Title

Ecological relevance of abundant and rare taxa in a highly-diverse elastic hypersaline microbial mat, using a small-scale sampling

Authors

Laura Espinosa-Asuar[1,*], Camila Monroy[1], David Madrigal-Trejo[1], Marisol Navarro-Miranda[1], Jazmín Sánchez-Pérez[1], Jhoseline Muñoz[1], Juan Diego Villar[2], Julián Cifuentes[2], Maria Kalambokidis[1], Diego A. Esquivel-Hernández[1], Mariette Viladomat[1], Ana Elena Escalante-Hernández[3], Patricia Velez[4], Mario Figueroa[5], Santiago Ramírez Barahona[4], Jaime Gasca-Pineda[1], Luis E. Eguiarte[1]and Valeria Souza[1,*].

Affiliations

[1]Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva, Instituto de Ecología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, AP 70-275, Coyoacán 04510, Ciudad de México, México

[2]Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá D.C., Colombia

[3]Laboratorio Nacional de Ciencias de la Sostenibilidad, Instituto de Ecología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, AP 70-275, Coyoacán 04510, Ciudad de México, México, City, México

[4]Departamento de Botánica, Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Coyoacán 04510, Ciudad de México, México

[5]Facultad de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Coyoacán 04510, Ciudad de México, México

*corresponding authors:

lauasuar@ecologia.unam.mx; souza@unam.mx

Abstract

We evaluated the microbial diversity and metabolomic signatures of a hypersaline elastic microbial mat from Cuatro Ciénegas Basin (CCB) in the Chihuahuan Desert of Coahuila, México. We collected ten samples within a small scale (1.5-meters transect) and found a high microbial diversity through NGS-based ITS and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. A very low number of taxa were abundant and shared between all sites, whereas the rare biosphere was more phylogenetically diverse (FPD index) and phylogenetically disperse (PSC index) than the abundant taxa for both analyzed libraries. In regard to potential biotic interactions (Pearson analysis), there were more positive correlations than negative. We also found a distinctive metabolomic signature for each sample and were able to tentatively annotate several classes of compounds with relevant biological properties. Together, these results reveal cohesive, diverse and fluctuating microbial communities, where abundant and rare taxa appear to have different ecological roles.

Kewords: biotic interactions, Cuatro Ciénegas Coahuila, metabolomic analyses, microbial communities, phylogenetic diversity, rare biosphere.

Introduction

Microbial mats are tightly interacting communities, usually self-sustaining, that are horizontally stratified with multilayered biofilms embedded in a matrix of exopolysaccharides binding cells and inorganic substances together (Bolhuis et al., 2014; Prieto-Barajas et al., 2018; Stolz, 2000). These stratified structures, as well as stromatolites, are the oldest communities found in the fossil record, informing our understanding of ancient metabolic interactions (Gutiérrez-Preciado et al., 2018; Nutman et al., 2016).

Although modern microbial mats and stromatolites are geographically widespread (Gerdes, 2010), their distribution is restricted to extreme environmental conditions where multicellular algae and grazing organisms cannot grow (Bebout et al., 2002). One such site, with a high diversity of microbial mats and stromatolites, is the desert oasis of the Cuatro Ciénegas Basin (CCB), found in the Chihuahuan Desert of the

state of Coahuila in northern México. This small site (150,000 km^2) is extremely

biodiverse – in particular for microbes (Souza, Eguiarte, et al., 2018) – and is

considered of international importance by the RAMSAR convention

(www.ramsar.org). CCB is characterized by an imbalanced stoichiometry (i.e., very

low concentration of phosphorus) (Elser et al., 2005, 2006; Papineau, 2010;

Planavsky et al., 2010), which appears to be a strong selective pressure driving

microbial lineages to adapt locally and form extremely cohesive and co-adapted

microbial communities (Souza, Eguiarte, et al., 2018). The uniqueness of microbial

life in CCB -- characterized as an "Astrobiological Precambrian Park" (Souza et al.,

2012) -- is reflected in the presence of ancient marine lineages (Rebollar et al., 2012;

Souza et al., 2006; Souza, Eguiarte, et al., 2018), rich prokaryotic communities

(López-Lozano et al., 2013; Pajares et al., 2012, 2013; Souza, Olmedo-Álvarez, et

al., 2018) , and diverse fungal (Velez et al., 2016) and viral communities (Taboada

et al., 2018), as well as a high microbial diversity within microbial mats and

stromatolites (Bonilla-Rosso et al., 2012; De Anda et al., 2018; Peimbert et al.,

2012).

In March 2016, we discovered an outermost elastic layer microbial mat in CCB,

which enables the formation of dome-like structures under wet conditions (Fig. 1).

Figure 1

(A) The Cuatro Ciénegas Basin within México, and geographic location of Archaean
Domes in Pozas Azules Ranch. (B) Detail of an Archaean Domes slice. (C) Domes
when the sampling was conducted (note the size scale). Photos by David Jaramillo.
81

The internal microbial layer, insulated by an outer aerobic community, thrives under

an anaerobic environment, rich in methane and hydrogen sulfide, and small volatile

hydrocarbons that recreate the atmosphere of the Archaean Eon, hence we named

these mats "Archaean domes" (Medina-Chávez et al., 2020). Similar types of elastic

mats have previously been described in marine tidal zones, although their formation

is relatively rare (Gerdes et al., 1993).

Given their functional richness, microbial mats are ideal systems for analyzing the

role of microbial interactions in maintaining community structure under extreme

conditions (Paerl & Yannarell, 2010; Prieto-Barajas et al., 2018). In this sense, there

are three approaches that can improve our understanding of biotic interactions and

processes at an evolutionary scale (Mayfield & Levine, 2010) for microbial mat

communities. First, determine the phylogenetic diversity of communities under

extreme environmental conditions where, for example, abiotic filtering and

competitive exclusion have been reported as drivers of phylogenetic clustering

(Goberna et al., 2014;). Second, investigate the ecology of microbial

subcommunities, such as those of rare taxa, a less-studied topic than the whole

community (Liu et al., 2019) but important to explore for their potential contribution

to ecological processes (Jia et al., 2018). Third, analyze the metabolomics of

communities, which is one of the functional expressions of diversity and an

underexplored aspect of microbial communities that can help complete our

understanding of the observed diversity. Moreover, metabolomics can also elucidate

ecological aspects (Sardans et al., 2011) that help uncover the reciprocal

interactions between ecology and evolution, known as eco-evolutionary feedbacks

(D. M. Post & Palkovacs, 2009).

In this study, we evaluated the microbial diversity, the rare biosphere, and its

metabolomic signature within this elastic hypersaline microbial mat from CCB,

across ten samples collected within a 1.5-meter transect. Specifically, we aimed: 1)

to explore microbial diversity analyzing amplicons of the 16S rRNA and ITS regions,

2) to determine whether rare and abundant taxa are phylogenetically structured; 3)

to evaluate microbial metabolomic signatures as an approximation to eco-

evolutionary feedbacks; and 4) to approximate biotic interactions.

Results

Microbial taxonomic composition

16S rRNA gene and ITS libraries revealed the occurrence of different microbial

lineages, as genera composition showed (Fig. 2).

118
119 Figure 2
120 Genera relative abundance of taxa in Archaean Domes, Cuatro Ciénegas Basin
121 sample. A) Bacteria (only showed the most common taxa, more than 1% of diversity)
122 B) Fungi (using Merge database, only fungal ASVs. NA is for ASVs that were not
123 assigned to any genera, but phylum only).
124

Overall, 40 prokaryote phyla were detected from the 16S rRNA gene libraries (Suppl.

Fig. 1A), and a total of 6,063 different ASVs were found, with a proportion of 11.8%

of unclassified reads (Suppl. Table 2). Bacteroidetes was the most abundant phylum,

representing around 23% of the prokaryotic diversity in the samples, followed by

Proteobacteria with ≈ 19% and Cyanobacteria with nearly 18%. Spirochaetes and

Chloroflexi represented ≈ 8% and ≈ 4% of the prokaryotic diversity, respectively.

Nearly 2% were Firmicutes, Patesibacteria and Halanaerobiota (Suppl. Fig. 1A).

A total of 410 genera of Bacteria were detected in the 10 sampled sites (S1-S10) at

a 1.5 m-scale. From those, only 9 genera were abundant (representing ≈ 70% of

total abundance, Fig. 2 A): *Coleofasciculus* (or *Microcoleus*), *Spirochaeta*,

Catalinimonas, *Desulfovermiculus*, *Halanaerobium*, *Tangfeifania*, *Sungkyunkwania*,

Sediminispirochaeta and *Imperialibacter*. The remaining 401 genera can be

classified as rare biosphere, with ≈ 30% of total abundance (less than 1% each, Fig.

2 A). Moreover, 28 ASVs of Archaea were obtained using our 16S primers,

comprising two phyla, Woesearchaeota and Euryarchaeota (Suppl. Fig. 1a).

Halobacteria class was the most abundant, and we detected 4 genera: *Halovivax*,

Halorubrum, Halorussus and *Haloasta* (Suppl. Table 2).

For the ITS region libraries, we successfully obtained reads for six of the sampled

sites (S1 to S4, S6 and S7). For the Forward-Only ITS database, we detected 923

different ASVs. The majority of the classified reads belong to Alveolata protists (77%,

Suppl. Fig. 1B), followed by Fungi (11%) and Viridiplantae (8%); Metazoa, Protista,

Amoebozoa, Rhodoplantae, Chromista and Stramenophila comprised the remaining

4%. Unassigned taxa were 50% of all reads (Suppl. Table 2). The majority of ASVs

within Forward ITS database could not be accurately classified at lower taxonomic

categories, particularly for three common ASVs (ASV0 (unclassified), ASV1

(Ciliophora) and ASV2 (Ciliophora)), which comprise nearly 70% of the abundance

in ITS reads for all sites. Using Merge ITS ASVs database for a better taxonomic

assignment, Fungal ASVs comprising 18 genera were detected, with phyla

Ascomycota, Basidiomycota and Rozellomycota present in all samples (Fig. 2B).

Alpha and beta diversity

Rarefaction curves (Suppl. Fig. 2A and 2B) showed similar results for both the

Forward-Only ITS region libraries (S1, S2, S3, S4, S6, S7), and 16S rRNA gene

libraries, as all sites reached an asymptote, suggesting an adequate sampling effort.

Only 183 ASVs (3% of the diversity) were shared between all sites for 16S rRNA

gene libraries (Fig. 3A), forming a very small core, but comprised 72.4% of all reads

(composed of twenty-one phyla), while most of the ASVs were unique to a specific

site or shared between two or three sites. For ITS libraries, the 56 shared ASVs (6%)

comprised 94.7% of all reads (Fig. 3B).

Figure 3

Graphic representation of shared ASVs within Archaean Domes mats sampling sites. Number of shared ASVs is represented by black bars, showing the exact number on the top. Dots below bars denote sites where those ASVs are shared, and lines joining dots indicate the shared sites. Colored bars at bottom left of the main figure are for ASVs number within each site. Pays show taxonomy of shared ASVs within all sites (a few but abundant ASVs core). (A) 16S Archaea and Bacteria, rRNA gene database, (B) Fungi and other Eucarya, Forward-Only ITS database.

16S rRNA ASVs alpha diversity (Table 1) ranged from 2470 expected ASVs

according to Chao1 in S2 (Shannon = 7.4, highest Shannon index value), to 544

expected ASVs in S9 (Shannon = 6.1). In the case of the ITS region libraries

(Forward-Only ITS database), the most ASV Chao1 diversity for Eukarya was S6

with 393 expected ASVs and a Shannon diversity of 2.5; S3 had the lowest Chao1

diversity, with 199 expected ASVs, but this site had the highest Shannon value of 3

(Table 1).

ITS region						
	α diversity			Phylogenetic diversity		
Sample	Observed	Chao	Shannon	Faith PD	Faith PD rare	Faith PD abundant
1	322	322	1.827	86.309	42.596	18.161
2	226	226	2.713	60.622	40.846	14.516
3	199	199	3.062	47.289	30.370	14.774
4	270	275	1.783	83.008	51.513	16.287
6	383	393	2.501	131.435	75.352	28.794
7	337	344	2.008	114.983	60.352	18.865

16S rRNA						
	α diversity			Phylogenetic diversity		
Sample	Observed	Chao	Shannon	Faith PD	Faith PD rare	Faith PD abundant
1	1761	1773.203	6.863	544.704	290.491	44.159
2	2399	2470.398	7.402	789.807	368.430	84.442
3	1068	1071.318	6.256	211.745	156.204	20.064
4	1564	1568.664	6.499	500.787	259.828	40.627
5	1344	1358.842	6.882	220.536	172.299	21.557
6	2345	2353.855	6.301	851.168	302.103	131.337
7	2156	2172.218	6.838	759.536	328.238	97.486
8	1195	1217.568	6.487	205.987	139.839	22.798
9	544	544	6.126	160.368	94.201	18.577
10	828	875.634	5.115	283.017	148.655	36.514

Table1

Alpha diversity indices for 16S rRNAgene and Forward-Only ITS region databases
from Archaean Domes CCB microbial mats.

Paired beta bacterial diversity among microbial mat samples for both the 16S rRNA

gene and ITS region databases ranged from 0.2 to 0.7 for the Bray-Curtis diversity

values and 0.3 to 0.7 according to the Jaccard-1 values (Suppl. Fig. 3 A and B). For

both indexes, S10 is different from the rest of the 16S rRNA gene libraries, and S1,

S2, S4, S6, S7 were associated in a cluster. In the ITS libraries, there are two main

clusters (S1, S2 and S4; S3, S6 and S7). A significant geographic pattern in the

Mantel test (*p*=0.0402) was found for Jaccard-1 of the 16S library, however we did

not find significant values based on geography for ITS libraries for either Jaccard-1

or Bray-Curtis.

Phylogenetic Diversity

For both libraries, Faith Phylogenetic Diversity showed that rare ASVs were more

diverse than abundant ASVs (Table 1), and Phylogenetic Species Clustering (PSC)

measures showed that abundant ASVs were clustered within phylogeny, while rare

ASVs were disperse (Fig. 4 A and B).

Figure 4
Graph representing null model distribution of Phylogenetic Species Clustering
(nmdPSC) values for all community, abundant and rare taxa from 16S rRNA
database (A) and Forward-Only ITS database (B). Dotted lines are showing
nmdPSC significant values: > +2 is consistent with a sample that had more
phylogenetic overdispersion than expected by chance alone, and nmdPSC value <
-2 indicates more phylogenetic clustering than expected by chance alone; values in

the range +2 and -2 had a null model distribution. nmdPSC values are reported in
Suppl. Table 5.
216

Approximation to biotic interactions: Pearson analysis

In order to identify potential biotic interactions within the dominant taxa from the three
domains of life within the Archaean Domes, we calculated Pearson correlations
between ASVs (Fig. 5).

Figure 5
Pearson correlation analysis within the most common (i.e., involving more than 1%
of diversity) Bacteria, and all genera of Archaea and Fungi (from Merge ITS
database). Colored squares are for significant correlations (P≤0.05), blue for positive
ones and red for negatives.
227

We analyzed the most abundant ASVs (above 1%) from the 16S rRNA gene libraries
and both ITS databases, along with 22 Archaea ASVs. We observed that most of
the negative co-occurrence correlations are associated with Bacteria, in particular

the Bacteroidetes phylum. Within the complete correlation matrix, we observed 22

negative co-occurrence correlations, along with 9 for Archaea,14 for Fungi, and 6 for

Eukarya (see Suppl. Table 3 for interaction counts). In addition, we observed that

Archaea-Archaea correlations (Fig. 5) were mostly positive (80), the majority of them

enclosed within Archaea ASVs (32), whereas Eukarya-Eukarya interactions had the

fewest positive correlations (4). Remarkably, in the cross-kingdom fungal

interactions, most of the negative fungal correlations (8 of 14) were observed in

Rozellomycota.

Metabolomic profile

We found a wide range of metabolites based on heatmap and GNPS molecular

networking analysis (Fig. 6 and Suppl. Fig. 4 A&B).

Figure 6
Molecular network of soil samples grouped metabolite features into 140 chemical
families. Each node in the network represents one metabolite feature. Nodes
connected to each other are structurally-related (cosine MS^2 similarity score ≥0.7).

Untargeted metabolomics analysis of microbial communities is complicated, due to

the complexity and heterogeneity of this matrix and the scarcity of the secondary

metabolites extracted. The 1,341 molecular features at unique retention times

(Suppl. Fig. 4 A&B) shared several common regions across all samples, allowing us

to group them into three main clusters (cluster 1, samples 3-5 and 10; cluster 2,

samples 1, 2 and 6; and cluster 3, samples 7-9, Suppl. Fig. 4B). We found highly

heterogeneous metabolic profiles in all samples, and no significant associations

were observed between metabolite features and ITS or 16SrRNA abundance

matrices.

On the other hand, GNPS molecular networking analysis grouped the metabolite

features in 18,547 nodes distributed into 83 chemical families (sub-networks) of $\geq$3

members, 57 families of two metabolite features, and 875 singletons (Fig. 6).

Detected compounds in the GNPS and detailed metabolomic analysis of the main

ions observed in LC-MS/MS data, focused in microbial metabolites, allowed us to

tentatively identify several secondary metabolites that passed our quality criteria ($\leq$

5 ppm mass error; Suppl. Table 4 and Fig. 7).

Figure 7

Structures of microbial metabolites tentatively annotated in samples S1-S10 ($\leq$ 5

ppm mass error).

Discussion

Microbial composition at a microscale

Our study revealed a remarkably high microbial diversity within a 1.5 m scale in an elastic microbial mat located at an extreme site. Altogether, we found 40 prokaryotic phyla, including 410 genera of Bacteria and 4 of Archaea, as well as 18 Fungal genera (Fig. 2 and Suppl. Fig. 1).

Bacteria

The bacterial phyla (Suppl. Fig. 1) detected in our study site are common components of hypersaline microbial mats (Bolhuis et al., 2014; Visscher et al., 2010): Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Chloroflexi, and Firmicutes, among other abundant phyla, which are similar at this broad taxonomic level to other sites in CCB (Bonilla-Rosso et al., 2012; Breitbart et al., 2009; De Anda et al., 2018; Nitti et al., 2012). As expected from a microbial mat, a primary producer was present and abundant in all of our samples: *Coleofasciculus* (*Microcoleus)* (Fig. 2), a filamentous nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria genus well-adapted to saline conditions (De Wit et al., 2013; Ramos et al., 2017) that has been reported in Guerrero Negro microbial mats (Des Marais, 2010; Wong et al., 2016). We also found the abundant taxon *Catalinimonas,* which is a halophilic Bacteroidetes marine genus (Choi et al., 2013) that has not been previously reported in microbial mats. Another common taxon in our samples is the *Spirochaeta* genus (Bolhuis et al., 2014; Margulis et al., 2006), an anaerobic chemo-organotrophic taxa abundant in hypersaline marine mats (Ben Hania et al., 2015). Interestingly, the *Spirochaetes* phylum has not been detected previously in any CCB mats (Bonilla-Rosso et al., 2012; de Anda et al., 2018; Peimbert et al., 2012). We also observed *Desulfovermiculus*, a sulfate-reducing Deltaproteobacteria, and *Halanaerobium*, a thiosulfate-reducing Firmicute that is halophilic and can form spores (Liang et al., 2016), both of which are common genera in marine microbial mats (Bolhuis et al., 2014).This suggests that this shallow salty pond could be more similar to marine lineages than other sites in CCB (see Rebollar et al., 2012; Souza et al., 2006, 2018).

Previous metagenomic analysis from a mat in Churince within CCB reported 1,431
OTUs (de Anda et al., 2018) – four times less diverse than the Archaean Domes
(6063 ASVs). Other studies within CCB, also with complete metagenomes, retrieved
250 expected OTUs using 31 core genes (Bonilla-Rosso et al., 2012). Therefore, it
is possible that using high coverage 16S tags may reveal a hidden high-diverse rare
biosphere in these microbial mats.
Regarding the high diversity within the Archaean Domes and comparing it with other
microbial mats (since ASVs and OTUs are reported providing similar ecological
results (Glassman & Martiny, 2018)), Cardoso et al. (2019) reported 977 OTUs in
marine microbial mats, and Wong et al. (2015) found 4800 OTUs in Shark Bay, which
are considered some of the most diverse microbial mats worldwide.
312
Archaea
In a recent metagenomic study of the Archaean Domes (Medina-Chávez et al.,
2020), Archaea taxa formed a constant core that is more diverse than any other site
within or outside of CCB. In those metagenomes, Archaea represented nearly 5% of
the total reads, accounting for 230 ASVs.
In our data, by using 16S rRNA gene amplicons, we only detected two main phyla,
as expected given the nature of the amplified region (Suppl. Fig. 1 and Suppl. Table
2). These were Woesearchaeota, a mainly halophilic phylum and potentially
syntrophic within methanogens (Liu et al., 2018; Ortiz-Alvarez & Casamayor, 2016),
and Euryarchaeota, within which we found four halophilic genera: *Halovivax*,
Halorubrum, Halorussus and *Haloasta*, all of them previously reported within saline
habitats and sediments (McGonigle et al., 2019; Zaitseva et al., 2018)*.* The
metagenomic data (Medina-Chávez et al., 2020) and the 16S rRNA gene amplicons
were sampled from the same pond at different times and space, and both showed
lineages common to hypersaline habitats.
328
Fungi
Fungal members represent a diverse and common component of hypersaline water
columns and mats (Cantrell et al., 2013) and have been suggested to play a role in

the degradation of EPS (exopolymeric substances) (Cantrell & Duval-Pérez, 2013).

Our results suggest that unidentified uncultured members of the Ascomycota and

Rozellomycota comprise the core mycobiota of the analyzed mats, as they occurred

across all the samples (Fig. 3B). In addition, it is feasible that these abundant

heterotrophs occupy a unique niche within the micro-scale of microbial mats, where

they can degrade the abundant EPS produced in the CCB microbial mats (Breitbart

et al., 2010; De Anda et al., 2018; Peimbert et al., 2012). In particular, halotolerant

taxa such as members within the genera *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, and *Nigrospora*

have been repeatedly reported in microbial mats at other locations (Cantrell et al.,

2013; Cantrell & Duval-Pérez, 2013), evidencing their adaptation to extreme

conditions. Thus, it appears that, similar to other microbial mats, fungal members

occupy a unique niche within the micro-scale of the Archaean Domes (Cantrell et

al., 2011).

Other eukaryotes

The overall diversity of protists in the Archaean Domes is comparable to the diversity

observed in other microbial mats (329 ASVs, Suppl. Table 2). For example, Saghaï

et al. (2017), reported nearly half of the 586 18S rRNA OTUs they analyzed as

Protozoa in a saline shallow pond mat in Chile, while ≈ 500 eukaryotic 18S rRNA

OTUs were observed in mats from hydrothermal vents in Guaymas Basin (Pasulka

et al., 2019), where half of them were likewise classified as Protozoa taxa. More than

1500 eukaryotic 18S rRNA OTUs were detected in microbialites in Highborne Cay,

Bahamas, and Hamelin Pool (Shark Bay), Australia (Edgcomb et al., 2014), with also

a half proportion being Protozoa.

In our sample, there are three abundant ASVs of Alveolata protists that represent

nearly 70% of the ITS region (Suppl. Fig. 1B, Forward-Only ITS database) in all

sequenced sites (S1-S4, S6, S7). Two of the three ASVs are Ciliophora (i.e. ciliates),

which is the most diverse phylum of protists, with 3,500 described species, most of

which are cosmopolites (Gao et al., 2016). It will therefore be interesting to analyze

more molecular markers in the future to distinguish the protist lineages, as well as to

microscopically study the protists to reach a closer taxonomic identification. Other

Protozoa ASVs were observed at low numbers and belong to the Amoebozoa
phylum, and the ancestral algae from the kingdom Chromista were also observed at
a low abundance (Suppl. Fig. 1B).

Although research on halophilic protozoan is still young, it has made great progress
in recent years (Harding & Simpson, 2018). Protozoa in general are grazing
organisms, as is the case with *Fabrea salina* ,one of the few classified low
abundance ITS ASVs in our study (Merge database, Suppl. Table 2). This ciliate is
present in microbial mats and feeds on *Cyanotece sp.*, a Cyanobacteria associated
with algae mats (Carrasco & Perissinotto, 2012). Indeed, in hypersaline
environments, most ciliates are known to be important components of the pelagic
microbial food loop (Liu et al., 2016). Amoebae have also been observed as grazers
(Hauer et al., 2001; Hauer & Rogerson, 2005; F. Post et al., 1983) on cyanobacteria
in surface mud samples from a salt pond in Eilat, within the Salton sea in Southern
California (Hauer & Rogerson, 2005). Even though the diversity of protists is low,
their prevalence, along with the large amount of viruses found in metagenomic
samples (28% of the metagenomes from Medina-Chávez et al., 2020), strongly
suggests that predator-prey interactions could be very important for the dynamics of
the system (Carreira et al., 2020).

Alpha and beta diversity
The studied microbial mats –which are subject to extreme and fluctuating
environmental conditions– have a similar Shannon diversity as soil bacterial
communities (Dang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2018). The Shannon Index accounts
for both species evenness and abundance; hence, it could sub-represent diversity if
most of the diversity is part of the rare biosphere. For example, Site 3 for the Eukarya
database had the highest Shannon value, despite having the lowest ASV number
(Table 1), due to its higher evenness distribution of taxa. Meanwhile, Chao1 only
compares the observed diversity with the expected, given a species distribution,
which more accurately reflects the total diversity of the site (Table 1; Suppl. Fig. 2A
and 2B).

Given the extraordinary patchiness of the observed diversity, exemplified by genera

composition differences between sample sites (Fig 2A), as well as unique

metabolomic patterns in each sample (Suppl. Fig. 4A&B), beta diversity analysis

showed a large turnover between sites despite the small scale (Suppl. Fig. 3).

Accordingly, the different domains analyzed (i.e., the Bacteria database or the

Eukarya database) had a distinctive association pattern, showing a statistically

significant geographic association only for the bacterial database.

Our results suggest a small taxonomical and functional core in these hyper-diverse

10 samples of the Archaean Domes, formed by bacterial and Eukaya ASVs shared

among all sites, that make up a low fraction of the total taxa, but are highly abundant

(183 16S rRNA ASVs, that comprises 3% of taxa (Fig. 3A) and 72.4% abundance of

all reads; 56 (6%) shared ITS ASVs with 94.7% abundance of all reads) (Fig. 3B).

Interactions in the Archaean Domes, inferred from the co-occurrence or co

absence between sites.

In this study, we performed a Pearson correlation analysis (Fig. 5) to identify

potential ecological roles and interactions between the most abundant ASVs within

the three databases and 22 archaeal ASVs. Negative correlations (i.e. absence or

lower than expected co-occurrence) can be used to identify metabolic competition,

separated niches, antagonistic interactions, or any other relationship precluding co-

occurrence (Saghaï et al., 2017). Meanwhile, positive correlations (i.e. co-occurr

frequently or always observed) encompass a variety of relationships that include

mutualism, symbiosis, parasitism, predation, common abiotic niche requirements

(which are particularly relevant to complex microbial mat communities), associations

with redox gradients, and metabolic syntrophy (Saghaï et al., 2017). We found more

positive correlations than negative (Suppl. Tab. 3) in our analysis. However, these

correlations do not necessarily indicate direct interactions, since two taxa that are

co-present may not be in direct physical or metabolic contact, due to the spatial

heterogeneity intrinsic to microbial mats. Therefore, this result should be taken

cautiously, given the Pearson correlation assumptions, as well as the consideration

that only a small (but abundant) fraction of the diversity is taken into account.

On the other hand, some of the twenty-two archaeal ASVs analyzed (Fig. 5) formed

a pattern of co-presence associations, which is worth noting since the same ASVs

were also part of the Archaea core observed in a time series metagenomic study

(Medina-Chávez et al., 2020). These positive associations reflect the presence of

taxa within samples: for example *Halorubrum*, *Halobacteria* and Wosearchaes are

positively associated because they were detected (i.e. coexist) in sample 2, and

Halovivax, *Haolorusis* and *Halorubraceae* were detected in sample 6; a similar

situation occurs for the fungi and Eukarya ASVs associated with them. Future

detailed studies, including metagenomic analyses, are required to understand the

mechanism of this potential cooperation, since co-cultivation techniques are difficult

given the differential life strategies of Archaea, which grow very slowly compared

with the faster growth of Fungi in culture.

It is interesting that, while most of the known fungal species are cosmopolitan and

generalists, a few others are specialists, usually adapted to extreme conditions

(Cantrell et al., 2011). For instance, Rozellomycota is a relatively large and

widespread group of eukaryotes, branching at the base of the fungal tree of life

(Corsaro et al., 2014). This group of fungi includes a number of amoebae parasites

that have developed unique morphological and genomic adaptations to intracellular

parasitism (Vávra & Lukeš, 2013). Thus, the utmost negative correlations observed

in two Rozellomycota ASVs (Fig. 5) might suggest the endoparasitic lifestyle of

these early-diverging fungi in the analyzed Archaean Domes.

The majority of the negative associations found among the abundant taxa of this

study were bacterial interactions (Fig. 5; Suppl. Table 3). Among them, bacteria-

bacteria negative interactions were mainly between different phyla. These

interactions are likely not based on metabolic competition, as they are mainly found

between different phyla that could not be sharing a niche. However, antagonism has

been reported previously in Cuatro Ciénegas bacterial taxa (Aguirre-von-Wobeser

et al., 2014; Moreno Fontalba, 2017; Pérez-Gutiérrez et al., 2013) and within cross-

kingdom interactions (Velez et al., 2018), which may account for the negative

correlations observed here. Nevertheless, it is important to remember that these are

only negative correlations, and experimental data is needed to demonstrate
antagonism in this system.

Phylogenetic diversity

Rare taxa

A third of the abundance of the bacterial genera detected in our samples belongs to

rare bacterial taxa (Fig. 2A). The rare biosphere was more phylogenetically diverse

(Faith Pylogenetic Diversity index, Table 1) and phylogenetically disperse

(Phylogenetic Species Clustering, Fig. 4) than the abundant taxa within these

samples for both the 16S rRNA and ITS libraries, suggesting that environmental

filtering is not occurring for the rare biosphere in this site. This evidence suggests

that these rare taxa could be a large reservoir of genetic diversity, as well as a matrix

of rich microbial interactions and diverse metabolic functions within this microbial

mat (Jia et al., 2018), perhaps presenting collective adaptations to the extreme

conditions.

Abundant taxa

The pattern of phylogenetic clustering of the abundant ASVs is supported by

Pearson analysis, with a higher number of positive associations in general (reflecting

co-presence of taxa) and negative bacterial-bacterial associations between different

phyla. This pattern could be similar to some soil bacterial communities that typically

show a coexistence of phylogenetically close relatives (Bryant et al., 2009; Horner-

Devine & Bohannan, 2006), which may be the product of abiotic filtering or

competitive exclusion (Goberna et al., 2014). Competitive exclusion seems to be a

likely explanation for the phylogenetic clustering observed for abundant bacterial and

eukaryotic ASVs, as proposed by Goberna et al. (2014), since the presence of

compounds with antimicrobial properties suggests high levels of competition among

those abundant microbes.

 Metabolomic profile

The dynamic and complex metabolomic profiles of the studied microbial mat was

consistent with the highly diverse microbial community observed in the metagenomic

analysis (Medina-Chávez et al., 2020) (Fig. 6 and Suppl. Fig. 4A&B).

Even though the expression of regulatory genes responsible for secondary

metabolites production depends on several factors (e.g. nitrogen or phosphate

starvation, stressors like heat, pH and damage to the cell wall, among others) (Baral

et al., 2018), along with the lack of soil metabolomics studies and the solubility of the

compounds for LCMS analysis, we were still able to tentatively annotate several

classes of compounds with interesting biological properties (Fig. 7). Many of these

compounds were found to overlap between most of the samples: the antibiotics

indolactam 1 (Irie et al., 1990), 8,9-dihydroindanomycin (Li et al., 2009),

neoindanomycin (Rommel et al., 2011) described from *Streptomyces* species, and

martensine A reported from the marine red alga *Martensia fragilis* (Kirkup & Moore,

1983); the cytotoxic daryamide C (Asolkar et al., 2006), gibbestatin B (Kinashi &

Sakaguchi, 1984) and furaquinocin H (Ishibashi et al., 1991), from *Streptomyces*

strains; the germination inhibitor colletofragarone A1, from fungi *Colletotrichum*

fragariae and *C. capsiciae* (Inoue et al., 1996); benzastatin A (Kim et al., 1996) and

periconiasin G (Zaghouani et al., 2016) from *S. nitrosporeus* and *Periconia* sp. F-31

with free radical scavenger and weak anti-HIV activities; and phomamide and

tomaymycin I form *Phoma lingam* (Ferezou et al., 1980) and *Nocardia* sp. (Tozuka

et al., 1983) with no biological activity described. Other metabolites of interest were

found in specific samples, for example the antifungal agents griseofulvin and

dechlorogriseofulvin, produced by microorganisms of the genera *Penicillium*,

Aspergillus, *Xylaria*, *Coriolus*, *Palicourea*, *Memnoniella*, *Khauskia*, *Carpenteles*,

Arthrinium, *Nigrospora*, *Stachybotrys*, and *Streptomyces* (Atta-ur-Rahmanro, 2005;

Kimura et al., 1992; Knowles et al., 2019; Park et al., 2006) were identified only in

S7; the antimitotic agent nostodione A from the blue-green alga *Nostoc commune*

(Kobayashi et al., 1994) commune in samples S2 and S6-S8; and the mycotoxins

citreohybridone B (Kosemura, 2003), setosusin (Fujimoto et al., 1996), roridin E

(Böhner et al., 1965) and ganoboninone A (Ma et al., 2015) in samples S8-S10.

Interestingly, several observed ions (Suppl. Table 4) do not match with any data
reported and could represent potentially new secondary metabolites.
Several compounds associated with fungi and osmotrophs, as well as to other
Eukarya, reflect the importance of studying the potential role of microorganisms
besides bacteria, within microbial mats (Carreira et al., 2020).
521

Perspectives
In view of our results, the complex metabolomic data suggests local adaptive
processes, following eco-evolutionary dynamics. These dynamics would create
cohesive, diverse and fluctuating communities where taxa constantly and actively
modify their own ecological niche and that of others (niche construction) (Laland et
al., 2016; Odling-Smee et al., 2003, Van Der Hooft et al., 2020). However, this should
be tested in future studies, exploring the possibility that the rare biosphere could
fulfill the majority of the trophic levels and metabolic tasks, implementing
metabolomics, mesocosms, and metagenomic time series approaches. Additional
perspectives could evaluate the effect of environmental filtering, deepening the
analysis of the biotic interactions, including the rare biosphere.
The high phylogenetic diversity and phylogenetic dispersion of the rare biosphere
may suggest that there is a diverse "seed bank" in the aquifer of CCB, a deep
biosphere fed by magmatic energy (Wolaver 2013) that constantly "seeds" the
Archaean Domes community, along with deep water and particular minerals.
Therefore, in this scenario, eco-evolutionary feedbacks could enhance community
cohesion in Red Queen-like dynamics (Haafke et al., 2016), a hypothesis stating that
"biotic factors provide the basis for a self-driving perpetual motion of the effective
environment and so of the evolution of species affected by it" (Van Valen, 1973). In
our system, there may be a constant change in biotic interactions, as well as
evolutionary landscapes, while the abiotic variables (i.e., gases, nutrients, pH, salts)
are also constantly changing, as a product of community feedback within the deep
aquifer. In the Archaean Domes, active niche construction may have allowed the
communities to co-evolve around a stable species core, given an influx of new
neighbors of microbes and viruses (Taboada et al., 2018) from the deep biosphere,

which constantly assembles complex and new interactions. These ideas require
further testing, along with the community's resilience to fluctuations within these
extreme conditions.
550

Methods
Sampling site and sample collection
During the dry season (i. e. most of the year at CCB; Montiel-González et al., 2017),
the microbial mats of the Archaean domes remain humid and active under a salty
crust, which is then dissolved during the rainy season, allowing for increased
photosynthetic activity and the development of the dome-like structures.
The microbial mats of the Archaean domes were sampled during the rainy season
of 2018 (October), with ten evenly spaced samples (S1-S10) along a 1.5-meter
transect (26º 49' 41.7" N 102º 01' 28.7" W) in the 'Pozas Azules' ranch of Pronatura
Noroeste within CCB (Fig. 1). The salinity and pH were constant along the 1.5-meter
transect (salinity 53%, pH 9.8), and the average nutrient content showed a slight
stoichiometric imbalance (C:N:P 122:42:1), similar to the one measured during the
rainy season of 2016 (Medina-Chávez et al., 2020). Microbial mats and associated
sediments (8 cm) were collected and transferred to sterile conical tubes (50 mL),
stored at 4ºC, and subsequently frozen in liquid nitrogen until processing in the
laboratory.
567

Total DNA extraction and amplicons sequencing
Prior to DNA extraction, approximately 0.5 g of each sample was rinsed with sterile
water. Total DNA extraction was performed following the protocol reported in de
Anda et al. (2018), which is based on slight modifications from the Purdy et al. (1996)
protocol.
DNA was sequenced at the Laboratorio de Servicios Genómicos, LANGEBIO for the
V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (357F primer (Rudi et al., 1997), and the ITS
nDNA region (ITS1F/ITS4R for ITS; (White et al., 1990). For each sample, 5 mg of
genomic DNA (DO 260/280 1.8) was used; 16S amplicons were sequenced within
Illumina Next-Seq 500 platform (150 SE), and the ITS amplicons were sequenced

with a Mi-Seq platform (2x300 PE). All raw sequences generated are available at
GeneBank Bioproject PRJNA705156 (ITS accession SAMN18070760; 16S
accession SAMN18070761.
581
Bioinformatics analyses
The 16S rRNA amplicon reads were quality filtered and dereplicated using the QIIME
2 bioinformatics platform version 2019.7 (Bolyen et al., 2018). Sequences were
demultiplexed and truncated at the 20th bp from the left, and the 120th bp from the
right. Divisive Amplicon Denoising Algorithm 2 (dada2) was then used to filter by
sequence quality, remove chimeras, denoise, and create a table of amplicon
sequence variants (ASV) per sample. ASVs were then taxonomically classified using
the silva_132_99_v3v4_q2_2019-7 database. For all subsequent analyses the final
ASV table was rarefied to 760,000 reads. For abundant ASVs (>1%) and
Archaeobacteria ASVs, an additional taxonomic classification was conducted by
selecting those taxa with coincident classification across the results of *blastN* (both
type strain and 16S blast) (Altschul et al., 1990), the RDBP classifier (Q. Wang et
al., 2007), and Qiime2 (Silva database) (Bolyen et al., 2018; Quast et al., 2013).
The ITS amplicon reads were processed using the ITS-specific workflow of the
dada2 v1.13.1 package (Callahan et al., 2016) in R (R Core Team, 2020). Briefly,
cutadapt was used to remove primer and adapter contamination from the raw reads
(Martin, 2011). Prior to determining ASVs, reads with inconsistent bases were
excluded, and reads were required to have less than two expected errors based on
their quality scores. ASVs classification was inferred from forward and reverse reads
using the run-specific error rates (Qiime2 and R code is available at
https://gitlab.com/lauasuar/archaean-domes-repository).
Due to the wide range of sizes of the ITS amplicons reported for fungal species in
CCB (485-782 bp; (Velez et al., 2016)), two different strategies were implemented
to define taxonomic diversity. First, the pre-denoised ASVs were merged after
excluding reads with an overlap of less than 12bp and reads with mismatches in the
overlapping region. Chimeras were removed using the consensus method of
"removeBimeraDenovo" implemented in dada2. The taxonomic assignment was

performed with the UNITE database (Nilsson et al., 2019), using the RDP naive
Bayesian classifier (Wang et al., 2007) available in the *dada2* package with a
minimum bootstrap value of 80. ASVs with a minimum of 85% identity and with E-
values lower than e-45 were retained (Merge ITS database, Suppl Table 1). ASVs
without taxonomic assignment (mostly non-fungi organisms) were classified using
blastn, where only those ASVs within identities greater than 90% were enlisted
(Suppl. Table 1). The remaining ASVs were considered non-classified.

As a great proportion of the reads failed to merge (~56%), a parallel classification
was implemented using the forward independently. The RDP naive Bayesian
classifier was used on the two sets of reads (forward and reverse) to check for
congruence in the classification between of ASVs. ASVs that had an assignment
bootstrap value lower than 50, or showed inconsistent classifications among forward
and reverse reads, were aligned to the NCBI database to corroborate their
taxonomic assignment. Even though some ASVs reached a genus level assignment,
the taxonomic classification of forward reads was limited to the phylum level (ITS
table, Suppl. Table 2); a more robust classification of ASVs was reached when using
forward ASVs, thus all subsequent analyses were performed using the forward-only
ASVs. The Eukaryotic classification followed the UNITE database. The ITS table
abundance was rarefied to 167,660 reads using the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al.,
2013) in R (R Core Team, 2020).

In sum, the 16S rRNA amplicon filtered database included 760,326–1,973,982 high
quality reads per sample, with a total of 6,063 ASVs. The ITS amplicon filtered
database included 167,660–352,720 high quality reads per sample, with a total of
923 ASVs. ITS amplicons were obtained for only six samples (S1, S2, S3, S4, S6
and S7) due to DNA depletion in four samples (S5, S8, S9 and S10). The ASV
abundance tables and the amplicons sequences are available at
https://gitlab.com/lauasuar/archaean-domes-repository.

Alpha and beta diversity estimates

Alpha and beta diversity estimates

To evaluate all samples from 16S (S1-S10) and 6 samples (S1-S4, S6, S7) from the

Forward-Only ITS database, we generated rarefied diversity plots using the *vegan*

package (Oksanen et al., 2013) in R (R Core Team 2020).

In order to visualize and count the number of overlapping ASVs within the ten

samples from the 16S rRNA gene and the six samples from the ITS region, we

constructed an UpSet plot using the *UpSetR* package (Conway et al., 2017) in R (R

Core Team 2020).

Alpha (i.e., Chao1, Shannon) and beta (i.e., Bray-Curtis, Jaccard) indices were

estimated using the 16S rRNA ASV abundance table, as implemented in Qiime2.

For the ITS ASV abundance table, alpha and beta diversity indices were estimated

with the the *phyloseq* package (McMurdie & Holmes, 2013) in R (R Core Team,

2020). The beta diversity indices were used to construct an UPGMA dendrogram of

samples (Carteron et al., 2012). Mantel tests (999 permutations) (Legendre &

Legendre, 2012) were conducted with the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al., 2013) to

unveil the relationships between beta diversity and geographic distance, as well as

between beta diversity and the metabolomic profile distance matrix (see below).

Phylogenetic diversity

We estimated phylogenetic diversity within samples using Faith's Phylogenetic

Diversity index (Faith, 1992) and the Phylogenetic Species Clustering index (Helmus

et al., 2007) using the *picante* package (Kembel et al., 2010) in R (R Core Team

2020). Given a phylogenetic tree, phylogenetic diversity (PD) estimates the total

length of branches encompassing a subset of taxa (*e.g.*, sampled communities),

where PD increases as the subset of taxa is more phylogenetically diverse (Faith,

1992). Phylogenetic Species Clustering (PSC) is a measure of the degree of

clustering of taxa across the phylogeny (Helmus et al., 2007), where a PSC value

approaching zero indicates that the subset of taxa are clustered within the

phylogeny.

To estimate the two metrics, we used the rarified diversity matrices and the

corresponding phylogenetic trees for bacterial and fungal ASVs, separately. We

tested the observed patterns of PSC across samples against 1,000 replicates under
a null model and estimated standardized effect sizes (SES) for this index. This
allowed us to identify samples that had more or less phylogenetic clustering than
expected by chance alone. To construct the null model, we randomized the entries
of the rarified diversity matrices while keeping total sample diversity constant. In
addition, we estimated PD and PSC for the most abundant and rare ASVs within
each site. To define rare and abundant ASVs, we estimated the first and third
quartiles (1Q, 3Q) of the distribution of ASV abundances for each site separately.
We used the sites' 1Q and 3Q as the thresholds to define rare and abundant ASVs;
the sites' 1Qs ranged from 3 to 16 reads per ASV, whereas the 3Qs ranged from 37
to 306 reads per ASV. We estimated SES for PD and PSC as defined above, using
matrices for rare and abundant ASV (R code for all phylogenetic diversity analysis
is available at https://gitlab.com/lauasuar/archaean-domes-repository).
The phylogenetic tree was generated by QIIME 2 (Bolyen et al., 2018) for 16S data.
For the ITS data (Forward-Only database), a phylogeny was obtained by applying
an equivalent phylogenetic QIIME 2 methodology, using Maftt (Katoh & Toh, 2010)
and FastTree (Price et al., 2009) within Cipres Science Gateway (Miller et al., 2010).
688

Pearson correlation estimate among abundant taxa
We carried out an analysis of correlated presences and absences of microbial taxa
across communities to identify plausible interactions and ecological roles within
microbial genera (Karpinets et al., 2018). To do this, we used a normalized
abundance of six-sites matrix that included ASVs from both ITS databases and 16S
rRNA gene library (Suppl Table 1): <1% abundance classified the ASV 16S
database, 22 six-sites archaea ASVs, and <1% classified the ASV ITS database
(calculated without the first three high abundance ASVs). Data was Hellinger
transformed, with the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al., 2013) in R (R Core Team,
2020). This normalized matrix was used to calculate the correlogram with Pearson
correlation (positive and negative strong correlation ($r \geq 0.9$, P ≤ 0.05)) using the R
Stats v3.6.1 library (R Core Team, 2020) and *corrplot* package for the graph (Taiyun
& Viliam, 2017).

Metabolomics

Soluble compounds extraction and LC-MS/MS analysis

The samples (10 g each) were extracted with 60 mL of $CHCl_3$-MeOH (1:1) in an

orbital shaker at 150 rpm for 24 hrs. The mixture was filtered, and the solvent was

evaporated under reduced pressure. The dried extracts were reconstituted in 60 mL

of CH_3CN-MeOH (1:1) and defatted with hexanes. Then the CH_3CN-MeOH layer

was dried under a vacuum and resuspended in MeOH (LC-MS grade) to yield a

concentration of 1 mg mL^{-1}. All samples were filtered with a 0.22 μm membrane and

analyzed using a Waters Acquity Ultraperformance Liquid Chromatography (UPLC)

system (Waters Corp.) coupled to a Thermo Q Exactive Plus (ThermoFisher) mass

spectrometer. The UPLC separations were performed using an Acquity BEH C_{18}

column (50 mm × 2.1 mm I.D., 1.7 μm; Waters) equilibrated at 40 °C and a flow rate

set at 0.3 mL min^{-1}. The mobile phase consisted of a linear CH_3CN-H_2O (acidified

with 0.1% formic acid) gradient starting at 15% to 100% of CH_3CN over 8 min. The

mobile phase was held for another 1.5 min at 100% CH_3CN before returning to the

starting conditions. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) data and MS/MS

spectra were collected in the positive/negative switching electrospray ionization

(ESI) mode at a full scan range of *m/z* 150−2000, with the following settings: capillary

voltage, 5 V; capillary temperature, 300°C; tube lens offset, 35 V; spray voltage 3.80

kV; sheath gas flow and auxiliary gas flow, 35 and 20 arbitrary units, respectively.

Untargeted metabolomics

The HRMS-MS/MS raw data was individually aligned and filtered with MZmine 2.17

software (http://mzmine.sourceforge.net/) (Pluskal et al., 2010). Peak detection was

achieved as follows: *m/z* values were detected within each spectrum above a

baseline, a chromatogram was constructed for each of the *m/z* values that spanned

longer than 0.1 min, and finally, deconvolution algorithms were applied to each

chromatogram to recognize the individual chromatographic peaks. The parameters

were set as follows for peak detection: noise level (absolute value) at 1×10^6,

minimum peak duration 0.5 s, tolerance for *m/z* variation 0.05, and tolerance for *m/z*

intensity variation 20%. Deisotoping, peak list filtering, and retention time alignment

algorithm packages were employed to refine peak detection. The join align algorithm

compiled a peak table according to the following parameters: the balance between

m/z and retention time was set at 10.0 each, *m/z* tolerance at 0.05, and retention

time tolerance size was defined as 2 min. The spectral data matrix (comprised of

m/z, retention time, and peak area for each peak) was imported to Excel (Microsoft)

for heatmap analysis using *R* (R Core Team, 2020).

Molecular networking was performed from the mzML files using the standard Global

Natural Products Social (GNPS) molecular networking platform workflow with the

spectral clustering algorithm (http://gnps.ucsd.edu; Aron et al, 2020). For spectral

networks, parent mass of 0.01 Da and fragment ion tolerance of 0.02 Da were

considered. For edges construction, a cosine score over 0.70 was fitted with a

minimum of 4 matched peaks, and two nodes at least in the top 10 cosine scores

(K) and maximum of 100 connected the components. After that, the network spectra

were searched against GNPS spectral libraries (full data, feature-based molecular

networking can be accessed here: https://

gnps.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/status.jsp?task=547303b749f041bb88eee71145de518

4) and graphic visualization of molecular networking was performed in Cytoscape

3.8.0 (Shannon et al., 2003). The chemical profiles were also dereplicated using UV-

absorption maxima and HRMS-MS/MS data against the Dictionary of Natural

Products v 29.1 and Dictionary of Marine Natural Products 2019 (Taylor and Francis

Group), MarinLite (University of Canterbury, New Zealand) and SciFinder (CAS)

databases as described by El-Elimat et al. (El-Elimat et al., 2013), for fungal and

bacterial small molecules. For candidate search, exact mass accuracy was set to 5

ppm. Heatmap visualization of metabolomic data table was generated in

MataboAnalyst (Pang et al., 2020).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Erika Aguirre-Planter and Jessica Abril Hernández for

technical and field assistance. We also thank PRONATURA Noreste for the access

to the Pozas Azules ranch, and to Felipe García Oliva (CIEco, Biogeoquímica de

suelos) for nutrient analysis of the samples. This research was supported by funding

from Sep-Ciencia Básica Conacyt grant 238245, and PAPIIT AG200319 /
RG200319 and IN222220. The sampling was conducted with the collection permit
SGPA/DGVS/03188/20 issued by Subsecretaría de Gestión para la protección
Ambiental, Dirección General de Vida Silvestre.
768

Competing interests

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

References

Aguirre-von-Wobeser, E., Soberón-Chávez, G., Eguiarte, L. E., Ponce-Soto, G. Y.,
Vázquez-Rosas-Landa, M., & Souza, V. (2014). Two-role model of an
interaction network of free-living γ-proteobacteria from an oligotrophic
environment. *Environmental Microbiology*, *16*(5), 1366–1377.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12305
Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local
alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *215*(3), 403–410.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
Asolkar, R. N., Jensen, P. R., Kauffman, C. A., & Fenical, W. (2006). Daryamides
A−C, Weakly Cytotoxic Polyketides from a Marine-Derived Actinomycete of the
Genus Streptomyces Strain CNQ-085. *Journal of Natural Products*, *69*(12),
1756–1759. https://doi.org/10.1021/np0603828
Atta-ur-Rahmanro. (2005). Foreword. In B. T.-S. in N. P. C. Atta-ur-Rahman (Ed.),
Bioactive Natural Products (Part L) (Vol. 32). Elsevier.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1572-5995(05)80050-X
Baral, B., Akhgari, A., & Metsä-Ketelä, M. (2018). Activation of microbial secondary
metabolic pathways: Avenues and challenges. *Synthetic and Systems
Biotechnology*, *3*(3), 163–178.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synbio.2018.09.001
Bebout, B., Carpenter, S., Des Marais, D., Discipulo, M., Embaye, T., Garcia-Pichel,
F., Hoehler, T., Hogan, M., Jahnke, L., Keller, R., Miller, S., Prufert-Bebout, L.,
Raleigh, C., Rothrock, M., & Turk-Kubo, K. (2002). Long-Term Manipulations of
Intact Microbial Mat Communities in a Greenhouse Collaboratory: Simulating
Earth's Present and Past Field Environments. *Astrobiology*, *2*, 383–402.
https://doi.org/10.1089/153110702762470491
Ben Hania, W., Joseph, M., Schumann, P., Bunk, B., Fiebig, A., Spröer, C., Klenk,
H. P., Fardeau, M. L., & Spring, S. (2015). Complete genome sequence and
description of Salinispira pacifica gen. Nov., sp. nov., a novel spirochaete
isolated form a hypersaline microbial mat. *Standards in Genomic Sciences*,
10(FEBRUARY2015). https://doi.org/10.1186/1944-3277-10-7
Böhner, B., Fetz, E., Härri, E., Sigg, H. P., Stoll, C., & Tamm, C. (1965). Über die
Isolierung von Verrucarin H, Verrucarin J, Roridin D und Roridin E aus
Myrothecium-Arten. Verrucarine und Roridine, 8. Mitteilung. *Helvetica Chimica*

Acta, *48*(5), 1079–1087. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/hlca.19650480515

Bolhuis, H., Cretoiu, M. S., & Stal, L. J. (2014). Molecular ecology of microbial mats. In *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* (Vol. 90, Issue 2, pp. 335–350). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12408

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-ghalith, G. A., Alexander, H., Alm, E. J., Arumugam, M., Asnicar, F., Bai, Y., Bisanz, J. E., Bittinger, K., Brejnrod, A., Brislawn, C. J., Brown, T., Callahan, B. J., Caraballo-rodríguez, A. M., Chase, J., … Caporaso, J. G. (2018). QIIME 2: Reproducible, interactive, scalable, and extensible microbiome data science. *PeerJ*. https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.27295v2

Bonilla-Rosso, G., Peimbert, M., Alcaraz, L. D., Hernández, I., Eguiarte, L. E., Olmedo-Alvarez, G., & Souza, V. (2012). Comparative metagenomics of two microbial mats at Cuatro Ciénegas Basin II: community structure and composition in oligotrophic environments. *Astrobiology*, *12*(7), 659–673. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2011.0724

Breitbart, M, Hollander, D., Nitti, A., Van Mooy, B., Siefert, J., & Souza, V. (2010). Modern freshwater microbialites of Cuatro Ciénegas, México. I: metagenomic and stable isotopic analyses to assess microbial community structure and function. In *Astrobiology Science Conference*.

Breitbart, Mya, Hoare, A., Nitti, A., Siefert, J., Haynes, M., Dinsdale, E., Edwards, R., Souza, V., Rohwer, F., & Hollander, D. (2009). Metagenomic and stable isotopic analyses of modern freshwater microbialites in Cuatro Ciénegas, Mexico. *Environmental Microbiology*, *11*(1), 16–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01725.x

Bryant, J. A., Lamanna, C., Morlon, H., Kerkhoff, A. J., Enquist, B. J., & Green, J. L. (2009). Microbes on mountainsides: Contrasting elevational patterns of bacterial and plant diversity. In *In the Light of Evolution* (Vol. 2, pp. 127–148). National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/12501

Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods*, *13*(7), 581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

Cantrell, S. A., Dianese, J., Fell, J., Gunde-Cimerman, N., & Zalar, P. (2011). Unusual fungal niches. *Mycologia*, *103*(6), 1161–1174. https://doi.org/10.3852/11-108

Cantrell, S. A., Tkavc, R., Gunde-Cimerman, N., Zalar, P., Acevedo, M., & Báez-Félix, C. (2013). Fungal communities of young and mature hypersaline microbial mats. *Mycologia*, *105*(4), 827–836. https://doi.org/10.3852/12-288

Cantrell, S., & Duval-Pérez, L. (2013). Microbial mats: An ecological niche for fungi. In *Frontiers in Microbiology* (Vol. 4, Issue APR). Frontiers Research Foundation.

Cardoso, D. C., Cretoiu, M. S., Stal, L. J., & Bolhuis, H. (2019). Seasonal development of a coastal microbial mat. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45490-8

Carrasco, N. K., & Perissinotto, R. (2012). Development of a halotolerant community in the St. Lucia Estuary (South Africa) during a hypersaline phase. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029927

Carreira, C., Lonborg, C., Kühl, M., Lillebo, A. I., Sandaa, R. A., Villanueva, L., & Cruz, S. (2020). Fungi and viruses as important players in microbial mats. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *96*(11), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa187

Carteron, A., Jeanmougin, M., Leprieur, F., & Spatharis, S. (2012). Assessing the efficiency of clustering algorithms and goodness-of-fit measures using phytoplankton field data. *Ecological Informatics*, *9*, 64–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2012.03.008

Choi, E. J., Beatty, D. S., Paul, L. A., Fenical, W., & Jensen, P. R. (2013). Mooreia alkaloidigena gen. nov., sp. nov. and Catalinimonas alkaloidigena gen. nov., sp. nov., alkaloid-producing marine bacteria in the proposed families Mooreiaceae fam. nov. and Catalimonadaceae fam. nov. in the phylum Bacteroidetes. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, *63*(PART4), 1219–1228. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.043752-0

Conway, J. R., Lex, A., & Gehlenborg, N. (2017). UpSetR: An R package for the visualization of intersecting sets and their properties. *Bioinformatics*, *33*(18), 2938–2940. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx364

Corsaro, D., Walochnik, J., Venditti, D., Steinmann, J., Müller, K.-D., & Michel, R. (2014). Microsporidia-like parasites of amoebae belong to the early fungal lineage Rozellomycota. *Parasitology Research*, *113*(5), 1909–1918. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-014-3838-4

Dang, Q., Tan, W., Zhao, X., Li, D., Li, Y., Yang, T., Li, R., Zu, G., & Xi, B. (2019). Linking the response of soil microbial community structure in soils to long-term wastewater irrigation and soil depth. *Science of the Total Environment*, *688*, 26–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.138

De Anda, V., Zapata-Peñasco, I., Blaz, J., Poot-Hernández, A. C., Contreras-Moreira, B., González-Laffitte, M., Gámez-Tamariz, N., Hernández-Rosales, M., Eguiarte, L. E., & Souza, V. (2018). Understanding the mechanisms behind the response to environmental perturbation in microbial mats: A metagenomic-network based approach. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *9*(NOV). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02606

De Wit, R., Guerrero, M. C., Legaz, A., Jonkers, H. M., Blocier, L., Gumiaux, C., & Gautret, P. (2013). Conservation of a permanent hypersaline lake: Management options evaluated from decadal variability of coleofasciculus chthonoplastes microbial mats. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, *23*(4), 532–545. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2319

Des Marais, D. (2010). *Marine Hypersaline Microcoleus-Dominated Cyanobacterial Mats in the Saltern at Guerrero Negro, Baja California Sur, Mexico: A System-Level Perspective* (Vol. 14, pp. 401–420). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3799-2_21

Edgcomb, V. P., Bernhard, J. M., Summons, R. E., Orsi, W., Beaudoin, D., & Visscher, P. T. (2014). Active eukaryotes in microbialites from Highborne Cay, Bahamas, and Hamelin Pool (Shark Bay), Australia. *ISME Journal*, *8*(2), 418–429. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.130

El-Elimat, T., Figueroa, M., Ehrmann, B. M., Cech, N. B., Pearce, C. J., & Oberlies, N. H. (2013). High-Resolution MS, MS/MS, and UV Database of Fungal Secondary Metabolites as a Dereplication Protocol for Bioactive Natural Products. *Journal of Natural Products*, *76*(9), 1709–1716.

https://doi.org/10.1021/np4004307

Elser, J. J., Schampel, J. H., Garcia-Pichel, F., Wade, B. D., Souza, V., Eguiarte, L.,
Escalante, A., & Farmer, J. D. (2005). Effects of phosphorus enrichment and
grazing snails on modern stromatolitic microbial communities. *Freshwater*
Biology, *50*(11), 1808–1825. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2005.01451.x

Elser, J. J., Watts, J., Schampel, J. H., & Farmer, J. (2006). Early Cambrian food
webs on a trophic knife-edge? A hypothesis and preliminary data from a modern
stromatolite-based ecosystem. *Ecology Letters*, *9*(3), 292–300.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00873.x

Faith, D. P. (1992). Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biological*
Conservation, *61*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-
3207(92)91201-3

Ferezou, J.-P., Quesneau-Thierry, A., Barbier, M., Kollmann, A., & Bousquet, J.-F.
(1980). Structure and synthesis of phomamide, a new piperazine-2,5-dione
related to the sirodesmins, isolated from the culture medium of Phoma lingam
Tode. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 1*, *0*, 113–115.
https://doi.org/10.1039/P19800000113

Fujimoto, H., Neghisi, E., Yamaguchi, K., Nishi, N., & Yamazaki, M. (1996). Isolation
of New Tremorgenic Metabolites from an Ascomycete, Corynascus setosus.
Chemical & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, *44*(10), 1843–1848.
https://doi.org/10.1248/cpb.44.1843

Gerdes, G. (2010). What are microbial mats? *Microbial Mats: Modern and Ancient*
Microorganisms in Stratified Systems, 3–25.

Gerdes, Gisela, Claes, M., Dunajtschik-Piewak, K., Riege, H., Krumbein, W. E., &
Reineck, H.-E. (1993). Contribution of microbial mats to sedimentary surface
structures. *Facies*, *29*(1), 61. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02536918

Glassman, S. I., & Martiny, J. B. H. (2018). Broadscale Ecological Patterns Are
Robust to Use of Exact. *MSphere*, *3*(4), e00148-18.

Goberna, M., Navarro-Cano, J. A., Valiente-Banuet, A., García, C., & Verdú, M.
(2014). Abiotic stress tolerance and competition-related traits underlie
phylogenetic clustering in soil bacterial communities. *Ecology Letters*, *17*(10),
1191–1201. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12341

Gutiérrez-Preciado, A., Saghaï, A., Moreira, D., Zivanovic, Y., Deschamps, P., &
López-García, P. (2018). Functional shifts in microbial mats recapitulate early
Earth metabolic transitions. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, *2*(11), 1700–1708.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0683-3

Haafke, J., Abou Chakra, M., & Becks, L. (2016). Eco-evolutionary feedback
promotes Red Queen dynamics and selects for sex in predator populations.
Evolution, *70*(3), 641–652. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12885

Harding, T., & Simpson, A. G. B. (2018). Recent Advances in Halophilic Protozoa
Research. In *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology* (Vol. 65, Issue 4, pp. 556–570).
Blackwell Publishing Inc. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12495

Hauer, G., & Rogerson, A. (2005). Heterotrophic Protozoa from Hypersaline
Environments. In Gunde-Cimerman Nina, A. and Oren, & and P. Ana (Eds.),
Adaptation to Life at High Salt Concentrations in Archaea, Bacteria, and
Eukarya (pp. 519–539). Springer Netherlands.

Hauer, G., Rogerson, A., & Anderson, O. R. (2001). Platyamoeba pseudovannellida

n. sp., a naked amoeba with wide salt tolerance isolated from the Salton Sea, California. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, *48*(6), 663–669. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.2001.tb00206.x

Helmus, M. R., Bland, T. J., Williams, C. K., & Ives, A. R. (2007). Phylogenetic measures of biodiversity. *The American Naturalist*, *169*(3). https://doi.org/10.1086/511334

Horner-Devine, M. C., & Bohannan, B. J. M. (2006). Phylogenetic clustering and overdispersion in bacterial communities. *Ecology*, *87*(7 SUPPL.).

Inoue, M., Takenaka, H., Tsurushima, T., Miyagawa, H., & Ueno, T. (1996). Colletofragarones A1 and A2, novel germination self-inhibitors from the fungus Colletotrichum fragariae. *Tetrahedron Letters*, *37*(32), 5731–5734. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-4039(96)01212-9

Irie, K., Kajiyama, S., Koshimizu, K., Hayashi, H., & Arai, M. (1990). Isolation and biosynthesis of (−)-indolactam I, a new congener of indole alkaloid tumor promoter teleocidins. *Tetrahedron Letters*, *31*(50), 7337–7340. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)88559-7

Ishibashi, M., Funayama, S., Anraku, Y., Komiyama, K., & Omtura, S. (1991). Novel antibiotics, furaquinocins C, D, E, F, G and H. *The Journal of Antibiotics*, *44*(4), 390–395. https://doi.org/10.7164/antibiotics.44.390

Jia, X., Dini-Andreote, F., & Falcão Salles, J. (2018). Community Assembly Processes of the Microbial Rare Biosphere. *Trends in Microbiology*, *26*(9), 738–747. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2018.02.011

Karpinets, T. V., Gopalakrishnan, V., Wargo, J., Futreal, A. P., Schadt, C. W., & Zhang, J. (2018). Linking associations of rare low-abundance species to their environments by association networks. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *9*(MAR). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00297

Katoh, K., & Toh, H. (2010). Parallelization of the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment program. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(15), 1899–1900. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq224

Kembel, S., Cowan, P., Helmus, M., Cornwell, W., Morlon, H., Ackerly, D., Blomberg, S., & Webb, C. (2010). Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics*, *26*, 1463–1464.

Kim, W. G., Kim, J. P., & Yoo, I. D. (1996). Benzastatins A, B, C, and D : New free radical scavengers from Streptomyces nitrosporeus 30643 II. Structure determination. *Journal of Antibiotics*, *49*(1), 26–30. https://doi.org/10.7164/antibiotics.49.26

Kimura, Y., Shiojima, K., Nakajima, H., & Hamasaki, T. (1992). Structure and Biological Activity of Plant Growth Regulators Produced by Penicillium sp. No. 31f. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, *56*(7), 1138–1139. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.56.1138

Kinashi, H., & Sakaguchi, K. (1984). Structures of Antibiotics 2-11-A and B. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, *48*(1), 245–247. https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1984.10866129

Kirkup, M. P., & Moore, R. E. (1983). Indole alkaloids from the marine red alga Martensia fragilis. *Tetrahedron Letters*, *24*(20), 2087–2090. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)81851-1

Knowles, S. L., Raja, H. A., Wright, A. J., Lee, A. M. L., Caesar, L. K., Cech, N. B.,

Mead, M. E., Steenwyk, J. L., Ries, L. N. A., Goldman, G. H., Rokas, A., & Oberlies, N. H. (2019). Mapping the Fungal Battlefield: Using in situ Chemistry and Deletion Mutants to Monitor Interspecific Chemical Interactions Between Fungi. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*, 285. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00285

Kobayashi, A., Kaiiyama, S. ichiro, Inawaka, K., Kanzaki, H., & Kawazu, K. (1994). Nostodione A, a Novel Mitotic Spindle Poison* from a Blue-Green Alga Nostoc commune. *Zeitschrift Fur Naturforschung - Section C Journal of Biosciences*, *49*(7–8), 464–470. https://doi.org/10.1515/znc-1994-7-812

Kosemura, S. (2003). Meroterpenoids from Penicillium citreo-viride B. IFO 4692 and 6200 hybrid. *Tetrahedron*, *59*(27), 5055–5072. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4020(03)00739-7

Laland, K., Matthews, B., & Feldman, M. W. (2016). An introduction to niche construction theory. *Evolutionary Ecology*, *30*(2), 191–202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-016-9821-z

Legendre, P., & Legendre, L. (2012). *Numerical Ecology* (3rd ed.). Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Li, C., Roege, K. E., & Kelly, W. L. (2009). Analysis of the Indanomycin Biosynthetic Gene Cluster from Streptomyces antibioticus NRRL 8167. *ChemBioChem*, *10*(6), 1064–1072. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200800822

Liang, R., Davidova, I. A., Marks, C. R., Stamps, B. W., Harriman, B. H., Stevenson, B. S., Duncan, K. E., & Suflita, J. M. (2016). Metabolic capability of a predominant Halanaerobium sp. in hydraulically fractured gas wells and its implication in pipeline corrosion. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *7*(JUN). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00988

Liu, J., Meng, Z., Liu, X., & Zhang, X. (2019). Microbial assembly, interaction, functioning, activity and diversification: a review derived from community compositional data. *Marine Life Science & Technology*, *1*(1), 112–128. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42995-019-00004-3

Liu, W., Xu, D., Ma, H., Al-Farraj, S. A., Warren, A., & Yi, Z. (2016). Taxonomy and molecular systematics of three oligotrich (s.l.) ciliates including descriptions of two new species, Strombidium guangdongense sp. nov. and Strombidinopsis sinicum sp. nov. (Protozoa, Ciliophora). *Systematics and Biodiversity*, *14*(5), 452–465. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2016.1162872

Liu, X., Li, M., Castelle, C. J., Probst, A. J., Zhou, Z., Pan, J., Liu, Y., Banfield, J. F., & Gu, J. D. (2018). Insights into the ecology, evolution, and metabolism of the widespread Woesearchaeotal lineages. *Microbiome*, *6*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0488-2

López-Lozano, N. E., Heidelberg, K. B., Nelson, W. C., García-Oliva, F., Eguiarte, L. E., & Souza, V. (2013). Microbial secondary succession in soil microcosms of a desert oasis in the Cuatro Cienegas Basin, Mexico. *PeerJ*, *1*, e47. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.47

Ma, K., Li, L., Bao, L., He, L., Sun, C., Zhou, B., Si, S., & Liu, H. (2015). Six new 3,4-seco-27-norlanostane triterpenes from the medicinal mushroom Ganoderma boninense and their antiplasmodial activity and agonistic activity to LXRβ. *Tetrahedron*, *71*(12), 1808–1814. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2015.02.002

Margulis, L., Ashen, J. B., Sole, M., & Guerrero, R. (2006). Composite, large spirochetes from microbial mats: spirochete structure review. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *90*(15), 6966–6970. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90.15.6966

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet. Journal*, *17*(1), 10–12.

Mayfield, M. M., & Levine, J. M. (2010). Opposing effects of competitive exclusion on the phylogenetic structure of communities. *Ecology Letters*, *13*, 1085–1093. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01509.x

McGonigle, J. M., Bernau, J. A., Bowen, B. B., & Brazelton, W. J. (2019). Robust Archaeal and Bacterial Communities Inhabit Shallow Subsurface Sediments of the Bonneville Salt Flats. *MSphere*, *4*(4), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00378-19

McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. (2013). Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

Medina-Chávez, N. O., Viladomat-Jasso, M., Olmedo-Álvarez, G., Eguiarte Luis, E., Souza, V., & De la Torre-Zavala, S. (2019). Diversity of Archaea domain in Cuatro Cienegas Basin: Archean Domes. *Submitted*.

Miller, M. A., Pfeiffer, W., & Schwartz, T. (2010). Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. *2010 Gateway Computing Environments Workshop, GCE 2010*. https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129

Moreno Fontalba, C. (2017). Ecología de las interacciones entre bacterias en Cuatro Ciénegas Coahuila México. *Instituto de Ecologia UNAM Master Thesis Https://Bit.Ly/2n0sdMp*.

Nilsson, R. H., Larsson, K. H., Taylor, A. F. S., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Jeppesen, T. S., Schigel, D., Kennedy, P., Picard, K., Glöckner, F. O., Tedersoo, L., Saar, I., Kõljalg, U., & Abarenkov, K. (2019). The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: Handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *47*(D1), D259–D264. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1022

Nitti, A., Daniels, C. A., Siefert, J., Souza, V., Hollander, D., & Breitbart, M. (2012). Spatially Resolved Genomic, Stable Isotopic, and Lipid Analyses of a Modern Freshwater Microbialite from Cuatro Ciénegas, Mexico. *Astrobiology*, *12*(7), 685–698. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2011.0812

Nutman, A. P., Bennett, V. C., Friend, C. R. L., Van Kranendonk, M. J., & Chivas, A. R. (2016). Rapid emergence of life shown by discovery of 3,700-million-year-old microbial structures. *Nature*, *537*(7621), 535–538. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19355

Odling-Smee, F. J., Laland, K. N., & Feldman, M. W. (2003). *The Neglected Process in Evolution (MPB-37)*. Princeton University Press. http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt24hqpd

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'Hara, R. B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., & Wagner, H. (2013). *Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.0-8. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan. Accessed 2013 Nov* (R package version 2.0-8.).

http://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan

Ortiz-Alvarez, R., & Casamayor, E. O. (2016). High occurrence of Pacearchaeota
and Woesearchaeota (Archaea superphylum DPANN) in the surface waters of
oligotrophic high-altitude lakes. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, *8*(2), 210–
217. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12370

Paerl Hans W.and Yannarell, A. C. (2010). Environmental Dynamics, Community
Structure and Function in a Hypersaline Microbial Mat. In A. Seckbach
Josephand Oren (Ed.), *Microbial Mats: Modern and Ancient Microorganisms in*
Stratified Systems (pp. 421–442). Springer Netherlands.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3799-2_22

Pajares, S., Bonilla-Rosso, G., Travisano, M., Eguiarte, L. E., & Souza, V. (2012).
Mesocosms of aquatic bacterial communities from the Cuatro Cienegas Basin
(Mexico): a tool to test bacterial community response to environmental stress.
Microbial Ecology, *64*(2), 346–358. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-012-0045-7

Pajares, S., Eguiarte, L. E., Bonilla-Rosso, G., & Souza, V. (2013). Drastic changes
in aquatic bacterial populations from the Cuatro Cienegas Basin (Mexico) in
response to long-term environmental stress. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, *104*(6),
1159–1175. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-013-0038-7

Pang, Z., Chong, J., Li, S., & Xia, J. (2020). Metaboanalystr 3.0: Toward an optimized
workflow for global metabolomics. *Metabolites*, *10*(5).
https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo10050186

Papineau, D. (2010). Global Biogeochemical Changes at Both Ends of the
Proterozoic: Insights from Phosphorites. *Astrobiology*, *10*(2), 165–181.
https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2009.0360

Park, J. S., Cho, B. C., & Simpson, A. G. B. (2006). Halocafeteria seosinensis gen.
et sp. nov. (Bicosoecida), a halophilic bacterivorous nanoflagellate isolated from
a solar saltern. *Extremophiles*, *10*(6), 493–504. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-
006-0001-x

Pasulka, A., Hu, S. K., Countway, P. D., Coyne, K. J., Cary, S. C., Heidelberg, K. B.,
& Caron, D. A. (2019). SSU-rRNA Gene Sequencing Survey of Benthic
Microbial Eukaryotes from Guaymas Basin Hydrothermal Vent. *Journal of*
Eukaryotic Microbiology. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12711

Peimbert, M., Alcaraz, L. D., Bonilla-Rosso, G., Olmedo-Alvarez, G., García-Oliva,
F., Segovia, L., Eguiarte, L. E., & Souza, V. (2012). Comparative metagenomics
of two microbial mats at Cuatro Ciénegas Basin I: ancient lessons on how to
cope with an environment under severe nutrient stress. *Astrobiology*, *12*(7),
648–658. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2011.0694

Pérez-Gutiérrez, R. A., López-Ramírez, V., Islas, Á., Alcaraz, L. D., Hernández-
González, I., Olivera, B. C. L., Santillán, M., Eguiarte, L. E., Souza, V.,
Travisano, M., & Olmedo-Alvarez, G. (2013). Antagonism influences assembly
of a Bacillus guild in a local community and is depicted as a food-chain network.
ISME Journal, *7*(3), 487–497. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.119

Planavsky, N. J., Rouxel, O. J., Bekker, A., Lalonde, S. V., Konhauser, K. O.,
Reinhard, C. T., & Lyons, T. W. (2010). The evolution of the marine phosphate
reservoir. *Nature*, *467*(7319), 1088–1090. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09485

Pluskal, T., Castillo, S., Villar-Briones, A., & Orešič, M. (2010). MZmine 2: Modular
framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based

molecular profile data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-395

Post, D. M., & Palkovacs, E. P. (2009). Eco-evolutionary feedbacks in community and ecosystem ecology: Interactions between the ecological theatre and the evolutionary play. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *364*(1523), 1629–1640. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0012

Post, F., Borowitzka, L., Borowitzka, M., Mackay, B., & Moulton, T. (1983). The protozoa of a Western Australian hypersaline lagoon. *Hydrobiologia*, *105*(1), 95–113. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00025180

Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S., & Arkin, A. P. (2009). Fasttree: Computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *26*(7), 1641–1650. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp077

Prieto-Barajas, C. M., Valencia-Cantero, E., & Santoyo, G. (2018). Microbial mat ecosystems: Structure types, functional diversity, and biotechnological application. In *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* (Vol. 31, pp. 48–56). Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Valparaiso. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2017.11.001

Purdy, K. J., Embley, T. M., Takii, S., & Nedwell, A. D. B. (1996). Rapid Extraction of DNA and rRNA from Sediments by a Novel Hydroxyapatite Spin-Column Method. In *APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY* (Vol. 62, Issue 10).

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., & Glöckner, F. O. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *41*(D1), D590–D596. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219

R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.r-project.org/

Ramos, V. M. C., Castelo-Branco, R., Leão, P. N., Martins, J., Carvalhal-Gomes, S., da Silva, F. S., Filho, J. G. M., & Vasconcelos, V. M. (2017). Cyanobacterial diversity in microbial mats from the hypersaline lagoon system of Araruama, Brazil: An in-depth polyphasic study. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *8*(JUN), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01233

Rebollar, E. A., Avitia, M., Eguiarte, L. E., González-González, A., Mora, L., Bonilla-Rosso, G., & Souza, V. (2012). Water-sediment niche differentiation in ancient marine lineages of Exiguobacterium endemic to the Cuatro Cienegas Basin. *Environmental Microbiology*, *14*(9), 2323–2333. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02784.x

Rommel, K. R., Li, C., & Kelly, W. L. (2011). Identification of a Tetraene-Containing Product of the Indanomycin Biosynthetic Pathway. *Organic Letters*, *13*(10), 2536–2539. https://doi.org/10.1021/ol200570u

Rudi, K., Skulberg, O. M., Larsen, F., & Jakobsen, K. S. (1997). Strain characterization and classification of oxyphotobacteria in clone cultures on the basis of 16S rRNA sequences from the variable regions V6, V7, and V8. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *63*(7), 2593–2599.

Saghaï, A., Gutiérrez-Preciado, A., Deschamps, P., Moreira, D., Bertolino, P., Ragon, M., & López-García, P. (2017). Unveiling microbial interactions in

stratified mat communities from a warm saline shallow pond. *Environmental Microbiology*, *19*(6), 2405–2421. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13754

Sardans, J., Peñuelas, J., & Rivas-Ubach, A. (2011). Ecological metabolomics: Overview of current developments and future challenges. *Chemoecology*, *21*(4), 191–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-011-0083-5

Souza, V., Eguiarte, L. E., Moreno-Letelier, A., Travisano, M., Alcaraz, L. D., & Olmedo, G. (2018). The lost world of Cuatro Ciénegas Basin, a relictual bacterial niche in a desert oasis. *ELife*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38278

Souza, V., Espinosa-Asuar, L., Escalante, A. E., Eguiarte, L. E., Farmer, J., Forney, L., Lloret, L., Rodriguez-Martinez, J. M., Soberon, X., Dirzo, R., Elser, J. J., Rodríguez-Martínez, J. M., Soberón, X., Dirzo, R., Elser, J. J., Forney, L., Sobero, X., & Elser, J. J. (2006). An endangered oasis of aquatic microbial biodiversity in the Chihuahuan desert. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *103*(17), 6565–6570. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601434103

Souza, V., Olmedo-Álvarez, G., & Eguiarte, L. E. (Eds.). (2018). *Cuatro Ciénegas Ecology, Natural History and Microbiology*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93423-5

Souza, V., Siefert, J., Escalante, A. E., Elser, J. J., & Eguiarte, L. E. (2012). The Cuatro Ciénegas Basin in Coahuila, Mexico: an astrobiological Precambrian Park. *Astrobiology*, *12*(7), 641–647. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2011.0675

Stolz, J. F. (2000). Structure of Microbial Mats and Biofilms. In S. M. Riding Robert E.and Awramik (Ed.), *Microbial Sediments* (pp. 1–8). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-04036-2_1

Taboada, B., Isa, P., Gutiérrez-Escolano, A. L., M. del ángel, R., Ludert, J. E., Vázquez, N., Tapia-Palacios, M. A., Chávez, P., Garrido, E., Espinosa, A. C., Eguiarte, L. E., López, S., Souza, V., & Arias, C. F. (2018). The geographic structure of viruses in the Cuatro Ciénegas Basin, a unique oasis in northern Mexico, reveals a highly diverse population on a small geographic scale. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *84*(11). https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00465-18

Taiyun, W., & Viliam, S. (2017). *R package "corrplot": Visualization of a Correlation Matrix (Version 0.84)*. https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot

Tozuka, Z., Takasugi, H., & Takaya, T. (1983). Studies on tomaymycin. II. Total syntheses of the antitumor antibiotics, E-and Z-tomaymycins. *The Journal of Antibiotics*, *36*(3), 276–282. https://doi.org/10.7164/antibiotics.36.276

Van Der Hooft, J. J. J., Mohimani, H., Bauermeister, A., Dorrestein, P. C., Duncan, K. R., & Medema, M. H. (2020). Linking genomics and metabolomics to chart specialized metabolic diversity. *Chemical Society Reviews*, *49*(11), 3297–3314. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cs00162g

Van Valen, L. (1973). A new evolutionary law. *Evolutionary Theory* , *1*, 1–30.

Vávra, J., & Lukeš, J. (2013). Microsporidia and 'The Art of Living Together.' In *Advances in Parasitology* (Vol. 82, pp. 253–319). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-407706-5.00004-6

Velez, P., Espinosa-Asuar, L., Figueroa, M., Gasca-Pineda, J., Aguirre-von-Wobeser, E., Eguiarte, L. E., Hernandez-Monroy, A., & Souza, V. (2018). Nutrient dependent cross-kingdom interactions: Fungi and bacteria from an

oligotrophic   desert   oasis.   *Frontiers   in   Microbiology*,   *9*(AUG).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01755
Velez, P., Gasca-Pineda, J., Rosique-Gil, E., Eguiarte, L. E., Espinosa-Asuar, L., &
Souza, V. (2016). Microfungal oasis in an oligotrophic desert: diversity patterns
and community structure in three freshwater systems of Cuatro Ciénegas,
Mexico. *PeerJ*, *4*, e2064. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2064
Visscher, P. T., Dupraz, C., Braissant, O., Gallagher, K. L., Glunk, C., Casillas, L., &
Reed, R. E. S. (2010). Biogeochemistry of Carbon Cycling in Hypersaline Mats:
Linking the Present to the Past through Biosignatures. In Seckbach Joseph &
A. and Oren (Eds.), *Microbial Mats: Modern and Ancient Microorganisms in*
Stratified   Systems   (pp.   443–468).   Springer   Netherlands.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3799-2_23
Wang, B., Adachi, Y., & Sugiyama, S. (2018). Soil productivity and structure of
bacterial and fungal communities in unfertilized arable soil. *PLoS ONE*, *13*(9).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204085
Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M., & Cole, J. R. (2007). Naive Bayesian classifier
for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy.
Applied   and   Environmental   Microbiology,   *73*(16),   5261–5267.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00062-07
White, Bruns, T., Lee, S., & Taylor, J. (1990). *White, T. J., T. D. Bruns, S. B. Lee,*
and J. W. Taylor. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA
Genes for phylogenetics (pp. 315–322).
Wong, H., Ahmed-Cox, A., & Burns, B. (2016). Molecular Ecology of Hypersaline
Microbial Mats: Current Insights and New Directions. *Microorganisms*, *4*(1), 6.
https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms4010006
Zaghouani, M., Kunz, C., Guédon, L., Blanchard, F., & Nay, B. (2016). First Total
Synthesis, Structure Revision, and Natural History of the Smallest Cytochalasin:
(+)-Periconiasin G. *Chemistry – A European Journal*, *22*(43), 15257–15260.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201603734
Zaitseva, S. V., Abidueva, E. Y., Radnagurueva, A. A., Bazarov, S. M., &
Buryukhaev, S. P. (2018). Structure of Microbial Communities of the Sediments
of Alkaline Transbaikalia Lakes with Different Salinity. *Microbiology (Russian*
Federation), *87*(4), 559–568. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026261718040185

1262
1263   **Figure legends**

1264

1265   Figure 1
1266   (A) The Cuatro Ciénegas Basin within México, and geographic location of Archaean
1267   Domes in Pozas Azules Ranch. (B) Detail of an Archaean Domes slice. (C) Domes
1268   when the sampling was conducted (note the size scale). Photos by David Jaramillo.
1269
1270   Figure 2
1271   Genera relative abundance of taxa in Archaean Domes, Cuatro Ciénegas Basin
1272   sample. A) Bacteria (only showed the most common taxa, more than 1% of diversity)
1273   B) Fungi (using Merge database, only fungal ASVs. NA is for ASVs that were not
1274   assigned to any genera, but phylum only)

1275
Figure 3
Graphic representation of shared ASVs within Archaean Domes mats sampling
sites. Number of shared ASVs is represented by black bars, showing the exact
number on the top. Dots below bars denote sites where those ASVs are shared, and
lines joining dots indicate the shared sites. Colored bars at bottom left of the main
figure are for ASVs number within each site. Pays show taxonomy of shared ASVs
within all sites (a few but abundant ASVs core).
(A) 16S Archaea and Bacteria, rRNA gene database, (B) Fungi and other Eucarya,
Forward-Only ITS database.
1285
Figure 4
Graph representing null model distribution of Phylogenetic Species Clustering
(nmdPSC) values for all community, abundant and rare taxa from 16S rRNA
database (A) and Forward-Only ITS database (B). Dotted lines are showing
nmdPSC significant values: > +2 is consistent with a sample that had more
phylogenetic overdispersion than expected by chance alone, and nmdPSC value <
-2 indicates more phylogenetic clustering than expected by chance alone; values in
the range +2 and -2 had a null model distribution. nmdPSC values are reported in
Suppl. Table 5.
1295
1296 Figure 5
1297 Pearson correlation analysis within the most common (i.e., involving more than 1%
1298 of diversity) Bacteria, and all genera of Archaea and Fungi (from Merge ITS
1299 database). Colored squares are for significant correlations ($P \leq 0.05$), blue for positive
1300 ones and red for negatives.
1301
1302 Figure 6
1303 Molecular network of soil samples grouped metabolite features into 140 chemical
1304 families. Each node in the network represents one metabolite feature. Nodes
1305 connected to each other are structurally-related (cosine MS^2 similarity score ≥0.7).
1306
1307 Figure 7
1308 Structures of microbial metabolites tentatively annotated in samples S1-S10 ($\leq$ 5
1309 ppm mass error).
1310
1311 Table1
1312 Alpha diversity indices for 16S rRNAgene and Forward-Only ITS region databases
1313 from Archaean Domes CCB microbial mats.
1314
1315 Suppl. Fig. 1
1316 (A) Phylum composition within 16S rRNA gene database (B) Kingdom composition
1317 (based on UNITE database eukaryotic taxonomy) within Forward-Only ITS region
1318 database.
1319
1320
1321

1322   Suppl. Fig. 2
1323   Rarefaction curves for 16S rRNA gene database (A) and ITS region Forward-Only
1324   ITS database (B).
1325
Suppl. Fig. 3
UPGMA dendrogram showing composition similarities among sampling sites
(Forward-Only ITS database for Eucarya UPGMA).
Branch length represent Bray-Curtis and Jaccard-1 dissimilarity coefficient, based
on (A) abundance of 16S rDNA sequences ASVs, and (B) abundance of ITS region
database ASVs. The numbers (height) are Bray-Curtis and Jaccard values.
1332
1333   Suppl. Figure 4
1334   Heatmap analysis showing relative abundance of metabolite features (MS ions in
1335   positive mode) in the Archaean Domes mats samples. On the scale bar, brick red
1336   color indicates increased metabolite levels, and blue color represents decreased
1337   levels. A shows non clustered samples and sites, B clustered samples and sites.
1338
1339   Suppl. Table 1.
1340   List of ASVs Blast hits with <90%, of non-fungal Merge ITS database.
1341
1342   Suppl. Table 2.
1343   Abundance tables (16S rRNA gene and ITS region taxa).
1344
1345   Suppl. Table 3.
1346   Counts of significant negative and positive correlations from Pearson Correlation
1347   Analysis (Figure 5).
1348
1349   Suppl. Table 4.
1350   Tentatively identified microbial metabolites in samples S1-S10 by GNPS and
1351   detailed metabolomic analysis.
1352
1353   Suppl. Table 5
1354   nmdPSC values