Foxq2 determines blue cone identity in zebrafish

Yohey Ogawa[1, †], Tomoya Shiraki[1, †], Yoshitaka Fukada[1,*] and Daisuke Kojima[1,*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, School of Science,

The University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033,

Japan.

*Corresponding author. Email: sfukada@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp (Y.F.);

sdkojima@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp (D.K.)

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

Most vertebrate lineages retain a tetrachromatic visual system, which is supported by a functional combination of spectrally distinct multiple cone photoreceptors, UV, blue, green, and red cones. The blue cone identity is ensured by selective expression of blue (*sws2*) opsin, and the mechanism is poorly understood because *SWS2* gene has been lost in mammalian species such as mouse, whose visual system has been extensively studied. Here we pursued loss-of-function studies on transcription factors expressed predominantly in zebrafish cone photoreceptors and identified Foxq2 as a core factor driving *sws2* gene expression. *foxq2* is expressed only in the blue cone, and loss of *foxq2* selectively abolishes *sws2* expression. Comparative genomic analysis revealed that a wide range of vertebrate species retain both *FOXQ2* and *SWS2* genes. We propose that FOXQ2-dependent *SWS2* expression is a prevalent regulatory mechanism that was acquired at the early stage of vertebrate evolution

1   **Introduction**

Most vertebrates have highly developed camera-type eyes with duplex

retinas equipped with rod and cone photoreceptor cells (*1–3*). Rods with a

higher light-sensitivity respond to single photons and mediate scotopic vision

under twilight conditions at night. In contrast, cones show a relatively lower

sensitivity without saturating in brighter light and mediate photopic vision under

a daylight condition. Color discrimination is established by a combination of

spectrally distinct cone subtypes, each expressing a single cone opsin out of

four subfamilies: UV- (SWS1, wavelength of maximum sensitivity [λ_{max}]: 360-

420 nm), blue- (SWS2, λ_{max}: 400-470 nm), green- (RH2, λ_{max}: 460-510 nm) and

red-sensitive opsins (LWS, λ_{max}: 510-560 nm) (*4, 5*). Most vertebrates retain the

tetrachromatic visual system organized by the four cone opsin subfamilies. A

full set of genes encoding the four cone opsins are present in the southern

hemisphere lamprey, a jawless vertebrate belonging to the earliest-branching

vertebrate group (*6*). This fact supports the idea that the last common ancestor

of vertebrates should have possessed color vision based on the four cone opsin

subfamilies (*4, 7*).

Retinal progenitor cells differentiate into all types of retinal neurons in a

temporal order, conserved among many species (*8–10*). In the later process,

transcription factors regulate photoreceptor-specific gene expression. Cone-rod

homeobox (Crx) is an upstream transcriptional regulator for both rod and cone

photoreceptors (*11, 12*). A rod master regulator, neural retina leucine zipper

(Nrl), and its downstream factor, Nuclear Receptor 2E3 (Nr2e3), enhance rod-

specific gene expression and repress SWS1 expression (*13, 14*). With regard to

cone subtypes, thyroid hormone receptor beta (Thrb) is a master transcriptional

regulator for expression of LWS opsin and responsible for differential

expression between LWS and SWS1 opsins in mice (*15*), zebrafish (*16*), and

human (*17*). Another transcription factor, T-box 2b (Tbx2b), plays an essential

role in SWS1 opsin expression in zebrafish (*18*). On the other hand, much less

is known about a regulatory network governing expression of the middle

wavelength-sensitive opsin genes, *sws2* and *rh2*, which have been lost in most

mammalian species.

In zebrafish, a tetrachromatic freshwater fish, we found that *sine oculis*

homeobox 7 (Six7) is required for expression of all the four subclasses of *rh2*

genes (*rh2-1*, *rh2-2*, *rh2-3* and *rh2-4*) (*19*, *20*), which are tandemly arrayed,

expressed in different cone cells, and spectrally distinct from each other (*21*,

22). Six7 and its homolog Six6b control *sws2* expression as well (*23*). The

cone-enriched transcription factors, Six7 and Six6b, share common DNA-

binding sites in both *rh2* and *sws2* gene loci, and Six6b overexpression rescues

the reduced level of *rh2* expression in *six7* deficient fish (*23*). The overlapping

functions between Six6b and Six7 for *sws2* and *rh2* expression imply that an

additional factor(s) directs selective expression of either *sws2* or *rh2* gene and

determines the identities of the middle wavelength-sensitive cone subtypes. In

the present study, gene expression profiling with isolated rods and cones

enabled us to identify a list of cone-enriched transcription factors. Our *in vivo*

functional analyses revealed a core transcriptional network, in which Foxq2 acts

as a downstream regulator of Six7 and regulates *sws2* expression*.* We

demonstrate that Foxq2 is a terminal selector determining SWS2 cone identity

during development of the middle wavelength-sensitive cone subtypes.

1 Results

2 A severe reduction of *sws2* expression in *foxq2* mutant
3 zebrafish

Six6b and Six7 are predominantly expressed in zebrafish cone
photoreceptors and responsible for expression of the middle wavelength-
sensitive opsin genes, *sws2* (blue) and *rh2* (green) (*23*). To identify a
transcription factor(s) that governs differentiation between SWS2 and RH2 cone
subtypes, we searched for cone-specific genes by comparing gene expression
profiles between cones and rods. These photoreceptor cells were purified from
the retinas of transgenic adult zebrafish, each of which express EGFP in all
cone subtypes [*Tg(gnat2:egfp)*] or in rods [*Tg(rho:egfp)*] (*19*). The cone- or rod-
enrichment in these purified samples was validated by RT-qPCR analyses of
cone- and rod-specific transducin alpha-subunit genes, *i.e.*, *gnat2* and *gnat1*,
respectively (Fig. 1A). A subsequent microarray analysis revealed
approximately 500 genes showing more than 10-fold higher expression in cones
than in rods (Data S1). These cone-enriched genes included four transcription
factors, *foxq2,* E2F transcription factor 7 (*e2f7*)*,* nuclear factor 1A (*nfia*), and
nuclear receptor 2F6b (*nr2f6b*), the roles of which in photoreceptor development
were not known. Genomic loci of these genes harbor Six6b- and Six7-binding
sites as revealed by our ChIP-seq analysis (*23*) (Fig. S1), implying that some of
these cone-enriched transcription factors mediate a regulatory function(s)
downstream of Six6b and Six7. In addition, we paid attention to two cone-
enriched transcription factors, *tbx2b* and *thrb.* They are known to be required for
expression of *sws1* (*18*) and *lws* (*16*), respectively, but their contributions to the
sws2 and *rh2* gene expression have not been well characterized. Cone-
enriched expression of these six transcription factors was verified by RT-qPCR
analyses with purified cone and rod cells (Fig. 1A).
We generated loss-of-function mutants of zebrafish for each of the six
transcription factors by introducing a frameshift mutation. In these mutants,
ocular transcript levels of the middle wavelength-sensitive opsin genes were
examined by RT-qPCR analysis (Fig. 1B). Among the mutant larvae, a *foxq2*
mutant (Fig. 1C, ja74) displayed the most striking reduction in *sws2* expression

as compared to the WT siblings (Fig. 1B). Another mutant line of *foxq2* (Fig. 1C,

ja77) similarly showed a severe reduction of *sws2* expression in the larvae (Fig.

S2A). The decrease of *sws2* expression in the mutant larvae (Fig. 1D and S2A)

was not due to delayed development of SWS2 cones because the adult *foxq2*

mutant (ja77) also exhibited minimal expression of *sws2* gene (Fig. S2B). In the

foxq2 mutant retinas, *in situ* hybridization signals of *sws2* transcripts were

undetectable with no apparent change in retinal morphology at the larval (Fig.

1E) and adult stages (Fig. S2C). These results demonstrate that *foxq2* is

indispensable for *sws2* expression in SWS2 cone subtype. In parallel, the *foxq2*

mutation caused significant increase in mRNA levels of two major *rh2*

subclasses, *rh2-1* in the larvae (Fig. S2A, ja77) and *rh2-2* in the adult (Fig. S2B,

ja77), as well as upregulation of *rh2-2* in the larvae (Fig. 1D, ja74 and Fig. S2A,

ja77) and *rh2-4* in the adult (Fig. S2B, ja77). The upregulation of *rh2* gene

expression is likely due to transcriptional compensation for severe reduction of

sws2 expression caused by the loss of Foxq2 function.

Tbx2b is also a cone-specific transcription factor (Fig. 1A) and is required

for expression of *sws1* gene (*18*). In the present study, *tbx2b*[ja20] mutant was

designed to encode C-terminally truncated Tbx2b protein (Fig. 1F), which

lacked two DNA-recognition helices of T-box domain (*24*) in a manner similar to

tbx2b[fby] mutant (*25*). As reported in *tbx2b*[fby] (*18*), *tbx2b*[ja20] larvae exhibited a

severe decrease of *sws1* and a parallel increase of rhodopsin (*rho*) expression

(Fig. 1F and 1G). Of note, we noticed that *tbx2b*[ja20] mutation caused 40%

reduction of *sws2* expression level from that in the WT siblings and substantial

increase in mRNA levels of *rh2-1* and *rh2-2* (Fig. 1G). Although the effect of

tbx2b mutation on *sws2* expression was weaker than that of *foxq2* mutation,

tbx2b appears to play a supportive role to *foxq2* on *sws2* expression in addition

to the essential role on *sws1* expression.

Thrb is another cone-specific transcription factor (Fig. 1A), and its mutant

thrb[ja27] (Fig. 1H) manifested a massive reduction of both *lws1* and *lws2*

expression and a concomitant increase in *sws1* expression in the larval eyes

(Fig. 1I) as reported in previous studies (*16*, *26–28*). We noticed that *thrb*[ja27]

mutant exhibited a moderate but significant increase in *sws2* gene expression

(Fig. 1I). The *sws2* upregulation accompanied no significant reduction of *rh2*

expression level (Fig. 1I). Accordingly, Thrb contributes to fine-tuning of *sws2*

expression together with a dominant role in regulation of *lws* expression. In

contrast, *nfia*, *nr2f6b,* and *e2f7* mutant fish showed no noticeable change in

sws2 or *rh2* expression (Fig. 1B and S3). These three transcription factors are

dispensable for middle-wavelength cone opsin gene expression.

Collectively, our mutant analysis demonstrates that *sws2* expression is

predominantly regulated by a cone-specific transcription factor, Foxq2, with the

aid of regulation by Tbx2b and Thrb.

SWS2 cone subtype-specific expression of *foxq2*

To gain insights into how *foxq2, tbx2b*, and *thrb* regulate *sws2* and *rh2*

expression, we investigated gene expression profiles of *foxq2, tbx2b*, and *thrb*

among the four cone subtypes: SWS1, SWS2, RH2, and LWS. These four cone

cells were isolated from four different lines of transgenic fish, each of which

expresses EGFP in one of the four cone subtypes (Fig. 2A; see Materials and

Methods for details). The cone subtype-enrichment in these purified samples

was validated by RT-qPCR analyses of cone opsin genes (Fig. 2B).

Subsequent analysis of *foxq2, tbx2b*, *thrb, six6b,* and *six7* in the four samples

revealed that *foxq2* was specifically expressed in SWS2 cone subtype (Fig. 2C).

Another SWS2 regulator, *tbx2b,* was expressed in both SWS1 and SWS2 cone

subtypes, whereas *thrb* was expressed only in the LWS cone subtype (Fig. 2C).

In contrast, SWS2/RH2 regulators, *six6b* and *six7,* were expressed in all the

cone subtypes (Fig. 2C). The SWS2 cone subtype-enriched expression of *foxq2*

and *tbx2b* suggests that these two factors coordinately regulate the cell-type-

specific expression of *sws2.*

foxq2 expression was restricted to the retina among various adult tissues

(Fig. 2D), and in the larvae, it was detected only in the anterior half of the body

(including the eyes) but not in the posterior half (Fig. 2E). These observations

suggest that in the course of development*, foxq2* expression becomes restricted

to SWS2 cones within the retina. Importantly, the mRNA level of *foxq2* was

severely reduced in *six6a/six6b/six7* triple knockout (TKO) lacking *sws2*

expression, *i.e.*, loss of SWS2 cone identity from the retina (*23*) (Fig. 2F and G).

foxq2 expression was also abrogated in the larval eyes of *foxq2* mutant (ja74)

as compared to that of WT sibling (Fig. 2H). The close correlation between

foxq2 and *sws2* expressions imply that Foxq2 is responsible for establishing

SWS2 cone identity. Meanwhile, *tbx2b* was expressed widely in various tissues

at the larval (Fig. 2E) and adult stage (Fig. 2D), and the ocular *tbx2b* expression

level was unaffected in the *foxq2* mutant (Fig. 2H) and *six6a/six6b/six7* TKO

(Fig. 2F and 2G), both of which were deficient in *sws2* expression. These

observations indicate dominant expression of *tbx2b* outside of SWS2 cones and

imply that Tbx2b may have pleiotropic roles for eye development (*29*) including

the cone identity determination.

12   Functional interaction of Foxq2 with *sws2* promoter

We then investigated functional interaction of Foxq2 with *sws2* promoter.

Foxq2 protein (Fig. 1C) has a conserved DNA-binding domain, termed forkhead

domain composed of about 100 amino acid residues (*30*). In Fox transcription

factor family, Foxq2 is categorized into clade I forkhead proteins (*31*), which

recognize two types of common forkhead-target DNA motifs termed FkhP

(RYAAAYA) and FkhS (AHAACA) (*32*). Our motif scanning analysis (see

Materials and Methods) revealed two FkhP motifs and two FkhS motifs present

within 1.56-kb *sws2* promoter region (Fig. 3A and S4), which drives selective

gene expression in SWS2 cones (*33*). In a cell-based reporter assay, the 1.56-

kb *sws2* promoter was transactivated by VP64-Foxq2 (Fig. 3B and 3C), in which

Foxq2 is N-terminally fused with four repeats of the VP16 transcriptional

activator domain [Fig. 3B and (*34*)]. The transcriptional activation was

attenuated by deletion of the upstream region of 1.03 or 1.26 kbp (0.53-kb or

0.3-kb *sws2* promoter, respectively), leaving single FkhS motif (Fig. 3A and 3C).

Still, we observed more than 30-fold transactivation of the 0.3-kb *sws2* promoter

by VP64-Foxq2, while the activation was largely reduced by the complete

deletion of the promoter sequence (Fig. 3C). The VP64-Foxq2-dependent

transactivation of a shorter promoter (0.25-kb *sws2* promoter) was markedly

decreased by introducing a 6-bp mutation in the FkhS motif (Fig. 3D). We then

generated a mutant protein, termed Fork-del, lacking nine amino acid residues

that are required for specific DNA-binding of the forkhead domain (*32*) (Fig. 3B).

The protein mutation abolished the ability of the transcriptional activation of the

sws2 promoter (Fig. 3B, 3D and 3E). These results demonstrated that Foxq2

functionally interacted with the forkhead-target DNA motif in the *sws2* promoter.

Together with the SWS2-specific expression of *foxq2* (Fig. 2)*,* Foxq2-mediated

transcriptional regulation of *sws2* gene would reasonably account for the

selective expression of *sws2* opsin in SWS2 cone subtype.

Fate determination of SWS2 cone subtype governed by *foxq2*

The *foxq2* mutants (ja74 and ja77) were not only deficient in *sws2*

expression but also characterized by significant reduction in mRNA level of

arrestin 3b (*arr3b*), a cone phototransduction gene selectively expressed in

SWS1 and SWS2 cone subtypes (*35*) (Fig. 1D and S2). These observations

suggest that *foxq2* deficiency impairs development of SWS2 cone cells and/or

their maintenance. To gain further insight into the fate determination of SWS2

cone subtype, we generated *foxq2* transgenic (*foxq2-tg*) zebrafish, *Tg(-*

5.2crx:EGFP-2A-FLAG-foxq2), in which expressions of both EGFP and Foxq2

are driven by 5.2-kb *crx* promoter in all the developing and matured

photoreceptor cells (Fig. 4A and 4B) (*16*). RT-qPCR analysis of larval ocular

mRNAs in three *foxq2-tg* lines (ja78Tg, ja79Tg, and ja91Tg) revealed that

forced expression of *foxq2* in the wild-type background caused a severe

decrease in *sws1* mRNA level (Fig. 4C and S5). This phenotype was not

accompanied by any detectable change in the expression levels of *tbx2b* and

crx (Fig. 4D and S5)*,* which are regulators of *sws1* expression (*18*) (Fig. 1G). It

is most probable that Foxq2 suppresses *sws1* expression in cones (Fig. 4E).

The selective expression of *foxq2* in SWS2 cones (Fig. 2C), together with

binding of Six6b and Six7 to *foxq2* gene locus (Ref. 23 and Fig. S1), suggest

that *sws2* expression is regulated by Foxq2 downstream of Six6b and Six7 (Fig.

4E). This possibility was explored by overexpressing *foxq2* in the homozygous

six7 knockout (KO) (*19*), in which expression levels of *sws2* and *foxq2* are

markedly reduced (Fig. 4C and 4D). The forced expression of *foxq2* in the *six7*

KO background (*foxq2-tg;six7* KO) recovered the reduced expression of *sws2*

up to a level higher than that in the WT control (Fig. 4C). In contrast, the

overexpression of *foxq2* had no significant effect on the severely reduced

expression levels of *rh2* (*rh2-1* plus *rh2-2*) (Fig. 4C) or on mRNA levels of *crx,*

tbx2b, six6b, and *six7,* transcriptional regulators of cone opsin expression (Fig.

4D). These results demonstrated that Foxq2 regulates *sws2* expression

downstream of Six7, suggesting that Foxq2 is a terminal selector for SWS2

subtype identity (Fig. 4E).

Conservation of *FOXQ2* gene among vertebrate species

FOXQ2 gene is annotated in the genomes of a wide range of vertebrate

species (Fig. 5), such as ray-finned fish (spotted gar, zebrafish, and medaka), a

lobe-finned fish (coelacanth), and an avian (sparrowhawk), all of which retain

SWS2 gene. We found highly conserved gene synteny around *FOXQ2* locus

among the vertebrates, and the synteny analysis of the human genome

indicated the absence of any gene homologous to *FOXQ2* between *PIAS4* and

ZBTB7A gene loci (Fig. 5B). Intriguingly, BLAST searching and subsequent

manual annotation revealed that a mammalian species, platypus, retains a gene

orthologous to *FOXQ2* harboring the forkhead domain highly conserved among

FOXQ2 subfamily members (Fig. 5 and S6; see also supplementary text). The

oviparous mammals including platypus diverged from marsupial and placental

mammals at the earlier stage of mammalian evolution (around 200 million years

ago). Of note, platypus retains *SWS2* gene in its genome (*36*), whereas many

other mammals have lost it (*7, 37*) most likely due to a long evolutionary history

of nocturnality in mammalian ancestors (*38*). These lines of genomic evidence

suggest that Foxq2-dependent *SWS2* expression is a highly conserved

regulatory mechanism that was acquired at the early stage of vertebrate

evolution.

1 Discussion

Under the solar light irradiation, both terrestrial and aquatic environments
on the earth's surface are enriched with blue-to-green region of visible spectrum
(*39*), which is detected by cone photoreceptor cells expressing middle-
wavelength sensitive opsin genes, SWS2 and RH2. Their expression requires
transcription factors, Six6 and Six7, in zebrafish (*19*, *23*), and the *six6/six7*
mutant fish lacking both SWS2 and RH2 cones show severely reduced survival
rate due to impairment of visually driven foraging behavior (*23*). A recent study
of *in vivo* calcium imaging of zebrafish cone photoreceptors reported that SWS2
and RH2 cones, but not SWS1 or LWS cones, display strong spectral
opponency and efficiently extract chromatic information from the natural light
spectrum (*40*). In this way, a combination of spectrally distinctive SWS2 and
RH2 cones particularly plays an important role in the tetrachromatic visual
system. The present study explored the transcriptional regulatory logic that
defines SWS2 and RH2 cone identities. For this purpose, cone-enriched
transcription factors were comprehensively identified by the transcriptome
analysis with purified cone cells (vs. purified rod cells; Data S1). Subsequent
functional analyses of these transcription factors demonstrated that Foxq2 is
indispensable for *sws2* expression (Fig. 1). We pursued expression profiling of
the cone-enriched transcription factors among the four isolated cone subtypes
(Fig. 2A-C). *foxq2* is selectively expressed in SWS2 cone, whereas all cone
subtypes express *six6b* and *six7* (Fig. 2C), which are required for both *sws2*
and *rh2* gene expression (*19*). A transcriptional network is deciphered in which
Foxq2 acts as a downstream regulator of Six7 (Fig. 4A-D) and regulates *sws2*
expression (Fig. 3)*.* A wide range of vertebrate species retain both *FOXQ2* and
SWS2 gene (Fig. 5). These lines of evidence demonstrate that Foxq2
determines SWS2 cone identity in zebrafish (Fig. 4E) and suggest that Foxq2-
dependent *sws2* expression is a highly conserved regulatory mechanism that
was acquired at the early stage of vertebrate evolution.
Quantitative comparison of transcription factor expression among the four
isolated cone subtypes (Fig. 2C) provides valuable information about terminal
differentiation of each cone subtype in zebrafish. *foxq2,* a crucial regulator of
sws2 expression, is selectively expressed in SWS2 cones, indicating that Foxq2

is a *bona fide* terminal selector of SWS2 cone. On the other hand, *tbx2b,* known

as a master regulator of SWS1 cone (*18*), is expressed in not only SWS1

cones, but also SWS2 cones (Fig. 2C), in which Tbx2b acts as a supportive

regulator of *sws2* expression (Fig. 1G). The expression of the SWS1 master

regulator in SWS2 cones implies that some mechanism should suppress *sws1*

misexpression in SWS2 cones. It is probable that Foxq2 contributes to the *sws1*

suppression because the forced expression of *foxq2* markedly reduced *sws1*

expression in SWS1 cones (Fig. 4C and S5). Similarly, Foxq2 appears to

suppress *rh2* misexpression in SWS2 cones (Fig. 1D, 4C, and S2). Thus, Foxq2

has dual functions acting as an activator of *sws2* transcription and as a

suppressor of *sws1* and *rh2* genes in SWS2 cones (Fig. 4E) and, presumably,

in developing cone cells. The dual functions of Foxq2 would enhance

robustness of SWS2 cone identity. Meanwhile, *thrb* is predominantly expressed

in LWS cone subtype (Fig. 2C), being consistent with the widely accepted idea

that Thrb is a master regulator for the LWS cone (*15–17*). Collectively, Foxq2,

Tbx2b, and Thrb can be defined as the terminal selectors (*41*) that govern cell

fate determination of SWS2, SWS1, and LWS cone subtypes, respectively.

In contrast to these three terminal transcriptional selectors, *six7* is widely

expressed among the four cone subtypes (Fig. 2C) and thus *six7* is unlikely to

be a terminal selector of RH2. Although Six7 is indispensable for expression of

rh2 (*rh2-1, rh2-2, rh2-3,* and *rh2-4*; Ref. 19 and Fig. 4C), terminal differentiation

of RH2 cone might be mediated by an unidentified transcription factor. An

alternative idea is that Rh2 cone identity is established by both (i) the presence

of *six7* and (ii) the absence of the terminal selectors such as *tbx2b* (for SWS1)*,*

foxq2 (for SWS2)*,* and *thrb* (for LWS)*.* In this scenario, differentiation toward

Rh2 cones should be a default pathway in the cone development, because Rh2

cone differentiation is governed by *six7* (*19*) and *six7* expression begins as

early as that of *crx,* a master regulator of cone and rod development (19, 39). It

is worth noting that the "Rh2-default" hypothesis is consistent with molecular

phylogeny of cone opsin genes, in which RH2 subfamily diverged from SWS2 at

the latest step of the molecular evolution of the cone opsins (4, 5, 40). Building

on these assumptions, we propose that the tetrachromatic color vision system

in ancestral vertebrates employed the "RH2-default" mechanism of cone

differentiation as is observed in zebrafish. In mammals, on the other hand, RH2

and SWS2 genes have been lost, and hence it is likely that the "RH2-default"

mechanism has been modified to a "SWS1-default" mechanism. In the latter

mechanism, the presence or absence of only one terminal selector, THRB,

directs differentiation between LWS and SWS1 cones as shown in mice (*8*, *15*).

FOXQ2 gene is evolutionarily conserved not only among vertebrates but

also in many invertebrates (*31*, *32*, *44*). In embryos of the invertebrates, *FOXQ2*

is responsible for specification and positioning of anterior neuroectoderm (*45*,

46). The anterior neuroectoderm develops into central nervous system, in which

a subset of sensory cells express a photoreceptive protein, c-opsin, that is

orthologous to vertebrate cone and rod opsins (*47*, *48*). FOXQ2 might regulate

differentiation of the c-opsin-expressing cells from progenitor cells in the

anterior neuroectoderm. Intriguingly, a transcriptional network in the anterior

neuroectoderm of invertebrates also includes *six3*, a gene orthologous to

vertebrate *six3*, *six6* and *six7* (*45*, *46*). The presence of the Six3/6/7-Foxq2

transcriptional network in both vertebrate and invertebrate species suggest that

their common ancestor employed this transcriptional network for development

of the light-sensitive cells. In the vertebrate lineage, the Six3/6/7-Foxq2

transcriptional network might have been co-opted for establishment of SWS2

cone identity during or after the appearance of a full set of cone opsins, thereby

conferring high-acuity discrimination of blue-to-green region of visible light.

Materials and Methods

Zebrafish. The Ekkwill strain was used as the wild-type zebrafish. All
appropriate ethical approval and licenses were obtained from Institutional
Animal Care and Use Committees of The University of Tokyo. All the
procedures were conducted according to local guidelines of the University of
Tokyo. Zebrafish were raised in a 14-h light/10-h dark cycle and fed twice per
day with live baby brine shrimps. Embryos were raised at 28.5 °C in egg water
(artificial seawater diluted 1.5:1,000 in water). Mutant strains and transgenic
lines used in the present study are listed in Table S1.

Purification of rod and cone photoreceptor cells.   For isolation of rod and
cone photoreceptor cells, we used the transgenic zebrafish lines, *Tg(rho:egfp)^(ja2)*
(*49*) and *Tg(gnat2:egfp)^(ja23)* (*19*), which express EGFP in rods and all cone
subtypes, respectively. The rod and cone cells were isolated as described
previously (*19*, *23*, *50*). Briefly, retinas were dissected from dark-adapted adult
fish under dim red light. The isolated retinas were digested with 0.25% trypsin,
10 U/ml DNaseI, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, and 2 mM EGTA in Ca^{2+}-free Ringer's solution
for 30 min at 37°C. The reaction was terminated by adding soybean trypsin
inhibitor (final 0.5%) and fetal bovine serum (final 10%). The dissociated cells
were filtrated through a 35-µm nylon mesh (Falcon). EGFP-positive cells were
isolated with a fluorescence activating cell sorter (FACSAria, BD Biosciences)
by the following three parameters: forward scatter, side scatter and green
fluorescence. The isolated cells were directly collected into 800 µL of TRIzol
reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 1.5 ml microtubes.
For isolation of cone subtypes, we used four lines of transgenic
zebrafish: *Tg(-5.5opn1sw1:EGFP)^(kj9)* (*51*), *Tg(-3.5opn1sw2:EGFP)^(kj11)* (*33*),
Tg(RH2-2/GFP-PAC)^(kj4) (*52*) and *Tg(-0.6opn1lw1-lws2:GFP)^(kj19)* (*53*), which
express EGFP in SWS1, SWS2, RH2 and LWS cone subtypes, respectively.
The cone photoreceptor isolation was carried out as described above with some
modifications as follows. The isolated cells were directly collected into 450 µL of
the lysis buffer (RNeasy Lysis Buffer, Qiagen) in 1.5 ml microtubes.

Microarray.

Total RNA was isolated from the sorted cells using RNeasy Extraction kit

(Qiagen). Quality and quantity of the resulting RNA were assessed using a

NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and an Agilent 2100

Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Microarray Analysis was performed using

the Agilent 4 ×44 k Zebrafish microarray according to the manufacturer's

protocol for the two-color method. Cy3- or Cy5- labeled cRNA probe was

synthesized from 150 ng of total RNA using the Quick-Amp Labeling Kit (Agilent

Technologies). Quantity of the resulting labeled cRNA was assessed using a

NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer. Equal amounts of Cy3 and Cy5-labeled

cRNA (825 ng) from two different samples were hybridized to zebrafish

microarrays (Agilent Zebrafish Oligo Microarrays ver.2, G2519F-019161) for 17

h at 60 °C. In order to compare gene expression profiles between cone and rod

samples, we analyzed two independent biological replicates as following: (1)

Cy3-rod#1 cRNA and Cy5-cone#1 cRNA and (2) Cy5-rod#2 cRNA and Cy3-

cone#2 cRNA. The hybridized arrays were then washed and scanned using an

Agilent microarray scanner (G2505 C; Agilent Technologies). Data were

extracted from the scanned image using Feature Extraction version 10.5.1.1

(Agilent Technologies). We then listed differentially expressed genes with

Microsoft Excel as follows: 1) We excluded any probes whose signals in two

arrays were all determined as negative, meaning that probes were not flagged

as "WellAboveBG" in any of two arrays. 2) We selected all the probes whose

signal intensities vary largely between the photoreceptor cell types according to

the following thresholds of the averaged ratios: 10-fold increase for cone

compared with rod and 4-fold increase for rod compared with cone. 3) We

checked whether both of the two independent biological replicates showed

similar expression profiles, and selected probes whose absolute values of the

ratios in the two biological replicates were both >2.0 for rod/cone or both >3.0

for cone/rod. The lower threshold was given for the rod/cone ratio because a

small but noticeable level of rod contamination was detected in cone samples

such as *gnat1* (Fig.1A). We set these threshold values based on the ratios

observed for known cone-specific genes. The microarray datasets will be

available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression

Omnibus (GEO) database.

Generation of mutant zebrafish. The *foxq2*, *thrb*, and *nr2f6b* mutants were

generated with a CRISPR/Cas9 system. The sgRNA sequences (Table S2)

were designed to target exon 1 or exon 2 (Fig. 1C, 1H, and S3E) using

CRISPRdirect (https://crispr.dbcls.jp/) (*54*), CHOPCHOP

(https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no) (*55*), or CRISPRscan (*56*). The sgRNA was

synthesized by a cloning-free method as previously described (*57*). For

generating Cas9 mRNA, the template plasmid DNA, pCS2-nCas9n (addgene

#47929) (*58*) or pCS2+hSpCas9 (addgene #51815) (*59*) were used for *in vitro*

transcription. Cas9 mRNA was synthesized with the SP6 mMESSAGE

mMACHINE Kit (Ambion) and purified with the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). The

solution containing 200-pg Cas9 mRNA and 25-pg sgRNA was injected into the

cytoplasm of the one cell-stage embryos.

The *tbx2b*, *e2f7*, and *nfia* mutants were generated by TALENs as

previously described (*19*, *23*). To target each of the three genes, a pair of the

TAL effector repeats (Table S2) were designed to target exon 2 or exon 3 with

the Golden Gate assembly methods (Fig. 1, S3A, and S3C). TALEN mRNA was

synthesized using the SP6 mMESSAGE mMACHINE Kit (Ambion) and purified

with the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). The solution containing 200-pg each of the

two TALEN mRNAs was injected into the cytoplasm of the one cell-stage

embryos.

The injected fish (F0) were crossed with the wild-type zebrafish. The

resultant F1 offspring were screened for the presence of CRISPR/Cas9- or

TALENs-induced mutations by a combination of PCR and subsequent enzyme

digestion for *thrb, nr2f6b, tbx2b, e2f7*, and *nfia* mutants, or by Heteroduplex

Mobility Assay (HMA) for *foxq2* mutants as described previously (*60*). To

sequence-verify mutations, genomic sequences surrounding the mutations were

amplified by nested PCR, and the resultant PCR products were sequenced

directly. After isolation of mutant zebrafish and verification of the mutations, the

mutant genotypes were confirmed by a combination of PCR and subsequent

enzyme digestion except for the *foxq2* (ja74) gene locus. The *foxq2^{ja74}* mutant

genotype was determined by PCR with two pairs of primers: (i) foxq2_Fw1A (5'-

TGGCT AAACG AACAA ACACG -3') and foxq2_Rv1WT (5'- ATGGA TTGAC

ATTGT CCTCT G -3'); (ii) foxq2_Fw1mutA (5'- GCTGG AAGAG CAGAA

CAATG -3') and foxq2_Rv1A (5'- GGAAA TGAGG GCAAT GTAGG -3'). PCR

primers used for amplification are listed in Table S3.

The mutant larvae and its siblings were dissected into anterior and

posterior segments; the posterior parts were used for genotyping, while the

anterior segments were soaked in RNA*later* (Sigma) for RT-qPCR analysis or

fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in Ca^{2+}- and Mg^{2+}-free Dulbecco's PBS

(D-PBS) for cryosectioning.

RT-qPCR analysis. Zebrafish were anesthetized by chilling on ice, and their

tissues were collected during the light phase of the light-dark cycle and soaked

in RNA*later*. After genotyping described in the previous section, the larval eyes

were isolated with a needle. A pair of two larval eyes or one adult eye was

considered a biological replicate for each genotype. RNA extraction and reverse

transcription were conducted as described previously (*19*, *23*). In short, RNA

was extracted and purified with RNeasy Micro Kit or RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen).

In all the experiments except for Fig. 1A, the extracted RNA was reverse-

transcribed into cDNA with the oligo $(dT)_{15}$ primer with GoScript^{TM} Reverse

Transcriptase (Promega). In Fig. 1A, the reverse transcription was conducted

with SuperScript II (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using anchored $(dT)_{16}$ primers.

The reverse-transcribed cDNA was subjected to quantitative PCR using GoTaq

qPCR Master Mix (Promega) and the StepOnePlus^{TM} Real-time PCR system

(Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturers' protocols. Expression levels

were calculated by the relative standard curve method. The standard curve was

prepared with serial dilutions of cDNA samples reverse-transcribed from total

RNA of zebrafish eye. The transcript levels were normalized to beta-actin 2

(*actb2*) transcript levels in all the figures. Primers used for quantitative PCR are

listed in Table S4 and in our previous studies (*19*, *23*). Total transcript level of

RH2 (*rh2-1* and *rh2-2*) or LWS (*lws1* and *lws2*) opsin genes at the larval stage

was measured with a set of PCR primer, which amplifies both *rh2-1* and *rh2-2*

opsin genes (referred to here as *rh2-1/2*) or both *lws1* and *lws2* opsin genes

(referred to here as *lws1/2*). Expression levels of all the transcript isoforms of

thrb were measured in Fig. 1A, while in the rest of the experiments, we

measured expression levels of a transcript isoform of *thrb, thrb2*, which is

essential for *lws* expression in mouse and zebrafish (*15, 16*).

***In situ* hybridization.** *in situ* hybridization using ocular sections was carried

out as described previously (*19, 23*). In short, zebrafish were anesthetized by

chilling on ice and subjected to dissection the light phase of the light-dark cycle.

The larval anterior segments or the adult eyes were fixed in 4% PFA in D-PBS

overnight at 4 °C. Before the fixation, the adult eyes were enucleated and poked

with tweezers to make a tiny hole in the cornea. After sucrose infiltration and

OCT compound embedding, the 10-μm frozen ocular sections were prepared

with a cryostat. The cryosections were pre-treated with proteinase K and

hybridized with DIG-labelled cRNA probes, and the hybridization signals were

visualized by NBT/BCIP staining. The images were acquired with an upright

microscope (Axioplan2, Carl Zeiss). The cRNA probes were generated as

described in our previous study (*19, 23*).

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry with ocular sections was

carried out as described previously (*19, 23*). Briefly, ocular cryosections were

prepared as described in the previous section. The cryosections were pre-

treated with a blocking solution and then incubated with a primary antibody

diluted in the blocking solution overnight at 4°C. After washed with PBS (10 mM

Na-phosphate buffer, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, pH 7.4), the treated sections

were immersed again with the blocking solution, and then incubated for 4 hr at

room temperature with a secondary antibody and with DAPI (3 μg/ml) for

staining of the cell nuclei. The stained sections were coverslipped with

VECTASHIELD Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories) and imaged with a

confocal laser scanning microscope (TCS SP8, Leica). The primary antibody

used is mouse monoclonal antibody Zpr1 (diluted 1:400, Zebrafish International

Resource Center, Eugene) against arrestin 3a. The secondary antibody used is

goat anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated with Alexa-568 (diluted to 2 μg/ml, A-

11004, Molecular Probes).

Luciferase assay. For constructing the firefly luciferase reporter plasmids, the

1.56-kb *sws2* upstream region with its 5'-UTR was amplified by PCR. The

amplified fragment was ligated using the In-Fusion cloning kit (Takara) into the

pGL4.13[luc2/SV40] (E6681, Promega) digested with *Hind*III and *Bgl*II. PCR

primers used were as follows: IF-sws2-1.5kFwBgl (5'- CGAGG ATATC AGATC

TAACG ATGTT TGCTG TTTGT TC -3') and IF-sws2-RvHind (5'- CCGGA

TTGCC AAGCT TCTTG CTTGT AATTG GTGCC C -3'). The 0.53-kb *sws2*

reporter was constructed in a manner similar to the 1.56-kb *sws2* reporter with

following primers: IF_532_sw2_FwXho (5'- GCTCG CTAGC CTCGA GCAAC

TCTCA AGTAT TTAAG G -3') and IF-sws2-RvHind. The 1.56-kp *sws2* reporter

was truncated by PCR to generate the 0.3-kb and 0.25-kb *sws2* reporter by

using the following PCR primers: sws2_300_Fw (5'- TCTTG TACTG CGCAG

ATGTA G -3'), 250sw2_Fw (5'- GAAAC TTTGT GTGTA GCTGA TG -3'), and

pGL4.13_Rv (5'- AGATC TGATA TCCTC GAGGC TAG -3'). For generating the

pGL4 vector having no basal promoter, the SV40 promoter in the pGL4.13

vector was removed by a combination of enzyme digestion and self-ligation; the

pGL4.13 vector was double digested with *Hind*III and *Xho*I, treated T4 DNA

polymerase to make a blunt end, and self-ligated. The nucleotide mutations on

the potential Foxq2-binding motif were introduced into the 0.25-kb SWS2

reporter by PCR. The promoter sequence in each of these resultant constructs

was sequenced to confirm that no unintended mutation was incorporated into

the promoter region.

To generate the expression plasmid of Foxq2, we first inserted a FLAG

epitope tag into the pCAG vector (gifted from Dr. Takahiko Matsuda). The

resultant vector was named as pCAG-FLAG. We then amplified the cDNA

fragments of *foxq2* from retinal cDNAs of adult zebrafish, and cloned them into

the *EcoR*V-treated pCAG-FLAG vector. These plasmids was named as

drFoxq2/pCAG-FLAG. For generating the VP64-Foxq2 expression plasmid, we

first cloned the multiple repeats of the herpes simplex VP16 activation domain

(synthesized DNA fragments) into the *Xho*I-treated pCAG-FLAG vector. The

resultant plasmid, named as pCAG-FLAG-VP64N, was treated with the *EcoR*V

and ligated with the PCR-amplified cDNA fragment. The nucleotide deletion in

the DNA binding domain of Foxq2 was introduced by PCR. The DNA sequence

data for drFoxq2/pCAG-FLAG-VP64N will be available in the

DDBJ/EMBL/NCBI.

HEK293T17 cells were grown in the Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium

supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100

µg/mL streptomycin. HEK293T17 cells in 24-well plates were transiently

transfected with polyethyleneimine (Polysciences, #24765). The firefly

luciferase plasmid harboring the *sws2* promoter described above was used as a

reporter plasmid (10 ng per well), while the Renilla luciferase plasmid,

pGL4.74[hRluc/TK] (E6921, Promega), was simultaneously transfected as an

internal control reporter (0.5 ng per well). The amount of the expression plasmid

of Foxq2 used for the transfection is indicated in the figure legends. The total

amount of DNA transfected in each well was equally adjusted by adding the

empty expression plasmids. The transfected cells were collected 36-48 h after

the transfection, rinsed with PBS(-) (137 mM NaCl, 2.69 mM KCl, 5.5 mM Na-

$_2$HPO$_4$, 1.47 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$), and lysed with 100 µl of Passive Lysis Buffer

(Promega). Out of this lysate, 10 µl was used for the dual luciferase assay, and

the rest was further lysed in an SDS-PAGE sampling buffer for the immunoblot

analysis described below. The dual luciferase assay was conducted with Dual-

Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (Promega) and a GloMax luminometer

(Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocols. The luciferase activity

derived from the firefly luciferase reporter was normalized to that from the

Renilla luciferase reporter.

Immunoblot analysis. Immunoblot analysis was carried out as described

previously (*23*). In short, proteins lysed in an SDS-PAGE sampling buffer were

separated on a gel by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a Immobilon-P transfer

membrane (Millipore), and probed with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. The

bound primary antibodies were detected by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated

secondary antibodies in combination with an enhanced chemiluminescence

detection system using Western Lightning Chemiluminescence Reagent

(PerkinElmer Life Sciences) or ImmunoStar (Wako Pure Chemical Industries).

Chemiluminescent images were acquired with ImageQuant LAS 4000 mini (GE

Healthcare). The primary antibodies used were as follows: anti-FLAG antibody

(F3165, Sigma, diluted to 0.8 µg/ml); anti-H3K4me3 antibody (07-473, Upstate,

diluted 1:5000). The secondary antibodies used were as follows: horseradish

peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (074-1816, Kirkegaard & Perry

Laboratories, diluted to 0.2 µg/ml) and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-

rabbit IgG (074-1516, Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories, diluted to 0.2 µg/ml).

Generation of transgenic zebrafish.   To construct a Foxq2 transgene

plasmid, the FLAG-Foxq2 coding sequence was amplified by PCR from the

plasmid, drFoxq2/pCAG-FLAG (described in the section of Luciferase assay).

The amplified fragment was then cloned with In-Fusion HD cloning kit into the

pT2drCrx5.2kGP2ASix7 (*23*) digested by *Xho*I and *BamH*I. The resultant

plasmid, named pT2drCrx5.2kGP2AFoxq2, was used for the generation of

transgenic zebrafish. The *foxq2* transgenic zebrafish, ja78Tg, ja79Tg, and

ja91Tg strains, were generated with the Tol2-based transgenesis system (*61*).

The Tol2 transposase mRNA was transcribed from pCS-TP *in vitro* using the

SP6 mMESSAGE mMACHINE Kit (Ambion) and purified with the RNeasy Mini

kit (Qiagen). The purified Tol2 mRNA and the plasmid DNA were mixed and

diluted to a final concentration of 25 ng/µl for each in 0.05% phenol red solution.

About 1 nl of the DNA/RNA solution was injected into each of wild-type embryos

at the one-cell stage. Fluorescence-positive embryos were isolated and raised

to adulthood. The raised F0 founder fish were crossed with the wild-type fish,

and subsequent F1 embryos were screened by the presence of fluorescence at

four or five days post fertilization (dpf). The transgenic lines were established

from individual F0 fish. The *foxq2-tg* larval fish and its siblings were genotyped

by ocular EGFP fluorescence just before the sampling, and soaked in RNA*later*

for RT-qPCR analysis or fixed with 4% PFA in D-PBS for cryosectioning. The

GFP-negative siblings were used as a control. To unambiguously identify the

transgenic larvae according to ocular EGFP expression, synthesis of melanin

pigment was inhibited by treating embryos with the egg water containing

0.003% 1-phenol-2-thiourea (PTU) from 24 hours to 5 days after fertilization.

Motif scanning.   The *sws2* promoter (Fig. S4) was sequence-verified by

traditional Sanger sequencing and used for the motif scanning. Fox and Crx

binding profiles, each represented as a matrix consisting of nucleotide counts

per position, *i.e.* position frequency matrix (PFM), were retrieved from the

JASPAR CORE database (http://jaspar.genereg.net) (*62*). These retrieved

binding profiles (total eleven profiles) were composed of one binding profile of

Crx in mouse (*63*) and two binding profiles (FkhP and FkhS) for each of five Fox

proteins in mouse (FoxA2, FoxL1, FoxK1, FoxJ1, and FoxJ3) (*64*). These

frequency matrices were used to construct position-dependent letter-probability

matrices that describe the probability of each possible letter at each position in

the pattern with the simplest background model assuming that each letter

appears equally frequently in the dataset. The *sws2* promoter was scanned for

individual matches to each of the Crx and Fox motifs with FIMO v5.1.1 (*65*).

Biased distribution of individual letters in the promoter sequences was

normalized by a 0-order model of Markov background probabilities constructed

with the fasta-get-Markov tool in the MEME suite v5.1.1 (*65*) using nucleotide

sequences between 1000 bp upstream and 1000 bp downstream of a

transcription start site for all protein-coding genes in zebrafish (genome

assembly GRCz11, Ensembl Release 98). All motif occurrences with a P-value

less than 1×10^{-4} are indicated in Fig. S4. The motif scanning results and matrix

IDs of Fox and Crx in the database are included in Data S2.

BLAST searches and phylogenetic analysis.   For identifying *FOXQ2* genes

in platypus and chicken, tBLASTn search (Ensembl web tools) was conducted

against genome sequences of platypus (Reference Genome ID:

mOrnAna1.p.v1) and chicken (Reference Genome ID: GRCg6a) using the

amino acid sequence of forkhead domain of zebrafish Foxq2 (Ensembl protein

ID: ENSDARP00000119225.2) as the query. We retrieved nucleotide

sequences in intergenic regions showing the higher alignment score than any

other regions encoding members of Fox families. The retrieved nucleotide

sequences of *FOXQ2* genes were mapped onto two distinct genomic regions,

but were adjacently located in the region of the same chromosome, where any

other gene is not annotated. We thus assumed that these mapped regions are

two exons of *FOXQ2* genes. Consistently, the forkhead domain of zebrafish

Foxq2 is encoded in two exons. We then manually annotated exon-exon

junctions of *FOXQ2* genes according to the GT/AG mRNA processing rule. The

annotated cDNA sequence of the forkhead domain of *FOXQ2* gene was

translated into a protein sequence and used for constructing a phylogenetic tree

described in the next paragraph. The nucleotide sequences and annotations of

FOXQ2 genes are summarized in Data S3.

For constructing a phylogenetic tree, FOXQ2 amino acid sequences in

spotted gar, medaka, coelacanth, and sparrow hawk were retrieved from

Ensembl data base (Ensembl Release 101). FOXQ2 sequences in platypus and

chicken identified by our blast searching were also used for the phylogenetic

tree construction. Amino acid sequences of other members of Fox subfamilies

were retrieved by performing tblastn searches (NCBI) against RefSeq RNA

transcripts in purple sea urchin, zebrafish, chicken, platypus, and human using

the amino acid sequence of forkhead domain of zebrafish Foxq2 as the query

sequence with E-values < 1e−20. Among the retrieved cDNA sequences,

members of representative Fox subfamily (FOXA, FOXB, FOXC, FOXF, FOXJ

and FOXQ) were selected and translated into amino acid sequences. The

resultant sequences of Fox proteins were aligned by multiple sequence

alignment programs: G-INS-i program in MAFFT v7.471 under default settings

(*66*). The aligned sequences were trimmed, remaining the sequences of the

forkhead domain. Alignment gaps were manually inspected and deleted. The

maximum likelihood tree was inferred by RAxML-NG v1.0.2 (*67*). The best tree

was selected out of forty alternative runs on twenty random and twenty

parsimony-based starting trees (--tree pars{20}, rand{20} option). The amino

acid replacement models of Le-Gascuel (LG) with gamma distribution (G4) were

selected using the Akaike information criterion implemented in ModelTest-NG

version x.y.z (*68*). The bootstrap values were obtained from sampling 500

times. The amino acid sequences used for the construction of phylogenetic tree

are listed in Data S3. Accession numbers for genome assemblies and Fox

genes are also provided in Data S3.

Statistical analysis.   Sample sizes were determined based on prior literature

and best practices in the field, and no statistical methods were used to

predetermine sample size. A two-tailed unpaired *t*-test was used to determine

the statistical significance between two datasets (Excel). Tukey–Kramer

honestly significant difference test was used to determine the statistical

significance among multiple datasets (the 'stats' package in R, version 3.6.1).

1 References

1. T. D. Lamb, Evolution of phototransduction, vertebrate photoreceptors and
retina. *Progress in Retinal and Eye Research*. **36**, 52–119 (2013).

2. T. H. Goldsmith, Optimization, constraint, and history in the evolution of
eyes. *The Quarterly review of biology*. **65**, 281–322 (1990).

3. T. Baden, D. Osorio, The Retinal Basis of Vertebrate Color Vision. *Annual
Review of Vision Science*. **5**, 177–200 (2019).

4. T. Okano, D. Kojima, Y. Fukada, Y. Shichida, T. Yoshizawa, Primary
structures of chicken cone visual pigments: vertebrate rhodopsins have
evolved out of cone visual pigments. *PNAS*. **89**, 5932–5936 (1992).

5. S. Yokoyama, Evolution of Dim-Light and Color Vision Pigments. *Annual
Review of Genomics and Human Genetics*. **9**, 259–282 (2008).

6. S. P. Collin, M. A. Knight, W. L. Davies, I. C. Potter, D. M. Hunt, A. E. O.
Trezise, Ancient colour vision: multiple opsin genes in the ancestral
vertebrates. *Current Biology*. **13**, R864–R865 (2003).

7. W. I. L. Davies, S. P. Collin, D. M. Hunt, Molecular ecology and adaptation
of visual photopigments in craniates. *Molecular Ecology*. **21**, 3121–3158
(2012).

8. A. Swaroop, D. Kim, D. Forrest, Transcriptional regulation of photoreceptor
development and homeostasis in the mammalian retina. *Nat Rev Neurosci*.
11, 563–576 (2010).

9. K. Viets, K. C. Eldred, R. J. Johnston, Mechanisms of Photoreceptor
Patterning in Vertebrates and Invertebrates. *Trends in Genetics*. **32**, 638–
659 (2016).

10. C. Cepko, Intrinsically different retinal progenitor cells produce specific
types of progeny. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. **15**, 615–627 (2014).

11. T. Furukawa, E. M. Morrow, C. L. Cepko, Crx, a Novel otx-like Homeobox
Gene, Shows Photoreceptor-Specific Expression and Regulates
Photoreceptor Differentiation. *Cell*. **91**, 531–541 (1997).

12. C. L. Freund, C. Y. Gregory-Evans, T. Furukawa, M. Papaioannou, J.
Looser, L. Ploder, J. Bellingham, D. Ng, J.-A. S. Herbrick, A. Duncan, S. W.
Scherer, L.-C. Tsui, A. Loutradis-Anagnostou, S. G. Jacobson, C. L.
Cepko, S. S. Bhattacharya, R. R. McInnes, Cone-Rod Dystrophy Due to
Mutations in a Novel Photoreceptor-Specific Homeobox Gene (CRX)
Essential for Maintenance of the Photoreceptor. *Cell*. **91**, 543–553 (1997).

13. A. J. Mears, M. Kondo, P. K. Swain, Y. Takada, R. A. Bush, T. L.
Saunders, P. A. Sieving, A. Swaroop, Nrl is required for rod photoreceptor
development. *Nature Genetics*. **29**, 447–452 (2001).

14. N. B. Haider, J. Naggert, P. M. Nishina, Excess cone cell proliferation due to lack of a functional NR2E3 causes retinal dysplasia and degeneration in rd7/rd7 mice. *Hum Mol Genet*. **10**, 1619–1626 (2001).

15. L. Ng, J. B. Hurley, B. Dierks, M. Srinivas, C. Saltó, B. Vennström, T. A. Reh, D. Forrest, A thyroid hormone receptor that is required for the development of green cone photoreceptors. *Nat Genet*. **27**, 94–98 (2001).

16. S. C. Suzuki, A. Bleckert, P. R. Williams, M. Takechi, S. Kawamura, R. O. L. Wong, Cone photoreceptor types in zebrafish are generated by symmetric terminal divisions of dedicated precursors. *PNAS*. **110**, 15109–15114 (2013).

17. K. C. Eldred, S. E. Hadyniak, K. A. Hussey, B. Brenerman, P.-W. Zhang, X. Chamling, V. M. Sluch, D. S. Welsbie, S. Hattar, J. Taylor, K. Wahlin, D. J. Zack, R. J. Johnston, Thyroid hormone signaling specifies cone subtypes in human retinal organoids. *Science*. **362** (2018), doi:10.1126/science.aau6348.

18. K. Alvarez-Delfin, A. C. Morris, C. D. Snelson, J. T. Gamse, T. Gupta, F. L. Marlow, M. C. Mullins, H. A. Burgess, M. Granato, J. M. Fadool, Tbx2b is required for ultraviolet photoreceptor cell specification during zebrafish retinal development. *PNAS*. **106**, 2023–2028 (2009).

19. Y. Ogawa, T. Shiraki, D. Kojima, Y. Fukada, Homeobox transcription factor Six7 governs expression of green opsin genes in zebrafish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. **282**, 20150659 (2015).

20. M. Sotolongo-Lopez, K. Alvarez-Delfin, C. J. Saade, D. L. Vera, J. M. Fadool, Genetic Dissection of Dual Roles for the Transcription Factor six7 in Photoreceptor Development and Patterning in Zebrafish. *PLOS Genetics*. **12**, e1005968 (2016).

21. A. Chinen, T. Hamaoka, Y. Yamada, S. Kawamura, Gene Duplication and Spectral Diversification of Cone Visual Pigments of Zebrafish. *Genetics*. **163**, 663–675 (2003).

22. M. Takechi, S. Kawamura, Temporal and spatial changes in the expression pattern of multiple red and green subtype opsin genes during zebrafish development. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. **208**, 1337–1345 (2005).

23. Y. Ogawa, T. Shiraki, Y. Asano, A. Muto, K. Kawakami, Y. Suzuki, D. Kojima, Y. Fukada, Six6 and Six7 coordinately regulate expression of middle-wavelength opsins in zebrafish. *PNAS*. **116**, 4651–4660 (2019).

24. C. W. Müller, B. G. Herrmann, Crystallographic structure of the T domain–DNA complex of the Brachyury transcription factor. *Nature*. **389**, 884–888 (1997).

25. C. D. Snelson, K. Santhakumar, M. E. Halpern, J. T. Gamse, Tbx2b is required for the development of the parapineal organ. *Development*. **135**, 1693–1702 (2008).

26. L. I. Volkov, J. S. Kim-Han, L. M. Saunders, D. Poria, A. E. O. Hughes, V. J. Kefalov, D. M. Parichy, J. C. Corbo, Thyroid hormone receptors mediate two distinct mechanisms of long-wavelength vision. *PNAS*. **117**, 15262–15269 (2020).

27. C. Deveau, X. Jiao, S. C. Suzuki, A. Krishnakumar, T. Yoshimatsu, J. F. Hejtmancik, R. F. Nelson, Thyroid hormone receptor beta mutations alter photoreceptor development and function in Danio rerio (zebrafish). *PLOS Genetics*. **16**, e1008869 (2020).

28. H. Nunley, M. Nagashima, K. Martin, A. L. Gonzalez, S. C. Suzuki, D. A. Norton, R. O. L. Wong, P. A. Raymond, D. K. Lubensky, Defect patterns on the curved surface of fish retinae suggest a mechanism of cone mosaic formation. *PLOS Computational Biology*. **16**, e1008437 (2020).

29. J. M. Gross, J. E. Dowling, Tbx2b is essential for neuronal differentiation along the dorsal/ventral axis of the zebrafish retina. *PNAS*. **102**, 4371–4376 (2005).

30. K. L. Clark, E. D. Halay, E. Lai, S. K. Burley, Co-crystal structure of the HNF-3/ fork head DNA-recognition motif resembles histone H5. *Nature*. **364**, 412–420 (1993).

31. C. Larroux, G. N. Luke, P. Koopman, D. S. Rokhsar, S. M. Shimeld, B. M. Degnan, Genesis and Expansion of Metazoan Transcription Factor Gene Classes. *Mol Biol Evol*. **25**, 980–996 (2008).

32. S. Nakagawa, S. S. Gisselbrecht, J. M. Rogers, D. L. Hartl, M. L. Bulyk, DNA-binding specificity changes in the evolution of forkhead transcription factors. *PNAS*. **110**, 12349–12354 (2013).

33. M. Takechi, S. Seno, S. Kawamura, Identification of cis-Acting Elements Repressing Blue Opsin Expression in Zebrafish UV Cones and Pineal Cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 31625–31632 (2008).

34. R. R. Beerli, D. J. Segal, B. Dreier, C. F. Barbas, Toward controlling gene expression at will: Specific regulation of the erbB-2/HER-2 promoter by using polydactyl zinc finger proteins constructed from modular building blocks. *PNAS*. **95**, 14628–14633 (1998).

35. S. L. Renninger, M. Gesemann, S. C. F. Neuhauss, Cone arrestin confers cone vision of high temporal resolution in zebrafish larvae. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. **33**, 658–667 (2011).

36. W. L. Davies, L. S. Carvalho, J. A. Cowing, L. D. Beazley, D. M. Hunt, C. A. Arrese, Visual pigments of the platypus: A novel route to mammalian colour vision. *Current Biology*. **17**, R161–R163 (2007).

37. J. K. Bowmaker, Evolution of vertebrate visual pigments. *Vision Research*. **48**, 2022–2041 (2008).

38. G. H. Jacobs, Evolution of colour vision in mammals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. **364**, 2957–2967 (2009).

39. T. W. Cronin, M. J. Bok, Photoreception and vision in the ultraviolet. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. **219**, 2790–2801 (2016).

40. T. Yoshimatsu, P. Bartel, C. Schröder, F. K. Janiak, F. St-Pierre, P. Berens, T. Baden, *bioRxiv*, in press, doi:10.1101/2020.10.26.356089.

41. O. Hobert, Regulatory logic of neuronal diversity: Terminal selector genes and selector motifs. *PNAS*. **105**, 20067–20071 (2008).

42. Y. Liu, Y.-C. Shen, J. S. Rest, P. A. Raymond, D. J. Zack, Isolation and Characterization of a Zebrafish Homologue of the Cone Rod Homeobox Gene. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **42**, 481–487 (2001).

43. T. Ebrey, Y. Koutalos, Vertebrate Photoreceptors. *Progress in Retinal and Eye Research*. **20**, 49–94 (2001).

44. J. H. Fritzenwanker, J. Gerhart, R. M. Freeman, C. J. Lowe, The Fox/Forkhead transcription factor family of the hemichordate Saccoglossus kowalevskii. *EvoDevo*. **5**, 17 (2014).

45. C. Sinigaglia, H. Busengdal, L. Leclère, U. Technau, F. Rentzsch, The Bilaterian Head Patterning Gene six3/6 Controls Aboral Domain Development in a Cnidarian. *PLOS Biology*. **11**, e1001488 (2013).

46. R. C. Range, Z. Wei, An anterior signaling center patterns and sizes the anterior neuroectoderm of the sea urchin embryo. *Development*. **143**, 1523–1533 (2016).

47. T. D. Lamb, S. P. Collin, E. N. Pugh, Evolution of the vertebrate eye: opsins, photoreceptors, retina and eye cup. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. **8**, 960–976 (2007).

48. D. Arendt, K. Tessmar-Raible, H. Snyman, A. W. Dorresteijn, J. Wittbrodt, Ciliary Photoreceptors with a Vertebrate-Type Opsin in an Invertebrate Brain. *Science*. **306**, 869–871 (2004).

49. Y. Asaoka, H. Mano, D. Kojima, Y. Fukada, Pineal expression-promoting element (PIPE), a cis-acting element, directs pineal-specific gene expression in zebrafish. *PNAS*. **99**, 15456–15461 (2002).

50. H. Mano, Y. Asaoka, D. Kojima, Y. Fukada, Brain-specific homeobox Bsx specifies identity of pineal gland between serially homologous photoreceptive organs in zebrafish. *Communications Biology*. **2**, 1–10 (2019).

51. M. Takechi, T. Hamaoka, S. Kawamura, Fluorescence visualization of ultraviolet-sensitive cone photoreceptor development in living zebrafish. *FEBS Letters*. **553**, 90–94 (2003).

52. T. Tsujimura, A. Chinen, S. Kawamura, Identification of a locus control region for quadruplicated green-sensitive opsin genes in zebrafish. *PNAS*. **104**, 12813–12818 (2007).

53. T. Tsujimura, T. Hosoya, S. Kawamura, A Single Enhancer Regulating the Differential Expression of Duplicated Red-Sensitive Opsin Genes in Zebrafish. *PLOS Genetics*. **6**, e1001245 (2010).

54. Y. Naito, K. Hino, H. Bono, K. Ui-Tei, CRISPRdirect: software for designing CRISPR/Cas guide RNA with reduced off-target sites. *Bioinformatics*. **31**, 1120–1123 (2015).

55. T. G. Montague, J. M. Cruz, J. A. Gagnon, G. M. Church, E. Valen, CHOPCHOP: a CRISPR/Cas9 and TALEN web tool for genome editing. *Nucleic Acids Res*. **42**, W401–W407 (2014).

56. M. A. Moreno-Mateos, C. E. Vejnar, J.-D. Beaudoin, J. P. Fernandez, E. K. Mis, M. K. Khokha, A. J. Giraldez, CRISPRscan: designing highly efficient sgRNAs for CRISPR-Cas9 targeting in vivo. *Nat Methods*. **12**, 982–988 (2015).

57. J. A. Gagnon, E. Valen, S. B. Thyme, P. Huang, L. Ahkmetova, A. Pauli, T. G. Montague, S. Zimmerman, C. Richter, A. F. Schier, Efficient Mutagenesis by Cas9 Protein-Mediated Oligonucleotide Insertion and Large-Scale Assessment of Single-Guide RNAs. *PLOS ONE*. **9**, e98186 (2014).

58. L.-E. Jao, S. R. Wente, W. Chen, Efficient multiplex biallelic zebrafish genome editing using a CRISPR nuclease system. *PNAS*. **110**, 13904–13909 (2013).

59. S. Ansai, M. Kinoshita, Targeted mutagenesis using CRISPR/Cas system in medaka. *Biology Open*. **3**, 362–371 (2014).

60. S. Ota, Y. Hisano, M. Muraki, K. Hoshijima, T. J. Dahlem, D. J. Grunwald, Y. Okada, A. Kawahara, Efficient identification of TALEN-mediated genome modifications using heteroduplex mobility assays. *Genes to Cells*. **18**, 450–458 (2013).

61. K. Kawakami, H. Takeda, N. Kawakami, M. Kobayashi, N. Matsuda, M. Mishina, A Transposon-Mediated Gene Trap Approach Identifies Developmentally Regulated Genes in Zebrafish. *Developmental Cell*. **7**, 133–144 (2004).

62. O. Fornes, J. A. Castro-Mondragon, A. Khan, R. van der Lee, X. Zhang, P. A. Richmond, B. P. Modi, S. Correard, M. Gheorghe, D. Baranašić, W. Santana-Garcia, G. Tan, J. Chèneby, B. Ballester, F. Parcy, A. Sandelin,

B. Lenhard, W. W. Wasserman, A. Mathelier, JASPAR 2020: update of the
open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles. *Nucleic Acids*
Research. **48**, D87–D92 (2020).

63. J. C. Corbo, K. A. Lawrence, M. Karlstetter, C. A. Myers, M. Abdelaziz, W.
Dirkes, K. Weigelt, M. Seifert, V. Benes, L. G. Fritsche, B. H. F. Weber, T.
Langmann, CRX ChIP-seq reveals the cis-regulatory architecture of mouse
photoreceptors. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1512–1525 (2010).

64. G. Badis, M. F. Berger, A. A. Philippakis, S. Talukder, A. R. Gehrke, S. A.
Jaeger, E. T. Chan, G. Metzler, A. Vedenko, X. Chen, H. Kuznetsov, C.-F.
Wang, D. Coburn, D. E. Newburger, Q. Morris, T. R. Hughes, M. L. Bulyk,
Diversity and Complexity in DNA Recognition by Transcription Factors.
Science. **324**, 1720–1723 (2009).

65. T. L. Bailey, M. Boden, F. A. Buske, M. Frith, C. E. Grant, L. Clementi, J.
Ren, W. W. Li, W. S. Noble, MEME Suite: tools for motif discovery and
searching. *Nucleic Acids Research*. **37**, W202–W208 (2009).

66. K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software
Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology*
and Evolution. **30**, 772–780 (2013).

67. A. M. Kozlov, D. Darriba, T. Flouri, B. Morel, A. Stamatakis, RAxML-NG: a
fast, scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic
inference. *Bioinformatics*. **35**, 4453–4455 (2019).

68. D. Darriba, D. Posada, A. M. Kozlov, A. Stamatakis, B. Morel, T. Flouri,
ModelTest-NG: A New and Scalable Tool for the Selection of DNA and
Protein Evolutionary Models. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. **37**, 291–
294 (2020).

69. J. Li, A. C. Dantas Machado, M. Guo, J. M. Sagendorf, Z. Zhou, L. Jiang,
X. Chen, D. Wu, L. Qu, Z. Chen, L. Chen, R. Rohs, Y. Chen, Structure of
the Forkhead Domain of FOXA2 Bound to a Complete DNA Consensus
Site. *Biochemistry*. **56**, 3745–3753 (2017).

70. D. Lagman, D. Ocampo Daza, J. Widmark, X. M. Abalo, G. Sundström, D.
Larhammar, The vertebrate ancestral repertoire of visual opsins,
transducin alpha subunits and oxytocin/vasopressin receptors was
established by duplication of their shared genomic region in the two rounds
of early vertebrate genome duplications. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*. **13**,
238 (2013).

1 **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to members of the Fukada lab for valuable discussion,

especially to M. Nagata for technical assistance. We thank the National

BioResource Project (NBRP) Zebrafish for providing the transgenic line, and Dr.

Shoji Kawamura, the University of Tokyo, for the zebrafish opsin transgenic

lines, *Tg(-5.5opn1sw1:EGFP)*[kj9], *Tg(-3.5opn1sw2:EGFP)*[kj11], *Tg(RH2-2/GFP-*

PAC)[kj4] and *Tg(-0.6opn1lw1-lws2:GFP)*[kj19]. We also thank Dr. Takahiko

Matsuda for providing the pCAG empty vector, Dr. Masato Kinoshita for the

pCS2+hSpCas9 vector, and Dr. Wenbiao Chen for the pCS2-nCas9n vector.

We also thank members of the FACS core laboratory, the University of Tokyo,

for helping sorting cells. This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI

Grant Numbers JP16J01681 (to Y.O.), JP19K16196 (to T.S.), JP19K06758 (to

D.K.) and JP17H06096 (to Y.F.) and also by a research grant from Research

Foundation for Opto-Science and Technology (to D.K.). Y.O., T.S., D.K., and

Y.F. conceived and designed the research. Y.O. and T.S. constructed plasmids

and generated zebrafish lines. Y.O conducted all the other molecular lab work

and computational analysis. D.K. and Y.F. supervised the project. Y.O., D.K.,

and Y.F. wrote the manuscript, and T.S. contributed to preparation of the draft.

All authors approved the manuscript for publication. The authors declare that

they have no competing interests. All data needed to evaluate the conclusions

in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials.

1

4 **Fig. 1   The loss of function analysis for cone-enriched transcription**

5 **factors.** (A) Relative expression levels of phototransduction genes and

6 transcription factors in isolated rods and cones at the adult stage (Mean ± SD, *n*

7 = 2). *n.d.*, not detected. (B) Relative expression levels of *sws2* opsin in the

larval eyes at five days post fertilization (dpf). Mean ± SEM. *$P < 0.05$ by

Student's *t*-test. The number of fish used was as follows: *n* = 5 (*foxq2* WT), *n* =

5 (*foxq2* mut); *n* = 5 (*tbx2b* WT), *n* = 5 (*tbx2b* mut); *n* = 3 (*thrb* WT), *n* = 4 (*thrb*

mut); *n* = 4 (*e2f7* WT), *n* = 4 (*e2f7* mut); *n* = 3 (*nfia* WT), *n* = 4 (*nfia* mut); *n* = 4

(*nr2f6b* WT), *n* = 4 (*nr2f6b* mut). See also Fig. S3. (C, F, H) Schematic

representation of Foxq2, Tbx2b and Thrb, and their partial nucleotide

sequences. The frameshift site is indicated by an arrowhead. Nucleotide

deletions are indicated by dashes. The nucleotide sequences (letters in blue)

indicate the target sequences of TAL-effector nucleases or Cas9-sgRNA

complexes. The recognition sites of the restriction endonucleases, *Nci*I, *Hind*III

and *Hae*III, are surrounded by black lines. The ja74 and ja77 mutation caused a

frame shift of the amino acid sequence of Foxq2 by 8-bp and 4-bp loss,

respectively. The ja20 mutation caused a frame shift of the amino acid

sequence of Tbx2b by 8-bp loss. The ja27 mutation (4-bp loss) caused a frame

shift of the amino acid sequence of Thrb2, which is an isoform of Thrb and

essential for LWS opsin expression in mice and zebrafish (*15, 16*). (D, G, I)

Expression profiles of phototransduction genes in the 5 dpf-larval eyes. Mean ±

SEM. *$P < 0.05$ by Student's *t*-test. The number of fish used was as follows: *n* =

5 (*foxq2* WT), *n* = 5 (*foxq2* mut); *n* = 5 (*tbx2b* WT), *n* = 5 (*tbx2b* mut); *n* = 3 (*thrb*

WT), *n* = 4 (*thrb* mut). The expression levels of *sws2* and *rh2* genes are

reproduced in the panel B. (E) Expression pattern of cone opsin genes

examined by *in situ* hybridization using 5-dpf larval eyes of the *foxq2* mut (ja74).

Magnified view (a box surrounded with white lines) is indicated in the right side

of each panel. The retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE, indicated by asterisks) is

adjacent to the photoreceptor layer. d-v, dorsal-ventral retina. Scale bar, 50 µm.

Fig. 2 Gene expression profiles of *tbx2b* and *foxq2*. (A) Schematic drawing
of transgenes for the four transgenic lines each expressing EGFP in SWS1
(UV), SWS2 (Blue), RH2 (Green) or LWS (Red) cone subtype. See also
materials and methods. (B, C) Relative expression levels of cone opsin genes
(B) and transcription factors (C) among isolated cone subtypes at the adult
stage. Mean expression values with SEM (*n* = 3) are indicated as bars. Distinct
letters indicate statistically significant differences (*P* < 0.05 by Tukey's multiple
comparison test). *n.d.*, not detected. *n.s.*, not significant. (D, E) Relative
expression levels of *tbx2b* and *foxq2* in adult tissues (D), 4-dpf larval anterior
segments, posterior segments and eyes (E). *n.d.*, not detected. (F) Relative

expression levels of *tbx2b* and *foxq2* in the 5-dpf larval eyes of the

six6a/six6b/six7 TKO. Mean ± SEM (n = 5). *P < 0.05 by Student's *t*-test. Note

that the *six6a* KO showed similar levels of cone opsin gene expression as

compared to the wild-type [See Ref. (*23*)]. (G) Relative expression levels of

tbx2b and *foxq2* in the adult eyes of the *six6a/six6b/six7* TKO. All of the fish

used here are in the transgenic background, *Tg(-3.5opn1sw2:EGFP)*^{kj11Tg},

where EGFP is expressed in the SWS2 cone subtype. Data are represented by

mean ± SD (n = 3, WT; n = 2, *six6a/six6b/six7* TKO). (H) Relative expression

levels of cone opsins and transcription factors responsible for photoreceptor

gene expression in the eyes of the *tbx2b* (ja20) and *foxq2* (ja74) mutants. Mean

± SEM. *P < 0.05 by Student's *t*-test. The number of fish used was as follows: n

= 5 (*tbx2b* WT), n = 4 (*tbx2b* mut); n = 5 (*foxq2* WT), n = 5 (*foxq2* mut).

Fig. 3 DNA binding of Foxq2 to *sws2* promoter. (A) Schematic structure of

the promoter-luciferase reporter constructs for zebrafish *sws2*. The coding (solid

box) and the untranslated (open box) regions of the first exon are indicated. The

translational start site is marked as +1. The forkhead binding motif (ACAACA)

and its mutant (GTGGTG) are marked as open and closed circles, respectively.

See also Fig. S4. (B) Schematic representation of VP64-Foxq2 protein. The

Foxq2 mutant protein (Fork-del) lacks the canonical Fox base-contacting

residues in the forkhead domain. These critical amino acid residues are

highlighted in blue according to the previous paper (*32*). (C, D) The

transcriptional assay in HEK293T17 cells using the luciferase reporter

containing the promoter region of zebrafish *sws2*. The luciferase activity derived

from the firefly luciferase reporter was normalized to that from the Renilla

luciferase reporter. Data are represented by the mean ± SD (*n* = 2). (C) The

luciferase activity in each condition was normalized to the mean value obtained

from the cells transfected with both empty vector and 1.56-kb *sws2* reporter

vector (leftmost bar). VP64-Foxq2 expression plasmid (100 ng) was used for

the transfection. (D) The amount of VP64-Foxq2 expression plasmid used for

each well is indicated in the graph. The luciferase activity in each condition was

normalized to the mean value obtained from the cells transfected with both the

empty expression vector and the 0.25-kb *sws2* (0.25 kb) reporter vector

(leftmost bar). (E) Protein expression of VP64-Foxq2 (WT) and its mutant

protein (Fork del) in the HEK293T17 cells transfected with 80 ng of the

expressing plasmid. The antibody against a histone modification (H3K4me3) is

served as a loading control.

Fig. 4   Foxq2-mediated transcriptional regulation of *sws2* downstream of

Six7. (A) Schematic drawing of a transgene construct used for generating

foxq2-tg. (B) The immunofluorescent image in the *foxq2-tg* (ja78Tg) larvae at 5-

dpf. Scale bar, 50 μm. d-v, dorsal-ventral retina. (C, D) Relative mRNA levels of

opsin genes and transcription factors in the 5-dpf larval eyes of the *foxq2-tg*

(ja78Tg) and/or *six7* KO (mean ± SEM). Distinct letters indicate statistically

significant differences (*P* < 0.05 by Tukey's multiple comparison test). *n.s.*, not

significant. The number of fish used was as follows: *n* = 3 (WT), *n* = 5 (*six7* KO),

n = 4 (*foxq2-tg*) and *n* = 5 (*foxq2-tg/six7* KO). (E) Hypothetical model of

transcriptional network accounting for *sws2* opsin expression in the SWS2 cone

subtype. Transcriptional regulation in the SWS2 cone subtype is highlighted in

black, while transcriptional regulation in the other cone subtypes is highlighted

in grey.

Fig. 5. ***FOXQ2* gene conservation among animal species.** (A) Sequence alignment of forkhead domain of FOXQ2 and FOXA2 proteins. Secondary structure elements are indicated at the top according to the FOXA2-DBD/DBE2 complex in the previous study (*69*). (B) Genomic environment of *FOXQ2* genes in vertebrate species. Orthologous genes contributing to the conserved order of *FOXQ2* genes among vertebrates are similarly color-coded. The presence of *SWS2* gene in each species is indicated as checkmarks according to the previous study (*70*). Accession numbers for the genomic location of *FOXQ2* in each species is indicated in Table S5.