Title

eQTL mapping in fetal-like pancreatic progenitor cells reveals early developmental insights into diabetes risk

Authors

Jennifer P. Nguyen[1,2], Timothy D. Arthur[2,3], Kyohei Fujita[6], Bianca M. Salgado[4], Margaret K.R. Donovan[1,2], iPSCORE Consortium[+], Hiroko Matsui[4], Ji Hyun Kim[5], Agnieszka D'Antonio-Chronowska[6], Matteo D'Antonio[2,4,6], Kelly A. Frazer[4,6,*]

Affiliations:

[1] Bioinformatics and Systems Biology Graduate Program, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA

[2] Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA

[3] Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

[4] Institute of Genomic Medicine, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Dr, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA

[5] Department of Pediatrics, Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital, Goyang, South Korea

[6] Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA

[+] A full list of Consortium members and their affiliations appears at the end of the manuscript

[*] Correspondence to: Kelly A. Frazer (kafrazer@health.ucsd.edu).

Abstract

The impact of genetic regulatory variation active in early pancreatic development on adult pancreatic disease and traits is not well understood. Here, we generate a panel of 107 fetal-like iPSC-derived pancreatic progenitor cells (iPSC-PPCs) from whole genome-sequenced individuals and identify 4,065 genes and 4,016 isoforms whose expression and/or alternative splicing are affected by regulatory variation. We integrate eQTLs identified in adult islets and whole pancreas samples, which reveal 1,805 eQTL associations that are unique to the fetal-like iPSC-PPCs and 1,043 eQTLs that exhibit regulatory plasticity across the fetal-like and adult pancreas tissues. Colocalization with GWAS risk loci for pancreatic diseases and traits show that some putative causal regulatory variants are active only in the fetal-like iPSC-PPCs and likely influence disease by modulating expression of disease-associated genes in early development, while others with regulatory plasticity likely exert their effects in both the fetal and adult pancreas by modulating expression of different disease genes in the two developmental stages.

Introduction

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified hundreds of genetic variants associated with adult pancreatic disease risk and phenotypes [1–4]. However, the majority of these associations map predominantly to non-coding regions of the genome, thereby hindering functional insights into disease processes [5–7]. Previous large-scale expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) studies have made significant advancements toward understanding how genetic variation affects gene expression in various tissues and cell types, as well as their contribution to human traits and diseases [8–11]. However, these analyses were conducted in adult tissues and therefore the effects of regulatory variation on gene expression under fetal conditions remain unclear. Moreover, the integration of adult and fetal eQTL datasets would enable the investigation of regulatory plasticity of genetic variants, which refers to changes in variant function under different spatiotemporal contexts [9,12,13]. Understanding how genetic variation affects gene expression during early pancreas development, and how their function changes in adulthood, can expand our understanding of the biological mechanisms underlying adult pancreatic disease and GWAS complex trait loci.

Many lines of evidence from clinical and genomic studies indicate an important role of pancreas development in the health and onset of childhood and adult pancreatic diseases [14–17]. For example, mutations in genes critical to pancreatic development, such as *PDX1*, *HNF4A*, and *HNF1A*, are associated with childhood-onset diabetes [18–20]. Furthermore, type 2 diabetes (T2D)-risk variants map to transcription factors (TFs) that are crucial to pancreas development, including NEUROG3 and HNF1A, and are enriched in accessible pancreatic progenitor-specific enhancers [4,14]. To address the limited availability of fetal pancreatic tissues, protocols have been developed to efficiently guide the differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) into pancreatic progenitor cells (iPSC-PPCs). This approach serves as a model system to study human pancreas development [21–28]. iPSC-PPCs demonstrate expression of key transcription factors associated with early pancreas development, including *PDX1*, *NKX6-1*, and *SOX9*, all pivotal for pancreas lineage specification and differentiation [22,29–33]. Additionally, iPSC-PPCs express developmental signaling pathway, including Notch, WNT, and Hedgehog, that are critical in pancreas development [23,25,28,34]. While iPSC-PPCs have provided extensive insights into pancreas developmental biology, they have not yet been utilized to examine the impact of genetic variation on gene expression in the fetal-like pancreas.

In this work, we conduct a large-scale eQTL analysis on 107 iPSC-PPC samples to map genetic loci associated with gene expression and isoform usage during early pancreas development. We integrate eQTLs from adult pancreatic tissues and identify eQTL loci that display temporal specificity in early pancreas development, as well as eQTL loci that are shared with adult but display regulatory plasticity. Annotation of GWAS risk loci using our spatiotemporally informed eQTL resource reveal causal regulatory variants with developmental-unique effects associated with complex pancreatic traits and disease.

Results

Overview of study

The goal of our study is to understand how regulatory variation active in early pancreas development influences adult pancreatic disease risk and phenotypes (Figure 1A). We differentiated 106 iPSC lines from the iPSCORE resource [35] derived from 106 whole-genome sequenced individuals to generate 107 iPSC-PPC samples (one iPSC line was differentiated twice) (Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Data 1, Supplementary Data 2). We characterized the fetal-like pancreatic transcriptome as well as the cellular composition using single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) of eight iPSC-PPC samples. Then, we conducted an eQTL analysis on bulk RNA-seq of all 107 samples to identify regulatory variants associated with fetal-like gene expression and isoform usage. To better understand the spatiotemporal context of genetic variants, we integrated eQTLs previously discovered in adult pancreatic islets and whole pancreas samples using colocalization and network analysis. Finally, using our eQTL resource of pancreas tissues (i.e., fetal-like iPSC-derived PPCs, adult islets, adult whole pancreas), we performed GWAS colocalization and fine-mapping to link developmental regulatory mechanisms and identify putative causal variants underlying pancreatic traits and disease associations.

Large-scale differentiation of fetal-like pancreatic progenitor cells

We derived 107 iPSC-PPC samples using iPSC lines reprogrammed from 106 individuals. Differentiation efficiency was assessed using flow cytometry analysis on PDX1 and NKX6-1, which are two markers routinely assayed for early pancreatic progenitor formation. PDX1 marks the specification of cells towards the pancreas lineage (referred to here as "early PPC"; PDX1$^+$/NKX6-1$^-$), while subsequent NKX6-1 expression marks the differentiation and maturation of pancreatic progenitor cells (referred to here as "late PPC"; PDX1$^+$/NKX6-1$^+$) [36]. We observed an 18.1% median percentage of early PPCs (PDX1$^+$/NKX6-1$^-$) across the 107 samples while the median percentage of late PPCs (PDX1$^+$/NKX6-1$^+$) was 74% (range: 9.4%-93.1%) (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Data 2). We further found that the median percentage of cells that expressed PDX1+ was more than 90%, confirming that the majority of cells have specified towards the pancreas lineage and that the differentiation procedure was highly efficient (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Data 2). Consistent with flow cytometry analysis, scRNA-seq of ten derived iPSC-PPCs confirmed the presence of both early and late PPCs and that the majority of the cells were late PPCs (Supplementary Figure 3-8; Supplementary Data 2-5; See Methods and Supplemental Note 1). Altogether, these results show that the majority of the cells in iPSC-PPCs were differentiated into late PPCs while a smaller fraction represented a primitive PPC state.

To examine the similarities between iPSC-PPC and adult pancreatic transcriptomes, we generated bulk RNA-seq for all 107 iPSC-PPC samples and inferred the pseudotime on each sample, along with 213 iPSCs [35,37], 87 pancreatic islets [38], and 176 whole pancreatic tissues [39]. Pseudotime analysis and comparative expression analysis of early developmental genes showed that the iPSC-PPC samples corresponded to an early timepoint of pancreas development (Supplementary Figure 9, Supplementary Data 6).

These analyses, combined with the results of previous studies [22,25,27], show that the 107 derived iPSC-PPCs represent a fetal-like state of pancreatic tissues.

Identification and characterization of gene and isoform eQTLs in fetal-like iPSC-PPCs

To characterize the effects of genetic variation on the fetal-like iPSC-PPC transcriptome, we performed an eQTL analysis to map the genetic associations with fetal-like gene expression (e_gQTL) and relative isoform usage (e_iQTL). Considering only autosomal chromosomes, we analyzed a total of 16,464 genes and 29,871 isoforms (corresponding to 9,624 autosomal genes) that were expressed in the fetal-like iPSC-PPCs. We identified 4,065 (24.7%) eGenes and 4,016 (13.0%) eIsoforms with an e_gQTL or e_iQTL, respectively (FDR < 0.01, Figure 1C-D, Supplementary Data 7). To identify additional independent eQTL signals (i.e., conditional eQTLs) [40], we performed a stepwise regression analysis for each eGene and eIsoform. This analysis yielded 368 e_gQTLs that mapped to 338 eGenes and 216 e_iQTLs that mapped to 198 eIsoforms, totaling to 4,433 independent e_gQTL associations and 4,232 independent e_iQTL associations (Figure 1C-D, Supplementary Data 7). We next predicted candidate causal variants underlying each eQTL (e_gQTL and e_iQTL) association using *coloc* genetic fine-mapping [41] (Supplementary Data 8) and tested their enrichments in transcribed regions and regulatory elements. We observed an enrichment of e_gQTLs in intergenic and promoter regions while e_iQTLs were enriched in splice sites and RNA-binding protein binding sites (Figure 1E). We additionally estimated the transcription factor (TF) binding score for each variant using the Genetic Variants Allelic TF Binding Database [42] and found that, at increasing posterior probability (PP, probability that the variant is causal for the association) thresholds, the candidate causal variants underlying e_gQTLs were more likely to affect TF binding compared to those underlying e_iQTLs (Figure 1F, Supplementary Data 9, Supplementary Data 10). These results corroborate similar findings from previous studies [10,12,43], showing that the genetic variants underlying e_gQTLs and e_iQTLs primarily affect gene regulation and coding regions or alternative splicing, respectively.

To further characterize the function of genetic variants associated with the fetal-like iPSC-PPC transcriptome, we examined the distributions of e_gQTLs and e_iQTLs per gene. Of the 5,619 genes whose phenotype was affected by genetic variation, 1,008 were impacted through both gene expression and isoform usage (i.e., had both e_gQTL and e_iQTLs, 17.9%) while 3,057 were impacted through only gene expression (i.e., had only e_gQTLs, 54.4%) and 1,554 through only isoform usage (i.e., had only e_iQTLs, 27.7%, Figure 1G, Supplementary Data 7). For the 1,008 genes with both e_gQTL and e_iQTLs, we performed colocalization with *coloc.abf* [41] to examine whether the same or different

genetic variants underpinned their associations. *Coloc.abf* [41] employs a Bayesian approach to estimate the PP that each of the five colocalization models best explains the association between two genetic signals: H0) no associations detected in either signal; H1) association detected in only signal 1; H2) association detected in only signal 2; H3) associations detected in both signals but driven by different causal variants, and H4) associations detected in both signals and driven by the same causal variant. We identified 410 (40.7%) genes that had at least one H4 (PP.H4, posterior probability for H4 ≥ 80%) or H3 (PP.H3, posterior probability for H3 ≥ 80%) association between their e$_g$QTL and e$_i$QTLs, of which the majority (333, 81.2%) had only overlapping signals (all H4), 38 (9.3%) had only non-overlapping signals (all H3), and 39 (9.5%) had both overlapping and non-overlapping e$_i$QTLs (both H3 and H4; an e$_g$QTL can colocalize with an e$_i$QTL corresponding to one isoform but not with another e$_i$QTL corresponding to a second isoform) (Figure 1G, Supplementary Data 11). The remaining 598 genes had PP.H3 < 80% and PP.H4 < 80% due to insufficient power (Figure 1G). These findings show that 19.5% (1,008 / 5,169) of genes had both e$_g$QTLs and e$_i$QTLs and that their effects were commonly driven by the same causal variants (81.2%) while only a small fraction was driven by different causal variants (9.3%). Enrichment analysis of overlapping e$_g$QTL and e$_i$QTLs showed that these variants were enriched for stop codons as well as mechanisms affecting both gene expression and alternative splicing (Supplementary Figure 11). Overall, our results show that the majority of genes had either only e$_g$QTLs or e$_i$QTLs, indicating that the functional mechanisms underlying these associations are likely independent, where genetic variants affecting alternative splicing do not affect the overall expression of the gene, and vice versa.

eQTL landscapes of fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult pancreatic islets

Studies aimed at identifying and characterizing eGenes have been conducted in both adult human islets and whole pancreatic tissues [8,10,11,38,44]; however, islet tissues have been more thoroughly studied because of their role in diabetes. Therefore, we focused on understanding the similarities and differences between eGenes in the fetal-like iPSC-PPCs and adult human islets.

We obtained eQTL summary statistics and intersected the 4,211 autosomal eGenes identified in 420 adult islet samples [11] with the 4,065 eGenes in fetal-like iPSC-PPC. We found that only 1,501 (36.9% of 4,065) eGenes overlapped between the fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult islet tissues (Figure 2A). To determine whether the small overlap was due to gene expression differences, we calculated how many of the eGenes were expressed in both the fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult islets. Of the 4,065 fetal-like iPSC-PPC eGenes, 88.7% (3,605) were also expressed in the adult islets; likewise, of the 4,211 adult islet eGenes, 78.4% (3,301) were also expressed in the fetal-like iPSC-PPCs (Figure 2B). These results suggest that most fetal-like iPSC-PPC eGenes were expressed but not associated with genetic variation in the adult islet samples, and vice versa.

For eGenes that were present in both the fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult islet samples, we next asked whether their expressions were controlled by the same genetic variants. We performed colocalization between e$_g$QTLs for the 1,501

shared eGenes in the fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult islets and found that 795 (52.3%) displayed strong evidence for either H3 or H4 association (PP.H3 or PP.H4 ≥ 80%) (Supplementary Data 11). Of the 795 with an association, 701 (88.2%) had overlapping e_gQTL signals (PP.H4 ≥ 80%) while 94 (11.8%) had non-overlapping e_gQTL signals (PP.H3 ≥ 80%) (Figure 2C, Supplementary Data 11). These results indicate that most shared eGenes were associated with the same genetic variants controlling their gene expressions in both fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult islet tissues, while a subset had non-overlapping genetic variants. For example, we identified *SNX29* as an eGene in both fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult islets but observed that its expression was associated with distinct eQTL signals approximately 520 kb apart (Figure 2D). SNX29 is involved in various signaling pathways [45], including TGF-β, ErbB, and WNT signaling pathways, and is predicted to be a causal gene for body-mass index (BMI) and T2D [46].

Taken together, our results show that a minor proportion of fetal-like iPSC-PPC eGenes (1,501, 37% of 4,065) was shared with adult islets, whereas the majority (2,564 = 4,065–1,501, 63%) were fetal development-specific; and, while most shared eGenes were associated with the same regulatory variants, ~12% were mediated by different eQTLs. These findings indicate that regulatory variants tend to act in a developmental-specific manner, potentially by affecting the binding of key regulatory TFs specific to fetal or adult pancreatic stages.

Developmental stage-unique and shared e_gQTLs

Above, we described eGenes that were unique to either fetal-like iPSC-PPCs or adult islets, or shared between both. Here, we sought to identify eQTLs (i.e., regulatory variants) that specifically affect gene expression during the pancreas development stage, in the adult stage, or both stages. Because fetal-like iPSC-PPCs give rise to both endocrine and exocrine cell fates, we included eQTLs from both adult islets [11] and whole pancreas [39] tissues in our analyses. Due to the many different types of eQTLs used in this study, we refer to all eQTLs as a collective unit as "eQTLs", eQTLs that were associated with gene expression as "e_gQTLs" (as defined above), and eQTLs associated with changes in alternative splicing (e_iQTLs, exon eQTLs, and sQTLs) as "e_{AS}QTLs". For simple interpretations, we only describe the results for the analyses conducted on the e_gQTLs below, however, we identified unique and shared iPSC-PPC e_{AS}QTL associations by conducting the same analyses (see Supplementary Note 2).

To identify e_gQTLs that shared the same regulatory variants, we performed pairwise colocalization using *coloc.abf* [41] between e_gQTLs in fetal-like iPSC-PPC, adult islets [11], and adult whole pancreas samples [10]. We considered only e_gQTLs that had at least one variant with causal PP ≥ 1% (from genetic fine-mapping [41]), were outside the MHC region, and associated with genes annotated in GENCODE version 34 [47] (Supplementary Data 12). From colocalization, we identified 7,893 pairs of e_gQTLs that displayed high evidence of colocalization with PP.H4 ≥ 80%, and 8,570 e_gQTLs that did not colocalize with e_gQTLs (Supplementary Data 11). Hereafter, we refer to eQTLs that did not colocalize with eQTLs as "singletons" and those that colocalized with another eQTL (PP.H4 ≥ 80%; same or different tissue) as "combinatorial" (i.e., the 7,893 pairs of e_gQTLs).

We next sought to identify singleton and combinatorial e_gQTL signals that were unique to iPSC-PPC or shared between
iPSC-PPC and the adult pancreatic tissues. The singleton iPSC-PPC e_gQTLs were associated with a single eGene and
not active in the adult pancreatic samples, and hence tissue-unique. To ensure that there was no overlap of singleton
e_gQTLs with other e_gQTLs in either the fetal-like and adult pancreas tissues, we implemented an LD filter ($r^2 \geq 0.2$
with any e_gQTL within 500 Kb or within 500 Kb if LD could not be calculated; see Methods). We identified 3,517
tissue-unique singleton e_gQTLs (887 iPSC-PPC + 703 adult islet + 1,927 adult whole pancreas) that were not in LD
with any nearby e_gQTLs (Figure 3A, Supplementary Data 12).

To identify tissue-unique combinatorial e_gQTL signals, we created a network using the 7,893 pairs of colocalized
e_gQTL associations. We identified 1,852 e_gQTL modules that passed specific criteria for module identification and LD
filters (see Methods), of which 939 (50.7%) comprised two e_gQTLs while the remaining 913 (49.3%) had an average
of four e_gQTLs per module (range: 3-20 e_gQTLs) (Supplementary Data 12, Supplementary Data 13). In total, we
identified 199 (10.7% of 1,852) modules that were tissue-unique, of which 10 were iPSC-PPC-unique, 30 adult islet-
unique, and 159 adult whole pancreas-unique (Figure 3B), and altogether comprised 21, 62, and 354 e_gQTLs in
combinatorial associations, respectively (Figure 3A). In contrast, the remaining 1,653 (89.3% of 1,852) modules were
associated with multiple pancreatic tissues, of which 670 were shared between only adult islet and whole pancreas
tissues (referred to as "adult-shared"), 53 were shared between only iPSC-PPC and adult islets ("fetal-islet"), 278
between only iPSC-PPC and adult whole pancreas ("fetal-whole-pancreas"), and 652 between all three pancreatic
tissues ("fetal-adult") (Figure 3B). Together, the 983 (53 + 278 + 652) modules shared between iPSC-PPC and an adult
pancreatic tissue were composed of 1,122 iPSC-PPC, 870 adult islets, and 1,394 adult whole pancreas e_gQTLs
(Supplementary Data 12, Supplementary Data 13).

For $e_{AS}QTLs$, we observed similar trends in which the majority of fetal-like iPSC-PPC-unique $e_{AS}QTLs$ were singletons
and that combinatorial $e_{AS}QTLs$ were likely shared with the adult pancreas tissues (see Supplemental Note 2;
Supplementary Figure 11). Altogether, including $e_{AS}QTLs$, we identified 1,805 iPSC-PPC eQTLs that were unique to
fetal-like iPSC-PPC, of which 1,518 (887 e_gQTLs + 631 $e_{AS}QTLs$) functioned as singletons and 287 (21 e_gQTLs + 266
$e_{AS}QTLs$) in modules; while 1,977 (1,175 e_gQTLs + 802 $e_{AS}QTLs$) were shared with adult pancreatic tissues, and 4,326
(2,066 e_gQTLs + 2,260 $e_{AS}QTLs$) failed one or more the stringent filters and were marked as ambiguous
(Supplementary Data 12, Supplementary Data 13).

Functional enrichment and characterization of fetal-like iPSC-PPC-unique e_gQTLs

To functionally characterize the fetal-like iPSC-PPC tissue-unique singleton and combinatorial e_gQTLs, we calculated
their enrichments in chromatin state annotations from human ESC-derived PPCs[14]. At high PP thresholds, we observed
the strongest enrichment of singleton e_gQTLs in active promoter (TssA) regions, consistent with their role in regulating
the expression of a single gene (Figure 3C, Supplementary Data 14). For combinatorial e_gQTLs, we observed a strong

enrichment in PPC-specific stretch enhancer (PSSE) regions at high PP thresholds (p = 0.001, OR = 1,345, PP threshold
= 60%) (Figure 3C, Supplementary Data 14), consistent with their involvement in the transcriptional regulation of
multiple genes. We also evaluated the enrichment of fetal-like iPSC-PPC-specific singleton and combinatorial e_gQTLs
in adult islet chromatin states [48] (Figure 3C). No meaningful enrichments were observed for fetal-like iPSC-PPC-unique
combinatorial e_gQTLs, but iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e_gQTLs were enriched in adult promoter regions (p = 4.1 x 10^{-}
^{4}, OR = 28.4, PP threshold = 80%). For example, we observed that the iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e_gQTL in the
CDC37L1-DT locus overlapped an active promoter region in the adult islet, while in both adult islet and adult whole
pancreas, the variants in the same region are not active (Figure 3D). Overall, these results show that the e_gQTLs
annotated as iPSC-PPC tissue-unique were enriched in regulatory elements consistent with their proposed functions.

Next, we present three examples of tissue-unique e_gQTL modules that further illustrate context-specificity of regulatory
variants in the three pancreatic tissues. We identified the e_gQTL module GE_3_1 ("GE" means that this module is
associated with gene expression) as a fetal-unique e_gQTL locus (ch3:148903264-148983264) because the underlying
genetic variants were associated with *CP* and *HPS3* expression in only fetal-like iPSC-PPC while in adult islets and
whole pancreas, the variants were not detected as e_gQTLs (Figure 3E-F). Similarly, GE_15_13 was an adult islets-
unique e_gQTL locus (chr15:57746360-57916360) associated with *GCOM1, MYZAP,* and *POLR2M* expression, while
in the other two pancreatic tissues, the variants were inactive and not associated with gene expression (Figure 3G-H).
Finally, we discovered GE_5_32 as an adult whole pancreas-unique e_gQTL locus (chr5:146546063-146746063)
associated with *STK32A* and *STK32A-AS1* expression in only the adult whole pancreas (Figure 3I-J). Together, these
results show that gene regulation varies between fetal-like and adult pancreatic stages, as well as between the two adult
tissues, further demonstrating the importance of profiling multiple contexts of the pancreas to delineate molecular
mechanisms underlying pancreatic disease.

Regulatory plasticity in combinatorial e_gQTLs shared between fetal-like and adult pancreatic tissues

Regulatory elements are known to have context-specific gene interactions [49]. To explore this further, we examined the
983 e_gQTL modules shared between fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult pancreatic tissues and determined whether the
modules were associated with the same or different eGenes between the two stages. We characterized the eGene
overlap in five different ways (Figure 4A, Supplementary Data 13): A) 200 (20.3%) e_gQTL modules were associated
with same eGene(s) (range: 1-2) between fetal-like iPSC-PPC and only one of the two adult pancreatic tissues; B) 305
(31.0%) were associated with the expression of the same eGene(s) (range 1-2) in the fetal-like and both adult tissues;
C) 350 (35.6%) were associated with 2-12 eGenes, some of which were shared, but at least one eGene was different
between the fetal-like and at least one of the adult tissues (referred to as "partial overlap"); D) 88 (9.0%) were associated
with different eGenes (range: 2-5) between fetal-like iPSC-PPCs and one of the two adult pancreatic tissues; and E)

the remaining 40 (4.1%) were associated with different eGenes (range: 2-7) between the fetal-like and both adult islet

and whole pancreas tissues (i.e., there is no overlap of eGenes between the two developmental stages). These data show

that 51.3% (505, categories A and B) of the modules shared between the iPSC-PPCs and adult pancreatic tissues

regulated expression of the same genes, while 48.7% (478, categories C-E) displayed spatiotemporal regulatory

plasticity.

Here, we illustrate examples of e_gQTL modules in three intervals that display regulatory plasticity between fetal-like

and adult states. In the chr1:201300813-201450813 locus, we identified a fetal-adult e_gQTL module (GE_1_163) that

comprised e_gQTL associations for different eGenes in iPSC-PPC and the two adult pancreatic tissues, specifically

AC119427.1 in iPSC-PPC and *TNNI1* in the two adult tissues (Figure 4B). Likewise, the chr19:4213666-4433666 locus

corresponding to a fetal-adult e_gQTL module (GE_19_90) was associated with *MPND* expression in only iPSC-PPC

but in adult islets and whole pancreas, the underlying variants were associated with *STAP2* expression (Figure 4C).

Finally, the fetal-adult e_gQTL locus (GE_10_11) in chr10:1273918-1276118 affected *UROS* expression in all three

pancreatic tissues but in adult islets, the underlying variants also affected *BCCIP* expression (Figure 4D). Together,

these genomic loci illustrate examples of regulatory plasticity observed in genetic variants in which their genotypes

incur different impacts on transcriptional activity depending on the life stage of the pancreas.

Altogether, including e_{AS}QTLs, we discovered 655 (478 e_gQTL + 177 e_{AS}QTL modules, categories C-E) shared eQTL

loci that displayed regulatory plasticity in which the underlying regulatory variants were associated with one or more

different genes and could thereby affect different biological processes (see Supplemental Note 2, Supplementary Figure

11, Supplementary Data 13). These 655 shared eQTL loci comprise 1,043 iPSC-PPC, 934 adult islet, and 1,111 adult

whole pancreas eQTL associations (Supplementary Data 12).

269   Associations of spatiotemporal eQTLs with pancreatic traits and disease phenotypes

To better understand the role of regulatory variants associated with complex human traits and disease during early

development and adult pancreatic stages, we performed colocalization between GWAS signals and eQTLs (e_gQTL and

e_{AS}QTL) detected in fetal-like iPSC-PPC, adult islets, and adult whole pancreas tissues. For this analysis, we considered

GWAS data from ten different studies for two diseases involving the pancreas, including type 1 diabetes (T1D) [3] and

type 2 diabetes (T2D) [4], and seven biomarkers related to three traits: 1) glycemic control (HbA1c levels and fasting

glucose [FG]) [2,50]; 2) obesity (triglycerides, cholesterol, HDL level, and LDL direct) [50]; and 3) body mass index (BMI)

[50] (Supplementary Data 15).

Singleton eQTLs

Out of the 6,101 singleton eQTLs (3,517 e_gQTLs and 2,584 e_{AS}QTLs; see Supplemental Note 2) in the fetal-like iPSC-

PPC and two adult pancreatic tissues, we found 118 (1.9%) that displayed strong evidence for colocalization with at

least one GWAS signal, including 21 (of 1,518 total singleton eQTLs; 1.4%) fetal-like iPSC-PPC, 57 (of 2,225; 2.6%) adult islets, and 40 (of 2,358; 1.7%) adult whole pancreas singleton eQTLs (Figure 5A, Supplementary Data 15). Given that some traits were highly correlated with one another [51,52], we observed 38 singleton eQTLs that colocalized with GWAS variants associated with more than one trait (range: 2-6 traits). In total, we identified 183 GWAS loci across the ten traits that colocalized with fetal-like or adult pancreatic singleton eQTLs (each combination of colocalized eQTL-GWAS trait variants was counted as a separate locus; Supplementary Figure 12, Supplementary Figure 13, Supplementary Data 15). We next identified putative causal variants underlying both eQTL and trait associations using *coloc.abf* [41] and constructed 99% credible sets (i.e., set of variants with a cumulative causal PP $\geq$ 99%; see Methods). Of the total 183 colocalized GWAS loci, we resolved 21 to a single putative causal variant while 63 had between two and ten variants and the remaining 99 had more than ten variants with an average of ~46 variants per locus (Figure 5B, Supplementary Data 16).

eQTL modules

We next analyzed the combinatorial eQTLs for GWAS colocalization. We considered an eQTL module to overlap with GWAS variants if more than 30% of the eQTLs in the module colocalized with PP.H4 $\geq$ 80% and the number of H4 associations was twice greater than the number of H3 associations (see Methods). Of the 2,832 (1,852 e$_g$QTL and 980 e$_{AS}$QTL) modules, 89 (57 e$_g$QTL + 32 e$_{AS}$QTL; 3.1%) colocalized with a total of 129 GWAS loci across the ten traits (Supplementary Figure 12, Supplementary Figure 13, Supplementary Data 15). Of these 89 GWAS-colocalized modules, 5 were iPSC-PPC-unique, 36 were shared between both iPSC-PPC and adult, (4 fetal-islet, 11 fetal-whole-pancreas, and 21 fetal-adult modules), and 48 were associated with only adult (22 islet-unique, 5 whole pancreas-unique, 21 adult-shared) (Figure 5A, Supplementary Figure 13). We observed that all 5 iPSC-PPC-unique eQTL modules corresponded to e$_{AS}$QTL modules. This finding aligns with multiple studies showing that alternative splicing is more dynamic and extensive in embryonic and fetal stages [53–55]. The 89 modules comprised 49 iPSC-PPC eQTLs (41 genes), 98 adult islets eQTLs (75 genes), and 71 adult whole pancreas eQTLs (69 genes). To fine-map each of the 129 colocalized GWAS loci, we used the eQTL in the module that resulted in the least number of putative causal variants (see Methods). 15 GWAS loci had a credible set size of one variant, 54 with two to ten variants, and the remaining 60 had more than ten variants and an average of ~32 variants per set (Figure 5C, Supplementary Data 16).

Altogether, these results show complex pancreatic disease and trait GWAS variants colocalized with regulatory variants that were uniquely active in either the fetal-like or adult developmental stages and with regulatory variants shared across the life stage of the pancreas. Furthermore, our data show the utility of using spatiotemporally informed eQTLs for fine-mapping causal variants in GWAS loci.

Spatiotemporally informed eQTL resource provides mechanistic insights into GWAS signals

To assess the utility of our spatiotemporally informed eQTL resource for interpreting GWAS signals, we initially examined the role of regulatory plasticity in pancreatic disease and traits. We examined the 36 eQTL modules that were shared between fetal-like iPSC-PPC and the adult pancreatic tissues (i.e., fetal-adult, fetal-islet, and fetal-whole-pancreas) and colocalized with GWAS signals. Thirty of these modules were associated with the same genes (categories A and B), while one was associated with partially overlapping eGenes (category C), and five were associated with entirely different eGenes (category D and E) (see Figure 4A for category definitions) (Supplementary Data 15). These results show that while the function of shared GWAS regulatory variants tended to be conserved across the fetal-like and adult pancreatic stages, a subset (17%, n = 6 of 36 total eQTL modules) were associated with distinct genes between the two stages

To further assess the utility of our spatiotemporally informed eQTL resource, we next examined GWAS signals that could only be interpreted by including fetal pancreatic eQTLs. We calculated the fraction of GWAS loci that colocalized with only iPSC-PPC eQTLs, only adult islet eQTLs, and only adult whole pancreas eQTLs. For fair comparisons, we considered only e_gQTLs in this assessment. Of the 191 GWAS loci that colocalized with an e_gQTL (Supplementary Data 15), we found that 13% (24 loci) colocalized with 16 iPSC-PPC-unique e_gQTLs, 25% (47) with 27 adult islet-unique e_gQTLs, and 28% (53) with 46 adult whole pancreas-unique e_gQTLs. The remaining 35% (67) GWAS loci colocalized with 121 e_gQTLs shared between multiple tissues. We next determined how many of the 16 iPSC-PPC-unique e_gQTLs were active in 48 non-pancreatic tissues in the GTEx study [10]. We calculated LD (r2 > 0.2 within 500 Kb or within 500 Kb if LD information was not available) between the lead variants of each of the 16 iPSC-PPC-unique e_gQTL and each e_gQTL for the non-pancreatic tissues in GTEx. We identified 8 (31% of 16, all singletons) that were independent and exclusive to the fetal-like iPSC-PPC dataset (i.e., did not have LD; Supplementary Data 17). One of these 8 iPSC-PPC e_gQTLs (*TPD52* e_gQTL) is described below in further detail. These results show that integrating fetal-like iPSC-PPC eQTLs can help resolve certain GWAS loci that cannot be resolved using only adult datasets.

Below, we demonstrate the application of our spatiotemporally informed eQTL resource by providing a detailed description of eight GWAS loci. We propose potential causal mechanisms and offer insights into their spatiotemporal contexts.

Singleton e_gQTLs: Here, we elucidate probable causal mechanisms for two GWAS loci associated with FG levels and T1D-risk that colocalized with iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e_gQTLs.

chr8:80998464-81093464 and TPD52 (iPSC-PPC-unique singleton)

We found that in the chr8:80998464-81093464 locus, a GWAS signal associated with FG levels colocalized with a fetal-like iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e_gQTL for *TPD52*, also known as tumor protein D52 (effect size = -0.99, PP.H4 = 91.7%) (Figure 6A, Supplementary Figure 14A, Supplementary Data 15). The reported causal variant underlying this GWAS signal is rs12541643 [2]; however, colocalization with our eQTLs identified rs12549167

(chr8:81078464:C>T, PP = 33.9%, r^2 = 0.317 with rs12541643, Supplementary Data 16) as the most likely candidate

causal variant underlying both *TPD52* expression in fetal-like iPSC-PPC and FG association. TPD52 is a direct

interactor with the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) and negatively affects AMPK signaling. AMPK controls a

wide range of metabolic processes and is responsible for maintaining cellular energy homeostasis particularly in tissues

associated with obesity, insulin resistance, T2D, and cancer such as muscle, liver, hypothalamus, and the pancreas [56–

59]. Dysregulation of AMPK has also been associated with developmental defects in which AMPK activation can lead

to fetal malformation [60]. Our findings suggest that decreased expression of *TPD52* during development may influence

changes in glucose metabolism and therefore fasting glucose levels during adult stage.

chr9:4232083-4352083 and CDC37L1-DT (iPSC-PPC-unique singleton)

We found that the well-known *GLIS3* GWAS locus associated with FG and T1D-risk [61,62] colocalized with a fetal-like

iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e_gQTL for the lncRNA CDC37L1 divergent transcript (*CDC37L1-DT*; effect size = 1.46;

PP.H4 for FG and T1D = 92.4% and 91.2%, respectively, Figure 6B, Supplementary Figure 14B, Supplementary Data

15). Consistent with previous studies [61,62], we identified rs10758593 (chr9:4292083:G>A, PP = 79.2%) as the lead

candidate causal variant underlying both eQTL and GWAS associations. Because *GLIS3* plays a critical role in

pancreatic beta cell development and function [58,59,60], it has often been reported as the susceptibility gene for this signal,

however it remains unclear what effects rs10758593 has on *GLIS3* expression. Our analysis suggests that another

potential gene target of rs10758593, specifically during pancreas development, is *CDC37L1-DT*. While the molecular

function of *CDC37L1-DT* is unknown, the gene has been associated with 9p duplication in neurodevelopmental

disorders [66]. Furthermore, a recent study observed a significant association between the rs10758593 risk allele and birth

weight, indicating a development role played by this locus [67]. Although additional studies are needed to understand the

function of *CDC37L1-DT* during pancreas development and in T1D pathology*,* our analysis indicates that *CDC37L1-*

DT may be another candidate susceptibility gene for the variants in the *GLIS3* locus. Assessment of *GLIS3* e_gQTLs in

the three pancreatic tissues showed that there was no overlap between the e_gQTLs and GWAS variants (Supplementary

Figure 15A).

Combinatorial e_gQTLs: Below, we describe two GWAS intervals associated with cholesterol, LDL direct levels, and

T1D. We show that the GWAS variants colocalized with combinatorial e_gQTLs, indicating that multiple genes, and

possibly multiple developmental stages of the pancreas, may be involved in trait predisposition.

chr22:41049522-41449522 and ADSL and ST13 (fetal-adult combinatorial)

We found that the GWAS signals associated with cholesterol and LDL direct levels in the chr22:41049522-41449522

locus colocalized with a "fetal-adult" e_gQTL module (module ID: GE_22_63, category E) (Figure 6C, Supplementary

Figure 14C-D, Supplementary Data 15). The module was associated with different eGenes between fetal-like iPSC-

PPC and both adult pancreatic tissues, in which the GWAS variants were associated with *ADSL* expression in iPSC-

PPC (effect size = 0.78) but *ST13* expression in adult whole pancreas (effect size = 0.27) and adult islets (effect size =

-0.15, weakly associated). Infants born with ADSL (adenylosuccinate lyase) deficiency suffer from impaired glucose

and lipid metabolism, while ST13, also known as Hsc70-interacting protein, is involved in lipid metabolism [68].

Overexpression of *ST13* was found to result in disordered lipid metabolism in chronic pancreatitis [68]. Although *ST13*

was reported to be the candidate causal gene for this locus [69], we determined that the underlying variants may also

affect *ADSL* expression but specifically during early pancreas development. Congruent with a previous study [69], our

colocalization identified rs138349 (chr22:41249522:A>G, PP = 21.9% for cholesterol and 20.9% for LDL) as the lead

candidate causal variant for the e_gQTLs and both cholesterol and LDL GWAS associations (Supplementary Data 16).

Altogether, annotation of the chr22:41049522-41449522 GWAS locus using our pancreatic eQTL resource suggests

that altered expression of *ADSL* during pancreas development and *ST13* in adult pancreatic tissues may contribute to

changes in cholesterol and LDL direct levels in adult. Additional studies are required to understand the degree to which

ADSL and *ST13* are causal for cholesterol and LDL direct levels.

chr10:90001035-90066035 and PTEN and LIPJ (adult whole pancreas-unique combinatorial)

We found a T1D-risk signal in the chr10:90001035-90066035 locus that colocalized with an "adult whole pancreas-

unique" e_gQTL module (module ID: GE_10_35) associated with *PTEN* and *LIPJ* expression in adult whole pancreas

(effect size = 0.48 and 0.49, respectively) (Supplementary Figure 14E, Supplementary Figure 15B, Supplementary

Data 15). Colocalization identified the distal regulatory variant rs7068821 (chr10:90051035:G>T; PP = 85.5%) as the

most likely candidate causal variant (Supplementary Data 16), which is in LD with the reported index SNP rs10509540

(r^2 = 0.876) in the GWAS catalogue. While *RNLS* was reported to be the susceptibility gene for this locus [70], our

analysis suggests that both *PTEN* and *LIPJ* may be candidate causal genes for this locus. Previous studies have shown

that knockout of pancreas-specific *PTEN* (PPKO) in mice resulted in enlarged pancreas and elevated proliferation of

acinar cells. PPKO mice also exhibited hypoglycemia, hypoinsulinemia, and altered amino metabolism [71]. LIPJ

encodes the lipase family member J and is involved in lipid metabolism [72]. Our findings provide additional biological

insight into the chr10:900001035-90066035 T1D locus and support previous studies suggesting a potential causal role

of the adult whole pancreas in T1D pathogenesis [3,67].

Singleton and combinatorial e_{AS}QTLs: Here, we illustrate three examples of putative causal variants involved in

alternative splicing uniquely in the fetal-like iPSC-PPC. Long-noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) have previously been

shown to play important roles in pancreatic diseases [73]. One of our examples includes a lncRNA while two involve

protein-coding genes.

chr14:101286447-101326447 and MEG3 (iPSC-PPC-unique singleton)

The chr14:101286447-101326447 is a well-known GWAS locus associated with T1D and has been reported to affect

the lncRNA maternally expressed gene 3 (*MEG3*). While the role of *MEG3* in T1D and T2D pathogenesis has been

extensively studied [74–76], the genetic mechanism by which this locus affects *MEG3* expression and therefore, T1D-risk

is not well understood. Using our pancreatic eQTL resource, we found that the GWAS signal colocalized with a fetal-

like iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e_{AS}QTL for a *MEG3* isoform (ENST00000522618, PP.H4 = 98%, effect size = 1.3,

Figure 7A, Supplementary Figure 16A, Supplementary Data 15). Colocalization with the *MEG3* e_{AS}QTL identified

rs56994090 (chr14:101306447:T>C, PP = 100%) as the most likely candidate causal variant, which is concordant with

the findings of a previous GWAS study [77] (Supplementary Data 16). Given that rs56994090 is located in the novel

intron enhancer of *MEG3* [74], we hypothesize that alternative splicing of *MEG3* may alter the enhancer's regulatory

function, as previously observed in other lncRNAs [78], and thereby, affect T1D-risk. Altogether, our findings describe

a potential causal mechanism for the T1D-risk locus involving differential alternative splicing of *MEG3* specifically

during pancreas development.

chr16:684685635-68855635 and CDH3 (iPSC-PPC-unique singleton)

We determined a known GWAS signal in the chr16:684685635-68855635 locus associated with HbA1c levels [79]

colocalized with a fetal-like iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e_{AS}QTL for the P-cadherin 3 (*CDH3*) isoform

ENST00000429102 (effect size = -1.6, PP.H4 = 83.1%) (Figure 7B, Supplementary Figure 16B, Supplementary Data

15). Colocalization using the e_{AS}QTL identified intronic variant rs72785165 (chr16:68755635:T>A, PP = 6.8%) as the

most likely candidate causal variant (Supplementary Data 16), which is in high LD with the reported GWAS SNP

(rs4783565, r^2 = 0.88) [79]. While it remains unclear how alternative splicing of *CDH3* affects HbA1c levels, studies

have shown that chimeric proteins made of cadherin ectodomains, including the P-cadherin CDH3, are important for

proper insulin secretion by pancreatic beta cells [80]. Based on our findings, we hypothesize that differential isoform

usage of *CDH3* during pancreas development may influence glucose control and therefore, HbA1c levels, in adults.

chr13:30956642-31116642 and HMGB1 (iPSC-PPC-unique combinatorial)

The GWAS signals associated with T2D and BMI in the chr13:30956642-31116642 locus [81–84] colocalized with the

iPSC-PPC-unique e_{AS}QTL module (module ID: AS_13_2) associated with three *HMGB1* isoforms:

ENST00000326004, ENST00000399494 , ENST00000339872, and (effect size = 2.16, -2.26, and -0.85, respectively;

HMGB1.1, HGMB1.2, and HMGB1.3, respectively) (Figure 7C, Supplementary Figure 16C-E, Supplementary Data

15). Our colocalization identified rs3742305 (chr13:31036642:C>G, PP = 49.3%) as a lead candidate causal variant

underlying this locus, in which the risk allele (G) was associated with increased usage of ENST00000326004 and

decreased usages of ENST0000339872 and ENST00000399494 (Supplementary Figure 16C-E, Supplementary Data

7, Supplementary Data 16). While a previous study [83] also reported *HMGB1* as the susceptibility gene, the precise

mechanism by which rs3742305 affected *HMGB1* expression was unclear. HMGB1, also known as high-mobility

group box 1, is an important mediator for regulating gene expression during both developmental and adult stages of

life. Deletion of *HMGB1* disrupts cell growth and causes lethal hypoglycemia in mouse pups [85]. In T2D, *HMGB1*

promotes obesity-induced adipose inflammation, insulin resistance, and islet dysfunction [84]. Our results suggest that

differential usage of *HMGB1* isoforms during pancreas development may affect adult risk of developing obesity and/or

T2D.

Altogether, our findings demonstrate the value of our pancreatic eQTL resource to annotate GWAS risk variants with fetal-like and adult temporal and spatial regulatory information. We show that some causal regulatory variants underlying disease-associated signals may influence adult traits by modulating the expression of genes in early development, while in other cases, they may display regulatory plasticity and exert their effects by modulating the expression of multiple different genes in fetal-like and adult pancreatic stages. Further, we identified an association between whole pancreas and T1D, supporting a potential role of this tissue in diabetes pathogenesis [3].

Discussion

In this study, we leveraged one of the most well-characterized iPSC cohorts comprising >100 genotyped individuals to derive pancreatic progenitor cells and generate a comprehensive eQTL resource for examining genetic associations with gene expression and isoform usage in fetal-like pancreatic cells. We discovered 8,665 eQTLs in the fetal-like iPSC-PPCs and showed that 60% of eGenes were associated with regulatory variation uniquely active during pancreas development. For the eGenes that were shared with adult, ~12% were associated with different genomic loci, indicating that different regulatory elements may modulate the same gene in fetal-like and adult pancreatic stages. We further identified regulatory variants that displayed early pancreas development-unique function, of which 1,805 were uniquely active in only iPSC-PPC and 1,043 were active in both developmental and adult contexts but exhibited regulatory plasticity in the genes they regulate. These results concur with previous studies showing that the genetic regulatory landscape changes between fetal tissues and their adult counterparts [87–89], and therefore, highlights the importance of assessing variant function in both fetal and adult tissue contexts. Furthermore, it is widely known that tight regulation of genes during development is essential [90], and our study reflects this in our findings that the majority of developmental-unique eQTLs were restricted to a single eGene.

Finally, we highlighted examples of GWAS associations for which we utilized our spatiotemporally informed eQTL resource to characterize causal risk mechanisms underlying adult pancreatic disease. We showed that some causal regulatory variants underlying GWAS signals identified in the fetal-like iPSC-PPCs modulate the expression of genes in early development, while others may exert their effects by modulating the expression of multiple different genes across fetal-like and adult pancreatic stages. Of note, many of the fetal-unique regulatory variants underlying the GWAS signals were e$_{AS}$QTLs, which is consistent with alternative splicing playing a key role in developing tissues [53–55,91]. Hence, we believe that contribution of alternative splicing differences during fetal pancreas development to complex traits warrants further investigation.

We offer limitations in our study and potential future directions for the field at large. We believe that studies using larger sample sizes are needed to identify additional associations between genetic variation and gene expression in fetal samples. Our eQTL mapping in fetal-like iPSC-PPC was conducted on much fewer samples compared to the two adult studies that each used ~300-400 samples, rendering our dataset underpowered and not able to capture additional eQTL

associations that could be shared with the adult pancreatic tissues. Therefore, several eQTLs we annotated as adult

islet-unique or whole pancreas-unique may in reality be shared with fetal pancreas. Further, power differences between

the studies may also cause the observed results where there were many singleton eQTLs observed in a single tissue.

On the other hand, the eQTLs we annotated as iPSC-PPC-unique may less likely to be shared, as the signals in the

adult datasets are better powered and therefore sufficient for comparing against iPSC-PPC signals. Additionally, with

the rapid generation of eQTL datasets from different tissue contexts [1,2], the development and application of artificial

intelligence and machine learning as ways to identify shared eQTL associations between multiple tissues will be

extremely useful. While pairwise colocalization and network analysis can identify shared eQTL regulatory loci across

a handful of tissues, machine learning approaches could scale these analyses across spatiotemporal contexts of all

tissues, thereby providing valuable insights into regulatory elements that are exclusive to a specific context and also

those that exhibit regulatory plasticity across multiple contexts.

In summary, our study provides a valuable resource for discovering causal regulatory mechanisms underlying

pancreatic traits and disease across developmental and adult timepoints of the pancreas. We reveal that disease variants

may either display temporal-specificity in which they affect gene expression specifically in one timepoint, or regulatory

plasticity, in which they affect gene expression in multiple timepoints but affect different genes. Our findings lay the

groundwork for future employment of development contexts for the characterization of disease-associated variants.

Methods

Subject Information

We used iPSC lines from 106 individuals recruited as part of the iPSCORE project (Supplementary Data 1). There

were 53 individuals belonging to 19 families composed of two or more subjects (range: 2-6). Each subject was assigned

an iPSCORE_ID (i.e., iPSCORE 4_1), where "4" indicates the family number and "1" indicates the individual number,

and a 128-bit universal unique identifier (UUID). The 106 individuals included 68 females and 38 males with ages

ranging from 15 to 88 years old at the time of enrollment. Recruitment of these individuals was approved by the

Institutional Review Boards of the University of California, San Diego, and The Salk Institute (project no. 110776ZF).

WGS data

Whole-genome sequencing data for the 106 iPSCORE individuals were downloaded from dbGaP (phs001325.v3) as a

VCF file [37]. We retained variants with MAF > 5% across all 273 individuals in the iPSCORE resource, that were in

Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($p > 10^{-6}$), and that were within 500 Kb of the expressed gene's body coordinates.

Specifically, we expanded the coordinates of each of the 16,464 expressed autosomal genes (500 Kb upstream and

downstream) and extracted all variants within these regions using *bcftools view* with parameters *--f PASS -q 0.05:minor*

[93]. Next, we normalized indels and split multi-allelic variants using *bcftools norm -m-* and removed variants that were

genotyped in fewer than 99% of samples using *bcftools filter -i 'F_PASS(GT!="mis") > 0.99* [93]. Finally, we converted

the resulting VCF files to text using *bcftools query* [93] and converted the genotypes from character strings (0/0, 0/1, and

1/1) to numeric (0, 0.5, and 1, respectively). This resulted in 6,593,484 total variants used for eQTL mapping.

iPSC Generation

Generation of the 106 iPSC lines has previously been described in detail [35]. Briefly, cultures of primary dermal

fibroblast cells were generated from a punch biopsy tissue [94], infected with the Cytotune Sendai virus (Life

Technologies) per manufacturer's protocol to initiate reprogramming. Emerging iPSC colonies were manually picked

after Day 21 and maintained on Matrigel (BD Corning) with mTeSR1 medium (Stem Cell Technologies). Multiple

independently established iPSC clones (i.e. referred to as lines) were derived from each individual. Many of the iPSC

lines were evaluated by flow cytometry for expression of two pluripotent markers: Tra-1-81 (Alexa Fluor 488 anti-

human, Biolegend) and SSEA-4 (PE anti-human, Biolegend) [35]. Pluripotency was also examined using PluriTest-

RNAseq [35]. This iPSCORE resource was established as part of the Next Generation Consortium of the National Heart,

Lung and Blood Institute and is available to researchers through the biorepository at WiCell Research Institute

(www.wicell.org; NHLBI Next Gen Collection). For-profit organizations can contact the corresponding author directly

to discuss line availability.

Pancreatic Progenitor Differentiation

522 We performed pancreatic progenitor cell (PPC) differentiation on each of the 106 iPSC lines. One iPSC line was

523 differentiated twice giving a total of 107 differentiations. Each differentiation was assigned a 128-bit universally unique

524 identifier (UUID), and a unique differentiation ID (UDID; "PPCXXX"), where "XXX" represents a numeric integer

525 (Supplementary Data 2).

Differentiation Protocol

The iPSC lines were differentiated into PPCs using the STEMdiff™ Pancreatic Progenitor Kit (StemCell Technologies)

protocol with minor modifications. Briefly, iPSC lines were thawed into mTeSR1 medium containing 10 μM Y-27632

ROCK Inhibitor (Selleckchem) and plated onto one well of a 6-well plate coated with Matrigel. iPSCs were grown

until they reached 80% confluency [95] and then passaged using 2mg/ml solution of Dispase II (ThermoFisher Scientific)

onto three wells of a 6-well plate (ratio 1:3). To expand the iPSC cells for differentiation, iPSCs were passaged a second

time onto six wells of a 6-well plate (ratio 1:2). When the iPSCs reached 80% confluency, cells were dissociated into

single cells using Accutase (Innovative Cell Technologies Inc.) and resuspended at a concentration of 1.85×10^6

cells/ml in mTeSR medium containing 10 μM Y-27632 ROCK inhibitor. Cells were then plated onto six wells of a 6-

well plate and grown for approximately 16 to 20 hours to achieve a uniform monolayer of 90-95% confluence (3.7 x

10^6 cells/well; about 3.9×10^5 cells/cm^2). Differentiation of the iPSC monolayers was initiated by the addition of the

STEMdiff^{TM} Stage Endoderm Basal medium supplemented with Supplement MR and Supplement CJ (2 ml/well) (Day

1, D1). The following media changes were performed every 24 hours following initiation of differentiation (2 ml/well).

On D2 and D3, the medium was changed to fresh STEMdiff^{TM} Stage Endoderm Basal medium supplemented with

Supplement CJ. On D4, the medium was changed to STEMdiff^{TM} Pancreatic Stage 2-4 Basal medium supplemented

with Supplement 2A and Supplement 2B. On D5 and D6, the medium was changed to STEMdiff^{TM} Pancreatic Stage

2-4 Basal medium supplemented with Supplement 2B. From D7 to D9, the medium was changed to STEMdiff^{TM}

Pancreatic Stage 2-4 Basal medium supplemented with Supplement 3. From D10 to D14, the medium was changed to

STEMdiff^{TM} Pancreatic Stage 2-4 Basal medium supplemented with Supplement 4. On D15, cells were dissociated

with Accutase and then collected, counted, and processed for data generation. iPSC-PPC cells were cryopreserved in

CryoStor® CS10 (StemCell Technologies).

iPSC-PPC Differentiation Efficiency

To evaluate the efficiency of iPSC-PPC differentiation, we performed flow cytometry on two pancreatic precursor

markers, PDX1 and NKX6-1. Specifically, at least 2×10^6 cells were fixed and permeabilized using the

Fixation/Permeabilized Solution Kit with BD GolgiStop TM (BD Biosciences) following the manufacturer's

recommendations. Cells were resuspended in 1x BD Perm/Wash TM Buffer at a concentration of 1×10^7 cells/ml. For

each flow cytometry staining, 2.5×10^5 cells were stained for 75 minutes at room temperature with PE Mouse anti-

PDX1 Clone-658A5 (BD Biosciences; Catalog no. 562161; 1:10) and Alexa Fluor® 647 Mouse anti-NKX6.1 Clone

R11-560 (BD Bioscience; Catalog no. 563338; 1:10), or with the appropriate class control antibodies: PE Mouse anti-

IgG1 κ R-PE Clone MOPC-21 (BD Biosciences; Catalog no. 559320) and Alexa Fluor® 647 Mouse anti IgG1 κ

Isotype Clone MOPC-21 (BD Biosciences; Catalog no. 557732). PE Mouse anti-PDX1 Clone-658A5 and Alexa

Fluor® 647 Mouse anti-NKX6.1 Clone R11-560 were validated by the manufacturer to bind to mouse and human

PDX-1 and NKX6-1, respectively. Stained cells were washed three times, resuspended in PBS containing 1% BSA

and 1% formaldehyde, and immediately analyzed using FACS Canto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). The fraction

of PDX1- and NKX6-1-positive was calculated using FlowJo software version 10.4 (Supplementary Data 2).

scRNA-seq

To characterize the cellular composition of the fetal-like iPSC-PPC samples, we performed single-cell RNA-seq

(scRNA-seq) on one iPSC line (from differentiation PPC034) and ten iPSC-PPC samples with varying percentages of

double-positive PDX1+/NKX6-1+ cells based on flow cytometry (range: 9.4-91.7%) (Supplementary Figure 2,

Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Data 2). Because bulk RNA-seq was generated on cryopreserved cells, we

sought to also examine whether cell cryopreservation affects gene expression estimates using scRNA-seq. Therefore,

we included both freshly prepared (i.e., not frozen and processed immediately after differentiation) and cryopreserved

cells for four iPSC-PPC samples (PPC029, PPC027, PPC023, PPC034; Supplementary Data 2) for scRNA-seq

processing.

Sample Collection

571 Fresh cells from the iPSC line and seven iPSC-PPC samples were captured individually at D15. Cells from four of

572 these same iPSC-PPC samples that had been cryopreserved were pooled and captured immediately after thawing

573 (RNA_Pool_1). Cells from an additional three iPSC-PPC samples were captured only after cryopreservation

574 (RNA_Pool_2) (Supplementary Data 2).

Library Preparation and Sequencing

All single cells were captured using the 10X Chromium controller (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer's

specifications and manual (Manual CG000183, Rev A). Cells from each scRNA-seq sample (one iPSC, seven fresh

iPSC-PPCs, RNA_Pool_1, and RNA_Pool_2) were loaded each onto an individual lane of a Chromium Single Cell

Chip B. Libraries were generated using Chromium Single Cell 3' Library Gel Bead Kit v3 (10X Genomics) following

manufacturer's manual with small modifications. Specifically, the purified cDNA was eluted in 24 μl of Buffer EB,

half of which was used for the subsequent step of the library construction. cDNA was amplified for 10 cycles and

libraries were amplified for 8 cycles. All libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 4000 using custom programs (fresh: 28-

8-175 Pair End and cryopreserved: 28-8-98 Pair End). Specifically, eight libraries generated from fresh samples (one

iPSC and seven iPSC-PPC samples) were pooled together and loaded evenly onto eight lanes and sequenced to an

average depth of 163 million reads. The two libraries from seven cryopreserved lines (RNA_Pool_1 and RNA_Pool_2)

were each sequenced on an individual lane to an average depth of 265 million reads. In total, we captured 99,819 cells.

We observed highly correlated cell type proportions between fresh and cryopreserved iPSC-PPC samples

(Supplementary Figure 8).

scRNA-seq Alignment

590 We obtained FASTQ files for the ten scRNA-seq samples (one iPSC, seven fresh iPSC-PPCs, RNA_Pool_1, and

591 RNA_Pool_2) (Supplementary Data 2) and used CellRanger V6.0.1 (https://support.10xgenomics.com/) with default

592 parameters and GENCODE version 34 hg19 [96] gene annotations to generate single-cell gene counts and BAM files for

593 each of the ten samples.

Dataset Integration and Quality Control

We processed the single-cell gene counts by first aggregating the iPSC and seven fresh iPSC-PPC samples using the

aggr function on CellRanger V6.0.1 with normalization = F. Then, we integrated the aggregated dataset ("aggr") with

the two pools of cryopreserved samples (RNA_Pool_1 and RNA_Pool_2) using the standard integration workflow

described in Seurat (version 3.2; https://satijalab.org/seurat/archive/v3.2/integration.html). Specifically, for each

dataset (aggr, RNA_Pool_1, and RNA_Pool_2), we log-normalized the gene counts using *NormalizeData* (default

parameters) then used *FindVariableFeatures* with *selection.method = "vst", nfeatures = 2000,* and *dispersion.cutoff*

= c(0.5, Inf) to identify the top 2,000 most variable genes in each dataset. We then used *FindIntegrationAnchors* and

IntegrateData with *dims = 1:30* to integrate the three datasets. We scaled the integrated data with *ScaleData*, performed

principal component analysis with *RunPCA* for *npcs = 30*, and processed for UMAP visualization (*RunUMAP* with

reduction = "pca" and *dims = 1:30*). Clusters were identified using *FindClusters* with default parameters.

To remove low-quality cells, we examined the distribution of the number of genes per cell and the percentage of reads

mapping to the mitochondrial chromosome (chrM) in each cluster. We removed the cluster (11,677 cells) with fewer

than 500 genes per cell and more than 50% of the reads mapping to chrM. We re-processed the filtered data (*ScaleData*,

RunPCA, FindClusters, RunUMAP) and removed another cluster of cells that had the lowest median number of

expressed genes (723 versus 2,775) and highest median fraction of mitochondrial reads (34.0% versus 8.39%). After

this second filtering step, we retained 84,258 cells.

Demultiplexing Sample Identity

We used Demuxlet [97] to assign pooled cryopreserved cells in RNA_Pool_1 and RNA_Pool_2 (19,136 cells in total) to

the correct iPSC-PPC sample. Specifically, we provided CellRanger BAM files and a VCF file containing genotypes

for biallelic SNVs located at UTR and exon regions on autosomes as annotated by GENCODE version 34 hg19 [96]. We

excluded 33 cells that were incorrectly assigned to samples not associated with the pooled sample (i.e., cells from

RNA_POOL_1 were predicted to be from other samples not in RNA_Pool_1). 84,225 cells remained for downstream

analyses (Supplementary Data 3).

Annotation of Cell Type Clusters

We annotated the scRNA-seq clusters by first clustering with three different resolutions (0.5, 0.08, and 0.1)

(Supplementary Figure 4-6). We selected resolution = 0.08 because it best captured the expected iPSC-PPC cell types

based on each cluster's expression for the following gene markers: *POU5F1* (iPSC), *COL1A1*, *COL1A2*

(mesendoderm) *AFP, APOA* (early definitive endoderm), *GATA4, GATA6, PDX1* (early PPC), *PDX1*, *NKX6-1* (late

PPC), *PAX6, CHGA*, *INS*, *GCG*, *SST* (endocrine), and *FLT1* (early ductal). We validated our annotations by comparing

the iPSC-PPC clusters to those identified from scRNA-seq of ESC-PPC samples over 4 different stages of

differentiation [98] (GSE114412): Stage 3 (Day 6; 7,982 cells), Stage 4 (Day 13; 6,960 cells), Stage 5 (Day 18; 4,193

cells), and Stage 6 (Day 25; 5,186 cells). Specifically, we compared the expression patterns of the gene markers

between the clusters using z-normalized mean expression computed on cells expressing at least 1% of maximal

expression for the gene, as described in the reference study [98]. Metadata containing single cell annotations are reported

in Supplementary Data 3.

Differentially Expressed Genes

To identify differentially expressed genes for each iPSC-PPC cluster, we used the *FindAllMarkers* function in Seurat

[99] with *logfc.threshold = 0.01* and *min.pct = 0.01*. P-values were automatically adjusted by Seurat using Bonferroni

correction, and genes with adjusted p-values ≤ 0.05 were considered differentially expressed (Supplementary Data 4).

Bulk RNA-seq

Library Preparation and Sequencing

RNA was isolated from total-cell lysates using the Quick-RNA™ MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research) with on-column

DNAse treatments. RNA was eluted in 48 µl RNAse-free water and analyzed on a TapeStation (Agilent) to determine

sample integrity. All iPSC-PPC samples had RNA integrity number (RIN) values over 9. Illumina TruSeq Stranded

mRNA libraries were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced on NovaSeq6000 for 101bp

paired-end sequencing. All samples except five were sequenced twice to obtain sufficient number of reads.

Data Processing and Quality Control

FASTQ files were obtained for all 107 iPSC-PPC samples and processed using a similar pipeline described in our

previous studies [37,100]. Specifically, RNA-seq reads were aligned with STAR (2.7.3) [101] to the hg19 reference using

GENCODE version 34 hg19[96] splice junctions with default alignment parameters and the following adjustments: -

outFilterMultimapNmax 20, -outFilterMismatchNmax 999, -alignIntronMin 20, -alignIntronMax 1000000, -

alignMatesGapMax 1000000. BAM files were sorted by coordinates, and duplicate reads were marked using Samtools

(1.9.0) [93]. RNA-seq QC metrics were calculated using Samtools (1.9.0) flagstat [93], Samtools (1.9.0) idxstats [93], and

Picard (2.20.1) CollectRnaSeqMetrics [102]. Across all 107 iPSC-PPC samples, the total read depth ranged from 32.3 M

to 160.4 M (mean = 70.7), the median percentage of intergenic bases was 3.31%, the median percentage of mRNA

bases was 92.1%, and the median percentage of duplicate reads was 22.2% (Supplementary Data 2).

Sample Identity

We obtained common bi-allelic and exonic variants from the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 panel [103] with minor allele

frequencies between 45% and 55% and predicted their genotypes in the 107 bulk RNA-seq samples using *mpileup* and

call functions in BCFtools (1.9.0) [104,105]. Then, we used the *genome* command in plink [102] to estimate the identity-by-

state (IBS) between each pair of bulk RNA-seq and WGS samples. All RNA-seq samples were correctly matched to

the subject with PI_HAT > 0.95 (Supplementary Data 2).

Quantification of gene expression and relative isoform usage

We calculated TPM and estimated relative isoform usage for each gene in each RNA-seq sample using RSEM (version

1.2.20) [106] with the following options *–seed 3272015 –estimate-rspd –paired-end –forward-prob*. To identify expressed

autosomal genes and isoforms to use for eQTL analyses, we used the same approach previously described [12]. Briefly,

autosomal genes were considered expressed if TPM $\geq$ 1 in at least 10% of samples. To identify expressed isoforms,

we required that isoforms had TPM $\geq$ 1 and usage $\geq$ 10% in at least 10% of samples and corresponded to expressed

genes with at least two expressed isoforms. In total, 16,464 autosomal genes were used for e_gQTL analysis, and 29,871

autosomal isoforms corresponding to 9,624 genes were used for e_iQTL analysis. We quantile-normalized TPM and

isoform usage across all 107 samples using the *normalize.quantiles* (preprocessCore) and *qnorm* functions in R (version

4.2.1) to obtain a mean expression = 0 and standard deviation = 1.

Inferring pseudotime using Monocle

We obtained FASTQ files for 213 iPSCs [35,37] (phs000924), 176 adult whole pancreas [8] (phs000424), and 87 adult

islets[38] (GSE50398), and processed the data using the same pipeline described above to obtain TPM counts for each

gene per sample. We then used Monocle (http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/monocle-release/docs/#constructing-single-

cell-trajectories) [107] to infer the pseudotime on all of the RNA-seq samples, including the 107 iPSC-PPCs. Following

the standard workflow under "Constructing Single Cell Trajectories" in the Monocle tutorial, we provided TPM counts

for all overlapping autosomal expressed genes in the four tissues as input. Then, we identified differentially expressed

genes using *differentialGeneTest*, ordered them (*setOrderingFilter*), and performed dimension reduction analysis using

reduceDimension with *max_components = 2* and *method = "DDRTree"*. Pseudotime was calculated by rooting time

(pseudotime = 0) in the 213 iPSC-PPCs using the *GM_state* and *orderCells* functions provided in the tutorial

(Supplementary Data 6).

PCA analysis with iPSCs, adult whole pancreas, and adult islets

679   We obtained TPM counts (described above) for the 213 iPSCs [37], 176 adult whole pancreas [8], 87 adult islets [38], and the

680   107 iPSC-PPCs and performed PCA analysis on the 2,000 most variable genes across the samples using *prcomp* in R

681   (version 4.2.1) with *scale = T* and *center = T*. We observed that the PC clusters corresponded to the iPSCs and each

682   of the three pancreatic tissue types: iPSC-PPC, adult islets, and adult whole pancreas (Supplementary Figure 9,

683   Supplementary Data 6).

Cellular deconvolution

For each of the eight cell types in scRNA-seq, we selected the top 200 most differentially expressed genes that were

unique to the cell type (i.e., not expressed in the other cell types). Replicating late PPCs and late PPCs had many

overlapping expressed genes so fewer (n = 16 and 164, respectively) were selected. We obtained the average expression

of the signature genes for each cell type using *AverageExpression* in Seurat and provided it as input into CIBERSORTx

[108] (https://cibersortx.stanford.edu/) along with bulk TPM matrix. Batch correction and quantile normalization were

both disabled. We ran CIBERSORTx [108] deconvolution on absolute mode with at least 100 permutations. The predicted

fraction of late PPCs and replicating late PPCs were compared to FACS measurements of double-positive PDX-

1$^+$/NKX6-1$^+$ cells (Supplementary Figure 7B; Supplementary Data 5).

eQTL Analysis

To investigate the effects of genetic variation on gene expression in iPSC-PPCs, we performed an expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) analysis on gene expression and isoform usage. The eQTLs associated with gene expression were defined as e_gQTLs while those associated with relative isoform usage were defined as e_iQTLs.

Covariates for eQTL Mapping

We included the following as covariates for eQTL mapping of both gene expression and isoform usage: 1) sex; 2) normalized number of RNA-seq reads; 3) percent of reads that mapped to autosome or sex chromosomes (labeled as "uniquely_mapped_reads_canonical_chromosomes" in Supplementary Data 2); 4) percent of reads mapped to mitochondrial chromosome; 5) 20 genotype principal components to account for global ancestry; 6) 20 PEER factors to account for transcriptome variability; and 7) kinship matrix to account for genetic relatedness between samples. Kinship matrix is provided in Supplementary Data 1, and the covariates are available in Supplementary Data 2.

Genotype Principal Component Analysis (PCA): Global ancestry was estimated using the genotypes of the 439,461 common variants with minor allele frequency (MAF) between 45 and 55% in the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 Panel [103]. We merged the VCF files for the 106 iPSCORE subjects and the 2,504 subjects in the 1000 Genomes [103] and performed a PCA analysis using *plink --pca* [102] (Supplementary Figure 1A). The top 20 principal components were used as covariates in the eQTL model to account for global ancestry and can be found in Supplementary Data 1.

PEER Factors: We sought to determine the optimal number of PEER factors to use in the eQTL analysis that will result in maximal eGene discovery. To this end, we initially calculated PEER factors on the 10,000 expressed genes with the largest variance across all samples. To limit biases due to the expression levels of each gene, we divided the 16,464 expressed genes into ten deciles based on their average TPM, and selected 50 genes from each decile, for a total of 500 genes. We next performed eQTL analysis on each of the 500 genes using 10 to 60 PEER factors in increments of 10. While 30 PEER factors resulted in the highest percentage of eGenes (14.0%), we opted for using 20 PEER factors because the eQTL analysis had a comparable percentage of eGenes (11.8%) to GTEx tissues with similar sample sizes [10] (Supplementary Figure 17). Although we observed variable fraction of double-positive PDX1+/NKX6-1+ cells in the iPSC-PPC samples, we did not include this variable as a covariate because PEER factors 1 and 4 already accounted for this variability (Supplementary Figure 18).

Kinship Matrix: The kinship matrix was included as a random effects term to account for the genetic relatedness between individuals in our cohort. We constructed the kinship matrix using the same 439,461 variants employed above using the *–make-rel square* function in plink [102]. The kinship matrix is available in Supplementary Data 1.

eQTL Analysis

We performed eQTL analysis using the same method described in our previous study [12]. For each expressed autosomal

gene and isoform, we tested variants that were within 500 Kb of the gene body coordinates using the *bcftools query*

function. To account for the genetic relatedness between the samples, we performed eQTL mapping using a linear

mixed model with the *scan* function in limix (version 3.0.4) [109] that incorporates the kinship matrix as a random effects

term. Specifically, eQTL mapping was implemented through the following model:

728
$$y_i = \beta_{ji} \cdot g_j + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_n \cdot C_n + u + \epsilon_{ij}$$

Where y_i is the normalized expression value for gene i, β_{ji} is the effect size of genotype of SNP j on gene i, g_j is the

genotype of SNP j, β_n is the effect size of covariate n, C_n is a vector of values for covariate n, u is the kinship matrix

as a random effect, and ϵ is the error term for the association between expression of gene i and genotype of SNP j. As

described above, we used the following as covariates: 1) sex, 2) normalized number of RNA-seq reads, 3) percent of

reads mapped to autosomal or sex chromosome, 4) percent of reads mapped to mitochondrial chromosome, 5) the top

20 genotype PCs (to account to global ancestry), and 6) the top 20 PEER factors (to account for confounders of

expression variability), and are available in Supplementary Data 1-2.

FDR Correction

To perform FDR correction, we used a two-step procedure described in Huang et al. [110], which first corrects at the

gene level and then at the genome-wide level. First, we performed FDR correction on the p-values of all variants tested

for each gene or isoform using eigenMT [109], which considers the LD structure of the variants. Then, we extracted the

lead eQTL for each gene or isoform based on the most significant FDR-corrected p-value. If more than one variant had

the same FDR-corrected p-value, we selected the one with the largest absolute effect size as the lead eQTL. For the

second correction, we performed an FDR-correction on all lead variants using the Benjamini-Hochberg method (q-

value) and considered only eQTLs with q-value ≤ 0.01 as significant (Supplementary Data 7).

Conditional eQTLs

To identify additional independent eQTLs (i.e., conditional eQTLs) for each eGene and eIsoform, we performed a step-

wise regression analysis in which the genotype of the lead eQTL was included as a covariate in the model and the

eQTL mapping procedure (regression and multiple test correction) was re-performed. We repeated this analysis to

discover up to five additional associations for each eGene and eIsoform. Conditional eQTLs with q-values ≤ 0.01 were

considered significant (Supplementary Data 7).

Functional characterization of iPSC-PPC eQTLs

Fine-mapping of eQTL Associations

To define a credible set of candidate causal variants for each eQTL association, we performed genetic fine-mapping

using the *finemap.abf* function in *coloc* (version 5.1.0, R) [41]. This Bayesian method converts p-values of all variants

tested for a specific gene to posterior probabilities (PP) of association for being the causal variant. Variants with PP $\geq$

1% are available in Supplementary Data 8. The eQTLs not present in this table do not have variants with PP $\geq$ 1%

(i.e., all variants were estimated to have PP < 1%).

Genomic enrichments of e_gQTLs and e_iQTLs

For each independent eQTL association, we obtained candidate causal variants whose PP $\geq$ 5% (Supplementary Data

8) and determined their overlap with each of the following genomic annotations using *bedtools intersect*: short splice

acceptor sites ($\pm$ 50bp), long splice acceptor sites ($\pm$ 100bp), splice donor sites ($\pm$ 50bp), UTR, intron, exon, intergenic,

promoters, and RNA-binding protein binding sites (RBP-BS). RBP-BS were downloaded from a published dataset that

utilized enhanced CLIP to identify binding sites of 73 RBPs [111]. We considered only binding sites with irreproducible

discovery rate (IDR) threshold of 0.01, indicating that these sites were reproducible across multiple biological samples.

Enrichment of candidate causal variants for genomic regions was calculated using a Fisher's Exact Test comparing the

proportion of SNPs that overlap each annotation between e_gQTLs and e_iQTLs. P-values were corrected using the

Benjamini-Hochberg method and were considered significant if their FDR-corrected p-value $\leq$ 0.05 (Figure 1E).

Quantification of allele-specific binding of transcription factors using GVATdb

To annotate each candidate causal variant by their effects on transcription factor (TF) binding, we used the Genetic

Variants Allelic TF Binding Database (GVATdb) to estimate the TF binding impact score associated with each variant

and each of the 58 iPSC-PPC-expressed TF available on the database and with a AUPRC > 0.75 indicating a high-

confidence deltaSVM model. We estimated the score using the instructions and reference files provided on the

GVATdb GitHub repository (https://github.com/ren-lab/deltaSVM). The software required a list of SNPs as input

along with hg19 reference files provided in the GVATdb repository. The output provides the deltaSVM score [112] for

each variant-TF pair (Supplementary Data 9), indicating whether the variant results in a promotion ("Gain"), disruption

("Loss"), or no change ("None") in TF binding.

Correlation between eQTL effect size and binding affinity of transcription factors

To determine whether e_gQTLs were more likely to affect TF binding compared to e_iQTLs, we performed a Spearman

Correlation Analysis between deltaSVM score and eQTL effect size on candidate causal variants with PP $\geq$ 10%, 20%,

40%, 60% and 80%. We considered nominal p-value $\leq$ 0.05 as significant.

Colocalization between iPSC-PPC gene and isoform eQTLs

To determine the overlap of genetic variants between e_gQTLs and e_iQTLs for the same gene, we performed Bayesian colocalization using the *coloc.abf* function in *coloc* (version 5.1.0, R) [41], where each pair of signals was given a summary PP that each of the following five hypotheses was true: H0) no association was detected in both signals, H1) an association was detected only in signal 1, H2) an association was detected only in signal 2, H3) an association was detected in both signals but the underlying causal variants are different, and H4) an association was detected for both signals and the underlying causal variants are the same. We filtered the results by requiring that each colocalization used the number of overlapping variants (called "nsnps" in the *coloc.abf* output) ≥ 500. We considered two eQTL signals to be shared if the PP for H4 (called "PP.H4.abf" in *coloc.abf* output; hereafter referred to as PP.H4) $\geq 80\%$. Conversely, two signals were considered distinct if the PP for H3 (called "PP.H3.abf" in *coloc.abf* output; hereafter referred to as PP.H3) $\geq 80\%$. eQTL associations with PP.H4 $< 80\%$ and PP.H3 $< 80\%$ were due to insufficient power in one or both eQTL signals. As input into *coloc.abf*, we provided p-values, minor allele frequency, and sample size. All associations with PP $\geq 80\%$ for any model are available in Supplementary Data 11.

Genomic enrichment of overlapping e_gQTL and e_iQTL signals compared to non-overlapping

To test the enrichment of overlapping e_gQTLs and e_iQTLs in genomic regions compared to non-overlapping signals, we determined the overlap of candidate causal variants with PP $\geq 1\%$ in each genomic annotation using *bedtools intersect* and compared the proportion of variants overlapping each annotation against a background set of 20,000 random variants using a Fisher's Exact Test as previously described [10]. For overlapping eQTLs, we used the candidate causal variants predicted in the *coloc.abf* output. Enrichments with nominal p-value < 0.05 were considered significant (Supplementary Figure 10).

Downloading eQTL summary statistics for adult pancreatic tissues

We downloaded complete eQTL summary statistics for gene and exon associations for 420 adult human islets from the InSPIRE Consortium (https://zenodo.org/record/3408356) [11], and gene and splicing associations for 305 adult whole pancreas from the GTEx Data Portal for GTEx Analysis version 8 [10] (https://console.cloud.google.com/storage/browser/gtex-resources). All GTEx SNPs were converted to hg19 using the UCSC liftOver Bioconductor package in R (https://www.bioconductor.org/help/workflows/liftOver/). Lead SNPs for conditional associations in the adult islets and whole pancreas datasets were downloaded from their respective studies (complete statistics were not readily available).

Due to the different types of eQTLs used in this study that are associated with changes in alternative splicing (e_iQTLs, exon eQTLs, and sQTLs), hereafter we refer to this collective unit as "$e_{AS}QTLs$".

Comparing eGenes between fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult islets

To identify eGenes that were shared between iPSC-PPC and adult islet tissues, we compared the 4,065 eGenes in iPSC-PPC and the 4,211 eGenes in adult islets that complete summary statistics were available for. Specifically, we used the *intersect* function in R to identify eGenes that overlapped between the two tissues and *setdiff* function in R to identify eGenes that did not overlap. Similarly, using the *intersect* function in R, we compared the 22,266 expressed genes in adult islet tissues with the 4,065 eGenes in iPSC-PPC to identify the proportion of iPSC-PPC eGenes that were expressed in adult islets, and vice versa with the 17,098 expressed genes in iPSC-PPC and 4,211 eGenes in adult islets. The 22,266 expressed genes in adult islet tissues were obtained from the complete summary statistics uploaded by the previous study in https://zenodo.org/record/3408356.

Comparing eQTLs present in fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult pancreatic tissues

Colocalization between iPSC-PPC and adult eQTLs

To identify eQTLs whose effects were driven by the same causal signals in iPSC-PPC and adult pancreatic tissues (islets and whole pancreas), we performed Bayesian colocalization using the *coloc.abf* function in *coloc* (version 5.1.0, R) [41]. Specifically, for each iPSC-PPC and adult eQTL, we tested its overlap with nearby eQTLs within 3 Mb from the gene body coordinates. eQTLs with no overlapping variants would automatically not be tested. Then, we filtered the results by requiring that each colocalization used the number of overlapping variants (called "nsnps" in the *coloc.abf* output) ≥ 500. As described above, we considered two eQTL signals to be shared if PP.H4 ≥ 80% or distinct if PP.H3 ≥ 80%. eQTL associations with PP.H4 < 80% and PP.H3 < 80% were due to insufficient power in one or both eQTL signals.

Because we, and others, have shown that e_gQTLs are functionally different from e_{AS}QTLs (e_iQTLs, exon eQTLs, and splicing eQTLs), we performed colocalization for e_gQTLs and e_{AS}QTLs independently (i.e., colocalization of e_gQTL was performed only with another e_gQTL and an e_{AS}QTL only with another e_{AS}QTL). All associations with PP ≥ 80% for any model are reported in Supplementary Data 11.

Fine-mapping of adult eQTL associations

Similarly for iPSC-PPC eQTLs, we identified candidate causal variants using the *finemap.abf* function in *coloc* (version 5.1.0, R). This Bayesian method converts p-values of all variants tested for a specific gene to a PP value for being the causal variant. Variants with PP ≥ 1% are available in Supplementary Data 8. The eQTLs not present in this table did not have any variants with PP ≥ 1% (i.e., all variants were estimated to have PP < 1%).

For all downstream analyses beyond this point, we used only iPSC-PPC, adult islets, and adult whole pancreas eQTLs that had at least one candidate causal variant with PP ≥ 1%, were outside of the MHC region, and were

annotated in GENCODE version 34 hg19, to ensure that our analyses were sufficiently powered and the multiple

datasets were comparable.

Identifying tissue-unique singleton eQTLs

To identify tissue-unique singleton eQTLs, we obtained all eQTLs that did not colocalize with another eQTL and

examined their LD with all other eQTLs of the same phenotype (e_gQTLs or e_{AS}QTLs) using their most likely candidate

causal variants based on the highest PP from fine-mapping (*finemap.abf*). If the candidate causal variant was not

genotyped in the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 panel, then we used the next top candidate causal variant. We repeated this

process until we found a variant that was in the 1000 Genomes or no more variants remained with causal PP $\geq$ 1%.

Because complete summary statistics were not available for the adult conditional eQTLs, we used their lead variants

publicly available from their respective studies to account for the presence of multiple causal variants in the genomic

region. LD was calculated using *plink --r2 square --keep-allele-order --make-bed* [102] and the 1000 Genomes Phase 3

panel [103]. We considered two eQTLs to be in LD if their candidate causal variants were within 500 Kb and had $r^2 \geq$

0.2. If LD could not be measured, because one of the variants was not genotyped in the 1000 Genomes, then we used

distance as a metric for LD, where if the variants were within 500 Kb of each other, we considered them to be in LD.

Singleton eQTLs that were found to be in LD with another eQTL (regardless of tissue) were re-annotated as

"ambiguous" and excluded from downstream analyses. Otherwise, we kept their annotations as tissue-unique

singletons. All annotations for singleton eQTLs are reported in Supplementary Data 12.

Identifying eQTL modules

eQTL modules were identified by first creating a network using the *graph_from_data_frame* function in igraph

(version 1.3.4, R) [113] where the input was a data frame containing all pairs of colocalized eQTLs (nsnps $\geq$ 500 and

PP.H4 $\geq$ 80%) as binary edges. We created networks for each chromosome and phenotype (gene expression and

alternatively splicing) independently, totaling to 44 networks (22 chromosomes x 2 phenotypes = 44 networks). Then,

we performed community detection analysis using the *cluster_leiden* function with *--objective_function =*

"modularity", *n_iterations = 500, resolution = 0.3* to identify modules of eQTLs. Upon examining them in depth, we

observed that 5% of the modules contained at least one H3 association (PP.H3 $\geq$ 80%) between a pair of eQTLs,

indicating that signals within a module were predicted to have distinct genetic variants despite being assigned to the

same module. Therefore, to filter for modules that contained eQTLs likely to share the same causal variants, we

required that at least 30% of all eQTL pairs had a H4 association and that the number of H4 "edges" was twice the

number of H3 "edges" (number of H4 edges / number of H3 edges $\geq$ 2). For example, a module with four eQTLs would

have six possible pairwise combinations, and to be considered a validated module, we required at least two H4 edges

and no more than one H3 edge. Modules that did not pass these thresholds were annotated as "module_failed" and

excluded from downstream analyses. Summary of eQTL modules and their individual eQTL associations are reported

in Supplementary Data 13. Module IDs were assigned such that the first term indicates the phenotype the module was

associated with ("GE" for gene expression or "AS" for alternative splicing), the second term indicates the chromosome

number, and the third term indicates a unique integer. For example, "GE_1_32" indicates that this module is associated

with changes in gene expression, located in in chromosome 1, and assigned the number 32.

Identifying tissue-unique and tissue-sharing eQTL modules

Combinatorial eQTLs were defined in this study as an eQTL having at least one H4 association (PP.H4 ≥ 80%) with

another eQTL either in the same or different tissue. These combinatorial eQTLs were then connected to form a module,

which we identified using the network analysis described above. We then categorized each module based on the activity

of eQTLs in the three pancreatic tissues, having a total of seven module categories (Figure 3B):

1) iPSC-PPC-unique: contains eQTLs in **only** iPSC-PPC

2) Adult islet-unique: contains eQTLs in **only** adult islets

3) Adult whole pancreas-unique: contains eQTLs in **only** adult whole pancreas

4) Adult-shared: contains eQTLs in adult islets **and** adult whole pancreas

5) Fetal-islet: contains eQTLs in iPSC-PPC **and** adult islets

6) Fetal-whole-pancreas: contains eQTLs in iPSC-PPC **and** adult whole pancreas

7) Fetal-adult: contains eQTLs in **all** three pancreatic tissues

We next examined the eQTLs modules for LD with eQTLs in other tissues to confirm tissue specificity. Similar to the

analysis described above for identifying tissue-unique singletons, we calculated LD using *plink --r2 square --keep-*

allele-order --make-bed [102] and the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 panel [103] between the eQTLs' most likely candidate causal

variants (based on the highest PP; PP ≥ 1%). We considered two eQTLs to be in LD if they had an $r^2 ≥ 0.2$ and were

within 500 Kb of each other. If LD could not be calculated because candidate causal variants were not genotyped in

the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 panel, then we used distance as a metric for LD and considered two eQTLs to be in LD if

their candidate causal variants were within 500 Kb. To account for the presence of multiple causal variants in the

genomic region, we included the lead variants from the adult islet and whole pancreas conditional eQTLs in the LD

comparisons to prevent misclassification of tissue-unique eQTLs.

For each of the module categories, we required that the following were true to be considered for downstream analyses:

1) iPSC-PPC-unique: contains eQTLs in only iPSC-PPC, and **all** eQTLs were not in LD with eQTLs in adult
islets **and** adult whole pancreas

2) Adult islet-unique: contains eQTLs in only adult islets, and **all** eQTLs were not in LD with eQTLs in adult
whole pancreas **and** iPSC-PPC

3) Adult whole pancreas-unique: contains eQTLs in only adult whole pancreas, and **all** eQTLs were not in LD
with eQTLs in adult islets **and** iPSC-PPC

4) Adult-shared: contains eQTLs in only adult islets and adult whole pancreas, and **all** eQTLs were not in LD
with eQTLs in iPSC-PPC

5) Fetal-islet: contains eQTLs in iPSC-PPC and adult islets, and **all** eQTLs were not in LD with eQTLs in adult
whole pancreas

6) Fetal-whole-pancreas: contains eQTLs in iPSC-PPC and adult whole pancreas, and **all** eQTLs were not in LD
with eQTLs in adult islets

7) Fetal-adult: contains eQTLs in **all** three pancreatic tissues.

For any module that did not meet the above requirements, we annotated the eQTLs in the module "ambiguous" and
excluded for downstream analysis. Hereafter, we refer the eQTL associations in tissue-unique modules (categories 1-
3) as tissue-unique combinatorial eQTLs and those in categories 5-7 as eQTLs shared between both fetal-like and adult
stages. All annotations for eQTL modules and their individual eQTLs are reported in Supplementary Data 12 and
Supplementary Data 13.

Enrichment of fetal-like iPSC-PPC-unique singleton and combinatorial eQTLs in chromatin states

We obtained chromatin state maps for human embryonic stem cell-derived pancreatic progenitor cells and adult islets
from previously published studies [14,114]. Because e_gQTLs were likely to affect non-coding regulatory elements (Figure
1E), we only considered them in this analysis and excluded e_{AS}QTLs. Enrichments for iPSC-PPC-unique singleton and
combinatorial e_gQTLs were calculated using a Fisher's Exact Test by comparing the proportion of fine-mapped variants
(from *finemap.abf*) of the e_gQTLs at different thresholds of PP from 0-0.8 at 0.1 intervals to a background set of 20,000
randomly selected variants. Enrichments were Benjamini-Hochberg-corrected. Corrected p-values $\leq$ 0.05 were
considered significant. Enrichment results are available in Supplementary Data 14, Figure 3C.

Overlap of eGenes in shared modules between fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult pancreatic tissues

For the modules shared between both fetal-like and the two adult pancreatic tissues (categories 5-7; described above),
we compared the eGenes associated with: 1) iPSC-PPC e_gQTLs versus adult islet e_gQTLs; and 2) iPSC-PPC e_gQTLs
versus adult whole pancreas e_gQTLs. For e_{AS}QTLs, we compared the genes mapping to: 1) each isoform in iPSC-PPC
versus exon in adult islets; and 2) each isoform in iPSC-PPC versus splice interval in adult whole pancreas. From these
comparisons, we assigned each module an "islet_egene_overlap" label and an "whole_pancreas_egene_overlap" label
in Supplementary Data 13 (also shown in Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure 11C), where "zero" indicates that the
module did not contain an eQTL in the adult tissue, "same" indicates that the module contained eQTLs corresponding
to only the same eGenes in iPSC-PPC and adult, "partial" indicates that the module contained eQTLs corresponding
with partially overlapping eGenes between iPSC-PPC and adult, and "different" indicates that the module contained
eQTLs corresponding to only different genes. For example, if a module was annotated with "zero" for
        30

islet_egene_overlap and "same" for whole_pancreas_egene_overlap, this meant that the module did not contain an

eQTL from adult islet and had only eQTLs associated with the same eGenes between iPSC-PPC and adult whole

pancreas. These annotations also meant that this module was in the "fetal-whole-pancreas" category (i.e, only contained

eQTLs from iPSC-PPC and adult whole pancreas).

Complex Trait GWAS Associations

Colocalization of eQTLs with GWAS associations

We obtained GWAS summary statistics from ten different studies: 1) type 1 diabetes [3], 2) type 2 diabetes [114], 3) body

mass index [50], 4) triglycerides [50], 5) HDL cholesterol [50], 6) LDL direct [50], 7) cholesterol [50], 8) glycated hemoglobin

A1C (HbA1c) levels from the MAGIC Consortium [115], 9) HbA1c levels from the Pan-UKBB Study [50], and 10) fasting

glucose [115]. All of the data, except for type 1 diabetes, were provided in hg19 coordinates, therefore we converted the

coordinates from hg38 to hg19 using the liftOver package in R [116]. We sorted and indexed each file using *tabix* [93]. For

each trait, we performed colocalization between GWAS variants and all filtered significant eQTLs (see bolded section

above) in the three pancreatic tissues with the *coloc.abf* function in *coloc* (version 5.1.0, R) [41] using p-values, MAF,

and sample size as inputs. Then, we filtered results based on whether the lead candidate causal variant underlying both

GWAS and eQTL association (from *coloc.abf* output) is genome-wide significant for GWAS association (p-value $\leq$

5×10^{-8}) and the number of overlapping variants used to test for colocalization (nsnps) $\geq$ 500. eQTLs were considered

to share a genetic signal with GWAS if PP.H4 $\geq$ 80% or have distinct signals with GWAS if PP.H3 $\geq$ 80%. For eQTL

modules, we required that at least 30% of the eQTLs in the module colocalized with GWAS (PP.H4 $\geq$ 80%) and that

the number of H4 associations is twice the number of H3 associations (number of H4 associations / number of H3

associations $\geq$ 2). Colocalization results for the 312 GWAS loci (183 singleton and 129 module) with PP.H4 $\geq$ 80%

are available in Supplementary Data 15.

GWAS 99% Credible Sets

For each GWAS locus (based on GWAS locus ID in Supplementary Data 15), we constructed 99% credible sets with

the predicted candidate causal variants underlying both eQTL and GWAS associations (from *coloc.abf* output). If the

GWAS locus colocalized with a singleton eQTL, the credible sets were constructed using the output of the eQTL's

colocalization with GWAS. If the GWAS locus colocalized with an eQTL module, we constructed credible sets for

each of the pairwise eQTL-GWAS colocalization and retained the eQTL that resulted in the least number of candidate

causal variants. If multiple eQTLs had the same number of variants in their credible set, we considered the eQTL with

the highest PP.H4 for GWAS colocalization. 99% credible sets were constructed by first sorting the variants by

descending order of causal PP and obtaining the least number of variants that resulted in a cumulative PP $\geq$ 99%. 99%

credible sets for each of the 312 GWAS loci (183 singleton and 129 module) are reported in Supplementary Data 16.

LD with non-pancreatic GTEx tissues

We downloaded the lead SNPs for all significant e_gQTLs (including their conditionals) for the 48 non-pancreatic tissues

in the GTEx dataset version 8 [10] and converted their genomic positions to hg19 using UCSC liftOver [116]. We then

calculated their LD with the lead SNPs of the 16 iPSC-PPC-unique e_gQTLs that colocalized with GWAS

(Supplementary Data 17) using *plink* [102] *--tag-kb 500 --tag-r2 0.2 --show-tags all* and the 1000 Genomes [103] as the

reference panel. We considered two eQTLs to be in LD if their lead SNPs were within 500 Kb and had $r^2 > 0.2$. If LD

could not be calculated because the SNP was not genotyped in the reference panel, we used distance as a metric in

which we considered two eQTLs to be in LD if their lead SNPs were within 500 Kb.

Data Availability

The iPSC-PPC scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq data generated in this study have been deposited in the GEO database

under accession codes GSE152610 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE152610] and

GSE182758 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE182758], respectively. The WGS data used in

this study for iPSCORE individuals were obtained as a VCF file from phs001325.v3. The reference gene annotation

file for aligning bulk RNA-seq data of iPSC-PPC were obtained from GENCODE release version 34 in GRCh37 as a

GTF file [https://www.gencodegenes.org/human/release_34.html]. The bulk RNA-seq data for iPSC, adult islet, and

adult whole pancreas samples used in PCA and pseudotime analyses were obtained from phs000924, GSE50398, and

phs000424, respectively. eQTL summary statistics for adult whole pancreas and islet samples were obtained from the

GTEx Data Repository [https://console.cloud.google.com/storage/browser/gtex-resources] and a previously published

study [11] [https://zenodo.org/record/3408356], respectively. GWAS summary statistics were obtained from the Pan UK

BioBank resource [https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org/], the MAGIC (Meta-Analyses of Glucose and Insulin-related

traits) Consortium [https://magicinvestigators.org/downloads/; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00852-9] the

DIAMANTE Consortium [https://diagram-consortium.org/downloads.html; http://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0241-

6], and a previously published study [3] [http://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gwas/summary_statistics/GCST90014001-

GCST90015000/GCST90014023/]. Full summary statistics for iPSC-PPC eQTLs, supplemental data, and processed

scRNA-seq have been deposited in Figshare: https://figshare.com/projects/Large-scale_eQTL_analysis_of_iPSC-

PPC/156987.

Code Availability

Scripts for processing RNA-seq and scRNA-seq data and performing downstream analyses are publicly available at

/https://github.com/jenniferngp/iPSC_PPC_eQTL_Project (version 1.0.0 of the release).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Library Training Grant T15LM011271 (J.P.N., M.K.R.D., T.D.A.) and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease (NIDDK) F31DK131867 (J.P.N.), U01DK105541, DP3DK112155 and P30DK063491 (K.A.F.). Additional support was also received from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) F31HL158198 (T.D.A.) and the Dongguk University Research Fund of 2023 (J.H.K.). We thank Drs. Maike Sanders and Kyle Gaulton for their advice on experimental design and analyses. This publication includes data generated at the UC San Diego IGM Genomics Center utilizing an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 that was purchased with funding from a National Institutes of Health SIG grant S10OD026929.

Author information

Consortia

iPSCORE Consortium

Lana Ribeiro Aguiar[4], Angelo D. Arias[6], Timothy D. Arthur[2,3], Paola Benaglio[6], W. Travis Berggren[7], Juan Carlos Izpisua Belmonte[8], Victor Borja[4], Megan Cook[4], Matteo D'Antonio[2,4,6], Agnieszka D'Antonio-Chronowska[6], Christopher DeBoever[1], Kenneth E. Diffenderfer[7], Margaret K.R. Donovan[1,2], KathyJean Farnam[4], Kelly A. Frazer[4,6], Kyohei Fujita[6], Melvin Garcia[4], Olivier Harismendy[2], Benjamin A. Henson[4], David Jakubosky[2,3], Kristen Jepsen[4], He Li[6], Hiroko Matsui[4], Naoki Nariai[6], Jennifer P. Nguyen[1,2], Daniel T. O'Connor[9], Jonathan Okubo[4], Athanasia D. Panopoulos[8], Fengwen Rao[9], Joaquin Reyna[4], Bianca M. Salgado[4], Nayara Silva[6], Erin N. Smith[6], Josh Sohmer[4], Shawn Yost[1], William W. Young Greenwald[1]

[7] Stem Cell Core, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

[8] Gene Expression Laboratory, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

[9] Department of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Contributions

K.A.F. and iPSCORE consortium members conceived the study. A.D.C., B.M.S., K.F., and iPSCORE consortium members performed the differentiations and generated molecular data. J.P.N., M.K.R.D. and H.M. performed quality check on scRNA-seq and RNA-seq samples. J.P.N., T.D.A., J.H.K. performed the computational analyses. K.A.F. and iPSCORE consortium members oversaw the study. J.P.N., M.D. and K.A.F. prepared the manuscript.

Ethics declaration

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure 1. Discovery and Characterization of eQTLs in iPSC-PPC

(**a**) Study overview created with Biorender.com. (**b**) Density plots showing the distribution of PDX1⁺ cells (%; regardless of NKX6-1 status; light green) and PDX1⁺/NKX6-1⁺ cells (%; dark green) in the 107 iPSC-PPC samples. (**c**) Bar plot showing the number of eGenes with primary and conditional e_gQTLs. (**d**) Bar plot showing the number of eIsoforms with primary and conditional e_iQTLs. (**e**) Enrichment (odds ratio, X-axis) of eQTLs in genomic regions (Y-axis) using two-sided Fisher's Exact Tests comparing the proportion of variants with causal posterior probability (PP) ≥ 5% in the genomic regions between e_gQTLs (blue; n=8,763) and e_iQTLs (yellow; n=8,919). (**f**) Line plot showing Pearson correlations of TF binding score and eQTL effect size at different thresholds of causal PP for e_gQTLs (blue)

and e_iQTLs (yellow) (Supplementary Data S10). Closed points indicate significant correlations (nominal p<0.05) while

open points indicate non-significant correlations (nominal (p>0.05). (**g**) Bar plot showing the number of genes with

only e_gQTLs (blue; n=3,057), only e_iQTLs (green; n=1,554), or both. Orange represents genes whose e_gQTLs

colocalized with all their corresponding e_iQTLs (PP.H4 ≥ 80%; n=333). Red represents genes whose e_gQTLs did not

colocalize with any of their corresponding e_iQTLs (PP.H3 ≥ 80%; n=38), and pink represents genes with both shared

and distinct e_gQTLs and e_iQTLs (i.e., an eGene with two eIsoforms may colocalize with one eIsoform but not the other)

(n=39). Gray represents genes whose eQTL signals were not sufficiently powered to test for colocalization (PP.H4 <

80% and PP.H3 < 80%; n=598).

Figure 2. Comparison of the genetic architecture underlying gene expression between

fetal-like and adult islets

(**a**) Venn diagram showing the overlap of eGenes between fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult islets. (**b**) Stacked bar plot

showing the total number of eGenes detected in adult islets (blue; n=4,211 total) that were expressed in iPSC-PPC

(light blue; n=3,301). Likewise, we show the total number of fetal-like iPSC-PPC eGenes (green; n=4,065 total) that

were expressed in adult islets (light green; n=3,605). These results show that the majority of eGenes were expressed in

both tissues, however, a large fraction was influenced by genetic variation in only one of the two tissues. Therefore,

the small overlap of eGenes may be due to differences in the genetic regulatory landscape. (**c**) Pie chart showing the

proportion of shared eGenes with distinct genetic loci (PP.H3 ≥ 80%, purple) or shared genetic loci (PP.H4 ≥ 80%,

orange). These results show that 12% of the shared eGenes were associated with distinct regulatory variants between

fetal-like and adult pancreatic stages. (**d**) Example of a shared eGene (*SNX29*) whose expression was associated with

distinct e_gQTL signals (PP.H3 = 90.4%) in fetal-like iPSC-PPC (green, top panel) and adult islets (blue, bottom panel).

The X-axis represents variant positions while the Y-axis shows the -log10(eQTL p-value) for the associations between

the genotype of the tested variants and gene expression. For plotting purposes, we assign a single p-value for gene-

level significance after Bonferroni-correction (0.05 / number of independent variants tested in fetal-like iPSC-PPC;

horizontal line). Red vertical lines show the positions of the lead variants in fetal-like iPSC-PPC and adult islets

(chr16:12656135:C>G and chr16:12136526:A>G, respectively).

Figure 3. eQTL sharing between iPSC-PPC, adult islets, and adult whole pancreas

1060

(**a**) Bar plot showing the number of tissue-unique e$_g$QTLs identified in fetal-like iPSC-PPC, adult islets and adult whole

pancreas. (**b**) Bar plot showing the number of e$_g$QTL modules for each annotation. (**c**) Top panels: Enrichment (odds

ratio) of iPSC-PPC singleton and combinatorial e$_g$QTLs in hESC-derived PPC chromatin states [14]. Bottom panels:

Enrichment (odds ratio) of iPSC-PPC singleton and combinatorial e$_g$QTLs in adult islet chromatin states [48]. Enrichment

was calculated using a two-sided Fisher's Exact Test comparing the proportion of candidate causal variants overlapping

the chromatin states versus a background of randomly selected 20,000 variants at various PP thresholds. P-values were

Benjamini-Hochberg-corrected and considered significant if the corrected p-values < 0.05 (indicated by asterisk,

Supplementary Data 14). (**d**) *CDC37L1-DT* locus showing an iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e$_g$QTL overlapping an adult

islet active promoter region. Lower panel shows the positions of active promoters in the adult islets. (**e-f**) The

chr3:148903264-148983264 locus (gray rectangle) is an example of an "iPSC-PPC-unique" module (module ID:

GE_3_1) associated with *CP* and *HPS3* expression. (**g-h**) The chr15:57746360-57916360 locus (gray rectangle) is as

an example of an "adult islet-unique" module (module ID: GE_15_13) associated with *GCOM1*, *MYZAP*, and

POLR2M expression. *GCOM1* was not expressed in adult whole pancreas and therefore, was not tested for e$_g$QTL

association. (**i-j**) The chr5:146546063-146746063 locus (gray rectangle) is an "adult whole pancreas-unique" e$_g$QTL

module (module ID: GE_5_32) associated with *STK32A* and *STK32A-AS1* expression. *STK32A-AS1* was not expressed

in iPSC-PPC and therefore, was not tested for e$_g$QTL association. Panels **e, g, i** display the e$_g$QTL modules as networks

in which the e$_g$QTL associations (nodes) are connected by edges due to colocalization (PP.H4 ≥ 80%). For panels **d,**

f, h, and j, the X-axis represents variant positions while the Y-axis shows the -log10(eQTL p-value) for the associations

between the genotype of the tested variants and gene expression. For plotting purposes, we assigned a single p-value

for gene-level significance after Bonferroni-correction (0.05 / the number of independent variants tested in fetal-like

iPSC-PPC; horizontal line). Red vertical lines indicate the positions of the lead candidate causal variants underlying

the colocalization based on maximum PP.

Figure 4. Regulatory plasticity of e$_g$QTL loci

(**a**) Number of e$_g$QTL modules shared between iPSC-PPC and at least one adult pancreas tissue categorized by eGene

overlap with adult. "Zero" indicates that the module did not contain an e$_g$QTL in the respective adult tissue. "Same"

indicates that the module had e$_g$QTLs for only the same eGenes in iPSC-PPC and the adult tissue. "Partial" indicates

that the module had e$_g$QTLs for partially overlapping eGenes between iPSC-PPC and the adult tissue. "Different"

indicates that the module had e$_g$QTLs for only different eGenes between iPSC-PPC and the adult tissue. (**b-d**) Examples

of e$_g$QTL loci demonstrating regulatory plasticity of genetic variation across fetal-like and adult pancreatic stages.

Panel **b** shows a locus strongly associated with *AC119427.1* expression in fetal-like iPSC-PPC and *TNNI1* expression

in adult islet and whole pancreas. Panel **c** shows a locus associated with *MPND* expression in only fetal-like iPSC-PPC

but *STAP2* expression in both the adult pancreatic tissues. Panel **d** shows a locus associated with partially overlapping

eGenes between the two pancreatic stages (*UROS* in all three pancreatic tissues and *BCCIP* in only adult islets). The

X-axis represents variant positions while the Y-axis shows the -log10(eQTL p-value) for the associations between the

genotype of the tested variants and gene expression. For plotting purposes, we assigned a single p-value for gene-level

significance after Bonferroni-correction (0.05 / the number of independent variants tested in fetal-like iPSC-PPC;

horizontal line). Red vertical lines indicate the positions of the lead candidate causal variants underlying the

colocalization based on maximum PP.

Figure 5. Summary of pancreatic GWAS associations

(**a**) Bar plot showing the number of eQTL loci that colocalized with GWAS variants (PP.H4 ≥ 80%) as a singleton or module. (**b**) Pie chart showing the number of singleton-colocalized GWAS loci (n=183) color-coded by the number of candidate causal variants identified in their 99% credible sets. (**c**) Pie chart showing the number of module-colocalized GWAS loci (n=129) color-coded by the number of candidate causal variants identified in their 99% credible sets.

Figure 6. Pancreatic GWAS associations with fetal-specific and adult-shared gene Expression

(**a**) The TPD52 locus is associated with fasting glucose levels and colocalized with a fetal-like iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e$_g$QTL with the predicted causal variant identified as rs12549167 (chr8:81078464:C>T, PP = 33.9%). (**b**) The CDC37L1-DT locus is associated with fasting glucose and type 1 diabetes and colocalized with an iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e$_g$QTL with the predicted causal variant identified as rs10758593 (chr9:4292083:G>A, PP = 79.2%). (**c**) Cholesterol and LDL direct GWAS loci colocalize with a fetal-adult e$_g$QTL module where the variants are strongly associated with *ADSL* expression in iPSC-PPC and *ST13* expression in the adult whole pancreas (also weakly associated with *ST13* expression in the adult islets). The predicted causal variant was identified as rs138349 (chr22:41249522:A>G, PP = 21.9%). For plotting purposes, we assigned a single p-value for gene-level significance based on Bonferroni-correction (0.05 divided by the number of independent variants tested in fetal-like iPSC-PPC; horizontal line). We note that this p-value does not reflect the thresholds used to define a significant eQTL in the original adult studies [10,11]. Therefore, while the *ST13* eQTL in adult islets in panel **c** is below the horizontal line, it had an FDR < 1% in the original study [11]. In each panel, the X-axis represents variant positions while the Y-axis either shows the -log10(eQTL p-value) for the associations between the genotype of the tested variants and gene expression

1122 or the -log10(GWAS p-value) for the associations between the tested variants and the GWAS trait. For GWAS

1123 significance, we used -log10(5×10^{-8}). Red vertical lines indicate the positions of the lead candidate causal variants

1124 underlying the colocalization based on maximum PP. For loci that colocalized with multiple GWAS traits, we used the

1125 credible set that yielded the smallest number of variants to plot the "PP" fine-mapping panel.

Figure 7. Pancreatic GWAS associations with fetal-specific alternative splicing

 (**a**) T1D-risk locus colocalized with a fetal-like iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e$_{AS}$QTL for *MEG3* with the predicted

 causal variant rs56994090 (chr14:101306447:T>C, PP = 100%). (**b**) GWAS locus associated with HbA1c colocalized

 with an iPSC-PPC-unique singleton e$_{AS}$QTL for *CDH3* with the predicted causal variant rs72785165

 (chr16:68755635:T>A, PP = 6.8%). (**c**) GWAS locus associated with T2D-risk and BMI colocalized with an iPSC-

 PPC-unique e$_{AS}$QTL module (AS_13_2) for differential usage of three *HMGB1* isoforms with a predicted causal variant

 rs3742305 (chr13:31036642:C>G, PP = 49.3%). In each panel, the X-axis represents variant positions while the Y-

axis either shows the -log10(eQTL p-value) for the associations between the genotype of the tested variants and gene expression or the -log10(GWAS p-value) for the associations between the tested variants and the GWAS trait. For GWAS significance, we used -log10($5x10^{-8}$). For eQTL significance, we used a single p-value for gene-level significance after Bonferroni-correction (0.05 / the number of independent variants tested in fetal-like iPSC-PPC; horizontal line). Red vertical lines indicate the positions of the lead candidate causal variants underlying the colocalization based on maximum PP. For loci that colocalized with multiple GWAS traits, we used the credible set that yielded the smallest number of variants to plot the "PP" fine-mapping panel.

References

1. Broad Genomics Platform, DiscovEHR Collaboration, CHARGE, et al. Exome sequencing of 20,791 cases of type 2 diabetes and 24,440 controls. *Nature*. 2019;570(7759):71-76. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1231-2

2. Chen J, Spracklen CN, Marenne G, et al. The trans-ancestral genomic architecture of glycemic traits. *Nat Genet*. 2021;53(6):840-860. doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00852-9

3. Chiou J, Geusz RJ, Okino ML, et al. Interpreting type 1 diabetes risk with genetics and single-cell epigenomics. *Nature*. 2021;594(7863):398-402. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03552-w

4. Mahajan A, Taliun D, Thurner M, et al. Fine-mapping type 2 diabetes loci to single-variant resolution using high-density imputation and islet-specific epigenome maps. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50(11):1505-1513. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0241-6

5. Ernst J, Kheradpour P, Mikkelsen TS, et al. Mapping and analysis of chromatin state dynamics in nine human cell types. *Nature*. 2011;473(7345):43-49. doi:10.1038/nature09906

6. Maurano MT, Humbert R, Rynes E, et al. Systematic Localization of Common Disease-Associated Variation in Regulatory DNA. *Science*. 2012;337(6099):1190-1195. doi:10.1126/science.1222794

7. Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium, Kundaje A, Meuleman W, et al. Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature*. 2015;518(7539):317-330. doi:10.1038/nature14248

8. GTEx Consortium. Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues. *Nature*. 2017;550(7675):204-213. doi:10.1038/nature24277

9. Kim-Hellmuth S, Aguet F, Oliva M, et al. Cell type–specific genetic regulation of gene expression across human tissues. *Science*. 2020;369(6509):eaaz8528. doi:10.1126/science.aaz8528

10. The GTEx Consortium. The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. *Science*. 2020;369(6509):1318-1330. doi:10.1126/science.aaz1776

11. Viñuela A, Varshney A, van de Bunt M, et al. Genetic variant effects on gene expression in human pancreatic islets and their implications for T2D. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11(1):4912. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18581-8

12. D'Antonio M, Nguyen JP, Arthur TD, et al. Fine mapping spatiotemporal mechanisms of genetic variants underlying cardiac traits and disease. *Nat Commun*. 2023;14(1):1132. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-36638-2

13. Strober BJ, Elorbany R, Rhodes K, et al. Dynamic genetic regulation of gene expression during cellular
differentiation. *Science*. 2019;364(6447):1287-1290. doi:10.1126/science.aaw0040

14. Geusz RJ, Wang A, Chiou J, et al. Pancreatic progenitor epigenome maps prioritize type 2 diabetes risk genes
with roles in development. *eLife*. 2021;10:e59067. doi:10.7554/eLife.59067

15. Dabelea D, Pettitt DJ. Intrauterine Diabetic Environment Confers Risks for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity
in the Offspring, in Addition to Genetic Susceptibility. *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism*.
2001;14(8). doi:10.1515/jpem-2001-0803

16. Bhattacharya A, Freedman AN, Avula V, et al. Placental genomics mediates genetic associations with complex
health traits and disease. *Nat Commun*. 2022;13(1):706. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28365-x

17. Petersen MBK, Gonçalves CAC, Kim YH, Grapin-Botton A. Recapitulating and Deciphering Human Pancreas
Development From Human Pluripotent Stem Cells in a Dish. In: *Current Topics in Developmental Biology*. Vol
129. Elsevier; 2018:143-190. doi:10.1016/bs.ctdb.2018.02.009

18. Colclough K, Bellanne-Chantelot C, Saint-Martin C, Flanagan SE, Ellard S. Mutations in the Genes Encoding
the Transcription Factors Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 1 Alpha and 4 Alpha in Maturity-Onset Diabetes of the
Young and Hyperinsulinemic Hypoglycemia. *Human Mutation*. 2013;34(5):669-685. doi:10.1002/humu.22279

19. Hansen L, Urioste S, Petersen HV, et al. Missense Mutations in the Human Insulin Promoter Factor-1 Gene and
Their Relation to Maturity-Onset Diabetes of the Young and Late-Onset Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in
Caucasians*. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2000;85(3):1323-1326.
doi:10.1210/jcem.85.3.6421

20. Sanyoura M, Philipson LH, Naylor R. Monogenic Diabetes in Children and Adolescents: Recognition and
Treatment Options. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2018;18(8):58. doi:10.1007/s11892-018-1024-2

21. Ameri J, Borup R, Prawiro C, et al. Efficient Generation of Glucose-Responsive Beta Cells from Isolated GP2 +
Human Pancreatic Progenitors. *Cell Reports*. 2017;19(1):36-49. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.032

22. Gonçalves CA, Larsen M, Jung S, et al. A 3D system to model human pancreas development and its reference
single-cell transcriptome atlas identify signaling pathways required for progenitor expansion. *Nat Commun*.
2021;12(1):3144. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-23295-6

23. Nostro MC, Sarangi F, Yang C, et al. Efficient Generation of NKX6-1+ Pancreatic Progenitors from Multiple
Human Pluripotent Stem Cell Lines. *Stem Cell Reports*. 2015;4(4):591-604. doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.02.017

24. Pagliuca FW, Millman JR, Gürtler M, et al. Generation of Functional Human Pancreatic β Cells In Vitro. *Cell*.
2014;159(2):428-439. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.040

25. Rezania A, Bruin JE, Arora P, et al. Reversal of diabetes with insulin-producing cells derived in vitro from human
pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2014;32(11):1121-1133. doi:10.1038/nbt.3033

26. Russ HA, Parent AV, Ringler JJ, et al. Controlled induction of human pancreatic progenitors produces functional
beta-like cells *in vitro*. *EMBO J*. 2015;34(13):1759-1772. doi:10.15252/embj.201591058

27. Veres A, Faust AL, Bushnell HL, et al. Charting cellular identity during human in vitro β-cell differentiation.
Nature. 2019;569(7756):368-373. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1168-5

28. Sean DLO, Liu Z, Sun H, et al. *Single-Cell Multi-Omic Roadmap of Human Fetal Pancreatic Development*.
Developmental Biology; 2022. doi:10.1101/2022.02.17.480942

29. Seymour PA. Sox9: A Master Regulator of the Pancreatic Program. *Rev Diabet Stud*. 2014;11(1):51-83.
doi:10.1900/RDS.2014.11.51

30. Seymour PA, Freude KK, Tran MN, et al. SOX9 is required for maintenance of the pancreatic progenitor cell
pool. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007;104(6):1865-1870. doi:10.1073/pnas.0609217104

31. Aigha II, Abdelalim EM. NKX6.1 transcription factor: a crucial regulator of pancreatic β cell development,
identity, and proliferation. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. 2020;11(1):459. doi:10.1186/s13287-020-01977-0

32. Oliver-Krasinski JM, Stoffers DA. On the origin of the β cell. *Genes Dev*. 2008;22(15):1998-2021.
doi:10.1101/gad.1670808

33. Van Hoof D, D'Amour KA, German MS. Derivation of insulin-producing cells from human embryonic stem
cells. *Stem Cell Research*. 2009;3(2-3):73-87. doi:10.1016/j.scr.2009.08.003

34. Ramond C, Beydag-Tasöz BS, Azad A, et al. Understanding human fetal pancreas development using
subpopulation sorting, RNA sequencing and single-cell profiling. *Development*. Published online January 1,
2018:dev.165480. doi:10.1242/dev.165480

35. Panopoulos AD, D'Antonio M, Benaglio P, et al. iPSCORE: A Resource of 222 iPSC Lines Enabling Functional
Characterization of Genetic Variation across a Variety of Cell Types. *Stem Cell Reports*. 2017;8(4):1086-1100.
doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.03.012

36. Jin W, Jiang W. Stepwise differentiation of functional pancreatic β cells from human pluripotent stem cells. *Cell*
Regen. 2022;11(1):24. doi:10.1186/s13619-022-00125-8

37. DeBoever C, Li H, Jakubosky D, et al. Large-Scale Profiling Reveals the Influence of Genetic Variation on Gene
Expression in Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2017;20(4):533-546.e7.
doi:10.1016/j.stem.2017.03.009

38. Fadista J, Vikman P, Laakso EO, et al. Global genomic and transcriptomic analysis of human pancreatic islets
reveals novel genes influencing glucose metabolism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2014;111(38):13924-13929.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1402665111

39. GTEx Consortium. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45(6):580-585.
doi:10.1038/ng.2653

40. Jansen R, Hottenga JJ, Nivard MG, et al. Conditional eQTL analysis reveals allelic heterogeneity of gene
expression. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2017;26(8):1444-1451. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddx043

41. Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, Schadt EE, et al. Bayesian Test for Colocalisation between Pairs of Genetic
Association Studies Using Summary Statistics. Williams SM, ed. *PLoS Genet*. 2014;10(5):e1004383.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004383

42. Yan J, Qiu Y, Ribeiro dos Santos AM, et al. Systematic analysis of binding of transcription factors to noncoding
variants. *Nature*. 2021;591(7848):147-151. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03211-0

43. Garrido-Martín D, Borsari B, Calvo M, Reverter F, Guigó R. Identification and analysis of splicing quantitative
trait loci across multiple tissues in the human genome. *Nat Commun*. 2021;12(1):727. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-
20578-2

44. van de Bunt M, Manning Fox JE, Dai X, et al. Transcript Expression Data from Human Islets Links Regulatory
Signals from Genome-Wide Association Studies for Type 2 Diabetes and Glycemic Traits to Their Downstream
Effectors. Stranger BE, ed. *PLoS Genet*. 2015;11(12):e1005694. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005694

45. Chen JH, Zhao Y, Khan RAW, et al. SNX29, a new susceptibility gene shared with major mental disorders in
Han Chinese population. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*. 2021;22(7):526-534.
doi:10.1080/15622975.2020.1845793

46. Anderson D, Cordell HJ, Fakiola M, et al. First genome-wide association study in an Australian aboriginal
population provides insights into genetic risk factors for body mass index and type 2 diabetes. *PLoS One*.
2015;10(3):e0119333. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119333

47. Frankish A, Diekhans M, Ferreira AM, et al. GENCODE reference annotation for the human and mouse genomes.
Nucleic Acids Research. 2019;47(D1):D766-D773. doi:10.1093/nar/gky955

48. Thurner M, van de Bunt M, Torres JM, et al. Integration of human pancreatic islet genomic data refines regulatory mechanisms at Type 2 Diabetes susceptibility loci. *eLife*. 2018;7:e31977. doi:10.7554/eLife.31977

49. Bolt CC, Lopez-Delisle L, Hintermann A, et al. Context-dependent enhancer function revealed by targeted inter-TAD relocation. *Nat Commun*. 2022;13(1):3488. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-31241-3

50. Pan-UKB team. Published online 2020. https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org

51. Dimas AS, Lagou V, Barker A, et al. Impact of Type 2 Diabetes Susceptibility Variants on Quantitative Glycemic Traits Reveals Mechanistic Heterogeneity. *Diabetes*. 2014;63(6):2158-2171. doi:10.2337/db13-0949

52. Grarup N, Sandholt CH, Hansen T, Pedersen O. Genetic susceptibility to type 2 diabetes and obesity: from genome-wide association studies to rare variants and beyond. *Diabetologia*. 2014;57(8):1528-1541. doi:10.1007/s00125-014-3270-4

53. D'Antonio M, Nguyen JP, Arthur TD, et al. In heart failure reactivation of RNA-binding proteins is associated with the expression of 1,523 fetal-specific isoforms. Zhang Z, ed. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2022;18(2):e1009918. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009918

54. Baralle FE, Giudice J. Alternative splicing as a regulator of development and tissue identity. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2017;18(7):437-451. doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.27

55. Mazin PV, Khaitovich P, Cardoso-Moreira M, Kaessmann H. Alternative splicing during mammalian organ development. *Nat Genet*. 2021;53(6):925-934. doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00851-w

56. Brun T, Jiménez-Sánchez C, Madsen JGS, et al. AMPK Profiling in Rodent and Human Pancreatic Beta-Cells under Nutrient-Rich Metabolic Stress. *IJMS*. 2020;21(11):3982. doi:10.3390/ijms21113982

57. Minokoshi Y, Alquier T, Furukawa N, et al. AMP-kinase regulates food intake by responding to hormonal and nutrient signals in the hypothalamus. *Nature*. 2004;428(6982):569-574. doi:10.1038/nature02440

58. Shaw RJ, Lamia KA, Vasquez D, et al. The Kinase LKB1 Mediates Glucose Homeostasis in Liver and Therapeutic Effects of Metformin. *Science*. 2005;310(5754):1642-1646. doi:10.1126/science.1120781

59. Yamauchi T, Kamon J, Minokoshi Y, et al. Adiponectin stimulates glucose utilization and fatty-acid oxidation by activating AMP-activated protein kinase. *Nat Med*. 2002;8(11):1288-1295. doi:10.1038/nm788

60. Wu Y, Viana M, Thirumangalathu S, Loeken MR. AMP-activated protein kinase mediates effects of oxidative stress on embryo gene expression in a mouse model of diabetic embryopathy. *Diabetologia*. 2012;55(1):245-254. doi:10.1007/s00125-011-2326-y

61. Grant SFA, Qu HQ, Bradfield JP, et al. Follow-Up Analysis of Genome-Wide Association Data Identifies Novel
Loci for Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2009;58(1):290-295. doi:10.2337/db08-1022

62. the DIAbetes Genetics Replication And Meta-analysis (DIAGRAM) Consortium, Morris AP, Voight BF, et al.
Large-scale association analysis provides insights into the genetic architecture and pathophysiology of type 2
diabetes. *Nat Genet*. 2012;44(9):981-990. doi:10.1038/ng.2383

63. Kang HS, Kim YS, ZeRuth G, et al. Transcription Factor Glis3, a Novel Critical Player in the Regulation of
Pancreatic β-Cell Development and Insulin Gene Expression. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2009;29(24):6366-6379.
doi:10.1128/MCB.01259-09

64. Kang HS, Takeda Y, Jeon K, Jetten AM. The Spatiotemporal Pattern of Glis3 Expression Indicates a Regulatory
Function in Bipotent and Endocrine Progenitors during Early Pancreatic Development and in Beta, PP and Ductal
Cells. Blondeau B, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(6):e0157138. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157138

65. Yang Y, Chang BH, Chan L. Sustained expression of the transcription factor GLIS3 is required for normal beta
cell function in adults. *EMBO Mol Med*. 2013;5(1):92-104. doi:10.1002/emmm.201201398

66. Sams EI, Ng JK, Tate V, et al. From karyotypes to precision genomics in 9p deletion and duplication syndromes.
Human Genetics and Genomics Advances. 2022;3(1):100081. doi:10.1016/j.xhgg.2021.100081

67. Aylward A, Chiou J, Okino ML, Kadakia N, Gaulton KJ. Shared genetic risk contributes to type 1 and type 2
diabetes etiology. *Human Molecular Genetics*. Published online November 7, 2018. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddy314

68. Cao R chang, Yang W jun, Xiao W, et al. St13 protects against disordered acinar cell arachidonic acid pathway
in chronic pancreatitis. *J Transl Med*. 2022;20(1):218. doi:10.1186/s12967-022-03413-8

69. Graham SE, Clarke SL, Wu KHH, et al. The power of genetic diversity in genome-wide association studies of
lipids. *Nature*. 2021;600(7890):675-679. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04064-3

70. Barrett JC, Clayton DG, Concannon P, et al. Genome-wide association study and meta-analysis find that over 40
loci affect risk of type 1 diabetes. *Nat Genet*. 2009;41(6):703-707. doi:10.1038/ng.381

71. Tong Z, Fan Y, Zhang W, et al. Pancreas-specific Pten deficiency causes partial resistance to diabetes and
elevated hepatic AKT signaling. *Cell Res*. 2009;19(6):710-719. doi:10.1038/cr.2009.42

72. Wong H, Schotz MC. The lipase gene family. *Journal of Lipid Research*. 2002;43(7):993-999.
doi:10.1194/jlr.R200007-JLR200

73. Gaertner B, van Heesch S, Schneider-Lunitz V, et al. A human ESC-based screen identifies a role for the
translated lncRNA LINC00261 in pancreatic endocrine differentiation. *eLife*. 2020;9:e58659.
doi:10.7554/eLife.58659

74. Chang W wei, Zhang L, Yao X ming, et al. Upregulation of long non-coding RNA MEG3 in type 2 diabetes
mellitus complicated with vascular disease: a case–control study. *Mol Cell Biochem*. 2020;473(1-2):93-99.
doi:10.1007/s11010-020-03810-x

75. Kameswaran V, Bramswig NC, McKenna LB, et al. Epigenetic Regulation of the DLK1-MEG3 MicroRNA
Cluster in Human Type 2 Diabetic Islets. *Cell Metabolism*. 2014;19(1):135-145. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2013.11.016

76. Kameswaran V, Golson ML, Ramos-Rodríguez M, et al. The Dysregulation of the *DLK1 - MEG3* Locus in Islets
From Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Is Mimicked by Targeted Epimutation of Its Promoter With TALE-DNMT
Constructs. *Diabetes*. 2018;67(9):1807-1815. doi:10.2337/db17-0682

77. Onengut-Gumuscu S, Chen WM, Burren O, et al. Fine mapping of type 1 diabetes susceptibility loci and evidence
for colocalization of causal variants with lymphoid gene enhancers. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(4):381-386.
doi:10.1038/ng.3245

78. Chen J, Liu Y, Min J, et al. Alternative splicing of lncRNAs in human diseases. *Am J Cancer Res*.
2021;11(3):624-639.

79. Wheeler E, Leong A, Liu CT, et al. Impact of common genetic determinants of Hemoglobin A1c on type 2
diabetes risk and diagnosis in ancestrally diverse populations: A transethnic genome-wide meta-analysis. *PLoS*
Med. 2017;14(9):e1002383. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002383

80. Parnaud G, Lavallard V, Bedat B, et al. Cadherin engagement improves insulin secretion of single human β-cells.
Diabetes. 2015;64(3):887-896. doi:10.2337/db14-0257

81. Pulit SL, Stoneman C, Morris AP, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for body fat
distribution in 694 649 individuals of European ancestry. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2019;28(1):166-174.
doi:10.1093/hmg/ddy327

82. Sakaue S, Kanai M, Tanigawa Y, et al. A cross-population atlas of genetic associations for 220 human
phenotypes. *Nat Genet*. 2021;53(10):1415-1424. doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00931-x

83. Vujkovic M, Keaton JM, Lynch JA, et al. Discovery of 318 new risk loci for type 2 diabetes and related vascular
outcomes among 1.4 million participants in a multi-ancestry meta-analysis. *Nat Genet*. 2020;52(7):680-691.
doi:10.1038/s41588-020-0637-y

84.   Zhu Z, Guo Y, Shi H, et al. Shared genetic and experimental links between obesity-related traits and asthma
subtypes in UK Biobank. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2020;145(2):537-549. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2019.09.035

85.   Calogero S, Grassi F, Aguzzi A, et al. The lack of chromosomal protein Hmg1 does not disrupt cell growth but
causes lethal hypoglycaemia in newborn mice. *Nat Genet*. 1999;22(3):276-280. doi:10.1038/10338

86.   Wang Y, Zhong J, Zhang X, et al. The Role of HMGB1 in the Pathogenesis of Type 2 Diabetes. *J Diabetes Res*.
2016;2016:2543268. doi:10.1155/2016/2543268

87.   Chen C, Yu W, Tober J, et al. Spatial Genome Re-organization between Fetal and Adult Hematopoietic Stem
Cells. *Cell Reports*. 2019;29(12):4200-4211.e7. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.065

88.   Gorkin DU, Barozzi I, Zhao Y, et al. An atlas of dynamic chromatin landscapes in mouse fetal development.
Nature. 2020;583(7818):744-751. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2093-3

89.   Zhang K, Hocker JD, Miller M, et al. A single-cell atlas of chromatin accessibility in the human genome. *Cell*.
2021;184(24):5985-6001.e19. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.10.024

90.   Ong C, Corces VG. Enhancers: emerging roles in cell fate specification. *EMBO Rep*. 2012;13(5):423-430.
doi:10.1038/embor.2012.52

91.   Su CH, D D, Tarn WY. Alternative Splicing in Neurogenesis and Brain Development. *Front Mol Biosci*.
2018;5:12. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2018.00012

92.   Urbut SM, Wang G, Carbonetto P, Stephens M. Flexible statistical methods for estimating and testing effects in
genomic studies with multiple conditions. *Nat Genet*. 2019;51(1):187-195. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0268-8

93.   Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, et al. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience*. 2021;10(2):1-4.
doi:10.1093/gigascience/giab008

94.   Israel MA, Yuan SH, Bardy C, et al. Probing sporadic and familial Alzheimer's disease using induced pluripotent
stem cells. *Nature*. 2012;482(7384):216-220. doi:10.1038/nature10821

95.   D'Antonio-Chronowska A, D'Antonio M, Frazer K. In vitro Differentiation of Human iPSC-derived
Cardiovascular Progenitor Cells (iPSC-CVPCs). *Bio-Protocol*. 2020;10(18):1-43. doi:10.21769/bioprotoc.3755

96.   Harrow J, Frankish A, Gonzalez JM, et al. GENCODE: The reference human genome annotation for the
ENCODE project. *Genome Research*. 2012;22(9):1760-1774. doi:10.1101/gr.135350.111

97. Kang HM, Subramaniam M, Targ S, et al. Multiplexed droplet single-cell RNA-sequencing using natural genetic
variation. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2018;36(1):89-94. doi:10.1038/nbt.4042

98. Veres A, Faust AL, Bushnell HL, et al. Charting cellular identity during human in vitro β-cell differentiation.
Nature. 2019;569(7756):368-373. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1168-5

99. Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, Satija R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different
conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2018;36(5):411-420. doi:10.1038/nbt.4096

100. D'Antonio-Chronowska A, Donovan MKR, Young Greenwald WW, et al. Association of Human iPSC Gene
Signatures and X Chromosome Dosage with Two Distinct Cardiac Differentiation Trajectories. *Stem Cell
Reports*. 2019;13(5):924-938. doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2019.09.011

101. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*.
2013;29(1):15-21. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

102. Shaun Purcell CC. PLINK 1.9.0.

103. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Corresponding authors, Auton A, et al. A global reference for human
genetic variation. *Nature*. 2015;526(7571):68-74. doi:10.1038/nature15393

104. Danecek P, McCarthy SA, HipSci Consortium, Durbin R. A Method for Checking Genomic Integrity in Cultured
Cell Lines from SNP Genotyping Data. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(5):e0155014. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155014

105. Li H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical
parameter   estimation   from   sequencing   data.   *Bioinformatics*.   2011;27(21):2987-2993.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr509

106. Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference
genome. *BMC Bioinformatics*. Published online 2011. doi:10.1201/b16589

107. Trapnell C, Cacchiarelli D, Grimsby J, et al. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by
pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2014;32(4):381-386. doi:10.1038/nbt.2859

108. Newman AM, Steen CB, Liu CL, et al. Determining cell type abundance and expression from bulk tissues with
digital cytometry. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2019;37(7):773-782. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0114-2

109. Casale FP, Rakitsch B, Lippert C, Stegle O. Efficient set tests for the genetic analysis of correlated traits. *Nat
Methods*. 2015;12(8):755-758. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3439

110. Huang QQ, Ritchie SC, Brozynska M, Inouye M. Power, false discovery rate and Winner's Curse in eQTL
studies. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2018;46(22):e133-e133. doi:10.1093/nar/gky780

111. Van Nostrand EL, Pratt GA, Shishkin AA, et al. Robust transcriptome-wide discovery of RNA-binding protein
binding sites with enhanced CLIP (eCLIP). *Nat Methods*. 2016;13(6):508-514. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3810

112. Lee D, Gorkin DU, Baker M, et al. A method to predict the impact of regulatory variants from DNA sequence.
Nat Genet. 2015;47(8):955-961. doi:10.1038/ng.3331

113. Csardi, Gabor N Tamas. The igraph software package for complex network research. *InterJounal*. 2006;Complex
Systems:1695.

114. Mahajan A, Taliun D, Thurner M, et al. Fine-mapping type 2 diabetes loci to single-variant resolution using high-
density imputation and islet-specific epigenome maps. *Nature Genetics*. 2018;50(11):1505-1513.
doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0241-6

115. Chen J, Spracklen CN, Marenne G, et al. The trans-ancestral genomic architecture of glycemic traits. *Nature
Genetics*. 2021;53(6):840-860. doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00852-9

116. Bioconductor Package Maintainer. liftOver: Changing genomic coordinate systems with rtracklayer::liftOver.
Published online 2022.

1404