Clonal evolution in serially passaged *Cryptococcus neoformans* x *deneoformans* hybrids

reveals a heterogenous landscape of genomic change

Lucas A. Michelotti[*,1]

Sheng Sun[†]

Joseph Heitman[†]

Timothy Y. James[*]

*Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

University of Michigan

Ann Arbor, MI 48109 U.S.A.

[†]Departments of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Medicine, and Pharmacology and

Cancer Biology

Duke University Medical Center

Durham, NC 27710 U.S.A.

[1]Current address:

Department of Entomology

University of Georgia

Athens, GA 30606 U.S.A.

Correspondence:

Timothy Y. James

1105 N. University

4050 Biological Sciences Bldg.

University of Michigan

Ann Arbor, MI 48109 U.S.A.

Email: tyjames@umich.edu

Abstract

Cryptococcus neoformans x *deneoformans* hybrids (also known as serotype AD hybrids) are

basidiomycete yeasts that are common in a clinical setting. Like many hybrids, the AD hybrids

are largely locked at the F1 stage and are mostly unable to undergo normal meiotic

reproduction. However, these F1 hybrids, which display a high (~10%) sequence divergence

are known to genetically diversify through mitotic recombination and aneuploidy, and this

diversification may be adaptive. In this study, we evolved a single AD hybrid genotype in six

diverse environments by serial passaging and then used genome resequencing of evolved

clones to determine evolutionary mechanisms of adaptation. The evolved clones generally

increased fitness after passaging, accompanied by an average of 3.3 point mutations, 2.9 loss

of heterozygosity (LOH) events, and 0.7 trisomic chromosomes per clone. LOH occurred

through nondisjunction of chromosomes, crossing over consistent with break-induced

replication, and gene conversion, in that order of prevalence. The breakpoints of these

recombination events were significantly associated with regions of the genome with lower

sequence divergence between the parents and clustered in subtelomeric regions, notably in

regions that had undergone introgression between the two parental species. Parallel evolution

was observed, particularly through repeated homozygosity via nondisjunction, yet there was

little evidence of environment-specific parallel change for either LOH, aneuploidy, or mutations.

These data show that AD hybrids have both a remarkable genomic plasticity and yet are

challenged in the ability to recombine through sequence divergence and chromosomal

rearrangements, a scenario likely limiting the precision of adaptive evolution to novel

environments.

Introduction

Hybridization reunites genomes that diverged via speciation. Following speciation, genomes from divergent populations have fixed nucleotide differences as well as usually larger-scale genomic changes such as chromosome rearrangements. Typically, hybridization generates individuals of low fitness due to genetic incompatibilities in the merging genomes, such as Dobzhansky-Muller genic interactions displaying negative epistasis (Orr and Turelli 2001; Mallet 2007). If structural differences exist between the chromosomes of the hybridizing genomes, they may impair proper meiosis and contribute to full or partial sterility. Overall, there exists a general relationship between genetic divergence, genome rearrangements, hybrid fitness, and reproductive isolation that is still poorly understood (Comeault and Matute 2018).

On the other hand, hybridization has the potential to facilitate adaptive evolution by bringing together novel allele combinations across loci that may produce novel phenotypes (Rieseberg *et al.* 1999; Dittrich-Reed and Fitzpatrick 2013). Particularly in plants, hybridization has led to the formation of new species, often through mechanisms such as allopolyploidization which avoids the sterility barrier concomitant with chromosomal rearrangements (Rieseberg 2001). Hybridization in fungi is increasingly recognized as having contributed to evolutionary novelty and host-range expansion (Schardl and Craven 2003; Marcet-Houben and Gabaldón 2015; Stukenbrock 2016; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020a). However, there are many examples of fungal hybrids that appear to be restricted to the F1 diploid stage, such as the extremophile *Hortaea werneckii* (Gostinčar *et al.* 2018), *Epichlöe* endophytes (Saikkonen *et al.* 2016), *Aspergillus latus* (Steenwyk *et al.* 2020), and emerging pathogenic *Candida* spp. (Pryszcz *et al.* 2015; Schröder *et al.* 2016; Mixão and Gabaldón 2020). Because many fungi have the ability to reproduce asexually for extended numbers of generations, the F1 hybrid genotypes/species may generate transient, successful clonal lineages that avoid segregation load and chromosomal incompatibilities that may come with meiosis (Mallet 2007). Moreover, the

heterosis that occurs in diploid F1 hybrids might be particularly common, because multiple

mechanisms can contribute to it, such as complementation of recessive, mildly deleterious

alleles, overdominance, and epistasis (Shapira *et al.* 2014).

Despite the potential for overall heterosis in F1 hybrids, it is likely that such hybrid

genotypes contain a number of alleles or allelic interactions that reduce fitness, such as

incompatibilities driven by negative epistasis, underdominance, or gene copy number

imbalance. Given this situation, it is also likely that hybrids could undergo minor adaptive

changes in genotype through mitotic processes such as chromosome copy number variation

and loss of heterozygosity (LOH), which would allow genotypic change without wholesale

meiotic shuffling (Bennett *et al.* 2014; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020a). LOH occurs through a

number of mechanisms, including gene conversion, nondisjunction, deletions, or crossing over

(St Charles *et al.* 2012; Symington *et al.* 2014). Overall, while it is clear that asexual F1 hybrids

are commonly observed in fungi, it is unclear how often and by what means they utilize their

allelic diversity for adaptation and relief of incompatibilities and whether these mechanisms can

allow asexual hybrids to persist for a long time despite Muller's Ratchet (Gabriel *et al.* 1993).

Cryptococcus is a model basidiomycete yeast that causes opportunistic infections

particularly in immunocompromised hosts. Most infections result from colonization by *C.*

neoformans (serotype A) with infection by *C. deneoformans* (serotype D) rare (Cogliati 2013).

These two species are diverged by at least ~24.5 mya (Xu *et al.* 2000b). In both clinical and

environmental settings, a large percentage (~8%) of *Cryptococcus* isolates are hybrid strains,

particularly those formed between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*, often referred to as AD

hybrids (Samarasinghe and Xu 2018). Studies with AD hybrids show variable virulence and

hybrid vigor relative to parental species, however, evidence for transgressive segregation in

pathogenicity relevant traits such as resistance to UV irradiation, growth at 37 C, and resistance

to antifungal drugs has been observed (Litvintseva *et al.* 2007; Lin *et al.* 2008; Vogan *et al.*

2016; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020a).

Genomic plasticity is apparent in AD hybrids, but it is unclear which mechanisms are

most important for adaptation. Most AD hybrids are close to diploid and, although they produce

sexual spores in the lab, these appear to undergo an aberrant form of meiosis (Lengeler *et al.*

2001; Sun and Xu 2007; Hu *et al.* 2008; Vogan *et al.* 2013). The two species are 85-90%

divergent at the sequence level, and they are known to have karyotype differences (Kavanaugh

et al. 2006; Sun and Xu 2009). The dependence on sequence similarity for homology-based

recombination, such as the classical double strand break repair pathway (DSBR) is likely to be

inhibitory to mitotic and meiotic recombination at this level of sequence divergence (Datta *et al.*

1997; Opperman *et al.* 2004; Hum and Jinks-Robertson 2019). It was recently demonstrated

that deletion of the DNA mismatch repair gene *MSH2* did not increase the rate of homologous

recombination in meiotic progeny of an AD hybrid cross as observed in most other species but

did increase viability through increased aneuploidy, a result consistent with either sequence

dissimilarity or genetic incompatibility limiting recombination in *Cryptococcus* AD hybrids (Priest

et al. 2021). Reduced recombination may explain why genomic scale studies of naturally

occurring AD hybrids typically have primarily detected LOH of entire chromosomes (Hu *et al.*

2008; Li *et al.* 2012; Rhodes *et al.* 2017). A recent experimental evolution study of an AD hybrid

genotype showed that LOH of Chr. 1 could be rapidly stimulated if hybrids were grown in the

presence of the antifungal fluconazole, which has a major resistance allele encoded on Chr. 1 of

the *C. neoformans* parent (Stone *et al.* 2019; Dong *et al.* 2020). In this same study, LOH was

shown to occur at a rate of 6 x 10^{-5} per locus per mitotic division, and the distribution of LOH

was unequal across chromosomes. Little is known about the extent to which more spatially

restricted forms of LOH, such as gene conversion and mitotic crossing over have contributed to

LOH in natural AD hybrids. The precise mechanisms of LOH in most studies are masked due to

low numbers of markers utilized for genotyping, making it unclear whether the high level of

sequence diversity inhibits homologous recombination in *Cryptococcus* AD hybrids through anti-

recombination mechanisms.

In this study, we experimentally evolved AD hybrids to six distinct environments to test

which mechanisms of genomic change are involved in rapid adaptation. Using replicate

populations, both in liquid media and a model host (larvae of the waxworm moth *Galleria*

mellonella), we tested for evidence of parallel mutation, LOH, or copy number variation across

genes and chromosomes. Because of the divergence between parental alleles, we

hypothesized that unlike in *Candida albicans* (Ene *et al.* 2018) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

(James *et al.* 2019) LOH would primarily be through nondisjunction of entire chromosomes

rather than via mechanisms that involve homologous recombination (e.g., gene conversion or

crossing over). Finally, we designed the experiment so that it would test whether particular

environments would favor one parental genotype than the other as previous investigations have

hinted at (Hu *et al.* 2008; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020b). We found that whole chromosome LOH

and aneuploidy were common among the evolved clones in addition to de novo point mutations.

LOH events and aneuploidy demonstrated parallel evolution across independent cultures,

however, these parallel events were mostly environment agnostic. In concert with the

demonstration that the evolved strains have increased fitness, these data are consistent with

LOH occurring to reduce genetic incompatibilities between the two parental species (Vogan and

Xu 2014).

Materials and Methods

Strains

We initiated evolution starting with a single diploid hybrid strain generated by crossing *C.*

neoformans haploid strain YSB121 (KN99 *MAT**a** aca1::NEO ura5 ACA1-URA5*) with *C.*

deneoformans strain SS-C890 (*MATα NAT* ectopically inserted into a JEC21 genome and later

determined to be inserted in the sub-telomeric region of the left arm of Chr. 1). Over 100 diploid

products resistant to NAT and G418 (conferred by *NAT* and *NEO* genes present in the two

haploid parents) were identified that also produced extensive filamentation on V8 agar, a

phenotype characteristic of cells that are heterozygous that the mating type locus and have both

a and α *MAT* alleles. These were genotyped at 11 PCR markers across 6 chromosomes plus

the *MAT* locus (**Suppl. Table 1**) to identify highly heterozygous diploid offspring. The genotypes

of these markers were determined by size differences or presence/absence of a band (*STE20*

locus) using agarose gel electrophoresis. One of the diploids (SSD-719) heterozygous at all

markers was chosen as the ancestral genotype. A near isogenic strain (SSE-761) was

generated to serve as a reference competitor strain by random integration of a GFP-Nop1-*HYG*

cassette into SSD-719 (Park *et al.* 2016).

Passaging in vitro

Experimental passaging in five media types was conducted in parallel with a *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae evolution experiment (James *et al.* 2019). Briefly, 6 replicate populations of 500 μl

were inoculated with the hybrid ancestor strain, grown at 30 °C with shaking, and transferred

daily with 1/50 dilution into new media. The media types were yeast peptone dextrose (YPD),

beer wort, wine must, YPD + high salt (1 M NaCl), and minimal medium plus canavanine

(James *et al.* 2019). Every fifth passage, 25 μl of each population was subsampled for archiving

in 25% glycerol at -80 °C. At the end of 100 days, one clone was isolated from each population

by streak plating, and the remaining population archived.

Passaging in vivo

We utilized last instar larvae of *Galleria mellonella* for the infection model with inoculation and

incubation following (Phadke *et al.* 2018). Six replicate populations of 5 larvae were founded,

with each larva injected with 10^7 cells. Larvae were incubated 5 days with ambient temperature

and lighting. The larvae were then crushed in 50 ml sterile water and 50 μl were plated onto

three 15 cm plates of YPD containing 200 mg/L G418 and 200 mg/L ampicillin. After 72 hr of

incubation, the plates containing on the order of 10^3-10^4 small colonies were scraped and

pooled into 50 ml of sterile water, the cell density was adjusted to the appropriate level (10^6/μl)

for inoculation, and the next population of 5 larvae was inoculated. After 10 passages in this

manner, a single clone from each population was isolated for analysis.

Fitness estimation

We estimated fitness of our evolved strains using both growth curves and competition with a

reference strain. Growth curves were estimated using a Bioscreen C (Growth Curves USA)

following James *et al.* (2019). Competition-based fitness was measured by comparing

proportions of unlabeled cells (evolved) with a near isogenic competitor strain (SSE-761)

engineered to express GFP. Mixtures of the competitor strain and evolved strain were analyzed

for green fluorescent events to non-fluorescent events both before and after growth on a iQue

Screener PLUS (Intellicyt) according to James *et al.* (2019). Each pairwise competition was run

using 8 replicate populations.

Fitness was also estimated in the *Galleria*-passaged clones as well as two clones isolated from

beer wort. *In vivo* fitness of the evolved strains was estimated by co-injection of evolved with

competitor strain SSE-761 as a combination of 2 x 10^6 cells in 10 μl into each of 5 larvae. After

incubation for 48 hrs at ambient temperature, larvae were crushed in sterile water, filtered

through a 40 μm filter, and plated on two media types: YPD media containing G418 (200 mg/L),

ampicillin (200 mg/L), and chloramphenicol (25 mg/L), and YPD media containing the same

antibiotics plus hygromycin (200 mg/L) and incubated at 30 °C until colony development. The

latter media type only permits growth of the competitor strain while the former allows growth of

both evolved and competitor. We also plated the mixture of evolved and competitor strains on

the two media types to measure the ratio of the genotypes pre-injection. All experiments were

repeated three independent times. Colony sizes varied considerably, and in order to count the

colonies in a rapid, objective manner, we used the program OpenCFU (Geissmann 2013) using

a threshold of 5 and a minimum radius of 20. By comparison of the ratios of the two genotypes

pre- and post-infection, fitness can be measured. Fitness (F) values were calculated using

colony counts on G418-only media pre- (G_{pre}) and post-infection (G_{post}) and colony counts on

G418+hygromyin media pre- (H_{pre}) and post-infection (H_{post}) using the following formula:

225
$$F = \frac{G_{post}/H_{post}}{G_{pre}/H_{pre}}$$

We presented the mean F for each clone as a relative fitness measure by dividing by the mean

F estimate the competition between the ancestral genotype vs. congenic competitor strain.

Genome resequencing

DNA was extracted from clones grown overnight in 2 ml of YPD following (Xu *et al.* 2000a). One

clone from each population was sequenced, for a total of 35 clones (library of CB-100-4 failed to

pass QC). The ancestral haploid and diploid parents were also sequenced. Because the clones

evolved via passaging in *Galleria* were initiated after the *in vitro* evolution experiment using a

separate subclone of the ancestor, we also sequenced the two ancestral diploid genotypes

separately. Poor DNA extraction of the SSD-719 *in vivo* culture required a second DNA

extraction, which resulted in additional opportunities for genomic change. Libraries for genome

sequencing were constructed with dual indexing using 0.5-2.5 ng per reaction using a Nextera

XT kit (Illumina). The libraries were multiplexed and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 v4 or

NextSeq sequencer using paired end 125 bp output. After analyses the expected coverage for

each strain ranged from 41-147X.

Data analyses

The full pipeline, including scripts and data sets used for analysis of the genomic data can be

found at https://github.com/Michigan-Mycology/Cryptococcus-LOH-paper, with larger variant call

format (vcf) files stored using figshare. Details of the variant calling pipeline using GATK 4.0.0

(McKenna *et al.* 2010) are similar to James *et al.* (2019). Briefly, data were trimmed for quality

using Trimmomatic 0.36 (Bolger *et al.* 2014). The genomes of the two parental haploid strains

backgrounds (JEC21 (Loftus *et al.* 2005), H99 (Janbon *et al.* 2014)) were downloaded and

aligned using Harvest (Treangen *et al.* 2014). The variants from these alignments were used as

a set of known variants in the base recalibration step of GATK. SNP identification for LOH and

de novo mutation analyses was done using HaplotypeCaller using the H99 genome as the

reference. After variant filtration for quality in GATK, SNPs were converted into a vcf file and

further filtered. Genotypes were set to unknown when their depth was < 18X or > 350X, the

genotype quality (GQ) was < 99, or the sites were not present as differences between the

haploid ancestors observed as heterozygosity in the diploid ancestor. SnpEff 4.3K (Cingolani *et*

al. 2012) was used to assign functional information to SNPs. We scanned for new mutations

occurring during passaging using the criteria that they were absent in both haploid parents and

ancestral diploid strain and appeared with sequencing depth > 18X and GQ >= 99. Estimating

the number and length of each LOH event was done using the custom perl script

calculate_LOH_lengths_crypto_100_new.pl. After identifying LOH patterns, we later verified

each event by examining the read pileup and vcf file and excluded LOH events which were not

supported by 100% of reads as homozygous. We removed single SNP LOH events, because

they showed strong association of reads linked to only a single allele in flanking heterozygous

SNPs, indicating they are likely false positives. Indels were ignored for considering LOH, which

underestimates the overall amount of LOH and allelic diversity, but should not cause systematic

biases in hypothesis testing.

We identified aneuploidy by mapping reads to a combined AD hybrid reference as in Priest *et al.*

(2021). In this hybrid reference sequence, the *C. deneoformans* chromosomes have been

reordered and reverse-complemented where necessary to better match the chromosome order

of *C. neoformans*. The trimmed reads of each strain were mapped using bwa v. 0.7.15 (Li and

Durbin 2009), reads of low mapping quality (-q 3) removed using samtools v. 1.5 (Li *et al.* 2009),

and coverage estimated along chromosomes in 5 kb intervals with bedtools v. 2.29.2 (Quinlan

and Hall 2010). The data were then plotted using ggplot2 (Wickham 2009) after removal of

windows with depth of coverage > 5X the genome-wide average. Local scale copy number

variation (CNV), specifically looking for deletions, was evaluated using the software smoove

(https://github.com/brentp/smoove).

All statistical analyses and plots were conducted in R 3.6.2 (R_Core_Team 2019) unless

otherwise specified. Most statistical tests utilized the base package; we also utilized the

functions ggplot2 and gridextra for graphical output (Auguié 2017).

Data availability

Strains and plasmids are available upon request. The full pipeline, including scripts and data

sets used for analysis of the genomic data, additional data files, and code for reconstructing

figures can be found on Github (https://github.com/Michigan-Mycology/Cryptococcus-LOH-

paper). Raw sequence data has been deposited into NCBI's SRA archive under BioProject:

PRJNA700784, with the accession numbers SRX10055646-SRX10055684.

Results

Fitness estimates suggest adaptation during passaging

We evolved a single AD hybrid genotype (SSD-719) in 5 *in vitro* environments by serial transfer

for 100 days and also evolved the genotype through 10 serial passages in waxworm larvae. The

experiment was run in parallel with an investigation of microevolution in *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae, and while the media types are more typical for brewing yeast, they served to

represent broadly diverse environments that would require adaptation by the laboratory-created

Cryptococcus hybrids. Each environment was represented by 6 replicate populations, and from

each of these evolved populations we isolated individual clones, determined whether they had

evolved a higher fitness relative to the ancestral genotype, and sequenced their genomes. The

6 clones isolated after evolution in each *in vitro* environment generally showed increased fitness

relative to the ancestral genotype (**Figure 1**). Maximal population density (EOG) and

competitive fitness mostly increased for all strains and environments, whereas doubling time

was lower for clones evolved in high salt or canavanine environments.

The virulence and relative fitness of the *G. mellonella* passaged strains was estimated for the 6

clones that had been serially passaged 10 times in the same host compared with the ancestral

diploid genotype and isolates that had been evolved in beer wort. The larval passaged strains

showed enhanced survivorship (one-way ANOVA, [$F_{(8,18)}=3.59$, $P=0.0115$]) compared to the

beer wort evolved and ancestral strain as measured by genotype recovery after co-injection with

a marked strain (**Figure 2**).

Parallel LOH was observed mostly as whole chromosome loss in a non-environment specific

manner

LOH was readily apparent at the chromosome scale (**Figure 3**), suggesting that most strains

had undergone chromosome-scale LOH events as well as multiple smaller events. We

estimated that strains had undergone an average of 2.9 LOH events after 100 days,

encompassing an average of 2.26 Mbp. There was a significant difference between the average

number of LOH events across environments (Kruskal-Wallis test, $X^2(5)$ = 18.26, p = 0.026), but

these differences were driven mostly by low LOH numbers in larval passaged strains (beer wort:

2.8, canavanine: 4.2, high salt: 1.8, wine must: 2.7, YPD: 4.7, larvae: 0.8). Most of the LOH

events were the result of whole chromosome events, with the second most common being long

distance LOH consistent with break-induced replication (BIR) observed as crossing over not

associated with gene conversion, followed by gene conversion, and then deletions (**Figure 4**).

Crossover and deletion events averaged 173 kb in total length, and gene conversions averaged

7.4 kb.

We looked for evidence that specific LOH events showed parallel evolution across

independently evolved clones. We specifically noted parallel changes as regions of the genome

undergoing LOH in 3 or more clones. Among the LOH events, 11 were found in more than 3

clones, including whole chromosome or long distance LOH on Chr. 2, 4, 9 and 12 (**Figure 3**).

The other regions were limited to only 3 clones. There was no evidence for any of the LOH

events being more predominant in particular environments.

We asked whether whole-chromosome LOH events favored one parental type over another,

which was obvious for Chr. 2 in which LOH exclusively led to retention of the *C. deneoformans*

homolog. For the 4 chromosomes (2, 4, 9 and 12) with 4 or more independent LOH events we

tested whether the allelic distribution of homozygotes was non-random or skewed towards one

parent. Although events at most chromosomes favored one parental genotype over another,

only the Chr. 2 pattern was significant (P=8.2e-06; Fisher's exact test).

We then tested whether one of the parental genotypes was globally favored over the other

across isolates by asking whether the ratio between SNP loci that are homozygous for the

neoformans and *deneoformans* alleles, respectively, deviated significantly from a random 1:1

null hypothesis. Overall, we found that the mean frequency of *neoformans* alleles in LOH

regions across all evolved clones was 0.234 (CI: 0.115-0.353), which was significantly different

from the null hypothesis (t(34) = -4.61, p = 7.0e-5). However, if Chr. 2 was excluded, the mean

frequency of *neoformans* alleles in LOH regions was 0.639 (CI: 0.488-0.791), which was not

significantly different from the null expectation (t(34) = 1.88, p = 0.070). These data suggest

there is no signal of a favored parental allele arising from the LOH process with the exception of

LOH favoring retention of the *C. deneoformans* Chr. 2 homolog.

Using the rate of LOH events uncovered and their sizes, we estimated a rate of 0.005

events/generation, 4.0 kb/generation, and 2×10^{-4} per bp per generation. Dong et al. (2019)

estimated a rate of LOH of 6×10^{-5} per locus per mitotic division with 33 loci in *Cryptococcus* AD

hybrids, leading to an estimation of 0.002 LOH events per generation.

Prevalence of aneuploidy across passaged isolates

Aneuploidy leading to chromosomal copy number variation is a rapid means with which

pathogenic fungi generate adaptive genetic variation (Bennett *et al.* 2014; Beekman and Ene

2020). We tested for the presence of both whole chromosomal and partial chromosomal

aneuploidy by using the density of reads mapped to a hybrid version of the genome, which we

found to give a clearer picture of depth of coverage than solely using one species reference

genome. The mapping data also provided strong confirmation of our LOH results. Most of the

strains had mapping patterns that fell into distinct chromosome counts of 0-3, with a few cases

in which average mapping density appeared to be intermediate, a result consistent with ongoing

chromosome loss in strains used for genome sequencing (**Figure 5**). We found that the evolved

strains often showed aneuploidy on multiple chromosomes (**Figure 6**; **Suppl. Figures 1-6**). In

all cases, aneuploidies were trisomies and no evidence of monosomies was found. Particular

chromosomes (Chr. 2, 4, 9, 10, and 11) were likely to display aneuploidy and were strikingly

overlapping with the same chromosomes that had also undergone whole chromosome LOH

(**Figure 3**). Among the evolved lines, there was a 2:1 bias towards trisomies that involved extra

copies of the *C. deneoformans* homeologue. Some aneuploidies were also present in the

ancestral diploid but not haploid genotypes (**Suppl. Figure 6**). For example, Chr. 9 was trisomic

in all larval passaged clones, but was also observed in the *in vivo* diploid ancestor, although

most passaged strains maintained heterozygosity on Chr. 9 unlike the ancestor. Chr. 3 of *C.*

deneoformans was duplicated in the *in vitro* ancestor, but most of the evolved strains did not

have this aneuploidy (**Figure 6**). Clone CW-100-4 evolved in wine must revealed a complex

pattern of chromosome ploidy consistent with either multiple subpopulations within the strain

with different aneuploidies or possibly a primarily tetraploid strain (**Suppl. Figure 3**). Overall,

there were 25 aneuploidies that uniquely appeared after passaging, for 0.7 events per clone.

Chr. 2 shows a complex history after the creation of the hybrid ancestor by mating in the lab.

Both *in vitro* and *in vivo* ancestors show a deletion on the right arm of *C. neoformans* Chr. 2,

spanning ~260 kb. This was accompanied by a duplication of the entire *C. deneoformans*

homeologue in the *in vitro* ancestor and a partial duplication of the *C. deneoformans*

homeologue in the *in vivo* ancestor. Most of the *in vitr*o evolved strains ultimately lost the

neoformans Chr. 2 homeologue, however, it was retained in clones CW-100-6, CY-100-3, CY-

100-6, and in part in CY-100-1 (**Suppl. Figures 3-4**). The loss of the *C. neoformans* Chr. 2

homeologue may have been advantageous to the strains; strains CY-100-3 and CY-100-6 that

retained the *C. neoformans* homeologue had the two lowest fitness values for YPD evolved

strains in two fitness measures (doubling time and relative fitness versus competitor).

Most of the trisomies did not show an environment specific pattern, however, trisomy derived via

duplication of the Chr. 11 *C. deneoformans* homeologue was present in all beer wort evolved

strains and one strain evolved in canavanine. This trisomy was significantly associated with

beer wort (Fisher's exact test, P=8.6e-05), suggesting this association may have been driven by

an environment-specific fitness advantage of this extra chromosome.

Chromosome plots and a structural variant detection method (smoove) were also employed to

detect partial aneuploidy occurring via partial chromosomal deletion. Seven LOH events were

consistent with deletions (2 identified by smoove and 5 visually from relationship of coverage

with LOH events). Overall, these data suggest that most strains are not diploid but instead

aneuploid with duplication of the *C. neoformans* homeologue more frequently involved in

trisomies of particular chromosomes.

Absence of parallel evolution by point mutations

We found 117 high quality *de novo* mutations at 93 SNP loci specifically occurring in the

evolved clones that were absent from both haploid and diploid ancestors. The mean number of

mutations per strain was 3.3 (range 0-10), and mutations were found on every chromosome and

in every environment type. The majority of the mutations were inside exons (81.7%), with the

remaining intronic (10.8%), or intergenic (8.5%). Most of the mutations in exons had

consequences on the protein sequence, with 64.5% missense, 6.6% nonsense, and 28.9%

synonymous (**Suppl. Table 2**). Of 117 mutations only 4 of them were found as homozygous

genotypes. Because a number of chromosomes have undergone whole chromosome or long

distance LOH, the fact that most mutations are heterozygous implies that whole chromosome

LOH likely occurred early on during the evolution of the populations, followed by mutations.

Of the 93 *de novo* single nucleotide mutation loci, only 3 were found in 3 or more strains. Three

loci showed 3, 7, and 8 strains bearing the mutation. These were all mutations of low impact

and may represent variation in the initial founding population that became fixed during evolution.

Mutations with protein-altering effects were found in diverse genes, including transcription

factors, plasma-membrane proton-efflux P-type ATPase, and many others. A test for enrichment

of Gene Ontology terms among the protein-altering mutations using clusterProfiler (Yu *et al.*

2012) identified lipid metabolic process as the most enriched term, although this enrichment is

not statistically significant after correction for multiple testing (FDR corrected P = 0.103).

Relationship of LOH break points to sequence divergence and mutation

The ability to undergo crossing over is known to be influenced by anti-recombination

mechanisms that prohibit recombination due to sequence divergence. Such a mechanism would

favor mitotic recombination breakpoints in regions of lower divergence. We found no

relationship between heterozygosity of particular chromosomes and the number of crossover

events observed (r(12) = 0.083, p = 0.78; **Suppl. Table 3**). By plotting the locations of mitotic

recombination breakpoints and mutations relative to chromosomal divergence (**Figure 7**),

however, we identified a clear pattern that many of the breakpoints are sub-telomeric, and sub-

telomeric regions often have lower divergence between the two parents. We tested whether the

heterozygosity near crossing over breakpoints was depressed relative to random breakpoints

produced in simulated data sets. In total there were 47 independent breakpoints observed (only

the left breakpoint was used for gene conversion events). For windows of size 1000 bp centered

around the breakpoints, the mean post-filtering heterozygosity of the windows was 0.026. From

1000 simulated data sets, the mean simulated divergence near random breakpoints was much

higher (0.045), with a range of 0.037-0.051, providing a highly significant (P<0.001) difference

between heterozygosity near observed breakpoints to randomly chosen ones. Varying the

window size from 30-10^5 bp revealed that heterozygosity near LOH breakpoints was lower than

the random expectation for every case (P<0.001). This result suggests that recombination in

Cryptococcus AD hybrids is favored in broad regions of lower divergence between recombining

genomes.

We investigated the breakpoints further to understand if there were sequence characteristics

that promoted recombination and identified hotspots at two regions of the *Cryptococcus* genome

where ancestral introgression likely occurred between the two parental lineages (Kavanaugh *et*

al. 2006). The smaller of the introgression events encompasses ~12 kb of the left arm of *C.*

neoformans Chr. 10 which is found on the right arm of Chr. 13 in *C. deneoformans*. This small

region of ~5% sequence divergence harbored 3 of the 47 independent breakpoints. The

second, larger introgression event is a 40 kb region found in a sub-telomeric region of the left

arm of *C. neoformans* Chr. 5 which was apparently the parent of a non-reciprocal introgression

and translocation into *C. deneoformans*. Strikingly, 4/6 non-whole chromosome LOH events

occurring on *C. neoformans* Chr. 5 have a crossover event in this "identity island". Two of these

events are gene conversions with one end near the boundary of the island where one partial

copy of the non-LTR retrotransposon Cnl1 is present.

In *Candida albicans*, a positive relationship has been observed between mitotic recombination

and *de novo* mutation (Ene *et al.* 2018), indicative of recombination-induced mutagenesis as

observed in meiosis in yeast and other organisms (Arbel-Eden and Simchen 2019). We tested

for such a relationship by comparing the relative distance of observed mutations to crossover

locations to a simulated set of random mutations in the genome (1,000 simulations). This result

supports the overview in **Figure 7** that suggests there is no clear relationship between *de novo*

mutation and crossover events in *Cryptococcus* AD hybrids (P = 0.508).

Discussion

Mutations are the primary way in which clonal organisms evolve. However, there are additional avenues for genetic change in clonal organisms referred to as genome plasticity, which are particularly available to polyploid hybrid genomes (Albertin and Marullo 2012; Blanc-Mathieu *et al.* 2017; Samarasinghe *et al.* 2020a). In this study we investigated the sources of genetic variation in evolving hybrid strains of *Cryptococcus* continuously passaged in differing environments. Passaging led to adaptation as observed by increased fitness of the clones isolated at the end of the experiment relative to the ancestral genotype. Genome resequencing allowed an unprecedented look at lab-evolved *Cryptococcus* hybrids and revealed multiple mechanisms of genomic change. Genomic plasticity was observed as chromosome copy number amplification and LOH generated via nondisjunction, gene conversion, and crossing over. However, the location of these genome scale events is highly biased across the genome, occurring in particular chromosomal locations presumably deeply influenced by the history of the divergence of the two sibling species.

This experiment was conducted at the same time as a similar passaging experiment using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (James *et al.* 2019), and therefore the media conditions were atypical for *Cryptococcus*. Therefore, it is unsurprising that the *Cryptococcus* hybrid genotype improved significantly in fitness over the period of evolution, particularly as measured by density at carrying capacity (EOG) and in head-to-head competition with the ancestor (**Figure 1, B-C**). *Cryptococcus* AD hybrids had a lower average LOH rate (2.9 event/100 days) relative to *S. cerevisiae* (5.2 events/100 days), despite the same dilution rate on transfer and hence equivalent number of generations. Moreover, the mechanism of LOH in *Cryptococcus* AD hybrids was very different than that of *S. cerevisiae* heterozygous diploids. Specifically, LOH in *Cryptococcus* is dominated by nondisjunction of chromosomes, while LOH in *S. cerevisiae* had

gene conversion as the majority mechanism and no evidence of whole chromosome LOH. Both

fungi had LOH consistent with crossing over or BIR (**Figure 4**; Class 4), but *S. cerevisiae* had

considerably more crossing over associated with gene conversion, consistent with the classical

double strand break repair model (Symington *et al.* 2014). A major difference that may explain

this pattern is that the initial heterozygosity of the ancestral diploid genotypes of *Saccharomyces*

was 0.005-0.009 or one heterozygous position out of every 100. In our highly filtered

Cryptococcus data set, we considered 5.6e05 heterozygous positions in the AD hybrids (0.03

heterozygosity), however, it is known that *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* are 85-90%

divergent at the sequence level (Kavanaugh *et al.* 2006; Sun and Xu 2009) making differences

on the order of 10 bases for every 100.

LOH and aneuploidy was highly heterogeneous across the *Cryptococcus* genome. Whole

chromosome LOH was primarily observed on chromosomes 2, 4, and 9, and trisomy was

common for these chromosomes plus 10 and 11. Comparison of *C. neoformans* to *C.*

deneoformans has identified 32 chromosomal rearrangements (Sun and Xu 2009). Most of

these are smaller inversions or complex rearrangements, while a few of them represent large

chromosomal changes, such as multiple translocations and inversions between chromosomes 3

and 11. The rearrangement between Chr. 3 and 11 had a severe and suppressive impact on

long distance LOH both as whole chromosome loss or as crossover events, however these

chromosomes may still play a role in adaptation through chromosomal copy number changes,

and one amplification (Chr. 11 of *C. deneoformans*) was positively associated with growth in

beer wort. Other larger chromosomal rearrangements likely had additional suppressive effects

on the ability of the homologous chromosomes to undergo mitotic recombination. For example,

no crossover events were observed on the right arm of chromosome 9, which is expected due

to the large inversion between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* in this region (Sun and Xu

2009).

If sequence dissimilarity and chromosome rearrangements hinder mitotic recombination what

might stimulate it? Crossover locations were highly biased towards sub-telomeric regions, and

these are regions where there are fewer heterozygous mapped positions. It is worth pointing

out, however, that there are multiple explanations for lower heterozygosity at the telomeric

regions. One could be hemizygosity at these regions, or alternatively the enrichment of

repetitive DNA elements such as transposons in these regions (Loftus *et al.* 2005), which

remain unmapped with short read technology. It is clear that there is an association between

transposable elements and chromosomal rearrangement and introgression (Kavanaugh *et al.*

2006; Sun and Xu 2009). We found that regions of lower divergence caused by transposable

element-associated introgression were hotspots of mitotic recombination. These results allude

to a scenario in which the transposable elements both speed up and slow down the speciation

process by both increasing genome rearrangements but also increasing genetic similarity

through introgression, which should increase the ability to recombine.

While this experiment played out over a mere ~500 generations, naturally occurring hybrids

reveal evolutionary processes occurring over much longer time periods. *Cryptococcus* AD

hybrids have arisen multiple times independently and may have undergone clonal evolution for

up to 2 million years (Xu *et al.* 2002; Litvintseva *et al.* 2007). The results of our microevolution

study show many similarities to genomic analysis of the natural hybrids. For example, these

studies have observed both whole chromosome LOH and aneuploidy (Hu *et al.* 2008; Sun and

Xu 2009; Li *et al.* 2012; Rhodes *et al.* 2017). The specific chromosomes involved, however, are

different. While there are shared whole chromosome LOH events between our study and others

as in loss of the C*. deneoformans* Chr. 9 homolog or loss of the *MAT* encoding Chr. 4 (Sun and

Xu 2009; Li *et al.* 2012; Rhodes *et al.* 2017), the general pattern across strains and studies is

mostly stochastic, which contrasts with the highly deterministic pattern seen for Chr. 2 in our

experimental population. A possible explanation is that the hybridization events are

independent, and all of a different background than the lab generated one used in this study.

Many hybrids have the VNB lineage as the *C. neoformans* parent, but in our study the *C.*

neoformans parent was VNI (Litvintseva *et al.* 2007; Li *et al.* 2012). Another possibility is that

the LOH events observed are both stochastic yet contingent on existing genotype. Specifically,

our ancestral genotype lost the right 260 kb of the *C. neoformans* Chr. 2 before passaging. This

event may have set up a scenario where further loss of the entire *C. neoformans* Chr. 2 was

favored, perhaps due to genetic incompatibilities between genes on this chromosome.

Genomic plasticity via LOH and aneuploidy are predicted to be adaptive, though this must be

contrasted with a more neutral model. In the case of drug resistance in AD hybrids aneuploidy

and increased copy number is clearly selected for through amplification of resistance genes (Li

et al. 2012; Rhodes *et al.* 2017; Dong *et al.* 2020). Aneuploidy may merely be a byproduct of the

fact that chromosomal loss or duplication is the fastest means of achieving copy number change

or homozygosity of a single gene. That selection was responsible for the rapid increase in

homozygosity of Chr. 2 to the *C. deneoformans* homolog is supported by the fitness values of

strains without homozygosity of the Chr. 2 *C. deneoformans* homolog. These data are also

consistent with the strong bias towards the *C. deneoformans* parent of Chr. 2 in a large sample

of sexually recombined progeny from an AD hybrid of similar genetic background (Sun and Xu

2007), hinting that there may exist incompatibilities between genes from the two species on this

chromosome as predicted by the Dobzhansky-Muller model. Such intra- and inter-chromosomal

incompatibilities have been detected in a previous study of AD hybrids, suggesting that this a

plausible explanation (Vogan and Xu 2014). Alternatively, rather than epistatic interactions,

dosage or RNA/protein titer could differ between products encoded by the two parental

homologs, and this could provide opportunities for selection to act. For example, if there are

genes not present on Chr. 2 of *C. neoformans* that exist in *C. deneoformans* or if the expression

level of the *C. deneoformans* genes on Chr. 2 is more optimal, this could lead to selection

favoring loss of the *C. neoformans* homolog. As Chr. 2 is the chromosome containing the

ribosomal RNA (rRNA) array, we might hypothesize that either copy number or expression of

these genes could be involved in rapid whole chromosome LOH.

Overall, the contrasting results in LOH rate and mechanism between *Cryptococcus* hybrids and

Saccharomyces diploids (James *et al.* 2019; Sui *et al.* 2020) suggest that LOH in *Cryptococcus*

hybrids is comprised largely of whole chromosome events in part because of the inability for

Cryptococcus AD hybrids to undergo recombination. This evidence comes from the association

between crossover breakpoints and regions of lower heterozygosity, such as identity islands

shaped by introgression, an observation consistent with literature showing mitotic and meiotic

recombination is reduced in species with higher heterozygosity (Opperman *et al.* 2004;

Seplyarskiy *et al.* 2014; Hum and Jinks-Robertson 2019; Tattini *et al.* 2019). Hybrid linkage

maps also support suppression of recombination in *Cryptococcus* AD hybrids (Sun and Xu

2007; Vogan *et al.* 2013). In contrast, intraspecific linkage maps constructed using *C.*

deneoformans showed results comparable to *S. cerevisiae* (~5 kb/cM) (Cherry *et al.* 1997;

Forche *et al.* 2000; Sun *et al.* 2014; Roth *et al.* 2018), revealing that homologous recombination

is not generally inhibited in the genus. Rates of mitotic recombination within *Cryptococcus*

intraspecific crosses have not been measured. In our study design, we also evolved *C.*

deneoformans diploids that were completely homozygous. These homozygous diploid

populations showed a similar rapid increase in fitness as evolved *Cryptococcus* hybrid clones,

and future genome sequencing could resolve whether most *de novo* mutations remain

heterozygous as observed in hybrid clones or may have undergone LOH at a higher rate.

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible by grants from the National Institutes of Health, National Institute

of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (5R21AI105167-02, R37 AI39115-24, and R01 AI50113-16).

J.H. is co-director and he and T.Y.J. are fellows of CIFAR program Fungal Kingdom: Threats &

Opportunities. We thank Emmi Mueller and Ellen James for technical lab support. We thank

Marco Coelho for providing the hybrid reference genome and the schematics of the

Cryptococcus chromosomes.

Literature Cited

Albertin W., and P. Marullo, 2012 Polyploidy in fungi: evolution after whole-genome duplication.

615   Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 279: 2497–2509.

616   https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.0434

617   Arbel-Eden A., and G. Simchen, 2019 Elevated mutagenicity in meiosis and its mechanism.

618   BioEssays 41: 1800235. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201800235

619   Auguié B., 2017 gridExtra: Miscellaneous Functions for "Grid" Graphics. R package version 2.3.

620   https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gridExtra.

621   Beekman C. N., and I. V. Ene, 2020 Short-term evolution strategies for host adaptation and

622   drug escape in human fungal pathogens. PLOS Pathogens 16: e1008519.

623   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008519

624   Bennett R. J., A. Forche, and J. Berman, 2014 Rapid mechanisms for generating genome

625   diversity: whole ploidy shifts, aneuploidy, and loss of heterozygosity. Cold Spring Harb

626   Perspect Med 4: a019604. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a019604

627   Blanc-Mathieu R., L. Perfus-Barbeoch, J.-M. Aury, M. Da Rocha, J. Gouzy, *et al.*, 2017

628   Hybridization and polyploidy enable genomic plasticity without sex in the most

629   devastating plant-parasitic nematodes. PLoS Genet 13: e1006777.

630   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006777

Bolger A. M., M. Lohse, and B. Usadel, 2014 Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics 30: 2114–2120.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Cherry J. M., C. Ball, S. Weng, G. Juvik, R. Schmidt, *et al.*, 1997 Genetic and physical maps of

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature 387: 67–73.

Cingolani P., A. Platts, L. L. Wang, M. Coon, T. Nguyen, *et al.*, 2012 A program for annotating

and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the

genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w(1118); iso-2; iso-3. Fly 6: 80–92.

https://doi.org/10.4161/fly.19695

Cogliati M., 2013 Global molecular epidemiology of Cryptococcus neoformans and

Cryptococcus gattii : An atlas of the molecular types. Scientifica 2013: 1–23.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/675213

Comeault A. A., and D. R. Matute, 2018 Genetic divergence and the number of hybridizing

species affect the path to homoploid hybrid speciation. PNAS 115: 9761–9766.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809685115

Datta A., M. Hendrix, M. Lipsitch, and S. Jinks-Robertson, 1997 Dual roles for DNA sequence

identity and the mismatch repair system in the regulation of mitotic crossing-over

in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 9757–9762.

Dittrich-Reed D. R., and B. M. Fitzpatrick, 2013 Transgressive Hybrids as Hopeful Monsters.

Evol Biol 40: 310–315. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11692-012-9209-0

Dong K., M. You, and J. Xu, 2020 Genetic changes in experimental populations of a hybrid in

the Cryptococcus neoformans species complex. Pathogens 9: 3.

https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9010003

Ene L. V., R. A. Farrer, M. P. Hirakawa, K. Agwamba, C. A. Cuomo, *et al.*, 2018 Global analysis

of mutations driving microevolution of a heterozygous diploid fungal pathogen. Proc.

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115: E8688–E8697. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1806002115

Forche A., J. Xu, R. Vilgalys, and T. G. Mitchell, 2000 Development and characterization of a

genetic linkage map of Cryptococcus neoformans var. neoformans using amplified

fragment length polymorphisms and other markers. Fungal Genet Biol 31: 189–203.

https://doi.org/10.1006/fgbi.2000.1240

Gabriel W., M. Lynch, and R. Bürger, 1993 Muller's ratchet and mutational meltdowns. Evolution

47: 1744–1757. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1993.tb01266.x

Geissmann Q., 2013 OpenCFU, a new free and open-source software to count cell colonies and

other circular objects. PLOS ONE 8: e54072.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054072

Gostinčar C., J. E. Stajich, J. Zupančič, P. Zalar, and N. Gunde-Cimerman, 2018 Genomic

evidence for intraspecific hybridization in a clonal and extremely halotolerant yeast. BMC

Genomics 19: 364. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4751-5

Hu G. G., I. Liu, A. Sham, J. E. Stajich, F. S. Dietrich, *et al.*, 2008 Comparative hybridization

reveals extensive genome variation in the AIDS-associated pathogen Cryptococcus

neoformans. Genome Biol. 9: 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-2-r41

Hum Y. F., and S. Jinks-Robertson, 2019 Mismatch recognition and subsequent processing

have distinct effects on mitotic recombination intermediates and outcomes in yeast.

Nucleic Acids Research 47: 4554–4568. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz126

James T. Y., L. A. Michelotti, A. D. Glasco, R. A. Clemons, R. A. Powers, *et al.*, 2019

Adaptation by Loss of Heterozygosity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae Clones Under

Divergent Selection. Genetics 213: 665–683.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.119.302411

Janbon G., K. L. Ormerod, D. Paulet, E. J. Byrnes, V. Yadav, *et al.*, 2014 Analysis of the

genome and transcriptome of Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii reveals complex

RNA expression and microevolution leading to virulence attenuation. PLoS Genet 10:

e1004261. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004261

Kavanaugh L. A., J. A. Fraser, and F. S. Dietrich, 2006 Recent evolution of the human pathogen

Cryptococcus neoformans by intervarietal transfer of a 14-gene fragment. Mol. Biol.

Evol. 23: 1879–1890. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msl070

Lengeler K. B., G. M. Cox, and J. Heitman, 2001 Serotype AD strains of Cryptococcus

neoformans are diploid or aneuploid and are heterozygous at the mating-type locus.

Infect. Immun. 69: 115–122.

Li H., and R. Durbin, 2009 Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. Bioinformatics 25: 1754–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

Li H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, *et al.*, 2009 The Sequence

Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25: 2078–2079.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Li W. J., A. F. Averette, M. Desnos-Ollivier, M. Ni, F. Dromer, *et al.*, 2012 Genetic diversity and

genomic plasticity of Cryptococcus neoformans AD hybrid strains. G3-Genes Genomes

Genetics 2: 83–97. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.111.001255

Lin X. R., K. Nielsen, S. Patel, and J. Heitman, 2008 Impact of mating type, serotype, and ploidy

on the virulence of Cryptococcus neoformans. Infect. Immun. 76: 2923–2938.

https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00168-08

Litvintseva A. P., X. Lin, I. Templeton, J. Heitman, and T. G. Mitchell, 2007 Many globally

isolated AD hybrid strains of Cryptococcus neoformans originated in Africa. PLoS

Pathog. 3: 1109–1117. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0030114

Loftus B. J., E. Fung, P. Roncaglia, D. Rowley, P. Amedeo, *et al.*, 2005 The genome of the

basidiomycetous yeast and human pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans. Science 307:

1321–1324. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1103773

Mallet J., 2007 Hybrid speciation. Nature 446: 279–283. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05706

Marcet-Houben M., and T. Gabaldón, 2015 Beyond the whole-genome duplication:

Phylogenetic evidence for an ancient interspecies hybridization in the baker's yeast

lineage. PLOS Biology 13: e1002220. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002220

McKenna A., M. Hanna, E. Banks, A. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis, *et al.*, 2010 The Genome

Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA

sequencing data. Genome Res. 20: 1297–1303. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.107524.110

Mixão V., and T. Gabaldón, 2020 Genomic evidence for a hybrid origin of the yeast

opportunistic pathogen Candida albicans. BMC Biology 18: 48.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-00776-6

Opperman R., E. Emmanuel, and A. A. Levy, 2004 The effect of sequence divergence on

recombination between direct repeats in Arabidopsis. Genetics 168: 2207–2215.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.032896

Orr H. A., and M. Turelli, 2001 The evolution of postzygotic isolation: accumulating Dobzhansky-

Muller incompatibilities. Evolution 55: 1085–1094. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-

3820.2001.tb00628.x

Park H.-S., E. W. L. Chow, C. Fu, E. J. Soderblom, M. A. Moseley, *et al.*, 2016 Calcineurin

targets involved in stress survival and fungal virulence. PLOS Pathogens 12: e1005873.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005873

Phadke S. S., C. J. Maclean, S. Y. Zhao, E. A. Mueller, L. A. Michelotti, *et al.*, 2018 Genome-

wide screen for Saccharomyces cerevisiae genes contributing to opportunistic

pathogenicity in an invertebrate model host. G3-Genes Genomes Genetics 8: 63–78.

https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.300245

Priest S. J., M. A. Coelho, V. Mixão, S. A. Clancey, Y. Xu, *et al.*, 2021 Factors enforcing the

species boundary between the human pathogens Cryptococcus neoformans and

Cryptococcus deneoformans. PLoS Genet 17: e1008871.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008871

Pryszcz L. P., T. Németh, E. Saus, E. Ksiezopolska, E. Hegedüsová, *et al.*, 2015 The genomic

aftermath of hybridization in the opportunistic pathogen Candida metapsilosis. Plos

Genetics 11: e1005626. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005626

Quinlan A. R., and I. M. Hall, 2010 BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. Bioinformatics 26: 841–842. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033

R_Core_Team, 2019 *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: http://www.R-project.org/.

Rhodes J., C. A. Desjardins, S. M. Sykes, M. A. Beale, M. Vanhove, *et al.*, 2017 Tracing genetic

exchange and biogeography of Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii at the global

population level. Genetics 207: 327–346. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.203836

Rieseberg L. H., M. A. Archer, and R. K. Wayne, 1999 Transgressive segregation, adaptation

and speciation. Heredity 83: 363–372. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6886170

Rieseberg L. H., 2001 Polyploid evolution: Keeping the peace at genomic reunions. Current

Biology 11: R925–R928. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00556-5

Roth C., S. Sun, R. B. Billmyre, J. Heitman, and P. M. Magwene, 2018 A high-resolution map of

meiotic recombination in Cryptococcus deneoformans demonstrates decreased

recombination in unisexual reproduction. Genetics 209: 567–578.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.300996

Saikkonen K., C. A. Young, M. Helander, and C. L. Schardl, 2016 Endophytic Epichloë species

and their grass hosts: from evolution to applications. Plant Mol. Biol. 90: 665–675.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-015-0399-6

Samarasinghe H., and J. Xu, 2018 Hybrids and hybridization in the Cryptococcus neoformans

and Cryptococcus gattii species complexes. Infect. Genet. Evol. 66: 245–255.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.10.011

Samarasinghe H., M. You, T. S. Jenkinson, J. Xu, and T. Y. James, 2020a Hybridization

facilitates adaptive evolution in two major fungal pathogens. Genes (Basel) 11: 101.

https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11010101

Samarasinghe H., A. Vogan, N. Pum, and J. Xu, 2020b Patterns of allele distribution in a hybrid

population of the Cryptococcus neoformans species complex. Mycoses 63: 275–283.

https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.13040

Schardl C. L., and K. D. Craven, 2003 Interspecific hybridization in plant-associated fungi and

oomycetes: a review. Mol. Ecol. 12: 2861–2873. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-

294X.2003.01965.x

Schröder M. S., K. M. de S. Vicente, T. H. R. Prandini, S. Hammel, D. G. Higgins, *et al.*, 2016

Multiple origins of the pathogenic yeast Candida orthopsilosis by separate hybridizations

between two parental species. PLOS Genetics 12: e1006404.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006404

Seplyarskiy V. B., M. D. Logacheva, A. A. Penin, M. A. Baranova, E. V. Leushkin, *et al.*, 2014

Crossing-over in a hypervariable species preferentially occurs in regions of high local

smilarity. Molecular Biology and Evolution 31: 3016–3025.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu242

Shapira R., T. Levy, S. Shaked, E. Fridman, and L. David, 2014 Extensive heterosis in growth of

yeast hybrids is explained by a combination of genetic models. Heredity 113: 316–326.

https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2014.33

St Charles J., E. Hazkani-Covo, Y. Yin, S. L. Andersen, F. S. Dietrich, *et al.*, 2012 High-

resolution genome-wide analysis of irradiated (UV and gamma-rays) diploid yeast cells

reveals a high frequency of genomic loss of heterozygosity (LOH) events. Genetics 190:

1267–1284. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.137927

Steenwyk J. L., A. L. Lind, L. N. A. Ries, T. F. dos Reis, L. P. Silva, *et al.*, 2020 Pathogenic

allodiploid hybrids of Aspergillus fungi. Current Biology 30: 2495-2507.e7.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.04.071

Stone N. R. H., J. Rhodes, M. C. Fisher, S. Mfinanga, S. Kivuyo, *et al.*, 2019 Dynamic ploidy

changes drive fluconazole resistance in human cryptococcal meningitis. J Clin Invest

129: 999–1014. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI124516

Stukenbrock E. H., 2016 The role of hybridization in the evolution and emergence of new fungal

plant pathogens. Phytopathology 106: 104–112. https://doi.org/10.1094/phyto-08-15-

0184-rvw

Sui Y., L. Qi, J.-K. Wu, X.-P. Wen, X.-X. Tang, *et al.*, 2020 Genome-wide mapping of

spontaneous genetic alterations in diploid yeast cells. PNAS 117: 28191–28200.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2018633117

Sun S., and J. P. Xu, 2007 Genetic analyses of a hybrid cross between serotypes A and D

strains of the human pathogenic fungus Cryptococcus neoformans. Genetics 177: 1475–

1486. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.078923

Sun S., and J. Xu, 2009 Chromosomal rearrangements between serotype A and D strains in

Cryptococcus neoformans. PLoS ONE 4: e5524.

Sun S., R. B. Billmyre, P. A. Mieczkowski, and J. Heitman, 2014 Unisexual reproduction drives

meiotic recombination and phenotypic and karyotypic plasticity in Cryptococcus

neoformans. PLOS Genetics 10: e1004849.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004849

Symington L. S., R. Rothstein, and M. Lisby, 2014 Mechanisms and regulation of mitotic

recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 198: 795–835.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.166140

Tattini L., N. Tellini, S. Mozzachiodi, M. D'Angiolo, S. Loeillet, *et al.*, 2019 Accurate tracking of

the mutational landscape of diploid hybrid genomes. Molecular Biology and Evolution 36:

2861–2877. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz177

Treangen T. J., B. D. Ondov, S. Koren, and A. M. Phillippy, 2014 The Harvest suite for rapid

core-genome alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial

genomes. Genome Biology 15: 524. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0524-x

Vogan A. A., J. Khankhet, and J. Xu, 2013 Evidence for mitotic recombination within the basidia

of a hybrid cross of Cryptococcus neoformans. PLoS ONE 8: e62790.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062790

Vogan A. A., and J. Xu, 2014 Evidence for genetic incompatibilities associated with post-zygotic

reproductive isolation in the human fungal pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans. Genome

57: 335–344. https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2014-0077

Vogan A. A., J. Khankhet, H. Samarasinghe, and J. P. Xu, 2016 Identification of QTLs

associated with virulence related traits and drug resistance in Cryptococcus neoformans.

G3-Genes Genomes Genet. 6: 2745–2759. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.029595

Wickham H., 2009 *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Xu J., A. R. Ramos, R. Vilgalys, and T. G. Mitchell, 2000a Clonal and spontaneous origins of

fluconazole resistance in Candida albicans. J Clin Microbiol 38: 1214–1220.

https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.38.3.1214-1220.2000

Xu J., R. Vilgalys, and T. G. Mitchell, 2000b Multiple gene genealogies reveal recent dispersion

and hybridization in the human pathogenic fungus Cryptococcus neoformans. Mol. Ecol.

9: 1471–1481. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01021.x

Xu J. P., G. Z. Luo, R. J. Vilgalys, M. E. Brandt, and T. G. Mitchell, 2002 Multiple origins of

hybrid strains of Cryptococcus neoformans with serotype AD. Microbiology-(UK) 148:

203–212.

Yu G., L.-G. Wang, Y. Han, and Q.-Y. He, 2012 clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing

biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS 16: 284–287.

https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118

<u>Figure legends</u>

Figure 1. Clones evolved in *in vitro* conditions mostly showed fitness gains regardless of means

of estimation. Shown are values of relative fitness comparing a clone grown in the same media

in which evolution occurred relative to the fitness of the ancestral genotype SSD-719 (dashed

line). A) Fitness measured using doubling time. B) Fitness measured using EOG = Efficiency of

Growth (i.e., carrying capacity). C) Fitness measured using relative competitive growth in the

presence of a marked ancestral strain. Each dot represents an individual clone. Boxes indicate

the 25-75% percentiles, bars indicate medians, and the whiskers extend to 1.5 x the

interquartile range. Cana=minimal media with a sublethal concentration of canavanine (2 mg/L)

plus arginine (4 mg/L), and NaCl=complete media with 1.0 M NaCl. Wine strains were not

tested by growth curve analysis due to opacity of the medium which prevented accurate

readings by spectrophotometry.

Figure 2. Strains passaged in larvae showed overall greater fitness than the ancestor as

measured by recovery after 2 days growth post-coinjection with a common competitor strain

(SSE-761). Three replicate experiments were conducted for each strain, and values indicate

mean (+1 sd). All values are shown relative to the fitness of SSD-719 (ancestor) which was set

to 1.0. Post-Hoc comparisons of the fitness between each evolved strain and the ancestor by

Fisher's Least Significant Difference test found only the difference between SSD-719 and

P5W10 to be significant (P=0.0039). P4W10 and P6W10 were significantly different from SSD-

719 to a lesser degree (P=0.06 and P=0.07, respectively).

Figure 3. Landscape of LOH across the 14 chromosomes of the evolved clones. Shown are

genotypes at 10 kb resolution of the strains, with media type shown on the left margin and strain

name on the right margin. The ancestral genotype of the *in vivo* (larval) passaging was different

from the *in vitro* (other media types), because the experiments began at different times. The

reference genome coordinates are from the *C. neoformans* parent. White regions represent

unmapped, typically repetitive regions of the genome, or regions of the genome that had

undergone LOH in the ancestral diploid strains. In particular, ~260 kb of the right arm of Chr. 2

underwent an LOH event in the ancestor before passaging.

Figure 4. Summary of detected LOH mechanisms across the 35 evolved hybrid clones. (A) A

depiction of proposed LOH mechanisms according to schema defined by St. Charles (St

Charles *et al.* 2012), and (B) Tallied numbers of events observed among the hybrid clones.

Figure 5. Mapping of reads to a hybrid reference genome of strains evolved in canavanine

reveals trisomies and whole chromosome LOH. Bars show mean depth in 5 kb intervals that

have been normalized by dividing the depth of each interval by the mean coverage for each

strain. For each strain the top panel shows mapping to the *C. neoformans* reference genome,

and the bottom panel shows mapping to the *C. deneoformans* reference genome. Diagrams of

homeologous chromosomes are shown above each reference genome where colors reflect

homology and black stripes indicate centromeres. *C. deneoformans* chromosomes were

rearranged to better reflect homology, and asterisks indicate chromosomes that have been

reverse-complemented. Black arrows in strain CC-100-1 indicate loss of the *C. neoformans* Chr.

4 copy and gain of the homeologous *C. deneoformans* Chr. 12 copy, likely indicating LOH

ongoing during the growth of the strain for DNA extraction. Red arrow in CC-100-5 indicates a

region of the left arm of *C. deneoformans* Chr. 13 that had undergone apparent deletion.

Figure 6. Chromosome composition of the 35 evolved clones and the ancestors reveals at least

one trisomy in most strains. Partial aneuploidies are indicated as partial chromosomes that are

not shown to scale. Shaded boxes indicate partial or complete aneuploidy for *C. neoformans*

(red) or *C. deneoformans* (blue) homeologues. Recombined chromosomes are not indicated

with the exception of a few chromosomes with larger exchanges. Footnotes indicate strains

which had evidence for mixed genotype composition; all notes refer to *C. neoformans*

chromosome numbers. 1. SSD719-in vivo and P6W10 showed a pattern consistent with a

mixed population of trisomies for *C. neoformans* or *C. deneoformans* Chr. 6. 2. Strain CW-100-4

has a pattern consistent with either an equal mixture of two strains with different aneuploidies or

a strain that is largely tetraploid. 3. Strain CN-100-2 has a pattern consistent with *C. neoformans*

Chr. 12 apparently present in small subpopulation. 4. CC-100-1 has a pattern of apparent active

loss of *C. neoformans* Chr. 4 and duplication of the corresponding homeologue *C.*

deneoformans Chr. 12 (arrow in **Figure 5**). For additional details on the mapping see depth of

coverage plots (**Figure 5**; **Suppl. Figures 1-6**).

Figure 7. Locations of mitotic recombination breakpoints are non-random across the genome.

Blue dots indicate the average heterozygosity in 10 kb windows between *C. neoformans* and *C.*

deneoformans parental genomes that passed our filtering strategies. Green boxes indicate

locations of LOH events, and when accompanied by dark grey bars they indicate longer LOH

tracts.

Chromosome Position (Mb)

C. neoformans
C. deneoformans

Suppl. Table 1. Markers used for screening mating products for heterozygosity. Chromosome numbers and positions are relative to the H99 genome.

Marker	Chromosome	Position	Forward Primer (5' - 3')	Reverse Primer (5' - 3')
Chrom01_Region5	1	128800-131200	GCACATGAAGAAATATGACCG	GCACATTCGCCAATCCTATC
Chrom01_Region8	1	389600-391200	AATGAGACGAATACGGTCGG	AGCTCTCTTTCACTGACACGA
Chrom02_Region1	2	88000-89600	GCACAGGCCAGTAAAGGAGG	GAATCTGAGAAGGCTGAGGCTA
Chrom02_Region5	2	1228800-1231200	GCTGCTGCATTTGCTGAG	TGAAATGAACGAACTAGTACGG
Chrom07_Region1	7	56800-59200	CGCCCTCTTGCTGAAGTTG	TTTCTCTTGTGCTTCAAATGC
Chrom07_Region5	7	512800-514400	GAAATGGTGCGAGAATAGGC	CATCTGCACTTCGAACGAC
Chrom10_Region7	10	967200-968000	ATTTTCAGGTATCCCCATGC	CATTGGTAAACTCGCCTCTG
Chrom12_Region1	12	87200-88800	GGAACGAGATGGAAATCGG	GTCTCACTGCCAGTAAAGTCAG
Chrom12_Region8	12	721600-724000	GCCATTTGGACTTTGGGTG	TGACTCTTGTGGAGCTTAACG
Chrom13_Region1	13	108000-108800	CGCCTTTGATTGCTGATGAC	GGGATAGCTCTTGGCAATGC
Chrom13_Region4	13	693600-695200	GAAGCCATCTTGCTGAAGTG	TTCGGGAGGGTGACTCTC
STE20_neo_MATalpha	5	247512-247841	GGCTGCAATCACAGCACCTTAC	CTTCATGACATCACTCCCCTAT
STE20_deneo_MATa	5	n.a.	CACATCTCAGATGCCATTTTACCA	AGCTCTAAGTCATATGGGTTATAT

Suppl. Table 3. Heterozygosity across chromosomes is not correlated with frequency of

crossover events or nondisjunction (NDJ). Data are relative to *C. neoformans* H99 genome.

Only one breakpoint for each gene conversion is considered.

Chr	Size	Num SNPs	SNP rate	Num. breaks	NDJ
1	2291499	72959	0.0318	1	1
2	1621675	39814	0.0246	1	29
3	1575141	50117	0.0318	5	6
4	1084805	30247	0.0279	2	0
5	1814975	54199	0.0299	6	0
6	1422463	45598	0.0321	3	0
7	1399503	42668	0.0305	7	0
8	1398693	42582	0.0304	1	0
9	1186808	37586	0.0317	1	12
10	1059964	29439	0.0278	5	1
11	1561994	47038	0.0301	4	0
12	774062	20441	0.0264	4	3
13	756744	20401	0.0270	3	1
14	926563	27207	0.0294	4	0

Suppl. Figure 1. Mapping of reads to a hybrid reference genome of strains evolved in beer wort. Bars show mean depth in 5 kb intervals that have been normalized by dividing the depth of each interval by the mean coverage for each strain. For each strain the top panel shows mapping to the *C. neoformans* reference genome, and the bottom panel shows mapping to the *C. deneoformans* reference genome. Diagrams of homeologous chromosomes are shown above each reference genome where colors reflect homology and black stripes indicate centromeres. *C. deneoformans* chromosomes were rearranged to better reflect homology, and asterisks indicate chromosomes that have been reverse-complemented.

Suppl. Figure 2. Mapping of reads to a hybrid reference genome of strains evolved in high salt. Bars show mean depth in 5 kb intervals that have been normalized by dividing the depth of each interval by the mean coverage for each strain. For each strain the top panel shows mapping to the *C. neoformans* reference genome, and the bottom panel shows mapping to the *C. deneoformans* reference genome. Diagrams of homeologous chromosomes are shown above each reference genome where colors reflect homology and black stripes indicate centromeres. *C. deneoformans* chromosomes were rearranged to better reflect homology, and asterisks indicate chromosomes that have been reverse-complemented.

Suppl. Figure 3. Mapping of reads to a hybrid reference genome of strains evolved in wine must. Bars show mean depth in 5 kb intervals that have been normalized by dividing the depth of each interval by the mean coverage for each strain. For each strain the top panel shows mapping to the *C. neoformans* reference genome, and the bottom panel shows mapping to the *C. deneoformans* reference genome. Diagrams of homeologous chromosomes are shown above each reference genome where colors reflect homology and black stripes indicate centromeres. *C. deneoformans* chromosomes were rearranged to better reflect homology, and asterisks indicate chromosomes that have been reverse-complemented.

Suppl. Figure 4. Mapping of reads to a hybrid reference genome of strains evolved in complete media (YPD). Bars show mean depth in 5 kb intervals that have been normalized by dividing the depth of each interval by the mean coverage for each strain. For each strain the top panel shows mapping to the *C. neoformans* reference genome, and the bottom panel shows mapping to the *C. deneoformans* reference genome. Diagrams of homeologous chromosomes are shown above each reference genome where colors reflect homology and black stripes indicate centromeres. *C. deneoformans* chromosomes were rearranged to better reflect homology, and asterisks indicate chromosomes that have been reverse-complemented.

Suppl. Figure 5. Mapping of reads to a hybrid reference genome of strains evolved in larvae. Bars show mean depth in 5 kb intervals that have been normalized by dividing the depth of each interval by the mean coverage for each strain. For each strain the top panel shows mapping to the *C. neoformans* reference genome, and the bottom panel shows mapping to the *C. deneoformans* reference genome. Diagrams of homeologous chromosomes are shown above each reference genome where colors reflect homology and black stripes indicate centromeres. *C. deneoformans* chromosomes were rearranged to better reflect homology, and asterisks indicate chromosomes that have been reverse-complemented.

Suppl. Figure 6. Mapping of reads to a hybrid reference genome of ancestral strains. Strains YSB121 and EM3 are haploid *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* parents, respectively. Strain SSD719-in vitro was used to initiate the in vitro evolution populations and strain SSD719-in vivo was used to initiate the passages in larvae. Bars show mean depth in 5 kb intervals that have been normalized by dividing the depth of each interval by the mean coverage for each strain. For each strain the top panel shows mapping to the *C. neoformans* reference genome, and the bottom panel shows mapping to the *C. deneoformans* reference genome. Diagrams of homeologous chromosomes are shown above each reference genome where colors reflect homology and black stripes indicate centromeres. *C. deneoformans* chromosomes were rearranged to better reflect homology, and asterisks indicate chromosomes that have been reverse-complemented.