Sex-specific transcript diversity is regulated by a maternal pioneer factor in early *Drosophila* embryos

Mukulika Ray[#Ω], Ashley Mae Conard[ψΩ], Jennifer Urban[ξ], Joseph Aguilera[#], Annie Huang[#], Erica Larschan[#*]

[*] Corresponding Author
[Ω] contributed equally
[#] MCB department, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA,
[ψ] CCMB department, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA
[ξ] Biology department, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Abstract

Maternally deposited factors play a crucial role in initiating zygotic transcription. However, the mechanisms by which maternal factors regulate early zygotic transcript diversity through alternative splicing remain unclear. Furthermore, how early in development widespread sex-specific transcript diversity occurs is not known. We show that widespread sex-specific transcript diversity occurs much earlier than previously thought and present a new pipeline called time2splice to quantify splicing changes over time. Using the powerful *Drosophila* model, we define several mechanisms by which a maternal factor regulates sex-specific zygotic transcriptome diversity: 1) In both males and females, GA-binding pioneer factor CLAMP (**C**hromatin **l**inked **a**dapter for **M**SL **p**roteins) links the DNA of gene bodies of sex-specifically spliced genes directly to the RNA of target genes and physically interacts with snRNA and protein components of the splicing machinery; 2) In males, CLAMP regulates the distribution of the spliceosome component **M**ale**le**ss (MLE) to prevent aberrant sex-specific splicing; 3) In females, CLAMP binds to the DNA and RNA encoded by the *sxl* gene, the master regulator of sex determination, to directly regulate its splicing which also modulates downstream targets. Overall, we provide key insight into how maternal factors influence sex-specific transcript diversity.

Introduction

One of the greatest challenges in modern biology is understanding the mechanism and significance
of widespread transcript diversity between sexes and different developmental stages, tissues, and
cell-types. **A**lternative **S**plicing (**AS**), a mechanism of selective inclusion or exclusion of introns
and exons, drives widespread transcript diversity[1,2]. In addition to basic development and
physiology, transcriptome diversity is critical for disease biology, especially in neurodegenerative
diseases and developmental disorders that often show sex or tissue-specific differences in
progression and severity[3-6]. Across species, precise regulation of genes to produce specific splice
variants is critical for all developmental decisions, including sex determination. A key to
understanding how transcript diversity drives biological processes lies in the events that shape the
initial few hours of an organism's existence.

During early development, protein and RNA deposited by the mother into the embryo shape early
embryonic milestones across metazoans[7,8]. Initially, cell number increases, followed by cellular
differentiation into specific cell types. Sexual identity is then established, driving the fundamental
physiological differences between sexes. However, the mechanisms by which maternally
deposited proteins and RNAs regulate this process of sexual differentiation remains poorly
understood. Moreover, maternal factors are often essential regulators that can have a lasting impact
on gene regulation later in the life of an organism. Thus, it is essential to define the influence of
maternal factors on transcriptome diversity during the early stages of embryonic development.
Therefore, the key question is: How do maternally deposited products regulate transcript diversity
including sex-specific splice variants?

The *Drosophila* embryo is an excellent tool to study the role of maternally deposited proteins and
RNA in early development as it is easy to perform genetic manipulation to remove maternal factors
to define how they regulate splicing and transcription. Also, embryos can be sexed before zygotic
genome activation due to the recent application of a meiotic drive system [9].

During *Drosophila* embryogenesis, **Z**ygotic **G**enome **A**ctivation (**ZGA**) occurs shortly after the

first two hours of development. Concurrently, maternal transcripts gradually decrease in

abundance, and zygotic transcription increases, a process called the **M**aternal to **Z**ygotic **T**ransition

(**MZT**). ZGA starts approximately 80 min after egg laying and most maternal transcripts are

degraded by 180 min after egg laying[10]. Even at these early stages of development, AS generates

multiple variants of the same gene transcripts resulting in transcript diversity. Although the earliest

genes transcribed from the zygotic genome are mainly intron-less, almost 30% of early zygotic

transcripts do have introns[11,12]. Furthermore, genes involved in sex determination use AS to drive

male versus female-specific development [13]. Hence, during early embryonic development, AS is

important for shaping cell and tissue-specific transcriptomes and sexual differentiation.

The master regulator of *Drosophila* sex determination is the *sxl* gene, which undergoes alternative

splicing such that exon three is retained in males but not in females[14,15]. Therefore, functional Sxl

protein is made only in the female embryo. Early expression of the Sxl protein made from a

transcript driven by Sxl_{Pe}, an early *sxl* promoter[16], autoregulates splicing of *sxl*. Next, the Sxl

protein causes splicing of exon three from pre-mRNA of *sxl* transcripts initiated from the late

maintenance promoter (Sxl_{Pm}) specifically in females[17,18]. The early activation of the Sxl_{Pe}

promoter is regulated by a group of X-linked genes called X signal elements (XSE). A higher

dosage of XSE gene products drives Sxl_{Pe} expression in XX females and not XY males[19].

The Sxl protein is an RNA binding protein that binds to *sxl* pre-mRNA and the pre-mRNA of

downstream target genes such as *transformer* (*tra*) and *male-specific lethal protein 2* (*msl-2*) to

regulate their splicing and stability, giving rise to female-specific splice variants[20]. The female-

specific Tra isoform then regulates sex-specific splicing of the *doublesex* (*dsx*) gene resulting in

different Dsx protein isoforms in females and males which directly regulate sexual dimorphism in

both sexes[14,15].

Sxl regulation of *msl-2* RNA splicing and stability links the sex determination pathway to another

important early embryonic event: dosage compensation, which equalizes gene dosage of X-linked

genes between females with two X-chromosome and males with a single X-chromosome. MSL-2

is an essential core component of the MSL complex, present only in the male embryo and

responsible for dosage compensation[21,22]. The binding of the Sxl protein to the *msl-2* transcript

specifically in females results in skipping of the first exon, thereby hindering translation of the

protein and preventing MSL complex formation in females[23].

Once formed specifically in males, the MSL complex targets the male X-chromosome at high-

affinity binding sequences called **C**hromatin **E**ntry **S**ites (**CES**) that are enriched for clustered long

GA-repeat sequences[24,25]. The maternally deposited **C**hromatin **l**inked **a**dapter for **M**SL **p**roteins

(CLAMP) opens chromatin[26,27] and directly contacts MSL-2[28] to promote MSL complex

recruitment. Subsequently, the MSL complex increases transcript levels on the male X-

chromosome by promoting transcription elongation[29]. Interestingly, the MSL complex shares a

key highly conserved component MLE (RNA helicase A) with the spliceosome complex[30-32],

suggesting that there is likely to be an interplay between dosage compensation and splicing that

has not yet been defined.

CLAMP is an essential pioneer **T**ranscription **F**actor (**TF**) that opens chromatin[26,27]. Loss of

CLAMP results in complete loss of MSL complex from the male X-chromosome causing male

lethality[33]. Unlike MSL complex component mutants, which cause only male-specific lethality,

clamp mutants are lethal in both males and females early in embryogenesis after losing maternal

CLAMP[27]. Several lines of evidence lead us to hypothesize that CLAMP regulates alternative

splicing: 1) CLAMP is bound to both intronic and promoter regions on chromatin[34], 2) Intronic

regions are rich in polypyrimidine tracts, which have similar GA-rich sequences to CES and often

contain CLAMP binding sites[35], 3) GA-rich repeat sequences within CES are thought to have

evolved from polypyrimidine tracks that regulate splicing[35], and 4) MALDI-mass spectrometry

data identifying putative CLAMP interactors identified association with 33 RNA binding proteins,

including 6 that regulate alternative splicing[36]. Therefore, we hypothesized that CLAMP is a

maternally deposited factor that shapes transcriptome diversity in early embryos by regulating

RNA transcript splicing.

To date, there are no reports defining alternative splicing globally in early *Drosophila*

melanogaster sexed embryos. Moreover, how maternal TFs regulate alternative splicing in any

organism is not known. Here, we determine that 16-18% of transcripts undergo alternative splicing

during the first four hours of development in *Drosophila melanogaster* embryos. Although sex-
specific isoforms have been identified for several candidate genes in early development[37-40], a
comprehensive analysis of all sex-specific isoforms forms has not yet been performed in early
embryos and is only available in fully developed tissues like ovaries, testis and brain[38,41].
Therefore, we used a meiotic drive system to sex embryos and measured total AS and sex-specific
splicing genome-wide before (0-2 Hrs) and after (2-4 Hrs) the major wave of MZT. For ease of
understanding, we have defined 0-2 Hr embryos as pre-MZT and 2-4 Hr embryos as post-MZT
even though a small number of early zygotic genes are activated during the 0-2 Hr time period.

To reveal new mechanisms by which maternal factors shape early embryonic transcriptome
diversity, we have focused on analyzing how the maternal transcription factor CLAMP regulates
sex-specific alternative splicing during the first few hours of development. We have identified
male-specific and female-specific genes whose splicing requires maternal CLAMP and are
important regulators of early development with diverse functions form including chromatin
regulation and splicing.

Moreover, we defined multiple mechanisms by which sex-specific transcript diversity is regulated
during early embryonic development: 1) In both males and females, CLAMP links the DNA of
gene bodies of sex-specifically spliced genes directly to the RNA of target genes and physically
interacts with U1-U6snRNAs and protein components of the splicing machinery; 2) In males,
CLAMP regulates the distribution of the spliceosome component **M**alele**s**s (MLE) to prevent
aberrant sex-specific splicing; 3) In females, CLAMP binds to the DNA and RNA encoded by the
sxl gene, the master regulator of sex determination, to directly regulate its splicing which also
modulates downstream targets. Overall, we demonstrate that sex-specific transcriptome variation
is established very early in development and is regulated by maternally deposited CLAMP via
diverse mechanisms including direct binding to the spliceosome and the DNA and RNA of sex-
specifically spliced genes.

153
154
155
156

Results

1. Alternative splicing plays an essential role in shaping the early embryonic transcriptome.

To determine when during early *Drosophila melanogaster* development sex-specific splicing begins, we analyzed RNA-sequencing data generated from sexed embryos at two-time points: 1) 0-2 Hrs (pre-MZT); 2) 2-4 Hrs (post-MZT)[9] (#GSE102922). Embryos were sexed using a meiotic drive system that produces sperm with either only X or only Y chromosomes[9], resulting in progeny of either only female or only male genotypes. Next, we measured the amount of AS in early *Drosophila* development using a pipeline that we developed and made publicly available called time2Splice (https://github.com/ashleymaeconard/time2splice). Time2Splice implements the commonly used SUPPA2 algorithm to identify splice variants and provides additional modules to integrate time, sex, and chromatin localization data (See **Supplemental method**) (Fig S1). SUPPA2 measures the percent spliced in (PSI) for each exon to calculate the differential alternative splicing between samples, represented as ΔPSI[42].

AS events can be classified into seven categories: 1) Alternative Last Exon (AL); 2) Skipping Exon (SE); 3) Alternative 5' Splice Site (A5SS); 4) Alternative 3' Splice Site (A3SS); 5) Mutually Exclusive Exon (MXE) 6) Retained Intron (RI) and 7) Alternative First Exon (AF) (**Fig1A**). We measured the total number of splicing events that belong to each of the seven different categories at the 0-2 Hr and 2-4 Hr embryonic stages in both female and male embryos. We found that 16-18% of total transcripts are alternatively spliced in early embryos. **A**lternative **F**irst Exon (AF) is the most common type of alternative splicing, constituting almost one-fourth of total AS. In contrast, **A**lternative **L**ast Exon (AL) is the least common alternative splice type. The AS transcript distribution across categories was similar between the two time points and sexes (**Fig 1B**).

We hypothesized that CLAMP regulates AS in early embryos for the following reasons: 1) CLAMP is a maternally deposited pioneer factor enriched at intronic regions ; 2) Proteomic data identified a physical association between spliceosome components and CLAMP[36]; 3) CLAMP binding sites evolved from polypyrimidine tracts that regulate splicing[35]. We tested our hypothesis in early staged and sexed embryos by measuring differences in splicing in RNA-sequencing data

generated from male and female 0-2 Hr and 2-4 Hr (pre- and post-MZT) embryos with and without

maternal CLAMP[9]. The maternal triple driver GAL4, *MTD-GAL4* was used to drive *UAS-*

CLAMPRNAi[val22] that strongly reduce maternal CLAMP levels, validated by qPCR and

Western blot [9].

First, we asked whether CLAMP alters AS and we found 200-400 transcripts where AS is regulated

by CLAMP depending on the time point and sex (**Fig 2A, B** and **Fig S2B, C, D, E**). Next, we

asked which type of alternative splicing is most affected by depleting CLAMP. The overall

distribution of AS transcripts into the seven splicing types remains mostly unaffected in the

absence of maternal CLAMP. However, at the 0-2 Hr (pre-MZT) stage, loss of maternal CLAMP

results in a more substantial decrease in **M**utually **E**xclusive **E**xon (**MXE**) splicing in both males

and females compared with all of the other types of splicing (males: p-value < 3.21e-21; females:

p-value < 6.26e-87 chi-squared test) (**Fig 1B**). At the 2-4 Hr (post-MZT) stage, only male embryos

have a significant percentage of MXE splicing affected in the absence of maternal CLAMP (p-

value < 1.95e-137 chi-squared test) (**Fig 1B**). Therefore, CLAMP alters AS and has a stronger

effect on MXE splicing than other types of splicing.

During MXE splicing one isoform of the transcript retains one of the alternative exons and

excludes another exon, which is retained by another isoform (schematic **Fig 1A**). Interestingly,

MXE alternative splicing occurs in many transcripts that encode components of the sex

determination pathway[43]. Sex determination pathway components undergo MXE alternative

splicing that regulates translation and influences the splicing of downstream genes to drive

transcriptome variation and sexual dimorphism. Furthermore, CLAMP has a sex-specific role in

dosage compensation[21,44]. Therefore, we next asked whether CLAMP regulates sex-specific

splicing.

2. Maternal CLAMP regulates sex-specific alternative splicing in early *Drosophila* embryos

To determine whether CLAMP-dependent alternative splicing events are enriched for **s**ex-**s**pecific

splicing (SSS) events, we first identified all of the transcripts undergoing female and male sex-

specific splicing (**Fig S2A, D**) (Supplementary **Method**). We measured alternative splicing using

an exon-centric approach to quantify individual splice junctions by measuring **p**ercent **s**pliced **in**
(**PSI**) for a particular exon using the established SUPPA algorithm within the time2splice
pipeline[42]. Exon inclusion is represented as positive PSI, and exclusion events are defined as
negative PSI (equation in **Supplementary Method**). In this way, we identified sex-specific
splicing events (**Fig S2A, D**) and CLAMP-dependent splicing events (**Fig S2B-C, E-F**) in female
and male 0-2 Hr and 2-4 Hr embryos. We did not see any statistically significant enrichment for
MXE within the CLAMP-dependent sex-specifically spliced events (see Supplementary **Table**
S1). Although we found substantial evidence of CLAMP-dependent sex-specific splicing, we think
the gene list is likely to be too small to determine significance for a particular class of splicing
event.
229
Next, we measured the percentage of total alternatively spliced and sex-specifically spliced
transcripts that are CLAMP-dependent in males and females at both pre- and post-MZT stages.
While only 2-3% of total AS is CLAMP-dependent, ~30-60% of sex-specific splicing is CLAMP-
dependent (**Fig 2A**). Therefore, CLAMP regulates sex-specific splicing more strongly than total
AS. Overall, more genes show CLAMP-dependent splicing in females (~150-250) than males
(~100) (**Fig 2B and Supplementary Table S1**). However, the magnitude of the effect of CLAMP
on splicing was stronger in males than in females (**Fig S3**). We found that before MZT, the
CLAMP-dependent known male sex-specifically spliced genes have more exon inclusion events
than their female counterparts since ΔPSI values for males is significantly higher than in females
(**Fig S3**). This was further supported by validating a randomly selected set of 12 sex-specific
splicing events we identified as described in more detail below (**Fig S4-6; Table S2**).
241
We then divided all CLAMP-dependent alternatively spliced events into two categories: 1) sex
specifically spliced (SSS) events; and 2) non-sex specifically spliced (non-SSS) events (**Fig 2B**).
We quantified CLAMP-dependent sex-specifically spliced events by comparing the change in PSI
(ΔPSI) for two classes of transcripts which we defined as follows: 1**) known** sex-specifically
spliced isoforms are different from each other in control samples (p<0.05) (**Fig. S2A, D**); 2**) new**
sex-specifically spliced isoforms are those not present in control samples (**Fig 2B**). We identified
widespread CLAMP-dependent sex-specific splicing, especially in female embryos (**Fig 2B**).
Interestingly, the majority of CLAMP-dependent SSS events are **new** sex-specific splicing events

that did not occur in the presence of maternal CLAMP (~70%) (**Fig 2C**). Furthermore, at the post-
MZT stage, both CLAMP-dependent exon inclusion and exclusion were significantly enriched in
male new SSS genes compared to their female-specific counterparts (**Fig S3**). Thus, in the absence
of CLAMP new aberrant sex-specific spliced isoforms are generated. Therefore, we hypothesized
that CLAMP normally inhibits aberrant sex-specific splicing events.

During the first few hours of their development, *Drosophila* embryos have predominantly maternal
transcripts. Therefore, we asked whether CLAMP-dependent female and male specifically-spliced
genes are maternally deposited or zygotically transcribed. We compared our list of CLAMP-
dependent sex-specifically spliced genes with known maternally expressed genes from previous
studies [45,46]. We found very low levels of overlap with maternally deposited transcripts (**Fig 2D**).
Therefore, most of the sex-specifically spliced genes we observed are likely to be zygotic
transcripts, consistent with the function of CLAMP as a pioneer TF in the early embryo [27].

Next, we divided our CLAMP-dependent sex-specifically spliced genes into female-specific or
male-specific categories. Here, it is important to note that many of these genes have multiple AS
events, and thus multiple isoforms regulated by CLAMP (**Table S1**). Then, we performed Gene
Ontology (GO) analysis to determine which biological processes are enriched within CLAMP-
dependent sex-specifically spliced genes at pre- and post-MZT time points using time2splice. At
the pre-MZT time point, female-specifically spliced genes are primarily mRNA regulatory factors
such as spliceosome components and TFs (**Fig 2E**). Therefore, in females CLAMP alters the
splicing of genes that can regulate the transcription and splicing of other genes to amplify its
regulatory role. In contrast, the male specifically-spliced genes pre-MZT are not enriched for any
specific biological function or process likely due to the small number of genes in the gene list. At
the post-MZT stage in both sexes, CLAMP regulates the splicing of genes that drive development
including organogenesis, morphogenesis, cell proliferation, signaling, and neurogenesis (**Fig 2E**).

We also selected 12 random genes for validation that we identified computationally as having
CLAMP-dependent splicing events of diverse types such as alternative 5' splice site (A5) or
alternative first exon (AF) (**Fig S4-5**) using qRT-PCR or RT-PCR. These genes perform diverse
biological functions and provide new insights into how CLAMP regulates early development (**Fig.**

2E). We have summarized the results and functions of the validated target genes at which splicing

is regulated by CLAMP (**Table S2**). Several of the target genes have functional links to CLAMP

including *iab4*, *psq,* and *pep* suggesting that we have identified relevant target genes[36,47,48].

Furthermore, many genes that are sex-specifically spliced by CLAMP are themselves involved in

splicing and chromatin regulation (**Table S2**).

3. CLAMP is highly enriched along gene bodies of sex-specifically spliced genes after MZT

Because maternal CLAMP regulates sex-specific alternative splicing, we next asked: How does

CLAMP regulate female and male sex-specific splicing? If CLAMP directly regulates sex-specific

splicing, we hypothesized that it would directly bind to the genes that it regulates. Therefore, we

defined the binding pattern of CLAMP at the CLAMP-dependent female and male sex-specifically

spliced genes in sexed embryos using CLAMP ChIP-seq data (#GSE133637).

We generated average profiles for CLAMP occupancy at genes showing CLAMP-dependent

splicing in females and males at pre- and post-MZT time points in males and females (**Fig 3A,**

B). We found that CLAMP occupies the gene bodies of many sex-specifically spliced genes that

require CLAMP for their splicing. Specifically, 43.8% percent of all CLAMP-dependent sex-

specifically spliced genes are bound by CLAMP: 21.9% in 0-2 Hr female embryos, 8.2% in 0-2

Hr male embryos, 65.2% in 2-4 hr female embryos and 59.43% in 2-4 hr male embryos (**Table**

S3). The increase in percentage of genes bound in 2-4 Hr embryos compared with 0-2 Hr embryos

is consistent with an increased number of CLAMP binding sites at the later time point[48]. Overall,

these data are consistent with a direct role for CLAMP in regulating splicing of sex-specifically

spliced genes.

Next, we compared the average CLAMP binding pattern at sex-specifically spliced genes to the

CLAMP binding pattern at genes whose expression but not splicing is both sex-biased and

dependent on CLAMP. We defined CLAMP-dependent sex-biased genes as differentially

expressed genes in males in females that are dependent on CLAMP. At sex-specifically spliced

genes, CLAMP occupancy is present over gene bodies which is a dramatically different binding

profile from genes that are expressed but not spliced in a CLAMP-dependent and sex-biased

manner where CLAMP is enriched at the TSS and TES instead of at gene bodies (**Fig 3C and D**, rectangular box in **Fig 3A-D**). Furthermore, CLAMP binding is also modestly enriched at the TSS of female-biased expressed genes in females, consistent with enhanced CLAMP occupancy at the TSS of expressed genes [33]. As a control, we used a random set of active genes that are not regulated by CLAMP (green lines in **Fig 3A-D**) and we observed lower occupancy than at CLAMP-dependent genes. Overall, we found preferential binding of CLAMP along the gene bodies of genes that have CLAMP-dependent splicing in both females and males in contrast to TSS and TES binding at genes where expression requires CLAMP.

To determine whether the binding of CLAMP to gene bodies occurs close to splice junctions, we measured the distance of CLAMP peaks from the nearest splice junction (**Fig S7**). We found that CLAMP peaks are most frequently within 200-400bp of either the start or the end of a splice junction, especially in sex-specifically spliced genes. The resolution of these measurements is also limited by sonication and therefore it is possible that binding occurs even closer to splice junctions. We also found that CLAMP binds to chromatin closer to splice junctions at sex-specifically spliced genes compared to sex-biased genes in 2-4 hr female embryo samples that have the most target genes and CLAMP binding events. The results were similar for all CLAMP peaks (**Fig S7C**) compared to peaks only present in introns (**Fig S7G**). We did not observe a difference in proximity of CLAMP peaks to the nearest splice junction between sex-specifically spliced genes and sex-biased genes in other samples but this negative result is not conclusive due to the decreased number of peaks and target genes in the other samples. Together these data support a direct role for CLAMP in co-transcriptional RNA processing that we hypothesize is due to direct contact with target RNA transcripts and altering the recruitment of spliceosome components.

4. CLAMP binds to RNA on chromatin of many sex-specifically spliced genes and is a component of the mature spliceosome complex specifically in males

To test our hypothesis that CLAMP directly regulates co-transcriptional RNA splicing by contacting both the DNA and RNA of sex-specifically spliced genes and altering spliceosome recruitment, we first asked whether to CLAMP directly binds to RNA. Although CLAMP do not have a canonical **R**NA **r**ecognition **m**otifs (**RRM**), it has a prion-like intrinsically disordered

domain, present typically in many RNA binding proteins[49,50]. Using individual nucleotide

resolution UV crosslinked immunoprecipitation (iCLIP) which defines direct protein-RNA

interactions[51], we determined that most CLAMP RNA binds directly to hundreds of RNAs and

most targets are sex-specific with only 15% of the targets RNAs shared between males and females

(**Fig S8A, Table S5**). iCLIP was conducted on fractionated embryonic male and female cultured

cells because it was not possible to generate enough starting material from sexed embryos.

Interestingly, most CLAMP interaction with RNA occurs on chromatin (91.9% of male RNA

targets; 58.4% of female RNA targets) (**Fig S8A**). Even though iCLIP was conducted in embryos

cell lines and not embryos, we still found 47 target genes where CLAMP regulates sex-specific

splicing and interacts with both the DNA and RNA including but not only the key regulator of sex-

specific splicing *sxl*. Therefore, CLAMP sex-specifically and directly interacts with RNA targets

on chromatin including the RNA encoded by genes at which it regulates sex-specific splicing.

In addition to target RNAs, CLAMP also directly interacts with spliceosomal RNAs. Specifically,

we found that CLAMP binds to U1-U6 snRNAs (**Table S5**) more strongly in males (N=23)

compared to females (N=9) (**Fig S8B, C**). In the male chromatin fraction CLAMP interacts with

the catalytic step 2 spliceosome consisting of U2, U5, U6 snRNAs (FDR:1.7E-3). In contrast, the

female chromatin fraction is enriched for transcripts that encode proteins that bind to the U1-U2

snRNAs (FDR:1.1E-2) suggesting a different type of regulation of splicing in males and females.

Although these data establish that CLAMP interacts directly with some of its RNA targets to

regulate co-transcriptional splicing and interacts with the spliceosomes, it still does not explain

how CLAMP regulates splicing in a sex-specific manner.

4. CLAMP interacts with components of the spliceosome complex and influences their

occupancy on chromatin

CLAMP occupies the gene bodies of many CLAMP-dependent sex-specifically spliced genes

(**Fig 3**), physically associates with protein and RNA spliceosome components[36] , and directly

interacts with the RNA of a subset of target genes. Therefore, we hypothesized that CLAMP

regulates recruitment of components of the splicing machinery to chromatin differentially in males

and females. To test this hypothesis, we first examined how CLAMP regulates the occupancy of
the MLE RNA helicase, a component of both the MSL complex and the spliceosome[30,32,52-54] for
two reasons: 1) MLE is a component of both the MSL complex which is present only in males
(ref) and the spliceosome in both sexes[54] and 2) CLAMP physically associates with MLE[28,55,56].
Therefore, we hypothesized that CLAMP regulates the distribution of MLE between the
spliceosome and MSL complex to modulate sex-specific alternative splicing in males.
380
To determine whether CLAMP modulates MLE distribution on chromatin, we measured MLE
distribution at the genomic level using CUT&RUN[57,58]. We performed CUT&RUN in the presence
(*MTD-GAL4>UAS-GFPRNAi*) and absence (*MTD-GAL4>UAS-CLAMP [val22] RNAi*) of
maternal CLAMP at the pre-MZT and post-MZT embryonic stages in males. Next, we identified
MLE peaks in the presence or absence of maternally deposited CLAMP. MLE binds to chromatin
both in males and females, with stronger binding in males (**Fig 4A**). The absence of CLAMP
results in loss of MLE male peaks in males compared to no change in female MLE peaks (**Fig 4A**).
This supports our hypothesis that CLAMP regulates MLE recruitment to chromatin in males.
389
We next compared the distribution and location of MLE peaks with that of CLAMP peaks
previously identified in control embryos at the same time points[21] and classified MLE peaks into
two groups: 1) MLE peaks overlapping with CLAMP peaks (**Fig 4B,C and Fig S9**) and 2) unique
MLE peaks which do not overlap with CLAMP peaks (**Fig 4B,C and Fig S9**) at both 0-2 Hr pre-
MZT and 2-4 Hr post-MZT stages. Also, independent of developmental stage, MLE peaks which
overlap with CLAMP peaks are largely at promoters with fewer peaks localizing to introns. In
contrast, unique MLE peaks that do not overlap with CLAMP peaks are primarily localized to
introns (**Fig S9**). This distribution is similar for X-chromosomal and autosomal peaks.
398
In the absence of CLAMP, there is in a considerable loss and redistribution of both overlapping
and non-overlapping MLE peaks. We found that overall ~60% of MLE peaks were lost at the 2-4
Hr post-MZT stage in the absence of CLAMP. Moreover, 26% (pre-MZT) and ~35% (post-MZT)
of the MLE peaks observed in the absence of CLAMP were new and not present in control embryos
(**Fig 4B-C**). After the loss of maternal CLAMP, ~23% of MLE peaks overlapping with CLAMP
are also lost at the pre-MZT stage, which increases to ~51% at the post-MZT stage (**Fig 4B-C**).

Overall, our data suggest that MLE is redistributed in the absence of CLAMP suggesting that
CLAMP normally prevents aberrant recruitment of MLE in addition to the formation of aberrant
splice isoforms (**Fig 2**). Furthermore, we hypothesize that MLE at the new peaks is part of the
spliceosome complex and not MSL complex because MSL complex is not present on chromatin
in the absence of CLAMP[33].

To provide insight into the differences between MLE peaks which overlap with CLAMP and those
which do not, we identified sequence motifs which are enriched within each class of peaks using
MEME within the time2splice pipeline. The known CLAMP motif [33], a stretch of (GA)n repeats,
is enriched at regions that are bound by both MLE and CLAMP independent of stage and
chromosome type as expected. In contrast, MLE peaks which do not overlap with CLAMP have
motifs with stretches of GTs, GCTs, and GTAs but not (GA)n repeats (**Fig S9**). In the absence of
CLAMP, the remaining MLE peaks (red circle) were most enriched for (GT)n motifs (**Fig S9C,**
D) which have known roles in splicing through forming secondary RNA structures[59-61]. Therefore,
CLAMP prevents MLE from redistributing to sequence motifs that are known regulators of
splicing.

We also found that CLAMP alters the distribution of MLE from introns to promoters. MLE peaks
that overlap with CLAMP (intersection between green circle with red and grey circles, **Fig S9**) on
the X-chromosome (**Fig S9A, C**) or autosomes (**Fig S9B, D)** are enriched at promoters (**Fig S9A,**
C(X-chromosome), **Fig S9B, D** (Autosomes). In contrast, **new** unique MLE peaks not overlapping
with CLAMP (grey area in Venn diagrams, **Fig S9**) and those that are gained after CLAMP RNAi
(red area in Venn diagrams, **Fig S9**) are enriched at introns (**Fig S9A, C** (X-chromosome), **Fig**
S9B, D (Autosomes). These results support a role for CLAMP in sequestering MLE at (GA)n rich
sequences within promoters that prevents it from binding to GT motifs within introns that are
known regulators of splicing[59-61]. Thus, in the absence of CLAMP, MLE is redistributed and
aberrantly binds to intronic sequences with known motifs that regulate splicing irrespective of
whether present on X-chromosome or autosomes.

To determine how MLE redistribution could alter sex-specific splicing, we plotted the distribution
of MLE binding on CLAMP-dependent female and male-specifically spliced genes in the presence

and absence of CLAMP (**Fig S10A, B**). Pre-MZT, MLE binds near the TSS of male-specifically
spliced genes independent of maternal CLAMP (**Fig S9A**). At the post-MZT stage, loss of
maternal CLAMP in male embryos causes MLE to change its binding distribution along the gene
body (rectangle with dotted lines: **Fig S10B**) of CLAMP-dependent male-specifically spliced
genes (blue line) relative to CLAMP-dependent female-specifically spliced genes (red line). These
profiles are consistent with a model in which CLAMP is essential for MLE distribution at male-
specifically spliced genes which regulates male sex-specific splicing. In males, increased MLE
binding at female sex-specifically spliced genes (red line, enclosed within rectangle with dotted
lines: **Fig S10B**) in absence of CLAMP may result in differential splicing of these genes. Thus, we
hypothesize that CLAMP inhibits mis-localization of MLE to female sex-specifically spliced
genes in males.

Next, we asked whether CLAMP associates with spliceosome complex protein components other
than MLE, which is also a component of the MSL complex. We have shown that CLAMP directly
binds to snRNAs (Fig. S) and previously reported that CLAMP physically associates with several
spliceosome complex components based on mass spectrometry analysis[36]. To validate these
associations, we performed co-immunoprecipitation (coIP) experiments to assess association
between CLAMP and two spliceosome components with known functions in sex-specific splicing,
the conserved hrb27C and Squid proteins [54,62,63]. We found that in both S2 (male) and Kc (female)
cells, CLAMP interacts with hrb27C (**Fig S11A, B**). In contrast, CLAMP only associates with
Squid in female Kc cells and not in male S2 cells (**Fig S11A, B**), consistent with mass spectrometry
data. In contrast to MLE and CLAMP which are enriched on the male X-chromosome, Squid
occupancy on polytene chromosomes is decreased on the male X chromosome compared with the
female X chromosome (**Fig S11C-E**). Therefore, it is possible that there is a competition between
CLAMP recruitment of MSL complex to the male X chromosome and CLAMP recruitment of the
spliceosome complex containing Squid that contributes to sex-specific splicing.

Overall, CLAMP differentially associates with spliceosome components in males and females,
providing a potential mechanism by which CLAMP can regulate sex-specific splicing.
Specifically, it is possible that CLAMP influences the distribution of spliceosome components like
MLE between different protein complexes such as MSL complex and the spliceosome itself. We

hypothesize that differential binding of CLAMP to components of spliceosome like Squid in

females and MLE in males confers sex-specific recruitment of the spliceosome, thus generating

transcriptome diversity between female and male animals.

5. CLAMP regulates the chromatin accessibility and splicing of the *sxl* gene and directly interacts with the *sxl* RNA and alters splicing of other sex determination pathway component genes

In *Drosophila*, sex-specific alternative splicing is regulated by the sex-determination pathway. Sex-lethal (Sxl) is the master regulator of sex determination[15] and drives subsequent sex-specific splicing in females[64]. Therefore, we asked whether CLAMP regulates *sxl* gene splicing and Sxl protein levels in addition to directly regulating sex-specific splicing by binding to the DNA and RNA of target genes as we have demonstrated (**Fig 3, S8 and Table S3, S5**).

Functional Sxl protein is produced specifically in females because exon three in the *sxl* transcript contains a premature stop codon which is spliced out in females but retained in males [14]. Therefore, the Sxl protein is non-functional in males and becomes degraded[15,65]. In females, Sxl protein inhibits the splicing of the *msl-2* transcript which prevents aberrant MSL-2 expression and MSL complex formation in females (**Fig 5A**). Furthermore, Sxl promotes female specific-splicing of the downstream effector called transformer (*tra*). The female-specific Transformer protein regulates splicing of another downstream transcript doublesex (*dsx*), which is sex-specifically spliced. In contrast, the male-specific *transformer* transcript is not translated into functional Transformer protein in males. Therefore, in the absence of any functional male-specific Transformer protein, the *doublesex* transcript undergoes male-specific splicing (**Fig 5A**). The sex-specific isoforms of the Doublesex protein drive sex-specific splicing of many downstream genes, shaping sexual variation between males and females [66,67].

Because CLAMP regulates sex-specific splicing and is maternally deposited, we hypothesized that CLAMP regulates the canonical sex determination pathway described above. To test this hypothesis, we used our previously described recessive *clamp* null mutant *clamp²* line [44], the heterozygous mutant *clamp²/CyO-GFP* line, and our previously reported rescue line which is homozygous for the *clamp²* allele and contains an insertion of the wild type *CLAMP* gene. Sxl is strongly regulated via alternative splicing of its mRNA[68-70]. Therefore, we designed an RT-PCR assay to distinguish between the female-specific (excluding exon 3) and male-specific (including exon 3) versions of the *sxl* transcript (**Fig 5B**). We used this assay to measure CLAMP-dependent changes in alternative splicing and found that in homozygous *clamp²* female animals, there is a

small but detectable amount of the longer male-specific *sxl* transcript (**Fig 5B**, lane c). This mis-

regulation of *sxl* splicing is rescued by our *CLAMP* containing rescue construct (**Fig 5B**, lane d).

Our iCLIP data shows that CLAMP directly binds to *sxl* transcripts in females and not males

(**Table S5**) emphasizing CLAMP's direct role in *sxl* splicing in females.

To test whether defects in splicing altered Sxl protein levels, we performed western blots to

quantify Sxl protein in wild type females and males and *clamp²*null females (**Fig 5C**). We

observed a reduction in Sxl protein levels in females in the *clamp²* null background when compared

with controls. Also, homozygous *clamp²* mutant males die before the third instar larval stage, and

therefore it was not possible to measure the splicing of transcripts in male *clamp²* mutant larvae.

Overall, we determined that CLAMP promotes female-specific splicing of the *sxl* transcript to

ensure that normal Sxl protein levels are produced.

To determine a possible mechanism by which CLAMP regulates splicing of *sxl*, we mined our

previously generated Micrococcal Nuclease sequencing data[26], which measures chromatin

accessibility in S2(male) and Kc(female) cells in the presence and absence of CLAMP. Regions

of the genome that are accessible have a positive MACC score and are shown in blue and regions

of the genome that are inaccessible have a negative score and are shown in red (range is between

-0.33(red) and +1.33 (blue). We found that after the loss of CLAMP in female Kc cells, chromatin

accessibility at exon 3 of *sxl* increases significantly (**Fig 5D**). As shown in the Fig 5D boxed

rectangular inset, *sxl* exon3 shows a strong and statistically significant peak[26] indicative of open

chromatin specifically in females in which CLAMP has been depleted compared to control

females. Therefore, CLAMP normally promotes a closed chromatin environment at exon 3 in

females but not males.

Our results suggest that increased chromatin accessibility in males compared to females results in

retention of exon3 in the male *sxl* transcript. Consistent with our results, recent reports provide

strong evidence that increased chromatin accessibility contributes substantially to the retention of

introns during AS[71]. In addition, splicing-associated chromatin signatures have recently been

identified[72]. Consistent with our results in males, open chromatin marks such as H3K4me1&

H3K4me2 are enriched just upstream of the start site of retained exons[72]. In contrast, histone marks

associated with condensed chromatin such as H4K20me1&2, H3K9me3, and H3K27me3 are

highly enriched at excluded exons[72], consistent with our results in females.

Next, we examined the splicing of other components of the sex determination pathway for defects

in alternative splicing in the absence of CLAMP for which we were able to design effective RT-

PCR primers (**Fig 5E-F**). In embryos which lack CLAMP (**Fig 5E**, lane 2), the *dsx* female-specific

transcript is aberrantly produced in males (**Fig 5E**, lanes 4 and 5). In contrast, the male-specific

dsx transcript is not expressed in male embryos which lack CLAMP, similar to wild type female

embryos (**Fig 5E**, lane7-10). We also observed male-specific *dsx* transcripts in female *clamp²*

mutant larvae (**Fig 5E**, lane c). Therefore, *dsx* splicing is regulated by CLAMP and CLAMP binds

to the *dsx* gene (**Fig S11**). However, *dsx* splicing is also regulated by Sxl whose protein levels are

regulated by CLAMP (**Fig 5C**).

Furthermore, we found that CLAMP regulates splicing of the male-specific lethal-2 (*msl-2*)

transcript, which is present only in males because Sxl regulates its splicing and stability. In the

clamp² mutants: 1) levels of the nonfunctional female *msl-2* isoform (**Fig 5F**, lane 5) increased in

male embryos (**Fig 5F**, lane 2); 2) levels of the functional male-specific *msl-2* isoform increased

in females (**Fig 5F**, lane c). To determine whether these splicing defects also cause dysregulation

of MSL-2 protein expression and localization, we performed polytene immunostaining from

female *clamp²* mutant salivary glands. In the absence of CLAMP, ectopic MSL2 protein (in red) is

present at several locations on female chromatin compared with controls (*clamp²/CyOGFP*

heterozygous females) where MSL-2 protein is not present on chromatin (**Fig 5G**). Similar to *dsx*,

the gene is also bound by CLAMP (**Fig S12**) and regulated by Sxl and therefore could be regulated

through both direct and indirect mechanisms. Since CLAMP does not binds to the *dsx* or *msl-2*

transcripts directly it is possible that it indirectly regulates *dsx* and *msl-2* splicing through Sxl.

CLAMP does binds to the RNA that encodes another sex-specific splicing regulator and Sxl target

gene *fru* (Fruitless) in both females and males (**Table S5**). Together, these data reveal that CLAMP

regulates the splicing and protein expression of multiple components of the sex determination

pathway.

To further define how CLAMP and Sxl function together to regulate sex-specific splicing, we
asked whether CLAMP nuclear RNA targets in males and females have known Sxl binding motifs
(**Fig S13A**). Therefore, we compared CLAMP RNA targets from female embryonic cell lines with
available data identifying Sxl RNA targets in adult female heads (#**GSE98187**). Even though the
data sets are from different cell types, we still identified 61 overlapping targets in females (**Fig
S13B, Table S6**) which include the *sxl* transcripts and snRNAU5, a component of the U5snRNP
complex involved in splicing. Interestingly, 153 CLAMP RNA targets from males overlapped with
Sxl female RNA targets, indicating that CLAMP may function in males at genes that are Sxl targets
in females (**Fig S13B, C Table S6**). Overall, we found ~20% of CLAMP RNA targets have Sxl
motifs and similarly ~25% of CLAMP targets are Sxl targets as well. Also, 55 (19.6%) of the
CLAMP-dependent female sex-specific spliced genes are Sxl targets (**Table S7**). These results
further support our hypothesis that CLAMP functions together with Sxl to regulate sex-specific
splicing both via directly interacting with the DNA and RNA of sex-specifically spliced genes and
indirectly through regulating Sxl.

579
Discussion:

Alternative splicing (AS) is a highly conserved mechanism that generates transcript and protein
diversity[3,73,74]. Several studies have reported highly dynamic RNA bound proteomes (RBPs)
during the Maternal Zygotic Transition (MZT) across diverse phyla, with widespread alternative
splicing events occurring during early embryonic development[1,2,39,41,46]. Furthermore, different
isoforms are present in maternal and zygotic transcripts[45,46]. However, the mechanisms that
regulate transcript diversity during the early hours of an organism's life remain elusive.

Maternally-deposited pioneer transcription factors drive zygotic genome activation, but their role
in generating transcription diversity in the early embryo was unknown. Here, we define sex-
specific alternative spliced isoforms in pre- and post- MZT *Drosophila melanogaster* female and
male embryos genome-wide for the first time. Furthermore, we identify a maternally-deposited
pioneer TF, CLAMP, which regulates sex-specific transcript diversity in early embryos. Prior work
on sex-specific transcript diversity[24,37-39,41,62,75-78] either examined sex-biased differences in gene

expression or sex-specific transcript diversity much later in development in adult gonads or brain.

To overcome the challenge of sexing early embryos before zygotic genome activation, we used a

meiotic drive system that generates sperm with either X or only Y chromosomes[9] and measured

sex specific transcript diversity caused by alternative splicing.

Splice variants occur at different frequencies over time and between sexes. To date, we lack

pipelines to characterize these how isoforms change over time. Therefore, we developed

time2splice, which identifies mechanisms to regulate temporal and sex-specific alternative

splicing by combining RNA-seq and protein-DNA interaction data from CUT&RUN and ChIP-

seq experiments. Time2splice has three parts: 1) temporal splicing analysis based on the SUPPA

algorithm; 2) temporal protein-DNA analysis, and 3) temporal multi-omics integration. The

pipeline and analysis steps can be accessed at https://github.com/ashleymaeconard/time2splice.

Even following the initial few hours of its existence, there is a clear difference between a male and

female *Drosophila* embryo's transcript variation that was not previously identified (**Fig1, 2**).

Because the RNA transcript variants in both males and females encode genes involved in

developmental processes, sex-specific developmental distinctions may occur earlier than

previously thought. We demonstrate that a fundamental developmental trajectory differs between

males and females from the initial hours of their existence long before gonad formation. Such early

sex-specific transcript diversity provides insight into how developmental disorders that originate

before gonad formation can exhibit variable penetrance between sexes.

We defined groups of genes in both males and females that undergo alternative splicing events

which are regulated by maternally deposited CLAMP. Thus, the maternal environment regulates

both transcription initiation and shapes RNA processing. The key question is: How does CLAMP,

a ubiquitously expressed pioneer TF, regulate sex-specific splicing? We identified several

mechanisms by which CLAMP regulates sex-specific splicing.

CLAMP binds directly to intronic regions of approximately half of the sex-specifically spliced

genes that it regulates in both males and females. CLAMP also directly binds to different RNA

transcripts on chromatin in males and females and associates with U1-U6 snRNAs providing a

mechanism by which it regulates, co-transcriptional splicing. Therefore, our data supports a model

in which direct CLAMP binding to DNA and RNA regulates splicing of its target genes.

Furthermore, CLAMP regulates chromatin as a pioneer TF[26,48] and recent literature links

chromatin and splicing[71,72]. For example, closed chromatin marks have recently been linked to

exon exclusion and open chromatin has been linked to exon inclusion[71,72]. Proteomic analysis [36]

and coIPs (**Fig S11 A,B**) show that CLAMP is associated with spliceosome complex components,

including Squid and Hrb27C, further supporting a direct role for CLAMP in splicing. Interestingly,

CLAMP associates with Squid specifically in females. In contrast to Squid, MLE, a component of

both the spliceosomes and MSL complex[32] physically interacts with CLAMP only in males.

Because Squid is already known to regulate sex-specific splicing[62], these data support a model in

which differential association between CLAMP and spliceosome complex components in males

and females regulates sex-specific splicing. Our results also indicate that CLAMP is present in

functional spliceosome complex in males but not in females (**Table S5**). Thus, we hypothesize that

CLAMP may recruit spliceosome complex components to regulate splicing by altering the

chromatin environment or/and directly binding to target RNA transcripts (**Fig 6A**).

Based on our results, we hypothesize that there are two separate mechanisms by which CLAMP

can regulate splicing in males and females (**Fig 6).** We show that CLAMP inhibits aberrant splicing

events in males, especially at the post-MZT stage (**Fig 2**). In males, we found that MLE distribution

on chromatin is CLAMP dependent (**Fig 4A**) Also, MLE colocalizes with CLAMP at promoter

regions but in contrast, MLE alone is more often bound to intronic sequences, a prerequisite for

its splicing function, (**Fig 6B**). However, in the absence of CLAMP, the promoter bound MLE is

reduced, and MLE re-localizes from its normal intronic binding sites to new intronic regions that

contain GT sequence motifs (**Fig S9**) that are known to regulate splicing[59-61]. Therefore, we

hypothesize that CLAMP regulates the localization of MLE to prevent the aberrant formation of

female-specific transcripts in males that we observed in the absence of CLAMP.

In males, another function of CLAMP is to recruit the MSL ribonucleoprotein complex to the X

chromosome to regulate dosage compensation. CLAMP physically interacts directly with MSL-

2[28] and associates with MLE, an RNA helicase that acts on the *roX* non-coding RNA components

of MSL complex[31,44,52,55,79]. Furthermore, RNA transcript splicing is regulated by the spliceosome,

another ribonucleoprotein complex, consisting of many protein and RNA components[54]. Because

MLE is part of the MSL complex only in males and the spliceosome complex in both sexes, we

hypothesize that CLAMP influences the relative distribution of MLE between the MSL complex

and the spliceosome to co-regulate sex-specific splicing and male dosage compensation (**Fig 6B**).

Without CLAMP, the MSL complex does not localize to the X-chromosome and becomes

destabilized [33]; thus, MLE is no longer part of the MSL complex and is available to redistribute to

new spliceosome binding sites. Therefore, we provide evidence to support a model in which

CLAMP sex-specifically inhibits aberrant binding of MLE to motifs that regulate splicing which

alters sex-specific transcript diversity.

To provide mechanistic insight into how a pioneer factor like CLAMP regulates sex-specific

splicing, we also examined the splicing of sex determination pathway components. In females but

not in males, CLAMP binds near the early promoter of the *sxl* gene (SxlPe) and regulates the

chromatin environment at exon 3 of *sxl* which is normally spliced out in females (**Fig 6C**). Also,

only in females and not in males CLAMP directly binds to *sxl* transcript. Consistent with recent

literature[71,72], we hypothesize that closed chromatin at exon 3 induces exclusion of this exon from

female *sxl* transcripts whereas more open chromatin in males result in its inclusion in the male *sxl*

transcript. In the absence of CLAMP in females, the chromatin becomes more open, and *sxl*

transcript is not bound by CLAMP. Therefore, exon 3 is included in *sxl* transcripts which prevents

translation due to the incorporation of a stop codon. In the absence of CLAMP, exon 3 is retained

in females similar to wild type males, resulting in the formation of untranslatable *sxl* transcript in

females, significantly reducing levels of Sxl protein, thus dysregulating downstream splicing

events (**Fig 6C**). Because CLAMP binding sites are present near the promoter region of the *sxl*

gene, we hypothesize that CLAMP regulates chromatin at exon 3 from a distance consistent with

literature. CLAMP can mediate long-range chromatin interactions [80,81] and act on chromatin

accessibility at a distance [26].

In *Drosophila*, the master regulator Sxl initiates the sex-specific splicing cascade very early in

development to drive sex determination [14,20,69]. Decreased Sxl protein levels in female *clamp²*

mutants and mis-expression of female and male-specific *dsx* transcripts suggest that CLAMP may

regulate sexual differentiation because sex-specific Dsx protein isoforms are known determinants

of sexual dimorphism[15]. Also, CLAMP directly binds to the DNA of *sxl, dsx,* and *msl-2* target

genes. Furthermore, CLAMP binds to the DNA and RNA of *sxl* and *fru*. Fruitless (*fru*) encodes a

BTB zinc finger transcription factor that contributes to sexual differentiation of the neural circuits

[82,83] and many of the CLAMP dependent sex-specifically spliced genes belong to neural

development pathway (**Fig 2E**). Since CLAMP and Sxl have both overlapping and distinct targets,

we hypothesize that CLAMP regulates sex-specific splicing both via the Sxl-mediated sex-

determination pathway as well as independent of it.

Therefore, CLAMP may regulate splicing through at least two possible mechanisms that are not

mutually exclusive: 1) CLAMP directly regulates the splicing of many sex-specifically spliced

genes by linking the RNA to the DNA and altering the recruitment of the spliceosome; 2) CLAMP

regulates the sex-specific of genes such as *msl-2* and *dsx* indirectly by regulating Sxl which is a

known regulator of their splicing and thus downstream splicing events.

Overall, we hypothesize that both different composition of the spliceosome and differential

recruitment to chromatin drive sex-specific changes in splicing. We identify CLAMP as a maternal

factor that regulates sex-specific alternative splicing through its sex-biased association with the

DNA and RNA of target genes and recruitment of spliceosome components and its ability to

influence the sex determination pathway. Identifying the factors that regulate this sex-biased

association of CLAMP with spliceosome complex components will be a key future direction.

Here, we show for the first time that a maternal factor controls sex-specific splicing during early

embryonic development, highlighting how the maternal environment influences transcript

diversity in the zygote from activation of the zygotic genome to the processing of zygotic RNA

products. Consistent with recent literature linking chromatin accessibility and splicing, our results

suggest that CLAMP could be one example of a more general splicing regulatory mechanism

controlled by the interaction between pioneer TFs that alter chromatin accessibility and

components of the RNA processing machinery to generate spatial-temporal transcript diversity.

While we analyzed sex-specific transcriptome diversity in this study and linked it to the sex-

specific dosage compensation process, similar mechanisms could drive cell-type specific variation.

For example, cell fate-determining transcription factors could regulate the chromatin occupancy

of splicing complex components to promote the formation of cell-type-specific isoforms. We also

present time2splice, a new pipeline to uncover mechanisms which drive such spatial-temporal

transcript diversity by integrating splicing and chromatin occupancy data.

Materials and Methods:

Fly strains and rearing

Drosophila melanogaster fly stocks were maintained at 24°C on standard corn flour sucrose

media. Fly strains used: *MTD-GAL4* (Bloomington, #31777), *UAS-CLAMPRNAi[val22]*

(Bloomington, #57008), Meiotic drive fly stocks +; SD72/CyO and 19-3, yw, Rsp[s]-

B[s]/Dp(2:y)CB25-4, y+, Rsp[s]B[s]; SPSD/CyO (Bloomington, #64332) (both gifts from

Cynthia Staber). These were crossed to obtained male and female embryo of desired genotypes

according to Rieder et al 2017.

Sample collection and Western blotting

Salivary glands from third instar larvae were dissected in cold PBS and samples frozen in liquid

nitrogen. Total protein from the samples was extracted by homogenizing tissue in the lysis buffer

(50mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150mM NaCl, 1% SDS, 0.5X protease inhibitor) using a small pestle.

After a five-minute incubation at room temperature, cleared the samples by centrifuging at room

temperature for 10 minutes at 14,000xg. To blot for CLAMP and Actin, 5 micrograms of total

protein was run on a Novex 10% Tris-Glycine precast gel (Life technologies). To measure Sex-

lethal protein levels, 20 micrograms of total protein was run on a Novex 12% Tris-Glycine precast

gel (Life technologies). Protein was transferred to PVDF membranes using the iBlot transfer

system (ThermoFisher Scientific) and probed the membranes for CLAMP (1:1000, SDIX), Actin

(1:400,000, Millipore), and SXL (1:500, a gift from Fatima Gebauer) antibodies using the Western

Breeze kit following the manufacturer's protocol (ThermoFisher Scientific). We quantified the

relative expression of protein for SXL using the gel analysis tool in ImageJ software following the

website's guidelines [84]. For each genotype, we first internally normalized the amount of SXL

protein to Actin. Next, we determined the protein's relative expression by comparing the Actin normalized quantities to y[1], w[1118] female samples.

Polytene chromosome squashes and immunostaining

Polytene chromosome squashes were prepared as previously described in Reider et al. 2017. We stained polytene chromosomes with rabbit anti-CLAMP (1:1000, SDIX), mouse anti-Squid (1:50, 1B11, DSHB), rabbit anti-MLE (1:1000, gift from Mitzi Kuroda), rat anti-MSL2 (1:500, gift from Peter Becker) antibodies. For detection, we used all Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies against rabbit and mouse at a concentration of 1:1000 and visualized slides at 40X on a Zeiss Axioimager M1 Epifluorescence upright microscope with the AxioVision version 4.8.2 software.

Splicing assays for male and female-specific transcripts

To test for the male and female splice forms of *sex-lethal, transformer, doublesex*, and *msl2*, total RNA was extracted from ten third instar larvae from each genotype. We reverse-transcribed two micrograms of total RNA using the SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer's protocol. We amplified target sequences by PCR using primers designed to span Alternatively spliced junctions. Alternative splicing primer sequences for sxl FP-TGCAACTCACCTCATCATCC, sxl RP- GATGGCAGAGAATGGGACAT, for tra FP-TGAAAATGGATGCCGACAG, tra RP- CTCTTTGGCGCAATCTTCTC, for dsx female transcript dsxFFP-CTATCCTTGGGAGCTGATGC, dsxF RP-TCGGGGCAAAGTAGTATTCG, for dsx male transcript dsxM FP-CAGACGCCAACATTGAAGAG, dsxM RP- CTGGAGTCGGTGGACAAATC, for msl2 FP-GTCACACTGGCTTCGCTCAG and msl2 RP- CCTGGGCTAGTTACCTGCAA were used.

Immunoprecipitation

Nuclear extract preparation: Male (S2) and female (Kc) cells were grown to a cell concentration of $2X\ 10^6$ cells/mL in T25 tissue culture flasks. Cells were scraped from the flask, centrifuged for 5min at 2500rpm at 4°C. Supernatant was removed and cell pellets were washed twice in 5ml of cold PBS. The washed cell pellets were then resuspended in 5X volume of Buffer A (10mM HEPES pH7.9, 1.5mM $MgCl_2$, 10mM KCl, 0.5mMDTT, 1X Protease inhibitors). Cells were incubated on ice for 15 minutes before dounce homogenization with an A pestle. Cytoplasmic

fraction was collected after centrifugation at 4°C for 20 min at 700xg. The remaining nuclear pellet

was re-suspended in 3 times volume in Buffer B (20mM HEPES pH7.9, 20%Glycerol, 0.5%NP

40, 200mMKCl, 0.5mMEDTA, 1mMEGTA, 1X protease inhibitors). Nuclei after re-suspension

were dounce homogenized with a B pestle. Nuclear debris was then pelleted by centrifugation at

10,000xg for 10 min at 4°C. 1ml aliquots of both cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were prepared

in 1.5mL Protein LoBind Eppendorf tubes and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for storage at -80 °C.

Immunoprecipitation: Magnetic anti-CLAMP beads were prepared to a final concentration of

10mg/mL by coupling rabbit anti-CLAMP antibody (SDIX) to magnetic beads, according to

Dynabeads Antibody coupling kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) instructions. Both prepared anti-

CLAMP and purchased anti-IgG (anti-rabbit IgG M-280 Dynabeads) were blocked to reduce

background the night before the immunoprecipitation. First, the beads were washed 3 times for 5

minutes in 500L Tris-NaCl Wash (50mM Tris, 500mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40) by rotating at 4C. The

beads were next suspended in block buffer (3.3mg/mL of yeast tRNA extract prepared in 20mM

HEPES, pH7.9, 20% Glycerol, 0.5% NP-40, 200mM KCl, 1mM EDTA, and 2mM EGTA) and

rotated overnight at 4C. The next day, beads were washed 3 times for 5 minutes in the block buffer

without yeast tRNA by rotating at 4°C. After the final wash, beads were resuspended in the same

amount of block buffer as the starting volume.

To 1mL of previously prepared nuclear extract, 100uL of blocked anti-CLAMP or anti-IgG

magnetic Dynabeads were added. The nuclear extracts and beads were then rotated for 1 hour at

4°C. Afterward, the beads were collected and the supernatant discarded. The beads were then

washed three times in Tris-NaCl wash (50mM Tris, 500mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40) by rotating for 5

minutes at 4°C and cleared by using a magnetic rack. To elute proteins from the beads, 100uL of

1% SDS was added, and the beads were boiled for 10 minutes at 95C. To the eluate, 300uL of

ultrapure water was added, and the tubes gently vortexed. After collecting the beads on a magnetic

rack, the eluate was saved in a clean Protein LoBind Eppendorf tube.

Western blotting: Squid and Hrb27C were detected in IP-CLAMP and IGG-rabbit protein samples

using mouse anti-Squid (1:500, 1B11, DSHB) and rabbit anti-Hrb27C (1:5000, Fatima Gebauer),

performed as mentioned above under western blotting protocol.

CUT&RUN

0-2 hr and 2-4 hr male and female embryos of desired genotypes (~50 each) were collected on standard grape juice agar medium and washed with water. The embryos were dechorionated in 6% bleaching solution for 2 min and washed twice in ice cold 1XPBS. Centrifuged at 12,000g for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants were discarded and embryos resuspended in 200μl Dig-Wash buffer with EDTA (20mM HEPES-NaOH, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, 0.5mM Spermidine, 10mM PMSF, 0.05% digitonin) and washed twice. Embryos were incubated in 200μl primary antibody overnight at 4°C on a tube rotator. Next, embryos were centrifuged at 12,000g for 10 min at 4°C and liquid removed and embryos were washed twice in Dig-Wash buffer with EDTA. Then, embryos were incubated for 3 hours at 4°C in ~700 ng/ml pAMNase solution in Dig-Wash buffer with EDTA. Embryos were washed twice in Dig-Wash buffer without EDTA and resuspended in 150μl of Dig-Wash buffer without EDTA. Samples were equilibrated to 0°C on a heat block maintained on ice-bath. 2μl of 100mm $CaCl_2$ added to each sample to initiate MNase activity and digestion was performed for 30 min before adding 150μl of 2X RSTOP Buffer (200mM NaCl, 20mM EDTA, 4mM EGTA, 50ug/ml RNase, 40ug/ml glycogen, 10pg/ml yeast spike-in DNA) to stop the reaction. Incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes to release the DNA fragments. Samples were spun at 12,000g for 10 minutes and aqueous layer transferred to a fresh 1.5 ml microfuge tube and centrifuged at 16,000g for 5 minutes. Cleared liquid was again transferred to a fresh tube, 1μl of 20% SDS and 2.5μl proteinase K (20ng/ml) added, incubated at 70°C for 10 minutes. 300μl PCI was added to each tube, mixed and total solution was transferred to phase lock tubes and centrifuged at 16,000g for 5 minutes. After adding 300μl of chloroform and mixing gently, samples were centrifuged at 16,000g for 5 minutes at RT. The aqueous layer was transferred to a DNA low binding tube. 1μl glycogen (5mg/ml) and 750μl ethanol added to precipitate DNA at -80°C. Samples were centrifuged at 16,000g for 10 min at 4°C and washed in ethanol twice. Pellet air dried and dissolved in 15μl of 1mM TrisHCl + 0.1mM EDTA pH 8.0 [57,58]. 1ng of Cut and Run DNA was used to make libraries using the KAPA Hyper prep kit and SeqCap adapters A &B (Roche) according to manufacturer's protocol. For library amplification 14 cycles were used and a 1.0X SPRI bead cleanup was performed using Agencourt Ampure XP beads. The following

antibody concentrations were used: rabbit anti-CLAMP (5μg/sample, SDIX); 1:200 anti-rabbit

(MilliporeSigma); rat anti-MLE (1:50, 6E11); 700ng/ml pA-MNase (from Steven Henikoff).

iCLIP

Kc and S2 cells were maintained at 25°C in Schneider's media supplemented with 10% Fetal

Bovine Serum and 1.4X Antibiotic-Antimycotic. Cells were passaged every 3 days to maintain an

appropriate cell density. Cells were allowed to grow to confluency and UV crosslinked using

254nm UV light in Stratalinker 2400 on ice (Stratagene, USA). UV treated cells were lysed to get

different cellular fractions (Cytoplasmic, Nucleoplasmic and Chromatin) according to Fr-iCLIP

(fractionation-iCLIP) protocol from Brugiolo et al 2017[85]. Chromatin and Nucleoplasmic fractions

were sonicated with a Branson digital sonicator at 30% amplitude for 30 s total (10 sec on and 20

sec off) to disrupt DNA before IP. All three fractions were separately centrifuged at 20,000 xg for

5 min at 4°C. Fractions were tested by Western blotting using RNApolI for Chromatin Fraction,

Actin for Cytoplasmic Fraction. Protein quantification for each fraction was done using

manufacturer's protocol for Pierce 660nm protein assay reagent (Thermo Scientific, USA). Each

Fraction was subjected to iCLIP protocol as described in Huppertz et al 2014[51] using rabbit-

CLAMP antibody to immuniprecipitate bound RNAs which were extracted using proteinase K and

phenol:chloroform. Custom cDNA libraries prepared according to Huppertz et al 2014[51] using

distinct primers Rt1clip-Rt16clip for separate samples containing individual 4nt-barcode

sequences that allow multiplexing of samples. cDNA libraries for each sample amplified

separately using 31 cycles of PCR, mixed together later and sequenced using standard illumina

protocols. Heyl et al. 2020[86] methods using the Galaxy CLIP-Explorer were followed to

preprocess, perform quality control, post-process and perform peak calling. Data deposited in GEO

(awaiting accession number).

Computational Methods:

Time2splice tool: see Supplementary methods

Sex-specific splicing event analysis

RNA sequencing data from Rieder et al 2017 (#GSE102922) was analyzed using time2splice to

determine sex-specifically splicing events dmel-all-r6.29.gtf from BDGP6 in genomes [87] was used

to map each transcript identifier (ID) to gene ID and symbol, for .bed creation data for the

associated chromosome, transcription start site (TSS) and transcription end site (TES), and strand

information were imported from Illumina

(https://support.illumina.com/sequencing/sequencing_software/igenome.html). From the raw data

after quality control i.e, FastQC[88], Salmon[89] was used to quantify transcript expression for

treatment and control samples. Calculated transcripts per million (TPM) values from SUPPA[42]

were used for all four replicates of female and male controls at both time points (before and after

MZT). Each sample was filtered to include transcripts where the mean value is less than or equal

to 3 TPMs per gene. The number of transcripts included at various thresholds were plotted from 1

to 10 and the fraction of genes filtered out begins to plateau around threshold 3. The percent of

spliced in (PSI) transcripts between females and males were compared at both 0-2 Hr (pre-MZT)

and 2-4 Hr (post-MZT) (p-value of 0.05), thereby resulting in delta PSI values and p-values for

each transcription in each experimental condition comparison. Given these resulting delta

transcript PSI values, significantly alternatively splice genes (p-value 0.05) were found between

females vs. males 0-2 Hr (pre-MZT) controls to show which genes are normally sex-specifically

spliced pre-MZT. The same process was followed at 2-4 Hr (post-MZT). To then determine the

sex-specifically spliced genes, the female RNAi experiment compared with the control delta PSI

gave the number of total alternative spliced transcripts pre-MZT, then considering those that are

not shared with males, and are only expressed in females normally, this defined our sex specifically

spliced set of genes for females pre-MST. This process was also performed for males pre-MZT.

The same process was followed for post-MZT samples.

ChIP-seq: Data analysis

We used preprocessed ChIP-seq data from Rieder et al 2019 (#GSE133637), specifically the .bw

and .broadPeak.gz files in our analysis using ChIPseeker [90] and deeptools [91]. Specifically, when

plotting the average profiles using deeptools, we achieved a baseline signal representing genome-

wide binding taking into consideration the number of genes in other groups by the following

procedure: of all genes that are on (no zero read-count genes), we sampled the number of the

largest other group (to which we are comparing), and ran computeMatrix on that subset. This

process was repeated 500 times and the resulting 500 matrices were averaged to produce a

representative signal. For motif analysis MEME [92] suite was used.

Cut and Run: Data analysis

Sequenced   reads   were   run   through   FASTQC[88](fastqc   replicate_R1_001.fastq.gz

replicate_R2_001.fastq.gz) with default parameters to check the quality of raw sequence data and

filter out any sequences flagged for poor quality. Sequences were trimmed and reassessed for

quality using TrimGalore (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore/issues/25) and FastQC [88],

respectively. All Illumina lanes of the same flow cell .fastq files were merged, and sequenced reads

were then mapped to release 6 *Drosophila melanogaster* genome (dm6). We compared Bowtie2[93],

HISAT2[94], and BWA[95]. We found the best alignment quality with BWA and thus used this

method's results downstream. Next, we performed conversion to bam and sorting (e.g. using:

bowtie2 -x dm6_genome -1 replicate_R1_001.fastq.gz -2 replicate_R2_001.fastq.gz -S out.sam >

stout.txt 2> alignment_info.txt; samtools view -bS out.sam > out.bam; rm -rf out.sam; samtools

sort out.bam -o out.sorted.bam). We removed reads (using samtools) with a MAPQ less than 30

and any reads with PCR duplicate reads (identified using MarkDuplicates Picard -2.20.2). Peaks

identified using MACS2[96](macs2 callpeak -t out.sorted.bam -B -f BAM --nomodel --SPMR --

keep-dup all -g dm --trackline -n outname --cutoff-analysis --call-summits -p 0.01 --outdir outdir)

and keep duplicates separate**.** To calculate fold-enrichment macs2 is run again (macs2 bdgcmp -t

$treat -c $control -o $out.sorted.bam_FE.bdg -m FE 2> $ out.sorted.bam_FE.log; macs2 bdgcmp

-t $treat -c $control -o $out.sorted.bam_logLR.bdg -m logLR -p 0.00001 2). For motif analysis

MEME [92] suite was used. Data submitted in GEO repository (#GSE174781).

iCLIP: Data analysis

Heyl et al. 2020[86] methods using the Galaxy CLIP-Explorer were followed to preprocess, perform

quality control, post-process and perform peak calling. For preprocessing UMI-Tools was used,

and then UMI-tools and Cutadapt used for Adapter, Barcode and UMI-removal. Cutadapt (Galaxy

version   3.5)   was   used   for   filtering   with   a   custom   adapter   sequence

AGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGACCGATCTCGTATGCCGTCTTCT

GCTTG. All other settings followed the Heyl et al 2020 Galaxy iCLIP-explorer workflow. UMI-

Tools Extract (Galaxy Version 1.1.2+galaxy2) was then used with a barcode pattern of

NNNXXXXNN. No unpaired reads were allowed. The barcode was on the 3' end. Je-Demultiplex

(Galaxy Version 1.2.1) was then used for demultiplexing. FastQC was used for quality control.

Mapping was done by RNA STAR (Galaxy version 2.5.2b-2) using dm6. All settings were chosen

based on the existing parameters from the iCLIP-explorer settings. We selected FALSE for the

option to use end-to-end read alignments with no soft-clipping. bedtools used for Read-Filtering,

and UMI-Tools (Galaxy version 0.5.3.0) for de-duplication. PEAKachu was used for Peak Calling

to generate bed files. The PEAKachu settings were followed using the Galaxy CLIP-explorer

workflow. The maximum insert size was set to 150, the minimum cluster expression fraction was

set to 0.01, the minimum block overlap set to 0.5, the minimum block expression set to 0.1. The

Mad Multiplier was set to 0.0, the Fold Change Threshold was set to 2.0, and the adjusted p-value

threshold was set to 0.05. Peaks were annotated using RCAS[97] (RNA Centric Annotation System),

a R package using Rstudio. MEME Suite used for motif detection. RCAS was used for functional

analysis of the transcriptomes isolated by iCLIP, such as transcript features. ShinyGO 0.76[98] was

used to perform Gene Ontology Analysis of the iCLIP data.

Competing Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicting interests.

Acknowledgments

This work and funding to M.R. was supported by R35GM126994 to E.N.L. from NIH. A.M.C is

funded by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship and CCMB, Brown University. We thank

Bloomington stock center for fly lines. We thank Leila Rieder for SD and Rsp[s] stocks, Peter Becker

for MSL2 and MLE antibodies, Steve Henikoff for pAMNase protein and spike-in DNA for Cut

and Run, and Daniel J McKay for sharing his Cut and Run protocol for tissues.

Author Contributions

964   M.R., A.M.C. and E.N.L. planned experiments, analyzed results and wrote the manuscript. A.M.C
965   did all the computational analysis. M.R. carried out the experimental work and collected data for
966   Cut and Run, Polytene squashes and IF, splicing assays and IP. J.U. carried out sex determination
967   pathway splicing assays and WB. JA analyzed the MLE cut and run data. A.H analyzed the iCLIP-
968   seq data.

References

References

1.   Aanes, H. *et al.* Differential transcript isoform usage pre-and post-zygotic genome
activation in zebrafish. *BMC genomics* **14**, 331 (2013).

2.   Revil, T., Gaffney, D., Dias, C., Majewski, J. & Jerome-Majewska, L.A. Alternative splicing
is frequent during early embryonic development in mouse. *BMC genomics* **11**, 399
(2010).

3.   Mayne, B.T. *et al.* Large scale gene expression meta-analysis reveals tissue-specific, sex-
biased gene expression in humans. *Frontiers in genetics* **7**, 183 (2016).

4.   Ober, C., Loisel, D.A. & Gilad, Y. Sex-specific genetic architecture of human disease.
Nature Reviews Genetics **9**, 911-922 (2008).

5.   Wang, G.-S. & Cooper, T.A. Splicing in disease: disruption of the splicing code and the
decoding machinery. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **8**, 749-761 (2007).

6.   Faustino, N.A. & Cooper, T.A. Pre-mRNA splicing and human disease. *Genes &*
development **17**, 419-437 (2003).

7.   Schulz, K.N. *et al.* Zelda is differentially required for chromatin accessibility, transcription
factor binding, and gene expression in the early Drosophila embryo. *Genome research*
25, 1715-1726 (2015).

8.   Schulz, K.N. & Harrison, M.M. Mechanisms regulating zygotic genome activation. *Nature*
Reviews Genetics **20**, 221-234 (2019).

9.   Rieder, L.E. *et al.* Histone locus regulation by the Drosophila dosage compensation
adaptor protein CLAMP. *Genes & development* **31**, 1494-1508 (2017).

10.   Artieri, C.G. & Fraser, H.B. Transcript length mediates developmental timing of gene
expression across Drosophila. *Molecular biology and evolution* **31**, 2879-2889 (2014).

11.   De Renzis, S., Elemento, O., Tavazoie, S. & Wieschaus, E.F. Unmasking activation of the
zygotic genome using chromosomal deletions in the Drosophila embryo. *PLoS Biol* **5**,
e117 (2007).

12.   Guilgur, L.G. *et al.* Requirement for highly efficient pre-mRNA splicing during Drosophila
early embryonic development. *Elife* **3**, e02181 (2014).

13.   Förch, P. & Valcárcel, J. Splicing regulation in Drosophila sex determination. in
Regulation of Alternative Splicing 127-151 (Springer, 2003).

14.   Haussmann, I.U. *et al.* m 6 A potentiates Sxl alternative pre-mRNA splicing for robust
Drosophila sex determination. *Nature* **540**, 301-304 (2016).

15.   Salz, H. & Erickson, J.W. Sex determination in Drosophila: The view from the top. *Fly* **4**,
60-70 (2010).

16.   Estes, P.A., Keyes, L.N. & Schedl, P. Multiple response elements in the Sex-lethal early
promoter ensure its female-specific expression pattern. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*
15, 904-917 (1995).

17.   González, A.N., Lu, H. & Erickson, J.W. A shared enhancer controls a temporal switch
between promoters during Drosophila primary sex determination. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences **105**, 18436-18441 (2008).

18.   Keyes, L.N., Cline, T.W. & Schedl, P. The primary sex determination signal of Drosophila
acts at the level of transcription. *Cell* **68**, 933-943 (1992).
19.   Salz, H.K. Male or female? The answer depends on when you ask. *PLoS Biol* **5**, e335
(2007).
20.   Penalva, L.O. & Sánchez, L. RNA binding protein sex-lethal (Sxl) and control of Drosophila
sex determination and dosage compensation. *Microbiology and molecular biology*
reviews **67**, 343-359 (2003).
21.   Rieder, L.E., Jordan III, W.T. & Larschan, E.N. Targeting of the dosage-compensated male
X-chromosome during early Drosophila development. *Cell reports* **29**, 4268-4275. e2
(2019).
22.   Prayitno, K., Schauer, T., Regnard, C. & Becker, P.B. Progressive dosage compensation
during Drosophila embryogenesis is reflected by gene arrangement. *EMBO reports* **20**,
e48138 (2019).
23.   Gebauer, F., Merendino, L., Hentze, M.W. & Valcárcel, J. The Drosophila splicing
regulator sex-lethal directly inhibits translation of male-specific-lethal 2 mRNA. *Rna* **4**,
142-150 (1998).
24.   Alekseyenko, A.A. *et al.* A sequence motif within chromatin entry sites directs MSL
establishment on the Drosophila X chromosome. *Cell* **134**, 599-609 (2008).
25.   Straub, T., Zabel, A., Gilfillan, G.D., Feller, C. & Becker, P.B. Different chromatin
interfaces of the Drosophila dosage compensation complex revealed by high-shear ChIP-
seq. *Genome research* **23**, 473-485 (2013).
26.   Urban, J. *et al.* Enhanced chromatin accessibility of the dosage compensated Drosophila
male X-chromosome requires the CLAMP zinc finger protein. *PloS one* **12**, e0186855
(2017).
27.   Duan, J.E. *et al.* CLAMP and Zelda function together as pioneer transcription factors to
promote Drosophila zygotic genome activation. *bioRxiv* (2020).
28.   Albig, C. *et al.* Factor cooperation for chromosome discrimination in Drosophila. *Nucleic*
acids research **47**, 1706-1724 (2019).
29.   Larschan, E. *et al.* X chromosome dosage compensation via enhanced transcriptional
elongation in Drosophila. *Nature* **471**, 115-118 (2011).
30.   Kuroda, M.I., Kernan, M.J., Kreber, R., Ganetzky, B. & Baker, B.S. The maleless protein
associates with the X chromosome to regulate dosage compensation in Drosophila. *Cell*
66, 935-947 (1991).
31.   Ankush Jagtap, P.K. *et al.* Structure, dynamics and roX2-lncRNA binding of tandem
double-stranded RNA binding domains dsRBD1, 2 of Drosophila helicase Maleless.
Nucleic acids research **47**, 4319-4333 (2019).
32.   Cugusi, S., Kallappagoudar, S., Ling, H. & Lucchesi, J.C. The Drosophila helicase maleless
(MLE) is implicated in functions distinct from its role in dosage compensation. *Molecular*
& Cellular Proteomics **14**, 1478-1488 (2015).
33.   Soruco, M.M. *et al.* The CLAMP protein links the MSL complex to the X chromosome
during Drosophila dosage compensation. *Genes & development* **27**, 1551-1556 (2013).
34.   Kaye, E.G. *et al.* Differential occupancy of two GA-binding proteins promotes targeting
of the drosophila dosage compensation complex to the male X chromosome. *Cell*
reports **22**, 3227-3239 (2018).

35.   Quinn, J.J. *et al.* Rapid evolutionary turnover underlies conserved lncRNA–genome
1079   interactions. *Genes & development* **30**, 191-207 (2016).
1080   36.   Urban, J.A., Urban, J.M., Kuzu, G. & Larschan, E.N. The Drosophila CLAMP protein
1081   associates with diverse proteins on chromatin. *PloS one* **12**, e0189772 (2017).
1082   37.   Lott, S.E., Villalta, J.E., Zhou, Q., Bachtrog, D. & Eisen, M.B. Sex-specific embryonic gene
1083   expression in species with newly evolved sex chromosomes. *PLoS Genet* **10**, e1004159
1084   (2014).
1085   38.   Telonis-Scott, M., Kopp, A., Wayne, M.L., Nuzhdin, S.V. & McIntyre, L.M. Sex-specific
1086   splicing in Drosophila: widespread occurrence, tissue specificity and evolutionary
1087   conservation. *Genetics* **181**, 421-434 (2009).
1088   39.   Paris, M., Villalta, J.E., Eisen, M.B. & Lott, S.E. Sex bias and maternal contribution to gene
1089   expression divergence in Drosophila blastoderm embryos. *PLoS Genet* **11**, e1005592
1090   (2015).
1091   40.   Lott, S.E. *et al.* Noncanonical compensation of zygotic X transcription in early Drosophila
1092   melanogaster development revealed through single-embryo RNA-seq. *PLoS Biol* **9**,
1093   e1000590 (2011).
1094   41.   Gibilisco, L., Zhou, Q., Mahajan, S. & Bachtrog, D. Alternative splicing within and
1095   between Drosophila species, sexes, tissues, and developmental stages. *PLoS genetics* **12**,
1096   e1006464 (2016).
1097   42.   Trincado, J.L. *et al.* SUPPA2: fast, accurate, and uncertainty-aware differential splicing
1098   analysis across multiple conditions. *Genome biology* **19**, 1-11 (2018).
1099   43.   Brooks, A.N. *et al.* Regulation of alternative splicing in Drosophila by 56 RNA binding
1100   proteins. *Genome research* **25**, 1771-1780 (2015).
1101   44.   Urban, J.A. *et al.* The essential Drosophila CLAMP protein differentially regulates non-
1102   coding roX RNAs in male and females. *Chromosome Research* **25**, 101-113 (2017).
1103   45.   Atallah, J. & Lott, S.E. Evolution of maternal and zygotic mRNA complements in the early
1104   Drosophila embryo. *PLoS genetics* **14**, e1007838 (2018).
1105   46.   Kwasnieski, J.C., Orr-Weaver, T.L. & Bartel, D.P. Early genome activation in Drosophila is
1106   extensive with an initial tendency for aborted transcripts and retained introns. *Genome
1107   research* **29**, 1188-1197 (2019).
1108   47.   Gutierrez-Perez, I. *et al.* Ecdysone-induced 3D chromatin reorganization involves active
1109   enhancers bound by Pipsqueak and Polycomb. *Cell reports* **28**, 2715-2727. e5 (2019).
1110   48.   Duan, J.E. *et al.* CLAMP and Zelda function together to promote Drosophila zygotic
1111   genome activation. *elife* **10**, 2020.07. 15.205054 (2021).
1112   49.   Harrison, A.F. & Shorter, J. RNA-binding proteins with prion-like domains in health and
1113   disease. *Biochemical Journal* **474**, 1417-1438 (2017).
1114   50.   March, Z.M., King, O.D. & Shorter, J. Prion-like domains as epigenetic regulators,
1115   scaffolds for subcellular organization, and drivers of neurodegenerative disease. *Brain
1116   research* **1647**, 9-18 (2016).
1117   51.   Huppertz, I. *et al.* iCLIP: protein–RNA interactions at nucleotide resolution. *Methods* **65**,
1118   274-287 (2014).
1119   52.   Cugusi, S., Li, Y., Jin, P. & Lucchesi, J.C. The Drosophila helicase MLE targets hairpin
1120   structures in genomic transcripts. *PLoS genetics* **12**, e1005761 (2016).

1121   53.   Reenan, R.A., Hanrahan, C.J. & Ganetzky, B. The mlenapts RNA helicase mutation in
1122   Drosophila results in a splicing catastrophe of the para Na+ channel transcript in a
1123   region of RNA editing. *Neuron* **25**, 139-149 (2000).
1124   54.   Herold, N. *et al.* Conservation of the protein composition and electron microscopy
1125   structure of Drosophila melanogaster and human spliceosomal complexes. *Molecular*
1126   *and cellular biology* **29**, 281-301 (2009).
1127   55.   Lindehell, H., Kim, M. & Larsson, J. Proximity ligation assays of protein and RNA
1128   interactions in the male-specific lethal complex on Drosophila melanogaster polytene
1129   chromosomes. *Chromosoma* **124**, 385-395 (2015).
1130   56.   Quinn, J.J. *et al.* Revealing long noncoding RNA architecture and functions using domain-
1131   specific chromatin isolation by RNA purification. *Nature biotechnology* **32**, 933-940
1132   (2014).
1133   57.   Skene, P.J., Henikoff, J.G. & Henikoff, S. Targeted in situ genome-wide profiling with high
1134   efficiency for low cell numbers. *Nature protocols* **13**, 1006 (2018).
1135   58.   Uyehara, C.M. & McKay, D.J. Direct and widespread role for the nuclear receptor EcR in
1136   mediating the response to ecdysone in Drosophila. *Proceedings of the National*
1137   *Academy of Sciences* **116**, 9893-9902 (2019).
1138   59.   Gabellini, N. A polymorphic GT repeat from the human cardiac Na+ Ca2+ exchanger
1139   intron 2 activates splicing. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **268**, 1076-1083 (2001).
1140   60.   Hefferon, T.W., Groman, J.D., Yurk, C.E. & Cutting, G.R. A variable dinucleotide repeat in
1141   the CFTR gene contributes to phenotype diversity by forming RNA secondary structures
1142   that alter splicing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **101**, 3504-3509
1143   (2004).
1144   61.   Lin, C.-L. *et al.* RNA structure replaces the need for U2AF2 in splicing. *Genome research*
1145   **26**, 12-23 (2016).
1146   62.   Hartmann, B. *et al.* Distinct regulatory programs establish widespread sex-specific
1147   alternative splicing in Drosophila melanogaster. *Rna* **17**, 453-468 (2011).
1148   63.   Blanchette, M. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of alternative pre-mRNA splicing and RNA-
1149   binding specificities of the Drosophila hnRNP A/B family members. *Molecular cell* **33**,
1150   438-449 (2009).
1151   64.   Bell, L.R., Horabin, J.I., Schedl, P. & Cline, T.W. Positive autoregulation of sex-lethal by
1152   alternative splicing maintains the female determined state in Drosophila. *Cell* **65**, 229-
1153   239 (1991).
1154   65.   Moschall, R. *et al.* Drosophila Sister-of-Sex-lethal reinforces a male-specific gene
1155   expression pattern by controlling Sex-lethal alternative splicing. *Nucleic acids research*
1156   **47**, 2276-2288 (2019).
1157   66.   Coschigano, K.T. & Wensink, P.C. Sex-specific transcriptional regulation by the male and
1158   female doublesex proteins of Drosophila. *Genes & development* **7**, 42-54 (1993).
1159   67.   Nagoshi, R.N. & Baker, B.S. Regulation of sex-specific RNA splicing at the Drosophila
1160   doublesex gene: cis-acting mutations in exon sequences alter sex-specific RNA splicing
1161   patterns. *Genes & development* **4**, 89-97 (1990).
1162   68.   Bell, L.R., Maine, E.M., Schedl, P. & Cline, T.W. Sex-lethal, a Drosophila sex
1163   determination switch gene, exhibits sex-specific RNA splicing and sequence similarity to
1164   RNA binding proteins. *Cell* **55**, 1037-1046 (1988).

69. Salz, H.K. *et al.* The Drosophila female-specific sex-determination gene, Sex-lethal, has
stage-, tissue-, and sex-specific RNAs suggesting multiple modes of regulation. *Genes &*
development **3**, 708-719 (1989).
70. Samuels, M.E., Schedl, P. & Cline, T.W. The complex set of late transcripts from the
Drosophila sex determination gene sex-lethal encodes multiple related polypeptides.
Molecular and cellular biology **11**, 3584-3602 (1991).
71. Petrova, V. *et al.* Chromatin accessibility regulates intron retention in a cell type-specific
manner. *bioRxiv* (2021).
72. Agirre, E., Oldfield, A., Bellora, N., Segelle, A. & Luco, R. Splicing-associated chromatin
signatures: a combinatorial and position-dependent role for histone marks in splicing
definition. *Nature communications* **12**, 1-16 (2021).
73. Venables, J.P., Tazi, J. & Juge, F. Regulated functional alternative splicing in Drosophila.
Nucleic acids research **40**, 1-10 (2012).
74. Blencowe, B.J. Alternative splicing: new insights from global analyses. *Cell* **126**, 37-47
(2006).
75. Ranz, J.M., Castillo-Davis, C.I., Meiklejohn, C.D. & Hartl, D.L. Sex-dependent gene
expression and evolution of the Drosophila transcriptome. *Science* **300**, 1742-1745
(2003).
76. Zhang, Y., Sturgill, D., Parisi, M., Kumar, S. & Oliver, B. Constraint and turnover in sex-
biased gene expression in the genus Drosophila. *Nature* **450**, 233-237 (2007).
77. Sun, X. *et al.* Sxl-Dependent, tra/tra2-Independent Alternative Splicing of the Drosophila
melanogaster X-Linked Gene found in neurons. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **5**, 2865-
2874 (2015).
78. Arbeitman, M.N., Fleming, A.A., Siegal, M.L., Null, B.H. & Baker, B.S. A genomic analysis
of Drosophila somatic sexual differentiation and its regulation. *Development* **131**, 2007-
2021 (2004).
79. Lv, M. *et al.* Structural insights reveal the specific recognition of roX RNA by the dsRNA-
binding domains of the RNA helicase MLE and its indispensable role in dosage
compensation in Drosophila. *Nucleic acids research* **47**, 3142-3157 (2019).
80. Bag, I., Dale, R.K., Palmer, C. & Lei, E.P. The zinc-finger protein CLAMP promotes gypsy
chromatin insulator function in Drosophila. *Journal of cell science* **132**(2019).
81. Jordan, W. & Larschan, E. The zinc finger protein CLAMP promotes long-range chromatin
interactions that mediate dosage compensation of the Drosophila male X-chromosome.
bioRxiv (2020).
82. Vernes, S.C. Genome wide identification of Fruitless targets suggests a role in
upregulating genes important for neural circuit formation. *Scientific Reports* **4**, 4412
(2014).
83. Jai, Y.Y., Kanai, M.I., Demir, E., Jefferis, G.S. & Dickson, B.J. Cellular organization of the
neural circuit that drives Drosophila courtship behavior. *Current biology* **20**, 1602-1614
(2010).
84. Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S. & Eliceiri, K.W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
analysis. *Nature methods* **9**, 671-675 (2012).

85. Brugiolo, M., Botti, V., Liu, N., Müller-McNicoll, M. & Neugebauer, K.M. Fractionation
iCLIP detects persistent SR protein binding to conserved, retained introns in chromatin,
nucleoplasm and cytoplasm. *Nucleic acids research* **45**, 10452-10465 (2017).
86. Heyl, F., Maticzka, D., Uhl, M. & Backofen, R. Galaxy CLIP-Explorer: a web server for
CLIP-Seq data analysis. *GigaScience* **9**, giaa108 (2020).
87. DePristo, M.A. *et al.* A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-
generation DNA sequencing data. *Nature genetics* **43**, 491 (2011).
88. Andrews, S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
(Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2010).
89. Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M.I., Irizarry, R.A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides fast and
bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature methods* **14**, 417-419 (2017).
90. Yu, G., Wang, L.-G. & He, Q.-Y. ChIPseeker: an R/Bioconductor package for ChIP peak
annotation, comparison and visualization. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 2382-2383 (2015).
91. Ramírez, F., Dündar, F., Diehl, S., Grüning, B.A. & Manke, T. deepTools: a flexible
platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. *Nucleic acids research* **42**, W187-W191
(2014).
92. Bailey, T.L., Johnson, J., Grant, C.E. & Noble, W.S. The MEME suite. *Nucleic acids*
research **43**, W39-W49 (2015).
93. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S.L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature*
methods **9**, 357 (2012).
94. Kim, D., Paggi, J.M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S.L. Graph-based genome alignment
and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nature biotechnology* **37**, 907-915
(2019).
95. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler
transform. *bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760 (2009).
96. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome biology* **9**, 1-9 (2008).
97. Uyar, B. *et al.* RCAS: an RNA centric annotation system for transcriptome-wide regions
of interest. *Nucleic acids research* **45**, e91-e91 (2017).
98. Ge, S.X., Jung, D. & Yao, R. ShinyGO: a graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals
and plants. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 2628-2629 (2020).

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

Fig 1. Alternative splicing during early *Drosophila melanogaster* embryonic development

A Schematic diagram showing 7 different types of Alternative splicing (AS).

B Pie chart showing the distribution of different types of AS at 0-2 Hr pre-MZT and 2-4 Hr post-

MZT for female (red) and male (Blue) embryos in the presence (top row) and absence (bottom

row) of maternal CLAMP. The total percentage of AS in each category in control embryos is noted

at the top of the schematic. A Chi-square test was performed to determine if there is a significant

difference between the percentage of each type of AS including MXE splicing (grey region) in the

presence vs. absence of CLAMP in each class of sample: females/males 0-2 Hr, and 2-4 Hr

embryos. A significant difference ($p<0.001$ marked by ***) was found between categories

connected by solid black lines.

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

Fig 2. Maternal CLAMP regulates sex-specific alternative splicing during early embryonic development.

A Bar graph showing the percentage of transcripts (values noted at the top of each bar) out of total AS events or sex-specific splicing events (number of events noted within parentheses at the top of each bar) regulated by maternal CLAMP, at the pre-MZT and post-MZT stages, in females (red bars) and males (blue bars). A Fischer's Exact Test was performed, with significance at p<0.001.

B Bar plot showing the total number of splicing events undergoing CLAMP-dependent AS (**N**) in females and males at 0-2 Hr pre-MZT and 2-4 Hr post-MZT embryonic stages. Spliced genes are divided into non-sex specific (grey) and sex-specific (orange shades) sub-categories of genes. CLAMP-dependent female and male sex-specifically spliced (SSS) genes are divided into known (darker orange) and new (lighter orange) sub-categories identified in 0-2 Hr pre-MZT and 2-4 Hr post-MZT embryos.

C Percentage of **new** female (red) and male (blue) CLAMP-dependent sex-specifically spliced genes in 0-2 Hr pre-MZT and 2-4 Hr post-MZT embryos that were not identified in control samples.

D Male (blue) and female (brown) CLAMP-dependent sex-specific spliced genes compared with maternal genes (**N=841**, magenta) at 0-2 Hr pre-MZT (female, **N=153** and male, **N=99**) and 2-4 Hr post-MZT stages (female, **N= 270** and male, **N=116**).

E Gene ontology results for genes showing CLAMP-dependent female sex-specific splicing in the embryo at 0-2 Hr pre-MZT stage and for genes exhibiting CLAMP-dependent female as well as male sex-specific splicing in the embryo at the 2-4 Hr post-MZT stage. The size of the circle increases as the number of the genes in that category increases. The color of the circle represents significance (p-value).

Fig 3. CLAMP binds along the gene body of female and male sex-specifically spliced genes at the post-MZT embryonic stage

A-B Average profiles for CLAMP binding at pre-MZT and post-MZT embryonic stages in females and males for genes spliced female-specifically (red line) and male-specifically (blue line) during the pre-MZT (**A**) and post-MZT (**B**) stages.

C-D Average profiles for CLAMP binding to genes expressed in a sex-biased manner in females (red line) and males (blue line) during pre-MZT (**C**) and post-MZT (**D**) stage.

Green lines in **A-D** represent CLAMP binding at a random set of active genes used as a control (see **Material and Methods** for details). Stippled regions in **A, B** (female, 0-2 Hr pre-MZT) denote chromatin around the TSS with more CLAMP binding in female sex-specifically spliced genes vs. male sex-specifically spliced genes. The dotted box in **A-D** marks the gene body region in sex-specifically spliced and biased expressed genes.

Fig 4. CLAMP regulates the distribution of MLE on chromatin in males

A-B. Heat maps showing the distribution of MLE at the male (**A**) and female (**B**) specific control

MLE peaks on the X chromosome and autosomes in male and female 0-2 Hr pre-MZT embryos

with maternal CLAMP (*MTD-GAL4>GFPRNAi*) and after the loss of maternal CLAMP (*MTD-*

GAL4>CLAMPRNAi).

C-D. Venn diagrams and bar plots showing loss and gain of MLE peaks in the presence and

absence of maternal CLAMP in male 0-2 Hr pre-MZT (**B**) and 2-4 Hr post-MZT (**C**) embryos.

CLAMP peaks were identified only under control conditions (green circle), whereas MLE peaks

were identified in the presence (grey circle) and absence (red circle) of maternal CLAMP protein

depleted using the *MTD-GAL4>CLAMPRNAi* system.

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1365

1366

1367

Fig 5. Alternative splicing of components of the sex determination pathway is regulated by CLAMP in females

A The sex determination pathway in *Drosophila* is regulated by master regulator SXL.

B Electrophoresis gel image (inverted colors) showing splicing of *sxl* transcripts in third instar larvae of females and males of genotypes listed in the key (**a-g**) with a representative schematic at the top of the gel image.

C Western blot showing the level of SXL protein in genotypes (3 replicates for each) mentioned below each lane. Tubulin levels were used for protein loading control. Below the blot is the relative density of SXL protein compared with Tubulin, with each genotype represented by separate colored bars.

D Chromatin accessibility measured by the MNase Accessibility (MACC) score is shown across the *sxl* gene in male (S2) and female (Kc) cells under control and CLAMP RNAi conditions. The MACC score is a previously reported (Urban et al 2017) quantification of chromatin accessibility at each locus in the genome. Positive/high accessibility values (blue) indicate high chromatin accessibility, and negative/low (red) accessibility values indicate low chromatin accessibility. Each window covers MACC values ranging from -0.333 to +1.33. MACC values increase in females after CLAMP RNAi, specifically at exon 3 (red box) and are shown in the inset to the right. Green boxes represent CLAMP binding peaks in the *sxl* gene just below the schematic for the *sxl* gene itself.

E-F Electrophoresis gel image from 0-2 Hr embryonic (lane 2-5 & 7-10) and third instar larval samples (a-g) showing splicing of *dsx* (E) and *msl2* (F) transcripts in females (lane 3,5,8,10, a-d) and males (lane 2,4,7,9, e-g). Embryos were from *MTD-GAL4>GFPRNAi* control (lane 4,5,9,10) and *MTD-GAL4>CLAMPRNAi* (lane 2,3,7,8) females. a-g genotypes are the same as in B. The schematic at the top of each gel image shows female and male splice variants of *dsx* (E) and *msl2* (F) transcripts.

G Fluorescent microscopy images of polytene chromosomes from third instar salivary gland in the genotypes listed to the left of each panel show the distribution of CLAMP (green) and MSL2 (red) on chromatin (blue, DAPI)

Fig 6. Mechanisms by which CLAMP regulates sex-specific splicing in females and males

A CLAMP regulates splicing in both males and females via directly binding to intronic DNA

sequences of CLAMP-dependent sex-specifically spliced genes and interaction with some sex-

specifically spliced genes and spliceosomal RNAs.

B CLAMP regulates MLE distribution between the spliceosome and the male X-chromosome

specific MSL complex in males. CLAMP increases the occupancy of MLE at promoters and CES.

In the absence of CLAMP, MLE is lost from many sites, including CES and promoters, and is

gained at new intronic sequences which contain motifs that regulate splicing, resulting in aberrant

sex-specific splicing in males.

C In females, CLAMP binds near the SxlPe promoter and regulates chromatin accessibility at exon

three (blue square) of the *sxl* gene and to the *sxl* mRNA. In this way, CLAMP promotes the

splicing out of exon3 such that functional Sxl protein is formed, which drives female-specific

splicing events. The absence of CLAMP in females thus results in the aberrant production of non-

functional male-specific *sxl* transcripts which retain exon3, reducing levels of functional Sxl

protein. CLAMP and Sxl have shared and distinct RNA targets suggesting that they function by

both dependent and independent mechanisms.

The three mechanisms proposed in parts **A**, **B**, and **C** are not mutually exclusive and are likely to

occur simultaneously.

1417
1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

Sex specifically spliced genes

A. Retrieve raw data, quality control, trim, and alignment
Run as needed. Note enumeration follows script names.

1. Retrieve data
Creates time2splice/ folder structure, metadatafile.csv and SraAccList.txt. .fastq retrieved using SraAccList.txt.

2. Run quality control
Run *FastQC* for all .fastq files in time2splice/ directory.

3. Trim data
Run *Trim Galore!*, then *FastQC* to trim reads below quality threshold. Merge lanes of the same flow cell .fastq files.

4/5. Run & plot alignment
Run *Bowtie2, BWA*, or *HISAT2* on .fastq data in time2splice directory. Plot alignment for one or two aligners.

B. Temporal expression analysis
Run as needed. Note enumeration follows script names.

1. Run transcript quantification
Quantify treatment and control expression with *Salmon*.

2. Run differential splicing analysis
Run *SUPPA* differential splicing analysis across case and controls.

3. Format results
Converts NM_ gene names to flybase name. Merges outputs.

4. Identify differential splicing forms
SUPPA identifies forms of differential splicing (e.g. using PSI and DTU).

5. Calculate total control alternative splicing
Calculate and plot the proportions of alternative splicing in control samples.

6. Calculate total case alternative splicing
Calculate and plot the proportions of alternative splicing in case samples.

7. Get bias genes
Retrieve male and female biased genes. Create .beds to plot average profiles.

8/9. Plot splicing events
Plots alternative splicing (PSI and DTU), and events in categories (e.g. female sex specific, male sex specific).

C. Temporal protein-DNA analysis
Run as needed. Note enumeration follows script names.

1. Mark duplicate reads
Run *Picard's MarkDuplicates* in all .sorted.bam files in a given directory.

2. Call peaks
Run *MACS2* to call peaks for all .sorted.bam files in a given directory.

3. Find fold enrichment
Generate signal track using *MACS2* to profile transcription factor modification enrichment levels genome-wide.

D. Temporal multi-omics integration
Run as needed. Miscellaneous tests at README end plot peak intensity and perform chi-squared test

Peak intersections
Run *Intervene* to view intersection of each narrowpeak file.

Gene ontology
Perform gene ontology analysis with *ClusterProfiler* given a list of genes.

Find motifs *denovo*
Get coordinates of bed file and run through *MEME*.

time2splice

Fig S2. Ray, Conard et al 2022

Fig S3. Ray, Conard et al 2022

Fig S5. Ray, Conard et al 2022

Fig S6. Ray, Conard et al 2022

Fig S7. Ray, Conard et al 2022

Fig S10. Ray, Conard 2022

A

-IP- -IGG-
CLAMP Rabbit
1 2 3 4

250KD
150KD
100KD
75KD

50KD

37KD ◄ Squid

S2 Kc Kc
----Nuclear----
Fraction

B

-IGG- -IP-
Rabbit CLAMP
1 2 3 4

Hrb27C ►

Kc S2 Kc S2
----Nuclear----
Fraction

C

Male

Female

X

X

Squid

Squid

D

p=5.68E-26
***

Mean Intensity
of Squid on X chromosome

Female   Male

E

Female X   Male X

Mean Squid Intensity (N=5)

Tip   Distance on polytene chromosome (X)   ===> Base

Fig S12. Ray, Conard 2022

Fig S13 Ray, Conard et al 2022