Novel epigenetic-metabolic inhibitor combination treatment blocks platinum-induced ovarian

cancer stem cell enrichment

Riddhi Sood[1], Sikai Xiao[2], Shruthi Sriramkumar[2], Christiane Hassel[3], Kenneth P. Nephew[2,4,5],

Heather M. O'Hagan[2,4,6,#]

[1]Genome, Cell and Developmental Biology, Department of Biology, Indiana University

Bloomington, Bloomington, IN, 47405, USA

[2]Cell, Molecular and Cancer Biology Graduate Program and Medical Sciences Program, Indiana

University School of Medicine, Bloomington, IN, 47405, USA

[3]Flow Cytometry Core Facility, Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN

47405, USA

[4]Indiana University Melvin and Bren Simon Comprehensive Cancer Center, Indianapolis, IN,

46202, USA

[5]Department of Anatomy, Cell Biology and Physiology; Department of Obstetrics and

Gynecology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, 46202, USA

[6]Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine,

Indianapolis, IN, 46202, USA

[#]Corresponding author. 1001 East 3rd Street, Bloomington, IN 47405. (812) 855-3035.

hmohagan@indiana.edu.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Key words: BRCA1, DNA hypermethylation, chemoresistance, ovarian cancer, DNA damage

response, NAD^+, ovarian cancer stem cells, DNMT inhibitor, NAMPT

Abstract

High grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC) is the most common and aggressive type of ovarian cancer. Platinum resistance is a common occurrence in HGSOC and a main cause of tumor relapse resulting in high patient mortality rates. Recurrent ovarian cancer is enriched in aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH)+ ovarian cancer stem cells (OCSCs), which are resistant to platinum agents. We demonstrated that acute platinum treatment induced a DNA damage-dependent decrease in BRCA1 levels through *BRCA1* promoter DNA hypermethylation. In a parallel pathway associated with G2/M arrest, platinum treatment also induced an increase in expression of *NAMPT*, the rate limiting regulator of NAD^+ production from the salvage pathway, and NAD^+ levels, the cofactor required for ALDH activity. Both decreased BRCA1 and increased NAD^+ levels were required for the platinum-induced enrichment of OCSCs, and inhibition of both DNA methyltransferases (DNMT) and NAMPT synergistically abrogated the platinum-induced increase in OCSCs. We conclude that these two separate pathways lead to platinum-induced OCSC enrichment, providing preclinical evidence that in the neoadjuvant setting, combining DNMT and NAMPT inhibitors with platinum has the potential to reduce OC reoccurrence.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OC) is the 5th leading cause of cancer related death among women (1).

High grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC), the most aggressive type of OC, accounts for 70-80%

of all OC cases (1). The majority of OC cases are detected at an advanced stage and survival rate

for patients diagnosed with stage IV disease is a dismal 17% (2). The primary therapy for the

management of advanced stage OC continues to include a combination of surgery and

chemotherapy and while most patients achieve a complete remission, the majority will recur and

subsequently develop chemotherapy resistant disease (3).

We and others have shown that a subpopulation of cells called ovarian cancer stem cells

(OCSCs) preferentially survive after treatment with platinum-based chemotherapeutic drugs (4),

are enriched in recurrent tumors (5) and are at least partially responsible for chemotherapy

resistance (6). Several markers have been used to identify OCSCs, including the activity of

aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) enzymes. ALDH1 is overexpressed in OCSCs and *ALDH1*

expression correlates with worse OC survival and platinum resistance (4, 7). ALDH+ cells have

tumor initiating capacity, form spheroids in non-adherent conditions, and express stem cell

markers (4, 8), all criteria of functional CSCs.

Standard of care platinum-based chemotherapeutic drugs (cisplatin, carboplatin) cause

DNA damage in the form of platinum-DNA adducts (9), which activate the DNA damage response

(DDR). The DDR can result in DNA repair, cell cycle arrest, and/or apoptosis (10, 11). Altered DDR

is thought to contribute to the ability of OCSCs to survive chemotherapy. For example, OCSCs

have higher levels of DDR pathway activation through phosphorylation of DDR factors like ataxia

telangiectasia mutated (ATM), checkpoint kinase 1 (CHK1) and CHK2 (12). The tumor suppressor

breast cancer 1 (BRCA1) plays an important role in regulating the DDR through interaction of its

various functional domains with proteins required for cell cycle regulation, tumor suppression,

and DNA repair (13-16). About 40% of women with a family history of OC have *BRCA1/2* mutation

or promoter hypermethylation (2).

Tumors with *BRCA1* mutation frequently undergo locus-specific loss of heterozygosity

(LOH), resulting in loss of the wildtype copy of *BRCA1* and subsequent increased sensitivity to

chemotherapy due to defective homologous recombination DNA repair (17). Patients with *BRCA1*

mutant tumors without locus-specific LOH have significantly lower percentage of survival

compared to patients with *BRCA1* mutation with locus-specific LOH (17), indicating partial loss of

BRCA1 functions as a secondary mode of resistance to chemotherapy. Furthermore, as survival

rates of patients with BRCA1 promoter DNA hypermethylation were lower compared to patients

with BRCA1 mutation (18), and the fact the vast majority of HGSOC have a wildtype *BRCA1* (2), a

better understanding of the role of wildtype BRCA1 in response to platinum agents is of critical

importance.

The metabolite nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD^+) plays a key role in major

metabolic pathways including glycolysis, tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, and oxidative

phosphorylation (19). Furthermore, NAD^+ is a co-factor for ALDH enzymes and essential for the

ALDH-mediated conversion of aldehydes to carboxylic acids (20, 21). Ovarian tumors with

reduced expression of *BRCA1* through *BRCA1* promoter DNA hypermethylation or mutation have

increased levels of NAD^+ and expression of nicotinamide phosphoribosyltranferase (NAMPT), the

rate limiting regulator of NAD^+ synthesis from the salvage pathway (22). Furthermore, NAMPT

has been shown to promote platinum-induced senescence-associated OCSCs (23). Therefore,

studying the role of metabolites in the response to platinum treatment is important to

understand how chemoresistance develops.

With OCSCs known involvement in chemoresistance and tumor reoccurrence, we sought

to mechanistically study how platinum treatment induces OCSC enrichment and develop

strategies to combat this enrichment. We demonstrate that two separate pathways drive

platinum-induced OCSC enrichment, one involving epigenetic-mediated silencing of BRCA1 and

the other altered energy metabolism. Cisplatin treatment resulted in a DDR-dependent decrease

in *BRCA1* expression through *BRCA1* promoter DNA hypermethylation as well as a G2/M cell cycle

arrest-related increase in *NAMPT* expression and subsequent increase in cellular NAD^+ levels.

Importantly, combined treatment with a DNA methyltranferase (DNMT) and a NAMPT inhibitor

synergistically abrogated the cisplatin-induced OCSC enrichment. Our findings support using a

novel epigenetic-metabolic inhibitor combination in the neoadjuvant setting to reduce the

platinum-induced enrichment of OCSCs and avert the development of platinum resistance in OC.

Results

Cisplatin treatment enriches for ALDH+ cells

Advanced stage OC patients frequently have residual tumor cells following

chemotherapy. To determine whether OCSCs are enriched by platinum chemotherapy, we

treated HGSOC cell lines OVCAR5, OVSAHO and OVCAR3 with corresponding IC_{50} doses of

cisplatin (24) and analyzed the percentage of ALDH+ (%ALDH+) cells using the ALDEFLUOR assay

(4). To investigate acute effects, we first conducted a time course study in OVCAR5 cells (3 to 16

h). The %ALDH+ cells significantly increased after treatment with cisplatin for 8 h and 16 h (Fig.

1A, Supplementary Fig. S1A). Similarly, cisplatin treatment for 16 h increased the %ALDH+ cells

in OVSAHO (5.8% to 10.8%) and OVCAR3 (20% to 28%) cell lines (Fig. 1A). PEO1, a *BRCA2* mutant

OC cell line (25), had lower initial levels of %ALDH+ cells and a smaller but significant cisplatin-

induced increase in %ALDH+ cells at 16 h (Supplementary Fig. S1B). However, in the *BRCA1*

mutant COV362 (26) cell line, no increase in %ALDH+ cells after acute cisplatin treatment was

observed (Supplementary Fig. S1B). To confirm that the increase in %ALDH+ cells was associated

with a stemness phenotype, we tested the ability of platinum-treated OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells

to form spheroids in anchorage independent conditions. When cells were plated in stem cell

media, cisplatin pretreated cells were more spheroid-like compared to UT (Fig. 1B). Using a cell

viability assay based on intracellular esterase activity, there were significantly more viable cells

in the spheroids generated from cells pretreated with cisplatin than from UT cells (Fig. 1B).

Isotypes of ALDH1 - *ALDH1A1*, *ALDH1A2* and *ALDH1A3* are linked to stemness of OC cells

(27). Depending on the tumor type and cell line, different isozymes of ALDH1 are overexpressed

– *ALDH1A3* in OVCAR5 and *ALDH1A1* in OVSAHO (Supplementary Fig. S1C). We hypothesized that

cisplatin may induce enrichment of ALDH+ cells by altering ALDH expression. However, no change

in expression of *ALDH1A1/A2/A3* isoforms after treatment with cisplatin was observed in

OVCAR5 cells (Supplementary Fig. S1C). In OVSAHO cells, no change in expression of the major

ALDH1 isoform in these cells, *ALDH1A1*, was observed after cisplatin treatment, although

ALDH1A2 and *ALDH1A3* isoforms significantly decreased and increased, respectively, after

cisplatin treatment (Supplementary Fig. S1C). Because *ALDH1A1* is expressed approximately 100-

fold more than *ALDH1A3*, *ALDH1A1* likely contributes to the majority of ALDH activity in this cell

line suggesting the change in *ALDH1A3* expression has no detectable effect on ALDH activity.

Additionally, even though cisplatin caused the expected increase in the DNA damage marker

phosphorylated histone 2AX (γH2AX) over a cisplatin time course, there was no significant change

in ALDH1 protein levels in OVCAR5 cells (Supplementary Fig. 1D).

BRCA1 is known to play a role in OC (2) and the DDR (13-16). As BRCA1 levels have been

linked to an interstrand crosslink (ICL)-dependent increase in stemness (28), we assayed BRCA1

expression following cisplatin treatment. *BRCA1* RNA expression levels in OVCAR5, OVSAHO and

BRCA2-mutant PEO1 significantly decreased by 16 h after cisplatin treatment (Fig. 1C).

Furthermore, BRCA1 protein levels correspondingly decreased and correlated with increased

γH2AX levels in cisplatin treated cells (Fig. 1D). Taken together, these data suggest that acute

cisplatin treatment enriched for ALDH+ cells with stemness properties and decreased *BRCA1*

levels. The minimal change in ALDH1 level after cisplatin treatment further suggested that

another mechanism contributes to the cisplatin-induced enrichment of ALDH+ cells.

The cisplatin-induced decrease in *BRCA1* levels is associated with *BRCA1* promoter DNA

hypermethylation

BRCA1 expression is regulated through different mechanisms, including promoter DNA

hypermethylation-associated gene silencing (29). Corresponding to the platinum-induced

decrease in BRCA1 expression, there was a significant increase in *BRCA1* promoter DNA

methylation after 3 h, 8 h and 16 h cisplatin treatment as assayed by quantitative methylation-

specific PCR (qMSP) in OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells (Fig. 2A). Bisulfite sequencing of 12 CpG sites

within the *BRCA1* promoter region confirmed the increase in methylated CpGs after 16 h cisplatin

treatment compared to untreated (UT) cells (Fig. 2B). Total methylation percentage calculated

using the bisulfite sequencing data further demonstrated an increase in *BRCA1* promoter DNA

methylation after cisplatin treatment (Fig. 2C).

DNA methylation is catalyzed by DNMTs. DNMT1 is predominantly a maintenance DNA

methyltransferase whereas DNMT3B and DNMT3A are predominantly *de novo* DNMTs (30).

Cisplatin treatment increased the levels of DNMT1 and DNMT3B protein (Fig. 2D), corresponding

to the timing of the *BRCA1* promoter hypermethylation and increase in the DNA damage marker

γH2AX (Fig. 2D). Altogether this data demonstrates that the cisplatin-induced decrease in *BRCA1*

expression is associated with promoter DNA hypermethylation.

The cisplatin-induced decrease in BRCA1 is essential for the associated increase in %ALDH+ cells

To determine if a decrease in *BRCA1* expression is sufficient to increase the %ALDH+ cells,

we altered BRCA1 levels by using stable shRNA mediated knockdown (KD) in OVCAR5 cells. BRCA1

KD using two different shRNAs reduced *BRCA1* expression compared to empty vector (EV) shRNA

cells to level similar to EV cells treated with cisplatin (Fig. 3A). Additionally, BRCA1 protein levels

decreased after BRCA1 KD compared to EV to a level similar to EV cells treated with cisplatin (Fig.

3B). BRCA1 shRNA1 KD cells had similar baseline %ALDH+ cells compared to EV (Fig. 3C). The

slight increase in %ALDH+ cells in BRCA1 shRNA2 KD compared to EV cells was significantly less

than the cisplatin-induced increase in EV cells.

Because the effect of altering BRCA1 levels on the %ALDH+ cells was limited, we

hypothesized that DNA damage caused by cisplatin is important to induce an increase in %ALDH+

cells. ATM, one of the first proteins to be recruited to DNA damage sites (31), is responsible for

phosphorylation of downstream targets like H2AX and the activation of downstream DNA repair

pathways (32). Inhibiting ATM (ATMi) using KU-55933 (30) reduced cisplatin-induced levels of

active, phosphorylated ATM in OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells (Supplementary Fig. S2A). Consistent

with our previous results (Fig. 1C), *BRCA1* levels decreased after cisplatin only treatment (Fig.

3D). *BRCA1* expression after treatment with ATMi only was similar to DMSO treated in both cell

lines (Fig. 3D). ATMi and cisplatin dual treatment prevented the cisplatin-induced decrease in

BRCA1 expression, with *BRCA1* levels being similar to DMSO treated cells in both cell lines.

Consistent with the association between decreased *BRCA1* levels and increased %ALDH+ cells,

combining ATMi with cisplatin treatment prevented the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+

cells compared to cisplatin treatment only in both cell lines (Fig. 3E). ATMi treatment itself did

not alter the %ALDH+ cells in OVCAR5 cells but decreased the %ALDH+ cells compared to DMSO

treated cells in OVSAHO cells (Fig. 3E).

Next, we transiently transfected cells with a plasmid that drives expression of *BRCA1* using

an exogenous promoter lacking the normal *BRCA1* regulatory regions, including the promoter

CpG island (CpGi-null BRCA1; Supplementary Fig. 2B). Cells transfected with CpGi-null BRCA1 had

higher levels of *BRCA1* expression when compared to UT EV transfected cells in OVCAR5 and

OVSAHO cells (Fig. 3F). Cisplatin treatment decreased *BRCA1* expression in CpGi-null BRCA1

transfected OVSAHO cells but not in OVCAR5 cells compared to UT CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected

cells but *BRCA1* levels remained higher than EV cells treated with or without cisplatin in both cell

lines (Fig. 3F). Similarly, BRCA1 protein levels were higher in UT CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected

OVCAR5 cells compared to UT EV transfected cells (Fig. 3G). BRCA1 protein levels decreased in

cisplatin-treated CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected cells compared to UT CpGi-null BRCA1 and UT EV

transfected cells but remained higher than cisplatin treated EV transfected cells (Fig. 3G). As

expected, γH2AX levels increased in cells treated with cisplatin with EV or CpGi-null BRCA1

transfection (Fig. 3G).

To determine how maintaining BRCA1 levels effects platinum-induced OCSC enrichment,

the ALDFLUOR assay was performed in UT and cisplatin-treated EV and CpGi-null BRCA1

transfected cells. UT CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected cells had similar baseline %ALDH+ cells as UT

EV transfected cells in both OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells (Fig. 3H). Importantly, even though

cisplatin increased the %ALDH+ cells in EV cells as expected, there was no increase in %ALDH+

cells after cisplatin treatment in CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected cells in both cell lines. Collectively,

these data demonstrate that the effect of altering BRCA1 levels without DNA damage on %ALDH+

cells is limited, maintaining BRCA1 expression prevents the platinum-induced increase in %ALDH+

cells and the cisplatin-induced decrease in BRCA1 levels below the level in EV cells contributes to

the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells.

Decitabine treatment abrogates the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells

DNA hypomethylating agents like decitabine (DAC) have been shown to re-sensitize

platinum-resistant OC cells to platinum (33). Here, we used low dose DAC to determine the role

of DNA methylation in the cisplatin-induced changes observed above. Bisulfite sequencing of 12

CpG sites within the *BRCA1* promoter after DAC only and DAC +cisplatin dual treatment

confirmed that DAC treatment prevented the *BRCA1* promoter DNA hypermethylation caused by

cisplatin treatment alone (Supplementary Fig. S3, Fig. 4A). Corresponding to DAC blocking

platinum-induced *BRCA1* DNA hypermethylation (Fig. 2), dual treatment with DAC and cisplatin

resulted in significantly higher *BRCA1* expression levels than cisplatin treatment alone in both

OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells, maintaining *BRCA1* levels at or above those in UT cells (Fig. 4B). With

cisplatin treatment only, BRCA1 protein levels decreased (Fig. 4C; consistent with Fig. 1D).

Importantly, BRCA1 protein levels were maintained after dual treatment of DAC and cisplatin to

similar levels as UT cells (Fig. 4C). Unexpectedly, DAC treatment alone resulted in increased

BRCA1 expression and protein levels when compared to UT (Fig. 4B, C), suggesting that additional

CpGs may be methylated at baseline in the *BRCA1* promoter than those interrogated or an

indirect mechanism of DAC regulation of BRCA1 levels. Consistent with DNMT protein

degradation in response to DAC treatment (34), DNMT1 and DNMT3B protein levels decreased

in DAC treated cells with or without cisplatin treatment (Fig. 4C) and regardless of DAC treatment,

γH2AX levels in cells treated with cisplatin increased as expected (Fig. 4C).

Next, the effect of low dose DAC on cisplatin-induced enrichment of OCSCs was

determined. OVCAR5 cells treated with DAC had similar baseline %ALDH+ cells as UT while

OVSAHO cells had significantly lower %ALDH+ cells than UT (Fig. 4D). As expected, cisplatin

treatment increased in %ALDH+ cells in both cell lines and dual treatment with DAC and cisplatin

blocked the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells with the %ALDH+ cells after dual

treatment being similar to UT and/or DAC only treated cells (Fig. 4D).

To determine the role of low dose DAC and cisplatin dual treatment on OCSC survival, we

examined the ability of pretreated cells to grow as spheroids in stem cell media. OVCAR5 and

OVSAHO cells pretreated with cisplatin alone generated spheroids with increased viability

compared to non-pretreated cells (4E; consistent with Fig. 1B). Spheroids derived from DAC

pretreated only cells had similar viability as spheroids generated from non-pretreated cells (Fig.

4E). Dual pretreatment of DAC and cisplatin abrogated the cisplatin-induced spheroid formation

and increase in viable cells. Altogether, these data demonstrate that low dose DAC treatment

can prevent the platinum-induced enrichment of OCSCs, likely by maintaining BRCA1 expression.

G2/M cell cycle arrest results in an increase %ALDH+ cells

Because platinum induces cell cycle arrest (35), we studied if cell cycle arrest is related to

the cisplatin-induced enrichment of OCSCs. In UT OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells, a higher percentage

of ALDH+ cells were in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle than ALDH- cells (OVCAR5: ALDH- = 4.4%,

ALDH+ = 15.3%, OVSAHO: ALDH - = 11.6%, ALDH+ = 34%) (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. 4A). This

data is consistent with a prior study demonstrating a higher proportion of ALDH+ cells in G2/M

than ALDH- cells in other OC cell lines (35). Additionally, after treatment with cisplatin, there was

an expected increase in total cells in G2/M for both OVCAR5 ALDH- and ALDH+ cells (Fig. 5B;

ALDH+, 25.6% to 30.3%; ALDH-, 10.3% to 27.3%).

To determine if G2/M arrest is important for the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+

cells (Fig. 1A), we induced G2/M arrest independent of platinum treatment through cyclin-

dependent kinase 1 inhibition (CDK1i) with the CDK inhibitor RO-3306 (36). CDK1 is a master

regulator of the cellular transition from G2 to M phase (37). CDK1i treatment increased the

percentage of cells in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle as compared UT and DMSO treatment to

a level that was similar to or higher than levels after cisplatin treatment in OVSAHO and OVCAR5

cells, respectively (Fig. 5C). Comparably to cisplatin, CDK1i treatment resulted in a significant

increase in %ALDH+ cells compared to UT in both cell lines (Fig. 5D). As a control for CDK1i

treatment, we determined total cells positive for phosphorylated Ser10 H3, a marker of active

mitosis. Compared to UT cells, there was a decrease in cells positive for phosphorylated Ser10

H3 after cisplatin and CDK1i treatments (UT: 2%, DMSO: 2.3%, cisplatin: 0.3% and CDK1i: 0.1%;

Supplementary Fig. S4B); indicating G2/M arrest after cisplatin or CDK1i treatment, as expected.

Additionally, unlike cisplatin treatment, CDK1i treatment did not induce DNA damage compared

to UT as measured by γH2AX levels in OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells (Supplementary Fig S4C), further

supporting that G2/M arrest contributes to the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells.

NAMPT inhibition abrogates cisplatin-induced enrichment of ALDH+ cells

A key co-factor of ALDH activity is NAD^+ (38), and increased levels of NAD^+ and its rate-

limiting regulator, NAMPT, have been shown to promote cancer cell survival and were associated

with chemo-resistance and low patient survival (19, 39). Increased NAD^+ levels were also

reported to drive a platinum-induced increase in senescence-associated OCSCs (23). We

observed that NAD^+ levels were significantly higher in cells after 16 h of cisplatin treatment than

in UT cells (Fig. 6A). In addition, *NAMPT* expression levels increased after 16 h acute cisplatin

treatment suggesting that the cisplatin-induced increase in NAD^+ levels was due to a cisplatin-

induced increase in *NAMPT* expression (Fig. 6B). Because the increase in *NAMPT* expression was

highest after 16h cisplatin treatment, we determined *NAMPT* expression in OVSAHO, PEO1 and

COV362 cell lines at this time point and observed similar cisplatin-induced increases in *NAMPT*

expression (Fig. 6B and Supplementary Fig. S5). To determine if blocking the cisplatin-induced

increase in NAD^+ prevented the increase in %ALDH+ cells, we treated cells with the NAMPT

inhibitor- STF-118804 (NAMPTi), which has been shown to reduce cell viability in solid tumors

(40). After cisplatin treatment with or without DMSO, NAD^+ levels increased compared to UT (Fig.

6C; consistent with Fig. 6A); furthermore, dual treatment with NAMPTi and cisplatin prevented

the cisplatin-induced increase in NAD$^+$ levels when compared to cisplatin treatment with or

without DMSO and were similar to control levels or NAMPTi only (Fig. 6C).

Next, we examined the effect of dual treatment with NAMPTi and cisplatin on the

cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells. OVCAR5 cells treated with NAMPTi had decreased

%ALDH+ cells relative to UT and DMSO treated cells, while OVSAHO NAMPTi treated cells had

similar %ALDH+ cells as UT and DMSO treated cells (Fig. 6D). As expected, there was a significant

increase in %ALDH+ cells after cisplatin treatment when compared to UT or DMSO treated cells

in both cell lines. In contrast, dual treatment of NAMPTi and cisplatin prevented the cisplatin-

induced increase in %ALDH+ cells, with the %ALDH+ cells in the dual treated samples being

decreased or similar to levels in UT and DMSO treated cells in OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells,

respectively. Further, the effect of NAMPT inhibition on cisplatin-induced enrichment of OCSCs

was investigated based on the ability of pretreated cells to grow as spheroids in stem cell media.

As expected, cisplatin +DMSO pretreated cells were more spheroid like than UT and DMSO

pretreated OVCAR5 cells (Fig. 6E, consistent with Fig. 1B). Spheroids viability after NAMPTi

pretreatment was higher than DMSO pretreated cells but lower compared to cisplatin pretreated

cells, and dual pretreatment with NAMPTi and cisplatin abrogated the cisplatin-induced spheroid

formation and increase in viable cells (Fig. 6E). Additionally, dual pretreated cells had similar or

lower viability as spheroids generated from DMSO or NAMPTi pretreated cells, respectively.

These data demonstrate that cisplatin induced an increase in NAD$^+$ levels through increased

expression of the rate-limiting enzyme, *NAMPT*, and NAMPT inhibition abrogated the cisplatin-

induced enrichment of ALDH+ cells.

Cisplatin treatment induces two separate pathways to increase %ALDH+ cells

To further explore how decreased *BRCA1* expression, increased NAD$^+$ levels and G2/M

arrest are interconnected during cisplatin-induced OCSC enrichment, we assayed *BRCA1* and

NAMPT expression after CDK1 inhibition, BRCA1 overexpression and DAC treatment. In contrast

to the cisplatin-induced decrease in *BRCA1* expression (Fig. 1C), *BRCA1* expression levels

increased after CDK1i treatment in OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells relative to UT and/or DMSO

treated cells (Fig. 7A). However, consistent with the cisplatin treatment data, *NAMPT* RNA

expression levels increased after CDK1i treatment in both cell lines compared to UT and/or DMSO

treated cells (Fig. 7B). The level of NAMPT expression was higher in CDK1i compared to cisplatin

treated OVSAHO cells (Fig. 7B).

These data suggest that G2/M cell cycle arrest and *NAMPT* expression but not *BRCA1*

expression are connected, and to further confirm this relationship, we assayed *NAMPT*

expression and NAD$^+$ levels in CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected cells, which we had previously

demonstrated did not undergo cisplatin-induced enrichment of OCSC due to sustained BRCA1

expression (Fig. 3H). In EV and CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected OVCAR5 cells, *NAMPT* expression was

elevated to a similar level relative to non-transfected UT cells and no further increase in NAMPT

expression in transfected cells was observed after cisplatin treatment (Fig. 7C) even though,

consistent with our previous results (Fig. 5B), cisplatin treatment increased *NAMPT* expression in

non-transfected OVCAR5 cells. However, in OVSAHO cells, cisplatin treatment increased *NAMPT*

expression in both EV and CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected cells compared to the UT transfected

controls (Fig. 7C). In the absence of cisplatin treatment, *NAMPT* expression was similar in EV or

CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected OVSAHO cells. Furthermore, CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected cells had

similar baseline NAD$^+$ levels as EV and non-transfected OVCAR5 cells (Fig. 7D) and NAD$^+$ levels

increased after cisplatin treatment in non-transfected cells (Fig. 7D), as expected. Cisplatin

treatment still increased NAD$^+$ levels in EV and CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected cells compared to UT

controls although to a lesser extent than in non-transfected cells (Fig. 7D). This data suggests that

even though maintaining BRCA1 expression blocks the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+

cells, cisplatin treatment still increases NAD$^+$ levels.

BRCA1 overexpression has been previously connected to an increase of cells in the G2/M

phase of the cell cycle (41). So, it was of interest to determine if changes in the cell cycle were

driving the effect on platinum-induced OCSC enrichment when *BRCA1* expression was

maintained by either CpGi-null transfection or DAC treatment. UT CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected

cells had a higher percentage of cells in G2/M phase of the cell cycle when compared to UT non-

transfected or EV transfected OVCAR5 cells (Supplementary Fig. 6). Cisplatin treatment of CpGi-

null BRCA1 transfected cells increased total cells in G2/M phase of the cell cycle compared to

cisplatin treated non-transfected cells and EV transfected cells as well as all types of UT cells. EV

transfected cells treated with or without cisplatin had higher percentage of cells in G2/M phase

of the cell cycle compared to UT non-transfected cells controls, which may explain the elevated

NAMPT expression in these cells (Fig. 7C). Even though DAC treatment blocked the platinum-

induced decrease in *BRCA1* expression (Fig. 4A) and increase in %ALDH+ cells (Fig. 4C), DAC

treatment alone or in combination with cisplatin still elevated *NAMPT* expression compared to

UT, and the level was similar to (or higher) than cells treated with cisplatin (Fig. 7E). Altogether,

these data indicate that G2/M cell cycle arrest and the associated change in *NAMPT* expression

were required for platinum-induced OCSC enrichment independently of decreased *BRCA1*

expression. Furthermore, our previous ATMi data indicated that DNA damage and/or the DDR

were required for the platinum-induced decrease in *BRCA1* expression and are separate from cell

cycle related changes in *NAMPT* expression.

Dual DNMTi and NAMPTi treatment abrogate the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells

The above observations indicated that both the cisplatin-induced decrease in BRCA1

levels and increase in *NAMPT* expression and NAD$^+$ levels were required for the platinum-induced

increase in %ALDH+ cells. Thus, two pathways contributed to the cisplatin-induced enrichment

of OCSCs, namely the DDR-linked decrease in BRCA1 expression and the cell cycle-linked increase

in *NAMPT* expression and NAD$^+$ levels. Although inhibiting either pathway alone with low

concentrations of DAC (Fig. 4) or NAMPTi (Fig. 6) abrogated the cisplatin-induced enrichment of

OCSC, we hypothesized that combining very low dose treatment of the two inhibitors would

impact both pathways and further abrogate the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells.

First, several concentrations of DAC and NAMPTi were used alone to determine doses of

each that had minimal to no effect on the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells (NAMPTi -

12.5 nM; DAC - 10 nM and 20 nM; Supplementary Fig. S7A, B). Then, we sought to determine if

combining selected lower doses of each drug would prevent the cisplatin-induced increase in

%ADLH+ cells. As expected, cells treated with individual very low dose DAC and low dose NAMPTi

in combination with cisplatin had similar increases in %ALDH+ cells as cells treated with cisplatin

alone in OVCAR5 and OVSAHO cells (Fig. 8A). Importantly, combination treatment of very low

dose DAC with low dose NAMPTi and cisplatin prevented the cisplatin-induced increase in

%ALDH+ cells and resulted in similar %ALDH+ cells as DMSO treated cells in both cell lines.

Furthermore, using Compusyn software (42) that uses combination index theorem, treatment of

very low dose DAC (10 nM and 20 nM) with low dose NAMPTi and cisplatin synergistically

inhibited (CI< 1) the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells in OVCAR5 cells (Fig. 8B).

Whereas, in OVSAHO cells, very low dose DAC (10 nM) with low dose NAMPTi and cisplatin

synergistically inhibited (CI < 1) the cisplatin-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells.

To confirm the effect of very low dose DAC and low dose NAMPT inhibition on cisplatin-

induced enrichment of OCSCs, the ability of pretreated cells to grow as spheroids in stem cell

media was examined. As expected, cisplatin pretreated cells were more spheroid-like compared

to UT in both cell lines (Fig. 8C). Furthermore, cells pretreated with individual very low doses of

DAC or low dose NAMPTi in combination with cisplatin pretreatment were similar to cisplatin

pretreated cells (Fig. 8C; more spheroid-like and increased viability than UT). Importantly,

combination pretreatment of very low dose DAC, low dose NAMPTi and cisplatin prevented

cisplatin-induced spheroid formation and increase in viability. These data support the hypothesis

that both pathways contribute to the cisplatin-induced enrichment of OCSCs.

Discussion

While HGSOC is initially highly responsive to chemotherapy, recurrence is common, and

the majority of recurrent OC is chemotherapy resistant and fatal. OCSCs have increased

resistance to chemotherapy and are enriched in OC relapses suggesting that OCSCs contribute to

disease recurrence and resistance (4). Here we demonstrate that acute platinum treatment

enriches the OCSC population, consistent with previous findings showing similar enrichment after

longer exposures to platinum (23, 43, 44). We identify two distinct pathways that drive

chemotherapy-induced OCSC enrichment, one marked by reduced wildtype *BRCA1* expression

and the other by increased NAD$^+$ levels. Blocking either pathway alone or inhibiting both

pathways together by combining very low doses of specific inhibitors prevents acute platinum-

induced OCSC enrichment in wildtype BRCA1, platinum-sensitive HGSOC. Our findings support

using the novel combination treatment scheme as a neoadjuvant treatment to reduce the

platinum-induced enrichment of ALDH+ OCSCs and to avert the development of platinum

resistance.

BRCA1 is an OC susceptibility gene and *BRCA1* mutation increases the risk of developing

OC (1). BRCA1 plays a role in ICL repair as well as other parts of the DDR (16). Additionally, ICL

accumulation following BRCA1 depletion results in dedifferentiation of mammary epithelial cells

to a more primitive, mesenchymal state (28). HGSOC accounts for approximately 70% of all EOC

and the vast majority of patients diagnosed with HGSOC will have wildtype BRCA1. We

demonstrate that platinum-induced a decrease in wildtype *BRCA1* expression that contributes to

the observed enrichment of ALDH+ cells and concurrent increase in the CSC phenotype. Inhibiting

ATM in combination with platinum blocked the platinum-induced decrease in *BRCA1* expression

and OCSC enrichment demonstrating that the DDR after platinum treatment is important for the

proposed mechanism. Consistent with the requirement for the DDR, stable BRCA1 knockdown

without platinum treatment was not sufficient to increase the %ALDH+ cells. We speculate that

the platinum-induced decrease in BRCA1 levels lead to persistent ICLs or alternative DNA repair

pathway activation, which is required for but not sufficient for ALDH+ OCSC cell enrichment.

Reduced BRCA1 levels may also contribute to senescence that occurs at later time-points post-

platinum, based on prior work demonstrating that alterations in BRCA1 after oncogene

expression precede and contribute to oncogene-induced senescence (45).

BRCA1 expression can be regulated by many mechanisms, including promoter DNA

hypermethylation. Here we show that the cisplatin-induced decrease in BRCA1 levels correlated

with an increase in *BRCA1* promoter DNA hypermethylation. Uniquely, we demonstrated that

combining treatment with the DNMT inhibitor DAC with cisplatin blocks the cisplatin-induced

increase in %ALDH+ cells and spheroid formation. Both DAC treatment and expression of CpGi-

null BRCA1, which also blocked the platinum-induced OCSC enrichment, maintained *BRCA1*

expression levels at or above the levels of untreated cells even after cisplatin treatment. These

findings lead us to suggest that there is a "threshold effect" for BRCA1 levels: BRCA1 levels at or

above baseline prevent enrichment of ALDH+ cells, and OCSC enrichment occurs when BRCA1

levels fall below baseline in the presence of an activated DDR.

In addition to the DDR-dependent changes in *BRCA1* expression, we have reported that a

parallel pathway exists where platinum induces an increase in NAD^+ levels, and altering this

metabolic pathway is also required for platinum-induced OCSC enrichment. Metabolic pathways

have been shown to contribute to platinum resistance (44). NAD^+ is a cofactor of ALDH enzymes

that catalyze the oxidation of aldehydes (21) and are overexpressed in OCSCs. We demonstrated

that elevated levels of NAD^+ correspond to the timing of ALDH+ cell enrichment. Because NAD^+

is a cofactor for ALDH, the platinum-induced increase in cellular NAD^+ levels likely drives the

increased ALDH activity of OCSCs. Furthermore, inhibition of NAMPT, the rate limiting enzyme

for NAD^+ synthesis from the salvage pathway, blocked the cisplatin-induced enrichment of ALDH+

cells. These findings are consistent with a recent study linking platinum exposure to increased

NAMPT expression and NAD$^+$ levels that are required for platinum-induced senescence-

associated enrichment of OCSCs (23). However, we report that these changes occur a very early

point post platinum (16 h versus 4 days) and at 16 h we do not observe indicators of senescence

such as changes in *HMGA1* expression (data not shown), suggesting that our observed increases

in *NAMPT* expression, NAD$^+$ levels and OCSC enrichment occur before and perhaps contribute to

platinum-induced senescent phenotypes. Our studies combined with Nacarelli et al. support

further preclinical and clinical studies aimed at investigating NAMPT inhibition as a potential

therapeutic strategy to prevent the development of platinum resistant OC.

Loss of BRCA1 induces metabolic reprogramming through the Nicotinamide N-

methyltransferase (NNMT) pathway in OC cells (46). We show that the platinum-induced

decrease in BRCA1 levels occurs concomitantly with increased NAD$^+$ levels. NNMT is also involved

in NAD$^+$ synthesis. NNMT transfers methyl group from S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) to

nicotinamide (NAM) resulting in 1-methylnicotinamide (MNA) during the conversion of SAM to

S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (SAH). In the NAD$^+$ salvage pathway, NAMPT uses NAM as a

substrate, ultimately resulting in the production of NAD$^+$ (19). Therefore, we hypothesized that

the decrease in *BRCA1* expression and increase in NAD$^+$ levels may be mechanistically connected.

However, even though expression of *BRCA1* in cells transfected with CpGi-null BRCA1 blocks the

platinum-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells, NAD$^+$ levels still increase in these cells (Fig. 7D).

Importantly, in *BRCA1* mutant HGSOC COV362 cells, cisplatin-induced an increase in *NAMPT*

expression but no enrichment of ALDH+ cells. However, as mentioned, inhibition of NAMPT was

sufficient to block the platinum-induced increase in %ALDH+ cells. We suggest that the platinum-

induced decrease in BRCA1 and increase in *NAMPT* and NAD$^+$ levels occur as part of distinct

parallel pathways which independently contribute to platinum-induced OCSC enrichment. Future

studies will further investigate how these platinum-induced DDR and metabolic alterations result

in OCSC enrichment.

Cellular NAD$^+$ is produced primarily in G1 and G2 cell cycle phases (47) and CDK1 inhibition

has been shown to promote the nuclear localization of NAMPT to replenish decreased NAD$^+$

levels after CDK1 inhibitor treatment (48). We show that inhibiting CDK1 induces OCSC

enrichment that is associated with an increase in *NAMPT* expression (Fig. 7B) but not a decrease

in *BRCA1* expression (Fig. 7A), providing support for the hypothesis that the platinum-induced

increase in *NAMPT* expression and NAD$^+$ levels are driven by cell cycle changes independent of

changes in *BRCA1* expression. In response to DNA damage, BRCA1 is a part of a complex that

initiates G2/M arrest to allow time for DNA damage repair (49). Consistent with this role, CpGi-

null BRCA1 transfection increases the percentage of cells in the G2/M phase of cell cycle both

with and without cisplatin treatment (Supplementary Fig. 6A). Interestingly, further connecting

G2/M and NAD$^+$ levels, platinum-treated, CpGi-null BRCA1 transfected cells have elevated NAD$^+$

levels but are not enriched for OCSCs (Fig. 7D, (41)). Together these findings suggest that G2/M

arrest leads to increased *NAMPT* expression and NAD$^+$ levels that contribute to OCSC enrichment;

however, after platinum treatment, these changes must occur in conjunction with decreased

levels of BRCA1, otherwise OCSC enrichment will be blocked. These data also demonstrate that

the effect of BRCA1 overexpression on platinum-induced OCSC enrichment is independent of its

effect on cell cycle.

Clinically, DNMT inhibitors have been used to re-sensitize chemoresistant OC cells to

chemotherapy (33, 50-53). Our study extends these findings by demonstrating that DNMT

inhibitors may also be beneficial when used in combination with neoadjuvant/adjuvant

chemotherapy in OC patients where they have the potential to block platinum-induced OCSC

enrichment and establishment of platinum resistance. NAMPT inhibitors like FK866 and

GMX1778 have been shown to have preclinical antitumor efficacy *in vivo* by suppressing

chemoresistant senescence-associated enrichment of OCSCs (23). NAMPT inhibitors have also

been clinically tested in advanced hematological and solid malignancies (54, 55). However, dose

limiting toxicities were a significant problem and objective tumor remission was not observed.

Even the currently used "low doses" of DNMT inhibitors still result in dose-limiting toxicities and

adverse advents (52, 53). Importantly, we demonstrate that the combination of very low dose

DNMT inhibitors with low dose metabolic inhibitors provides an effective treatment scheme to

prevent onset of platinum resistance in OC. Using lower concentrations of these inhibitors may

reduce off-target cytotoxic effects and make the treatment more tolerable to patients.

Methods and Materials

Cell lines, culture conditions, and reagents

Epithelial OC cell lines OVCAR5, OVCAR3, COV362, OVSAHO and PEO1 were maintained at 37°C

and 5% CO_2 humidified atmosphere using standard conditions (24, 25, 56). All cell lines were

tested for mycoplasma in 2017 (ATCC, 30-1012K). For all treatments, 1 million cells were plated

in a 100 mm^2 dish and treated the next day for the specified number of hours. Cisplatin (EMD

Millipore, 232120) was dissolved in 154 mM NaCl at 1.67 nM, filter sterilized and stored at 4 °C.

Cells were treated with cell line specific IC_{50} dose of cisplatin (OVCAR5: 12.00 μM, OVSAHO: 4.00

μM, OVCAR3, 15.00 μM, PEO1: 12.84 μM, COV362: 13.57 μM) (24). CDK1 inhibitor (Sigma-

Aldrich, SML0569) was dissolved in DMSO and stored (-20 °C, 10 mM stock solution). Decitabine

(Sigma, A3656) was solubilized in dH$_2$O and stored (-80 °C, 2 μg/μL stock solution). Cells were

treated with CDK1i (9 μM for 16 h) or DAC (100 nM for 48 h). Media containing fresh DAC was

changed every 24 h. Cisplatin was added during the last 16 h of DAC treatment. NAMPT inhibitor

(Sigma-Aldrich, SML1348) was dissolved in DMSO and stored (4 °C, 10 mM stock solution). Cells

were treated with NAMPTi (50 nM for 6h). For cisplatin and NAMPTi dual treatment, cells were

treated with cisplatin as mentioned above, then NAMPTi was added 10 h later. For low dose

NAMPTi and DAC combination treatment with cisplatin, cells were treated with DAC (10 nM or

20 nM for 48 h), cisplatin was added in the last 16 h and NAMPTi (12.5 nM) was added during the

last 6 h of the DAC treatment. ATM inhibitor KU-55933 (Sigma, MO #SML1109) was dissolved in

DMSO and stored (-20 °C, 10 mM stock solution). Cells were treated with 15 μM ATMi for 16 h in

combination with cisplatin.

ALDEFLUOR assay and flow cytometry

ALDH activity was measured through ALDEFLUOR assay (Stem Cell technologies, 01700). Cultured

cells were trypsinized, washed with 1X PBS and 1 million cells were resuspended in 1 mL

ALDEFLUOR assay buffer containing substrate, bodipyaminoacetaldehyde (BAAA). Next, 0.5 mL

of resuspended mixture was moved to another tube containing ALDH inhibitor, 5 μL 1.5 mM

diethylamino benzaldehyde (DEAB). These solutions were incubated for 30-40 min at 37 °C in the

dark. After incubation, cells were centrifuged and resuspended in fresh ALDEFLUOR assay buffer

and filtered through a 30 μm filter (Sysmex).

Flow cytometry analysis was performed on a LSRII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) at IU

Flow Cytometry Core Facility. ALDH activity was measured using 488 nm excitation and the signal

was detected using the 530/30 filter and analyzed at least three times in independent

experiments. For each experiment, 10,000 events were analyzed. ALDH+ percentage gate was

determined by sample specific negative control (DEAB) ALDH+ gate. Further data analysis was

done in FlowJo software (Becton, Dickinson & Company).

Cell cycle analysis

Nuclear-ID red DNA stain (Enzo Life Sciences, ENZ-52406) was used to analyze cell cycle to allow

for combination with the ALDFLUOR assay, which requires live cells. After treatment with

cisplatin, cells were trypsinized and resuspended in 1X PBS. Then, 1:250 dilution of Nuclear-ID

red DNA stain was added to the total volume and solution was incubated for 30 min at 37 °C in

the dark. To determine cell cycle profile for ALDH+ population, cells were first suspended with

ALDEFLUOR reagent and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C, followed by Nuclear-ID stain as above

and then, analyzed by flow cytometry using the LSRII. Nuclear ID was excited at 561 nm and

detected using the 670/30 filter and analyzed at least three times in independent experiments.

For each experiment, 10,000 events were analyzed. FlowJo software was used for data analysis.

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated from cell pellets using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, 74104) and cDNA was

generated using Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit for RT-qPCR (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

K1642). qPCR was done on a LightCycler 96 using TaqMan MasterMix (Thermo Fisher,

04535286001) or FastStart Essential DNA Green Master (Roche, 06402712001). C_q values for

genes of interest were normalized to housekeeping genes (*PPIA*, *β-Actin or RhoA*) using the

deltaCq method. Detailed information for primers can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

DNA extraction, bisulfite conversion, qMSP and bisulfite sequencing

DNA was extracted from cell pellets using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, 69504) and

bisulfite treated using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold kit (Zymo Research, D5006). For qMSP,

methods were followed as described in a previous publication (57). qMSP primers used are listed

in supplementary methods table S1. For bisulfite sequencing, bisulfite converted DNA was

amplified using AmpliTaq Gold 360 Mastermix (ThermoFisher Scientific, 4398881) using primers

listed in the supplementary methods table S1. Then, the amplified product was run on an agarose

gel, the correct band was excised from the gel, and DNA was purified using the QIAquick Gel

Extraction kit (Qiagen, 28704). The DNA was then cloned into One Shot™ TOP10 Chemically

Competent *E. coli* using the TOPO™ TA Cloning™ Kit for Sequencing (ThermoFisher Scientific,

451641). The next day, white colonies were inoculated in LB+ carbenicillin media overnight and

plasmid was extracted using Zyppy Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Zymo research, D4020) and sequenced

by Sanger sequencing. Sequence peaks were analyzed for good quality in 4peaks software and

DNA methylation maps were generated through BioAnalyzer (Max-Planck-Institute for

Informatics and Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany) (58).

Western blot analysis

Cell pellets were lysed in 4% SDS buffer using a QIAshredder (Qiagen, 79654). After protein was

extracted, western blotting was performed. Antibodies used are listed in supplementary

methods. Band density was measured by ImageJ software (NIH) and normalized to laminB, β -

actin or vimentin.

Spheroid formation assay

1.5×10^4 cells pre-treated with cisplatin (6 μM for 3 h), NAMPTi (50 nM for 6 h), and/or DAC (100

nM for 48 h) were plated in a 24-well low attachment plate (Corning, 3473) containing stem cell

media (43) for 14 days. Media was added every 3 days to each well. On day 14, images were

taken using an EVOS FL Auto microscope (Life Technologies). Then, cell viability reagent (Abcam,

ab176748), which measures cell viability be intracellular esterase activity, was added directly to

each spheroid well at a volume equal to the volume of media in the well. After 1 h incubation,

the reagent+ media solution was distributed in an opaque 96-well plate. Viability (Ex/Em:

405/460 nm) was measured using a SynergyH1 plate reader (BioTek). The experiment was done

in 4 technical replicates for each condition.

NAD+/NADH ratio

NAD+/NADH ratio was calculated using NAD+/NADH quantification colorimetric kit (BioVision,

K337-100) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Transfection

1 million cultured cells were transfected with plasmid using Turbofect (ThermoFisher Scientific,

R0532) 48 h before treatment and then cells were collected. pBABEpuro HA-BRCA1 was a gift

from Stephen Elledge (Addgene plasmid # 14999; http://n2t.net/addgene:14999;

RRID:Addgene_14999) (59). pBABE-puro was a gift from Hartmut Land, Jay Morgenstern and Bob

Weinberg (Addgene plasmid # 1764; http://n2t.net/addgene:1764 ; RRID:Addgene_1764) (60).

Viral shRNA knockdown preparation and stable cell line knockdown generation

For BRCA1 knockdown, shRNA1 (Sigma, TRCN0000244986) and shRNA2 (Sigma,

TRCN0000244984) and empty vector (EV) TRC2 (Sigma, SHC201) were used and lentiviral shRNA

was created following the protocol as previously described (56). For cell line infection, 2×10^5

cells/mL were plated and after 24 h, virus was added in media with polybrene. Puromycin was

added 24 h post-viral infection and cells were plated for downstream experiments once

confluent.

Statistical methods

All experiments were performed in at least three biological replicates. When two groups were

compared, statistical comparison was performed by Student's t-test. One-way ANOVA followed

by Tukey post hoc test was used to compare multiple groups using Graphpad Prism.

References:

1.   Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J Clin* **67**, 7-30, (2017)

2.   Torre LA, Trabert B, DeSantis CE, Miller KD, Samimi G, Runowicz CD, et al. Ovarian

cancer statistics, 2018. *CA Cancer J Clin* **68**, 284-296, (2018)

3.   Matulonis UA, Sood AK, Fallowfield L, Howitt BE, Sehouli J, Karlan BY. Ovarian cancer.

Nat Rev Dis Primers **2**, 16061, (2016)

4.   Wang Y, Cardenas H, Fang F, Condello S, Taverna P, Segar M, et al. Epigenetic targeting

of ovarian cancer stem cells. *Cancer Res* **74**, 4922-4936, (2014)

5.   Roy L, Cowden Dahl KD. Can Stemness and Chemoresistance Be Therapeutically

Targeted via Signaling Pathways in Ovarian Cancer? *Cancers (Basel)* **10**, (2018)

6.   Lupia M, Cavallaro U. Ovarian cancer stem cells: still an elusive entity? *Mol Cancer* **16**,

64, (2017)

7.   Silva IA, Bai S, McLean K, Yang K, Griffith K, Thomas D, et al. Aldehyde dehydrogenase in

combination with CD133 defines angiogenic ovarian cancer stem cells that portend poor

patient survival. *Cancer Res* **71**, 3991-4001, (2011)

8.   Lobo NA, Shimono Y, Qian D, Clarke MF. The biology of cancer stem cells. *Annu Rev Cell*

Dev Biol **23**, 675-699, (2007)

9.   Chaney SG, Campbell SL, Bassett E, Wu Y. Recognition and processing of cisplatin- and

oxaliplatin-DNA adducts. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* **53**, 3-11, (2005)

10.   Maugeri-Sacca M, Bartucci M, De Maria R. DNA damage repair pathways in cancer stem

cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* **11**, 1627-1636, (2012)

11.   Wagner JM, Karnitz LM. Cisplatin-induced DNA damage activates replication checkpoint

signaling components that differentially affect tumor cell survival. *Mol Pharmacol* **76**, 208-214,

(2009)

12.   Abdullah LN, Chow EK. Mechanisms of chemoresistance in cancer stem cells. *Clin Transl*

Med **2**, 3, (2013)

13.   Venkitaraman AR. Functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in the biological response to DNA

damage. *J Cell Sci* **114**, 3591-3598, (2001)

14.   Pathania S, Nguyen J, Hill SJ, Scully R, Adelmant GO, Marto JA, et al. BRCA1 is required

for postreplication repair after UV-induced DNA damage. *Mol Cell* **44**, 235-251, (2011)

15.   Silver DP, Livingston DM. Mechanisms of BRCA1 tumor suppression. *Cancer Discov* **2**,

679-684, (2012)

16.   Roy R, Chun J, Powell SN. BRCA1 and BRCA2: different roles in a common pathway of

genome protection. *Nat Rev Cancer* **12**, 68-78, (2011)

17.   Maxwell KN, Wubbenhorst B, Wenz BM, De Sloover D, Pluta J, Emery L, et al. BRCA

locus-specific loss of heterozygosity in germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers. *Nat Commun* **8**, 319,

(2017)

18.   Chiang JW, Karlan BY, Cass L, Baldwin RL. BRCA1 promoter methylation predicts adverse

ovarian cancer prognosis. *Gynecol Oncol* **101**, 403-410, (2006)

19.   Yaku K, Okabe K, Hikosaka K, Nakagawa T. NAD Metabolism in Cancer Therapeutics.

Front Oncol **8**, 622, (2018)

20.   Hayes K, Noor M, Djeghader A, Armshaw P, Pembroke T, Tofail S, et al. The quaternary

structure of Thermus thermophilus aldehyde dehydrogenase is stabilized by an evolutionary

distinct C-terminal arm extension. *Sci Rep* **8**, 13327, (2018)

21. Liu ZJ, Sun YJ, Rose J, Chung YJ, Hsiao CD, Chang WR, et al. The first structure of an

aldehyde dehydrogenase reveals novel interactions between NAD and the Rossmann fold. *Nat*

Struct Biol **4**, 317-326, (1997)

22. Li D, Chen NN, Cao JM, Sun WP, Zhou YM, Li CY, et al. BRCA1 as a nicotinamide adenine

dinucleotide (NAD)-dependent metabolic switch in ovarian cancer. *Cell Cycle* **13**, 2564-2571,

(2014)

23. Nacarelli T, Fukumoto T, Zundell JA, Fatkhutdinov N, Jean S, Cadungog MG, et al. NAMPT

Inhibition Suppresses Cancer Stem-like Cells Associated with Therapy-Induced Senescence in

Ovarian Cancer. *Cancer Res* **80**, 890-900, (2020)

24. Haley J, Tomar S, Pulliam N, Xiong S, Perkins SM, Karpf AR, et al. Functional

characterization of a panel of high-grade serous ovarian cancer cell lines as representative

experimental models of the disease. *Oncotarget* **7**, 32810-32820, (2016)

25. Pulliam N, Fang F, Ozes AR, Tang J, Adewuyi A, Keer H, et al. An Effective Epigenetic-

PARP Inhibitor Combination Therapy for Breast and Ovarian Cancers Independent of BRCA

Mutations. *Clin Cancer Res* **24**, 3163-3175, (2018)

26. Mitra AK, Davis DA, Tomar S, Roy L, Gurler H, Xie J, et al. In vivo tumor growth of high-

grade serous ovarian cancer cell lines. *Gynecol Oncol* **138**, 372-377, (2015)

27. Tomita H, Tanaka K, Tanaka T, Hara A. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A1 in stem cells and

cancer. *Oncotarget* **7**, 11018-11032, (2016)

28. Wang H, Bierie B, Li AG, Pathania S, Toomire K, Dimitrov SD, et al.

BRCA1/FANCD2/BRG1-Driven DNA Repair Stabilizes the Differentiation State of Human

Mammary Epithelial Cells. *Mol Cell* **63**, 277-292, (2016)

29.   Kondrashova O, Topp M, Nesic K, Lieschke E, Ho GY, Harrell MI, et al. Methylation of all

BRCA1 copies predicts response to the PARP inhibitor rucaparib in ovarian carcinoma. *Nat*

Commun **9**, 3970, (2018)

30.   Greenberg MVC, Bourc'his D. The diverse roles of DNA methylation in mammalian

development and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **20**, 590-607, (2019)

31.   Daley JM, Sung P. 53BP1, BRCA1, and the choice between recombination and end

joining at DNA double-strand breaks. *Mol Cell Biol* **34**, 1380-1388, (2014)

32.   Podhorecka M, Skladanowski A, Bozko P. H2AX Phosphorylation: Its Role in DNA

Damage Response and Cancer Therapy. *J Nucleic Acids* **2010**, (2010)

33.   Fang F, Balch C, Schilder J, Breen T, Zhang S, Shen C, et al. A phase 1 and

pharmacodynamic study of decitabine in combination with carboplatin in patients with

recurrent, platinum-resistant, epithelial ovarian cancer. *Cancer* **116**, 4043-4053, (2010)

34.   Yu J, Qin B, Moyer AM, Nowsheen S, Liu T, Qin S, et al. DNA methyltransferase

expression in triple-negative breast cancer predicts sensitivity to decitabine. *J Clin Invest* **128**,

2376-2388, (2018)

35.   Bellio C, DiGloria C, Foster R, James K, Konstantinopoulos PA, Growdon WB, et al. PARP

Inhibition Induces Enrichment of DNA Repair-Proficient CD133 and CD117 Positive Ovarian

Cancer Stem Cells. *Mol Cancer Res* **17**, 431-445, (2019)

36.   Vassilev LT. Cell cycle synchronization at the G2/M phase border by reversible inhibition

of CDK1. *Cell Cycle* **5**, 2555-2556, (2006)

37.   Hochegger H, Takeda S, Hunt T. Cyclin-dependent kinases and cell-cycle transitions:

does one fit all? *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **9**, 910-916, (2008)

38.   Perez-Miller SJ, Hurley TD. Coenzyme isomerization is integral to catalysis in aldehyde

dehydrogenase. *Biochemistry* **42**, 7100-7109, (2003)

39.   Kennedy BE, Sharif T, Martell E, Dai C, Kim Y, Lee PW, et al. NAD(+) salvage pathway in

cancer metabolism and therapy. *Pharmacol Res* **114**, 274-283, (2016)

40.   Espindola-Netto JM, Chini CCS, Tarrago M, Wang E, Dutta S, Pal K, et al. Preclinical

efficacy of the novel competitive NAMPT inhibitor STF-118804 in pancreatic cancer. *Oncotarget*

8, 85054-85067, (2017)

41.   Wang L, Zeng X, Chen S, Ding L, Zhong J, Zhao JC, et al. BRCA1 is a negative modulator of

the PRC2 complex. *EMBO J* **32**, 1584-1597, (2013)

42.   Chou TC. Drug combination studies and their synergy quantification using the Chou-

Talalay method. *Cancer Res* **70**, 440-446, (2010)

43.   Wang Y, Zong X, Mitra S, Mitra AK, Matei D, Nephew KP. IL-6 mediates platinum-induced

enrichment of ovarian cancer stem cells. *JCI Insight* **3**, (2018)

44.   Matassa DS, Amoroso MR, Lu H, Avolio R, Arzeni D, Procaccini C, et al. Oxidative

metabolism drives inflammation-induced platinum resistance in human ovarian cancer. *Cell*

Death Differ **23**, 1542-1554, (2016)

45.   Tu Z, Aird KM, Bitler BG, Nicodemus JP, Beeharry N, Xia B, et al. Oncogenic RAS regulates

BRIP1 expression to induce dissociation of BRCA1 from chromatin, inhibit DNA repair, and

promote senescence. *Dev Cell* **21**, 1077-1091, (2011)

46.   Kanakkanthara A, Kurmi K, Ekstrom TL, Hou X, Purfeerst ER, Heinzen EP, et al. BRCA1

Deficiency Upregulates NNMT, Which Reprograms Metabolism and Sensitizes Ovarian Cancer

Cells to Mitochondrial Metabolic Targeting Agents. *Cancer Res* **79**, 5920-5929, (2019)

47.   Yu FX, Dai RP, Goh SR, Zheng L, Luo Y. Logic of a mammalian metabolic cycle: an

oscillated NAD+/NADH redox signaling regulates coordinated histone expression and S-phase

progression. *Cell Cycle* **8**, 773-779, (2009)

48.   Svoboda P, Krizova E, Sestakova S, Vapenkova K, Knejzlik Z, Rimpelova S, et al. Nuclear

transport of nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase is cell cycle-dependent in mammalian

cells, and its inhibition slows cell growth. *J Biol Chem* **294**, 8676-8689, (2019)

49.   Lobrich M, Jeggo PA. The impact of a negligent G2/M checkpoint on genomic instability

and cancer induction. *Nat Rev Cancer* **7**, 861-869, (2007)

50.   Fang F, Zuo Q, Pilrose J, Wang Y, Shen C, Li M, et al. Decitabine reactivated pathways in

platinum resistant ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget* **5**, 3579-3589, (2014)

51.   Matei D, Fang F, Shen C, Schilder J, Arnold A, Zeng Y, et al. Epigenetic resensitization to

platinum in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res* **72**, 2197-2205, (2012)

52.   Matei D, Ghamande S, Roman L, Alvarez Secord A, Nemunaitis J, Markham MJ, et al. A

Phase I Clinical Trial of Guadecitabine and Carboplatin in Platinum-Resistant, Recurrent Ovarian

Cancer: Clinical, Pharmacokinetic, and Pharmacodynamic Analyses. *Clin Cancer Res* **24**, 2285-

2293, (2018)

53.   Oza AM, Matulonis UA, Alvarez Secord A, Nemunaitis J, Roman LD, Blagden SP, et al. A

Randomized Phase II Trial of Epigenetic Priming with Guadecitabine and Carboplatin in

Platinum-resistant, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **26**, 1009-1016, (2020)

54.   Holen K, Saltz LB, Hollywood E, Burk K, Hanauske AR. The pharmacokinetics, toxicities,

and biologic effects of FK866, a nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide biosynthesis inhibitor. *Invest*

New Drugs **26**, 45-51, (2008)

55.   von Heideman A, Berglund A, Larsson R, Nygren P. Safety and efficacy of NAD depleting

cancer drugs: results of a phase I clinical trial of CHS 828 and overview of published data.

Cancer Chemother Pharmacol **65**, 1165-1172, (2010)

56.   Sriramkumar S, Matthews TD, Ghobashi AH, Miller SA, VanderVere-Carozza PS,

Pawelczak KS, et al. Platinum-Induced Ubiquitination of Phosphorylated H2AX by RING1A Is

Mediated by Replication Protein A in Ovarian Cancer. *Mol Cancer Res* **18**, 1699-1710, (2020)

57.   Maiuri AR, Peng M, Podicheti R, Sriramkumar S, Kamplain CM, Rusch DB, et al. Mismatch

Repair Proteins Initiate Epigenetic Alterations during Inflammation-Driven Tumorigenesis.

Cancer Res **77**, 3467-3478, (2017)

58.   Bock C, Reither S, Mikeska T, Paulsen M, Walter J, Lengauer T. BiQ Analyzer:

visualization and quality control for DNA methylation data from bisulfite sequencing.

Bioinformatics **21**, 4067-4068, (2005)

59.   Cortez D, Wang Y, Qin J, Elledge SJ. Requirement of ATM-dependent phosphorylation of

brca1 in the DNA damage response to double-strand breaks. *Science* **286**, 1162-1166, (1999)

60.   Morgenstern JP, Land H. Advanced mammalian gene transfer: high titre retroviral

vectors with multiple drug selection markers and a complementary helper-free packaging cell

line. *Nucleic Acids Res* **18**, 3587-3596, (1990)

Acknowledgments

We thank the Indiana University Flow Cytometry Core Facility for their assistance.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Contribution Statement:

R.S., K.P.N. and H.O.H performed study concept and design; R.S. and H.O.H. performed

development of methodology and writing; R.S, C.H., K.P.N., H.O.H., S.S. performed review and

revision of paper; R.S., S.K. and S.S. provided acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data and

statistical analysis; C.H. provided technical and material support

Funding statement: This research was funded by Ovarian Cancer Research Alliance grant

number 458788 to HMOH and KPN and in part through the IU Simon Cancer Center P30

Support Grant (P30CA082709). SS was supported by the Doane and Eunice Dahl Wright

Fellowship generously provided by Ms. Imogen Dahl.

Figure Legends:

Fig 1. Cisplatin treatment enriches for ALDH+ cells. A) %ALDH+ cells determined using the

ALDEFLUOR assay after mock (UT) or cisplatin (IC50 dose) treatment for the indicated time points.

N=3. **B)** Images of spheroids after mock (UT) or cisplatin (3 h, ½ IC50) pretreatment in indicated

cell lines. Scale bar = 500 μm. Graph depicts fluorescence intensity (RFU) of CytoCalcein Violet

450 stain. N=4. **C)** Relative *BRCA1* RNA expression in indicated cell lines after mock (UT) or

cisplatin treatment (16 h, IC50) for the indicated time points and cell lines. N=3. **B)** Western blot

and relative densitometry of whole cell lysates after mock (UT) or cisplatin (16 h, IC50) treatment

for the indicated time points and cell lines. N=3. For all panels, graphs indicate mean +/- SEM,

*P<0.05, **P<0.001, ***P<0.0001, ****P<0.00001.

Fig 2. Cisplatin-induced decrease in *BRCA1* levels is associated with promoter DNA

hypermethylation. A) Quantitative MSP for *BRCA1* promoter DNA methylation. Graphs depict

mean + SEM. N=3. **B)** The location and methylation status of CpG sites in promoter region of

BRCA1 gene. Location of qMSP primers are indicated. The arrow at the transcription start site

(TSS) indicates transcription direction. Individual CpG dinucleotides are shown as circles with

closed circles: methylation and open circles: unmethylated. Sequencing of ten individual clones

for each sample was performed from bisulfite-converted mock (UT) or cisplatin (16H, IC50)

treated DNA. **C)** Percentage of methylated CpGs per clone using data presented in B. Graphs

depict mean + SEM. N=10. **D)** Western blot of OVCAR5 whole cell lysates after mock (UT) or

cisplatin (IC50 dose) treatment for the indicated time points. For all panels *P<0.05, **P<0.001,

***P<0.0001.

Fig 3. The cisplatin-induced decrease in BRCA1 is required for the associated increase in

%ALDH+ cells. A) Relative *BRCA1* RNA expression in OVCAR5 cells after stable lentiviral infection

with mock (EV) or BRCA1 shRNA1/2 with or without cisplatin treatment (16 h, IC50). Graphs

depict mean + SEM. N=3. **B)** Western blot and relative densitometry of OVCAR5 whole cell lysates

after mock (EV) with or without cisplatin treatment (16 h, IC50) or BRCA1 shRNA1/2. N=3. **C)**

Percentage of ALDH+ cells using the ALDEFLUOR assay after stable lentiviral infection with mock

(EV) with or without cisplatin treatment (16 h, IC50) or BRCA1 shRNA1/2. N=3. **D)** Relative *BRCA1*

RNA expression in indicated cell lines after mock (DMSO), cisplatin treatment (16 h, IC50), ATMi

(16 h, 152 μM) or ATMi (16 h, 152 μM) + cisplatin (16 h, IC50). N=3. **E)** Percentage of ALDH+ cells

using the ALDEFLUOR assay in indicated cell lines treated as in D. N=3. **F)** Relative *BRCA1* RNA

expression in cells transfected with mock empty vector (EV) or CpGi-null BRCA1 plasmid and

treated with or without cisplatin (16 h, IC50) in the indicated cell lines. N=3. **G)** Western blot and

relative densitometry of OVCAR5 whole cell lysates transfected and treated as in F. N=3. **H)**

Percentage of ALDH+ cells using the ALDEFLUOR assay after transfection with mock empty vector

(EV) or CpGi-null BRCA1 (BRCA1) plasmid and treatment as in F in indicated cell lines. N=3. For all

panels, graphs indicate mean +/- SEM, *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.001$, ***$P<0.0001$.

Fig 4. Decitabine treatment abrogates the cisplatin induced increase in %ALDH+ cells. A) The

location and methylation status of CpG sites in the BRCA1 promoter from bisulfite-converted

mock (UT), cisplatin treatment (16 h, IC50), DAC (48 h, 100 nM) or DAC (48 h, 100 nM) + cisplatin

(16 h, IC50) treated DNA. Closed circles: methylation and open circles: unmethylated. Sequencing

of ten individual clones for each sample was performed. **B)** Relative *BRCA1* RNA expression after

treatment as in A in indicated cell lines. N=3. **C)** Western blot and relative densitometry of

OVCAR5 whole cell lysates after treatment as in A in OVCAR5 cells. N=3. **D)** Percentage of ALDH+

cells using the ALDEFLUOR assay after treatment as in A in indicated cell lines. N=3. **E)** Images of

spheroids after pretreatment with mock (UT), cisplatin (3 h, ½ IC50), DAC (48 h, 100 nM) or DAC

(48 h, 100 nM) + cisplatin (3 h, ½ IC50). Scale bar = 500 μm. Graph depicts relative fluorescence

units (RFU) of CytoCalcein Violet 450 stain. N=4. For all panels, graphs indicate mean +/- SEM,

*$P<0.05$, **$P<0.001$, ***$P<0.0001$.

Fig 5. G2/M cell cycle arrest results in an increase %ALDH+ cells. A) Percentage of ALDH+ and ALDH- cells in G1, S and G2/M phases of the cell cycle for indicated cell lines. N=3. **B)** Percentage of ALDH+ and ALDH- cells in G1, S and G2/M phases of the cell cycle after mock (UT) or cisplatin treatment (16 h, IC50) in OVCAR5 cells. **C)** Percentage of cells in G2/M after mock (UT), DMSO, cisplatin (16 h, IC50) or CDK1 inhibitor (16 h, 9 μM) treatment in indicated cell lines. N=3. **D)** Total percentage of ALDH+ cells treated as in C in indicated cell lines. N=3. For all panels, graphs indicate mean +/- SEM, *P<0.05, **P<0.001, ***P<0.0001.

Fig 6. NAMPT inhibition abrogates cisplatin-induced enrichment of ALDH+ cells. A) Total NAD^+ (pmol/cells) in OVCAR5 cells after mock (UT) or cisplatin (16 h, IC50) treatment. N=3. **B)** Relative *NAMPT* RNA expression after mock or cisplatin (IC50 dose) treatment for the indicated time points and cell lines. N=3. **C)** Total NAD^+ (pmol/cells) in OVCAR5 cells after mock (UT), cisplatin (16 h, IC50), NAMPTi (6 h, 50 nM), cisplatin (16 h, IC50) + DMSO or NAMPTi (6 h, 50 nM) + cisplatin (16 h, IC50) treatment. N=3. **D)** Percentage of ALDH+ cells using the ALDEFLUOR assay after treatment as in C in indicated cell lines. N=3. **E)** Images of spheroids after pretreatment with mock (DMSO), cisplatin (3 h, ½ IC50), NAMPTi (6 h, 50 nM), or NAMPTi (6 h, 50 nM) + cisplatin treatment (3 h, ½ IC50). Scale bar = 500 μm. Graph depicts relative fluorescence units (RFU) of CytoCalcein Violet 450 stain. N=4. For all panels, graphs indicate mean +/- SEM, *P<0.05, **P<0.001, ***P<0.0001.

Fig 7. Cisplatin treatment induces two separate pathways to increase %ALDH+ cells. A) Relative

BRCA1 RNA expression after treatment with mock (UT), DMSO, cisplatin (16 h, IC50) or CDK1

inhibitor (16 h, 9 μM) treatment in indicated cell lines. N=3. **B)** Relative *NAMPT* RNA expression

after treatment as in A in indicated cell lines. N=3. **C)** Relative *NAMPT* RNA expression in

untransfected (UT) or transfected with mock empty vector (EV) or CpGi-null BRCA1 plasmid and

treated with or without cisplatin (16 h, IC50) in the indicated cell lines. For OVCAR5, * indicates

comparison between the indicated sample type and untransfected control with no treatment.

N=3. **D)** Total NAD$^+$ (pmol/cells) in untransfected (UT) or transfected with mock empty vector

(EV) or CpGi-null BRCA1 (BRCA1) plasmid and treated with or without cisplatin (16 h, IC50) in

OVCAR5 cells. N=3. **E)** Relative *NAMPT* RNA expression after mock (UT), cisplatin treatment (16

h, IC50), DAC (48 h, 100 nM) or DAC (48 h, 100 nM) + cisplatin (16 h, IC50) treatment in indicated

cell lines. N=3. For all panels, graphs indicate mean +/- SEM, *P<0.05, **P<0.001, ***P<0.0001,

****P<0.00001.

Fig 8. Dual DNMTi and NAMPTi treatment abrogate cisplatin-induced OCSC enrichment. A)

Percentage of ALDH+ cells using the ALDEFLUOR assay after treatment with mock (DMSO),

cisplatin (16 h, IC50), DAC (48 h, 10 nM or 20 nM) + cisplatin, NAMPTi (6 h, 12.5 nM) + cisplatin

treatment or NAMPTi +DAC + cisplatin treated in indicated cell lines. N=3. **B)** Combination index

plot for cells treated as described in A; x-axis represents fraction affected (Fa) and y-axis

represents combination index. Combinates beneath the dashed line are synergistic. **C)** Images of

spheroids after mock (DMSO), cisplatin (3 h, ½ IC50), DAC (48 h, 10 nM or 20 nM) + cisplatin,

NAMPTi (6 h, 12.5 nM) + cisplatin treatment or NAMPTi +DAC + cisplatin treated in indicated cell

lines. Scale bar = 500 μm. Graph depicts fluorescence intensity (RFU) of CytoCalcein Violet 450

stain. N=4. For all panels, Graphs depict mean +/- SEM. a indicates comparison between the

indicated sample type and DMSO treated sample. b indicates comparison between the indicated

sample type and cisplatin treated sample. c indicates comparison between the indicated sample

type and NAMPTi+ cisplatin treated sample. d indicates comparison between the indicated

sample type and DAC (10 nM) + cisplatin treated sample. e indicates comparison between the

indicated sample type and DAC (20 nM) + cisplatin treated sample. f indicates comparison

between the indicated sample type and NAMPTi + DAC (10 nM) + cisplatin treated sample.

A.

OVCAR5

OVSAHO

B.

TSS

BRCA1

-3000   -2000   -1000   0   +1000

CpG Island

-1029   -809

qMSP   qMSP

UT1
UT2
UT3
UT4
UT5
UT6
UT7
UT8
UT9
UT10
CISPLATIN1
CISPLATIN2
CISPLATIN3
CISPLATIN4
CISPLATIN5
CISPLATIN6
CISPLATIN7
CISPLATIN8
CISPLATIN9
CISPLATIN10

C.

D.

UT   3   8   16   Cisplatin time course (h)

DNMT3B

DNMT1

γH2AX

β-Actin