An updated assembly strategy helps parsing the cryptic mitochondrial genome evolution in plants

Yanlei Feng[1,2,*], Xiaoguo Xiang[3], Zhixi Fu[4], Ronghui Pan[5] and Xiaohua Jin[6,*]

Westlake University, Hangzhou, China

Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, Hangzhou, China

Nanchang University, Nanchang, China

Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu, China

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

Institute of Botany, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

*Author for correspondence – Yanlei Feng (fengyanlei@westlake.edu.cn), Xiaohua Jin (xiaohuajin@ibcas.ac.cn)

Abstract

Although plant mitogenomes are small in size, their variations are no less than any other complex genomes. They are under rapid structure and size changes. These characters make the assembly a great challenge. This caused two intertwined problems, a slow growth of known mitogenomes and a poor knowledge of their evolution. In many species, mitogenome becomes the last genome that undeciphered. To have a better understanding of these two questions, we developed a strategy using short sequencing reads and combining current tools and manual steps to get high quality mitogenomes. This strategy allowed us to assembled 23 complete mitogenomes from 5 families in Fagales. Our large-scale comparative genomic analyses indicated the composition of mitogenomes is very mosaic that "horizontal transfers" can be from almost all taxa in seed plants. The largest mitogenome contains more homologous DNA with other Fagales, rather than unique sequences. Besides of real HGTs, sometimes mitovirus, nuclear insertions and other third-part DNA could also produce HGT-like sequences, accounting partially for the unusual evolutionary trajectories, including the cryptic size expansion in *Carpinus*. Mitochondrial plasmid was also found. Its lower GC content indicates that it may be only an interphase of a foreign DNA before accepting by the main chromosome. Our methods and results provide new insights into the assembly and mechanisms of mitogenome evolution.

Introduction

Organelle genomes are always known as small size and conserved structure, such as the mitochondrial genome (mitogenome) in animals and plastid genome (plastome). Somehow, mitogenome in angiosperms (or seed plants) is totally different. Its size is largely expanded but also varies significantly among species, with no less than 200 Kb and up to 11 Mb (Sloan et al., 2012; exception see Skippington et al., 2015). Alone with the expansion, duplications, plastid-

derived insertions (referred as mitochondrial plastid insertions, MTPTs) and horizontal DNA

transfers (HGTs) are common. DNA double strand breaks are rampant and structure is extremely

dynamic (Davila et al., 2011; Christensen, 2018), chromosome in many species becomes linear,

multipartite, branched or a mixture of them (Alverson et al., 2011a; Cheng et al., 2017; Kozik et

al., 2019). Even close relatives or individuals of the same species may have different

mitogenomes.

These special characters hinder the complete and high-quality assembly of mitogenome.

Using published relative as a reference is a general strategy of assembling plastomes. However,

the poor conservation and uncertain chromosome structure of mitogenome make this way

impossible. On the other hand, *de novo* assembly is not easy, either. The dispersed repeats

always make the contigs very fragmental. What's more, the coverage of plastome is normally

much higher than mitogenome. Therefore, how to get the precise content of MTPTs remains

challenging. So far, there are softwares for plastome and animal mitogenome, such as

GetOrganelle, Novoplasty and mitoZ (Dierckxsens et al., 2017; Meng et al., 2019; Jin et al.,

2020). Some scientists used bacterial genome tools instead, like Unicycle (Wick et al., 2017). But

none of their performance on plant mitogenome is satisfactory. The tools specially plant

mitogenome is still blank.

A direct consequence of this difficulty is the slow growth of this area. In angiosperms, the

sequenced mitogenomes are much less than plastomes and even nuclear genomes (a brief

count in species level, mitogenomes: no more than 300, NCBI; plastome: more than 4000, NCBI;

nuclear genome: more than 500, https://www.plabipd.de; till 2021 January). In many species,

mitogenome becomes the last genome that not been deciphered. In addition, the publications

are mostly about one or several species. Large-scale comparisons of mitogenome are still rare,

remaining many mysteries about its evolution. Recently, many researchers start to use PacBio or

Nanopore long reads. It's better than short Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) reads. But likewise, long repeats and long MTPTs couldn't be solved perfectly as well. Its high price also limits the number of the sampling. The past years our working on plastomes and nuclear genomes has accumulated a mess of NGS data. If there is a way can reuse the data to get high-quality mitogenomes, it will save us a lot of money and time.

Fagales are an order belonging to Rosids of eudicots. Based on the APG system, they contain more than 1,000 species in 7 families and 33 genera (Sennikov et al., 2016). Many of them are very important for the ecology as well as food supply. They include many best-know trees, *e.g.*, beaches, oaks and birches, and many of them can offer nuts and fruits, *e.g.*, walnuts, chestnuts, hazels and bayberries. Fagales are also a diverse and ecologically dominant plant group that have nitrogen-fixing species. So far, at least 24 genomes were sequenced (https://www.plabipd.de) and 150 plastomes were released in Fagales, while only three mitogenomes were known. One is *Betula pendula* (GenBank ID: LT855379, Betulaceae, without annotation) from the WGS project, but in the publication no real content about it (Salojärvi et al., 2017); another is *Quercus variabilis* (GenBank ID: MN199236, Fagaceae) with only simple descriptions (Bi et al., 2019); the third is *Fagus sylvatica* (GenBank ID: MT446430, Fagaceae) published recently (Mader et al., 2020). Parsing their mitogenomes, the last unknown genetic material, is crucial for insight into their adaptive capacity, genetic and genomic resources.

In this study, we summarized the difficulties in mitogenome assembly with short reads and explored a strategy to overcome them. We used raw short reads from NCBI SRA (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) to assemble mitogenomes of 23 species, including 16 genera from 5 families of Fagales, covering almost half of the total genera and 71% of the total families respectively. By these high quality complete mitogenomes, we analyzed their

differences by comparative genomics, especially size variation. This is one of the largest

sampling in mitogenome studies heretofore.

Results

An updated strategy to get complete mitogenomes

Most projects sequenced the full DNA instead of isolating mitochondrion. Currently there is no

way to get the mitochondrial reads directly from the total. We used *de novo* assembly, then

found mitochondrial contigs by genes and coverage (details see Methods). Mitogenomes of

angiosperms normally don't contain AT-rich regions, the read coverage is quite balanced

without gap (from known mitogenomes and experience). During assembling, the extension

stops when meets repeats and MTPTs, i.e., contigs usually end either by repeats (repetitive

ends) or MTPTs (MTPT ends). Firstly, we solved all the repeat ends by coverage (Figure 1, the

coverage of a two-copy repeat pair is doubled). It may introduce artificial rearrangements

between repeat pairs longer than the insert length. Afterwards, we mapped all MTPT ends to its

plastome, and found the most potential connections. At last, we used the reads to correct

MTPTs (Figure S1) and assembly (details see Methods).

Mitogenome assembly, structure and completeness assessment

The coverage of the assembled mitogenomes ranges from 33 to 174 (Table S1). 13 of the 23

species got circle(s), while the other 10 got one or multiple linear chromosomes (Table 1). In the

circular assemblies, if there is a pair of repeats longer than the insert length between

chromosomes, we merged the chromosomes into one through the repeats. The multi-circle

mitogenome means no mergeable long repeat shared by chromosomes. In principle, if we

connect all the repetitive and plastid ends, we can get circular mitogenome(s). However,

sometimes the repetitive end pointed to an unstable, gradually decreasing coverage (Figure S2), we could not find out how to connect them; or the MTPT end failed to find the other pair (like *Corylus* chromosome 2). Other potential problems hinder we got circular chromosomes including: (1) In *Alnus* the repeats are rampant, many repeats duplicate three or more times. That's the main reason why it's so fragmented; (2) The coverage of *Bet. platyphylla* and *Lithocarpus* is quite unstable (it's likely lower around AT-rich region). We don't know if that was affected by a different sequencing machine. The reason why not circular and potential errors are summarized in Table S2. We believe that circular chromosomes are closer to an "ideal" complete mitogenome. Those non-circle assemblies should have no big problem about the DNA content, but repeat and MTPT length might be affected (Table S2).

During our preparation of this project, the mitogenome of *Fagus sylvatica* was published (Mader et al., 2020). By using both long and short reads, the mitogenome was assembled into a single circle of 504,715 bp in length. Our assembly of *Fagus sylvatica* got the same size, with nearly 100% identical content - only two bases are different (difference between individuals rather than mis-assembly). The only disparity of the two version is a "inversion" between a 900-bp repeat. The insert length of our *Fagus* data is 450 bp (Table S1). This kind of disparity is expected. The work of Mader et al. (2020) has proved the practicability and reliability of our methods. These two independent projects at last got nearly the same mitogenome, it also proved, at least in some species, the mitogenome is still well preserved among individuals.

Mitogenome size and content

The basic characters of our assemblies, as well as *Bet. pendula* and *Que. variabilis*, were listed in Table 1. Mitogenomes size in Casuarinaceae, Fagaceae and Myricaceae still resemble their distant relatives from Rosales or Fabales (400 and 480 Kb in average, respectively, based on the

current data on NCBI). While in Betulaceae and Juglandaceae the size is obviously expanded.

Car. cordata from Betulaceae has the largest size (922 Kb), around 2.4 times longer than the

shortest, chestnut *Castanea* from Fugalaceae (388 Kb). However, other members in Betulaceae

don't have comparable length. Within each family, DNA content doesn't differ much, though the

structure is highly rearranged (Figure S3). The mitogenomes among families are less similar that

many sequences have no homologs in others (Figure 3).

The proportion of repeats in Fagales mitogenomes is small, normally less than 3% and no

more than 6.2% of the total length (Table 1). In Betulaceae, short repeats less than 200 bp

obvious more, especially in *Alnus* (Table S3). Interestingly, some are highly copied, just like the

"Bpu" sequences found in *Cycas* (Chaw et al., 2008). Situation is same to MTPTs. Only two

species have MTPTs more than 6%, *Cas. equisetifolia* (13.5%) and *Corylus* (9.5%, maybe not

exact, see Table S2).

The gene content of Fagales resembles other angiosperms. The 24 "core" protein coding

genes (*atp1*, *4*, *6*, *8* and *9*, *ccmB*, *C*, *Fc* and *Fn*, *cob*, *cox1-3*, *nad1-7*, *9* and *4L*, *matR* and *mttB*),

three ribosomal RNA genes (*rrn5*, *rrnS* and *rrnL*) and two succinate dehydrogenase subunit

genes (*sdh3* and *sdh4*) are well preserved. Like many plants, the conservation of ribosomal

protein genes is poor. Only 5 of them, *rpl5*, *rpl10*, *rps1*, *rps4* and *rps12*, exist in all. While the

rests show different degrees of losses in different species (Figure 2). The *rps11* of *Betula* and

Corylus was reported from horizontal gene transfer (HGT; Bergthorsson et al., 2003). We

confirmed the conclusion, meanwhile expended the scope. Five of the seven Betulaceae species

have this gene, with identity around 100%, either having open reading frame (ORF) or not.

Blasting against NCBI nr database displayed it is more similar to monocots or the basal core

angiosperms, *e.g.*, *Triantha glutinosa* (KX808303, Alismatales) and *Liriodendron tulipifera*

(NC_021152, Magnoliales), which is also consistent with the former results (Bergthorsson et al.,

2003). That indicate the HGT of *rps11* may happened to the common ancestor of Betulaceae, followed with differential losses in some species (Figure 2). The other gene, *rps13*, also only presents in minority. But BLAST result showed it similar to many Rosales, which may not support it's an HGT.

The *nad1* exon 4 (*nad1*e4), *matR* and *nad1*e5 form a gene colinear block in most angiosperms. The block broke between *matR* and *nad1*e5 at least two times in Fagales (Figure 2). One happened to *Fagus*, another happened to Juglandaceae (Figure 2). Surprisingly, in *Jug. sigillata* and *Jug. Regia*, this break was recovered. In Juglandaceae, a repeat around 110 bp is located within *matR* and *nad1*e5. Rearrangement between the repeat pairs may flip-flop that triggering the break and recovery. Whereas no repeat can be found in the corresponding area of *Fagus*. Its break maybe happened through nonhomologous recombination (Davila et al., 2011; Christensen, 2013). *Alnus* may also have this break. Since our assembly of *Alnus* is fragmental, further conclusion needs to be verified.

Mitochondrial plasmid

In *Carpinus*, a very small circular mitochondrial plasmid was found, with only 2,888 bp in length. It has similar coverage (or slightly lower) to the main mitogenome. Its GC content is 37.6%, much lower than normal mtDNA (Table 1). It shares no homologs with other mitogenomes in angiosperms, except a *ca.* 240 bp plastid-like region. It can be fully covered by *Corylus avellana* or *Carpinus fangiana* nuclear sequences from different chromosomes. The plasmid has two large ORFs, ORF244 (732 bp) and ORF162 (486 bp). BLASTP against nr database indicate homologs of ORF244 were annotated as putative F-box protein in many species in angiosperms, including a nearly full-length matching in *Arabidopsis* (AT1G74875, identical 34%). homologs of ORF162 were annotated as "factor of DNA methylation 4" in many Rosids. Although we are not

sure if the ORFs are expressed, evidences seem enough to prove the plasmid is from nucleus. The plasmid was not included in the total length or analyses of *Carpinus*.

Phylogeny

The mitochondrial and plastid matrices are 31,551 and 69,243 bp long with 750 and 6,495 parsimony-informative characters, respectively. The phylogeny of Fagales based on chloroplast data (Figure S4b) showed that most of phylogenetic relationships are consistent with previous studies, but the relationships among Juglandaceae was quite different with Mu et al. (2020). While the phylogeny of Fagales based on mitochondrial tree (Figure S4a) showed that positions of the families in the plastid tree consists with previous work (Li et al., 2004). But the position of Myricaceae is incongruent with Xiang et al. (2014) and Xing et al. (2014). *Quercus* was reported as polyphyly in plastid trees but as monophyly in nuclear genes. Hybridization, introgression or incomplete lineage sorting possibly happened (Manos et al., 2008; Simeone et al., 2016). Both mitochondrial and plastid tree in our results showed a polyphyletic relationship of *Quercus*, which is also agreed with previous studies. The mitochondrial tree is poorly supported. It is almost expected since only few signals can be detected in the matrix. In phylogeny reconstruction, mitochondrial genes may be not effective enough in order or lower level. Hence, in this study we used the plastid tree for exhibition and discussion.

Genus-specific DNA and mosaic origin

The detectable repeats and MTPTs are not sufficient to explain the size variation (Table 1). To further explore what caused the divergence, we isolated the specific DNA of each genus, *i.e.*, sequences have no homologs in other genus in Fagales. *Que. robur* was not merged into *Quercus*. To our surprise, the longest *Car. cordata* does not contain strikingly long specific sequences (Table S3); while *Casuarina*, whose size is relatively small, has the most (Table S3).

Plant mitogenomes prone to absorbing foreign DNA. To analyze if these genus-specific

sequences were from other species, we searched them against NCBI nr database. Only best-hits

were counted and then incorporate into orders (Figure 4; Table S3-S4). Except those had no

BLAST results, results related to most lineages in seed plants and most from mitogenomes

(Figure 4).

Mitovirus-like sequences were found in many species of Fagales, including a nearly full length

in two *Betula*, and *ca.* 1500 bp in *Castanea* (Figure 5). Mitovirus (belongs to family Narnaviridae)

is a kind of positive single-strand RNA virus that replicates in host mitochondria. Its genome is

only 2.1 – 4.4 Kb in length and contains a single ORF of a viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase

presumably (RdRP) required for replication (Nibert, 2017). The phylogeny of Fagales mitovirus-

like sequences is incongruent with the species tree (Figure 5), which indicates these mitovirus-

like sequences were not integrated into Fagales by one single event.

Fagales belong to the "nitrogen-fixing lineage". At least three species in our sampling have the

ability of nitrogen fixation: *Casuarina*, *Morella* and *Alnus* (Yelenik and D'Antonio, 2013; Huisman

and Geurts, 2020). No evidence showed they contain sequences more similar to bacteria.

Discussion

A visual method helps precise assembly

In this study, we summarized the potential problems during mitogenome assembly and gave a

credible strategy to obtain high-quality mitogenomes. Via visual processes in the powerful

software Geneious, it can make sure every base correct (SNPs can be seen as well, if it has). That

is necessary when we what to explore more detailed studies of DNA molecular evolution, such

as the RNA editing, intron splicing and DNA double strand breaks and repairs. Our strategy is

based on NGS short reads. A great benefit of short reads is the stock from other sequencing projects or on public databases (NCBI or CNGBdb).

An obvious disadvantage of short reads is they can't overcome long repeats. So, the connection around long repeats of our assemblies is most likely pseudo or can only represent one potential type. A potential way to avoid mis-assemblies is checking the completeness of the gene colinear blocks (Chaw et al., 2008), like *nad1*e4-*matR-nad1*e5 in Fagales. Considering that plant mitogenomes rearrange more frequently as long repeats (Kozik et al., 2019), whether the genome has a "standard" structure is largely uncertain. The architecture of plant mitogenome is mysterious. Despite of the complex structure of mitogenome under microscopy (Backert and Börner, 2000; Manchekar et al., 2006; Cheng et al., 2017), we showed that most mitogenomes can still be assembled as circle(s).

Intracellular gene transfer (IGT) between genome compartments is a common phenomenon. Besides of MTPTs, plastid fragments can also transfer to the nucleus, referred to as nuclear plastid insertions (NUPTs, like the plasmid in *Carpinus*). Likewise, there are mitochondrial nuclear insertions (MTNUs) and nuclear mitochondrial insertions (NUMTs). Precise assembly of them should be the first step to solve the genome interaction and evolution. Unfortunately, with the increase of genomic studies and development of the sequencing technology, this issue is still neglected in almost all projects. Nuclear genomes always contaminated with true mitochondrial contigs (e.g., Alverson et al., 2011b). Therefore, interactions between nucleus and mitochondrion were not analyzed in this study. We hope our method of assembling MTPTs can give some hints to the others, and furthermore find better ideas and crack other IGTs.

How mitogenome evolves?

Size variation between close species is a common feature for the plant mitogenomes, *e.g.*, *Viscum album* and *V. scurruloideum* (Petersen et al., 2015; Skippington et al., 2015), *Sliene conica* and *S. noctiflora* (Wu et al., 2015; Wu and Sloan, 2018), *Cucumis melo* and *C. sativus* (Alverson et al., 2011a; Rodríguez-Moreno et al., 2011). The reasons could be complex. Duplications, IGT and foreign DNA all contribute to the expansion (Alverson et al., 2011a; Rice et al., 2013). In Fagales, we also saw size variation. Peculiarly, *Carpinus* is notable larger than relatives. However, its lengths of repeats, MTPTs as well as genus-specific sequences all failed to explain the size divergence. Only one possibility remains: it has more homologs with other Fagales. It was proved by searching the homologs between *Carpinus* and other lineages (Figure S5). That is actually quite confusing. What's the ancestor of Fagales like? Did it have a big mitogenome, and lost different sequences independently in other species? The model was used to explain the size variation in kiwifruits, which also have a similar situation (Wang et al., 2019). But in Fagales, it's too exaggerated if so many species had independent losses only without *Carpinus*. If no so, why only *Carpinus* has more homologs with other families? How the sequences spread?

Mitochondrial plasmids are small autonomous circular or linear extrachromosomal DNA in mitochondria. So far, it was found in many species, including maize, rice and carrot (McDermott et al., 2008). Their origin and function remain mysterious. The plasmid found in *Carpinus* gives a clear evidence that nuclear DNA is one of the sources. The GC content of plasmid if much lower than other mtDNA. Plasmid might be only an intermedium before the foreign DNA really compatible by the main chromosome. A fully understanding of this may need a better assembly of MTNUs and NUMTs.

Our genus-specific DNA analysis exhibit mitogenome in Fagales (or probably all angiosperms) is very mosaic. All the species have sequences that most similar to distant taxa in seed plants,

including gymnosperms. We even don't know if we should call them "HGT", since it seems so common. Some orders have distinctly more similar sequences, and none of them really close to Fagales (Figure 4, *e.g.*, Amborellales, Lamiales and Ericales). *Amborella* has extreme HGTs from many species, including Fagales (Rice et al., 2013). We showed that these HGTs were mainly shared with Casuarinaceae. Since we used genus-specific DNA, the direction of these HGTs is actually uncertain. Parasitic plants are famous for massive HGTs from hosts (Bellot et al., 2016; Sanchez-Puerta et al., 2017; Kovar et al., 2018; Sanchez-Puerta et al., 2018). But in our analysis, parasitic plants didn't have more HGT-like sequences with Fagales than other nonparasites (Table S4). The "wounding-HGT" model and "mitochondrial fusion occurs in a fundamentally similar manner" hypothesis were used to explain the HGT sequences between nonparasitic plants (Rice et al., 2013). It may also apply to Fagales cause no results were from other cellular organisms, even though some species are symbiosis with nitrogen-fixing bacteria. On the other hand, these HGT-like sequences in angiosperms may be just like the homologs we saw in *Carpinus*. Some DNA could spread in other unknown ways.

Mitovirus was only identified in fungi. But its sequence, especially RdRP region, are widespread in plant nuclear and mitochondrial genomes (Alverson et al., 2011a; Bruenn et al., 2015; Nibert, 2017; Silva et al., 2017; Chu et al., 2018; Nibert et al., 2018; Charon et al., 2020). The origin of plant mitovirus-like sequences was believed from plant pathogenic fungi through HGT (Bruenn et al., 2015). However, a direct HGT from fungi mitogenome is unlikely since the mitochondrial fusion between fungi and plants is difficult (Rice et al., 2013). What if the sequence transferred from fungi to nucleus first, subsequently from nucleus to mitochondrion? We searched the full-length mitovirus sequence of *Betula* mitogenome in *Bet. nana* and *Bet. pendula* nuclear genomes (Wang et al., 2013; Salojarvi et al., 2017), but only short pieces were found in *Bet. nana*. What's more, the phylogeny of mitovirus-like sequences in Fagales doesn't

support they have the same origin (Figure 5; otherwise, the tree should have similar topology to plastid tree). We guess mitovirus can infect plants directly and frequently. Based on that, we infer the "third-part DNA", including mitovirus and MTNUs, can account partially for the mosaic composition of plant mitogenomes. If two species get DNA from the same source, sometimes can make an illusion that similar sequences shared with far-away lineages; if different content was transferred in independent events, some species may share more homologs with others, like the situation in *Carpinus* (Figure 6). Since the transfers between the third-part and mitogenomes can happen independently and not limited to time, and mitogenomes themselves also encounter continuous rearrangements and deletion, time to time it will finally create extremely mosaic mitogenomes.

Methods

Species and Data acquisition.

DNA sources of Fagales was retrieved from NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra). At last, 23 species from 16 genera and 5 families were chosen to do assembly (Table S1). All the data we used was whole genome sequencing (WGS), which means the reads contain genomes of all three compartments: nucleus, mitochondrion and plastid (including chloroplast). In plant cell, normally organelle genomes have much higher copy number but much smaller size than nuclear genome. It doesn't need much data to get the mitogenome and plastome (Table S1).

Genome assembly

After downloading and uncompressing, raw reads of each species were filtered low quality bases (PHRED < 30) by Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014). Clean reads *ca.* 2-4 Gb were

used to perform *do novo* assembly via SPAdes v3.13 (Bankevich et al., 2012) (Table S1). To get

the plastomes of *Casuarina equisetifolia*, *Lithocarpus fenestratus* and *Quercus suber*, plastid

contigs of each was determined by BLASTN (Camacho et al., 2009) searching all assembled

contigs against *Betula pendula* plastome (GenBank ID: NC_044852). Then we mapped clean

reads to plastid contigs in Geneious R10 (Biomatters, Inc.), and extended and connected the

contigs manually until they can join into one. IR boundaries were found by searching repeats via

Geneious "Repeat Finder" plugin. Mitogenome is normally more variable in terms of content

and structure. To figure out its contigs, we firstly extracted a preliminary set from total contigs

by BLASTN using *Betula pendula* mitogenome (GenBank ID: LT855379) as reference (word size:

16, evalue: 1e-20), and extracted all hit sequences longer than 500 bp. Afterwards, we used two

ways in Geneious to narrow it down. 1) Contigs were annotated via Geneious "Annotate from

Database" function (here, the "Database" was comprised by all the known mitochondrial genes).

If some gene was not found, reads were mapped to the gene to check the coverage, confirming

its presence or absence. If the gene was missed from preliminary extraction, we would search

the gene in all contigs and added the missing contigs. This way guaranteed the gene set of our

assembly was complete. 2) We mapped the clean reads back to the chosen contigs in Geneious.

Plastid (very high coverage) and other (unbalanced coverage) contigs were removed from the

set. By that we got the approximate coverage of mitogenome. We used this coverage to bait

other potential mitochondrial contigs from all contigs. The new chosen contigs were mapped

back the reads again and removed non-mitochondrial contigs as before. This way guaranteed

the DNA content of our assembly was (nearly) complete.

   After got the mitochondrial contigs, next step was to connect them. Since connecting repeat

ends is relatively easy, therefore we used a strategy solving them first, then connecting MTPT

ends. Firstly, the repeats longer than 50 bp in the contigs were found through Geneious "Repeat

Finder". Then we mapped the paired reads to the contigs, and checked each repeat end and the

corresponding pair. Repeat region can be seen from the coverage. Because of the high similarity,

usually no MTPTs can be assembled directly in the contigs. We can only find them on plastomes

(or plastid contigs). Unlike repeats, the coverage of plastome is commonly much higher than

mitogenome, so it's not easy to determine the MTPT regions by coverage. We fill the repeats

and connected contigs at both sides. After that we mapped all the MTPT ends to the its

plastome. The closest ends in right directions are most likely from the same MTPT. Sometimes

rearrangement or recombination may also happen within MTPT, resulting a long distance or

wrong direction (Figure S1f). In this case, paired reads can be used to find the right connection

(Figure S1g-h). MTPTs and their plastid counterparts may not be 100% identical. Additional steps

needed to correct the MTPTs we found from last step. Either we mapped reads again, checking

and correcting the divergent bases manually (Figure S1c); or we used plastome filtered 100%

identical reads, used the rest "Used reads" to mapped to mitogenomes again. The divergent

base should be more clear (Figure S1d). Sometimes indels also exists (Figure S1e).

   If it goes smoothly, after several map-check-connect iterations we can solve all the repetitive

and MTPT ends, and get one or some circular chromosomes. At last, we mapped the PE reads

again to check and correct the mis-assemblies - making sure every base is correct.

Annotation

Annotations of the mitochondrial protein coding and rRNA genes were predicted by known

mitochondrial genes by similarity in GENEIOUS, followed checking by eye and corrected

manually. *Betula pendula* mitogenome was also annotated. tRNA were predicted using

tRNAscan-SE v2.0 (Chan and Lowe, 2019). Coding genes with disrupted reading frames,

premature stop codons, or non-triplet frameshifts were annotated as pseudogenes.

Total MTPT length was determined by BLASTN against a group of plastomes of Fagales

(GenBank IDs were shown on Figure S4). Hits less than 100 bp were masked. Dispersed repeats

within the genome were searched by BLASTN against itself. Hits with identity less than 95%

were filtered. Repeat length was counted by custom Perl script. Only one part of the repeat pair

was calculated and the overlapped bases were counted only once.

The annotations of the mitogenomes and their synteny were plotted by CIRCOS v0.69

(Krzywinski et al., 2009). Links were searched by BLASTN with default parameter and hit less

than 500 bp were not considered. Homologs within the species were masked and only those

between species were used.

Phylogeny

The substitution rate of mitochondrial genes is very slow (Palmer and Herbon, 1988). But it

contains hundreds RNA edit sites (Small et al., 2020). To prevent potential influence, now a

typical way is removing these sites. Plastid RNA edit sites were ignored because of its small

amount. All mitochondrial protein coding sequences (CDSs) were extract and aligned by mafft

with "auto" mode. RNA edit sites were predicted by PREP website (Mower, 2009). If a codon has

edit site(s) in one species, the codon of all the species were deleted. At last, the aligned genes

were concatenated into one matrix and build the maximum likelihood (ML) tree on CIPRES v3.3

(Miller et al., 2010) with RAxML-HPC2 on XSEDE (Stamatakis, 2014), under the GTRGAMMA

model and bootstrap iteration 1000. Plastid CDSs were aligned and build the tree in a same way

just without removing RNA edit sites.

Genus-specific DNA Analysis

Each mitogenome was blasted against a database made by all Fagales mitogenomes with evalue

1e-5 and word size 16. Then genus-specific sequences, *i.e.*, sequences only exist in this genus in

Fagales, longer than 300 bp were isolated by custom Python script. Considering the non-monophyletic relationship of *Quercus* (Figure S4), *Que. suber* and *Que. variabilis* were seen as one genus excluding *Que. robur.* Afterwards, each genus-specific DNA was blasted against NCBI nr database online with the same parameter and saved the first 100 targets. Best-hit(s) of each genus-specific sequence were examined (more than one best-hits could exist in one sequence if they hit different area) by another Python script. Only the best-hits longer than 100 bp were counted. MTPTs were removed from the results. Subsequently, grouped these best-hits into orders and plotted in R. Ape package cophyloplot function were used to plot the face-to-face tree (Paradis et al., 2004) and the connections were colored by RColorBrewer (https://colorbrewer2.org/). The position of the orders was referred to the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group website (Stevens, 2001 onwards).

Availability of supporting data

Table S1. Used SRA data.

Table S2. Mitogenomes with linear structure and potential issues of them

Table S3. The number of dispersed repeats and MTPTs in mitogenomes. Repeat number was grouped by length.

Table S4. Best-hits regions and potential original orders.

Table S5. Lengths of total genes-specific DNA, best-hits and potential origins.

Figure S1: Additional steps to get MTPT sequences. **A-E**: two methods to get exact MTPT bases. **C-D** show divergent bases between plastid and MTPT sequences, **E** shows two indels (when it exists, you can see obvious unmatched bases at two sides); **F-H**: a way solving nonadjacent

MTPT ends. The direction of the arrow points to the direction the end should extend to. U1, U2: "unused reads" from Geneious custom sensitivity mapping.

Figure S2: A case to show the repeat coverage problem hinders a circular assembly. Red arrows indicate the repetitive end at the front and the position and direction of the other repeat pair. We can see higher coverage in the direction it should extend, but the coverage is gradually down to the normal level. Blue arrows are same but for the tail.

Figure S3: Circos plots of each family. **(a)** Betulaceae; **(b)** Casuarinaceae; **(c)** Fagaceae; **(d)** Juglandaceae. Links within each species were not plotted. The outer ring shows the position of protein genes and rRNA (red), tRNA (blue), repeats (yellow) and MTPTs (grey). It's impossible to trace every link because of the density. But we can see the similarity between species and which has more species-specific sequences within each family.

Figure S4: Phylogenetic trees reconstructed by mitochondrial and plastid coding genes. **(a)**: mitochondrial tree; **(b)**: plastid tree.

Figure S5. Specific sequence length of *Carpinus* **(a)** and *Ostrya* **(b)** and other Fagales. The number in each circle means the specific sequence length of *Carpinus* **(a)** or *Ostrya* **(b)** and all the species within the circle. For example, the Casuarinaceae circle in **(a)** indicates *Carpinus* has 356 Kb sequences homologous only with any species of Casuarinaceae and other Betulaceae, but not with other species out of the circle. The comparison between **(a)** and **(b)** reveals the big size of *Carpinus* is mainly attributed to more homologous DNA with other Fagales.

Data and Code Availability

The assembled genomes have been deposited to CNGBdb under Project CNP0001491 (mitogenomes: accessions N_000011064 - N_000011115; plastomes: accessions N_000011061 -

N_000011063; Carpinus mitochondrial plasmid: accession N_000011116). The used scripts can be found in Github (https://github.com/fengyanlei33/Fagales_mitogenome).

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Leading Innovative and Entrepreneur Team Introduction Program of Zhejiang (2019R01002) and Westlake Postdoc (101196582003).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Xingxing Shen (Zhejiang University) for comments and suggestions.

References

Alverson, A.J., Rice, D.W., Dickinson, S., Barry, K., and Palmer, J.D. (2011a). Origins and recombination of the bacterial-sized multichromosomal mitochondrial genome of cucumber. Plant Cell *23*, 2499-2513.

Alverson, A.J., Zhuo, S., Rice, D.W., Sloan, D.B., and Palmer, J.D. (2011b). The mitochondrial genome of the legume Vigna radiata and the analysis of recombination across short mitochondrial repeats. Plos One *6*, e16404.

Backert, S., and Börner, T. (2000). Phage T4-like intermediates of DNA replication and recombination in the mitochondria of the higher plant Chenopodium album (L.). Curr Genet *37*, 304-314.

**Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A.A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A.S., Lesin, V.M., Nikolenko, S.I., Pham, S., Prjibelski, A.D.*, et al.* (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol *19*, 455-477.

Bellot, S., Cusimano, N., Luo, S., Sun, G., Zarre, S., Groger, A., Temsch, E., and Renner, S.S. (2016). Assembled Plastid and Mitochondrial Genomes, as well as Nuclear Genes, Place the Parasite Family Cynomoriaceae in the Saxifragales. Genome Biol Evol *8*, 2214-2230.

Bergthorsson, U., Adams, K.L., Thomason, B., and Palmer, J.D. (2003). Widespread horizontal transfer of mitochondrial genes in flowering plants. Nature *424*, 197.

Bi, Q., Li, D., Zhao, Y., Wang, M., Li, Y., Liu, X., Wang, L., and Yu, H. (2019). Complete mitochondrial genome of Quercus variabilis (Fagales, Fagaceae). Mitochondrial DNA Part B *4*, 3927-3928.

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics *30*, 2114-2120.

Bruenn, J.A., Warner, B.E., and Yerramsetty, P. (2015). Widespread mitovirus sequences in plant genomes. PeerJ *3*, e876.

Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., and Madden, T.L. (2009). BLAST plus : architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics *10*.

Chan, P.P., and Lowe, T.M. (2019). tRNAscan-SE: Searching for tRNA Genes in Genomic Sequences. Methods Mol Biol *1962*, 1-14.

Charon, J., Marcelino, V.R., Wetherbee, R., Verbruggen, H., and Holmes, E.C. (2020). Meta-transcriptomic detection 1 of diverse and divergent RNA viruses in green and chlorarachniophyte algae.

Chaw, S.M., Shih, A.C., Wang, D., Wu, Y.W., Liu, S.M., and Chou, T.Y. (2008). The mitochondrial genome of the gymnosperm Cycas taitungensis contains a novel family of short interspersed elements, Bpu sequences, and abundant RNA editing sites. Mol Biol Evol *25*, 603-615.

Cheng, N., Lo, Y.S., Ansari, M.I., Ho, K.C., Jeng, S.T., Lin, N.S., and Dai, H. (2017). Correlation between mtDNA complexity and mtDNA replication mode in developing cotyledon mitochondria during mung bean seed germination. New Phytol *213*, 751-763.

Christensen, A.C. (2013). Plant mitochondrial genome evolution can be explained by DNA repair mechanisms. Genome Biol Evol *5*, 1079-1086.

Christensen, A.C. (2018). Mitochondrial DNA Repair and Genome Evolution. 11-32.

Chu, H., Jo, Y., Choi, H., Lee, B.C., and Cho, W.K. (2018). Identification of viral domains integrated into Arabidopsis proteome. Mol Phylogenet Evol *128*, 246-257.

Davila, J.I., Arrieta-Montiel, M.P., Wamboldt, Y., Cao, J., Hagmann, J., Shedge, V., Xu, Y.Z., Weigel, D., and Mackenzie, S.A. (2011). Double-strand break repair processes drive evolution of the mitochondrial genome in Arabidopsis. BMC Biol *9*, 64.

Dierckxsens, N., Mardulyn, P., and Smits, G. (2017). NOVOPlasty: de novo assembly of organelle genomes from whole genome data. Nucleic Acids Res *45*, e18.

Huisman, R., and Geurts, R. (2020). A Roadmap toward Engineered Nitrogen-Fixing Nodule Symbiosis. Plant Commun *1*, 100019.

Jin, J.J., Yu, W.B., Yang, J.B., Song, Y., dePamphilis, C.W., Yi, T.S., and Li, D.Z. (2020). GetOrganelle: a fast and versatile toolkit for accurate de novo assembly of organelle genomes. Genome Biol *21*, 241.

Kovar, L., Nageswara-Rao, M., Ortega-Rodriguez, S., Dugas, D.V., Straub, S., Cronn, R., Strickler, S.R., Hughes, C.E., Hanley, K.A., Rodriguez, D.N., et al. (2018). PacBio-Based Mitochondrial Genome Assembly of Leucaena trichandra (Leguminosae) and an Intrageneric Assessment of Mitochondrial RNA Editing. Genome Biol Evol *10*, 2501-2517.

Kozik, A., Rowan, B.A., Lavelle, D., Berke, L., Schranz, M.E., Michelmore, R.W., and Christensen, A.C. (2019). The alternative reality of plant mitochondrial DNA: One ring does not rule them all. PLoS Genet *15*, e1008373.

Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, I., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., Jones, S.J., and Marra, M.A. (2009). Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res *19*, 1639-1645.

Li, R.Q., Chen, Z.D., Lu, A.M., Soltis, D.E., Soltis, P.S., and Manos§, P.S. (2004). Phylogenetic Relationships in Fagales Based on DNA Sequences from Three Genomes. Int J Plant Sci *165*, 311-324.

Mader, M., Schroeder, H., Schott, T., Schoning-Stierand, K., Leite Montalvao, A.P., Liesebach, H., Liesebach, M., Fussi, B., and Kersten, B. (2020). Mitochondrial Genome of Fagus sylvatica L. as a Source for Taxonomic Marker Development in the Fagales. Plants (Basel) *9*.

Manchekar, M., Scissum-Gunn, K., Song, D., Khazi, F., McLean, S.L., and Nielsen, B.L. (2006). DNA recombination activity in soybean mitochondria. J Mol Biol *356*, 288-299.

Manos, P.S., Cannon, C.H., and Oh, S.-H. (2008). Phylogenetic Relationships and Taxonomic Status Of the Paleoendemic Fagaceae Of Western North America: Recognition Of A New Genus, Notholithocarpus. Madrono *55*, 181-190.

McDermott, P., Connolly, V., and Kavanagh, T.A. (2008). The mitochondrial genome of a cytoplasmic male sterile line of perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) contains an integrated linear plasmid-like element. Theor Appl Genet *117*, 459-470.

Meng, G., Li, Y., Yang, C., and Liu, S. (2019). MitoZ: a toolkit for animal mitochondrial genome assembly, annotation and visualization. Nucleic Acids Res *47*, e63.

Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W., and Schwartz, T. (2010). Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. Paper presented at: 2010 Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE).

Mower, J.P. (2009). The PREP suite: predictive RNA editors for plant mitochondrial genes, chloroplast genes and user-defined alignments. Nucleic Acids Res *37*, W253-259.

Mu, X.Y., Tong, L., Sun, M., Zhu, Y.X., Wen, J., Lin, Q.W., and Liu, B. (2020). Phylogeny and divergence time estimation of the walnut family (Juglandaceae) based on nuclear RAD-Seq and chloroplast genome data. Mol Phylogenet Evol *147*, 106802.

Nibert, M.L. (2017). Mitovirus UGA(Trp) codon usage parallels that of host mitochondria. Virology *507*, 96-100.

Nibert, M.L., Vong, M., Fugate, K.K., and Debat, H.J. (2018). Evidence for contemporary plant mitoviruses. Virology *518*, 14-24.

Palmer, J.D., and Herbon, L.A. (1988). Plant mitochondrial DNA evolves rapidly in structure, but slowly in sequence. J Mol Evol *28*, 87-97.

Paradis, E., Claude, J., and Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R language. Bioinformatics *20*, 289-290.

Petersen, G., Cuenca, A., Moller, I.M., and Seberg, O. (2015). Massive gene loss in mistletoe (Viscum, Viscaceae) mitochondria. Sci Rep *5*, 17588.

Rice, D.W., Alverson, A.J., Richardson, A.O., Young, G.J., Sanchez-Puerta, M.V., Munzinger, J., Barry, K., Boore, J.L., Zhang, Y., dePamphilis, C.W*., et al. (2013). Horizontal Transfer of Entire Genomes via Mitochondrial Fusion in the Angiosperm Amborella. Science *342*, 1468.

Rodríguez-Moreno, L., González, V.M., Benjak, A., Martí, M.C., Puigdomènech, P., Aranda, M.A., and Garcia-Mas, J. (2011). Determination of the melon chloroplast and mitochondrial genome sequences reveals that the largest reported mitochondrial genome in plants contains a significant amount of DNA having a nuclear origin. BMC Genomics *12*, 424-424.

Salojärvi, J., Smolander, O.-P., Nieminen, K., Rajaraman, S., Safronov, O., Safdari, P., Lamminmäki, A., Immanen, J., Lan, T., Tanskanen, J*., et al. (2017). Genome sequencing and population genomic analyses provide insights into the adaptive landscape of silver birch. Nat Genet *49*, 904-912.

Salojarvi, J., Smolander, O.P., Nieminen, K., Rajaraman, S., Safronov, O., Safdari, P., Lamminmaki, A., Immanen, J., Lan, T., Tanskanen, J*., et al. (2017). Genome sequencing and population genomic analyses provide insights into the adaptive landscape of silver birch. Nat Genet *49*, 904-912.

Sanchez-Puerta, M.V., Edera, A., Gandini, C.L., Williams, A.V., Howell, K.A., Nevill, P.G., and Small, I. (2018). Genome-scale transfer of mitochondrial DNA from legume hosts to the holoparasite Lophophytum mirabile (Balanophoraceae). Mol Phylogenet Evol *132*, 243-250.

Sanchez-Puerta, M.V., Garcia, L.E., Wohlfeiler, J., and Ceriotti, L.F. (2017). Unparalleled replacement of native mitochondrial genes by foreign homologs in a holoparasitic plant. New Phytol *214*, 376-387.

Sennikov, A.N., Soltis, D.E., Mabberley, D.J., Byng, J.W., Fay, M.F., Christenhusz, M.J.M., Chase, M.W., Stevens, P.F., Soltis, P.S., Judd, W.S*., et al. (2016). An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. Bot J Linn Soc *181*, 1-20.

Silva, S.R., Alvarenga, D.O., Aranguren, Y., Penha, H.A., Fernandes, C.C., Pinheiro, D.G., Oliveira, M.T., Michael, T.P., Miranda, V.F.O., and Varani, A.M. (2017). The mitochondrial genome of the terrestrial carnivorous plant Utricularia reniformis (Lentibulariaceae): Structure, comparative analysis and evolutionary landmarks. Plos One *12*, e0180484.

Simeone, M.C., Grimm, G.W., Papini, A., Vessella, F., Cardoni, S., Tordoni, E., Piredda, R., Franc, A., and Denk, T. (2016). Plastome data reveal multiple geographic origins of Quercus Group Ilex. PeerJ *4*, e1897.

Skippington, E., Barkman, T.J., Rice, D.W., and Palmer, J.D. (2015). Miniaturized mitogenome of the parasitic plant Viscum scurruloideum is extremely divergent and dynamic and has lost all nad genes. P Natl Acad Sci USA *112*, E3515-E3524.

Sloan, D.B., Alverson, A.J., Chuckalovcak, J.P., Wu, M., McCauley, D.E., Palmer, J.D., and Taylor, D.R. (2012). Rapid evolution of enormous, multichromosomal genomes in flowering plant mitochondria with exceptionally high mutation rates. PLoS Biol *10*, e1001241.

Small, I.D., Schallenberg-Rudinger, M., Takenaka, M., Mireau, H., and Ostersetzer-Biran, O. (2020). Plant organellar RNA editing: what 30 years of research has revealed. Plant J *101*, 1040-1056.

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics *30*, 1312-1313.

Stevens, P.F. (2001 onwards). Angiosperm Phylogeny Website. Version 14, July 2017.

Wang, N., Thomson, M., Bodles, W.J., Crawford, R.M., Hunt, H.V., Featherstone, A.W., Pellicer, J., and Buggs, R.J. (2013). Genome sequence of dwarf birch (Betula nana) and cross-species RAD markers. Mol Ecol *22*, 3098-3111.

Wang, S., Li, D., Yao, X., Song, Q., Wang, Z., Zhang, Q., Zhong, C., Liu, Y., and Huang, H. (2019). Evolution and Diversification of Kiwifruit Mitogenomes through Extensive Whole-Genome Rearrangement and Mosaic Loss of Intergenic Sequences in a Highly Variable Region. Genome Biol Evol *11*, 1192-1206.

Wick, R.R., Judd, L.M., Gorrie, C.L., and Holt, K.E. (2017). Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol *13*, e1005595.

Wu, Z., Cuthbert, J.M., Taylor, D.R., and Sloan, D.B. (2015). The massive mitochondrial genome of the angiosperm Silene noctiflora is evolving by gain or loss of entire chromosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *112*, 10185-10191.

Wu, Z., and Sloan, D.B. (2018). Recombination and intraspecific polymorphism for the presence and absence of entire chromosomes in mitochondrial genomes. Heredity (Edinb).

Xiang, X.-G., Wang, W., Li, R.-Q., Lin, L., Liu, Y., Zhou, Z.-K., Li, Z.-Y., and Chen, Z.-D. (2014). Large-scale phylogenetic analyses reveal fagalean diversification promoted by the interplay of diaspores and environments in the Paleogene. Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst *16*, 101-110.

Xing, Y., Onstein, R.E., Carter, R.J., Stadler, T., and Peter Linder, H. (2014). Fossils and a large molecular phylogeny show that the evolution of species richness, generic diversity, and turnover rates are disconnected. Evolution *68*, 2821-2832.

Yelenik, S.G., and D'Antonio, C.M. (2013). Self-reinforcing impacts of plant invasions change over time. Nature *503*, 517-520.

Table 1. Basic information of Fagales mitogenomes. In column "Chr", the number means chromosome number, while "C" and "L" behind represent "circular" and "linear", respectively. The two species in red were assemblies from other projects.

Species	Family	length (bp)	Chr	GC (%)	CDS	tRNA	rRNA	Repeat (bp)	MTPT (bp)
Alnus glutinosa	Betulaceae	629389	16L	45.44	35	18	3	8581	29856
Betula pendula	Betulaceae	581505	1L	45.52	36	19	3	3703	31908
Betula platyphylla	Betulaceae	581519	2L	45.53	36	19	3	3724	32032
Carpinus cordata	Betulaceae	922154	3C	44.97	34	20	3	16557	46637
Corylus avellana	Betulaceae	635030	2L	44.58	35	22	3	39128	60416
Ostrya chinensis	Betulaceae	688786	1L	45.23	34	18	3	2601	31075
Ostryopsis nobilis	Betulaceae	669332	1C	45.21	35	18	3	4810	24710
Casuarina equisetifolia	Casuarinaceae	492230	2C	44.15	35	23	3	2431	66400
Casuarina glauca	Casuarinaceae	445851	2C	44.92	34	19	3	1758	21776
Fagus sylvatica	Fagaceae	504715	1C	45.85	34	17	3	2702	4615
Castanea mollissima	Fagaceae	388038	1C	45.67	36	20	3	10888	10169
Lithocarpus fenestratus	Fagaceae	485396	6L	45.76	35	17	3	13254	7122
Quercus robur	Fagaceae	390878	1C	45.92	35	17	3	22006	8140
Quercus suber	Fagaceae	478989	1L	45.85	36	19	3	26635	4967
Quercus variabilis	Fagaceae	412886	1C	45.76	36	17	3	20747	4537
Cyclocarya paliurus	Juglandaceae	628759	1C	44.89	37	19	3	2422	34143
Juglans cathayensis	Juglandaceae	740307	1C	45.16	37	20	3	25019	22632
Juglans hindsii	Juglandaceae	716397	1C	45.25	36	17	3	2118	12514
Juglans microcarpa	Juglandaceae	623287	1L	45.2	35	18	3	6984	12448
Juglans nigra	Juglandaceae	716680	1C	45.26	37	17	3	2022	12667
Juglans regia	Juglandaceae	775914	3L	45.19	35	17	3	16232	15813
Juglans sigillata	Juglandaceae	778034	1L	44.87	36	17	3	19731	34425
Platycarya strobilacea	Juglandaceae	502903	1C	45.26	37	19	3	3253	28548
Pterocarya stenoptera	Juglandaceae	603233	1L	45.35	36	17	3	5269	3524
Morella rubra	Myricaceae	523452	2C	45.33	38	18	3	5668	9312

Figure 1: Workflow of our assembly strategy. Red and green arrows indicate repetitive and MTPT ends, respectively. The direction of the arrow points to the direction the end should extend to. Same number under red or green arrows means repeat or MTPT pair. R1, R2: raw reads; C1, C2: clean reads.

Figure 2. Genes that involved in variation. Black, grey and blank in the grids indicate a gene is intact, pseudo and missing, respectively. The breaks and reunion of *nad1*e4-*matR*-*nad1*e5 block is marked on the tree branches.

Figure 3. Circos plot of species from five families. The outer ring shows the position of protein

genes and rRNA (red), tRNA (blue), repeats (yellow) and MTPTs (grey).

Figure 4. Components of the best-hits of genus-specific DNA. Best-hits of genus-specific DNA

between species in Fagales and hit taxa (combined into orders) were connected by lines. Line

thickness indicates total length and each species used the same color. Pie charts on the left

show the proportions of mitochondrial, plastid and other hits. Pie size represents the total

genus-specific DNA length. This plot was based on Table S4 and S5.

Figure 5. Mitovirus-like sequences in Fagales and their relationship. Lines on the right side

shows the position and similarity of the hits against *Mitovirus* (MN034926). Only hits longer than

400 bp were shown. The tree on the left side was constructed by the hit sequences with ML

method.

Figure 6. HGT-like sequences and inequal homologs caused by third-part DNA. Red branches

show three close species. The colorful blocks indicate different genes or DNA fragments. The

solid lines mean different transfer events. In the red lineage, the inequal transfers will make

some species has the more homologs. The dot line means it creates an HGT-like sequence if one

gene was transferred two independent times in distant lineages.