Mild CF Lung Disease is Associated with Bacterial Community Stability

Thomas H. Hampton[a1], Devin Thomas[b2], Christopher van der Gast[c3], George A. O'Toole[a4] (*),
and Bruce A. Stanton[a5] (*)

(*) Co-Corresponding authors

[a]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth,
Hanover, New Hampshire, USA
[b]Hubbard Center for Genomic Studies, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire,
USA
[c]Department of Life Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK
10
11   [1]Thomas.H.Hampton@Dartmouth.edu
12   [2]Devin.W.Thomas@gmail.com
13   [3]C.vanderGast@mmu.ac.uk
14   [4]George.A.O'Toole.Jr@dartmouth.edu
15   [5]Bruce.A.Stanton@dartmouth.edu
16

Abstract

Microbial communities in the airways of persons with CF (pwCF) are variable, may include

genera that are not typically associated with CF, and their composition can be difficult to

correlate with long-term disease outcomes. Leveraging two large datasets characterizing sputum

communities of 167 pwCF and associated metadata, we identify five bacterial community types.

These communities explain 24% of the variability in lung function in this cohort, far more than

single factors like Simpson diversity, which explains only 4%. Subjects with *Pseudomonas*-

dominated communities tended to be older and have reduced percent predicted FEV_1 ($ppFEV_1$)

than subjects with *Streptococcus*-dominated communities, consistent with previous findings. To

assess the predictive power of these five communities in a longitudinal setting, we used random

forests to classify 346 additional samples from 24 subjects observed 8 years on average in a

range of clinical states. Subjects with mild disease were more likely to be observed at baseline,

that is, not in the context of a pulmonary exacerbation, and community structure in these subjects

was more self-similar over time, as measured by Bray-Curtis distance. Interestingly, we found

that subjects with mild disease were more likely to remain in a mixed *Pseudomonas* community,

providing some support for the climax-attack model of the CF airway. In contrast, patients with

worse outcomes were more likely to show shifts among community types. Our results suggest

that bacterial community instability may be a risk factor for lung function decline and indicates

the need to better understand factors that drive shifts in community composition.

Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a genetic disease affecting about 30,000 people in the United States and

70,000 world-wide (1). It has long been recognized that airway infection by *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa predicts morbidity and mortality in young children with CF (2). Direct sampling of

the CF airway demonstrates that a small set of established CF pathogens such as *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Haemophilus influenzae* and species from genera

Burkholderia, *Stenotrophomonas,* and *Achromobacter* dominate early infections in children with

CF (3). The prevalence of *Pseudomonas* infection in the CF community increases with age (4),

and it has been reported that few pathogens other than *Pseudomonas* are detectable in end stage

disease (5). Not surprisingly, the prevailing thought was once that CF lung disease might be

caused by a single pathogen (6-7), although not necessarily the same pathogen in all people (8).

Consequently, treatments were directed at specific pathogens such *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*,

Staphylococcus aureus and *Haemophilus influenzae* (9). Evidence from the last 15 years

demonstrates that genera outside the established set of CF pathogens, such as *Streptococcus*, and

anaerobes like *Prevotella* and *Veillonella* also play important roles in lung disease for persons

with CF (pwCF) (10-12).

CF lung disease is now considered a polymicrobial phenomenon (13) that can be described using

ecological concepts like species diversity. Diversity has been associated with improved lung

function (14-17) but increased diversity may also signal the onset of exacerbation (18).

Longitudinal analysis, in which a pwCF is followed over time, generally reveals that the CF

airway microbiome as relatively stable (18-21) and changes modestly during cycles of antibiotic

treatment and pulmonary exacerbations, acute phenomena characterized by a rapid decline in

overall health, accompanied by decreased lung function (19, 22-24) during exacerbations pwCF

experience rapid decline in overall health frequently accompanied by permanently decreased

lung function (19). More frequent exacerbations, and failure to recover fully from these

exacerbations, are thought to differentiate people with moderate or severe disease from those

with mild CF lung disease (26, 27). Intravenous antibiotic treatment in a hospital setting is

recommended during a pulmonary exacerbation (20) and recovery usually occurs over a period

of weeks (21). Complete recovery of lung function to levels prior to exacerbation is more

common in younger pwCF (22) and those with fewer exacerbations. Recovery may be mediated

by response to antibiotics like tobramycin, which is at least partly predictable from polymicrobial

signatures (23). It is possible that some exacerbations are triggered by increasing relative

abundance of anaerobes in the two weeks leading up to an exacerbation (24) or by specific

species of *Streptococcus*, including *anginosus* group streptococci (AGS), also known as

the *milleri* group streptococci (25-27) . Collectively, recent findings paint a complex picture of

how exacerbations occur in CF.

One model of how dynamic changes in the CF airway community might be associated with

changes in patient health suggests that the CF airway microbiome is normally a stable climax

community (28) dominated by a classic pathogen such as *Pseudomonas*, *Achromobacter*,

Staphylococcus. From time to time, the intrusion of facultative or obligate anaerobes, via an

undescribed mechanism, leads to a fermentative "attack community" that lowers pH and favors

further expansion of anaerobes (28). This population shift would increase bacterial species

diversity, consistent with the increased diversity during exacerbation that has been previously

observed (18). Interestingly, this is one instance where increased diversity is associated with a

worse clinical outcome, rather than better outcomes as is typically reported (14, 17, 29, 30, 31).

Furthermore, this climax-attack model is also consistent with the concept of stable core and

dynamic satellite taxa (16) because these satellite taxa are largely the same genera that are

thought to constitute the attack community, and it has been shown that satellite genera are more

dynamic across disease states (32).

The primary aim of this study was to improve our understanding of the baseline community

types, including 1) identifying discrete CF airway community types, 2) assessing whether

community types are associated with different health outcomes, 3) describing how community

types in pwCF change over time, and 4) whether community stability is associated with disease

severity. This analysis included a variety of machine learning techniques applied to both cross-

sectional and longitudinal data sets. Collectively, our results are consistent with a recent report

that bacterial communities in stable patients have less variation in community structure over time

(33), and our results support the climax-attack model of CF airway disease (28). In addition, we

identify a five-community model that accounts for 24% of the variability in lung function, far

more than any single factors explored previously.

Methods

Data processing. Publicly available 16S rRNA gene amplicon library sequence reads and

associated metadata from recent studies by Carmody et al. (PRJNA423040) (18) and

Cuthbertson et al. (PRJEB30646) (17) were downloaded from Bioproject

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/). Reads in fastq format were mapped to unique

bacterial genera using QIME2 (34).

Cross-sectional data set: We assembled a cross-sectional data set by combining baseline data

(i.e., not exacerbating / receiving treatment for exacerbation) set from 167 unique pwCF, 84 from

the Cuthbertson et al. study (17) and 83 from the Carmody study (18). In those instances where

more than one baseline sample was available from a given subject, the first sample taken was

used, together with metadata (e.g. ppFEV$_1$). The two data sets were similar, but not identical in

terms of age and lung function. The 84 subjects included in the cross-sectional data set from the

Carmody et al. (17) were slightly younger, with a mean age of 25.6 ± 10.0 SD compared to a

mean age of 30.27 ± 10.9 SD. OTU level mapping and metadata for the cross-sectional two data

sets (17, 18) is provided in Supplemental Table 1

(https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14261084.v1) and Supplemental Table 2

(https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14261219.v1)

Longitudinal data set: The longitudinal data set was a subset of samples from the Carmody et

al. (18) study, specifically, 346 16S rRNA gene amplicon datasets from 24 subjects that were

observed at least 10 times. Age at time of sampling ranged from 11 to 54, with median of 27 and

an interquartile range of 8. Lung function ($ppFEV_1$) of subjects in the longitudinal data set

ranged from 9 to 123%, with a median of 50 and an interquartile range of 36. Longitudinal

subjects are more fully described in Table 1. OTU level mapping and metadata for the

longitudinal data set (18) is provided in Supplemental Table 3

(https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14261432.v1).

Statistical analyses. Data analysis was performed in R 4.0.1 (https://www.R-project.org/). Bray-

Curtis dissimilarity was calculated using ecodist (35). Simpson diversity was calculated with

vegan 2.5-7 (36). Clustering was performed using kmeans in base R and clusters were visualized

and gap analysis was performed using factoextra 1.0.7 (37). Random forests were generated

using randomForest 4.6-14 (38). Discrete Time Markov chains were determined using the

markovchain package 0.8.5-4 (39).

Results

Five Clusters to Explain Two Large Cross-sectional Data Sets

As the emphasis in CF airway microbiome investigation has shifted toward a polymicrobial

interpretation (13), data analysis has increasingly focused on multivariate comparisons. We

therefore began our analysis to identify polymicrobial community clusters by calculating Bray-

Curtis dissimilarity (40) between genus level taxonomic assignments in bacterial 16S rRNA gene

amplicon sequencing data from 167 sputum samples from people with CF. Bray-Curtis similarity

(40) is a common measure used to summarize the similarity between microbial communities, and

places a larger weight on species that are commonly observed, partly mitigating the problem of

random sampling errors associated with rare species. Samples for this analysis were chosen as a

cross sectional subset of two recently published studies (17, 18), and included clinically stable

subjects aged 8 to 69 from 14 CF centers in the US and Europe creating a snapshot that should

include many of the community types present in pwCF. We calculated the Bray-Curtis similarity

across 167 cross-sectional samples from these two independent studies: a cross-sectional study

from Cuthbertson et al. colleagues (17), from which 83 samples were analyzed, and a

longitudinal study by Carmody et al. (18), from which we selected a single representative sample

from each patient for this analysis (84 samples).

We next used k-means to cluster samples using the Bray-Curtis similarity matrix we generated

above. The k-means machine learning algorithm requires the user to identify how many clusters

(k) are to be identified in the data, then uses an iterative approach to assign samples to each

cluster to minimize distances between samples in the same cluster and maximize distances

between samples in different clusters. Such machine learning approaches are commonly applied

to microbiome studies (41). For example, unsupervised techniques like hierarchical clustering

(42) have been used to identify core taxa in the CF airway (16) and gut (43) and to demonstrate

that samples from the same person tend to be similar to each other, even across disease and

treatment (44). K-means analysis has also been used to identify groups of patients with similar

patterns in inflammation, microbiota, or clinical factors (45). What makes k-means unsupervised

is that similarity between clusters is based only on observed data, in this case, counts of bacterial

genera. Unsupervised learning does not take sample labels (e.g., "severe disease" or

"exacerbation") into consideration. Therefore, when unsupervised clustering places samples

labeled "severe disease" together, it is likely that a non-random process (e.g., the result of a

biological difference) caused the samples to cluster.

As described above, the k-means algorithm requires the user to identify how many clusters (k)

are to be identified in the data. Since we had no prior information to justify a particular choice of

k, we used another machine learning approach, the gap statistic (46), to estimate the number of

distinct clusters in our cross-sectional data. The gap statistic has recently been used to evaluate

the optimal number of clusters in CF airway microbiome data (29). The gap statistic identifies

the smallest value of k that minimizes distances between samples in the same cluster and

maximize distances between samples. Gap analysis suggested the existence of five distinct

community types in our cross-sectional data set of the 167 baseline samples analyzed here. We

found that subjects belonging to different communities also differed significantly in lung

function (see below); this finding suggests that clusters are based on biological differences (47)

and that community types may be clinically relevant. The optimal number of clusters (five)

chosen by the gap statistic algorithm is shown by the vertical dashed line in Figure 1A. The basis

of this choice is driven by the break in the data after k=5.

A principal coordinate analysis that captures >70% of the variance of these data (Figure 1B)

shows how the clusters separate into 5 largely non-overlapping groups. It is important to note

that this analysis raises the possibility of alternative, potentially equally valid grouping of the

samples and/or potential overlap among these communities.

Figure 1A: Gap statistic for different numbers of k clusters, ranging from 2 to 10. Larger values

indicate greater separation between clusters. An optimal number of clusters based on gap statistic

is shown by a dashed vertical line.

Figure 1B: Principal coordinate analysis of 5 clusters, based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix.

The legend showing the color code of each cluster is on the right. Together, the first two

principal coordinates capture >70% of the variance in these data. Each dot represents one of 167

samples in the cross-sectional analysis described in Methods.

It is reassuring that choosing 5 clusters also optimizes the ability of communities to explain

patient differences in lung function. Figure 1C shows the value of adjusted r^2 from linear models

of ppFEV$_1$, a measure of lung health, as a function of cluster membership. Five clusters explain

about 24% (adjusted r^2= 0.24) of patient differences; using six clusters reduces r^2. In summary,

two independent lines of evidence suggest that 5 clusters may be optimal for summarizing these

data.

Figure 1C: Adjusted r squared from linear models of lung function (ppFEV1) using membership

in a given cluster as the independent variable. Models were run for different numbers of k

clusters, ranging from 2 to 10.

Clusters Membership Predicts Lung Function Better than Pseudomonas Relative Abundance,

Age or Community Diversity

Despite a reframing of the conversation surrounding the CF airway microbiome away from

single pathogens toward ecological descriptions involving species diversity (14, 17-18, 48, 49),

the relationship between *Pseudomonas* and lung function is still frequently cited (14, 23, 49, 50).

We used linear models to assess the level of association between lung function and commonly

identified explanatory variables such as the relative abundance of *Pseudomonas* as well as

Simpson Diversity and age. As shown in Figure 2, single variables like relative abundance of

Pseudomonas, Simpson diversity and age explain less than 11% of patient variability in lung

function (adjusted $r^2 < 0.11$). In other words, over 89% of variability in lung function is

explained by factors other than *Pseudomonas*, Simpson diversity or age. The explanatory power

of these models improves with increasing complexity, and a combined model that incorporates

Simpson diversity, age and the relative abundance of *Pseudomonas* or other classical CF

pathogens in a single model still explains less than 12% of patient variability in lung function. In

contrast, membership in one of the 5 communities identified above explains 24% of patient

variability in lung function. In other words, knowing which cluster a person's airway

microbiome belongs to provides a better estimate of their lung function than knowing the relative

abundance of Pseudomonas in their airway microbiome (Figure 2).

Figure 2: The ability of linear models to predict ppFEV$_1$, as estimated by adjusted R squared.

Individual factors or combinations (denoted by "+") of covariates shown on y axis: Simpson

Diversity only, Age only, *Pseudomonas* only, the combination of Simpson and Age, or Simpson

and Age and the relative abundance of other classic CF pathogens, compared to membership in

one of the 5 clusters.

 Top 10 Bacterial Genera Across Clusters

We identified the top 10 genera with the highest mean relative abundance across the 167 cross-

sectional samples and averaged their relative abundance in each of the 5 clusters, as shown in

Figure 3A. Choosing the top 10 genera for visualization still includes the vast majority of the

reads (mean 90%) that mapped to a genus. The first cluster (Str.D), which contained 46 samples

was, on average, dominated by *Streptococcus* (brick red). Clusters 2, 4 and 5 had large amounts

of *Pseudomonas* (olive), but were distinguished by the differences in abundance and/or type of

minor genera. Cluster 2 (Pa.D) was dominated by *Pseudomonas*. While Cluster 4 (Pa.M1) and

Cluster 5 (Pa.M2) were similar, they clustered separately, perhaps because Cluster 5 had more

Achromobacter and *Burkholderia* than cluster 4 ($P < .001$ asymptotic Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney

test), and Cluster 4 had more *Streptococcus* ($P < .001$, asymptotic Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney

test). Cluster 3 (Oth.D) was dominated by a genus other than *Streptococcus* or *Pseudomonas* and

could be described as having an even mix of genera based on averages.

Figure 3A: Mean abundances of top 10 genera in each of the 5 clusters. Mnemonic

abbreviations: Str.D = *Streptococcus* dominated, Pa.D = *Pseudomonas* dominated, Oth.D =

Other dominated, Pa.M1 = *Pseudomonas* mixed community #1, Pa.M2 = *Pseudomonas* mixed

community #2. The number of samples that belonged to each cluster appears beneath each

cluster mnemonic.

We then mapped each of these clusters back to the two cross-sectional datasets, as shown in

Table 2. The Carmody data set (18) was from as single CF center in the US, and the Cuthbertson

data set (17) included subjects from Europe and the US, spanning 13 different CF centers. Some

clusters, but not others, were enriched in data from particular studies perhaps as a consequence

of biases in sample collection/processing, differences in subject age, and/or systematic

differences in patient care. Of note, the two *P. aeruginosa* mixed communities, Pa.M1 and

Pa.M2, largely clustered as a function of the dataset from which they were derived.

Interestingly, the Carmody (18) data set had no patients in the *P. aeruginosa* dominant cluster

(Cluster 2, Pa.D), while the Cuthbertson (17) samples, collected over 13 centers had ~1/3 of its

sample map to this community cluster. In contrast, for the communities assigned to the Carmody

dataset (18) , more than half were described as *Streptococcus*-dominated, while the remaining

samples, while containing *P. aeruginosa*, were more evenly mixed (Pa.M1) or dominated by

other pathogens (Oth.D).

Cluster Number	Mnemonic	Carmody	Cuthbertson
1	Str.D	45	1
2	Pa.D	0	27
3	Oth.D	19	18
4	Pa.M1	19	8
5	Pa.M2	1	29

Table 2: Number of subjects in cross sectional data set mapping to various clusters shown in Figure 2, for the Carmody (18) and Cuthbertson (17) data sets.

Interestingly, averages do not capture the specifics of a group perfectly, as shown in Figure 3B. Individual samples that mapped to cluster 3 (Oth.D; Other dominated), which when averaged appears to have an even mix of genera, tended to be dominated by individual genera, including *Stenotrophomonas* which was not among the top 10 most abundant genera across all 167 samples. We therefore complemented our analysis of the 10 most abundant genera (on average) with an analysis of the 10 most dominant genera, that is, the top 10 genera achieving the highest relative abundance in any of the 167 samples.

Figure 3B: Abundances of top 10 genera relative abundance of cluster 3 (Oth.D) in each of the

37 samples belonging to this group.

Haemophilus, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Burkholderia*, *Prevotella* and *Achromobacter* achieved high

levels of dominance (relative abundance greater than 85%) in samples in cluster 3, as shown in

Figure 3C, but not in other clusters. *Streptococcus* achieved dominance in cluster 1, but not

elsewhere. *Pseudomonas* achieved high levels in clusters 2, 4 and 5.

Figure 3C: Maximum abundance of 10 genera achieving the highest relative abundance in any of

the 167 samples, as a function of cluster.

Clusters Dominated by Streptococcus and Pseudomonas Differ in Lung Function and Age

It has previously been observed that species from the *Streptococcus* genus are part of the core CF

airway microbiome (16, 31, 50), that the prevalence of *Streptococcus* spp. is sometimes

associated with less severe lung function loss (12, 14, 31, 51), but that some genera of

Streptococcus, including anginosus group streptococci (AGS; also known as the milleri group

streptococci) may facilitate exacerbation (25-27). Our cross-sectional analysis highlights the

positive aspect of patients carrying the community dominated by *Streptococcus*; subjects whose

airway microbiomes were characterized as *Streptococcus*-dominated tended to have better lung

function, as shown in Figure 4A, and be younger, as shown in Figure 4B.

Figure 4A: Association of communities with lung function. Subject-Lung Function profiles of 5

distinct communities. Box and whisker plot comparing ppFEV1 between *Streptococcus*-

dominated (Str.D), *Pseudomonas*-dominated (Pa.D), communities dominated by other genera

(Oth.D), *Pseudomonas* mixed community #1 (Pa.M1), and *Pseudomonas* mixed community #2

(Pa.M2), as shown in Figure 3A. Communities sharing a common letter are not significantly

different; those with no letter in common differ significantly (Tukey Honest significant

difference $P < .05$). For example, subjects with Str.D communities had higher ppFEV$_1$ than those

with any other community type.

Figure 4B: Association of communities with age. Subject-age profiles of 5 distinct communities.

Box and whisker plot comparing age between *Streptococcus*-dominated (Str.D), *Pseudomonas*-

dominated (Pa.D), communities dominated by other genera (Oth.D), *Pseudomonas* mixed

community #1 (Pa.M1), and *Pseudomonas* mixed community #2 (Pa.M2), as shown in Figure

3A. Communities sharing a common letter are not significantly different; those with no letter in

common differ significantly (Tukey Honest significant difference $P < .05$). For example, subjects

with Str.D communities were younger than those with Pa.D communities or Pa.M2 communities

but did not differ significantly in age from subjects with Oth.D or Pa.M1 communities.

Communities Observed in Subjects with Mild versus Moderate/Severe Disease are Similar

Findings in the cross-sectional data (Figure 4A, B) are consistent with previous reports showing

that communities dominated by *Pseudomonas* tend to be associated with older subjects and

reduced lung function (36, 39, 44). Given that young, healthier pwCF tend to have sputum

communities dominated by *Streptococcus* led to the hypothesis that people with such

Streptococcus-dominated communities ultimately transition to communities dominated by

Pseudomonas as they age. To probe this idea, we used a longitudinal data set to assess how

communities changed in the 24 subjects detailed in Table 1, for whom there are 10 or more

longitudinal samples collected for times periods ranging from ~6-10 years (average of ~8 years).

For this analysis we used supervised machine learning to track community type changes in

individuals with CF through time. Briefly, we used random forests (52) to identify features that

reliably classify a sample as belonging to one of the five previously identified communities.

This classifier enabled us to identify the community type of 346 16S rRNA gene amplicon

datasets from 24 subjects that were observed across many years (18). This longitudinal data set

included 16S rRNA gene amplicon dataset measurements made in different clinical states

(baseline, exacerbation, treatment and recovery) and includes clinical metadata such as whether a

subject had been diagnosed as having mild or more severe disease. This approach mapped the

346 16S rRNA gene amplicon datasets to the four communities shown in Figure 3, specifically,

Str.D., Pa.D, Pa.M1 and Oth.D. No samples in the Carmody (18) longitudinal data mapped to

Pa.M2, consistent with the description of Pa.M2 cluster being specific Cuthbertson (17) dataset

(see Table 2).

Roughly two or three community types were required to describe any given subject over time,

recapitulating previous reports that the CF airway microbiome is fairly stable over time (15, 18,

19, 38, 45, 46), but may shift in structure around the time of exacerbation or in response to

antibiotic therapy (23, 45). We did not observe large differences in community types between

subjects with mild disease compared to moderate/severe disease either as a function of subject

(Figure 5A) or disease state (Figure 5B). For example, subject 117 was observed 15 times to

show a *Streptococcus* dominated community (Str.D) and 5 times to show the *Pseudomonas*

mixed community number 1 (Pa.M1).

We do note some associations. For example, domination by a pathogen other than *Pseudomonas*

(Oth.D) was associated with decreased probability of being observed in the baseline state (p-

value = 0.004, odds ratio 0.43, Fisher's exact test). Furthermore, despite similarities, subjects

with mild disease did differ from those with moderate/severe disease in specific ways. Subjects

with mild disease were more likely to be observed having a community classified as Pa.M1 (p-

value = 0.02, odds ratio = 1.69, Fisher's exact test) and more likely to be observed in the baseline

state (p-value = 3.1e-06, odds ratio = 2.8, Fisher's exact test) than people with moderate/severe

disease.

Figure 5A: Frequency of observing various community types in subjects designated as having

mild or moderate/severe disease. Subjects with mild disease (N=14, left) are compared to

subjects with moderate/severe disease (N=10, right). "Subject identifier" corresponds to "isolate"

in Table 1, a field provided in the Carmody (18) data set. Community types identified in these

longitudinal samples were deemed similar to those in Figure 3A by random forest classifier.

Figure 5B: Frequency of observing various clinical states for the 14 subjects with mild disease

aggressiveness (left) and 10 subjects with moderate/severe disease (right) as shown in Table 1.

Clinical states are defined as (B = baseline, E = exacerbation, T = treatment, R = Recovery).

Community types identified in these longitudinal samples were deemed similar to those in Figure

3A by random forest classifier.

Interestingly, we note that people with mild disease have bacterial communities that are more

stable over time based on being observed in a specific community category (Figure 5). We tested

this association using a continuous variable by calculating Bray-Curtis distances for all pairwise

comparison of samples taken from any given subject over time. As shown in Figure 6A,

communities from subjects with mild disease were less dissimilar to each other than

communities from subjects with moderate/severe disease, suggesting that variation in community

composition is associated with worse disease outcomes.

Figure 6A: Median within-subject pairwise distances (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity) for N=14

subjects with mild disease aggressiveness (left) and N=10 subjects with moderate/severe disease

(right) as shown in Table 1. Subjects with mild disease had samples that were significantly more

similar to each other ("*" signifies P < .05, Wilcoxon rank sum exact test).

As a second approach to assess the association between community stability and clinical

outcome, a Markov chain analysis was performed to track subjects and their associated

community types across time. Markov models simplify dynamic systems by representing them as

a set of finite states that are connected to each other enabling transitions between the states. With

enough data, the geometry of these systems (i.e., states that are connected to each other, enabling

a transition) can be determined. In addition, the probability of transitioning between any two

connected states can be estimated. Markov modeling of this system suggests that this self-

similarity arises at least partly because subjects with mild disease are repeatedly observed with a

Pa.M1 community type (Figure 6B). Specifically, given that a subject was characterized as

having mild disease and was last observed with community type Pa.M1, they had a 73%

probability of being observed in Pa.M1 again. In contrast, the geometry associated with

moderate/severe disease was more complex, and subjects were less likely to remain in the Pa.M1

state (60%) and also found more often in the Pa.D community (Figure 6C). Overall, repeated

observation of Pa.M1 was significantly more likely in subjects with mild disease compared to

moderate/severe disease (red arrow in 6B: "*" indicates $P = .03$, Fisher's exact test, odds ratio

1.78). Together, these data indicate that patients with stable communities tend to have better

airway function.

Figure 6B: Markov chain diagram for community transitions in subjects with mild disease (see

Table 1). Each node in the diagram (orange circles) represents the state of being observed with a

specific bacterial community. Edges leading from each node represent possible transitions,

including the possibility of observing the same community again (loop). Numbers on arrows are

estimates of the probability of taking a specific path.

Figure 6C: Markov chain diagram for community transitions in subjects with moderate/severe

disease (see Table 1). Each node in the diagram (orange circles) represents the state of being

observed with a specific bacterial community. Edges leading from each node represent possible

transitions, including the possibility of observing the same community again (loop). Numbers on

arrows are estimates of the probability of taking a specific path.

DISCUSSION

We present two major findings in this report. First, the previously reported climax-attack model

postulates that an attack community composed of genera like *Streptococcus*, *Veillonella* and

Porphyromonas, perturbs a climax community dominated by *Pseudomonas* and *Staphylococcus*

(28)*,* resulting in a metabolic shift toward fermentation and decreasing pH. These conditions are

more favorable to fermentative bacteria like *Prevotella*, *Veillonella*, *Streptococcus*, *Rothia* and

Granulicatella (28). Our observation that mild disease is associated with community stability,

and that stability is associated with a *Pseudomonas*-dominated community are therefore

consistent with the climax-attack model of CF.

Second, we have shown that a relatively small number of CF microbiome types explain patient

differences in lung function, and that they explain these differences much better than single

variables like the relative abundance of *Pseudomonas*, age or Simpson diversity. This finding is

important because developing interventions to treat the community as the pathogenic unit will

require a reasonable number of experimentally tractable model communities. Without such

models, we would be faced by a dizzying array of patient differences. Fortunately, the airway

community in a pwCF over multiple samplings generally looks more like "self" than any other

patient when using relative abundance of bacteria as a metric of what defines a community

increasing the likelihood of identifying an in vitro model that might help design more effective

treatment regimens for a pwCF that has a similar community composition. Our findings raise the

question of what combinations of abundant microbes (*Pseudomonas*, *Streptococcus*,

Staphylococcus) and less abundant community members (i.e., anaerobic, fermentative

organisms) really matter? Does it matter if a patient has 90% *Pseudomonas* versus 80% or 70%?

Intriguingly, *Pseudomonas*, often listed as the quintessential CF pathogen, is highly abundant in

long lived people with CF, an aspect of a "survivor phenotype" (53). Alternatively, does it matter

if the dominant microbe in a community is *Streptococcus* rather than *Pseudomonas*, or if the

minor players are *Prevotella* versus *Veillonella* versus *Gemella*? Although unsupervised

machine learning cannot answer these questions with precision, we have demonstrated that as

few as five communities may represent a useful starting point as pwCF with a particular

community type experience significantly similar lung function as measured by ppFEV$_1$.

There are several critical factors and caveats to consider in light of the interpretations we make

here. First, although a gap statistic suggests five communities may be optimal for the cross-

sectional data set used here, one should avoid construing "five" as the number of distinct

populations in the CF community. Children younger than eight were not included in this study,

and it is possible that broad sampling of young pwCF would have enabled us to identify

additional clusters. In the same vein, although cluster 3 (Oth.D) contains subjects that were

dominated by genera other than *Pseudomonas,* it is understood that broader sampling of this

group would create additional clusters for each genus in cluster 3. Nonetheless, some of the

findings here mirror the conclusions from previous studies with smaller data sets (12, 15, 44).

Second, this study includes both cross-sectional and longitudinal observations of airway

microbiomes and associated clinical parameters, enabling us to identify associations between

them. However, this type of study cannot prove causation. Indeed, our interpretation of the data

here should rightly be viewed as hypotheses to be tested either via additional clinical studies or

in the laboratory. Third, and related to the previous point, the most useful aspect of this work is

that it does set a framework for hypothesis testing, for example, can we build the communities

we observe here *in vitro* and assess their function (i.e., are some clusters of microbes more/less

cytotoxic or more/less recalcitrant to antibiotics)? Alternatively, are similar communities

associated with positive/negative health outcomes in other cohorts of pwCF or in other disease

settings? For example, a recent report by Frey et. al. (29) concludes that airway diversity is a

biomarker of lung disease severity that links dysbiosis to lung function decline in pwCF. They

observed a negative correlation between inflammatory cytokine secretion and airway community

diversity, suggesting that more diverse communities are for some reason more salubrious than

less diverse communities (a common but unexplained finding across many microbiome studies).

Using a slightly different unsupervised approach to identify CF airway communities (i.e.,

hierarchical clustering of Unifrac distances), these investigators found that high diversity maps to

an oropharyngeal-like community typically found in younger people. The authors carefully avoid

making the claim that bacterial diversity reduces inflammation, although others have reported

this association (50) or found a positive association between higher lung function and increased

bacterial diversity (14, 17). The diverse, oropharyngeal-like community identified by Frey is

characterized by anaerobes like *Prevotella* and *Veillonella* and aerobic commensals including

Neisseria and *Streptococci*, making it very similar to the *Streptococcus* dominated community

(Str.SD) identified in this report (Figure 3); our Str.D community was also associated with higher

lung function (Figure 4A) and relative youth (Figure 4B) in pwCF. Thus, orthogonal approaches

appear to converge on similar conclusions as to community composition associated with less

severe health outcomes.

The findings here and reported by Frey et. al. (29) and others (14, 50, 51) raise the question of

whether remodeling CF airway communities to make them more diverse and more similar to the

oropharyngeal communities found in younger people with higher lung function, if possible,

might improve outcomes in CF. There are several reasons to question the wisdom of attempting

to turn back the hands of time in older subjects and enhance the abundance of oropharyngeal

flora in their airways. First, as described above, it is possible that the introduction of diversity

into an established community (for example, one dominated by *Pseudomonas*) would destabilize

the community and lead to enhanced inflammation, as suggested by the climax-attack model of

CF (54). Second, as demonstrated by Muhlebach (55), inflammation increases when pediatric

pwCF are first colonized by oropharyngeal flora; although this finding should not be surprising,

it is worth pointing out that the best airway community is probably no community at all. Third,

even in adults, increased diversity is not uniformly benign. Studies by Raghuvanshi et al. (24)

show a linear increase in the microbiome alpha-diversity and in the log ratio of anaerobes to

pathogens two weeks before a pulmonary exacerbation, confirming similar observations by

Carmody et al. (18) showing that bacterial community diversity and the relative abundance of

anaerobes increased during exacerbations, i.e., before antibiotic treatment. Others have shown

that treating adults with antibiotics primarily affects taxa other than classic CF pathogens (56,

57), and that antibiotics reduce the abundance of *Streptococcus*, *Prevotella* and *Veillonella,* but

not *Pseudomonas,* the ostensible target of treatment. Collectively then, the results cited support

the somewhat surprising conclusion that oropharyngeal flora are associated with worse airway

disease, and that treatments work because they reduce the abundance of oropharyngeal genera.

Finally, as mentioned above, we present just one approach for analyzing the extant microbial

community data associated with CF airway infections, but an approach that has both statistical

support and practical utility. That is, it is likely that only controlled experiments using model

communities in laboratory or animals can unravel the mechanistic role played by oropharyngeal-

like flora in an established adult microbiome (14, 25, 50, 58) and to understand the functional

consequences of community composition. That is, we have identified 5 communities comprised

of 10 genera, which presents an experimentally tractable numbers of communities and microbes.

As another example, Crabbe et al. (59) recently reported using a nine member community for in

vitro studies. Going forward, this and other studies can help inform the nature of laboratory

model communities used to probe the hypotheses we and others have developed by analyzing

clinical datasets.

Acknowledgements: Support for these studies was provided by the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation

(CFF STANTO19R0 and STANTO19GO) and NIH (R01HL151385, R37 AI083256-06, and P30

DK117469). We would like to thank Dr. John LiPuma for access to his team's data and many

helpful insights about this project.

553 **Table 1. Characteristics of 24 subjects with at least 10 longitudinal samples**.

isolate	Aggressiveness	N	Period (yr)	Median Age	Median FEV1	Clinical States	FEV1/yr
75	Moderate/Severe	16	8.99	14.9	32.5	R,E,T,T,E,R,B,B,R,T,E,B,B,R,B,R	-2.23
139	Moderate/Severe	15	6.64	15.1	34	B,B,B,T,R,T,B,B,B,B,R,B,T,T,R	-5.17
94	Moderate/Severe	13	9.16	17.2	39	T,T,E,E,T,B,E,B,B,B,B,R,R	1.56
229	Moderate/Severe	11	7.27	19.2	34	R,R,R,B,B,T,R,B,T,B,B	-4.50
52	Moderate/Severe	18	9.74	23.0	43.5	T,E,R,R,T,R,R,B,R,R,B,E,E,B,B,B,E, T,R,R	-1.84
179	Moderate/Severe	24	8.66	23.8	41	B,E,T,T,B,E,T,R,B,B,E,B,R,R,E,R,T, B,T,E,R,B,E,E	-3.08
159	Mild	11	7.97	24.3	82	B,B,B,E,B,B,B,B,B,B,R	-1.20
87	Mild	17	7.54	25.0	82	T,T,R,B,E,B,B,B,B,B,R,T,R,B,R,B,B	1.84
160	Mild	13	8.01	25.2	73	B,T,B,B,R,B,R,B,R,B,T,E,B	0.79
142	Moderate/Severe	20	10.14	25.4	67	B,T,T,T,T,E,B,R,T,T,E,E,R,B,T,E,B, R,T,E	-1.16
141	Mild	12	8.91	26.3	85.5	R,E,R,B,B,B,R,T,B,R,B,T	-0.20
124	Moderate/Severe	18	10.24	26.3	54.5	B,B,T,B,T,B,E,T,B,E,B,E,B,E,T,B,E, B,B	-1.00
147	Moderate/Severe	18	8.17	27.2	36.5	B,R,E,E,B,B,B,B,B,T,R,T,T,T,B,B,R, E	-3.73
209	Moderate/Severe	16	7.87	28.0	37.5	T,B,T,B,E,T,R,E,R,R,R,T,R,R,B,R	-6.97
53	Mild	10	5.35	28.6	69.5	E,R,T,E,B,E,B,E,B,NA,NA	1.55
182	Mild	14	5.69	29.0	83.5	T,B,R,E,E,B,B,B,B,B,B,E,E,E	-2.28
118	Mild	10	7.33	30.3	58.5	E,T,B,R,B,B,B,T,R,E	-1.33
244	Mild	10	6.42	30.8	74.5	E,R,B,B,R,B,B,B,E,B	-2.23
222	Mild	10	7.47	33.5	97	B,R,B,T,B,T,B,B,B,NA	-0.21
136	Mild	15	7.6	36.8	57	B,R,B,E,B,B,T,R,B,R,E,E,B,T,B	-2.99
119	Mild	14	7.72	37.1	36	E,B,T,B,B,B,B,T,B,B,B,R,B,B	-3.42
195	Mild	11	8.24	41.4	54	E,B,E,E,B,E,B,T,R,B,R	0.45
50	Mild	10	6.27	43.5	70.5	B,E,R,B,E,B,B,B,B,R	-2.27
117	Mild	20	9.72	49.6	51	E,E,B,R,B,E,B,E,T,T,B,T,B,E,B,E,T, T,B,B	-0.31

554 ᵃDisease aggressiveness for each subject was based on publicly available metadata.

555 ᵇN represents the number of sputum microbiomes available for each subject, observed over the

556 period, given in years.

557 ᶜClinical states observed: B = baseline, E = exacerbation, T = treatment, R = Recovery.

558 ᵈLinear regression estimates of annual change in FEV1 percent predicted for each subject shown

559 at far right. The table is sorted by increasing median age.

560

561

References

1.   **MacKenzie T**, **Gifford AH**, **Sabadosa KA**, **Quinton HB**, **Knapp EA**, **Goss CH**,
Marshall BC. 2014. Longevity of Patients with Cystic Fibrosis in 2000 to 2010 and
Beyond: Survival Analysis of the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry. Ann
Intern Med **161**:233–241.

2.   **Emerson J**, **Rosenfeld M**, **McNamara S**, **Ramsey B**, **Gibson RL**. 2002.
Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Other Predictors of Mortality and Morbidity in Young
Children with Cystic Fibrosis. Pediatr Pulmonol **34**:91–100.

3.   **Jorth P**, **Ehsan Z**, **Rezayat A**, **Caldwell E**, **Pope C**, **Brewington JJ**, **Goss CH**,
Benscoter D, **Clancy JP**, **Singh PK**. 2019. Direct Lung Sampling Indicates that
Established Pathogens Dominate Early Infections in Children with Cystic Fibrosis.
Cell Reports **27**:1190–1204.e3.

4.   **Salsgiver EL**, **Fink AK**, **Knapp EA**, **LiPuma JJ**, **Olivier KN**, **Marshall BC**,
Saiman L. 2016. Changing Epidemiology of the Respiratory Bacteriology of Patients
with Cystic Fibrosis. Chest **149**:390–400.

5.   **Rudkjøbing VB**, **Thomsen TR**, **Alhede M**, **Kragh KN**, **Nielsen PH**, **Johansen UR**,
Givskov M, **Høiby N**, **Bjarnsholt T**. 2012. The Microorganisms in Chronically
Infected End-Stage and Non-End-Stage Cystic Fibrosis Patients. FEMS Immunology
& Medical Microbiology **65**:236–244.

582 6.  **Govan JRW**, **Nelson JW**. 1992. Microbiology of Lung Infection in Cystic Fibrosis.

583    British Medical Bulletin **48**:912–930.

584 7.  **Burns JL**, **Emerson J**, **Stapp JR**, **Yim DL**, **Krzewinski J**, **Louden L**, **Ramsey BW**,

585    **Clausen CR**. 1998. Microbiology of Sputum from Patients at Cystic Fibrosis Centers

586    in the United States. Clinical Infectious Diseases **27**:158–163.

587 8.  **Saiman L**, **Siegel J**. 2004. Infection Control in Cystic Fibrosis. Clinical Microbiology

588    Reviews **17**:57–71.

589 9.  **Konstan MW**, **Butler SM**, **Schidlow DV**, **Morgan WJ**, **Julius JR**. 1999. Patterns of

590    Medical Practice in Cystic Fibrosis: Part II. Use of Therapies. Pediatr Pulmonol

591    **28**:248–254.

592 10.  **Sibley CD**, **Rabin H**, **Surette MG**. 2006. Cystic fibrosis: A Polymicrobial Infectious

593    Disease. http://dxdoiorg/102217/174609131153 **1**:53–61.

594 11.  **Harris JK**, **De Groote MA**, **Sagel SD**, **Zemanick ET**, **Kapsner R**, **Penvari C**, **Kaess**

595    **H**, **Deterding RR**, **Accurso FJ**, **Pace NR**. 2007. Molecular Identification of Bacteria

596    in Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid from Children with Cystic Fibrosis. Proc Natl Acad

597    Sci USA **104**:20529–20533.

598 12.  **Filkins LM**, **Hampton TH**, **Gifford AH**, **Gross MJ**, **Hogan DA**, **Sogin ML**,

599    **Morrison HG**, **Paster BJ**, **O'Toole GA**. 2012. Prevalence of Streptococci and

600    Increased Polymicrobial Diversity Associated with Cystic Fibrosis Patient Stability.

601    Journal of Bacteriology **194**:4709–4717.

13. **O'Toole GA**. 2018. Cystic Fibrosis Airway Microbiome: Overturning the Old,

Opening the Way for the New. Journal of Bacteriology **200**:344.

14. **Acosta N**, **Heirali A**, **Somayaji R**, **Surette MG**, **Workentine ML**, **Sibley CD**, **Rabin**

HR, **Parkins MD**. 2018. Sputum Microbiota Is Predictive of Long-Term Clinical

Outcomes in Young Adults with Cystic Fibrosis. Thorax **73**:1016–1025.

15. **Zhao J**, **Schloss PD**, **Kalikin LM**, **Carmody LA**, **Foster BK**, **Petrosino JF**,

Cavalcoli JD, **VanDevanter DR**, **Murray S**, **Li JZ**, **Young VB**, **LiPuma JJ**. 2012.

Decade-Long Bacterial Community Dynamics in Cystic Fibrosis Airways. Proceedings

of the National Academy of Sciences **109**:5809–5814.

16. **van der Gast CJ**, **Walker AW**, **Stressmann FA**, **Rogers GB**, **Scott P**, **Daniels TW**,

Carroll MP, **Parkhill J**, **Bruce KD**. 2011. Partitioning Core and Satellite Taxa from

within Cystic Fibrosis Lung Bacterial Communities. The ISME Journal **5**:780–791.

17. **Cuthbertson L**, **Walker AW**, **Oliver AE**, **Rogers GB**, **Rivett DW**, **Hampton TH**,

Ashare A, **Elborn JS**, **De Soyza A**, **Carroll MP**, **Hoffman LR**, **Lanyon C**,

Moskowitz SM, **O'Toole GA**, **Parkhill J**, **Planet PJ**, **Teneback CC**, **Tunney MM**,

Zuckerman JB, **Bruce KD**, **van der Gast CJ**. 2020. Lung Function and Microbiota

Diversity in Cystic Fibrosis. Microbiome, 4 ed. **8**:45–13.

18. **Carmody LA**, **Caverly LJ**, **Foster BK**, **Rogers MAM**, **Kalikin LM**, **Simon RH**,

VanDevanter DR, **LiPuma JJ**. 2018. Fluctuations in Airway Bacterial Communities

Associated with Clinical States and Disease Stages in Cystic Fibrosis. PLoS ONE

13:e0194060.

19.   **Goss CH**, **Burns JL**. 2007. Exacerbations in Cystic Fibrosis · 1: Epidemiology and

Pathogenesis. Thorax **62**:360–367.

20.   **Flume PA**, **Peter J Mogayzel J**, **Robinson KA**, **Goss CH**, **Rosenblatt RL**, **Kuhn RJ**,

Marshall BC, **Committee ATCPGFPT**. 2012. Cystic Fibrosis Pulmonary Guidelines.

Am J Respir Crit Care Med **180**:802–808.

21.   **Flume PA**, **Suthoff ED**, **Kosinski M**, **Marigowda G**, **Quittner AL**. 2019. Measuring

Recovery in Health-Related Quality Of Life During and After Pulmonary

Exacerbations in Patients with Cystic Fibrosis. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis **18**:737–742.

22.   **Wagener JS**, **VanDevanter DR**, **Konstan MW**, **Pasta DJ**, **Millar SJ**, **Morgan WJ**.

2020. Lung Function Changes Before and After Pulmonary Exacerbation

Antimicrobial Treatment in Cystic Fibrosis. Pediatr Pulmonol **55**:828–834.

23.   **Heirali A**, **Thornton C**, **Acosta N**, **Somayaji R**, **Laforest Lapointe I**, **Storey D**,

Rabin H, **Waddell B**, **Rossi L**, **Arrieta MC**, **Surette M**, **Parkins MD**. 2020. Sputum

Microbiota in Adults with CF Associates with Response io Inhaled Tobramycin.

Thorax **5**:thoraxjnl–2019–214191.

24.   **Raghuvanshi R**, **Vasco K**, **Vázquez-Baeza Y**, **Jiang L**, **Morton JT**, **Li D**, **Gonzalez**

A, **DeRight Goldasich L**, **Humphrey G**, **Ackermann G**, **Swafford AD**, **Conrad D**,

Knight R, **Dorrestein PC**, **Quinn RA**. 2020. High-Resolution Longitudinal Dynamics

of the Cystic Fibrosis Sputum Microbiome and Metabolome through Antibiotic

Therapy. mSystems **5**:5809.

25.   **Sibley CD**, **Parkins MD**, **Rabin HR**, **Duan K**, **Norgaard JC**, **Surette MG**. 2008. A

Polymicrobial Perspective of Pulmonary Infections Exposes an Enigmatic Pathogen in

Cystic Fibrosis Patients. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

105:15070–15075.

26.   **Parkins MD**, **Sibley CD**, **Surette MG**, **Rabin HR**. 2008. The *Streptococcus milleri*

Group—An Unrecognized Cause of Disease in Cystic Fibrosis: A Case Series and

Literature Review. Pediatr Pulmonol **43**:490–497.

27.   **Scott JE**, **O'Toole GA**, **Margolin W**. 2019. The Yin and Yang of *Streptococcus* Lung

Infections in Cystic Fibrosis: A Model for Studying Polymicrobial Interactions.

Journal of Bacteriology **201**:15070.

28.   **Quinn RA**, **Whiteson K**, **Lim YW**, **Zhao J**, **Conrad D**, **LiPuma JJ**, **Rohwer F**,

Widder S. 2016. Ecological Networking of Cystic Fibrosis Lung Infections. NPJ

Biofilms Microbiomes **2**:4–11.

29.   **Frey DL**, **Boutin S**, **Dittrich SA**, **Graeber SY**, **Stahl M**, **Wege S**, **Herth FJF**,

Sommerburg O, **Schultz C**, **Mall MA**, **Dalpke AH**. 8 January 2021 posting date.

Relationship Between Airway Dysbiosis, Inflammation and Lung Function in Adults

with Cystic Fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros. doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2020.12.022.

30.   **Hahn A**, **Burrell A**, **Fanous H**, **Chaney H**, **Sami I**, **Perez GF**, **Koumbourlis AC**,

Freishtat RJ, **Crandall KA**. 2018. Antibiotic Multidrug Resistance in the Cystic

Fibrosis Airway Microbiome is Associated with Decreased Diversity. Heliyon

4:e00795.

31.   **Coburn B**, **Wang PW**, **Caballero JD**, **Clark ST**, **Brahma V**, **Donaldson S**, **Zhang**
Y, **Surendra A**, **Gong Y**, **Tullis DE**, **Yau YCW**, **Waters VJ**, **Hwang DM**, **Guttman**
DS. 2015. Lung Microbiota Across Age and Disease Stage in Cystic Fibrosis. Sci Rep
5:1–12.

32.   **Cuthbertson L**, **Rogers GB**, **Walker AW**, **Oliver A**, **Green LE**, **Daniels TWV**,
Carroll MP, **Parkhill J**, **Bruce KD**, **van der Gast CJ**. 2016. Respiratory Microbiota
Resistance and Resilience to Pulmonary Exacerbation and Subsequent Antimicrobial
Intervention. The ISME Journal **10**:1081–1091.

33.   **Sherrard LJ**, **Einarsson GG**, **Johnston E**, **O'Neill K**, **McIlreavey L**, **McGrath SJ**,
Gilpin DF, **Downey DG**, **Reid A**, **McElvaney NG**, **Boucher RC**, **Muhlebach MS**,
Elborn JS, **Tunney MM**. 2019. Assessment of Stability and Fluctuations of Cultured
Lower Airway Bacterial Communities in People with Cystic Fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros
18:808–816.

34.   **Bolyen E**, **Rideout JR**, **Dillon MR**, **Bokulich NA**, **Abnet CC**, **Al-Ghalith GA**,
Alexander H, **Alm EJ**, **Arumugam M**, **Asnicar F**, **Bai Y**, **Bisanz JE**, **Bittinger K**,
Brejnrod A, **Brislawn CJ**, **Brown CT**, **Callahan BJ**, **Caraballo-Rodríguez AM**,
Chase J, **Cope EK**, **Da Silva R**, **Diener C**, **Dorrestein PC**, **Douglas GM**, **Durall**
DM, **Duvallet C**, **Edwardson CF**, **Ernst M**, **Estaki M**, **Fouquier J**, **Gauglitz JM**,
Gibbons SM, **Gibson DL**, **Gonzalez A**, **Gorlick K**, **Guo J**, **Hillmann B**, **Holmes S**,
Holste H, **Huttenhower C**, **Huttley GA**, **Janssen S**, **Jarmusch AK**, **Jiang L**,
Kaehler BD, **Bin Kang K**, **Keefe CR**, **Keim P**, **Kelley ST**, **Knights D**, **Koester I**,
Kosciolek T, **Kreps J**, **Langille MGI**, **Lee J**, **Ley R**, **Liu Y-X**, **Loftfield E**,

Lozupone C, Maher M, Marotz C, Martin BD, McDonald D, McIver LJ, Melnik
AV, Metcalf JL, Morgan SC, Morton JT, Naimey AT, Navas-Molina JA, Nothias
LF, Orchanian SB, Pearson T, Peoples SL, Petras D, Preuss ML, Pruesse E,
Rasmussen LB, Rivers A, Robeson MS, Rosenthal P, Segata N, Shaffer M, Shiffer
A, Sinha R, Song SJ, Spear JR, Swafford AD, Thompson LR, Torres PJ, Trinh P,
Tripathi A, Turnbaugh PJ, Ul-Hasan S, van der Hooft JJJ, Vargas F, Vázquez-
Baeza Y, Vogtmann E, Hippel von M, Walters W, Wan Y, Wang M, Warren J,
Weber KC, Williamson CHD, Willis AD, Xu ZZ, Zaneveld JR, Zhang Y, Zhu Q,
Knight R, Caporaso JG. 2019. Reproducible, Interactive, Scalable and Extensible
Microbiome Data Science Using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol **37**:852–857.

35. **Goslee SC**, **Urban DL**. 2007. The Ecodist Package for Dissimilarity-Based Analysis
of Ecological Data. Journal of Statistical Software, 22(7):1–19.

36. **Jari Oksanen, F. Guillaume Blanchet, Michael Friendly, Roeland Kindt, Pierre
Legendre, Dan McGlinn, Peter R. Minchin, R. B. O'Hara, Gavin L. Simpson,
Peter Solymos, M. Henry, H. Stevens, Eduard Szoecs and Helene Wagner**. 2020.
vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-7. https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=vegan.

37. **Kassambara A**, **Mundt F**. 2020. factoextra: Extract and Visualize the Results of
Multivariate Data Analyses. R package version 1.0.7. https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=factoextra.

38. **Andy Liaw and Matthew Wiener**. 2002. Classification and Regression By

randomForest. R package version 4.6-14. https://CRAN.R-

project.org/package=randomForest

39. **Giorgio Alfredo Spedicato**. 2017. Discrete Time Markov Chains with R. R package

version 0.8.5-4. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=markovchain

40. **Bray JR**, **Curtis JT**. 1957. An Ordination of the Upland Forest Communities of

Southern Wisconsin. Ecological Monographs **27**:325–349.

41. **Namkung J**. 2020. Machine Learning Methods for Microbiome Studies. J Microbiol

58:206–216.

42. **Sneath, P., Sokal, R.** 1962. Numerical Taxonomy**. Nature. 193**: 855–860

43. **Madan JC**, **Koestler DC**, **Stanton BA**, **Davidson L**, **Moulton LA**, **Housman ML**,

Moore JH, **Guill MF**, **Morrison HG**, **Sogin ML**, **Hampton TH**, **Karagas MR**,

Palumbo PE, **Foster JA**, **Hibberd PL**, **O'Toole GA**. 2012. Serial Analysis of the Gut

and Respiratory Microbiome in Cystic Fibrosis in Infancy: Interaction Between

Intestinal and Respiratory Tracts and Impact of Nutritional Exposures. mBio

3:e00251–12–e00251–12.

44. **Price KE**, **Hampton TH**, **Gifford AH**, **Dolben EL**, **Hogan DA**, **Morrison HG**,

Sogin ML, **O'Toole GA**. 2013. Unique Microbial Communities Persist in Individual

Cystic Fibrosis Patients throughout a Clinical Exacerbation. Microbiome **1**:27–1.

45.   **Harris JK**, **Wagner BD**, **Zemanick ET**, **Robertson CE**, **Stevens MJ**, **Heltshe SL**,

Rowe SM, **Sagel SD**. 2020. Changes in Airway Microbiome and Inflammation with

Ivacaftor Treatment in Patients with Cystic Fibrosis and the G551D Mutation. Annals

of the American Thoracic Society **17**:212–220.

46.   **Tibshirani R**, **Walther G**, **Hastie T**. 2001. Estimating the Number of Clusters in a

Data Set Via the Gap Statistic. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B

(Statistical Methodology) **63**:411–423.

47.   **Tibshirani R**, **Walther G**. 2012. Cluster Validation by Prediction Strength. Journal of

Computational and Graphical Statistics.

48.   **Stearns JC**, **Davidson CJ**, **McKeon S**, **Whelan FJ**, **Fontes ME**, **Schryvers AB**,

Bowdish DME, **Kellner JD**, **Surette MG**. 2015. Culture and Molecular-Based

Profiles Show Shifts in Bacterial Communities of the Upper Respiratory Tract that

Occur with Age. The ISME Journal **9**:1246–1259.

49.   **Stressmann FA**, **Rogers GB**, **van der Gast CJ**, **Marsh P**, **Vermeer LS**, **Carroll MP**,

Hoffman L, **Daniels TWV**, **Patel N**, **Forbes B**, **Bruce KD**. 2012. Long-Term

Cultivation-Independent Microbial Diversity Analysis Demonstrates that Bacterial

Communities Infecting the Adult Cystic Fibrosis Lung Show Stability and Resilience.

Thorax **67**:867–873.

50.   **Zemanick ET**, **Wagner BD**, **Robertson CE**, **Ahrens RC**, **Chmiel JF**, **Clancy JP**,

Gibson RL, **Harris WT**, **Kurland G**, **Laguna TA**, **McColley SA**, **McCoy K**,

Retsch-Bogart G, **Sobush KT**, **Zeitlin PL**, **Stevens MJ**, **Accurso FJ**, **Sagel SD**,

746    **Harris JK**. 2017. Airway Microbiota Across Age and Disease Spectrum in Cystic

747    Fibrosis. Eur Respir J **50**:1700832.

748 51.  **Flight WG**, **Smith A**, **Paisey C**, **Marchesi JR**, **Bull MJ**, **Norville PJ**, **Mutton KJ**,

749    **Webb AK**, **Bright-Thomas RJ**, **Jones AM**, **Mahenthiralingam E**, **McAdam AJ**.

750    2015. Rapid Detection of Emerging Pathogens and Loss of Microbial Diversity

751    Associated with Severe Lung Disease in Cystic Fibrosis. Journal of Clinical

752    Microbiology **53**:2022–2029.

753 52.  **Breiman L**. 2001. Random Forests. Machine Learning **45**:5–32.

754 53.  **Conrad DJ**, **Billings J**, **Teneback C**, **Koff J**, **Rosenbluth D**, **Bailey BA**, **Jain R**.

755    2021. Multi-Dimensional Clinical Phenotyping of a National Cohort of Adult Cystic

756    Fibrosis Patients. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis **20**:91–96.

757 54.  **Conrad D**, **Haynes M**, **Salamon P**, **Rainey PB**, **Youle M**, **Rohwer F**. 2013. Cystic

758    Fibrosis Therapy: A Community Ecology Perspective. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol

759    **48**:150–156.

760 55.  **Muhlebach MS**, **Zorn BT**, **Esther CR**, **Hatch JE**, **Murray CP**, **Turkovic L**,

761    **Ranganathan SC**, **Boucher RC**, **Stick SM**, **Wolfgang MC**. 2018. Initial Acquisition

762    and Succession of The Cystic Fibrosis Lung Microbiome is Associated with Disease

763    Progression in Infants and Preschool Children. PLoS Pathog **14**:e1006798.

764 56.  **Nelson MT**, **Wolter DJ**, **Eng A**, **Weiss EJ**, **Vo AT**, **Brittnacher MJ**, **Hayden HS**,

765    **Ravishankar S**, **Bautista G**, **Ratjen A**, **Blackledge M**, **McNamara S**, **Nay L**,

766    **Majors C**, **Miller SI**, **Borenstein E**, **Simon RH**, **LiPuma JJ**, **Hoffman LR**. 2020.

Maintenance Tobramycin Primarily Affects Untargeted Bacteria in the CF Sputum

Microbiome. Thorax **75**:780–790.

57.   **Heirali AA**, **Acosta N**, **Storey DG**, **Workentine ML**, **Somayaji R**, **Laforest**

Lapointe I, **Leung W**, **Quon BS**, **Berthiaume Y**, **Rabin HR**, **Waddell BJ**, **Rossi L**,

Surette MG, **Parkins MD**. 2019. The Effects ff Cycled Inhaled Aztreonam on the

Cystic Fibrosis (CF) Lung Microbiome. J Cyst Fibros **18**:829–837.

58.   **Grinwis ME**, **Sibley CD**, **Parkins MD**, **Eshaghurshan CS**, **Rabin HR**, **Surette MG**.

2010. Characterization of *Streptococcus milleri* Group Isolates from Expectorated

Sputum of Adult Patients with Cystic Fibrosis. Journal of Clinical Microbiology

48:395–401.

59.   **Vandeplassche E**, **Sass A**, **Ostyn L**, **Burmølle M**, **Kragh KN**, **Bjarnsholt T**, **Coenye**

T, **Crabbé A**. 2020. Antibiotic Susceptibility of Cystic Fibrosis Lung Microbiome

Members in a Multispecies Biofilm. Biofilm **2**:100031.