Systems analysis of gut microbiome influence on metabolic disease in HIV and high-risk populations

Abigail J.S. Armstrong[1,2], Kevin Quinn[3], Sam X. Li[1], Jennifer M. Schneider[1], Nichole M. Nusbacher[1], Katrina A. Doenges[3], Suzanne Fiorillo[1], Tyson J. Marden[4], Janine Higgins[5], Nichole Reisdorph[3], Thomas B. Campbell[1], Brent E. Palmer[1#], Catherine A. Lozupone[1#]

Affiliations:

1. Department of Medicine, University of Colorado Denver, Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

2. Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Colorado Denver, Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

3. Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, 80045 CO, Aurora, USA

4. Colorado Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute; University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, Colorado, 80045. USA

5. Department of Pediatrics, Section of Endocrinology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus. Aurora, CO

Corresponding authors

Brent E. Palmer: 303-724-7203; brent.palmer@cuanschutz.edu

Catherine A. Lozupone: 303-724-7942; catherine.lozupone@cuanschutz.edu

Systems analysis of gut microbiome influence on metabolic disease in HIV and high-risk populations

Abstract

Poor metabolic health, characterized by insulin resistance and dyslipidemia, is higher in people living with HIV (PLWH) and has been linked with inflammation, anti-retroviral therapy (ART) drugs, and ART-associated lipodystrophy (LD). Metabolic disease is associated with gut microbiome composition outside the context of HIV but has not been deeply explored in HIV infection nor in high-risk men who have sex with men (HR-MSM), who have a highly altered gut microbiome composition. Furthermore, the contribution of increased bacterial translocation and associated systemic inflammation that has been described in HIV-positive and HR-MSM individuals has not been explored. We used a multi-omic approach to explore relationships between gut microbes, immune phenotypes, diet, and metabolic health across ART-treated PLWH with and without LD; untreated PLWH; and HR-MSM. For PLWH on ART, we further explored associations with the plasma metabolome. Sixty-nine measures of diet, gut microbes, inflammation, and demographics were associated with impaired metabolic health defined using fasting blood markers including lipids, glucose and hormones. We found microbiome-associated metabolites associated with metabolic disease including the microbially produced metabolites, dehydroalanine and bacteriohopane-32,33,34,35-tetrol. Our central result was that elevated plasma lipopolysaccharide binding protein (LBP) was the most important predictor of metabolic disease in PLWH and HR-MSM, with network analysis of predictors showing that LBP formed a hub joining correlated microbial and immune predictors of metabolic disease. Our results suggest the role of inflammatory processes linked with bacterial translocation (measured by LBP) and interaction with dietary components and the gut microbiome in metabolic disease among PLWH and HR-MSM.

Importance Statement

The role of the gut microbiome in the health of HIV infected individuals is of interest because

current therapies, while effective at controlling disease, still result in long term comorbidities.

Metabolic disease is prevalent in HIV-infected individuals even in well-controlled infection.

Metabolic disease has been linked with the gut microbiome in previous studies but little attention

has been given to HIV infected populations. Furthermore, integrated analyses that consider gut

microbiome composition together with data on diet, systemic immune activation, metabolites and

demographic data have been lacking. By conducting a systems level analysis of predictors of

metabolic disease in people living with HIV and men who are at high risk of acquiring HIV, we

found that increased LBP, an inflammatory marker indicative of compromised intestinal barrier

function, was associated with worse metabolic health. We also found this relationship to be

associated with dietary, microbial, and metabolic factors suggesting a systemic gut microbiome

influence on the presence of increased inflammatory markers which, in turn, influences the risk of

metabolic disease. This work lays the framework for mechanistic studies aimed at targeting the

microbiome and diet to prevent or treat metabolic endotoxemia in HIV-infected individuals.

Keywords

HIV; microbiome; men who have sex with men (MSM); metabolic disease

Background

The gut microbiome in people living with human immunodeficiency virus type 1(HIV) (PLWH) is

of interest as a potential contributor to infection, disease progression, and development of co-

morbidities. Poor metabolic health characterized by insulin resistance and dyslipidemia is frequent

in PLWH (1-3) and has been linked with chronic inflammation (4-7) and several anti-retroviral

therapy (ART) drugs (8). Metabolic disease is particularly prevalent in HIV-positive individuals

with lipodystrophy (LD), a disease linked with early ART drugs that is manifested by lipoatrophy

in the face, extremities, and buttocks with or without visceral fat accumulation. LD can have a

severe impact on the quality of life of PLWH and is associated with the development of diabetes

and cardiovascular disease (9).

Metabolic disease has been linked with gut microbiome structure and function outside the context

of HIV infection (10-14), but this relationship has not been explored deeply in PLWH. We and

others have found an altered gut microbiome composition in both PLWH (15-17) and men who

have sex with men at high-risk of contracting HIV (HR-MSM) (16, 18). Furthermore, we have

demonstrated that the altered microbiome in HIV (15) and HR-MSM (15, 19) are pro-inflammatory

both *in vitro* and/or in gnotobiotic mice (15, 19). This is of interest as peripheral inflammatory

signals have been implicated in both cardiovascular disease risk (7, 20) and insulin sensitivity (4,

5, 21-23) in PLWH. Increased peripheral immune activation in HIV-positive individuals is driven

in part by bacterial translocation (24, 25), as indicated by higher levels of the bacteria product

lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or LPS-binding protein (LBP) in blood. Increased blood LPS levels have

also been observed in MSM and linked with recent sexual behavior (26). An association between

LBP and metabolic disease in other diseases (e.g. hemodialysis patients) has been described

(27), however there are mixed data regarding a role in obesity associated metabolic disease (28-

30). Additionally, a recent study of metabolic syndrome in PLWH found greater immune

dysfunction and a more HIV-associated microbiome associated with risk of metabolic syndrome

(31).

We hypothesized that PLWH and HR-MSM with poor metabolic health would harbor a distinct gut

microbial signature that was in turn also associated with elevated peripheral immune activation.

We evaluated this relationship while considering other factors known to influence the microbiome,

immunity and metabolic health. This analysis included typical diet; HIV, ART, and LD status; and

other demographic characteristics such as age and body mass index (BMI). For HIV-positive

individuals on ART with and without LD, we further explored associations with the plasma

metabolome (Figure 1). Our results suggest a central role of inflammatory processes linked with

bacterial translocation as measured by LBP, and co-correlated intestinal microbes, dietary and

demographic attributes in metabolic disease risk.

Results

<u>Study Population</u>

This study examined a cohort of 113 men, including men who have sex with women (MSW; n=22,

19.5%) and men who have sex with men (MSM; n=91, 80.5%) (Table 1). Of the MSM, 32 were

HIV-negative (35.2%), 14 were HIV-positive and not on ART (15.4%), and 45 were HIV-positive

ART-treated (49.4%). The HIV-positive, treated group included those with lipodystrophy (LD;

n=25, 55.6%) and those without (n=20, 44.4%). The HIV-negative MSM participated in activities

that put them at high risk of contracting HIV as defined in a prior study of a candidate HIV vaccine:

1) a history of unprotected anal intercourse with one or more male or male-to-female transgender

partners; 2) anal intercourse with two or more male or male-to-female transgender partners; or 3)

being in a sexual relationship with a person who has been diagnosed with HIV (32). In order to

focus on HIV-associated metabolic disease, obese individuals (BMI >30) were excluded. There

was no significant difference in BMI between the cohorts (Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.085). HIV-

positive, treated cohorts were significantly older than HIV-negative MSM and HIV-positive,

untreated MSM (Kruskal-Wallis test, p < 0.001). Age matching across all cohorts was not feasible

in part because LD is associated with early-generation ART drugs and thus most common in older

HIV-positive individuals and HR-MSM behavior as well as new HIV infections are predominantly

in younger individuals. However, age is carefully considered in downstream analyses. All treated,

HIV-positive individuals were on successful ART with suppressed viral loads (Table 1).

A

Orientation
HIV
Treatment
Lipodystrophy

Exposures

Metabolic Health

Outcome

Immune Activation

Microbiome

Metabolome

Diet

Measures

B

Define metabolic health outcome

Select informative measures using random forest

Examine relationship between variables and with outcome

124
Figure 1. Study design schematic. Measures were collected from four compartments: gut
microbiome, peripheral immune, diet questionnaire, and plasma metabolome. These separate
compartments can all influence each other, forming complex systems that together influence
metabolic health. Furthermore, the compartments can be influenced by other clinical and
demographic characteristics such as HIV and treatment status. In this study we examine all of
these measures together in order to investigate

Table 1. Description of full study cohort

	HIV-negative MSW	HIV-negative MSM	HIV-positive MSM, untreated	HIV-positive MSM, treated	HIV-positive MSM, treated, with LD
n	22	32	14	20	25
Age (years)	33 (27.3-38.5)	34 (29.8-44.5)	34 (26.5-40.3)	46 (42.8-50.5)	60 (54-64)
BMI (kg/m2)	25.2 (23.0-27.0)	25.5 (20.2-28.0)	21.4 (20.2-25.6)	23.9 (22.6-26.2)	25.8 (23.0-28.0)
CD4 cell count	NA	NA	538 (408.5-731.8)	586 (419.5-878.0)	659 (550.0-908.0)
Viral load	NA	NA	101,400 (20,300-292,514)	20 (0-20)	0 (0-20)
Cholesterol drugs/statins n (%)	2 (9.1%)	3 (9.4%)	1 (7.1%)	4 (20%)	14 (56%)

Numbers reported are median (IQR)

<u>Metabolic Disease Score as a Marker for Metabolic Health</u>

We measured seven common clinical markers of metabolic health from fasting blood:

triglycerides, glucose, insulin, LDL, HDL, leptin, and adiponectin. Since these markers are often

correlated with each other, we used principal component analysis (PCA) to define a single

continuous measure of overall metabolic health of study participants, as has been done previously

(Figure 2A) (33, 34). The first principal component (PC1) explained 28.8% of the variability within

the clinical marker data and separated individuals by multiple correlated markers of metabolic

disease. Specifically, individuals with higher values of PC1 generally had high triglycerides and

low HDL, indicating dyslipidemia, and higher levels of fasting blood glucose and insulin, indicating

insulin resistance (Figure 2A, Supplemental Figure 1). We thus decided to use values of PC1 as

an outcome. Values of PC1 were shifted to a minimum of one and log transformed to define the

metabolic disease score, which ranged from 0 as healthy and 2.5 as impaired. In order to

determine how this score related to metabolic health, we performed regressions between the

metabolic disease score and individual measures to define a metabolic score threshold that

corresponded with clinically defined cutoffs for normal levels (Supplemental Figure 1). For

example, triglycerides positively correlated with metabolic disease score and almost all individuals

with a score above 1.45 had triglyceride levels in the unhealthy range of greater than 200 mg/dL.

Similar patterns and cutoffs were true for HDL, LDL, and glucose (Supplemental Figure 1). The

intersect of the regression with these cutoffs were all averaged to a single number of 1.4.

Individuals below the cutoff were categorized as metabolically normal and those above were

categorized as metabolically impaired.

When comparing the metabolic disease score across cohorts, we found that ART-treated, HIV-

positive individuals with LD trended higher in both the average metabolic disease score and the

proportion of individuals with scores in the metabolically impaired group but intergroup

158
Figure 2. Metabolic disease score for marker of metabolic health. A. PCA of metabolic
measures in fasting blood of 164 men and women: 113 participants described in this paper
along with 51 individuals recruited at the same time and under the same exclusion criteria as
study participants. Metabolic disease score is calculated as the PC1 coordinates shifted to a
minimum of one and log transformed. **B.** Metabolic disease scores broken up by cohort. The
percentages noted above groups are the percent of individuals with a score above our
metabolic impairment cutoff (Supplemental Figure 1). There is no significant difference between
the proportions in each group (Fischer's exact test, p = 0.11) or between mean ranks in each
group (Kruskal-Wallis test p = 0.13). **C.** Relationships between metabolic disease score and age
stratified by cohort. Statistical significance of slopes are indicated and were calculated with the
linear model: score ~ age + cohort + age*cohort. P-value annotations: ** < 0.01; * < 0.05.

significance was lost after multiple test corrections (Figure 2B). Furthermore, because our HIV-

positive, treated cohorts were significantly older than our HIV-negative MSM and HIV-positive,

untreated MSM, we used a linear model to explore differences in the metabolic disease score

across cohorts while accounting for age (Figure 2C). This score was positively associated with

age only in HIV-negative MSM and HIV-positive, untreated MSM (Figure 2C; linear model; p <

0.001 and p = 0.036 respectively) and only HIV-negative MSM had significantly higher

metabolic disease score compared to HIV-negative MSW when accounting for age (linear

model; p < 0.001).

<u>Selection of Features that Predict the Metabolic Disease Score and Interactions Between</u>

<u>Selected Features</u>

To explore the complex relationships of the gut microbiome, peripheral immune activation, and

diet to the metabolic disease score and to each other, we first selected features that were

important predictors of the metabolic score using the tool VSURF (Variable Selection Using

Random Forest) tool (35). The VSURF implementation of random forest is optimized for feature

selection, returning all features that are highly predictive of the response variable, even when a

smaller subset of highly predictive variables with redundant features removed could be just as

accurate for prediction (35). We input the following features into the VSURF tool: 1) 130 microbial

features (99% identity Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) with highly co-correlated OTUs

binned into modules as described in the methods (detailed in Supplemental Table 1) and filtered

to OTUs only in >20% of samples). 2) 21 immune features that were measured in plasma using

multiplex ELISA (detailed in Supplemental Table 2). These immune measures were selected

based on a literature search for those previously shown to be altered in HIV infection and/or

metabolic disease. 3) 21 clinical/demographic features that were collected in questionnaires or

measured in study participants such as age, BMI, HIV infection and treatment status, typical

gastrointestinal symptoms including constipation, diarrhea and bloating, and sexual behavior

(detailed in Supplemental Table 3). 4) 29 dietary features that were collected using a food

frequency questionnaire of typical dietary intake over the prior year as detailed in the methods.

From the initial 201 measures, VSURF identified 69 important variables (four clinical data

measures, six diet measures, 14 immune measures, 45 microbes) and a subset of ten highly

predictive variables (Supplemental Table 4). These 69 features were sufficient to accurately

predict metabolic disease score using traditional random forest (linear model: r^2 = 31.05%, p <

0.001). Additionally, permutation testing revealed that VSURF performed better at selecting

explanatory variables than a null model where the outcome was randomly permuted (permutation

test; p = 0.049, Supplemental Figure 2). We found that 21 of the 69 selected variables were

positively or negatively correlated with the metabolic disease score, indicating either increased or

decreased risk respectively (Spearman rank correlation, FDR p < 0.1, Supplemental Table 4).

Since random forest can detect non-linear relationships and/or features that are only important

when also considering another feature, it is not surprising that all features were not correlated

linearly with the metabolic disease score.

All VSURF selected clinical measures were positively correlated with metabolic disease score

and included age, BMI, lipodystrophy, and bloating (Supplemental Table 4). None of the six

selected diet measures correlated with metabolic disease score (Supplemental Table 4). VSURF

selected several inflammatory immune measures that were positively correlated with metabolic

disease score: LBP, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), interleukin (IL) 16, IL-12, and

granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (Supplemental Table 4). The most

important feature as determined by random forest importance score was LBP.

Diet, the microbiome, and immune phenotypes can all influence each other (Figure 1). They can

also relate to the measured clinical/demographic factors that we had identified as predictors of

the metabolic disease score such as BMI and age. For this reason, we also investigated the

relationship between the 69 important factors using pairwise Spearman rank correlation and

network visualization (Figure 3, Supplemental Figure 3, Supplemental Table 5). This approach

revealed many within data type associations such as positive correlations within many selected

dietary, microbiome, and immune features. It also identified correlations between data types such

as a negative relationship between LBP and several Gram-negative bacteria or a positive

correlation between age and immune measures such as LBP or IL-6 (Figure 3A, Supplemental

Table 5).

The selected important microbes included many that positively or negatively correlated with the

metabolic disease score (Supplemental Table 4) and that were highly co-correlated with each

other and with dietary, clinical/demographic, and inflammatory phenotypes (Supplemental Figure

3A, Supplemental Table 5). For example, a module of bacteria identified within the *Prevotella*

genus and the *Paraprevotellaceae* family*,* negatively associated with metabolic disease score

and positively associated with dietary fiber (Supplemental Figure 3B). Because bacterial

translocation is known to occur at increased levels in both HIV-positive individuals (36) and HR-

MSM (26), we were specifically interested in looking at the selected microbes and other features

that correlated with LBP, a marker of bacterial translocation. The network of LBP neighbors is

shown in Figure 3B. All of the microbes correlating with LBP were classified to the order

Clostridiales. More specifically, LBP is negatively correlated with several butyrate or putative

butyrate producing bacteria/bacterial modules such as OTUs in the genera *Coprococcus* (37, 38).

LBP was also positively correlated with *Dorea* species (Supplemental Table 5).

In addition to correlations, we evaluated interactions between variables using the tool iRF

(iterative Random Forest)(39). These interactions represent variables that are in adjacent nodes

247
Figure 3. Networks of selected measures reveal several strong associations with metabolic disease score and between measures. Correlation sub-networks of **A.** all the non-microbe selected measures. **B.** the nearest neighbors of LBP. All Spearman rank correlations with an FDR p < 0.25 are shown. Subnetworks were pulled from a larger network of all VSURF selected measures (Supplemental Figure 3, Supplemental Table 2). **C.** Network of interactions between measures calculated using iRF. All edges represent an interaction (i.e. proximity in a decision tree) that occurred in 30% or more of the decision trees.

in a random forest tree in which the value of one influences the predictability of the other.

Interactions between variables in more than 30% of the trees were kept for further analysis (Figure

3C). This analysis identified a group of 5 interactive features: LBP, age, BMI, an OTU in the

Lachnospiraceae family, and microbiome module 24 (*Coprococcus* sp. and *Blautia* sp.). These

features were also significantly correlated with LBP and suggest a subset of features that when

taken together may be predictive of metabolic disease risk.

The Plasma Metabolome as a Potential Mechanism of Microbial Influence on Metabolic Disease

Score in ART-treated HIV-positive Individuals with and without LD

To pursue a further mechanistic understanding of how the gut microbiome may influence the

metabolic disease score in PLWH, we performed untargeted metabolomics (LC/MS) on plasma

from our cohort of ART-treated, HIV-positive individuals with and without LD (n=44). Metabolite

identities were then validated using untargeted MS/MS. We used two approaches to determine

which plasma metabolites were either directly produced or indirectly influenced by the presence

of a microbiome. First, metabolites found in the human plasma were run through the

computational tool AMON (40), which uses the KEGG database (41) and inferred metagenomes

(calculated using PICRUSt2 (42)) to determine which of the measured metabolites could have

been produced by the microbiome. Second, plasma from both germ free (GF) and humanized

mice was analyzed using metabolomics to determine metabolites that had significantly altered

levels in mice with human microbiomes compared to GF mice, i.e. microbiome influenced

metabolites. For this purpose, GF mice were gavaged using fecal samples from eight men from

the study cohort (humanized mice) while two mice were gavaged using PBS as control

(Supplemental Table 6). Plasma was collected before and after gavage. All mice were fed a high-

fat western diet.

We found that 820 metabolites were different in abundance between GF and humanized mice

after multiple test corrections (Student's t-test, FDR p < 0.05), 493 of which were also present in

the human plasma samples. However, only 376 of these 493 metabolites could be annotated (see

Methods and Supplemental Table 7) while the remaining 148 were only assigned a mass. From

the full set of 5,332 metabolites identified in the human plasma, 416 were able to be annotated

with KEGG IDs. These were further analyzed using AMON. 146 microbiome-associated

metabolites were identified that are putatively produced by the gut microbiome; however, many

of these could also be produced by the host. Twenty-six of the 134 microbiome-associated

metabolites identified by AMON were also identified in the gnotobiotic mouse analysis

(Supplemental Table 7).

Of the 5,332 total measured metabolites in the human samples, 150 correlated with metabolic

score (Spearman rank correlation, FDR p < 0.05; Supplemental Table 8). Of these 68 could not

be annotated. The annotated correlated compounds were enriched in a number of different

metabolic pathways with both the Phospholipid and the Glycerolipid pathways of the Small

Molecule Pathway Database (SMDPH)(43) being highly enriched (Supplemental Table 9).

Consistent with the metabolic score being defined in part by dyslipidemia, 17 of the significant

compounds were annotated as triglycerides. Of the 150 correlated metabolites, seven were

associated with the microbiome either because they were predicted microbial products of the gut

microbiome (as determined with AMON (40)), because they were significantly different while

comparing the metabolome of germ-free mice to that of mice colonized with the feces of study

participants, or by literature search. We confirmed the identity of 5 of the 7 of these with MS/MS

(Supplemental Table 8). Of these seven microbiome-associated metabolites, two could

exclusively be explained by direct production by the microbiome. Specifically, dehydroalanine was

identified as a microbial product with AMON and negatively correlated with metabolic disease

score (Supplemental Table 8). Bacteriohopane-32,33,34,35-tetrol is a bacterial metabolite that

positively correlated with the metabolic disease score. Two additional microbiome-associated

compounds were triglycerides (TG(54:6) and TG (16:0/18:2.20:4)) that were positively correlated

with metabolic disease score and elevated in humanized mice compared to GF mice. Another of

these metabolites, 1-Linleoyl-2-oleoyl-rac-glycerol is a 1,2-diglyceride in the triglyceride

biosynthesis   pathway.   Finally,   phosphatidylcholine   (PC(17:0/18:2))   and

phosphatidylethanolamine (PE(20:3/18:0)) compounds were identified as microbiome associated

and positively and negatively correlated with the metabolic disease score respectively (44).

Discussion

In this study, we identified several bacterial, diet, and immune measures that predicted higher

metabolic disease score in a cohort of MSM with and without HIV, ART, and LD. Notably, we

identified a strong relationship between circulating LBP and higher metabolic disease score which

in turn correlated with other markers of systemic inflammation, a loss of beneficial microbes such

as Gram-positive, butyrate-producing bacteria, and higher BMI, indicating that diverse modifiable

factors may influence LPS/inflammation driven metabolic disease in this population.

There was a positive association between metabolic disease score and age, as has been reported

previously for non-HIV populations (45), but linear modeling suggested that this relationship was

driven by an association in HIV-negative MSM and HIV-positive untreated MSM in our study,

revealing a possibly larger effect size than in our other cohorts. Also, when controlling for age,

HIV-negative and positive untreated MSM had the highest metabolic disease score, even

compared to HIV positive individuals on ART with LD, a population that has previously been

reported to have higher incidence (46). This result is intriguing given our results supporting a role

for LBP driven inflammation in metabolic disease and prior research linking increased levels of

LPS in blood with high-risk behavior in MSM (26). Larger cohorts and more detailed behavior

information are required, however, to make any definitive claims on impaired metabolic health in

ageing in HR-MSM.

Consistent with prior studies that have associated high BMI with dyslipidemia, insulin resistance,

and/or metabolic syndrome (9, 47, 48), BMI was a positive predictor of metabolic disease score

in our cohort even though our study excluded obese individuals, but did include overweight. This

suggests the importance of weight management even among overweight, non-obese individuals

as a strategy for reducing metabolic health impairment in this population.

We did not find a positive association between ART treatment status and metabolic disease score,

but this may be because individuals in our study were on a wide variety of drug combinations with

the potential to have varied/contrasting effects. For instance, both integrase stand transfer

inhibitors (ISTI) (49) and regimens including the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI)

tenofovir have been shown to increase risk of weight gain (50). Conversely, the CCR5 antagonist,

maraviroc, may confer a benefit to cardiovascular function and body weight maintenance and

evidence in mice suggests that this may be linked to differences in gut microbiome composition

with treatment (21, 51). Thus, future studies more targeted to particular ART regimes will be

required to look at factors important in particular drug contexts.

Several of the dietary components identified as important predictors of metabolic disease score

in our cohort have been previously associated with metabolic health, including dietary carotenoid,

lycopene, and fiber (52-56). Fiber's benefit in glucose response has been linked with the activity

of *Prevotella copri*. Individuals who had improved glucose response upon 3 days of a high-fiber

diet consumption were characterized by a higher increase in *P. copri* (55) and beneficial effects

of *P. copri* were confirmed in mice fed a high-fiber diet (55). Interactions between *Prevotella*,

dietary fiber, and metabolic health were of particular interest in this cohort of HIV positive and

negative MSM since these individuals have much higher Prevotella, including *P. copri*, than in

non-MSM (16, 18). However, other published studies suggested that high Prevotella might predict

increased risk of metabolic disease. One group observed that *P. copri* in mice fed a western diet

low in fiber could promote poor glucose response through the production of branched chain amino

acids (BCAAs) (12). Additionally, our prior study using *in vitro* stimulations of human immune cells

with fecal bacteria of HIV positive and negative MSM indicated that the Prevotella-rich

microbiomes of MSM could drive systemic inflammation (15). Interestingly, in our data, a module

of three OTUs, two of which are identified as within the genus *Prevotella* and the other within the

family *Paraprevotellaceae,* negatively associated with metabolic disease score and positively

associated with dietary fiber (Supplemental Figure 3B), supporting a relationship between

particular *Prevotella* strains and dietary fiber towards improved metabolic health, and not

supporting deleterious effects. Further work will be needed to decompose the complex

relationship between dietary fiber, particular Prevotella strains, and metabolic health in HIV

positive and negative MSM with unique Prevotella-dominated communities.

LBP was the most important feature in the random forest analysis and also a highly interactive

measure in the iRF analysis. LBP binds to both microbial LPS and lipoteichoic acid (LTA) in the

blood (57) and the presence of elevated LBP is indicative of increased intestinal barrier

permeability (58). LBP levels were correlated with other inflammatory markers that have been

linked with worse metabolic health in HIV-negative populations suggesting a role as a central

mediator of metabolic-disease associated immune phenotypes. These included 1) I-CAM 1,

whose expression in adipose tissue has been associated with diet-induced obesity in mice (59)

and metabolic syndrome in humans (60) 2) IL-6, a pro-inflammatory cytokine that has been shown

to play a direct role in insulin resistance (61), and 3) SAA, which is regulated in part by IL-6 and

plays a role in cholesterol metabolism (60); SSA3 specifically has been shown to be produced in

response to gut bacteria in obesity mice (62). We observed a positive association between

metabolic disease score and frequency of abdominal bloating, further supporting a role of

intestinal dysfunction in this population. Taken together these associations suggest that

inflammation originating from an impaired intestinal barrier is promoting worse metabolic health.

Although prior studies have connected LBP-associated inflammation with worse metabolic health

(27, 28, 63); the strength of this relationship is disease specific with less clear results in obesity-

associated metabolic disease (29, 30). An importance of bacterial translocation in HIV-associated

metabolic syndrome was demonstrated in a recent study of metabolic comorbidities in HIV-

positive individuals which found that lower CD4 nadir and/or AIDS events, HIV-associated

microbiota, and low alpha diversity was correlated with increases in sCD14 and LBP and increase

risk of metabolic syndrome (31). Additionally, in our study LBP was correlated with age and BMI,

a relationship that was previously observed in a cohort of HIV-negative men of African ancestry

with this trio being further associated with adiposity and pre-diabetes (64). Lastly, the negative

association of LBP with putative butyrate producing bacteria suggests that a lack of microbes that

promote intestinal barrier integrity contributes to increased intestinal permeability and thus

microbial components in circulating blood.

In our metabolomic analysis, we identified 150 metabolites in blood that correlated with metabolic

disease score. In order to identify compounds whose prevalence may be related to the gut

microbiome we used two complimentary approaches. First, we predicted which of these

compounds could have been produced by the microbiome using information in KEGG and the

bioinformatics tool AMON (40). Second, we measured which compounds changed in relative

abundance in germ-free versus mice colonized with feces from our study cohort. The AMON

analysis allows us to specifically evaluate which compounds could have been directly produced

by the gut microbiome but is limited by a lack of KEGG annotations for many compounds (40).

The gnotobiotic mouse experiments can identify microbial influence in unannotated compounds

but cannot differentiate between direct production/consumption by microbes versus indirect

influence. The results will also be influenced by physiological differences between mice and

humans and the incomplete colonization of human microbes in humanized mice. Although these

weaknesses may have led us to underestimate which of the 150 metabolic disease associated

compounds may have been related to the microbiome, it still identified compounds that supported

a mechanistic link between gut microbes, metabolites, and metabolic disease in HIV-infected

individuals on ART.

Firstly, we found a negative correlation between the microbially-produced non-canonical amino

acid, dehydroalanine, and metabolic disease score. Dehydroalanine is a component of lantibiotics

that are active against Gram-positive bacteria. We observed Gram-positive *Dorea* to positively

correlate with LBP, suggesting a role of lantibiotics in regulating our proposed LBP-centered

metabolic disease in this population.

Secondly, we observed that bacteriohopane-32,33,34,35-tetrol positively correlated with the

metabolic disease score. This compound has been found to be a lipoxygenase inhibitor that

prevents the formation of hydroxyicosatetraenoic acid and various leukotrienes from arachidonic

acid (65), which have been linked with the development of cardiovascular disease and metabolic

syndrome (66). This association of a potentially protective metabolite increased in metabolic

impairment seems counterintuitive; however, it may be indicative of larger systemic changes in

arachidonic acid metabolism and is worthy of further exploration.

Thirdly, we identified a PC and a PE associated with both the microbiome and metabolic disease

score. Changes in PCs and/or PEs have been previously implicated in atherosclerosis, insulin

resistance and obesity (44). AMON analysis indicated that both PCs and PEs can be synthesized

by intestinal bacteria; however, these compounds can also be synthesized in the host and may

be found in the diet. In our analysis, PE(18:1/20:1) levels were higher in colonized compared to

germ-free mice indicating that intestinal bacteria do influence overall levels despite diverse

potential sources.

Lastly, we observed increased levels of several plasma triglycerides in the humanized compared

to germ-free mice, including two plasma triglycerides that were significantly associated with

metabolic disease score. This confirms the influence of the gut microbiome on host plasma

triglycerides (67-69). However, we did not find any strong associations between these

triglycerides and specific microbes within our dataset, indicating a potential need for studies

conducted in larger cohorts or with shotgun metagenomics to look for functional correlates.

In conclusion, we observed a relationship between diet, gut microbiome, plasma metabolome,

and peripheral immune markers of inflammation and metabolic disease in MSM. These data pull

together several previously described relationships between pairs of these compartments

observed in other populations. However, in this work we demonstrate both a novel collection of

measures (microbiome, peripheral immune signaling, peripheral metabolites, demographic and

diet information) and a novel approach for integrating several host compartments in order to

examine a more complex system and applied it to the little studied population of HIV negative and

positive MSM with and without LD. Our results suggest that an overall gut environment driven by

low fiber, key vitamins, and microbes that promote intestinal barrier integrity and high in potentially

pathogenic bacteria may work in conjunction to increase levels of LBP and other inflammatory

cytokines to drive poor metabolic health. These results illuminate potential microbiome-targeted

therapies and personalized diet recommendation given an interacting set of gut microbes and

other host factors. Understanding these relationships further may provide novel treatments to

improve the metabolic disease and inflammatory outcomes of MSM living with HIV.

Methods

Subject Recruitment

Methods

Subject Recruitment

Participants were residents of the Denver, Colorado metropolitan area and the study was

conducted at the Clinical Translational Research Center of the University of Colorado Hospital.

The study was reviewed and approved by the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board and

informed consent was obtained from all participants. For detailed criteria on recruitment of our

five cohorts (HIV negative MSW; HIV negative MSM; HIV positive, ART naïve MSM; HIV positive

ART-treated MSM with LD; and HIV positive ART-treated MSM without LD) see supplemental

methods.

Feces, a fasting blood sample, and clinical surveys were collected from participants in order to

obtain analytes for the study design outlined in Figure 3 (Supplemental Table 2). To evaluate

metabolic health, we measured seven common clinical markers from fasting blood: triglycerides,

glucose, insulin, LDL, HDL, leptin, and adiponectin. Additional information about relevant clinical

measures such as probiotic use were also collected via a questionnaire and study participants

also filled out information on typical frequency of high-risk sexual practices and on typical levels

of gastrointestinal issues such as bloating, constipation, nausea and diarrhea.

Diet Data FFQ Collection

Typical dietary consumption over the prior year was collected using Diet History Questionnaire II

(70). Diet composition was processed using the Diet*Calc software and the

dhq2.database.092914 database (71). All reported values are based on USDA nutrition

guidelines. Reported dietary levels were normalized per 1000 kcal. To reduce the number of

comparisons within the diet survey data, we binned highly co-correlating groups of measures

within the data types into modules (Supplemental Table 3). These modules were defined using

the tool, SCNIC (72).

<u>Immune Data Collection</u>

Whole blood was collected in sodium heparin vacutainers and centrifuged at 1700rpm for 10 minutes for plasma collection. Plasma was aliquoted into 1mL microcentrifuge tubes and stored at -80. For ELISA preparation, plasma was thawed, kept cold, and centrifuged at 2000xg for 20 minutes before ELISA plating. Markers for sCD14, sCD163, and FABP-2 were measured from plasma using standard ELISA kits from R&D Systems (DC140, DC1630 &DFBP20). Positive testing controls for each ELISA kit were also included (R&D Systems QC20, QC61, & QC213). LBP was measured by standard ELISA using Hycult Biotech kit HK315-02. Markers for IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, MCP-1, and IL-22 were measured using Meso Scale Discovery's U-PLEX Biomarker Group 1 multiplex kit K15067L-1. Markers for SAA, VCAM-1, ICAM-1, and CRP were measured using Meso Scale Discovery's V-Plex Plus Vascular Injury Panel 2 multiplex kit K15198G-1. Vascular Injury Control Pack 1 C4198-1 was utilized as a positive control for this assay. Markers for GM-CSF, IL-7, IL-12/23p40, IL-15, IL-16, IL-17A, TNF-β, and VEGF were measured using Meso Scale Discovery's V-Plex Plus Cytokine Panel 1 multiplex kit K151A0H-1. Cytokine Panel 1 Control Pack C4050-1 was utilized as a positive control for this assay. Plasma samples were diluted per manufacturer's recommendation for all assays. Standard ELISA kit plates were measured using a Vmax® Kinetic Microplate Reader with Softmax® Pro Software from Molecular Devices LLC. Multiplex ELISA kits from Meso Scale Discovery were measured using the QuickPlex SQ 120 with Discovery Workbench 4.0 software.

<u>Gnotobiotic Mouse Protocols</u>

Germ-free C57/BL6 mice were purchased from Taconic and bred and maintained in flexible film isolator bubbles, fed with standard mouse chow. Three days before they were gavaged, male mice between 5-7 weeks of age were switched over to a western high-fat diet and were fed this diet for the remainder of the experiment. Diets were all obtained from Envigo (Indiana): Standard

chow - Teklad global soy protein-free extruded (item 2920X -

https://www.envigo.com/resources/data-sheets/2020x-datasheet-0915.pdf), Western Diet – New

Total Western Diet (item TD.110919). See Supplemental Table 10 for detailed diet composition.

Mice were gavaged with 200 µL of fecal solutions prepared from 1.5 g of donor feces mixed in 3

mL of anaerobic PBS (19). Mice were housed individually following gavage for three weeks in a

Tecniplast iso-positive caging system, with each cage having HEPA filters and positive

pressurization for bioexclusion. Feces were collected from mice at day 21 for 16S rRNA gene

sequencing. Mice were euthanized at 21 days post gavage using isoflurane overdose and all

efforts were made to minimize suffering. Blood from euthanized animals was collected using

cardiac puncture and cells were pelleted in K2-EDTA tubes; plasma was then aliquoted and

stored at -80° C.

Metabolomics Methods

Plasma Sample Preparation

A modified liquid-liquid extraction protocol was used to extract hydrophobic and hydrophilic

compounds from the plasma samples (73). Briefly, 50 µL of plasma spiked with internal standards

underwent a protein crash with 250 µL ice cold methanol. 750 µL methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)

and 650 µL 25% methanol in water were added to extract the hydrophobic and hydrophilic

compounds, respectively. 500 µL of the upper hydrophobic layer and 400 µL of the lower

hydrophilic layer were transferred to separate autosampler vials and dried under nitrogen. The

hydrophobic layer was reconstituted with 100 µL of methanol and the hydrophilic layer was

reconstituted with 50 µL 5% acetonitrile in water. Both fractions were stored at -80 °C until LC/MS

analysis.

Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry

The hydrophobic fractions were analyzed using reverse phase chromatography on an Agilent

Technologies (Santa Clara, CA) 1290 ultra-high precision liquid chromatography (UHPLC)

system on an Agilent Zorbax Rapid Resolution HD SB-C18, 1.8um (2.1 x 100mm) analytical

column as previously described (73, 74). The hydrophilic fractions were analyzed using

hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) on a 1290 UHPLC system using an Agilent

InfinityLab Poroshell 120 HILIC-Z (2.1 x 100mm) analytical column with gradient conditions as

previously described (75) with mass spectrometry modifications as follows: nebulizer pressure:

35psi, gas flow: 12L/min, sheath gas temperature: 275C, sheath gas flow: 12L/min, nozzle

voltage: 250V, Fragmentor: 100V. The hydrophobic and hydrophilic fractions were run on Agilent

Technologies (Santa Clara, CA) 6545 Quadrupole Time of Flight (QTOF) mass spectrometer.

Both fractions were run in positive electrospray ionization (ESI) mode

Mass Spectrometry Data Processing

Compound data was extracted using Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA) MassHunter

Profinder Version 10 software in combination with Agilent Technologies Mass Profiler

Professional Version 14.9 (MPP) as described previously (40). Briefly, Batch Molecular Feature

Extraction (BMFE) was used in Profinder to extract compound data from all samples and sample

preparation blanks. The following BMFE parameters were used to group individual molecular

features into compounds: charge state 1-2, with +H, +Na, +NH4 and/or +K charge carriers. To

reduce the presence of missing values, a theoretical mass and retention time database was

generated for compounds present in samples only from a compound exchange format (.cef) file.

This .cef file was then used to re-mine the raw sample data in Profinder using Batch Targeted

Feature Extraction.

An in-house database containing KEGG, METLIN, Lipid Maps, and HMDB spectral data was used

to putatively annotate metabolites based on accurate mass (≤ 10 ppm), isotope ratios and isotopic

distribution. This corresponds to a Metabolomics Standards Initiative metabolite identification

level three (76). To improve compound identification, statistically significant compounds

underwent tandem MS using 10, 20, and 40V. Fragmentation patterns of identified compounds

were matched to either NIST14 and NIST17 MSMS libraries, or to the *in silico* libraries, MetFrag

(77) and Lipid Annotator 1.0 (Agilent) (78).

Microbiome-associated metabolites

Microbiome-associated metabolites were defined using metabolites identified as significantly

different in abundance between germ-free compared to humanized gnotobiotic mice and/or

metabolites identified as microbially produced by the tool AMON (40).

For the gnotobiotic mouse analysis aqueous and lipid metabolites were analyzed separately (see

mouse protocol above for details on experimental set-up). Metabolites that were present in <20%

of samples were filtered out before analysis. Significant difference was determined using a

Student's t-test with FDR p-value correction. FDR-corrected p values < 0.05 were deemed

significant. Significant metabolites also present in the human samples were retained for further

analysis.

For the AMON-identified metabolites, the tool used an inferred metagenome, which was

calculated using the PICRUSt2 QIIME2 plugin (42) and default parameters; a list of all identified

KEGG IDs from the metabolite data (see metabolome methods); and KEGG flat files (downloaded

2019/06/10). AMON determined metabolites observed that could be produced by the given

genome. These metabolites were kept for analysis in addition to the gnotobiotic mouse identified

metabolites. Those without any putative classification were removed from analysis.

Microbiome Methods

Sample Collection, Extraction, and Sequencing

Stool samples were collected by the patient within 24 hours prior to their clinic visit on sterile

swabs dipped into a full fecal sample deposited into a commode specimen collector. Samples

were kept cold or frozen at -20°C during transport prior to being stored at -80°C. DNA was

extracted using the standard DNeasy PowerSoil Kit protocol (Qiagen). Extracted DNA was PCR

amplified with barcoded primers targeting the V4 region of 16S rRNA gene according to the Earth

Microbiome Project 16S Illumina Amplicon protocol with the 515F:806R primer constructs (79).

Control sterile swab samples that had undergone the same DNA extraction and PCR amplification

procedures were also processed. Each PCR product was quantified using PicoGreen (Invitrogen),

and equal amounts (ng) of DNA from each sample were pooled and cleaned using the UltraClean

PCR Clean-Up Kit (MoBio). Sequences were generated on six runs on a MiSeq sequencing

platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

Microbiome Sequence Processing and Analysis

Microbiome processing was performed using QIIME2 version 2018.8.0 (80). Data was sequenced

across five sequencing runs. Each run was demultiplex and denoised separately using the

DADA2 q2 plugin (81). Individual runs were then merged together and 99% *de novo* OTUs were

defined using vSEARCH (82). Features were classified using the skLearn classifier in QIIME2

with a classifier that was pre-trained on GreenGenes13_8 (83). The phylogenetic tree was

building using the SEPP plugin (84). Features that did not classify at the phylum level or were

classified as mitochondria or chloroplast were filtered from the analysis. Samples were rarefied

at 19,986 reads. To reduce the number of comparisons within the microbiome, we binned highly

co-correlating groups of measures within the data types into modules (Supplemental Table 1).

These modules were defined using the tool, SCNIC (72). For statistical analysis features present

in <20% of samples were filtered out.

Bioinformatics

Module definition

Modules were called on microbiome and diet data. Modules were defined using the tool SCNIC

(72). The q2-SCNIC plugin was used with default parameters for the microbiome data and

standalone SCNIC was used for the diet data (https://github.com/shafferm/SCNIC). Specifically,

for each data type SCNIC was used to first identify pairwise correlations between all features.

Pearson correlation was used for diet and SparCC (85), which takes into account

compositionality, was used for microbiome data to. Modules were then selected with a shared

minimum distance (SMD) algorithm. The SMD method defines modules by first applying complete

linkage hierarchical clustering to correlation coefficients to make a tree of features. Modules are

defined as subtrees where all pairwise correlations between all pairs of tips have an R value

greater than defined minimum. The diet modules were defined using a Pearson r^2 cutoff of 0.75.

The microbiome modules were defined using a SparCC minimum r cutoff of 0.35. To summarize

modules SCNIC uses a simple summation of count data from all features in a module. Application

of SCNIC reduced the number of evaluated features from 6,913 to 6,818 for microbiome and 59

to 29 for diet data.

Statistical Analysis

All statistics were performed in R. For non-parametric tests Spearman rank correlation and

Kruskal-Wallis test were used. For parametric tests linear models and Student's t-test were used.

Data analysis tools

Metabolic disease score was calculated using PCA in R with prcomp. Data was scaled using

default method within the prcomp library. All random forest analysis tools were used in R.

Standard random forest was performed using randomForest. Variable selection was performed

in R using the tool VSURF (35). Interaction analysis was performed in R using the tool iRF (39).

Data Availability

All data will be publicly available upon publishing. Microbiome data in QIITA (https://qiita.ucsd.edu) Study ID 13338 and available upon request and will be publicly available in EBI/ENA (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) upon publishing. Immune and diet data are available along with the microbiome data as associated metadata. Metabolomics data will be available on Metabolomic Workbench (https://www.metabolomicsworkbench.org) upon publishing. Until publicly available it is available upon request.

Statements

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our study participants for contributing their samples and time to this study. We would also like to thank Christine Griesmer for her contributions to subject recruitment and Brandi Wagner and Maggie Stanislawski for insights into statistical analysis methods.

Funding sources

This work was funded by R01 DK104047 and R01 DK108366 with additional support from NIH/NCATS Colorado CTSA Grant Number UL1 TR002535. High performance computing was supported by a cluster at the University of Colorado Boulder funded by National Institutes of Health 1S10OD012300. AJS Armstrong was supported by T32 AI052066. Contents are the authors' sole responsibility and do not necessarily represent official NIH views.

Declarations of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions

AJSA analyzed and interpreted all data. AJSA and CAL wrote the manuscript. NR guided

generation and interpretation of metabolomics data. KQ and KAD prepared, ran, and processed

metabolomics. KQ ran metabolic pathway analysis. SXL prepared and conducted mouse

experiments. JMS ran immunological assays. NMN prepared and ran sequencing and

coordinated fecal sample and metadata collection from study subjects. SF recruited subjects,

collected samples, and maintained regulatory compliance. TJM and JH collected and aided in

interpretation and processing of diet data. CAL, BEP, and TC conceptualized and led the study.TC

guided all clinical data collection and subject recruitment and provided clinical insight into study

populations. BEP guided generation and interpretation of immune data. CAL guided microbiome

data generation and multi'omic data analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Supplemental Tables

Supplemental Table 1. Microbiome modules calculated by SCNIC

Supplemental Table 2. Study measures by datatype

Supplemental Table 3. Diet survey data modules calculated by SCNIC

Supplemental Table 4. VSURF-selected features and correlation with metabolic disease score

Supplemental Table 5. Edge table for VSURF-selected inter-variable correlations

Supplemental Table 6. Gnotobiotic mouse experiment set-up

Supplemental Table 7. Microbiome-associated metabolites list and source of identification

Supplemental Table 8. Metabolites correlating with metabolic score

Supplemental Table 9. mBrole pathway analysis results

Supplemental Table 10. Western diet for gnotobiotic mice

Supplemental Figures

Supplemental Figure 1. Metabolic Score Cutoff Calculations using regressions between metabolic disease score and the metrics used in the PCA analysis. Triglycerides, fasting glucose, HDL and LDL have well-define clinical cut-offs for high values and were used to calculate the healthy-unhealth cutoff. Linear model was calculated modeling metabolic disease score by each marker. The high value intercept of the regression line is marked with a dotted line and value annotated on the plot. The solid line is the defined healthy-unhealthy cutoff calculated as the mean of the four cutoff values. P values are from the linear model.

707
Supplemental Figure 2. Histogram of percent variation explained in permuted VSURF.
Metabolic disease score was permuted 1,000 times and passed through VSURF. The resulting
variables were run through a standard random forest and the percent variation explained was
calculated. The blue line represents the percent variation explained for the true VSURF. P value
was calculated using a one tailed test.

713
714 **Supplemental Figure 3. Correlation network of VSURF-selected variables.** Correlation
715 network of **A)** all VSURF-selected variables and **B)** neighboring nodes of dietary fiber. All
716 Spearman rank correlations with an FDR p < 0.25 are shown. See Supplemental Table 5 for the
717 edge table and Supplemental Table 4 for the node table.

References

1. Non LR, Escota GV, Powderly WG. 2016. HIV and its relationship to insulin resistance and lipid abnormalities. Translational Research 183:41-56.
2. Nix LM, Tien PC. 2014. Metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk in HIV. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 11:271-8.
3. Lake JE, Li X, Palella FJ, Jr., Erlandson KM, Wiley D, Kingsley L, Jacobson LP, Brown TT. 2018. Metabolic health across the BMI spectrum in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected men. AIDS 32:49-57.
4. Willig AL, Overton ET. 2016. Metabolic Complications and Glucose Metabolism in HIV Infection: A Review of the Evidence. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 13:289-96.
5. Monczor AN, Li X, Palella FJ, Jr., Erlandson KM, Wiley D, Kingsley LA, Post WS, Jacobson LP, Brown TT, Lake JE. 2018. Systemic Inflammation Characterizes Lack of Metabolic Health in Nonobese HIV-Infected Men. Mediators Inflamm 2018:5327361.
6. Funderburg NT, Mehta NN. 2016. Lipid Abnormalities and Inflammation in HIV Inflection. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 13:218-25.
7. Beltran LM, Rubio-Navarro A, Amaro-Villalobos JM, Egido J, Garcia-Puig J, Moreno JA. 2015. Influence of immune activation and inflammatory response on cardiovascular risk associated with the human immunodeficiency virus. Vasc Health Risk Manag 11:35-48.
8. Tsiodras S, Mantzoros C, Hammer S, Samore M. 2000. Effects of Protease Inhibitors on Hyperglycemia, Hyperlipidemia, and Lipodystrophy A 5-Year Cohort Study. Arch Intern Med 160:2050-2056.
9. Galescu O, Bhangoo A, Ten S. 2013. Insulin resistance, lipodystrophy and cardiometabolic syndrome in HIV/AIDS. Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders 14:133-140.
10. Tilg H, Kaser A. 2011. Gut microbiome, obesity, and metabolic dysfunction. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 121:2126-2132.
11. Sonnenburg JL, Bäckhed F. 2016. Diet–microbiota interactions as moderators of human metabolism. Nature 535:56-64.
12. Pedersen HK, Gudmundsdottir V, Nielsen HB, Hyotylainen T, Nielsen T, Jensen BA, Forslund K, Hildebrand F, Prifti E, Falony G, Le Chatelier E, Levenez F, Dore J, Mattila I, Plichta DR, Poho P, Hellgren LI, Arumugam M, Sunagawa S, Vieira-Silva S, Jorgensen T, Holm JB, Trost K, Meta HITC, Kristiansen K, Brix S, Raes J, Wang J, Hansen T, Bork P, Brunak S, Oresic M, Ehrlich SD, Pedersen O. 2016. Human gut microbes impact host serum metabolome and insulin sensitivity. Nature 535:376-81.
13. Matey-Hernandez ML, Williams FMK, Potter T, Valdes AM, Spector TD, Menni C. 2018. Genetic and microbiome influence on lipid metabolism and dyslipidemia. Physiol Genomics 50:117-126.
14. Karlsson F, Tremaroli V, Nielsen J, Bäckhed F. 2013. Assessing the human gut microbiota in metabolic diseases. Diabetes 62:3341-9.
15. Neff CP, Krueger O, Xiong K, Arif S, Nusbacher N, Schneider JM, Cunningham AW, Armstrong A, Li S, McCarter MD, Campbell TB, Lozupone CA, Palmer BE. 2018. Fecal Microbiota Composition Drives Immune Activation in HIV-infected Individuals. EBioMedicine 30:192-202.
16. Armstrong AJS, Shaffer M, Nusbacher NM, Griesmer C, Fiorillo S, Schneider JM, Preston Neff C, Li SX, Fontenot AP, Campbell T, Palmer BE, Lozupone CA. 2018. An exploration of Prevotella-rich microbiomes in HIV and men who have sex with men. Microbiome 6:198.
17. Vujkovic-Cvijin I, Somsouk M. 2019. HIV and the Gut Microbiota: Composition, Consequences, and Avenues for Amelioration. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep doi:10.1007/s11904-019-00441-w.

18.   Noguera-Julian M, Rocafort M, Guillén Y, Rivera J, Casadellà M, Nowak P, Hildebrand
F, Zeller G, Parera M, Bellido R, Rodríguez C, Carrillo J, Mothe B, Coll J, Bravo I,
Estany C, Herrero C, Saz J, Sirera G, Torrela A, Navarro J, Crespo M, Brander C,
Negredo E, Blanco J, Guarner F, Calle ML, Bork P, Sönnerborg A, Clotet B, Paredes R.
2016. Gut Microbiota Linked to Sexual Preference and HIV Infection. EBioMedicine
5:135-46.
19.   Li SX, Sen S, Schneider JM, Xiong KN, Nusbacher NM, Moreno-Huizar N, Shaffer M,
Armstrong AJS, Severs E, Kuhn K, Neff CP, McCarter M, Campbell T, Lozupone CA,
Palmer BE. 2019. Gut microbiota from high-risk men who have sex with men drive
immune activation in gnotobiotic mice and in vitro HIV infection. PLoS Pathog
15:e1007611.
20.   Cui HL, Ditiatkovski M, Kesani R, Bobryshev YV, Liu Y, Geyer M, Mukhamedova N,
Bukrinsky M, Sviridov D. 2014. HIV protein Nef causes dyslipidemia and formation of
foam cells in mouse models of atherosclerosis. FASEB Journal 28:2828-2839.
21.   Auclair M, Guenantin AC, Fellahi S, Garcia M, Capeau J. 2020. HIV antiretroviral drugs,
dolutegravir, maraviroc and ritonavir-boosted atazanavir use different pathways to affect
inflammation, senescence and insulin sensitivity in human coronary endothelial cells.
PLoS One 15:e0226924.
22.   Ahmed D, Roy D, Cassol E. 2018. Examining Relationships between Metabolism and
Persistent Inflammation in HIV Patients on Antiretroviral Therapy. Mediators Inflamm
2018:6238978.
23.   Reid M, Ma Y, Scherzer R, Price JC, French AL, Plankey MW, Grunfeld C, Tien PC.
2017. Higher CD163 levels are associated with insulin resistance in hepatitis C virus-
infected and HIV-infected adults. AIDS 31:385-393.
24.   Somsouk M, Estes JD, Deleage C, Dunham RM, Albright R, Inadomi JM, Martin JN,
Deeks SG, McCune JM, Hunt PW. 2015. Gut epithelial barrier and systemic
inflammation during chronic HIV infection. AIDS (London, England) 29:43-51.
25.   Klase Z, Ortiz A, Deleage C, Mudd JC, Quiñones M, Schwartzman E, Klatt NR, Canary
L, Estes JD, Brenchley JM. 2015. Dysbiotic bacteria translocate in progressive SIV
infection. Mucosal Immunology 8:1009-1020.
26.   Palmer CD, Tomassilli J, Sirignano M, Romero-Tejeda M, Arnold KB, Che D,
Lauffenburger DA, Jost S, Allen T, Mayer KH, Altfeld M. 2014. Enhanced immune
activation linked to endotoxemia in HIV-1 seronegative MSM. AIDS 28:2162-6.
27.   Lim PS, Chang YK, Wu TK. 2019. Serum Lipopolysaccharide-Binding Protein is
Associated with Chronic Inflammation and Metabolic Syndrome in Hemodialysis
Patients. Blood Purif 47:28-36.
28.   Hersoug LG, Moller P, Loft S. 2018. Role of microbiota-derived lipopolysaccharide in
adipose tissue inflammation, adipocyte size and pyroptosis during obesity. Nutr Res Rev
31:153-163.
29.   Awoyemi A, Troseid M, Arnesen H, Solheim S, Seljeflot I. 2018. Markers of metabolic
endotoxemia as related to metabolic syndrome in an elderly male population at high
cardiovascular risk: a cross-sectional study. Diabetol Metab Syndr 10:59.
30.   Liu X, Lu L, Yao P, Ma Y, Wang F, Jin Q, Ye X, Li H, Hu FB, Sun L, Lin X. 2014.
Lipopolysaccharide binding protein, obesity status and incidence of metabolic syndrome:
a prospective study among middle-aged and older Chinese. Diabetologia 57:1834-41.
31.   Gelpi M, Vestad B, Hansen SH, Holm K, Drivsholm N, Goetz A, Kirkby NS, Lindegaard
B, Lebech AM, Hoel H, Michelsen AE, Ueland T, Gerstoft J, Lundgren J, Hov JR,
Nielsen SD, Troseid M. 2020. Impact of Hiv-Related Gut Microbiota Alterations on
Metabolic Comorbidities. Clin Infect Dis doi:10.1093/cid/ciz1235.
32.   Hammer SM, Sobieszczyk ME, Janes H, Karuna ST, Mulligan MJ, Grove D, Koblin BA,
Buchbinder SP, Keefer MC, Tomaras GD, Frahm N, Hural J, Anude C, Graham BS,

Enama ME, Adams E, DeJesus E, Novak RM, Frank I, Bentley C, Ramirez S, Fu R,
Koup RA, Mascola JR, Nabel GJ, Montefiori DC, Kublin J, McElrath MJ, Corey L, Gilbert
PB, Team HS. 2013. Efficacy trial of a DNA/rAd5 HIV-1 preventive vaccine. N Engl J
Med 369:2083-92.

33.   Wiley JF, Carrington MJ. 2016. A metabolic syndrome severity score: A tool to quantify
cardio-metabolic risk factors. Prev Med 88:189-95.

34.   Hillier TA, Rousseau A, Lange C, Lepinay P, Cailleau M, Novak M, Calliez E,
Ducimetiere P, Balkau B, Cohort DESIR. 2006. Practical way to assess metabolic
syndrome using a continuous score obtained from principal components analysis.
Diabetologia 49:1528-35.

35.   Genuer R, Poggi J-M, Tuleau-Malot C. 2015. VSURF: An R Package for Variable
Selection Using Random Forests. The R Journal, R Foundation for Statistical Computing
7:12-33.

36.   Marchetti G, Tincati C, Silvestri G. 2013. Microbial translocation in the pathogenesis of
HIV infection and AIDS. Clinical microbiology reviews 26:2-18.

37.   Duncan SH, Holtrop G, Lobley GE, Calder AG, Stewart CS, Flint HJ. 2004. Contribution
of acetate to butyrate formation by human faecal bacteria. Br J Nutr 91:915-23.

38.   Duncan SH, Barcenilla A, Stewart CS, Pryde SE, Flint HJ. 2002. Acetate utilization and
butyryl coenzyme A (CoA):acetate-CoA transferase in butyrate-producing bacteria from
the human large intestine. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:5186-90.

39.   Basu S, Kumbier K, Brown JB, Yu B. 2018. Iterative random forests to discover
predictive and stable high-order interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:1943-1948.

40.   Shaffer M, Thurimella K, Quinn K, Doenges K, Zhang X, Bokatzian S, Reisdorph N,
Lozupone CA. 2019. AMON: annotation of metabolite origins via networks to integrate
microbiome and metabolome data. BMC Bioinformatics 20:614.

41.   Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2017. KEGG: new
perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Research
45:D353-D361.

42.   Douglas GM, Maffei VJ, Zaneveld J, Yurgel SN, Brown JR, Taylor CM, Huttenhower C,
Langille MGI. 2020. PICRUSt2: An improved and extensible approach for metagenome
inference. doi:10.1101/672295.

43.   Frolkis A, Knox C, Lim E, Jewison T, Law V, Hau DD, Liu P, Gautam B, Ly S, Guo AC,
Xia J, Liang Y, Shrivastava S, Wishart DS. 2010. SMPDB: The Small Molecule Pathway
Database. Nucleic Acids Res 38:D480-7.

44.   van der Veen JN, Kennelly JP, Wan S, Vance JE, Vance DE, Jacobs RL. 2017. The
critical role of phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine metabolism in health
and disease. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr 1859:1558-1572.

45.   Verhaegen P, Borchelt M, Smith J. 2003. Relation between cardiovascular and
metabolic disease and cognition in very old age: cross-sectional and longitudinal findings
from the berlin aging study. Health Psychol 22:559-69.

46.   Montessori V, Press N, Harris M, Akagi L, Montaner JSG. 2004. Adverse effects of
antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection. CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal =
journal de l'Association medicale canadienne 170:229-38.

47.   Alexander CM, Landsman PB, Teutsch SM, Haffner SM, Third National H, Nutrition
Examination S, National Cholesterol Education P. 2003. NCEP-defined metabolic
syndrome, diabetes, and prevalence of coronary heart disease among NHANES III
participants age 50 years and older. Diabetes 52:1210-4.

48.   Meigs JB. 2003. Epidemiology of the insulin resistance syndrome. Curr Diab Rep 3:73-9.

49.   Kerchberger AM, Sheth AN, Angert CD, Mehta CC, Summers NA, Ofotokun I, Gustafson
D, Weiser SD, Sharma A, Adimora AA, French AL, Augenbraun M, Cocohoba J,
Kassaye S, Bolivar H, Govindarajulu U, Konkle-Parker D, Golub ET, Lahiri CD. 2019.

Weight Gain Associated with Integrase Stand Transfer Inhibitor Use in Women. Clin
Infect Dis doi:10.1093/cid/ciz853.

50. Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, Fairlie L, Mashabane N, Masenya M, Serenata
C, Akpomiemie G, Qavi A, Chandiwana N, Norris S, Chersich M, Clayden P, Abrams E,
Arulappan N, Vos A, McCann K, Simmons B, Hill A. 2019. Dolutegravir plus Two
Different Prodrugs of Tenofovir to Treat HIV. N Engl J Med 381:803-815.

51. Pérez-Matute P, Pérez-Martínez L, Aguilera-Lizarraga J, Blanco JR, Oteo JA. 2015.
Maraviroc modifies gut microbiota composition in a mouse model of obesity: a plausible
therapeutic option to prevent metabolic disorders in HIV-infected patients. Revista
española de quimioterapia : publicación oficial de la Sociedad Española de
Quimioterapia 28:200-6.

52. Han GM, Meza JL, Soliman GA, Islam KM, Watanabe-Galloway S. 2016. Higher levels
of serum lycopene are associated with reduced mortality in individuals with metabolic
syndrome. Nutr Res 36:402-7.

53. Zeng YC, Peng LS, Zou L, Huang SF, Xie Y, Mu GP, Zeng XH, Zhou XL, Zeng YC.
2017. Protective effect and mechanism of lycopene on endothelial progenitor cells
(EPCs) from type 2 diabetes mellitus rats. Biomed Pharmacother 92:86-94.

54. Sluijs I, Beulens JW, Grobbee DE, van der Schouw YT. 2009. Dietary carotenoid intake
is associated with lower prevalence of metabolic syndrome in middle-aged and elderly
men. J Nutr 139:987-92.

55. Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Nilsson A, Akrami R, Lee Ying S, De Vadder F, Arora T, Hallen
A, Martens E, Björck I, Bäckhed F. 2015. Dietary Fiber-Induced Improvement in Glucose
Metabolism Is Associated with Increased Abundance of Prevotella. Cell Metabolism
22:971-982.

56. O'Keefe SJD, Li JV, Lahti L, Ou J, Carbonero F, Mohammed K, Posma JM, Kinross J,
Wahl E, Ruder E, Vipperla K, Naidoo V, Mtshali L, Tims S, Puylaert PGB, DeLany J,
Krasinskas A, Benefiel AC, Kaseb HO, Newton K, Nicholson JK, de Vos WM, Gaskins
HR, Zoetendal EG. 2015. Fat, fibre and cancer risk in African Americans and rural
Africans. Nature communications 6:6342.

57. Schröder NWJ, Schumann RR. 2016. Non-LPS targets and actions of LPS binding
protein (LBP). Journal of Endotoxin Research 11:237-242.

58. Boulangé CL, Neves AL, Chilloux J, Nicholson JK, Dumas M-E. 2016. Impact of the gut
microbiota on inflammation, obesity, and metabolic disease. Genome Medicine 8:42.

59. Brake DK, Smith EO, Mersmann H, Smith CW, Robker RL. 2006. ICAM-1 expression in
adipose tissue: effects of diet-induced obesity in mice. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol
291:C1232-9.

60. Hsu LA, Chang CJ, Wu S, Teng MS, Chou HH, Chang HH, Chang PY, Ko YL. 2010.
Association between functional variants of the ICAM1 and CRP genes and metabolic
syndrome in Taiwanese subjects. Metabolism 59:1710-6.

61. Senn JJ, Klover PJ, Nowak IA, Mooney RA. 2002. Interleukin-6 induces cellular insulin
resistance in hepatocytes. Diabetes 51:3391-9.

62. Reigstad CS, Lunden GO, Felin J, Backhed F. 2009. Regulation of serum amyloid A3
(SAA3) in mouse colonic epithelium and adipose tissue by the intestinal microbiota.
PLoS One 4:e5842.

63. Cani PD, Bibiloni R, Knauf C, Waget A, Neyrinck AM, Delzenne NM, Burcelin R. 2008.
Changes in gut microbiota control metabolic endotoxemia-induced inflammation in high-
fat diet-induced obesity and diabetes in mice. Diabetes 57:1470-81.

64. Tilves CM, Zmuda JM, Kuipers AL, Nestlerode CS, Evans RW, Bunker CH, Patrick AL,
Miljkovic I. 2016. Association of Lipopolysaccharide-Binding Protein With Aging-Related
Adiposity Change and Prediabetes Among African Ancestry Men. Diabetes Care 39:385-
91.

65.   Moreau RA, Agnew J, Hicks KB, Powell MJ. 1997. Modulation of lipoxygenase activity
923   by bacterial hopanoids. J Nat Prod 60:397-8.
924   66.   Tsai IJ, Croft KD, Mori TA, Falck JR, Beilin LJ, Puddey IB, Barden AE. 2009. 20-HETE
925   and F2-isoprostanes in the metabolic syndrome: the effect of weight reduction. Free
926   Radic Biol Med 46:263-70.
927   67.   Raza GS, Putaala H, Hibberd AA, Alhoniemi E, Tiihonen K, Makela KA, Herzig KH.
928   2017. Polydextrose changes the gut microbiome and attenuates fasting triglyceride and
929   cholesterol levels in Western diet fed mice. Sci Rep 7:5294.
930   68.   Rabot S, Membrez M, Bruneau A, Gérard P, Harach T, Moser M, Raymond F,
931   Mansourian R, Chou CJ. 2010. Germ-free C57BL/6J mice are resistant to high-fat-diet-
932   induced insulin resistance and have altered cholesterol metabolism. FASEB journal :
933   official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology
934   24:4948-59.
935   69.   Velagapudi VR, Hezaveh R, Reigstad CS, Gopalacharyulu P, Yetukuri L, Islam S, Felin
936   J, Perkins R, Boren J, Oresic M, Backhed F. 2010. The gut microbiota modulates host
937   energy and lipid metabolism in mice. J Lipid Res 51:1101-12.
938   70.   National Instiues of Health EaGRP, Nactional Cancer Institute. 2010. Diet History
939   Questionnaire, Verson 2.0.
940   71.   National Cancer Institute EaGRP. 2012. Diet*Calc Analysis Program, Version 1.5.0. .
941   72.   Shaffer M. SCNIC: Sparse Cooccurrence Network Investigation for Compositional data.
942   https://github.com/shafferm/SCNIC. Accessed
943   73.   Yang Y, Cruickshank C, Armstrong M, Mahaffey S, Reisdorph R, Reisdorph N. 2013.
944   New sample preparation approach for mass spectrometry-based profiling of plasma
945   results in improved coverage of metabolome. J Chromatogr A 1300:217-26.
946   74.   Halper-Stromberg E, Gillenwater L, Cruickshank-Quinn C, O'Neal WK, Reisdorph N,
947   Petrache I, Zhuang Y, Labaki WW, Curtis JL, Wells J, Rennard S, Pratte KA, Woodruff
948   P, Stringer KA, Kechris K, Bowler RP. 2019. Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid from COPD
949   Patients Reveals More Compounds Associated with Disease than Matched Plasma.
950   Metabolites 9.
951   75.   Kennedy A, Bivens A. 2017. Methods for the Analysis of Underivatized Amino Acids by
952   LC/MS: For Food, Life Science, and Metabolomics Applications, Agilent Application
953   Note.
954   76.   Sumner LW, Amberg A, Barrett D, Beale MH, Beger R, Daykin CA, Fan TW, Fiehn O,
955   Goodacre R, Griffin JL, Hankemeier T, Hardy N, Harnly J, Higashi R, Kopka J, Lane AN,
956   Lindon JC, Marriott P, Nicholls AW, Reily MD, Thaden JJ, Viant MR. 2007. Proposed
957   minimum reporting standards for chemical analysis Chemical Analysis Working Group
958   (CAWG) Metabolomics Standards Initiative (MSI). Metabolomics 3:211-221.
959   77.   Ruttkies C, Schymanski EL, Wolf S, Hollender J, Neumann S. 2016. MetFrag
960   relaunched: incorporating strategies beyond in silico fragmentation. J Cheminform 8:3.
961   78.   Agilent. 2020. Lipidomics Analysis with Lipid Annotator and Mass Profiler Professional,
962   vol 5994-1111EN., Technical Overview.
963   79.   Thompson LR, Sanders JG, McDonald D, Amir A, Ladau J, Locey KJ, Prill RJ, Tripathi
964   A, Gibbons SM, Ackermann G, Navas-Molina JA, Janssen S, Kopylova E, Vazquez-
965   Baeza Y, Gonzalez A, Morton JT, Mirarab S, Zech Xu Z, Jiang L, Haroon MF, Kanbar J,
966   Zhu Q, Jin Song S, Kosciolek T, Bokulich NA, Lefler J, Brislawn CJ, Humphrey G,
967   Owens SM, Hampton-Marcell J, Berg-Lyons D, McKenzie V, Fierer N, Fuhrman JA,
968   Clauset A, Stevens RL, Shade A, Pollard KS, Goodwin KD, Jansson JK, Gilbert JA,
969   Knight R, Earth Microbiome Project C. 2017. A communal catalogue reveals Earth's
970   multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551:457-463.
971   80.   Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H,
972   Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ,

Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodriguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Da Silva R,
Diener C, Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M,
Estaki M, Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo
J, Hillmann B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch
AK, Jiang L, Kaehler BD, Kang KB, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, et al. 2019.
Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME
2. Nat Biotechnol 37:852-857.

81.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJ, Holmes SP. 2016.
DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods
13:581-3.

82.   Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahe F. 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open
source tool for metagenomics. PeerJ 4:e2584.

83.   McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, Andersen GL,
Knight R, Hugenholtz P. 2012. An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks
for ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. The ISME journal
6:610-8.

84.   Janssen S, McDonald D, Gonzalez A, Navas-Molina JA, Jiang L, Xu ZZ, Winker K, Kado
DM, Orwoll E, Manary M, Mirarab S, Knight R. 2018. Phylogenetic Placement of Exact
Amplicon Sequences Improves Associations with Clinical Information. mSystems 3.

85.   Friedman J, Alm EJ. 2012. Inferring correlation networks from genomic survey data.
PLoS Comput Biol 8:e1002687.