC is indicated with a single white arrowhead. Quantification of the cytoplasmic:nuclear (C/N) ratio of DHB::GFP in ACs (white dotted outline) is listed in the bottom left of each panel. Mitotic ACs are numbered, and C/N ratios are provided for each **(B-C)**. White arrow in C indicates an AC that is out of the focal plane. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(E)** Representative single z-plane micrographs of the vulva at the P6.p 8 cell stage (left, z=1) and the terminal Pi cells (middle, z=9) in DIC, and DHB-based CDK activity sensor in Pi cells (right). Quantification of the C/N ratio of DHB::GFP in three of four Pi cells (white dotted outline) that are in the plane of the image is listed in the bottom left. **(F)** Quantification of C/N DHB::GFP ratios for wild-type terminally divided Pi cells and all ACs in empty vector control and each RNAi treatment (n≥30 animals per treatment). Mean C/N ratio is represented by colored open circles and correspond to numbers of the same color. Gradient scale depicts cell cycle state as determined by quantification of each Pi cell or AC in all treatments (n≥30 animals per treatment), with dark-black depicting G_0 and lighter-magenta depicting G_2 cell cycle states. Dashed white line on gradient scale bar (right) corresponds to boundaries between G_0 and G_1 phases. Colored vertical bars on the gradient scale correspond to the C/N ratio

standard deviation in the mean of condition and correspond to the condition of the same color.

Figure 5


A
B
C
D
A'
B'
C'
D'
DIC
LAM::GFP
cdh-3>mCh::moeABD
hsp>CKI-1::mTagBFP2
Empty vector
swsn-4(RNAi)
swsn-8(RNAi)
pbrm-1(RNAi)
heat shock
E
AC invasion defect (%)
100
80
60
40
20
0
n.s.
***
p<0.001
n.s.
Phenotype
2+ AC block
1 AC block
1 AC Invasion
Control
+CKI-1
Empty vector
swsn-4(RNAi)
swsn-8(RNAi)
pbrm-1(RNAi)
F
swsn-8(RNAi) + heat shock
DIC
hsp>CKI-1::2xBFP
LAM::GFP
cdh-3>mCh::moeABD
(Max int. z-projection)
Overlay
(Max int. z-projection)

Figure 5. BAF depletion is rescued by G_0 arrest.

Representative micrographs depicting DIC (left), BM (*laminin::GFP*, center-left), AC (*cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD,* center-right), and CKI-1 (*hsp>CKI-1::mTagBFP2*) expression in empty vector control **(A-A')** and treatment with SWI/SNF RNAi under standard conditions **(A-D)** and following heat shock induction of CKI-1 **(A'-D')**. CKI-1 images have been inverted for ease of visualization. **(E)** Stacked bar chart showing percentage of AC invasion defects corresponding to each RNAi treatment under standard growth conditions (control) and following heat shock induction of CKI-1 (+CKI-1), binned by AC phenotype (n≥30 animals per condition; Fisher's exact test compared CKI-1(+) animals with control, non-heat shocked animals; p value is displayed above compared groups). **(F)** Representative micrographs of invasive group of *swsn-8* deficient ACs following induction of G_0/G_1 arrest. DIC (top-left), BM (bottom-left), CKI-1 expression (top-right), AC reporter (bottom-right). Max intensity z-projection of AC and BM reporter channels (bottom). Large breach in BM is indicated by black arrow. Scale bar, 5 μm.

Figure 6


A
B
C
D
E
LAM::GFP
cdh-3>mCh::moeABD
Overlay
egl-43::GFP::egl-43
GFP::hlh-2
nhr-67::GFP
GFP::fos-1a
Empty vector
swsn-4(RNAi)
M.G.V.
50000
40000
30000
20000
10000
0
n.s.
****
p<0.00001
**
p<0.01
EGL-43::GFP::EGL-43
GFP::HLH-2
NHR-67::GFP
GFP::FOS-1a

Smith, et al. (2021)

Figure 6. SWI/SNF regulates endogenously tagged TFs in the AC invasion GRN. Fluorescent micrographs depicting expression of endogenously tagged TFs in the cell cycle-dependent subcircuit (*egl-43::GFP::egl-43* **(A),** *GFP::hlh-2* **(B),** and *nhr-67::GFP* **(C)**) and cell cycle-independent subcircuit (*GFP::fos-1a* **(D)**) of the AC GRN in animals treated with empty vector control (left) or *swsn-4(RNAi)* (right). Scale bar, 5 μm. **(E)** Quantification of fluorescent expression of each TF::GFP in ACs of control animals and animals treated with *swsn-4(RNAi)*. Statistical comparisons were made between the expression of each TF subunit in the AC in control and RNAi-treated animals using Student's *t*-test (n≥30 for each condition; p values are displayed above black brackets).

Figure 7


A
LAM::GFP
PBRM-1::mNG::AID
TIR1(-)
cdh-3>mCh::moeABD
Overlay
Empty vector
B
Auxin(-)
Auxin(+)
C
swsn-7(RNAi)
D
swsn-9(RNAi)
E
M.G.V.
n.s.
p<0.00001
p<0.01
TIR1(-)/Empty vector
Empty vector
swsn-7(RNAi)
swsn-9(RNAi)
Aux(-)
Aux(+)
F
AC invasion defect (%)
Phenotype
Detached
AC block
Invasion
G
(Max int. z-projection)
H
SWI/SNF
PBAF
HDA-1
BAF
fos-1 (FOS)
egl-43 (EVI1/MEL)
hlh-2 (E/Daughterless)
nhr-67 (NR2E1/TLX)
CKI-1
G0
G1
S
G2
Pro-invasive gene expression/ attachment to BM
G0 cell cycle arrest
AC invasion

Figure 7. PBAF promotes AC contact to the BM.

Representative micrographs of BM (*lam::GFP*) and endogenous *pbrm-1::mNG::AID* (left), AC (cdh-3>mCherry::moeABD, center), and fluorescent overlays (right) of animals lacking **(A)** or possessing **(B-D)** ubiquitous TIR1 expression treated with empty vector control **(B)** or RNAi targeting PBAF subunits in the absence Aux(-) (top) or presence Aux(+) (bottom) of media containing the auxin hormone **(C-D). (E)** Quantification of fluorescence expression (M.G.V) of PBRM-1::mNG::AID in ACs of animals in each condition (N≥30 animals in each treatment; p values for Fisher's exact test comparing strains containing TIR1 to the TIR1(-) strain, and comparing strains containing TIR1 in the Aux(-) to the Aux(+) condition, are displayed above compared groups). **(F)** Stacked bar chart showing percentage of AC invasion defects corresponding to each treatment, binned by AC phenotype (N≥30 animals per condition). Black brackets indicate statistical significance between invasion total in each condition compared to invasion defect total. **(G)** Max intensity z-projection of AC and BM reporter channels depicting a detached AC phenotype in *swsn-7*-deficient ACs in the Aux(+) condition. BM (left), AC (center), fluorescence overlay (right). Asterisk indicates polarized F-actin driven protrusion extending ventrally. **(H)** Schematic summary of the how the SWI/SNF PBAF (orange – *swsn-7* (7), *swsn-9* (9), *pbrm-1* (P)) and BAF (*swsn-8* (8), *dpff-1* (D)) assemblies contribute to AC invasion.