A robust SARS-CoV-2 replication model in primary human

2 epithelial cells at the air liquid interface to assess antiviral

3 agents

Thuc Nguyen Dan Do¹, Arnab K. Chatterjee², Philippe A. Gallay³, Michael D. Bobardt³, Laura Vangeel¹,
 Steven De Jonghe¹, Johan Neyts¹, Dirk Jochmans¹

- ¹ KU Leuven Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, Rega Institute, Laboratory
 of Virology and Chemotherapy, Leuven, Belgium.
- 8 ² CALIBR Department of Medicinal Chemistry, the Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA.
- ³ CALIBR Department of Immunology and Microbial Science, the Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA,
 USA.
- 11 Email: johan.neyts@kuleuven.be and dirk.jochmans@kuleuven.be

12 ABSTRACT

- 13 There are, besides Remdesivir (RDV), no approved antivirals for the treatment and/or prophylaxis of SARS-14 CoV-2 infections. To aid in the search for antivirals against this virus, we explored the use of human
- tracheal airway epithelial cells (HAEC) and human small airway epithelial cells (HsAEC) grown at the
- 16 air/liquid interface (ALI) and infected at the apical side with either one of two different SARS-CoV-2
- isolates. The virus was shown to replicate to high titers for extended periods of time (at least 8 days) and,
 in particular an isolate with the D614G in the spike (S) protein did so more efficiently at 35°C than at 37°C.
- 19 The effect of a selected panel of reference drugs that were added to the culture medium at the basolateral
- side of the system was explored. GS-441524 (the parent nucleoside of Remdesivir), EIDD-1931 (the active
- metabolite of Molnupiravir) and IFN (β 1 and λ 1) all resulted in a dose-dependent inhibition of viral RNA
- 22 and infectious virus titers at the apical side. However, AT-511 (a guanosine nucleotide previously reported
- 23 to inhibit SARS-CoV-2) failed to inhibit viral replication. Together, these results provide a reference for
- 24 further studies aimed at selecting SARS-CoV-2 inhibitors for further preclinical and clinical development.

25 KEY WORDS

- 26 SARS-CoV-2, antivirals, primary human airway epithelial cells, HAEC, GS-441524, EIDD-1931, AT-511, IFN
- 27

28 INTRODUCTION

29 Besides Remdesivir (RDV), there are no approved antivirals for the treatment and/or prophylaxis of SARS-30 CoV-2 infections, although the clinical benefit of RDV is still a matter of debate¹. Major efforts are ongoing 31 to develop novel antiviral drugs. To aid in their development physiological relevant models are needed, in 32 particular because typically immortal cell lines also originating from non-respiratory (and often non-33 human) tissue are being used in early preclinical studies. For example, VeroE6, a widely used cell line in 34 SARS-CoV-2 studies is defective in the expression of main the SARS-CoV-2 receptors (angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2)). Hence, screenings 35 36 campaigns often result in the discovery of antiviral agents that regulate autophagy pathways and 37 endosomal-lysosomal maturation which may not be pertinent or translatable as SARS-CoV-2 therapies². 38 Meanwhile, air-liquid interface of differentiated primary human airway epithelial cells (HAEC) possess the 39 architecture and cellular complexity of human lung tissue and are permissive to variety of respiratory viral infections^{3,4}. Containing all relevant cell types of the lower respiratory tract (ciliated, goblet and basal 40 41 cells) which includes ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expressing cells, this system allows to dissect the host-pathogen 42 interactions at the molecular and cellular levels and provides a platform for the profiling of antiviral drugs.

In this study, we explored the effect of a selected number of reported SARS-CoV-2 inhibitors in HAEC ALI
 cultures on the replication of different SARS-CoV-2 isolates. Our results provide a reference set of data for

- 45 the preclinical development of SARS-CoV-2 inhibitors.
- 46
- 47

48 MATERIALS AND METHODS

49 Cells and virus isolates

50 The African monkey kidney cell line VeroE6 tagged green fluorescent protein (VeroE6-GFP, kindly provided 51 by M. van Loock, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Beerse, Belgium) and VeroE6 were maintained in Dulbecco's 52 modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco, catalogue no. 41965-039) supplemented with 10% v/v heat-53 inactivated foetal bovine serum (HI-FBS; HyClone, catalogue no. SV03160.03), 1% v/v sodium bicarbonate 54 7.5% w/v (NaHCO₃; Gibco, catalogue no. 25080-060), and 1% v/v Penicillin-Streptomycin 10000 U/mL (P/S; 55 Gibco, catalogue no. 15140148) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The hepatocellular carcinoma cell line Huh7 (kindly 56 provided by Ralf Bartenschlager, University of Heidelberg, Germany) was propagated in DMEM supplemented with 10% HI-FBS, 1% NaHCO₃, 1% P/S, 1% non-essential amino acids (NEAA; Gibco, 57 58 catalogue no. 11140050), and 2% HEPES 1M (Gibco, catalogue no. 15630106) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. All 59 assays involving virus growth were performed in the respective cell growth medium containing 2% 60 (VeroE6-GFP) or 4% (Huh7) instead of 10% FBS.

61 SARS-CoV-2 isolate BetaCoV/Germany/BavPat1/2020 (EPI ISL 406862 2020-01-28, kindly provided by C. 62 Drosten, Charité, Berlin, Germany) and BetaCov/Belgium/GHB-03021/2020 (EPI_ISL_407976|2020-02-03) 63 retrieved from RT-qPCR-confirmed COVID-19 positive patients in January and February 2020 were 64 described previously^{5,6}. The generation of virus stocks by serial passaging in Huh-7 and VeroE6 cells were 65 fully reported^{7,8}. BavPat1 isolate (passage 2 (P2)) and GHB-03021 isolate (P6 and P7) were used for the air 66 liquid-interface experiment while only the latter was used for standard in vitro assays in VeroE6-GFP cells 67 (P6 and P7) and in Huh7 cells (P9). The genomic sequence of both isolates is highly similar. BavPat1 carries 68 the D614G amino acid change in the spike-protein while the GHB-03021 has a ΔTQTNS deletion at 676-69 680 residues that is typical for SARS2 strains that have been passaged several times on VeroE6 cells. All 70 infectious virus-containing works were conducted in biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) and 3+ (CAPs-IT) facilities at 71 the Rega Institute for Medical Research, KU Leuven, according to institutional guidelines.

72 Compounds

73 GS-441524 and EIDD-1931 were purchased from Carbosynth (United Kingdom) and R&D Systems (USA) 74 respectively. Stock solutions (10 mM) were prepared using analytical grade dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). 75 AT-511 was synthesized and chemically validated at the California Institute for Biochemical Research 76 (Calibr) (La Jolla, CA) and used as a 10 mM DMSO solution. The biological activity of AT-511 was confirmed 77 in an antiviral assay with hepatitis C (data not shown). IFN $\lambda 1$ was purchased from R&D Systems and IFN 78 β -1a was a kind gift from the laboratory of Immunobiology (Rega Institute, KU Leuven, Belgium), which 79 were reconstituted in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Life Technologies) containing at least 0.1% 80 FBS.

81 In vitro standard antiviral and toxicity assays

82 VeroE6-GFP cells were seeded at a density of 25000 cells/well in 96-well plates (Greiner Bio One, 83 catalogue no. 655090) and pre-treated with three-fold serial dilutions of the compounds overnight. On 84 the next day (day 0), cells were infected with the SARS-CoV-2 inoculum at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) 85 of 0.001 median tissue infectious dose (TCID50) per cell. The number of fluorescent pixels of GFP signal 86 determined by High-Content Imaging (HCI) on day 4 post-infection (p.i.) was used as a read-out. Percentage of inhibition was calculated by subtracting background (number of fluorescent pixels in the 87 88 untreated-infected control wells) and normalizing to the untreated-uninfected control wells (also 89 background subtracted). The 50% effective concentration (EC_{50} , the concentration of compound required 90 for fifty percent recovery of cell-induced fluorescence) was determined using logarithmic interpolation. 91 Potential toxicity of compounds was assessed in a similar set-up in treated-uninfected cultures where

92 metabolic activity was quantified at day 5 using the MTS assay as described earlier⁹. The 50% cytotoxic 93 concentration (CC_{50} , the concentration at which cell viability reduced to 50%) was calculated by 94 logarithmic interpolation.

Huh7 cells were pre-seeded at 6000 cells/well in 96 well-plates (Corning, catalogue no.3300) and
incubated overnight at 37°C and 5% CO₂. On day 0, cells were firstly treated with the three-fold serial
dilution of a potential antiviral, followed by either the inoculation of SARS-CoV-2 at MOI of 0.0037
TCID50/cell or addition of fresh medium. After 4 days, differences in cell viability caused by virus-induced
cytopathic effect (CPE) or by compound-specific toxicity were evaluated using MTS assays. The EC₅₀ and

100 CC₅₀ were calculated as above-mentioned.

101 Viral infection of reconstituted human airway epithelium cells

102 Tracheal HAEC (catalogue no. EP01MD) and human small airway epithelium cells (HsAEC) (catalogue no. 103 EP21SA) from healthy donors were obtained from Epithelix (Geneva, Switzerland) in an air-liquid 104 interphase set-up. After arrival, the insert was washed with pre-warmed 1x PBS (Gibco, catalogue no. 105 14190-094) and maintained in corresponding MucilAir medium (Epithelix, catalogue no. EP04MM) or 106 SmallAir medium (Epithelix, catalogue no. EP64SA) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for at least 4 days before use. On 107 the day of the experiment, the H(s)AEC were first pre-treated with basal medium containing compounds 108 at different concentrations for indicated hours, followed by exposing to 100 µL of SARS-CoV-2 inoculum 109 from apical side for 1.5 hours. Then the cultures were incubated at the indicated temperatures. The first 110 apical wash with PBS was collected either right after the removal of viral inoculum (day 0) or 24 hours 111 later (day 1 post-infection (p.i.)). Every other day from day 0, subsequent apical washes were collected 112 whereas compound-containing medium in the basolateral side of the H(s)AEC culture was refreshed. 113 Wash fluid was stored at -80°C for following experiments.

114 RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-qPCR)

115 Viral RNA in the apical wash was isolated using the Cells-to-cDNA[™] II cell lysis buffer kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalogue no. AM8723). Briefly, 5 µL wash fluid was added to 50 µL lysis buffer, incubated at 116 117 room temperature (RT) for 10 min and then at 75°C for 15 min. 150 µL nuclease-free water was 118 additionally added to the mixture prior to RT-qPCR. In parallel, a ten-fold serial dilution of corresponding 119 virus stock was extracted. The amount of viral RNA expressed as TCID50 equivalent per insert 120 (TCID50e/insert) was quantified by RT-qPCR using iTaq universal probes one-step kit (Bio-Rad, catalogue 121 1725141), and a commercial mix of primers for N gene (forward primer 5'no. 122 GACCCCAAAATCAGCGAAAT-3', reverse primer 5'-TCTGGTTACTGCCAGTTGAATCTG-3') and probes (5'-FAM-ACCCCGCATTACGTTTGGTGGACC-BHQ1-3') manufactured at IDT Technologies (catalogue no. 123 124 10006606). The reaction (final volume: 20 µL) consisted of 10 µL one-step reaction mix 2X , 0.5 µL reverse 125 transcriptase, 1.5 µL of primers and probes mix, 4 µL nuclease-free water, and 4 µL viral RNA. The RT-qPCR 126 was executed on a Lightcycler 96 thermocycler (Roche), starting at 50°C for 15 min and 95°C for 2 min, 127 followed by 45 cycles of 3 sec at 95°C and 30 sec at 55°C.

128 Titration using a 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50) assay

VeroE6 cells were seeded in 96-well tissue culture plates at a density of 1×10⁴ cells/180 μL/well. After 24
 hours, serial 10-fold dilutions of ALI wash fluid were prepared in the plates. Cells were incubated for 3
 days at 37°C and evaluated microscopically for the absence or presence of virus induced cytopathic effect
 (CPE). The infectious viral titer was determined by end-point titration, expressed as TCID50/ml. Virus titers
 were calculated by using the Spearman and Karber method as previously reported^{10,11}.

134 Statistical analysis

135 All statistical comparisons in the study were performed in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc.).

Statistical significance was determined using the ordinary one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple
 comparison test. P-values of ≤0.05 were considered significant.

139 RESULTS

140 Replication kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 in reconstituted human airway epithelia

141 We first compared the replication kinetics of the Belgian isolate GHB-03021 and the German isolate 142 BavPat1. The main differences in the genomes of these viruses is the D614G amino acid change in the 143 spike-protein of BavPat1 and the deletion of several amino acids near the furin-cleavage site in the GHB-144 03021 isolate (because of extensive passaging in VeroE6 cells). The replication kinetics was investigated at respectively 35 and 37°C in both cultures from tracheal cells (HAEC) or from small airway cells (HsAEC). 145 146 In preliminary experiments, it was observed that an input of 10^2 , 10^3 or 10^4 TCID50/insert resulted in 147 comparable levels of virus production (data not shown). We therefore selected 2×10³ TCID50/insert as 148 the viral input for this experiment. Overall, BavPat1 infected the cultures more efficiently than the GHB-149 03021 isolate did (Fig. 1). For example, at 37°C not all bronchiole-airway derived inserts infected with 150 GHB-03021 resulted in a productive infection whereas all cultures infected with BavPat1 showed 151 productive infection under all conditions. Virus replication was for both isolates higher at 35°C and more 152 reproducible as compared with a temperature of 37°C. This difference was more pronounced for the 153 HsAEC than for the HAEC cultures.

154

155 Effect of selected antivirals on SARS-CoV-2 replication in HAEC cultures.

Three nucleoside analogues that are known as inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 replication were selected as reference for studies in HAEC cultures. These included GS-441524¹²⁻¹⁶ (the parent nucleoside of RDV), EIDD-1931¹⁶⁻¹⁸ (the active metabolite of Molnupiravir) and AT-511¹⁷ [a guanosine nucleotide analogue with activity against hepatitis C virus (HCV)]. In order to select a suitable concentration range of these molecules to be used in the HAEC cultures, we first explored their effect in VeroE6 and Huh7 cell lines. Both GS-441524 and EIDD-1931 selectively inhibited SARS-CoV-2 replication. On the other hand, AT-511 was surprisingly entirely devoid of antiviral activity (Table 1).

163 At 10 µM, GS-441524 sterilized the HAEC cultures from the GHB-03021 virus. Indeed, no virus production 164 was detected during the first 9 days of treatment and when treatment was stopped, no rebound was 165 observed over the next 5 days of culturing. When evaluated at a concentration of 1 μ M, GS-441524 reduced virus yield by ~1 log₁₀ during the time of treatment, but lost activity once the compound was 166 167 removed from the culture. In a separate experiment, GS-441524 at 3 μ M resulted in complete inhibition 168 of virus production upon infection with BavPat1 (Fig. 2F-H). Also, 10 µM of EIDD-1931 resulted in a pronounced antiviral effect (Fig. 3F-J). AT-511, however, at the various concentrations tested (1 and 10 169 170 μ M) was devoid of an antiviral effect (Fig. 3A-E).

171 Prophylactic interferon type I and type III reduce SARS-CoV-2 production

172 Human IFN has been used to treat several viral infections^{19,20} and recently clinical trialsagainst SARS-CoV-2 are ongoing (ClinicalTrials.gov number: NCT04315948, NCT04385095, and NCT04492475). Therefore, 173 we investigated whether IFN β -1a and IFN λ 1 exert activity when used as a prophylactic monotherapy. 174 175 Tracheal cultures were pre-treated with either 5 and 50 ng/mL IFN λ 1 (5 ng/mL is the average concentration secreted in the basal medium of infected HAEC cultures²¹) or 1 and 100 IU/mL IFN β -1a for 176 177 24 hours, and subsequently infected with BavPat1. Both drugs were able to reduce viral titers in a dose-178 dependent manner (Fig. 4A, 4F). Viral loads were reduced by 100 IU/mL IFN β -1a (3.3 log₁₀ vRNA 179 reduction, 3.6 log₁₀ titer reduction) and 50 ng/mL IFN λ 1 (4.2 logs vRNA reduction, 5.0 log₁₀ titer reduction) on day 4 p.i. (Fig. 4B, 4D, 4G, 4I respectively). At later time points viral load in the treated samples 180 181 increased again.

182

183 DISCUSSION

184 We demonstrate that ex vivo models reconstituted from human tracheal or small airway epithelium are permissive for SARS-CoV-2 infection and robustly produces viral progenies from the apical side in long-185 186 term experiments (up to 14 days p.i.). Recent studies report on the effect of different SARS-CoV-2 isolates and incubation temperatures on virus replication kinetics²²⁻²⁵. We used two isolates, BavPat1 and GHB-187 03021, whereby the BavPat1 provedto be more readily infectious. The BavPat1 isolate carries the p.D614G 188 189 substitution in the spike (S) protein while the GHB-03021 has a deletion of several amino-acids in the 190 S1/S2 boundary that is typically found in a VeroE6-adapted isolates⁷. The spike substitution D614G has 191 been reported to increase the stability and infectivity of virions in HAEC culture by enhancing the ACE2-192 receptor-binding^{26,27}. Isolates with this substitution have become globally dominant²⁶⁻²⁹. Meanwhile, it has been noted that the continued propagation of SARS-CoV-2 in Vero cells causes several substitutions or 193 194 deletions in the S1/S2 boundary^{25,30-33}, which are only rarely observed in clinical samples^{31,32}. We speculate 195 that the adaptation to Vero cells results in a phenotype that allows more efficient entry through an ACE2-196 independent pathway. This entry mechanism would enhance entry in VeroE6 cells but would limit entry

197 in primary lung epithelial cells. Further mechanistic studies are required to elucidate this hypothesis.

198 The anatomical distance and ambient temperature between upper and lower human respiratory tracts

have a profound influence on the replication kinetics of respiratory viruses^{22,34-36}. In agreement with other

studies, we observed SARS-CoV-2 growth in favour of lower temperature (35°C) which can be attributed
 to the temperature preference of SARS-CoV-2 S protein for its folding and transport^{24,37}. Altogether, both

202 primary HAEC and HsAEC cultures are shown to be a robust model for SARS-CoV-2 replication that can be

203 used for antiviral drug profiling.

204 A promising target for the development of novel antiviral agents active against coronaviruses is the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp)³⁸. Remdesivir, a phosphoramidate prodrug of an adenosine C-205 206 nucleoside, has been approved as the first COVID-19 therapy. However, its effectiveness is still a matter 207 of debate¹. In addition, it has a challenging pharmacological profile allowing intravenous administration 208 only³⁹⁻⁴¹. We demonstrate that the parent nucleoside GS-441524¹²⁻¹⁶ can "sterilize" H(s)AEC cultures from 209 SARS-CoV2 as no rebound of the virus was noted several days after removal of the molecule. Differences 210 in antiviral potencies of RDV and GS-441524 have been reported depending on the cell lines used, which correlates with the formation of the biologically active (5'-triphosphate) metabolite^{12,13}. Data from a 211 212 pharmacokinetic study in mice suggests that GS-441524 could possibly be considered as an oral drug¹².

AT-527 is currently being evaluated in phase II clinical trials for COVID-19 (ClinicalTrials.gov). Surprisingly, we did not observe anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity of AT-511, the free base form of AT-527, in VeroE6 and Huh7 cells, nor did we observe antiviral activity in the HAEC cultures. This is in contrast with a recent publication where sub-micromolar activities of AT-511 were observed in very similar assay systems¹⁷. At this moment we have no explanation for this discrepancy. One possibility is that small differences in the assay conditions may influence the metabolization of AT-511 to its active form and thus influence its antiviral activity. As AT-511 is a double pro-drug it may be more susceptible to these nuances.

In addition to RDV and AT-511, we also investigated the effect of the nucleoside analogue EIDD-1931 which is the active metabolite of the ester prodrug Molnupiravir (EIDD-2801). EIDD-1931 has been reported to exert antiviral activity against various human coronaviruses and Molnupiravir is currently in clinical trials for SARS-CoV-2^{17,18}. Initial interim data from a phase II study provides first evidence for antiviral activity in COVID patients (<u>https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/reduction-in-infectious-</u> sars-cov-2-in-treatment-study-of-covid-19-with-molnupiravir/). Like GS-441524, EIDD-1931 also results in

a pronounced antiviral effect in the human airway epithelium cell cultures, which is consistent with
 another report¹⁸.

Also, a significant inhibitory effect of IFN β -1a and IFN λ 1 was noted, although at high concentrations and in particular during the first days of the treatment. At later time-points, viral replication increased in the treated cultures, suggesting that the virus can escape the effect of IFN. The effective concentration of IFN β -1a used in this study is comparable with the clinically achievable concentration and is in line with other reports^{19,20,42}.

In conclusion, we assessed (i) the replication of two SARS-CoV-2 isolates in H(s)AEC cultures and (ii) the
 antiviral effect of a selected list of inhibitors. These data provide a reference when developing yet other
 inhibitors of SARS-CoV2 replication.

236 Acknowledgements

237 We thank Birgit Voeten, Niels Cremers, Tina van Buyten and Thibault Francken for their excellent technical

assistance. We also thank Piet Maes for kindly providing the SARS-CoV-2 GHB-03021/2020 isolateused in

this study. This research was supported by Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) under grant number

240 INV-006366. T.N.D.D received the fellowship from European Union's Horizon 2020 research and

innovation programme under Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 812673 (OrganoVIR project).
 Part of this research work was performed using the 'Caps-It' research infrastructure (project ZW13-02)

that was financially supported by the Hercules Foundation and Rega Foundation, KU Leuven.

244 **Conflict of interest**

All authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

246 Author contributions

247 J.N. and D.J. conceptualized and supervised the project. T.N.D.D and D.J. designed the research. T.N.D.D.

248 performed the ALI-related experiments. T.N.D.D. analysed data. A.J.C, P.A.G, and M.D.B. characterized

AT-511 structure and tested its activity against HCV. T.N.D.D. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. D.J.,

250 S.D.J., L.V., and J.N. edited the manuscript. L.V., D.J. and J.N. acquired the funding.

251

253 **Reference**

- Consortium WHOST, Pan H, Peto R, et al. Repurposed Antiviral Drugs for Covid-19 Interim WHO
 Solidarity Trial Results. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;384(6):497-511.
- Murgolo N, Therien AG, Howell B, et al. SARS-CoV-2 tropism, entry, replication, and propagation:
 Considerations for drug discovery and development. *PLoS Pathog.* 2021;17(2):e1009225.
- 2583.Boda B, Benaoudia S, Huang S, et al. Antiviral drug screening by assessing epithelial functions and259innate immune responses in human 3D airway epithelium model. Antiviral Res. 2018;156:72-79.
- Loo SL, Wark PAB, Esneau C, Nichol KS, Hsu AC, Bartlett NW. Human coronaviruses 229E and OC43
 replicate and induce distinct antiviral responses in differentiated primary human bronchial
 epithelial cells. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* 2020;319(6):L926-L931.
- 2635.Bohmer MM, Buchholz U, Corman VM, et al. Investigation of a COVID-19 outbreak in Germany264resulting from a single travel-associated primary case: a case series. Lancet Infect Dis.2652020;20(8):920-928.
- 2666.Dellicour S, Durkin K, Hong SL, et al. A Phylodynamic Workflow to Rapidly Gain Insights into the267Dispersal History and Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 Lineages. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2020.
- Boudewijns R, Thibaut HJ, Kaptein SJF, et al. STAT2 signaling restricts viral dissemination but drives
 severe pneumonia in SARS-CoV-2 infected hamsters. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):5838.

Rut W, Groborz K, Zhang L, et al. SARS-CoV-2 M(pro) inhibitors and activity-based probes for
 patient-sample imaging. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2021;17(2):222-228.

- Jochmans D, Leyssen P, Neyts J. A novel method for high-throughput screening to quantify
 antiviral activity against viruses that induce limited CPE. *J Virol Methods*. 2012;183(2):176-179.
- 27410.Kärber G. Beitrag zur kollektiven Behandlung pharmakologischer Reihenversuche. Naunyn-275Schmiedebergs Archiv für experimentelle Pathologie und Pharmakologie. 1931;162(4):480-483.
- 276 11. Spearman C. The method of 'right and wrong cases' ('constant stimuli') without Gauss's formulae.
 277 British Journal of Psychology.2:227-242.
- Li Y, Cao L, Li G, et al. Remdesivir Metabolite GS-441524 Effectively Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 Infection
 in Mouse Models. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. 2021.
- Pruijssers AJ, George AS, Schafer A, et al. Remdesivir Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 in Human Lung Cells and
 Chimeric SARS-CoV Expressing the SARS-CoV-2 RNA Polymerase in Mice. *Cell Rep.* 2020;32(3):107940.
- Shi Y, Shuai L, Wen Z, et al. The Preclinical Inhibitor GS441524 in Combination with GC376
 Efficaciously Inhibited the Proliferation of SARS-CoV-2 in the Mouse Respiratory Tract. *bioRxiv*.
 2020:2020.2011.2012.380931.
- 28615.Xie X, Muruato AE, Zhang X, et al. A nanoluciferase SARS-CoV-2 for rapid neutralization testing287and screening of anti-infective drugs for COVID-19. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):5214.
- 288 16. Zandi K, Amblard F, Musall K, et al. Repurposing Nucleoside Analogs for Human Coronaviruses.
 289 Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2020;65(1).
- 17. Good SS, Westover J, Jung KH, et al. AT-527, a double prodrug of a guanosine nucleotide analog,
 is a potent inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro and a promising oral antiviral for treatment of COVID 19. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2021.
- Sheahan TP, Sims AC, Zhou S, et al. An orally bioavailable broad-spectrum antiviral inhibits SARS CoV-2 in human airway epithelial cell cultures and multiple coronaviruses in mice. *Sci Transl Med.* 2020;12(541).
- 29619.Lokugamage KG, Hage A, de Vries M, et al. Type I Interferon Susceptibility Distinguishes SARS-297CoV-2 from SARS-CoV. J Virol. 2020;94(23).

- 298 20. Strayer DR, Dickey R, Carter WA. Sensitivity of SARS/MERS CoV to interferons and other drugs
 299 based on achievable serum concentrations in humans. *Infect Disord Drug Targets*. 2014;14(1):37300 43.
- Essaidi-Laziosi M, Brito F, Benaoudia S, et al. Propagation of respiratory viruses in human airway
 epithelia reveals persistent virus-specific signatures. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2018;141(6):2074 2084.
- Corman VM, Eckerle I, Memish ZA, et al. Link of a ubiquitous human coronavirus to dromedary
 camels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016;113(35):9864-9869.
- 30623.Pohl MO, Busnadiego I, Kufner V, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Variants Reveal Features Critical for307Replication in Primary Human Cells. *bioRxiv*. 2021:2020.2010.2022.350207.
- V'kovski P, Gultom M, Kelly J, et al. Disparate temperature-dependent virus host dynamics for
 SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV in the human respiratory epithelium. *bioRxiv*.
 2020:2020.2004.2027.062315.
- 31125.Zhu Y, Feng F, Hu G, et al. The S1/S2 boundary of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein modulates cell entry312pathways and transmission. *bioRxiv*. 2020:2020.2008.2025.266775.
- 31326.Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S, et al. Tracking Changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: Evidence that314D614G Increases Infectivity of the COVID-19 Virus. Cell. 2020;182(4):812-827.e819.
- Yurkovetskiy L, Wang X, Pascal KE, et al. Structural and Functional Analysis of the D614G SARS CoV-2 Spike Protein Variant. *Cell*. 2020;183(3):739-751 e738.
- 28. Plante JA, Liu Y, Liu J, et al. Spike mutation D614G alters SARS-CoV-2 fitness. *Nature*. 2020.
- 29. Zhang L, Jackson CB, Mou H, et al. The D614G mutation in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein reduces
 S1 shedding and increases infectivity. *bioRxiv*. 2020.
- 30. Davidson AD, Williamson MK, Lewis S, et al. Characterisation of the transcriptome and proteome
 of SARS-CoV-2 reveals a cell passage induced in-frame deletion of the furin-like cleavage site from
 the spike glycoprotein. *Genome Medicine*. 2020;12(1):68.
- 323 31. Lau SY, Wang P, Mok BW, et al. Attenuated SARS-CoV-2 variants with deletions at the S1/S2
 324 junction. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2020;9(1):837-842.
- 32. Liu Z, Zheng H, Lin H, et al. Identification of Common Deletions in the Spike Protein of Severe
 326 Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. *J Virol.* 2020;94(17).
- 327 33. Ogando NS, Dalebout TJ, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, et al. SARS-coronavirus-2 replication in Vero E6
 328 cells: replication kinetics, rapid adaptation and cytopathology. *J Gen Virol.* 2020;101(9):925-940.
- 329 34. Tyrrell DA, Bynoe ML. CULTIVATION OF A NOVEL TYPE OF COMMON-COLD VIRUS IN ORGAN
 330 CULTURES. *Br Med J.* 1965;1(5448):1467-1470.
- 35. Holwerda M, Kelly J, Laloli L, et al. Determining the Replication Kinetics and Cellular Tropism of
 Influenza D Virus on Primary Well-Differentiated Human Airway Epithelial Cells. *Viruses.* 2019;11(4):377.
- 33436.Kendall EJ, Bynoe ML, Tyrrell DA. Virus isolations from common colds occurring in a residential335school. Br Med J. 1962;2(5297):82-86.
- 336 37. Laporte M, Stevaert A, Raeymaekers V, et al. The SARS-CoV-2 and other human coronavirus spike
 proteins are fine-tuned towards temperature and proteases of the human airways. *bioRxiv*.
 2020:2020.2011.2009.374603.
- 33938.te Velthuis AJ. Common and unique features of viral RNA-dependent polymerases. Cell Mol Life340Sci. 2014;71(22):4403-4420.
- 341 39. Sheahan TP, Sims AC, Graham RL, et al. Broad-spectrum antiviral GS-5734 inhibits both epidemic
 342 and zoonotic coronaviruses. *Sci Transl Med.* 2017;9(396):eaal3653.
- Williamson BN, Feldmann F, Schwarz B, et al. Clinical benefit of remdesivir in rhesus macaques
 infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*. 2020;585(7824):273-276.

Warren TK, Jordan R, Lo MK, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of the small molecule GS-5734 against
Ebola virus in rhesus monkeys. *Nature*. 2016;531(7594):381-385.

347 42. Vanderheiden A, Ralfs P, Chirkova T, et al. Type I and Type III Interferons Restrict SARS-CoV-2
348 Infection of Human Airway Epithelial Cultures. *J Virol.* 2020;94(19).

349

351 Legends to the Figures

Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 replication kinetics in air-liquid interface cultures. Viral replication of BavPat1 and GHB-03021 isolates at
 2×10³ TCID50/insert in human tracheal airway epithelia cells (A-D) or in human small airway epithelia cells (E-H) at either 35°C
 (red circle) or 37°C (blue square) in comparison with uninfected control (black triangle). Viral RNA or infectious particles in apical
 washes were quantified by RT-qPCR (A, C, E, G) or by end-point titrations (B, D, F, H), respectively. The results of individual inserts
 are depicted as dots. The line follows the average of each conditions.

357 Figure 2. GS-441524 blocks SARS-CoV-2 replication in primary human airway cultures. Compounds were added to the basal 358 medium at different concentrations, starting from the in vitro EC50. The treatment period is illustrated in grey, initiating at 2 359 hours before infection. Viral RNA or infectious particles in apical washes were quantified by RT-qPCR (A-C, F-G) or by end-point 360 titrations (D-E, H), respectively. (A) Effect of GS-441524 at 10 and 1 µM on the replication of the GHB-03021 isolate. Tracheal 361 issues were infected with 2×10⁴ TCID50/insert at 37°C. The viral production was compared between groups after 9 (B, D) or 14 362 days post-infection (C, E). (F) Activity of GS-441524 at 3 µM on the replication of the BavPat1 isolate. Small airway tissues were 363 infected with BavPat1 isolate at 2×10³ TCID50/insert at 37°C. Viral production was compared between groups at the end of the 364 treatment (G-H). All data are mean ± SD of at least three independent ALI inserts. The dotted lines represent the lower limit of 365 detection (LLOD). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between treated samples and infected untreated control. *p < 0.05, 366 **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001

367 Figure 3. Antiviral activity of nucleoside analogues against SARS-CoV-2 in primary human tracheal airway cultures. Compounds 368 were added to the basal medium at different concentrations, starting 1 hour before infection with BavPat1 isolate at 2×10³ 369 TCID50/insert at 37°C. Basal medium, with or without compounds, was refreshed every other day from day 0 to day 8. Viral RNA 370 or infectious particles in apical washes were quantified by RT-qPCR (A-C, F-H) or by end-point titrations (D-E, I-J), respectively. 371 Dose-response and time-dependent activity of AT-511 (A) and EIDD-1931 (F). Comparison of the viral production between groups 372 after 6 (B, D, G, I) or 8 days post-infection (C, E, H, J). All data are mean ± SD of at least three replicates. The dotted lines represent 373 the lower limit of detection (LLOD). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between treated samples and infected untreated 374 control. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001

375 Figure 4. Prophylactic interferon type I and type III reduce SARS-CoV-2 production. IFNs were added to the basal medium at 376 different concentrations 24 hours before infection with BavPat1 isolate (2×10³ TCID50/insert at 37°C) and medium with or 377 without IFNs was refreshed every other day from day 0 to day 8. Viral RNA or infectious virions in apical washes were quantified 378 by RT-qPCR (A-C, F-H) or by end-point titrations (D-E, I-J), respectively. Dose-response and time-dependent activity of AT-511 (A) 379 and EIDD-1931 (F). The viral production was compared between groups after 4 (B, D, G, I) or 6 days post-infection (C, E, H, J). All 380 data are mean ± SD of at least three replicates. The dotted lines represent the lower limit of detection (LLOD). Asterisks indicate 381 a significant difference between treated samples and infected untreated control. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 382 0.0001

383

385	Table 1. Antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 in cell culture
-----	--

Compounds	VeroE6-GFP			Huh-7		
	EC50 (µM)	CC50 (µM)	SI	EC50 (µM)	CC50 (µM)	SI
GS-441524	0.78 – 0.89	49 - 83	> 50	1.1 – 1.5	37 – 59	> 20
AT-511	> 100	> 100	ND	> 100	> 100	ND
EIDD-1931	0.57 – 0.87	> 100	> 100	1.3 - 1.4	11 - 12	8.5

Data represents the interquartile range (Q1-Q3) obtained from at least two independent experiments, each performed with
 duplicate samples. Abbreviations: SI, selectivity index. ND: not determined







