<u>Genomic architecture and evolutionary dynamics of a social niche polymorphism in the California</u>

<u>harvester ant, *Pogonomyrmex californicus*</u>

Running title:

California harvester ant population genomics

Mohammed Errbii[1], Ulrich R. Ernst[1,§], Aparna Lajmi[2], Jürgen Gadau[1,3,‡], Lukas Schrader[1,3,‡]

[1] Molecular Evolution and Sociobiology Group, Institute for Evolution & Biodiversity, University of

Münster, Hüfferstr. 1, DE-48149 Münster, Germany

[2] Department of Evolutionary and Environmental Biology, Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa,

Haifa, Israel

[3] lukas.schrader@uni-muenster.de; gadauj@uni-muenster.de

[‡] equal contribution

[§] current address: Apicultural State Institute, University of Hohenheim, August-von-Hartmann-Str. 13, DE-
70599 Stuttgart, Germany

Abstract

The societies of social insects are highly variable, including variation in the number of reproductives in a

colony. In the California harvester ant, *Pogonomyrmex californicus* (Buckley 1867), colonies are commonly

founded by a single queen (haplometrosis, primary monogyny). However, in some populations in California

(USA), two or more queens cooperate in colony founding (pleometrosis) and continue to share a nest over

several years (primary polygyny). Here, we use population genomics and linkage mapping to study the

evolutionary dynamics and genetic architecture of this social niche polymorphism. Our analyses show that

both populations underwent consecutive bottlenecks over the last 100,000 generations, particularly

decreasing population size in the P-population and that the two populations diverged until 1,000

generations ago, after which gene flow increased again and we found signs of recent genetic admixture

between the two populations. We further uncover an 8 Mb non-recombining region segregating with the

observed social niche polymorphism, showing characteristics of a supergene comparable to the ones

underlying social niche polymorphism in other ant species. In addition, 57 genes in five genomic regions

outside the supergene show signatures of a selective sweep in the P-population, some of which are

differentially expressed between haplo- and pleometrotic queens during colony founding. Our findings

expose the social niche polymorphism in *P. californicus* as a polygenic trait involving a supergene.

Keywords: haplometrosis, pleometrosis, supergene, social niche polymorphism, population genomics,

monogyny, polygyny, *Pogonomyrmex*

Introduction

An important component of the social organization of eusocial species is the number of reproductive individuals (Wilson 1971; Hölldobler and Wilson 1977; Boomsma et al. 2014). This number is usually described as fixed for a given species with insignificant variations. However, with the advent of genetic marker systems it became clear that many species show a range of social organization related to queen number both in terms of their species range (e.g. *Formica exsecta* (Seppä et al. 2004), *Solenopsis invicta* (Ross et al. 2007), *Messor pergandei* (Helms et al. 2013), *Pogonomyrmex californicus* (Overson et al. 2016)) and colony stage (secondary polygyny or monogyny) (Boomsma et al. 2014). This variation can be considered as specializations to different social niches, i.e. "the set of social environments in which the focal individual has non-zero inclusive fitness" (Saltz et al. 2016). For example, if queens become tolerant towards co-founding queen(s), a novel social niche can be established (pleometrosis = multiple queens found a colony together) that is distinct from the original niche (haplometrosis = queens found a colony alone), where queens kill potential co-foundresses either immediately or after the first workers emerge (Bernasconi and Strassmann 1999; Overson et al. 2014; Overson et al. 2016). Species that show intraspecific social niche variation are ideal to study the genetic architecture and evolutionary dynamics underlying such transitions. For example, recent population genomic studies in *Solenopsis* fire ants (Ross et al. 2007; Yan et al. 2020) and *Formica* wood ants (Purcell et al. 2014; Brelsford et al. 2020) have provided significant insights into the origin, evolution, and geographic distribution of social niche variation (monogyny vs polygyny) in ants.

The California harvester ant (*Pogonomyrmex californicus* (Buckley 1867)) is a widespread harvester ant that occurs throughout the Southwestern USA and Northwestern Mexico (Johnson 2002) and varies in terms of monogyny and polygyny. However, in contrast to *Solenopsis invicta* and *Formica* spp. this variation is based on differences in colony founding behavior, i.e. haplo- vs pleometrosis (Rissing et al. 2000; Johnson 2004; Overson et al. 2016; Haney and Fewell 2018), and not re-adoption of queens or colony budding (Glancey and Lofgren 1988; Rosengren et al. 1993). Queens of polygynous colonies and pleometrotic foundress associations in *P. californicus* are usually unrelated (Overson et al. 2016). Hence, both represent multifamily groups where unrelated queens and workers, cooperate (Bernasconi and Strassmann 1999). Haney and Fewell (2018) found that higher colony densities and lower resource availabilities, i.e. harsher conditions, correlate with polygyny, suggesting that haplo- and pleometrosis are adaptations to divergent habitats. Haplometrosis and monogyny is the norm in *P. californicus* (Johnson

2004). In Southern California two geographically isolated populations, that are almost fixed for

haplometrosis (primary monogyny) or pleometrosis (primary polygyny) occur in close proximity (app. 50

km; Overson et al., 2014; Rissing et al., 2000). Whether both social niches can co-exist in a population,

depends on ecological factors (e.g. nest site limitation, population density, resource limitations (Herbers

1986; Haney and Fewell 2018)), but could also depend on so far unidentified genetic factors (supergenes,

genotype specific mortality, (Purcell et al. 2014; Schwander et al. 2014). It has been shown that

supergenes, i.e. genomic regions containing clusters of linked loci (Schwander et al. 2014) play an

important role in the evolution and maintenance of complex trait polymorphisms (e.g. Hohenlohe et al.

2010; Nadeau et al. 2012; Brelsford et al. 2020; Matschiner et al. 2021), and in fact, the genetic foundations

of social niche polymorphisms in ants studied so far are supergenes (Wang et al. 2013; Purcell et al. 2014;

Brelsford et al. 2020; Yan et al. 2020).

In this study, we explored the population dynamics, evolutionary history, and genetic architecture of the

haplometrosis/pleometrosis social niche polymorphism in *P. californicus*. Coalescent modeling shows

divergence between the two populations and their associated social niche phenotypes began 100,000

generations ago, but that gene flow between both populations has increased over the last 1,000

generations. We find evidence for recurring genetic bottlenecks in both populations, most likely reflecting

the preference of *P. californicus* for colonizing disturbed habitats. Based on extended haplotype analyses

and linkage mapping, we identify a non-recombining region of app. 8 Mb that segregates with our

observed social niche polymorphism. This region shows all characteristics of a supergene, comparable to

the ones underlying social niche polymorphism in other ants. However, unlike in *Solenopsis* and *Formica*

we also find signatures of selective sweeps in the pleometrotic population beyond the non-recombining

region, suggesting that social niche polymorphism in *P. californicus* is a polygenic trait involving a

supergene.

Material and Methods

Ant Collection

We collected several hundred gynes of *Pogonomyrmex californicus* after their nuptial flights in 2018 and 2019 at Pine Valley (32.822 N, -116.528 W; predominantly pleometrotic population, P-population) and Lake Henshaw resort (33.232 N, -116.760 W; predominantly haplometrotic population, H-population), California, USA, which are 50 km apart. Ants were brought to laboratories at Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA and housed alone or in pairs in observation nests. Observation nests were so called sandwich nests that consist of a sand layer between two glass plates sealed on all four sides with plastic spacers. After one week of behavioral observation, queens were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and transferred to vials containing 0.5 ml RNAlater (Invitrogen). There are no legal regulations concerning the collection or treatment of ants in the USA or Germany.

To test whether individual queens indeed behaved as predicted (queens from haplometrotic populations are expected to be aggressive when paired with any other queen, and queens from pleometrotic populations are expected to cooperate when forced into one nest with another queen), we observed the behavior of paired queens using scan sampling (for more details see Clark and Fewell 2014; Overson et al. 2014; Helmkampf et al. 2016).

Barcoding

All ants were barcoded using partial *Cytochrome Oxidase I* (COI) to confirm species identification and determine mitochondrial variation in both populations. In brief, we extracted DNA from a single leg following a Chelex protocol (Gadau 2009a), performed a PCR and sequenced the PCR products using Sanger sequencing. We mixed 1 µl of DNA extract, 2 µl Colorless GoTaq Reaction Buffer (7.5 mM $MgCl_2$; Promega, Walldorf, Germany), 1 µl 25 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.6 µl 1.25 mM dNTPs, 0.2 µl of each primer (20 µM, HCO: TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA; LCO: GGT CAA CAA ATCATA AAG ATA TTG G), 0.06 µl GoTaq G2 DNA Polymerase Buffer (5 u/µl; Promega, Walldorf, Germany) and 4.94 µl demineralized H_2O. The PCR program consisted of an initial 3 min melting at 94 °C; 38 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C, 1 min at 45 °C, and 1.5 min at 72 °C; and a final extension step for 5 min at 72 °C. We removed remaining primers and dephosphorylated single nucleotides by incubating 5 µl PCR product with 0.25 µl Exonuclease 1 (5 u; Thermofisher, Dreieich, Germany) and 0.5 µl Shrimp alkaline phosphatase (5 u; Thermofisher, Dreieich,

Germany) for 15 min at 37 °C before deactivating the enzymes for 15 min at 85 °C. We added 5 µl of primer

HCO or LCO, sequencing the PCR product in both directions at the European Custom Sequencing Centre,

Cologne, Germany. Sequences of partial mitochondrial *cytochrome oxidase I* (COI) were blasted online at

NCBI against the non-redundant nucleotide collection (nt) using blastn with standard settings for short

sequences (Altschul et al. 1990). All sequences were aligned and based on this alignment a neighbor joining

tree was calculated using the online tool of MAFFT v. 7 (Katoh et al. 2018).

DNA extraction, library prep and genome sequencing

DNA was extracted using a phenol-chloroform-extraction protocol following Gadau (2009a). Libraries were

constructed using NEBNext® Ultra™ II FS DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB #E7805; New England

BioLabs, Ipswich, USA) according to manufacturer's protocol. DNA extracts and libraries were controlled

for purity and size using NanoPhotometer Pearl (Implen, Munich, Germany) and Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent,

Santa Clara, USA). Libraries were paired-end sequenced (2x 75 bp) at the Genomic Core Facility of the

University of Münster (Germany), using a NextSeq 500 system with NextSeq 500 High Output Kit v2.5

(Illumina, San Diego, USA). We sequenced 16 queens from a haplometrotic population and 19 from a

pleometrotic population (total n = 35) with an average coverage of more than 12x (Supporting Table S1).

Genome assembly and annotation

We sequenced and assembled the genome of *P. californicus* ("Pcal2") using data from MinION long reads

(MinION, Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK), Illumina short reads, and previously published 10X

Chromium linked reads (Bohn et al. 2021). For long read library preparation, we sampled three white

worker pupae from a pleometrotic colony ("Pcal18-02") in liquid nitrogen for high-molecular weight DNA

extraction using a phase-separation protocol, optimized for DNA extraction from ants. After quality control

with agarose gels, Nanodrop and Qubit measurements, we used 2 µg of DNA for library preparation with

the Ligation Sequencing Kit (Oxford Nanopore) SQK-LSK109. The resulting library was sequenced for 48 h

on a MinION sequencer, using a single flow cell. After basecalling with guppy (v. 4.0.14) we retrieved

1,650,688 reads (mean read length 4.9 kb) with a mean read quality of 13.4. Read length N50 was 18.5 kb

and a total of 8.1 Gb of data was generated. We used PoreChop (v. 0.2.4) to remove adapter

contaminations from MinION reads before further filtering the data with FiltLong (v. 0.2.0). FiltLong allows

selection of high quality reads based on comparison with short-read data of the same species. We sampled

paired-end Illumina data of *P. californicus* queens for FiltLong from three samples (P50Y, P67P, P55R). We

assembled the genome from the ~30x Nanopore data using the following strategy. We created one

assembly using CANU (v. 2.0) and a second assembly using wtdbg2 (v. 2.5). Both assemblies were

processed and optimized as follows: After contig assembly, we used SSPACE for scaffolding, LR_closer for

gap filling, and ntedit for polishing with long-read data. We then used short-read, short-insert Illumina

data from three samples (P50Y, P67P, P55R) for scaffolding with SSPACE before three rounds of polishing

with Pilon. The polished assembly was super-scaffolded with publicly available 10X Chromium data for *P.*

californicus (accession SRX8051306, Bohn et al. 2021) using scaff10x and subsequent correction with

break10x. We used an in-house, blastn-based pipeline to detect and remove bacterial contaminations

from the assemblies. Finally, we combined the CANU-based and the wtdbg-based assemblies with ntjoin,

using the CANU-based assembly as reference. The joint assembly was filtered for redundancy using

funannotate clean (v. 1.7.1), removing four scaffolds.

Genome annotation

We annotated repeats and transposable elements in the genome using a combination of de-novo,

structural and homology-based approaches. We ran RepeatModeler2 (v. 2.0.1) and EDTA (v. 1.8.3) on the

genome assembly to generate de-novo repeat libraries. Libraries from both tools were combined and

redundancies removed with CD-HIT (v. 4.8.1). We classified repeats using a combination of PasteClassifier

(included in REPET v. 2.5), DeepTE (github.com/LiLabAtVT/DeepTE) and TEsorter (v. 1.2.5.2). We removed

putative host proteins from the library by blasting all unclassified repeats against uniprot_arthropoda

(uniprot-arthropoda+6656 downloaded 27-Apr-2018) with "blastx -soft_masking false -evalue 1e-10". We

combined our de-novo library with public resources from RepBase (RepBase25.04.invrep.fa) and Dfam

(Dfam3.0.arthropod.fa). Finally, using this combined library, we annotated the genome with

RepeatMasker (v.open-4.0.7) with -s -gff -a -inv -small -excln -cutoff 250 -nolow. The produced annotation

was subsequently improved further with One-code-to-find-them-all (v. 1.0).

Protein-coding and tRNA genes were annotated with funannotate v. 1.7.1 in the soft-masked genome. We

used short-read Illumina paired-end RNAseq data of 18 heads of *P. californicus* (Ernst et al., unpublished)

and previously published long-read minION RNAseq data of a pool of workers (Bohn et al. 2021) for

training. Homology-based gene predictions were included by running GeMoMa v. 1.7 on a set of five

published ant genomes (*Pogonomyrmex barbatus*, GCF_000187915.1; *Ooceraea biroi*, GCF_003672135.1;

Camponotus floridanus, GCF_003227725; *Solenopsis invicta*, GCF_000188075.2, *Acromyrmex echinatior*,

GCF_000204515.1). Funannotate initially produced 26,408 gene models. After functional annotation with

interproscan, EggNog, and funannotate, we removed any protein-coding gene that did not have any

functional annotation or known ortholog and did not have any RNAseq coverage. Of the predicted genes,

9,435 had at least some RNAseq support and 15,110 showed at least some homology to known proteins

(with E-value < 1E-5 against UniRef90 Release: 2020_04, 12-Aug-2020). 1,279 genes had RNAseq support

but were not homologous to any protein in UniRef90.

Mapping, SNP calling and filtering

We used FastQC (v. 0.11.7) to inspect the quality of the raw short read data. Short and low quality reads

were removed using Trimmomatic (v. 0.38) (parameters: *SLIDINGWINDOW*:4:20 *MINLEN*:40) to only keep

paired and high quality reads (Bolger et al. 2014). The resulting paired reads were mapped to the newly

produced assembly of *P. californicus* (Pcal2) using BWA-MEM (v. 0.7.17-r1188) with default parameters (Li

and Durbin 2009). We assessed the quality of the resulting alignments using QualiMap (v. 2.2.1)

(Okonechnikov et al. 2016).

After marking duplicates using Picard's MarkDuplicates (v. 2.20.0), we performed joint-variant calling

across all samples, in two rounds.

We first generated a high quality set of SNPs that we used for base quality recalibration in the second pass.

In each pass, we used GATK's HaplotypeCaller (v. 4.1.2.0) with default parameters (McKenna et al. 2010;

Van der Auwera et al. 2013). Using this approach, we recovered a total of 1,486,819 SNPs that we hard-

filtered using the GATK VariantFiltration tool with the following parameters: QD < 2.0 || FS > 60.0 ||

MQRankSum < -5.0|| ReadPosRankSum < -5.0 || SOR > 3.0 || MQ < 40.0. We based our choice of these

parameters on a visual inspection of the distribution of annotation values of the raw SNPs as

recommended by the GATK documentation (Depristo et al. 2011).

The 1,359,080 SNPs that have passed the applied hard filters were further filtered using VCFtools (v.

0.1.16) (Danecek et al. 2011) and we kept only biallelic SNPs occurring in at least 85% of samples, with a

minor allele count (MAC) ≥ 3 and a site depth of 3X to 24X, resulting in 646,060 SNPs. These cut-offs were

chosen based on the distribution of these parameters in the raw SNPs left after applying the hard filters.

After a visual inspection of mapping quality across the genome (Supporting Figure S1), we excluded short

scaffolds with low mapping quality and considered 613,411 SNPs identified in the 25 largest scaffolds

(representing 222.6 Mb, i.e. 88.2% of the assembly) for further analyses.

Statistical phasing

As fineSTRUCTURE and the Multiple Sequential Markovian Coalescence modeling (MSMC2)

require phased haplotype data of single chromosomes as input, we used SHAPEIT (v. 2.r904) (Delaneau et

al. 2012) to statistically phase the 25 largest scaffolds of the *P. californicus* genome. Since a reference

panel is not available for *P. californicus*, we used the 35 single individual genomes that we sequenced in

this study for phasing. To produce a reliable set of phased genomes, we only considered 210,013 SNPs

with no missing data (i.e. genotyped in all 35 queens) for phasing. We separated the SNP dataset

genotyped across all 35 individuals into scaffolds using BCFtools (v. 1.9) and performed phasing by running

SHAPEIT (*-check*, *-phase* and *-convert*) on each scaffold separately.

Scaffolds 22 and 23 displayed an atypical architecture potentially representing a supergene (discussed

further below). Therefore scaffolds 22 and 23 as well as three other small scaffolds were excluded and we

only used phased SNPs contained in the 20 largest scaffolds for fineSTRUCTURE and MSMC2 analyses.

Population structure analyses

We used PLINK to LD-prune the 613,411 SNPs at a threshold of $r^2 < 0.2$, using the *--indep-pairwise* 1 kb 1

0.2 command. This operation yielded 314,756 LD-pruned SNPs that we then used to perform a Principal

Component Analysis (PCA) using the *--pca* command of PLINK (v. 1.90p) (Chang et al., 2015; Purcell et al.,

2007) and only considered 4 eigenvectors. The LD-pruned SNP dataset was also used to run ADMIXTURE

(v. 1.3.0) (Alexander et al. 2009) considering values of K from 2 to 4, and the best result was chosen based

on the lowest value for the cross-validation error.

To better characterize the relationships among queens in our sample, we used the haplotype-based

approach implemented in fineSTRUCTURE (Lawson et al. 2012). In this method, each individual is

considered in turn as a recipient, whose chromosomes are reconstructed as a combination of haplotypes

from all other individuals (the donors), using ChromoPainter (Lawson et al. 2012). Closely related

individuals are expected to share more haplotype blocks with each another. The final output is a

coancestry matrix that can be visualized as a heat map summarizing the number of shared haplotypes

between all pairs of individuals in the analyzed data sets.

We first converted SHAPEIT output to ChromoPainter's PHASE format using the *impute2chromopainter.pl*

provided by the developers of fineSTRUCTURE. We ran fineSTRUCTURE (v. 4.1.0) under the 'linked' mode

with default parameters. In our model, we assumed a uniform recombination rate, based on the estimate

of 14 cM/Mb found in *Pogonomyrmex rugosus* (Sirviö et al. 2011). The coancestry matrix plot was

produced in R (v. 4.0.2) (R Core Team 2020) using an R script, also provided with fineSTRUCTURE

[https://people.maths.bris.ac.uk/~madjl/finestructure/finestructureR.html; last accessed on March 11,

2021].

Demographic population history analysis

We inferred demographic population history from individual genomes using the Multiple Sequential

Markovian Coalescence modeling (MSMC2) (Schiffels and Durbin 2014). We used phased data generated

using SHAPEIT from four single individual queens (i.e. eight phased haplotypes) from the haplometrotic

(H123G, H118W, H73W and H123W; mean coverage 18X) and pleometrotic populations (P22P, P134P,

P117P and P109R; mean coverage ≥ 15X).

Mappability   masks   for   each   scaffold   were   generated   using   SNPABLE

[http://lh3lh3.users.sourceforge.net/snpable.shtml; last accessed on March 11, 2021] and following the

steps described by its developer.

Effective population size (N_e) and the relative cross-coalescence rate (rCCR) were then calculated using

MSMC2 following the developer`s guidelines (Schiffels and Durbin 2014; Schiffels and Wang 2020). We ran

1,000 bootstrap replicates, each time randomly sampling 60 Mb for each of the analyzed genomes. To

obtain the time in generations, we assumed a similar mutation rate as in honey bees (Yang et al. 2015),

i.e. $3.6 * 10^{-9}$ mutations $*$ nucleotide^{-1} $*$ generation^{-1}.

 Population genomic estimates

We used VCFtools (v. 0.1.16) (Danecek et al. 2011) to estimate nucleotide diversity (π) (*--window-pi)* and

Tajima's *D (--TajimaD)* within each population using 100 kb non-overlapping windows across the genome.

VCFtools' *--het* function was employed to calculate heterozygosity and inbreeding coefficient on a per

individual basis. To reduce the effects of mapping errors, windows where the average mapping quality is

below 40 and/or show extreme depth of coverage and/or SNP number were excluded from further

analyses (Supporting Figure S2).

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay was estimated by calculating pairwise r^2 values between all markers

within 200 kb windows using VCFtools' *--hap-r2* function in each of the two populations. We used SNP

markers with a minor allele frequency (MAF) of 0.2 to minimize the effects of rare variants. We next

averaged r^2 values between all pairs of markers in 100 bp distance bins for ease of visualization.

We tested for statistical differences between populations by performing a Wilcoxon rank sum test with

"Bonferroni" adjustments of the p-values in R (v. 4.0.2) (R Core Team 2020).

Genome scan for selection

To identify candidate genes potentially responsible for the social polymorphism in *P. californicus*, we

screened genomes of both populations for evidence of selection using an F_{st} outlier approach and by

identifying regions of extended haplotype homozygosity (selective sweeps). We screened the genome for

loci showing signatures of selection using an F_{st} outlier approach comparing the haplometrotic and

pleometrotic populations (Kelley et al. 2006; Akey et al. 2010; Kolaczkowski et al. 2011; Fabian et al. 2012).

Prior to computing F_{st} values between the two populations, we estimated relatedness between all pairs of

queens using PLINK's *--make-rel* function (Chang et al., 2015; Purcell et al., 2007). Based on visual

inspection of the distribution of relatedness values, we excluded queens from pairs with relatedness > 0.4

leaving us with 17 (of 19) and twelve (of 16) queens from the pleometrotic and haplometrotic populations,

respectively. Moreover, we excluded one queen from the pleometrotic population as our population

structure analysis indicated that it was an F1 hybrid (P99P).

We calculated mean F_{st} in 100 kb non-overlapping windows across the genome using VCFtools' functions

--weir-fst-pop and *--fst-window-size* (v. 0.1.16) (Danecek et al. 2011). From the empirical distribution of F_{st}

values, we considered the top 5 % of these windows as differentiated (Figure 4A).

To determine in which population the differentiated windows are under selection, we computed

nucleotide diversity π and Tajima's *D* in 100 kb non-overlapping windows across the genome and reasoned

that these regions should show a reduced Tajima's *D* (typically negative values) and nucleotide diversity in

the population where they are subject of selection. Genomic windows failing these conditions were

flagged as ambiguous and were discarded from further processing, resulting in a total of 51 candidate

regions for evolving under divergent selection between the populations (Supporting Table S2).

To detect signatures of selective sweeps, we further applied the cross-population extended haplotype

homozygosity (*xpEHH*) and the site-specific extended haplotype homozygosity (*EHHS*) tests (Sabeti et al.

2007; Tang et al. 2007). The *xpEHH* compares the length of haplotypes between populations to detect

selective sweeps in which the selected allele has approached or reached fixation in at least one population

(Sabeti et al. 2007). The *EHHS* on the other hand is defined as the decay of identity of haplotypes

surrounding a core marker of a population as a function of distance (Tang et al. 2007).

The *xpEHH* analyses were performed on the phased data from unrelated queens using the R package rehh

(v. 3.1.2) (Gautier et al. 2017) with the following settings: *polarize_vcf=FALSE*, *min_maf=0.05*,

scalegap=20,000 and *maxgap=200,000*. The latter two options were used in order to reduce false positives

caused by large gaps between markers (Voight et al. 2006). We used the Benjamani & Hochberg method

for FDR correction and set a p-value of 0.05 as a threshold to identify outlier SNPs. Outliers occurring within

100 kb of each other were clustered into one outlier region under selection, resulting in 32 clusters

distributed across multiple scaffolds (Supporting Table S3). Regions that are within -/+ 100 kb around

the most significant SNP of each cluster were kept as candidates for positive selective sweeps.

We used BEDtools' *intersect* function (v. 2.29.2) (Quinlan and Hall 2010) to extract regions that overlap

between the two selection screen approaches, producing 17 candidate regions (Supporting Table S4). We

excluded an ambiguous region on scaffold 11 (position: 1,200,000-1,349,402) that showed opposing

pattern of selection (i.e. F_{st} suggests selection in the pleometrotic population, while the *xpEHH* indicates

selection in the haplometrotic population).

Putative function of candidate genes

The F_{st}- and *xpEHH*-based approaches to detect selection yielded a set of 145 candidate genes. To identify

putative function of these candidate genes, we performed a BLAST (blastx) (Altschul et al. 1990) search

against the nr database. In addition to BLAST, we used OrthoFinder (v. 2.3.1) (Emms and Kelly 2019) to

identify *Drosophila melanogaster* orthologs to our candidate genes. To explore whether any of our

candidate genes showed differential expression between the two social forms, we performed BLAST

searches against *P. californicus* assembled transcripts from Helmkampf et al. (2016) (Supporting Table S5).

Functional annotation of candidate SNPs

We used BEDtools' *intersect* function (v. 2.29.2) (Quinlan and Hall 2010) to extract regions identified

simultaneously by the F_{st}- and *xpEHH*-based approach. Next, we extracted all SNPs (a total of 3,782 SNPs)

within these regions. We used the program SnpEff (v. 5.0) (Cingolani et al. 2012) to identify the functional

role of all SNPs that we recovered from candidate regions identified by our two approaches. We

categorized all SNPs based on which genomic feature is affected and whether the SNPs (in coding regions)

are synonymous or nonsynonymous substitutions (Table 1).

Haplotype frequencies

We calculated haplotype frequencies for the supergene region and tested for potential departure from

Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using a χ^2 test (Supporting Table S6; Supporting Figure S3). Haplotypes were

assigned manually, based on visual inspection of the suggested supergene region (Supporting Table S7);

predominantly homozygous for the reference alleles (i.e. from a pleometrotic population) and alternate

allele were assigned "Sp/Sp" and "Sh/Sh", respectively, and predominantly heterozygous regions were

assigned "Sh/Sp".

RAD sequencing and analysis

Winged males from a monogynous colony in Lake Henshaw (H-population) were collected during the

mating season 2019 and immediately stored in 100% ethanol. DNA was isolated using a phenol-chloroform

method (Gadau 2009a). Tests using six microsatellite markers confirmed that all males belonged to the

same matriline (results not shown). Following Inbar et al. (2020), we constructed a reduced representation

genomic library using an adjusted double-digest Restriction-site Associated DNA sequencing (ddRADseq)

protocol (Parchman et al. 2012, Peterson et al. 2012). First, we digested DNA withEcoR1 as rare-cutter and

Mse1 as frequent-cutter restriction enzyme. Then, we ligated DNA fragments to barcoded adaptors for

multiplexing (using CutSmart buffer NEB). The adaptor ligated products were PCR amplified, pooled, and

size-selected using Beckman Coulter, Agencourt AMPure XP beads. The libraries were sequenced in one

lane of a paired-end, 150 bp reads, on a HiSeq X Ten Illumina sequencer.

A total of 369,727,466 paired-reads from the RADseq were examined for quality using fastQC (v. 0.11.7).

Trimmomatic v. 0.39 (Bolger et al. 2014) was employed on the raw data to remove adaptor sequences

with the following settings: *ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-PE-2.fa:2:30:10:8:true SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15*

MINLEN:100. Trimmed reads were then processed by *process_radtags* (v. 2.2) from the Stacks pipeline

(Rochette et al. 2019) to demultiplex the data and remove low quality reads as well as those that lacked

the enzyme cut sites .

Mapping to the genome assembly of *P. californicus* (Pcal2) was performed using BWA-MEM (v. 0.7.17-

r1188) with default parameters (Li and Durbin 2009). The resulting alignments were further processed by

the *ref_map.pl* and populations available under the STACKS pipeline resulting in 55,340 raw variants. We

next used VCFtools (v. 0.1.16) (Danecek et al. 2011) to only keep biallelic markers, with no missing data

(i.e. genotyped in all males) and a MAF ≥ 0.45, yielding 4,082 markers. Finally, we excluded heterozygous

markers (likely sequencing errors as males are haploid) producing a final set of 2,980 markers used for

building genetic maps.

The 2,980 markers were all mapped with MultiPoint [http://www.multiqtl.com] using the Backcross

population setting. A maximum threshold rfs value of 0.25 resulted in 29 linkage groups. Note, MultiPoint

implemented a feature that recognizes markers showing linkage in the opposite phase (to automatically

recognize phasing errors in large data sets), hence it is no longer necessary to first double the markers,

switch phase for one marker set and then start mapping as described in Gadau (2009b). Multipoint linkage

analysis of loci within each linkage group (LG) refined marker order through resampling (jack-knifing,

Mester et al. 2003). A skeleton map was generated using delegate markers for all markers that showed

100% linkage. Kosambi`s mapping function was used to convert recombination frequencies to

centimorgan (Kosambi 1943). The complete map will be published elsewhere, for this manuscript we are

only reporting the results for linkage group (LG) 14 that harbors a major non-recombining area

(supergene).

Characterization of the supergene

To investigate the potential supergene identified in the current study, we used SNP markers identified in

the data generated for the queens and the males (RADseq). We used BCFtools' (v. 1.9) *isec* and *merge*

functions to extract shared SNPs between the two datasets found on LG14 (Supporting Table S7).

The resulting SNP markers (1,064 in total) were used to visualize the genotypes of the males and queens

at LG14 using VIVA (v. 0.4.0) (Tollefson et al. 2019). To further characterize the two alleles of the

supergene, we performed a PCA on 65 SNP markers identified in the non-recombining area of LG14 (i.e.

scaffolds 22, 23, 38, 42 and 48) in datasets of males and queens.

To investigate recombination suppression in the supergene region, we used SNP data from six queens

homozygous for the Sh allele (H104W, H33G, H34G, H38W, H73W and H98W) and six others homozygous

for the Sp allele (P22P, P102R, P114W, P109R, P34R, P84P), assigned based on visual inspection of their

genotypes (Supporting Figure S3). We first performed a VCF lift over (on scaffolds 14, 22, 23, 38, 42, 48

and 6 into LG14) using flo (Pracana et al. 2017) to generate a chain file and picard's LiftoverVcf tool (v.

2.20.0) to perform the lift over. We then used VCFtools (v. 0.1.16) (Danecek et al. 2011) to only keep bi-

allelic variants with a MAF ≥ 0.2 and to thin the sites to help plotting and visualization. We next used the

R package LDheatmap (v. 1.0-4) (Shin et al. 2006) to generate heatmap plots to visualize pairwise linkage

disequilibrium (LD) (Supporting Figure S9).

Results

Pogonomyrmex californicus genome assembly

The new genome assembly (Pcal2) based on pleometrotic *P. californicus* samples spans 252.3 Mb across 199 scaffolds (N50 = 10.4 Mb, largest scaffold 20.75 Mb), which is a substantial improvement compared to the previously published assembly for this species (N50 = 0.2 Mb, Bohn et al. 2021). We ran BUSCOv3 in genome mode against hymenoptera_odb9 and recovered 98.5% complete BUSCOs (S:97.8%,D:0.7%,F:1.1%,M:0.4%,n:4415), suggesting a nearly complete genome assembly. Genome annotation identified 22.79% repetitive sequence and 15,899 protein coding genes (BUSCO annotation scores C:96.1%[S:90.8%,D:5.3%],F:1.9%,M:2.0%), resembling repeat and gene contents reported for other published ant genomes. More details on the quality of the assembly can be found in the Supplementary Information.

The haplometrotic and pleometrotic populations are genetically distinct

To investigate the population structure of the two populations, we conducted a Principle Component Analysis (PCA), based on 314,756 LD-pruned SNPs. PC 1 clearly separates the two populations (Figure 1A), consistent with phylogenetic analyses of the CO1 barcoding data (Supporting Figure S4). Further, the PCA suggests higher genetic diversity in the H-population than the P-population. Interestingly, one queen (P99P) clustered exactly between both populations (Figure 1A), most likely representing an F1 hybrid between a haplo- and a pleometrotic individual from the two different populations, which are only 50 km apart.

A detailed analysis of coancestry with fineSTRUCTURE showed that queens share more haplotypes in within-population donor-recipient pairs than in between-population pairs (Figure 1B), consistent with the results obtained by PCA. However, we found that between-population haplotype-sharing was increased in some of the individuals: For example, the putative hybrid queen P99P (and to a lesser extent queen H38W) received a similar number of haplotypes from the haplometrotic and pleometrotic populations. This increased between-population haplotype sharing is consistent with an ongoing admixture between the haplometrotic and pleometrotic populations. In fact, ADMIXTURE analyses (Figure 1B; Supporting Figure S5) supported this view, showing that some queens of the pleometrotic population share ancestry with the other population (e.g. queen P99P, and to a lesser extent queen H33G).

Gene flow between the H- and P-populations is a recent phenomenon

To better understand the history and demographic dynamics of the pleometrotic and haplometrotic populations, we used MSMC2 (Schiffels and Wang 2020) (Figure 1C). Both populations showed a similar trajectory with a zigzag-like pattern in effective population size (N_e) between approximately 100,000 to 100 generations ago. During this period, both populations experienced two bottlenecks: an ancient one about 100,000 generations ago until about 50,000 generations ago, after which the populations have recovered and a recent one between 4,000 to roughly 500 generations ago. Following the first bottleneck, N_e consistently remained smaller in the pleometrotic population until between 400 and 100 generations ago, when N_e started to increase in both populations, reaching similarly high estimates in recent times.

We inferred how populations separated over time by estimating relative cross-coalescence (rCCR, (Schiffels and Durbin 2014)) and found that the two populations started diverging during the first bottleneck at ~100,000 generations ago. Around 1,000 generations ago, both populations were almost completely isolated (rCCR ≈ 0). Between 400 and 100 generations ago, rCCR increased rapidly, suggesting a recent onset of secondary contacts and growing genetic exchange between the two populations, coinciding with population expansions in both populations, as indicated by recent surges in N_e.

Genetic diversity is significantly lower in the pleometrotic population

The analyses of population structure also indicated that the P-population is less variable than the H-population. To investigate this further, we estimated nucleotide diversity (π) in 100 kb non-overlapping window across the genome in both populations. Nucleotide diversity was significantly lower in the P-(median = 4.71e-4) compared to the H-population (median = 7.33e-4) (Figure 2A; Wilcoxon test: W = 3497100, p < 2.2e-16). Similar to π, heterozygosity was significantly lower in the P- (median = 0.167) compared to the H-population (median = 0.274) (Figure 2B; Wilcoxon test: W = 288, p = 4.507e-07). Consistent with this observation, inbreeding was highly prevalent in the P-population (median = 0.341) but absent in the H-population (median = -0.083) (Figure 2C; Wilcoxon test: W = 16, p = 4. 507e-07). Such reductions of diversity in the pleometrotic population could be explained by a recent bottleneck (founder effect) followed by inbreeding and/or purifying selection.

To investigate deviations from neutrality, we estimated Tajima's *D* in 100 kb non-overlapping windows in

the two studied populations (Figure 2D). Tajima's *D* is a powerful test based on the difference between π

and its expected value, commonly employed in population genetics to detect selection, but also to detect

recent demographic processes (Tajima 1989). Tajima's *D* was positive and differed slightly between the

two populations (median $_{haplometrotic}$ = 1.09; median $_{pleometrotic}$ = 1.08; W = 2110726, p = 0.005). Interestingly,

average Tajima's *D* was significantly greater than 0 in the pleometrotic (one-sided t-test; mean = 1.01; t =

71.862, df = 2003, p < 2.2e-16) and haplometrotic (one-sided t-test; mean = 1.11; t = 177.68, df = 2003, p

< 2.2e-16) populations suggesting ongoing population contraction.

Since inbreeding is prevalent in the pleometrotic population, we expected to find a lower linkage

disequilibrium (LD) decay in this population. However, mean LD estimates dropped rapidly to half its

original value in both populations along the first ~700 bp (P-population) and ~500 bp (H-population),

reflecting the high recombination rates in social insects (Figure 2E). However, mean LD estimates in the

pleometrotic populations were overall higher (r^2 = 0.7 [0.1 kb] to r^2 = 0.19 [5 kb]) than in the haplometrotic

population (r^2 = 0.57 [0.1 kb] to r^2 = 0.17 [5 kb]), suggesting a reduced recombination rate and/or genetic

variation in the pleometrotic population.

The social polymorphism in P. californicus is a polygenic trait involving a supergene

Our genome scans uncovered large genomic spans on two scaffolds (22 and 23) showing significant

deviations from the rest of the genome throughout their entire lengths. Both scaffolds have high levels of

differentiation, reduced nucleotide diversity, a negative Tajima's *D*, as well as very high *xpEHH* scores in

the pleometrotic population (Supporting Figure S6). Further analyzes revealed that SNP markers are in

high LD, with little to no LD decay in these scaffolds (Supporting Figure S7). Similarly, visual inspection of

the genotypes of all 35 queens showed little or no recombination in these scaffolds (Supporting Figure S3),

similar to the patterns described for supergenes in the ants *Solenopsis* and *Formica*.

To investigate this further, we conducted a linkage analysis on 108 haploid males from a monogynous

colony from the H-population using 2,980 RAD markers. Note, in Hymenoptera males develop from

unfertilized eggs due to the haplodiploid sex determination. There were no missing data and no marker

showed a significant segregation distortion. Multipoint mapping put all markers in 29 Linkage groups (data

not shown).

Linkage group 14, containing the putative supergene region, covers 255 cM (~26 Mb) and 339 RAD markers

(Supporting Figure S8). The non-recombining region is embedded solidly in the middle of a chromosome,

spanning across five complete physical scaffolds (22, 23, 38, 42, 48), and parts of scaffolds 6 and 14

(Supporting Figure S8; Supporting Table S7). Visual inspection of markers from both males and queens

showed that haplotypes extended along the entire supergene region, indicating absence of recombination

(Figure 3A). The putative supergene covered a minimum of ~8 Mb, based on the non-recombining region

in linkage group 14.

PCA on 65 markers along the non-recombining region showed that PC1, which explains 97 % of the

variation, separates the male dataset into two distinct clusters (Sh and Sp alleles), indicating that these

males are the progeny of a heterozygous Sh/Sp mother queen (Figure 3B). Adding queens from the P- and

H-population to the PCA revealed that all but two pleometrotic queens were homozygous for the Sp allele,

while most haplometrotic queens were either heterozygous (Sh/Sp) or homozygous for the Sh allele

(Figure 3B).

Recombination suppression between Sh and Sp was further confirmed by examining LD across LG14 using

the genomes of Sh and Sp queens (Supporting Figure S9). LD along the putative supergene is reduced in

the Sh/Sp heterozygous (Supporting Figure S9A), but not among homozygous Sh/Sh (Supporting Figure

S9B) and Sp/Sp queens (Supporting Figure S9C), indicating recombination in homozygous, but not in

heterozygous queens. Such absence of recombination predominantly between heterozygotes is a common

characteristic of supergenes (Wang et al. 2013; Schwander et al. 2014; Charlesworth 2016; Yan et al. 2020).

Unlike other social supergene systems (e.g. in *Solenopsis*) (Ross et al. 2007), there were no deviations from

Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium regarding the frequency of Sh/Sh, Sh/Sp and Sp/Sp genotypes at the

supergene locus in either population (pleometrotic: χ^2 (df = 1, N = 19) = 0.1396, p = 0.713; haplometrotic:

χ^2 (df = 1, N = 16) = 0. 0.0711, p = 0.789) (Supplemental Table S6).

Genetic differentiation between the H- and P-population is significantly increased in the non-recombining

region compared to the remainder of LG14 (Figure 3C; Supporting Figure S10; Wilcoxon test: W = 12261,

p < 2.2e-16). Nucleotide diversity and Tajima's *D* on the other hand are significantly reduced in the

pleometrotic population (Figure 3C; Supporting Figure S10; Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test $_{nucleotide\ diversity}$, χ^2

= 254.77, df = 3, p < 2.2e-16; Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test $_{\text{Tajima's } D}$, $\chi2$ = 177.81, df = 3, p < 2.2e-16; results

of pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum *post hoc* tests are displayed in the figure).

The supergene region includes 682 (4.3 %) of the 15,899 annotated *P. californicus* genes. Ten out of the

682 genes were previously shown to be differentially expressed between aggressive and non-aggressive

queens, while another ten genes showed differential expression depending on the social context of the

queens (Supporting Table S8) (Helmkampf et al. 2016).

As a typical feature of supergenes, transposable element (TE) content in the non-recombining region was

significantly increased compared to the rest of LG14 (Supporting Figure S11B; Kruskal–Wallis rank sum

test, $\chi2$ = 342.74, df = 3, p < 2.2e-16; results of pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum *post hoc* tests are displayed in

the figure). Exon content on the other hand was reduced in this region (Supporting Figure S11A).

In addition to the supergene region, our genome scans revealed a number of regions showing signatures

of selection in the pleometrotic population. These highly differentiated regions that are likely under

divergent selection were identified by estimating F_{st} in 100 kb non-overlapping windows across the

genome. Windows were only considered as significantly differentiated if they were in the top 5% tail of

the distribution (Figure 4A). We used estimates of Tajima's *D* and nucleotide diversity in 100 kb non-

overlapping windows to determine in which population selection acted on the differentiated regions

(Supporting Figure S6). After clustering adjacent windows, we retained 51 candidate regions, of which 49

showed signatures of selection in the P-population (Supporting Table S2).

Further, we performed a cross-population extended haplotype homozygosity (*xpEHH*) (Sabeti et al. 2007)

test to screen the populations for selective sweeps using phased data of unrelated queens (Supporting

Figure S6). The *xpEHH* analysis yielded a total of 340 outlier SNPs and a total of 32 genomic regions under

selection (Supporting Tables S3).

Overlapping both analyses resulted in 17 remaining candidate regions under selection in the P-population

(Supporting Tables S4). Exonic SNPs in all 17 candidate regions included 99 non-synonymous variants

(Table 1), suggesting selection at the protein level in some of our candidate genes. We also find 84

synonymous changes that are "silent" at the protein level, but that can also be targeted by selection

(Cuevas et al. 2012).

Intriguingly, the supergene contains 12 of the 17 regions (on scaffolds 14, 22 and 23) that we identified to

evolve under divergent selection between the two populations.

The five remaining regions showing clear signatures of selective sweeps in the P-population are located

on scaffolds 1, 9, 15, and 16 (Figure 4B).

Among the 57 genes in these five regions, we find two particularly interesting candidates showing

signatures of a hard selective sweep in the pleometrotic population (i.e. high F_{st}, negative Tajima's *D*, and

a peak of *xpEHH*), a *histone acetyltransferase MYST2* and a *DNMT1* gene found in differentiated regions

on scaffold 15 and 16, respectively (Figure 4B; Supporting Table S5). The two SNPs associated with the

highest *xpEHH* peaks found in these regions on scaffold 15 and 16 show a clear signature of site-specific

extended haplotype homozygosity (*EHHS*) in the pleometrotic, but not the haplometrotic population

(Figure 4C). Visual inspection of variant positions in *MYST2* and *DNMT1* showed that all pleometrotic

queens are fixed for the reference allele (with the exception of the hybrid P99P queen), while

haplometrotic queens are either heterozygous or homozygous for alternate alleles (Supporting Figures

S12-S13), consistent with a selective sweep fixing the reference allele in the pleometrotic population.

Other notable genes include an ortholog of *D. melanogaster Gem2* gene involved in motor behavior

(Cauchi et al. 2010; Borg and Cauchi 2013) as well as an ortholog of *Neurexin 1*, involved in the regulation

of learning and memory, locomotion and visual function (Li et al. 2007; Zeng et al. 2007) (Supporting Table

S5).

Discussion

The social niche polymorphism in colony founding of *P. californicus* was first reported almost 20 years ago (Rissing et al. 2000). It eventually leads to fundamentally different colony structures, where either unrelated queens cooperate (i.e. primary polygyny) or a single queen monopolizes all reproduction (i.e. primary monogyny, Overson et al. 2016). Since its original description, we have learned a lot about behavioral, ecological, and population genetic correlates of these complex alternative phenotypes (Johnson 2002; Johnson 2004; Clark and Fewell 2014; Overson et al. 2014; Overson et al. 2016; Shaffer et al. 2016; Haney and Fewell 2018). From these studies it has emerged that the core difference generating these two different social niches is the evolution of tolerance for additional co-founding queens. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms (but see Helmkampf et al. 2016), the genetic architecture, and evolutionary dynamics remain largely unknown.

Our study reveals that the haplometrosis/pleometrosis polymorphism in *P. californicus* is associated with an approximately 8 Mb non-recombining region, reminiscent of supergene loci described for polygyny/monogyny polymorphisms in *Formica* wood ants and *Solenopsis* fire ants (Wang et al. 2013; Purcell et al. 2014). However, we also find genomic, population genetic, and ecological characteristics unique to the *Pogonomyrmex* system that highlight the independent evolutionary histories of the social niche polymorphism in *Pogonomyrmex*, *Formica* and *Solenopsis*.

Our analyses provide several lines of evidence for the presence of a supergene on LG14 associated with the expression of haplometrotic or pleometrotic behavior. According to estimates of F_{st}, Tajima's D, and haplotype homozygosity, genetic differentiation between individuals from the two social forms is significantly higher in this region compared to most of the remaining genome (Figure 3C; Supporting Figure S6). Pleometrotic queens are predominantly homozygous (84.2 %) for a single haplotype (Sp) in this region, while haplometrotic queens carried either two alternative haplotypes Sh/Sp (50%), were homozygous for the alternative allele (Sh/Sh) (37.5 %), or were homozygous for Sp/Sp (12.5%). Very low LD decay and absence of recombinant F1 offspring at this locus suggest little to no recombination between Sh and Sp haplotypes (Supporting Figure S9). This region contains 88 annotated genes that show both extended homozygosity and outlier F_{st} (Supporting Table S5) and is significantly enriched in TEs (Supporting Figure S11).

Together, these data clearly suggest the presence of an ~8 Mb supergenic region of linked genetic loci on

one chromosome of *P. californicus* that is associated with the social polymorphism in this species, i.e. a

social supergene.

Apart from the social supergene, we identify five other differentiated genomic regions (physically

unlinked) between haplo- and pleometrotic populations. This suggests that the social niche polymorphism

could in addition to the supergene be influenced by other epistatic or additive interactions with these

regions. The regions contain 57 genes that show both extended homozygosity and outlier F_{st} (Supporting

Table S5, range 8-22 genes), including the DNA methyltransferase *DNMT1* and a histone acetyltransferase

(*MYST2*) gene that could be involved in epigenetically regulating the expression of the alternative

phenotypes. The presence of unlinked modifier loci influencing the expression of major quantitative traits

is not unusual (Flint and Mackay 2009), yet none have been described so far for the known social

supergenes.

Several other characteristics of the *P. californicus* social polymorphism differ from the well-studied

examples in *Solenopsis* and *Formica.* In both *Solenopsis* and *Formica*, there is little evidence for genetic

differentiation of polygyne and monogyne populations, with both forms often occurring in sympatry

(Chapuisat et al. 2004; Shoemaker et al. 2006). In *P. californicus* however, certain populations are nearly

fixed for either the haplo- or the pleometrotic behavior (Overson et al. 2016). The current study further

corroborated Overson et al (2016) significantly reduced gene flow between the two focal populations both

at the nuclear and mitochondrial level (Figures 1A and 1B; mean genome wide F_{st} = 0.236 (Figure 4A);

mitochondrial substructure (Supporting Figure S4)). Genetic differentiation is hence significantly higher

than between the two different social forms in *S. invicta* and *Formica selysi*, both within sympatric and

between allopatric populations (Chapuisat et al. 2004; Shoemaker et al. 2006). Similar levels of

differentiation have however been described for two social forms in *F. exsecta* (Brelsford et al. 2020).

Intriguingly, analysis of Sh and Sp haplotype frequencies in *P. californicus* showed no significant deviation

from Hardy-Weinberg equilibria in either population, consistent with a lack of intrinsically lethal haplotype

combinations. In both other supergenes associated with social polymorphisms in *Formica* and fire ants,

such lethal combinations exist and are presumed crucial for the maintenance of the social niche

polymorphism in these species (Ross 1997; Avril et al. 2020).

In addition, and unlike in *Solenopsis*, the *Pogonomyrmex* supergene genotype is not perfectly correlated

with the observed queen phenotype/colony founding type, in that three pleometrotic individuals were

heterozygous (Sh/Sp) and two haplometrotic ones were homozygous (Sp/Sp). Nevertheless, colony founding behavior of all 35 sequenced queens produced only one queen that showed a different phenotype than expected in our standardized sandwich assays given the population they came from, i.e. an haplometrotic queen paired with another haplometrotic queen was still alive at the end of our behavioral experiments (Clark and Fewell 2014; Overson et al. 2014; column phenotype, Supporting Table S1). However, while aggression between haplometrotic queens is generally high, not all queens from the haplometrotic population kill each other within 7 days and *vice versa* not all queens from the pleometrotic population are tolerant against co-foundresses (Clark and Fewell 2014; Overson et al. 2014). These observations are consistent with a polygenic architecture of the pleometrosis/haplometrosis social morph polymorphism, with the existence of several co-evolved, but unlinked genetic loci that contribute to the expression of different social phenotypes. Intriguingly, correlation of genotype and phenotype were in fact perfect for the two candidate genes *MYST2* and *DNMT1* that lie outside of the supergene (Supporting Figures S12 and S13). Environmental conditions, in particular the social context and interactions with co-founding queens (indirect genetic effects), might further shift the expression of certain behavioral traits involved in this complex social niche polymorphism (Clark and Fewell 2014; Overson et al. 2014, Saltz et al. 2016) .

The core behavioral difference between aggressive/haplometrotic queens and tolerant/pleometrotic queens is not that pleometrotic queens are intrinsically docile but that their propensity for reciprocal aggression towards aggressive co-foundresses is low and their ability to adjust their behavioral response according to the social environment is high (Clark and Fewell 2014; Overson et al. 2014) . That is in stark contrast to haplometrotic queens that appear essentially fixed in their behavioral response towards other queens. Similarly, haplometrotic queens show less behavioral plasticity also in other traits such as egg laying or excavation (Clark and Fewell 2014).

Our coalescent analysis indicates that the haplo- and pleometrotic populations started to separate approximately 100,000 generations ago, reaching almost complete isolation around 1,000 generations ago, before gene flow between those two populations increased again. In accordance, some individuals showed clear patterns of hybridization, recent co-ancestry and admixture (e.g. potential F1 hybrid queen P99P; Figures 1A and 1B), consistent with migration between the two populations, which are only 50 km apart. Migration might predominantly occur from the haplometrotic into the pleometrotic population, as indicated by the analysis of haplotype sharing across populations (Figure 1B) and the occurrence of a

potentially hybrid individual in the pleometrotic population. The onset of gene flow between the
haplometrotic and pleometrotic population starting ~400 generations ago could secondarily reduce
genetic differentiation between both forms everywhere but in the non-recombining supergene, eventually
resulting in the pattern also observed in *Solenopsis invicta* and *Formica selysi*, where genetic
differentiation between both social morphs nearly exclusively occurs in the supergene (Purcell et al. 2014;
Pracana et al. 2017).

The demographic and population genetic analyses are consistent with our current understanding of
pleometrosis to have evolved as a local adaptation to ephemeral, small and overall harsher habitats in the
mountains (Sierra Nevada, California, USA), where cooperative colony founding is beneficial (Haney and
Fewell 2018) and can reach high frequencies. The pleometrotic population showed a reduced genetic
variability and higher inbreeding coefficient (median F_{is} = 0.34, SD = 0.23 for pleometrotic, median F_{is} = -
0.08, SD = 0.06 for haplometrotic population) compared to the haplometrotic population (Figures 2A-C).
A recent bottleneck during colonization could explain this overall reduced genetic diversity and higer
inbreeding in this population, whereas the occurrence of admixture argues against isolation as a major
factor of inbreeding and reduced genetic diversity. Haplometrosis is considered the dominant social form
in most populations of the species (Johnson 2002; Johnson 2004; Overson et al. 2014), which is in
alignment with higher nucleotide diversity and heterozygosity in our focal haplometrotic population.

Supergenes have long been suspected to facilitate the emergence and maintenance of complex
phenotypes (Schwander et al. 2014). The success of the polygynous form in *S. invicta* in its introduced
range is attributed to its ability to efficiently colonize disturbed areas (King and Tschinkel 2006) rather than
its higher competitive abilities. This might by similar in *P. californicus* where pleometrosis can be very
successful (reaching fixation) in newly colonized recently disturbed habitats. The observation that both
populations show genome-wide positive Tajima's *D* (Figure 2D) suggests a population contraction. The
habitat preference of *P. californicus* for disturbed areas and the observation that populations vanish if
disturbed areas are overgrown, supports the idea that both social types encounter regularly local
extinction and recolonization events which could explain the genome wide positive Tajima's *D* values.

Theoretical models suggest that pleometrotic populations might be stable against invasions from
haplometrotic individuals, if pleometrosis occurs at a certain frequency, because single haplometrotic
queens would be out-competed by polygynous colonies (Shaffer et al. 2016). Conversely, the founding
success of pleometrotic queens is likely negatively correlated with the frequency of haplometrotic

individuals in a population, as pleometrotic/haplometrotic pairings commonly result in the death of the

former. This would explain the rareness of high frequency pleometrotic populations (Johnson 2002), as

such populations can only evolve when the frequency of pleometrosis constantly remains above a certain

threshold.

Apart from the supergene, we found additional genomic regions showing signatures of a selective sweep

in the pleometrotic population. Functional characterization of the genes contained in these regions

uncovered several candidate genes that might be particularly relevant for the expression of haplo- and

pleometrosis. Among others, these candidate regions include *DNMT1* and an *histone acetyltransferase*

(showing non-synonymous substitutions, Supporting Figures S12-S13) required for epigenetic

modifications via DNA methylation or histone modification. Further studies are necessary to decipher,

whether alternate alleles of these genes indeed contribute to the expression of haplometrotic or

pleometrotic behavior during colony founding in *P. californicus*.

Conclusion

Pleometrosis and primary polygyny in *P. californicus* appear to have evolved as an adaptation to harsh environments, allowing higher colony/queen densities and greater colony productivity under resource limitation. However, this social niche is generally rare and has reached fixation in only a few populations. We describe a new supergene contributing this social niche polymorphism, showing similarities but also remarkable differences to previously studied social supergenes in *Formica* and *Solenopsis*. The *Pogonomyrmex* supergene is similar in size (8 Mb vs. 11 and 12.7 Mb) and we identified two major alleles segregating in the population. So far, there is no evidence for intrinsic lethality or the selective killing of individuals carrying a certain genotype combination, but we cannot exclude such mechanisms to exist at this point. Whereas the polygynous queens are heterozygous for the supergene in *Solenopsis* (SB/Sb) and *Formica* (Sm/Sp), polygynous/pleometrotic queens in *P. californicus* are predominantly homozygous (Sp/Sp). Our initial analyses suggest that the haplometrotic Sh allele is dominant, as both Sh/Sh and Sh/Sp haplometrotic queens occur in the H-population. This might limit the frequency of pleometrosis, but it also allows for its persistence in an otherwise predominantly haplometrotic species as a recessive allele invisible to selection. Apart from the supergene, there are at least five additional regions showing signatures of a selective sweep associated with the social polymorphism. Further studies are necessary to understand the relevance of these loci for the expression of the different social phenotypes as they might turn out to be more important than the supergene itself. Mixed populations of haplo- and pleometrotic *P. californicus* are well known, offering exciting avenues to further explore evolutionary dynamics of social niche polymorphism in this species. Our study showcases that the genetic architecture underlying social polymorphism might be much more complex and diverse than previously appreciated.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

We thank Pine Valley Academy (Pine Valley, California, USA) for support of the fieldwork and the staff of

Lake Henshaw resort (Lake Henshaw, California, USA) for permission to collect ants on their premises.

Many thanks to Jenny Märzhäuser, Tobias van Elst and Ti Eriksson for help in collecting the ants, and to

Jennifer Fewell for hosting us at Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA. We are grateful for Hilde

Schwitte's excellent help in DNA extraction, barcoding, and library construction, and to Una Hadziomerovic

for extracting DNA for the genome assembly. We acknowledge the service of the Core Facility Genomik

(CFG) at Münster University, Germany. This research and UE was funded by the German Research

Foundation (DFG) as part of the SFB TRR 212 (NC³) – TP C04 project numbers 316099922 and 396780988.

ME was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) – 403813881 with grant to L.S. (SCHR 1554/2-

1) under the priority program "Rapid evolutionary adaptation: Potential and constraints" (SPP 1819). ME

acknowledges support by the DFG Research Training Group 2220 "Evolutionary Processes in Adaptation

and Disease".

References

References

Akey JM, Ruhe AL, Akey DT, Wong AK, Connelly CF, Madeoy J, Nicholas TJ, Neff MW. 2010. Tracking
footprints of artificial selection in the dog genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* [Internet]
107:1160–1165. Available from: www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0909918107

Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated
individuals. *Genome Res.* [Internet] 19:1655–1664. Available from:
/pmc/articles/PMC2752134/?report=abstract

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.*
215:403–410.

Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, Poplin R, del Angel G, Levy-Moonshine A, Jordan T, Shakir K,
Roazen D, Thibault J, et al. 2013. From fastQ data to high-confidence variant calls: The genome
analysis toolkit best practices pipeline. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma.* [Internet] 43:11.10.1-11.10.33.
Available from:
https://currentprotocols.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/0471250953.bi1110s43

Avril A, Purcell J, Béniguel S, Chapuisat M. 2020. Maternal effect killing by a supergene controlling ant
social organization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117:17130–17134.

Bernasconi G, Strassmann JE. 1999. Cooperation among unrelated individuals: The ant foundress case.
Trends Ecol. Evol. 14:477–482.

Bohn J, Halabian R, Schrader L, Shabardina V, Steffen R, Suzuki Y, Ernst UR, Gadau J, Makałowski W.
2021. Genome assembly and annotation of the California harvester ant *Pogonomyrmex*
californicus.Betran E, editor. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics* [Internet] 11. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/g3journal/article/doi/10.1093/g3journal/jkaa019/6020260

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics [Internet] 30:2114–2120. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24695404/

Boomsma JJ, Huszár DB, Pedersen JS. 2014. The evolution of multiqueen breeding in eusocial lineages
with permanent physically differentiated castes. *Anim. Behav.* 92:241–252.

Borg R, Cauchi RJ. 2013. The Gemin Associates of Survival Motor Neuron Are Required for Motor
Function in Drosophila.Gilestro GF, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet] 8:e83878. Available from:
https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083878

Brelsford A, Purcell J, Avril A, Tran Van P, Zhang J, Brütsch T, Sundström L, Helanterä H, Chapuisat M.
2020. An Ancient and Eroded Social Supergene Is Widespread across Formica Ants. *Curr. Biol.*
[Internet] 30:304-311.e4. Available from:
https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0960982219315027

Buckley SB. 1867. Descriptions of new species of North American Formicidae. Available from:
https://zenodo.org/record/27047

Cauchi RJ, Sanchez-Pulido L, Liu JL. 2010. Drosophila SMN complex proteins Gemin2, Gemin3, and
Gemin5 are components of U bodies. *Exp. Cell Res.* 316:2354–2364.

Chang C, Chow C, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell S, Lee J. 2015. Second-generation PLINK: Rising to the
challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* [Internet] 4:7. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/article/4/1/s13742-015-0047-8/2707533

Chapuisat M, Bocherens S, Rosset H. 2004. Variable queen number in ant colonies: No impact on queen
turnover, inbreeding, and population genetic differentiation in the ant Formica selysi. *Evolution (N.*
Y). 58.

Charlesworth D. 2016. The status of supergenes in the 21st century: recombination suppression in

<scp>B</scp>atesian mimicry and sex chromosomes and other complex adaptations. *Evol. Appl.* [Internet] 9:74–90. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/eva.12291

Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, Land SJ, Lu X, Ruden DM. 2012. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly (Austin).* [Internet] 6:80–92. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22728672/

Clark RM, Fewell JH. 2014. Social dynamics drive selection in cooperative associations of ant queens. *Behav. Ecol.* [Internet] 25:117–123. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/beheco/article/25/1/117/223077

Cuevas JM, Domingo-Calap P, Sanjuán R. 2012. The fitness effects of synonymous mutations in DNA and RNA viruses. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* [Internet] 29:17–20. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21771719/

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, et al. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* [Internet] 27:2156–2158. Available from: http://samtools.sourceforge.net

Delaneau O, Marchini J, Zagury JF. 2012. A linear complexity phasing method for thousands of genomes. *Nat. Methods* [Internet] 9:179–181. Available from: http://www.wtccc.org.uk/.

Depristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella K V., Maguire JR, Hartl C, Philippakis AA, Del Angel G, Rivas MA, Hanna M, et al. 2011. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat. Genet.* 43:491–501.

Emms DM, Kelly S. 2019. OrthoFinder: Phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biol.* [Internet] 20:238. Available from: https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y

Fabian DK, Kapun M, Nolte V, Kofler R, Schmidt PS, Schlötterer C, Flatt T. 2012. Genome-wide patterns of latitudinal differentiation among populations of Drosophila melanogaster from North America. *Mol. Ecol.* 21:4748–4769.

Flint J, Mackay TFC. 2009. Genetic architecture of quantitative traits in mice, flies, and humans. *Genome Res.* 19.

Gadau J. 2009a. DNA isolation from ants. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* [Internet] 4:pdb.prot5245. Available from: http://cshprotocols.cshlp.org/content/2009/7/pdb.prot5245.full

Gadau J. 2009b. Phase-unknown linkage mapping in ants. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 4.

Gautier M, Klassmann A, Vitalis R. 2017. rehh 2.0: a reimplementation of the R package rehh to detect positive selection from haplotype structure. In: Molecular Ecology Resources. Vol. 17. Blackwell Publishing Ltd. p. 78–90. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/1755-0998.12634

Glancey BM, Lofgren CS. 1988. Adoption of Newly-Mated Queens: A Mechanism for Proliferation and Perpetuation of Polygynous Red Imported Fire Ants, Solenopsis invicta Buren. *Florida Entomol.* 71:581.

Haney BR, Fewell JH. 2018. Ecological drivers and reproductive consequences of non-kin cooperation by ant queens. *Oecologia* [Internet] 187:643–655. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-4148-9

Helmkampf M, Mikheyev AS, Kang Y, Fewell J, Gadau J. 2016. Gene expression and variation in social aggression by queens of the harvester ant Pogonomyrmex californicus. *Mol. Ecol.* [Internet] 25:3716–3730. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/mec.13700

Helms KR, Newman NJ, Helms Cahan S. 2013. Regional variation in queen and worker aggression in incipient colonies of the desert ant Messor pergandei. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* [Internet] 67:1563–1573. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00265-013-1568-8

Herbers JM. 1986. Nest site limitation and facultative polygyny in the ant Leptothorax longispinosus.
Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. [Internet] 19:115–122. Available from:
https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00299946
Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Etter PD, Stiffler N, Johnson EA, Cresko WA. 2010. Population Genomics of
Parallel Adaptation in Threespine Stickleback using Sequenced RAD Tags.Begun DJ, editor. *PLoS*
Genet. [Internet] 6:e1000862. Available from: https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000862
Hölldobler B, Wilson EO. 1977. The number of queens: An important trait in ant evolution.
Naturwissenschaften 64.
Inbar S, Cohen P, Yahav T, Privman E. 2020. Comparative study of population genomic approaches for
mapping colony-level traits.Mikheyev A, editor. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* [Internet] 16:e1007653.
Available from: https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007653
Johnson RA. 2002. Semi-claustral colony founding in the seed-harvester ant Pogonomyrmex californicus:
A comparative analysis of colony founding strategies. *Oecologia* [Internet] 132:60–67. Available
from: http://rsb.
Johnson RA. 2004. Colony founding by pleometrosis in the semiclaustral seed-harvester ant
Pogonomyrmex californicus (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Anim. Behav.* 68:1189–1200.
Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. 2018. MAFFT online service: Multiple sequence alignment, interactive
sequence choice and visualization. *Brief. Bioinform.* [Internet] 20:1160–1166. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28968734/
Kelley JL, Madeoy J, Calhoun JC, Swanson W, Akey JM. 2006. Genomic signatures of positive selection in
humans and the limits of outlier approaches. *Genome Res.* [Internet] 16:980–989. Available from:
www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.5157306.
King JR, Tschinkel WR. 2006. Experimental evidence that the introduced fire ant, Solenopsis invicta, does
not competitively suppress co-occurring ants in a disturbed habitat. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 75.
Kolaczkowski B, Kern AD, Holloway AK, Begun DJ. 2011. Genomic differentiation between temperate and
tropical Australian populations of Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics* [Internet] 187:245–260.
Available from: www.genetics.org/
Kosambi DD. 1943. The Estimation of Map Distances from Recombination Values. *Ann. Eugen.* 12:172–
175.
Lawson DJ, Hellenthal G, Myers S, Falush D. 2012. Inference of Population Structure using Dense
Haplotype Data. *PLoS Genet* [Internet] 8:1002453. Available from:
http://www.paintmychromosomes.com/.
Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
Bioinformatics [Internet] 25:1754–1760. Available from: http://maq.sourceforge.net
Li J, Ashley J, Budnik V, Bhat MA. 2007. Crucial Role of Drosophila Neurexin in Proper Active Zone
Apposition to Postsynaptic Densities, Synaptic Growth, and Synaptic Transmission. *Neuron*
[Internet] 55:741–755. Available from: http://www.cell.com/article/S0896627307006137/fulltext
Matschiner M, Maria J, Barth I, Tørresen OK, Star B, Tessand Baalsrud H, Servane M, Brieuc O, Pampoulie
C, Bradbury I, et al. 2021. Origin and fate of supergenes in Atlantic cod. *bioRxiv*
[Internet]:2021.02.28.433253. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.28.433253
McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D, Gabriel S,
Daly M, et al. 2010. The genome analysis toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-
generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* [Internet] 20:1297–1303. Available from:
http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.107524.110.
Mester D, Ronin Y, Minkov D, Nevo E, Korol A. 2003. Constructing Large-Scale Genetic Maps Using an
Evolutionary Strategy Algorithm. *Genetics* 165.

Nadeau NJ, Whibley A, Jones RT, Davey JW, Dasmahapatra KK, Baxter SW, Quail MA, Joron M, Ffrench-Constant RH, Blaxter ML, et al. 2012. Genomic islands of divergence in hybridizing heliconius butterflies identified by large-scale targeted sequencing. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* [Internet] 367:343–353. Available from: http://tiling.gersteinlab.

Okonechnikov K, Conesa A, García-Alcalde F. 2016. Qualimap 2: Advanced multi-sample quality control for high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* [Internet] 32:292–294. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/32/2/292/1744356

Overson R, Fewell JH, Gadau J. 2016. Distribution and origin of intraspecific social variation in the California harvester ant Pogonomyrmex californicus. *Insectes Soc.* [Internet] 63:531–541. Available from: https://asu.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/distribution-and-origin-of-intraspecific-social-variation-in-the-

Overson R, Gadau J, Clark RM, Pratt SC, Fewell JH. 2014. Behavioral transitions with the evolution of cooperative nest founding by harvester ant queens. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* [Internet] 68:21–30. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00265-013-1618-2

Parchman TL, Gompert Z, Mudge J, Schilkey FD, Benkman CW, Buerkle CA. 2012. Genome-wide association genetics of an adaptive trait in lodgepole pine. *Mol. Ecol.* 21:2991–3005.

Peterson BK, Weber JN, Kay EH, Fisher HS, Hoekstra HE. 2012. Double digest RADseq: An inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in model and non-model species. *PLoS One* 7.

Pracana R, Priyam A, Levantis I, Nichols RA, Wurm Y. 2017. The fire ant social chromosome supergene variant Sb shows low diversity but high divergence from SB. *Mol. Ecol.* [Internet] 26:2864–2879. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/mec.14054

Purcell J, Brelsford A, Wurm Y, Perrin N, Chapuisat M. 2014. Convergent genetic architecture underlies social organization in ants. *Curr. Biol.* 24:2728–2732.

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, De Bakker PIW, Daly MJ, et al. 2007. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* [Internet] 81:559–575. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC1950838/?report=abstract

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* [Internet] 26:841–842. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC2832824/?report=abstract

R Core Team. 2020. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 4.0.2. Vienna Available from: http://www.r-project.org/

Rissing SW, Johnson RA, Martin JW. 2000. Colony Founding Behavior of Some Desert Ants: Geographic Variation in Metrosis. *Psyche A J. Entomol.* 103:95–101.

Rochette NC, Rivera-Colón AG, Catchen JM. 2019. Stacks 2: Analytical methods for paired-end sequencing improve RADseq-based population genomics. *Mol. Ecol.* 28.

Rosengren R, Sundström L, Fortelius W. 1993. Monogyny and polygyny of Formica ants: a result of alternative dispersal tactics? :308–333. Available from: https://researchportal.helsinki.fi/en/publications/monogyny-and-polygyny-of-formica-ants-a-result-of-alternative-dis

Ross KG. 1997. Multilocus evolution in fire ants: Effects of selection, gene flow and recombination. *Genetics* 145.

Ross KG, Krieger MJB, Keller L, Shoemaker DD. 2007. Genetic variation and structure in native populations of the fire ant Solenopsis invicta: evolutionary and demographic implications.

Sabeti PC, Varilly P, Fry B, Lohmueller J, Hostetter E, Cotsapas C, Xie X, Byrne EH, McCarroll SA, Gaudet R, et al. 2007. Genome-wide detection and characterization of positive selection in human populations. *Nature* [Internet] 449:913–918. Available from:

https://www.nature.com/articles/nature06250

Saltz JB, Geiger AP, Anderson R, Johnson B, Marren R. 2016. What, if anything, is a social niche? *Evol.*
Ecol. [Internet] 30:349–364. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10682-015-
9792-5

Schiffels S, Durbin R. 2014. Inferring human population size and separation history from multiple genome
sequences. *Nat. Genet. Vol.* 46.

Schiffels S, Wang K. 2020. MSMC and MSMC2: The multiple sequentially Markovian coalescent. In:
Methods in Molecular Biology. Vol. 2090. Humana Press Inc. p. 147–166. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-0199-0_7,

Schwander T, Libbrecht R, Keller L. 2014. Supergenes and complex phenotypes. *Curr. Biol.* 24:R288–R294.

Seppä P, Gyllenstrand N, Corander J, Pamilo P. 2004. COEXISTENCE OF THE SOCIAL TYPES: GENETIC
POPULATION STRUCTURE IN THE: ANT FORMICA EXSECTA. *Evolution (N. Y.).* [Internet] 58:2462–
2471. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2004.tb00875.x

Shaffer Z, Sasaki T, Haney B, Janssen M, Pratt SC, Fewell JH. 2016. The foundress's dilemma: Group
selection for cooperation among queens of the harvester ant, Pogonomyrmex californicus. *Sci. Rep.*
[Internet] 6:1–9. Available from: www.nature.com/scientificreports

Shin J-H, Blay S, Mcneney B, Graham J. 2006. LDheatmap: An R Function for Graphical Display of Pairwise
Linkage Disequilibria between Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms. *JSS J. Stat. Softw.* 16.

Shoemaker DDW, Ahrens ME, Ross KG. 2006. Molecular phylogeny of fire ants of the Solenopsis
saevissima species-group based on mtDNA sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 38.

Sirviö A, Pamilo P, Johnson RA, Page RE, Gadau J. 2011. Origin and evolution of the dependent lineages in
the genetic caste determination system of pogonomyrmex ants. *Evolution (N. Y.).* [Internet] 65:869–
884. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01170.x

Tajima F. 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism.

Tang K, Thornton KR, Stoneking M. 2007. A New Approach for Using Genome Scans to Detect Recent
Positive Selection in the Human Genome.Wolfe KH, editor. *PLoS Biol.* [Internet] 5:e171. Available
from: https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050171

Tollefson GA, Schuster J, Gelin F, Agudelo A, Ragavendran A, Restrepo I, Stey P, Padbury J, Uzun A. 2019.
VIVA (VIsualization of VAriants): A VCF File Visualization Tool. *Sci. Rep.* [Internet] 9:1–7. Available
from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49114-z

Voight BF, Kudaravalli S, Wen X, Pritchard JK. 2006. A map of recent positive selection in the human
genome. *PLoS Biol.* 4:0446–0458.

Wang J, Wurm Y, Nipitwattanaphon M, Riba-Grognuz O, Huang YC, Shoemaker D, Keller L. 2013. A Y-like
social chromosome causes alternative colony organization in fire ants. *Nature* 493:664–668.

Wilson EO. 1971. The insects societies.

Yan Z, Martin SH, Gotzek D, Arsenault S V., Duchen P, Helleu Q, Riba-Grognuz O, Hunt BG, Salamin N,
Shoemaker DW, et al. 2020. Evolution of a supergene that regulates a trans-species social
polymorphism. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* [Internet] 4:240–249. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-1081-1

Yang S, Wang L, Huang J, Zhang X, Yuan Y, Chen JQ, Hurst LD, Tian D. 2015. Parent-progeny sequencing
indicates higher mutation rates in heterozygotes. *Nature* 523:463–467.

Zeng X, Sun M, Liu L, Chen F, Wei L, Xie W. 2007. Neurexin-1 is required for synapse formation and larvae
associative learning in Drosophila. *FEBS Lett.* [Internet] 581:2509–2516. Available from:
https://febs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1016/j.febslet.2007.04.068

Data Accessibility

The genome assembly and raw sequencing data prior to trimming and mapping are available at NCBI

(BioProject: PRJNA682388; to be released). A detailed description of our bioinformatic analysis pipelines

will be made public on https://github.com/schraderL and https://github.com/merrbii/.

Author Contributions

JG, UE, ME and LS designed the research, AL, LS, ME, UE and JG analyzed data, ME, UE, LS and JG wrote

the manuscript, JG and LS coordinated, all authors revised the manuscript.

 Figure legends

Figure 1: **Population structure and demographic history in *P. californicus* populations.** (A) Principal
Component Analysis based on genome-wide SNP data of queens of the pleometrotic (green) and
haplometrotic (blue) populations. (B) fineSTRUCTURE coancestry heatmap showing the number of shared
haplotypes between donor (columns) and recipient (rows) between individual queen pairs. ADMIXTURE
plot below the heatmap shows patterns of ancestry among populations of *P. californicus* at k=2 (additional
k values can be found in Supporting Figure S5). Note that one queen (P99P) is an apparent hybrid between
the two populations. (C) Demographic history in *P. californicus* computed using MSMC2 with eight phased
haplotypes (i.e. four queens), representing the pleometrotic and haplometrotic populations. Effective
population size (N_e) is displayed in the top panel and the relative cross-coalescence rate (rCCR) in the lower
panel. Lines and shaded areas are means and 95% confidence intervals, respectively.

Figure 2: **Higher Inbreeding and Linkage (and lower heterozygosity) in the pleometrotic population.** (A)
Nucleotide diversity (π), (B) heterozygosity, (C) inbreeding coefficient and (D) Tajima's *D* in the
pleometrotic (green) and haplometrotic (blue) populations. Heterozygosity and inbreeding coefficient
were calculated on a per individual basis, while the other estimates were calculated from 100-kb non-
overlapping windows across the genome and averaged for each population. (E) Linkage disequilibrium (LD)
decay in the two *P. californicus* populations. LD as measured by pairwise r^2 is represented on the y-axis
and distance between pairs of markers within 10 kb on the x-axis. Lines and shaded areas are means and
95% confidence intervals (very narrow and hence hardly visible), respectively. For details on statistical
tests see main text (***: P < 0.0001)

Figure 3: Genomic architecture and recombination rates at linkage group (LG) 14 harboring a putative
supergene. (A) Genotypes for 108 sons from a single monogynous queen (H-population) based on RADseq
markers and for the 35 queen samples from the pleometrotic and haplometrotic populations based on
sites corresponding to RADseq markers from the whole genome resequencing data (gray bar-queens).
Each row shows a SNP marker (182 in total) distributed across seven scaffolds on LG14. Columns (green
ticks at the bottom for pleometrotic and blue ticks for haplometrotic) represent individual samples
grouped by population (pleometrotic and haplometrotic) and dataset (males and queens). The black
rectangle highlights the non-recombining putative supergene region, spanning scaffolds 22, 23, 38, 42, 48
and parts of scaffolds 6 and 14. Red arrowhead indicates the hybrid pleometrotic queen (P99P). (B)
Principal Component Analysis based on 65 markers spanning the supergene region showing that the 108
male haplotypes are either Sh or Sp. Diploid queens on the other hand are either homozygous for the Sp
allele (most of pleometrotic queens, green dots) or heterozygous Sh/Sp (most of the haplometrotic
queens, blue dots). (C) Sliding window analyses of LG14, showing (from top to bottom) genetic
differentiation between populations (F_{st}), nucleotide diversity (π) and Tajima's *D* estimates in the
pleometrotic (green) and haplometrotic (blue) populations.

Figure 4: **Signatures of selection in non-supergene regions**. (A) Distribution of pairwise genetic differentiation (F_{st}) between haplometrotic and pleometrotic queens, estimated in 100-kb non-overlapping windows across the genome. Regions falling into the upper 5% tail of the distribution ($F_{st} >$ 0.423, delimited by the blue dashed line) were considered candidates for divergent selection between populations. (B) Sliding window analyses of scaffolds 1, 9, 15 and 16 showing (from top to bottom) genetic differentiation between populations (F_{st}), nucleotide diversity, Tajima's D and the cross-population extended haplotype homozygosity (*xpEHH*). Horizontal blue dashed line indicates F_{st} threshold ($F_{st} > 0.423$; red dots), while horizontal black dashed line represents threshold of significance for outlier SNPs ($p < 0.05$; red dots) in the *xpEHH* analysis. Five candidate regions in four scaffolds showing signatures of selection in the pleometrotic populations are highlighted in red in all panels. These regions are highly differentiated, have reduced diversity and Tajima's D in the pleometrotic population and show a significant *xpEHH* peak. Lines and shaded areas are means and 95% confidence intervals, respectively. Data for other scaffolds (1-25) are shown in Supporting Figure S6. (C) Signatures of site-specific extended haplotype homozygosity (*EHHS*) at two outlier SNPs in the pleometrotic (green), but not the haplometrotic population (blue) indicating recent selective sweeps.

Table legend:

Table 1: Effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) found in our five candidate regions that show strong signatures of selection in the pleometrotic population. We annotated 6,969 putative effects on protein coding genes of 3,782 SNPs.

Effect	Count	Proportion [%]
3'UTR	19	0.27
5'UTR	3	0.04
Downstream	1563	22.43
Intergenic	3385	48.57
Intron	209	3.00
Nonsynonymous variant	99	1.42
Synonymous variant	84	1.21
Upstream	1597	22.92
Other	10	0.14

Supporting Figures for:

Genomic architecture and evolutionary dynamics of a social niche polymorphism in the California harvester ant, *Pogonomyrmex californicus*

Running title:

California harvester ant population genomics

Mohammed Errbii[1], Ulrich R. Ernst[1,§], Aparna Lajmi[2], Jürgen Gadau[1,3,‡], Lukas Schrader[1,3,‡]

[1] Molecular Evolution and Sociobiology Group, Institute for Evolution & Biodiversity, University of Münster, Hüfferstr. 1, DE-48149 Münster, Germany

[2] Department of Evolutionary and Environmental Biology, Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel

[3] lukas.schrader@uni-muenster.de; gadauj@uni-muenster.de

[‡] equal contribution

[§] current address: Apicultural State Institute, University of Hohenheim, August-von-Hartmann-Str. 13, DE-70599 Stuttgart, Germany

Figure S1: Mapping quality across the 199 scaffolds of the Pcal2 assembly. Dots represent average mapping quality in 100 kb window, calculated and averaged across the 35 queens sequenced in this study. Scaffolds 26-199 were excluded from all analyses due to fragmentation and low mapping quality.

Figure S2: Quality control of non-overlapping genomic windows (100 kb) used to compute population genomic metrics. Number of variants, mapping quality and mean coverage per 100 kb window before (A, B, and C, respectively) and after (D, E, and F, respectively) applying cutoffs (see Material and Methods). Red bars indicate windows excluded from population genomic analyses.

Figure S3: Genomic architecture at linkage group (LG) 14 harboring the supergene in *P. californicus*. The heatmap displays the genotypes of the 35 queen samples from the pleometrotic and haplometrotic populations. Rows represents a total of 5,363 SNPs (thinned out of 68,643 SNPs for ease of visualization) with a MAF ≥ 0.2, spanning seven scaffolds of LG14. Columns represent individual queens grouped by population (pleometrotic and haplometrotic). Black rectangle delimits the non-recombining supergene region, spanning scaffolds 22, 23, 38, 42, 48 and parts of scaffolds 6 and 14.

Figure S4: Neighbor-joining tree based on partial *cytochrome oxidase I* (COI) sequences of all 35 *P. californicus* queens sequenced in this study. Numbers displayed on branches show branch length. The pleometrotic population had only two haplotypes, that were all differentiated from the 3 haplotypes of the haplometrotic population.

Figure S5: Admixture patterns among *P. californicus* populations by using ADMIXTURE at K = 3 and K = 4. For each value of k, the cross-validation error rate is presented.

Figure S6: Genome-wide distribution of genetic differentiation (F_{st}), nucleotide diversity (π), Tajima's D and *xpEHH* scores in the 25 largest scaffolds of the *P. californicus* genome. (A) Genetic differentiation (F_{st}) between haplometrotic and pleometrotic queens. Blue dashed line indicates the 5% cutoff (cf. Fig. 4A). (B) Nucleotide diversity (π) and (C) Tajima's D in the haplometrotic (blue) and pleometrotic (green) populations. All estimates were calculated in 100 kb non-overlapping windows. Lines and shaded areas are means and 95% confidence intervals, respectively. (D) log-scaled P-values and (E) *xpEHH* scores associated with SNPs across the 25 scaffolds. Red dots highlight windows (F_{st}) and SNPs (*xpEHH*) showing signatures of selection in both analyses. Scaffolds 22 and 23 containing the putative supergene are highlighted in orange.

Figure S7: Linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay displayed for each of the 25 largest scaffolds in the two *P. californicus* populations. LD as measured by pairwise r^2 is represented on the y-axis and distance between pairs of markers within 10 kb on the x-axis. Lines and shaded areas are means and 95% confidence intervals, respectively.

Figure S8: Linkage group (LG) 14 (253.38 cM). Marker names are given on the left and genetic distance in cM (Kosambi) are given on the right sides. Both figures represent the same LG group, panel B includes all 339 markers and shows the non-recombining area. Panel A shows a "skeleton linkage group" where overlapping markers at the same position are grouped and represented only by a single marker name (i.e. the non-recombining region is represented by a single marker; thick red arrowhead).

Figure S9: Linkage disequilibrium estimates (r^2) across linkage group (LG) 14 containing the supergene. (A) Pairwise LD for pooled queens homozygous for Sh (n=6) and Sp (n=6). (B) Pairwise LD for Sh homozygous queens and (C) Sp homozygous queens only. The red rectangle shows the region of no recombination between the Sh and Sp haplotypes. SNPs are ordered according to physical position on the chromosome after lift over. Black lines on top of each heatmap indicate SNP positions on the physical map. Note that invariant marker sites are displayed in white, dominating particularly the homozygous Sh/Sh and Sp/Sp LD plots.

Figure S10: Genetic differentiation and diversity within recombining and non-recombining regions of LG14. (A) Genetic differentiation (F_{st}), (B) nucleotide diversity (π) and (D) Tajima's D in the pleometrotic and haplometrotic populations of *P. californicus*. For F_{st}, we performed a Wilcoxon rank sum test (W = 12261, p < 2.2e-16). We performed a Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test for π (χ^2 = 254.77, df = 3, p < 2.2e-16) and Tajima's D (χ^2 = 177.81, df = 3, p < 2.2e-16), followed by pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum *post hoc* tests. Different letters represent significant differences (p < 0.0001).

Figure S11: Reduced exon and elevated TE content in the supergene region on LG14 (A) Genomic distribution of exon and TE sequence along LG14. (B) Violin plots showing exon and TE content within non-recombining regions (i.e. the supergene) and recombining regions of LG14. We performed a Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test (χ^2 = 342.74, df = 3, p < 2.2e-16), followed by pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum *post hoc* tests. Different letters represent significant differences (p < 0.0001).

Figure S12: Genotypes of haplo- and pleometrotic queens for one candidate gene under selection. Plot showing six SNP positions (top, dashed lines), the affected features of the *DNMT1* (*DNA methyltransferase 1*) gene, and the genotypes for each queen in the two populations. (*) indicates a non-synonymous substitution and (■) indicates a synonymous substitution. Exons are shown by red rectangles. Pleometrotic queens are almost all homozygous and fixed for the reference allele, unlike the haplometrotic queens that are more variable. Plot was produced using GenotypePlot [https://github.com/JimWhiting91/genotype_plot]. Note that the top row in the pleometrotic population displays the genotype of the hybrid P99P queen.

Figure S13: Genotype distribution between haplo- and pleometrotic queens for one candidate gene
Plot showing 13 SNP positions (top, dashed lines), the affected features of the *Histone acetyltransferase MYST2* gene, and the genotype of each queen in the two populations. (*) indicates a non-synonymous substitution and (■) indicates a synonymous substitution. Exons are shown by red rectangles. Pleometrotic queens are almost all homozygous and fixed for the reference allele, unlike the haplometrotic queens that are more variable. Plot was produced using GenotypePlot [https://github.com/JimWhiting91/genotype_plot].