High-content live-cell imaging reveals co-regulation of cardiomyocyte decisions of hypertrophy and apoptosis

Bryan Chun[1], Jeffrey J. Saucerman[1]*

[1]Department of Biomedical Engineering and Robert M. Berne Cardiovascular Research Center, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22908,USA

*Lead contact: jsaucerman@virginia.edu

Abstract

Cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and apoptosis underlie cardiomyopathies and heart failure. While previous studies have described hypertrophy or apoptosis at the level of cell populations, how individual cells commit to these distinct yet co-regulated fates is unclear. We used high-content imaging to track single-cell hypertrophy and apoptosis dynamics, revealing new features and unique subpopulation responses. Catecholamines isoproterenol and norepinephrine induced heterogeneous analog hypertrophy and digital apoptosis, which support a "grow and/or die" conceptual model for cell decisions. Multinomial log-linear models indicated that a cell's initial size and DNA content predict its susceptibility to hypertrophy and apoptosis. This work integrates dynamic morphological and biochemical cell profiling to reveal that cardiomyocyte hypertrophic and apoptotic responses to catecholamines represent an incoherent feedforward loop in which hypertrophy enhances survival.

Introduction

Cells utilize a finite number of signaling pathways and intermediates to determine a multitude of cell fates such as developmental specification (Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus, 1980; Petkova et al., 2019) or drug responses (Behar et al., 2013, 2007; Greenwald et al., 2013; Saucerman and McCulloch, 2006). For example, heart failure involves both cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and apoptosis (Frey et al., 2004; Levy et al., 1990; Ryall et al., 2012; Wencker et al., 2003). While apoptosis has been clearly implicated in heart failure progression (Wencker et al., 2003) , hypertrophy can be physiological or pathological (Dorn, 2007; Sano et al., 2007). Furthermore, apoptosis and hypertrophy may have interacting roles that result in the transition from physiological to pathological hypertrophy. These interactions need to be better understood to develop targeted therapeutic strategies for heart failure (Owens, 2005).

A number of studies have shown that cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and apoptosis are co-regulated *in vivo*. For example, pressure-overload and catecholamine overstimulation induce both hypertrophy and apoptosis (Engelhardt et al., 1999; Shizukuda et al., 1998; Teiger et al., 1996), while calcineurin overexpression elicits hypertrophy protective against apoptosis [14] even as calcineurin itself directly dephosphorylates the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family protein BAD (Springer et al., 2000; Wang et al., 1999). However, *in vivo* studies do not elucidate the dynamic, functional relationships between hypertrophy and apoptosis at the level of single cells. As such, it is unclear whether hypertrophy and apoptosis decisions occur concurrently, sequentially, or in opposition to each other (Kang et al., 2015; van Empel and De Windt, 2004).

As the field lacks a conceptual model, we identify three possible conceptual models for joint cardiomyocyte decision-making of hypertrophy and apoptosis. A "grow-and-die" model suggests serial decision-making where cells hypertrophy but then later apoptose due to an inability to handle growth stresses (Sawyer et al., 2002). The importance of caspase activation dynamics as

a regulator of apoptosis and the role of sub-apoptotic caspase activity in hypertrophy suggests a

distinct "grow-or-die" model, in which caspase activation dynamics regulate whether

cardiomyocytes will either survive and hypertrophy or die prior to hypertrophic growth

(Putinski et al., 2013; Roux et al., 2015). An alternative to these previously implicated

conceptual models is a "grow-and/or-die" model, which suggests that mixtures of different

subpopulations of cells undergo both possibilities. In this model, a stimulus may induce a

subpopulation of cells to undergo hypertrophy. While this response may be protective against

apoptosis, hypertrophic cells may also reach a physical limit of viability and subsequently

undergo apoptosis. Fixed timepoint *in vivo* experiments cannot determine which of these three

conceptual models is most likely to be occurring dynamically and at a single-cell level. *In vitro*

studies of isolated cardiomyocytes have examined hypertrophy (Morisco et al., 2001; Ryall et al.,

2014; Vidal et al., 2012) or apoptosis (Iwai-Kanai et al., 1999; Saito et al., 2000; Shin et al.,

2014) independently. To our knowledge, however, co-regulation of hypertrophy and apoptosis

has not been examined simultaneously in isolated cardiomyocytes. Understanding the

differential co-regulation of hypertrophy and apoptosis is critical to differentiate between

pathological hypertrophy, which is accompanied by apoptosis, and compensatory hypertrophy

in the absence of apoptosis (D'Angelo et al., 1997; Grossman et al., 1975; Hayakawa et al., 2003;

van Empel and De Windt, 2004).

Adrenergic catecholamine signaling is a key target in the treatment of heart failure as it

promotes both cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and apoptosis. Fixed-end point techniques have

demonstrated that cardiomyocyte hypertrophy induced by catecholamines requires sub-

apoptotic levels of caspase activation (Putinski et al., 2013). However, end point or cell lysis

assays do not capture initial heterogeneity, which may provide predictive or explanatory factors

for different phenotypes. Dynamic time course data is necessary to elucidate the mechanisms by

which individual cells decide to grow or die, and the interaction between those decisions (Paek

et al., 2016; Roux et al., 2015). To overcome these limitations, here, we designed a live-cell high-

content imaging approach that monitors the dynamics of growth and death simultaneously at a

single-cell level.   This approach incorporates multiplexed measurements of multiple phenotypes

to understand the relationship between hypertrophy and apoptosis; dynamic time course

measurements to elucidate the mechanisms by which individual cells decide to grow or die; the

interaction between those decisions; and single-cell measurements of decision-making.

Here, we characterize the cellular, nuclear, and biochemical single-cell dynamic hypertrophy

and apoptosis responses of cardiomyocytes to multiple perturbations. We identify a biphasic

cardiomyocyte concentration response to the catecholamine isoproterenol, resulting from

opposing digital apoptosis and analog hypertrophy responses. Classification of cell responses

into that of three subpopulations reveals differential concentration-dependent dynamic

responses. Finally, a multinomial log-linear data-driven model reveals new characteristics of a

cell that predicts its likelihood of committing to hypertrophy or apoptosis, which we then

validate. Our work thus develops a common experimental and statistical framework to reveal

signaling and phenotypic dynamics that underlie individual cell decision-making.

87 Results

88 *Assay design for single-cell phenotyping of hypertrophy-apoptosis dynamics*

Our live single-cell assay uses multiple simultaneous fluorescent readouts of hypertrophic and

apoptotic activity (**Figure 1A**). Cells were transduced with eGFP as a live-cell reporter of single-

cell morphology and protein synthesis. Nuclei were labeled with Hoechst, and general cell death

and apoptosis were measured with propidium iodide (PI) and fluorescently-conjugated annexin

V (A5). To track temporal dynamics, individual wells in a 96-well microplate representing

different treatment conditions were imaged for 48 hours with a 1 hour resolution (**Figure 1B**).

After live-cell imaging, cells were fixed, permeabilized, and immunostained to detect cardiomyocyte-

specific α-actinin expression. We developed automated image analysis methods to measure dynamics of

multiple metrics related to cellular morphology, viability, and final α-actinin expression at a single-cell

level (**Methods**).

To correct for cell-to-cell eGFP expression variability and uneven fluorescence that persisted

after flatfield illumination, we trained a random forest classifier to generate probability maps of

eGFP (**Figure 1C**). The random forest model was robust to at least a 5-fold range in variations

in fluorescence signal-to-noise ratio, allowing optimization of fluorescence acquisition settings

to minimize phototoxicity (**Supplemental Figure 1**). We used this eGFP probability map for

thresholding, single-cell segmentation, and tracking for longitudinal morphology and

fluorescence measurements (**Figure 1D** and **Methods**). As our eGFP reporter was expressed

under a ubiquitous CMV promoter and thus could not differentiate between cardiomyocytes and

other cell types, we used an α-actinin fixed immunofluorescence probability map and live single-

cell tracking to identify cardiomyocytes. Similarly, a live A5 probability map was used to

determine A5 positivity of each cell.

This assay was validated using cells treated with DMSO as a negative vehicle control. Even in the

absence of hypertrophic or apoptotic stimuli, cells showed a wide range of both hypertrophic

(**Figure 1A** and **D**, orange outline and traces) and apoptotic trajectories (cyan outline and

traces). At the cell-population level, we found that A5 binding accumulated earlier than PI

uptake, suggesting that most of the death observed was due to apoptosis rather than other death

processes (**Figure 1F**). This apoptotic lag between initial A5 binding and later PI uptake at the

population level was validated in individual A5/PI double-positive cells (**Figure 1G**).

117
Figure 1. High-content time-lapse microscopy of single-cell morphological and apoptosis dynamics of neonatal rat cardiac myocytes.

(**A**) Representative timelapse images of neonatal rat cardiac cells treated with 0.1% DMSO (neg. control). Live imaging tracked changes in morphology of eGFP-expressing cells and apoptotic A5 and PI binding over 48 hours (rows 1 through 5). After two days (row 6), cells were fixed and stained for DNA (DAPI, cyan) and α-actinin (green). Outlines represent manual segmentation of cells undergoing hypertrophy (orange) or early apoptotic A5 binding and PI exclusion (cyan). Scale bar is 100 μm.

(**B**) Schematic of image analysis pipeline for single-cell cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and apoptosis dynamics.

(**C**) Example eGFP fluorescence probability map for the GFP image in (A) for image thresholding and segmentation.

(**D**) Example segmentation and tracking of cells in (A). White outlines represent automated segmentation results of eGFP cells and pseudocoloured ovals represent nuclear segmentation. The tracking of the two outlined cells in (A) are marked with their identifier (cyan and orange numbers and arrows).

(**E**) Single-cell heterogeneity in cardiomyocyte hypertrophy dynamics (black lines). Orange and
cyan traces correspond to previously identified cells. Solid black line corresponds to the
population median. Black dots indicate onset of A5 binding as does the large magenta dot for the
cyan trace. Cell Area Fold Change reported as cell areas normalized to the average of areas
measured at initial two timepoints.
(**F**) Empirical cumulative distribution function of A5 (magenta) and PI (yellow) binding for all
DMSO-treated cells. The rightward shift of the PI binding curve indicates later onset of PI
binding in the cell population suggestive of apoptosis.
(**G**). Single-cell quantification of onset of A5 and PI binding show that PI occurs after A5 binding
, with the lag between those two events quantified (red distribution; * p = 0.019, one-sample
Wilcoxon signed rank test, n = 733 cells).
145

Cellular and nuclear profiling of staurosporine-induced apoptosis dynamics

Apoptosis is characterized by morphological and biochemical changes to both cell and nucleus.

As a representative cellular perturbation to examine apoptosis dynamics with our high-content

imaging assay, we selected stimulation with staurosporine (STS), an ATP-competitive inhibitor

with activity against a broad spectrum of kinases and a well-known inducer of apoptosis in

multiple cell types including cardiomyocytes (Belmokhtar et al., 2001; Lawrie et al., 1997;

Meggio et al., 1995; Yue et al., 1998). Apoptotic or death markers such as A5 or PI are routinely

used in flow cytometry and STS apoptosis dynamics have been characterized in cardiomyocytes

at a coarse time resolution at the population level (Wlodkowic et al., 2009; Yue et al., 1998). Our

high-content imaging assay uniquely allows for simultaneous characterization and high-

resolution dynamic single-cell tracking of multiple features related to apoptosis including A5

binding, PI uptake, changes in nuclei morphology, and chromatin condensation and

degradation.

Treatment with STS induced large amounts of cell death marked by increased A5 binding and PI

uptake compared to DMSO vehicle-control treatment (**Figure 2A** and **B**). Bulk-population

dynamics of total accumulation of A5 and PI were again suggestive of apoptosis, with PI-uptake

occurring later than that of A5 (**Figure 2C**). However, the dynamics of apoptosis were markedly

different between STS and DMSO treatments. DMSO treatment induced a biphasic response

with initially low levels of A5 and PI binding between 0 and 24 hours and a second phase of

increased apoptotic activity after 24 hours. In contrast, STS-treated cells largely completed

apoptosis within the first 36 hours of treatment. There was a significant lag from A5 to PI

binding in single cells that eventually became A5/PI-double positive (**Figure 2D**), suggesting

apoptosis and not other forms of cell death.

Next, we sought to characterize the temporal dynamics of additional cellular features that may

be associated with apoptosis (**Figure 2E**). As expected, STS treatment induced global increases

of cell membrane A5 binding followed by nuclear PI uptake. Motivated by known apoptotic

nuclear and chromatin changes (Toné et al., 2007), we also investigated dynamic changes in

nuclear Hoechst staining. Mean, total (integrated), and median absolute deviation of the

Hoechst intensity all followed similar trajectories, with STS-treated trajectories increasing above

the DMSO-treated baseline, reflecting increased membrane permeability to Hoechst and

chromatin condensation (Ormerod et al., 1993). After approximately 24 hours, STS-treated

trajectories of Hoechst decreased below that of DMSO-treated trajectories, suggesting DNA

degradative apoptotic processes. We also investigated changes in nuclear morphology. During

the first 36 hours, nuclei of STS-treated cells had smaller nuclei, which later increased in size

relative to their DMSO-treated counterparts. Interestingly, nuclear form factor decreased and

eccentricity increased in STS-treated cells relative to DMSO-treated cells even at the very early

times, indicating more irregular and less circular nuclei consistent with apoptosis. Overall, these

analyses delineate a temporal sequence of apoptotic kinetics, starting with changes to nuclear

permeability and shape, followed by A5 binding, and finally loss of cell membrane integrity.

Figure 2. Single-cell dynamics of cardiomyocyte death induced by staurosporine.
(**A**) Representative timelapse images of neonatal rat cardiac cells treated with 1 μM STS show
induction of A5 and PI binding (magenta and yellow, respectively) and pyknosis (cyan).
(**B**) 1 μM STS increased the fraction of dead (either A5-or PI-positive) cells compared to the
0.1% DMSO vehicle control condition (* p = 0.036, paired Welch's one-tailed *t*-test n = 2
independent cell isolations).
(**C**) Empirical cumulative distribution function of A5 (magenta) and PI (yellow) binding for all
STS-treated cells. Same DMSO traces as in **Figure 1F**.
(**D**) Single-cell quantification of onset of A5 and PI binding show that PI occurs after A5 binding
(red, with the lag between those two events quantified (red distribution; * p = 0.01894, one-
sample Wilcoxon signed rank test, n = 733 cells).

197 (**E**) Single-cell dynamics of nuclear metrics in response to either 1 µM STS or 0.1% DMSO
198 control. Solid lines indicate population median, shaded areas indicate interquartile range.
199
200
Cellular profiling of phenylephrine-induced hypertrophy dynamics

To examine hypertrophy dynamics with our high-content imaging assay, we selected stimulation with

the catecholamine phenylephrine (PE), an α1-adrenergic agonist and a well-known inducer of

cardiomyocyte hypertrophy (O'Connell et al., 2003; Ryall and Saucerman, 2012). Cardiac α1-

adrenergic signaling is thought to be cardioprotective by activating cell survival signaling pathways

(Iwai-Kanai et al., 1999; O'Connell et al., 2014). We also examined the protein translation

inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) as an additional negative control to control for baseline protein

synthesis (Schneider-Poetsch et al., 2010).

Treatment with PE induced hypertrophy and a striking increase in eGFP expression, consistent

with known increases in protein synthesis (**Figure 3A-C**). The greatest increase in median cell

areas relative to DMSO occurred around 24 h (**Figure 3D**). Interestingly, there was a wide

distribution in single-cell changes in area. Comparison of the empirical cumulative distribution

functions (eCDFs) of the DMSO- and PE-treated cells show not simply a rightward shift in

distribution when cells are treated with a hypertrophic agonist, but rather an increase in the

upper tail of distribution of cell areas (**Figure 3F**). This indicates that population-level

increases in cell area seen with PE treatment is due to a subpopulation of hypertrophic

responders as opposed to global increases in cell area. PE treatment increased the proportion of

hypertrophic responders, or cells with fold change areas greater than that of the 75th percentile

of DMSO, while CHX treatment reduced this proportion (**Figure 3G**).

We previously identified divergent characteristics of cellular hypertrophy induced by different

hypertrophic agonists (Bass et al., 2012). While our previous approach segmented on α-actinin

immunofluorescence, here we find greater fidelity when further incorporating cell-to-cell variation in

eGFP expression (**Supplemental Figure 2**). We therefore re-characterized the same metrics of

cell shape and size and found that treatment with PE led to significant changes to all metrics

observed (**Figure 3H**). As expected, PE increased raw cell area and major axis length.

Elongation (axis ratio), eccentricity, and form factor all decreased, suggesting PE-treated cells

became more circular but more spiky (**Figure 3A**, red outline).

Previous reports have suggested a role for increased DNA synthesis and polyploidy in cardiac

hypertrophy, and we recently published a method for determining cardiomyocyte nuclear ploidy

with the nuclear stain DAPI (Liu et al., 2010; Woo et al., 2019). Further, Hoechst has been

shown to be a reliable marker for chromatin compaction, which may play a functional role in

transcription (Imai et al., 2017). We therefore asked whether the hypertrophic stimulus PE also

affected nuclear characteristics as measured by Hoechst. While nuclear morphological

characteristics remained unchanged, we found that PE-treated cells initially took up less

Hoechst than DMSO-treated counterparts but finished with an overall brighter Hoechst signal,

suggesting increased DNA content (**Figure 3I**). The smaller initial Hoechst intensity may

reflect more robust nuclear membrane integrity due to the cell survival signaling pathways

activated by PE and the relative rates of phospholipid turnover and DNA synthesis (Homa et al.,

1991; Rew and Wilson, 2000).

Figure 3. Single-cell dynamics of cardiomyocyte hypertrophy in response to phenylephrine.
(**A**) Representative timelapse images of neonatal rat cardiac cells treated with 50 μM PE showing induction of hypertrophy (red outline) and eGFP expression (gray).
48h hypertrophy dynamics (**B**) and eGFP dynamics (**C**) of cells treated with 50 μM PE, 50 μM CHX, or 0.1% DMSO vehicle control. Solid lines indicate population median, shaded areas indicate interquartile range.
 24h treatment with 50μM PE induces hypertrophy (**D**) or eGFP expression (**E**) by 24h. Errorbars indicate median and interquartile range *** p<2*10^-16 *vs*. DMSO control, Kruskal–Wallis H test followed by one-sided Mann–Whitney U post-hoc test with Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction, n = 2062-3416 cells.
(**F**) eCDFs of distributions of cell areas (same data as in **D**).
(**G**) Percent of cells with areas greater than DMSO 75th percentile at 24h (same data as in (**D**).
*** p ≤ 1.58*10^−6, mixed−effects ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD, n = 2 independent cell isolations.
(**H**) 48h cell morphology metrics of cells treated with either 50 μM PE or 0.1% DMSO vehicle control. Solid lines indicate population median, errorbars indicate interquartile range. p-values represent Kruskal–Wallis H test followed by one-sided Mann–Whitney U post-hoc test with Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction.
(**I**) Single-cell dynamics of cellular or nuclear metrics in response to either 50 μM PE or 0.1% DMSO control. Solid lines indicate population median, shaded areas indicate interquartile range.

Isoproterenol induces biphasic dose-dependent hypertrophy and apoptosis

dynamics

In silico reconstructions of the *in vitro* cardiomyocyte hypertrophy signaling network have

identified the βA signaling pathway as one that is potentially both hypertrophic and apoptotic (Kang et

al., 2015; Ryall et al., 2012). The catecholamine isoproterenol (ISO) is a non-specific β-

adrenergic receptor agonist and has been separately characterized as being either hypertrophic

or apoptotic (Bass et al., 2012; Ryall et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2013; Zaugg et al., 2000). Our assay

provides a unique opportunity to investigate dynamic single-cell decision-making between these

two co-regulated phenotypes (Putinski et al., 2013; van Empel and De Windt, 2004).

To our knowledge, our assay shows for the first time that treatment with high concentration of

ISO induces both hypertrophy and apoptosis in the same cell population (**Figure 4A**). ISO was

previously shown to regulate cardiomyocyte contractility and hypertrophy with distinct

concentration-dependence (Yang et al., 2013, p. 201). Therefore we examined the concentration-

dependence of ISO-induced hypertrophy and apoptosis. Interestingly, ISO induced a biphasic

concentration-dependent hypertrophy response, with diverging population-level hypertrophy

responses after 24 hours (**Figure 4B** and **C**). While all ISO-treated conditions induced some

level of hypertrophy relative to the DMSO vehicle-treated control within 24 hours of treatment,

medium to high doses of isoproterenol (10 to 100 μM ISO) induced less hypertrophy or

plateaued compared to lower doses. This plateau was especially striking after 24 hours (**Figure**

4D), the timepoint associated with apoptotic responses for STS stimuli. In contrast to the

biphasic hypertrophy response, A5 binding showed an ultrasensitive response with enhanced

apoptosis only at very high ISO concentrations (**Figure 4A**, **E**, and **F**). As commitment to

apoptosis is a binary decision (Roux et al., 2015), we analyzed single-cell trajectories which

indicated substantial variability in the response to 100 μM ISO (**Figure 4G**). Moreover,

apoptotic cells were smaller at time of A5 binding than their surviving counterparts (**Figure**

4H), further suggesting a relationship between growth and death phenotypes.

Like ISO, stimulation with a second adrenergic catecholamine, norepinephrine, also elicited

biphasic hypertrophic eGFP expression and ultrasensitive apoptosis (**Supplemental Figure**

3). However the apoptosis due to ISO or norepinephrine was not blocked by β-adrenergic

antagonist propranolol (Black et al., 1964) (**Supplemental Figure 4**), suggesting incomplete

inhibition or non-β-adrenergic mechanisms for apoptosis. This effect was replicated with

combination treatment with ISO, ICI-118,551 (selective β2 antagonist), and CGP 20712

(selective β1 antagonist) as well as combination treatment with ISO and Rp-cAMPs (selective

inhibitor of PKA) (**Supplemental Figure 5**).

CaMKII signaling has been shown previously to contribute to cardiomyocyte hypertrophy or

apoptosis under various models of cardiac injury (Vila-Petroff et al., 2007, p.; Zhu et al., 2003).

Therefore we asked whether CaMKII inhibition would modulate single-cell decisions of

hypertrophy and apoptosis. Combination treatment with ISO and KN-93, a CaMKII inhibitor,

increased apoptosis relative to combination treatment with ISO and KN-92, an inactive

analogue of KN-93 (**Supplemental Figure 6**).

As a previous study showed that sub-apoptotic levels of caspase-3 were surprisingly required for

hypertrophy(Putinski et al., 2013), we tested the caspase-3 inhibitor Z-DEVD-FMK in our

system. The addition of Z-DEVD-FMK increased the proportion of grower cells while decreasing

the proportion of shrinker cells, in contrast to previous reports (Putinski et al., 2013)

(**Supplemental Figure 7A**). This effect was potentially mediated by the decrease in

cardiomyocyte apoptosis. (**Supplemental Figure 7B and C**).

Previous studies have shown that catecholamine oxidation may contribute to cellular responses

both in vitro and in vivo (Remião et al., 2002) . We tested whether preventing ISO oxidation

with ascorbic acid would modulate ISO-induced apoptosis, but it did not (**Supplemental**

Figure 8). Therefore it is unlikely that the ISO-induced apoptosis is due to oxidative

byproducts.

Figure 4. Single-cell dynamics of cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and apoptosis in response to isoproterenol.

(**A**) Representative timelapse images of neonatal rat cardiac cells treated with 100 μM ISO showing induction of hypertrophy and A5 binding (magenta).

(**B**) Single-cell ISO concentration hypertrophy responses at 24 h. Solid lines indicate population median, errorbars indicate interquartile range. ***all ISO-treated conditions were significant

with p≤2.8*10^−20 *vs.* DMSO control; Kruskal–Wallis H test followed by one-sided Mann–
Whitney U post-hoc test with Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction, n = 4067-6780
cells.
(**C**) Median ISO concentration hypertrophy responses at 24 h across experiments. Errorbars
represent standard error of the mean. * p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0,001; mixed effects ANOVA
followed by one-sided Dunnett post hoc test, n=3-5 independent cell isolations.
(**D**) 48h ISO concentration response of hypertrophy dynamics. Shaded area represents
interquartile range.
(**E**) ISO concentration A5 binding response across 48 h. *p = 0.055, *** p=7.53*10^-8 vs DMSO
control; mixed effects ANOVA followed by Dunnett post hoc test, same n = 3-5 independent cell
isolations as in (C).
(**F**) Empirical cumulative distribution function of A5 (magenta) and PI (yellow) binding for all
cells treated either with DMSO or 100 μM ISO.
(**G**) Representative single-cardiomyocyte dynamic traces of morphology of cells treated with 100
μM ISO. Magenta dots indicate onset of A5 binding.
(**H**) Hypertrophy response of either area fold change at time of A5 binding (diers) or end of
timecourse (survivors). Solid lines indicate population median, errorbars indicate interquartile
range. *** p<2.2*10^-16 *vs.* DMSO control, one-sided Mann-Whitney U test, n = 3268-5081
cells.
341
342
Differential response of cardiomyocyte subpopulations contribute to biphasic

hypertrophy and ultrasensitive apoptosis

We hypothesized that the biphasic population-level responses to ISO may reflect heterogeneity

in single-cell decision making between cell growth and death, with potential for functional

crosstalk between these cell responses encompassed by three possible conceptual models

(**Figure 5A**). To facilitate comparison of cell hypertrophy and apoptosis, we first classified cells

as "growers" , "stunned" , or "shrinkers". Strikingly, the hypertrophic dynamics of these three

subpopulations (**Figure 5B**) are qualitatively distinct from the transient population-level

hypertrophic to 100 μM ISO (**Figure 4B**). Thus, the transient population-level hypertrophy

results from the early increases in cell area of the "growers," followed by the later decreased cell

area of the "shrinkers," and some cells remaining "stunned". The "shrinker" subpopulation was

more prone to cell death and therefore contributed to the decrease in median cell population

area observed at later timepoints and higher ISO doses (**Figure 4E** and **H**).

As expected from the single-cell ISO concentration hypertrophy responses at 24 h, we saw a

trend towards an increased proportion of grower cells with ISO stimulation compared to DMSO.

In addition, the largest proportion of grower cells was seen with 1 µM ISO, which corresponds to

the dose at which we saw the greatest population-level hypertrophy response (**Figures 5C** and

4C,**D**). The relative decrease in proportion of grower cells as ISO concentration is increased

beyond 10 µM also correlated with the plateauing of the population-level hypertrophy ISO

concentration response (**Figure 4C** and **D**).

We hypothesized that growth and death responses may be related at the single cell level, with

apoptosis primarily occurring in "shrinker" cells. We quantified the proportions of cells from the

three growth subpopulations that contribute to the ultrasensitive response of A5 binding with

increasing ISO concentration (**Figure 5D**). At 100 µM ISO, shrinkers and stunned cells were

indeed statistically more likely than growers to become A5 positive, leading us to favor a "grow-

or-die" model over the "grow-and-die" model. However, in contrast to our hypothesis, the

quantitative contributions to death responses were fairly similar (**Figure 5E**). These

concentration-dependent hypertrophy and apoptosis decisions are summarised in **Figure 5F**.

Having found that apoptotic cells also tended to become smaller (**Figure 4H**), we further

analyzed the growth and death kinetics of the hypertrophic subpopulations to determine

additional causes for the biphasic hypertrophy concentration response observed at the

population level. We measured the time at which each cell achieved maximal cell area.

Furthermore, if the cell became A5 positive, we measured the time lag between those two events

(**Figure 5G**). We found that the hypertrophic subpopulations differed not only in the

magnitude of their hypertrophy, but also the time at which they achieved their maximal

hypertrophy. Stunned cells grew to their maximal extent before 24 hours while growers

continued growing after 24 hours. As expected, shrinkers had maximal area at the start of the

time course (**Figure 5H**). Even though the three hypertrophic subpopulations differed

significantly in their hypertrophic kinetics, the dead cells in the grower and stunned populations

bound A5 with statistically different yet fairly similar delay after their maximal cell area.

However, the shrinker cells showed a striking difference from other subpopulations, with a

bimodal distribution in delay from maximal cell area to A5 binding. Indeed, almost half of the

shrinker cells persisted at least 24 hours before binding A5 (**Figure 5I**). From this analysis, we

identified that the source of the biphasic cell population hypertrophy ISO concentration

response was a subpopulation of cells that underwent cell shrinkage and then delayed apoptosis,

while cell hypertrophy may represent a protective mechanism against apoptosis. The presence of

these distinct subpopulation behavior may represent an incoherent feedforward network motif

between βA stimulation, hypertrophy, and apoptosis.

Figure 5. Single-cell hypertrophy-apoptosis decision making in cardiomyocytes
treated with 100 μM ISO.

All data is the same as in Figure 4.

(**A**) Schematic of possible cardiomyocyte phenotypic decision-making models and relationships
between hypertrophy and apoptosis.

(**B**) The population of cells treated with 100 μM ISO was partitioned into 3 hypertrophic
trajectory groups relative to DMSO-treated population at 48 h. Growers (purple) were defined
as cells whose max fold change area was greater than that of the 75th percentile of the DMSO-
treated population. Stunned (orange) cells were defined as cells whose max fold change area was
in the DMSO-treated interquartile range. Shrinkers (green) were defined as cells whose max fold
change area was less than the 25th percentile of the DMSO-treated cells. Solid lines indicate

population median, shaded areas indicate interquartile range, black line indicates population
median
(**C**) Concentration response of cell population makeup. Errorbars represent mean ± SEM.
Comparisons were made between each treatment's percent "grower" subpopulation; while the
overall mixed-effects ANOVA was not statistically significant (p=0.094) the more powered one-
sided Dunnett's test showed statistically significant differences indicated with * p<0.05 vs
DMSO control, n = 3-4 biological replications. Moreover, the mixed-effects ANOVA between
the 3 hypertrophy subpopulations was not significant for the DMSO-treatment. However, there
was a significant increase in the proportion of the grower subpopulation relative to the other two
subpopulations with p<0.01, mixed-effects ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD.
(**D**) Concentration response of cell population makeup for all apoptotic cells (same data as
Figure 4F).
(**E**) Cell population makeup. Errorbars represent mean ± SEM. ** p = 0.00562, ***p<0.001,
mixed-effects ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD, n = 3 independent cell isolations.
(**F**) Hypertrophy and apoptosis transition state diagrams for different ISO concentrations.
(**G**) Single-cell quantification of onset of maximal hypertrophic area and A5 binding and with
the lag between those two events.
Time to maximal hypertrophy (**H**, n = 2378-3375 cells) or time between maximal hypertrophy
and A5 binding (**I,** n = 824-1440) for each hypertrophic trajectory group from **G**. Solid lines
indicate population median, errorbars indicate interquartile range.*p=0.017, **p=$9.0*10^{-14}$,
*** p<$2*10^{-22}$, Kruskal–Wallis H test followed by Dunn's All-Pairs Rank Comparison post-hoc
test with Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction.
425
426
Initial cell morphology and nucleus predicts cellular decision-making

Given the considerable single-cell heterogeneity in response to ISO stimulation, we asked

whether pre-existing features of cardiomyocytes would predict their subsequent growth and

death. We used a multinomial log-linear regression model to identify cellular features that

predict hypertrophy-apoptosis decision-making (**Methods, Figure 6A, Supplemental**

Table 1). The response variable was one of 6 pairwise combinations of the 3 hypertrophic

trajectory classifications and the binary survival/death outcome. The predictor variables were

the 6 cellular and 6 nuclear size, shape and fluorescence intensity metrics identified by our assay

as potentially relevant to cardiomyocyte apoptosis and hypertrophy (**Figures 2** and **3**). 5-fold

cross validation repeated 100 times showed that the model predicts the single-cell hypertrophy-

apoptosis response to 100 µM ISO with an accuracy of 32.2±0.1% (mean±SEM) and $R^2_{McFadden}$ of

0.075. This accuracy was similar to that of a random forest model approach (accuracy of

32.7±0.1%, mean±SEM, **Supplemental Figure 9B**) but the multinomial regression model

approach provides value in the interpretability of the coefficient values of each classifier. Both

models performed significantly better than the multinomial null model (accuracy of 20.8±0.01,

mean±SEM). Similarity of accuracy in both approaches suggests that differences in model

structure were less important than unmeasured initial features or sources of stochasticity.

Analysis of the log-linear model identified several initial cell metrics that were predictive of

hypertrophy, apoptosis, or both. One such metric was the initial cell area - the model predicted

that initially larger cells had higher likelihoods of being stunned or shrinking and a lower

likelihood of growing. The relationships identified in the model between initial cell features and

growth-death responses motivated further verification. Indeed, growers had an initial cell size

that was smaller than shrinker or stunned subpopulations (**Figure 6B**). Analysis of the growth

trajectory of cells in either the bottom or top quartiles of initial cell area distributions also

showed that small cells had a hypertrophic growth response while larger cells did not

hypertrophy significantly (**Figure 6C**). While the initial area regression coefficient did not

specifically correlate with the survival status of a cell, it had an especially strong statistical

association with the shrinker/dier population. Indeed, cells in the largest quartile of initial cell

areas were more likely to die than those in the smallest quartile (**Figure 6D**). A parallel random

forest regression model showed that the initial area was the most influential metric for model

accuracy (**Supplemental Figure 9C**). These initial cell size-dependent hypertrophy and

apoptosis decisions are summarised in **Figure 6F**. Our data suggest that while hypertrophic

signaling confers partial protection against apoptosis, ISO stimulation still generates a

population of hypertrophic cells that go on to die. Thus, our data best supports the "grow-

and/or-die" model over the "grow-or-die" model.

Interestingly, this analysis identified nuclear Hoechst intensity and its median absolute

deviation as two nuclear metrics associated with both cell growth and survival (**Figure 6A**). The

median absolute deviation metric was also implicated as the second most influential metric for

model accuracy by the random forest model (**Supplemental Figure 9C**). Similarly, our single-

cell analysis show that cells of the grower-survivor subpopulation initially have less compacted

chromatin compared to cells in all other subpopulations, which we also observed in our PE- and

56 µM ISO treated cells at the population level (**Figure 6E** and **3I** and **Supplemental Figure**

1).

470
Figure 6. Multinomial log-linear model reveals predictors of single-cell
hypertrophy-apoptosis decision making in cardiomyocytes treated with 100 µM
ISO.
All data is the same as in Figure 4.
(**A**) Significant multinomial log-linear model coefficient z-scores. The contingency table
contains the number of cells in each hypertrophy-death category. The model self-validates with
an accuracy of 33.26% ($R^2_{McFadden}$ = 0.075), with a 5-fold cross-validation accuracy of 32.33+/-
0.01% (n=100 permutations) (**Supplemental Figure 9)**.
(**B**) Initial cell areas of each hypertrophic trajectory group. Solid lines indicate population
median, error bars indicate interquartile range. ** $p \leq 2*10^{-16}$ *vs.* grower population, Kruskal–
Wallis H test followed by one-sided Mann–Whitney U post-hoc test with Benjamini-Hochberg
multiple testing correction, n = 2662-3738 cells.
(**C**) 48h hypertrophy dynamics of cells in either the bottom or top quartile of initial cell area.
Solid lines indicate population median, shaded areas indicate interquartile range.
(**D**) 48h total A5 binding of cells in either the bottom or top quartile of initial cell area. * p=
0.027, one-sided Welch's paired T-test, n = 3 independent cell isolations.
(**E**) Initial nuclear Hoechst MAD of grower-survivor group vs. all other cells. Solid lines indicate
population median, errorbars indicate interquartile range. *** p = 2.4*10^-36, one-sided Mann-
Whitney U test, n = 1981-7444 cells.
(**F**) Hypertrophy and apoptosis transition state diagrams for different cardiomyocyte
subpopulations. Arrow colors are relative to the DMSO diagram in **Figure 5F**.

Discussion

Single-cell, multiplexed, or longitudinal data each have potential for elucidating new causal relationships or differential cell responses to stimuli (Boutros et al., 2015; Sugihara et al., 2012; Vilela and Danuser, 2011). Expression of the fetal gene program is a common surrogate for cardiomyocyte hypertrophy measurements (Bilsen and Chien, 1993; Tsai et al., 2017), but bulk measurements capture neither the changes in cell morphology nor nuances of single-cell behaviors. Fixed cell cytometry approaches may capture phenotypic endpoints but lack information about initial system state or the phenotypic trajectories necessary to elucidate decision-making processes without the use of additional system perturbations (Heijink et al., 2019; Putinski et al., 2013; Vilela and Danuser, 2011). Previous studies of single-cell decision-making have largely been in non-myocytes and have examined decision-making for a single phenotype(Fallahi-Sichani et al., 2017; Paek et al., 2016; Roux et al., 2015). Here, our high-content imaging study extends previous work on automated live imaging of single-cell hypertrophy to address challenges of measuring single-cell hypertrophy and apoptosis simultaneously. This study leveraged simple genetically encoded and biochemical fluorescent reporters to track morphological and biochemical dynamics in single cells necessary to investigate fundamental cell decisions of growth and death.

After validating our cardiomyocyte assay for hypertrophy and apoptosis measurements separately and identifying new features of those processes, we used our assay to examine the dynamics of decision-making between hypertrophy and apoptosis in response to catecholamines. Theoretical mechanisms underlying simultaneous regulation of analog and digital phenotypic decision-making by a single signaling network have been proposed. To our knowledge, however, the relative timing of early analog hypertrophy responses and late digital apoptosis decisions represents the first experimental support of a single signaling network

regulating a mixture of analog and digital phenotypic decisions (Kovary et al., 2018).

Leveraging these heterogeneity dynamics in our cell populations, we identified a biphasic

hypertrophic ISO concentration response at the cell-population level, suggesting at least two

underlying, competing processes. We therefore hypothesized that different subpopulations of

cells contribute to the overall population response.

Our data best supports the "grow-and/or-die" conceptual model in which hypertrophic signaling

is moderately protective against apoptosis. Low- to mid-concentrations of ISO increased the

proportion of cells that hypertrophied and resisted apoptosis, while high ISO concentrations

increased the number of cells susceptible to death. We used cyclic data-driven hypothesis testing

and modelling to identify characteristic features of these different subpopulations. Our

multinomial log-linear regression models sought to identify nongenetic heterogeneities in initial

cell size, morphology, and fluorescence metrics at a single ISO dose that would predict dynamic,

single-cell decision-making. This modeling approach had an accuracy rate similar to the more

agnostic, black-box random forest model. This suggests that differences in model structure

(such as the presence of non-linear interactions between predictors) were less important than

other, non-characterized factors or biochemical sources of stochasticity that our high-content

imaging assay did not capture (Hilfinger and Paulsson, 2011; Swain et al., 2002). Even so, we

identified and validated the initial cell size as a predictor of hypertrophic trajectory and

susceptibility to death. Surprisingly, we identified two nuclear metrics, DNA content and

chromatin homogeneity, that predicted cell hypertrophy and survival, which suggest a potential

role for transcriptional regulation of differential cell decision-making (Liu and Tang, 2019;

Symmons and Raj, 2016). These three metrics were also predictive of hypertrophy/apoptosis

decision-making with other treatments, namely PE and other ISO concentrations.

We envision multiple avenues for extending the approach presented herein. Tracking *in vitro*

co-culture models of cardiomyocytes and fibroblasts and the relative local density of those cells

would provide new insight into paracrine signaling interactions between cardiomyocytes and

fibroblasts to yield new potential therapeutic targets (Piek et al., 2016). We have also previously

described a screen for cardiomyocyte proliferation using methods compatible with those

presented here, suggesting a potential third phenotype to be multiplexed with our assay (Woo et

al., 2019). Our fluorescent reporter could be replaced with reporters of signaling pathway

activity to provide mechanistic insight into cellular decision-making (Gross et al., 2019). Finally,

techniques such as cyclic immunofluorescence (Lin et al., 2015) may be incorporated to provide

additional mechanistic insight into the question of whether form follows function, or function

follows form in the context of heart failure and as suggested by our results. High-content live-

cell imaging as presented here can thus improve understanding of cellular multi-tasking in

response to stress.

Materials and Methods

553 ***Chemical Reagents***

● DMSO (R&D Systems, Cat#3176)

● Staurosporine (R&D Systems, Cat#1285)

● (R)-(-)-Phenylephrine hydrochloride (R&D Systems, Cat#2838)

● Isoproterenol hydrochloride (R&D systems, Cat#1747)

● L-ascorbic acid (R&D Systems, Cat#4055)

● (-)-Norepinephrine (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#A7257)

● Propranolol hydrochloride (R&D Systems, Cat#0624)

● ICI-118,551 (R&D Systems, Cat#0821)

●   CGP-20712 (R&D Systems, Cat#1024)

●   KN-92 (R&D Systems, Cat#4130)

●   KN-93 (R&D Systems, Cat#1278)

●   Z-DEVD-FMK (R&D Systems, Cat#2166)

Lentivirus

Lentiviruses were prepared in 293T/17 cells (ATCC) by triple transfection with psPAX2,

pMD.2G, and PLX302 eGFP as previously described (Bajikar et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2011). The

plasmids were a gift from K. Janes (University of Virginia).

Cell Culture

Cardiac myocytes were harvested from 1–2 day-old Sprague-Dawley rats using the Neomyts

isolation kit (Cellutron, Baltimore, MD). All procedures were performed in accordance with the

Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the U.S. National Institutes of

Health and approved by the University of Virginia Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee. Myocytes were cultured in plating media (Dulbecco's modified Eagle media

supplemented with 17% M199, 10% horse serum(Gibco, 16050122), 5% fetal bovine serum

(HyClone FBS Thermo Scientific Cat: SH30396.03 Lot: AUD34652), 50 U/mL penicillin, 50

µg/mL streptomycin (Gibco, 15140122), 4.8mM L-glutamine(Gibco, 25030081) at a density of

20,000 cells per well of a 96-well CellBIND plate (Corning) coated with SureCoat (Cellutron).

Cells were transduced with 100µL of eGFP lentivirus and plating media supplemented with

8µg/mL polybrene (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat#sc-134220) at the time of plating and after

24 hours. 36 hours after plating, the medium was replaced with low-serum media (phenol-red

free Dulbecco's modified Eagle media supplemented with 17% M199, 0.1% FBS, 1% ITSS, 20mM

HEPES, 50 U/mL penicillin, 50 μg/mL streptomycin, 4.8mM L-glutamine) for 24 hours of

serum starvation prior to treatment.

Dual hypertrophy/apoptosis assay

Cells were pre-stained and pre-treated with relevant small molecule inhibitors in low-serum

media supplemented with 27nM Hoechst 33342, 0.5nM Propidium iodide, and 0.5× Alexa Fluor

647–conjugated A5 (Invitrogen) for 2 hours. Cells were imaged on the Operetta CLS high-

content imaging system (Perkin-Elmer) using a 10 × 0.3NA objective and the temperature and

CO_2 control option set to 37°C and 5% CO_2, respectively. Images were captured every 1 hour for

48 hours. 4 fields of view were acquired for each well.

After live-cell imaging, the cells were fixed with 4% PFA and stained with DAPI and monoclonal

anti-α-Actinin antibody (Sigma, Cat#A7811). The same fields of view acquired during live

imaging were again imaged.

Image Processing

All images were processed using Matlab 2018a (MathWorks), ilastik(Berg et al., 2019), and

CellProfiler(Kamentsky et al., 2011). Images were flat-field corrected in Matlab using reference

dark field and flat-field images taken of fluorescence reference slides (Ted Pella). Corrected

fluorescence signals from GFP, A5, and α-Actinin images were converted to probability maps

using the pixel classification tool in ilastik (Berg et al., 2019).

An image analysis pipeline was developed in CellProfiler to automatically segment, measure,

and classify the cells from the corrected images and signal probability maps. Briefly, all live

timepoints and fixed immunofluorescence images were aligned to the final timepoint images.

Hoechst- and propidium iodide-positive nuclei were independently segmented using Otsu

thresholding. Binucleates were identified by merging touching nuclei and then shrunken by a

radius of 1 pixel. GFP positive cells were identified using a watershed segmentation algorithm on

the GFP probability map using the merged nuclei as starting seeds. These GFP positive cells

were tracked and measured for morphological and fluorescence intensity characteristics

including area, GFP intensity, A5 intensity and probability, final α-Actinin intensity and

probability. Nuclei were also measured for morphological and propidium iodide and Hoechst

intensities.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were done in R version 3.6.3 (R Core Team, 2020). The following

packages were additionally used for general data preparation and visualization: tidyverse

(Wickham et al., 2019), multcomp (Hothorn et al., 2008), nlme(Pinheiro et al., 2020), nnet

(Venables and Ripley, 2002), perturb (Hendrickx, 2019), PMCMRplus(Pohlert, 2020),

randomForest (Liaw and Wiener, 2002), stargazer (Hlavac, 2018) and tableplot (Kwan and

Friendly, 2012).

Modelling

Data were normalized prior to statistical modelling. For multinomial log-linear modelling,

predictor variables were filtered for multicollinearity on the basis of their variance inflation

factor (VIF) scores - removal of the raw major axis length metric reduced all VIF scores below a

maximum of 2.4. We also verified the remaining collinearities with a tableplot and that those

variables described sufficiently different metrics (**Supplemental Figure 9A**). For random

forest modelling, default values from the randomForest package were used with the exception of

1000 trees (Liaw and Wiener, 2002).

Funding

629   This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health (BC: HL134266, GM007267,

630   GM008715; JJS: HL13775, HL137100; OD021723) and National Science Foundation (1252854).

Acknowledgements

632   We thank members of the Saucerman lab, including B. Wissmann for technical support with

633   cardiomyocyte isolations, P. Tan for support with CellProfiler, and L. Woo for discussions and

634   providing feedback on this work. We also thank Chris Smolko and Kevin Janes for contributing

635   the eGFP lentivirus. We acknowledge Research Computing at The University of Virginia for

636   providing computational resources and technical support.

Author contributions

638   Conceptualization, B.C. and J.J.S.; Methodology, B.C. and J.J.S.; Investigation, B.C. and J.J.S.;

639   Writing, B.C. and J.J.S.; Funding Acquisition, J.J.S.; Supervision, J.J.S..

References

Bajikar, S.S., Wang, C.-C., Borten, M.A., Pereira, E.J., Atkins, K.A., and Janes, K.A. (2017).
Tumor Suppressor Inactivation of GDF11 Occurs by Precursor Sequestration in Triple-Negative
Breast Cancer. Dev. Cell *43*, 418-435.e13.
Bass, G., Ryall, K., Katikapalli, A., Taylor, B., Dang, S., Acton, S., and Saucerman, J. (2012).
Automated image analysis identifies signaling pathways regulating distinct signatures of cardiac
myocyte hypertrophy. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. *52*, 923–930.
Behar, M., Dohlman, H.G., and Elston, T.C. (2007). Kinetic insulation as an effective
mechanism for achieving pathway specificity in intracellular signaling networks. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *104*, 16146–16151.

Behar, M., Barken, D., Werner, S.L., and Hoffmann, A. (2013). The Dynamics of Signaling as a
Pharmacological Target. Cell *155*.
Belmokhtar, C.A., Hillion, J., and Ségal-Bendirdjian, E. (2001). Staurosporine induces apoptosis
through both caspase-dependent and caspase-independent mechanisms. Oncogene *20*, 3354–
3362.
Berg, S., Kutra, D., Kroeger, T., Straehle, C.N., Kausler, B.X., Haubold, C., Schiegg, M., Ales, J.,
Beier, T., Rudy, M., et al. (2019). ilastik: interactive machine learning for (bio)image analysis.
Nat. Methods *16*, 1226–1232.
Bilsen, M. van, and Chien, K.R. (1993). Growth and hypertrophy of the heart: towards an
understanding of cardiac specific and inducible gene expression. Cardiovasc. Res. *27*, 1140–
1149.
Black, J.W., Crowther, A.F., Shanks, R.G., Smith, L.H., and Dornhorst, A.C. (1964). A NEW
ADRENERGIC: BETA-RECEPTOR ANTAGONIST. The Lancet *283*, 1080–1081.
Boutros, M., Heigwer, F., and Laufer, C. (2015). Microscopy-Based High-Content Screening. Cell
163, 1314–1325.
D'Angelo, D.D., Sakata, Y., Lorenz, J.N., Boivin, G.P., Walsh, R.A., Liggett, S.B., and Dorn, G.W.
(1997). Transgenic Galphaq overexpression induces cardiac contractile failure in mice. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *94*, 8121–8126.
van Empel, V.P.M., and De Windt, L.J. (2004). Myocyte hypertrophy and apoptosis: a balancing
act. Cardiovasc. Res. *63*, 487–499.
Fallahi-Sichani, M., Becker, V., Izar, B., Baker, G.J., Lin, J., Boswell, S.A., Shah, P., Rotem, A.,
Garraway, L.A., and Sorger, P.K. (2017). Adaptive resistance of melanoma cells to RAF
inhibition via reversible induction of a slowly dividing de-differentiated state. Mol. Syst. Biol. *13*.
Frey, N., Katus, H.A., Olson, E.N., and Hill, J.A. (2004). Hypertrophy of the heart: a new
therapeutic target? Circulation *109*, 1580–1589.
Friendly, M., and Kwan, E. (2009). Where's Waldo? Visualizing Collinearity Diagnostics. Am.
Stat. *63*, 56–65.
Greenwald, E., Redden, J., Dodge-Kafka, K., and Saucerman, J. (2013). Scaffold State-Switching
Amplifies, Accelerates and Insulates PKC Signaling. J. Biol. Chem.
Grossman, W., Jones, D., and McLaurin, L. (1975). Wall stress and patterns of hypertrophy in
the human left ventricle. J. Clin. Invest. *56*, 56–64.
Hayakawa, Y., Chandra, M., Miao, W., Shirani, J., Brown, J.H., Dorn, G.W., Armstrong, R.C.,
and Kitsis, R.N. (2003). Inhibition of cardiac myocyte apoptosis improves cardiac function and
abolishes mortality in the peripartum cardiomyopathy of Galpha(q) transgenic mice. Circulation
108, 3036–3041.
Hendrickx, J. (2019). perturb: Tools for Evaluating Collinearity.
Hlavac, M. (2018). stargazer: Well-Formatted Regression and Summary Statistics Tables.
Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., and Westfall, P. (2008). Simultaneous inference in general parametric
models. Biom. J. Biom. Z. *50*, 346–363.
Imai, R., Nozaki, T., Tani, T., Kaizu, K., Hibino, K., Ide, S., Tamura, S., Takahashi, K., Shribak,
M., and Maeshima, K. (2017). Density imaging of heterochromatin in live cells using
orientation-independent-DIC microscopy. Mol. Biol. Cell *28*, 3349–3359.
Iwai-Kanai, E., Hasegawa, K., Araki, M., Kakita, T., Morimoto, T., and Sasayama, S. (1999).
alpha- and beta-adrenergic pathways differentially regulate cell type-specific apoptosis in rat
cardiac myocytes. Circulation *100*, 305–311.
Kamentsky, L., Jones, T.R., Fraser, A., Bray, M.-A., Logan, D.J., Madden, K.L., Ljosa, V.,
Rueden, C., Eliceiri, K.W., and Carpenter, A.E. (2011). Improved structure, function and
compatibility for CellProfiler: modular high-throughput image analysis software. Bioinformatics
27, 1179–1180.
Kang, J., Lee, H.-S., Kang, Y.-W., and Cho, K.-H. (2015). Systems biological approaches to the
cardiac signaling network. Brief. Bioinform. bbv039.

Kovary, K.M., Taylor, B., Zhao, M.L., and Teruel, M.N. (2018). Expression variation and
covariation impair analog and enable binary signaling control. Mol. Syst. Biol. *14*, e7997.
Kwan, E., and Friendly, M. (2012). tableplot: Represents tables as semi-graphic displays.
Lawrie, A.M., Noble, M.E., Tunnah, P., Brown, N.R., Johnson, L.N., and Endicott, J.A. (1997).
Protein kinase inhibition by staurosporine revealed in details of the molecular interaction with
CDK2. Nat. Struct. Biol. *4*, 796–801.
Levy, D., Garrison, R.J., Savage, D.D., Kannel, W.B., and Castelli, W.P. (1990). Prognostic
Implications of Echocardiographically Determined Left Ventricular Mass in the Framingham
Heart Study. N. Engl. J. Med. *322*, 1561–1566.
Liaw, A., and Wiener, M. (2002). Classification and Regression by randomForest. R News *2*, 18–22.
Lin, J.-R., Fallahi-Sichani, M., and Sorger, P. (2015). Highly multiplexed imaging of single cells
using a high-throughput cyclic immunofluorescence method. Nat. Commun. *6*, 8390.
Liu, C.-F., and Tang, W.H.W. (2019). Epigenetics in Cardiac Hypertrophy and Heart Failure.
JACC Basic Transl. Sci. *4*, 976–993.
Liu, Z., Yue, S., Chen, X., Kubin, T., and Braun, T. (2010). Regulation of Cardiomyocyte
Polyploidy and Multinucleation by CyclinG1. Circ. Res. *106*, 1498–1506.
Meggio, F., Donella Deana, A., Ruzzene, M., Brunati, A.M., Cesaro, L., Guerra, B., Meyer, T.,
Mett, H., Fabbro, D., and Furet, P. (1995). Different susceptibility of protein kinases to
staurosporine inhibition. Kinetic studies and molecular bases for the resistance of protein kinase
CK2. Eur. J. Biochem. *234*, 317–322.
Morisco, C., Zebrowski, D.C., Vatner, D.E., Vatner, S.F., and Sadoshima, J. (2001). Beta-
adrenergic cardiac hypertrophy is mediated primarily by the beta(1)-subtype in the rat heart. J.
Mol. Cell. Cardiol. *33*, 561–573.
Nüsslein-Volhard, C., and Wieschaus, E. (1980). Mutations affecting segment number and
polarity in Drosophila. Nature *287*, 795–801.
O'Connell, T.D., Ishizaka, S., Nakamura, A., Swigart, P.M., Rodrigo, M.C., Simpson, G.L.,
Cotecchia, S., Rokosh, D.G., Grossman, W., Foster, E., et al. (2003). The α1A/C- and α1B-
adrenergic receptors are required for physiological cardiac hypertrophy in the double-knockout
mouse. J. Clin. Invest. *111*, 1783–1791.
O'Connell, T.D., Jensen, B.C., Baker, A.J., and Simpson, P.C. (2014). Cardiac alpha1-adrenergic
receptors: novel aspects of expression, signaling mechanisms, physiologic function, and clinical
importance. Pharmacol. Rev. *66*, 308–333.
Ormerod, M.G., Sun, X.M., Snowden, R.T., Davies, R., Fearnhead, H., and Cohen, G.M. (1993).
Increased membrane permeability of apoptotic thymocytes: a flow cytometric study. Cytometry
14, 595–602.
Paek, A.L., Liu, J.C., Loewer, A., Forrester, W.C., and Lahav, G. (2016). Cell-to-Cell Variation in
p53 Dynamics Leads to Fractional Killing. Cell *165*, 631–642.
Petkova, M.D., Tkačik, G., Bialek, W., Wieschaus, E.F., and Gregor, T. (2019). Optimal Decoding
of Cellular Identities in a Genetic Network. Cell *176*, 844-855.e15.
Piek, A., de Boer, R.A., and Silljé, H.H.W. (2016). The fibrosis-cell death axis in heart failure.
Heart Fail. Rev. *21*, 199–211.
Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., and R Core Team (2020). nlme: Linear and
Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models.
Pohlert, T. (2020). PMCMRplus: Calculate Pairwise Multiple Comparisons of Mean Rank Sums
Extended.
Putinski, C., Abdul-Ghani, M., Stiles, R., Brunette, S., Dick, S., Fernando, P., and Megeney, L.
(2013). Intrinsic-mediated caspase activation is essential for cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *110*, E4079–E4087.
R Core Team (2020). R: The R Project for Statistical Computing.
Remião, F., Carvalho, M., Carmo, H., Carvalho, F., and Bastos, M.L. (2002). Cu2+-induced
isoproterenol oxidation into isoprenochrome in adult rat calcium-tolerant cardiomyocytes.

Chem. Res. Toxicol. *15*, 861–869.

Roux, J., Hafner, M., Bandara, S., Sims, J.J., Hudson, H., Chai, D., and Sorger, P.K. (2015). Fractional killing arises from cell-to-cell variability in overcoming a caspase activity threshold. Mol. Syst. Biol. *11*, 803.

Ryall, K.A., and Saucerman, J.J. (2012). Automated imaging reveals a concentration dependent delay in reversibility of cardiac myocyte hypertrophy. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. *53*, 282–290.

Ryall, K., Holland, D., Delaney, K., Kraeutler, M., Parker, A., and Saucerman, J. (2012). Network reconstruction and systems analysis of cardiac myocyte hypertrophy signaling. J. Biol. Chem. *287*, 42259–42268.

Ryall, K.A., Bezzerides, V.J., Rosenzweig, A., and Saucerman, J.J. (2014). Phenotypic screen quantifying differential regulation of cardiac myocyte hypertrophy identifies CITED4 regulation of myocyte elongation. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. *72*, 74–84.

Saito, S., Hiroi, Y., Zou, Y., Aikawa, R., Toko, H., Shibasaki, F., Yazaki, Y., Nagai, R., and Komuro, I. (2000). β-Adrenergic Pathway Induces Apoptosis through Calcineurin Activation in Cardiac Myocytes. J. Biol. Chem. *275*, 34528–34533.

Saucerman, J., and McCulloch, A. (2006). Cardiac beta-adrenergic signaling: from subcellular microdomains to heart failure. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. *1080*, 348–361.

Sawyer, D.B., Siwik, D.A., Xiao, L., Pimentel, D.R., Singh, K., and Colucci, W.S. (2002). Role of oxidative stress in myocardial hypertrophy and failure. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. *34*, 379–388.

Schneider-Poetsch, T., Ju, J., Eyler, D.E., Dang, Y., Bhat, S., Merrick, W.C., Green, R., Shen, B., and Liu, J.O. (2010). Inhibition of Eukaryotic Translation Elongation by Cycloheximide and Lactimidomycin. Nat. Chem. Biol. *6*, 209–217.

Shin, S.-Y., Kim, T., Lee, H.-S., Kang, J., Lee, J., Cho, K.-H., and Kim, D. (2014). The switching role of β-adrenergic receptor signalling in cell survival or death decision of cardiomyocytes. Nat. Commun. *5*, 5777.

Sugihara, G., May, R., Ye, H., Hsieh, C., Deyle, E., Fogarty, M., and Munch, S. (2012). Detecting Causality in Complex Ecosystems. Science *338*, 496–500.

Symmons, O., and Raj, A. (2016). What's luck got to do with it? Single cells, multiple fates, and biological non-determinism. Mol. Cell *62*, 788–802.

Toné, S., Sugimoto, K., Tanda, K., Suda, T., Uehira, K., Kanouchi, H., Samejima, K., Minatogawa, Y., and Earnshaw, W.C. (2007). Three Distinct Stages of Apoptotic Nuclear Condensation Revealed by Time-Lapse Imaging, Biochemical and Electron Microscopy Analysis of Cell-Free Apoptosis. Exp. Cell Res. *313*, 3635–3644.

Tsai, C.-Y., Kuo, W.-W., Shibu, M.A., Lin, Y.-M., Liu, C.-N., Chen, Y.-H., Day, C.-H., Shen, C.-Y., Viswanadha, V.P., and Huang, C.-Y. (2017). E2/ER β inhibit ISO-induced cardiac cellular hypertrophy by suppressing Ca2+-calcineurin signaling. PLOS ONE *12*, e0184153.

Venables, W.N., and Ripley, B.D. (2002). Modern Applied Statistics with S (New York: Springer-Verlag).

Vidal, M., Wieland, T., Lohse, M., and Lorenz, K. (2012). β-Adrenergic receptor stimulation causes cardiac hypertrophy via a Gβγ/Erk-dependent pathway. Cardiovasc. Res. *96*, 255–264.

Vilela, M., and Danuser, G. (2011). What's wrong with correlative experiments? Nat Cell Biol *13*, 1011–1011.

Wang, L., Brugge, J.S., and Janes, K.A. (2011). Intersection of FOXO- and RUNX1-mediated gene expression programs in single breast epithelial cells during morphogenesis and tumor progression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *108*, E803–E812.

Wencker, D., Chandra, M., Nguyen, K., Miao, W., Garantziotis, S., Factor, S.M., Shirani, J., Armstrong, R.C., and Kitsis, R.N. (2003). A mechanistic role for cardiac myocyte apoptosis in heart failure. J. Clin. Invest. *111*, 1497–1504.

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., et al. (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. J. Open Source Softw. *4*, 1686.

Wlodkowic, D., Skommer, J., and Darzynkiewicz, Z. (2009). Flow cytometry-based apoptosis
detection. Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ *559*.
Woo, L.A., Tkachenko, S., Ding, M., Plowright, A.T., Engkvist, O., Andersson, H., Drowley, L.,
Barrett, I., Firth, M., Akerblad, P., et al. (2019). High-content phenotypic assay for proliferation
of human iPSC-derived cardiomyocytes identifies L-type calcium channels as targets. J. Mol.
Cell. Cardiol. *127*, 204–214.
Yang, J., Polanowska-Grabowska, R., Smith, J., Shields, C., and Saucerman, J. (2013). PKA
catalytic subunit compartmentation regulates contractile and hypertrophic responses to β-
adrenergic signaling. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. *66C*, 83–93.
Yue, T.L., Wang, C., Romanic, A.M., Kikly, K., Keller, P., DeWolf, W.E., Hart, T.K., Thomas,
H.C., Storer, B., Gu, J.L., et al. (1998). Staurosporine-induced apoptosis in cardiomyocytes: A
potential role of caspase-3. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. *30*, 495–507.
Zaugg, M., Xu, W., Lucchinetti, E., Shafiq, S.A., Jamali, N.Z., and Siddiqui, M.A. (2000). Beta-
adrenergic receptor subtypes differentially affect apoptosis in adult rat ventricular myocytes.
Circulation *102*, 344–350.
819

Supplemental Figures

Supplemental Figure 1. Segmentation on eGFP fluorescence probability maps is

robust to 5-fold variation in signal-to-noise ratio.

The same field of view of cells was taken with either 15 ms (A) or 5 ms (B) of exposure with 30%

laser power.

(C) Image intensity histogram of the two images. The same Ilastik random forest model was

used to generate GFP fluorescence probability maps of the images taken with either 15 ms (D) or

5ms (E) of exposure.

(F) Single-cell area distributions were compared with varying signal-to-noise ratios generated by

varying exposure duration or laser power.

835
Supplemental Figure 2. GFP watershed algorithm provides better fidelity to actual
cell areas
(**A**) Areas of GFP and α-actinin double-positive cells segmented in GFP or α-actinin channels, and the
difference between the two. Solid lines indicate population median, error bars indicate interquartile
range.
Segmentation borders of α-actinin (**B**,red) or GFP (**C**, yellow) fluorescence. Green numbers in (**B**)
or red numbers in (**C**) indicate cell areas. Blue numbers in (**C**) indicate GFP fluorescence
intensity.

Supplemental Figure 3. Norepinephrine induces apoptosis that cannot be inhibited by propranolol.
48h hypertrophy (**A**) or eGFP (**B**) dynamics. Shaded area represents interquartile range.
(**C**) Single-cell hypertrophy responses at 24 h. Solid lines indicate population median, errorbars indicate interquartile range.
(**D**) A5 binding response across 48 h. ***p ≤0.00026; mixed effects ANOVA followed by multiple comparisons of means with user−defined contrasts with Benjamini−Hochberg correction, n = 2 independent cell isolations

Supplemental Figure 4. Propranolol does not inhibit ISO-induced apoptosis.
48h hypertrophy (**A**) or eGFP (**B**) dynamics. Shaded area represents interquartile range.
(**C**) Single-cell hypertrophy responses at 24 h. Solid lines indicate population median, errorbars
indicate interquartile range.
(**D**) A5 binding response across 48 h. **$p = 0.0033$; mixed effects ANOVA followed by multiple
comparisons of means with user-defined contrasts with Benjamini-Hochberg correction, n = 2
independent cell isolations.

Supplemental Figure 5. βA signaling inhibitors increase ISO-induced apoptosis and proportion of stunned/shrinker subpopulations.

(**A, D, G**) Addition of βA signaling inhibitors (5 μM propranolol; 300 nM ICI-118,551 and 300

867   nM CGP 20712; or 100 µM Rp-cAMP) increase stunned or shrinker subpopulations.
868   (**B, E, H**) Addition of βA signaling inhibitors increase death rates of each growth subpopulation.
869   (**C, F, I**) Addition of βA signaling inhibitors increase death rates of each combination of growth
870   subpopulation and initial cell size, with the exception of the small initial cell size
871   stunned/shrinkers treated with propranolol (**C**).
872
873

874
Supplemental Figure 6. CaMKII inhibitors increase ISO-induced apoptosis.
(**A**) Addition of 1 µM CaMKII inhibitor KN-93 increases proportion of shrinker subpopulation
compared to the addition of 1 µM of KN-92, a KN-93 analogue lacking CaM kinase activity.
(**B**) Addition of KN-93 increases death rates of each growth subpopulation.
(**C**) Addition of Kn-93 increases death rates of medium and small cells across all growth
subpopulations.
881

882
Supplemental Figure 7. Z-DEVD-FMK inhibits ISO-induced apoptosis and
increases grower cell subpopulation.
(**A**) Addition 20 µM Z-DEVD-FMK increases the proportion of grower cells while decreasing the
proportion of shrinker cells.
(**B**) Addition of Z-DEVD-FMK decreases the death rates of each growth subpopulation.
(**C**) Addition of Z-DEVD-FMK decreases the death rates of each growth subpopulation except
for initially-small, stunned growth subpopulation.

Supplemental Figure 8. Ascorbic acid may protect against isoproterenol-induced apoptosis.

48h hypertrophy (**A**) or eGFP (**B**) dynamics. Shaded area represents interquartile range.
(**C**) Single-cell hypertrophy responses at 24 h. Solid lines indicate population median, errorbars indicate interquartile range.
(**D**) A5 binding response across 48 h. No significant differences across n = 2 independent cell isolations by mixed-effects ANOVA.

Supplemental Figure 9. Colinearity of predictor variables and comparison of
model performances.
(**A**) Tableplot of Condition indices and coefficient variance proportions (Friendly and Kwan,
2009). Briefly, condition indices represent scaled eigenvalues of the correlation matrix among
the predictors variables. The condition index box is green for indexes less than 5, yellow if less
than 10, and red if greater than 10. Each row represents an eigenvector with the "metric"
columns corresponding to each predictor variable's proportion of variance scaled to 100.
(**B**) 5-fold cross validation accuracy. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. **p = 0.002, ***p <
$2*10^{-22}$, repeated-measured ANOVA followed by paired T-test with Benjamini-Hochberg
correction for multiple comparisons, n=100 random partitions.
(**C**) Random forest predictor variable importance measures.
(**D**) Multiclass and pairwise AUC curves.
912
913
914

915
916 **Supplemental Figure 10. Multinomial log-linear model coefficients for PE and 56.2**
917 **μM ISO.**
918 (**A**) Model for 50 μM PE.
919 (**B**) Model for 56.2 μM ISO.
920 (**B**) Apoptosis rate by initial cell area and growth trajectory.

 # Supplemental Tables

 Supplemental Table 1. **Multinomial log-linear regressions predicting hypertrophy-**
 apoptosis phenotype likelihood relative to the stunned_survivor phenotype. Values
 are represented as the regression coefficients and standard errors in parentheses. Akaike
 Information Criterion = 30818.4

	Dependent variable:				
	growers_die	growers_survivor	shrinkers_die	shrinkers_survivor	stunned_die
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
A5p_w	-0.247	-0.601***	-0.089	-0.108	0.058
	(0.200)	(0.173)	(0.178)	(0.166)	(0.194)
nucPI	0.615	0.326	2.405***	1.310**	0.313
	(0.648)	(0.572)	(0.552)	(0.538)	(0.636)
nucFormFactor	0.566	-0.159	0.433	-0.679*	0.553
	(0.452)	(0.372)	(0.397)	(0.365)	(0.439)
nucEccentricity	-0.160	-0.201	0.471*	0.369	0.552*

	(0.313)	(0.265)	(0.282)	(0.265)	(0.311)
NucHoescht	-0.162	2.559***	-11.594***	-7.476***	-5.250***
	(0.889)	(0.762)	(0.853)	(0.789)	(0.896)
NucHoeschtMAD	1.540*	-4.929***	14.391***	8.033***	8.553***
	(0.786)	(0.688)	(0.717)	(0.666)	(0.763)
NucArea	2.486***	2.450***	-1.229*	-3.251***	0.570
	(0.725)	(0.588)	(0.632)	(0.586)	(0.700)
AreaStart	-9.710***	-7.923***	4.959***	2.037***	0.750
	(0.685)	(0.532)	(0.461)	(0.452)	(0.531)
FormFactor	0.802***	0.685***	-0.017	0.151	0.311
	(0.309)	(0.265)	(0.275)	(0.262)	(0.301)
Eccentricity	0.018	0.277	0.220	-0.099	-0.104
	(0.404)	(0.345)	(0.364)	(0.336)	(0.392)

AxisRatio	-1.123	-0.701	-0.443	0.357	-0.034
	(0.792)	(0.634)	(0.629)	(0.572)	(0.694)
GFP_i	4.564***	-0.182	5.490***	2.564***	4.590***
	(0.718)	(0.688)	(0.640)	(0.633)	(0.697)
Constant	-1.182	1.363*	-2.813***	1.057	-3.031***
	(0.903)	(0.769)	(0.802)	(0.745)	(0.882)

Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

926
927