Molecular basis for recognition of the Group A Carbohydrate backbone by the PlyC

streptococcal bacteriophage endolysin

Harley King[1,^], Sowmya Ajay Castro[2,^], Amol Arunrao Pohane[3], Cynthia M. Scholte[1],

Vincent A. Fischetti[4], Natalia Korotkova[3,5], Daniel C. Nelson[1] and Helge C. Dorfmueller[2,*]

[1]Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research, University of Maryland, Rockville, MD, USA

[2]Division of Molecular Microbiology, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

[3]Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, University of Kentucky,

Lexington, Kentucky, USA

[4]Laboratory of Bacterial Pathogenesis and Immunology, The Rockefeller University, New York,

NY, USA

[5]Department of Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA

^equal contribution

*Correspondence: Helge C. Dorfmueller, h.c.z.dorfmueller@dundee.ac.uk

Keywords: Streptococcus; rhamnose, polysaccharide, cell wall, bacteriophage, endolysin,

pyogenes

Abbreviations (alphabetical order)

Cell wall-binding domain (CBD), enzymatically active domain (EAD), Glucose (Glc), glycerol

phosphate (GroP), Glycosyl Hydrolase (GlyH), Group A Carbohydrate (GAC), Group A

Streptococcus (GAS), Group A-variant Streptococcus (GAVS), Group C Carbohydrate (GCC),

Group C Streptococcus (GCS), Group G Carbohydrate (GGC), *N*-acetyl-glucosamine (GlcNAc),

N-acetyl-galactosamine (GalNAc), N-acetyl-muramic acid (MurNAc), Peptidoglycan (PG),

polyrhamnose (pRha), Rhamnose (Rha), *S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis* (SDSE), *S. mutans*

serotype c carbohydrate (SCC)

Abstract

Endolysins are peptidoglycan (PG) hydrolases that function as part of the bacteriophage (phage) lytic system to release progeny phage at the end of a replication cycle. Notably, endolysins alone can produce lysis without phage infection, which offers an attractive alternative to traditional antibiotics. Endolysins from phage that infect Gram-positive bacterial hosts contain at least one enzymatically active domain (EAD) responsible for hydrolysis of PG bonds and a cell wall binding domain (CBD) that binds a cell wall epitope, such as a surface carbohydrate, providing some degree of specificity for the endolysin. Whilst the EADs typically cluster into conserved mechanistic classes with well-defined active sites, relatively little is known about the nature of the CBDs and only a few binding epitopes for CBDs have been elucidated. The major cell wall components of many streptococci are the polysaccharides that contain the polyrhamnose (pRha) backbone modified with species-specific and serotype-specific glycosyl side chains. In this report, using molecular genetics, microscopy, flow cytometry and lytic activity assays, we demonstrate the interaction of PlyCB, the CBD subunit of the streptococcal PlyC endolysin, with the pRha backbone of the cell wall polysaccharides, Group A Carbohydrate (GAC) and serotype *c*-specific carbohydrate (SCC) expressed by the Group A Streptococcus and *Streptococcus mutans*, respectively.

Introduction

Endolysins are bacteriophage-encoded PG hydrolases that normally function from within the cell

to lyse the bacterial host, releasing progeny phage and completing the phage lifecycle [1].

However, the lytic activity of endolysins can be harnessed for antimicrobial use due to their ability

to equally lyse bacteria when applied exogenously, without infection by a parental phage. Due to

their direct lytic action on target PG, endolysins are not affected by efflux pumps, alterations in

metabolism, or other mechanisms of antibiotic resistance, making them ideal candidates for

development against multi-drug resistant organisms [2-4]. Notably, at least three endolysins,

some of which are active against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, are currently being

evaluated in human clinical trials for their antimicrobial activity (reviewed in [5]).

Most endolysins, and in particular those from phage that infect Gram-positive bacterial hosts, are

comprised of modular domains. An enzymatically active domain (EAD) is generally found in the

N-terminal region, while a cell wall-binding domain (CBD) is located in the C-terminal region [6].

As the name implies, the EAD is a catalytic domain that is responsible for cleaving specific bonds

in the PG, the nature of which is dependent on the mechanistic class of the EAD. Occasionally,

endolysins contain two EADs, although both are not necessarily active. The CBD binds at high

affinity [7] to a cell wall-specific epitope and was suggested to dictate genus, species and serovar-

specificity of the endolysin. The CBD targets may be surface carbohydrates, wall teichoic acids

linked to the Gram-positive bacterial cell wall, or the PG itself [8].

The endolysin now known as PlyC is one of the first described endolysins and remains one of the

most studied. In 1934, Alice Evans noted a "nascent lysis" activity derived from streptococcal

phage lysates on streptococcal strains that were not sensitive to the phage itself [9]. By 1957,

Krause had determined that the phage used by Evans was specific for Group C Streptococci

(GCS), but an "enzyme" produced by the phage could lyse Groups A, A-variant, and C

Streptococci (GAS, GAVS, and GCS, respectively) [10]. These findings were confirmed by

Maxted later the same year and extended to include Group E Streptococci (GES) [11]. In 2001,

PlyC, then referred to as the streptococcal C_1 lysin, became the first endolysin to be used

therapeutically, protecting mice from GAS challenge in a nasopharyngeal model [2]. Subsequent

studies revealed that PlyC is a structurally unconventional endolysin, which is not encoded by a

single gene as found for all other endolysins described to date. Rather, PlyC is a nine-subunit

holoenzyme encoded by two distinct genes, *plyCB* and *plyCA*, within a polycistronic operon [12].

Eight PlyCB subunits self-assemble into a ring structure and form the basis of the CBD that binds

the streptococcal surface. A single PlyCA subunit contains two distinct EADs separated by an

extended α-helical linker region, which interfaces with the N-terminal residues of the PlyCB

octamer [13]. The PlyCA EADs consist of a <u>g</u>lycosyl <u>h</u>ydrolase (GH) domain and a <u>c</u>ysteine,

<u>h</u>istidine-dependent <u>a</u>minohydrolase/<u>p</u>eptidase (CHAP) domain. The very high lytic activity of

PlyC relative to other endolysins is attributed to synergy/cooperativity between the two EADs.

Although the EADs of PlyCA have been extensively characterized with respect to specificity of

PG bonds they cleave, active-site residues, and their synergistic activity, it is unclear how PlyCB

recognizes PG of GAS, GCS and GES. Similar to other Gram-positive bacteria, the plasma

membrane of streptococci is surrounded by thick cell wall that consists of a complex network of

PG with covalently attached polysaccharides. Rebecca Lancefield utilized the unique

immunogenicity of the surface carbohydrates in β-hemolytic streptococci to subsequently

separate them into serogroups [14]. *S. pyogenes* is categorized as Group A Streptococcus, whilst

S. dysgalactiae subsp equisimilis (SDSE) produce at least two types of carbohydrates and are

annotated as Group C and G Streptococci, respectively [15]. Pioneering work by many

researchers have revealed that the cell wall polysaccharides of GAS, GCS and *S. mutans*, consist

of a pRha backbone modified with species-specific and serotype-specific glycosyl side chains

(Fig. 1A) [16, 17]. In GAS, GCS and *S. mutans* serotype *c*, the polysaccharides termed the

Lancefield group A carbohydrate (GAC), group C carbohydrate (GCC), and SCC, respectively,

have a conserved repeating →3)α-Rha(1→2)α-Rha(1→ di-saccharide backbone [16, 17]. The β-

N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) side chains are attached to the 3-position of the α-1,2 linked

rhamnose (Rha) in GAC [16, 18, 19]. The GCC side chains have two *N*-acetyl-galactosamine

(GalNAc) residues attached to the same hydroxyl of Rha [16, 18, 20]. SCC carries the α-glucose

(Glc) side chains attached to the 2-position of the α-1,3 linked Rha in SCC [17]. Additionally, the

side chains of GAC and SCC are decorated in parts with the glycerol phosphate (GroP) moiety

[21]. The GAC and SCC biosynthetic pathways are encoded by the 12-gene loci

gacABCDEFGHIJKL and *sccABCDEFGHMNPQ*, respectively. The molecular mechanisms of

GAC and SCC biosynthesis have been the focus in a number of recent studies [21-25].

Remarkably, extracted GAC is known to partially inhibit the lytic actions of PlyC [26]. Furthermore,

a GAS mutant carrying the unmodified GAC (lacking the GlcNAc side chains) displayed enhanced

susceptibility to PlyC [25], implicating PlyCB in recognition of the pRha backbone. Here, we

identify the pRha backbone of GAC as the definite minimal binding epitope for PlyCB.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, growth conditions and media

Streptococcus pyogenes strain D471 was propagated on solid media in plates containing Todd-

Hewitt broth supplemented with yeast extract (0.2%) (THY) and agar (1.4%) or in liquid THY as

described by Gera et al [27]. *S. mutans* wild type (Xc) and mutants were grown in Todd-Hewitt

broth with 1% yeast extract. All cultures were grown without antibiotics and without aeration at

37°C. *E. coli* genotypes DH5α (NEB, cat. No. C2988J), DH10α (Thermo Fisher, cat No.

18297010), BL21 (NEB, cat No. C2530H) and Origami 2 (Millipore Sigma, cat. No. 71346) were

used for routine plasmid propagation or protein expression and grown in Lysogeny Broth (LB)

medium supplemented with either 50 μg/ml kanamycin, 100 μg/ml ampicillin or 35 μg/ml

chloramphenicol as needed.

Bacterial strains *E. coli* CS2775 were transformed with pRGP1 plasmid [28], *gacABCDEFG* [23]

to produce pRha or empty plasmid control (pHD0131). The bacterial cells were grown overnight

in LB containing erythromycin (150 μg/ml) at 37°C and used next day for whole cell Western blots

and FACS and microscopy analysis.

Recombinant expression and purification of PlyCB$_{WT}$ and PlyCB$_{R66E}$

PlyCB$_{WT}$ (GenBank ID: NC_004814.1:7517-7735) was expressed from a pBAD24 vector and

purified from BL21 cells as previously described [12]. In brief, the culture was grown in LB and

induced with 0.25% L-arabinose at OD$_{600}$ ~1.2-1.4 (Alfa Aesar, cat no. A11921). Cultures were

grown at 37°C with shaking at 180 RPM for 3-4 hours, centrifuged at 4500 x g and resuspended

in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Lysis was performed by a French press (1800 psi).

Benzonase (Millipore Sigma, cat. No. 70746-3) was added and the lysate incubated at room

temperature with rotation for 20-30 min. The lysate was centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 20 min and

the cleared lysate was passed through a 0.45-μm filter and loaded onto a XK-26/20 column

(Cytiva) with 30-35 ml ceramic hydroxyapatite (Bio-Rad, cat no. 1582000). PlyCB$_{WT}$ was eluted

from the column with three column volumes of 1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2). Protein

was subsequently dialyzed in PBS, 10% glycerol and stored at -80°C until use. Protein purification

of PlyCB$_{R66E}$ was performed as PlyCB$_{WT}$ [13]. The fluorescent labeling of PlyCB$_{WT}$ and PlyCB$_{R66E}$

was performed using the manufacturer's recommended guidelines (Thermo Fisher, cat. No.

A20174). Analytical gel filtration was used to determine the multimeric nature of PlyCB and

PlyCB$_{R66E}$. A total of 100 μl (0.1 mg) of each protein was applied to a Superose 12 10/300 GL

column (Cytiva) and run in isocratic conditions in PBS buffer for 1.5 column volumes on an AKTA

FPLC system (Cytiva). Gel filtration standards (Bio-Rad) were also run under identical conditions.

Purification of GAC

GAS cells were grown overnight in THY media at 37˚C. Cultures were centrifuged at 4,500 x g.

Pellets were washed and resuspended in 40 ml distilled water per each original liter of media

used and combined in an 800 ml beaker. 22.5 ml 4N sodium nitrite (5 ml per liter of culture) was

added to the beaker in addition to 22.5 ml glacial acetic acid (5 ml per liter of culture). An orbital

shaker was used to gently mix the beaker for 15 min in a hood. The mixture was centrifuged in

500 ml bottles at 8000 x g for 15 min. The supernatant was decanted to a new beaker and

neutralized with 1M sodium hydroxide. The total solution, about 300 ml, was filtered with a 0.45-

micron filter assembly. 50-50 ml aliquots were deposited in a 3.5 kDa membrane and dialyzed in

a 4-liter beaker overnight with water. The following day, the solution was concentrated using an

Amicon 400 ml stirred cell (model 8400) filter assembly with a 76 mm diameter Ultracel® 5 kDa

ultrafiltration disc (Millipore Sigma, cat. No. PLCC07610) for 2 hours with 60 psi. The ~10 ml

concentrate was loaded onto an S-100 column for final purification. Fractions were assayed for

Rha, lyophilized and stored at 4°C until use.

Calculation of pRha concentration

A modified protocol by Edgar *et al.* [21] based on a protocol from DuBois *et al.* [29] was used to

determine Rha concentration in purified GAC. Briefly, anthrone reagent was prepared by

dissolving 0.2% w/w anthrone in H_2SO_4. Eighty microliters of aqueous samples or standards

containing either GAC or L-Rha at known concentrations were added to a 1.5 ml microfuge tube.

To this same tube, 320 µL of the anthrone reagent was added. Samples were boiled at 98°C for

10 min in a heat block. Samples were cooled to room temperature, transferred to a quartz plate,

and the absorbance at 580 nm was recorded using a spectrophotometer. Rha concentration was

interpolated using an L-Rha standard curve.

Precipitation of PlyCB with GAC

PlyCB$_{WT}$ and PlyCB$_{R66E}$ samples were defrosted from storage at -80°C. Lyophilized GAC was resuspended in PBS. Both proteins and GAC were added to a 3.5 kDa dialysis membrane and dialyzed overnight in PBS. Protein concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher ND-2000) at 280 nm and were diluted to 5 mg/ml. The GAC was also assayed and diluted with PBS to 1.6 mg/ml. One-hundred microliters of proteins and 100 µl of GAC or PBS were mixed in a 250 µl quartz plate and allowed to incubate without shaking at room temperature. Visible precipitate formed in samples in 5-8 minutes. After recording the precipitate at 340 nm using a Spectramax® M5 (Molecular Devices) spectrophotometer, the total sample volume was transferred to a 1.5 ml microfuge tube. Samples were centrifuged at 14,000 x g to pellet the precipitate and supernatants were transferred to new 1.5 ml microfuge tubes. Two-hundred microliters of 8 M urea was added to the pellet. Pellets were resuspended and 5 µl of either pellet or supernatant were added to 40 µl water with 8 µl 6x Laemmli buffer with DTT. Samples were boiled at 98°C for 8 min, and then 12.5 µl were loaded onto a 7.5% SDS-PAGE and run for 32 min at 200 V. Proteins were visualized using Coomassie stain.

Lysis assay

A turbidity reduction assay was used to ascertain strain sensitivity to PlyC. This assay was performed as previously described [30], except PlyC was used at 2 µM. Eight technical replicates were performed.

Sensitivity of streptococcal species to PlyC-mediated lysis was analyzed using a wide range of clinical isolates: 1) GAS isolates: M1$_{UK}$, WT 5448 strain [M1], delta*gacI* 5448 strain [d*gacI*]; 2) GCS isolate: stC74A.0; 3) SDSE_*gac* isolates: stG245.0, stG652.0. stG485.0; GGS: stG6.0, stG485.0 and 4) *S. mutans* serotype c were used as negative controls. Briefly, all streptococcal strains were grown in THY at 37˚C overnight in 5% CO_2, except for *S. mutans*, which was grown

in THB media. Next day, the bacterial cells were inoculated in 1:100 fresh media and grown until

mid-logarithmic phase (OD_{600} 1.0). The cells were washed in PBS and resuspended to an OD_{600}

of 2.0. In a 96-well plate, to a 100 µl of bacterial cells, 100 µl of PlyC [1 µg/ml] was added and

immediately read at an absorbance of OD_{600}. The obtained values were standardized by

subtracting from the background values. The data is plotted using GraphPad Prism version 9.

SDS-PAGE and blotting analysis

$PlyCB_{WT}$ binding to recombinant *E. coli* expressing pRha was conducted using blot analysis.

Briefly, the lysate from the overnight cultures was analyzed in 20% tricine gels. SDS-PAGE and

protein transfers were performed according to manufactures instructions, Atto Ae-6050 Mini Gel

chamber and Novex protein separation from Thermo Fisher, respectively. The PVDF membranes

were blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk with Tris-Buffered Saline, 0.1% Tween® 20 detergent prior

to incubation with $PlyCB_{WT}$ labelled with Alexa Fluor® 647 (1:1000) for one hour at room

temperature. Goat anti-rabbit GAC antibodies conjugated with IRDye® 800CW were used as a

positive control (abcam ab216773). The resulting blots were imaged using the Licor Odyssey FC

Imaging System. All the blots were processed in parallel under the same conditions.

Microscopy

Microscopic analysis of *E. coli* bacteria was performed using cells that were grown overnight in

LB supplemented with antibiotics at 37˚C and diluted 1:100 the next day and regrown until OD_{600}

reached 0.5. The cells were washed twice with PBS for 5 min at 10,000 rpm and stained with

1:1000 dilution of $PlyCB^{AF647}$ and left for 20 minutes on ice in the darkness. Prior to fixing the cells

with 4% paraformaldehyde, the cells were washed again with PBS. The fixed cells were mounted

on 1% agarose coated microscopic slides and viewed under the CY5 channel on a fluorescent

Deltavision widefield microscope.

FACS analysis

E. coli cells were grown overnight as described above and diluted 1:100 the next day, grown at

37˚C and used for the assay at OD_{600} = 0.5. The cells were washed with PBS and probed with

PlyCB^{AF647} or PlyCB$_{R66E}$^{AF647} at 1:1000 dilution at 1:1000 dilution. Anti-GAC antibodies conjugated

with FITC (ABIN238144, antibodies-online, titer 1:50) were used as a positive control. The

samples were incubated for 20 minutes on ice at dark conditions. The cells were washed twice

with PBS at 14,000 rpm for 5 minutes and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. BD LSRFortessa

Flow Cytometry software was used to analyse the samples and the data interpretation was

conducted with FlowJo^{TM} software v10.6.2.

PlyC hydrolysis of sacculi

S. mutans wild-type (WT) and the mutant strain sacculi were obtained by the SDS-boiling

procedure [25] followed by four washes each with 1 M NaCl and distilled water. The sacculi were

resuspended in PBS to OD_{600} of 1.0 and incubated with PlyC (5 µg/ml) in a 96-well plate. The

lysis was monitored after 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min as a decrease in OD_{600}. Results were

reported as fold change in OD_{600} of the sacculi incubated with PlyC vs the sacculi incubated

without PlyC.

Results & Discussion

Pathogenic streptococci producing the GAC are susceptible to PlyC

A major component of the GAS cell wall is the GAC, building approximately 50% of the cell wall

by weight [31]. The GAC is universally conserved amongst all isolated GAS strains on the basis

of the gene cluster sequence conservation [32]. A number of *S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis*

(SDSE) isolates, naturally belonging to Group G Streptococci (GGS), have been reported to have

undergone homologous recombination and replaced their Group G Carbohydrate (GGC) gene

cluster in parts with the GAC gene cluster [33-35]. We therefore expanded the previously reported

PlyC streptococci cell lysis assay used by Nelson *et al.* [2] to investigate those new isolates named

SDSE_*gac*. We also tested if PlyC was able to lyse a selection of GAS serotypes including a

newly emerged isolate M1$_{UK}$ [36], and included negative controls GGS isolates and *S. mutans*

serotype C (Fig. 1B). In agreement with the published literature, all tested GAS serotypes are

susceptible to PlyC lysis and the two GGS isolates are resistant to PlyC. The GGC does not

contain the GAC, GCC and SCC pRha backbone with →3)α-Rha(1→2)α-Rha(1→ di-saccharide

repeats, but an alternating Rha-Gal carbohydrate [37] (Fig. 1A). All three SDSE_*gac* isolates

tested have inherited parts of the *gac* gene cluster and produce the GAC instead of the GGC [34].

Strikingly, the SDSE_*gac* strains are all sensitive to PlyC treatment. The fact that PlyC is able to

lyse SDSE_*gac* strains that express the GAC, but PlyC does not lyse the isogenic GGS strains

producing the GGC strongly suggests that the GAC is a critical component of PlyC recognition

and subsequent activity.

Importantly, all strains tested in this study that are susceptible to PlyC lysis produce a cell wall

polysaccharide that contains the pRha backbone and a β-linked sugar substituent on the α1,2-

linked Rha (Fig 1A). We therefore suggest that the pRha backbone with and without a side chain

are both vital ligands to assist PlyC activity and the new SDSE_*gac* isolates will also be

susceptible to PlyC treatment due to production of the GAC.

Purified GAC precipitates PlyCB - but not PlyCB$_{R66E}$

The lysis assay of GAS cells, and in particular of the SDSE_*gac* variants, suggests that either the

ubiquitous pRha or GAC in GAS cells is the ligand for PlyCB. We propose that the PlyCB

octameric CBD binds GAC and/or the GAC pRha backbone. We tested this hypothesis by

investigating the binding of PlyC to partially purified GAC. We hypothesized if the GAC was able

to precipitate PlyCB, an interaction of the two systems must have occurred [38]. As a negative

control, we employed the previously published inactive mutant PlyCB$_{R66E}$, which lost the ability to

bind to GAS cells [13]. Consistent with prior analytical gel filtration and dynamic light scattering

results [12], as well as the crystal structures [13, 39, 40], both PlyCB and its R66E mutant self-

assemble into stable octameric structures (Fig 1C, SF 1). The purified proteins were incubated

with the extracted GAC, and precipitation was monitored at 340 nm, a standard wavelength for

measuring protein aggregation [41, 42] (Fig. 2A, B). Whilst keeping the PlyCB concentration

constant, we varied the concentration of GAC. Within five minutes at room temperature the

solution became turbid, suggesting aggregation (Fig. 2A). When the PlyCB concentration was

kept constant and the GAC concentration was varied, the turbidity correlated with PlyCB

concentration in a dose dependent manner, suggesting that PlyCB requires GAC to aggregate.

Importantly, PlyCB did not self-aggregate when no GAC was added in the assay. Furthermore,

no aggregation was detected when PlyCB$_{R66E}$ was incubated with purified GAC (Fig. 2B). To

demonstrate the presence of PlyCB in the precipitates, we analyzed the soluble and pellet

fractions (Fig. 2C, D). A higher yield of aggregated PlyCB was found in the pelleted samples when

compared to the soluble fraction (Fig. 2D). A similar precipitation effect was observed when we

varied the PlyCB concentration and kept the GAC concentration constant (Fig. 2E, F),

demonstrating that both species are necessary for an interaction.

PlyCB binds to recombinantly produced pRha backbone

The purified GAC from bacteria contains a mixture of carbohydrates, including the fully decorated

GAC with GroP[21] and a small proportion of the polysaccharide lacking the side chains [43]. PlyC

is able to lyse a number of GAS mutants including GAVS and d*gacl*_M1 [2, 11, 25, 44], that

decorate the cell wall with the unmodified GAC lacking the side chains (Fig. 1A, B), suggesting

that the pRha backbone of the GAC is the minimal carbohydrate structure required for PlyCB

binding. To test this hypothesis, we recombinantly produced the pRha backbone in *E. coli* cells.

We and others have previously reported that the *S. mutans sccABCDEFG* gene cluster, when

transformed into *E. coli* cells, functionally produces the pRha backbone attached to the lipid A

[23, 28]. Additionally, to understand if PlyCB recognizes a specific pRha backbone, we

engineered *E. coli* cells expressing the GAC gene cluster *gacABCDEFG* required for the GAC

pRha production. *E. coli* cells carrying an empty plasmid were used as a negative control.

Next, we investigated the binding of PlyCB conjugated with Alexa Fluor® 647 (PlyCB^{AF647}) to an

E. coli total cell lysate expressing the pRha backbone of the SCC or GAC, respectively (Fig. 3A).

The blotted membranes were incubated with PlyCB^{AF647}, and a positive interaction between

PlyCB^{AF647} and the *E. coli* produced pRha is visualized as a prominent band around 40 kDa. The

size of the band agrees with the band detected by anti-GAC antibodies that were previously

reported to recognize pRha [23] (Fig. 3B). Importantly, PlyCB^{AF647} and GAC antibodies do not

interact with the cell lysate of *E. coli* expressing an empty plasmid (Fig. 3 A, B). Contrary, the

R66E-mutant protein was not able to detect the pRha of the PAGE separated sample (SF 2). We

further confirmed the ability of PlyCB to bind to *E. coli* cells decorated with the pRha by fluorescent

microscopy (SF 3). Only cells that produce the pRha are detected by the PlyCB^{AF647}, in agreement

with the results of the blot analysis.

To gather additional evidence that PlyCB interacts with the pRha backbone, we established a flow

cytometry assay to analyse the binding of PlyCB^{AF647} to pRha-producing *E. coli*. Unstained *E. coli*

cells that express pRha or carry an empty plasmid sort in the identical range (Fig. 4A). The GAC

antibodies label exclusively the cells producing pRha (Fig. 4A). A similar pattern of the GAC

antibodies binding is observed when the cells were incubated with PlyCB^{AF647} (Fig. 4B). Contrary,

the PlyCB$_{R66E}$^{AF647} mutant protein does not bind to *E. coli* cells, and PlyCB^{AF647} does not interact

with the cells expressing an empty vector (Fig. 4B). Taken together, these data provide the first

definitive evidence that the pRha backbone of GAC and SCC is a binding receptor of the PlyCB

octameric subunit.

PlyC lyses engineered *S. mutans* producing the GAC

Despite the fact that the SCC pRha backbone is identical to the GAC, *S. mutans* is resistant to

PlyC lysis (Fig. 1A). To get a better understanding why *S. mutans* is resistant to PlyC, we

compared PlyC-induced lysis of the sacculi purified from *S. mutans* WT and a number of mutant

strains producing different SCC variants (Fig. 5). First, we examined the Δ*sccH* mutant producing

the GroP-deficient SCC [21]. Similar to *S. mutans* WT, Δ*sccH* was resistant to PlyC-mediated

lysis (Fig. 5). Second, we tested the *sccN* deletion mutant, Δ*sccN*, that is deficient in the enzyme

required for generation of the Glc side chains [45]. A time dependent lysis is observed for Δ*sccN*.

Expression of the WT copy of *sccN* in Δ*sccN* (the Δ*sccN*:p*sccN* strain) fully restored the resistance

of the bacteria to PlyC (Fig. 5). These observations clearly suggest that PlyC is able to bind to the

S. mutans cells producing the unmodified pRha backbone, and the Glc side chains in SCC hinders

PlyC binding. We then investigated whether the addition of the GAC GlcNAc side chains to the

pRha backbone affects sensitivity of the engineered *S. mutans* sacculi to PlyC-induced lysis. We

expressed the GAS genes *gacHIJKL* required for the formation and addition of the GlcNAc side

chains and GroP to GAC [21], in the Δ*sccN* background strain in two versions, creating the

Δ*sccN:pgacHI*JKL* and Δ*sccN:pgacHIJKL* strains [45]. The plasmid *pgacHI*JKL* contains an

inserted stop codon in the *gacI* gene required for generation of the GlcNAc side chain, and,

therefore, the Δ*sccN:pgacHI*JKL* strain produces the unmodified SCC lacking any side chains

(Fig. 5). As expected, the sacculi isolated from this strain remains susceptible to PlyC lysis. We

previously showed that in Δ*sccN:pgacHIJKL*, the Glc side chains are replaced with the GlcNAc

side chains [45]. Interestingly, expression of *gacHIJKL* in Δ*sccN* did not restore the resistance of

the bacteria to PlyC (Fig. 5), indicating that the GlcNAc side chains do not obstruct PlyC binding.

Lastly, we analyzed PlyC-mediated lysis of sacculi purified from the Δ*rgpG* mutant, which is

deficient in SCC expression [45]. The RgpG protein catalyzes the first step in SCC biosynthesis

[46]. In comparison to Δ*sccN*, PlyC-induced lysis of Δ*rgpG* was less pronounced (Fig. 5),

indicating the importance of the pRha backbone of SCC in PlyC activity and supporting the

findings that the pRha backbone is a ligand contributing to PlyC binding. These studies reveal

that if the SCC is 'unmasked' *i.e.,* stripped of the Glc and Glc-GroP side chains, it becomes a

ligand for PlyCB and that *S. mutans* is PlyC susceptible if SCC is replaced with GAC.

Concluding remarks
376
A structural feature of the PlyCB protein remains to be discovered that explains why only certain

streptococci are susceptible to PlyC's lytic activity. The pRha decorated with an α−linked side

chain sugar appears not compatible with the PlyCB ligand binding site and therefore only those

streptococci expressing pRha decorated with β-linked substituents, such as GlcNAc and GlcNAc-

GroP are susceptible. This could potentially be exploited for diagnostic purposes or in the case of

SCC and Group G Streptococci, opens up the potential for novel therapeutic approaches. If SCC

was treated by PlyC in combination with an additional enzyme that removes the α−linked side

chains in these streptococcal carbohydrates, this would expose the pRha backbone and

subsequently make these strains susceptible. A recently accepted manuscript by Boendum *et al.*

[40] reported 19 potential binding states of tetrarhamnose to PlyCB. Once the pRha ligand site

has been identified, this could also be further exploited by directed evolution approaches to

generate PlyCB protein variants that are capable to bind the carbohydrates from, for example,

SCC and Group G Streptococci.

Whilst much has been learned about the structure and function of PlyC in the past 20 years, many

questions remain, specifically with respect to its interaction with the PG. Considering the average

length of the cellular pRha is 7-10 kDa [25] and that the α1,2-1,3-pRha with or without β-

configured GlcNAc/GalNAc-side chains bind to PlyCB, it is inviting to speculate that an element

of avidity is responsible for tight binding of the PlyCB octamer to the streptococcal surface, in

agreement with the recent accepted manuscript [40]. Further proof is needed to substantiate this

hypothesis. Another question lies in the actions of the EADs relative to the PlyCB octamer. PlyC

clearly has a high turnover as demonstrated in multiple biochemical assays. However, it is

unknown if PlyCB "docks" to the surface and the flexibility of the holoenzyme allows the PlyC

EADs to cleave multiple bonds in a localized area weakening the overall superstructure of the

PG. Alternatively, the enzymatic turnover could be dictated by a balance of on and off rates of the

EADs and CBD monomers leading to widespread hydrolysis of the PG. Lastly, it is unknown

whether PlyC binds, cleaves, and releases the PG at random points on the streptococcal surface

or works its way down a single strand of PG in a processive manner. It is noteworthy that cellulase

enzymes, which cleave the β1,4 glycosidic linkages in cellulose, possess a catalytic domain, a

flexible linker, and a cellulose binding domain, analogous to the traditional endolysins. It has been

demonstrated that energy is stored in the flexible linker can adopt compact and extended

configurations that allows the cellulase to move in a "caterpillar-like" motion down a chain of

cellulose [47, 48]. Although PlyC does not contain an equivalent flexible linker, the octameric

nature of PlyCB invites the possibility that it may interact with the successive pRha strands

allowing PlyC to depolymerize the PG in a zipper-like fashion.

In conclusion, the α1,2-1,3-pRha is the definite, minimal carbohydrate substrate for the PlyCB

subunit. We validated this by comprehensive experiments, using pRha recombinantly produced

in *E. coli*, and the *S. mutans* variants of the Rha-based polysaccharides. The work described here

provides valuable insight into the molecular interactions that define a PlyC's host specificity, which

can inform the future studies as well as engineering approaches.

Data Availability Statement

No mandated datasets are associated with the paper.

Figure Legend

Fig 1)

A) Symbolic drawings of the carbohydrate structures of GAS (GAC), polyrhamnose backbone (pRha) in GAVS and M1_d*gacl*, GCS (GCC), GGS (GGC) and *S. mutans* (SCC). For simplicity, the reported glycerol phosphate of occasionally present on the GAC and SCC side chains have been omitted. Repeat units are marked with brackets. The pRha backbone with alternating (α1->2) and (α1->3) linkages, whilst the α1->2 Rha being decorated with a β1->3 side chain is a commonality among all PlyC susceptible strains. B) PlyC lysis of streptococcal pathogens. Group A and Group C Streptococci serotypes are susceptible to PlyC-mediated lysis. Group G Streptococci show limited susceptibility and *S. mutans* is resistant to PlyC lysis. Three SDSE isolates that produce the GAC instead of GGC (SDSE_*gac*) are susceptible to PlyC treatment. C) Bottom and top view onto WT PlyCB octameric structure with the GlyH and EAD domains omitted (4f78.pdb).

Fig 2)

Precipitation studies of purified PlyCB and GAC reveal direct interaction of PlyCB with GAC. A)

The PlyCB concentration is kept constant whilst the GAC concentration is varied. Visible

precipitate forms at the higher concentrations. B) The precipitate level is measured

spectrophotometrically at 340 nm and compared to the mutant PlyCB$_{R66E}$, which does not bind

the GAC. C) Coomassie stained and D) densitometry analysis of PlyCB protein from the

supernatant fraction and aggregates (pellets). E, F) The same dose dependency is observed

when the PlyCB concentration is varied. Arrowhead depicts PlyCB protein at 8 kDa.

Fig 3)

Representation of immunoblot analysis of the cell lysate of *E. coli* expressing the SCC and GAC

pRha and carrying an empty control plasmid (-ve). A) Blot was incubated with PlyCB-Alexa Fluor®

647 (PlyCB$_{WT}$^{AF647}). B) Probing the same samples with the GAC antibodies confirms the presence

of GAC in the bands. Molecular mass markers are given in kDa.

Fig 4)

PlyCB binding to *E. coli* cells were investigated by flow cytometry after labelling with PlyCB$_{WT}$^{AF647}

and PlyCB$_{R66E}$^{AF647} mutant proteins. Blue: -ve control cells without pRha. Red: pRha producing *E.*

coli cells. Representative histograms are shown. A) Left panel: unstained cells. Right panel: The

anti-GAC antibodies (GAC-FITC) were used as a positive control to label the *E. coli* cells

producing pRha. The antibodies do not bind to the *E. coli* cells carrying an empty plasmid (-ve).

B) Left panel: PlyCB$_{WT}$^{AF647} binds to the *E. coli* cells producing pRha, but not to the *E. coli* cells

carrying an empty plasmid (-ve). Right panel: PlyCB$_{R66E}$^{AF647} does not binds to the *E. coli* cells

producing pRha.

Fig 5)

The PlyC-mediated lysis of sacculi purified from *S. mutans* strains. The lysis was monitored after

10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min as a decrease in OD_{600}. Results are presented as a fold change in

OD_{600} of the sacculi incubated with PlyC *vs.* the sacculi incubated without PlyC. Data points and

error bars represent mean values of four biological replicates and standard deviation,

respectively. P-values were determined by two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons

test.

FUNDING

The HCD laboratory is supported by Wellcome and Royal Society Grant 109357/Z/15/Z and the

University of Dundee Wellcome Trust Funds 105606/Z/14/Z and Tenovus Scotland Large

Research Grant [T17/17]. HK is supported by the National Institute of Standards and Technology

(NIST). VAF is supported by Rockefeller University laboratory funds. NK is supported by NIH

grants R01 DE028916 from the NIDCR and R01 AI143690 from the NIAID.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the CDC Streptococcus Lab and the Active Bacterial Core surveillance program for

sharing isolates isolate 20170556 (stG6.0), 20171682 (stC74A.0), 20154376 (stG245.0),

20173686 (stG652.0), 20170560 and 20176966 (stG485.0). We also thank Ryan Heselpoth, Yang

Shen, and Sara Linden for reagents, technical advice, and helpful discussion.

References

1   Fernandes, S. and Sao-Jose, C. (2018) Enzymes and Mechanisms Employed by Tailed
Bacteriophages to Breach the Bacterial Cell Barriers. Viruses. **10**

2   Nelson, D., Loomis, L. and Fischetti, V. A. (2001) Prevention and elimination of upper
respiratory colonization of mice by group A streptococci by using a bacteriophage lytic enzyme.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **98**, 4107-4112
3   Dams, D. and Briers, Y. (2019) Enzybiotics: Enzyme-Based Antibacterials as
Therapeutics. Adv Exp Med Biol. **1148**, 233-253
4   Love, M. J., Bhandari, D., Dobson, R. C. J. and Billington, C. (2018) Potential for
Bacteriophage Endolysins to Supplement or Replace Antibiotics in Food Production and Clinical
Care. Antibiotics (Basel). **7**
5   Schmelcher, M. and Loessner, M. J. (2020) Bacteriophage endolysins - extending their
application to tissues and the bloodstream. Curr Opin Biotechnol. **68**, 51-59
6   Heselpoth, R. D., Swift, S. M., Linden, S. B., Mitchell, M. S. and Nelson, D. C. (2018)
Enzybiotics: endolysins and bacteriocins. In Bacteriophages - biology, technology, and therapy
(Harper, D., Abedon, S. T., Burrowes, B. and McConvill, M., eds.), Springer International
Publishing, Dordrecht, The Netherlands
7   Loessner, M. J., Kramer, K., Ebel, F. and Scherer, S. (2002) C-terminal domains of
Listeria monocytogenes bacteriophage murein hydrolases determine specific recognition and
high-affinity binding to bacterial cell wall carbohydrates. Molecular microbiology. **44**, 335-349
8   Mesnage, S., Dellarole, M., Baxter, N. J., Rouget, J. B., Dimitrov, J. D., Wang, N.,
Fujimoto, Y., Hounslow, A. M., Lacroix-Desmazes, S., Fukase, K., Foster, S. J. and Williamson,
M. P. (2014) Molecular basis for bacterial peptidoglycan recognition by LysM domains. Nat
Commun. **5**, 4269
9   Evans, A. C. (1934) *Streptococcus* bacteriphage: a study of four serological types.
Public Health Rep. **49**, 1386-1401
10   Krause, R. M. (1957) Studies on bacteriophages of hemolytic streptococci. I. Factors
influencing the interaction of phage and susceptible host cell. J Exp Med. **106**, 365-384
11   Maxted, W. R. (1957) The active agent in nascent phage lysis of streptococci. J Gen
Microbiol. **16**, 584-595
12   Nelson, D., Schuch, R., Chahales, P., Zhu, S. and Fischetti, V. A. (2006) PlyC: a
multimeric bacteriophage lysin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **103**, 10765-10770
13   McGowan, S., Buckle, A. M., Mitchell, M. S., Hoopes, J. T., Gallagher, D. T., Heselpoth,
R. D., Shen, Y., Reboul, C. F., Law, R. H., Fischetti, V. A., Whisstock, J. C. and Nelson, D. C.
(2012) X-ray crystal structure of the streptococcal specific phage lysin PlyC. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. **109**, 12752-12757
14   Lancefield, R. C. (1933) A Serological Differentiation of Human and Other Groups of
Hemolytic Streptococci. J Exp Med. **57**, 571-595
15   Vandamme, P., Pot, B., Falsen, E., Kersters, K. and Devriese, L. A. (1996) Taxonomic
study of lancefield streptococcal groups C, G, and L (Streptococcus dysgalactiae) and proposal
of S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis subsp. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol. **46**, 774-781
16   Coligan, J. E., Kindt, T. J. and Krause, R. M. (1978) Structure of the streptococcal
groups A, A-variant and C carbohydrates. Immunochemistry. **15**, 755-760
17   Pritchard D G, G. R. L., Michlek S M, McGhee J R. . (1986) Biochemical aspects of
serotype carbohydrate antigens of Streptococcus mutans. In: Hamada S, Michalek S M, Kiyono
H, Menaker L, McGhee J R, editors. Molecular microbiology and immunology of Streptococcus
mutans. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: . Elsevier Science Publishers; , pp. 39–49.
18   Coligan, J. E., Schnute, W. C., Jr. and Kindt, T. J. (1975) Immunochemical and chemical
studies on streptococcal group-specific carbohydrates. J Immunol. **114**, 1654-1658
19   Huang, D. H., Rama Krishna, N. and Pritchard, D. G. (1986) Characterization of the
group A streptococcal polysaccharide by two-dimensional [1]H-nuclear-magnetic-resonance
spectroscopy. Carbohydrate research. **155**, 193-199

20   Neiwert, O., Holst, O. and Duda, K. A. (2014) Structural investigation of rhamnose-rich
polysaccharides from Streptococcus dysgalactiae bovine mastitis isolate. Carbohydr Res. **389**,
192-195
21   Edgar, R. J., van Hensbergen, V. P., Ruda, A., Turner, A. G., Deng, P., Le Breton, Y.,
El-Sayed, N. M., Belew, A. T., McIver, K. S., McEwan, A. G., Morris, A. J., Lambeau, G.,
Walker, M. J., Rush, J. S., Korotkov, K. V., Widmalm, G., van Sorge, N. M. and Korotkova, N.
(2019) Discovery of glycerol phosphate modification on streptococcal rhamnose
polysaccharides. Nature chemical biology. **15**, 463-471
22   van der Beek, S. L., Zorzoli, A., Canak, E., Chapman, R. N., Lucas, K., Meyer, B. H.,
Evangelopoulos, D., de Carvalho, L. P. S., Boons, G. J., Dorfmueller, H. C. and van Sorge, N.
M. (2019) Streptococcal dTDP-L-rhamnose biosynthesis enzymes: functional characterization
and lead compound identification. Molecular microbiology. **111**, 951-964
23   Zorzoli, A., Meyer, B. H., Adair, E., Torgov, V. I., Veselovsky, V. V., Danilov, L. L., Uhrin,
D. and Dorfmueller, H. C. (2019) Group A, B, C, and G Streptococcus Lancefield antigen
biosynthesis is initiated by a conserved alpha-d-GlcNAc-beta-1,4-l-rhamnosyltransferase. J Biol
Chem. **294**, 15237-15256
24   van der Beek, S. L., Le Breton, Y., Ferenbach, A. T., Chapman, R. N., van Aalten, D. M.,
Navratilova, I., Boons, G. J., McIver, K. S., van Sorge, N. M. and Dorfmueller, H. C. (2015)
GacA is essential for Group A Streptococcus and defines a new class of monomeric dTDP-4-
dehydrorhamnose reductases (RmlD). Molecular microbiology. **98**, 946-962
25   Rush, J. S., Edgar, R. J., Deng, P., Chen, J., Zhu, H., van Sorge, N. M., Morris, A. J.,
Korotkov, K. V. and Korotkova, N. (2017) The molecular mechanism of N-acetylglucosamine
side-chain attachment to the Lancefield group A carbohydrate in Streptococcus pyogenes. J
Biol Chem. **292**, 19441-19457
26   Lood, R., Raz, A., Molina, H., Euler, C. W. and Fischetti, V. A. (2014) A highly active and
negatively charged Streptococcus pyogenes lysin with a rare D-alanyl-L-alanine endopeptidase
activity protects mice against streptococcal bacteremia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. **58**,
3073-3084
27   Gera, K. and McIver, K. S. (2013) Laboratory growth and maintenance of Streptococcus
pyogenes (the Group A Streptococcus, GAS). Curr Protoc Microbiol. **30**, 9D 2 1-9D 2 13
28   Shibata, Y., Yamashita, Y., Ozaki, K., Nakano, Y. and Koga, T. (2002) Expression and
characterization of streptococcal rgp genes required for rhamnan synthesis in Escherichia coli.
Infect Immun. **70**, 2891-2898
29   DuBois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. A. and Smith, F. (1956) Colorimetric
method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem. **28**, 350-356
30   Heselpoth, R. D., Yin, Y., Moult, J. and Nelson, D. C. (2015) Increasing the stability of
the bacteriophage endolysin PlyC using rationale-based FoldX computational modeling. Protein
Eng Des Sel. **28**, 85-92
31   M., M. (1952) The lysis of group A hemolytic streptococci by extracellular enzymes of
Streptomyces albus. II. Nature of the cellular substrate attacked by the lytic enzymes. . J Exp
Med. . **96**, 569–580
32   Davies, M. R., McIntyre, L., Mutreja, A., Lacey, J. A., Lees, J. A., Towers, R. J.,
Duchene, S., Smeesters, P. R., Frost, H. R., Price, D. J., Holden, M. T. G., David, S., Giffard, P.
M., Worthing, K. A., Seale, A. C., Berkley, J. A., Harris, S. R., Rivera-Hernandez, T., Berking,
O., Cork, A. J., Torres, R., Lithgow, T., Strugnell, R. A., Bergmann, R., Nitsche-Schmitz, P.,
Chhatwal, G. S., Bentley, S. D., Fraser, J. D., Moreland, N. J., Carapetis, J. R., Steer, A. C.,
Parkhill, J., Saul, A., Williamson, D. A., Currie, B. J., Tong, S. Y. C., Dougan, G. and Walker, M.
J. (2019) Author Correction: Atlas of group A streptococcal vaccine candidates compiled using
large-scale comparative genomics. Nat Genet. **51**, 1295

33   Brandt, C. M., Haase, G., Schnitzler, N., Zbinden, R. and Lutticken, R. (1999)
Characterization of blood culture isolates of Streptococcus dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis
possessing Lancefield's group A antigen. J Clin Microbiol. **37**, 4194-4197
34   Chochua, S., Metcalf, B. J., Li, Z., Rivers, J., Mathis, S., Jackson, D., Gertz, R. E., Jr.,
Srinivasan, V., Lynfield, R., Van Beneden, C., McGee, L. and Beall, B. (2017) Population and
Whole Genome Sequence Based Characterization of Invasive Group A Streptococci Recovered
in the United States during 2015. mBio. **8**
35   McMillan, D. J., Vu, T., Bramhachari, P. V., Kaul, S. Y., Bouvet, A., Shaila, M. S.,
Karmarkar, M. G. and Sriprakash, K. S. (2010) Molecular markers for discriminating
Streptococcus pyogenes and S. dysgalactiae subspecies equisimilis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect
Dis. **29**, 585-589
36   Lynskey, N. N., Jauneikaite, E., Li, H. K., Zhi, X., Turner, C. E., Mosavie, M., Pearson,
M., Asai, M., Lobkowicz, L., Chow, J. Y., Parkhill, J., Lamagni, T., Chalker, V. J. and
Sriskandan, S. (2019) Emergence of dominant toxigenic M1T1 Streptococcus pyogenes clone
during increased scarlet fever activity in England: a population-based molecular epidemiological
study. Lancet Infect Dis. **19**, 1209-1218
37   Pritchard, D. G., Rener, B. P., Furner, R. L., Huang, D. H. and Krishna, N. R. (1988)
Structure of the group G streptococcal polysaccharide. Carbohydr Res. **173**, 255-262
38   Mirica, K. A., Lockett, M. R., Snyder, P. W., Shapiro, N. D., Mack, E. T., Nam, S. and
Whitesides, G. M. (2012) Selective precipitation and purification of monovalent proteins using
oligovalent ligands and ammonium sulfate. Bioconjug Chem. **23**, 293-299
39   Shen, Y., Barros, M., Vennemann, T., Gallagher, D. T., Yin, Y., Linden, S. B., Heselpoth,
R. D., Spencer, D. J., Donovan, D. M., Moult, J., Fischetti, V. A., Heinrich, F., Losche, M. and
Nelson, D. C. (2016) A bacteriophage endolysin that eliminates intracellular streptococci. Elife. **5**
40   Broendum, S. S., Williams, D. E., Hayes, B. K., Kraus, F., Fodor, J., Clifton, B. E., Geert
Volbeda, A., Codee, J. D. C., Riley, B. T., Drinkwater, N., Farrow, K. A., Tsyganov, K.,
Heselpoth, R. D., Nelson, D. C., Jackson, C. J., Buckle, A. M. and McGowan, S. (2021) High
avidity drives the interaction between the streptococcal C1 phage endolysin, PlyC, with the cell
surface carbohydrates of Group A Streptococcus. Molecular microbiology
41   Sato, T., Mattison, K. W., Dubin, P. L., Kamachi, M. and Morishima, Y. (1998) Effect of
protein aggregation on the binding of lysozyme to pyrene-labeled polyanions. Langmuir. **14**,
5430-5437
42   Wilcken, R., Wang, G., Boeckler, F. M. and Fersht, A. R. (2012) Kinetic mechanism of
p53 oncogenic mutant aggregation and its inhibition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **109**, 13584-
13589
43   Michon, F., Moore, S. L., Kim, J., Blake, M. S., Auzanneau, F. I., Johnston, B. D.,
Johnson, M. A. and Pinto, B. M. (2005) Doubly branched hexasaccharide epitope on the cell
wall polysaccharide of group A streptococci recognized by human and rabbit antisera. Infect
Immun. **73**, 6383-6389
44   van Sorge, N. M., Cole, J. N., Kuipers, K., Henningham, A., Aziz, R. K., Kasirer-Friede,
A., Lin, L., Berends, E. T. M., Davies, M. R., Dougan, G., Zhang, F., Dahesh, S., Shaw, L., Gin,
J., Cunningham, M., Merriman, J. A., Hutter, J., Lepenies, B., Rooijakkers, S. H. M., Malley, R.,
Walker, M. J., Shattil, S. J., Schlievert, P. M., Choudhury, B. and Nizet, V. (2014) The classical
lancefield antigen of group a Streptococcus is a virulence determinant with implications for
vaccine design. Cell Host Microbe. **15**, 729-740
45   Svetlana Zamakhaeva, C. T. C., Jeffrey S. Rush, Sowmya Ajay Castro, Alexander E.
Yarawsky, Andrew B. Herr, Nina M. van Sorge, Helge C. Dorfmueller, Gregory I. Frolenkov,
Konstantin V. Korotkov, Natalia Korotkova. (2020) Modification of cell wall polysaccharide
spatially controls cell division in Streptococcus mutans. bioRxiv
46   De, A., Liao, S., Bitoun, J. P., Roth, R., Beatty, W. L., Wu, H. and Wen, Z. T. (2017)
Deficiency of RgpG causes major defects in cell division and biofilm formation, and deficiency of

LytR-CpsA-Psr family proteins leads to accumulation of cell wall antigens in culture medium by
Streptococcus mutans. Appl Environ Microbiol. **83**
47   Rabinovich, M. L., Melnick, M. S. and Bolobova, A. V. (2002) The structure and
mechanism of action of cellulolytic enzymes. Biochemistry (Mosc). **67**, 850-871
48   McCabe, C., Zhao, X., Adney, W. S., Himmel, M. E. (2010) Energy Storage in Cellulase
Linker Peptides? Computational Modeling in Lignocellulosic Biofuel Production. **1052**, 119-134
649

Fig 1)
A) Symbolic drawings of the carbohydrate structures of GAS (GAC), polyrhamnose backbone (pRha) in GAVS and M1_dgacI, GCS (GCC), GGS (GGC) and S. mutans (SCC). For simplicity, the reported glycerol phosphate of occasionally present on the GAC and SCC side chains have been omitted. Repeat units are marked with brackets. The pRha backbone with alternating (α1->2) and (α1->3) linkages, whilst the α1->2 rhamnose being decorated with a β1->3 side chain is a commonality among all PlyC susceptible strains. B) PlyC lysis of streptococcal pathogens. Group A and Group C Streptococci serotypes are susceptible to PlyC-mediated lysis. Group G Streptococci show limited susceptibility and S. mutans is resistant to PlyC lysis. Three SDSE isolates that produce the GAC instead of GGC (SDSE_gac) are susceptible to PlyC treatment. C) Bottom and top view of the WT PlyCB octameric ring structure with the GlyH and EAD domains omitted (4f78.pdb).

Fig 2)

Precipitation studies of purified PlyCB and GAC reveal direct interaction of PlyCB with GAC. A) The PlyCB concentration is kept constant whilst the GAC concentration is varied. Visible precipitate forms at the higher concentrations. B) The precipitate level is measured spectrophotometrically at 340 nm and compared to the mutant PlyCB_R66E, which does not bind the GAC. C) Coomassie stained and D) densitometry analysis of PlyCB protein from the supernatant fraction and aggregates (pellets). E, F) The same dose dependency is observed when the PlyCB concentration is varied. Arrowhead depicts PlyCB protein (8 kDa).

Fig 3)
Representation of immunoblot analysis of the cell lysate of E. coli expressing the SCC and GAC pRha and carrying an empty control plasmid (-ve). A) Blot was incubated with PlyCB-Alexa Fluor® 647 (PlyCB-WT-AF647). B) Probing the same samples with the GAC antibodies confirms the presence of GAC in the bands. Molecular mass markers are given in kDa.

Fig 4)

PlyCB binding to *E. coli* cells were investigated by flow cytometry after labelling with $PlyCB_{WT}^{AF647}$ and $PlyCB_{R66E}^{AF645}$ mutant proteins. Blue: -ve control cells without pRha. Red: pRha producing *E. coli* cells. Representative histograms are shown. A) Left panel: unstained cells. Right panel: The anti-GAC antibodies (GAC-FITC) were used as a positive control to label the *E. coli* cells producing pRha. The antibodies do not bind to the E. coli cells carrying an empty plasmid (-ve). B) Left panel: $PlyCB_{WT}^{AF647}$ binds to the *E. coli* cells producing pRha, but not to the *E. coli* cells carrying an empty plasmid (-ve). Right panel: $PlyCB_{R66E}^{AF645}$ does not binds to the *E. coli* cells producing pRha.

Fig 5)

The PlyC-mediated lysis of sacculi purified from *S. mutans* strains. The lysis was monitored after 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min as a decrease in OD_{600}. Results are presented as a fold change in OD_{600} of the sacculi incubated with PlyC *vs.* the sacculi incubated without PlyC. Data points and error bars represent mean values of four biological replicates and standard deviation, respectively. P-values were determined by two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test.