Post-embryonic development and aging of the appendicular skeleton

in *Ambystoma mexicanum*

Camilo Riquelme-Guzmán[1], Maritta Schuez[1], Alexander Böhm[1], Dunja Knapp[1], Sandra

Edwards-Jorquera[1], Alberto S. Ceccarelli[2], Osvaldo Chara[2,3,4], Martina Rauner[5,6], Tatiana

Sandoval-Guzmán[1,6]

[1]Technische Universität Dresden, CRTD/ Center for Regenerative Therapies TU Dresden,

Dresden, Germany.

[2]System Biology Group (SysBio), Institute of Physics of Liquids and Biological Systems

(IFLySiB), National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET) and University of La

Plata, La Plata, Argentina.

[3]Instituto de Tecnología, Universidad Argentina de la Empresa (UADE), Buenos Aires,

Argentina.

[4]Center for Information Services and High Performance Computing (ZIH), Technische

Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany.

[5]Department of Medicine III, Universitätsklinikum Dresden, Dresden, Germany.

[6]Center for Healthy Aging, Universitätsklinikum Dresden, Dresden, Germany.

19
20
21 **Correspondence**

22 Tatiana Sandoval-Guzmán, Technische Universität Dresden, CRTD/Center for Regenerative

23 Therapies TU Dresden, Dresden Germany

24 Email: tatiana.sandoval_guzman@tu-dresden.de

25
26
27
28

29 **Keywords**

30 Axolotl, ossification, aging, chondrocytes, osteoblasts

Abstract

Background

The axolotl is a key model to study appendicular regeneration. The limb complexity resembles that of humans in structure and tissue components; however, axolotl limbs develop post-embryonically. In this work, we evaluated the post-embryonic development of the appendicular skeleton and its changes with aging.

Results

The juvenile limb skeleton is formed mostly by *Sox9/Col1a2* cartilage cells. Ossification of the appendicular skeleton starts when animals reach a length of 10 cm, and cartilage cells are replaced by a primary ossification center, consisting of cortical bone and an adipocyte-filled marrow cavity. Vascularization is associated with the ossification center and the marrow cavity formation. We identified the contribution of *Col1a2*-descendants to bone and adipocytes. Moreover, ossification progresses with age towards the epiphyses of long bones. Axolotls are neotenic salamanders, and still ossification remains responsive to L-thyroxine, increasing the rate of bone formation.

Conclusions

In axolotls, bone maturation is a continuous process that extends throughout their life. Ossification of the appendicular bones is slow and continues until the complete element is ossified. The cellular components of the appendicular skeleton change accordingly during ossification, creating a heterogenous landscape in each element. The continuous maturation of the bone is accompanied by a continuous body growth.

Introduction

Urodeles are the only vertebrates with the ability to regenerate a whole limb as adults,

therefore they offer the unique opportunity to gain important insights to advance

regenerative medicine. The axolotl (*Ambystoma mexicanum*) is a gold standard for studying

limb regeneration in vertebrates. The progenitor cells in the blastema, a key structure for

epimorphic regeneration, are a heterogeneous population that remain restricted to their

embryonic origin.[1] Given the cellular heterogeneity of the blastema, the contribution of

specific cell populations during regeneration has been the focus of significant research in the

last decade. An interesting exception is the skeleton: cells embedded in the skeletal matrix do

not participate in regeneration; instead, the skeleton is restored by periskeletal cells and

dermal fibroblasts.[2–4]

While mammals are born with partially ossified bones that will conclude postnatally,

ossification in most amphibians is coupled with metamorphosis.[5–7] However, axolotls remain

neotenic and, hence, they maintain juvenile features throughout life and only rarely undergo

metamorphosis. Their adulthood is marked by reaching sexual maturity, a slowing growth

rate, and by ossification of the appendicular skeleton.[8,9] The physiological context in which

appendicular ossification occurs in axolotls as well as the cellular transitions within the

skeleton are unclear. In the anuran amphibian, *Xenopus laevis*, the extent of ossification

negatively correlates with the regenerative potential of the limb. During metamorphosis and

as bone ossifies, the regenerative capacity declines in the ossifying areas, while amputation

at the cartilaginous joints still regenerates.[10] Limb regeneration in salamanders remains a

feature of adult animals; however, regeneration also declines with age and after

metamorphosis.[11] It remains unclear if the correlation between appendicular ossification and

decreased regeneration holds true for the axolotl, and more importantly, if the regeneration

mechanisms studied so far are replicated when the cellular and extracellular matrix

landscapes change in the skeleton as they age. In this study, we analyzed larvae, adult and

aged axolotls to document the transition of the appendicular skeleton from a cartilaginous to

ossified skeleton.

In general, appendicular bones develop by endochondral ossification, a process where

a cartilage anlage is replaced by bone.[12,13] During development, mesenchymal progenitors

condense and form a cartilage primordium which expands by proliferation of chondrocytes.
Cells located in the central diaphysis differentiate into hypertrophic chondrocytes (HCs),
which subsequently induce the recruitment of blood vessels, osteoclasts and osteoblasts,
giving rise to the primary ossification center. Within the diaphysis, the cartilage matrix is
degraded, osteoblasts replace the tissue with cortical bone and a marrow cavity is formed.
Simultaneously, osteoblasts located in the perichondrium form a bone collar around the
diaphysis and the periosteum is established. Many HCs undergo apoptosis during
endochondral ossification; however, several studies have shown a partial contribution from
HCs to bone formation through transdifferentiation into osteoblasts.[14–16] Moreover,
Giovannone *et al.* showed that HCs can also transdifferentiate into adipocytes in the
ceratohyal bone in zebrafish, highlighting the importance and participation of HCs in the
ossification process. In axolotls, however, endochondral ossification is a post-embryonic
process. Whether it is driven by the hormonal changes of sexual maturity, environmental cues
or body mass, is not yet determined.

In this work, we evaluated the post-embryonic development of the appendicular
skeleton of axolotls, from larvae to aged adults. We analyzed the morphological and cellular
changes in the zeugopodial elements, the radius and ulna, and we identified the timing in
which ossification of those elements occurred. Moreover, we examined the cellular landscape
of the radius and the possible contribution of chondrocytes to bone formation in ulnas. Finally,
we observed in adult and aged animals (5- to 20-years) a continuous ossification in all limb
skeletal elements. Hence, our work provides a detailed view of how the appendicular skeleton,
particularly the zeugopod, matures from a cartilage anlage towards a bone.

Results

Axolotls transition from a rapid to a slower growth phase at about 10 months of age.

Few studies include aged animals beyond the well-determined larval stages[17] and the

neoteny of the axolotl raises controversy on the limit of its growth. In this study, we used

animals grown in fully standardized conditions[18] and we defined their appendicular

development in relation to their maturation stage.

Using the snout to tail (ST) and snout to vent (SV) lengths, we recorded the size and

age in 220 axolotls. ST and SV lengths are highly correlated (Pearson coefficient r = 0.9937, p

= 3.1 10^{-209}, Fig. 1A) and their normograms presented a similar trend: a rapid growth phase

followed by a slower growth phase (Fig. 1B, 1C, dots). To test whether there is a transition

between two subsequent growth modes, we followed a previously reported approach[19,20] to

determine the border separating two spatial regions within the anterior-posterior axis of the

axolotl spinal cord during regeneration (see methods section). We fitted the ST and SV

normograms with a two-line mathematical model, assuming two subsequent linear growths

separated by a transition age (Fig. 1B, 1C, continuous line). We estimated the two-line

transition age in (a_t^{TL}): 19.87 ± 0.07 and 19.00 ± 0.07 months for ST and SV normograms,

respectively (where the errors were estimated by bootstrapping). The slope of the first line

(m_1) was higher than the slope of the second line (m_2) for the ST normogram (m_1 = 1.144 ±

0.003 cm/month *vs*. m_2 = 0.0325 ± 0.0006 cm/month, no overlapping within three times the

errors) as well as for the SV normograms (m_1 = 0.618 ± 0.002 cm/month *vs*. m_2 = 0.0202 ±

0.0004 cm/month, no overlapping within three times the errors). Interestingly, the slope of

the second line was higher than zero (*i.e.*, zero was smaller than the second line slope minus

three times its error, both for ST and SV lengths), indicating that after the transition time, the

axolotl continues growing.

To mechanistically address the descriptive results obtained with the two-line model,

we tested whether the normograms could be recapitulated by a linear growth model

assuming a time-dependent growth rate (see methods section). In particular, we assumed that

the growth rate follows an age-dependent Hill-expression. The model successfully fit both

normograms (Fig. 1D, 1E) and allowed us to predict the age-dependent growth rate, a proxy

for the global proliferation rate in the axolotl (Fig. 1F, 1G). The model allows us to predict the

growth rate for animals of old ages, which resulted in (1/month): 0.00116 ± 0.00003 and

0.00142 ± 0.00002 for ST and SV lengths, respectively, both being higher than zero, in

agreement with the result obtained with the two-line model. More importantly, we

determined two subsequent growth rate regimes separated by the growth rate transition age

(a_t^{GR})given by the inflexion point, estimated as 9.87 ± 0.05 and 11.07 ± 0.05 months for the ST

and SV lengths, respectively. Hence, our analysis suggests that the two-line transition age of

approximately 20 months is an emergent phenomenon resulting from the growth rate

transition age of about 10 months.

The biological context of this transition relates to animals reaching sexual maturity.

We documented animal growth in the context of sexual maturity in our axolotl colony.

Remarkably, we found that the first signs of secondary sexual features in males appear around

10 months of age, and by 12 months these features are fully developed. However, a successful

mating starts only between 11 and 12 months of age. Females develop the first secondary

sexual features slightly later than males (between 10-11 months of age) but like males, by 12

months these features are fully developed. Fertility is first successful in females between 12-

14 months of age. Hence, the agreement between the transition time separating the faster

from the following slower growth rate and the age of appearance of the secondary sexual

features suggests a probable causal link.

Of note, in 1-year-old siblings, ST was significantly longer in males than females (males:

20.06 ± 0.6 cm, females: 17.78 ± 0.4 cm, n = 9), while SV remained constant (males: 9.8 ± 0.3

cm, females: 9.3 ± 0.3 cm, n = 9) (Fig. 1H). In 2.5-month-old juvenile larvae siblings, ST did not

differ (males: 4.7 ± 0.2 cm, females: 4.7 ± 0.2 cm, n = 12). This indicates that the differences

in total length between sexes is due to an increased tail growth in males upon sexual

maturation.

The zeugopodial skeleton is progressively ossified with growth

We evaluated the post-embryonic development of the appendicular skeleton,

particularly the long bones from the zeugopod. We used animals ranging from ST 4 to 20 cm,

which represent axolotls from a juvenile stage to adulthood. Using alcian blue/alizarin red

staining, we first evaluated the broad morphological changes in the skeleton. Alizarin red is a

calcium-binding dye that, combined with alcian blue (which stains polysaccharides in

cartilage), is routinely used to distinguish bone/cartilage. We detected alizarin red staining in

appendicular skeletal elements, starting in axolotls with a ST around 10-12 cm, and expanding

along the diaphysis to both ends as the animals grew (Fig. 2A). Alizarin red is detected in a

proximodistal developmental progression, from stylopod, to zeugopod and finally autopod.

The epiphyses of these elements remained cartilaginous in ST 20 cm animals. It is important

to note that alizarin red also labels mineralized cartilage, which can be seen *in vivo* in axolotls

by fluorescence imaging (Fig. 2B), hence it does not accurately distinguish the transition from

calcification to ossification. To accurately detect and quantify ossified bone volume and trace

the start of the ossification process, we analyzed different limbs by µCT scan. Ossification was

not detectable in ST 10 cm animals. The first appearance of an ossified ring around both the

radius and ulna was observed in animals with a ST of 12 cm (Fig. 2C). In animals bigger than

12 cm, ossification extended longitudinally to both ends of the bone. Interestingly, the

ossification did not occur homogenously, but rather with a porous appearance, resembling

the woven-like bone seen in mammalian bone development. In ST 25 cm animals, this porosity

disappeared and the tissue became uniformly ossified (Fig. 2D), forming compact bone.

Ossification extended to the epiphyses of the bone, as observed in the widening ends.

Quantification of the bone volumes (Fig. 2E) are not significantly different between bones

from ST 12 and 14 cm animals, or between ST 14 and 16 cm ones. However, between ST 16

cm and 20 cm, a 4-fold increase in bone volume was observed for the radius, and 7-fold for

the ulna. Moreover, radius volume was significantly higher than ulna (1.4 times increase) in

ST 20 cm axolotls. Even though ossification started when animals had a ST of 12 cm, there is

variability between siblings, especially at a ST of 14 cm (Fig. 2E). Whether this variability results

from sexual dimorphism or simply interindividual variation is yet to be determined.

Zeugopodial bones are vascularized and the marrow cavity is filled with adipocytes

Changes of the skeletal cellular landscape accompany the transition from a cartilage

anlage to bone. We assessed these changes in isolated radii from ST 6 and 12 cm axolotls,

which corresponded to radii before and during ossification. We performed H&E staining on

paraffin sections and confirmed that the radius was exclusively composed of chondrocytes in

ST 6 cm animals (Fig. 3A, left panel). We identified a resting zone (RZ), filled with rounded

chondrocytes, a proliferative zone (PZ), characterized by flattened chondrocytes, and a

hypertrophic zone (HZ), where chondrocyte differentiation leads to an increase in cellular

volume. Interestingly, these zones were not uniformly organized, as opposed to the isotropic cellular distribution of mammalian growth plates. The lack of an organized cellular distribution has been previously observed in another salamander model.[7] Throughout the juvenile stages, a calcification ring surrounds the perichondral region of the HZ (Fig. 3A, arrowhead Fig. 3B). We have observed that the first calcification ring appears early in limb development (stage 48) not long after hatching (stage 44), when the humerus is formed and the radius and ulna have just started to form by bifurcation from the humerus distal end (according to staging table[17]).

Radii from ST 12 cm animals (Fig. 3A, right panel) have a similar cellular distribution of the cartilage region as younger animals. But in contrast to young animals, we observed the formation of a primary ossification center in the diaphysis, which contained a marrow cavity surrounded by cortical bone. The woven-like bone seen on the μCT scan was also observed in sections as discontinuous tissue surrounding the cartilage, with defined edges between gaps (arrowhead Fig. 3C). The bone extended beyond the marrow cavity, surrounding also the HZ. By ST 16 cm, radii stained with H&E showed a further progression in ossification with an expanding marrow cavity. However, cartilage lining subjacent to the bone is still present, suggesting that the transition to bone was not completed. Embedded osteocytes in the cortical bone matrix and cells lining the outer and inner sides of the bone (periosteum and endosteum respectively) were observed (Fig. 3D). In both ST 12 and 16 cm, the marrow cavity was filled with adipocytes, which were both identified by their morphology and by Nile red staining (Fig. 3E), a lipophilic dye commonly used to identify lipid droplets.

A critical step for bone formation by endochondral ossification is the vascularization of the tissue.[21] To assess the vascularization of the skeletal elements from ST 12 cm animals, we generated a new transgenic line that expresses EGFP under the control of a *Tie2* promoter and enhancer (*Tie2-Tg*),[22] labeling blood vessels in the entire animal. We collected limbs from ST 6 cm animals and the radii from ST 12 cm animals and performed whole-mount immunofluorescence against GFP, followed by tissue clearing and confocal imaging. We observed that blood vessels surrounded the skeletal element of ST 6 cm animals, but the cartilage was not vascularized (Fig. 3F, left panel). However, we observed some blood vessel protrusions in close contact with the perichondrium in the HZ (arrowhead, Fig. 3G), which could point to the position where the ossification of the skeletal element will start. In the radii of ST 12 cm animals (Fig. 3F, right panel), the mid-diaphysis was found to be vascularized,

which correlates with the ossification of the cartilage anlage and formation of the marrow cavity. We did not observe vascularization of the epiphyses, and thus no secondary ossification center formation at this stage.

Sox9 marks chondrogenic cells while Col1a2 marks all skeletal cells

We identified the morphological changes of the zeugopod skeleton during growth; however, the identity of the cells within the skeletal tissue, and their origin, remained unclear. A key transcription factor involved in cartilage development is SOX9[13]. Thus, using a *Sox9-Tg* knock in line, where a T2a self-cleaving peptide followed by mCherry protein is fused to the endogenous SOX9 protein, we evaluated the distribution of mCherry$^+$ cells in ulnas from ST 6 and 12 cm animals (Fig. 4A). We confirmed that the expression of mCherry mirrors the real expression of SOX9 by immunofluorescence (Fig. 4E). In tissue sections from ST 6 cm ulnas, most cells were mCherry$^+$, while perichondral cells were mCherry$^-$ (Fig. 4A, left panel). We observed a similar distribution in ulnas from ST 12 cm animals (Fig. 4A, right panel), and we found no mCherry$^+$ cells in the ossified portion of the skeletal element (Fig. 4C). Moreover, using a *Col1a2-Tg* line (which expresses fluorescent protein TFP under the control of the *Col1a2* enhancer, labeling skeletal cells in the axolotl)[4] we evaluated the distribution of TFP$^+$ cells in ulnas from ST 6 and 12 cm animals (Fig. 4B). We observed TFP$^+$ cells throughout the skeletal elements in both sizes, labelling both cartilage and bone cells (Fig. 4B, 4D), i.e. chondrocytes, perichondral cells, osteoblasts, osteocytes and periosteal cells.

OCN is expressed in bone cells and in some hypertrophic chondrocytes

An unambiguous confirmation of ossification is the identification of mature osteoblasts in the bone matrix and bone lining cells. To that end, we used immunodetection of osteocalcin (anti-OCN). As expected, no labeling was observed in ulnas from ST 6 cm (Fig. 5A, left panel), which further demonstrated the absence of bone tissue in juvenile larvae. In ST 12 cm animals, we observed a broad OCN labeling of the bone ECM (Fig. 5A, right panel). We identified OCN$^+$ cells embedded in the bone matrix and in the periosteum (arrowheads, Fig. 5B). Interestingly, OCN$^+$ cells were also identified in the lower HZ of the chondro-osseous junction of the mid-diaphysis. These cells were mCherry$^+$, identified by our *Sox9-Tg* line (solid arrowheads Fig. 5B, 5C), and SOX9$^+$, identified by sequential double immunofluorescence (arrowheads Fig. 5D). Previous studies have correlated the downregulation of *Sox9* in the HZ

with the activation of the osteogenic program, and the persistence of SOX9 with an inhibition

of bone-related markers.[23,24] The expression of OCN in HCs could point towards an early

activation of an osteogenic program in those cells. In that regard, osteocalcin expression has

been reported in post-HCs.[25] Some studies have demonstrated the ability of HCs to

transdifferentiate into osteoblasts in mammals and zebrafish (Giovannone et al., 2019; Yang

et al., 2014). However, the co-expression of SOX9 and OCN needs to be further explored.

Lineage tracing reveals a contribution of *Col1a2* cells to bone and marrow cells

Our above observations led us to hypothesize that some HCs could transdifferentiate

into bone cells in the axolotl. To start exploring this idea, we evaluated the contribution of

Col1a2 chondrocytes to bone formation.

Using the double transgenic *Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg*, we traced the fate of *Col1a2*

descendants by inducing the permanent labeling of chondrocytes by tamoxifen-induced

conversion of the Cre/Lox reporter cassette in ST 5 cm animals. In these animals the

appendicular skeleton is still exclusively cartilaginous. We allowed the animals to grow until

ST 14 cm and we collected the ulnas for sectioning and imaging. We observed a great

proportion of the cells to be mCherry$^+$. They were distributed throughout the cartilage, bone

matrix, periosteum, endosteum and in the marrow cavity (Fig. 6A, 6B). Moreover, we assessed

whether some of the mCherry$^+$ cells were OCN$^+$. We found mCherry$^+$/OCN$^+$ cells in the

endosteum and periosteum (arrowheads, Fig. 6C, 6C', 6C''), showing that *Col1a2* descendants

gave rise, at least partially, to committed osteoblasts in the axolotl zeugopod. However,

whether a specific subpopulation of *Col1a2* cells gives rise to all derived cells or if HCs

contribute directly to OCN$^+$ cells, cannot be answered with this transgenic approach.

Bone ossification and marrow cavity expand with age

Axolotls have a life span extending to more than 20-years under controlled laboratory

conditions.[8] However, little is known about bone morphological features in aged animals,

although it is commonly assumed that axolotls retain cartilaginous epiphyses and thus there

is perennial longitudinal growth of the bones. We collected bones and limbs from multiple 1-

, 5-, and 7-year-old axolotls, as well as from two animals that were 20 and 21-years of age. In

histological sections from radii (Fig. 7A), we observed an age-related expansion of the marrow

cavity towards the epiphyses. Cortical bone was also expanded longitudinally and radially,

surrounding most of the skeletal element length, even though some parts of the inner surface

of the epiphyses remained cartilaginous in most cases. However, Fig. 7A shows a

representative section of the 20-year-old radius, with a partial ossification of the epiphysis

(arrowhead), which suggests a continuous ossification of the radius with age. Importantly, we

did not identify a secondary ossification center in any of the radii analyzed. Still, we observed

the appearance of marrow processes inside the hypertrophic cartilage. These longitudinal

projections of the marrow cavity have been described for other salamander models.[26,27] In

addition, in whole limbs stained with alcian blue/alizarin red, we observed in a 5-year-old

animal a calcification in the carpal bone intermedium (Fig. 7B), as well as in 6/8 tarsal bones

in our 20-year-old animal (Fig. 7C), and in 4/9 in our 21-year-old specimen. Both aged animals

show ossification of the basal commune, central, intermedium and fibular tarsals. This

suggests that the short bones in the autopod undergo a late ossification in comparison to the

long bones in the zeugopod, but also highlights the continuity of this process in adulthood and

aging.

In elements from the stylopod and autopod (digits from 2-year-old animals and humeri

from 5-year-old animals) (Fig. 7D, 7E respectively), we observed the same features described

for long bones in the zeugopod, i.e., an ossified ring of cortical bone around a marrow cavity

filled with adipocyte tissue which expanded from the diaphysis towards the epiphyses.

This continuous ossification could be the origin of aberrant phenotypes. In 3 out of 6

7-year-old animals and in the 20-year-old animal, we observed that the zeugopodial bones

were fused either in their proximal or distal epiphysis. In one case, the fusion occurred with a

heterotopic ossification and cartilage formation around the distal end of the radius.

Our results show a continuous ossification of the skeletal elements even in aged

animals. Whether the appendicular skeleton would become completely ossified if an animal

lived long enough remains unknown.

Axolotl metamorphosis accelerates bone ossification

Metamorphosis is a critical transformation in the life cycle of many amphibians. This

process allows them to access a vaster territory in their search for food and mating partners.

In some anurans and urodeles, ossification of the limb skeleton is associated with the

metamorphic transformation.[5,7] Although axolotls are neotenic and rarely undergo

spontaneous metamorphosis, the administration of exogenous thyroxine can induce it. We

evaluated whether the ossification in axolotl is still responsive to the hormonal changes of metamorphosis. If this is the case, metamorphosis should accelerate the ossification process in axolotls. We injected thyroxine in ST 14 cm axolotls, where ossification is already covering the mid-diaphysis. Radii were collected at 35 days post-injection and embedded in paraffin for sectioning and staining. Metamorphic axolotls were slightly smaller than their paedomorph siblings, although this difference was not significant (paedomorph ST: 15.4 ± 0.4 cm, SV: 8.6 ± 0.3, n = 5, metamorphic ST: 14.7 ± 0.3, SV: 8.4 ± 0.5, n = 6). By H&E staining, we did not observe differences in the gross morphology (Fig. 8A) compared to an un-injected animal. These observations are in agreement with a previous study, in which no significant difference was observed in zeugopodial bones when surface and length were compared between a pre- and post-metamorphic axolotl.[28] However, when compared using μCT scan, we observed an increase in bone volume in metamorphic animals. Both zeugopodial bones had a bigger ossified area, and the ossification pattern was more uniform than the paedomorph bones analyzed (Fig. 8B). Bone volume quantification showed a significant increase of 4 times in radii volume and over 3 times increase for ulnas (Fig. 8C). These results demonstrate that ossification is accelerated in axolotl limbs when metamorphosis is induced, and suggest that the cells respond to intrinsic programs as well as hormonal changes.

Discussion

Appendicular skeletogenesis is considered highly conserved among tetrapods, mostly
based on studies in mammals and chicks,[29] although the appendicular skeleton has undergone
species-specific adaptations due to environmental influences. Many species display variations
in important cellular and temporal parameters of limb development. Mammals are born with
ossified bone, while most amphibians develop their limbs post-embryonically. The transition
of a cartilaginous appendicular skeleton to a bony skeleton is associated with the hormonal
changes during metamorphosis.[30,31] Metamorphosis in amphibians is a significant event that
precedes sexual maturity, often giving the animals biological advantages, such as in their
ability to acquire food or travel to new locations. In neotenic salamanders, like the axolotl, the
appendicular cartilage is not only a transitional tissue; larvae live with a calcified cartilage
throughout their juvenile period. Here we show that their limb skeleton continuously ossifies
(in the absence of metamorphosis) until the external layer of both, epiphysis and diaphysis is
completely covered by compact bone. This result contrast the previously proposed idea that
the epiphyses remain cartilaginous throughout life,[26,28] and that this feature would likely
contribute to longitudinal bone growth.[32]

The biological clock triggering skeletal changes remained unexplored, since axolotls
rarely change to a terrestrial environment. In this work, we provide a characterization of the
maturation of the appendicular skeleton during the life span of the axolotl, considering
ossification timing and age-related effects, complementing previous studies in larval stages[33]
and young adults.[32] In our axolotl colony, animals are grown in fully standardized conditions
that allow us to use the total body length to associate it to appendicular changes and biological
landmarks such as sexual maturity. During their growth, snout to vent length scales with the
snout to tail length, showing a tightly controlled process. Fitting a two-line mathematical
model to our normograms of ST and SV lengths evidence an explosive growth of axolotls in
approximately the first 20 months of their life, after which growth velocity dramatically
diminishes (Fig. 1B, 1C), however never reaching zero. To mechanistically interpret this
transition age, we fitted the same normograms to a linear growth model. Our results suggest
that the transition age observed in the growth velocities could be an emergent phenomenon
caused by a transition in the growth rates taking place at about 10 months of age (Fig. 1D, 1G).

Remarkably, around this time, animals become sexually mature and ossification of their
appendicular bones starts, but for most of the juvenile phase axolotls present a mineralized
ring around the cartilaginous mid-diaphysis. Mineralization of the zeugopodial appendicular
skeletal elements was observed as early as developmental stage 48. In contrast, ossification
starts around the sexual maturity period, when we can observe the formation of a denser
calcified surface and embedded cells with morphological and genetic characteristics of
osteoblasts. Moreover, we show that *Col1a2* descendants participate in the ossification
process by giving rise to osteogenic cells and marrow cells (likely adipocytes). We report a
continuous ossification of zeugopodial bones and marrow cavity expansion with age.
However, this ossification is not complete, as we observed a cartilage-bone composite in most
of diaphyses analyzed. Short bones, such as tarsals and carpals, undergo a very late
ossification when compared to appendicular long bones. Finally, we see an accelerated
zeugopodial ossification in metamorphic animals, indicating a responsiveness to exogenous
thyroxine.

Mineralization *versus* ossification

For most of the initial larval stages, the skeleton is cartilaginous with mineral
deposition. We have observed calcification of the humeral diaphysis as early as Stage 48, when
the radius and ulna had just started to form by bifurcation from the humerus distal end. Nye
et al. reported mineralization by alizarin red staining at stage 50, when condensations of the
metacarpals are already present.[34] This discrepancy may be accounted for by the detection
protocol. While Nye *et al*. used processed samples, we used real-time vital detection. The
calcification of appendicular cartilage remains throughout the juvenile phase of the axolotl
until the anlage starts to ossify.

In contrast to mineralization, ossification is a tightly regulated process mediated by
osteoblast differentiation. In axolotl limbs, we can identify it around the time animals begin
to show secondary sexual characteristics. Generally, amphibian metamorphosis precedes
sexual maturation, while in neotenic amphibians both sexual maturation and bone ossification
occur in the absence of metamorphosis. In salamanders, as in other species, metamorphosis
provides adaptive features to change habitat and ensure greater chances of survival. The
axolotl and its geographically and phylogenetically related counterpart, *Ambystoma*
velasci,[35,36] are examples of facultative metamorphs, which means that individuals transform

into terrestrial organisms when the environmental conditions of its habitat change. In
facultative metamorphs, ossification happens independently of metamorphosis, although
here we questioned if metamorphosis would have an effect on ossification. We show that the
genetic program to trigger ossification is indeed independent of metamorphosis, but it is still
sensitive to exogenous thyroxine to accelerate the ossification process. In *Xenopus laevis*
tadpoles lacking thyroid glands, metamorphosis is halted but growth continues and
ossification progresses in the hind limb independently of thyroxine.[37] Together with our data,
this suggests that appendicular ossification programs could also be triggered in the absence
of L-thyroxine, and that they probably depend on a critical body mass.

In contrast to the fully ossified diaphysis of post-metamorphic limbs in newts
(*Pleurodeles waltl*),[7] the diaphysis of axolotls retains a cartilage anlage subjacent to the
cortical bone even in old animals, and also in post-metamorphic zeugopodial and autopodial
elements. We think this observation had eluded previous reports because most of them focus
on the humerus or humeral-radial joint. We observed in humeri of a 5-, 7- and 20-year-old
animals only a modest cartilage layer in the diaphysis. Although in *Xenopus* the extent of
ossification correlates with decreased regenerative potential, axolotls can still regenerate
their ossified limbs in paedomorph adults and even in induced metamorph animals.[38]
However, both the potential for regeneration and its fidelity are reduced.[11] Importantly, our
results show that ossification in the appendicular skeleton is continuous. Rux *et al.* observed
some degree of ossification of the metaphysis in the femur of a 10-year-old axolotl,[39] which
suggested that ossification of the appendicular bones continues throughout life, and here, we
have shown that this ossification indeed reaches the epiphyses with time.

Contribution of *Col1a2* cells during ossification

One crucial difference between axolotl and mammalian bone formation is the
differential activation of the *Col1a2* enhancer. In mammals only osteoblasts activate the
Col1a2 enhancer,[40] while in axolotls, chondrocytes show *Col1a2* enhancer activity and COL1A2
and COL2A1 expression.[4,41] We have observed *Col1a2* enhancer activity as the first
mesenchymal condensates form in the limb bud (stage 47), and it remains active in cells inside
the cartilage of long bones and in HCs at the chondro-osseous junction even in 7-year-old
animals (personal observation).

One cell type largely ignored in regeneration is the adipocyte. We suggested a

contribution of *Col1a2* descendants to adipocytes in the marrow cavity. Although our *Col1a2-*

Tg line also labels the periosteum, its contribution to homeostasis is typically restricted to

skeletal cells. Therefore, we speculate that the likely contribution of *Col1a2* descendants to

marrow cells, such as adipocytes, is from chondrocytes. This result agrees with work done in

zebrafish, in which lineage tracing of chondrocytes has shown the contribution of these cells

to the marrow adipocytes,[14] similar to what we show in this study. Lopez *et al.* have shown

that the axolotl bone marrow is nonhematopoietic in young adults (1-year-old),[42] and our

study detects an adipocyte-filled marrow cavity in the long bones of the zeugopod in young

and aged animals (Fig. 3A, 3D, 3E, 7A).

SOX9$^+$/OCN$^+$ cells

During endochondral ossification, the fate of HCs is considered to be death and

subsequent substitution for bone cells. Unexpectedly, we found that HCs at the chondro-

osseous junction are SOX9$^+$/OCN$^+$. OCN is a non-collagenous protein in the bone matrix

expressed and secreted by committed osteoblasts. Although murine HCs can become

osteoblasts and osteocytes during endochondral bone formation,[14–16] it is surprising to find

cells expressing both SOX9 and OCN. SOX9 is known to block osteoblast differentiation and to

maintain columnar chondrocyte proliferation by delaying pre-hypertrophy, but also to direct

hypertrophic maturation.[23,24,43] The roles of SOX9 are various, and its expression in committed

osteoblasts has not been shown before, which suggests the presence of chondro-osteogenic

hybrid cells during the normal transition to the ossified skeleton in axolotl. Chondro-

osteogenic hybrids are generally found in reparative instances, such as in jaw regeneration in

zebrafish[44] and following injury in the murine rib,[45] and may hold an unexplored potential for

bone regeneration. In future studies, with transgenic lines labeling specifically HCs, we will be

able to conclusively show their contribution to different cell types in the axolotl skeleton.

Perspectives

The origin of adipocytes during ossification and their main role inside the marrow

cavity needs to be further explored in axolotls. The known role of bone marrow adipocytes is

to promote hematopoietic stem cell renewal. However, the marrow cavity of axolotls remains

devoid of a hematopoietic niche.[42] We assume that the adipose tissue in the marrow is white

fat based on morphology and the fact that brown adipose tissue has not been reported in

amphibians.[46] As such, it is possible that these cells have, instead, an important role in

osteogenesis and energy metabolism in the axolotl. Another cell type of interest is the

periskeletal cell. McCusker *et al*. has shown that the periskeletal tissue of older ST 25 cm

animals is multilayered and more complex than that of ST 6.5 cm larvae.[3] Importantly,

periskeletal tissue is mainly responsible for the regeneration of the skeleton.[2,3] The high

potential of these cells has not been tested in non-regenerative conditions, and their origin

and contribution to the formation of appendicular bone has not been investigated.

Concluding remarks

Taken together, our data provide evidence that bone remodeling is a

continuous process in axolotls, starting from a mineralized cartilaginous skeleton during the

larval stage to a slowly transitioning bone that continues to ossify as animals age. This

continuous process is accompanied by a continuous animal growth. The bone ossification

occurs in the absence of thyroxine or a biomechanical challenge, suggesting instead the

existence of a physiological timer triggered either by sexual maturation or body mass.

However, this ossification can be accelerated in response to exogenous thyroxine, creating in

a short time a bone mass that would normally take longer to form. Our findings add to a

growing body of literature indicating that vertebrate skeletal tissues are structurally diverse,

and break many of the established mammalian-centric rules of development and maturation.

We consider that understanding the development of the appendicular bones is essential to

comprehend how limb regeneration is orchestrated in stages where different cell types and

different maturation stages are found at the amputation plane.

Methods

Animal husbandry, transgenesis, metamorphosis and sample collection

Husbandry and experimental procedures were performed according to the Animal

Ethics Committee of the State of Saxony, Germany. Animals used were selected by their size,

which is indicated in each experiment individually (snout to tail = ST; snout to vent = SV). Sex

determination in juvenile larvae was performed by PCR based on. [47]

Axolotls (*Ambystoma mexicanum*) husbandry was performed in the CRTD axolotl

facility, adapted from Khattak *et al.*[18] and according to the European Directive 2010/63/EU,

Annex III, Table 9.1. Axolotls are kept at 18-19°C in a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle and a room

temperature of 20-22°C. Animals up to 2.5 cm SV are housed in individual tanks with a water

surface (WS) of 90 cm^2 and minimum water height (MWH) of 2.5 cm. Axolotls up to 5 cm SV

live in tanks with a WS of 180 cm^2 and MWH of 4.5 cm. Axolotls up to 9 cm SV live in tanks

with a WS of 448 cm^2 and MWH of 8 cm. Axolotl up to 11.5 cm SV live in tanks with a WS of

665 cm^2 and MWH of 10 cm. Axolotls of 10-15 cm SV are housed in tanks with a WS of 820-

1100 cm^2 with a MWH of 20 cm. Animals up to 7 cm ST are fed daily with live saltwater

artemia, starting at 8 cm ST they are fed with small (3mm) axolotl pellets (Aquaterratec,

Norgard Ambrock). Adults of 15 cm ST are fed large pellets (4-4.5 mm) twice a week.

White axolotls (*d/d*) were used for most of the experiments. Transgenic lines used

included   the   previously   published   TgSceI*(Mmus.Col1a2:TFPnls-T2a-ERT2-Cre-ERT2)^{emt}*

(referred   to   as   *Col1a2-Tg*)   and   TgSceI*(Mmus.Col1a2:TFPnls-T2a-ERT2-Cre-*

ERT2;Mmus.CAGGS:lp-GFP-3pA-lp-Cherry)^{emt} (referred   to   as   *Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg*).[4]   We

generated the transgenic lines C-Ti$^{t/+}$*(Sox9:Sox9-T2a-mCherry)^{emt}* (referred as *Sox9-Tg*) and

TgTol2*(Mmus.Tie2:EGFP)^{tsg}* (referred to as *Tie2-Tg*).

For generation of the *Tie2-Tg* transgenic line, we used the plasmid pSPTg.T2FXK (#54),

a gift from Thomas Sato (Addgene plasmid # 35963). The *Egfp* coding region was cloned 3´

from the promoter together with *Tol2* sequences. Fertilized embryos from *d/d* axolotls were

injected with the *Tie2:EGFP* vector and *Tol2* mRNA as previously described.[18] The *Sox9-Tg* reporter line is a targeted Knock-in line created by CRISPR/Cas9 technology according to the published protocol.[48] Briefly, a portion of the Sox9 gene including a part of the second (last) intron and the remaining downstream part of the CDS was PCRed from the axolotl genomic DNA and inserted into the vector pGEM-T along with a DNA fragment encoding T2a-Cherry-3xnls. The resulting vector was injected into fertilized eggs along with the CAS9 protein and the gRNA targeting the sequence GGACTGCTGGCGAATGCACC, which is found in the intron sequence within the vector and in the genome. As a result, mCherry fused to the C-terminus of SOX9 and, separated by a T2a self-cleaving peptide, is expressed from the endogenous SOX9 genomic locus.

Metamorphosis was induced in *d/d* animals as previously described.[18] Briefly, ST 14 cm axolotls were anesthetized prior to intraperitoneal injections with 1.5 μL of L-thyroxine (Sigma, T2376) per gram of bodyweight (stock 1 μg/μL in DMSO). Animals were cleaned regularly and water level was reduced as gills were lost. Only 1 out of 15 animals died during metamorphosis. Tissue was collected at 35 days post injection.

Recombination of *Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg* was induced by keeping animals in separated tanks with water containing (Z)-4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT, Sigma T5648) 2 μM overnight. Animals were then washed and screened two weeks later to ensure conversion.

For tissue collection, animals were anesthetized with 0.01% benzocaine solution. After collection, animals were euthanized by exposing them to a lethal dosage of anesthesia (0.1% benzocaine) for at least 20 min.

Alcian blue/alizarin red staining

Limbs collected were fixed with formaldehyde 10% at 4°C for 1 week and then washed three times with PBS 1x and dehydrated with serial EtOH washes (25, 50 and 70%). Limbs were either stored at -20°C in EtOH 70% or processed immediately at RT. Epidermis from animals > ST 12 cm was removed. Limbs were stained with alcian blue solution (Sigma A3157; alcian blue 0.0001% in EtOH 60% / glacial acetic acid 40%) for 3 days. Then, samples were rehydrated with EtOH dilution series in H_2O (80, 70, 50, 25%), before treatment with trypsin 1% in borax 30% for 30 min. Limbs were rinsed with KOH 1% and stained with alizarin red solution (Sigma A5533; alizarin red 0.0001% in KOH 1%) for 3 days. Next, limbs were washed with KOH 1% and cleared in KOH 1% / glycerol 20% overnight. Finally, limbs were dehydrated with EtOH dilution

series (25, 50, 70, 90 and 3x 100%) and later transferred into serial washes of glycerol/EtOH

(1:3, 1:1 and 3:1). Limbs were stored in 100% glycerol at 4°C.

µCT scan

Limbs collected were fixed with formaldehyde 10% at 4°C for 1 week and then washed

three times with PBS 1x and dehydrated with serial EtOH washes (25, 50 and 70%) and stored

in EtOH 70% at -20°C until measurement. Bone volume and microarchitecture were

determined by micro-computed tomography (µCT, vivaCT40, ScancoMedical) of zeugopodial

bones using pre-defined script 6. The isotropic voxel size was 10.5 µm (70 kVp, 114 µA, 200

ms integration time). Diaphysis scans were done for the whole zeugopod (both elements at

the same time) and included 600 – 1000 slices. ScancoMedical protocols were used for all

analyses and 3D reconstructions. Threshold used for analyses in Fig. 2 was 220 mg HA/cm^3,

and for Fig. 8 was 150 mg HA/cm^3.

Paraffin sectioning and H&E staining

Skeletal elements were isolated and fixed with MEMFa 1x (MOPS 0.1M pH7.4 / EGTA

2mM / MgSO4x7H2O 1mM / 3.7% formaldehyde) overnight at 4°C, washed with PBS 1x and

decalcified with EDTA 0.5M pH7.4 at 4°C for 1 to 4 weeks. After decalcification, samples were

washed with PBS 1x and dehydrated with serial EtOH washes (25, 50, 70 and x3 100%).

Samples were then incubated 3x with Roti®Histol (Carl Roth, 6640) at RT and 4x with paraffin

at 65°C in glass containers. After last incubation, samples were embedded in paraffin (Roti®-

Plast, Carl Roth, 6642) using plastic containers and stored at RT. Longitudinal sections of 6 µM

thickness were obtained.

H&E staining on paraffin sections was performed following the producer

recommendations (Sigma, Procedure No. HHS).

Cryosectioning and immunofluorescence

Skeletal elements were isolated and fixed with MEMFa 1x overnight at 4°C, washed

with PBS 1x and decalcified with EDTA at 4°C for 48 hours. Next, the skeletal elements were

washed with PBS 1x and incubated overnight with sucrose 30% at 4°C. Samples were

embedded in O.C.T. compound (Tissue-Tek, 4583) using plastic molds and frozen with dry ice

for 1 hour prior to storage at -20°C. Longitudinal sections of 12 μm thickness were cut and

mounted on superfrost slides. Slides were kept at -20°C until processed.

For immunofluorescence, slides were dried at RT for at least 1 hour. Sections were

washed 3x with PBS 1x + 0.3% Tx-100 prior to blocking with PBS 1x + 0.3% Tx-100 + 10% normal

horse serum for 1 hour. Primary antibody incubation was done in blocking solution overnight

at 4°C. Sections were then washed 3x with PBS 1x + 0.3% Tx-100 and incubated with secondary

antibody 1:200 and nuclear staining 1:1000 for 2 hours. Finally, sections were washed 3x with

PBS 1x + 0.3% Tx-100 and mounted using Mowiol mounting medium (Carl Roth, 0713).

Sequential IF for SOX9/OCN was performed since the host for both antibodies is rabbit.

Protocol was as follows: First IF was done using the anti-SOX9 antibody as previously

described. Secondary antibody incubation was done using the donkey anti-rabbit, Alexa Fluor

488. After incubation, slides were washed three times with PBS + 0.3% Tx-100 and blocked

with PBS + 0.3% Tx-100 + 10% goat serum for 2 hours. Slides were incubated with anti-OCN

antibody in blocking solution for 1 hour at RT and then overnight at 4°C. Slides were then

washed 3x with PBS + 0.3% Tx-100 and incubated with goat anti-rabbit, Alexa Fluor 647

antibody in blocking solution for 2 hours. Slides were incubated with Hoechst 1:1000 in PBS +

0.3% Tx-100 for 10 min and then washed with PBS three times for 15 min. Slides were

mounted using Mowiol mounting medium. The antibodies and nuclear stainings used in this

work are listed in table 1.

Whole mount immunofluorescence and tissue clearing

Limbs or isolated skeletal elements were fixed with MEMFa 1x overnight at 4°C,

washed with PBS 1x and decalcified with EDTA at 4°C for 48 hours. Whole mount

immunofluorescence protocol was adapted from.[49] Briefly, skeletal elements were washed

overnight with PBS 1x + 0.3% Tx-100 at RT and then blocked in PBS + 0.3% Tx-100 + 10% DMSO

+ 5% goat serum for 24 hours at 37°C. Samples were incubated with primary antibody anti-

GFP in blocking solution for 3 days at 37°C. Next, samples were briefly rinsed with PBS + 0.3%

Tx-100 and then washed 4x for 2 hours at 37°C. Samples were blocked again at 37°C overnight

and then incubated with secondary antibody for 2 days at 37°C. After incubation, samples

were rinsed with PBS + 0.3% Tx-100 and then washed 2x for 2 hours at 37°C. Nuclear staining

was done for 1 hour in washing solution at RT and samples were then washed 4x in PBS 1x for

15 min at RT. Isolated skeletal elements were embedded in agarose 1% before clearing.

For clearing, samples were dehydrated with serial washes of EtOH (25, 50, 70, 100%)

for 2 hours each at 4°C. Samples were then incubated overnight in EtOH 100% at 4°C prior to

clearing with ethyl cinnamate (Sigma, 112372-100G) at RT for at least 2 hours. Samples were

imaged the same day. The antibodies and nuclear stainings used in this work are listed in table

1.

Table 1: Antibodies and nuclear staining.

Antibody / Nuclear Staining	Dilution	Cat. Number
Anti-GFP (chicken)	1:500	Abcam (ab13970)
Anti-OCN (rabbit)	1:250	Abcam (ab198228)
Anti-SOX9 (rabbit)	1:200	Sigma-Aldrich (AB5535)
Goat anti-Rabbit, Alexa Fluor 647	1:300	Invitrogen (A-21245)
Donkey anti-Rabbit, Alexa Fluor 488	1:200	Invitrogen (A-21206)
Goat anti-Chicken, Alexa Fluor 488	1:1000	Invitrogen (A-11039)
Hoechst 33342	1:1000	Invitrogen (H3570)
TO-PRO™-3 iodide (642/661)	1:10000	Invitrogen (T3605)
SYTOX™ Green nucleic acid stain	1:10000	Invitrogen (S7020)

Microscopy

Alcian blue/alizarin red staining imaging was performed on a Zeiss Discovery.V20

stereomicroscope (Plan S 1.0x). H&E staining imaging was performed on a Zeiss Axio

Observer.Z1 inverted microscope (Plan-apochromat 20x/0.8). Immunofluorescence imaging

was performed on a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1 inverted microscope with an ApoTome1 system

(Plan-apochromat 10x/0.45) and on a Zeiss confocal laser scanning microscope LSM 780 (Plan-

apochromat 10x/0.45 or Plan-apochromat 20x/0.8). Whole mount immunofluorescence

imaging was performed on a Zeiss confocal laser scanning microscope LSM780 (Plan

apochromat 10x/0.45).

All images were processed using Fiji.[50] Processing involved selecting regions of interest,

merging or splitting channels and improving brightness levels for proper presentation in

figures. Maximum intensity projections were done in some confocal images and it is stated in

the respective figure's descriptions. Stitching of tiles was done directly in the acquisition

software Zen (Zeiss Microscopy).

Fitting the two-line model to the experimental normograms.

In this study, we fitted the following Two-line model to the normograms of ST and SV

lengths:

700
$$L(a) = \begin{cases} L_0 + m_1 a, & a < a_t^{TL} \\ L_0 + m_1 a_t^{TL} + m_2 (a - a_t^{TL}), & a \geq a_t^{TL} \end{cases}$$

701
702

Where, $L(a)$ is the ST or SV length at age a, L_0 is the ST or SV length at age zero. The

four model free-parameters are m_1 is the slope of the first line, a_t^{TL} is the transition time of

this model and m_2 is the slope of the second line.

In our normograms, each value represents a single animal. By fitting the model to the

experimental data and using bootstrapping, we estimated the parameter values together with

their errors from the ST (table 2) and SV (table 3) lengths:

Table 2: Estimation of the Two-Line model parameters from the normogram of ST lengths.

Two-Line model parameters	Value ± Error (Units)
L_0	0 ± 1 (10^{-14} cm)
m_1	1.144 ± 0.003 (cm/month)
m_2	0.0325 ± 0.0006 (cm/month)
a_t^{TL}	19.87 ± 0.07 (month)

711
712
713   Table 3: Estimation of the Two-Line model parameters from the normogram of SV lengths.

Two-Line model parameters	Value ± Error (Units)
L_0	8 ± 2 (10^{-16} cm)
m_1	0.618 ± 0.002 (cm/month)
m_2	0.0202 ± 0.0004 (cm/month)
a_t^{TL}	19.00 ± 0.07 (month)

715   **Fitting the growth model to the experimental normograms**

716   In this study, we fitted the following linear growth model to the normograms of ST

717   and SV lengths:

$$\frac{dL}{da} = r(a) * L$$

719
720

721   Where, L is the ST or SV length at age a and $r(a)$ is the growth rate (depending on the

722   age a), defined as follows:

$$r(a) = r_\infty + \frac{r_0 - r_\infty}{1 + \left(\frac{a}{a_t^{GR}}\right)^n}$$

725
726

The model free-parameters are the r_0, r_∞, n and a_t^{GR}, the growth rate at age zero, the

growth rate at infinite age, the Hill-exponent denoting sigmoidicity and the growth rate

transition age, respectively.

By fitting the model to the experimental data and using bootstrapping, we estimated

the parameter values together with their errors from the ST (table 4) and SV (table 5) lengths.

Table 4: Estimation of the linear growth model parameters from the normogram of ST lengths.

Growth model parameters	Value ± Error (Units)
r_0	0.323 ± 0.002 (1/month)
r_∞	0.00116 ± 0.00003 (1/month)
n	5.6 ± 0.07 $(-)$
a_t^{GR}	9.87 ± 0.05 (month)

734
735
736   Table 5: Estimation of the linear growth model parameters from the normogram of SV lengths.

Growth model parameters	Value ± Error (Units)
r_0	0.247 ± 0.001 (1/month)
r_∞	0.00142 ± 0.00002 (1/month)
n	7.8 ± 0.1 $(-)$
a_t^{GR}	11.07 ± 0.05 (month)

738   Jupyter Notebook (http://jupyter.org/) containing the source code for all

739   computations performed and referred to as Riquelme-Guzmán et al., 2021 in this study can

740   be found at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5033614. [51]

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the software Prism9 (GraphPad Software, LLC) for macOS. To assess the differences in ST or SV between sexes (Fig. 1H), a paired t test was performed between samples. To assess the differences in volume between radii and ulnas of different ST animals (Fig. 2E) and between radii and ulnas of paedomorph and metamorphic animals (Fig. 8C), a Two-way ANOVA test was performed, using a post hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test for assessing statistical significance between each sample pair. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Elly M. Tanaka for providing the *Sox9-Tg* line and Joshua D.

Currie for guidance during the creation of the *Tie2-Tg* line. We thank Prof. Lorenz Hofbauer,

Osvaldo Contreras, Rita Aires and Sean Keeley for proof-reading this paper and for their

valuable comments. We thank all members of the Sandoval-Guzmán Lab for continuous

support during the development of this work. We also would like to thank Anja Wagner, Beate

Gruhl and Judith Konantz for their valuable dedication to the axolotl care. This work was

funded by a DFG Research Grant (SA 3349/3-1). CRG was supported by the DIGS-BB Fellow

award. AC and OC were funded by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas

(CONICET) of Argentina and by the grant from Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y

Tecnológica (ANPCyT) PICT-2017-2307. AC was supported by a doctoral scholarship program

from CONICET. OC is a career researcher from CONICET. This work was supported by the Light

Microscopy Facility, a Core Facility of the CMCB Technology Platform at TU Dresden.

Authors contribution

795   CRG and TSG conceived experiments, analysed data and wrote the manuscript. CRG

796   conducted experiments. MR, MS, AB and SE contributed experimental data and support. AC

797   and OC performed the mathematical modelling. DK created *Sox9-Tg* line. DK, OC and MR

798   contributed with manuscript revision and discussions. TSG secured funding for the project.

References

1. Kragl M, Knapp D, Nacu E, et al. Cells keep a memory of their tissue origin during axolotl
limb regeneration. *Nature*. 2009;460(7251):60-65. doi:10.1038/nature08152

2. Currie JD, Kawaguchi A, Traspas R, Schuez M, Chara O, Tanaka EM. Live Imaging of Axolotl
Digit Regeneration Reveals Spatiotemporal Choreography of Diverse Connective Tissue
Progenitor Pools. *Dev Cell*. 2016;39(4):411-423. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.10.013

3. McCusker CD, Diaz-Castillo C, Sosnik J, Phan AQ, Gardiner DM. Cartilage and bone cells do
not participate in skeletal regeneration in Ambystoma mexicanum limbs. *Dev Biol*.
2016;416(1):26-33. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.05.032

4. Gerber T, Murawala P, Knapp D, et al. Single-cell analysis uncovers convergence of cell
identities during axolotl limb regeneration. *Science*. 2018:eaaq0681.
doi:10.1126/science.aaq0681

5. Miura S, Hanaoka K, Togashi S. Skeletogenesis in Xenopus tropicalis: Characteristic bone
development in an anuran amphibian. *Bone*. 2008;43(5):901-909.
doi:10.1016/j.bone.2008.07.005

6. Rozenblut B, Ogielska M. Development and growth of long bones in European water frogs
(Amphibia: Anura: Ranidae), with remarks on age determination. *J Morphol*.
2005;265(3):304-317. doi:10.1002/jmor.10344

7. Quilhac A, Ricqlès A, Lamrous H, Zylberberg L. Globuli ossei in the long limb bones of
Pleurodeles waltl (Amphibia, Urodela, Salamandridae). *J Morphol*. 2014;275(11):1226-1237.
doi:10.1002/jmor.20296

8. Vieira WA, Wells KM, McCusker CD. Advancements to the Axolotl Model for Regeneration
and Aging. *Gerontology*. 2019:1-11. doi:10.1159/000504294

9. Malacinski GM. The Mexican Axolotl, Ambystoma mexicanum: Its Biology and
Developmental Genetics, and Its Autonomous Cell-lethal Genes. *Integr Comp Biol*.
1978;18(2):195-206. doi:10.1093/icb/18.2.195

10. Wolfe A, Nye H, Cameron J. Extent of ossification at the amputation plane is correlated
with the decline of blastema formation and regeneration in Xenopus laevis hindlimbs. *Dev
Dyn*. 2000;218(4):681-697. doi:10.1002/1097-0177(2000)9999:9999<::AID-
DVDY1018>3.0.CO;2-6

11. Monaghan JR, Stier AC, Michonneau F, et al. Experimentally induced metamorphosis in
axolotls reduces regenerative rate and fidelity. *Regen*. 2014;1(1):2-14. doi:10.1002/reg2.8

12. Olsen B, Reginato A, Wang W. Bone development. *Annual review of cell and*
developmental biology. 2000;16:191-220. doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.16.1.191

13. Kozhemyakina E, Lassar AB, Zelzer E. A pathway to bone: signaling molecules and
transcription factors involved in chondrocyte development and maturation. *Development*.
2015;142(5):817-831. doi:10.1242/dev.105536

14. Giovannone D, Paul S, Schindler S, et al. Programmed conversion of hypertrophic
chondrocytes into osteoblasts and marrow adipocytes within zebrafish bones. *ELife*. 2019;8.
doi:10.7554/eLife.42736

15. Yang L, Tsang K, Tang H, Chan D, Cheah KS. Hypertrophic chondrocytes can become
osteoblasts and osteocytes in endochondral bone formation. *PNAS*. 2014;111(33):12097-
12102. doi:10.1073/pnas.1302703111

16. Jing Y, Jing J, Ye L, et al. Chondrogenesis and osteogenesis are one continuous
developmental and lineage defined biological process. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):10020.
doi:10.1038/s41598-017-10048-z

17. Schreckenberg GM, Jacobson AG. Normal stages of development of the axolotl,
Ambystoma mexicanum. *Dev Biol*. 1975;42(2):391-399. doi:10.1016/0012-1606(75)90343-7

18. Khattak S, Murawala P, Andreas H, et al. Optimized axolotl (Ambystoma mexicanum)
husbandry, breeding, metamorphosis, transgenesis and tamoxifen-mediated recombination.
Nat Protoc. 2014;9(3):529-540. doi:10.1038/nprot.2014.040

19. Rost F, Albors AR, Mazurov V, et al. Accelerated cell divisions drive the outgrowth of the
regenerating spinal cord in axolotls. *Elife*. 2016;5:e20357. doi:10.7554/elife.20357

20. Costa EC, Otsuki L, Albors AR, Tanaka EM, Chara O. Spatiotemporal control of cell cycle
acceleration during axolotl spinal cord regeneration. *Elife*. 2021;10:e55665.
doi:10.7554/elife.55665

21. Filipowska J, Tomaszewski KA, Niedźwiedzki Ł, Walocha JA, Niedźwiedzki T. The role of
vasculature in bone development, regeneration and proper systemic functioning.
Angiogenesis. 2017;20(3):291-302. doi:10.1007/s10456-017-9541-1

22. Schlaeger TM, Bartunkova S, Lawitts JA, et al. Uniform vascular-endothelial-cell-specific
gene expression in both embryonic and adult transgenic mice. *PNAS*. 1997;94(7):3058-3063.
doi:10.1073/pnas.94.7.3058

23. Dy P, Wang W, Bhattaram P, et al. Sox9 Directs Hypertrophic Maturation and Blocks
Osteoblast Differentiation of Growth Plate Chondrocytes. *Dev Cell*. 2012;22(3):597-609.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.12.024

24. Lui JC, Yue S, Lee A, et al. Persistent Sox9 expression in hypertrophic chondrocytes
suppresses transdifferentiation into osteoblasts. *Bone*. 2019;125:169-177.
doi:10.1016/j.bone.2019.05.027

25. Pullig O, Weseloh G, Ronneberger D-L, Käkönen S-M, Swoboda B. Chondrocyte
Differentiation in Human Osteoarthritis: Expression of Osteocalcin in Normal and
Osteoarthritic Cartilage and Bone. *Calcified Tissue Int*. 2000;67(3):230-240.
doi:10.1007/s002230001108

26. Haines RW. The Evolution of Epiphyses and of Endochondral Bone. *Biol Rev Camb Philos*
Soc. 1942. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1942.tb00440.x

27. Molnar JL. Variation in Articular Cartilage Thickness Among Extant Salamanders and
Implications for Limb Function in Stem Tetrapods. *Frontiers Ecol Evol*. 2021;9:671006.
doi:10.3389/fevo.2021.671006

28. Thampi P, Liu J, Zeng Z, MacLeod JN. Changes in the appendicular skeleton during
metamorphosis in the axolotl salamander (Ambystoma mexicanum). *J Anat*.
2018;233(4):468-477. doi:10.1111/joa.12846

29. Shubin N, Tabin C, Carroll S. Fossils, genes and the evolution of animal limbs. *Nature*.
1997;388(6643):639-648. doi:10.1038/41710

30. Kemp NE, Hoyt JA. Sequence of ossification in the skeleton of growing and
metamorphosing tadpoles of Rana pipiens. *J Morphol*. 1969;129(4):415-443.
doi:10.1002/jmor.1051290404

31. Rose CS, Reiss JO. Metamorphosis and the vertebrate skull: ontogenetic patterns and
developmental mechanisms. In: Hanken J, Hall BK, eds. *The Skull*. Vol 1. University of Chicago
Press; 1993:289-346.

32. Cosden-Decker RS, Bickett MM, Lattermann C, MacLeod JN. Structural and functional
analysis of intra-articular interzone tissue in axolotl salamanders. *Osteoarthr Cartil*.
2012;20(11):1347-1356. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2012.07.002

33. Torok MA, Gardiner DM, Shubin NH, Bryant SV. Expression of HoxD Genes in Developing
and Regenerating Axolotl Limbs. *Dev Biol*. 1998;200(2):225-233. doi:10.1006/dbio.1998.8956

34. Nye HLD, Cameron JA, Chernoff EAG, Stocum DL. Extending the table of stages of normal
development of the axolotl: Limb development. *Dev Dyn*. 2003;226(3):555-560.
doi:10.1002/dvdy.10237

35. Shaffer HB, McKnight ML. The Polytypic Species Revisited: Genetic Differentiation and
Molecular Phylogenetics of the Tiger Salamander Ambystoma tigrinum (Amphibia: Caudata)
Complex. *Evolution*. 1996;50(1):417. doi:10.2307/2410811

36. Parra-Olea G, Recuero E, Zamudio KR. Polymorphic microsatellite markers for Mexican
salamanders of the genus Ambystoma. *Mol Ecol Notes*. 2007;7(5):818-820.
doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01714.x

37. Kerney R, Wassersug R, Hall BK. Skeletal advance and arrest in giant non‐
metamorphosing African clawed frog tadpoles (Xenopus laevis: Daudin). *J Anat*.
2010;216(1):132-143. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7580.2009.01176.x

38. Young HE, Bailey CF, Markwald RR, Dalley BK. Histological analysis of limb regeneration in
postmetamorphic adult Ambystoma. *Anatomical Rec*. 1985;212(2):183-194.
doi:10.1002/ar.1092120213

39. Rux D, Decker RS, Koyama E, Pacifici M. Joints in the appendicular skeleton:
Developmental mechanisms and evolutionary influences. *Curr Top Dev Biol*. 2018;133:119-
151. doi:10.1016/bs.ctdb.2018.11.002

40. Bou-Gharios G, Garrett LA, Rossert J, et al. A potent far-upstream enhancer in the mouse
pro alpha 2(I) collagen gene regulates expression of reporter genes in transgenic mice. *J Cell
Biology*. 1996;134(5):1333-1344. doi:10.1083/jcb.134.5.1333

41. Khattak S, Schuez M, Richter T, et al. Germline transgenic methods for tracking cells and
testing gene function during regeneration in the axolotl. *Stem Cell Rep*. 2013;1(1):90-103.
doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2013.03.002

42. Lopez D, Lin L, Monaghan JR, et al. Mapping hematopoiesis in a fully regenerative
vertebrate: the axolotl. *Blood*. 2014;124(8):1232-1241. doi:10.1182/blood-2013-09-526970

43. Hattori T, Müller C, Gebhard S, et al. SOX9 is a major negative regulator of cartilage
vascularization, bone marrow formation and endochondral ossification. *Development*.
2010;137(6):901-911. doi:10.1242/dev.045203

44. Paul S, Schindler S, Giovannone D, Terrazzani A de M, Mariani FV, Crump JG. Ihha induces
hybrid cartilage-bone cells during zebrafish jawbone regeneration. *Development*.
2016;143(12):2066-2076. doi:10.1242/dev.131292

45. Kuwahara ST, Serowoky MA, Vakhshori V, et al. Sox9+ messenger cells orchestrate large-
scale skeletal regeneration in the mammalian rib. *Elife*. 2019;8:e40715.
doi:10.7554/elife.40715

46. Gesta S, Tseng Y-H, Kahn CR. Developmental Origin of Fat: Tracking Obesity to Its Source.
Cell. 2007;131(2):242-256. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.10.004

47. Keinath MC, Timoshevskaya N, Timoshevskiy VA, Voss SR, Smith JJ. Miniscule differences
between sex chromosomes in the giant genome of a salamander. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1):17882.
doi:10.1038/s41598-018-36209-2

48. Fei J-F, Lou WP-K, Knapp D, et al. Application and optimization of CRISPR–Cas9-mediated
genome engineering in axolotl (Ambystoma mexicanum). *Nat Protoc*. 2018;13(12):2908-
2943. doi:10.1038/s41596-018-0071-0

49. Masselink W, Reumann D, Murawala P, et al. Broad applicability of a streamlined Ethyl
Cinnamate-based clearing procedure. *Development*. 2019;146(3):dev.166884.
doi:10.1242/dev.166884

50. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods*. 2012;9(7):676-682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

51. Riquelme-Guzmán C, Schuez M, Böhm A, et al. Post-embryonic development and aging of the appendicular skeleton in Ambystoma mexicanum. *Zenodo*. 2021;(Supplementary Notebooks – v1.0). doi:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5033614

Figure legends

Figure 1. Axolotls transitions from a rapid to a slower growth phase at about 10 months of age.

(A) Snout to tail length (ST) linearly correlates snout to vent length (SV) in n = 220 axolotls of different ages (dots). Color code represents age in months. The line shows a linear regression to the experimental data.

(B - C) Normogram of ST (B) and SV (C) lengths versus axolotl age (dots, same data shown in A). A two-line model was fitted to the experimental data (the continuous lines depict the best-fitting simulation).

(D - E) A growth model was fitted to the experimental ST (D) and SV (E) lengths (dots, same data shown in A, B and C; the continuous lines depict the best-fitting simulation).

(F - G) Time course of the Growth rate predicted by the growth model fitted to the ST (F) and SV (G). Insets show magnification of the age near the transition age (vertical lines) of 9.87 ± 0.05 and 11.07 ± 0.05 months for the ST (F) and SV (G) lengths respectively.

(H) Quantification of ST and SV lengths in cm in 1-year-old siblings as a function of its sex. (*n* = 18, 9 males and 9 females. *** $p < 0.001$, Student's *t* test).

Figure 2: The zeugopodial skeleton is progressively ossified with growth.

(A) Alcian blue/alizarin red staining of limbs from different ST animals (n = 4). R: radius, U: ulna. Scale bar: 500 µm.

(B) *In vivo* alizarin red staining of axolotl ST 5 cm. Scale bar: 500 μm

(C) 3D reconstructions from μCT scan for zeugopodial bones from different ST animals (n =
4). All bones are scaled. Scale bar: 250 μm.

(D) 3D reconstruction from μCT scan for zeugopodial bones from ST 25 cm axolotl (n = 1).
Bones scaled to (C). Scale bar: 500 μm.

(E) Quantification of zeugopodial bones volume (cm^3) from different ST animals. (n = 4 per
size. a: radius ST 20 cm compared to radius from ST 10, 12, 14 and 16 cm. b: ulna ST 20 cm
versus ulna from ST 10, 12, 14 and 16 cm. c: radius ST 20 cm versus ulna ST 20 cm. a, b: p <
0.001, c: p < 0.05, Tukey's multiple comparisons test).

Figure 3: Zeugopodial bones are vascularized and the marrow cavity is filled with adipocytes.

(A) H&E staining from radii from axolotls ST 6 and 12 cm (n = 6). RZ: resting zone, PZ:
proliferative zone, HZ: hypertrophic zone, MC: marrow cavity, D: distal, P: proximal. Scale bar:
500 μm.

(B) Inset from ST 6 cm in (A). Arrowhead: calcified ring around HZ. Scale bar: 250 μm

(C) Inset from ST 12 cm in (A). Arrowhead: discontinuous ossification around diaphysis. Scale
bar: 250 μm

(D) H&E staining in the distal half of the radius from a ST 16 cm axolotl (n = 6). Scale bar: 500
μm.

(E) Nile red staining in marrow cavity of a ST 14 cm axolotl (n = 3). c: cartilage, b: bone, mc:
marrow cavity. Scale bar: 100 μm.

(F) Confocal image of anti-GFP whole mount IF of forelimb or radius from *Tie2-Tg* (red) ST 6 or
12 cm animals, respectively (n = 4). TO-PRO™3 was used for nuclear staining (white). Image
represent a maximum intensity projection of 3 images (10 μm interval). Arrowheads: bone
vascularization. Scale bar: 250 μm.

(G) Inset from *Tie2-Tg* ST 6 cm in (F) with overlapping brightfield image. Arrowhead: blood

vessel in contact with calcified cartilage. Scale bar: 100 µm.

1003

1004

1005

Figure 4: *Sox9* marks chondrogenic cells while *Col1a2* marks all skeletal cells.

(A) Confocal image of *Sox9-Tg* (red), ulnas sections from ST 6 and 12 cm axolotls (n = 6).

Calcified tissue stained with calcein (green). TO-PRO™3 was used for nuclear staining

(white). D: distal, P: proximal. Scale bar: 250 µm.

(B) Confocal image of *Col1a2-Tg* (red) ulna sections from ST 6 and 12 cm axolotls (n = 6). TO-

PRO™3 was used for nuclear staining (white). Scale bar: 250 µm.

(C) Inset from (A) *Sox9-Tg* ST 12 cm. Dotted line shows boundary between cartilage and

bone (chondro-osseous junction), hc: hypertrophic chondrocytes. Scale bar: 250 µm.

(D) Inset from (B) *Col1a2-Tg* ST 12 cm. os: osteocyte, eo: endosteal cell, hc: hypertrophic

chondrocytes. Scale bar: 250 µm.

(E) Confocal image of anti-SOX9 IF in ulna sections from *Sox9-Tg* in ST 16 cm axolotl (n = 3).

SYTOX™ Green was used for nuclear staining. Scale bar: 250 µm.

1018

1019 **Figure 5: OCN is expressed in bone cells and in some hypertrophic chondrocytes.**

1020 (A) Apotome image of anti-OCN IF (red) from representative radii sections from ST 6 and 12

1021 cm axolotls (n = 6). SYTOX™ Green was used for nuclear staining (white). D: distal, P:

1022 proximal. Scale bar: 250 µm.

1023 (B) Inset from (A) anti-OCN IF (red). Dotted line shows boundary between cartilage and

1024 bone. Solid arrowhead: hypertrophic chondrocytes. Arrowhead: periosteal cells. Scale bar:

1025 100 µm.

1026 (C) Confocal image of anti-OCN IF from radius section from *Sox9-Tg* ST 12 cm (n = 3). SYTOX™

1027 Green was used for nuclear staining. Scale bar: 100 µm.

1028 (D) Confocal image of sequential IF for anti-SOX9 and anti-OCN in ST 16 cm axolotls (n = 4).

1029 Hoechst 33342 was used for nuclear staining. Image represent a maximum intensity

1030 projection of 4 images (2 µm interval). Arrowheads: SOX9$^+$/OCN$^+$ cells. Scale bar: 50 µm.

1031 **Figure 6: Lineage tracing reveals a contribution of *Col1a2*$^+$ cells to bone and marrow cells.**

1032 (A) Confocal image of *Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg* (red) ulna sections from ST 14 cm axolotls (n = 6).

1033 SYTOX™ Green was used for nuclear staining (white). Dotted line shows boundary between

1034 cartilage and bone, c: cartilage, b: bone, mc: marrow cavity. Scale bar: 250 µm.

1035 (B) Confocal image of *Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg* (red) ulna sections from ST 14 cm axolotls (n = 6).

1036 SYTOX™ Green was used for nuclear staining (white). Arrowhead: mCherry$^+$ cells. po:

1037 periosteum, eo: endosteum, mc: marrow cavity. Scale bar: 100 µm.

1038 (C-C'') Confocal image of anti-OCN IF (green) from ulna sections from *Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg*

1039 (red) ST 14 cm axolotls (n = 6). SYTOX™ Green was used for nuclear staining (white).

1040 Arroheads: mCherry$^+$/OCN$^+$ cells. Scale bar: 100 µm.

1041

1042 **Figure 7: Bone ossification and marrow cavity expand with age.**

1043 (A) H&E staining of radii sections from different age axolotls (n = 6 for 11-month-old, n = 3

1044 for 5-year-old, n = 5 for 7-year-old, n = 1 for 20-year-old). D: distal, P: proximal, ep:

1045 epiphysis, mc: marrow cavity, b: bone. Scale bar: 500 µm.

1046 (B) Alcian blue/alizarin red staining of forelimb from a 5-year-old axolotl (n = 3). bc, basale

1047 commune; c, centrale; i, intermedium; r, radiale; u, ulnare. Scale bar: 2 mm.

1048   (C) Alcian blue/alizarin red staining of foot from a 20-year-old axolotl (n = 1). bc, basale

1049   commune; c, centrale; i, intermedium; t, tibiale; f, fibulare; y, element y. Scale bar: 2 mm.

1050   (D) H&E staining of proximal phalanx from 2-year-old axolotl (n = 4). Scale bar: 1 mm.

1051   (E) H&E staining of humerus from 5-year-old axolotl (n = 2). Scale bar: 1 mm.

1052

Figure 8: Axolotl metamorphosis accelerates bone ossification

(A) H&E staining from radii sections from paedomorph and metamorphic axolotls (35 dpi) (n

= 4). D: distal, P: proximal. Scale bar: 500 μm.

(B) 3D reconstructions from μCT scan for zeugopodial bones from paedomorph and

metamorphic axolotl (n = 5 for paedomorph zeugopods, n = 6 for metamorphic zeugopods).

All bones are scaled. R: radius, U: ulna. Scale bar: 500 μm.

(C) Quantification of zeugopodial bones volume (cm^3) from paedomorph and metamorphic

axolotl. (n = 5 for paedomorph zeugopods, n = 6 for metamorphic zeugopods. ** $p < 0.01$, *

$p < 0.05$, Tukey's multiple comparisons test).

1062

A 4 cm   10 cm   12 cm   14 cm   16 cm   20 cm   B   5 cm

R U

Brightfield /
Alizarin red

C 12 cm   14 cm   16 cm   20 cm   D   25 cm

R U   R   U   R   U   R   U   R   U

E

Zeugopodial bones volume

● Radius
○ Ulna

Volume (cm³)

ST length (cm)

A 6 cm 12 cm

D
⋮
P

C

Nuclei / mCherry *(Sox9-Tg)* / Calcein

B 6 cm 12 cm

D

Nuclei / TFP *(Col1a2-Tg)*

C

Cartilage
hc
Bone

Brightfield /
mCherry *(Sox9-Tg)*

D

Cartilage
hc
os
Bone
eo

Brightfield /
TFP *(Col1a2-Tg)*

E 16 cm

mCherry *(Sox9-Tg)*   anti-SOX9   Nuclei

1066

A 6 cm   12 cm

P
:
D

Nuclei / anti-OCN

B Cartilage

Bone

Nuclei / anti-OCN

C 12 cm

Cartilage

Bone

mCherry *(Sox9-Tg)*   anti-OCN   Nuclei

D 16 cm   Cartilage

Bone

anti-SOX9   anti-OCN   Nuclei

1067

A

14 cm

D
↕
P

c

b   mc

b

Nuclei / mCherry
(Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg)

B

po ▷
eo ▷   ◁ ad
◁ ad

Nuclei / mCherry
(Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg)

C

eo ▷   eo ▷
◁ eo
◁ eo   po ▷

Nuclei / anti-OCN

C'

◁   ▷
◁   ▷
◁

Nuclei / mCherry
(Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg)

C"

◁   ▷
◁
◁   ▷

Nuclei / anti-OCN /
mCherry*(Col1a2xLPCherry-Tg)*

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072