1

HOXA9 acts as a regulatory switch in acute myeloid leukaemia and myeloproliferative neoplasms

Laure Talarmain[1], Matthew A. Clarke[2], David Shorthouse[3], Jasmin Fisher[2], Benjamin A Hall[3]*

1. MRC Cancer Unit, University of Cambridge, Hutchison/MRC Research Centre, Box 197, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, CB2 0XZ, United Kingdom
2. UCL Cancer Institute, Paul O'Gorman Building, 72 Huntley Street, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom
3. Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Enginering, Malet Place Engineering Building, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

*to whom correspondence should be addressed b.hall@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract

Blood malignancies arise from the dysregulation of

haematopoiesis. The type of blood cell and the specific order

of oncogenic events initiating abnormal growth ultimately

determine the cancer subtype and subsequent clinical

outcome. HOXA9 plays an important role in acute myeloid

leukaemia (AML) prognosis by promoting blood cell expansion

and altering differentiation; however, the function of HOXA9 in

other blood malignancies is still unclear. Here, we demonstrate

the importance of this gene in chronic myeloproliferative

neoplasms (MPN) and highlight the biological switch and

prognosis marker properties of HOXA9 in AML and MPN. This

binary switch function can explain the clinical stratification of

these two blood disorders. First, we establish the ability of

HOXA9 to stratify AML patients with distinct cellular and

clinical outcomes. Then, through the use of a computational

network model of MPN, we show that the self-activation of

HOXA9 and its relationship to JAK2 and TET2 can explain the

branching progression of JAK2/TET2 mutant MPN patients

towards divergent clinical characteristics. Finally, we predict a

connection between the RUNX1 and MYB genes and a

suppressive role for the NOTCH pathway in MPN diseases.

Introduction

Blood cancers are malignancies that can arise from any type of blood cell and dramatically affect haematopoiesis. Myeloproliferative Neoplasms (MPNs) are chronic diseases of the myeloid lineage characterised by an excessive production of fully functional terminally differentiated blood cells. These have been classified into 3 types: polycythemia vera (PV), essential thrombocythemia (ET), and primary myelofibrosis (PMF) [1]. Despite the relatively good prognosis of these diseases, MPN patients are at high risk of thrombosis and can develop a blast phase MPN (MPN-BP) [2]; a subtype of the blood cancer Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) with poor survival outcomes [3]. The frequency of MPN transformation to blast phase MPN is highly related to the initial MPN disease type [4, 5,6]. Therefore, a better understanding of the molecular events driving the different subtypes of MPNs is essential to help diagnose patients with higher risk of thrombosis and AML progression.

AML itself is an aggressive blood and bone marrow malignancy defined by the uncontrolled growth of myeloid progenitor cells along with a myeloid-lineage differentiation arrest [7]. As with MPN, there exist different types of AML with a broad range of morphologic, cytogenic and immunologic features, all associated with diverse clinical outcomes [8]. Despite their similarities; prognosis, symptoms, and genetic alterations differ between AML and MPN. For example, *JAK2*

mutation is the main driver event of MPN diseases yet is rarely

found in *de novo* AML [9]. However, myeloid lineage

dysregulation by both MPN and AML, as well as the ability of

MPN to evolve to AML, indicate that both diseases may share

similar biological mechanisms. The identification of these

processes will help identify aberrant genes and pathways in

blood malignancies that could be targets for new drugs.

Better understanding of the patterns of genetic alterations in

cancer cells can also be used for the classification of blood

diseases and prediction of progression into more severe forms

of the disease [10]. How different combinations and orders of

mutations lead to different subtypes of cancer remains a major

open question [11, 12]. The importance of mutation order has

been demonstrated in MPN by Ortmann et al [13], who show

that two subpopulations of patients with MPN can be

distinguished by the order of mutation acquisition between the

TET2 and *JAK2* genes and that these subpopulations have

distinct clinical characteristics. Further analyses of these

cohorts show that patients with *JAK2* mutated before *TET2* are

younger at presentation of the disease in clinics, are more

likely to present with PV, have a higher risk of thrombosis and

respond better to JAK2 inhibitor ruxolitinib. However, the

molecular interplay between both mutations within cancer cells

and how their order rather than their combination triggers

dissimilar clinical characteristics have not been investigated.

Overexpression of a single homeobox gene, *HOXA9*, has been

reported as sufficient to quickly induce myeloproliferation,

gradually followed by AML progression after a period of time

[14]. Homeobox genes or HOX genes were first identified in

the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* as essential regulators of

early embryogenesis [15] and are thought to have a critical role

in cancer development [16]. In the HOXA family, *HOXA9* is the

most described gene in literature and its expression was

shown to be the single most highly correlating factor, out of

6817 genes tested, for poor prognosis in AML [17]. The

importance of *HOXA9* in AML has been widely explored;

however, this has mainly focused on specific AML subtypes

such as MLL-rearranged leukaemia [18] and NUP98-*HOXA9*

induced leukaemia [19], while its role in other blood

malignancies such as MPN or other AML subtypes is poorly

characterised. Recently, the oncogenic property of *HOXA9* has

been associated with its self-positive feedback loop in myeloid

precursor cells as a result of its ability to bind its own promoter

[20]. We hypothesise in this work that this specific property can

help stratify patients with blood cancers affecting the myeloid

lineage.

Using public datasets from AML patients and MPN studies, we

show that bimodal *HOXA9* expression identifies two distinct

cohorts of patients/mice, reflecting the protein acting as a

binary switch in the cell. We show that this switch-like

behaviour enables a clinical stratification in these blood

diseases leading to separation of individuals into two clinically

distinct populations. This leads to distinct prognoses, but also

allows specific disease type classification. First, *HOXA9*

bimodal expression affects the clinical features, such as age

and white blood cell counts, but also patient classification into

specific French-American-British (FAB) or molecular subtypes.

Next, we design a computational network model that offers a

mechanistic explanation of the distinct clinical features of MPN

progression in patients with different orders of *JAK2* and *TET2*

mutations. This computational model predicts that *HOXA9* is

directly downstream of *JAK2* and *TET2* and effectively stores

their mutational history, leading to a phenotypic switch in

double mutant cells dependent upon mutation order and

producing distinct subtypes of the disease. Finally, the network

model also predicts a suppressive role for the NOTCH

pathway in MPN and a new interaction between *RUNX1* and

MYB.

Materials and Methods

Analysis and visualisation of public cancer datasets

The AML patient data was generated by TCGA and downloaded with Firebrowse (RNAseq). The file contains data from 173 patients. We use the Transcripts Per Million (TPM) normalised data (TCGA RNAseq) and the R package multimode [21] to determine the significance of gene expression bimodality. The *modetest* command is used to reject unimodality with the default ACR method and a p-value at 0.05. We use the R package Survival [22] and the AML clinical data from TCGA to plot the survival curves and compute the p-values of the log rank test. Overall survival variables are in months and left as is in the analyses. We use the Plotly Python Open Source Graphing library to plot Sankey diagrams (available at https://plot.ly).

Differentially expressed genes in HOXA9 cohorts

We use a python script to separate patients between the two *HOXA9* expression peaks found in the AML data from TCGA. 31 patients constitute the low peak and 80 the high peak. We compute the absolute difference of the mean expression of each gene between each cohort to find the genes which are most differentially expressed between the two groups of patients. We rank the genes from the highest to the lowest differential expression and take the first 30 genes from this list.

This workflow was repeated in the comparison of the two

HOXA9 cohorts. The same arbitrary cut-off, 30 first genes with

the highest fold change, mostly identified in the HOX family or

genes with no determined role in haematopoiesis.

<u>Microarray data analysis</u>

The microarray data set reported in [23] is available in the

ArrayExpress repository at European Molecular Biology

Laboratory–European Bioinformatics Institute

(http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/) and is accessible through

the ArrayExpress accession number E-MTAB-2986. While 12

samples are described in the paper, only 11 could be found in

the public data, with one wild type sample missing in the

microarray.

For analysis, from the set of all transcripts in the microarray,

the genes with a low detection p-value (below 0.05) were

filtered and transformed with a quantile normalisation. The

ComplexHeatmap R library was used to plot the heatmaps

[24].

<u>XGBoost</u>

XGBoost (e**X**treme **G**radient **Boost**ing) was used to rank

different gene pathways that have been well described in

cancer to identify which pathways and genes amongst these

pathways have the highest correlation with a gene of interest

and its expression level in the AML patients (TCGA RNASeq)

[25]. More details can be found in the Supplementary

Information.

<u>Executable network model of MPN</u>

Computational models of MPN cancer fate determination were

constructed as a qualitative network (QN) in the

BioModelAnalyzer [26]. This process is described in more

detail in Supplemental methods, but briefly QNs are

constructed from reported gene interactions in the wider

literature, and refined by testing model behaviour against

reported phenotypes.

Results

1- *HOXA9* expression separates cohorts of AML patients with distinct clinical features.

Ectopic expression of *HOXA9* in AML has been widely demonstrated, but few studies have investigated the biological attributes of this transcription factor contributing to leukemogenesis. Zhong et al [20] have shown that *HOXA9* in cell lines can induce its own expression through a positive feedback loop, which promotes a continuous differentiation block and self-renewal leading to increase of hematopoietic stem cells and development of leukaemia. To validate *HOXA9* self-activation and the oncogenic role in leukaemia in patients, we studied its expression in AML patient data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) [27]. We find that *HOXA9* has bimodal expression in these data (Fig. 1A). This bimodality separates patients into two cohorts: 31 patients in the low expression peak, and 80 patients in the high expression peak. A survival analyses of both groups using Kaplan-Meier survival curves and the log-rank test confirms that *HOXA9* can be used as a marker of poor prognosis in AML (Fig. 1B). This patient stratification based upon *HOXA9* expression is consistent with the reported positive feedback loop characteristic of this gene and suggests that once activated or inhibited, the gene would maintain its expression level, leading to divergence in the disease progression.

To investigate if the switch role property of *HOXA9* impacts AML subtypes, we looked at the distribution of French-American-British (FAB, named M0-M7), and molecular classifications among the two *HOXA9* cohorts. We show that different *HOXA9* expression cohorts exclude specific FAB subtypes (Fig. 1C). This suggests that *HOXA9* expression is strongly coupled to FAB subtypes.

In light of these findings, we looked to characterise the common features of *HOXA9* expression cohorts. Cytogenic aberrations and gene rearrangements are frequent in AML and are known to alter the disease morphology as well as the clinical features and prognosis [27]. We find that *HOXA9* stratifies patients with different molecular classification (Fig. 1D). MLL-induced leukaemia has been linked to high *HOXA9* [18], while M3 AML subtype is characterised by PML-RARα translocation and low *HOXA9* in the literature [28]. Low *HOXA9* expression in AML with RUNX1-RUNXT1 and CBFB-MYH11 abnormalities, which constitute the core binding factor (CBF) AML, was also established but unexplained in literature [29]. With this work, we confirm these findings and further establish the correlation between high *HOXA9* expression and the M0 and M5 subtypes as well as complex cytogenetics. Finally, we expand the *HOXA9* stratification effect by looking at other available clinical features in the data and find that *HOXA9* expression correlates with age, white blood cell count (WBC) and blast percentage in the bone marrow (Fig. 1E).

PML-RARα, RUNX1-RUNXT1 (AML1-ETO) or CBFB-MYH11

chromosomal abnormalities confer good prognosis in AML

patients [30, 31]. All these aberrations are linked to low

HOXA9 expression which also exhibits good survival prognosis

among patients compared to high expression. To confirm that

high *HOXA9* is a poor prognosis marker independently of its

associated molecular aberrations or FAB subtypes, we look at

survival outcomes within FAB classes. M0, M3 and M5 being

all specific to one cohort, we examine the survival of patients

within the M2 and M4 subtypes for high and low *HOXA9*

expression. Survival curves and log rank tests within both

subtypes confirm the poor prognosis marker function of high

HOXA9 (Fig. S1 and S2). These results as well as the

diverging clinical features among patient cohorts are consistent

with *HOXA9* becoming trapped in high- or low- expression

states through self-activation in AML diseases.

2- The *JAK2/TET2/HOXA9* motif explains divergent

disease clinical outcomes in MPN.

JAK2 is the most commonly mutated gene in many MPN

patients, but different subtypes of the disease with distinct

clinical traits are observed [32]. In contrast, *TET2* was only

recently identified in blood studies. First discovered in MPN in

2008 by Delhommeau et al [33], *TET2* mutation resulting in its

function loss has been associated with diverse hematologic

malignancies [34]. We have shown that *HOXA9* can enable

clinical stratification in AML through its positive feedback loop.

Ortmann [13] describes a bifurcation among MPN patients that

acquire *JAK2* and *TET2* mutations in different orders. This

raises the question whether *HOXA9* expression could also

explain this divergent clinical symptoms.

To address this question, we constructed a computational

network model in a multistep process. In order to reproduce

the branching in MPN patients, the underlying network of gene

interactions must include genes that are sensitive to the

mutation order [35]. This requires that parts of the network act

a switch, capable of storing "memory" of previous events. This

"memory" property can be encoded by a positive feedback

loop acting on a gene that is downstream of both mutated

genes [36]. This hypothetical gene must additionally respond

differently to each of the mutations. That is to say, one

mutation must activate the gene whilst the other inhibits it, so

that the gene can maintain its change in activity after the

occurrence of the second mutation. The loop is necessary to

induce this inheritable change in the presence of constitutive

reset processes such as protein and RNA degradation.

We developed a computational model of this simple gene motif

with *JAK2* and *TET2* genes and a hypothetical gene target with

a positive feedback loop (Fig. 2A). We identified HOXA9 as a

gene with connections to *TET2* and *JAK2* that was consistent

with our computational motif. *TET2* and *JAK2* have been

indirectly and directly linked to *HOXA9* activity (Fig. 2B).

STAT5 is a well-known downstream target of *JAK2* [37], and it

321 is also established that *STAT5* and *HOXA9* act as binding

322 partners in hematopoietic cells [38]. Furthermore, it was

323 recently shown that tyrosine phosphorylation of *HOXA9* is

324 *JAK2*-dependent [39] and seems to increase the effect of

325 *HOXA9* on its downstream targets [39]. Regarding the

326 interaction of *TET2* with *HOXA9*, Bocker et al found

327 significantly reduced expression of *HOXA* genes when *TET2*

328 expression is lost [40]. In particular *HOXA9* expression in

329 kidney is significantly decreased by *TET2* loss. *HOXA9* is

330 therefore activated by both *JAK2* and *TET2* and possesses a

331 self-positive feedback loop property [20]. Therefore, the

332 *JAK2*/*TET2*/*HOXA9* motif shares all the required properties for

333 observing a clinical divergence in blood diseases.

335 Based on this *JAK2*/*TET2*/*HOXA9* motif, we refine our

336 computational model to reproduce the observed biological

337 differences between patients with different combinations of

338 *JAK2* and *TET2* mutations. To do so, we extend our

339 computational network model featuring three main genes:

340 *JAK2*, *TET2*, and *HOXA9* (Fig. 2C). Our model includes six

341 phenotypes relevant to cancer development: stem cell self-

342 renewal, common myeloid progenitor (CMP) expansion,

343 granulocyte-monocyte progenitor (GMP) expansion, GMP

344 differentiation, erythroid differentiation and megakaryocyte-

345 erythroid progenitor (MEP) expansion. To capture the wider

346 biology of MPN progression, we further included important

347 hematopoietic markers in our computational model. A detailed

348 literature review and full description of how we built the

network model are available in Table S1, Table S2 and the

Supplemental Methods.

Finally, four fundamental cancer genotypes are defined: the

wild type (no mutation), the *TET2* single mutant, the *JAK2*

single mutant and the double mutant (in either order) (Table 1).

The wild type model illustrates haematopoiesis in its healthy

state. The single mutants are defined using the literature (see

Supplementary Information). The final genotype is the double

mutant which can lead to one of two cancer endpoints (fixpoint

attractors that represent one of the two clinical outcomes).

Each fixpoint represents either *TET2* first or *JAK2* first double

mutants and are defined from results presented by Ortmann et

al [13]. Our computational model as shown in Figure 2C

reproduces the expected behaviours described in Table 1 and

therefore the clinical stratification observed in Ortmann et al

[13]. The model suggests that the elevated differentiation

observed in the *JAK2* first double mutants [13] is induced by

the increased expression of *RUNX1*, *KLF1* and *GATA1* as well

as the downregulation of *MYC* not found in *TET2* first double

mutant. This gene expression difference between double

mutants can partly explain the divergent clinical behaviours

between the two groups of patients, including the increased

risk of thrombosis and the faster diagnosis as a result of the

abnormally high number of differentiated cells in these

patients.

3- **MPN network predicts new gene dynamics and**
interactions.

The computational model identifies new gene dynamics as part

of the MPN disease progression. In the complete network

model, *HOXA9* requires both *JAK2* and *TET2* expression to

remain active (Table S2). Upregulation of either *JAK2* or

HOXA9 results in the hyperactivation of *HOXA9* while *TET2*

loss causes inactivation. Wild type activity is maintained by the

balance of these two genes. JAK2 activation mutation and

TET2 loss both drive the system into a committed state. JAK2

activation raises HOXA9 activity to a level at which it can

maintain its activity through control of its own expression.

Subsequent loss of TET2 does not impact its activity as this

hyperactivation makes it independent of TET2. Conversely,

TET2 loss causes a loss of HOXA9 expression in the cell,

rendering it insensitive to subsequent JAK2 activation. This

occurs as HOXA9 expression drops, preventing a subsequent

response to JAK2 activation due to low concentration of the

protein in the cell. Therefore, a possible explanation of the

order dependence could be a combination of mutual

dependency between *JAK2* and *TET2* to activate *HOXA9*,

combined with the positive feedback self-loop of *HOXA9* itself.

Whilst building single mutant phenotypes, we noticed a

relationship between *JAK2* and GMP expansion is required to

match the increased number of myeloid progenitors observed

in organisms with a *JAK2* mutation. To explore possible

pathways downstream of *JAK2* that could explain this link to

myeloid diseases, we applied a machine learning approach

(XGBoost) to AML TCGA data as a relevant and closely

related blood cancer. We found that *JAK2* is highly correlated

with the NOTCH pathway (Fig. 2D), which has been found to

act as a tumour suppressor in leukemia due to the great

expansion of GMP cells after loss of NOTCH signalling [41].

From the SHAP scores of NOTCH genes plotted in Figure 2E,

we identified *ITCH* to be among the genes with a high score in

the NOTCH pathway for *JAK2*. *ITCH* controls the degradation

of NOTCH [42] and is found to be induced by JNK1 [43] from

the MAPK pathway which is a well-known downstream

pathway of *JAK2*/*STAT5* [44]. We therefore suggest that the

JAK2 path to GMP expansion could be MAPK and NOTCH

dependent.

Another new interaction predicted by our network model is the

inhibition of *MYB by RUNX1*. The Common Myeloid

Progenitors (CMP) are found to be differentially expanded

between *JAK2* and *TET2* first patients in Ortmann et al [13]. In

our initial model, we integrated an inhibition interaction

between *SPI1* and *MYB*, our CMP expansion marker, a

connection which has been found experimentally [45]. This

inhibition and the stable *SPI1* expression in the double mutant

states prevented the known bifurcation in CMP expansion in

double mutants. Further investigations lead us to suggest that

the bifurcation could be obtained by replacing *SPI1* by *RUNX1*

for the *MYB* inhibition which is supported by different studies.

RUNX1 activates *SPI1* and *GATA1*, and both are found to be

inhibitors of *MYB* [45, 46]. Additionally, conditional knockout of

RUNX1 in mice results in enhanced CMP frequencies [47][48].

All together, these findings suggest that *RUNX1* can be linked

to CMP expansion via *MYB* inhibition.

4- Analyses of public MPN datasets validate NOTCH

role in MPN as well as HOXA9 bimodality and

prognosis role in blood diseases.

To validate the predictions arising from our MPN computational

model, we compared our findings to public MPN data not used

in the model construction. Chen et al compare MPN with

different *JAK2* and *TET2* mutational profiles using

transcriptomic mouse data [23]. We compare the gene

expression of pathways/gene subsets to those we have

included in our model to determine if our model fits their data.

We first find that the NOTCH pathway behaves as predicted

(Fig. 2F). We also find that the trend in the expression of

RUNX1, *MYC* and *MYB* support our model (Fig. S3, S4 and

S5). Confusingly *HOXA9* expression showed a "switching"

behaviour in this mouse model but associated a low

expression of *HOXA9* with *JAK2* mutations and high

expression with *TET2* mutations.

Jeong et al [49] previously demonstrated the direct

phosphorylation of *TET2* by *JAK2* in a combination of *in vitro*

human/murine hematopoietic cell lines with erythroid

characteristics. Once phosphorylated *TET2* activates *KLF1*, an

important positive regulator of erythropoiesis [50]. In this

context, loss of *TET2* implies reduced erythroid differentiation

which is in agreement with our model. In the same study, the

authors show in a murine cell line that *JAK2* mutation leads to

HOXA9 upregulation. These findings are consistent with our

JAK2/*TET2*/*HOXA9* motif but disagree with Chen's microarray

experiments where *HOXA9* expression is lowered in *JAK2*

single and double mutants (Fig. 2F). Given the downstream

genes follow the expected expression, this raises the question

of whether the interactions in the original motif should be

replaced by a pair of inhibitions expected by Chen data rather

than activations. Whilst there exist possible routes to connect

TET2 and *HOXA9* through an inhibition, and to change the

sign of the downstream interactions for *HOXA9*, we are

however unable to find evidence of inhibition of *HOXA9* by

JAK2. We further note that as the Jeong data is human

derived, it may be a more representative experimental model

system. Both datasets however support the role of *HOXA9* as

a binary switch in MPN.

Discussion

Out of 6817 genes tested *HOXA9* is the single most highly

correlating factor for poor prognosis due to treatment failure in

AML [17]. This finding has made *HOXA9* the most studied

gene in the HOXA family. Here we have demonstrated that it

acts in AML as a discrete switch rather than a spectrum, which

impact AML clinical characteristics such as classification and

survival. Our study shows that despite the existing correlation

between *HOXA9* expression and some AML subtypes, its poor

prognosis characteristic is independent of patient classification.

We further extend the prognosis marker role of the *HOXA9*

gene to another blood disorder, MPN. We show that in MPN

diseases with *JAK2*/*TET2* mutations, *HOXA9* high expression

is found in the *JAK2* first patients while *TET2* first patients

display lower *HOXA9* expression. As *JAK2* first patients have a

higher risk of developing thrombosis compared to *TET2* first

patients, and as thrombotic events are the main causes of

death in MPNs patients [51], this further suggests a deleterious

influence of *HOXA9* high expression on patient clinical

outcomes in another myeloid disease and emphasise the role

of *HOXA9* as a poor prognosis marker in blood malignancies.

In addition to providing new insights into the regulatory control

of cancer cell fate through *HOXA9*, our computational network

model recapitulates the disease symptoms using well-known

hematopoietic transcription factors. Further investigations of

these genes could benefit clinicians by designing new drugs or

applying already existing treatments to reduce symptoms and

the risk of developing blast phase MPN. In addition to the

specific claims of the model, several other clinical implications

arise. One key feature of *TET2*-first MPN patients is their

reduced sensitivity to Ruxolitinib, a JAK2 inhibitor drug [13].

Interestingly our computational model suggests that after *TET2*

loss, most common *JAK2* targets are unchanged by *JAK2*

activation mutation due to the "switching" property exerted by

HOXA9 self-loop. It follows that *JAK2* inhibition is therefore

inefficient for those genes. Finally, whilst *JAK2* is the main

driver mutation found in all MPN patients, different diseases

with distinct clinical traits can be observed [32]. Until now, the

source of this clinical diversity following *JAK2* mutation was

unclear. Here, we demonstrate that patients who first had a

TET2 mutation have a reduced number of erythroid cells as a

result of *TET2* indirect downregulation of *GATA1* and *KLF1*

which explain the reduced number of PV diseases in *TET2* first

patients despite the presence of *JAK2* mutation [13]. While

JAK2 dysregulation may be the principal driver of MPNs, other

mutations shape the disease clinical type by altering the

normal development of distinct hematopoietic subpopulations.

Finally, we predict the involvement of the NOTCH pathway in

MPN diseases. NOTCH shows both oncogenic and tumour

suppressor roles in different tissues and in the hematopoietic

system: NOTCH favours cancer growth in T acute

lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) through its *MYC* activation but

is also found to augment the host immune response against

cancer by activation of M1 macrophages [52]. The role of

NOTCH in hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells is still an

on-going debate, however, it seems that a certain level of

NOTCH signalling is required to protect individuals from

hematological malignancies [53]. We suggest that *JAK2*

increases GMP expansion through its inhibitory effect on

NOTCH via the MAPK pathway and ITCH and so predict a

tumour suppressor role for NOTCH in the GMP cell population.

Our network model suggests a novel mechanism for

understanding how cancer fate can be determined through

regulatory switches and highlights several new areas for

further studies.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Hall group at the MRC-Cancer Unit

and the Fisher group at the University College London Cancer

Institute, and Aleksandra Watson at the University of

Cambridge for valuable discussions. BAH acknowledges

support from the Royal Society (grant no. UF130039). DS and

BAH were further supported by the Medical Research Council

(grant no. MR/S000216/1) LT acknowledges support from

Microsoft Research. JF was supported by the National Institute

for Health Research University College London Hospitals

Biomedical Research Centre and Cancer Research UK.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

[1]   J. L. Spivak, "Myeloproliferative neoplasms," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 376, no. 22, pp. 2168–2181, 2017.

[2]   A. Tefferi, M. Mudireddy, F. Mannelli, K. H. Begna, M. M. Patnaik, C. A. Hanson, R. P. Ketterling, N. Gangat, M. Yogarajah, V. De Stefano *et al.*, "Blast phase myeloproliferative neoplasm: Mayo-agimm study of 410 patients from two separate cohorts," *Leukemia*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 1200–1210, 2018.

[3]   M. Yogarajah and A. Tefferi, "Leukemic transformation in myeloproliferative neoplasms: a literature review on risk, characteristics, and outcome," in *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, vol. 92, no. 7. Elsevier, 2017, pp. 1118–1128.

[4]   A. Tefferi, P. Guglielmelli, D. R. Larson, C. Finke, E. A. Wassie, L. Pieri, N. Gangat, R. Fjerza, A. A. Belachew, T. L. Lasho *et al.*, "Long-term survival and blast transformation in molecularly annotated essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera, and myelofibrosis," *Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology*, vol. 124, no. 16, pp. 2507–2513, 2014.

[5]   A. Tefferi, E. Rumi, G. Finazzi, H. Gisslinger, A. Vannucchi, F. Rodeghiero, M. Randi, R. Vaidya, M. Cazzola, A. Rambaldi *et al.*, "Survival and prognosis among 1545 patients with contemporary polycythemia vera: an

international study," *Leukemia*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 1874–1881, 2013.

[6]   T. Barbui, J. Thiele, F. Passamonti, E. Rumi, E. Boveri, M. Ruggeri, F. Rodeghiero, E. S. d'Amore, M. L. Randi, I. Bertozzi *et al.*, "Survival and disease progression in essential thrombocythemia are significantly influenced by accurate morphologic diagnosis: an international study," *Journal of clinical oncology*, vol. 29, no. 23, pp. 3179–3184, 2011.

[7]   C. S. Grove and G. S. Vassiliou, "Acute myeloid leukaemia: a paradigm for the clonal evolution of cancer?" *Disease models & mechanisms*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 941–951, 2014.

[8]   U. Bacher, W. Kern, S. Schnittger, W. Hiddemann, C. Schoch, and T. Haferlach, "Further correlations of morphology according to fab and who classification to cytogenetics in de novo acute myeloid leukemia: a study on 2,235 patients," *Annals of hematology*, vol. 84, no. 12, pp. 785–791, 2005.

[9]   J. Aynardi, R. Manur, P. R. Hess, S. Chekol, J. J. Morrissette, D. Babushok, E. Hexner, H. J. Rogers, E. D. Hsi, E. Margolskee *et al.*, "Jak2 v617f-positive acute myeloid leukaemia (aml): a comparison between de novo aml and secondary aml transformed from an underlying myeloproliferative neoplasm. a study from the bone marrow pathology group," *British journal of haematology*, vol. 182, no. 1, pp. 78–85, 2018.

[10] R. C. Lindsley, "Uncoding the genetic heterogeneity of
myelodysplastic syndrome," *Hematology 2014, the American*
Society of Hematology Education Program Book, vol. 2017,
no. 1, pp. 447–452, 2017.

[11] D. G. Kent and A. R. Green, "Order matters: the order
of somatic mutations influences cancer evolution," *Cold Spring*
Harbor perspectives in medicine, vol. 7, no. 4, p. a027060,
2017.

[12] M. A. Clarke, S. Woodhouse, N. Piterman, B. A. Hall,
and J. Fisher, "Using state space exploration to determine how
gene regulatory networks constrain mutation order in cancer
evolution," in *Automated reasoning for systems biology and*
medicine. Springer, 2019, pp. 133–153.

[13] C. A. Ortmann, D. G. Kent, J. Nangalia, Y. Silber, D. C.
Wedge, J. Grinfeld, E. J. Baxter, C. E. Massie,
E. Papaemmanuil, S. Menon *et al.*, "Effect of mutation order on
myeloproliferative neoplasms," *New England Journal of*
Medicine, vol. 372, no. 7, pp. 601–612, 2015.

[14] C. Bach, S. Buhl, D. Mueller, M.-P. Garcá-Cuéllar,
E. Maethner, and R. K. Slany, "Leukemogenic transformation
by hoxa cluster genes," *Blood, The Journal of the American*
Society of Hematology, vol. 115, no. 14, pp. 2910–2918, 2010.

[15] E. B. Lewis, "A gene complex controlling segmentation
in drosophila," in *Genes, development and cancer*. Springer,
1978, pp. 205–217.

[16] S. Bhatlekar, J. Z. Fields, and B. M. Boman, "Hox
genes and their role in the development of human cancers,"
Journal of molecular medicine, vol. 92, no. 8, pp. 811–823,
2014.

[17] T. R. Golub, D. K. Slonim, P. Tamayo, C. Huard,
M. Gaasenbeek, J. P. Mesirov, H. Coller, M. L. Loh, J. R.
Downing, M. A. Caligiuri *et al.*, "Molecular classification of
cancer: class discovery and class prediction by gene
expression monitoring," *science*, vol. 286, no. 5439, pp. 531–
537, 1999.

[18] J. Faber, A. V. Krivtsov, M. C. Stubbs, R. Wright, T. N.
Davis, M. van den Heuvel-Eibrink, C. M. Zwaan, A. L. Kung,
and S. A. Armstrong, "Hoxa9 is required for survival in human
mll-rearranged acute leukemias," *Blood, The Journal of the
American Society of Hematology*, vol. 113, no. 11, pp. 2375–
2385, 2009.

[19] Y. Shima, M. Yumoto, T. Katsumoto, and
I. Kitabayashi, "Mll is essential for nup98-hoxa9-induced
leukemia," *Leukemia*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 2200–2210, 2017.

[20] X. Zhong, A. Prinz, J. Steger, M.-P. Garcia-Cuellar,
M. Radsak, A. Bentaher, and R. K. Slany, "Hoxa9 transforms
murine myeloid cells by a feedback loop driving expression of
key oncogenes and cell cycle control genes," *Blood advances*,
vol. 2, no. 22, pp. 3137–3148, 2018.

[21] J. Ameijeiras-Alonso, R. M. Crujeiras, and
A. Rodrguez-Casal, "multimode: An r package for mode
assessment," *árXiv preprint arXiv:1803.00472*, 2018.

[22] T. Therneau and T. Lumley, "R survival package,"
2013.

[23] E. Chen, R. K. Schneider, L. J. Breyfogle, E. A. Rosen,
L. Poveromo, S. Elf, A. Ko, K. Brumme, R. Levine, B. L. Ebert
et al., "Distinct effects of concomitant jak2v617f expression
and tet2 loss in mice promote disease progression in
myeloproliferative neoplasms," *Blood, The Journal of the*
American Society of Hematology, vol. 125, no. 2, pp. 327–335,
2015.

[24] Z. Gu, R. Eils, and M. Schlesner, "Complex heatmaps
reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic
data," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 32, no. 18, pp. 2847–2849, 2016.

[25] T. Chen and C. Guestrin, "Xgboost: A scalable tree
boosting system," in *Proceedings of the 22nd acm sigkdd*
international conference on knowledge discovery and data
mining, 2016, pp. 785–794.

[26] D. Benque, S. Bourton, C. Cockerton, B. Cook,
J. Fisher, S. Ishtiaq, N. Piterman, A. Taylor, and M. Y. Vardi,
"Bma: Visual tool for modeling and analyzing biological
networks," in *International Conference on Computer Aided*
Verification. Springer, 2012, pp. 686–692.

[27] C. G. A. R. Network, "Genomic and epigenomic
landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia," *New*

England Journal of Medicine, vol. 368, no. 22, pp. 2059–2074, 2013.

[28]   K. Rejlova, A. Musilova, K. S. Kramarzova, M. Zaliova, K. Fiser, M. Alberich-Jorda, J. Trka, and J. Starkova, "Low hox gene expression in pml-rarα-positive leukemia results from suppressed histone demethylation," *Epigenetics*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 73–84, 2018.

[29]   A. Lasa, M. Carnicer, A. Aventin, C. Estivill, S. Brunet, J. Sierra, and J. Nomdedeu, "Meis 1 expression is downregulated through promoter hypermethylation in aml1-eto acute myeloid leukemias," *Leukemia*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 1231–1237, 2004.

[30]   J. C. Byrd, K. Mrózek, R. K. Dodge, A. J. Carroll, C. G. Edwards, D. C. Arthur, M. J. Pettenati, S. R. Patil, K. W. Rao, M. S. Watson *et al.*, "Pretreatment cytogenetic abnormalities are predictive of induction success, cumulative incidence of relapse, and overall survival in adult patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: results from cancer and leukemia group b (calgb 8461) presented in part at the 43rd annual meeting of the american society of hematology, orlando, fl, december 10, 2001, and published in abstract form. 59," *Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology*, vol. 100, no. 13, pp. 4325–4336, 2002.

[31]   Z.-Y. Wang and Z. Chen, "Acute promyelocytic leukemia: from highly fatal to highly curable," *Blood, The*

Journal of the American Society of Hematology, vol. 111, no. 5, pp. 2505–2515, 2008.

[32]   R. L. Levine, M. Wadleigh, J. Cools, B. L. Ebert, G. Wernig, B. J. Huntly, T. J. Boggon, I. Wlodarska, J. J. Clark, S. Moore *et al.*, "Activating mutation in the tyrosine kinase jak2 in polycythemia vera, essential thrombocythemia, and myeloid metaplasia with myelofibrosis," *Cancer cell*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 387–397, 2005.

[33]   F. Delhommeau, S. Dupont, V. D. Valle, C. James, S. Trannoy, A. Masse, O. Kosmider, J.-P. Le Couedic, F. Robert, A. Alberdi *et al.*, "Mutation in tet2 in myeloid cancers," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 360, no. 22, pp. 2289–2301, 2009.

[34]   S. Chiba, "Dysregulation of tet2 in hematologic malignancies," *International journal of hematology*, vol. 105, no. 1, pp. 17–22, 2017.

[35]   Y. Z. Paterson, D. Shorthouse, M. W. Pleijzier, N. Piterman, C. Bendtsen, B. A. Hall, and J. Fisher, "A toolbox for discrete modelling of cell signalling dynamics," *Integrative Biology*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 370–382, 2018.

[36]   W. Xiong and J. E. Ferrell, "A positive-feedback-based bistable memory module that governs a cell fate decision," *Nature*, vol. 426, no. 6965, pp. 460–465, 2003.

[37]   S. Zhao, K. Zoller, M. Masuko, P. Rojnuckarin, X. O. Yang, E. Parganas, K. Kaushansky, J. N. Ihle, T. Papayannopoulou, D. M. Willerford *et al.*, "Jak2,

complemented by a second signal from c-kit or flt-3, triggers extensive self-renewal of primary multipotential hemopoietic cells," *The EMBO journal*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 2159–2167, 2002.

[38] C. E. de Bock, S. Demeyer, S. Degryse, D. Verbeke, B. Sweron, O. Gielen, R. Vandepoel, C. Vicente, M. V. Bempt, A. Dagklis *et al.*, "Hoxa9 cooperates with activated jak/stat signaling to drive leukemia development," *Cancer discovery*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 616–631, 2018.

[39] L. Bei, C. Shah, H. Wang, W. Huang, L. C. Platanias, and E. A. Eklund, "Regulation of cdx4 gene transcription by hoxa9, hoxa10, the mll-ell oncogene and shp2 during leukemogenesis," *Oncogenesis*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. e135–e135, 2014.

[40] M. T. Bocker, F. Tuorto, G. Raddatz, T. Musch, F.-C. Yang, M. Xu, F. Lyko, and A. Breiling, "Hydroxylation of 5-methylcytosine by tet2 maintains the active state of the mammalian hoxa cluster," *Nature communications*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2012.

[41] A. Klinakis, C. Lobry, O. Abdel-Wahab, P. Oh, H. Haeno, S. Buonamici, I. van De Walle, S. Cathelin, T. Trimarchi, E. Araldi *et al.*, "A novel tumour-suppressor function for the notch pathway in myeloid leukaemia," *Nature*, vol. 473, no. 7346, pp. 230–233, 2011.

[42] P. Chastagner, A. Israel, and C. Brou, "Aip4/itch regulates notch receptor degradation in the absence of ligand," *PloS one*, vol. 3, no. 7, 2008.

[43] E. Gallagher, M. Gao, Y.-C. Liu, and M. Karin,
"Activation of the e3 ubiquitin ligase itch through a
phosphorylation-induced conformational change," *Proceedings*
of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 103, no. 6, pp.
1717–1722, 2006.

[44] R. M. de Freitas and C. M. da Costa Maranduba,
"Myeloproliferative neoplasms and the jak/stat signaling
pathway: an overview," *Revista brasileira de hematologia e*
hemoterapia, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 348–353, 2015.

[45] T. Bellon, D. Perrotti, and B. Calabretta, "Granulocytic
differentiation of normal hematopoietic precursor cells induced
by transcription factor pu. 1 correlates with negative regulation
of the c-myb promoter," *Blood, The Journal of the American*
Society of Hematology, vol. 90, no. 5, pp. 1828–1839, 1997.

[46] W. Zhao, C. Kitidis, M. D. Fleming, H. F. Lodish, and
S. Ghaffari, "Erythropoietin stimulates phosphorylation and
activation of gata-1 via the pi3-kinase/akt signaling pathway,"
Blood, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 907–915, 2006.

[47] M. Ichikawa, T. Asai, T. Saito, G. Yamamoto, S. Seo,
I. Yamazaki, T. Yamagata, K. Mitani, S. Chiba, H. Hirai *et al*.,
"Aml-1 is required for megakaryocytic maturation and
lymphocytic differentiation, but not for maintenance of
hematopoietic stem cells in adult hematopoiesis," *Nature*
medicine, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 299–304, 2004.

[48] M. Ichikawa, S. Goyama, T. Asai, M. Kawazu,
M. Nakagawa, M. Takeshita, S. Chiba, S. Ogawa, and

M. Kurokawa, "Aml1/runx1 negatively regulates quiescent hematopoietic stem cells in adult hematopoiesis," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 180, no. 7, pp. 4402–4408, 2008.

[49] J. J. Jeong, X. Gu, J. Nie, S. Sundaravel, H. Liu, W.-L. Kuo, T. D. Bhagat, K. Pradhan, J. Cao, S. Nischal *et al.*, "Cytokine-regulated phosphorylation and activation of tet2 by jak2 in hematopoiesis," *Cancer discovery*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 778–795, 2019.

[50] F. Lohmann and J. J. Bieker, "Activation of eklf expression during hematopoiesis by gata2 and smad5 prior to erythroid commitment," *Development*, vol. 135, no. 12, pp. 2071–2082, 2008.

[51] F. Cervantes, F. Passamonti, and G. Barosi, "Life expectancy and prognostic factors in the classic bcr/abl-negative myeloproliferative disorders," *Leukemia*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 905–914, 2008.

[52] J. C. Aster, W. S. Pear, and S. C. Blacklow, "The varied roles of notch in cancer," *Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease*, vol. 12, pp. 245–275, 2017.

[53] F. P. Lampreia, J. G. Carmelo, and F. Anjos-Afonso, "Notch signaling in the regulation of hematopoietic stem cell," *Current stem cell reports*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 202–209, 2017.

 Figures and Table

Figure 1. *HOXA9* **low and high expression stratifies patients in AML. (A)** *HOXA9* expression in AML patients is significantly bimodal (unimodality test rejected with $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), suggesting a role as a genetic switch. **(B)** *HOXA9* high and low cohorts have divergent AML prognosis, consistent with known *HOXA9* biology in AML. *HOXA9* expression also partially explains **(C)** FAB and **(D)** molecular classifications of AML patients. Using Sankey diagrams, we find that the M3 FAB subtype as well as the PML-RARα and CBF (CBFB-MYH11 and RUNX1-RUNXT1) translocations are solely linked to low expression of *HOXA9*. Similarly, the high cohort possesses specific AML subclasses: M0, M5, MLL/NUP98 translocations and complex cytogenetics. **(E)** *HOXA9* cohorts show distinct clinical characteristics: high cohort patients are older, display a higher white blood cell counts (WBC) and tend to have higher percentage of blasts in the bone marrow.

Figure 2. *HOXA9* determines cancer cell fates in MPN diseases with *JAK2* and *TET2* mutations. (A) MPN patients with *JAK2*/*TET2* mutations showing different clinical characteristics can be explained by a simple gene motif including a switching property. The model starts from a healthy state on the left (wild type) and sequentially acquires mutations

in *JAK2* and *TET2* genes. The first mutation affects the gene

target expression (middle networks) which remains stable

when the second mutation appears. The order in which

mutations occur impacts on the gene target expression but

also the phenotypes, CMP expansion and erythroid

differentiation (networks on the right). Despite the identical final

mutational state, the cell shows different hematopoietic

behaviours. **(B)** *HOXA9* is downstream of both *TET2* and *JAK2*

and acts as a developmental switch through its self-positive

feedback loop. Direct interaction drawn as continuous arrows

and post translation modification as dotted arrows. **(C)** The

JAK2/*TET2*/*HOXA9* molecular network is built with the

BioModelAnalyzer (BMA) tool and integrates six phenotypes

considered as our model outputs. Using the Linear Temportal

Logic model checking tool available in BMA, we observe a

bifurcation after simulation of the double mutant state. The

bifurcation analysis identifies two stable states with different

phenotype values that fit the mutation order characteristics

observed in MPN patients with *JAK2* and *TET2* mutations. **(D)**

Ranking of major cancer pathways in *JAK2* analyses

determine the RTK-RAS pathway as the most correlated to

JAK2. This pathway contains *JAK2* and so this result is as

expected. The second pathway is NOTCH. The overall

accuracy of the pathway is computed with the Matthews

Correlation Coefficient. **(E)** We plot SHAP scores for the

NOTCH pathway to determine which genes of the pathway

have been important classifiers, that is genes with an important

expression correlation with *JAK2*. Among them, *PSEN1* and

ADAM10 are involved in the cleavage of NOTCH membrane

receptors. *NUMB* activates *ITCH* which degrades NOTCH. **(F)**

The heatmap of the NOTCH pathway and HOXA family

generated using MPN microarray datasets from [23] validate

NOTCH expression in our model highlighting the importance of

this pathway in MPN disease progression. HOXA heatmap

confirms HOXA bimodality but show different levels of

expression to what we find in our model. "JAK2" and "TET2"

refer to the single mutant mouse models, and "DM" is the

double mutant with *JAK2* mutated first. "WT" designates the

wild type (no mutation) genotype.

	WT	TET2	JAK2	TET2 first	JAK2 first
Stem Cell Renewal	1	2	1	2	2
CMP expansion	1	2	1	2	1
GMP expansion	1	2	2	2	2
GMP differentiation	1	0	1	1	1
Erythroid differentiation	1	0	2	1	2
MEP expansion	1	1	2	2	2

Table 1. Specification table for *JAK2/TET2* BMA model. In order from the left to the right columns, the specifications are the wild type state, the *TET2* single mutant, the *JAK2* single mutant and finally the double mutants, which consists of a bifurcation with two state attractors that represent the case where *TET2* is mutated before *JAK2* (*TET2* first) and the alternative case where *JAK2* is mutated first. We determine phenotype values using literature for the single mutants and Ortmann et al [13] for the double mutants. The value 1 represents the healthy state, 0 the lowered/inactive state and 2 the overactive state.