1	A free-living protist that lacks canonical eukaryotic DNA replication and segregation systems
2	Dayana E. Salas-Leiva <sup>1*</sup> , Eelco C. Tromer <sup>2,3</sup> , Bruce A. Curtis <sup>1</sup> , Jon Jerlström-Hultqvist <sup>1</sup> , Martin
3	Kolisko <sup>4</sup> , Zhenzhen Yi <sup>5</sup> , Joan S. Salas-Leiva <sup>6</sup> , Lucie Gallot-Lavallée <sup>1</sup> , Geert J. P. L. Kops <sup>3</sup> , John M.
4	Archibald <sup>1</sup> , Alastair G. B. Simpson <sup>7</sup> and Andrew J. Roger <sup>1*</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Centre for Comparative Genomics and Evolutionary Bioinformatics (CGEB), Department of
6	Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada, B3H 4R2
7	<sup>2</sup> Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
8	<sup>3</sup> Oncode Institute, Hubrecht Institute – KNAW (Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences)
9	and University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
10	<sup>4</sup> Institute of Parasitology Biology Centre, Czech Acad. Sci, České Budějovice, Czech Republic
11	<sup>5</sup> Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Subtropical Biodiversity and Biomonitoring, School of Life Science,
12	South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, China
13	<sup>6</sup> CONACyT-Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, Departamento de medio ambiente y
14	energía, Miguel de Cervantes 120, Complejo Industrial Chihuahua, 31136 Chihuahua, Chih., México
15	<sup>7</sup> Centre for Comparative Genomics and Evolutionary Bioinformatics (CGEB), Department of
16	Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada, B3H 4R2
17	*corresponding authors: Andrew.Roger@dal.ca and Dayana.Salas@dal.ca
18	D.E.S-L ORCID iD: 0000-0003-2356-3351
19	E.C.T. ORCID iD: 0000-0003-3540-7727
20	B.A.C. ORCID iD: 0000-0001-6729-2173
21	J.J-H. ORCID iD: 0000-0002-7992-7970
22	M.K. ORCID iD: 0000-0003-0600-1867

2

- 23 Z.Y. ORCID iD: 0000-0002-0693-9989
- 24 J.S-L. ORCID iD: 0000-0002-4141-140X
- 25 L.G-L. ORCID iD: 0000-0001-6763-3388
- 26 G.J.P.L.K. ORCID iD: 0000-0003-3555-5295
- 27 J.M.A. ORCID iD: 0000-0001-7255-780X
- 28 A.G.B.S. ORCID iD:0000-0002-4133-1709
- 29 A.J.R. ORCID iD: 0000-0003-1370-9820

3

### 31 Abstract

Cells must replicate and segregate their DNA with precision. In eukaryotes, these processes are part 32 33 of a regulated cell-cycle that begins at S-phase with the replication of DNA and ends after M-phase. 34 Previous studies showed that these processes were present in the last eukaryotic common ancestor and the core parts of their molecular systems are conserved across eukaryotic diversity. However, 35 36 some unicellular parasites, such as the metamonad *Giardia intestinalis*, have secondarily lost 37 components of the DNA processing and segregation apparatuses. To clarify the evolutionary history of these systems in these unusual eukaryotes, we generated a high-quality draft genome assembly for 38 39 the free-living metamonad Carpediemonas membranifera and carried out a comparative genomics 40 analysis. We found that parasitic and free-living metamonads harbor a conspicuously incomplete set 41 of canonical proteins for processing and segregating DNA. Unexpectedly, *Carpediemonas* species 42 are further streamlined, lacking the origin recognition complex, Cdc6 and other replisome components, most structural kinetochore subunits including the Ndc80 complex, as well as several 43 44 canonical cell-cycle checkpoint proteins. *Carpediemonas* is the first eukaryote known to have lost this large suite of conserved complexes, suggesting that it has a highly unusual cell cycle and that 45 46 unlike any other known eukaryote, it must rely on novel or alternative set of mechanisms to carry out 47 these fundamental processes.

48

49 DNA replication, repair and segregation are critically important and conserved processes in 50 eukaryotes that have been intensively studied in model organisms<sup>1</sup>. The initial step of DNA replication 51 is accomplished by the replisome, a set of highly conserved proteins that is tightly regulated to 52 minimize mutations<sup>2</sup>. The replisome relies on the interactions between cis-acting DNA sequences and 53 trans-acting factors that serve to separate the template and promote RNA-primed DNA synthesis. This

4

occurs by the orderly assembly of the origin recognition (ORC), the pre-replicative (pre-RC), pre-54 initiation (pre-IC) and replication progression (RPC) complexes<sup>3-6</sup>. The synthesis of DNA usually 55 encounters disruptive obstacles as replication proceeds and can be rescued either through template 56 57 switching via trans-lesion or recombination-dependent synthesis. Trans-lesion synthesis uses replicative and non-replicative DNA polymerases to by-pass the lesion through multiple strategies that 58 incorporate nucleotides opposite to it<sup>7</sup>, while recombination-dependent synthesis uses non-homologous 59 or homologous templates for repair (reviewed in refs.<sup>8,9</sup>). Recombination-dependent synthesis occurs 60 in response to single- or double-strand DNA breakage<sup>8,10,11</sup>. Other repair mechanisms occur throughout 61 62 the cell cycle, fixing single-strand issues through base excision, nucleotide excision or mismatch repair, but they may also be employed during replication depending on the source of the damage. All 63 64 of the repair processes are overseen by multiple regulation checkpoints that permit or stall DNA 65 replication and the progression of the cell cycle. During M-phase the replicated DNA has to form attachments with the microtubule-based spindle apparatus via kinetochores, large multi-subunit 66 complexes built upon centromeric chromatin<sup>12</sup>. Unattached kinetochores catalyse the formation of a 67 soluble inhibitor of the cell cycle, preventing precocious chromosome segregation, a phenomenon 68 known as the spindle assembly checkpoint  $(SAC)^{12}$ . Failure to pass any of these checkpoints (e.g., 69 G1/S, S, G2/M and SAC checkpoints reviewed in refs.<sup>12-14</sup>) leads to genome instability and may result 70 in cell death. 71

To investigate the diversity of DNA replication, repair, and segregation processes, we conducted a eukaryote-wide comparative genomics analysis with a special focus on metamonads, a major protist lineage comprised of parasitic and free-living anaerobes. Parasitic metamonads such as *Giardia intestinalis* and *Trichomonas vaginalis* are extremely divergent from model system eukaryotes, exhibit a diversity of cell division mechanisms (*e.g.*, closed/semi-open mitosis), possess

77	metabolically reduced mitosomes or hydrogenosomes instead of mitochondria, and lack several
78	canonical eukaryotic features on the molecular and genomic-level <sup>15-17</sup> . Indeed, recent studies show
79	that metamonad parasites have secondarily lost parts of the ancestral DNA replication and
80	segregation apparatuses <sup>18,19</sup> . Furthermore, metamonad proteins are often highly divergent compared
81	to other eukaryotic orthologs, indicating a high substitution rate in these organisms that is suggestive
82	of error-prone replication and/or DNA repair <sup>20,21</sup> . Yet, it is unclear whether the divergent nature of
83	proteins studied in metamonads is the result from the host-associated lifestyle or is a more ancient
84	feature of Metamonada. To increase the representation of free-living metamonads in our analyses, we
85	have generated a high-quality draft genome assembly of Carpediemonas membranifera, a flagellate
86	isolated from hypoxic marine sediments <sup>22</sup> . Our analyses of genomes from across the tree of
87	eukaryotes show that many systems for DNA replication, repair, segregation, and cell cycle control
88	are ancestral to eukaryotes and highly conserved. However, metamonads have secondarily lost an
89	extraordinarily large number of components. Most remarkably, the free-living Carpediemonas
90	species have been drastically reduced further, having lost a large set of key proteins from the
91	replisome and cell-cycle checkpoints (i.e., including several from the kinetochore and repair
92	pathways). We propose a hypothesis of how DNA replication may be achieved in this organism.
93	Results

#### 94 The *C. membranifera* genome assembly is complete.

Our assembly for *C. membranifera* (a member of the Fornicata clade within metamonads, **Fig. 1**) is highly contiguous (<u>Table 1</u>) and has deep read coverage (*i.e.*, median coverage of  $150 \times$  with short reads and  $83 \times$  with long-reads), with an estimated genome completeness of 99.27% based on the Merqury<sup>23</sup> method. 97.6% of transcripts mapped to the genome along their full length with an identity of  $\ge 95\%$  while a further 2.04% mapped with an identity between 90 - 95%. The high contiguity of the

6

100	assembly is underscored by the large number of transcripts mapped to single contigs (90.2%), and
101	since the proteins encoded by transcripts were consistently found in the predicted proteome, the latter
102	is also considered to be of high quality. We also conducted BUSCO analyses, with the foreknowledge
103	that genomic streamlining typical in Metamonada has led to the loss of many conserved proteins <sup>16,17,24</sup> .
104	Our analyses show that previously completed metamonad genomes only encoded between 60% to 91%
105	BUSCO proteins, while C. membranifera exhibits a relatively high 89% (Table 1, Supplementary
106	Information). In any case, our coverage estimates for the <i>C. membranifera</i> genome for short and long
107	read sequencing technologies are substantially greater than those found to be sufficient to capture
108	genic regions that otherwise would had been missed ( <i>i.e.</i> , coverage $>52\times$ for long reads and $>60\times$ for
109	short paired-end reads, see ref. <sup>25</sup> ). All these various data indicate that the draft genome of $C$ .
110	membranifera is nearly complete; if any genomic regions are missing, they are likely confined to
111	difficult-to-sequence highly repetitive regions such as telomeres and centromeres.
112	Note that a previous study conducted a metagenomic assembly of a related
113	species, Carpediemonas frisia, together with its associated prokaryotic microbiota <sup>26</sup> . For completeness,
114	we have included these data in our comparative genomic analyses (Table 1, Supplementary
115	Information), although we note that the <i>C. frisia</i> metagenomic bin is based on only short-read data
116	and might be partial.
117	
118	Extreme streamlining of the DNA replication apparatus in metamonads
119	The first step in the replication of DNA is the assembly of ORC which serves to nucleate the pre-RC

120 formation. The initiator protein Orc1first binds an origin of replication, followed by the recruitment

121 of Orc 2-6 proteins, which associate with chromatin<sup>27</sup>. As the cell transitions to G1 phase, the

initiator Cdc6 binds to the ORC, forming a checkpoint control<sup>28</sup>. Cdt1 then joins Cdc6, promoting the

123	loading of the replicative helicase MCM forming the pre-RC, a complex that remains inactive until
124	the onset of S-phase when the 'firing' factors are recruited to convert the pre-RC into the pre-IC $^{3-5}$ .
125	Additional factors join to form the RPC to stimulate replication elongation <sup>29</sup> . While the precise
126	replisome protein complement varies somewhat between different eukaryotes, metamonads show
127	dramatic variation in ORC, pre-RC and replicative polymerases (Fig. 1). The presence-absence of
128	ORC and Cdc6 proteins is notably patchy across Metamonada. Strikingly, whereas all most
129	metamonads retain up to two paralogs of the core protein family Orc1/Cdc6 (here called Orc1 and
130	Orc1/Cdc6-like, Supplementary Figure 1), plus some orthologs of Orc 2-6, all these proteins are
131	absent in <i>C. membranifera</i> and <i>C. frisia</i> (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1). The lack of these proteins
132	in a eukaryote is unexpected and unprecedented, since their absence would be expected to make the
133	genome prone to DSBs and impair DNA replication, as well as interfere with other non-replicative
134	processes <sup>30</sup> . To rule out false negatives, we conducted further analyses using metamonad-specific
135	HMMs (Hidden Markov Models), various other profile-based search strategies (Supplementary
136	<b>Information</b> ), tBLASTn <sup>31</sup> searches ( <i>i.e.</i> , on the genome assembly and unassembled long-reads), and
137	applied HMMER <sup>32</sup> on 6-frame assembly translations. These additional methods were sufficiently
138	sensitive to identify these proteins in all nuclear genomes we examined, with the exception of the
139	Carpediemonas species and the highly reduced, endosymbiotically-derived nucleomorphs of
140	cryptophytes and chlorarachniophytes (Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 1,
141	Supplementary Fig. 1 and 2). Carpediemonas species are, therefore, the only known eukaryotes to
142	completely lack ORC and Cdc6.

## 143 DNA damage repair systems have undergone several modifications

DNA repair occurs continuously during the cell cycle depending on the type or specificity of the
lesion. Among the currently known mechanisms are base-excision repair (BER), nucleotide excision

146	repair (NER), mismatch repair (MMR), and double strand break repair, with the latter conducted by
147	either homologous recombination (HR), canonical non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) or alternative
148	end joining (a-EJ) <sup>8,14</sup> . MMR can be coupled directly to replication or play a role in HR. MMR, BER
149	and NER are present in all studied taxa (Supplementary Table 1), although our analyses indicate that
150	damage sensing and downstream functions in NER seem to be modified in the metamonad taxa
151	Parabasalia and Fornicata due to the absence of the XPG and XPC sensor proteins.
152	Double strand breaks (DSBs) are extremely dangerous for cells and can occur as a result of
153	damaging agents or from self-inflicted cuts during DNA repair and meiosis. NHEJ requires the
154	heterodimer Ku70-Ku80 to recruit the catalytic kinase DNA-PKcs and accessory proteins.
155	Metamonads lack all of these proteins, as do a number of other eukaryotes investigated here and in
156	ref. <sup>33</sup> . The a-EJ system seems to be fully present in metamonads like <i>C. membranifera</i> , partial in
157	others, and completely absent in parasitic diplomonads. NHEJ is thought to be the predominant
158	mechanism for repairing DSBs in eukaryotes <sup>34</sup> , but since our analyses indicate this pathway is absent
159	in metamonads and a-EJ is highly mutagenic <sup>8</sup> , the HR pathway is likely to be essential for DSB repair
160	in most metamonads. Repair by the HR system occurs through multiple sub-pathways that are
161	influenced by the extent of the similarity of the DNA template or its flanking sequences to the
162	sequences near the break. HR complexes are recruited during DNA replication and transcription, and
163	utilize DNA, transcript-RNA or newly synthetized transcript-cDNA as a homologous template <sup>11,35-40</sup> .
164	These complexes are formed by recombinases from the RecA/Rad51 family that interact with
165	members of the Rad52 family and chromatin remodeling factors of the SNF2/SWI2 sub-family <sup>41,42</sup> .
166	Although the recombinases Rad51A-D are all present in most eukaryotes, we found a patchy
167	distribution in metamonads (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 3). All examined
168	Fornicata have lost the major recombinase Rad51A and have two paralogs of the meiosis-specific

9

recombinase Dmc1, as first noted in *Giardia intestinalis*<sup>43</sup>. Dmc1 has been reported to provide high 169 stability to recombination due to strong D-loop resistance to strand dissociation<sup>44</sup>. The recombination 170 mediator Rad52 is present in metamonads but Rad59 or Rad54 are not. Metamonads have no 171 components of an ISWI remodeling complex yet retain a reduced INO80 complex. Therefore, 172 replication fork progression and HR are likely to occur under the assistance of INO80 alone. HR 173 174 requires endonucleases and exonucleases, and our searches for proteins additional to those from the 175 MMR pathway revealed a gene expansion of the Flap proteins from the Rad2/XPG family in some 176 metamonads. We also found proteins of the PIF1 helicase family that encompasses homologs that 177 resolve R-loop structures, unwind DNA-RNA hybrids and assists in fork progression in regular replication and HR<sup>45,46</sup>. Phylogenetic analysis reveals that although *Carpediemonas* species have 178 179 orthologs that branch within a metamonad group in the main PIF1 clade (Fig. 2), they also possess a 180 highly divergent clade of PIF1-like proteins. Each Carpediemonas species has multiple copies of PIF1-181 like proteins that have independently duplicated within each species; these may point to the *de novo* 182 emergence of specialized functions in HR and DNA replication for these proteins. Metamonads appear capable of using all of the HR sub-pathways (e.g., classical DSB repair, single strand annealing, break 183 induced replication), but these are modified (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Figure 3). 184 185 Overall, the presence-absence patterns of the orthologs involved in DSB repair in Fornicata point to the existence of a highly specialized HR pathway which is presumably not only essential for the cell 186 187 cycle of metamonads but is also likely the major pathway for replication-related DNA repair and 188 recombination.

#### 189 Modified DSB damage response checkpoints in metamonads

190 Checkpoints constitute a cascade of signaling events that delay replication until DNA lesions are

191 resolved<sup>13</sup>. The ATR-Chk1, ATM-Chk2 and DNA-PKcs pathways are activated by the interaction of

10

192	TopBP1 and the 9-1-1 complex (Rad9-Hus1-Rad1) for DNA repair regulation during replication stress
193	and response to DSBs <sup>47</sup> . The ATR-Chk1 signaling pathway is the initial response to ssDNA damage
194	and is responsible for the coupling of DNA replication with mitosis, but when it is defective, the
195	ssDNA is converted into DSBs to activate the ATM-Chk2 pathway. The DNA-PKcs act as sensors of
196	DSBs to promote NHEJ, but we found no homologs of DNA-PKcs in metamonads (Supplementary
197	Fig. 3), which is consistent with the lack of a NHEJ repair pathway in the group. All the checkpoint
198	pathways described are present in humans and yeasts, while the distribution of core checkpoint
199	proteins in the remaining taxa is patchy. Notably, Fornicata lack several of the proteins thought to be
200	needed to activate the signaling kinase cascades and, while orthologs of ATM or ATR kinases are
201	present in some fornicates, there are no clear orthologs of Chk1 or Chk2 in metamonads except in
202	Monocercomonoides exilis (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 3). Carpediemonas species
203	and Kipferlia bialata contain ATM and ATR but lack Chk1, Chk2 and Rad9. Diplomonads possess
204	none of these proteins. The depletion of Chk1 has been shown to increase the incidence of
205	chromosomal breaks and mis-segregation <sup>48</sup> . All these absences reinforce the idea that the checkpoint
206	controls in Fornicata are non-canonical.

#### 207 Reduction of mitosis and meiosis machinery in metamonads

Eukaryotes synchronize cell cycle progression with chromosome segregation by a kinetochore based signaling system called the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC)<sup>49,50</sup> that is ancestral to all eukaryotes

210 (Fig. 3A, B). Kinetochores primarily form microtubule attachments through the Ndc80 complex,

211 which is connected through a large network of structural subunits to a histone H3-variant CenpA that

- is specifically deposited at centromeres $^{12}$ . To prevent premature chromosome segregation, unattached
- kinetochores catalyse the production of the Mitotic Checkpoint Complex (MCC)<sup>49</sup>, a cytosolic
- 214 inhibitor of the Anaphase Promoting Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C), a large multi-subunit E3 ubiquitin

215	ligase that drives progression into anaphase by promoting the proteolysis of its substrates such as
216	various Cyclins <sup>51</sup> ( <b>Fig. 3A</b> ). Our analysis indicates the reduction of ancestral complexity of these
217	proteins in metamonads (Fig. 3C, Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 4). Surprisingly,
218	such reduction is most extensive in Carpediemonas species. We found that most structural kinetochore
219	subunits, a microtubule plus-end tracking complex and all four subunits of the Ndc80 complex are
220	absent (Fig. 3C, Supplementary Fig. 4). None of our additional search strategies led to the
221	identification of Ndc80 complex members, making Carpediemonas the only known eukaryotic lineage
222	without it, except for kinetoplastids, which appear to have lost the canonical kinetochore and replaced
223	it by an analogous molecular system, although there is still some controversy about this loss <sup>52,53</sup> . With
224	such widespread absence of kinetochore components it might be possible that Carpediemonas
225	underwent a similar replacement process to that of kinetoplastids <sup>52</sup> . We did however find a potential
226	candidate for the centromeric Histone H3-variant (CenpA) in C. membranifera. CenpA forms the basis
227	of the canonical kinetochore in most eukaryotes <sup>54</sup> ( <b>Supplementary Fig. 5</b> ). On the other hand, the
228	presence or absence of CenpA is often correlated with the presence/absence of its direct interactor
229	CenpC <sup>19</sup> . Similar to diplomonads, <i>C. membranifera</i> lacks CenpC and therefore the molecular network
230	associated with kinetochore assembly on CenpA chromatin may be very different.
231	Most metamonads encode all MCC components, but diplomonads lost the SAC response and
232	the full APC/C complex <sup>55</sup> . In contrast, only <i>Carpediemonas</i> species and <i>K. bialata</i> have MCC subunits
233	that contain the conserved short linear motifs to potentially elicit a canonical SAC signal <sup>51,56</sup>
234	(Supplementary Fig. 6). Interestingly, not all of these motifs are present, and most are seemingly
235	degenerate compared to their counterparts in other eukaryotic lineages (Supplementary Fig. 6C).
236	Also, many other SAC-related genes are conserved, even in diplomonads (e.g., Mad2, MadBub) <sup>55</sup> .
237	Furthermore, the cyclins in C. membranifera, the main target of SAC signalling, have a diverged

12

destruction motif (D-box) in their N-termini (Supplementary Fig. 6C). Collectively, our observations indicate that Carpediemonas species could elicit a functional SAC response, but whether this would be 239 kinetochore-based is unclear. Alternatively, SAC-related genes could have been repurposed for another 240 cellular function(s) as in diplomonads<sup>55</sup>. Given that ORC has been observed to interact with the 241 242 kinetochore (throughout chromosome condensation and segregation), centrioles and promotes cytokinesis<sup>30</sup>, the lack of Ncd80 and ORC complexes suggest that *Carpediemonas* species possess 243 244 radically unconventional cell division systems. Neither sexual nor parasexual processes have been directly observed in Metamonada<sup>43</sup>. 245 Nonetheless, our surveys confirm the conservation of the key meiotic proteins in metamonads<sup>43</sup>, 246 including Hap2 (for plasmogamy) and Gex1 (karyogamy). Unexpectedly, *Carpediemonas* species have 247 248 homologs from the tmcB family that acts in the cAMP signaling pathway specific for sexual development in *Dictyostelium*<sup>57</sup>, and sperm-specific channel subunits (*i.e.*, CatSper  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ ) 249 reported previously only in Opisthokonta and three other protists<sup>58</sup>. In opisthokonts, the CatSper 250 251 subunits enable the assembly of specialized  $Ca^{2+}$  influx channels and are involved in the signaling for sperm maturation and motility<sup>58</sup>. In *Carpediemonas*, the tmcB family and CatSper subunits could 252 253 similarly have a role in signaling and locomotion pathways required for a sexual cycle. As proteins in 254 the cAMP pathway and  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling cooperate to generate a variety of complex responses, the presence of these systems in *Carpediemonas* species but absence in all other sampled metamonads is 255 256 intriguing and deserves further investigation. Even if these systems are not directly involved in a 257 sexual cycle, the presence of Hap2 and Gex1 proteins is strong evidence that C. membranifera can 258 reproduce sexually. Interestingly, based on the frequencies of single nucleotide polymorphisms, C.

259 *membranifera* is predicted to be haploid (Supplementary Fig. 7). If this is correct, its sexual

13

reproduction should include the formation of a zygote followed by a meiotic division to regain its
 haploid state<sup>59</sup>.

### Acquisition of DNA replication and repair proteins in *Carpediemonas* by lateral gene transfer 262 The unprecedented absence of many components of canonical DNA replication, repair, and 263 segregation systems in *Carpediemonas* species led us to investigate whether they had been replaced 264 265 by analogous systems acquired by lateral gene transfer (LGT) from viruses or prokaryotes. We 266 detected four Geminivirus-like replication initiation protein sequences in the C. membranifera 267 genome but not in C. frisia, and helitron-related helicase endonucleases in both Carpediemonas 268 genomes. All these genes were embedded in high-coverage eukaryotic scaffolds, yet all of them lack introns and show no evidence of gene expression in the RNA-Seq data. As RNA was harvested from 269 270 log-phase actively replicating cell cultures, their lack of expression suggests it is unlikely that these 271 acquired proteins were coopted to function in the replication of the *Carpediemonas* genomes. 272 Nevertheless, the presence of Geminivirus protein-coding genes is intriguing as these viruses are 273 known, in other systems (e.g., plants, insects), to alter host transcriptional controls and reprogram the cell-cycle to induce the host DNA replication machinery<sup>60,61</sup>. We also detected putative LGTs of 274 Endonuclease IV, RarA and RNAse H1 from prokaryotes into a Carpediemonas ancestor 275 276 (Supplementary Information, Supplementary Fig. 8, 9 and 10). Of these, RarA is ubiquitous in 277 bacteria and eukaryotes and acts during replication and recombination in the context of collapsed replication forks<sup>62,63</sup>. Interestingly, *Carpediemonas* appears to have lost the eukaryotic ortholog, and 278 279 only retains the acquired prokaryotic-like RarA, a gene that is expressed (*i.e.*, transcripts are present 280 in the RNA-Seq data). RNAse Hs are involved in the cleavage of RNA from RNA:DNA hybrid 281 structures that form during replication, transcription, and repair, and, while eukaryotes have a 282 monomeric RNAse H1 and a heterotrimeric RNAse H2, prokaryotes have either one or both types.

283	Eukaryotic RNAse H1 removes RNA primers during replication and R-loops during transcription,
284	and also participates in HR-mediated DSB repair <sup>64,65</sup> . The prokaryotic homologs have similar roles
285	during replication and transcription <sup>66</sup> . C. membranifera lacks a typical eukaryotic RNAse H1 but has
286	two copies of prokaryotic homologs. Both are located in scaffolds comprising intron-containing
287	genes and have RNA-Seq coverage, clearly demonstrating that they are not from prokaryotic
288	contaminants in the assembly.
289	
290	Discussion
291	Genome streamlining in metamonads
292	The reductive evolution of the DNA replication and repair, and segregation systems and the low
293	retention of proteins in the BUSCO dataset in metamonads demonstrate that substantial gene loss has
294	occurred (Supplementary information), providing additional evidence for streamlining of gene
295	content prior to the last common ancestor of Metamonada <sup>15-17</sup> . However, the patchy distribution of
296	genes within the group suggests ongoing differential reduction in different metamonad groups. Such
297	reduction – especially the unprecedented complete absence of systems such as the ORC, Cdc6 and
298	kinetochore Ndc80 complexes in Carpediemonas species – demands an explanation. Whereas the loss
299	of genes from varied metabolic pathways is well known in lineages with different lifestyles <sup>67-72</sup> , loss of
300	cell cycle, DNA damage sensing and repair genes in eukaryotes is extremely rare. New evidence from
301	yeasts of the genus Hanseniaspora suggests that the loss of proteins in these systems can lead to
302	genome instability and long-term hypermutation leading to high rates of sequence substitution <sup>67</sup> . This
303	could also apply to metamonads, especially fornicates, which are well known to have undergone rapid
304	sequence evolution; these taxa form a highly divergent clade with very long branches in phylogenetic
305	trees <sup>20,73</sup> . Most of the genes that were retained by Metamonada in the various pathways we examined

15

were divergent in sequence relative to homologs in other eukaryotes and many of the gene losses correspond to proteins that are essential in model system eukaryotes. Gene essentiality appears to be relative and context-dependent, and some studies have shown that the loss of 'indispensable' genes could be permitted by evolving divergent pathways that provide similar activities via chromosome stoichiometry changes and compensatory gene loss<sup>67-69,74</sup>.

311 The patchy distribution of genes from different ancestral eukaryotic pathways suggests that the 312 last common ancestor of Metamonada had a broad gene repertoire for maintaining varied metabolic 313 functions under fluctuating environmental conditions offered by diverse oxygen-depleted habitats. Although the loss of proteins and genomic streamlining are well known in parasitic diplomonads<sup>15,16</sup>, 314 315 the Fornicata, as a whole, tend to have a reduced subset of the genes that are commonly found in core 316 eukaryotic pathways. In general, such gene content reduction can partially be explained as the result of historical and niche-specific adaptations<sup>75</sup>. Yet, given that 1) genome maintenance mostly depends on 317 the cell cycle checkpoints, DNA repair pathways, and their interactions<sup>14,76</sup>, 2) the lack of several 318 proteins related to these pathways that were present in the last common ancestor of metamonads, 3) 319 aneuploidy and high overall rates of sequence evolution have been observed in metamonads <sup>77,78</sup>, and, 320 4) the loss of DNA repair genes can be associated with substantial gene loss and sequence instability 321 that apparently boosts the rates of sequence evolution<sup>67</sup>, it is likely that genome evolution in the 322 323 Fornicata clade has been heavily influenced by their error-prone DNA maintenance mechanisms.

324

#### 325 Non-canonical replication initiation and replication licensing in *Carpediemonas*.

Origin-independent replication has been observed in the context of DNA repair (reviewed in ref.<sup>10</sup>) and in origin-deficient or -depleted chromosomes in yeast<sup>79</sup>. These studies have highlighted the lack of (or reduction in) the recruitment of ORC and Cdc6 onto the DNA, but no study to date has documented

16

329 regular eukaryotic DNA replication in the absence of genes encoding these proteins. While it is possible that extremely divergent versions of ORC and Cdc6 are governing the recognition of origins 330 of replication and replication licensing in *Carpediemonas* species, we have no evidence for this. 331 Instead, our findings suggest the existence of an as-yet undiscovered underlying eukaryotic system that 332 can accomplish eukaryotic DNA replication initiation and licensing. The existence of such a system 333 334 has in fact already been suspected given that: 1) Orc1- or Orc2-depleted human cells and mouse-Orc1 and fruit-fly ORC mutants are viable and capable of undergoing replication and endoreplication<sup>80-83</sup>, 335 and 2) origin-independent replication at the chromosome level has been reported<sup>79,84,85</sup>. We propose 336 337 that Carpediemonas species utilize an alternative DNA replication system based on a Dmc1-dependent HR mechanism that is origin-independent and mediated by RNA:DNA hybrids. Here we summarize 338 evidence that such a mechanism is possible based on what is known in model systems and present a 339 hypothetical model as to how it might occur in *Carpediemonas*. 340

During replication and transcription, the HR complexes, RNAse H1 and RNA-interacting 341 proteins are recruited onto the DNA to assist in its repair<sup>36,37,86</sup>. Remarkably, experiments show that 342 343 HR is able to carry out full genome replication in archaea, bacteria, viruses, and linear mtDNA<sup>85,87-89</sup>, with replication fork progression rates that are comparable to those of regular replication<sup>90</sup>. A variety 344 345 of *cis* and *trans* homologous sequences (*e.g.*, chromatids, transcript-RNA or -cDNA) can be used as templates<sup>27,36,40</sup>, and their length as well as the presence of one or two homologous ends likely 346 influence a recombination execution checkpoint that decides which HR sub-pathway is utilized<sup>91</sup>. For 347 348 example, in the absence of a second homologous end, HR by Rad51-dependent break-induced 349 replication (BIR) can either use a newly synthesized DNA strand or independently invade donor 350 sequences, such that the initial strand invasion intermediate creates a migrating D-loop and DNA is synthesized conservatively<sup>27,91,92</sup>. Studies have found that BIR does not require the assembly of an 351

352	ORC complex and Cdc6 but the recruitment of the Cdc7, loading of MCM helicase, firing factors and
353	replicative polymerases are needed for assembling the pre-RC complex <sup>27,91</sup> . The requirement of MCM
354	for BIR was questioned, as PIF1 helicase was found to be essential for long-range BIR <sup>93</sup> . However,
355	recent evidence shows that MCM is typically recruited for unwinding DNA strands during HR <sup>94,95</sup> and
356	is likely needed together with PIF1 to enhance processivity. All these proteins are also suspected to
357	operate during origin-independent transcription-initiated replication (TIR), a still-enigmatic
358	mechanism that is triggered by R-loops resulting from RNA:DNA hybrids during transcription <sup>10,11,96</sup> .
359	Considering the complement of proteins in Carpediemonas species discussed above, and that
360	RNA:DNA hybrids are capable of promoting origin-independent replication in model systems <sup>11,39,97</sup> ,
361	we suggest that a Dmc1-dependent HR replication mechanism is enabled by excess of RNA:DNA
362	hybrids in these organisms. In such a system, DSBs generated in stressed transcription-dependent R-
363	loops could be repaired by HR with either transcript-RNA- or transcript-cDNA-templates and the de
364	<i>novo</i> assembly of the replisome as in BIR (Fig. 4). The establishment of a replication fork could be
365	favored by the presence of Carpediemonas-specific PIF1-like homologs, as these raise the possibility
366	of the assembly of a multimeric PIF1 helicase with increased capability to bind multiple sites on the
367	DNA, thereby facilitating DNA replication processivity and regulation <sup>45</sup> . Note that the foregoing
368	mechanisms will work even if Carpediemonas species are haploid as seems likely based on the SNP
369	data. The loss of Rad51A and the duplication of Dmc1 recombinases suggests that a Dmc1-
370	dependent HR mechanism was likely enabled in the last common ancestor of Fornicata and this
371	mechanism may have become the predominant replication pathway in the Carpediemonas lineage
372	after its divergence from the other fornicates, ultimately leading to the loss of ORC and Cdc6
373	proteins.

18

#### 375 The impact of cell cycle dysregulation on genome evolution.

DNA replication licensing and firing are temporally separated (*i.e.*, they occur at G1 and S phases 376 respectively) and are the principal ways to counteract damaging over-replication<sup>6</sup>. As S-phase is 377 particularly vulnerable to DNA errors and lesions, its checkpoints are likely more important for 378 preventing genome instability than those of G1, G2 or SAC<sup>98</sup>. Dysregulation is anticipated if no 379 ORC/Cdc6 are present as licensing would not take place and replication would be blocked<sup>28</sup>. Yet this 380 381 clearly does not happen in *Carpediemonas*. This implies that during late G1 phase, activation by 382 loading the MCM helicase has to occur by an alternative mechanism that is still unknown but might 383 already be in place in eukaryotes. Such a mechanism has long been suspected as it could explain the 384 over-abundance and distribution patterns of MCM on the DNA (*i.e.*, the MCM paradox; reviewed in <sup>99</sup>). 385

In terms of the regulation of M-phase progression, the extremely divergent nature of the 386 kinetochore in *C. membranifera* could suggests that it uses different mechanisms to execute mitosis 387 388 and meiosis. It is known that in *Carpediemonas*-related fornicates such as retortamonads and in diplomonads, chromosome segregation proceeds inside a persisting nuclear envelope, with the aid of 389 390 intranuclear microtubules, but with the mitotic spindle nucleated outside the nucleus (*i.e.*, semi-open mitosis)<sup>78</sup>. Although mitosis in *Carpediemonas* has not been directly observed, these organisms may 391 392 also possess a semi-open mitotic system such as the ones found in other fornicates. Yet how the 393 *Carpediemonas* kinetochore functions in the complete absence of the microtubule-binding Ndc80 complex remains a mystery; it is possible that, like in kinetoplastids<sup>48</sup>, other molecular complexes have 394 395 evolved in this lineage that fulfill the roles of Ndc80 and other kinetochore complexes.

Interestingly, a potential repurposing of SAC proteins seems to have occurred in the
diplomonad *G. intestinalis*, as it does not arrest under treatment with microtubule-destabilizing drugs

398	and Mad2 localizes to a region of the intracytoplasmic axonemes of the caudal flagella <sup>55</sup> . Other
399	diplomonads have a similar SAC protein complement that may have a similar non-canonical function.
400	In contrast to diplomonads, our investigations (Fig. 3) suggest that Carpediemonas species could elicit
401	a functional SAC response, although microtubule-disrupting experiments during mitosis will be
402	needed to prove its existence.

403 In addition to the aforementioned apparent dysregulation of checkpoint controls in Carpediemonas species, alternative mechanisms for chromosome condensation, spindle attachment, 404 405 sister chromatid cohesion, cytokinesis, heterochromatin formation, and silencing and transcriptional 406 regulation can also be expected in this organism due to the absence of ORC and Cdc6 (reviewed in refs<sup>30,100,101</sup>). All of the absences of canonical eukaryotic systems we have described for 407 Carpediemonas suggest that a radically different cell cycle has evolved in this free-living protistan 408 409 lineage. This underscores the fact that our concepts of universality and essentiality rely on studies of 410 a very small subset of organisms. The development of *Carpediemonas* as a model system thus has 411 great potential to enhance our understanding of fundamental DNA replication, repair and cell cycle processes. It could even reveal widely conserved alternative, but as-yet unknown, mechanisms 412 underpinning the evolutionary plasticity of these systems across the eukaryote tree of life. 413

414

415 Methods

#### 416 Sequencing, assembly, and protein prediction for *C. membranifera*

417 DNA and RNA were isolated from log-phase cultures of *C. membranifera* BICM strain (see details in
418 Supplementary Information). Sequencing employed Illumina short paired-end and long read
419 (Oxford Nanopore MinION) technologies. For Illumina, extracted, purified DNA and RNA (*i.e.*,
420 cDNA) were sequenced on the Hiseq 2000 (150 x 2 paired-end) at the Genome Québec facility.

20

421	Illumina reads were quality trimmed (Q=30) and filtered for length (>40 bp) with Trimmomatic <sup><math>102</math></sup> .
422	For MinION, the library was prepared using the 1D native barcoding genomic DNA (SQK-LSK108
423	with EXP-NBD103) protocol (NBE_9006_v103_revP_21Dec2016). The final library (1070 ng) was
424	loaded on a R9.4 flow cell and sequenced for 48 h on the MinION Mk1B nanopore sequencer. The
425	long reads were base-called and trimmed with Albacore v2.3.3 (www.nanoporetech.com) and
426	Porechop v0.2.3 (www.github.com/rrwick/Porechop), respectively. ABruijn v1.0
427	(www.github.com/fenderglass/Flye/releases/tag/1.0) with default parameters and max genome size of
428	30Mb produced an assembly that was polished with Nanopolish v $0.10.1^{103}$ . The latter was iteratively
429	error-corrected with the genomic paired-end Illumina reads using Unicycler <sup>104</sup> . The identification and
430	removal of prokaryotic contigs was assisted by BLASTn searches against the nt database. Read-depth
431	coverage at each position of the genomic scaffolds were obtained with samtools <sup>105</sup> and mosdepth
432	v0.2.5 <sup>106</sup> .
433	RNA-Seq reads were used for genome-independent assessments of the presence of the proteins
434	of interest and to generate intron junction hints for gene prediction. For the independent assessments
435	we obtained both a <i>de novo</i> and a genome-guided transcriptome assembly with Trinity v2.5.0 <sup>107</sup> . Open
436	reading frames were translated with TransDecoder v5.5.0 (www.github.com/TransDecoder) and were

437 included in all of our analyses. Gene predictions were carried out as follows: repeat libraries were

438 obtained and masked with RepeatModeler 1.0 and RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker.org).

439 Then, RNA-Seq reads were mapped onto the assembly using  $Hisat2^{108}$ , generating a bam file for

440 GenMarkET<sup>109</sup>. This resulted in a list of intron hints used to train Augustus v3.2.3<sup>110</sup>. The genome-

guided assembled transcriptome, genomic scaffolds and the newly predicted proteome were fed into

the PASA pipeline<sup>111</sup> to yield a more accurate set of predicted proteins. Finally, the predicted proteome

443 was manually curated for the proteins of interest.

21

#### 444 Genome size, completeness, and ploidy assessments

We estimated the completeness of the draft genome by 1) using the k-mer based and reference free 445 method Mergury<sup>23</sup>, 2) calculating the percentage of transcripts that aligned to the genome, and 3) 446 employing the BUSCO<sup>112</sup> framework. For method 1, all paired-end reads were used to estimate the 447 best k-mer and create 'meryl' databases necessary to apply Mergury<sup>23</sup>. For method 2, transcripts were 448 mapped onto the genome using BLASTn and exonerate<sup>113</sup>. For method 3, the completeness of the 449 450 draft genome was evaluated in a comparative setting by including the metamonads and using the 451 universal single copy orthologs (BUSCO) from the Eukaryota (odb9) and protist databases 452 (https://busco.ezlab.org/), which contain 303 and 215 proteins, respectively. Each search was run 453 separately on the assembly and the predicted proteome for all these taxa. Unfortunately, both 454 BUSCO database searches yielded false negatives in that several conserved proteins publicly 455 reported for T. vaginalis, G. intestinalis and Spironucleus salmonicida were not detected due to the 456 extreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, genome completeness was re-assessed with 457 a phylogeny-guided search (Supplementary Information). The ploidy of *C. membranifera* was inferred by *i*) counting k-mers with Merqury<sup>23</sup>, and *ii*) 458 mapping 613,266,290 Illumina short reads to the assembly with Bowtie  $2.3.1^{114}$  and then using 459 ploidyNGS<sup>115</sup> to calculate the distribution of allele frequencies across the genome. A site was deemed 460 461 to be heterozygous if at least two different bases were present and there were at least two reads with 462 the different bases. Positions with less than  $10 \times$  coverage were ignored. 463 Functional annotation of the predicted proteins 464

465 Our analyses included the genomes and predicted proteomes of *C. membranifera* (reported here) as

466 well as publicly available data for nine additional metamonads and eight other eukaryotes

467	representing diverse groups across the eukaryotic tree of life (Fig. 1, Supplementary Information).
468	Orthologs from each of these 18 predicted proteomes were retrieved for the assessment of core
469	cellular pathways, such as DNA replication and repair, mitosis and meiosis and cell cycle
470	checkpoints. For C. membranifera, we included the predicted proteomes derived from the assembly
471	plus the 6-frame translated transcriptomes. Positive hits were manually curated in the $C$ .
472	membranifera draft genome. A total of 367 protein queries were selected based on an extensive
473	literature review and prioritizing queries from taxa in which they had been experimentally
474	characterized. The identification of orthologs was as described for the BUSCO proteins but using
475	these 367 queries for the initial BLASTp (Supplementary Information), except for kinetochore
476	(KT), Spindle assembly check point (SAC) and anaphase-promoting complex-related genes (APC/C).
477	For these, previously published refined HMMs with cut-offs specific to each orthologous group
478	(see <sup>58</sup> ) were used to query the proteomes with HMMER v3.1b $2^{32}$ . A multiple sequence alignment
479	that included the newly-found hits was subsequently constructed with MAFFT v7.310 <sup><math>116</math></sup> and was
480	used in HMM searches for more divergent homologs. This process was iterated until no new
481	significant hits could be found. As we were unable to retrieve orthologs of a number of essential
482	proteins in the C. membranifera and C. frisia genomes, we embarked on additional more sensitive
483	strategies to detect them using multiple different HMMs based on aligned homologs from archaea,
484	metamonads, and broad samplings of taxa. Individual PFAM domains were searched for in the
485	genomes, proteome and transcriptomes with e-value thresholds of 10 <sup>-3</sup> (Supplementary
486	Information). To rule out that failure to detect these proteins was due to insufficient sensitivity of
487	our methods when applied them to highly divergent taxa, we queried 22 extra eukaryotic genomes
488	with demonstrated high rates of sequence evolution, genome streamlining or unusual genomic
489	features (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Information). Possible non-predicted or mis-

490	predic	eted genes were investigated using tBLASTn searches of the genomic scaffolds and		
491	unass	embled reads and 6-frame translation searches with HMMER. Also, as DNA replication and		
492	repair	genes could have been acquired by lateral gene transfer into Carpediemonas species from		
493	proka	ryotes or viruses, proteins from the DNA replication and repair categories whose best matches		
494	were	to prokaryotic and viral homologs were subjected to phylogenetic analysis using the methods		
495	descri	bed for the phylogeny-guided BUSCO analysis and using substitution models specified in the		
496	legend of each tree (Supplementary Information).			
497	Data availability			
498	Geno	me assembly will be available at NCBI under BioProject PRJNA719540, biosample number		
499	SAM	SAMN18612951, accession numbers < XXXX>.		
500				
501				
502				
503	References			
504	1	Yeeles, J. T., Deegan, T. D., Janska, A., Early, A. & Diffley, J. F. Regulated eukaryotic DNA		
505		replication origin firing with purified proteins. Nature 519, 431-435 (2015).		
506	2	Parker, M. W., Botchan, M. R. & Berger, J. M. Mechanisms and regulation of DNA		
507		replication initiation in eukaryotes. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 52, 107-144 (2017).		
508	3	Shen, Z. & Prasanth, S. G. Emerging players in the initiation of eukaryotic DNA replication.		
509		<i>Cell Div</i> <b>7</b> , 22 (2012).		
510	4	Burgers, P. M. J. & Kunkel, T. A. Eukaryotic DNA replication fork. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 86,		
511		417-438 (2017).		

- 512 5 Riera, A. *et al.* From structure to mechanism-understanding initiation of DNA replication.
- 513 *Genes Dev.* **31**, 1073-1088 (2017).
- 6 Reusswig, K. U. & Pfander, B. Control of eukaryotic DNA replication initiation-mechanisms
  515 to ensure smooth transitions. *Genes (Basel)* 10 (2019).
- Waters, L. S. *et al.* Eukaryotic translession polymerases and their roles and regulation in DNA
  damage tolerance. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 73, 134-154 (2009).
- 518 8 Chang, H. H. Y., Pannunzio, N. R., Adachi, N. & Lieber, M. R. Non-homologous DNA end
- joining and alternative pathways to double-strand break repair. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 18,
  495-506 (2017).
- Wright, W. D., Shah, S. S. & Heyer, W. D. Homologous recombination and the repair of
  DNA double-strand breaks. *J. Biol. Chem.* 293, 10524-10535 (2018).
- 523 10 Ravoityte, B. & Wellinger, R. E. Non-canonical replication initiation: you're fired! *Genes*524 (*Basel*) 8 (2017).
- 525 11 Stuckey, R., Garcia-Rodriguez, N., Aguilera, A. & Wellinger, R. E. Role for RNA:DNA
- 526 hybrids in origin-independent replication priming in a eukaryotic system. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
- *Sci. U.S.A* **112**, 5779-5784 (2015).
- 528 12 Musacchio, A. & Desai, A. A molecular view of kinetochore assembly and function. *Biology*529 (*Basel*) 6 (2017).
- Hustedt, N., Gasser, S. M. & Shimada, K. Replication checkpoint: tuning and coordination of
  replication forks in S phase. *Genes (Basel)* 4, 388-434 (2013).
- Hakem, R. DNA-damage repair; the good, the bad, and the ugly. *EMBO J.* 27, 589-605
  (2008).

- 534 15 Adam, R. D. et al. Genome sequencing of Giardia lamblia genotypes A2 and B isolates (DH
- and GS) and comparative analysis with the genomes of genotypes A1 and E (WB and Pig).
- 536 *Genome Biol. Evol.* **5**, 2498-2511 (2013).
- 537 16 Xu, F. *et al.* The genome of *Spironucleus salmonicida* highlights a fish pathogen adapted to
  538 fluctuating environments. *PLoS Genet.* 10, e1004053 (2014).
- Tanifuji, G. *et al.* The draft genome of *Kipferlia bialata* reveals reductive genome evolution
  in fornicate parasites. *PLoS One* 13, e0194487 (2018).
- 541 18 Ocaña-Pallares, E. *et al.* Origin recognition complex (ORC) evolution is influenced by global
- 542 gene duplication/loss patterns in eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **12**, 3878-3889
- 543 (2020).
- van Hooff, J. J., Tromer, E., van Wijk, L. M., Snel, B. & Kops, G. J. Evolutionary dynamics
  of the kinetochore network in eukaryotes as revealed by comparative genomics. *EMBO Rep.*
- **18**, 1559-1571 (2017).
- Hampl, V. *et al.* Phylogenomic analyses support the monophyly of Excavata and resolve
  relationships among eukaryotic "supergroups". *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 3859-3864
  (2009).
- 550 21 Karnkowska, A. *et al.* A eukaryote without a mitochondrial organelle. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 1274551 1284 (2016).
- Simpson, A. G. B. & Patterson, D. J. The ultrastructure of *Carpediemonas membranifera*(Eukaryota) with reference to the "excavate hypothesis". *Eur. J. Protistol.* 35, 353-370
  (1999).
- 555 23 Rhie, A., Walenz, B. P., Koren, S. & Phillippy, A. M. Merqury: reference-free quality,
- completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. *Genome Biol.* **21**, 245 (2020).

- Morrison, H. G. *et al.* Genomic minimalism in the early diverging intestinal parasite *Giardia lamblia. Science* 317 (2007).
- Ebbert, M. T. W. *et al.* Systematic analysis of dark and camouflaged genes reveals disease-
- relevant genes hiding in plain sight. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 97 (2019).
- 561 26 Hamann, E. et al. Syntrophic linkage between predatory Carpediemonas and specific
- 562 prokaryotic populations. *ISME J* **11**, 1205-1217 (2017).
- 563 27 Lydeard, J. R. *et al.* Break-induced replication requires all essential DNA replication factors
  564 except those specific for pre-RC assembly. *Genes Dev.* 24, 1133-1144 (2010).
- Liu, J. *et al.* Structure and function of Cdc6/Cdc18: implications for origin recognition and
  checkpoint control. *Mol. Cell* 6, 637-648 (2000).
- 567 29 Georgescu, R. E. *et al.* Reconstitution of a eukaryotic replisome reveals suppression
- 568 mechanisms that define leading/lagging strand operation. *Elife* **4**, e04988 (2015).
- 56930Popova, V. V., Brechalov, A. V., Georgieva, S. G. & Kopytova, D. V. Nonreplicative
- 570 functions of the origin recognition complex. *Nucleus* **9**, 460-473 (2018).
- 571 31 Camacho, C. *et al.* BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10, 421
  572 (2009).
- 573 32 Eddy, S. R. Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Comp. Biol.* 7, e1002195 (2011).
- 574 33 Nenarokova, A. *et al.* Causes and effects of loss of classical non-homologous end joining
  575 pathway in parasitic eukaryotes. *MBio* (2019).
- van den Berg, J. *et al.* DNA end-resection in highly accessible chromatin produces a toxic
  break. *BioRxiv* (2019).
- Wei, L., Levine, A. S. & Lan, L. Transcription-coupled homologous recombination after
  oxidative damage. *DNA Repair* 44, 76-80 (2016).

27

- Meers, C., Keskin, H. & Storici, F. DNA repair by RNA: templated, or not templated, that is
  the question. *DNA Repair (Amst)* 44, 17-21 (2016).
- Aymard, F. *et al.* Transcriptionally active chromatin recruits homologous recombination at
  DNA double-strand breaks. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 21, 366-374 (2014).
- 584 38 Wei, L. *et al.* DNA damage during the G0/G1 phase triggers RNA-templated, Cockayne
- syndrome B-dependent homologous recombination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 112, E34953504 (2015).
- 587 39 Keskin, H. *et al.* Transcript-RNA-templated DNA recombination and repair. *Nature* 515, 436588 439 (2014).
- 589 40 Storici, F., Bebenek, K., Kunkel, T. A., Gordenin, D. A. & Resnick, M. A. RNA-templated
  590 DNA repair. *Nature* 447, 338-341 (2007).
- 591 41 Ceballos, S. J. & Heyer, W. D. Functions of the Snf2/Swi2 family Rad54 motor protein in
  592 homologous recombination. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1809, 509-523 (2011).
- 42 Mazin, A. V. & Mazina, O. M. in *Molecular Life Sciences: An Encyclopedic Reference* (eds
- Robert D. Wells, Judith S. Bond, Judith Klinman, & Bettie Sue Siler Masters) 1009-1016
  (Springer New York, 2018).
- 43 Ramesh, M. A., Malik, S. B. & Logsdon, J. M., Jr. A phylogenomic inventory of meiotic

597 genes; evidence for sex in *Giardia* and an early eukaryotic origin of meiosis. *Curr. Biol.* 15,
598 185-191 (2005).

- Bugreev, D. V. *et al.* The resistance of DMC1 D-loops to dissociation may account for the
  DMC1 requirement in meiosis. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 18, 56-60 (2011).
- 601 45 Byrd, A. K. & Raney, K. D. Structure and function of Pif1 helicase. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 45, 1159-1171 (2017).

- 46 Hill, J., Eickhoff, P., Drury, L. S., Costa, A. & Diffley, J. F. X. The eukaryotic replisome
  604 requires an additional helicase to disarm dormant replication origins. *BioRxiv*,
- 605 2020.2009.2017.301366 (2020).
- 606 47 Blackford, A. N. & Jackson, S. P. ATM, ATR, and DNA-PK: the trinity at the heart of the
- 607 DNA damage response. *Mol. Cell.* **66**, 801-817 (2017).
- Calzetta, N. L., Gonzalez Besteiro, M. A. & Gottifredi, V. Mus81-Eme1-dependent aberrant
  processing of DNA replication intermediates in mitosis impairs genome integrity. *Sci. Adv.* 6
  (2020).
- 611 49 Sacristan, C. & Kops, G. J. Joined at the hip: kinetochores, microtubules, and spindle
- assembly checkpoint signaling. *Trends Cell Biol.* **25**, 21-28 (2015).
- Kops, G. J. P. L., Snel, B. & Tromer, E. C. Evolutionary dynamics of the spindle assembly
  checkpoint in eukaryotes. *Curr. Biol.* 30, R589-R602 (2020).
- Alfieri, C., Zhang, S. & Barford, D. Visualizing the complex functions and mechanisms of the
  anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C). *Open Biol.* 7 (2017).
- 617 52 Akiyoshi, B. & Gull, K. Discovery of unconventional kinetochores in kinetoplastids. *Cell*618 156, 1247-1258 (2014).
- 61953D'Archivio, S. & Wickstead, B. *Trypanosome* outer kinetochore proteins suggest conservation
- of chromosome segregation machinery across eukaryotes. J. Cell Biol. 216, 379-391 (2017).
- 62154Drinnenberg, I. A., Henikoff, S. & Malik, H. S. Evolutionary turnover of kinetochore
- 622 proteins: a ship of theseus? *Trends Cell Biol.* **26**, 498-510 (2016).
- Markova, K. *et al.* Absence of a conventional spindle mitotic checkpoint in the binucleated
  single-celled parasite *Giardia intestinalis*. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* **95**, 355-367 (2016).

2	^
/	ч
_	-

625	56	Tromer, E., Bade, D., Snel, B. & Kops, G. J. Phylogenomics-guided discovery of a novel
626		conserved cassette of short linear motifs in BubR1 essential for the spindle checkpoint. Open
627		<i>Biol.</i> <b>6</b> (2016).
628	57	Muramoto, T., Takeda, S., Furuya, Y. & Urushihara, H. Reverse genetic analyses of gamete-
629		enriched genes revealed a novel regulator of the cAMP signaling pathway in Dictyostelium
630		discoideum. Mech. Dev. 122, 733-743 (2005).
631	58	Cai, X., Wang, X. & Clapham, D. E. Early evolution of the eukaryotic Ca2+ signaling
632		machinery: conservation of the CatSper channel complex. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31, 2735-2740
633		(2014).
634	59	von Dassow, P. & Montresor, M. Unveiling the mysteries of phytoplankton life cycles:
635		patterns and opportunities behind complexity. J. Plankton Res. 33, 3-12 (2010).
636	60	Hanley-Bowdoin, L., Bejarano, E. R., Robertson, D. & Mansoor, S. Geminiviruses: masters at
637		redirecting and reprogramming plant processes. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 11, 777-788 (2013).
638	61	He, YZ. et al. A plant DNA virus replicates in the salivary glands of its insect vector via
639		recruitment of host DNA synthesis machinery. Proceedings of the National Academy of
640		Sciences 117, 16928-16937 (2020).
641	62	Romero, H. et al. Single molecule tracking reveals functions for RarA at replication forks but
642		also independently from replication during DNA repair in Bacillus subtilis. Sci. Rep. 9, 1997
643		(2019).
644	63	Yoshimura, A., Seki, M. & Enomoto, T. The role of WRNIP1 in genome maintenance. Cell
645		<i>Cycle</i> <b>16</b> , 515-521 (2017).
646	64	Parajuli, S. et al. Human ribonuclease H1 resolves R-loops and thereby enables progression
647		of the DNA replication fork. J. Biol. Chem. 292, 15216-15224 (2017).

- 648 65 Posse, V. *et al.* RNase H1 directs origin-specific initiation of DNA replication in human
  649 mitochondria. *PLoS Genet.* 15, e1007781 (2019).
- 650 66 Tadokoro, T. & Kanaya, S. Ribonuclease H: molecular diversities, substrate binding domains,
- and catalytic mechanism of the prokaryotic enzymes. *FEBS J.* **276**, 1482-1493 (2009).
- 652 67 Steenwyk, J. L. *et al.* Extensive loss of cell-cycle and DNA repair genes in an ancient lineage
- 653 of bipolar budding yeasts. *PLoS Biol.* **17**, e3000255 (2019).
- 654 68 Grohme, M. A. *et al.* The genome of *Schmidtea mediterranea* and the evolution of core 655 cellular mechanisms. *Nature* **554**, 56-61 (2018).
- 656 69 Sekelsky, J. DNA repair in *Drosophila*: mutagens, models, and missing genes. *Genetics* 205,
  657 471-490 (2017).
- 658 70 Corradi, N. Microsporidia: eukaryotic intracellular parasites shaped by gene loss and
  659 horizontal gene transfers. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 69, 167-183 (2015).
- 660 71 Galindo, L. J. *et al.* Evolutionary genomics of *Metchnikovella incurvata* (Metchnikovellidae):
- an early branching microsporidium. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **10**, 2736-2748 (2018).
- 662 72 Albalat, R. & Canestro, C. Evolution by gene loss. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 379-391 (2016).
- 663 73 Roger, A. J., Kolisko, M. & Simpson, A. G. B. in *Evolution of Virulence in Eukaryotic*664 *Microbes* 44-69 (2013).
- Rancati, G. *et al.* Aneuploidy underlies rapid adaptive evolution of yeast cells deprived of a
  conserved cytokinesis motor. *Cell* 135, 879-893 (2008).
- Mendonca, A. G., Alves, R. J. & Pereira-Leal, J. B. Loss of genetic redundancy in reductive
  genome evolution. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 7, e1001082 (2011).
- 669 76 Lindahl, T. & Wood, R. D. Quality control by DNA repair. *Science* **286**, 1897-1905 (1999).

670	77	Tumova, P., Uzlikova, M., Jurczyk, T. & Nohynkova, E. Constitutive aneuploidy and
671		genomic instability in the single-celled eukaryote Giardia intestinalis. MicrobiologyOpen 5,
672		560-574 (2016).
673	78	Kulda, J., Nohýnková, E. & Čepička, I. in Handbook of the Protists (eds John M. Archibald
674		et al.) 1-32 (Springer International Publishing, 2017).
675	79	Bogenschutz, N. L., Rodriguez, J. & Tsukiyama, T. Initiation of DNA replication from non-
676		canonical sites on an origin-depleted chromosome. PLoS One 9, e114545 (2014).

- 677 80 Shibata, E. *et al.* Two subunits of human ORC are dispensable for DNA replication and
- 678 proliferation. *Elife* **5** (2016).
- 679 81 Bell, S. P. Rethinking origin licensing. *Elife* 6 (2017).
- Park, S. Y. & Asano, M. The origin recognition complex is dispensable for endoreplication in *Drosophila. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 12343-12348 (2008).
- 682 83 Okano-Uchida, T. *et al.* Endoreduplication of the mouse genome in the absence of ORC1.
  683 *Genes Dev.* 32, 978-990 (2018).
- 684 84 Theis, J. F. *et al.* The DNA damage response pathway contributes to the stability of
- 685 chromosome III derivatives lacking efficient replicators. *PLoS Genet.* **6**, e1001227 (2010).
- Hawkins, M., Malla, S., Blythe, M. J., Nieduszynski, C. A. & Allers, T. Accelerated growth
  in the absence of DNA replication origins. *Nature* 503, 544-547 (2013).
- Bader, A. S., Hawley, B. R., Wilczynska, A. & Bushell, M. The roles of RNA in DNA
  double-strand break repair. *Br. J. Cancer* 122, 613-623 (2020).
- 690 87 Gillespie, K. A., Mehta, K. P., Laimins, L. A. & Moody, C. A. Human papillomaviruses
- 691 recruit cellular DNA repair and homologous recombination factors to viral replication centers.
- 692 *J. Virol.* **86**, 9520-9526 (2012).

- Kogoma, T. Stable DNA replication: interplay between DNA replication, homologous
  recombination, and transcription. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 61, 212-238 (1997).
- 695 89 Gerhold, J. M. et al. Replication intermediates of the linear mitochondrial DNA of Candida
- 696 *parapsilosis* suggest a common recombination based mechanism for yeast mitochondria. J.
- 697 Biol. Chem. 289, 22659-22670 (2014).
- Malkova, A., Naylor, M. L., Yamaguchi, M., Ira, G. & Haber, J. E. RAD51-dependent breakinduced replication differs in kinetics and checkpoint responses from RAD51-mediated gene
  conversion. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25, 933-944 (2005).
- Jain, S. *et al.* A recombination execution checkpoint regulates the choice of homologous
- recombination pathway during DNA double-strand break repair. *Genes Dev.* 23, 291-303
  (2009).
- 92 Sakofsky, C. J. & Malkova, A. Break induced replication in eukaryotes: mechanisms,
- functions, and consequences. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 52, 395-413 (2017).
- Wilson, M. A. *et al.* Pif1 helicase and Poldelta promote recombination-coupled DNA
- synthesis via bubble migration. *Nature* **502**, 393-396 (2013).
- Vijayraghavan, S., Tsai, F. L. & Schwacha, A. A checkpoint-related function of the MCM
  replicative helicase is required to avert accumulation of RNA:DNA hybrids during S-phase
  and ensuing DSBs during G2/M. *PLoS Genet.* 12, e1006277 (2016).
- 711 95 Drissi, R. *et al.* Destabilization of the minichromosome maintenance (MCM) complex
- modulates the cellular response to DNA double strand breaks. *Cell Cycle* **17**, 2593-2609
- 713 (2018).
- 714 96 Chen, Y. H. *et al.* Transcription shapes DNA replication initiation and termination in human
  715 cells. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 26, 67-77 (2019).

- 716 97 Keskin, H., Meers, C. & Storici, F. Transcript RNA supports precise repair of its own DNA
  717 gene. *RNA Biol.* 13, 157-165 (2016).
- Bartek, J., Lukas, C. & Lukas, J. Checking on DNA damage in S phase. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 5, 792-804 (2004).
- 720 99 Das, M., Singh, S., Pradhan, S. & Narayan, G. MCM paradox: abundance of eukaryotic
- replicative helicases and genomic integrity. *Mol. Biol. Int.* **2014**, 574850 (2014).
- 100 Sasaki, T. & Gilbert, D. M. The many faces of the origin recognition complex. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 19, 337-343 (2007).
- 101 Borlado, L. R. & Mendez, J. CDC6: from DNA replication to cell cycle checkpoints and
- 725 oncogenesis. *Carcinogenesis* **29**, 237-243 (2008).
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
  sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114-2120 (2014).
- Loman, N. J., Quick, J. & Simpson, J. T. A complete bacterial genome assembled de novo
  using only nanopore sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 12, 733-735 (2015).
- 730 104 Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Gorrie, C. L. & Holt, K. E. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome
- assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol* **13**, e1005595 (2017).
- Li, H. *et al.* The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 20782079 (2009).
- Pedersen, B. S. & Quinlan, A. R. Mosdepth: quick coverage calculation for genomes and
  exomes. *Bioinformatics* 34, 867-868 (2018).
- Haas, B. J. *et al.* De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity
  platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* 8, 1494-1512 (2013).

- Kim, D., Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory
  requirements. *Nat. Methods* 12, 357-360 (2015).
- 109 Lomsadze, A., Burns, P. D. & Borodovsky, M. Integration of mapped RNA-seq reads into
- automatic training of eukaryotic gene finding algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, e119 (2014).
- 110 Stanke, M., Schoffmann, O., Morgenstern, B. & Waack, S. Gene prediction in eukaryotes
- with a generalized hidden Markov model that uses hints from external sources. *BMC*
- 744 *Bioinformatics* **7**, 62 (2006).
- Haas, B. J. *et al.* Improving the *Arabidopsis* genome annotation using maximal transcript
  alignment assemblies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 5654-5666 (2003).
- 747 112 Waterhouse, R. M. *et al.* BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction
  748 and phylogenomics. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 543-548 (2018).
- 749 113 Slater, G. S. & Birney, E. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence
  750 comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics* 6, 31 (2005).
- 114 Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9,
  357-359 (2012).
- 753 115 Augusto Correa Dos Santos, R., Goldman, G. H. & Riano-Pachon, D. M. ploidyNGS:
- visually exploring ploidy with next generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 33, 2575-2576
  (2017).
- Yamada, K. D., Tomii, K. & Katoh, K. Application of the MAFFT sequence alignment
  program to large data-reexamination of the usefulness of chained guide trees. *Bioinformatics*32, 3246-3251 (2016).
- Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N. & Tesler, G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for
  genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 29, 1072-1075 (2013).

35

761	118	Tan-Wong, S. M., Dhir, S. & Proudfoot, N. J. R-Loops promote antisense transcription across
762		the mammalian genome. <i>Mol. Cell</i> <b>76</b> , 600-616 e606 (2019).

- 119 Mazina, O. M. *et al.* Replication protein A binds RNA and promotes R-loop formation. *J.*
- 764 Biol. Chem. **295**, 14203-14213 (2020).
- Saldivar, J. C., Cortez, D. & Cimprich, K. A. The essential kinase ATR: ensuring faithful
  duplication of a challenging genome. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 18, 622-636 (2017).
- 121 Longhese, M. P., Plevani, P. & Lucchini, G. Replication factor A is required in vivo for DNA
- replication, repair, and recombination. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14**, 7884-7890 (1994).
- Domingo-Prim, J., Bonath, F. & Visa, N. RNA at DNA double-strand breaks: the challenge of
  dealing with DNA:RNA hybrids. *Bioessays* 42, e1900225 (2020).

#### 771 Acknowledgments

- The majority of this work was supported by a Foundation grant FRN-142349, awarded to A.J.R. by
- the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. Archibald Lab contributions to this study were supported
- by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
- (RGPIN 05871-2014). E.C.T. is supported by a Herchel Smith Postdoctoral Fellowship at the
- 776 University of Cambridge.

#### 777 Author contributions

- 778 D.E.S-L and A.J.R. conceived the study. J.J-H and M.K. grew cultures, extracted nucleic acids, and
- carried out in house sequencing. D.E.S-L., B.A.C., E.C.T., Z.Y, J.S.S-L., L.G-L., G.J.P.L.K, J.M.A.,
- A.G.B.S. and A.J.R. analyzed and manually curated the genomic data. E.C.T. and D.E.S-L made the
- figures. D.E.S-L and A.J.R. led the writing of the manuscript with input from all authors. All
- documents were edited and approved by all authors.

#### 36

# 783 Competing interests

784 Authors declare no competing interests.

## 785 Additional information

- 786 Supplementary Information (also containing legends for Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary
- 787 Figures 1 10)
- 788 Tables
| Description                    | Trichomonas<br>vaginalis | nocercomonoides<br>exilis | Carpediemonas<br>membranifera | Carpediemonas<br>frisia | ipferlia bialata | Spironucleus<br>salmonicida | epomonas PC1* | rdia intestinalis A<br>50803 | rdia intestinalis B<br>50581 | Giardia muris |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
|                                |                          | Mc                        |                               |                         | Ν                |                             | $T_{I}$       | Gia                          | Gia                          |               |
| Genome size (Mb)               | 176.4                    | 74.7                      | 24.3                          | 12.4                    | 51.0             | 12.9                        |               | 11.7                         | 11.0                         | 9.7           |
| Contigs/Scaffolds              | 64764                    | 2095                      | 68                            | 3232                    | 11563            | 233                         |               | 211                          | 2931                         | 59            |
| N50 (bp)                       | 27258                    | 71440                     | 906349                        | 9593                    | 10488            | 150829                      |               | 2,762,469                    | 34,141                       | 2,398,647     |
| GC (%)                         | 32.7                     | 37.4                      | 57.19                         | 58.6                    | 47.8             | 33.5                        |               | 49.0                         | 46.5                         | 54.71         |
| No. of predicted genes         | 94255                    | 16780                     | 11883                         | 5695                    | 17389            | 8354                        | 7980          | 5901                         | 4470                         | 4936          |
| No. BUSCO genes                | 223                      | 224                       | 217                           | 184                     |                  |                             | 147           |                              | 169                          |               |
| (percentage)                   | (91)                     | (91)                      | (89)                          | (75)                    | 207(84)          | 152 (62)                    | (60)          | 168 (69)                     | (69)                         | 173 (71)      |
| SINEs (%)                      | 0.07                     | 0                         | 0.2                           | 0                       | 0                | 0.16                        |               | 0                            | 0.07                         | 0.03          |
| LINEs (%)                      | 0.06                     | 0.79                      | 8.07                          | 0                       | 1.08             | 0                           |               | 0.98                         | 0.12                         | 0.59          |
| LTR Elements (%)               | 0.52                     | 4.44                      | 20.6                          | 0.4                     | 1.34             | 0.29                        |               | 0                            | 0                            | 0.79          |
| DNA Elements (%)               | 50.66                    | 9.96                      | 0.9                           | 0.07                    | 22.7             | 0.2                         |               | 0                            | 0                            | 0             |
| Unclassified (%)               | 15.41                    | 21.76                     | 14.9                          | 4.97                    | 1.22             | 5.64                        |               | 8.64                         | 6.76                         | 11.77         |
| Total interspersed repeats (%) | 66.72                    | 36.94                     | 43.97                         | 4.45                    | 26.38            | 6.3                         |               | 9.62                         | 6.95                         | 13.18         |
| Simple Repeats (%)             | 0.21                     | 1.03                      | 0.24                          | 0                       | 0.1              | 0                           |               | 0                            | 0                            | 0             |

# 789 **Table 1 Summary statistics of nuclear genomes of Metamonada species.**

790 All the statistics were recalculated with Quast <sup>117</sup> for completion as not all of these were originally reported, and the BUSCO

791 reference protein set corresponds to a maximum of 245 proteins.

792 \*transcriptome data only

#### 38

#### 794 **Main Figures** (Note: Any reference in main Figure legends can be found in the reference section main text)





**Figure 1** The distribution of core molecular systems in the replisome and DNA repair across

reukaryotic diversity. A schematic global eukaryote phylogeny is shown on the left with classification

of the major metamonad lineages indicated at right. A) The Replisome. Reduction of the replication

799	machinery complexity and extensive loss of the Orc1-6 subunits are observed in metamonad lineages,
800	including the unexpected loss of the highly conserved ORC complex and Cdc6 in Carpediemonas.
801	Most metamonad Orc1 and Cdc6 homologs were conservatively named as 'Orc1/Cdc6-like' as they
802	are very divergent, do not have the typical domain architecture and, in phylogenetic reconstructions,
803	they form clades separate from the main eukaryotic groups, preventing confident orthology
804	assignments (Supplementary Figure 1). Numbers within subunits represent the number of copies and
805	are only presented for ORC components, additional information in Supplementary Table 1. The
806	polymerase epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) is composed of 4 subunits, but we included the interacting protein Chrac1
807	(depicted as '4!' in the figure) as its HMM retrieves the polymerase delta subunit Dbp3 from S.
808	<i>cerevisiae</i> . *Firing and elongation factors, **Protein fusion between the catalytic subunit and subunit 2
809	of DNA polymerase ε. <sup>+</sup> Preaxostyla, <sup>++</sup> Parabasalida, <sup>+++</sup> <i>Carpediemonas</i> -Like Organisms. <b>B</b> )
810	Predicted Carpediemonas replisome overlayed on a typical eukaryotic replisome. Origin recognition
811	(ORC), Cdc6 and replication progression (RPC) complexes are depicted. Grey colour represents the
812	absence of typical eukaryotic proteins in C. membranifera replisome.



## 814

### 815 Figure 2. Pif1 protein family expansion

Pif1 helicase family tree. Three clades are highlighted: at the top, a Pif1-like clade encompassing some metamonads and at the bottom a *Carpediemonas*-specific Pif1-like clade. The third clade shows the typical Pif1 orthologs encompassing fornicates. The maximum-likelihood tree was inferred under the LG+PMSF(C60)+F+  $\Gamma$  model using 100 bootstraps based on an alignment length of 265 sites. The tree was midpoint-rooted and the support values on the branches correspond to SH-aLRT/aBayes/standard bootstrap (values below 80/0.8/80 are not shown). The scale bar shows the inferred number of amino acid substitutions per site.



824



**species**. **A**) Schematic of canonical mitotic cell cycle progression in eukaryotes. During mitosis,

827 duplicated chromosomes each attach to microtubules (MTs) emanating from opposite poles of the

42

spindle apparatus, in order to be segregated into two daughter cells. Kinetochores (KTs) are built upon 828 829 centromeric DNA to attach microtubules to chromosomes. To prevent precocious chromosome 830 segregation, unattached KTs signal to halt cell cycle progression (STOP), a phenomenon known as the 831 Spindle Assembly Checkpoint (SAC). The SAC entails the inhibition of the Anaphase Promoting 832 Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C), a multi-subunit E3 ubiquitin ligase complex that drives the entry of 833 mitotic cells into anaphase by promoting the proteolysis of its substrates. Once all KTs are correctly 834 attached to spindle MTs and aligned in the middle of the cell (metaphase), the APC/C is released, its 835 substrates are degraded, and chromosome segregation is initiated (anaphase). B) Cartoon of the 836 molecular makeup of a single KT unit that was likely present in Last Eukaryotic Common Ancestor 837 (LECA). Colours indicate the various functional complexes and structures. The primary KT structure 838 is provided by the Constitutive Centromere Associated Network (CCAN; yellow), which is built upon 839 centromeric chromatin that contains Centromere protein A (CenpA; orange), a centromere-specific 840 Histone H3. During mitosis the CCAN recruits the Mis12 complex (linker; light green), which 841 provides a platform for the recruitment of the SAC signalling (light blue) and microtubule-interacting 842 complexes. The Chromosomal Passenger Complex (CPC; dark purple) localizes at the inner 843 centromere and harbours a kinase (aurora) that regulates microtubule attachments. Unattached KTs 844 catalyse the production of a diffusible cytosolic inhibitor of the APC/C, known as the mitotic 845 checkpoint complex (MCC), which captures the mitotic APC/C co-activator Cdc20. Initial KT-MT 846 encounters are driven by the kinesin Centromere protein E (CenpE; pink), which binds MTs at the 847 lateral sides. The Ndc80 complex (dark red) constitutes the main end-on MT binding activity of KTs. 848 To facilitate the tracking of the plus-end (+) of MT during anaphase, eukaryotes utilize two different 849 complexes: Dam (light purple; likely not present in LECA) and Ska (red). Once KTs are bound by 850 MTs, SAC signalling proteins are removed and the SAC is turned off. C) Reconstruction of the 851 evolution of the KT and mitotic signalling in eukaryotes based on protein presence-absence patterns

852	reveals extensive reduction of ancestral KT complexity and loss of the SAC in most metamonad
853	lineages, including the striking loss of the highly conserved core MT-binding activity of the KT
854	(Ndc80) in Carpediemonas. On top/bottom of panel C: the number of components per complex and
855	different structural parts of the KT, SAC signalling and the APC/C. Middle: presence/absence matrix
856	of KT, SAC and APC/C complexes; one circle per complex, colours correspond to panel A & B; grey
857	indicates its (partial) loss (for a complete overview see Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Fig.
858	4). The red STOP sign indicates the likely presence of a functional SAC response (see for discussion
859	<b>Supplementary Fig. 6</b> ). On the left: cartoon of a phylogenetic tree of metamonad and other selected
860	eukaryotic species with a projection of the loss and gain events on each branch. Specific loss events of
861	kinetochore and SAC genes in specific lineages are highlighted in colour.

44





Figure 4. Hypothesis for Dmc1-dependent DNA replication in *Carpediemonas*.

A) R-loop stimulated sense and antisense transcription<sup>118</sup> in a highly transcribed locus results in a

866 DNA break, triggering DSB checkpoint control systems to assemble HR complexes and the replication

- proteins near the lesion 11,37,119-121. Once the damage is processed into a DSB, end resection by
- Mre11/Rad50 creates a 3' overhang and the strands are coated with Replication protein A (RPA),

45

869	while resected ends are coated with the recombinase Dmc1. B) A recombination checkpoint decides
870	the HR sub-pathway to be used <sup>91</sup> , then strand invasion of a broken end is initiated into a transcript-
871	RNA or -cDNA template <sup>39,97,122</sup> followed by the initiation and progression of DNA synthesis with the
872	aid of Pif1 helicase*. This leads to the establishment of a double Holliday Junction (HJ) which can be
873	resolved by endonucleases (e.g., Mus81, Flap, Mlh1/Mlh3). The lack of Chk1 may result in mis-
874	segregation caused by aberrant processing of DNA replication intermediates by Mus81 <sup>48</sup> . Given the
875	shortness of the RNA or cDNA template, most possible HJ resolutions, except for the one depicted in
876	the figure, would lead to the loss of chromosome fragments. The HJ resolution shown would allow
877	steps shown in panel C. C) A multimeric Carpediemonas Pif1-like helicase is bound to the repaired
878	DNA as well as to the template. Here, the shortness of the template could resemble a replication
879	intermediate that could prompt the recruitment of MCM, following the addition of the replisome
880	proteins and establishing a fully functional replication fork (Dark blue fragments on 3' ends of the
881	bottom figure represent Okazaki fragments).
882	<sup>*</sup> Notes: Polymerases $\alpha$ and $\delta$ are able to incorporate the correct nucleotides using RNA template <sup>40</sup> ;

883 RNAse H2 would excise ribonucleosides and replace the correct nucleotide.

46

## **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

- 885 Table of contents
- 886 A. Supplementary methods
- 887 A1. Culturing and DNA isolation
- A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogenetic guided approach
- A3. Taxa selected for the comparative genomic analysis.
- 890 A4. Additional strategies used to search for ORC, Cdc6 ad Ndc80 proteins.
- 891 **B. Supplementary results**
- 892 **B1. BUSCO completeness.**
- 893 **B2.** Additional search strategies to find missing proteins.
- **B3.** DNA replication streamlining in nucleomorphs of chlorarachniophytes and cryptophytes
- 895 B4. Acquisition of Endonuclease IV, RarA and RNAse H1 by lateral gene transfer
- 896 C. Supplementary discussion
- 897 C1. BUSCO incompleteness
- 898 D. Supplementary references
- 899 E. Supplementary Table legends
- 900 F. Supplementary Figures
- 901 A. Supplementary methods
- 902 A1. Culturing and DNA isolation
- 903 Sequencing of *C. membranifera* BICM strain was done with Illumina short paired-end and long
- 904 MinION read technologies. The Illumina sequencing employed DNA from a monoxenic culture
- grown in 50 ml Falcon tubes in F/2 media enriched with the bacterium Shewanella frigidimarina as

906	food. DNA was isolated from a total of two litres of culture using a salt extraction protocol followed
907	by CsCl gradient centrifugation. RNA was also extracted from these cultures using TRIzol
908	(Invitrogen, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. For MinION sequencing, C.
909	membranifera was grown in sterile filtered 50% natural sea water media with 3% LB with either
910	Shewanella sp or Vibrio sp. isolate JH43 as food. Cell cultures were harvested at peak density by
911	centrifugation at 500×g, 8 min, 20 °C. The cells were resuspended in sterile-filtered spent growth
912	media (SFSGM) and centrifuged again at 500×g, 8 min, 20 °C. The cell pellets were resuspended in
913	1.5 mL SFSGM, layered on top of 9 mL Histopaque®-1077 (Sigma-Aldrich) and centrifuged at
914	$2000 \times g$ , 20 min, 20 °C. The protists were recovered from the media: Histopaque interface by
915	pipetting, diluted in 10 volumes of SFSGM and centrifuged $500 \times g$ , 8 min, 20 °C. High molecular
916	weight DNA was extracted using MagAttract HMW DNA Kit (Qiagen, Cat No. 67563), purified with
917	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5).
917 918	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5). A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approach
917 918 919	<ul> <li>GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5).</li> <li>A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approach</li> <li>The BUSCO approach<sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of the</li> </ul>
917 918 919 920	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5). <b>A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approach</b> The BUSCO approach <sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of the extreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, the completeness of the BUSCO set was re-
917 918 919 920 921	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5).A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approachThe BUSCO approach <sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of theextreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, the completeness of the BUSCO set was re-assessed with a phylogeny-guided search. For this, we eliminated 31 proteins associated with
917 918 919 920 921 922	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5).A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approachThe BUSCO approach <sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of theextreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, the completeness of the BUSCO set was re-assessed with a phylogeny-guided search. For this, we eliminated 31 proteins associated withmitochondria or mitochondrion- related organelles (MROs) as Metamonada have reduced or no
917 918 919 920 921 922 923	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5).A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approachThe BUSCO approach <sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of theextreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, the completeness of the BUSCO set was re-assessed with a phylogeny-guided search. For this, we eliminated 31 proteins associated withmitochondria or mitochondrion- related organelles (MROs) as Metamonada have reduced or noMROs <sup>2</sup> , and employed taxa-enriched Hidden Markov Model (HMM) searches to account for
917 918 919 920 921 922 923 923	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5).A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approachThe BUSCO approach <sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of theextreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, the completeness of the BUSCO set was re-assessed with a phylogeny-guided search. For this, we eliminated 31 proteins associated withmitochondria or mitochondrion- related organelles (MROs) as Metamonada have reduced or noMROs <sup>2</sup> , and employed taxa-enriched Hidden Markov Model (HMM) searches to account fordivergence between the remaining 272 proteins and the studied taxa. In brief: BLASTp was carried
917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5). <b>A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approach</b> The BUSCO approach <sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of the extreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, the completeness of the BUSCO set was re- assessed with a phylogeny-guided search. For this, we eliminated 31 proteins associated with mitochondria or mitochondrion- related organelles (MROs) as Metamonada have reduced or no MROs <sup>2</sup> , and employed taxa-enriched Hidden Markov Model (HMM) searches to account for divergence between the remaining 272 proteins and the studied taxa. In brief: BLASTp was carried out using the 272 BUSCO proteins as queries for finding their orthologues in a local version of the
917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5). A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approach The BUSCO approach <sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of the extreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, the completeness of the BUSCO set was re- assessed with a phylogeny-guided search. For this, we eliminated 31 proteins associated with mitochondria or mitochondrion- related organelles (MROs) as Metamonada have reduced or no MROs <sup>2</sup> , and employed taxa-enriched Hidden Markov Model (HMM) searches to account for divergence between the remaining 272 proteins and the studied taxa. In brief: BLASTp was carried out using the 272 BUSCO proteins as queries for finding their orthologues in a local version of the PANTHER 14.0 database <sup>3</sup> to enable the identification of the most likely Panther subfamily HMM
917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5). A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approach The BUSCO approach <sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of the extreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, the completeness of the BUSCO set was re- assessed with a phylogeny-guided search. For this, we eliminated 31 proteins associated with mitochondria or mitochondrion- related organelles (MROs) as Metamonada have reduced or no MROs <sup>2</sup> , and employed taxa-enriched Hidden Markov Model (HMM) searches to account for divergence between the remaining 272 proteins and the studied taxa. In brief: BLASTp was carried out using the 272 BUSCO proteins as queries for finding their orthologues in a local version of the PANTHER 14.0 database <sup>3</sup> to enable the identification of the most likely Panther subfamily HMM
917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928	GenomicTip 20/G (Qiagen, Cat No. 10223) and resuspended in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5).A2. Genome size and completeness using BUSCO and a phylogeny-guided approachThe BUSCO approach <sup>1</sup> was prone to false negative predictions with our dataset because of theextreme divergence of metamonad homologs. Therefore, the completeness of the BUSCO set was re-assessed with a phylogeny-guided search. For this, we eliminated 31 proteins associated withmitochondria or mitochondrion- related organelles (MROs) as Metamonada have reduced or noMROs <sup>2</sup> , and employed taxa-enriched Hidden Markov Model (HMM) searches to account fordivergence between the remaining 272 proteins and the studied taxa. In brief: BLASTp was carriedout using the 272 BUSCO proteins as queries for finding their orthologues in a local version of thePANTHER 14.0 database <sup>3</sup> to enable the identification of the most likely Panther subfamily HMMand its annotation. Then, each corresponding subfamily HMM was searched for in the predictedproteomes with an e-value cut-off of 1x10 <sup>-1</sup> with HMMER v3.1b2 <sup>4</sup> . In cases where these searches did

930	e-value cut-off. Five best hits for each search were retrieved from each proteome, aligned to the
931	corresponding Panther subfamily or family sequences with MAFFT v7.310 <sup>5</sup> and phylogenetic
932	reconstructions were carried out using IQ-TREE v1.6.5 <sup>6</sup> under the LG+C60+F+ $\Gamma$ model with
933	ultrafast bootstrapping (1000 replicates). Protein domain architectures were visualized by mapping
934	the respective Pfam accessions onto trees using ETE tools $v3.1.1^7$ .
935	A3. Taxa selected for comparative genomic analysis.
936	Our analyses included the publicly available genomes and predicted proteomes of Trichomonas
937	vaginalis G3 (Parabasalia, www.trichdb.org), Monocercomonoides exilis (Preaxostyla,
938	www.protistologie.cz/hampllab), the free-living fornicates Carpediemonas frisia <sup>8</sup> (i.e., metagenomic
939	bin and predicted proteome), Carpediemonas membranifera (reported here) and Kipferlia bialata9,
940	plus the parasitic diplomonad fornicates: Giardia intestinalis Assemblages A and B, Giardia muris,
941	Spironucleus salmonicida-ATCC50377 (www.giardiadb.org) and Trepomonas PC1 <sup>10</sup> -the latter was
942	only available as a transcriptome. We also included a set of genomes that are broadly representative
943	of eukaryote diversity, such as Homo sapiens GRCh38, Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C,
944	Arabidopsis thaliana TAIR10, Dictyostelium discoideum AX4, Trypanosoma brucei TREU927-rel28
945	(www.uniprot.org), Naegleria gruberi NEG-M (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), Guillardia theta and
946	Bigelowiella natans (www.genome.jgi.doe.gov/portal/).
947	Additional analyzed genomes were those of the microsporidia Encephalitozoon intestinalis ATCC
948	50506 (ASM14646v1), E. cuniculi GB-M1 (ASM9122v2) and Trachipleistophora hominis
949	(ASM31613v1), the yeasts Hanseniaspora guilliermondii (ASM491977v1), Hanseniaspora opuntiae
950	(ASM174979v1), Hanseniaspora osmophila (ASM174704v1), Hanseniaspora uvarum
951	(ASM174705v1) and Hanseniaspora valbyensis NRRL Y-1626 (GCA_001664025.1), Tritrichomonas
952	foetus (ASM183968v1), the nucleomorphs of Hemiselmis andersenii (ASM1864v1), Cryptomonas
953	paramecium (ASM19445v1), Chroomonas mesostigsmatica (ASM28609v1), Guillardia theta

- 954 (ASM297v1), Lotharella vacuolata (AB996599–AB996601), Amorphochlora amoebiformis
- 955 (AB996602–AB996604) and *Bigellowiela natans* (ASM245v1), the corals *Galaxea fascicularis*,
- 956 Fungia sp., Goniastrea aspera, Acropora tenuis and the coral endosymbionts Symbiodinium kawagutii
- 957 and Symbiodinium goreaui $^{11,12}$ .

## 958 A4. Additional strategies used to search for ORC, Cdc6 ad Ndc80 proteins.

- 959 Strategies included enriched HMMs as mentioned in the main text and HMMs for individual Pfam
- domains with e-value thresholds of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ . 1) Metamonad-specific HMMs were built as described for
- 961 kinetochore proteins containing the newly found hits plus orthologs from additional publicly
- available metamonad proteomes or transcriptomes<sup>2,13</sup>, 2) we applied the eggNOG 4.5 profiles
- 963 COG1474, COG5575, KOG2538, KOG2228, KOG2543, KOG4557, KOG4762, KOG0995,
- 964 KOG4438, KOG4657 and 2S26V which encompass 2774, 495, 452, 466, 464, 225, 383, 504, 515,
- 403 and 84 taxa, respectively, and 3) the Pfam v33.1 HMMs: PF09079 (Cdc6\_C), PF17872
- 966 (AAA\_lid\_10), PF00004 (AAA+), PF13401 (AAA\_22), PF13191 (AAA\_16), PF01426 (BAH),
- 967 PF04084 (Orc2), PF07034 (Orc3), PF18137 (ORC\_WH\_C), PF14629 (Orc4\_C), PF14630 (Orc5\_C),
- 968 PF05460 (Orc6), PF03801 (Ndc80\_HEC), PF03800 (Nuf2), PF08234 (Spindle\_Spc25) and PF08286
- 969 (Spc24). For Ncd80, Nuf2, Spc24 and Spc25 we also applied the HMMs models published in<sup>14</sup>.
- 970 **B. Supplementary results**

# 971 **B1. BUSCO completeness.**

- A subset of 272 BUSCO proteins from the odb9 database was used for a phylogeny-guided search for
- divergent orthologs. This revealed that: *i*) 27 out of 272 BUSCO (9.9%) proteins are absent in all
- 974 metamonads, *ii*) only 101 (~41%) of the remaining 245 proteins were shared by all metamonad
- proteomes, and *iii*) up to 38% are absent in all Fornicata. Metamonad genomes only contained 60% to
- 976 91% of the BUSCO proteins (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1, note that the BUSCO presence-
- absence patterns of the transcriptomic data from *Trepomonas* sp. PC1 are consistent with those of the

50

978 remaining diplomonads). These analyses demonstrate that the Metamonada have secondarily lost a
979 relatively large number of highly conserved eukaryotic proteins and, therefore, BUSCO analysis
980 cannot be used on its own to evaluate metamonad genome completeness.

- 981 **B2.** Additional search strategies to find missing proteins.
- 982 Metamonad-specific HMM retrieved two candidates for Orc1/Cdc6 proteins from C. frisia (i.e.,

983 Cfrisia\_2222, Cfrisia\_2845) and one from *C. membranifera* (*i.e.*, c4603.t1), and one Orc4 candidate

from each *Carpediemonas* species (*i.e.*, Cfrisia\_2559, ds58\_16707). Further inspection of these hits

showed that only the AAA+ region shared similarity among all of these proteins, which is expected

- as ORC and Cdc6 proteins belong to the ATPase superfamily. However, based on full protein
- 987 identity, full profile composition and domain architecture, the proteins retrieved with the Orc1/Cdc6

988 HMM were confidently annotated as Katanin P60 ATPase-containing subunit A1 (Cfrisia\_2222),

989 Replication factor C subunits 1 (c4603.t1) and 5 (Cfrisia\_2845), and proteins retrieved with Orc4

HMM were members of the Dynein heavy chain (Cfrisia\_2559) and AAA-family ATPase families

991 (ds58\_16707). The latter is a 744 aa protein that has a C-terminal region with no sequence similarity

or amino acid profile frequencies that resembles a Orc4\_C Pfam domain from other metamonads or

993 model eukaryotes. All the additional search strategies yielded false positives in *Carpediemonas* 

994 species, as these retrieved AAA-family members lacking sequence similarity to orc proteins, showed

completely different protein domain architecture than the expected one and were associated with

996 different functional annotation (data not shown). When reconstructing the domain architecture of

997 ORC and Cdc6 proteins in metamonads, we noted that Fornicata Orc1/Cdc6-like proteins are

remarkably smaller (*i.e.*, 1.5 to 3 times smaller) than Orc1 and Cdc6 from the model organisms and

999 other protists used later in phylogenetic reconstruction (Supplementary Figure 1A and B,

**Supplementary Table 1**). In most cases, the small proteins lack protein domains rendering a

1001 different domain architecture with respect to their homologs in S. cerevisiae, H. sapiens, A. thaliana

51

1002 and *T. vaginalis* (Supplementary Figure 1A, Supplementary Table 1). For example, Orc1 and 1003 Cdc6 paralogs in Fornicata lack BAH, and AAA\_lid10 and Cdc6\_C domains. Protein alignments 1004 show that the conserved areas of these proteins correspond to AAA+ domain that have relatively conserved Walker domains A and B (except MONOS\_13325 from M. exilis), with a few proteins 1005 1006 lacking the arginine finger motif (R-finger) within the Walker B motif (Supplementary Figure 1B). The latter may negatively affect ATPase activity of the R-finger-less proteins. In an attempt to 1007 establish orthology, metamonad Orc1/Cdc6 candidates were used for phylogenetic reconstruction 1008 1009 together with publicly available proteins that have reliable annotations for Orc1 and Cdc6, expected 1010 domain architecture and/or with experimental evidence of their functional activity in the replisome. Phylogenetic analysis shows that metamonad proteins form separate clades from the bona fide Orc1 1011 1012 and Cdc6 sequences (Supplementary Figure 1C). One of these separate clades encompasses Orc1-b 1013 from T. brucei that has been shown to participate during DNA replication despite lacking the typical domain architecture<sup>15</sup>. 1014

### 1015 **B3. DNA replication streamlining in nucleomorphs**

1016 The loss of ORC/Cdc6 accompanied by the partial retention of MCM, PCNA, Cdc45, RCF, GINS 1017 and the homologous recombination (HR) recombinase Rad51 was observed in cryptophyte and chlorarachniophyte nucleomorphs (Supplementary table 1). ORC and Cdc6 were found as single 1018 copies (except Orc2) in the nuclear DNA of these two groups; their predicted proteins lack obvious 1019 1020 signal and targeting peptides which would likely prevent them from participating in a nucleus-1021 coordinated nucleomorph replication. Hence, nucleomorph DNA replication likely occurs by HR 1022 without the assistance of ORC/Cdc6 origin-binding, but this replication might nonetheless be regulated at the transcriptional level by the nucleus as shown by<sup>16</sup>. Many of the remaining nuclear-1023 1024 encoded proteins involved in replication are present in more than one copy in those taxa, with several of them containing signal and transit peptides (e.g., H2A, POLD, RCF1 and RFA1)<sup>16,17</sup>. 1025

# **B4.** Acquisition of Endonuclease IV, RarA and RNAse H1 by lateral gene transfer

1027	The Endonuclease IV (Apn1 in yeast) and exonuclease III (Exo III) function in the removal of
1028	abasic sites in DNA via the BER pathway. Our analyses show that C. frisia and C. membranifera
1029	have Exo III and have a prokaryotic version of Endo IV (Supplementary Fig 8). Interestingly, none
1030	of the parabasalids and Giardia spp. have an Endo IV homolog, either eukaryotic or prokaryotic. S.
1031	salmonicida and Trepomonas sp. PC1, by contrast, appear to encode a typical eukaryotic Endo IV.
1032	The RarA (Replication-Associated Recombination protein A, also named MgsA) protein is
1033	ubiquitous in bacteria and eukaryotes (e.g., homologs Msg1 in yeast and WRNIP1 in mammals) and
1034	acts in the context of collapsed replication forks <sup>18,19</sup> . Carpediemonas possesses a prokaryotic-like
1035	version (Supplementary Fig 9) that lacks the ubiquitin-binding Zn finger N-terminal domain typical
1036	of eukaryotic homologs <sup>18</sup> . No canonical eukaryotic RarAs were detected in the remaining
1037	metamonads, but it appears that prokaryotic-like RarA proteins in Giardia, S. salmonicida and
1038	Trepomonas sp. PC1 were acquired in an independent event from that of Carpediemonas.
1039	Both Carpediemonas genomes have a eukaryotic RNAse H2, lack eukaryotic RNAse H1 but
1040	encode up to two copies of a prokaryotic-like RNAse H1 (Supplementary Fig. 10) which do not
1041	have the typical eukaryotic HBD domain <sup>20</sup> . The HBD domain is thought to be responsible for the
1042	higher affinity of this protein for DNA/RNA duplexes rather than for dsRNA <sup>21,22</sup> . All prokaryotic-
1043	like RNAse H1s in metamonads are highly divergent (Supplementary Fig. 10) and, in the case of S.
1044	salmonicida RNaseH1 proteins, these formed very long branches in all of our preliminary trees, that
1045	had to be removed for the final phylogenetic reconstruction. Remarkably, the phylogenetic
1046	reconstruction that includes other metamonad proteins suggests that Giardia, Trepomonas sp. PC1, T.
1047	foetus and T. vaginalis, also acquired bacterial RNAseH1. Trepomonas sp. PC1 and Giardia
1048	sequences cluster together but the T. foetus and T. vaginalis enzymes each emerge amidst different
1049	bacterial branches, suggesting that they have been acquired independently from the Carpediemonas

53

homologs. It should, however, be noted that the support values are overall low, partly due to the fact
that these sequences and their relatives are highly divergent from each other, from *Carpediemonas*bacterial-like sequences, and from typical eukaryotic RNaseH1.

#### 1053 C. Supplementary discussion

### 1054 C1. BUSCO incompleteness

Both eukaryote-wide and protist BUSCO analyses using the BUSCO methods underperformed in our 1055 1056 analyses. Despite using a phylogeny-guided search with the Eukaryota database, a more comprehensive database than the protist BUSCO database, a remarkably large number of BUSCO 1057 proteins were inconsistently present in Metamonada. This is not surprising, as the clade harbors a very 1058 1059 diverse group of taxa with varied lifestyles and many have undergone genome streamlining<sup>9,10,23-25</sup>, 1060 and the BUSCO databases are expected to be more accurate with greater taxonomic proximity to the studied genome<sup>1,26,27</sup>. While it might be tempting to suggest the 101 BUSCO proteins that are shared 1061 1062 by all metamonads be used to evaluate genome completion in the clade, the overwhelming evidence of 1063 differential genome streamlining strongly indicates that databases should be lineage specific (e.g., 1064 *Carpediemonas, Giardia*, etc). Hence, our results highlight the need for constructing such databases 1065 including proteins that showcase the sequence diversity of the groups and genes that are truly single 1066 copy in each of these lineages. Regardless, using only standard BUSCO methods to capture genome 1067 completion will still fall short in such assessments as it will fail to evaluate the most difficult-toassemble regions of the genome<sup>27,28</sup>. For that reason, combined approaches such as the ones used here 1068 provide a more comprehensive global overview of genome completeness. 1069

1070 **D. Supplementary references** 

1071 1 Waterhouse, R. M. *et al.* BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction
and phylogenomics. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 543-548 (2018).

- 54
- 1073 2 Leger, M. M. *et al.* Organelles that illuminate the origins of *Trichomonas* hydrogenosomes
- and *Giardia* mitosomes. *Nat Ecol Evol* **1**, 0092 (2017).
- 1075 3 Mi, H. et al. PANTHER version 11: expanded annotation data from Gene Ontology and
- 1076 Reactome pathways, and data analysis tool enhancements. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, D183-D189
- 1077 (2017).
- 1078 4 Eddy, S. R. Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Comp. Biol.* 7, e1002195 (2011).
- 1079 5 Yamada, K. D., Tomii, K. & Katoh, K. Application of the MAFFT sequence alignment
- 1080 program to large data-reexamination of the usefulness of chained guide trees. *Bioinformatics*
- **32**, 3246-3251 (2016).
- Nguyen, L. T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
  stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32,
  268 274 (2015)
- 1084 268-274 (2015).
- Huerta-Cepas, J., Serra, F. & Bork, P. ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and Visualization of
  Phylogenomic Data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1635-1638 (2016).
- Hamann, E. *et al.* Syntrophic linkage between predatory *Carpediemonas* and specific
  prokaryotic populations. *ISME J* 11, 1205-1217 (2017).
- 1089 9 Tanifuji, G. *et al.* The draft genome of *Kipferlia bialata* reveals reductive genome evolution
  1090 in fornicate parasites. *PLoS One* 13, e0194487 (2018).
- 1091 10 Xu, F. *et al.* On the reversibility of parasitism: adaptation to a free-living lifestyle via gene 1092 acquisitions in the diplomonad *Trepomonas sp.* PC1. *BMC Biol.* **14**, 62 (2016).
- 1093 11 Ying, H. *et al.* Comparative genomics reveals the distinct evolutionary trajectories of the
- 1094 robust and complex coral lineages. *Genome Biol.* **19**, 175-175 (2018).

- 55
- 1095 12 Voolstra, C. *et al.* The ReFuGe 2020 Consortium—using "omics" approaches to explore the
  adaptability and resilience of coral holobionts to environmental change. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 2
  1097 (2015).
- 1098 13 Benchimol, M. *et al.* Draft genome sequence of *Tritrichomonas foetus* Strain K. *Genome* 1099 Announc. 5, e00195-00117 (2017).
- 14 Tromer, E., van Hooff, J., Kops, G. & Snel, B. Mosaic origin of the eukaryotic kinetochore. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 201821945 (2019).
- 1102 15 Dang, H. Q. & Li, Z. The Cdc45.Mcm2-7.GINS protein complex in trypanosomes regulates
- 1103 DNA replication and interacts with two Orc1-like proteins in the origin recognition complex.
- 1104 J. Biol. Chem. 286, 32424-32435 (2011).
- 105 16 Onuma, R., Mishra, N. & Miyagishima, S. Y. Regulation of chloroplast and nucleomorph
  1106 replication by the cell cycle in the cryptophyte *Guillardia theta*. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 2345 (2017).
- Suzuki, S., Ishida, K. & Hirakawa, Y. Diurnal transcriptional regulation of endosymbiotically
  derived genes in the Chlorarachniophyte *Bigelowiella natans*. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 8, 26722682 (2016).
- 1110 18 Romero, H. *et al.* Single molecule tracking reveals functions for RarA at replication forks but
  1111 also independently from replication during DNA repair in *Bacillus subtilis. Sci. Rep.* 9, 1997
  1112 (2019).
- 1113 19 Yoshimura, A., Seki, M. & Enomoto, T. The role of WRNIP1 in genome maintenance. *Cell*1114 *Cycle* 16, 515-521 (2017).
- 1115 20 Cerritelli, S. *et al.* Failure to produce mitochondrial DNA results in embryonic lethality in
  1116 RNAseH1 null mice. *Mol. Cell* 11, 807-815 (2003).
- 1117 21 Nowotny, M. *et al.* Specific recognition of RNA/DNA hybrid and enhancement of human
  1118 RNase H1 activity by HBD. *EMBO J.* 27, 1172-1181 (2008).

- 1119 22 Cerritelli, S. M. & Crouch, R. J. Ribonuclease H: the enzymes in eukaryotes. *FEBS J.* 276,
- 1120 1494-1505 (2009).
- 1121 23 Morrison, H. G. *et al.* Genomic Minimalism in the Early Diverging Intestinal Parasite *Giardia*
- 1122 *lamblia. Science* **317**, 1921-1926 (2007).
- 1123 24 Xu, F. *et al.* The compact genome of *Giardia muris* reveals important steps in the evolution of
- intestinal protozoan parasites. *Microb. Genom.* (2020).
- 1125 25 Xu, F. *et al.* The genome of *Spironucleus salmonicida* highlights a fish pathogen adapted to
  1126 fluctuating environments. *PLoS Genet.* 10, e1004053 (2014).
- 1127 26 Saary, P., Mitchell, A. L. & Finn, R. D. Estimating the quality of eukaryotic genomes
- 1128 recovered from metagenomic analysis with EukCC. *Genome Biol.* **21**, 244 (2020).
- 1129 27 Hanschen, E., Hovde, B. & Starkenburg, S. An evaluation of methodology to determine algal
  1130 genome completeness. *Algal Res.* 51, 102019 (2020).
- 1131 28 Rhie, A., Walenz, B. P., Koren, S. & Phillippy, A. M. Merqury: reference-free quality,
- 1132 completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. *Genome Biol.* **21**, 245 (2020).
- 1133 29 Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M., Clamp, M. & Barton, G. J. Jalview Version
- 1134 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1189-
- 1135 1191 (2009).
- 1136 30 Musacchio, A. The molecular biology of spindle assembly checkpoint signaling dynamics.
- 1137 *Curr. Biol.* **25**, R1002-R1018 (2015).
- Alfieri, C., Zhang, S. & Barford, D. Visualizing the complex functions and mechanisms of the
  anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C). *Open Biol.* 7 (2017).
- 1140 32 Tromer, E., Bade, D., Snel, B. & Kops, G. J. Phylogenomics-guided discovery of a novel
- 1141 conserved cassette of short linear motifs in BubR1 essential for the spindle checkpoint. *Open*
- 1142 *Biol.* **6** (2016).

- 1143 33 Vleugel, M. *et al.* Arrayed BUB recruitment modules in the kinetochore scaffold KNL1
- 1144 promote accurate chromosome segregation. J. Cell Biol. 203, 943-955 (2013).
- 1145 34 Shepperd, L. A. *et al.* Phosphodependent recruitment of Bub1 and Bub3 to Spc7/KNL1 by
- 1146 Mph1 kinase maintains the spindle checkpoint. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 891-899 (2012).
- 1147 35 Tromer, E., Snel, B. & Kops, G. Widespread recurrent patterns of rapid repeat evolution in
- the kinetochore scaffold KNL1. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **7**, 2383-2393 (2015).
- 1149 36 Moyle, M. W. *et al.* A Bub1-Mad1 interaction targets the Mad1-Mad2 complex to unattached
- 1150 kinetochores to initiate the spindle checkpoint. J. Cell Biol. 204, 647-657 (2014).
- 1151 37 Ji, Z., Gao, H., Jia, L., Li, B. & Yu, H. A sequential multi-target Mps1 phosphorylation
- 1152 cascade promotes spindle checkpoint signaling. *Elife* **6** (2017).
- 1153 38 Zhang, G. *et al.* Bub1 positions Mad1 close to KNL1 MELT repeats to promote checkpoint
  1154 signalling. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15822 (2017).
- 1155 39 Faesen, A. C. *et al.* Basis of catalytic assembly of the mitotic checkpoint complex. *Nature*1156 542, 498-502 (2017).
- Izawa, D. & Pines, J. The mitotic checkpoint complex binds a second CDC20 to inhibit active
  APC/C. *Nature* 517, 631 (2014).
- 1159 41 Di Fiore, B., Wurzenberger, C., Davey, N. E. & Pines, J. The mitotic checkpoint complex
- 1160 requires an evolutionary conserved cassette to bind and inhibit active APC/C. *Mol. Cell* **64**,
- 1161 1144-1153 (2016).
- 1162 42 Burton, J. L. & Solomon, M. J. D box and KEN box motifs in budding yeast Hsl1p are
- required for APC-mediated degradation and direct binding to Cdc20p and Cdh1p. *Genes Dev.*
- **1164 15**, 2381-2395 (2001).
- 1165

#### 58

### 1166 E. Supplementary figures (Note: Any reference in Supplementary Figure legends can be found in

# 1167 Supplementary References)



Supplementary Fig 1 Orc1-6 and Cdc6 proteins. A) Left: typical domain architecture observed for
Orc1-6 and Cdc6 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Right: representative domain architecture of
metamonad proteins drawn to reflect the most common protein size. If no species name is given, then

1172	the depicted domain structure was found in all of the metamonads where present. Numbers on the right
1173	of each depiction correspond to the total protein length or its range in the case of metamonads
1174	(additional information in Supplementary Table 1). B) Comparison of Orc1, Cdc6 and Orc1/Cdc6-
1175	like protein lengths across 81 eukaryotes encompassing metamonads and non-metamonads protists
1176	(source information in Supplementary Table 1). Metamonad proteins are highlighted with green
1177	shaded bubbles in the background. C) Orc1/Cdc6 partial ATPase domain showing Walker A and
1178	Walker B motifs including R-finger. Reference species at the top. Multiple sequence alignment was
1179	visualized with Jalview <sup>29</sup> using the Clustal colouring scheme. <b>D</b> ) Phylogenetic reconstruction of Orc1,
1180	Cdc6 and Orc1/Cdc6-like proteins inferred with IQ-TREE <sup>6</sup> under the LG+ C10+F+ $\Gamma$ model using
1181	1000 ultrafast bootstraps (bootstrap value ranges for branches are shown with black and grey dots).
1182	The alignment consists of 81 taxa with 367 sites after trimming. Orc1/Cdc6-like proteins do not form a
1183	clade with bona fide Orc1 and Cdc6 proteins making it impossible to definitively establish whether or
1184	not they are orthologs.



1187

1188 Supplementary Fig 2 The distribution of core molecular systems of the replisome, double strand break repair and endonucleases in

1189 nucleomorph genomes of cryptophyte and chlorarachniophytes.



Supplementary Fig 3 The distribution of core molecular systems of DNA repair across eukaryotic diversity. A schematic global eukaryote phylogeny is shown on the left with classification of the major metamonad lineages indicated. Double strand break repair and endonuclease sets. \*\*\**Carpediemonas*-Like Organisms. '?' is used in cases where correct orthology was difficult to establish, so the protein name appears with the suffix '-like' in tables.

Kinetochore Network and Spindle Assembly Checkpoint Signaling







- 1199 SAC and APC/C proteins that were present in LECA. On top: names of the different subunits; single letters (A-X) indicate Centromere
- 1200 protein A-X (e.g., CenpA) and numbers, APC/C subunit 1-15 (e.g., Apc1). E2S and E2C, refer to E2 ubiquitin conjugases S and C,

1201	respectively. Colour schemes correspond to the kinetochore overview figure on the right and to that used in Figure 1. Right: cartoon of
1202	the components of the kinetochore, SAC signalling, the APC/C and its substrates (Cyclin A/B) in LECA and Carpediemonas species to
1203	indicate the loss of components (light grey shading). Blue lines indicate the presence of proteins that are part of the MCC. Asterisk:
1204	Apc10 has three paralogs in C. membranifera and two in C. frisia. One is the canonical Apc10, the two others are fused to a BTB-Kelch
1205	protein of which its closest homologs is a likely adapter for the E3 ubiquitin ligase Cullin 3.



Supplementary Fig 5. Carpediemonas harbours three different types of Histone H3 proteins, a centromere-specific variant 1208 (CenpA). Multiple sequence alignment of different Histone H3 variants in eukaryotes and metamonads, including the secondary structure 1209 of canonical H3 in humans (pdb: 6ESF\_A). CenpA orthologs are characterized by extended amino and carboxy termini and a large L1 1210 loop. Red names in the CenpA panel indicate for which species centromere/kinetochore localization has been confirmed. In addition to 1211 1212 CenpA and canonical Histone H3-variants, multiple eukaryotes, including C. membranifera and C. frisia, harbour other divergent H3 variants. Such divergent variants make the annotation of Histone H3 homologs ambiguous (see Asterisks; incomplete sequences). 1213 Multiple sequence alignments were visualized with Jalview<sup>29</sup>, using the Clustal colour scheme. Asterisks indicate two potential CenpA 1214 candidates in T. vaginalis. 1215

65



1216

# 1217 Supplementary Fig 6. Likely presence of SAC signalling in *Carpediemonas*. A) Short linear motifs

- 1218 form the basis of SAC signalling. During prometaphase, unattached kinetochores catalyse the
- 1219 production of inhibitor of the cell cycle machinery, a phenomenon known as the  $SAC^{30}$ . (I) The main
- 1220 protein scaffold of SAC signalling is the kinase MadBub (paralogs Mad3/Bub1 exist in eukaryotes),

1221	which consist of many short linear motifs (SLiMs) that mediate the interaction of SAC components
1222	and the APC/C (light blue) <sup>31,32</sup> . MadBub itself is recruited to the kinetochore through interaction with
1223	Bub3 (GLEBS), which on its turn binds repeated phosphomotifs in Knl1 <sup>33-35</sup> . The CDI or CMI motif
1224	aids to recruit Mad1 <sup>36-38</sup> , which has a Mad2-interaction Motif (MIM) that mediated the kinetochore-
1225	dependent conversion of open-Mad2 to Mad2 in a closed conformation <sup>39</sup> . (II) Mad2, MadBub, Bub3
1226	and 2x Cdc20 (APC/C co-activator) form the mitotic checkpoint complex (MCC) and block the
1227	APC/C <sup>32,40,41</sup> . MadBub contains 3 different APC/C degrons (D-box, KEN-box and ABBA motif) <sup>31</sup> that
1228	direct its interaction with 2x Cdc20s and effectively make the MCC a pseudo substrate of the APC/C.
1229	(III) Increasing amounts of kinetochore-microtubule attachments silence the production of the MCC at
1230	kinetochores and the APC/C is released. Cdc20 now presents its substrates Cyclin A and Cyclin B
1231	(some eukaryotes have other substrates as well, but they are not universally conserved) for
1232	ubiquitination and subsequent degradation through recognition of a Dbox motif <sup>42</sup> . Chromosome
1233	segregation will now be initiated (anaphase). B) Presence/absence matrix of motifs involved in SAC
1234	signalling in a selection of Eukaryotes and Metamonads, including C. membranifera and C. frisia.
1235	Colours correspond to the motifs in panel A, light grey indicates motif loss. N signifies the number of
1236	MadBub homologs that are present in each species. 'Incomplete' points to sequences that were found
1237	to be incomplete due to gaps in the genome assembly. Question marks indicate the uncertainty in the
1238	presence of that particular motif. Although Metamonads have all four MCC components (Mad2, Bub3,
1239	MadBub and Cdc20), most homologs do not contain the motifs to elicit a canonical SAC signalling
1240	and it is therefore likely that they do not have a SAC response. Exceptions are C membranifera, C.
1241	frisia and Kipferlia bialata. They retained the N-terminal KEN-boxes and one ABBA motif, which are
1242	involved in the binding of two Cdc20s and a Mad2-interaction motif (MIM) in Mad1 and Cdc20. C)
1243	Multiple sequence alignments of the motifs from panel A and B. Coloured motif boxes correspond to

67

- 1244 panel A and B. Multiple sequence alignments were visualized with Jalview<sup>29</sup>, using the Clustal
- 1245 colouring scheme. Asterisks indicate ambiguous motifs in *Carpediemonas membranifera*.



1248 Supplementary Fig 7 Histogram showing the frequency distribution of single nucleotide variants











1258 Supplementary Fig 9 Maximum likelihood reconstruction of RarA. The unrooted tree contains

1259 eukaryotic and prokaryotic sequences, showing *Carpediemonas* sequences emerging within bacterial

1260 proteins. The tree was inferred with IQ-TREE under the LG+I+C20 model with 1000 ultrafast

1261 bootstraps; alignment length was 414. Scale bar shows the inferred number of amino acid substitutions

1262 per site.



1265 Supplementary Fig 10 Maximum likelihood reconstruction of RNAse H1. Carpediemonas RarA-

1266 like proteins emerge within bacterial proteins. Parabasalia and diplomonada proteins highlighting the

7	2
/	Z

- 1267 proteins have been acquired in different events. The tree was inferred with IQ-TREE under the
- 1268 LG+I+G+C20 model with 1000 ultrafast bootstraps; alignment length was 149. Scale bar shows the
- 1269 inferred number of amino acid substitutions per site.
- 1270 F. Supplementary tables
- 1271 Secure download link: http://perun.biochem.dal.ca/downloads/dsalas/Supplementary\_Table1.zip
- 1272 Supplementary Table 1:
- 1273 Supplementary Table 1A BUSCO proteins found in Metamonada based on searches for 245 proteins
- 1274 present in at least one taxon
- 1275 Supplementary Table 1B DNA replication and repair orthologs in 18 diverse eukaryotic genomes
- 1276 Supplementary Table 1C Spindle assembly, kinetochore and APC/C orthologs in 18 diverse
- 1277 eukaryotic genomes
- 1278 Supplementary Table 1D Additional genomes queried during the searches for ORC, Cdc6 and Ndc801279 proteins
- 1280 Supplementary Table 1E Lengths of Orc1-6, Cdc6 and Orc1/Cdc6-like proteins and domain
- 1281 architecture comparisons between metamonads and other eukaryotes.
- 1282 Supplementary Table 1F Orc1, Cdc6 and Orc1/Cdc6-likeproteins. Information used in
- 1283 Supplementary Figure 1 panels B and D