Clonal evolution in serially passaged *Cryptococcus neoformans* x *deneoformans* hybrids

reveals a heterogenous landscape of mitotic recombination

Lucas A. Michelotti*,[1]

Sheng Sun[†]

Joseph Heitman[†]

Timothy Y. James*

*Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

University of Michigan

Ann Arbor, MI 48109 U.S.A.

[†]Departments of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Medicine, and Pharmacology and

Cancer Biology

Duke University Medical Center

Durham, NC 27710 U.S.A.

[1]Current address:

Department of Entomology

University of Georgia

Athens, GA 30606 U.S.A.

Running title: Lab evolution of hybrid yeast

Key words: polyploid hybrid, trisomic, experimental evolution, evolve and resequence, *Galleria*

mellonella

Correspondence:

Timothy Y. James

1105 N. University

4050 Biological Sciences Bldg.

University of Michigan

Ann Arbor, MI 48109 U.S.A.

Email: tyjames@umich.edu

Abstract

Cryptococcus neoformans x *deneoformans* hybrids (also known as serotype AD hybrids) are

basidiomycete yeasts that are common in a clinical setting. Like many hybrids, the AD hybrids

are largely locked at the F1 stage and are mostly unable to undergo normal meiotic

reproduction. However, these F1 hybrids, which display a high (~10%) sequence divergence

are known to genetically diversify through mitotic recombination and aneuploidy, and this

diversification may be adaptive. In this study, we evolved a single AD hybrid genotype to six

diverse environments by serial passaging and then used genome resequencing of evolved

clones to determine evolutionary mechanisms of adaptation. The evolved clones generally

increased fitness after passaging, accompanied by an average of 3.3 point mutations and 2.9

loss of heterozygosity (LOH) events per clone. LOH occurred through nondisjunction of

chromosomes, crossing over consistent with break-induced replication, and gene conversion, in

that order of prevalence. The breakpoints of these recombination events were significantly

associated with regions of the genome with lower sequence divergence between the parents

and clustered in subtelomeric regions, notably in regions that had undergone introgression

between the two parental species. Parallel evolution was observed, particularly through

repeated homozygosity via nondisjunction, yet there was little evidence of environment-specific

parallel change for either LOH, aneuploidy, or mutations. These data show that AD hybrids have

both a remarkable genomic plasticity and yet are challenged in the ability to recombine through

sequence divergence and chromosomal rearrangements, a scenario likely limiting the precision

of adaptive evolution to novel environments.

Introduction

Hybridization reunites genomes of shared ancestry that diverged via speciation.

Speciation generates divergent populations with fixed nucleotide differences as well as usually

larger-scale genomic changes such as chromosome rearrangements. Typically, hybridization

generates individuals of low fitness due to genetic incompatibilities in the merging genomes,

such as Dobzhansky-Muller genic interactions displaying negative epistasis (Orr and Turelli

2001; Mallet 2007). If structural differences exist between the chromosomes of the hybridizing

genomes, they may impair proper meiosis and lead to full or partial sterility. Overall, there exists

a general relationship between genetic divergence, genome rearrangements, hybrid fitness, and

reproductive isolation that is still poorly understood (Comeault and Matute 2018).

On the other hand, hybridization has the potential to facilitate adaptive evolution by

bringing together novel allele combinations across loci that may produce novel phenotypes.

Hybrid phenotypes may be transgressive or outside the bounds of parental phenotypes

(Rieseberg *et al.* 1999; Dittrich-Reed and Fitzpatrick 2013). Particularly in plants, hybridization

has led to the formation of new species, often through mechanisms such as allopolyploidization

which avoids the sterility barrier concomitant with chromosomal rearrangements (Rieseberg

2001).

Hybridization in fungi is increasingly recognized as having contributed to evolutionary

novelty and host-range expansion (Schardl and Craven 2003; Marcet-Houben and Gabaldón

2015; Stukenbrock 2016; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020a). However, there are many examples of

fungal hybrids that appear to be restricted to the F1 diploid stage, such as the extremophile

Hortaea warneckii (Gostinčar *et al.* 2018), *Epichlöe* endophytes (Saikkonen *et al.* 2016),

Aspergillus latus (Steenwyk *et al.* 2020), and emerging pathogenic *Candida* spp. (Pryszcz *et al.*

2015; Schröder *et al.* 2016; Mixão and Gabaldón 2020). Because many fungi have the ability to

reproduce asexually for extended numbers of generations, the F1 hybrid genotypes/species

may generate transient, successful clonal lineages that avoid segregation load and

chromosomal incompatibilities that may come with meiosis (Mallet 2007). Moreover, the

heterosis that occurs in F1 hybrids might be particularly common, because multiple mechanisms

can contribute to it, such as complementation of recessive, mildly deleterious alleles,

overdominance, and epistasis (Shapira *et al.* 2014).

Despite the potential for overall heterosis in F1 hybrids, it is likely that such hybrid

genotypes contain a number of alleles or allelic interactions that reduce fitness, such as

incompatibilities driven by negative epistasis, underdominance, or gene copy number

imbalance. Given this situation, it is also likely that hybrids could undergo minor adaptive

changes in genotype through a process generally referred to as loss of heterozygosity (LOH),

which would allow genotypic change without wholesale meiotic shuffling. LOH during mitotic

divisions occurs through a number of mechanisms, including gene conversion, nondisjunction,

deletions, or crossing over (St Charles *et al.* 2012; Symington *et al.* 2014). The dependence on

sequence similarity for homology-based recombination, such as the classical double strand

break repair pathway (DSBR) leads to an association between crossover locations in meiotic

and mitotic recombination with regions of high sequence similarity (Datta *et al.* 1997; Opperman

et al. 2004; Seplyarskiy *et al.* 2014; Hum and Jinks-Robertson 2019). Overall, while it is clear

that asexual F1 hybrids are commonly observed in fungi, it is unclear whether asexual hybrids

can persist for a long time due to Muller's Ratchet (Gabriel *et al.* 1993) and by what means they

utilize their allelic diversity for adaptation via mitotic recombination.

Cryptococcus is a model basidiomycete yeast that causes opportunistic infections

particularly in immunocompromised hosts. Most infections result from colonization by *C.*

neoformans (serotype A) with infection by *C. deneoformans* (serotype D) rare (Cogliati 2013).

These two species are diverged by ~24.5 mya (Xu *et al.* 2000b). In both clinical and

environmental settings, a large percentage (~8%) of *Cryptococcus* isolates are hybrid strains,

particularly those formed between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*, often referred to as AD

hybrids (Samarasinghe and Xu 2018). Studies with AD hybrids show variable virulence and

hybrid vigor relative to parental species, however, evidence for transgressive segregation in

pathogenicity relevant traits such as resistance to UV irradiation, growth at 37 C, and resistance

to antifungal drugs has been observed (Litvintseva *et al.* 2007; Lin *et al.* 2008; Vogan *et al.*

2016; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020a).

Genomic plasticity is apparent in AD hybrids, but it is unclear which mechanisms are

most important for adaptation. Most AD hybrids are close to diploid and, although they produce

sexual spores, these appear to have been produced by an aberrant form of meiosis (Lengeler *et*

al. 2001; Sun and Xu 2007; Hu *et al.* 2008; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020b). The two parental

genomes are 85-90% divergent at the sequence level, and they are known to have karyotype

differences (Kavanaugh *et al.* 2006; Sun and Xu 2009). However, heterozygosity of AD hybrids

could facilitate genetic and phenotypic plasticity through genomic rearrangements or LOH

(Bennett *et al.* 2014; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020a). Genomic scale studies have demonstrated

that naturally occurring AD hybrids typically have LOH of entire chromosomes (Hu *et al.* 2008; Li

et al. 2012; Rhodes *et al.* 2017), and there is some evidence of bias in which species

homologue is retained, though it is unclear what mechanism underlies this bias (Sun and Xu

2007; Hu *et al.* 2008; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020b). Little is known about the extent to which

more spatially restricted forms of LOH, such as gene conversion and mitotic crossing over have

contributed to LOH in natural AD hybrids. A recent experimental evolution study of an AD hybrid

genotype showed that LOH of Chr. I could be rapidly stimulated if hybrids were grown in the

presence of the antifungal fluconazole, which has a strong resistance allele encoded on Chr. I of

the *C. neoformans* parent (Stone *et al.* 2019; Dong *et al.* 2020). In this same study, LOH was

shown to occur at a rate of 6×10^{-5} per locus per mitotic division, and the distribution of LOH

was unequal across chromosomes. The precise mechanisms of LOH in this and other studies

are masked due to low numbers of markers utilized, making it altogether unclear whether the

high level of sequence diversity could reduce the potential for homologous recombination during

repair of DSB through anti-recombination mechanisms. It was recently demonstrated that

deletion of the DNA mismatch repair gene *MSH2* did not increase the rate of homologous

recombination in meiotic progeny of an AD hybrid cross as observed in most other species but

did increase viability through increased aneuploidy, a result consistent with either sequence

dissimilarity or genetic incompatibility limiting recombination in *Cryptococcus* AD hybrids (Priest

et al. 2021).

In this study, we experimentally evolved AD hybrids to six distinct environments in order

to test which mechanisms of genomic change are involved in rapid adaptation. Using replicate

populations, both in liquid media and a model host (larvae of the waxworm moth *Galleria*

mellonella), we tested for evidence of parallel mutation, LOH, or copy number variation across

genes and chromosomes. Because of the divergence between parental alleles, we

hypothesized that unlike in *Candida albicans* (Ene *et al.* 2018) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

(James *et al.* 2019) LOH would primarily be through nondisjunction of entire chromosomes

rather than via mechanisms that involve homologous recombination (e.g., gene conversion or

crossing over). Finally, we designed the experiment so that it would test whether particular

environments would favor one parental genotype than the other as previous investigations have

hinted at (Hu *et al.* 2008; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020b).

Materials and Methods

Strains

We initiated evolution starting with a single diploid hybrid strain generated by crossing *C.*

neoformans haploid strain YSB121 (KN99 *MAT**a** aca1::NEO ura5 ACA1-URA5*) with *C.*

deneoformans strain SS-C890 (*MAT*α *NAT* ectopically inserted into a JEC21 genome and later

determined to be inserted in the sub-telomeric region of the left arm of Chr. 1). Over 100 diploid

products resistant to NAT and G418 were identified that also produced extensive filamentation

on V8 agar, and these were genotyped at 11 PCR markers across 6 chromosomes plus the

MAT locus (**Suppl. Table 1**) to identify highly heterozygous diploid offspring. The genotypes of

these markers were determined by size differences or presence/absence of a band (*STE20*

locus) using agarose gel electrophoresis. One of the diploids (SSD-719) heterozygous at all

markers was chosen as the ancestor for both *in vivo* and *in vitro* passaging. A near isogenic

strain (SSE-761) was generated to serve as a reference competitor strain by random integration

of a GFP-Nop1::*HYG* cassette into SSD-719 (Park *et al.* 2016).

Passaging in vitro

Experimental passaging in five media types followed James et al. (2019). Briefly, 8 replicate

populations of 500 μl were inoculated with the hybrid ancestor strain, grown at 30 °C with

shaking, and transferred daily with 1/50 dilution. The media types were yeast peptone dextrose

(YPD), beer wort, wine must, YPD + high salt (1 M NaCl), and minimal medium plus canavanine

(James *et al.* 2019). Every fifth passage, 25 μl of each population was subsampled for archiving

in 25% glycerol at -80 °C. At the end of 100 days, one clone was isolated from each population

by streak plating, and the remaining population archived.

Passaging in vivo

We utilized last instar larvae of *Galleria mellonella* for the infection model with inoculation and

incubation following (Phadke *et al.* 2018). Six replicate populations of 5 larvae were founded,

with each larva injected with 10^7 cells. Larvae were incubated 5 days with ambient temperature

and lighting. The larvae were then crushed in 50 ml sterile water and 50 μl were plated onto

three 15 cm plates of YPD containing 200 mg/L G418 and 200 mg/L ampicillin. After 72 hr of

incubation, the plates containing on the order of 10^3-10^4 small colonies were scraped and

pooled into 50 ml of sterile water, the concentration adjusted to the appropriate level 10^6/μl for

inoculation, and the next population of 5 larvae was inoculated. After 10 passages in this

manner, a single clone from each population was isolated for analysis.

Fitness estimation

We estimated fitness of our evolved strains using both growth curves and competition with a

reference strain. Growth curves were estimated using a Bioscreen C (Growth Curves USA)

following James et al. (2019). Competition-based fitness was measured by comparing

proportions of unlabeled cells (evolved) with a near isogenic competitor strain (SSE-761)

engineered to express GFP. Mixtures of the competitor strain and evolved strain were analyzed

for green fluorescent events to non-fluorescent events both before and after growth on a iQue

Screener PLUS (Intellicyt) according to James et al. (2019). Each pairwise competition was run

using 8 replicate populations.

Fitness and virulence was also estimated in the *Galleria*-passaged clones as well as two clones

isolated from beer wort. Virulence was estimated by survivorship of 10 larvae following injection

of 10^6 cells per larva. Virulence for each strain was measured as the daily survivorship of larvae

up to one week post-inoculation. *In vivo* fitness of the evolved strains was estimated by co-

injection of evolved with competitor strain SSE-761 as a combination of 2 x 10^6 cells in 10 μl into

each of 5 larvae. After incubation for 48 hrs, larvae were crushed in sterile water, filtered

through a 40 μm filter, and plated on two media types: YPD media containing G418 (200 mg/L),

ampicillin (200 mg/L), and chloramphenicol (25 mg/L), and YPD media containing the same

antibiotics plus hygromycin (200 mg/L) and incubated at 30 °C until colony development. The

latter media type only permits growth of the competitor strain while the former allows growth of

both evolved and competitor. All experiments were repeated three independent times. Colony

sizes varied considerably, and in order to count the colonies in a rapid, objective manner, we

used the program OpenCFU (Geissmann 2013) using a threshold of 5 and a minimum radius of

20. All fitness (*F*) values were calculated using colony counts on G418-only media pre- (G_{pre})

216 and post-injection (G_{post}) and colony counts on G418+hygromyin media pre- (H_{pre}) and post-

217 injection (H_{post}) using the following formula:

218$$F = \frac{G_{post}/H_{post}}{G_{pre}/H_{pre}}$$

219 We presented F as mean relative fitness across the replicates by division by the mean F

220 estimate for the ancestral genotype vs. congenic competitor strain.

222 *Genome resequencing*

223 DNA was extracted from clones grown overnight in 2 ml of YPD following (Xu *et al.* 2000a). One

224 clone from each population was sequenced, for a total of 35 clones (library of CB-100-4 failed to

225 pass QC). The ancestral haploid and diploid parents were also sequenced. Because the clones

226 evolved via passaging in *Galleria* were initiated after the *in vitro* evolution experiment using a

227 separate ancestral subclone, we also sequenced the two ancestral diploid genotypes

228 separately. Libraries for genome sequencing were constructed with dual indexing using 0.5-2.5

229 ng per reaction using a Nextera XT kit (Illumina). The libraries were multiplexed and sequenced

230 on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 v4 or NextSeq sequencer using paired end 125 bp output. After

231 analyses the actual coverage for each strain ranged from 41-147X.

233 *Data analyses*

234 The full pipeline, including scripts and data sets used for analysis of the genomic data can be

235 found at https://github.com/Michigan-Mycology/Cryptococcus-LOH-paper, with larger variant call

236 format (vcf) files stored using figshare. Details of the variant calling pipeline using GATK 4.0.0

237 (McKenna *et al.* 2010) are similar to James et al. (2019). Briefly, data were trimmed for quality

238 using Trimmomatic 0.36 (Bolger *et al.* 2014). The genomes of the two parental haploid strains

239 backgrounds (JEC21 (Loftus *et al.* 2005), H99 (Janbon *et al.* 2014)) were downloaded and

240 aligned using Harvest (Treangen *et al.* 2014). The variants from these alignments were used as

a set of known variants in the base recalibration step of GATK. SNP identification was

conducted using HaplotypeCaller using the H99 genome as the reference. After variant filtration

for quality in GATK, SNPs were converted into a vcf file and further filtered. Genotypes were set

to unknown when their depth was < 18X or > 350X, the genotype quality (GQ) was < 99, or the

sites were not present as differences between the haploid ancestors observed as

heterozygosity in the diploid ancestor. SnpEff 4.3k (Cingolani *et al.* 2012) was used to assign

functional information to SNPs. We scanned for new mutations occurring during passaging

using the criteria that they were absent in both haploid parents and ancestral diploid strain and

appeared with sequencing depth > 18X and GQ >= 99. Estimating the number and length of

each LOH event was done using the custom perl script

calculate_LOH_lengths_crypto_100_new.pl. After identifying LOH patterns, we later verified

each event by examining the read pileup and vcf file and excluded LOH events which were not

supported by 100% of reads as homozygous. We removed single SNP LOH events, because

they showed strong association of reads linked to only a single allele in flanking heterozygous

SNPs, indicating they are likely false positives. Indels were ignored for considering LOH, which

underestimates the overall amount of LOH and allelic diversity, but should not cause systematic

biases in hypothesis testing.

We identified aneuploidy using chromosome specific coverage estimated with bedtools v. 2.29.2

(Quinlan and Hall 2010). Aneuploidy was considered when read depth < 0.65 or > 1.35 the

genome-wide average (Zhu *et al.* 2016). Local scale copy number variation (CNV), specifically

looking for deletions, was evaluated using the software smoove

(https://github.com/brentp/smoove).

All statistical analyses and plots were conducted in R 3.6.2 (R_Core_Team 2019) unless

otherwise specified. Most statistical tests utilized the base package; we also utilized the

functions ggplot2 (Wickham 2009) and gridextra for graphical output (Auguié 2017).

Data availability

Strains and plasmids are available upon request. The full pipeline, including scripts and data

sets used for analysis of the genomic data, additional data files, and code for reconstructing

figures can be found on Github (https://github.com/Michigan-Mycology/Cryptococcus-LOH-

paper). Raw sequence data has been deposited into NCBI's SRA archive under BioProject:

PRJNA700784, with the accession numbers SRX10055646-SRX10055684.

Results

Evidence for adaptation during passaging

We evolved a single AD hybrid genotype (SSD-719) in 5 *in vitro* environments by serial transfer

for 100 days and also evolved the genotype through 10 serial passages in waxworm larvae.

Each environment was represented by 6 replicate populations, and from each of these evolved

populations we isolated individual clones, determined whether they had evolved a higher fitness

relative to the ancestral genotype, and sequenced their genomes. The 6 clones isolated after

evolution in each *in vitro* environment generally showed increased fitness relative to the

ancestral genotype (**Figure 1**). Maximal population density (EOG) and competitive fitness

mostly increased for all strains and environments, whereas doubling time was lower for clones

evolved in high salt or canavanine environments.

The virulence and relative fitness of the *G. mellonella* passaged strains was estimated for the 6

clones that had been serially passaged 10 times in the same host compared with the ancestral

diploid genotype and isolates that had been evolved in beer wort. The larval passaged strains

showed no evidence of increased virulence (**Suppl. Figure 1**); however, they showed enhanced

survivorship (one-way ANOVA, [$F_{(8,18)}$=3.59, P=0.0115]) compared to the beer wort evolved

and ancestral strain as measured by genotype recovery after co-injection with a marked strain

(**Figure 2**).

Rate and spectrum of LOH

LOH was readily apparent at the chromosome scale (**Figure 3**), suggesting that most strains

had undergone chromosome-scale LOH events as well as numerous smaller events. We

estimated that strains had undergone an average of 2.9 LOH events after 100 days,

encompassing an average of 2.26 Mbp. There was a significant difference between the average

number of LOH events across environments (Kruskal-Wallis test, $X^2(5) = 18.26$, *p* = 0.026), but

these differences were driven mostly by low LOH numbers in larval passaged strains (beer wort:

2.8, canavanine: 4.2, high salt: 1.8, wine must: 2.7, YPD: 4.7, larvae: 0.8). Most of the LOH

events were the result of whole chromosome events, with the second most common being long

distance LOH consistent with BIR observed as crossing over not associated with gene

conversion, followed by gene conversion, and then deletions (**Figure 4**). Crossover events

averaged 173 kb in total length, and gene conversions averaged 7.4 kb.

Using the rate of LOH events uncovered and their sizes, we estimated a rate of 0.005

events/generation, 4.0 kb/generation, and 2 x 10^{-4} per bp per generation. Dong et al. (2019)

estimated a rate of LOH of 6 x 10^{-5} per locus per mitotic division with 33 loci in *Cryptococcus* AD

hybrids, leading to an estimation of 0.002 LOH events per generation. The higher level in our

study is likely due to a higher detection level using NGS.

Evidence for aneuploidy and copy number variation (CNV) after passaging

Aneuploidy leading to CNV is a rapid means of generating adaptive genetic variation in

pathogenic fungi (Bennett *et al.* 2014; Beekman and Ene 2020). We tested for the presence of

both whole chromosomal and partial chromosomal aneuploidy by using density of reads

mapped to the genome. We found that the evolved strains showed aneuploidy on multiple

chromosomes (**Suppl. Figure 2**). In all cases, aneuploidies were trisomies and no evidence of

whole chromosome monosomies was found. Particular chromosomes (Chr. 2, 4, 9, and 10)

were likely to display aneuploidy, but in some cases the aneuploidy was present in ancestral

diploid genotypes. For example, Chr. 9 was trisomic in all larval passaged clones, but was also

observed in the *in vivo* diploid ancestor, although most passaged strains maintained

heterozygosity on Chr. 9 unlike the ancestor.

Inspection of coverage across strains was used to confirm aneuploidy (**Suppl. Figures 3-6**).

Aneuploidies were strikingly associated with the same chromosomes that had also undergone

whole chromosome LOH (**Figure 3**), however, in general, trisomic chromosomes typically

retained both parental homologues. For example, clones CW-100-6, CY-100-3, CY-100-6,

P3W10, P6W10 showed partial or complete trisomy for Chr. 2 (**Suppl. Figures 4-5**), and these

are precisely the strains that have not undergone whole chromosome LOH at Chr. 2 (**Figure 3**).

Instead these strains apparently have two partial or complete copies of the *C. deneoformans*

homolog and one *C. neoformans* homolog based on allele frequencies, suggesting that two

copies of *C. deneoformans* Chr. 2 is strongly favored in the hybrid genotypes. Chromosome

plots and a structural variant detection method (smoove) were also employed to detect partial

aneuploidy occurring via partial chromosomal deletion. Six LOH events were consistent with

deletions (2 identified by smoove and 4 visually from relationship of coverage with LOH events),

4 of which were sub-telomeric. Overall, these data suggest that particular aneuploidies are not

consistently observed in an environment or associated with LOH, but aneuploidy is nonetheless

widespread and can be stably maintained for many clonal generations.

Identification of point mutations after passaging

We found 117 high quality *de novo* mutations at 93 SNP loci specifically occurring in the

evolved clones that were absent from both haploid and diploid ancestors. The mean number of

mutations per strain was 3.3 (range 0-10). The majority of the mutations were inside exons

(85%), with the remaining intronic (11%), or in the 5' untranslated region of genes (4%). Most of

the mutations in exons had consequences on the protein sequence, with 63.3% missense, 6.3%

nonsense, and 30.4% synonymous. One mutation was expected to abolish proper intron

splicing by removal of the intron acceptor sequence. The mutations were found on each of the

14 chromosomes. Of 117 mutations only 4 of them were found as homozygous genotypes.

Because a number of chromosomes have undergone whole chromosome or long distance LOH,

the fact that most mutations are heterozygous implies that whole chromosome LOH likely

occurred early on during the evolution of the populations, followed by mutations.

Mutations with protein-altering effects were found in diverse genes, including transcription

factors, plasma-membrane proton-efflux P-type ATPase, and many others. A test for enrichment

of Gene Ontology terms among the protein-altering mutations using clusterProfiler (Yu *et al.*

2012), identified lipid metabolic process as the most enriched term (uncorrected P = 0.003),

although this enrichment is not statistically significant after correction for multiple testing (FDR

corrected P = 0.103).

Parallel evolution occurred primarily as whole chromosomal events skewing evolved clones

towards one parental genotype

We looked for evidence that specific LOH events and point mutations showed parallel evolution

across independently evolved clones. We specifically noted parallel changes as regions of the

genome undergoing LOH or mutation in 3 or more clones. Among the LOH events, 11 were

found in more than 3 clones, including whole chromosome or long distance LOH on Chr. 2, 4, 9

and 12 (**Figure 3**). The other regions were limited to only 3 clones. There was no evidence for

any of the LOH events being more predominant in particular environments. Of the 93 *de novo*

single nucleotide mutation loci, only 3 were found in 3 or more strains. Three loci showed 3, 7,

and 8 strains bearing the mutation. These were all mutations of low impact and may represent

variation in the initial founding population that became fixed during evolution.

We then asked whether whole-chromosome LOH events favored one parental type over

another, which was obvious for Chr. 2 in which LOH exclusively led to retention of the *C.*

deneoformans homolog. For the 4 chromosomes (2, 4, 9 and 12) with 4 or more independent

LOH events we tested whether the allelic distribution of homozygotes was non-random or

skewed towards one parent. Although events at most chromosomes favored one parental

genotype over another, only the Chr. 2 pattern was significant (P=8.2e-06; Fisher's exact test).

We then tested whether one of the parental genotypes was globally favored over the other

across isolates by asking whether the ratio between SNP loci that are homozygous of the

neoformans and *deneoformans* alleles, respectively, deviated significantly from a random 1:1

null hypothesis. Overall, we found that the mean frequency of *neoformans* alleles in LOH

regions across all evolved clones was 0.234 (CI: 0.115-0.353), which was significantly different

from the null hypothesis (t(34) = -4.61, p = 7.0e-5). However, if Chr. 2 was excluded, the mean

frequency of *neoformans* alleles in LOH regions was 0.639 (CI: 0.488-0.791), which was not

significantly different from the null expectation (t(34) = 1.88, p = 0.070). These data suggest

there is no signal of a favored parental allele arising from the LOH process with the exception of

LOH favoring retention of the *C. deneoformans* Chr. 2 homolog.

Relationship of LOH break points to sequence divergence and mutation

The ability to undergo crossing over could be influenced by anti-recombination mechanisms that

prohibit recombination due to sequence divergence. Such a mechanism would favor

recombination breakpoints in regions of lower divergence. We found no relationship between

heterozygosity of particular chromosomes and the number of crossover events observed (r(12)

= 0.083, p = 0.78; **Suppl. Table 2**). By plotting the locations of mitotic recombination

breakpoints and mutations relative to chromosomal divergence (**Figure 5**), we identified a clear

pattern that many of the breakpoints are sub-telomeric, and sub-telomeric regions often have

lower divergence between the two parents. We tested whether the heterozygosity near crossing

over breakpoints was depressed relative to random breakpoints produced in simulated data

sets. In total there were 47 independent breakpoints observed (only the left breakpoint was

used for gene conversion events). For windows of size 1000 bp centered around the

breakpoints, the mean post-filtering heterozygosity of the windows was 0.026. From 1000

simulated data sets, the mean simulated divergence near random breakpoints was much higher

(0.045), with a range of 0.037-0.051, providing a highly significant (P<0.001) difference between

heterozygosity near observed breakpoints to randomly chosen ones. Varying the window size

from 30-10^5 bp revealed that heterozygosity near LOH breakpoints was lower than the random

expectation for every case (P<0.001). This result suggests that recombination in *Cryptococcus*

AD hybrids is favored in broad regions of lower divergence between recombining genomes.

In *Candida albicans*, a positive relationship has been observed between mitotic recombination

and *de novo* mutation (Ene *et al.* 2018), indicative of recombination-induced mutagenesis as

observed in meiosis in yeast and other organisms (Arbel-Eden and Simchen 2019). We tested

for such a relationship by comparing the relative distance of observed mutations to crossover

locations to a simulated set of random mutations in the genome (1,000 simulations). This result

supports the overview in **Figure 5** that suggests there is no clear relationship between *de novo*

mutation and crossover events in *Cryptococcus* AD hybrids (P = 0.508).

Discussion

Mutations are the primary way in which clonal organisms evolve. However, there are additional

avenues for genetic change in clonal organisms referred to as genome plasticity, which are

particularly available to polyploid hybrid genomes (Albertin and Marullo 2012; Blanc-Mathieu *et*

al. 2017; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020a). In this study we investigated the sources of genetic

variation in evolving hybrid strains of *Cryptococcus* continuously passaged in differing

environments. Passaging led to adaptation as observed by increased fitness of the clones

isolated at the end of the experiment relative to the ancestral genotype. Both genome plasticity

and *de novo* mutation were identified in the evolved clones via genome resequencing. Genomic

plasticity was observed as chromosome copy number amplification and LOH generated via

nondisjunction, gene conversion, and crossing over. However, the location of these genome

scale events is highly biased across the genome, occurring in particular chromosomal locations

presumably deeply influenced by the history of the divergence of the two sibling species.

This experiment was conducted at the same time as a similar passaging experiment using

Saccharomyces cerevisiae (James *et al.* 2019), and therefore the media conditions were

atypical for *Cryptococcus*. Therefore, it is unsurprising that the *Cryptococcus* hybrid genotype

improved significantly in fitness over the period of evolution, particularly as measured by density

at carrying capacity (EOG) and in head-to-head competition with the ancestor (**Figure 1, B-C**).

Gains in fitness across environments were more consistent than the comparable *S. cerevisiae*

evolved populations, but the reason for these differences in the fitness landscape for the two

species is uncertain. It may be that *S. cerevisiae* evolution was more influenced by strong

genotype by environment interactions or the low fitness of the F1 genotypes of AD hybrids may

have facilitated rapid fitness gains regardless of environment.

Cryptococcus AD hybrids had a lower average LOH rate (2.9 event/100 days) relative to *S.*

cerevisiae (5.2 events/100 days), despite the same dilution rate on transfer and hence

equivalent number of generations. Moreover, the mechanism of LOH in *Cryptococcus* AD

hybrids was very different than that of *S. cerevisiae* heterozygous diploids. Specifically, LOH in

Cryptococcus is dominated by nondisjunction of chromosomes, while LOH in *S. cerevisiae* had

gene conversion as the majority mechanism and no evidence of whole chromosome LOH. Both

fungi had LOH consistent with crossing over or BIR (**Figure 4**; Class 4), but *S. cerevisiae* had

considerably more crossing over associated with gene conversion, consistent with the classical

double strand break repair model (Symington *et al.* 2014). A major difference that may explain

this pattern is that the initial heterozygosity of the ancestral diploid genotypes of *Saccharomyces*

was 0.005-0.009 or one heterozygous position out of every 100. In our highly filtered

Cryptococcus data set, we considered 5.6e05 heterozygous positions in the AD hybrids (0.03

heterozygosity), however, it is known that *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* are 85-90%

divergent at the sequence level (Kavanaugh *et al.* 2006; Sun and Xu 2009) making differences

on the order of 10 bases for every 100.

LOH locations are highly heterogeneous across the *Cryptococcus* genome. Whole chromosome

LOH was primarily observed on chromosomes 2, 4, and 9. Crossover locations were highly

biased towards sub-telomeric regions, and these are regions where there are fewer

heterozygous mapped positions. It is worth pointing out, however, that there are multiple

explanations for lower heterozygosity at the telomeric regions. One could be hemizygosity at

these regions, or the known enrichment of repetitive DNA elements such as transposons (Loftus

et al. 2005), which remain unmapped with short read technology. Comparison of *C. neoformans*

to *C. deneoformans* has identified 32 chromosomal rearrangements (Sun and Xu 2009). Most of

these are smaller inversions or complex rearrangements, while a few of them represent large

chromosomal changes, such as multiple translocations and inversions between chromosomes 3

and 11. The rearrangement between Chr. 3 and 11 has a severe and suppressive impact on

long distance LOH both as whole chromosome loss or as crossover events. Other larger

chromosomal rearrangements likely had additional suppressive effects on the ability of the

homologous chromosomes to undergo mitotic recombination. For example, no crossover events

were observed on the right arm of chromosome 9, which is expected due to the large inversion

between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* in this region (Sun and Xu 2009).

If sequence dissimilarity and chromosome rearrangements hinder mitotic recombination what

might stimulate it? It is clear that there is an association between transposable elements and

chromosomal rearrangement and introgression (Kavanaugh *et al.* 2006; Sun and Xu 2009). Two

regions of the *Cryptococcus* genome have been identified where ancestral introgression likely

occurred between the two parental lineages (Kavanaugh *et al.* 2006). The smaller of these

events encompasses ~12 kb of the left arm of *C. neoformans* Chr. 10 which is found on the right

arm of Chr. 13 in *C. deneoformans*. This small region of ~5% sequence divergence harbored 3

of the 47 independent breakpoints. The second, larger introgression event is a 40 kb region

found in a sub-telomeric region of the left arm of *C. neoformans* Chr. 5 which was apparently

the parent of a non-reciprocal introgression and translocation into *C. deneoformans*. Strikingly,

4/6 non-whole chromosome LOH events occurring on *C. neoformans* Chr. 5 have a crossover

event in this "identity island". Two of these events are gene conversions with one end near the

boundary of the island where one partial copy of the non-LTR retrotransposon Cnl1 is present.

These results allude to a scenario in which the transposable elements both speed up and slow

down the speciation process. Between isolated populations, transposition of elements can

cause genomic rearrangements that inhibit normal meiosis upon secondary contact (Sun and

Xu 2009; Zanders *et al.* 2014; Serrato-Capuchina and Matute 2018). However, transposable

elements could also facilitate the cohesion of populations by facilitating introgression, which in

turn increases the number of opportunities for recombination via mitotic recombination and gene

conversion in hybrids.

While this experiment played out over a mere ~500 generations, naturally occurring hybrids

reveal evolutionary processes occurring over much longer time periods. *Cryptococcus* AD

hybrids have arisen multiple times independently and may have undergone clonal evolution for

up to 2 million years (Xu *et al.* 2002; Litvintseva *et al.* 2007). The results in our microevolution

study show many similarities to genomic analysis of the natural hybrids. For example, all studies

have observed both whole chromosome LOH and aneuploidy via chromosomal amplification,

and monosomy is not tolerated, meaning the lost copy of a chromosome is duplicated from the

remaining copy to restore diploidy, or alternatively that duplication of one homolog precedes

loss (Hu *et al.* 2008; Sun and Xu 2009; Li *et al.* 2012; Rhodes *et al.* 2017). The specific

chromosomes involved, however, are different. All studies reported loss of the *C. deneoformans*

Chr. 1 copy in some strains (Hu *et al.* 2008; Sun and Xu 2009; Li *et al.* 2012; Rhodes *et al.*

2017) which was not observed in our study. No studies showed the most common whole

chromosome LOH in our study of loss of *C. neoformans* Chr. 2 homolog (**Figure 3**), though a

partial LOH was reported (Rhodes *et al.* 2017). While there are shared whole chromosome LOH

events between our study and others as in loss of the C*. deneoformans* Chr. 9 homolog or loss

of the *MAT* encoding Chr. 4 (Sun and Xu 2009; Li *et al.* 2012; Rhodes *et al.* 2017), the general

pattern across strains and studies is mostly stochastic, which contrasts with the highly

deterministic pattern seen for Chr. 2 in our experimental population. A possible explanation is

that the hybridization events are independent, and all of a different background than the lab

generated one used in this study. Many hybrids have the VNB lineage as the *C. neoformans*

parent, but in our study the *C. neoformans* parent was VNI (Litvintseva *et al.* 2007; Li *et al.*

2012).

Genomic plasticity via LOH and aneuploidy are predicted to be adaptive, though this must be

contrasted with a more neutral model. In the case of drug resistance in AD hybrids aneuploidy

and increased copy number is clearly selected for through amplification of resistance genes (Li

et al. 2012; Rhodes *et al.* 2017; Dong *et al.* 2020). Aneuploidy may merely be a byproduct of the

fact that chromosomal loss or duplication is the fastest means of achieving copy number change

or homozygosity of a single gene. That selection was responsible for the rapid increase in

homozygosity of Chr. 2 to the *C. deneoformans* homolog is supported by the fitness values of

strains without homozygosity of the Chr. 2 *C. deneoformans* homolog. Specifically, CY-100-3

and CY-100-6 lacking the LOH event had the two lowest fitness values for YPD evolved strains

in two fitness measures (doubling time and relative fitness versus competitor). These data are

consistent with the strong bias towards the *C. deneoformans* parent of Chr. 2 in a large sample

of sexually recombined progeny from an AD hybrid of similar genetic background (Sun and Xu

2007), hinting that there may exist incompatibilities between genes from the two species on this

chromosome as predicted by the Dobzhansky-Muller model. Such intra- and inter-chromosomal

incompatibilities have been detected in a previous study of AD hybrids, suggesting that this a

plausible explanation (Vogan and Xu 2014). Alternatively, rather than epistatic interactions,

dosage or RNA/protein titer could differ between products encoded by the two parental

homologs, and this could provide opportunities for selection to act. For example, if there are

genes not present on Chr. 2 of *C. neoformans* that exist in *C. deneoformans* or if the expression

level of the *C. deneoformans* genes on Chr. 2 is more optimal, this could lead to selection

favoring loss of the *C. neoformans* homolog. As Chr. 2 is the chromosome containing the

ribosomal RNA (rRNA) array, we might hypothesize that either copy number or expression of

these genes could be involved in rapid whole chromosome LOH.

Although we did not find parallel mutations occurring in identical genes or types of genes, it is

likely that many of the mutations we observed had positive effects on fitness. For example, the

missense mutation observed in a larval passaged strain (P3W10) in *HSP104* (CNAG_07347), a

gene known to be involved in tolerance and upregulated during pathogenicity (Steen *et al.* 2003;

Yang *et al.* 2017) may reflect mutation favored in the larval environment. We recorded ~3

mutations per strain with an expected percentage of 61% moderate to high effect on protein

sequence, e.g., nonsense and missense. This value is similar to the expected ratio of mutational

types observed in clonal evolution of *S. cerevisiae* (McDonald *et al.* 2016; Fisher *et al.* 2018). In

experimentally passaged *in vitro* and *in vivo Candida albicans*, the authors recorded a much

lower rate of missense mutations which they ascribed to stronger purifying selection (Ene *et al.*

2018). This could be due to experimental differences. For example, in one experimental

passaging experiment the authors used a single clone bottleneck in between serial passages,

however, they artificially selected the strains with the highest virulence to continue the

passaging. Further work in our system needs to be done to test the effects of mutations that

arise during experimental infection.

Although *Galleria mellonella* has a number of advantages in its use as an experimental model, it

has definite weaknesses, which are apparent from this study. We found that, like *S. cerevisiae*

(Phadke *et al.* 2018), immediately after injecting large numbers of *Cryptococcus* cells, the host

is able to kill most cells based on <1% recovery of cells from crushed larvae plated onto

selective media (data not shown). This reduces the effective population size of passaged yeast

populations and provides a partial explanation for the fact that larval passaged lines had the

lowest LOH and mutation rates. However, our data do suggest that, unlike for *S. cerevisiae*,

strains passaged through waxworm larvae evolved increased ability to compete in the host

environment. Improvements to the model could involve immunosuppression of the host through

chemical treatments or modification of the environmental conditions (Torres *et al.* 2016) to favor

growth of *Cryptococcus*. Recovery of cells via gradient centrifugation or flow cytometry from

infected larvae would eliminate the growth phase in YPD thus improving the consistency of

selective pressure.

Overall, the contrasting results in LOH rate and mechanism between *Cryptococcus* hybrids and

Saccharomyces diploids (James *et al.* 2019; Sui *et al.* 2020) suggest that LOH in *Cryptococcus*

hybrids is comprised largely of whole chromosome events in part because of the inability for

Cryptococcus AD hybrids to undergo recombination. This evidence comes from the association

between crossover breakpoints and regions of lower heterozygosity, such as identity islands

shaped by introgression, an observation consistent with literature showing mitotic and meiotic

recombination is reduced in species with higher heterozygosity (Opperman *et al.* 2004;

Seplyarskiy *et al.* 2014; Hum and Jinks-Robertson 2019; Tattini *et al.* 2019). It may be, however,

that *Cryptococcus* has lower recombination rate overall compared to model species such as

Saccharomyces which are highly recombinogenic. For example, during experimental genetic

transformation the rate of homologous recombination very low *Cryptococcus* (Davidson *et al.*

2000). Intraspecific meiotic recombination rates have been estimated using mapping

populations of *C. deneoformans*, with the results comparable to *S. cerevisiae* (~5 kb/cM)

(Cherry *et al.* 1997; Forche *et al.* 2000; Sun *et al.* 2014; Roth *et al.* 2018). Rates of mitotic

recombination within *Cryptococcus* intraspecific crosses have not been measured. In our study

design, we also evolved *C. deneoformans* diploids that were completely homozygous. These

homozygous diploid populations showed a similar rapid increase in fitness as evolved

Cryptococcus hybrid clones, and future genome sequencing could resolve whether most *de*

novo mutations remain heterozygous as observed in hybrid clones or may have undergone LOH

at a higher rate.

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible by grants from the National Institutes of Health, National Institute

of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (5R21AI105167-02, R37 AI39115-23, and R01 AI50113-16).

J.H. is co-director and fellow of CIFAR program Fungal Kingdom: Threats & Opportunities. We

thank Emmi Mueller and Ellen James for technical lab support.

Literature Cited

Albertin W., and P. Marullo, 2012 Polyploidy in fungi: evolution after whole-genome duplication.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 279: 2497–2509.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.0434

Arbel-Eden A., and G. Simchen, 2019 Elevated mutagenicity in meiosis and its mechanism.

BioEssays 41: 1800235. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201800235

Auguié B., 2017 gridExtra: Miscellaneous Functions for "Grid" Graphics. R package version 2.3.

https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gridExtra.

Beekman C. N., and I. V. Ene, 2020 Short-term evolution strategies for host adaptation and

drug escape in human fungal pathogens. PLOS Pathogens 16: e1008519.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008519

Bennett R. J., A. Forche, and J. Berman, 2014 Rapid mechanisms for generating genome

diversity: whole ploidy shifts, aneuploidy, and loss of heterozygosity. Cold Spring Harb

Perspect Med 4: a019604. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a019604

Blanc-Mathieu R., L. Perfus-Barbeoch, J.-M. Aury, M. Da Rocha, J. Gouzy, *et al.*, 2017

Hybridization and polyploidy enable genomic plasticity without sex in the most

devastating plant-parasitic nematodes. PLoS Genet 13: e1006777.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006777

Bolger A. M., M. Lohse, and B. Usadel, 2014 Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics 30: 2114–2120.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Cherry J. M., C. Ball, S. Weng, G. Juvik, R. Schmidt, *et al.*, 1997 Genetic and physical maps of

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature 387: 67–73.

Cingolani P., A. Platts, L. L. Wang, M. Coon, T. Nguyen, *et al.*, 2012 A program for annotating

and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the

genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w(1118); iso-2; iso-3. Fly 6: 80–92.

https://doi.org/10.4161/fly.19695

Cogliati M., 2013 Global molecular epidemiology of Cryptococcus neoformans and

Cryptococcus gattii : An atlas of the molecular types. Scientifica 2013: 1–23.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/675213

Comeault A. A., and D. R. Matute, 2018 Genetic divergence and the number of hybridizing

species affect the path to homoploid hybrid speciation. PNAS 115: 9761–9766.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809685115

Datta A., M. Hendrix, M. Lipsitch, and S. Jinks-Robertson, 1997 Dual roles for DNA sequence

identity and the mismatch repair system in the regulation of mitotic crossing-over

in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 9757–9762.

Davidson R. C., M. C. Cruz, R. A. Sia, B. Allen, J. A. Alspaugh, *et al.*, 2000 Gene disruption by

biolistic transformation in serotype D strains of Cryptococcus neoformans. Fungal Genet

Biol 29: 38–48. https://doi.org/10.1006/fgbi.1999.1180

Dittrich-Reed D. R., and B. M. Fitzpatrick, 2013 Transgressive Hybrids as Hopeful Monsters.

Evol Biol 40: 310–315. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11692-012-9209-0

Dong K., M. You, and J. Xu, 2020 Genetic changes in experimental populations of a hybrid in

the Cryptococcus neoformans species complex. Pathogens 9: 3.

https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9010003

Ene L. V., R. A. Farrer, M. P. Hirakawa, K. Agwamba, C. A. Cuomo, *et al.*, 2018 Global analysis

of mutations driving microevolution of a heterozygous diploid fungal pathogen. Proc.

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115: E8688–E8697. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1806002115

Fisher K. J., S. W. Buskirk, R. C. Vignogna, D. A. Marad, and G. I. Lang, 2018 Adaptive

genome duplication affects patterns of molecular evolution in Saccharomyces

cerevisiae. Plos Genetics 14: e1007396. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007396

Forche A., J. Xu, R. Vilgalys, and T. G. Mitchell, 2000 Development and characterization of a

genetic linkage map of Cryptococcus neoformans var. neoformans using amplified

fragment length polymorphisms and other markers. Fungal Genet Biol 31: 189–203.

https://doi.org/10.1006/fgbi.2000.1240

Gabriel W., M. Lynch, and R. Bürger, 1993 Muller's ratchet and mutational meltdowns. Evolution

47: 1744–1757. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1993.tb01266.x

Geissmann Q., 2013 OpenCFU, a new free and open-source software to count cell colonies and

other circular objects. PLOS ONE 8: e54072.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054072

Gostinčar C., J. E. Stajich, J. Zupančič, P. Zalar, and N. Gunde-Cimerman, 2018 Genomic

evidence for intraspecific hybridization in a clonal and extremely halotolerant yeast. BMC

Genomics 19: 364. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4751-5

Hu G. G., I. Liu, A. Sham, J. E. Stajich, F. S. Dietrich, *et al.*, 2008 Comparative hybridization

reveals extensive genome variation in the AIDS-associated pathogen Cryptococcus

neoformans. Genome Biol. 9: 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-2-r41

Hum Y. F., and S. Jinks-Robertson, 2019 Mismatch recognition and subsequent processing

have distinct effects on mitotic recombination intermediates and outcomes in yeast.

Nucleic Acids Research 47: 4554–4568. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz126

James T. Y., L. A. Michelotti, A. D. Glasco, R. A. Clemons, R. A. Powers, *et al.*, 2019

Adaptation by Loss of Heterozygosity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae Clones Under

Divergent Selection. Genetics 213: 665–683.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.119.302411

Janbon G., K. L. Ormerod, D. Paulet, E. J. Byrnes, V. Yadav, *et al.*, 2014 Analysis of the

genome and transcriptome of Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii reveals complex

RNA expression and microevolution leading to virulence attenuation. PLoS Genet 10:

e1004261. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004261

Kavanaugh L. A., J. A. Fraser, and F. S. Dietrich, 2006 Recent evolution of the human pathogen

Cryptococcus neoformans by intervarietal transfer of a 14-gene fragment. Mol. Biol.

Evol. 23: 1879–1890. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msl070

Lengeler K. B., G. M. Cox, and J. Heitman, 2001 Serotype AD strains of Cryptococcus

neoformans are diploid or aneuploid and are heterozygous at the mating-type locus.

Infect. Immun. 69: 115–122.

Li W. J., A. F. Averette, M. Desnos-Ollivier, M. Ni, F. Dromer, *et al.*, 2012 Genetic diversity and

genomic plasticity of Cryptococcus neoformans AD hybrid strains. G3-Genes Genomes

Genetics 2: 83–97. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.111.001255

Lin X. R., K. Nielsen, S. Patel, and J. Heitman, 2008 Impact of mating type, serotype, and ploidy

on the virulence of Cryptococcus neoformans. Infect. Immun. 76: 2923–2938.

https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00168-08

Litvintseva A. P., X. Lin, I. Templeton, J. Heitman, and T. G. Mitchell, 2007 Many globally

isolated AD hybrid strains of Cryptococcus neoformans originated in Africa. PLoS

Pathog. 3: 1109–1117. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0030114

Loftus B. J., E. Fung, P. Roncaglia, D. Rowley, P. Amedeo, *et al.*, 2005 The genome of the

basidiomycetous yeast and human pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans. Science 307:

1321–1324. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1103773

Mallet J., 2007 Hybrid speciation. Nature 446: 279–283. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05706

Marcet-Houben M., and T. Gabaldón, 2015 Beyond the whole-genome duplication:

Phylogenetic evidence for an ancient interspecies hybridization in the baker's yeast

lineage. PLOS Biology 13: e1002220. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002220

McDonald M. J., D. P. Rice, and M. M. Desai, 2016 Sex speeds adaptation by altering the

dynamics of molecular evolution. Nature 531: 233–236.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17143

McKenna A., M. Hanna, E. Banks, A. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis, *et al.*, 2010 The Genome

Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA

sequencing data. Genome Res. 20: 1297–1303. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.107524.110

Mixão V., and T. Gabaldón, 2020 Genomic evidence for a hybrid origin of the yeast

opportunistic pathogen Candida albicans. BMC Biology 18: 48.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-00776-6

Opperman R., E. Emmanuel, and A. A. Levy, 2004 The effect of sequence divergence on

recombination between direct repeats in Arabidopsis. Genetics 168: 2207–2215.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.032896

Orr H. A., and M. Turelli, 2001 The evolution of postzygotic isolation: accumulating Dobzhansky-

Muller incompatibilities. Evolution 55: 1085–1094. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-

3820.2001.tb00628.x

Park H.-S., E. W. L. Chow, C. Fu, E. J. Soderblom, M. A. Moseley, *et al.*, 2016 Calcineurin

targets involved in stress survival and fungal virulence. PLOS Pathogens 12: e1005873.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005873

Phadke S. S., C. J. Maclean, S. Y. Zhao, E. A. Mueller, L. A. Michelotti, *et al.*, 2018 Genome-

wide screen for Saccharomyces cerevisiae genes contributing to opportunistic

pathogenicity in an invertebrate model host. G3-Genes Genomes Genetics 8: 63–78.

https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.300245

Priest S. J., M. A. Coelho, V. Mixão, S. A. Clancey, Y. Xu, *et al.*, 2021 Factors enforcing the

species boundary between the human pathogens Cryptococcus neoformans and

Cryptococcus deneoformans. PLoS Genet 17: e1008871.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008871

Pryszcz L. P., T. Németh, E. Saus, E. Ksiezopolska, E. Hegedüsová, *et al.*, 2015 The genomic

aftermath of hybridization in the opportunistic pathogen Candida metapsilosis. Plos

Genetics 11: e1005626. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005626

Quinlan A. R., and I. M. Hall, 2010 BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. Bioinformatics 26: 841–842. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033

R_Core_Team, 2019 *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: http://www.R-project.org/.

Rhodes J., C. A. Desjardins, S. M. Sykes, M. A. Beale, M. Vanhove, *et al.*, 2017 Tracing genetic

exchange and biogeography of Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii at the global

population level. Genetics 207: 327–346. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.203836

Rieseberg L. H., M. A. Archer, and R. K. Wayne, 1999 Transgressive segregation, adaptation

and speciation. Heredity 83: 363–372. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6886170

Rieseberg L. H., 2001 Polyploid evolution: Keeping the peace at genomic reunions. Current

Biology 11: R925–R928. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00556-5

Roth C., S. Sun, R. B. Billmyre, J. Heitman, and P. M. Magwene, 2018 A high-resolution map of

meiotic recombination in Cryptococcus deneoformans demonstrates decreased

recombination in unisexual reproduction. Genetics 209: 567–578.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.300996

Saikkonen K., C. A. Young, M. Helander, and C. L. Schardl, 2016 Endophytic Epichloë species

and their grass hosts: from evolution to applications. Plant Mol. Biol. 90: 665–675.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-015-0399-6

Samarasinghe H., and J. Xu, 2018 Hybrids and hybridization in the Cryptococcus neoformans

and Cryptococcus gattii species complexes. Infect. Genet. Evol. 66: 245–255.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.10.011

Samarasinghe H., M. You, T. S. Jenkinson, J. Xu, and T. Y. James, 2020a Hybridization

facilitates adaptive evolution in two major fungal pathogens. Genes (Basel) 11: 101.

https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11010101

Samarasinghe H., A. Vogan, N. Pum, and J. Xu, 2020b Patterns of allele distribution in a hybrid

population of the Cryptococcus neoformans species complex. Mycoses 63: 275–283.

https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.13040

Schardl C. L., and K. D. Craven, 2003 Interspecific hybridization in plant-associated fungi and

oomycetes: a review. Mol. Ecol. 12: 2861–2873. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-

294X.2003.01965.x

Schröder M. S., K. M. de S. Vicente, T. H. R. Prandini, S. Hammel, D. G. Higgins, *et al.*, 2016

Multiple origins of the pathogenic yeast Candida orthopsilosis by separate hybridizations

between two parental species. PLOS Genetics 12: e1006404.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006404

Seplyarskiy V. B., M. D. Logacheva, A. A. Penin, M. A. Baranova, E. V. Leushkin, *et al.*, 2014

Crossing-over in a hypervariable species preferentially occurs in regions of high local

smilarity. Molecular Biology and Evolution 31: 3016–3025.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu242

Serrato-Capuchina A., and D. R. Matute, 2018 The role of transposable elements in speciation.

Genes (Basel) 9: 254. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9050254

Shapira R., T. Levy, S. Shaked, E. Fridman, and L. David, 2014 Extensive heterosis in growth of

yeast hybrids is explained by a combination of genetic models. Heredity 113: 316–326.

https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2014.33

St Charles J., E. Hazkani-Covo, Y. Yin, S. L. Andersen, F. S. Dietrich, *et al.*, 2012 High-

resolution genome-wide analysis of irradiated (UV and gamma-rays) diploid yeast cells

reveals a high frequency of genomic loss of heterozygosity (LOH) events. Genetics 190:

1267–1284. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.137927

Steen B. R., S. Zuyderduyn, D. L. Toffaletti, M. Marra, S. J. M. Jones, *et al.*, 2003 Cryptococcus

neoformans gene expression during experimental cryptococcal meningitis. Eukaryotic

Cell 2: 1336–1349. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.2.6.1336-1349.2003

Steenwyk J. L., A. L. Lind, L. N. A. Ries, T. F. dos Reis, L. P. Silva, *et al.*, 2020 Pathogenic

allodiploid hybrids of Aspergillus fungi. Current Biology 30: 2495-2507.e7.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.04.071

Stone N. R. H., J. Rhodes, M. C. Fisher, S. Mfinanga, S. Kivuyo, *et al.*, 2019 Dynamic ploidy

changes drive fluconazole resistance in human cryptococcal meningitis. J Clin Invest

129: 999–1014. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI124516

Stukenbrock E. H., 2016 The role of hybridization in the evolution and emergence of new fungal

plant pathogens. Phytopathology 106: 104–112. https://doi.org/10.1094/phyto-08-15-

0184-rvw

Sui Y., L. Qi, J.-K. Wu, X.-P. Wen, X.-X. Tang, *et al.*, 2020 Genome-wide mapping of

spontaneous genetic alterations in diploid yeast cells. PNAS 117: 28191–28200.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2018633117

Sun S., and J. P. Xu, 2007 Genetic analyses of a hybrid cross between serotypes A and D

strains of the human pathogenic fungus Cryptococcus neoformans. Genetics 177: 1475–

1486. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.078923

Sun S., and J. Xu, 2009 Chromosomal rearrangements between serotype A and D strains in

Cryptococcus neoformans. PLoS ONE 4: e5524.

Sun S., R. B. Billmyre, P. A. Mieczkowski, and J. Heitman, 2014 Unisexual reproduction drives

meiotic recombination and phenotypic and karyotypic plasticity in Cryptococcus

neoformans. PLOS Genetics 10: e1004849.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004849

Symington L. S., R. Rothstein, and M. Lisby, 2014 Mechanisms and regulation of mitotic

recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 198: 795–835.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.166140

Tattini L., N. Tellini, S. Mozzachiodi, M. D'Angiolo, S. Loeillet, *et al.*, 2019 Accurate tracking of

the mutational landscape of diploid hybrid genomes. Molecular Biology and Evolution 36:

2861–2877. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz177

Torres M. P., F. Entwistle, and P. J. Coote, 2016 Effective immunosuppression with

dexamethasone phosphate in the Galleria mellonella larva infection model resulting in

enhanced virulence of Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Med Microbiol

Immunol 205: 333–343. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00430-016-0450-5

Treangen T. J., B. D. Ondov, S. Koren, and A. M. Phillippy, 2014 The Harvest suite for rapid

core-genome alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial

genomes. Genome Biology 15: 524. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0524-x

Vogan A. A., and J. Xu, 2014 Evidence for genetic incompatibilities associated with post-zygotic

reproductive isolation in the human fungal pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans. Genome

57: 335–344. https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2014-0077

Vogan A. A., J. Khankhet, H. Samarasinghe, and J. P. Xu, 2016 Identification of QTLs

associated with virulence related traits and drug resistance in Cryptococcus neoformans.

G3-Genes Genomes Genet. 6: 2745–2759. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.029595

Wickham H., 2009 *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Xu J., A. R. Ramos, R. Vilgalys, and T. G. Mitchell, 2000a Clonal and spontaneous origins of

fluconazole resistance in Candida albicans. J Clin Microbiol 38: 1214–1220.

https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.38.3.1214-1220.2000

Xu J., R. Vilgalys, and T. G. Mitchell, 2000b Multiple gene genealogies reveal recent dispersion

and hybridization in the human pathogenic fungus Cryptococcus neoformans. Mol. Ecol.

9: 1471–1481. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01021.x

Xu J. P., G. Z. Luo, R. J. Vilgalys, M. E. Brandt, and T. G. Mitchell, 2002 Multiple origins of

hybrid strains of Cryptococcus neoformans with serotype AD. Microbiology-(UK) 148:

203–212.

Yang D.-H., K.-W. Jung, S. Bang, J.-W. Lee, M.-H. Song, *et al.*, 2017 Rewiring of signaling

networks modulating thermotolerance in the human pathogen Cryptococcus

neoformans. Genetics 205: 201–219. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.116.190595

Yu G., L.-G. Wang, Y. Han, and Q.-Y. He, 2012 clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing

biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS 16: 284–287.

https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118

Zanders S. E., M. T. Eickbush, J. S. Yu, J.-W. Kang, K. R. Fowler, *et al.*, 2014 Genome

rearrangements and pervasive meiotic drive cause hybrid infertility in fission yeast. Elife

3: e02630. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02630

Zhu Y. O., G. Sherlock, and D. A. Petrov, 2016 Whole genome analysis of 132 clinical

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains reveals extensive ploidy variation. G3-Genes

Genomes Genet. 6: 2421–2434. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.029397/-/DC1

Figure 1. Clones evolved in *in vitro* conditions mostly showed fitness gains regardless of means of estimation. Shown are values of relative fitness comparing a clone grown in the same media in which evolution occurred relative to the fitness of the ancestral genotype SSD-719 (dashed line). A) Fitness measured using doubling time. B) Fitness measured using EOG = Efficiency of Growth (i.e., carrying capacity). C) Fitness measured using relative competitive growth in the presence of a marked ancestral strain. Each dot represents an individual clone. Boxes indicate the 25-75% percentiles, bars indicate medians, and the whiskers extend to 1.5 x the interquartile range. Wine strains were not tested by growth curve analysis due to opacity of the medium.

Figure 2. Strains passaged in larvae showed overall greater fitness than the ancestor as measured by recovery after 2 days growth post-coinjection with a common competitor strain (SSE-761). Three replicate experiments were conducted for each strain, and values indicate mean (+1 sd). All values are shown relative to the fitness of SSD-719 (ancestor) which was set to 1.0. Post-Hoc comparisons of the fitness between each evolved strain and the ancestor via by Fisher's LSD found only the difference between SSD-719 and P5W10 to be significant (P=0.0039). P4W10 and P6W10 were marginally significantly different from SSD-719 (P=0.06 and P=0.07, respectively).

Figure 3. Landscape of LOH across the 14 chromosomes of the evolved clones. Shown are genotypes at 10 kb resolution of the strains, with media type shown on the left margin and strain name on the right margin. The ancestral genotype of the *in vivo* (larval) passaging was different from the *in vitro* (other media types), because the experiments began at different times. The reference genome coordinates are from the *C. neoformans* parent. White regions represent unmapped, typically repetitive regions of the genome, or for the right arm of Chr 2 a LOH event that occurred in the ancestor before passaging.

Figure 4. Summary of detected LOH mechanisms across the 35 evolved hybrid clones. (A) A depiction of proposed LOH mechanisms according to schema defined by St. Charles (St Charles *et al.* 2012), and (B) Tallied numbers of events observed among the hybrid clones.

Figure 5. Locations of mitotic recombination breakpoints are non-random across the genome. Blue dots indicate the average heterozygosity in 10 kb windows between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* parental genomes that passed our filtering strategies. Dark grey bars indicate LOH tracts.

Suppl. Table 1. Markers used for screening mating products for heterozygosity. Chromosome numbers and positions are relative to the H99 genome.

Marker	Chromosome	Position	Forward Primer (5' - 3')	Reverse Primer (5' - 3')
Chrom01_Region5	1	128800-131200	GCACATGAAGAAATATGACCG	GCACATTCGCCAATCCTATC
Chrom01_Region8	1	389600-391200	AATGAGACGAATACGGTCGG	AGCTCTCTTTCACTGACACGA
Chrom02_Region1	2	88000-89600	GCACAGGCCAGTAAAGGAGG	GAATCTGAGAAGGCTGAGGCTA
Chrom02_Region5	2	1228800-1231200	GCTGCTGCATTTGCTGAG	TGAAATGAACGAACTAGTACGG
Chrom07_Region1	7	56800-59200	CGCCCTCTTGCTGAAGTTG	TTTCTCTTGTGCTTCAAATGC
Chrom07_Region5	7	512800-514400	GAAATGGTGCGAGAATAGGC	CATCTGCACTTCGAACGAC
Chrom10_Region7	10	967200-968000	ATTTTCAGGTATCCCCATGC	CATTGGTAAACTCGCCTCTG
Chrom12_Region1	12	87200-88800	GGAACGAGATGGAAATCGG	GTCTCACTGCCAGTAAAGTCAG
Chrom12_Region8	12	721600-724000	GCCATTTGGACTTTGGGTG	TGACTCTTGTGGAGCTTAACG
Chrom13_Region1	13	108000-108800	CGCCTTTGATTGCTGATGAC	GGGATAGCTCTTGGCAATGC
Chrom13_Region4	13	693600-695200	GAAGCCATCTTGCTGAAGTG	TTCGGGAGGGTGACTCTC
STE20_neo_MATalpha	5	247512-247841	GGCTGCAATCACAGCACCTTAC	CTTCATGACATCACTCCCCTAT
STE20_deneo_MATa	5	n.a.	CACATCTCAGATGCCATTTTACCA	AGCTCTAAGTCATATGGGTTATAT

Suppl. Table 2. Heterozygosity is not correlated with frequency of crossover events or aneuploidy at the whole chromosome level. Data are relative to *C. neoformans* H99 genome. Only one breakpoint for each gene conversion is considered.

Chr	Size	Num SNPs	SNP rate	Num. breaks	Aneuploidies
1	2291499	72959	0.0318	1	1
2	1621675	39814	0.0246	1	29
3	1575141	50117	0.0318	5	6
4	1084805	30247	0.0279	2	0
5	1814975	54199	0.0299	6	0
6	1422463	45598	0.0321	3	0
7	1399503	42668	0.0305	7	0
8	1398693	42582	0.0304	1	0
9	1186808	37586	0.0317	1	12
10	1059964	29439	0.0278	5	1
11	1561994	47038	0.0301	4	0
12	774062	20441	0.0264	4	3
13	756744	20401	0.0270	3	1
14	926563	27207	0.0294	4	0

Suppl. Figure 1. Strains evolved in both larvae and beer show similar virulence as measured

by *G. mellonella* survival 5 days post inoculation. Each strain was inoculated into 10 larvae and

monitored for survival for up to 1 week. Values and error bars for evolved strains are means and

SD for 5-6 independently evolved genotypes. Only one replicate was done for the two ancestral

genotypes (SSD-719 and SSE-761) and the cell-free control (PBS). By 7 dpi values were

qualitatively similar and most infected animals had died.

Suppl. Figure 2. Heatmap of chromosome-level coverage indicates aneuploidy in both ancestral strains and evolved strains. Relative coverage to genome-wide mean is indicated. No chromosomes had less than 0.8 X coverage.

Suppl. Figure 3. Coverage of strains evolved in beer wort and canavanine media across the 14 chromosomes (*C. neoformans* reference genome) at 1 kb resolution. Points plotted in orange are for chromosomes with >1.2X genome-wide coverage mean, and red points indicate >1.35X genome-wide coverage mean.

Suppl. Figure 4. Coverage of strains evolved in high salt and wine must media across the 14 chromosomes (*C. neoformans* reference genome) at 1 kb resolution. Points plotted in orange are for chromosomes with >1.2X genome-wide coverage mean, and red points indicate >1.35X genome-wide coverage mean.

Suppl. Figure 5. Coverage of strains evolved in YPD and *G. mellonella* across the 14
chromosomes (*C. neoformans* reference genome) at 1 kb resolution. Points plotted in orange
are for chromosomes with >1.2X genome-wide coverage mean, and red points indicate >1.35X
genome-wide coverage mean.

Suppl. Figure 6. Coverage of ancestral diploid (SSD719-*in vitro* and SSD719-*in vivo*) and haploid (EM3 and YSB121) genotypes across the 14 chromosomes (*C. neoformans* reference genome) at 1 kb resolution. Points plotted in orange are for chromosomes with >1.2X genome-wide coverage mean, and red points indicate >1.35X genome-wide coverage mean.