Movement patterns and activity levels are shaped by the neonatal environment

in Antarctic fur seal pups

Rebecca Nagel[1,*], Sina Mews[2], Timo Adam[2,3], Claire Stainfield[4], Cameron Fox-Clarke[4],

Camille Toscani[4], Roland Langrock[2], Jaume Forcada[4,†], Joseph I. Hoffman[1,4,†]

1. Department of Animal Behaviour, Bielefeld University, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany

2. Department of Business Administration and Economics, Bielefeld University, 33501

Bielefeld, Germany

3. Centre for Research into Ecological and Environmental Modelling, School of

Mathematics and Statistics, The Observatory, Buchanan Gardens, University of St

Andrews, KY16 9LZ, UK

4. British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 OET, UK

† Joint senior authors

* Corresponding author: Rebecca Nagel, rebecca.nagel@uni-bielefeld.de, Department of

Animal Behaviour, Bielefeld University, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany

**Abstract**

Tracking studies of juveniles are rare compared to those of adults, and consequently little is

known about the influence of intrinsic and extrinsic factors on activity during this critical life

stage. We used hourly GPS data, collected from 66 Antarctic fur seal pups from birth until

moulting, to investigate the explanatory power of multiple individual-based and

environmental variables on activity levels. Pups were sampled from two nearby breeding

colonies of contrasting density during two subsequent years, and a two-state hidden Markov

model was used to identify modalities in their movement behaviour, specifically 'active' and

'inactive' states. We found that movement was typified by central place exploration, with

active movement away from and subsequent return to a location of inactivity. The probability

of such directed exploration was unaffected by several factors known to influence marine

mammal movement including sex, body condition, and temperature. Compared to pups born

at the high-density colony, pups at low-density were more active, increased their activity with

age, and transitioned earlier into the tussock grass, which offers protection from predators and

extreme weather. Our study illustrates the importance of extrinsic factors, such as colony of

birth, to early-life activity patterns and highlights the adaptive potential of movement.

**Keywords**

Activity, animal movement, fur seal, GPS, hidden Markov model (HMM), pinniped

## Introduction

Movement is a defining characteristic of life that underpins critical components of behaviour[1] and fitness[2]. Understanding how animals alter their movement in response to external and internal stimuli is, therefore, fundamental to the management and conservation of wild populations[1,3]. Rapid advancements in bio-logging technology and the increased accessibility of statistical tools for drawing meaningful inference from fine-scaled observations have generated unprecedented insights into the movement of a variety of species in their natural habitats[4,5]. Marine vertebrates in particular have benefited from such improved methodologies, exemplified by a recent analysis of more than 2,600 tracked individuals documenting extraordinary convergence in movement patterns across 50 species[6].

Despite these recent advancements in marine tracking research, several authors have drawn attention to age and sex biases in the literature, with datasets of adult females being particularly over-represented[3,7]. This focus on adults is problematic because the movement and distribution of neonatal and juvenile individuals is of key importance for understanding population dynamics. For example, long-term monitoring of three albatross species has shown that high rates of juvenile mortality due to interactions with fisheries are likely driving observed declines in population size[8]. Similarly, juvenile and adult loggerhead sea turtles occupy distinct oceanic environments, making young turtles more susceptible to bycatch on pelagic longlines[9].

In addition to informing conservation efforts, studies of the movement of young individuals can also provide valuable insights into the ontogeny of social and survival skills. A tracking study of European shags, for example, has shown that higher rates of juvenile mortality correlate with poor foraging proficiency[10], highlighting the importance of learning and memory for successful recruitment[11]. Studies of several different pinniped species, including Antarctic fur seals[12], New Zealand sea lions[13], Steller sea lions[14], northern fur seals[15], and grey seals[16] also suggest that habitat choice as adults may be primarily driven by intrinsic factors early in life rather than size-related differences as adults.

These and other studies represent an important step forward in the field of movement ecology because they help to close the gap between adult movement and the 'lost years' of juveniles[3]. However, with few exceptions, studies of juveniles have focused on the period after nutritional independence. While this can be justified for many birds and other species where neonates are more or less stationary until weaned, in many other species movement behaviour and social interactions among conspecifics earlier in life play a key role in development. For example, play behaviour in pre-weaned Stellar sea lions and Galápagos fur

seals facilitates the development of muscle mass and hones fighting skills that are important

for future foraging and reproductive success[17,18].

Antarctic fur seals (*Arctocephalus gazella*) are another pinniped species where

neonatal movement may play an important role in early development. Newborn pups are

regularly left unattended in dense rookeries while their mothers, who are central place

foragers, intersperse short periods of nursing on land with longer foraging trips at sea[19].

Without their mothers to defend them, pups are at an increased risk of predation from

predatory birds[20] and traumatic injury due to crushing by territorial males[21,22]. Furthermore,

the natal coat of pups lacks the water-repellent properties of adult fur[23], which prevents them

from spending prolonged time at sea. Consequently, pups can only begin to develop the

efficient swimming and diving behaviour necessary for nutritional independence after they

moult at around 60 days of age[24,25]. Anecdotal observations of pups venturing into shallow

pools and streams shortly after birth[24] and the emergence of sex-specific differences in habitat

use later in life[26] provide some insights into pup activity, but we still know surprisingly little

about the intrinsic and extrinsic factors that influence pup movement prior to moulting.

Here, we analysed hourly GPS data from 66 Antarctic fur seal pups tracked from birth

until moulting (Fig. 1) using a hidden Markov model. Focal individuals were selected at

random from two breeding colonies on Bird Island, South Georgia, the Special Study Beach

(SSB) and Freshwater Beach (FWB), which are separated by around 200 meters (Fig. 2a).

Because of their close proximity, these colonies experience comparable climatic conditions

and breeding females from both locations likely forage in the same area[27], which is reflected

by the fact that females do not differ significantly in quality traits such as body size or

condition[28]. Both colonies also offer comparable cobblestone substrate habitats backed by

tussock grass, which covers most of the island's interior and offers protection from predators

and extreme weather. Despite these similarities, the density of animals is higher at SSB than

at FWB[28], which creates a different dynamic at the two colonies. First, tightly packed males at

SSB have little freedom to move, which results in a more static system[29], and second, because

avian predators can more readily penetrate low density breeding aggregations, there is a

greater likelihood of pups being predated on FWB[28]. The two colonies also differ in their

overall area and topology. SSB is somewhat smaller and is relatively 'closed' as a steep gully

separates the beach from the tussock grass immediately inland. By contrast, FWB is larger

and has flatter terrain making it more 'open'.

We used these two colonies as a 'natural experiment' to investigate the influence of

the early neonatal environment on the movement of fur seal pups, as well as to evaluate the

relative importance of intrinsic (e.g. sex, age, and body condition) versus extrinsic (e.g.

colony) factors. Furthermore, as the number of breeding individuals, foraging trip durations,

and pup birth weights vary appreciably from year to year depending on local food

abundance[30], we replicated our study across two consecutive breeding seasons of contrasting

food availability[28]. We hypothesised that (i) intrinsic and extrinsic factors shown in previous

studies to influence movement in other pinnipeds, including sex[31–33], body condition[34], and

ambient temperature[35,36], affect the movement of Antarctic fur seal neonates, (ii) activity

levels and movement patterns are influenced by density, with FWB pups being more active to

avoid predation, and (iii) levels of pup activity increase with age, as there is likely strong

selection for the early development of motor skills and lean muscle mass.

**Results**

Hourly GPS data were successfully collected from a total of 66 Antarctic fur seal pups from two nearby breeding colonies of contrasting density (Fig. 2a). Deployment durations ranged from 20 to 80 days (median = 51 days) for the 53 surviving pups and from 4 to 41 days (median = 15 days) for the 13 pups that died. On average, pups travelled 43.9 meters per hour. In general, pup movement showed a star-like pattern characterised by directed exploration within a relatively small area around a central location of low activity (Fig. 2b). However, the spatial distribution of these 'home patches' varied by colony. Pups born at FWB remained significantly closer to their natal colony (Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p = 0.002$), traveling on average 87.6 meters into the tussock grass immediately inland. By contrast, pups born at SSB moved an average of 205.0 meters from their natal colony in a wider variety of directions (Fig. 2c). For a map of the entire island showing all recorded positions, see Supplementary Fig. S1. For representative examples of individual GPS tracks, including one pup from each breeding colony and year, see Supplementary Fig. S2.

*Hidden Markov model: Activity patterns of fur seal pups*

The two states of the HMM were clearly discriminated from each other. State 1 captured smaller step lengths (mean step length = 22.5 meters) corresponding to less active movement and hereafter referred to as the 'inactive state'. State 2 was characterised by more active behaviour covering longer distances (mean step length = 75.8 meters) and hereafter referred to as the 'active state'. Minimal differences between the marginal distribution under the fitted model and the empirical distribution as well as the analysis of pseudo-residuals suggest that our model provides a good fit to the data (see Supplementary Fig. S3 for more details). Based on the Viterbi-decoded state sequences, pups spent on average 65.2% (range = 45.7 – 97.3%) of their time in the inactive state and 34.8% (2.7 – 54.3%) of their time in the active state. The pups' decoded movement patterns are summarised in Fig. 2b.

Differences in AIC values (delta AIC) between the full model and HMMs sequentially excluding each variable and its interaction term with colony are shown in Table 1. We considered variables to be relevant for modelling pup activity levels if their delta AIC values were considerably larger than zero (≥ 40). Based on this criterion, air temperature, wind speed, sex, and body condition had little to no influence on activity levels. By contrast, the covariates year, time of day, and age were determined to have the strongest effects on pup activity levels via both main effects and interactions with colony (Table 1). Pups tended to be slightly more active in the first year of the study, particularly at FWB where the probability of

occupying the active state fell from 43.8% in 2019 to 34.0% in 2020. Activity levels also

showed a clear diurnal pattern, peaking in the middle of the day in both colonies (Fig. 3a and

b). Finally, marked differences were observed in colony-specific developmental trajectories,

with animals from FWB exhibiting an almost linear increase in activity with age (Fig. 3c),

whereas activity levels at SSB remained more or less constant throughout ontogeny (Fig. 3d).

FWB pups also tended to venture inland into the tussock grass earlier than pups from SSB and

spent proportionally more time in the tussock grass than in their colony of birth (Fig. 3e and

f).

*Post-hoc analyses*

To test additional hypotheses that could not be addressed in the HMM, we performed

*post-hoc* logistic regression analyses to evaluate whether the presence of the mother ashore

and the fate of the pup (died or survived until the end of the study) explained a significant

proportion of the variation in pup activity levels ('states'). Pups were significantly more

active (8%) when their mothers were absent from Bird Island on foraging trips compared to

when their mothers were present (Fig. 4a; GLMM, estimate = 0.09, *s.e.* = 0.04, *p*-value =

0.03). Pups that survived were also significantly more active (52%) than pups that died

(GLMM, estimate = 0.758, *s.e.* = 0.19, *p*-value < 0.001) (Fig. 4b).

**Discussion**

We collected and analysed hourly GPS data from Antarctic fur seal pups tracked from birth until moulting in order to investigate the drivers of movement patterns and activity levels during this critical life stage. We found that pup movement was characterised by a star-like pattern, with individuals repeatedly returning to a central location of low activity after bouts of directed exploration. The HMM showed that the probability of such active movement was highest during the day and was mainly influenced by breeding season, colony of birth, and age. Our findings provide new insights into the movement patterns of pinnipeds prior to nutritional independence and highlight the importance of the life-history stage and the neonatal environment on behaviour.

*Movement in fur seal pups*

A recent study of movement in Antarctic fur seal pups found evidence for sex-specific differences in habitat use prior to nutritional independence and the onset of overt sexual size dimorphism. Specifically, male pups were found to exhibit more risk prone behaviour with increasing age, traveling further at sea and spending more time in exposed beach habitats[26]. While this study highlighted the importance of an intrinsic factor, sex, on neonatal movement, the contributions of other factors, both extrinsic and intrinsic, remained largely unexplored. Our HMM incorporated multiple environmental and individual-based variables including the time of day, air temperature, wind speed, age, body condition, and sex. Rather than focusing on animals from a single colony, our study used tracking data from two breeding colonies with contrasting population density and terrain, while replication across two consecutive breeding seasons also allowed us to account for inter-annual variation in food availability.

Our hourly GPS data revealed a distinct pattern of terrestrial pup movement that has not been reported in previous studies. Specifically, we documented a star-like pattern characterised by directed movement away from and subsequent return to a location of low activity. This appears to be a genuine behavioural pattern rather than an artefact of our temporal scale of sampling, as GPS locations collected every five minutes from a subset of individuals revealed a very similar picture (Supplementary Fig. S4). Star-like movement patterns are typified by central place foragers, which make round trips between a central location and a foraging patch[37]. However, as fur seal pups are entirely reliant on their mothers for food until they moult, repeated movements away from a central location are unlikely to be related to resource acquisition. Instead, our results appear to be indicative of bouts of

exploration within a defined radius around a suckling location, which would imply that
Antarctic fur seal pups are 'central place explorers'.

We would expect central place exploration in fur seal pups to be adaptive given that it
entails energetic costs[37] and might even make it more difficult for pups to be located by their
mothers when they return from foraging trips[38]. We can envisage a number of possible
explanations for this behaviour. First, high levels of activity may facilitate the development of
the muscle mass necessary for future foraging success. Second, movement both within and
beyond the confines of the beach where a pup is born may increase the scope for social
interactions, which might be important for future mating success[18]. Third, a transition from
beach habitats to the tussock grass offers increased protection against harsh weather
conditions and may reduce the risk of a pup either being crushed by a territorial male or
predated.

While all tracked individuals displayed this pattern of central place exploration, the
distance travelled during bouts of exploration differed depending on the colony of birth. On
average, pups born at FWB travelled shorter distances and stayed closer to their colony of
birth, whereas SSB pups moved farther and in a larger variety of directions. Given that SSB
pups transition into the tussock grass later in life (see discussion below), one explanation for
this difference may be that mother-pup pairs need to travel further inland to find an
appropriate, unoccupied suckling location.
220

*Results of the HMM*
We used an HMM framework to infer the discrete behavioural states underlying pup
movement and the probability of switching between these states given certain environmental
and individual covariates. This integrated approach to decompose behavioural patterns has
been applied across a wide range of species and habitats and has emerged as a powerful tool
for animal movement modelling[5]. Our model uncovered a strong influence of year, with the
probability of occupying the active state being higher in the first breeding season (2019),
particularly for FWB pups. One possible explanation for this pattern could be that inter-
annual differences in movement are adaptive. The first breeding season in our study had
among the lowest breeding female numbers, pup birth weights, and foraging trip durations on
record[28], reflecting poor environmental conditions and low prey abundance[39]. In 2019, local
densities at both colonies were correspondingly below average[28]. Given a greater risk of
predation at low density[28], pups might increase their activity to avoid harassment, pecking
injuries, and predation by generalist seabirds such as southern and northern giant petrels[40].

Alternatively, higher levels of activity could be a consequence of increased stress hormone

levels. As mothers spent more time at sea foraging in 2019[28], pups were subjected to longer

periods of food deprivation. Such extended bouts of starvation in pinniped pups have been

associated with an increase in glucocorticoids[41], which are known to increase activity levels in

some species[42]. Understanding the interrelationships among prolonged fasting,

glucocorticoids levels, and activity in fur seal pups may help to shed further light on

individual responses to environmental challenges.

Another clear extrinsic determinant was the time of day, with activity levels peaking

just before solar noon. This observation is in line with a previous study of Galápagos fur seal

pups[18], but otherwise very little is known in general about diurnal patterns of activity among

fur seals while ashore. Daily meter-resolution location data from densely packed territory

holding Antarctic fur seal males reveal negligible movements from day to day[29], implying that

these animals remain more or less stationary. By contrast, telemetry studies of adult females

have reported associations between nocturnal foraging behaviour and diel variation in the

time of arrival and departure from the breeding colony[43]. Consequently, it is unclear to what

extent our results can be extrapolated across the life history, especially given the likelihood of

an ontogenetic shift in times of peak activity as pups transition from being reliant on milk to

nutritional independence.

The HMM also revealed an increase in pup activity with age, but only for pups born at

FWB. One explanation for this colony-specific difference could be that FWB pups are able to

express their full behavioural repertoire throughout the course of ontogeny, becoming more

active as they grow, whereas pups born on SSB are constrained by the high density of

conspecifics. In particular, pups that traverse tightly packed harems on SSB run a higher risk

of being crushed by a territorial male or bitten by a breeding female[21,22]. Alternatively, we

recently found that FWB pups are more likely to be predated by generalist seabirds[28]. This

might translate into an increase of predator-avoidance activity as pups mature, which would

help to explain why activity no longer increases after around 40 days of age, when the

majority of individuals have transitioned to the more sheltered tussock grass.

We found that pups from FWB tended to move into the tussock grass earlier than pups

from SSB and spent proportionally more time in the tussock grass than in their colony of

birth. While this earlier shift toward residing mainly in the tussock grass could simply be a

consequence of increased activity, it might also be adaptive for FWB pups to move inland as

quickly as possible given the higher risk of predation at low density[28]. In other words, pups

may move into the more sheltered tussock grass earlier in life and remain there for longer

periods of time in order to avoid predatory seabirds. However, differences in the topography

of the two colonies might also influence the timing of this transition, as a steep gully separates

the beach at SSB from the tussock grass, while FWB offers a more gradual transition between

the two habitats. To disentangle the effects of density and topography would require a larger

study embracing a greater diversity of breeding colonies.

Contrary to our initial expectations, the HMM found little to no effect of air

temperature or wind speed on pup activity. This is surprising given that the pups in our study

lacked the water-repellent fur of adults and were thus poorly protected from the elements[23].

Reduced activity[35] and huddling behaviour[44] have been documented in pinniped neonates as

effective thermoregulatory behaviours to withstand the respectively hottest and coldest daily

temperatures, so we originally anticipated a reduction in pup activity under marginal weather

conditions. However, large variation in temperature during the course of this study was not

observed on Bird Island (2019 mean temperature = 3.7°C $\pm$ *s.d.* 1.3; 2020 mean temperature =

3.9°C $\pm$ *s.d.* 1.4). Consequently, behavioural adjustments in activity for effective

thermoregulation may not have been necessary in the context of our study. Alternatively,

other climatic variables that we could not account for, such as precipitation or humidity, may

have a disproportionate influence on activity levels. Future studies involving the direct

observation of focal individuals under specific climatic conditions would help to address this

question.

The HMM also showed that pup activity levels were largely unaffected by body

condition. This was unexpected because Antarctic fur seal pups rely on their mother's milk

for nutrition before moulting and must tolerate bouts of starvation lasting up to 11 days while

their mothers forage at sea[19]. As a result, pup growth is known to decline with prolonged

maternal absence[45], implying that fewer resources should be available for movement. Taken

at face value, the lack of a relationship between body condition and movement may suggest

that the short-term benefits of high activity, such as muscle mass development and increased

social interaction, may outweigh the costs associated with diverting resources from growth.

This would be in line with a previous study that found that Galápagos fur seal pups

maintained high activity levels throughout bouts of starvation[18]. However, it is also possible

that an effect of body condition could not be detected in our study because our model

assumed that condition was constant between successive measurements, whereas in practice it

will vary to some extent from day to day.

Despite a number of studies having shown that sex-specific differences in activity,

habitat use, foraging, and diving behaviour are established early in life in several pinniped

species[13–17,46], including Antarctic fur seals[26], our HMM did not uncover any obvious sex

differences in activity. This is probably a consequence of the timeframe of our study. Jones *et*

*al.*[26], for example, only detected sex-specific differences in habitat use in Antarctic fur seal

pups greater than 41 days old. Given that we focused on the time window from birth until

moulting at around 60 days of age, the results of these two studies are consistent and lend

support to the notion that sex-specific movement patterns take several weeks to become

established.

*Results of the* post-hoc *analysis*

The *post-hoc* analysis showed that pups were significantly less active when their

mothers were ashore, suggesting that pup activity is correlated to some extent with maternal

foraging behaviour. This association is most likely a reflection of the utmost importance of

milk consumption for pup survival and development. A mother may spend as little as 24

hours and on average only two days ashore during each nursing bout[43], so pups must

maximise nutrient update during this time. Moreover, adult female fur seals frequently display

aggressive behaviour towards foreign pups[21], potentially limiting a pup's opportunity for

social interactions when the mother is present[18]. It is important to note, however, that although

significant, the effect size of the correlation between pup activity and maternal presence was

fairly small. This suggests that pups may not remain with their mothers for the entire maternal

attendance period, but rather alternate between nursing and bouts of activity.

We also found that pups that died tended to be considerably less active. In our dataset,

cause of death was assigned to all but one pup as either starvation ($n = 5$) or predation ($n = 6$),

two factors that are difficult to untangle given that smaller, weaker (i.e. starving) pups may be

more likely to be predated. It is possible that the reduced activity of pups that died could be

related to poor body condition. While this would appear to contradict the lack of an overall

association between activity and condition in the HMM, it is possible that activity may

decline only after body condition falls below a critical threshold[18], an effect we may not have

captured due to the small number ($n = 13$) and early occurrence (median = 15 days) of

mortalities in our dataset. Alternatively, causality could flow in the other direction, with less

active pups being more likely to be predated. This would be more in line with our HMM

results as well as with the hypothesis that increased activity is related to predator-avoidance

behaviour.

*Strengths, limitations, and future directions*

Recent advancements in bio-logging technology and analytical methods have made

tracking studies of small, juvenile individuals ethically feasible, cost effective, and

analytically approachable. In this study, we have taken advantage of these advancements to

implement one of the first in-depth analyses of the movement and activity patterns of

Antarctic fur seal pups prior to moulting. We were able to uniquely incorporate individual,

ecological, and environmental variation into our analyses by collecting time-series biometric

data for all tagged individuals, which were sampled at two breeding colonies across two

subsequent breeding seasons. Finally, we were able to tease apart how these intrinsic and

extrinsic factors may influence movement by inferring behavioural states using a HMM.

An important limitation of our study, however, is our inability to establish causal

relationships between hypothesised explanatory factors and activity. While this is an ever-

present challenge when working with wild populations, future studies may consider pairing

GPS tracking data with detailed behavioural observations of the focal individuals. This would

provide a behavioural context for movement patterns and possibly allow for a more nuanced

interpretation of the underlying behavioural states. A higher GPS sampling rate might also

facilitate the resolution of finer-scale states. While this was not possible in the current study

due to the limited battery capabilities of our tags, as technologies continue to improve future

studies may not need to sacrifice sampling resolution for study length. Finally, it would be

interesting in the future to consider GPS tracking both mothers and pups to better understand

the relationship between maternal attendance behaviour and pup activity. This approach might

also shed light on how mothers find their offspring after returning ashore from foraging trips.

*Conclusions*

We document the movement behaviour and activity levels of Antarctic fur seal pups

between birth and moulting in relation to a multitude of extrinsic and intrinsic explanatory

variables. Our findings suggest that during this early life stage, pup activity is mainly shaped

by extrinsic factors including year, time of day, and colony of birth. In contrast to a previous

study of activity later in life[26], we found little effect of intrinsic factors such as body condition

and sex. Our study highlights the importance of external influences during this critical phase

of life when pups are at their greatest risk of predation and have not yet developed their water-

repellent adult coat.

**Methods**

*Field methods*

368 
369 

This study was conducted at two breeding colonies on Bird Island, South Georgia

(54°00'24.8□ S, 38°03'04.1□ W; Fig. 2a) during the austral summers (December to March)

of 2018 – 19 (hereafter referred to as 2019) and 2019 – 20 (hereafter referred to as 2020).

In both breeding seasons, 25 Antarctic fur seal pups from each colony were captured

two to three days after birth (December) and every ten days thereafter until they began to

moult (March). Individuals were randomly selected with respect to sex and birth date during

the pupping period. Capture, restraint, and handling of pups followed protocols established

over 36 consecutive years of the long-term monitoring and survey program of the British

Antarctic Survey (BAS). Briefly, pups were captured with a slip noose or by hand and were

restrained by hand. After handling, pups were returned to their mothers or released as close to

their capture sites as possible. At every capture, weight and length measurements were taken,

from which a scaled mass index was calculated according to Peig and Green[47]. This condition

metric serves as a reliable indicator of overall fitness as it has been correlated with mortality[48]

and reproductive success[49] in a variety of species.

To facilitate the tracking and recapture of focal individuals, VHF transmitters (Sirtrack

core marine glue-on V2G 152A; dimensions: 40 x 20 x 10 mm body with a 200 mm antenna,

weight: 16 g) were attached to the dorsal side of the neck between the shoulder blades with

epoxy glue. Pups were similarly fitted with GPS loggers in waterproof ABS plastic enclosures

(Perthold Engineering CatLog Gen2 GPS Loggers; dimensions: 50 x 40 x 17 mm, weight: 36

g), which recorded latitude and longitude positions within five-meter accuracy every hour

from birth until moulting of the pelage (Fig. 1). Together, these two tags accounted for less

than 1% of the mean focal pup mass at first capture (5.6 kg). Temporary bleach marks applied

to the fur were used to identify pups. Unrecovered tags ($n = 12$) and bleach marks are shed

naturally when the seals moult (March – April), precluding any long-term consequences for

the pups.

As part of the BAS contribution to the Ecosystem Monitoring Programme of the

Convention for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR), the

attendance behaviour of breeding females has been monitored since 1982, with radio

telemetry protocols established in 1992 to track around 25 adult females per year on FWB.

We contributed towards this ongoing effort by attaching VHF transmitters (Sirtrack core

marine glue-on V2G 154C; dimensions: 65 x 28 x 13 mm body with a 250 mm antenna,

weight: 42 g) to the mothers of our focal FWB pups. Adult females were captured with a

noosing pole and held on a restraint board. Daily attendance was monitored using a fixed-

position radio antenna (Televilt RX900) combined with visual checks of the island with a

hand-held VHF receiver (AOR Ltd., AR8200). The daily absence or presence of females

ashore was noted from first capture until the final measurement, when the pups either moulted

or died. Mothers were fitted with cattle ear tags in the trailing edge of each fore flipper for

identification.

*Data analysis framework*

Within our dataset, hourly GPS data were successfully collected from a total of 66

Antarctic fur seal pups (breakdown by year: $n = 40$ in 2019 and $n = 26$ in 2020; by colony: $n$

$= 32$ at FWB and $n = 34$ at SSB). The majority of tracked individuals ($n = 53$, or 80%)

survived until weaning. For those individuals that died, we truncated the GPS data back to the

last time point that the animal was seen alive prior to analysis.

Our GPS data contained some missing locations, which in a handful of cases led to

observation gaps of several hours. Most if not all missing values likely arose due to signal

lapse, which occurs when the satellite connection to a GPS device is interrupted due to cloud

cover, physical obstruction, etc.[50] In an otherwise regular sequence, such missing data are

manageable, but large gaps may introduce bias into the model[51]. Therefore, for observational

gaps exceeding four hours, we split the individual's track into separate bursts or intervals of

continuous data. Bursts that were shorter than 48 hours usually contained a considerable

amount of missing observations and were excluded from the analysis, corresponding to

roughly 2% of the full dataset including missing locations. Overall, the tracks of 35

individuals were split into two to five bursts, while data from all of the other individuals ($n =$

31) consisted of a single burst.

Our final dataset contained 67,417 hourly observations with a median number of 1,124

observations per individual (min = 88, max = 1,916). The step lengths (in meters) between

consecutive GPS locations were calculated and screened for implausible movements. From

personal observations, we know that pups can move up to 400 meters in an hour. To confirm

that 400 meters represents an appropriate step threshold, we analysed five-minute interval

GPS data collected from three pups, each between 30 – 50 days of age, for 13 – 19 days

(mean = 3,965 data points). In total, we found 2,029 instances where pups travelled a

cumulative distance of more than 400 meters in an hour. To ensure these movements were

biologically relevant and not due to erroneous recordings, we plotted a random subset and

checked for unrealistic movement patterns, which were not present (see Supplementary Fig.

S5). Based on these findings, we set all values larger than 400 meters/hour (corresponding to

297 observations) to be missing.

To investigate environmental factors influencing pup movement, hourly dry bulb air

temperature and wind speed measurements for Bird Island were obtained from the BAS

Meteorology and Ozone Monitoring Programme and missing values (85 observations) were

linearly interpolated. The binary variables sex (with female as the reference category) and

breeding season (with 2019 as the reference category) were held constant for each individual.

The continuous variables age (measured in days since initial capture, two to three days after

birth) and scaled mass index (calculated every ten days) were kept constant between days and

measurements. All metric variables were standardised by subtracting the mean of the variable

and dividing by its standard deviation.

*Hidden Markov models*

To identify different activity states and investigate the explanatory power of

environmental and individual-based variables on pup movement, we fitted a hidden Markov

model (HMM) to the hourly GPS data. This time series model encompasses both the observed

movement of an individual and an underlying ('hidden') state sequence, which is used to infer

behavioural processes (e.g. active and inactive)[5]. HMMs have accordingly been used to

analyse animal tracking data in relation to, among others, environmental conditions[52],

anthropogenic activity[53], sex[54], ontogeny[55], and individualised niches[56].

To distinguish between different behavioural processes in Antarctic fur seal pups, we

fitted HMMs to the hourly observed step lengths. We assumed the observation process to be

the same for all individuals and modelled the step lengths using a gamma distribution,

conditional on the states. Prior to building a final model, we conducted exploratory analyses

on the number of states and compared univariate HMMs to bivariate HMMs additionally

including the turning angle between consecutive GPS locations. We restricted our final

analysis to a parsimonious univariate HMM with two states for two reasons. First, the

inclusion of the turning angle resulted in a negligible improvement of model fit. Second, the

addition of a third state resulted in overlapping distributions of the step length, suggesting no

additional information was gained while complicating the interpretation of the underlying

states (see Supplementary Fig. S6)[57]. To check whether the HMMs based on hourly data

adequately reflect the animals' movement behaviour, we also fitted an HMM to the five-

minute interval GPS data. The results showed that, with coarser temporal resolution (hourly

data), there was no relevant loss of information on pup activity with respect to the aims of the

study (see Supplementary Fig. S4 and S7).

471           We further investigated the effects of external and internal factors, including colony,

breeding season, time of day, temperature, wind speed, sex, age, and body condition, on the

activity level of fur seal pups by modelling state transition probabilities as a function of the

covariates using a logit link function. We allowed the effects of the covariates to differ

between the two colonies by including interaction terms with the binary covariate 'colony'

(FWB/SSB). To account for the periodic nature of time of day, its effect was modelled using

trigonometric functions. To assess the relative importance of the covariates, we calculated the

differences in AIC values between the full model and models sequentially excluding each

covariate and its interaction with colony. All HMMs were fitted by numerically maximising

the likelihood using *moveHMM*[51]. To further investigate model fit, we calculated pseudo-

residuals and checked them for normality and autocorrelation (Supplementary Fig. S3)[5].

Based on the full model, we inferred the most likely underlying state sequence using the

Viterbi algorithm[5]. We also calculated the state occupancy probabilities as a function of each

covariate[58], while fixing the other covariates to their respective means or reference categories.

*Post-hoc analyses*

487           The HMM decomposed the GPS data into two distinct states, which we inferred as

'inactive' and 'active' movement. To determine whether additional variables that we were not

able to include in the HMM explain a significant proportion of variation in activity, we built

two generalised linear mixed models (GLMMs) *post-hoc*. In our first model, we included

maternal attendance (0 = present ashore, 1 = absent) as the explanatory variable. As data on

maternal attendance were only available for pups born on FWB, this covariate could not be

included in the full HMM, which defined an interaction term between all covariates and

'colony'. In our second model, we used the survival status of each pup (0 = died, 1 =

survived) as the explanatory variable. Here, we wanted to test for an association between

survival status and movement without assuming the direction of causality. In both models,

pup ID was included as a random effect to account for repeated measurements of individuals.

The response variable was the inferred state of an individual ($0 =$ inactive, $1 =$ active) at a

given time point and was modelled with a binomial error distribution using *lme4*[59]. The

residuals of the models were visually inspected for linearity and equality of error variances

(using plots of residuals versus fits), normality (using Q – Q plots), and over or under-

dispersion (by comparing the dispersion of simulated to observed residuals) using

*DHARMa*[60]. All analyses and visualisations for this study were implemented in R version

$4.0.2$[61].

**Animal ethics and permits**

507    Animal handling was carried out by BAS under permits from the Government of South

508    Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands (Wildlife and Protected Areas Ordinance (2011),

509    RAP permit numbers 2018/024 and 2019/032). All procedures used were approved by the

510    BAS Animal Welfare and Ethics Review Body (AWERB applications 2018/1050 and

511    2019/1058) and performed in accordance with provided guidelines and regulations.

**Data Availability Statement**

514    Raw data and R code, available as a R Markdown file, have been uploaded to the Dryad

515    Digital Repository

516    (https://datadryad.org/stash/share/j6KfNHr2FNMlcw4TXOgcYbplq1E1gDa9xonh365a8Vc)

517    and will be made public upon acceptance of the manuscript.

**References**

1.   Liedvogel, M., Chapman, B. B., Muheim, R. & Åkesson, S. The behavioural ecology
of animal movement: reflections upon potential synergies. *Anim. Migr.* 39–46 (2013).

2.   Crossin, G. T., Cooke, S. J., Goldbogen, J. A. & Phillips, R. A. Tracking fitness in
marine vertebrates: Current knowledge and opportunities for future research. *Mar.*
*Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **496**, 1–17 (2014).

3.   Hays, G. C. *et al.* Key questions in marine megafauna movement ecology. *Trends Ecol.*
*Evol.* **31**, 463–475 (2016).

4.   Hussey, N. E. *et al.* Aquatic animal telemetry: A panoramic window into the
underwater world. *Science (80-. ).* **348**, 1255642 (2015).

5.   Zucchini, W., MacDonald, I. L. & Langrock, R. *Hidden Markov models for time series:*
*An introduction using R.* (Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2016).

6.   Sequeira, A. M. M. *et al.* Convergence of marine megafauna movement patterns in
coastal and open oceans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, 3072–3077 (2018).

7.   McIntyre, T. Trends in tagging of marine mammals: A review of marine mammal
biologging studies. *African J. Mar. Sci.* **36**, 409–422 (2014).

8.   Pardo, D. *et al.* Additive effects of climate and fisheries drive ongoing declines in
multiple albatross species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, E10829–E10837 (2017).

9.   Watson, J. W., Epperly, S. P., Shah, A. K. & Foster, D. G. Fishing methods to reduce
sea turtle mortality associated with pelagic longlines. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **62**, 965–
981 (2005).

10.  Daunt, F., Afanasyev, V., Adam, A., Croxall, J. P. & Wanless, S. From cradle to early
grave: Juvenile mortality in European shags *Phalacrocorax aristotelis* results from
inadequate development of foraging proficiency. *Biol. Lett.* **3**, 371–374 (2007).

11.  Morgan, E. A., Hassall, C., Redfern, C. P. F., Bevan, R. M. & Hamer, K. C.
Individuality of foraging behaviour in a short-ranging benthic marine predator:
Incidence and implications. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **609**, 209–219 (2019).

12.  Kernaléguen, L. *et al.* Early-life sexual segregation: Ontogeny of isotopic niche
differentiation in the Antarctic fur seal. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 33211 (2016).

13.  Leung, E. S., Chilvers, B. L., Nakagawa, S. & Robertson, B. C. Size and experience
matter: Diving behaviour of juvenile New Zealand sea lions (*Phocarctos hookeri*).
*Polar Biol.* **37**, 15–26 (2014).

14.  Bishop, A., Brown, C., Rehberg, M., Torres, L. & Horning, M. Juvenile Steller sea lion
(*Eumetopias jubatus*) utilization distributions in the Gulf of Alaska. *Mov. Ecol.* **6**, 1–15

(2018).

15.    Zeppelin, T. *et al.* Migratory strategies of juvenile northern fur seals (*Callorhinus*

*ursinus*): Bridging the gap between pups and adults. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 1–16 (2019).

16.    Carter, M. I. D. *et al.* From pup to predator: generalized hidden Markov models reveal

rapid development of movement strategies in a naïve long-lived vertebrate. *Oikos* **129**,

630–642 (2019).

17.    Gentry, R. L. The development of social behavior through play in the Steller sea lion.

*Am. Zool.* **14**, 391–403 (1974).

18.    Arnold, W. & Trillmich, F. Time budget in Galápagos fur seal pups: The influence of

the mother's presence and absence on pup activity and play. *Behaviour* **92**, 302–321

(1985).

19.    Forcada, J. & Staniland, I. J. Antarctic Fur Seal. in *Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals*

(eds. Würsig, B., Thewissen, J. G. M. & Kovacs, K. M.) 25–27 (Academic Press,

2018).

20.    Hunter, S. The food and feeding ecology of the giant petrels *Macronectes halli* and *M.*

*giganteus* at South Georgia. *J. Zool.* **200**, 521–538 (1983).

21.    Doidge, D. W., Croxall, J. P. & Baker, J. R. Density-dependent pup mortality in the

Antarctic fur seal *Arctocephalus gazella* at South Georgia. *J. Zool. London* **202**, 449–

460 (1984).

22.    Reid, K. & Forcada, J. Causes of offspring mortality in the Antarctic fur seal,

*Arctocephalus gazella*: The interaction of density dependence and ecosystem

variability. *Can. J. Zool.* **83**, 604–609 (2005).

23.    Irving, L., Peyton, L. J., Bahn, C. H. & Peterson, R. S. Regulation of temperature in fur

seals. *Physiol. Zool.* **35**, 275–284 (1962).

24.    McCafferty, D. J., Boyd, I. L. & Taylor, R. I. Diving behaviour of Antarctic fur seal

(*Arctocephalus gazella*) pups. *Can. J. Zool.* **76**, 513–520 (1998).

25.    Payne, M. R. Growth in the Antarctic fur seal *Arctocephalus gazella*. *J. Zool. London*

**187**, 1–20 (1979).

26.    Jones, K. A. *et al.* Risk exposure trade-offs in the ontogeny of sexual segregation in

Antarctic fur seal pups. *Behav. Ecol.* 1–12 (2020).

27.    Hunt, G. L., Heinemann, D. & Everson, I. Distributions and predator-prey interactions

of macaroni penguins, Antarctic fur seals, and Antarctic krill near Bird Island, South

Georgia. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **86**, 15–30 (1992).

28.    Nagel, R. *et al.* Evidence for an Allee effect in a declining fur seal population. *Proc. R.*

*Soc. B* (2021).

29.   Hoffman, J. I., Trathan, P. N. & Amos, W. Genetic tagging reveals extreme site fidelity

in territorial male Antarctic fur seals Arctocephalus gazella. *Mol. Ecol.* **15**, 3841–3847

(2006).

30.   Forcada, J. & Hoffman, J. I. Climate change selects for heterozygosity in a declining

fur seal population. *Nature* **511**, 462–465 (2014).

31.   Photopoulou, T., Heerah, K., Pohle, J. & Boehme, L. Sex-specific variation in the use

of vertical habitat by a resident Antarctic top predator. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **287**, 20201447

(2020).

32.   Jones, K. A. *et al.* Intra-specific niche partitioning in Antarctic fur seals, *Arctocephalus*

*gazella*. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 3238 (2020).

33.   Le Boeuf, B. J., Crocker, D. E., Blackwell, S. B., Morris, P. a & Thorson, P. H. Sex

differences in diving and foraging behaviour of northern elephant seals. *Symp. Zool.*

*Soc. London* **66**, 149–178 (1993).

34.   Hassrick, J. L. *et al.* Condition and mass impact oxygen stores and dive duration in

adult female northern elephant seals. *J. Exp. Biol.* **213**, 585–592 (2010).

35.   Limberger, D., Trillmich, F., Biebach, H. & Stevenson, R. D. Temperature regulation

and microhabitat choice by free-ranging Galapagos fur seal pups (*Arctocephalus*

*galapagoensis*). *Oecologia* **69**, 53–59 (1986).

36.   Campagna, C. & Le Boeuf, B. J. Thermoregulatory behaviour of southern sea lions and

its effect on mating strategies. *Behaviour* **107**, 72–90 (1988).

37.   Bell, W. J. Central place foraging in *Searching Behaviour* 171–187 (Springer,

Dordrecht, 1990).

38.   Dobson, F. S. & Jouventin, P. How mothers find their pups in a colony of Antarctic fur

seals. *Behav. Processes* **61**, 77–85 (2003).

39.   Forcada, J., Trathan, P. N., Reid, K. & Murphy, E. J. The effects of global climate

variability in pup production of Antarctic fur seals. *Ecology* **86**, 2408–2417 (2005).

40.   Gianuca, D. *et al.* Sex-specific effects of fisheries and climate on the demography of

sexually dimorphic seabirds. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **88**, 1366–1378 (2019).

41.   Ortiz, R. M., Wade, C. E. & Ortiz, C. L. Effects of prolonged fasting on plasma cortisol

and TH in postweaned northern elephant seal pups. *Am. J. Physiol. - Regul. Integr.*

*Comp. Physiol.* **280**, R790–R795 (2001).

42.   Crossin, G. T. *et al.* Corticosterone predicts foraging behavior and parental care in

macaroni penguins. *Am. Nat.* **180**, E31–E41 (2012).

43.    Boyd, I. L., Lunn, N. J. & Barton, T. Time budgets and foraging characteristics of
lactating Antarctic fur seals. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **60**, 577–592 (1991).

44.    Gentry, R. L. Thermoregulatory behavior of eared seals. *Behaviour* **46**, 73–93 (1973).

45.    Lunn, N. J., Boyd, I. L., Barton, T. & Croxall, J. P. Factors affecting the growth rate
and mass at weaning of Antarctic fur seals at Bird Island, South Georgia. *J. Mammal.*
**74**, 908–919 (1993).

46.    Warren, N. L., Trathan, P. N., Forcada, J., Fleming, A. & Jessopp, M. J. Distribution of
post-weaning Antarctic fur seal *Arctocephalus gazella* pups at South Georgia. *Polar*
*Biol.* **29**, 179–188 (2006).

47.    Peig, J. & Green, A. J. New perspectives for estimating body condition from
mass/length data: The scaled mass index as an alternative method. *Oikos* **118**, 1883–
1891 (2009).

48.    Trites, A. W. & Jonker, R. A. H. Morphometric measurements and body conditions of
healthy and starveling Steller sea lion pups (*Eumetopias jubatus*). *Aquat. Mamm.* **26.2**,
151–157 (2000).

49.    Guinet, C., Roux, J. P., Bonnet, M. & Mison, V. Effect of body size, body mass, and
body condition on reproduction of female South African fur seals (*Arctocephalus*
*pusillus*) in Namibia. *Can. J. Zool.* **76**, 1418–1424 (1998).

50.    Meseck, K. *et al.* Is missing geographic positioning system data in accelerometry
studies a problem, and is imputation the solution? *Geospat. Health* **11**, 157–163 (2016).

51.    Michelot, T., Langrock, R. & Patterson, T. A. moveHMM: An R package for the
statistical modelling of animal movement data using hidden Markov models. *Methods*
*Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 1308–1315 (2016).

52.    Roeleke, M. *et al.* Landscape structure influences the use of social information in an
insectivorous bat. *Oikos* **129**, 912–923 (2020).

53.    van Beest, F. M. *et al.* Classifying grey seal behaviour in relation to environmental
variability and commercial fishing activity - a multivariate hidden Markov model. *Sci.*
*Rep.* **9**, 5642 (2019).

54.    Towner, A. V. *et al.* Sex-specific and individual preferences for hunting strategies in
white sharks. *Funct. Ecol.* **30**, 1397–1407 (2016).

55.    Grecian, W. J., Lane, J. V., Michelot, T., Wade, H. M. & Hamer, K. C. Understanding
the ontogeny of foraging behaviour: Insights from combining marine predator bio-
logging with satellite-derived oceanography in hidden Markov models. *J. R. Soc.*
*Interface* **15**, 20180084 (2018).

56.   Schwarz, J. F. L. *et al.* Individuality counts: A new comprehensive approach to
foraging strategies of a tropical marine predator. *Oecologia* (2021).

57.   Pohle, J., Langrock, R., van Beest, F. M. & Schmidt, N. M. Selecting the number of
states in hidden Markov models: Pragmatic solutions illustrated using animal
movement. *J. Agric. Biol. Environ. Stat.* **22**, 270–293 (2017).

58.   Patterson, T. A., Basson, M., Bravington, M. V. & Gunn, J. S. Classifying movement
behaviour in relation to environmental conditions using hidden Markov models. *J.*
*Anim. Ecol.* **78**, 1113–1123 (2009).

59.   Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* **67**, 1–48 (2015).

60.   Hartig, F. DHARMa: Residual diagnostics for hierarchical (multi-level/ mixed)
regression models. http://florianhartig.github.io/DHARMa/ (2020).

61.   R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org/ (2020).

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank Ana Bertoldi Carneiro, Freya Blockley, Jamie Coleman,

Alexandra Dodds, Vicki Foster, Derren Fox, Iain Angus Gordon, Pauline Goulet, Rosie Hall,

Cary Jackson, Adam Lowndes, Elizabeth Morgan, Rachael Orben, Jessica Ann Philips, David

Reid, and Mark Whiffin for help in the field.

**Author contributions**

RN, CS, CF-C, and CT collected the data. SM and TA built the HMM models. JIH, RL, and

JF conceived of the study and contributed funding and materials. All of the authors

commented on and approved the final manuscript.

**Competing Interests Statement**

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Funding**

This research was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) as part of the SFB TRR

212 (NC³) – Project numbers 316099922, 396774617, and 396782756. It was also supported

by core funding from the Natural Environment Research Council to the British Antarctic

Survey's Ecosystems Program.

 **Figure legends**

Figure 1: VHF monitoring and GPS tracking. (a) VHF transmitter and GPS logger for pups

(top) and VHF transmitter for mothers (bottom). (b) Antarctic fur seal mother-pup pair. VHF

transmitters are visible on both individuals, while the pup has additionally been fitted with a

GPS logger and given a temporary bleach mark for identification. Photo credit: Claire

Stainfield.

Figure 2: Movement patterns of Antarctic fur seal pups on Bird Island, South Georgia. (a)

Location of the two fur seal breeding colonies, Freshwater Beach (FWB) and the Special

Study Beach (SSB), from which pups were tagged with GPS loggers. (b) Decoded states from

the HMM showing the inferred activity patterns of 66 pups throughout ontogeny. (c) GPS

locations recorded throughout ontogeny for 32 pups born on FWB and 34 pups born on SSB.

To aid visibility, each panel is a magnified view of Bird Island (longitude range = -38.060 -

38.045, latitude range = -54.014 -54.005) and consequently, a small proportion of decoded

states and GPS points recorded outside this frame are not visible.

Figure 3: Main results of the HMM. (a–d) Mean probability (± 95% confidence interval) of

being in an 'active' behavioural state as a function of the covariates included in the HMM.

Probabilities were calculated for each covariate and state by fixing the other covariates to

their respective means or reference categories. Confidence intervals for the probabilities were

obtained based on Monte Carlo simulation from the estimators' approximate distribution as

implied by maximum likelihood theory. (a, b) The probably of being in an active state as a

function of time. (c, d) The probability of being in an active state as a function of age. (e, f)

The proportion of time pups spent at their colony of birth or elsewhere on the island.

Figure 4: Results of *post-hoc* analyses. Proportion of time pups spent in the active and

inactive states (a) when their mothers were at sea foraging or ashore nursing and (b)

depending on mortality status. Sample sizes (*n*), GLMM slope estimates, and *p*-values are

provided.

**Tables**

Table 1: Differences in AIC values (ΔAIC) between the full model and HMMs sequentially

excluding each variable and its interaction term with colony.

| Covariate | Exclude | AIC | ΔAIC |
|---|---|---|---|
| all | | 592365 | |
| sex | Interaction with colony | 592368.9 | 3.9 |
| | all terms | 592366.3 | 1.3 |
| age | interaction with colony | 592443.7 | 78.7 |
| | all terms | 592534.6 | 169.6 |
| body condition | interaction with colony | 592370.4 | 5.4 |
| | all terms | 592386.5 | 21.5 |
| time of day | interaction with colony | 592377.7 | 12.7 |
| | all terms | 592535.4 | 170.4 |
| year | interaction with colony | 592408.9 | 43.9 |
| | all terms | 592418.4 | 53.4 |
| temperature | interaction with colony | 592367.5 | 2.5 |
| | all terms | 592367.8 | 2.8 |
| wind speed | interaction with colony | 592362.1 | -2.9 |
| | all terms | 592360.1 | -4.9 |
| colony | all terms | 592557.5 | 192.5 |

a

b