Lack of *Tgfbr1* and *Acvr1b* synergistically stimulates myofibre hypertrophy and accelerates muscle regeneration

M.M.G. Hillege[1]*, A. Shi[1,2,3]*, R.A. Galli[1], G. Wu[2,4], P. Bertolino[5], W.M.H. Hoogaars[1,6], R.T. Jaspers[1#]

1. Laboratory for Myology, Department of Human Movement Sciences, Faculty of Behavioural and Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

2. Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery/Pathology, Amsterdam UMC and Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (VU), Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

3. Department of Prosthodontics, Affiliated Stomatology Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangdong Engineering Research Center of Oral Restoration and Reconstruction, Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Basic and Applied Research of Oral Regenerative Medicine, Guangzhou, China

4. Department of Oral Cell Biology, Academic Centre for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

5. Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon, UMR INSERM U1052/CNRS 5286, Université de Lyon, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France

6. European Research Institute for the Biology of Ageing (ERIBA), University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG), University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

*Contributed equally to this manuscript

[#]Correspondence: r.t.jaspers@vu.nl; Tel.: +31 (0) 205988463

Abstract

In skeletal muscle, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) family growth factors, TGF-β1 and

myostatin, are involved in atrophy and muscle wasting disorders. Simultaneous interference

with their signalling pathways may improve muscle function, however little is known about

their individual and combined receptor signalling. Here we show that inhibition of TGF-β

signalling by simultaneous muscle-specific knockout of TGF-β type I receptors *Tgfbr1* and

Acvr1b simultaneously, induces substantial hypertrophy, while such effect does not occur by

single receptor knockout. Hypertrophy is induced by increased phosphorylation of Akt and

p70S6K and reduced E3 ligases expression, while myonuclear number remains unaltered.

Combined knockout of both TGF-β type I receptors increases the number of satellite cells,

macrophages and improves regeneration post cardiotoxin-induced injury by stimulating

myogenic differentiation. Extra cellular matrix gene expression is exclusively elevated in

muscle with combined receptor knockout. *Tgfbr1* and *Acvr1b* are synergistically involved in

regulation of myofibre size, regeneration and collagen deposition.

Key words: TGF-β; Myostatin; Type I receptor; Cardiotoxin; Injury; Inflammation; Fibrosis;

Hypertrophy; Skeletal muscle

Introduction

Muscle wasting disorders, such as muscular dystrophies, cancer cachexia and sarcopenia are characterised by reduced muscle mass, impaired regeneration and fibrosis, which results in progressive muscle weakness. The transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) superfamily members TGF-β1, myostatin and activin A are involved in various processes within muscle tissue and overexpression of these proteins contributes to muscle wasting pathologies (Bernasconi et al., 1995; Carlson, Hsu, & Conboy, 2008; J. L. Chen et al., 2014; Costelli et al., 2008; Leger, Derave, De Bock, Hespel, & Russell, 2008; Tanaka, Miyazaki, Takeda, & Takeo, 1993).

TGF-β signalling negatively affects muscle growth by both affecting satellite cells (SCs) and myofibres. TGF-β1, myostatin and activin A inhibit myoblast differentiation (Langley et al., 2002; Liu, Black, & Derynck, 2001; Trendelenburg, Meyer, Jacobi, Feige, & Glass, 2012). Inhibition of myostatin and activin A synergistically results in muscle hypertrophy (Amirouche et al., 2009; J. L. Chen et al., 2017; J. L. Chen et al., 2014; Latres et al., 2017; McFarlane et al., 2006; Zimmers et al., 2002). These effects are at least partly independent of SCs (Lee et al., 2012). In addition, TGF-β1 overexpression *in vivo* may also cause muscle atrophy (Mendias et al., 2012; Narola, Pandey, Glick, & Chen, 2013).

Transient TGF-β1 expression may play an essential role during muscle regeneration. TGF-β1 is expressed by inflammatory cells, such as macrophages, monocytes and neutrophils as well as by fibroblasts after acute injury (Assoian et al., 1987; Grotendorst, Smale, & Pencev, 1989; Lawrence, Pircher, Kryceve-Martinerie, & Jullien, 1984; Zimowska et al., 2009). During muscle regeneration, TGF-β1 is involved in the regulation of the immune response and plays an important role in rebuilding extracellular matrix (ECM) (Gillies & Lieber, 2011; Kehrl et al., 1986; Reibman et al., 1991; Tsunawaki, Sporn, Ding, & Nathan, 1988; Wahl et al., 1987; Wiseman, Polverini, Kamp, & Leibovich, 1988). However, chronic increased expression of TGF-β is known to contribute to muscle fibrosis (Y. Li et al., 2004). Furthermore, myostatin

and activin A have also been suggested to induce substantial skeletal muscle fibrosis (J. L. Chen

et al., 2014; Z. B. Li, Kollias, & Wagner, 2008). Thus, inhibition of TGF-β signalling in the

myofibre may substantially reduce connective tissue deposition.

In animal models, such as murine X-linked muscular dystrophy (mdx) mice, a Duchenne

muscular dystrophy (DMD) mouse model, cancer cachexia mouse models or aged mice,

inhibiting signalling of one or more of these ligands had beneficial effects, such as reduction in

fibrosis and maintenance of muscle mass (Andreetta et al., 2006; J. L. Chen et al., 2017; Greco

et al., 2015; Latres et al., 2015; K. T. Murphy et al., 2011). Lack of either TGF-β or myostatin

has been suggested to improve regeneration after acute injury (Accornero et al., 2014;

McCroskery et al., 2005). Therefore, inhibiting these growth factors may be a promising

therapeutic strategy to alleviate muscle wasting pathologies.

However, interference with signalling of TGF-β family members may be complicated.

TGF-β family members regulate various cellular processes throughout the body, thus systemic

inhibition of these growth factors may have severe consequences. Furthermore, due to overlap

in function of these ligands, inhibition of a single ligand is likely not effective.

Simultaneous inhibition of TGF-β1, myostatin and activin A through interference with

their downstream receptors may be an effective approach. The TGF-β family consists of at least

33 cytokines that can roughly be divided into the TGF-β/myostatin/activins subgroup and the

growth differentiation factor/bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) group that often have

opposing effects. These cytokines regulate gene expression via specific binding to distinct type

II and type I receptors. TGF-β1 mainly signals via the type II receptor, TGF-β receptor type-2

(TGFBR2), and via the type I receptor, TGF-β receptor type-1 (TGFBR1 or ALK5). Myostatin

signals via the type II receptor, activin receptor type-2B (ACVR2B), and activin A signals via

type II receptors, activin receptor type-2A (ACVR2A) and ACVR2B. Activin A signals via

type I receptor, activin receptor type-1B (ACVR1B or ALK4). Myostatin has been shown to

signal via both TGFBR1 and ACVR1B in various cell types (Kemaladewi et al., 2012;

Rebbapragada, Benchabane, Wrana, Celeste, & Attisano, 2003; ten Dijke et al., 1994).

Interference with myostatin/activin A signalling by blocking their type II receptors

ACVR2A/B may not be an appropriate strategy, since these receptors are also involved in BMP

signalling, which stimulates muscle hypertrophy whereas myostatin/activin A signalling is

associated with atrophy (Sartori, Gregorevic, & Sandri, 2014; Tsuchida, Nakatani, Uezumi,

Murakami, & Cui, 2008). Moreover, interference with signalling via these receptors may cause

severe side effects, such as nose and gum bleeds, as has been shown in in DMD boys treated

with soluble ACVR2B (Campbell et al., 2017). Inhibition of type I receptors TGFBR1 and

ACVR1B may provide a more specific and effective approach to alleviate muscle wasting

pathologies (Sartori et al., 2014; Tsuchida et al., 2008). However, very little is known about the

role of these receptors in the regulation of skeletal muscle mass and regeneration.

The aim of this study was to obtain insight in how myofibre specific knockout of type I

receptors *Tgfbr1* and *Acvr1b* affected muscle size as well as early muscle regeneration,

inflammation and collagen deposition in both intact and injured muscle. We hypothesised that

individual knockout of these TGF-β type I receptors would have marginal effects. Moreover,

simultaneous inhibition of these type I receptors would substantially increase muscle size and

enhanced early myofibre regeneration, while attenuating fibrosis.

Results

Acvr1b and *Tgfbr1* expression was successfully reduced after tamoxifen treatment

The aim of this study was to investigate effects of mature myofibre specific knockout of *Tgfbr1* and *Acvr1b* on muscle morphology as well as early muscle regeneration, inflammation and collagen deposition in both uninjured muscle tissue and after acute cardiotoxin (CTX) injury. For this purpose, the HSA-MCM mouse line (McCarthy, Srikuea, Kirby, Peterson, & Esser, 2012b), that expresses tamoxifen (TMX) inducible Cre (MerCreMer) under a human α-skeletal actin (HSA) promotor was cross bred with the conditional knockout Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ (Ripoche et al., 2013) and Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ (Larsson et al., 2001) mouse lines to obtain mouse lines HSA-MCM:Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$, HSA-MCM:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ and HSA-MCM:Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ (further referred to as Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$, Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$). Receptors were deleted when mice were 6 weeks old (Figure 1A and B).

Expression levels of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* mRNA showed successful knockout as *Acvr1b* mRNA levels in tibialis anterior (TA) muscles were reduced in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ animals by 97% and in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals by 88%. *Tgfbr1* expression levels in TA muscles were reduced in Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals by 82% Unexpectedly, *Tgfbr1* expression levels in TA muscle of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals were not significantly reduced compared to those of control animals (Figure 1C). Note, however, that lack of significantly reduced *Tgfbr1* expression is likely a consequence of high *Tgfbr1* expression by other cell types present within the muscle, rather than unsuccessful knockdown. This issue is addressed below in more detail (see Figure 3). *Acvr1b* expression levels did not affect *Tgfbr1* expression levels and vice versa.

Figure 1. Simultaneous knockout of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* caused muscle hypertrophy. (A)

Scheme showing cross-breeding of HSA-MCM mouse line with conditional knockout mouse

lines Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$. LoxP sites are indicated by black arrows. A loxP-flanked

neomycin (neo) cassette is inserted upstream of exon3 of Acvr1b genome. (B) Scheme

demonstrating receptor knockout induced by tamoxifen (TMX) injection for consecutive 5

days. (C) Relative mRNA expression of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in TA muscles of experimental

groups. (D) Histology stainings of TA muscles 35 day after first TMX injection. H&E staining

and immunofluorescent staining of eMyHC (green) of TA showed regenerative regions

containing eMyHC$^+$ myofibres with central nuclei (DAPI, blue) in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice,

wheat glucose agglutinin (WGA, red) was used to visualise cell membranes and ECM.

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice showed lower staining intensity for SDH in low oxidative region of

TA. MyHCs staining demonstrated type IIA (green), IIB (red), IIX (green) and I (red) myofibres

in low and high oxidative regions of TA. Scale bars=250 μm. (E) TA and EDL muscle masses

and myofibre cross-sectional areas (CSAs) were increased in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice. (F) In

TA, specifically CSA of type IIB myofibres was increased in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals,

while in EDL CSA of all type II myofibres was increased. Myofibre types were stained in EDL.

(G) Percentage of type IIB in low oxidative region of TA was reduced. No differences were

observed in myofibre distribution in high oxidative region of TA or EDL. (H) SDH activity

(absorbance units (ΔA_{660}) per micrometer section thickness per second of incubation time

($\Delta A_{660} \cdot \mu m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$)) was decreased, while the integrated SDH activity SDH activity multiplied by

CSA ($\Delta A_{660} \cdot \mu m \cdot s^{-1}$) increased in low oxidative region of TA of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals.

N=5-8 mice. Results are presented as mean + SEM. *: $P < 0.05$. Significant difference between

individual groups is indicated by lines with a *. Single * indicates significant difference

compared to all other groups.

 Simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* resulted in type IIB myofibre hypertrophy and

has modest effects on myofibre type distribution

TGFBR1 and ACVR1B ligands are well known for their regulatory effects on muscle mass.

Here, TA mass of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice (108.4 ± 11.0 mg) was nearly doubled compared

to that of control animals (57.2 ± 1.5 mg). TA mass of Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice (64.2 ± 1.4 mg) was also

increased, however to a much lower extend. TA mass of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ mice (60.8 ± 1.5 mg) did

not differ from that of controls (Figure 1E). To test whether the observed effects in TA also

apply to other muscles, extensor digitorum longus muscle (EDL) mass was determined. Similar

to TA muscle, EDL mass of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ (23.3 ± 1.5 mg) and Tgfbr1^{flfl} mice (15.4 ± 0.5

mg) was increased by 1.8-fold and 1.3-fold, compared to that of control mice (12.7 ± 0.4 mg),

respectively, while EDL mass of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ mice (14.4 ± 0.5 mg) did not differ from that of

control mice (Figure 1E). Note that in TA, specifically the cross-sectional area (CSA) of type

IIB myofibres of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice was twofold larger compared to that of control

animals (Figure 1F), indicating that simultaneous knockout of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1*

synergistically causes myofibre hypertrophy in type IIB myofibres. In contrast to observations

in TA, CSA of type IIA and type IIX myofibres in EDL muscle of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice

were increased by 1.6-fold and 1.5-fold compared to those of control mice, respectively.

However, similar to TA muscle, CSA of type IIB myofibres of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice was

increased most substantially compared to that of control mice (1.7-fold) (Figure 1F).

These results indicate that simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in mature mouse

myofibre synergistically causes muscle hypertrophy of mostly type IIB myofibres, whereas

individual knockout has little effect on muscle mass or myofibre CSA.

Observed effects on myofibre CSA may indicate alterations in myofibre metabolism as

well as in myofibre type distribution. Therefore, myofibre type distribution was determined in

both the high and low oxidative region of the TA (Figure 1D and G). In the high oxidative

region no significant differences were observed. However, in the low oxidative region of

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals the percentage of type IIB myofibres was lower (47 ± 2%)

compared to both control (61 ± 2%) and Tgfbr1^{flfl} animals (63 ± 3%) (Figure 1G), which

indicates a shift towards an oxidative phenotype in these muscles. In contrast, no differences in

EDL myofibre type distribution were observed between Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ and control mice

(Figure 1F and G).

Taken together, lack of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* reduces the percentage of type IIB

myofibres in TA muscle, but has only modest effects on myofibre type distribution.

<u>Type IIB myofibre hypertrophy resulted in reduced SDH activity</u>

In skeletal muscle, myofibre size and oxidative capacity are inversely related, indicating that

metabolism implies a size constraint (W. van der laarse, Tombe, Groot, & Diegenbach, 1997;

van Wessel, de Haan, van der Laarse, & Jaspers, 2010). To test whether the excessive

hypertrophy within the low oxidative region of the TA muscles was accompanied by a reduction

in oxidative metabolism, succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) activity and integrated SDH activity

were determined. In Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice SDH activity was decreased by 30% compared

to that in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and control animals. However, the integrated SDH activity (total oxidative

capacity of myofibres) in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice was increased by 60% compared to that in

control animals. This suggests that while locally the total oxidative capacity in the low oxidative

region of TA muscle of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals may be reduced, the oxidative capacity

per myofibre in the low oxidative region of TA muscle of these animals was substantially

increased (Figure 1H).

<u>Myofibres with central nuclei and increased number of SCs were observed in TA muscle of</u>

<u>Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice</u>

Hematoxylin & Eosin (H&E) staining and embryonic myosin heavy chain (eMyHC) staining

showed within TA of uninjured Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals, regions with small myofibres

with centrally located nuclei, indicating injured myofibres. These myofibres were eMyHC$^+$ and

surrounded by many other cells, likely a combination of SCs, fibroblasts and immune cells

(Figure 1D). These regions with regenerating myofibres were mainly present in the low

oxidative region of the TA and comprised on average 2.95% of the muscle CSA. These regions

were almost never observed in TA of other animals (<0.2%) (Figure 2A). Similar regions with

myofibres containing centrally located myonuclei were also observed in EDL (1.45% of the

muscle CSA) of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals and not in EDL of control animals (0.08%)

(Figure 2A). Together, these data indicate that simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1*

results in spontaneous damage and regeneration. Spontaneous regeneration requires activation

of SCs. In the low oxidative region of TA muscle of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals the number

of SCs per myofibre in a cross-section was increased compared to that in control animals

(Figure 2B).

We next characterised cells surrounding the spontaneously regenerating regions in TA

as being macrophages or fibroblasts. F4/80 staining showed that the number of macrophages

per mm^2 muscle CSA in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals was increased by 14-fold (23.7

cells/mm^2) compared to that in control (1.7 cells/mm^2) and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ animals (1.7 cells/mm^2),

while in Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals (4.5 cells/mm^2) the number of macrophages per mm^2 did not differ

compared to that in the other three groups (Figure 2C).

Taken together, in TA and EDL muscles that lack both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* regions with

spontaneously regenerating myofibres were observed. These regions are accompanied by an

increased number of SCs and macrophages.

Figure 2. Increased heterogeneity of cell types was found in both TA and EDL of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals. (A) Regions with spontaneously regenerating myofibres (circled by yellow dash lines) with central nuclei (indicated by arrows) were particularly present in low oxidative region of TA and EDL of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals. (B) Increased number of Pax7^{+} cells per myofibre was found in TA of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} mice. (C) IF staining of F4/80 (green) showed an increased number of macrophages (indicated by arrows) in TA muscle per mm^2 CSA of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} mice compared to control. Macrophages (image with higher magnification on the left corner) were mainly located around myofibres with central nuclei. Scale bar indicates 100 μm. N=5-8 mice. Results are presented as mean + SEM. *. $P < 0.05$. Significant difference between individual groups is indicated by lines with a *. Single * indicates significant difference compared to all other groups at the same time point.

<u>Lack of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in the skeletal myofibre increased *Hgf* expression levels and</u>

<u>Akt/p70S6K signalling, while decreasing *Murf-1* expression levels</u>

Next, we aimed to obtain insight in the mechanisms underlying the increase in

Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} TA mass and myofibre CSA, as well as the observed increase in SC

number and regeneration regions in these muscles. First, we determined whether the increase

in myofibre size was accompanied by accretion of myonuclei. Counts of myonuclear fragments

in muscle cross-sections of IIB myofibres did not differ between control and

Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals. Moreover, in longitudinal sections no difference in length of

myonuclei per myofiber was found between groups (Figure 3A), which indicates that the

probability to encounter a myonucleus within a cross-section was equal between groups. The

excessive hypertrophy of type IIB myofibres of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} mice occurred without

accretion of myonuclei and caused a 70% increase in the myonuclear domain (Figure 3A).

Phosphorylation of TGF-β type I receptor is known to activate canonical Smad2/3

signalling. Therefore, we examined the effects of TGF-β type I receptor knockout on Smad2/3

phosphorylation in both TA and EDL muscle (Figure 3B). Single knockout did not affect

phosphorylated / total protein ratios for Smad2 and Smad3 in muscles of Acvr1b^{fl/fl} or Tgfbr1^{fl/fl}

mice, which was in line with the lack of effect on muscle size and phenotype and suggested that

at least the presence of one of the two receptors was sufficient to maintain the Smad signalling.

With regard to Smad2/3 phosphorylation in TA and EDL of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} mice, a 31%

reduction was shown for phosphorylation of Smad2 in EDL while phosphorylated levels of

Smads2 and 3 tended to be reduced in TA

Since receptors were specifically knocked out in skeletal myofibres, other cell types

such as SCs, fibroblasts or inflammatory cells remained sensitive to TGF-β signalling.

Therefore, the effect of receptor knockout on *Tgf-β1* and myostatin (*Mstn*) expression were

determined. In TA muscles of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals, *Tgf-β1* expression levels were 2.2-

fold higher compared to those of control animals, while *Tgf-β1* expression levels of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}

and Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals did not differ from those of control animals. *Mstn* expression levels

within Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} mice were only increased compared to those of Acvr1b^{fl/fl} animals

(Figure 3C). These results indicate that lack of both *Tgfbr1* and *Acvr1b* in skeletal myofibres

resulted in increased *Tgf-β1* expression in muscle tissue, which may be associated with an

enhanced local regeneration.

Expression levels of various growth factors, i.e. insulin-like growth factor 1Ea (*Igf1ea*),

mechano growth factor *(Mgf)*, fibroblast growth factor 2 (*Fgf-2*), hepatocyte growth factor

(*Hgf*), interleukin-6 (*Il-6*) and vascular endothelial growth factor (*Vegf*) may contribute to SC

proliferation or myofibre size (Arsic et al., 2004; Coleman et al., 1995; Lefaucheur & Sebille,

1995; Pedersen, Steensberg, & Schjerling, 2001; Serrano, Baeza-Raja, Perdiguero, Jardi, &

Munoz-Canoves, 2008; Tatsumi, Anderson, Nevoret, Halevy, & Allen, 1998; Yang &

Goldspink, 2002). No significant differences were observed in expression levels of *Igf1ea, Il-6*

or *Fgf-2*. *Mgf* expression levels in TA muscle of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals were reduced

compared to those of Acvr1b^{fl/fl} or control animals. *Vegf* expression levels of

Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals were reduced compared to those of all other groups, while *Vegf*

levels of Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals were reduced compared to those of control animals. In contrast, *Hgf*

expression levels of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals were increased compared to those of all other

groups (Figure 3C). These results suggest that in TA muscle of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals

enhanced expression of *Hgf* may contribute to the observed increase in SC number and

myofibre hypertrophy.

Myostatin and activin A both have been shown to reduce protein synthesis by decreasing

phosphorylation of Akt and its downstream target p70S6 kinase (p70S6K) (Amirouche et al.,

2009; J. L. Chen et al., 2014; McFarlane et al., 2006; Trendelenburg et al., 2009). In TA muscle

of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals, phosphorylated Akt had increased by 2.3-fold compared to that

of control animals. A similar but insignificant trend was observed for total Akt relative intensity.

No significant differences in the phosphorylated Akt/total Akt ratio were observed. However,

phosphorylated p70S6K had increased by 1.9-fold compared to that of control animals, while

total p70S6K was not significantly affected. As a consequence, the phosphorylated

p70S6K/total p70S6K was increased by 1.7-fold (Figure 3D). Together, these results indicate

simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in skeletal myofibre stimulates that the protein

synthesis via activation of Akt/mTOR/p70S6K signalling.

Finally, myostatin and TGF-β1 have been indicated to stimulate muscle specific E3

ubiquitin ligases muscle RING-finger protein-1 (MuRF-1) and atrogin-1. *Murf-1* expression

levels in TA muscles of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice were significantly lower compared to those

of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ or control animals. *Atrogin-1* expression did not differ between groups (Figure

3C). Together, these results indicate that simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in

skeletal myofibre reduces protein breakdown via suppression of *Murf-1* expression.

Figure 3. Effects of simultaneous knockout of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* on myonuclear number and signalling for protein synthesis as well as degradation. (A) No differences in myonuclear lengths were observed in longitudinal sections of TA IIB myofibres of Acvr1b^fl/fl:Tgfbr1^fl/fl compared to control animals. This indicates that simultaneous knockout of Acvr1b^fl/fl:Tgfbr1^fl/fl did not affect the number of myonuclei per myofibre and that the myonuclear domain (i.e. cross-

sectional area/ nuclei (μm^2)) was almost doubled. Scale bar=100µm. (B) Western blot analysis

for Smad2/3 phosphorylation in TA and EDL muscle. (C) Relative gene expression of growth

factors in non-injured muscle. (D) Western blot analysis of phosphorylated and total Akt and

p70S6K in TA muscles. Results are presented as mean + SEM. N=5-8 mice. *: $P < 0.05$.

Significant difference between individual groups is indicated by lines with a *. Single *

indicates significant difference compared to all other groups at the same time point.

<u>Myofibre specific receptor knockout affected the inflammatory response upon acute injury</u>

After characterisation of uninjured TA muscles, effects of receptor knockout on early TA muscle regeneration were examined two and four days after CTX acute injury. Two days post injury, the injury site was characterised by increased interstitial space, indicating degradation of the endomysium, and the presence of damaged myofibres, as can be observed as unspecific green secondary antibody staining (Bencze, Periou, Baba-Amer, & Authier, 2019). Furthermore, mononuclear cells (i.e. inflammatory cells, fibroblasts or SCs) had infiltrated the interstitial space within the injury site. Together, these observations indicate that at 2 days post injury, the inflammatory response is high and damaged myofibres have not started to regenerate yet. Four days post injury, the injury site was occupied by small, regenerating, eMyHC$^+$ myofibres with centrally located nuclei. Mononuclear cells were located in the interstitial space, but the inflammatory response appears to be reduced compared to that observed at 2 days post injury (Figure 4A). No significant differences in injury size between groups were observed (Figure 4C).

Since various cell types in muscle tissue remain sensitive to TGF-β signalling in the current model, effects of CTX injury on relative mRNA expression levels of *Tgfbr1, Acvr1b, Tgf-β1* and *Mstn* were examined. Two and four days post injury, relative *Tgfbr1* expression was increased in TA muscle of Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals compared to day 0, which suggests that *Tgfbr1* mRNA is highly expressed in mononuclear cells (i.e. fibroblasts, inflammation cells and SCs) that infiltrate the injury site. For all groups, relative *Tgf-β1* expression peaked at day 2 post injury. At day 4 post injury in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals, *Tgf-β1* expression levels remained significantly increased compared to those of other groups (Figure 4D).

At day 2 and 4, *Acvr1b* expression in Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ and control animals decreased compared to day 0, whereas *Acvr1b* expression in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals increased.

Relative *Mstn* expression levels were decreased at day 2 and 4 post injury. These data suggest

that under control conditions *Acvr1b* and *Mstn* are highly expressed in skeletal myofibres, while

mononuclear cells that infiltrate the injury site express relatively little *Acvr1b* and *Mstn* (Figure

4D).

TGF-β1 plays an important role in the early inflammatory response after acute muscle

injury. Inflammatory cells (i.e. neutrophils and macrophages), which infiltrate damaged muscle,

digest cellular debris and secrete inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-1β (*Il-1β*) and *Il-*

6. Here, we showed that in all groups, relative mRNA levels of macrophage specific protein

cluster of differentiation 68 (*Cd68*) (da Silva, Platt, de Villiers, & Gordon, 1996; M. J. Smith

& Koch, 1987), *Il-1β* and *Il-6* peaked two days post injury. At day 0 and 4, in TA muscle of

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals *Cd68* expression was increased compared to all other groups or

control animals, respectively. At day 0 and 4, macrophage specific *Cd163* (Schaer et al., 2001)

expression levels of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals were increased compared to those of

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ or Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals (Figure 4D). At day 0 in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals

expression levels of *Il-1β* were increased compared to those of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals.

Upon injury, no differences in *Il-1β* and *Il-6* expression levels were observed between groups

(Figure 4D). Together, these results suggest that in this model the inflammatory response peaks

approximately 2 days post injury.

Figure 4. Immune response was slightly enhanced in muscle of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice. (A)

Representative images of H&E and eMyHC staining of TA sections at 2 and 4 days after CTX

injection. Scale bars=250 μm. (B) Scheme shows CTX injection in TA and sample collection.

(C) Percentage of injury area was not significantly different between groups. (D) Relative gene

expressions in TA in the absence (day 0) or presence of CTX injection after 2 and 4 days.

Results are presented as mean + SEM. N=5-8 mice, *: $P < 0.05$. Significant difference between

individual groups is indicated by lines with a *. Single * indicates significant difference

compared to all other groups at the same time point.

<u>Lack of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* stimulated CSA of regenerating myofibres, myogenic gene</u>

<u>expression and number of differentiating muscle cells during regeneration</u>

Effects of receptor knockout on muscle regeneration after acute injury were examined

(Figure 5A). In Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals CSA of regenerating myofibres was increased

compared to Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1^{flfl} animals, but not compared to controls (Figure 5B).

Regeneration index (RI) was reduced in muscle tissue of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1^{flfl} animals

compared to that of control animals, while RI of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals was not

significantly different compared to that of other three groups (Figure 5B). Next, we

hypothesized an increased immune response was involved in the accelerated muscle

regeneration process after cardiotoxin induced muscle injury in the absence of Acvr1b and

Tgfbr1. Macrophages were identified by F4/80 in IF staining (Figure 5-figure supplement 1).

The number of macrophages in TA of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals at day 4 post injury was

significantly increased compared to that in control animals (Figure 5C). Taken together, after

acute injury individual knockout of *Acvr1b* or *Tgfbr1* expression in mature myofibre reduced

myofibre regeneration, while simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* stimulated this

which was accompanied by increased infiltration of macrophages.

The effects of receptor knockout on expression of genes involved in SC activation,

differentiation and muscle growth were analysed in order to understand observed differences in

RI and CSA of regenerating myofibres. First of all, mRNA expression levels of various growth

factors were differently affected by receptor knockout. *Hgf* and *Mgf* expression peaked at day

2 post injury. At day 2 in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice *Mgf* expression was lower

compared to control animals. At day 4 in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals *Igf1ea* levels were

increased compared to those of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and control animals. *Vegf* and *Fgf-2* expression levels

decreased after injury. At day 2 in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice *Fgf-2* expression was increased

(Figure 5D). Together, these results indicate that in simultaneous receptor knockout enhanced

Igf1ea and *Fgf-2* expression post injury contribute to the accelerated early regeneration.

Proper muscle regeneration is regulated by sequential expression of myogenic genes.

Thus, relative mRNA expression levels of myogenic genes (i.e., paired box protein 7 (*Pax7*),

myoblast determination protein 1 (*Myod*), myogenin (*Myog*), muscle embryonic myosin heavy

chain (*Myh3*) as well as inhibitor of differentiation 1 (*Id1*)), were examined. At day 4, in all

groups expression of *Pax7, Myod, Myog* and *Myh3* had increased. At day 0 in

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice, *Pax7* expression was increased compared to that of Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice,

while at day 4 in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice *Pax7* expression was increased compared to that in

all other groups. At day 0 in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice *Myod* and *Myog* expression levels were

increased compared to those in other groups, while at day 2 in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice *Myod*

expression levels were increased compared to those in Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice and *Myog* expression

levels were increased compared to those in both Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals. At day 4 post

injury, no differences in *Myod* or *Myog* expression levels were observed between groups,

although a trend suggested that expression of both genes was increased in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$

mice. At both day 0 and day 2, in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice *Myh3* levels were increased

compared to those in both Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and control animals. At day 4 post injury, no differences

in *Myh3* expression were observed between groups (Figure 5D). Receptor knockout did not

affect *Id1* expression. Taken together, these results show that in TA myofibre specific *Acvr1b*

and *Tgfbr1* receptor knockout stimulates myogenic gene expression.

Lastly, relative expression levels of muscle specific E3 ligases MuRF-1 and atrogin-1

during early regeneration were considered. MuRF-1 and atrogin-1 are expressed in mature

myofibres, but not in SCs and inhibit myofibre growth and hypertrophy. For Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$,

Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ and control animals, at day 4 *Murf-1* levels were decreased compared to those at day

0, while for Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals no significant differences in *Murf-1* expression were

observed over time. At both day 2 and 4 post injury, in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals *Atrogin-1*

expression levels were relatively increased compared to those in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ mice, while no

differences in *Murf-1* expression levels were observed between groups (Figure 5D). Together,

these results indicate E3 ligases do not play a role in the observed increase in size of

regenerating myofibres.

On day 0, the number of proliferating cells (Ki67^{+}) in low oxidative region of TA of

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals was about 7.6-fold higher than that in control animals (Figure 5E).

Two days after injury, a 6-fold increase of proliferating cells was found in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$

animals compared to that on day 0. Moreover, at day 4 after injury, the number of proliferating

cells in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals was 1.7-fold higher than that in control animals. To

determine whether the increased CSA of regenerating myofibres in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$

animals was due to an increased SCs number and advanced differentiation of myoblasts, we

tested SCs proliferation and activation status. Although at day 0 and 4, the number of SCs

(Pax7^{+}) cells was more in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals compared to that in control animals

(Figure 5F), the number of proliferating SCs (Ki67^{+}/Pax7^{+}) did not differ from that in control

(Figure 5G, Figure 5-figure supplement 2,). Nevertheless, an accelerated rate of increase in

Ki67^{+}/Pax7^{+} cells was shown. Note that, at day 4 after injury the number of myogenin^{+} cells

was more than 2.2-fold higher in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals (Figure 5H, Figure 5-figure

supplement 3). These findings indicate that muscle regeneration upon acute injury was

improved in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals, which was attributed to an accelerated myogenic

process.

Figure 5. Acvr1b^(fl/fl):Tgfbr1^(fl/fl) mice showed enhanced CSA of regenerating myofibres and early

enhanced expression of myogenic genes and differentiating cells after acute injury. (A) IF

staining images represent eMyHC$^+$ myofibres 4 days after CTX injection. Scale bar=100µm.

(B) CSA of eMyHC$^+$ myofibres in injured area increased in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice compared

to Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals, while RI was decreased in both Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$

mice compared to controls. (C) Number of macrophages was quantified in the injured area. (D)

Relative gene expression in TA in absence (day 0) or presence of CTX injection after 2 and 4

days are presented. Increased number of Ki67$^+$ cells (E) and Pax7$^+$ (F) cells were found in TA

of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice in absence of injury as well as 4 days after CTX injection. (G) Four

days post injury, number of Ki67$^+$/Pax7$^+$cells was not different between control and

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice. (H) More Myogenin$^+$ cells were found in injured area of

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mice on day 4 post injury. Results are presented as mean + SEM. N=5-8

mice, *: $P < 0.05$. Significant difference between individual groups is indicated by lines with a

*. Single * indicates significant difference compared to all other groups at the same time point.

<u>Simultaneous knockout of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* within the myofibre enhanced ECM</u>

<u>deposition</u>

Another essential aspect of muscle regeneration is connective tissue remodelling. Figure 5A

shows Sirius Red stainings at different stages of regeneration. At day 0, myofibres were

surrounded by a thin layer of endomysium. At 2 days post injury, this endomysium appears to

be disrupted for a large part. At day 4 post injury, a large amount of connective tissue may be

observed surrounding the regenerating myofibres (Figure 6A).

Effects of myofibre specific *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* receptor knockout on ECM remodelling

were assessed by examining connective tissue growth factor (*Ctgf*), collagen type 1, alpha 1

(*Col1a1*) and collagen type 3, alpha 1 (*Col3a1*) expression. At all times, in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$

animals *Ctgf* and *Col1a1* mRNA expression levels were substantially increased compared to

those of control animals or all groups. At day 0, in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals, *Col3a1*

expression levels were increased compared to those in other groups. At day 2 and 4, in

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals *Col3a1* expression was increased compared to Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ or both

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals (Figure 6B).

To determine whether the number of fibroblasts was increased in TA muscles by

knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1*, we assessed relative mRNA expression levels of fibroblast

markers, transcription factor 4 (*Tcf4*) and platelet-derived growth factor receptor A (*Pdgfra*)

(Mathew et al., 2011), were determined. At day 0, *Tcf4* expression levels of TA in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$

mice were increased compared to those in control animals, but were not different from those in

Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ or Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals. In Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals, relative *Pdgfra*

expression levels were increased compared to those of control and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ animals.

Noteworthy, four days post injury, both *Tcf4* and *Pdgfra* mRNA levels were increased in

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animal compared to those in control mice (Figure 6C).

Figure 6. Relative mRNA expression levels of ECM components were enhanced in

Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} mice. (A) Sirius Red staining shows collagen deposition in absence (day

487   0) or presence of CTX injection after 2, and 4 days (scale bar=100μm). (B, C) Relative gene

488   expression in TA muscle in absence (day 0) or presence of CTX injection after 2 and 4 days.

489   Results are presented as mean + SEM. N=5-8 mice, *: $P < 0.05$. Significant difference between

490   individual groups is indicated by lines with a *. Single * indicates significant difference

491   compared to all other groups at the same time point.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate effects of mature myofibre specific knockout of type I receptors *Tgfbr1* and *Acvr1b* on muscle morphology as well as early muscle regeneration, inflammation and collagen deposition in both uninjured muscle tissue and after acute CTX injury. We observed that simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* resulted in a substantial increase in TA and EDL muscle mass as well as type IIB myofibre CSA. *Tgfbr1* knockout only marginally increased muscle mass, while *Acvr1b* knockout did not affect muscle mass. In the low oxidative region of TA muscle tissue of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals the percentage of type IIB myofibres was reduced, while in EDL no differences in myofibre type distribution were observed. Remarkably, simultaneous knockout of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* caused spontaneous regeneration and an increase in SC number in the low oxidative region of TA and in EDL muscle tissue. Lack of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in the skeletal myofibre of TA increased myofibre CSA of regenerating myofibres, number of regenerating cells and macrophages during regeneration, as well as enhanced expression levels of myogenic gene expression and growth factors. In both uninjured and regenerating muscles, simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in the myofibre resulted in increased ECM and fibroblasts gene expression. Figure 7 shows a schematic summarising the main results.

Together, these results indicate that simultaneous receptor knockout stimulates muscle hypertrophy and promotes early muscle regeneration upon injury, whereas individual receptor knockout does not.

Figure 7. Schematic diagram of the effects of single or combined muscle specific knockout of

Tgfbr1 and/or *Acvr1b* receptors on muscle hypertrophy, regeneration and expression of ECM

components. (A) Myofibre size is not affected after individual knockout of *Acvr1b* or *Tgfbr1*,

which indicates that these receptors have redundant effects on muscle size and that myostatin

signals via both receptors to control muscle mass. Simultaneous knockout of both *Acvr1b* and

Tgfbr1 inhibits signalling of TGF-β, myostatin and activin A and stimulates protein synthesis

via the Akt/mTOR/p70S6K pathway, while inhibiting protein breakdown through repression of

Murf-1 levels, resulting in substantial muscle hypertrophy. (B) Upon acute injury, simultaneous

knockout of combined *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* accelerates early muscle regeneration, as observed

by increased myogenic gene expression as well as increased CSA of regenerating myofibres.

An increased number of SCs likely contributes to these effects. (C) Simultaneous myofibre

specific knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* induces mRNA expression of ECM components. These

effects are likely caused by enhanced TGF- β1 signalling in fibroblasts. Schematic is created

using BioRender.

<u>Simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* induces hypertrophy by both inhibiting protein</u>

<u>degradation and stimulating protein synthesis</u>

Our data show that simultaneous myofibre specific knockdown of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* is

required for muscle hypertrophy, while inhibition of *Acvr1b* does not affect muscle mass or

myofibre size and inhibition of *Tgfbr1* has only marginal effects. Supporting our data, a recent

study showed that simultaneous inhibition of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* was required to enhance

muscle mass, while individual receptor inhibition had little effect (Lee et al., 2020). These

results indicate that *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* have redundant functions in the regulation of muscle

mass.

Myostatin and activin A negatively regulate muscle mass by stimulating protein

degradation through upregulation of E3 ligases and reducing protein synthesis through a

decrease in phosphorylation of Akt and its downstream target p70S6K (Amirouche et al., 2009;

J. L. Chen et al., 2014; McFarlane et al., 2006; Trendelenburg et al., 2009). TGF-β

overexpression *in vivo* has been suggested to increase atrogin-1 expression and concomitantly

cause muscle atrophy (Mendias et al., 2012). Simultaneous receptor knockout increased relative

expression of phosphorylated Akt and lack of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* increased the

phosphorylated p70S6K/total p70S6K ratio, which indicates increased protein synthesis via the

Akt/mTOR/p70S6K pathway contributes to muscle hypertrophy.

Simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* also reduced mRNA expression levels of

E3 ligases *Murf-1*, indicating reduced protein degradation. Simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b*

and *Tgfbr1* increased *Hgf* expression levels. HGF signalling has been shown to protect skeletal

muscle against atrophy after denervation or in muscle pathology by reducing relative *Murf-1*

and *Atrogin-1* expression levels (Choi, Lee, Lee, Ko, & Kim, 2018). Together, these results

indicate that simultaneous knockout of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* both decrease protein

breakdown and stimulate protein synthesis.

The TGF-β type I receptor knockout induced an increase in Akt signalling and reduction

in E3 ligase expression are likely mediated at least in part via elevated *Hgf* expression levels in

myofibres. In both myoblasts and myotubes, HGF stimulates the Akt/mTOR pathway (A. L.

Chen et al., 2012; Perdomo et al., 2008). In addition, reduced Smad2/3 phosphorylation within

myofibres likely contributes to the increase in Akt signalling and reduction in E3 ligase

expression (Goodman, McNally, Hoffmann, & Hornberger, 2013; Sartori et al., 2009). In TA

and EDL of Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals, Smad2/3 phosphorylation was or tended to be

reduced, respectively. Note that TGF-β type I receptors were specifically knocked out in

myofibres and that Smad2/3 in various other cell types can still be phosphorylated by TGF-β1,

myostatin and activin A, masking the changes in myofibres.

TGF-β1, myostatin and activin A regulate skeletal muscle mass via similar mechanisms.

Previous research has shown that inhibition of myostatin and to a lesser extent activin A is

sufficient to induce muscle hypertrophy (Wu et al., 2017). Here we show that inhibition of

TGF-β1 or activin A signalling via their type I receptor is insufficient to induce muscle

hypertrophy. In muscle myostatin likely signals via both type I receptors to regulate muscle

mass. In muscles that lack either *Acvr1b* or *Tgfbr1,* we observed no changes in Smad2/3

signalling, which is in accordance with the observation there is no or modest effect on muscle

hypertrophy. Targeting both receptors is indispensable to substantially reduce TGF-

β1/myostatin/activin A signalling and induce muscle hypertrophy.

<u>Simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* specifically enhances type IIB myofibre CSA</u>

<u>without accretion of myoblasts</u>

Simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* most substantially increased type IIB myofibre

CSA. This is likely the result of myofibre type-related hypertrophic capacity rather than

myofibre type-specific receptor knockout bias (McCarthy et al., 2012b). It has been suggested

that mainly fast twitch myofibres possess the ability to hypertrophy, while slow twitch

myofibres are unlikely to increase in size (van Wessel et al., 2010). Additionally, ACVR2B is

more abundantly expressed in type II than type I myofibres, thus a more substantial effect on

myofibre hypertrophy was expected upon type I receptor depletion (Babcock, Knoblauch, &

Clarke, 2015). Remarkably, type IIB myofibre hypertrophy occurred without apparent accretion

of myonuclei, which resulted in an approximately 70% increase in myonuclear domain. The

lack of difference in the number of myonuclei per myofibre cross-section together with the lack

of difference in myonuclear length in type IIB myofibres of TA indicates that the total number

of nuclei per myofibre was not affected by simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1*.

Although e.g. exercise induced hypertrophy is often accompanied by increased myonuclei

number (Conceicao et al., 2018; van der Meer, Jaspers, Jones, & Degens, 2011), the myonuclear

domain is known to be flexible and increases in myonuclear domain of 30% have been reported

(Murach, Englund, Dupont-Versteegden, McCarthy, & Peterson, 2018). Moreover, inhibition

of myostatin signalling using soluble ACVR2B leads to hypertrophy without accretion of SCs

(Lee et al., 2012). Here, we show that in type IIB myofibres myonuclear domain can increase

by at least 70%, without requirement of accretion of myonuclei to sustain myofibre growth. To

the best of our knowledge such increase in myonuclear domain has not been reported before.

Since myonuclei are required for mitochondrial biogenesis, a local reduction in

oxidative capacity was expected (Hock & Kralli, 2009; Kotiadis, Duchen, & Osellame, 2014).

In this study, knockout of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* resulted in decreased SDH activity in the

low oxidative region of TA. Previous research has shown that an inverse relation exists between

myofibre CSA and oxidative capacity, whereas myofibre CSA is positively correlated to

glycolytic capacity (Rivero, Talmadge, & Edgerton, 1998). Recent evidence suggests that,

similar to "Warburg effect" in tumours, in hypertrophying skeletal muscle reprogramming

towards a more glycolytic metabolism occurs. Glycolytic enzyme pyruvate kinase muscle

isoform 2 (PKM2), which is particularly highly expressed in type II myofibres, contributes to

the increased hypertrophic potential of type II myofibres (Verbrugge et al., 2020).

Although lack of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* decreased SDH activity, integrated SDH activity

(SDH activity times CSA) was increased. Previous research has shown that integrated SDH

activity correlates with the maximal rate of oxygen consumption (VO_{2max}) and mitochondrial

density, which suggests that the total oxidative capacity of these myofibres in

Acvr1b^{fl/fl}:Tgfbr1^{fl/fl} animals was increased (W. J. van der Laarse, Diegenbach, & Elzinga,

1989). Present data show that by targeting both receptors simultaneously it is possible to deviate

from the tight relation between myofibre size and oxidative metabolism (i.e. simultaneous

increases in both myofibre size and oxidative capacity). The role of both receptors in the

synthesis of mitochondria warrants further investigation.

 <u>Lack of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* reduces the percentage of type IIB myofibres within the low</u>

<u>oxidative region of the TA</u>

Another remarkable finding was the reduction in the percentage of type IIB myofibres in the

low oxidative region of the TA muscle of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals. In the high oxidative

region of the TA muscle as well as the EDL, no differences in myofibre type distribution were

observed. In contrast to our findings, previous research has shown increased percentage of fast,

glycolytic myofibres in skeletal muscle of Mstn$^{-/-}$ mice (Amthor et al., 2007; Girgenrath, Song,

& Whittemore, 2005; Hennebry et al., 2009). Moreover, increased myostatin/activin A

signalling in follistatin mutant mice showed increased the percentage of slow, oxidative

myofibres (Lee et al., 2010). Note that in a genetic knockout mouse model, absence of

myostatin precedes myogenesis and may influence skeletal muscle development, whereas in

our model TGF-β signalling was inhibited in mature skeletal muscle. The reduction in type IIB

myofibres may also be a consequence of local damage to the myofibres, rather than a

phenotypical change caused by receptor knockout. Taken together, these results indicate type I

receptor knockout in mature myofibres has minor effects on myofibre type distribution.

<u>Simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in myofibre may result in accelerated early</u>

<u>regeneration</u>

We observed that simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* or *Tgfbr1* increased the CSA of

regenerating myofibres compared to individual receptor knockout, while a trend was visible

compared to controls. In addition, at day 0, simultaneous receptor knockout enhanced *Hgf*

expression as well as the number of SCs per myofibre and concomitantly relative expression

levels of *Pax7* and *Myod,* indicating that SCs were activated prior to CTX injection. Muscle

regeneration is dependent on activation of Pax7$^+$ SCs and sequential expression of myogenic

genes (Charge & Rudnicki, 2004; Delaney, Kasprzycka, Ciemerych, & Zimowska, 2017; Ishido

& Kasuga, 2011; Lepper, Partridge, & Fan, 2011). HGF is the primary growth factor for SC

activation and may have accelerated early muscle regeneration (Allen, Sheehan, Taylor,

Kendall, & Rice, 1995; Gal-Levi, Leshem, Aoki, Nakamura, & Halevy, 1998; Miller, Thaloor,

Matteson, & Pavlath, 2000; Tatsumi et al., 1998). Receptor knockout did not affect *Hgf*

expression after injury, which indicates HGF expression and subsequent SC activation is likely

not induced by lack of TGF-β signalling in the myofibre, but rather a consequence of

spontaneous damage and regeneration.

Moreover, 2 or 4 days post injury simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1*

increased expression levels of *Fgf-2* or *Igf1ea*. Simultaneous overexpression of IGF-1 and FGF-

2 has a synergistic effect on both myoblast proliferation as well as fusion index (Allen &

Boxhorn, 1989). Thus, simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in skeletal myofibre likely

enhances early muscle regeneration via enhanced expression of *Igf1ea* and *Fgf-2*. However,

myogenic gene expression was increased prior to *Igf1ea* and *Fgf-2* upregulation, which

indicates that although these growth factors may positively contribute to early regeneration,

upregulation of *Igf1ea* and *Fgf-2* cannot fully explain effects on early regeneration.

Simultaneous receptor knockout did not reduce mRNA expression of E3 ligases during

regeneration, indicating that the increase in myofibre CSA is not caused by reduced protein

breakdown. In contrast, during regeneration *Atrogin-1* expression is enhanced in

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals compared to other groups, but here the enhanced *Atrogin-1* levels

may correspond with muscle regeneration.

Moreover, for Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals the numbers of SCs and differentiating

myoblasts within the injured regions 4 days post injury were more than doubled compared to

those in control animals. The observation that at all time points the number of proliferating SCs

(i.e. Ki67$^+$/Pax7$^+$ cells) was not different between control and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals

indicates that in the Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals muscle damage had initiated activation and

proliferation in the injured region between day 2 and 4. Alternatively, SCs had migrated from

adjacent myofibres to the site of injury or from intact regions along the myofibres (Ishido &

Kasuga, 2011; Schultz, Jaryszak, & Valliere, 1985). The accelerated myoblast proliferation and

differentiation likely contributed to the enhanced protein synthesis and hypertrophy of newly

formed myofibres.

A limitation of this study is that we did not observe later stages of muscle regeneration.

Additional research is required to determine whether changes observed in this study ultimately

result in a shorter regeneration period.

Acvr1b and *Tgfbr1* affect inflammatory response after injury

Proper activation of the immune response and expression of inflammatory cytokines is

important for myoblast proliferation and myogenic gene expression during early muscle

regeneration (Cantini et al., 1995; Chaweewannakorn et al., 2018; Grabiec et al., 2013; Zhang

et al., 2013). In absence of injury, compared with control, 8912310-fold more number of

macrophages was found the in low oxidative area of TA in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals,

indicating increased immune cells residence at baseline before CTX injury. Two days post

injury a large infiltration of mononucleated cells was observed in all groups, as well as a peak

in relative expression levels of *Tgf-β1*, *Cd68*, *Il-1β* and *Il-6*.

Macrophages play an important role in regulation of muscle regeneration (Tidball,

2017). Macrophages are classified in M1 (pro-inflammatory) and M2 (anti-inflammatory)

macrophages (Mosser & Edwards, 2008). Early after injury, gene expression of pan-

macrophages marker *Cd68* and M2 macrophage marker *Cd163* (Hu et al., 2017), as well as the

number of macrophages were increased in both control and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals.

Expression levels *Il-6* and *Il-1β*, which are typical cytokines expressed by M1 macrophages,

were not higher than in control animals, while *Igf-1ea* expression, also known to be expressed

by M1 macrophages, was increased in Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals which was likely

advantageous to expand the SC pool and to induce hypertrophy of newly formed myofibres.

Moreover, TGF-β1 expression was increased in muscle with simultaneous knockout of

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$. At a later stage after injury, M2 macrophages are known to promote

myogenic differentiation and stimulate ECM deposition by releasing TGF-β1 (Arnold et al.,

2007; Novak, Weinheimer-Haus, & Koh, 2014). Taken together, we conclude that muscle-

specific lack of both receptors promotes an inflammatory response by enhanced infiltration of

macrophages which is associated with accelerated muscle regeneration.

<u>Lack of both *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* enhances gene expression of ECM components in both intact</u>

<u>and injured TA muscle</u>

In both uninjured TA muscle tissue, as well as after CTX injury simultaneous knockout of

Tgfbr1 and *Acvr1b* in skeletal myofibre increased *Ctgf*, *Col1a1* and *Col3a1* mRNA expression.

These increases in gene expression were conceivably caused by TGF-β signalling in other cell

types present within the muscle tissue, i.e. fibroblasts. This hypothesis is supported by the

infiltration of fibroblasts in TA muscle of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals in the absence of injury,

as well as increased gene expression levels of *Pdgfrα*. Furthermore, after injury in

Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals *Tgfbr1, Tcf4* and *Pdgfrα* levels were increased compared to those

in groups, which indicated increased infiltration of non-muscle cells upon injury. Together

these results support the hypothesis that TGF-β and myostatin act on fibroblasts and possibly

other cell types within the muscle tissue to induce expression of collagens. We previously

showed that inhibition of *Tgfbr1* in C2C12 myoblasts reduced *Ctgf* and *Col1a1* expression

(Hillege et al., 2020). Moreover, systemic administration of anti-TGF-β or soluble ACVR2B in

murine X-linked muscular dystrophy (mdx) mice reduced muscular fibrosis (Andreetta et al.,

2006; Bo Li, Zhang, & Wagner, 2012). In conclusion, to reduce expression of ECM components

within skeletal muscle inhibition of TGF-β signalling in other cell types such as fibroblasts and

satellite cells is required.

Chronic excessive ECM deposition leads to increased muscle stiffness and loss of

function. However, transiently enhanced ECM deposition is essential to early muscle

regeneration and results in scar free muscle repair in various types of acute injury (Hardy et al.,

2016; Mahdy, Lei, Wakamatsu, Hosaka, & Nishimura, 2015). In this study after CTX injury

Col1a1 and *Col3a1* expression increased in all groups. Transient enhanced ECM deposition is

required to maintain muscle structural integrity and provides a scaffold for regenerating

myofibres (Kaariainen, Jarvinen, Jarvinen, Rantanen, & Kalimo, 2000). Furthermore,

interaction between fibroblasts and SCs appears to be essential for proper muscle regeneration,

since fibroblasts prevent early differentiation of SCs, while in turn SCs control the number of

fibroblasts (Murphy, Lawson, Mathew, Hutcheson, & Kardon, 2011). Thus the observed

enhanced expression of ECM components in TA that lacks both receptors may contribute to the

increased number of SCs at day 0 and acceleration of early muscle regeneration.

Implications for ACVR1B and TGFBR1 inhibition as potential therapeutic strategy

An important limitation of our study is that we are investigating effects of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1*

knockout on early regeneration after an acute injury. In contrast to our model, a dystrophic or

aged mouse model has characteristics such as chronic inflammation, impaired regeneration and

fibrosis. Further research is required to determine how *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* knockout affects long

term regeneration capacity, chronic inflammation and fibrosis in a pathological model.

Our data indicate that simultaneous knockout of *Acvr1b* and *Tgfbr1* in the mature

myofibre causes muscle hypertrophy and accelerates early muscle regeneration. The

inflammatory response is likely independent on TGF-β signalling within the myofibre.

Furthermore, specifically targeting *Tgfbr1* and *Acvr1b* in mature myofibre actually increased

relative ECM gene expression levels. This effect is likely the result of enhanced TGF-β

signalling in other cell types (i.e. fibroblasts and inflammatory cells). Thus, targeting TGF-β

signalling in immune cells and fibroblasts present in muscle tissue is likely required to alleviate

chronic inflammation and fibrosis.

Taken together, our data indicate that individually inhibiting either *Acvr1b* or *Tgfbr1*

may not be sufficient to alleviate muscle pathologies, nevertheless combined inhibition of both

Acvr1b and *Tgfbr1* may increase muscle mass and accelerate early muscle regeneration.

 Materials and Methods

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
strain, strain background (include species and sex here)	C57BL/6	PMID: 22564549	# 025750	Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA
genetic reagent (include species here)	HSA-MCM	PMID: 22564549	# 025750	Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA
genetic reagent (include species here)	Acvr1b$^{fl/f}$	PMID: 23109354		Cancer Research Center of Lyon, French Institute of Health and Medical Research
genetic reagent (include species here)	Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$	PMID: 11285230		Leiden University Medical Center
antibody	Mouse anti-MHC-I	DSHB, Iowa City, IA, USA	BAD-5-s	IF (1 μg/mL)
antibody	Mouse anti-MHC-IIA	DSHB, Iowa City, IA, USA	SC-71	IF (10 μg/mL)

antibody	Mouse anti-MHC-IIB	DSHB, Iowa City, IA, USA	BF-F3	IF (1 µg/mL)
antibody	Mouse anti-MHC-IIX	DSHB, Iowa City, IA, USA	6H1	IF (1 µg/mL)
antibody	Mouse anti-Embryonic myosin heavy chain (eMyHc)	DSHB, Iowa City, IA, USA	F1.652-s	IF (20 µg/mL)
antibody	Mouse anti-Pax7	DSHB, Iowa City, IA, USA	PAX7	IF (4 µg/mL)
antibody	Rabbit anti-F4/80 (D4C8V) XP	Cell signaling, USA	#30325	IF (0.5 µg/mL)
antibody	Mouse anti-Myogenin	DSHB, Iowa City, IA, USA	F5D	IF (0.6 µg/mL)
antibody	Ki67	Rabbit mAb (Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate)	#1182	1:200
antibody	Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor® 647 IgG$_{2b}$	Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA	A21242	IF (5 µg/mL)
antibody	Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor® 488 IgG$_1$	Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA	A21121	IF (5 µg/mL)
antibody	Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor® 647 IgM	Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA	A21238	IF (5 µg/mL)

antibody	Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor® 488 IgM	Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA	A21042	IF (5 µg/mL)
antibody	Goat anti-Mouse Alexa Fluor® 488 IgG (H+L)	Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA	A11029	IF (4-5 µg/mL)
antibody	Anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), F(ab')2 Fragment Alexa Fluor® 488 Conjugate	Cell signaling, USA	#4412	IF (5 µg/mL)
sequence-based reagent	Rps13-F	This paper	PCR primers	CACGTGGCTGAAGTTGACG
sequence-based reagent	Rps13-R	This paper	PCR primers	CAGGATTACACCTATCTGGGAGG
sequence-based reagent	Rpl27-F	This paper	PCR primers	AGCCGTCATCGTGAAGAAC
sequence-based reagent	Rpl27-R	This paper	PCR primers	GGGGATAGCGGTCAATTCC
sequence-based reagent	Tgfbr1-F	This paper	PCR primers	CCTCGAGACAGGCCATTTGT
sequence-based reagent	Tgfbr1-F	This paper	PCR primers	AGACGAAGCAGACTGGACCA
sequence-based reagent	Acvr1b-F	This paper	PCR primers	TGCTGCGCCATGAAAACATC

sequence-based reagent	Acvr1b-F	This paper	PCR primers	TGCCCACAATCTCCAT ATGCA
sequence-based reagent	Tgf-β1-F	This paper	PCR primers	GCTGACCCCCACTGAT ACG
sequence-based reagent	Tgf-β1-R	This paper	PCR primers	CCTGTATTCCGTCTCC TTGGTT
sequence-based reagent	Mstn-F	This paper	PCR primers	GAGAATGGCCATGATC TTGCTG
sequence-based reagent	Mstn-R	This paper	PCR primers	CTTCTAAAAAGGGATT CAGCCCATC
sequence-based reagent	Igf1ea-F	This paper	PCR primers	GTGTTGCTTCCGGAGCT GTG
sequence-based reagent	Igf1ea-R	This paper	PCR primers	CAATGTACTTCCTTCT GAGTC
sequence-based reagent	Hgf-F	This paper	PCR primers	GATTATTGCCCTATTT CCCGTTGTG
sequence-based reagent	Hgf-R	This paper	PCR primers	TGGCACAGGATATTAC AGGATGG
sequence-based reagent	Mgf-F	This paper	PCR primers	GGAGAAGGAAAGGAA GTACATTTG

sequence-based reagent	Mgf-R	This paper	PCR primers	CCTGCTCCGTGGGAGGCT
sequence-based reagent	Vegf-F	This paper	PCR primers	CTGTAACGATGAAGCCCTGGAGTG
sequence-based reagent	Vegf-R	This paper	PCR primers	GGTGAGGTTTGATCCGCATGATCT
sequence-based reagent	Pax7-F	This paper	PCR primers	TCCATCAAGCCAGGAGACA
sequence-based reagent	Pax7-R	This paper	PCR primers	AGGAAGAAGTCCCACACAG
sequence-based reagent	Myod-F	This paper	PCR primers	CATCCAGCCCGCTCCAAC
sequence-based reagent	Myod-R	This paper	PCR primers	GGGCCGCTGTAATCCATCATGCC
sequence-based reagent	Myog-F	This paper	PCR primers	CCCAACCCAGGAGATCATTT
sequence-based reagent	Myog-R	This paper	PCR primers	GTCTGGGAAGGCAACAGACA
sequence-based reagent	Myh3-F	This paper	PCR primers	CGCAGAATCGCAAGTCAATA

sequence-based reagent	Myh3-R	This paper	PCR primers	CAGGAGGTCTTGCTCACTCC
sequence-based reagent	Id1-F	This paper	PCR primers	ACCCTGAACGGCGAGATCA
sequence-based reagent	Id1-R	This paper	PCR primers	TCGTCGGCTGGAACACAT
sequence-based reagent	Fgf2-F	This paper	PCR primers	AAGCGGCTCTACTGCAAGAA
sequence-based reagent	Fgf2-R	This paper	PCR primers	GTAACACACTTAGAAGCCAGCAG
sequence-based reagent	Ctgf-F	This paper	PCR primers	CCACCCGAGTTACCAATGAC
sequence-based reagent	Ctgf-R	This paper	PCR primers	GCTTGGCGATTTTAGGTGTC
sequence-based reagent	Col1a1-F	This paper	PCR primers	ATGTTCAGCTTTGTGGACCT
sequence-based reagent	Col1a1-R	This paper	PCR primers	CAGCTGACTTCAGGGATGT
sequence-based reagent	Col3a1-F	This paper	PCR primers	AAGGACATCGAGGATTCCCTG

sequence-based reagent	Col3a1-R	This paper	PCR primers	AGCCCTCAGATCCTCTTTCAC
sequence-based reagent	Cd68-F	This paper	PCR primers	TCCCAACAAAACCAAGGTCCA
sequence-based reagent	Cd68-R	This paper	PCR primers	GGCTCTGATGTAGGTCCTGTTT
sequence-based reagent	Cd163-F	This paper	PCR primers	CGGCCCCATGAAGAGGTATC
sequence-based reagent	Cd163-R	This paper	PCR primers	GACGGTTGACCCAGTTGTTG
sequence-based reagent	Il-1β-F	This paper	PCR primers	GCCACCTTTTGACAGTGATG
sequence-based reagent	Il-1β-R	This paper	PCR primers	CTTCTCCACAGCCACAATGA
sequence-based reagent	Il-6-F	This paper	PCR primers	GGAAATGAGAAAAGAGTTGTGC
sequence-based reagent	Il-6-R	This paper	PCR primers	GTACTCCAGAAGACCAGAGGA
sequence-based reagent	Atrogin-1-F	This paper	PCR primers	AGACTGGACTTCTCGACTGC

sequence -based reagent	Atrogin-1-R	This paper	PCR primers	TCAGCTCCAACAACAG CCTTACT
sequence -based reagent	Murf-1-F	This paper	PCR primers	CGTCCAGAGCGTGTGT CTCACTC
sequence -based reagent	Murf-1-R	This paper	PCR primers	GGGCTACCTTCCTCTC AAGTGC
sequence -based reagent	Tcf4-F	This paper	PCR primers	GGAAAGCCCTAGCTTC GATCT
sequence -based reagent	Tcf4-R	This paper	PCR primers	GGAGCCCACAGGAGT TGAA
sequence -based reagent	Pdgfra-F	This paper	PCR primers	ACTTTTCACTCCGGGT ATCGG
sequence -based reagent	Pdgfra-R	This paper	PCR primers	CCCATAGCTCCTGAGA CCTTC
commerc ial assay or kit	RiboPure™ RNA Purification Kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	AM1924	
commerc ial assay or kit	SuperScript VILO Mastermix	Thermo Fisher Scientific	12023679	

Animal housing and welfare

The HSA-MCM transgenic mouse line (McCarthy et al., 2012b) was obtained from Jackson

Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME,USA (stock number # 025750), the Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ mouse line

(Ripoche et al., 2013) was obtained from Philippe Bertolino (Cancer Research Center of Lyon,

French Institute of Health and Medical Research) and the Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mouse line (Larsson et al.,

2001) was provided by Peter ten Dijke (Leiden University Medical Center). All mouse lines

were of a C57BL/6 background. Mouse lines were cross-bred in house to obtain mouse lines

HSA-MCM:Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$, HSA-MCM:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ and HSA-MCM:Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$. Animals

were housed in a controlled 12 hours light-dark cycle (light on 6:00-18:00 GMT + 1h) with a

temperature of 21 ± 1°C and a humidity between 40 and 70%. Food (Teklad, Envigo, Horst,

The Netherlands) and water were available at libitum. All experiments were performed

according to the national guidelines approved by the Central Committee for Animal

Experiments (CCD) (AVD112002017862) and the Institute of Animal Welfare (IvD) of the

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.

<u>Genotyping</u>

In these mouse models, skeletal myofibre specific Cre expression is driven by the HSA

promoter which can be activated by TMX, resulting in the deletion of targeted exon of 5 and 6

of *Acvr1b* and exon 3 of *Tgfbr1* resulting in a targeted knockout of the gene. Genotyping for

the HSA-MCM, Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ genes was performed by isolating DNA from ear

biopsies of offspring and PCR was performed in a 2720 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems,

Foster City, CA, USA). PCR master mix per sample was prepared by mixing 0.2 μl of

AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase, 2.5 μl of gold buffer, 1.5 μl of MgCl$_2$ (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, 4311806, Waltham, MA, USA), 0.5 μl of dNTPs (100mM diluted 10×, Invitrogen

10297018, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 1 μl of each primer diluted in DNAse/RNAse free water to

obtain a volume of 23 μl Master mix per sample. 2 μl DNA was added per sample. The

following PCR programs were used: for HSA-MCM and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$: 94°C for 5 minutes,

followed by a 35× cycle of 94°C for 30 seconds, 58°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 10 minutes,

finishing with 72°C for 10 minutes and cooled down to 4°C. PCR program for Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$: 94°C

for 4 minutes, followed by a 35× cycle of 94°C for 30 seconds, 50°C for 45 seconds and 72°C

for 1 minute, finishing with 72°C for 5 minutes and cooled down to 4°C. Amplified DNA was

mixed with 5 μl loading buffer and samples were loaded in a 4% agarose gel using SYBR safe

DNA gel staining 1000× concentrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific s33102, Waltham, MA, USA),

DNA was separated by electrophoresis (25 minutes, 75 V) and gel image was taken using an

Image Quant LAS 500 chemo luminescence CCD camera (GE healthcare, life sciences,

Chicago, IL, USA).

Primer sequences: HSA-MCM gene: Forward, 5′- GCATGGTGGAGATCTTTGA-3′

(McCarthy et al., 2012b) and Reverse, 5′-CGACCGGCAAACGGACAGAAGC-'3 (McCarthy,

Srikuea, Kirby, Peterson, & Esser, 2012a). Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ gene: Acvr1b In4, 5'-

CAGTGGTTAAGAACACTGGC-3', Acvr1b In5, 5'- GTAGTGTTATGTGTTATTGCC -3'

And Acvr1b In6, 5'GAGCAAGAGTTTCTCTATGTAG-3' (Ripoche et al., 2013). Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$

gene: Forward, 5'- CCTGCAGTAAACTTGGAATAAGAAG-'3, reverse, 5'-

GACCATCAGCTGTCAGTACCC-3' (Protocol 19216: Standard PCR Assay -

Tgfbr1<tm1.1Karl>, Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME,USA).

<u>Cardiotoxin induced injury assay</u>

Animals of each genotype were assigned to each timepoint randomly to ensure that groups were

on average the same age at the time of the first TMX injection. Littermates were assigned to

different timepoints. Six weeks old HSA-MCM:Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$, HSA-MCM:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$, HSA-

MCM:Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ and HSA-MCM Cre^{+} male mice were injected intraperitoneally

with 100 mg/kg/day tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich, T5648, Saint-Louis, MO, USA) in sunflower

oil (10 mg/ml) for five consecutive days. Five weeks post TMX injections, mice were injected

intramuscularly in the TA muscles of both hind limbs with 20 μl CTX from Naja pallida

(Latoxan Laboratory, L8102, Portes les Valence, France) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS)

(10 μM). CTX was slowly injected (1 μl/s) into mid muscle belly using a Hamilton syringe

with attached 34G needle inserted in a 15-25° angle, 2-3 mm deep. Mice were shortly

anesthetised using isoflurane 1.5-3% on a warm blanket during the injections. Mice were

divided into three groups: mice that were sacrificed 2 days or 4 days post injury and mice with

no CTX injection, that were sacrificed at day 0, which functioned as a baseline control. Mice

were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and TA muscles were isolated and frozen in isopentane

cooled in liquid nitrogen. Each subgroup contained 5-8 mice.

RNA isolation and reverse transcription

Whole TA muscle was used for RNA isolation. 50 mg cryopreserved TA muscle was

homogenised (Potter S 8533024, B. BRAUN) in 700 μl TRI reagent (Invitrogen, 11312940,

Carlsbad, CA, USA) and incubated at room temperature (RT) for 5 minutes. Samples were

centrifuged for 10 minutes (4°C, 12000 g). Supernatant was transferred to a new tube and 70

μl bromochloropropane (Sigma-Aldrich, B9673, Saint Louis, MO, USA) was added. Lysates

were inverted and incubated at RT for 5 minutes and centrifuged (4°C, 12000 g, 10 minutes).

RNA containing supernatant was transferred to a new centrifuge tube and washed with 100%

ethanol 2:1. RNA was further isolated using the RiboPure RNA purification kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, AM1924, Waltham, MA, USA). Then, 500 ng RNA and 4 μl SuperScript VILO

Mastermix (Invitrogen, 12023679, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were diluted to 20 μl in RNAse free

water and reverse transcription was performed in a 2720 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems,

Foster City, CA, USA), using the following program: 10 minutes at 25 °C, 60 minutes at 42 °C

and 5 minutes at 85 °C. cDNA was diluted 10× in RNAse free water.

Quantitative real time PCR

5 µl of PowerUp SYBR Green master mix (Applied Biosystems, A25742, Foster City, CA,

USA), 3 µl of primer mix and 2 µl of cDNA were added in duplo in a 96 wells plate. The

program ran on the Quant Studio 3 real time PCR (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA)

was 2 minutes at 50°C, 2 minutes at 95°C, 40× 1 second at 95°C and 30 seconds at 60°C, 15

seconds at 95°C, 1 minute at 60°C and 15 seconds at 95°C. Geometric mean of reference genes

ribosomal protein S13 (*Rps13*) and ribosomal protein L27 (*Rpl27*) was used to correct for

cDNA input. The efficiency of all primers sequences (Key Resources Table) was > 98%.

<u>Western blot</u>

Fifty 20 µm cross sections of TA and EDL muscles were obtained using a cryostat microtome

(Microm HM550, Adamas Instruments, Rhenen, The Netherlands). GM tissue and whole EDL

muscles were lysed (Potter S 8533024, B. BRAUN) in RIPA buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, R0278,

Saint Louis, MO, USA) containing 1 tablet of protease inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich, 11836153001)

and 1 tablet of phosStop (Sigma-Aldrich, 04906837001) per 10ml. The total protein

concentration in the lysates was determined using a Pierce BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo

Scientific, 23225). A 4–20% Mini-PROTEAN® TGX™ Precast Protein Gels was used. 15µl

sample mix containing 12µg total protein and 4µl sample buffer (4× Laemmli Sample Buffer,

Bio-Rad, 1610747) with 10% mercaptoethanol (Bio-Rad, 1610710) was heated to 95ºC for 5

minutes, cooled on ice and loaded onto the gel. After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred

onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (GE Healthcare, 15269894) for blotting at

80V for 60 minutes. The membrane was incubated for 1 hour at RT in 2% enhanced

chemiluminiscence (ECL) prime blocking agent (GE Healthcare, RPN418). Membranes were

incubated overnight at 4ºC in blocking buffer (4% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in tris-buffered

saline with 0.1% Tween 20 detergent (TBST)) with primary antibody at a dilution of 1:500 for

Phospho-Smad2 (Ser465/467) (138D4) (Rabbit mAb, 3108, Cell signaling, USA), 1:500

Phospho-Smad3 (Ser423/425) (C25A9) (Rabbit mAb, 9520, Cell signalling, USA), 1:500 for

Purified mouse anti-Smad2/3 (610843, BD Biosciences, USA), 1:1000 for Phospho-AKT

(Ser473) (Rabbit mAb, 4060, Cell signaling, USA) , 1:2000 for AKT (pan) (C67E7) (Rabbit

mAb, 4691, Cell signaling, USA), Phospho-p70S6 Kinase (Thr389) (108D2) (Rabbit mAb,

8209, Cell signaling, USA), p70S6 Kinase (49D7) (Rabbit mAb, 2708, Cell signaling, USA)

and Pan-Actin (Rabbit Ab, 4968, Cell signalig, USA). Incubation with secondary antibody,

anti-Rabbit IgG-POD, (P0448, Agilent Dako, USA) or Rabbit anti-Mouse IgG, IgM (H+L),

HRP conjugate (31457, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) at a dilution of 1:2000 was done for 1

hour at RT in blocking buffer and detection was done using ECL detection kit (RPN2235, GE

Healthcare, USA). Images were taken by the ImageQuant LAS500 (GE healthcare, life

sciences, USA) and relative intensity of protein bands was quantified using ImageJ (Schneider,

Rasband, & Eliceiri, 2012). Pan-Actin was used as a loading control.

Tissue cross sectioning for histological analysis

For histological analysis, 10 μm thick cross-sections of TA or EDL muscles were obtained

using a cryostat microtome (Microm HM550, Adamas Instruments, Rhenen, The Netherlands),

mounted on microscope slides (super frost plus, Thermo Scientific, J1800AMNZ, Landsmeer,

The Netherlands) and stored at -80°C for further analyses. In addition, for TA muscle, 10 μm

thick longitudinal sections were obtained to measure the myonuclear length.

Histochemistry staining of H&E and Sirius Red staining

For H&E staining, slides with muscle sections were air dried for 10 minutes. Dried slides were

then stained in Hematoxylin for 2 minutes, then washed under tap water for 15 minutes and

further stained in Eosin for 1 minute. Immediately after Eosin staining, slides were rinsed 2× in

100% alcohol for 10 seconds and 2× in xylene for 10 minutes. Stained sections were mounted

in Entellan (Merck, 107960, Darmstadt, Germany), covered with coverslip and dried overnight

before analysis.

For Sirius Red staining, sections were air dried for 10 minutes at RT, then they were

fixed in acetone at -20°C for 10 minutes and subsequently fixed in saturated Bouin for 30

minutes at RT. Samples were stained by Sirius Red for 30 minutes and washed in 0.01 M

hydrochloric acid. Then, sections were rapidly dehydrated in 100% ethanol and xylene twice.

Stained sections were mounted in Entellan, covered with coverslip and dried overnight before

analysis (de Bruin, Smeulders, Kreulen, Huijing, & Jaspers, 2014).

Images were captured on a Zeiss Axioskop microscope (Carl Zeiss BV, Breda The

Netherlands) with Basler camera (Basler AG, Ahrensburg, Germany) using Manual WSI

scanner software (Microvisioneer) for collecting whole scan images. Regeneration area

percentage at day 0 was referred to area of myofibres with central nuclei divided by total area

of a whole muscle cross-section. Morphometry was performed using ImageJ software

(Schneider et al., 2012).

<u>Immunofluorescence staining</u>

Microscope slides with muscle sections were air dried for 10 minutes. For Pax7 staining,

sections were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at RT for 10 minutes and washed in PBS-

T (0.05% Tween 20). Sections were blocked at RT with 10% normal goat serum (NGS)

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, 50062Z, Waltham, MA, USA) (60 minutes for <u>myosin heavy chain</u>

(MyHC), eMyHC, F4/80 and Myogenin staining, or 30 minutes for Pax7 staining) or 5% NGS

with 0.3% Triton-X100 for 60 minutes for Ki67/Pax7 staining. Sections for Pax7 staining were

subsequently blocked in 1% BSA in PBS for 30 minutes. Sections were incubated in primary

antibodies (Key Resources Table) in 10% NGS (for MyHC, eMyHC or Myogenin) or in 0.1%

BSA (Pax7, F4/80 or Ki67/Pax7) at RT for 60 minutes or overnight. Then sections were

incubated in secondary antibodies (Key Resources Table) in 10% NGS for MyHC and eMyHC

staining, or in 0.1% BSA for Pax7 or F4/80 staining at RT in the dark for 60 minutes. After

this, sections were incubated in 1:50 diluted wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) (Fisher Scientific,

11590816, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) in PBS at RT for 20 minutes. Finally, slides were carefully

dried and mounted with Vectashield hardset mounting medium with 4',6-diamidino-2-

phenylindole (DAPI) (Brunschwig, H1500, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Slides were dried

overnight at 4°C.

<u>Immunofluorescence microscopy and analysis</u>

Images of all immunofluorescence assays were captured using a fluorescent microscope (Zeiss

Axiovert 200M, Hyland Scientific, Stanwood, WA, USA) with a PCO SensiCam camera (PCO,

Kelheim, Germany) using the program Slidebook 5.0 (Intelligent Imaging Innovations,

Göttingen, Germany). The images were analysed using ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012).

Individual images were taken across the entire cross-section and assembled into a composite

panoramic image. For TA, the inner part of the muscle tissue was referred to as high oxidative

region and the outer part was referred to as low oxidative region. For myofibre type analysis,

250 myofibres within each part were characterised in TA. Hybrid myofibre fluorescence was

assessed by myofibres stained by double colours and pure myofibres were determined by single

colour staining (Bloemberg & Quadrilatero, 2012). To determine CSA per myofibre type, CSA

was measured of 30 myofibres per type, or as many as were present within the tissue per TA.

For EDL, myofibre type and CSA of all myofibres within the muscle were determined by

SMASH (L. R. Smith & Barton, 2014). Within images stained for Pax7, the number of Pax7^{+}

nuclei and the number of myofibres were determined in the low oxidative region of TA from

about 200 myofibres (5 fields per specimen). SCs were defined as Pax7^{+} cells that were located

between plasma and basal lamina of myofibre (Lindstrom & Thornell, 2009). Within muscle

sections images stained for F4/80, the density of macrophages in TA at day 0 was determined

in 10 randomly selected locations in TA at day 0 and at least 3 locations within the injured

region at day 2 and 4 after injury. Macrophages were defined as F4/80^{+} cells that were located

in the interstitial region of the myofibres at day 0. To measure the number of myonuclei per

type IIB myofibre, 100 type IIB myofibres in the low oxidative region were taken into account

and nuclei were considered as myonuclei when they were located within the cytoplasm below

the basal lamina. To determine myonuclear length, images were taken at $10 \times$ magnification

using a fluorescent microscope. Mean myonuclear length was determined as the average value

of 30 nuclei. For regeneration analysis, myofibre CSA measurement was performed by

outlining 50 eMyHC[+] myofibres from three randomly chosen fields within the regenerating

area in muscle cross-sections of day 4. RI was defined as the number of nuclei within eMyHC[+]

myofibres divided by the number of eMyHC[+] myofibres, all eMyHC[+] myofibres in three

randomly chosen fields ($1.38mm^2$ per field) within the regenerating area were included in the

analysis. The densities of Ki67^{+}, Pax7^{+}, Ki67^{+}/Pax7^{+} and Myogenin^{+} cells in TA were

determined by counting the number of cells per mm^2 of muscle CSA. Ten images in low

oxidative region of TA on day 0, 2 and 4 were randomly selected. All analyses were performed

at 20× magnification.

<u>SDH assay</u>

Succinate dehydrogenase activity was quantified according to Van der Laarse (1989). Breiefly,

freshly muscle cross-sections (10 μm thick) were air dried for 15 minutes at RT. Sections were

incubated in prewarmed SDH (37.5 mM $NaH_2PO_4 \cdot H_2O$, 37.5 mM $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, added acid

to pH 7.6, 75 mM sodium succinate, 5 mM NaN_3, 0.5 g/L tetranitroblue tetrazolium (TNBT))

medium for 10 minutes at 37°C. Sections were rinsed 3 seconds in 0.01 M hydrochloric acid.

Then sections were rinsed for 1 minute twice in ultrapure water. Finally, sections were mounted

in glycerine gelatin (Merck, 48723, Darmstadt, Germany) with coverslips and dried overnight

before analysis. Images were captured by a Leica DMRB microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) with

calibrated grey filters and a CCD camera (Sony XC77CE, Towada, Japan) connected to a LG-

3 frame grabber (Scion, Frederick, MD, United States). The absorbances of the SDH-reaction

product in the sections were determined at 660 nm using a calibrated microdensitometer and

ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). SDH activity ($\Delta A_{660} \cdot \mu m^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$) was measured by the rate of

absorbance per section thickness per second ($\Delta A_{660}/(10 \ \mu m \cdot 600s)$) after subtracting

background activity in 5 locations in low oxidative region at 10× magnification. The integrated

SDH activity ($\Delta A_{660} \cdot \mu m \cdot s^{-1}$) was defined as SDH activity × myofibre CSA. Absorbance was

measured in a total of 50 myofibres per TA.

<u>Statistical analysis</u>

Graphs were made in Prism version 8 (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA, USA). All data

were presented as mean + standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was performed

in SPSS version 26 (IBM, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Sample size was determined a priori,

based on previously published *in vivo* research on TGF-β and myostatin. Statistical significance

for multiple comparisons was determined by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), three-

way ANOVA or independent t-test. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$. Data normality was tested

with a Shapiro-Wilk test ($P < 0.05$). Homogeneity of variance was tested with a Levene's test

($P < 0.01$). If necessary, data were square or log transformed. Post hoc Bonferroni or Games-

Howell corrections were performed. If normality was violated a Kruskall Wallis test was

performed.

<u>Data availability</u>

This study includes data deposited in Dryad.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Prinses Beatrix Spierfonds, grant number W.OR14-17 and a grant from the China Scholarship Council (CSC grant number 201808440351). We thank Philippe Bertolino (Cancer Research Center of Lyon, French Institute of Health and Medical Research) and Peter ten Dijke (Leiden University Medical Center) for providing the Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$ and Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ mouse lines. We thank animal caretakers of the Universitair Proefdier Centrum of the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, our colleague Wendy Noort and students Elke Schmitz and Bijee Visbeek for their contribution to data analysis.

Author contributions

Conceptualization and Methodology: M.M.G.H., A.S., R.A.G., W.M.H.H., R.T.J.

Formal Analysis: M.M.G.H., A.S.

Funding acquisition: R.T.J, W.M.H.H.

Investigation: M.M.G., A.S., R.A.G.

Resources: R.T.J., P.B.

Supervision: G.W., R.T.J.

Visualization and Writing – original draft: M.M.G.H., A.S.

Writing – review & editing: M.M.G.H., A.S., R.A.G., W.M.H.H., R.T.J.

Conflict of interests

Authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

Accornero, F., Kanisicak, O., Tjondrokoesoemo, A., Attia, A. C., McNally, E. M., & Molkentin, J. D. (2014). Myofiber-specific inhibition of TGFbeta signaling protects skeletal muscle from injury and dystrophic disease in mice. *Hum Mol Genet, 23*(25), 6903-6915. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddu413

Allen, R. E., & Boxhorn, L. K. (1989). Regulation of skeletal muscle satellite cell proliferation and differentiation by transforming growth factor-beta, insulin-like growth factor I, and fibroblast growth factor. *J Cell Physiol, 138*(2), 311-315. doi:10.1002/jcp.1041380213

Allen, R. E., Sheehan, S. M., Taylor, R. G., Kendall, T. L., & Rice, G. M. (1995). Hepatocyte growth factor activates quiescent skeletal muscle satellite cells in vitro. *J Cell Physiol, 165*(2), 307-312. doi:10.1002/jcp.1041650211

Amirouche, A., Durieux, A. C., Banzet, S., Koulmann, N., Bonnefoy, R., Mouret, C., . . . Freyssenet, D. (2009). Down-regulation of Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin signaling pathway in response to myostatin overexpression in skeletal muscle. *Endocrinology, 150*(1), 286-294. doi:10.1210/en.2008-0959

Amthor, H., Macharia, R., Navarrete, R., Schuelke, M., Brown, S. C., Otto, A., . . . Patel, K. (2007). Lack of myostatin results in excessive muscle growth but impaired force generation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 104*(6), 1835-1840. doi:10.1073/pnas.0604893104

Andreetta, F., Bernasconi, P., Baggi, F., Ferro, P., Oliva, L., Arnoldi, E., . . . Confalonieri, P. (2006). Immunomodulation of TGF-beta 1 in mdx mouse inhibits connective tissue proliferation in diaphragm but increases inflammatory response: implications for antifibrotic therapy. *J Neuroimmunol, 175*(1-2), 77-86. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2006.03.005

Arnold, L., Henry, A., Poron, F., Baba-Amer, Y., van Rooijen, N., Plonquet, A., . . . Chazaud, B. (2007). Inflammatory monocytes recruited after skeletal muscle injury switch into antiinflammatory macrophages to support myogenesis. *J Exp Med, 204*(5), 1057-1069. doi:10.1084/jem.20070075

Arsic, N., Zacchigna, S., Zentilin, L., Ramirez-Correa, G., Pattarini, L., Salvi, A., . . . Giacca, M. (2004). Vascular endothelial growth factor stimulates skeletal muscle regeneration in vivo. *Mol Ther, 10*(5), 844-854. doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2004.08.007

Assoian, R. K., Fleurdelys, B. E., Stevenson, H. C., Miller, P. J., Madtes, D. K., Raines, E. W., . . . Sporn, M. B. (1987). Expression and secretion of type beta transforming growth factor by activated human macrophages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 84*(17), 6020-6024. doi:10.1073/pnas.84.17.6020

Babcock, L. W., Knoblauch, M., & Clarke, M. S. (2015). The role of myostatin and activin receptor IIB in the regulation of unloading-induced myofiber type-specific skeletal muscle atrophy. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 119*(6), 633-642. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00762.2014

Bencze, M., Periou, B., Baba-Amer, Y., & Authier, F. J. (2019). Immunolabelling Myofiber Degeneration in Muscle Biopsies. *J Vis Exp*(154). doi:10.3791/59754

Bernasconi, P., Torchiana, E., Confalonieri, P., Brugnoni, R., Barresi, R., Mora, M., . . . Mantegazza, R. (1995). Expression of transforming growth factor-beta 1 in dystrophic patient muscles correlates with fibrosis. Pathogenetic role of a fibrogenic cytokine. *J Clin Invest, 96*(2), 1137-1144. doi:10.1172/JCI118101

Bloemberg, D., & Quadrilatero, J. (2012). Rapid determination of myosin heavy chain expression in rat, mouse, and human skeletal muscle using multicolor immunofluorescence analysis. *PLoS One, 7*(4), e35273. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035273

Bo Li, Z., Zhang, J., & Wagner, K. R. (2012). Inhibition of myostatin reverses muscle fibrosis through apoptosis. *J Cell Sci, 125*(Pt 17), 3957-3965. doi:10.1242/jcs.090365

Campbell, C., McMillan, H. J., Mah, J. K., Tarnopolsky, M., Selby, K., McClure, T., . . . Attie, K. M. (2017). Myostatin inhibitor ACE-031 treatment of ambulatory boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy: Results of a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Muscle Nerve, 55*(4), 458-464. doi:10.1002/mus.25268

Cantini, M., Massimino, M. L., Rapizzi, E., Rossini, K., Catani, C., Dalla Libera, L., & Carraro, U. (1995). Human satellite cell proliferation in vitro is regulated by autocrine secretion of IL-6 stimulated by a soluble factor(s) released by activated monocytes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 216*(1), 49-53. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1995.2590

Carlson, M. E., Hsu, M., & Conboy, I. M. (2008). Imbalance between pSmad3 and Notch induces CDK inhibitors in old muscle stem cells. *Nature, 454*(7203), 528-532. doi:10.1038/nature07034

Charge, S. B., & Rudnicki, M. A. (2004). Cellular and molecular regulation of muscle regeneration. *Physiol Rev, 84*(1), 209-238. doi:10.1152/physrev.00019.2003

Chaweewannakorn, C., Tsuchiya, M., Koide, M., Hatakeyama, H., Tanaka, Y., Yoshida, S., ... Kanzaki, M. (2018). Roles of IL-1alpha/beta in regeneration of cardiotoxin-injured muscle and satellite cell function. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol, 315*(1), R90-R103. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00310.2017

Chen, A. L., Ou, C. W., He, Z. C., Liu, Q. C., Dong, Q., & Chen, M. S. (2012). Effect of hepatocyte growth factor and angiotensin II on rat cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. *Braz J Med Biol Res, 45*(12), 1150-1156. doi:10.1590/s0100-879x2012007500159

Chen, J. L., Walton, K. L., Hagg, A., Colgan, T. D., Johnson, K., Qian, H., . . . Harrison, C. A. (2017). Specific targeting of TGF-beta family ligands demonstrates distinct roles in the regulation of muscle mass in health and disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 114*(26), E5266-E5275. doi:10.1073/pnas.1620013114

Chen, J. L., Walton, K. L., Winbanks, C. E., Murphy, K. T., Thomson, R. E., Makanji, Y., . . . Gregorevic, P. (2014). Elevated expression of activins promotes muscle wasting and cachexia. *FASEB J, 28*(4), 1711-1723. doi:10.1096/fj.13-245894

Choi, W., Lee, J., Lee, J., Ko, K. R., & Kim, S. (2018). Hepatocyte Growth Factor Regulates the miR-206-HDAC4 Cascade to Control Neurogenic Muscle Atrophy following Surgical Denervation in Mice. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids, 12*, 568-577. doi:10.1016/j.omtn.2018.06.013

Coleman, M. E., DeMayo, F., Yin, K. C., Lee, H. M., Geske, R., Montgomery, C., & Schwartz, R. J. (1995). Myogenic vector expression of insulin-like growth factor I stimulates muscle cell differentiation and myofiber hypertrophy in transgenic mice. *J Biol Chem, 270*(20), 12109-12116. doi:10.1074/jbc.270.20.12109

Conceicao, M. S., Vechin, F. C., Lixandrao, M., Damas, F., Libardi, C. A., Tricoli, V., . . . Ugrinowitsch, C. (2018). Muscle Fiber Hypertrophy and Myonuclei Addition: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc, 50*(7), 1385-1393. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000001593

Costelli, P., Muscaritoli, M., Bonetto, A., Penna, F., Reffo, P., Bossola, M., . . . Rossi Fanelli, F. (2008). Muscle myostatin signalling is enhanced in experimental cancer cachexia. *Eur J Clin Invest, 38*(7), 531-538. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2362.2008.01970.x

da Silva, R. P., Platt, N., de Villiers, J. S., & Gordon, S. (1996). Membrane molecules and macrophage endocytosis: scavenger receptor and macrosialin as markers of plasma-membrane and vacuolar functions. *Biochem Soc Trans, 24*(1), 220-224. doi:10.1042/bst0240220

de Bruin, M., Smeulders, M. J., Kreulen, M., Huijing, P. A., & Jaspers, R. T. (2014). Intramuscular connective tissue differences in spastic and control muscle: a mechanical and histological study. *PLoS One, 9*(6), e101038. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101038

Delaney, K., Kasprzycka, P., Ciemerych, M. A., & Zimowska, M. (2017). The role of TGF-beta1 during skeletal muscle regeneration. *Cell Biol Int, 41*(7), 706-715. doi:10.1002/cbin.10725

Gal-Levi, R., Leshem, Y., Aoki, S., Nakamura, T., & Halevy, O. (1998). Hepatocyte growth factor plays a dual role in regulating skeletal muscle satellite cell proliferation and differentiation. *Biochim Biophys Acta, 1402*(1), 39-51. doi:10.1016/s0167-4889(97)00124-9

Gillies, A. R., & Lieber, R. L. (2011). Structure and function of the skeletal muscle extracellular matrix. *Muscle Nerve, 44*(3), 318-331. doi:10.1002/mus.22094

Girgenrath, S., Song, K., & Whittemore, L. A. (2005). Loss of myostatin expression alters fiber-type distribution and expression of myosin heavy chain isoforms in slow- and fast-type skeletal muscle. *Muscle Nerve, 31*(1), 34-40. doi:10.1002/mus.20175

Goodman, C. A., McNally, R. M., Hoffmann, F. M., & Hornberger, T. A. (2013). Smad3 induces atrogin-1, inhibits mTOR and protein synthesis, and promotes muscle atrophy in vivo. *Mol Endocrinol, 27*(11), 1946-1957. doi:10.1210/me.2013-1194

Grabiec, K., Tokarska, J., Milewska, M., Blaszczyk, M., Gajewska, M., & Grzelkowska-Kowalczyk, K. (2013). Interleukin-1beta stimulates early myogenesis of mouse C2C12 myoblasts: the impact on myogenic regulatory factors, extracellular matrix components, IGF binding proteins and protein kinases. *Pol J Vet Sci, 16*(2), 255-264. doi:10.2478/pjvs-2013-0036

Greco, S. H., Tomkotter, L., Vahle, A. K., Rokosh, R., Avanzi, A., Mahmood, S. K., . . . Miller, G. (2015). TGF-beta Blockade Reduces Mortality and Metabolic Changes in a Validated Murine Model of Pancreatic Cancer Cachexia. *PLoS One, 10*(7), e0132786. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132786

Grotendorst, G. R., Smale, G., & Pencev, D. (1989). Production of transforming growth factor beta by human peripheral blood monocytes and neutrophils. *J Cell Physiol, 140*(2), 396-402. doi:10.1002/jcp.1041400226

Hardy, D., Besnard, A., Latil, M., Jouvion, G., Briand, D., Thepenier, C., . . . Chretien, F. (2016). Comparative Study of Injury Models for Studying Muscle Regeneration in Mice. *PLoS One, 11*(1), e0147198. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147198

Hennebry, A., Berry, C., Siriett, V., O'Callaghan, P., Chau, L., Watson, T., . . . Kambadur, R. (2009). Myostatin regulates fiber-type composition of skeletal muscle by regulating MEF2 and MyoD gene expression. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol, 296*(3), C525-534. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00259.2007

Hillege, M. M. G., Galli Caro, R. A., Offringa, C., de Wit, G. M. J., Jaspers, R. T., & Hoogaars, W. M. H. (2020). TGF-beta Regulates Collagen Type I Expression in Myoblasts and Myotubes via Transient Ctgf and Fgf-2 Expression. *Cells, 9*(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020375

Hock, M. B., & Kralli, A. (2009). Transcriptional control of mitochondrial biogenesis and function. *Annu Rev Physiol, 71*, 177-203. doi:10.1146/annurev.physiol.010908.163119

Hu, J. M., Liu, K., Liu, J. H., Jiang, X. L., Wang, X. L., Chen, Y. Z., . . . Li, F. (2017). CD163 as a marker of M2 macrophage, contribute to predicte aggressiveness and prognosis of Kazakh esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncotarget, 8*(13), 21526-21538. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.15630

Ishido, M., & Kasuga, N. (2011). In situ real-time imaging of the satellite cells in rat intact and injured soleus muscles using quantum dots. *Histochem Cell Biol, 135*(1), 21-26. doi:10.1007/s00418-010-0767-x

Kaariainen, M., Jarvinen, T., Jarvinen, M., Rantanen, J., & Kalimo, H. (2000). Relation between
myofibers and connective tissue during muscle injury repair. *Scand J Med Sci Sports,*
10(6), 332-337. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0838.2000.010006332.x

Kehrl, J. H., Wakefield, L. M., Roberts, A. B., Jakowlew, S., Alvarez-Mon, M., Derynck, R., .
. . Fauci, A. S. (1986). Production of transforming growth factor beta by human T
lymphocytes and its potential role in the regulation of T cell growth. *J Exp Med, 163*(5),
1037-1050. doi:10.1084/jem.163.5.1037

Kemaladewi, D. U., de Gorter, D. J., Aartsma-Rus, A., van Ommen, G. J., ten Dijke, P., t Hoen,
P. A., & Hoogaars, W. M. (2012). Cell-type specific regulation of myostatin signaling.
FASEB J, 26(4), 1462-1472. doi:10.1096/fj.11-191189

Kotiadis, V. N., Duchen, M. R., & Osellame, L. D. (2014). Mitochondrial quality control and
communications with the nucleus are important in maintaining mitochondrial function
and cell health. *Biochim Biophys Acta, 1840*(4), 1254-1265.
doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.10.041

Langley, B., Thomas, M., Bishop, A., Sharma, M., Gilmour, S., & Kambadur, R. (2002).
Myostatin inhibits myoblast differentiation by down-regulating MyoD expression. *J*
Biol Chem, 277(51), 49831-49840. doi:10.1074/jbc.M204291200

Larsson, J., Goumans, M. J., Sjostrand, L. J., van Rooijen, M. A., Ward, D., Leveen, P., . . .
Karlsson, S. (2001). Abnormal angiogenesis but intact hematopoietic potential in TGF-
beta type I receptor-deficient mice. *EMBO J, 20*(7), 1663-1673.
doi:10.1093/emboj/20.7.1663

Latres, E., Mastaitis, J., Fury, W., Miloscio, L., Trejos, J., Pangilinan, J., . . . Gromada, J. (2017).
Activin A more prominently regulates muscle mass in primates than does GDF8. *Nat*
Commun, 8, 15153. doi:10.1038/ncomms15153

Latres, E., Pangilinan, J., Miloscio, L., Bauerlein, R., Na, E., Potocky, T. B., . . . Stitt, T. (2015).
Myostatin blockade with a fully human monoclonal antibody induces muscle
hypertrophy and reverses muscle atrophy in young and aged mice. *Skelet Muscle, 5*, 34.
doi:10.1186/s13395-015-0060-8

Lawrence, D. A., Pircher, R., Kryceve-Martinerie, C., & Jullien, P. (1984). Normal embryo
fibroblasts release transforming growth factors in a latent form. *J Cell Physiol, 121*(1),
184-188. doi:10.1002/jcp.1041210123

Lee, S. J., Huynh, T. V., Lee, Y. S., Sebald, S. M., Wilcox-Adelman, S. A., Iwamori, N., . . .
Fan, C. M. (2012). Role of satellite cells versus myofibers in muscle hypertrophy
induced by inhibition of the myostatin/activin signaling pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
S A, 109(35), E2353-2360. doi:10.1073/pnas.1206410109

Lee, S. J., Lee, Y. S., Zimmers, T. A., Soleimani, A., Matzuk, M. M., Tsuchida, K., . . . Barton,
E. R. (2010). Regulation of muscle mass by follistatin and activins. *Mol Endocrinol,*
24(10), 1998-2008. doi:10.1210/me.2010-0127

Lee, S. J., Lehar, A., Liu, Y., Ly, C. H., Pham, Q. M., Michaud, M., . . . Rando, T. A. (2020).
Functional redundancy of type I and type II receptors in the regulation of skeletal muscle
growth by myostatin and activin A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 117*(49), 30907-30917.
doi:10.1073/pnas.2019263117

Lefaucheur, J. P., & Sebille, A. (1995). Muscle regeneration following injury can be modified
in vivo by immune neutralization of basic fibroblast growth factor, transforming growth
factor beta 1 or insulin-like growth factor I. *J Neuroimmunol, 57*(1-2), 85-91.
doi:10.1016/0165-5728(94)00166-l

Leger, B., Derave, W., De Bock, K., Hespel, P., & Russell, A. P. (2008). Human sarcopenia
reveals an increase in SOCS-3 and myostatin and a reduced efficiency of Akt
phosphorylation. *Rejuvenation Res, 11*(1), 163-175B. doi:10.1089/rej.2007.0588

Lepper, C., Partridge, T. A., & Fan, C. M. (2011). An absolute requirement for Pax7-positive
satellite cells in acute injury-induced skeletal muscle regeneration. *Development,*
138(17), 3639-3646. doi:10.1242/dev.067595
Li, Y., Foster, W., Deasy, B. M., Chan, Y., Prisk, V., Tang, Y., . . . Huard, J. (2004).
Transforming growth factor-beta1 induces the differentiation of myogenic cells into
fibrotic cells in injured skeletal muscle: a key event in muscle fibrogenesis. *Am J Pathol,*
164(3), 1007-1019. doi:10.1016/s0002-9440(10)63188-4
Li, Z. B., Kollias, H. D., & Wagner, K. R. (2008). Myostatin directly regulates skeletal muscle
fibrosis. *J Biol Chem, 283*(28), 19371-19378. doi:10.1074/jbc.M802585200
Lindstrom, M., & Thornell, L. E. (2009). New multiple labelling method for improved satellite
cell identification in human muscle: application to a cohort of power-lifters and
sedentary men. *Histochem Cell Biol, 132*(2), 141-157. doi:10.1007/s00418-009-0606-0
Liu, D., Black, B. L., & Derynck, R. (2001). TGF-beta inhibits muscle differentiation through
functional repression of myogenic transcription factors by Smad3. *Genes Dev, 15*(22),
2950-2966. doi:10.1101/gad.925901
Mahdy, M. A., Lei, H. Y., Wakamatsu, J., Hosaka, Y. Z., & Nishimura, T. (2015). Comparative
study of muscle regeneration following cardiotoxin and glycerol injury. *Ann Anat, 202*,
18-27. doi:10.1016/j.aanat.2015.07.002
Mathew, S. J., Hansen, J. M., Merrell, A. J., Murphy, M. M., Lawson, J. A., Hutcheson, D. A.,
. . . Kardon, G. (2011). Connective tissue fibroblasts and Tcf4 regulate myogenesis.
Development, 138(2), 371-384. doi:10.1242/dev.057463
McCarthy, J. J., Srikuea, R., Kirby, T. J., Peterson, C. A., & Esser, K. A. (2012a). Correction:
Inducible Cre transgenic mouse strain for skeletal muscle-specific gene targeting. *Skelet*
Muscle, 2(1), 22. doi:10.1186/2044-5040-2-22
McCarthy, J. J., Srikuea, R., Kirby, T. J., Peterson, C. A., & Esser, K. A. (2012b). Inducible
Cre transgenic mouse strain for skeletal muscle-specific gene targeting. *Skelet Muscle,*
2(1), 8. doi:10.1186/2044-5040-2-8
McCroskery, S., Thomas, M., Platt, L., Hennebry, A., Nishimura, T., McLeay, L., . . .
Kambadur, R. (2005). Improved muscle healing through enhanced regeneration and
reduced fibrosis in myostatin-null mice. *J Cell Sci, 118*(Pt 15), 3531-3541.
doi:10.1242/jcs.02482
McFarlane, C., Plummer, E., Thomas, M., Hennebry, A., Ashby, M., Ling, N., . . . Kambadur,
R. (2006). Myostatin induces cachexia by activating the ubiquitin proteolytic system
through an NF-kappaB-independent, FoxO1-dependent mechanism. *J Cell Physiol,*
209(2), 501-514. doi:10.1002/jcp.20757
Mendias, C. L., Gumucio, J. P., Davis, M. E., Bromley, C. W., Davis, C. S., & Brooks, S. V.
(2012). Transforming growth factor-beta induces skeletal muscle atrophy and fibrosis
through the induction of atrogin-1 and scleraxis. *Muscle Nerve, 45*(1), 55-59.
doi:10.1002/mus.22232
Miller, K. J., Thaloor, D., Matteson, S., & Pavlath, G. K. (2000). Hepatocyte growth factor
affects satellite cell activation and differentiation in regenerating skeletal muscle. *Am J*
Physiol Cell Physiol, 278(1), C174-181. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.2000.278.1.C174
Mosser, D. M., & Edwards, J. P. (2008). Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation.
Nat Rev Immunol, 8(12), 958-969. doi:10.1038/nri2448
Murach, K. A., Englund, D. A., Dupont-Versteegden, E. E., McCarthy, J. J., & Peterson, C. A.
(2018). Myonuclear Domain Flexibility Challenges Rigid Assumptions on Satellite Cell
Contribution to Skeletal Muscle Fiber Hypertrophy. *Front Physiol, 9*, 635.
doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00635
Murphy, K. T., Chee, A., Gleeson, B. G., Naim, T., Swiderski, K., Koopman, R., & Lynch, G.
S. (2011). Antibody-directed myostatin inhibition enhances muscle mass and function

in tumor-bearing mice. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol, 301*(3), R716-726. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00121.2011

Murphy, M. M., Lawson, J. A., Mathew, S. J., Hutcheson, D. A., & Kardon, G. (2011). Satellite cells, connective tissue fibroblasts and their interactions are crucial for muscle regeneration. *Development, 138*(17), 3625-3637. doi:10.1242/dev.064162

Narola, J., Pandey, S. N., Glick, A., & Chen, Y. W. (2013). Conditional expression of TGF-beta1 in skeletal muscles causes endomysial fibrosis and myofibers atrophy. *PLoS One, 8*(11), e79356. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079356

Novak, M. L., Weinheimer-Haus, E. M., & Koh, T. J. (2014). Macrophage activation and skeletal muscle healing following traumatic injury. *J Pathol, 232*(3), 344-355. doi:10.1002/path.4301

Pedersen, B. K., Steensberg, A., & Schjerling, P. (2001). Exercise and interleukin-6. *Curr Opin Hematol, 8*(3), 137-141. doi:10.1097/00062752-200105000-00002

Perdomo, G., Martinez-Brocca, M. A., Bhatt, B. A., Brown, N. F., O'Doherty, R. M., & Garcia-Ocana, A. (2008). Hepatocyte growth factor is a novel stimulator of glucose uptake and metabolism in skeletal muscle cells. *J Biol Chem, 283*(20), 13700-13706. doi:10.1074/jbc.M707551200

Rebbapragada, A., Benchabane, H., Wrana, J. L., Celeste, A. J., & Attisano, L. (2003). Myostatin signals through a transforming growth factor beta-like signaling pathway to block adipogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol, 23*(20), 7230-7242. doi:10.1128/mcb.23.20.7230-7242.2003

Reibman, J., Meixler, S., Lee, T. C., Gold, L. I., Cronstein, B. N., Haines, K. A., . . . Weissmann, G. (1991). Transforming growth factor beta 1, a potent chemoattractant for human neutrophils, bypasses classic signal-transduction pathways. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 88*(15), 6805-6809. doi:10.1073/pnas.88.15.6805

Ripoche, D., Gout, J., Pommier, R. M., Jaafar, R., Zhang, C. X., Bartholin, L., & Bertolino, P. (2013). Generation of a conditional mouse model to target Acvr1b disruption in adult tissues. *Genesis, 51*(2), 120-127. doi:10.1002/dvg.22352

Rivero, J. L., Talmadge, R. J., & Edgerton, V. R. (1998). Fibre size and metabolic properties of myosin heavy chain-based fibre types in rat skeletal muscle. *J Muscle Res Cell Motil, 19*(7), 733-742. doi:10.1023/a:1005482816442

Sartori, R., Gregorevic, P., & Sandri, M. (2014). TGFbeta and BMP signaling in skeletal muscle: potential significance for muscle-related disease. *Trends Endocrinol Metab, 25*(9), 464-471. doi:10.1016/j.tem.2014.06.002

Sartori, R., Milan, G., Patron, M., Mammucari, C., Blaauw, B., Abraham, R., & Sandri, M. (2009). Smad2 and 3 transcription factors control muscle mass in adulthood. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol, 296*(6), C1248-1257. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00104.2009

Schaer, D. J., Boretti, F. S., Hongegger, A., Poehler, D., Linnscheid, P., Staege, H., . . . Schaffner, A. (2001). Molecular cloning and characterization of the mouse CD163 homologue, a highly glucocorticoid-inducible member of the scavenger receptor cysteine-rich family. *Immunogenetics, 53*(2), 170-177. doi:10.1007/s002510100304

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods, 9*(7), 671-675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089

Schultz, E., Jaryszak, D. L., & Valliere, C. R. (1985). Response of satellite cells to focal skeletal muscle injury. *Muscle Nerve, 8*(3), 217-222. doi:10.1002/mus.880080307

Serrano, A. L., Baeza-Raja, B., Perdiguero, E., Jardi, M., & Munoz-Canoves, P. (2008). Interleukin-6 is an essential regulator of satellite cell-mediated skeletal muscle hypertrophy. *Cell Metab, 7*(1), 33-44. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2007.11.011

Smith, L. R., & Barton, E. R. (2014). SMASH - semi-automatic muscle analysis using segmentation of histology: a MATLAB application. *Skelet Muscle, 4*, 21. doi:10.1186/2044-5040-4-21

Smith, M. J., & Koch, G. L. (1987). Differential expression of murine macrophage surface glycoprotein antigens in intracellular membranes. *J Cell Sci, 87 (Pt 1)*, 113-119. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3312248

Tanaka, M., Miyazaki, H., Takeda, Y., & Takeo, S. (1993). Detection of serum cytokine levels in experimental cancer cachexia of colon 26 adenocarcinoma-bearing mice. *Cancer Lett, 72*(1-2), 65-70. doi:10.1016/0304-3835(93)90012-x

Tatsumi, R., Anderson, J. E., Nevoret, C. J., Halevy, O., & Allen, R. E. (1998). HGF/SF is present in normal adult skeletal muscle and is capable of activating satellite cells. *Dev Biol, 194*(1), 114-128. doi:10.1006/dbio.1997.8803

ten Dijke, P., Yamashita, H., Ichijo, H., Franzen, P., Laiho, M., Miyazono, K., & Heldin, C. H. (1994). Characterization of type I receptors for transforming growth factor-beta and activin. *Science, 264*(5155), 101-104. doi:10.1126/science.8140412

Tidball, J. G. (2017). Regulation of muscle growth and regeneration by the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol, 17*(3), 165-178. doi:10.1038/nri.2016.150

Trendelenburg, A. U., Meyer, A., Jacobi, C., Feige, J. N., & Glass, D. J. (2012). TAK-1/p38/nNFkappaB signaling inhibits myoblast differentiation by increasing levels of Activin A. *Skelet Muscle, 2*(1), 3. doi:10.1186/2044-5040-2-3

Trendelenburg, A. U., Meyer, A., Rohner, D., Boyle, J., Hatakeyama, S., & Glass, D. J. (2009). Myostatin reduces Akt/TORC1/p70S6K signaling, inhibiting myoblast differentiation and myotube size. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol, 296*(6), C1258-1270. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00105.2009

Tsuchida, K., Nakatani, M., Uezumi, A., Murakami, T., & Cui, X. (2008). Signal transduction pathway through activin receptors as a therapeutic target of musculoskeletal diseases and cancer. *Endocr J, 55*(1), 11-21. doi:10.1507/endocrj.kr-110

Tsunawaki, S., Sporn, M., Ding, A., & Nathan, C. (1988). Deactivation of macrophages by transforming growth factor-beta. *Nature, 334*(6179), 260-262. doi:10.1038/334260a0

van der laarse, W., Tombe, A. L., Groot, M. B. E., & Diegenbach, P. C. (1997). Size Principle of Striated Muscle Cells. *Netherlands Journal of Zoology, 48*, 213-223. doi:10.1163/156854298X00075

van der Laarse, W. J., Diegenbach, P. C., & Elzinga, G. (1989). Maximum rate of oxygen consumption and quantitative histochemistry of succinate dehydrogenase in single muscle fibres of Xenopus laevis. *J Muscle Res Cell Motil, 10*(3), 221-228. doi:10.1007/BF01739812

van der Meer, S. F., Jaspers, R. T., Jones, D. A., & Degens, H. (2011). The time course of myonuclear accretion during hypertrophy in young adult and older rat plantaris muscle. *Ann Anat, 193*(1), 56-63. doi:10.1016/j.aanat.2010.08.004

van Wessel, T., de Haan, A., van der Laarse, W. J., & Jaspers, R. T. (2010). The muscle fiber type-fiber size paradox: hypertrophy or oxidative metabolism? *Eur J Appl Physiol, 110*(4), 665-694. doi:10.1007/s00421-010-1545-0

Verbrugge, S. A. J., Gehlert, S., Stadhouders, L. E. M., Jacko, D., Aussieker, T., G, M. J. d. W., . . . Wackerhage, H. (2020). PKM2 Determines Myofiber Hypertrophy In Vitro and Increases in Response to Resistance Exercise in Human Skeletal Muscle. *Int J Mol Sci, 21*(19). doi:10.3390/ijms21197062

Wahl, S. M., Hunt, D. A., Wakefield, L. M., McCartney-Francis, N., Wahl, L. M., Roberts, A. B., & Sporn, M. B. (1987). Transforming growth factor type beta induces monocyte chemotaxis and growth factor production. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 84*(16), 5788-5792. doi:10.1073/pnas.84.16.5788

Wiseman, D. M., Polverini, P. J., Kamp, D. W., & Leibovich, S. J. (1988). Transforming growth
factor-beta (TGF beta) is chemotactic for human monocytes and induces their
expression of angiogenic activity. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 157*(2), 793-800.
doi:10.1016/s0006-291x(88)80319-x
Wu, X., Zhao, J., Cui, X. L., Li, Q., Tao, H., Pan, Q. J., . . . Li, M. Q. (2017). [Prevalence of
type-specific human papillomavirus infection among 18-45 year-old women from the
general population in Liuzhou, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region: a cross-sectional
study]. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi, 38*(4), 467-471.
doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2017.04.011
Yang, S. Y., & Goldspink, G. (2002). Different roles of the IGF-I Ec peptide (MGF) and mature
IGF-I in myoblast proliferation and differentiation. *FEBS Lett, 522*(1-3), 156-160.
doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(02)02918-6
Zhang, C., Li, Y., Wu, Y., Wang, L., Wang, X., & Du, J. (2013). Interleukin-6/signal transducer
and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) pathway is essential for macrophage
infiltration and myoblast proliferation during muscle regeneration. *J Biol Chem, 288*(3),
1489-1499. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.419788
Zimmers, T. A., Davies, M. V., Koniaris, L. G., Haynes, P., Esquela, A. F., Tomkinson, K. N.,
. . . Lee, S. J. (2002). Induction of cachexia in mice by systemically administered
myostatin. *Science, 296*(5572), 1486-1488. doi:10.1126/science.1069525
Zimowska, M., Duchesnay, A., Dragun, P., Oberbek, A., Moraczewski, J., & Martelly, I.
(2009). Immunoneutralization of TGFbeta1 Improves Skeletal Muscle Regeneration:
Effects on Myoblast Differentiation and Glycosaminoglycan Content. *Int J Cell Biol,
2009*, 659372. doi:10.1155/2009/659372
1368

1369

1370

1371

1372   Figure 5-figure supplement 1. Number of macrophages in TA of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$
1373   animals was increased 4 days post injury. Number of macrophages (F4/80$^+$, green) in
1374   control and Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$:Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals on day 2 and 4 post injury. Nuclei were stained
1375   by DAPI (blue) and ECM of muscle were stained by WGA (red). Scale bar=100 μm.
1376   N=5-7.
1377

1378

1379

Figure 5-figure supplement 2. Increased number of proliferating cells and satellite cells in
TA of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$: Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals in absence of injury and 4 days post injury. (A) In
absence of injury, proliferating cells (Ki67$^+$, green, white arrows) and muscle satellite
cells (Pax7$^+$, red, white arrows) were shown by IF staining in low oxidative area of TA,
where regeneration patches were found. Two (B) and 4 (C) days post injury, more Ki67$^+$
and Pax7$^+$ cells (white arrows) infiltrated in injured area. Nuclei were stained by DAPI
(blue) and ECM of muscle were stained by WGA (yellow). Scale bar=100 μm. N=5-7.
1387

1388

1389

Figure 5-figure supplement 3. Increased number of differentiating muscle cells in TA of
Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$: Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals 4 days post injury. On day 0 (A) and 2 days (B) post injury,
number of differentiating myoblasts (myogenin$^+$, red, white arrows) was of no difference
between groups. Four days (C) post injury, more Myogenin$^+$ cells were found in injured
area of Acvr1b$^{fl/fl}$: Tgfbr1$^{fl/fl}$ animals. Nuclei were stained by DAPI (blue) and ECM of
muscle were stained by WGA (yellow). Scale bar=100 μm. N=5-7.