Regulation of oogenesis in the queen honey bee (*Apis mellifera*)

Sarah E Aamidor[1], Carlos AM Cardoso-Júnior[2], Januar Harianto[3], Cameron J Nowell[4], Louise Cole[5], Benjamin P Oldroyd[1], Isobel Ronai[1]

[1]Behaviour and Genetics of Social Insects Laboratory, Ecology and Evolution, School of Life and Environmental Science, Macleay Building A12, University of Sydney, NSW, 2006, Australia; [2]Departamento de Biologia Celulare Bioagentes Patogênicos, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirao Preto,Universidade de Sao Paulo, Ribeirao Preto, Brazil; [3]School of Life and Environmental Science, Macleay Building A12, University of Sydney, NSW, 2006, Australia; [4]Drug Discovery Biology, Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University, 381 Royal Parade, Parkville 3052, Victoria, Australia; [5]Microbial Imaging Facility, I3 Institute, Faculty of Science, The University of Technology Sydney

Correspondance (email: sarah.aamidor@sydney.edu.au)

Key words: ovary activation; ovariole; nurse cell chamber; honeybee; eusociality; phenotypic plasticity.

Summary statement: Honey bee queens regulate oogenesis using a different combination of 'checkpoints' to workers, but both castes use the same molecular pathways.

Abstract

In the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*), queen and worker castes originate from identical genetic templates but develop into different phenotypes. Queens lay up to 2,000 eggs daily whereas workers are sterile in the queen's presence. Periodically queens stop laying; during swarming, when resources are scarce in winter and when they are confined to a cage by beekeepers. We used confocal microscopy and gene expression assays to investigate the control of oogenesis in honey bee queen ovaries. We show that queens use different combination of 'checkpoints' to regulate oogenesis compared to honey bee workers and other insect species. However, both queen and worker castes use the same programmed cell death pathways to terminate oocyte development at their caste-specific checkpoints. Our results also suggest that the termination of oogenesis in queens is driven by nutritional stress. Thus, queens may regulate oogenesis via the same regulatory pathways that were utilised by ancestral solitary species but have adjusted physiological checkpoints to suit their highly-derived life history.

Introduction

In eusocial insects, females develop into either a highly reproductive queen or a non-reproductive worker (Oster and Wilson 1978). In the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*, queens and workers arise from the same genetic template (genotype), but have radically different morphological and behavioural phenotypes (Snodgrass 1956; Dade 1977; Winston 1987). Queens lack worker-specific characters such as a barbed sting, pollen baskets (corbiculae) and a honey crop, whereas workers generally lack the specialised reproductive organs of the queen such as the sperm-storing spermatheca. Notably, while both queens and workers have ovaries, they differ enormously in the number of ovarioles (the tubes in which oocytes develop). Queens have 150-200 ovarioles per ovary, whereas workers have approximately four (Snodgrass 1956; Rozen 1986; Ronai, Allsopp et al. 2017). The queen's ovaries occupy the majority of her abdominal cavity and can produce up to 2,000 eggs per day (Page and Erickson 1988). Honey bee queens therefore have one of the highest levels of fecundity of any insect (Hodin 2009).

In an activated honey bee ovary, each ovariole contains multiple oocytes (immature eggs) at different stages of development. Oogenesis begins at the tip of the ovariole when a germline cell divides repeatedly to produce what will become an oocyte and a cluster of accompanying nurse cells. The nurse cells provide nutrition and other elements required by the developing oocyte as it travels through the ovariole, in a conveyor-belt fashion, towards the median oviduct (Snodgrass 1956). As the oocyte approaches the oviduct the nurse cells transfer their contents to the oocyte in a process known as nurse cell 'dumping' (Spradling 1993; Cavaliere, Taddei et al. 1998), and die as the oocyte completes its development. Finally, the mature oocyte passes through the median oviduct and is laid.

Worker honey bees, on the other hand, are facultatively sterile (Visscher 1989; Ratnieks 1993). Young workers can switch between 'deactivated' ovaries when the queen is present to 'activated' when the queen is absent (Malka, Shnieor et al. 2007). The mechanisms underlying the reproductive plasticity of workers has been widely investigated (e.g Hoover,

Keeling et al. 2003; Malka, Shnieor et al. 2007; Woyciechowski and Kuszewska 2012;
Traynor, Le Conte et al. 2014; Ronai, Oldroyd et al. 2016; Ronai, Oldroyd et al. 2016). Young
workers continuously produce new oocytes, but in the presence of a queen, the developing
oocytes are aborted during mid-oogenesis via programmed cell death (PCD) (Tanaka and
Hartfelder 2004; Tanaka, Schmidt-Capella et al. 2006; Ronai, Barton et al. 2015). The
reproductive plasticity of young workers allows them to rapidly activate their ovaries, by
switching off the PCD mechanisms, thereby allowing pre-existing immature oocytes to
develop. This mechanism likely evolved because there is a fitness premium for the first
workers to successfully lay eggs in a queen-less colony (Page and Robinson 1994). A queen
signals her presence to the workers via pheromones (Butler 1957; Butler and Fairey 1963;
Hoover, Keeling et al. 2003), the most significant of which is queen mandibular pheromone
(Butler, Callow et al. 1961). In a colony with a queen, the presence of queen mandibular
pheromone and brood pheromones in a worker's environment activates the gene network(s)
that initiate PCD in early oocytes of workers (Le Conte, Mohammedi et al. 2001; Ronai,
Oldroyd et al. 2016; Hartfelder, Tiberio et al. 2018) .In contrast to workers, the mechanisms
underlying the reproductive plasticity of mated queens is unlikely to be regulated by queen
mandibular pheromone and brood pheromones, for if they were influenced by these cues,
they would be permanently sterile. Nonetheless, queens, like workers, are able to curtail
oogenesis in response to environmental cues.
113
Mated queens exhibit reproductive plasticity under three specific circumstances. First, in
temperate zones, queens drastically reduce egg laying in winter (Seeley 1985), becoming
reproductively active again in late winter or early spring (Avitabile 1978; Shehata, Townsend
et al. 1981). This annual plasticity in oogenesis is likely triggered by decreased availability of
fresh pollen combined with declining day length (Mattila and Otis 2007). Second,
reproductive swarming; when the queen and approximately half of the colonies workers
depart the nest to establish a new colony (Simpson 1959). In preparation for swarming, the
workers reduce the frequency at which they feed the queen (Allen 1956; Pierce, Lewis et al.
2007). Probably as a consequence of this reduced feeding, the queen's egg production
declines significantly (Allen 1956; Grozinger, Richards et al. 2014). As a result the queen's
weight is significantly reduced, which likely allows her to fly more easily when she leaves
with the swarm (Seeley and Fell 1981). After the swarm leaves the natal nest, the bees hang
in a cluster nearby. While in the cluster the queen's egg production almost ceases (Pierce,
Lewis et al. 2007). Only once the swarm moves to its new home and new brood cells are
built, does the queen resume egg laying (Ambrose 1976; Winston 1987). Thirdly,
commercial queens used in agriculture are often forced to undergo periods when they are
prevented from laying due to beekeeping practises (Rhodes, Somerville et al. 2004). Queens
produced for sale are sometimes 'banked' within individual cages in a colony for several
weeks (Laidlaw and Page 1997). Banked queens are cared for by the colony's workers but
lack a comb in which to lay eggs. Queens are often shipped via mail in individual cages with
an escort of 5-8 workers that feed and groom the queen, usually for a period of up to 10
days (Laidlaw and Page 1997). Caged queens do not lay eggs, have restricted food, and their
abdomens become much reduced in size (Laidlaw and Page 1997). Nonetheless, once the
queen is introduced to her new colony she recommences egg laying in a few days (Rhodes,
Somerville et al. 2004).
139

In this study, we investigate the mechanisms that enable honey bee queens to switch
between activated and deactivated ovaries. We studied oogenesis in queens by examining
the morphological changes in their ovaries when they are prevented from laying in two
different social environments; (i) within the colony and (ii) when removed from the colony
with a few escorting workers. Since queens and workers originate from the same genetic
template, we further investigated whether the reproductive plasticity of queens is regulated
by differential expression of the same genes that are associated with the reproductive
plasticity of workers, and whether such plasticity is driven by nutritional stress. Specifically,
we quantified the expression of genes associated with: PCD (*Anarchy, Buffy, Apaf-related*
killer gene [*Ark*], *long non-coding ovary-1* [*Lncov1*], *Tudor-SN*, and *Draper*); nutrition (target
of rapamycin [*Tor*], *Forkhead box O* [*FoxO*], elongation growth factor receptor [*Egfr*]);
Juvenile Hormone production (*Krüppel-homolog 1* [*Kr-h1*]), and vitellogenesis (*Vitellogenin*
[*Vg*] and *Vitellogenin Receptor* [*VgR*]). We hypothesise that the genetic pathways controlling
oogenesis in queens would be similar to those seen in workers (i.e. associated with PCD).
We further hypothesised that in contrast to workers, where queen mandibular pheromone
and brood pheromones are the cue for workers to stop oogenesis, in queens it is nutritional
cues that regulate oogenesis. More broadly, we note that in solitary Hymenopterans,
nutritional cues are intimately related to oogenesis (Toth, Varala et al. 2007). Thus
nutritional cues may also have a role in regulating oogenesis in the queens of advanced
eusocial insects (Hunt and Amdam 2005; Dolezal, Flores et al. 2013).
160
161 **Methods**
162
163 *Biological material*
164
165 Queens (*n* = 25) were removed from their colonies during summer (December 2018). All
166 individuals were mature (> 6 weeks old), mated, laying queens of standard Australian
167 commercial stock mostly derived from *A. m. ligustica.*
168
Queens were randomly assigned to three treatment groups. First, fifteen queens were
placed in individual plastic mailing-introduction cages (4 cm x 2 cm, JZ-BZ Queen Cages)
used by commercial beekeepers, with five to eight supporting workers and kept in a dark at
room temperature. The workers had *ad libitum* access to water and queen candy (a mixture
of caster sugar and honey) to feed the queens. Collectively we refer to these treatments as
caged 'ex-colony' (EC). Five queens from this EC group were collected on days 4, 7 and 10
after caging (which is within standard beekeeping practice). Second, five queens with no
escorting workers were suspended in their cages between brood frames above a queen
excluder in a strong colony. The queen excluder prevented access by the resident queen to
the caged queens. Queens were fed by host workers through the mesh of their cages. These
queens were collected 10 days after caging. We refer to these queens as caged 'in colony'
(IC). Third, the remaining five queens were collected from their colonies at day 0 as the
control group. During the course of these experiments two queens died, one in the 10-day
EC group and one in the IC group. Hence there is no data from these two queens.
183
All collected queens were immediately frozen on dry ice and stored at -80 °C. Queens were
dissected in RNase-free phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and their paired ovaries were
delicately removed. Both ovaries were imaged under a dissecting light microscope (Olympus

SZ40 Stereo Zoom) at 8X magnification in order to measure the ovary 2D area. One of the
paired ovaries was randomly chosen and halved. One half was set aside for confocal
microscopy and the other for gene expression analysis. The remaining ovary was stored but
not used for this study. All dissected ovaries were stored at -80 °C until required.
191
192 *Gene expression in the ovaries*
193
Total RNA was extracted from each ovary sample (n = 23) using TRIzol (Invitrogen). The
amount of RNA present per sample was estimated using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen)
and samples were diluted to 200 ng/µL with RNase-free water. DNA was removed using a
Turbo DNase Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For each sample, cDNA was synthesised from
320 ng of RNA (64 ng/µL) using a SuperScript^{TM} III Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Invitrogen) with
Oligo (dT) universal primers with appropriate positive and negative controls. All cDNA
samples were diluted to 5 ng/µL with RNase-free water.
201
We quantified the expression of 11 genes of interest (*Anarchy, Buffy, Draper, Egfr, Lncov1,*
Tudor, Tor, FoxO, Kr-h1, Vg and VgR) in the queen ovaries using RT-qPCR. Each reaction was
run in triplicate with a final volume of 5 µL [1 µL cDNA (5 ng/µL), 2.5 µL SYBR Green (Applied
Biosystems, Life Technologies) and 1.25 pmol of each primer] using a CFX384 Real-Time
System (Bio-Rad). The sequences of the primers and reaction conditions for the 11 genes of
interest and four candidate reference genes (*pRS5, RP49, Ef1a* and *Actin*) are provided in
Supplementary Table S1. The reaction efficiency for each primer set was obtained by a
standard curve (serial dilution) fitted for each of the genes (Supplementary Table S1). Of the
four candidate reference genes, *Ef1a* and *Rf49* were found to have the most stable
expression using the software *BestKeeper* (Pfaffl, Tichopad et al. 2004). These two genes
have been previously recommended as appropriate reference genes for gene expression
studies in honey bees (Lourenço, Mackert et al. 2008; Reim, Thamm et al. 2013). Relative
gene expression was calculated according to the efficiency correction formula considering
the efficiency of each gene and normalised with the two reference genes (Brito, McHale et
al. 2010).
217
218 *Fixing, staining and confocal imaging of the ovaries*
219
Ovaries were prepared for staining according to Dallacqua and Bitondi (2014). Briefly, each
ovary was fixed and permeabilised in heptane fixative (0.83% heptane, 0.067% HEM buffer
(pH 6.9, 0.1M HEPES, 2mM MgSO4 and 1mM EGTA), 0.01% paraformaldehyde and 0.0167%
DMSO) for 30 minutes on a shaker, followed by three washes in PBS. The ovaries were then
dehydrated with increasing concentrations of ethanol (25%, 50%, 75% and 100%) and
stored over night at -20 °C. For staining, the ovaries were rehydrated with decreasing
concentrations of ethanol in water (75%, 50% and 25%) and then washed three times in
PTw (PBS and 0.1% Tween20). Ovaries were refixed in Triton fixative (PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100,
4% paraformaldehyde and 10% DMSO) for 20 min and washed three times in PTw. In order
to further permeabilise the tissue, samples were incubated for 10 minutes in 20 µg/mL
proteinase K followed by two washes in 1% glycine and three washes with PTw. The ovaries
were refixed in Triton fixative for 20 minutes and washed six times in PTw to remove all
traces of fixative. Ovaries were then stained for actin with ActinGreen 488 (Invitrogen) for
30 minutes, washed three times with PTw and counterstained for nucleic acid with DAPI

(0.004% v/v) (Sigma) for five minutes followed by three washes in PTw. The ovaries were
then split into clusters of one to four ovarioles and mounted on slides in Fluoroshield (Sigma)
with glass cover slips. (During fixation one ovary from the control group was lost and
therefore not imaged). Slides were imaged using a ZEISS LSM 800 confocal microscope using
450 and 488nm excitation wavelengths to image the nucleic acid and actin respectively.
Single focal plane and z-stacks were taken using either a 10x or 20x objective. Z-stack
images were processed to create a two-dimensional maximum intensity projection (MIP).
All images were acquired, stitched and processed using ZEN blue software.
242
243 ***Image analysis***
244
Images were analysed using a custom macro in the Fiji distribution of ImageJ (Schindelin *et.*
al., 2012). Stereo images were used to calculate total area of both ovaries (one image for
each individual ovary). Confocal images were enhanced using the ImageJ gamma function at
0.6 to increase contrast. For each stitched image, the oocytes and Nurse Cell Chambers
(NCC) in each ovariole were traced by hand and the resulting ROIs saved for future
validation if required.
251
Confocal image analysis is described in detail in Supplementary Materials and Methods S1
and available for download from https://doi.org/10.26180/13557764. Briefly, oocytes and
NCC in each string were outlined using the freehand region of interest (ROI) tool on
maximum Z projections of each image set from the most developed intact oocyte (oviduct
end) until the oocytes and NCC could no longer be distinguished in the late germarium
(Büning 1994). Each ROI was then used to calculate the equivalent spherical radius by using
the maximum and minimum Feret's diameter to first calculate the oblate volume (due to
the 'objects' - oocyte or NCC - being non-spherical) of the object and then to calculate the
equivalent spherical radius. The data was logged and then further analysed using Excel and
GraphPad Prism. In order to visualise the changes along the entire ovariole a locally
weighted smoothing (LOESS) function was added on the scatterplot output using the
`loess()` function from the base `stats` package in R (version 4.0.3).
264
265 ***Statistical analysis***
266
To determine the effects of treatment group on the morphological features and gene
expression of the ovariole, we modelled the data using generalised linear models (GLM)
with a normal link function. The dependent variable was unit size or position in the ovariole,
or the fold change in gene expression. The independent (fixed) factor was the treatment
group (control (Day 0), EC day 4, EC day 7, EC day 10 and IC day 10).
All covariates were ordinal, thus model significance was tested with an analysis of variance
(ANOVA) using the Wald Chi-square test statistic and Type 3 error. Where statistical results
indicated significant differences at $p < 0.05$, estimated marginal means (EMM) we used to
develop linear estimates of pairwise comparisons and then tested using Tukey's honestly
significantly different (HSD) test to identify significant differences at $p < 0.05$.

Analyses were performed in R (version 4.0.3). GLMs were performed using the `Anova()`
function from the `cars` package (version 3.0-10) while post-hoc analyses were performed
using the `emmeans()` function from the `emmeans` package (version 1.5.3).

280
281 **Results**
282
283 *Oogenesis in a laying queen*
284
285 Below we provide a description of normal oogenesis, obtained from our high-resolution
286 confocal images of the ovaries of queens freshly taken from their colonies (controls; Day 0).
287 Descriptions are also based on the existing honey bee ovary morphology literature
288 (Snodgrass 1956; Gutzeit, Zissler et al. 1993; Büning 1994).

289
At the apical tip of each ovariole is the terminal filament (Figure 1 Bi) and germline stem
cells (Figure 1 B). The early germarium stage is characterised by a single germ cell dividing
repeatedly to produce a cluster of cells (also known as cystocyte rosettes) around actin
polyfusomes (Figure 1 Bi). Subsequently, one of the cells differentiates into the oocyte,
while the remaining cells of the cluster become the nurse cells that will nourish the
developing oocyte. Actin fusomes also rearrange into ring canals (Figure 1 Bii), connecting
the oocyte to its accompanying nurse cells.

297
298 During the mid-germarium stage, oocytes slowly increase in size and are distinguishable
299 from their accompanying nurse cells (Figure 1 A). By the late germarium stage, the oocyte is
300 located towards the oviduct end relative to its accompanying nurse cells (Figure 1 A).

301
The vitellarium stage is defined by the oocyte becoming encased in a layer of somatic follicle
cells (Figure 1 Ci) and the accompanying nurse cells forming a Nurse Cell Chamber (NCC)
(Figure 1 A and C). By both qualitative and quantitative analysis of the confocal images, we
observed the oocyte and the NCC growing at different rates during the vitellarium stage
(Figure 2). Initially the NCC is larger than the oocyte (Fig. 1C) until a point that we define as
the 'tipping point' when the developing oocyte first exceeds the size of its accompanying
NCC (Figure 1 A and 2). After the tipping point the late vitellarium stage begins and the
oocyte grows rapidly (Figure 1A and 2). And the nurse cells undergo developmental
programmed cell death (King 1970), ultimately transferring their remaining cytoplasm into
the oocyte in a process termed nurse cell dumping (Figure 1 A and D, Figure 2). This nurse
cell dumping results in the complete collapse of the NCC ('dnc' in Figure 1A; see also Figure
1D). At the final stage of oogenesis, the oocyte is fully formed and situated at the oviduct
end of the ovariole (Figure 1A and 1D). The pedicel (also known as the basal stalk), a
constriction at the entry to the oviduct which prevents the oocyte from moving into the
oviduct until it is ready to be laid can also be seen clearly by confocal microscopy (Figure 1A
and 1D).

318
319 *Oogenesis in queens prevented from oviposition*
320
Stereomicroscopy showed that caging, both ex-colony (EC) and in-colony (IC), resulted in
abnormal ovaries. Overall, the longer a queen was caged EC, the smaller the ovaries became

(Figure 3 A). We found that mean ovary area differed significantly among treatments (Wald

χ^2 = 96.25, *d.f.* = 4, *P* < 0.0001; Figure 3 B). Queens caged EC had significantly smaller ovary

area compared to the controls. Although queens caged IC for 10 days did not show such an

extreme reduction in ovary area, they too were smaller than the controls (Figure 3 B).

Overall, confocal microscopy showed that there was no significant effect of caging

treatments on the total number of oocytes (Wald χ^2 = 6.04, *d.f.* = 4, *P* = 0.196; Figure S1).

However, the size of the most mature (i.e. maximum-sized) oocyte was significantly reduced

by the caging treatments (Wald χ^2 = 18.79, *d.f.* = 4, *P* < 0.001; Figure 2 and S2 A). The size of

the most mature oocyte was similar in queens that were caged EC for 10 days and the

control queens (Figure S2 A). In contrast, the maximum size of the NCC was not significantly

affected by the caging treatments (Wald χ^2 = 8.97, *d.f.* = 4, *P* = 0.062; Figure 2 and S2 B). In

addition, the minimum size of oocytes and NCC did not significantly change as a result of

caging treatments (Wald χ^2 = 5.43, *d.f.* = 4, p = 0.246; Wald χ^2 = 1.48, *d.f.* = 4, p = 0.830;

Figures S2 C and D respectively).

Although the number of identifiable oocytes per ovariole was unaffected by treatment

(Figure S1), we nonetheless observed changes in the developmental gradient of oocytes

along the ovarioles between treatments. The cells of the early germarium did not extend to

the apical end of the ovariole in the caged queens (Figure 1 E, H, K and N). The early

vitellarium stage in the EC caged queens had seemingly healthy NCCs, but the oocytes were

misshapen and starting to deteriorate (Figure 4 A, arrows). This deterioration of the oocyte

progressively intensified as the days of EC caging increased (Figure 1 I, L and 4 B, arrow). In

contrast, the oocytes in the early vitellarium stage in IC caged queens (Figure 1 O) seemed

similar to the control queens. The difference between the volume of the oocyte and its

accompanying NCC provides a measure of the combined changes in the units (oocytes and

NCC) along the ovariole (Figure 2, green line). In all the caged treatments the tipping point,

marking the start of the late vitellarium stage, significantly shifted towards the oviduct end

of the ovariole relative to control queens (Wald χ^2 = 119.43, *d.f.* = 4, p = 0.0001; Figure 2 and

S3). This shift suggests that there was a delay in the maturation of the oocytes in the caged

queens, which in turn reduced the number of mature oocytes present in the ovariole. The

tipping point for the IC caged queens was at a similar position in the ovariole to those seen

in queens that had been caged EC for four days (Figure 2 and Figure S3).

By the late vitellarium stage several structural abnormalities could be identified as a result

of caging. In both EC and IC the cytoplasm bridges (ring canals) that normally connect the

oocyte to its accompanying NCC were sometimes lost, resulting in an empty and elongated

sheath between them (Figure 4 C arrows). In addition, the link between the oocyte and NCC

units appeared to be elongated (Figure 4 C and K, arrows compared to F, arrow). As a proxy

for successful maturation of a mature oocyte, we measured the proportion of NCCs

dumping by measuring the volume difference between of the maximum and the last NCC.

Overall, we found that the proportion of dumping was significantly decreased by caging

(Wald χ^2 = 18.86, *d.f.* = 4, p = 0.001; Figure 2 and S4). Interestingly, in EC caged queens,

some nurse cell dumping occurred prematurely, just after the tipping point, when the

oocyte was still underdeveloped (Figure 4 D arrowhead). As the days of EC caging

progressed, the oocyte also appeared increasingly underdeveloped when nurse cell

dumping occurred (Figure 4 E and F). By day seven of EC caging, some NCCs were at the

oviduct end of the ovariole, but had not yet undergone PCD (Figure 1 J). In addition, there
were some NCCs that had not collapsed even though the nurse cells had undergone PCD
(Figure 4 B, F and G).
373
The oocytes closest to the oviduct undergo degeneration during the late vitellarium stage in
EC caged queens. Initially, these degrading oocytes disconnect from their accompanying
follicle cells (Figure 4 H arrow). As degradation progresses, the oocyte begins to collapse
(Figure 4 I, white arrow) and undergo PCD (Figure 4 J arrow), until it degrades completely
(see Figure 1 J). At the oviduct end of the ovariole, an actin aggregation accumulates as the
days of EC caging increase (Figure 1G, J and M, arrow). In contrast, in the queens caged IC,
the late vitellarium stage oocytes looked similar to those of the control and there appeared
to be no actin aggregation (Figure 1 P).
382
In summary, confocal microscopy demonstrated that queens caged outside the colony (EC),
had abnormal oogenesis as early as the fourth day post caging (Figure 1E, F, G). Throughout
the days of EC caging the ovary area decreased, and the length of the germarium increased.
This lengthening was a consequence of delayed growth of both the oocytes and NCC, as
evidenced by the tipping point shifting towards the oviduct end. This latter shift resulted in
underdeveloped, small, oocytes in the late vitellarium stage. By day seven post EC caging,
only half of the NCCs completed 'dumping'. In contrast, caging in the colony (IC) did not
have such an extreme effect on queen ovaries. Although the size of the ovary slightly
decreased as a result of caging IC, it is possible that this decrease was a result of the
increase in the length of the germarium. However overall, queens caged IC retained healthy
oocytes and may have continued some egg laying.
394
395
396 ***Gene expression***
397
398 The expression level of five (*Anarchy*, *Buffy*, *Tudor*, *Lncov1* and *Draper*) of the six PCD-
399 associated genes investigated increased with the duration of caging EC and IC (Wald χ^2,
400 p<0.05, table S2; Figure S5 A-E). The only exception to this pattern was *Ark*, where we found
401 no effect of treatment on its expression levels (Wald χ^2 = 5.43, *d.f* = 4, p = 0.246, table S2,
402 Figure S5 F).
403
The expression of the four genes associated with nutrition sensitivity pathways and JH (*Kr-
h1*, *Egfr*, *Tor* and *Foxo*) were significantly affected by the caging treatments (EC and IC)
(Wald χ^2, p<0.05, Figure S5 G-J, Table S2). These genes significantly increased in expression
by day 10 of caging EC. The only gene that differed from this pattern was *Foxo*, which
initially increased in expression before returning to levels similar to those seen in the
control by day 10 of both EC and IC caging (Figure S5 J).
410
411 Gene expression of *Vg*, one of the two genes associated with vitellogenesis, was not
412 affected by EC or IC caging (Wald χ^2 = 4.44, *d.f* = 4, p = 0.35, Figure S5 K), and was extremely
413 low. The expression of its receptor, *VgR*, was also not affected by caging treatments (Wald
414 χ^2 = 8.4, *d.f* = 4, p = 0.077, Figure S5 L).
415
416 **Discussion**

417
Our study reveals that the control of oogenesis in honey bee queens uses different
checkpoints to workers but similar genetic pathways. We identified three putative
reproductive 'checkpoints' (also known as 'control points' in Ronai, Vergoz et al. 2016) that
regulate oogenesis in honey bee queens. First, there is an absence of visible germline cells.
This reduction in germline cells could either be due to suppression of stem cell
differentiation (Figure 5 Checkpoint 1) or the early germline cell clusters are undergoing PCD
(Figure 5 checkpoint 2).
425
PCD in the early germarium has previously been identified in virgin honey bee queens
prevented from mating (Berger and Abdalla 2005; Cruz-Landim, Patrício et al. 2006) and in
sterile honey bee workers (Tanaka, Schmidt-Capella et al. 2006; Ronai, Barton et al. 2015;
Duncan, Hyink et al. 2016). These early checkpoints are also found in *Drosophila*
melanogaster females that are under nutritional stress (Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling
2001; Jenkins, Timmons et al. 2013). Secondly, we found degradation of the oocytes in
caged queens at the early vitellarium stage (Figure 1 I, L and 4 A, B). This checkpoint is not
known to occur in any other hymenopteran species, or in insects in general, and is
potentially unique to honey bee queens (Figure 5 checkpoint 4). Thirdly, mature oocytes
degenerate in the late-vitellarium stage in honey bee queens prevented from laying (see
also Cruz-Landim, Patrício et al. 2006) (Figure 1 G, J, M and 4 I, J). This checkpoint is also
known to occur in another hymenopteran the parasitoid wasp (*Nasonia vitripennis*)
(Hopkins and King 1964) but has not been shown in honey bee workers or other insects
(Figure 5 checkpoint 5). Thus, it appears that honey bee queens have two additional
checkpoints when compared to workers.
441
Our results indicate that honey bee queens and workers modulate oogenesis using a
different combination of checkpoints to meet their different life history needs. In sterile
workers the last checkpoint is at the late germarium stage (Tanaka and Hartfelder 2004;
Ronai, Barton et al. 2015). This late germarium checkpoint is also found in nutritionally
stressed *Drosophila* females (Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling 2001; Jenkins, Timmons et
al. 2013) and other insects (Velentzas, Nezis et al. 2003; Uchida, Nishizuka et al. 2004;
Mpakou, Velentzas et al. 2011). For honey bee workers, investing in oogenesis when there is
no prospect of producing offspring is wasteful (Berger and da Cruz-Landim 2009). Therefore,
it is advantageous for them to abort oocyte production before vitellogenesis, which is the
most costly stage of oogenesis (Giorgi and Deri 1976; Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling
2001; Ronai, Vergoz et al. 2016). However, when the queen is absent or lost, there is clearly
a fitness advantage for workers that are able to quickly switch on oogenesis (Page and
Robinson 1994). In contrast to workers, as shown in our study, queens use a combination of
checkpoints that act at later stages of oocyte development. These later checkpoints allow
the queen to reabsorb the oocytes and therefore preserve invaluable resources if the egg
cannot be laid. Therefore, queens slow down the rate of oocyte production while continuing
to produce oocytes.
459
PCD is an important mechanism for modulating oogenesis in insects and our morphological
results support this idea by showing clear evidence of PCD in the ovarioles of caged honey
bee queens. Therefore, it was unsurprising to find direct evidence of cell death indicated by
the higher expression levels of the phagocytosis engulfment receptor gene *Draper*

(Etchegaray, Timmons et al. 2012) in caged queens. Furthermore, we have shown an
upregulation of genes from two independent PCD pathways in honey bee queens: one that
involves *Anarchy* and *Buffy*, a mitochondrial regulatory gene network associated with PCD
(Tanner, Blute et al. 2011) and another, the *Lncov1/Tudor-SN* complex, which interferes
with effector caspase activity (Hartfelder, Tiberio et al. 2018). Interestingly, the expression
pattern of *Anarchy* and *Buffy* in caged queens is not the same as in virgin queens with non-
activated ovaries (Ronai, Oldroyd et al. 2016). Rather, their expression patterns are
correlated with the suppression of oogenesis in workers exposed to the queen (Ronai,
Oldroyd et al. 2016). Interestingly, expression of *Ark*, a pro-apoptotic gene that initiates the
caspase cascade resulting in PCD (Cain, Bratton et al. 2002; Dallacqua and Bitondi 2014),
remained constant across our treatments. In virgin queens with non-activated ovaries, *Ark* is
downregulated but is not differentially expressed between sterile and laying workers
(Rodriguez, Oliver et al. 1999; Dallacqua and Bitondi 2014; Ronai, Oldroyd et al. 2016). Thus,
our results suggest that under stressful environmental conditions queens modulate their
oogenesis using the same mechanism (PCD) as workers and do not revert to a virgin-like
status.
480
A critical process for the maturation of an oocyte in insects is the accumulation of
vitellogenin, the main egg yolk protein (Raikhel and Dhadialla 1992; Tufail and Takeda 2008).
Vitellogenin is mostly synthesised in the fat body and then transferred to the oocyte via the
vitellogenin receptor (*VgR*) (Engels 1974). Therefore, it was not surprising that *Vg*
expression in the ovary was extremely low (Guidugli, Nascimento et al. 2005; Cardoso‐Jú
nior, Oldroyd et al. 2021) and did not change as a result of the treatments. However,
previous studies have used *VgR* expression levels as a proxy for the reproductive state of
honey bee workers (Guidugli-Lazzarini, do Nascimento et al. 2008; Cardoso‐Júnior,
Oldroyd et al. 2021), therefore one may expect *VgR* expression levels to decrease as a result
of the reduced oogenesis in caged queens. Our results suggest that under stressful
conditions queens potentially keep *VgR* levels constant in the ovaries in order to be able to
swiftly provide vitellogenin and restore oogenesis when the environmental conditions
improve.
494
Our results emphasise the significance of the queens' environment on her reproductive
capacity and suggest that nutrition and a normal social environment are necessary for
regular oogenesis. We observed a distinct difference in the effects of caging, between EC
and IC on oogenesis. Queens caged within the colony had healthier ovaries and oocytes
than externally caged queens, in which oogenesis was more severely disrupted. This
difference may be linked to the amount of care provided by the workers, particularly the
quantity and quality of food fed by the escort workers to the queens EC relative to that
which could be provided IC (Szabo 1974). As is standard beekeeping practise, the only
source of nutrition available to the escort workers in our external cages was 'queen candy'
(Laidlaw and Page 1997). While candy is rich in carbohydrates, it lacks fat and protein, the
latter being essential for oogenesis (Fine, Shpigler et al. 2018). Thus, one would expect the
ability of escort workers to feed the queen in their care with glandular secretions is severely
curtailed because the workers themselves are nutritionally stressed.
508
Caging queens both EC and IC influenced the expression of genes associated with the
nutrient sensitive pathways Tor and EGFR in our study. We suggest that the upregulation of

the nutrition-sensitive genes in the caged and banked queens was due to nutritional stress.
FoxO, a downstream target gene of *Tor* and *Egfr*, is also upregulated in nutritionally-stressed
honey bee workers (Wheeler, Buck et al. 2014; Cardoso‐Júnior, Oldroyd et al. 2021) and in
nutritionally-stressed solitary insects such as *Drosophila* (Jouandin, Ghiglione et al. 2014).
However, *Tor* has been shown to be downregulated in ovaries of nutritionally-stressed
Drosophila (Pritchett and McCall 2012; Smykal and Raikhel 2015) and mosquitoes (Hansen,
Attardo et al. 2005; Perez-Hedo, Rivera-Perez et al. 2014). The up-regulation of *Kr-h1*, a
proxy for juvenile hormone (JH) titres (Minakuchi, Namiki et al. 2009; Belles and Santos
2014; Lago, Humann et al. 2016) observed in the queens caged both EC and IC is consistent
with higher levels of JH seen in non-reproductive honey bee workers (Robinson, Strambi et
al. 1992) and in nutritionally stressed *Drosophila* (Terashima and Bownes 2004) Therefore,
JH possibly works as an intermediate regulator of adult ovary activation in both honey bee
castes.
524
Although more studies need to be conducted to assess the effect of nutrition quantity and
quality on honey bee queen oogenesis, our study suggests that nutrition could serve as a
cue for the suppression of oogenesis in queens. Our findings accord with behavioural
observations (Allen 1956; Pierce, Lewis et al. 2007) in which queens are fed less during
swarming. In our particular study, IC queens acquired their nutrition via trophalaxis from
colony workers through their cage. Food obtained from free-running nurse bees would be
almost certainly of higher quality to that available to the EC queens. Whilst nutrition is not
the only environmental difference between queens cages EC and IC, we suggest that food is
a major factor that contributed to the nearly-normal ovary morphology of the IC queens,
and the loss of oocytes in the EC queens. Nutrition also regulates oogenesis in solitary
insects such as *Drosophila* (Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling 2001; Gruntenko, Bownes et
al. 2003; Barth, Szabad et al. 2011).
537
Our study has practical ramifications for beekeepers. It is clear that queens caged in the
colony fare better than queens caged externally to the colony, and that keeping queens
within the colony environment should be the preferred method for queen storage. It is
suggested that the period of caging should be minimised. Likely, reformulating the candy
diet for caged queens and their escorts to include pollen as a source of protein and fat
would enhance queen welfare in the mail, and we recommend that this be investigated in a
future study.
545
In conclusion, although queens and worker honey bees share the same regulatory template,
they have diverged in how they regulate oogenesis. Reproductive division of labour
between castes is fundamental for the evolution of eusociality in the social insects. One
explanation of the creation of castes is the "reproductive ground plan hypothesis" (West-
Eberhard 1987) which suggests that the queen and worker castes evolved from a decoupling
of the reproductive and foraging stages of a solitary ancestral insect from which
contemporary eusocial bees are derived (Amdam, Norberg et al. 2004). This decoupling
resulted in the molecular, morphological and behavioural differences between the queen
and worker castes but preserved the underling pathways that regulate nutrition and
reproduction. It is proposed here that the reproductive divergence of queen and worker
honey bees is achieved through different oogenesis checkpoints but the same PCD

pathways in order to modulate oogenesis and to meet the reproductive divergence of the
two castes.
559
560

Acknowledgements. To Rhiarn Hoban for drawing the detailed ovariole structure; to
Mackenzie R. Lovegrove for her assistance in trouble shooting the fixation stages of the
ovarioles and to the members the Behaviour and Genetics of Social Insects Lab for their
assistance and advice.
Conflict of interests. We have no conflict of interests.
Data archiving. All supporting datasets for this paper are available as part of the electronic
supplementary material.
Funding. This work was funded by the Australian Research Council project DP180101696.

569
570

Figure 1
Oogenesis in honey bee queens. (A) A schematic representation of oogenesis in a mated
laying queen with the four regions: terminal filament; germarium; vitellarium; and pedicel
(nc = nurse cell, oo= oocyte, ncc = nurse cell chamber, s = sheath, dnc = dumped nurse cell,
and fc = follicle cell); B-D. Ovaries of a control queen: (B) terminal filament and early
germarium; (Bi) magnification of terminal filament and actin polyfusomes; (Bii)
magnification of ring canals; (C) early vitellarium; (Ci) magnification of follicle cells; and (D)
late vitellarium.
E-G. Ovaries of a queen that had been caged EC for four days: (E) terminal filament and
early germarium; (F) early vitellarium; and (G) late vitellarium showing actin aggregation
(arrow).
H-J. Ovaries of a queen that had been caged EC for seven days: (H) terminal filament and
early germarium; (I) early vitellarium; and (J) late vitellarium showing actin aggregation
(arrow).
K-M. Ovaries of a queen that had been caged EC for ten days: (K) terminal filament and early
germarium; (L) early vitellarium; and (M) late vitellarium showing actin aggregation (arrow).
N-P. Ovaries of a queen that had been caged IC for ten days; (N) terminal filament and early
germarium; (O) early vitellarium; and (P) late vitellarium. Ovaries were stained for nuclei
(magenta) and actin (green). Scale bars 100 μm and magnified insets 10 μm.
590
Figure 2
Dynamics of oogenesis in honey bee queens. The mean radius size (μm) of the oocytes (red
line) and nurse cell chambers (NCC) (blue line) derived from averaged data for the control,
caged EC days 4, 7 and 10 and caged IC for day 10 IC treatment groups. The difference
between the size of the paired NCC and oocyte is indicated (green line). The cell position
represents the unit number along the chain in the ovariole with the proximal oocyte at the
oviduct end designated as position 0 (see Materials and Methods S1). The amount of NCC
dumping (purple line) and tipping point (orange circle) are indicated for each group.
599
Figure 3
Change in ovary area of honey bee queens from the control, caged in-colony (IC) (4, 7 or 10
days) or caged Ex-colony (EC) (10 days) treatment groups. (A) Light microscopy image of a
representative queen ovary from each treatment group (scale bar 2 mm). (B) Mean area
(mm^2) of queen ovaries across treatment groups (n = 4 control, caged IC 10 days and caged
EC 10 days; n = 5 caged EC 4 and 7 days). Error bars are SE of the means. Different letters (a-
c) represent statistical significance ($p < 0.05$).
607
Figure 4
Oogenesis abnormalities in honey bee queen ovarioles after caging for 4, 7 and 10 days ex-
colony (EC) and 10 days in-colony (IC). (A) EC four days early vitellarium. Misshapen oocytes
(arrows) among healthy-looking nurse cell chambers; (B) EC 10 days late vitellarium. Some
oocytes are underdeveloped and misshapen (arrow). There is also brightly-stained
fragmented nucleic acid, suggesting premature programmed cell death of nurse cells but
with no chamber collapse (arrow heads). Mature oocytes undergoing degradation (asterisk);
(C) EC 7 days late vitellarium. The cytoplasm bridge is lost, disconnecting the oocyte from its
nurse chamber and the connection between the oocyte and the next NCC is deteriorated
(arrows); (D) EC 4 days late vitellarium. The nurse cell dumping (arrow head) is premature,

occurring for an insufficiently-developed oocyte immediately after the 'tipping point'
(yellow arrow). A mature oocyte is collapsing (asterisk); (E) EC 7 days early and late
vitellarium. The nurse cell dumping (arrow heads) is premature, occurring immediately after
the tipping point (yellow arrow). Mature oocytes are undergoing degradation (asterisk); (F)
EC 10 days vitellarium. Premature nurse cell dumping (arrow head) is occurring immediately
after the tipping point (yellow arrow) or no nurse cell dumping at all (arrows). The most
developed oocytes are degrading (asterisk); (G) EC 4 days late vitellarium. Programmed cell
death of the nurse cells has occurred, but the nurse cell chamber has not collapsed (arrow).
A mature oocyte is collapsing (asterisk); (H) EC 7 days late vitellarium. The oocyte has
disconnected from its surrounding follicle cells (arrow) indicating the beginning of its
degradation. Brightly-stained fragmented nucleic acid are being transferred to the oocyte
following NCC dumping (arrow head); (I) EC 10 days late vitellarium. Structural collapse of
an oocyte (arrow) with premature NCC dumping occurring (arrow head) immediately after
the tipping point (yellow arrow). The most mature oocyte is completely degraded (asterisk);
(J) EC 10 days late vitellarium. The bright white dots in the mature oocyte are a sign of
programmed cell death (arrow) and the most mature oocyte has completely collapsed
(asterisk); (K) IC 10 days early vitellarium. The connection between the oocyte and its
preceding NCC is deteriorating (arrows). oo = oocyte; NCC = nurse cell chamber. Ovarioles
have been stained for nucleic acid (DAPI). Scale bars 100µm.
637
638 Figure 5
639 The oogenesis checkpoints in insects. (A) position of each checkpoint along an ovariole; (B)
640 checkpoints used by the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) mated queen, virgin queen, worker;
641 solitary insect the fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*); and another social insect the
642 parasitoid wasp (*Nasonia vitripennis*).
643
644 Figure S1
645 Number of visible oocytes along an ovariole of honey gee queens from the control, caged in-
646 colony (IC) (4, 7 or 10 days) or caged Ex-colony (EC) (10 days) treatment groups. n = 4
647 control, caged IC 10 days and caged EC 10 days; n = 5 caged EC 4 and 7 days. Error bars are
648 SE of the means.
649
Figure S2
Spherical radius (R_{eq}) (µm) of oocytes and NCC of honey bee queens from the control, caged
in-colony (IC) (4, 7 or 10 days) or caged Ex-colony (EC) (10 days) treatment groups. (A)
maximum oocyte radius; (B) maximum nurse cell chamber radius; (C) minimum oocyte
radius; (D) minimum nurse chamber radius. Sample size see Figure S1. Error bars are SE of
the means. Bars with a different letter are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).
656
657 Figure S3
658 Position of the oocyte in the ovariole when the tipping point occurs. Honey bee queens
659 from the control, caged in-colony (IC) (4, 7 or 10 days) or caged Ex-colony (EC) (10 days)
660 treatment groups. Sample size see Figure S1. Error bars are SE of the means. Bars with a
661 different letter are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).
662
663 Figure S4

664   Extent of nurse cell dumping in honey bee queens from the control, caged in-colony (IC) (4,
665   7 or 10 days) or caged Ex-colony (EC) (10 days) treatment groups. Sample size see Figure S1.
666   Error bars are SE of the means. Bars with a different letter are significantly different ($p <$
667   0.05).
668
Figure S5
Gene expression in the ovaries of honey bee queens from the control, caged in-colony (IC)
(4, 7 or 10 days) or caged Ex-colony (EC) (10 days) treatment groups. Genes related to
program cell death (A) *Anarchy* (B) *Lncov-1* (C) *Tudor* (D) *Buffy* (E) *Draper* and (F) *Ark*. Genes
related to nutrition (G) *Kr-h1*; (H) *Egfr*; (I) *Tor* and (J) *FoxO*. Genes related to vitellogenesis (K)
Vg and (L) *VgR*. Sample size see Figure S1. Boxplot whiskers represent minimum and
maximum values, the box is defined by 25th percentile, median and 75th percentile. Bars
with a different letter are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).
677
678

679   **References**
680
Allen M.D. (1956) The behaviour of honeybees preparing to swarm. The British Journal of
Animal Behaviour **4**(1): 14-22.
Ambrose J.T. (1976) Swarms in transit. Bee World **57**(3): 101-109.
Amdam G.V., K. Norberg, M.K. Fondrk, R.E. Page. (2004) Reproductive ground plan may
mediate colony-level selection effects on individual foraging behavior in honey bees.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **101**(31): 11350-11355.
Avitabile A. (1978) Brood rearing in honeybee colonies from late autumn to early spring. J
Apic Res **17**(2): 69-73.
Barth J., J. Szabad, E. Hafen, K. Köhler. (2011) Autophagy in *Drosophila* ovaries is induced by
starvation and is required for oogenesis. Cell Death Differ. **18**(6): 915-924.
Belles X., C.G. Santos. (2014) The MEKRE93 (Methoprene tolerant-Krüppel homolog 1-E93)
pathway in the regulation of insect metamorphosis, and the homology of the pupal
stage. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. **52**: 60-68.
Berger B., F.C. Abdalla. (2005) Programmed cell death during ovarian differentiation in
queens of *Apis mellifera* linne, 1758 (Hymenoptera, Apini). Braz. J. morphol. Sci **22**(1):
1-4.
Berger B., C. da Cruz-Landim. (2009) Ultrastructural analysis of the effect of mating delay on
cell death in the ovaries of virgin honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) queens. J Apic Res
48(1): 60-66.
Brito R., M. McHale, B. Oldroyd. (2010) Expression of genes related to reproduction and
pollen foraging in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) narcotized with carbon dioxide. Insect
Mol. Biol. **19**(4): 451-461.
Büning J. (1994) The insect ovary: ultrastructure, previtellogenic growth and evolution, in:
Chapman and Hall (Eds.), Tissue and Cell, Elsevier Ltd, New York.
Butler C. (1957) The control of ovary development in worker honeybees (*Apis mellifera*).
Experientia **13**(6): 256-257.
Butler C., E.M. Fairey. (1963) The role of the queen in preventing oogenesis in worker
honeybees. J Apic Res **2**(1): 14-18.
Butler C.G., R.K. Callow, N.C. Johnston. (1961) The isolation and synthesis of queen
substance, 9-oxodec-trans-2-enoic acid, a honeybee pheromone. Proc. Royal Soc. B
155(960): 417-432.
Cain K., S.B. Bratton, G.M. Cohen. (2002) The Apaf-1 apoptosome: a large caspase-activating
complex. Biochimie **84**(2-3): 203-214.
Cardoso‐Júnior C.A.M., B.P. Oldroyd, I. Ronai. (2021) Vitellogenin expression in the ovaries
of adult honeybee workers provides insights into the evolution of reproductive and
social traits. Insect Mol. Biol.
Cavaliere V., C. Taddei, G. Gargiulo. (1998) Apoptosis of nurse cells at the late stages of
oogenesis of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Dev Gen Evol **208**(2): 106-112.
Cruz-Landim C., K. Patrício, W.F. Antonialli Jr. (2006) Cell death and ovarian development in
highly eusocial bees (Hymenoptera, Apidae): caste differentiation and worker egg
laying. Braz. J. Morphol. Sci **23**: 27-42.
Dade H.A. (1977) Anatomy and dissection of the honeybee. International Bee Research
Association, London.

Dallacqua R.P., M.M.G. Bitondi. (2014) Dimorphic ovary differentiation in honeybee (*Apis*
mellifera) larvae involves caste-specific expression of homologs of Ark and Buffy cell
death genes. PloS one **9**(5): e98088.

Dolezal A.G., K.B. Flores, K.S. Traynor, G.V. Amdam. (2013) The evolution and development
of eusocial insect behavior, in: Streelman J.T. (Ed.), Advances in Evolutionary
Developmental Biology, Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, New Jersey, pp. 37-57.

Drummond-Barbosa D., A.C. Spradling. (2001) Stem cells and their progeny respond to
nutritional changes during *Drosophila* oogenesis. Dev. Biol. **231**(1): 265-278.

Duncan E.J., O. Hyink, P.K. Dearden. (2016) Notch signalling mediates reproductive
constraint in the adult worker honeybee. Nat. Commun **7**: 12427.

Engels W. (1974) Occurrence and significance of vitellogenins in female castes of social
Hymenoptera. Am. Zool. **14**(4): 1229-1237.

Etchegaray J.I., A.K. Timmons, A.P. Klein, T.L. Pritchett, E. Welch, et al. (2012) Draper acts
through the JNK pathway to control synchronous engulfment of dying germline cells
by follicular epithelial cells. Development **139**(21): 4029-4039.

Fine J.D., H.Y. Shpigler, A.M. Ray, N.J. Beach, A.L. Sankey, et al. (2018) Quantifying the
effects of pollen nutrition on honey bee queen egg laying with a new laboratory
system. PloS one **13**(9).

Giorgi F., P. Deri. (1976) Cell death in ovarian chambers of *Drosophila melanogaster*.
Development **35**(3): 521-533.

Grozinger C.M., J. Richards, H.R. Mattila. (2014) From molecules to societies: mechanisms
regulating swarming behavior in honey bees (*Apis* spp.). Apidologie **45**(3): 327-346.

Gruntenko N., M. Bownes, J. Terashima, M.Z. Sukhanova, I.Y. Raushenbach. (2003) Heat
stress affects oogenesis differently in wild‐type *Drosophila virilis* and a mutant with
altered juvenile hormone and 20‐hydroxyecdysone levels. Insect Mol. Biol. **12**(4):
393-404.

Guidugli-Lazzarini K.R., A.M. do Nascimento, E.D. Tanaka, M.D. Piulachs, K. Hartfelder, et al.
(2008) Expression analysis of putative vitellogenin and lipophorin receptors in honey
bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) queens and workers. J. Insect Physiol. **54**(7): 1138-1147.

Guidugli K.R., A.M. Nascimento, G.V. Amdam, A.R. Barchuk, S. Omholt, et al. (2005)
Vitellogenin regulates hormonal dynamics in the worker caste of a eusocial insect.
FEBS letters **579**(22): 4961-4965.

Gutzeit H., D. Zissler, R. Fleig. (1993) Oogenesis in the honeybee *Apis mellifera*: cytological
observations on the formation and differentiation of previtellogenic ovarian follicles.
Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol. **202**(3): 181-191.

Hansen I.A., G.M. Attardo, S.G. Roy, A.S. Raikhel. (2005) Target of rapamycin-dependent
activation of S6 kinase is a central step in the transduction of nutritional signals
during egg development in a mosquito. J. Biol. Chem. **280**(21): 20565-20572.

Hartfelder K., G.J. Tiberio, D.C. Lago, R.P. Dallacqua, M.M.G. Bitondi. (2018) The ovary and
its genes—developmental processes underlying the establishment and function of a
highly divergent reproductive system in the female castes of the honey bee, *Apis*
mellifera. Apidologie **49**(1): 49-70.

Hodin J. (2009) She shapes events as they come: plasticity in female insect reproduction, in:
Whitmen W.D. (Ed.), Phenotypic plasticity of insects: mechanisms and consequences,
Science Publishers, Enfield, NH, USA, pp. 423-521.

Hoover S.E., C.I. Keeling, M.L. Winston, K.N. Slessor. (2003) The effect of queen pheromones
on worker honey bee ovary development. Naturwissenschaften **90**(10): 477-480.

Hopkins C., P. King. (1964) Egg resorption in *Nasonia vitripennis* (Walker)(Hymenoptera:
Pteromalidae), Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London. Series A,
General Entomology, Wiley Online Library, pp. 101-107.

Hunt J.H., G.V. Amdam. (2005) Bivoltinism as an antecedent to eusociality in the paper wasp
genus Polistes. Science **308**(5719): 264-267.

Jenkins V.K., A.K. Timmons, K. McCall. (2013) Diversity of cell death pathways: insight from
the fly ovary. Trends Cell Biol. **23**(11): 567-574.

Jouandin P., C. Ghiglione, S. Noselli. (2014) Starvation induces FoxO-dependent mitotic-to-
endocycle switch pausing during *Drosophila* oogenesis. Development **141**(15): 3013-
3021.

King R.C. (1970) Ovarian development in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Academic Press, New
York.

Lago D.C., F.C. Humann, A.R. Barchuk, K.J. Abraham, K. Hartfelder. (2016) Differential gene
expression underlying ovarian phenotype determination in honey bee, *Apis mellifera*
L., caste development. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. **79**: 1-12.

Laidlaw H.H., R.E. Page. (1997) Queen rearing and bee breeding. Wicwas Press, Cheshire, CT.

Le Conte Y., A. Mohammedi, G.E. Robinson. (2001) Primer effects of a brood pheromone on
honeybee behavioural development. Proc. Royal Soc. B **268**(1463): 163-168.

Lourenço A.P., A. Mackert, A. dos Santos Cristino, Z.L.P. Simões. (2008) Validation of
reference genes for gene expression studies in the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*, by
quantitative real-time RT-PCR. Apidologie **39**(3): 372-385.

Malka O., S. Shnieor, A. Hefetz, T. Katzav-Gozansky. (2007) Reversible royalty in worker
honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) under the queen influence. Behav Ecol Sociobiol **61**(3):
465-473.

Mattila H.R., G.W. Otis. (2007) Dwindling pollen resources trigger the transition to broodless
populations of long‐lived honeybees each autumn. Ecological Entomology **32**(5):
496-505.

Minakuchi C., T. Namiki, T. Shinoda. (2009) Krüppel homolog 1, an early juvenile hormone-
response gene downstream of Methoprene-tolerant, mediates its anti-metamorphic
action in the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum*. Dev. Biol. **325**(2): 341-350.

Mpakou V.E., A.D. Velentzas, P.D. Velentzas, L.H. Margaritis, D.J. Stravopodis, et al. (2011)
Programmed cell death of the ovarian nurse cells during oogenesis of the ladybird
beetle *Adalia bipunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Dev. Growth Differ. **53**(6): 804-
815.

Oster G.F., E.O. Wilson. (1978) Caste and ecology in the social insects. Princeton University
Press, Princeton

Page R., E. Erickson. (1988) Reproduction by worker honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.). Behav
Ecol Sociobiol **23**(2): 117-126.

Page R.E., G.E. Robinson. (1994) Reproductive competition in queenless honey bee colonies
(*Apis mellifera* L.). Behav Ecol Sociobiol **35**(2): 99-107.

Perez-Hedo M., C. Rivera-Perez, F.G. Noriega. (2014) Starvation increases insulin sensitivity
and reduces juvenile hormone synthesis in mosquitoes. PLoS One **9**(1): e86183.

Pfaffl M.W., A. Tichopad, C. Prgomet, T.P. Neuvians. (2004) Determination of stable
housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity:
BestKeeper–Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. Biotechnology letters
26(6): 509-515.

Pierce A.L., L.A. Lewis, S.S. Schneider. (2007) The use of the vibration signal and worker
818   piping to influence queen behavior during swarming in honey bees, *Apis mellifera*.
819   Ethology **113**(3): 267-275.
820   Pritchett T., K. McCall. (2012) Role of the insulin/Tor signaling network in starvation-induced
821   programmed cell death in *Drosophila* oogenesis. Cell Death Differ. **19**(6): 1069.
822   Raikhel A.S., T. Dhadialla. (1992) Accumulation of yolk proteins in insect oocytes. Annu Rev
823   Entomol **37**(1): 217-251.
824   Ratnieks F.L. (1993) Egg-laying, egg-removal, and ovary development by workers in
825   queenright honey bee colonies. Behav Ecol Sociobiol **32**(3): 191-198.
826   Reim T., M. Thamm, D. Rolke, W. Blenau, R. Scheiner. (2013) Suitability of three common
827   reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR in honey bees. Apidologie **44**(3): 342-
828   350.
829   Rhodes J.W., D.C. Somerville, S. Harden. (2004) Queen honey bee introduction and early
830   survival—effects of queen age at introduction. Apidologie **35**(4): 383-388.
831   Robinson G.E., C. Strambi, A. Strambi, Z.-Y. Huang. (1992) Reproduction in worker honey
832   bees is associated with low juvenile hormone titers and rates of biosynthesis. Gen.
833   Comp. Endocrinol. **87**(3): 471-480.
834   Rodriguez A., H. Oliver, H. Zou, P. Chen, X. Wang, et al. (1999) Dark is a *Drosophila*
835   homologue of Apaf-1/CED-4 and functions in an evolutionarily conserved death
836   pathway. Nature cell biology **1**(5): 272.
837   Ronai I., M.H. Allsopp, K. Tan, S. Dong, X. Liu, et al. (2017) The dynamic association between
838   ovariole loss and sterility in adult honeybee workers. Proc. R. Soc. B **284**(1851):
839   20162693.
840   Ronai I., D.A. Barton, B.P. Oldroyd, V. Vergoz. (2015) Regulation of oogenesis in honey bee
841   workers via programed cell death. J. Insect Physiol. **81**: 36-41.
842   Ronai I., B. Oldroyd, V. Vergoz. (2016) Queen pheromone regulates programmed cell death
843   in the honey bee worker ovary. Insect Mol. Biol. **25**(5): 646-652.
844   Ronai I., B.P. Oldroyd, D.A. Barton, G. Cabanes, J. Lim, et al. (2016) Anarchy is a molecular
845   signature of worker sterility in the honey bee. Mol. Biol. Evol. **33**(1): 134-142.
846   Ronai I., V. Vergoz, B. Oldroyd. (2016) The mechanistic, genetic, and evolutionary basis of
847   worker sterility in the social hymenoptera. Advances in the Study of Behavior **48**:
848   251-317.
849   Rozen J. (1986) Survey of the number of ovarioles in various taxa of bees (Hymenoptera:
850   Apoidea). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington (USA) **88**: 707-
851   710.
852   Seeley T. (1985) Honey bee ecology Princeton University Press, Princeton.
853   Seeley T.D., R.D. Fell. (1981) Queen substance production in honey bee (*Apis mellifera*)
854   colonies preparing to swarm (Hymenoptera: Apidae). J. Kans. Entomol. Soc.: 192-196.
855   Shehata S., G. Townsend, R. Shuel. (1981) Seasonal physiological changes in queen and
856   worker honeybees. J Apic Res **20**(2): 69-78.
857   Simpson J. (1959) Variation in the incidence of swarming among colonies of *Apis mellifera*
858   throughout the summer. Ins Soc **6**(1): 85-99.
859   Smykal V., A.S. Raikhel. (2015) Nutritional control of insect reproduction. Curr. Opin. Insect.
860   Sci. **11**: 31-38.
Snodgrass R.E. (1956) Anatomy of the honey bee. Cornell University Press.

Spradling A. (1993) Developmental genetics of oogenesis, in: Bate M. and Arias A.M. (Eds.),
The development of *Drosophila melanogaster*, Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, New
York, pp. 1–70.
Szabo T. (1974) Behavioural studies on queen introduction in the honeybee II. effect of the
age of workers (from a colony with a laying queen) on their behaviour towards an
introduced virgin queen. J Apic Res **13**(2): 127-135.
Tanaka E., I. Schmidt-Capella, K. Hartfelder. (2006) Cell death in the germline-mechanisms
and consequences for reproductive plasticity in social bees. Braz. j. morphol. sci.
23(1).
Tanaka E.D., K. Hartfelder. (2004) The initial stages of oogenesis and their relation to
differential fertility in the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) castes. Arthropod Struct Dev
33(4): 431-442.
Tanner E.A., T.A. Blute, C.B. Brachmann, K. McCall. (2011) Bcl-2 proteins and autophagy
regulate mitochondrial dynamics during programmed cell death in the *Drosophila*
ovary. Development **138**(2): 327-338.
Terashima J., M. Bownes. (2004) Translating available food into the number of eggs laid by
Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics **167**(4): 1711-1719.
Toth A.L., K. Varala, T.C. Newman, F.E. Miguez, S.K. Hutchison, et al. (2007) Wasp gene
expression supports an evolutionary link between maternal behavior and eusociality.
Science **318**(5849): 441-444.
Traynor K.S., Y. Le Conte, R.E. Page. (2014) Queen and young larval pheromones impact
nursing and reproductive physiology of honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) workers. Behav
Ecol Sociobiol **68**(12): 2059-2073.
Tufail M., M. Takeda. (2008) Molecular characteristics of insect vitellogenins. J. Insect
Physiol. **54**(12): 1447-1458.
Uchida K., M. Nishizuka, D. Ohmori, T. Ueno, Y. Eshita, et al. (2004) Follicular epithelial cell
apoptosis of atretic follicles within developing ovaries of the mosquito *Culex pipiens*
pallens. J. Insect Physiol. **50**(10): 903-912.
Velentzas A.D., I.P. Nezis, D.J. Stravopodis, I.S. Papassideri, L.H. Margaritis. (2003) Stage-
specific regulation of programmed cell death during oogenesis of the medfly
Ceratitis capitata (Diptera, Tephritidae). Int. J. Dev. Biol. **51**(1): 57-66.
Visscher P.K. (1989) A quantitative study of worker reproduction in honey bee colonies.
Behav Ecol Sociobiol **25**(4): 247-254.
West-Eberhard M.J. (1987) Flexible strategy and social evolution. Animal societies. Theories
and facts.
Wheeler D., N. Buck, J. Evans. (2014) Expression of insulin/insulin‐like signalling and TOR
pathway genes in honey bee caste determination. Insect Mol. Biol. **23**(1): 113-121.
Winston M.L. (1987) The biology of the honey bee. Harvard university press, Cambridge MA.
Woyciechowski M., K. Kuszewska. (2012) Swarming generates rebel workers in honeybees.
Curr. Biol. **22**(8): 707-711.

A

TF | Early | Mid | Late
Germarium

Early | Late
Vitellarium

NCC

OO

B

	1 Stem cells	2 Early germarium	3 Late germarium	4 Early vitellarium	5 Late vitellarium
Honey bee mated queen	✓		X	✓	✓
Honey bee virgin queen	✓	✓	✓	X	X
Honey bee worker	✓	✓	✓	X	X
Fruit fly	✓	✓	✓	X	X
Wasp	?	?	?	?	✓