Disrupting hierarchical control of nitrogen fixation enables carbon-dependent regulation of ammonia excretion in soil diazotrophs

Marcelo Bueno Batista^{a*}, Paul Brett^b, Corinne Appia-Ayme^a, Yi-Ping Wang^{c*}, Ray Dixon^{a*}

^aDepartment of Molecular Microbiology, John Innes Centre, Norwich, NR4 7UH, United Kingdom

^bDepartment of Metabolic Biology, John Innes Centre, Norwich, NR4 7UH, United Kingdom

°State Key Laboratory of Protein and Plant Gene Research, School of Life Sciences

& School of Advanced Agricultural Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

*Correspondence to: marcelo.batista@jic.ac.uk (M.B.B); wangyp@pku.edu.cn

(Y-P.W); ray.dixon@jic.ac.uk (R.D.)

1 Abstract

2 The energetic requirements for biological nitrogen fixation necessitate stringent regulation of this process in response to diverse environmental constraints. To ensure 3 4 that the nitrogen fixation machinery is expressed only under appropriate physiological 5 conditions, the dedicated NifL-NifA regulatory system, prevalent in Proteobacteria, 6 plays a crucial role in integrating signals of the oxygen, carbon and nitrogen status to 7 control transcription of nitrogen fixation (nif) genes. Greater understanding of the 8 intricate molecular mechanisms driving transcriptional control of *nif* genes may provide 9 a blueprint for engineering diazotrophs that associate with cereals. In this study, we 10 investigated the properties of a single amino acid substitution in NifA. (NifA-E356K) 11 which disrupts the hierarchy of *nif* regulation in response to carbon and nitrogen status 12 in Azotobacter vinelandii. The NifA-E356K substitution enabled overexpression of 13 nitrogenase in the presence of excess fixed nitrogen and release of ammonia outside the cell. However, both of these properties were conditional upon the nature of the 14 15 carbon source. Our studies reveal that the uncoupling of nitrogen fixation from its assimilation is likely to result from feedback regulation of glutamine synthetase, 16 allowing surplus fixed nitrogen to be excreted. Reciprocal substitutions in NifA from 17 other Proteobacteria yielded similar properties to the A. vinelandii counterpart, 18 19 suggesting that this variant protein may facilitate engineering of carbon source-20 dependent ammonia excretion amongst diverse members of this family.

21

22 Significance

The NifL-NifA regulatory system provides dedicated signal transduction machinery to regulate nitrogen fixation in diverse Proteobacteria. Understanding how the balance of nitrogen and carbon resources is signalled via NifL-NifA for precise

control of nitrogen fixation may lead to broadly applicable translational outputs. Here, we characterize a NifA variant that bypasses nitrogen regulation but is still dependent on the carbon status to enable ammonia excretion in soil diazotrophs. Disruption of the regulatory hierarchy in response to nitrogen and carbon suggests how the integration of environmental stimuli could be harnessed to engineer conditional release of fixed nitrogen for the benefit of cereal crops.

32

33 Introduction

34 Biological nitrogen fixation requires diversion of reducing equivalents and ATP derived from carbon metabolism to support the high energetic demands of the enzyme 35 nitrogenase, which converts dinitrogen to ammonia. Tight regulatory control provides 36 37 the means to balance energy metabolism with nitrogen fixation and ammonia assimilation so that fixed nitrogen is not limiting under diazotrophic growth conditions. 38 Achieving an appropriate balance between carbon and nitrogen metabolism is 39 40 particularly important for diazotrophic bacteria in order to meet the energetic cost of nitrogen fixation, while thriving in competitive environments. While many studies on 41 42 the regulation of nitrogen fixation have focused on intricate signalling mechanisms responding to the presence of oxygen and fixed nitrogen (1-3), regulation in response 43 44 to the carbon status has not been extensively studied, despite its significance for the 45 energetics of diazotrophy and the interplay required to balance the carbon : nitrogen 46 ratio.

The nitrogen fixation specific, NifL-NifA, regulatory system provides a very sophisticated signal transduction complex for integration and transmission of various environmental cues to the transcriptional apparatus in *Azotobacter vinelandii* to regulate biosynthesis and expression of nitrogenase (reviewed in 8, 9). The anti-

51 activator NifL is a multidomain protein carrying an N-terminal PAS domain (PAS1) that senses the redox status via a FAD co-factor (6, 7). A second PAS domain (PAS2) 52 appears to play a structural role in relaying the redox changes perceived by the PAS1 53 54 domain to the central (H) and C-terminal (GHKL) domains of NifL (8, 9). The latter is responsible for ADP binding (10, 11) and is probably the site of interaction for the GlnK 55 signal transduction protein, allowing integration of the nitrogen input into NifL-NifA 56 57 regulation (12, 13). The protein partner of NifL, the prokaryotic enhancer binding protein NifA, which activates *nif* transcription, is comprised of an N-terminal regulatory 58 59 domain (GAF), a central AAA+ sigma-54 activation domain and a C-terminal DNA binding domain. The regulatory GAF domain of A. vinelandii NifA binds 2-oxoglutarate 60 (14), a TCA cycle intermediate at the interface of carbon and nitrogen metabolism 61 62 (15). NifA can only escape inhibition by NifL, when the GAF domain is saturated with 2-oxoglutarate, thus potentially providing a mechanism for the NifL-NifA system to 63 respond to the carbon status. 64

65 Understanding how the NifL-NifA system integrates diverse regulatory inputs may allow new strategies for engineering diazotrophs with enhanced ability to fix 66 nitrogen and release ammonia to benefit crop nutrition. Ammonia excretion can be 67 achieved in A. vinelandii by engineering constitutive expression of genes required for 68 69 nitrogenase biosynthesis through inactivation of *nifL* (16–19). However, in the absence 70 of active NifL, all the regulatory signal inputs that control NifA activity are removed, 71 and as consequence, the resulting bacterial strain may be severely disadvantaged in 72 the environment and even unstable under laboratory conditions as already reported 73 (17, 19). Isolation of insertion mutants in *nifL* appears to be conditional upon second site mutations that may alter the level of *nif* gene expression. One such suppressor 74 75 was identified in a promoter-like sequence upstream of *nifA*, presumably leading to a

permissive reduction in *nifA* transcript levels (19). A full deletion of *nifL* has also been
 reported (18), but it is unclear if second site mutations occurred during its isolation.

One approach to potentially minimize the energetic burden associated with 78 79 constitutive expression of nitrogenase is to ensure that regulatory control of NifA activity is maintained in energy-limiting environments. Random mutagenesis of *nifA* 80 followed by screening the activity of the A. vinelandii NifL-NifA system in E. coli 81 82 identified various NifA variants able to escape regulation by NifL under nitrogen excess conditions (20). One of these mutations, resulting in a charge-change substitution, 83 84 E356K, located in the central catalytic domain of NifA, (hereafter named NifA-E356K), was found to require binding of 2-oxoglutarate to the GAF domain to escape NifL 85 repression in response to excess fixed nitrogen (14, 21). 86

87 In this study we demonstrate that both expression and activity of nitrogenase are insensitive to the nitrogen status when the *nifA-E356K* mutation is introduced into 88 A. vinelandii, resulting in excretion of ammonia at millimolar levels during exponential 89 90 growth, a phenomenon correlated with feedback regulation of glutamine synthetase when nitrogenase is constitutively active. However, unregulated expression of nif 91 92 genes and ammonia excretion by the *nifA-E356K* mutant is conditional on the nature of the carbon source indicating dependency on carbon status signalling and 93 94 supporting previous biochemical observations that this NifA-E356K variant is 95 dependent on the levels of 2-oxoglutarate to escape nitrogen regulation by NifL in vitro (21). Finally, we demonstrate that reciprocal substitutions in NifA proteins of other 96 Proteobacteria lead to similar regulatory phenotypes when assayed in *E. coli* as a 97 98 chassis and also when the substitution is engineered in the endophytic diazotroph Pseudomonas stutzeri A1501 (22, 23). In principle, this single amino acid substitution 99 100 in NifA (E356K) provides a regulatory switch capable of activating *nif* gene expression

under nitrogen excess conditions only when certain carbon sources are available in
the environment, thus setting the foundation for engineering a synthetic symbiosis to
deliver fixed nitrogen to cereal crops.

104

105 **Results**

106 The activity of NifA-E356K is not regulated in response to the nitrogen status in

107 A. vinelandii, resulting in ammonia excretion

Previously the NifA-E356K variant protein was characterized either in vivo 108 109 using *E. coli* as a chassis, or *in vitro* using purified protein components (20, 21). To evaluate if this variant protein would bypass NifL regulation in the original A. vinelandii 110 DJ background, we introduced the *nifA-E356K* mutation into the chromosome and 111 112 examined its influence on transcriptional regulation of the nitrogenase structural genes using RT-gPCR. As anticipated, no *nifH* transcripts were detected in the wild type 113 strain (DJ) in the presence of either 25 or 5 mM of ammonium acetate, whilst increased 114 115 transcript levels were observed in the absence of ammonium (Fig. 1A). In contrast, 116 high levels of *nifH* transcripts were observed in all conditions tested for the *nifA-E356K* mutant strain (EK) (Fig. 1B), confirming that *nifA-E356K* is able to escape nitrogen 117 regulation in A. vinelandii. Comparison of the levels of nifH, nifL and nifA transcripts 118 119 in the EK strain relative to the wild type (DJ) (Fig. 1C) revealed that whilst *nifH* levels 120 are higher in the EK mutant, this is not correlated with increased levels of nifL and nifA 121 transcripts. This is in line with previous reports establishing that *nifLA* expression is not subject to autoactivation by NifA in A. vinelandii (24, 25) and suggests that 122 123 constitutive expression of the nitrogenase structural gene operon in the EK mutant is intrinsic to the nifA-E356K mutation itself, rather than a consequence of 124 125 overexpression of this mutant gene. The increase in *nifH* transcripts correlated with

126 higher nitrogenase activity in the EK strain, which was not regulated in response to excess fixed nitrogen, in contrast to the wild-type strain (Fig. 1D). As anticipated from 127 the energetic constraints associated with constitutive expression and activity of 128 129 nitrogenase, the EK mutant had an apparent growth deficiency in liquid media (Supplementary Material Fig. S1). However, when an insertion replacing the nifH 130 structural gene was introduced into the EK strain to generate strain EKH (EK, 131 *∆nifH::tetA*) this growth penalty was alleviated (Fig. S1-D-F) suggesting that it results 132 133 from unregulated expression and activity of nitrogenase.

When cultivated under diazotrophic conditions in nitrogen-free media supplemented with sucrose as carbon source, the EK strain excreted millimolar levels of ammonia (Fig. 1E). The onset of ammonia excretion occurred at the early stages of growth ($O.D_{600nm} 0.3 - 0.6$) reaching a peak at mid to late-exponential phase ($O.D_{600nm}$ 1.4 - 2.0) and declined upon entry into stationary phase, potentially as a consequence of oxygen limitation and lower nitrogen fixation rates.

140

141 Nitrogen fixation and ammonium assimilation are uncoupled in the *nifA-E356K*142 mutant strain

It is perhaps surprising that a single amino acid substitution in NifA enables 143 144 ammonia excretion, since lowering the flux of ammonia assimilation through the glutamine synthetase-glutamate synthase (GS-GOGAT) pathway is expected to 145 be an additional pre-requisite for high level release of ammonia. The enzyme 146 147 glutamine synthetase (GS) is a key component for ammonia assimilation in proteobacteria and is subject to post-translational regulation via adenylylation in 148 149 response to the nitrogen status (26, 27). Under excess nitrogen conditions, GS is adenylylated reducing its biosynthetic activity (3, 27). Comparison of the Mg²⁺, ATP-150 dependent glutamine synthetase biosynthetic (GSB) and the Mn²⁺, AsO4-, ADP-151

152 dependent glutamine transferase (GST) activities can provide a snapshot of GS adenylylation states in vivo, given that GSB activity can only be detected in the non-153 154 adenylylated enzyme subunits (28–30). We observed that GSB activity was higher in 155 wild type (DJ) when compared to the mutant (EK) in all growth phases when cells were 156 cultivated under diazotrophic conditions (green shaded plots in Fig. 2A). Conversely, GST activity was higher in the *nifA-E356K* strain (EK) than in the wild type (DJ) (Fig. 157 2B). A comparison of GST/GSB ratios in both strains (Fig. 2C) demonstrated that GS 158 159 in the wild type (DJ) is likely to be entirely non-adenylylated under diazotrophic conditions (GST/GSB ratio ≤ 1), whilst the NifA-E356K mutant (EK) had much higher 160 GST/GSB ratios (ranging from 15 to 30-fold) suggesting that in this mutant strain, GS 161 is more heavily adenylylated. Under fixed nitrogen excess conditions (yellow shaded 162 plots in Fig. 2), as anticipated, a marked reduction of GSB activity was observed in the 163 DJ strain (Fig. 2A, yellow plot) followed by an increase in the GST activity (Fig. 2B, 164 yellow plot). For the EK strain however, relatively minor changes in GSB and GST 165 activities occurred in response to the nitrogen status. A slight increase in GSB activity 166 was observed in the EK strain under nitrogen excess conditions, but this was not 167 apparently associated with changes in the expression of GS (*glnA*) itself (Fig. S2). 168 Taken together, these results confirm that the ability of the *nifA-E356K* mutant strain 169 to assimilate ammonia via GS is reduced compared to the wild type under diazotrophic 170 conditions. This is likely to be a consequence of increased adenylylation by the 171 bifunctional adenylyl transferase enzyme GlnE, which carries out post-translational 172 modification of GS in response to the nitrogen status. Since deletion of *glnE* prevents 173 adenylylation of GS in A. vinelandii (31), we introduced a glnE deletion into the nifA-174 E356K background to generate the strain EK Δ E. Under diazotrophic conditions, GSB 175 and GST activities in the EK Δ E strain were similar to the those in the wild type strain 176 (DJ) and clearly distinct from the activities in the *nifA-E356K* strain (EK) (compare 177 green shaded plots in Fig. 2A-B and Fig. 2D-E). However, as anticipated from the 178 absence of adenylyl transferase activity, addition of ammonium to the media did not 179 180 lead to either reduction of GSB or increased GST activity in the EKΔE strain when compared to the DJ strain (compare yellow shaded plots in Fig. 2A-B and Fig. 2D-E).
As expected from the increased GS biosynthetic activity exhibited by the EKΔE strain
under nitrogen excess conditions, ammonia excretion was ablated in this strain (Fig.
2F and Fig. S3). These results therefore imply that the ability of the *nifA-E356K* (EK)
strain to excrete ammonia is dependent upon feedback regulation, resulting in
constitutive adenylylation of GS and hence decreased ammonia assimilation.

188 Carbon signalling dominates regulation of *nif* gene expression in the *nifA* 189 *E356K* strain

All the above experiments were conducted in media containing sucrose, a 190 191 carbon source enabling a high flux through the TCA cycle that evidently maintains sufficient 2-oxoglutarate to activate NifA in A. vinelandii. Although the potential for 192 carbon regulation, signalled via binding of 2-oxoglutarate to the NifA GAF domain, has 193 194 been well established in vitro, the physiological relevance of 2-oxoglutarate in NifL-195 NifA regulation has not been clearly demonstrated in vivo. NifA-E356K requires 2oxoglutarate in order to escape inhibition by NifL in the presence of GlnK in vitro (14, 196 197 21), suggesting that its ability to bypass nitrogen regulation in vivo might be regulated by carbon source availability. To facilitate correlation of nitrogenase activity with 198 expression of the nitrogenase structural genes when strains were grown on different 199 carbon sources we constructed strains containing a translational *nifH::lacZ* fusion 200 201 located at a neutral site in the chromosome (see Supplementary Material and Table 202 S1). Initial screening for the ability of the NifA-E356K protein to escape nitrogen regulation in several carbon sources (Figure S4) revealed that this variant protein 203 204 supported strong activation of the *nifH* promoter under nitrogen excess conditions 205 when grown in sucrose, glucose or glycerol. In contrast, only approximately 20-40% of the maximum activity was observed when cells were grown in succinate, fumarate, 206

malate, pyruvate or acetate as the sole carbon source. Further comparisons of AvNifA-E356K activity were performed comparing sucrose and acetate as carbon
sources given that the growth penalty difference between the DJ and EK strains was
significantly alleviated in acetate (Figure S5).

When wild type A. vinelandii was subjected to a carbon shift from sucrose to 211 acetate, a significant reduction in both *nifH* expression (Fig. 3A) and nitrogenase 212 213 activity (Fig. 3B) was observed and as expected, both activities were repressed in the presence of ammonium (+N). However, the ability of the EK strain to escape regulation 214 215 by fixed nitrogen (+N) was severely compromised when grown on acetate (Fig. 3D 216 and Fig. 3E). These results demonstrate that NifA-E356K responds to carbon status regulation in vivo, as anticipated from the in vitro characterization experiments (14, 217 218 21). Since 2-oxoglutarate is required to activate NifA only when NifL is present, we 219 examined nitrogen and carbon regulation in the previously characterised strain AZBB163 in which *nifL* is disrupted by a kanamycin resistance cassette. (19). In this 220 221 case, in contrast to NifA-E356K, nitrogenase activity was constitutive and not strongly 222 influenced by the carbon source (Fig. S6B). However, this strain exhibited unexpected 223 patterns of *nifH* expression when grown on sucrose that did not correlate with nitrogenase activity (Fig. S6A), potentially because *nifA* expression is not driven by 224 225 the native *nifL* promoter in strain AZBB163 (19). Taken together, these results 226 demonstrate that nitrogenase expression and activity is suppressed in the EK strain 227 when grown under nitrogen excess conditions with acetate as the sole carbon source. 228 Since the NifA-E356K protein is unable to escape nitrogen regulation mediated by NifL 229 and GlnK when 2-oxoglutarate is limiting *in vitro*, this metabolite is likely to provide the physiological signal that triggers the carbon source response. Quantification of internal 230 231 2-oxoglutarate levels in strains grown on the different carbon sources (Fig. 3C and

232 Fig. 3F) supports previous evidence that the levels of this metabolite are sensitive to the carbon and nitrogen supply (15, 32). In the wild type strain (DJ), 2-oxoglutarate 233 levels dropped significantly in acetate compared to sucrose and a further decrease 234 235 was observed when excess fixed nitrogen was present regardless of the type of carbon source (Fig. 3C). 2-oxoglutarate levels in the *nifA-E356K* strain were generally 236 higher than in the wild type, but were influenced in a similar manner in relation to 237 238 carbon and nitrogen source availability (Fig. 3F). Overall, the fluctuations in the 2-239 oxoglutarate levels correlated well with nitrogenase activity and expression for both 240 the wild type (compare Fig. 3, panels A-C) and the *nifA-E356K* mutant (compare Fig. 3, panels D-F), reinforcing the importance of carbon signalling in the regulation of 241 nitrogen fixation. Notably, when the 2-oxoglutarate level decreased below 350 µM in 242 243 the *nifA-E356K* strain (Fig. 3F, acetate +N condition) nitrogen regulation was less 244 effectively bypassed in vivo (Fig. 3, panels D-E), commensurate with previous in vitro biochemical data (14, 33). Consequently, lower levels of ammonia excretion were 245 246 detectable when the *nifA-E356K* strain was grown on acetate (Fig. 3G). In accordance 247 with this, the growth rate penalty observed in the EK (nifA-E356K) strain in the presence of sucrose was significantly reduced when acetate was the carbon source 248 249 (Fig S5).

250

NifA-E356K is a prototype for engineering conditional ammonia excretion in diazotrophic Proteobacteria

As the NifL-NifA operon is widely distributed in Proteobacteria (reviewed in 3) and the glutamate residue at position 356 in *A. vinelandii* NifA is highly conserved in other Proteobacteria (Fig. 4 panels A and B), we sought to evaluate if introduction of the reciprocal amino acid substitution in NifA proteins from other Proteobacteria, would

257 yield the same regulation profile as in A. vinelandii. Using a previously established two-plasmid system to study the A. vinelandii NifL-NifA system in an E. coli 258 background (11) we evaluated the activity of NifA variants from Pseudomonas stutzeri 259 260 A1501 (22, 23) and Azoarcus olearius DQS-4 (34, 35). Both of these species are thought to be well adapted for the endophytic lifestyle and therefore are attractive 261 model organisms for engineering ammonia excretion to benefit plant growth. The 262 activities of wild type P. stutzeri NifA and A. olearius NifA in the presence of their 263 corresponding NifL partners were higher than wild type A. vinelandii NifA under 264 265 nitrogen-limiting conditions (-N) but as expected, were strongly inhibited in the presence of fixed nitrogen (+N) (Fig. 4, panels C-E). In contrast, the reciprocal NifA-266 E356K substitutions in P. stutzeri NifA (Ps-NifA-E356K) and A. olearius NifA (Ao-NifA-267 268 E351K) gave rise to constitutive activation of the *nifH* promoter in nitrogen replete (+N) conditions in *E. coli* (Fig. 4 panels D-E, respectively). 269

270 To examine the properties of the Ps-NifA-E356K substitution in its endophytic host, we introduced the corresponding nifA mutation into the chromosome of P. 271 stutzeri A1501. However, contrary to A. vinelandii, this single mutation (in strain Ps-272 EK) did not result in constitutive *nifH* transcription (Fig. S7), presumably because 273 expression of the *nifLA* operon itself is regulated by nitrogen availability in *P. stutzeri* 274 (23, 36). In order to remove this second layer of nitrogen regulation, we replaced the 275 276 native *P.stutzeri* nifL promoter with the *A. vinelandii* nifL promoter. Although this replacement (in the strain Ps nifLA^C) suppressed nitrogen control of *nifA* transcription 277 as anticipated, constitutive *nifH* transcription was not observed, confirming that the *P*. 278 279 stutzeri wild-type NifL-NifA system remains responsive to nitrogen regulation when 280 expressed constitutively (Fig. S7). To examine the intrinsic ability of Ps-NifA-E356K to escape nitrogen control, we combined the A. vinelandii nifL promoter replacement with 281 the *nifA-E356K* mutation in *P. stutzeri* (Fig. 5A). Although this strain (Ps-EK^C) 282 283 expressed relatively low levels of *nifLA* transcripts under diazotrophic (-N) conditions

(Fig. S7 F-G), direct correlation between the levels of *nifLA* and *nifH* transcripts was
observed in excess nitrogen (+N) conditions, confirming that the E356K substitution
enables Ps-NifA to escape nitrogen regulation mediated by NifL and GlnK in *P. stutzeri*(Fig. S7, panels F-H).

The Ps-EK^C strain was able to activate *nifH* transcription on a variety of carbon 288 289 sources when grown under nitrogen excess conditions (+N) with lactate yielding the 290 highest level of *nifH* activation followed by glucose, malate and glycerol (Fig 5B). This 291 carbon source-dependent activation of *nifH* transcription by Ps-NifA-E356K correlated directly with the level of nitrogenase activity in each condition (compare Fig. 5B and 292 5C). As anticipated from the relatively high level of *nifH* transcription and nitrogenase 293 294 activity conferred by growth on lactate, ammonia excretion was only observed when the Ps-EK^c strain was grown on this carbon source (Fig. 5D). Finally, we observed no 295 growth penalty for the Ps-EK^C strain when grown on complex media (LB) or in minimal 296 297 media supplemented with glucose, malate, lactate or glycerol as carbon sources (Fig S8), which implies that the relatively moderate activation of *nif* gene transcription in 298 the Ps-EK^C strain, allows carbon regulated ammonia excretion without severe impacts 299 to bacterial fitness. Altogether, these observations suggest that introducing the 300 301 reciprocal E356K substitution into NifA proteins from diazotrophic Proteobacteria, may be broadly applicable for engineering new bacterial strains with carbon-controlled 302 excretion of ammonia. 303

304

305 Discussion

In order to cope with the energetic cost of biological nitrogen fixation, diazotrophic bacteria require sophisticated signal transduction mechanisms ensuring efficient adaption to changing conditions whilst successfully competing in the environment. Achieving an appropriate balance between carbon and nitrogen metabolism is particularly challenging for organisms that fix nitrogen, requiring diversion of ATP and reducing equivalents from central metabolism to ensure

nitrogenase catalytic rates that meet the nitrogen demands required for growth. Hence
the ability to sense carbon availability in addition to the nitrogen status, is paramount
to resource allocation and to resolve conflicting metabolic demands.

315 The physiological signal for carbon status control is most likely to be 2oxoglutarate given the correlation observed here between the level of this metabolite 316 with nitrogen regulation *in vivo*, together with our previous biochemical demonstration 317 318 of the importance of this ligand in NifL-NifA regulation (14, 37). We propose that this 319 additional level of metabolite regulation provides a mechanism to integrate signals of 320 the carbon and nitrogen status to ensure that sufficient carbon resources are available 321 to support diazotrophy. The *in vitro* data indicate that when 2-oxoglutarate is limiting, NifL, forms a binary complex with NifA, which inhibits its activity, even under nitrogen-322 323 limiting conditions when GlnK is fully uridylylated and unable to interact with nifL (Fig. 324 6A). However, when sufficient levels of 2-oxoglutarate are available (Fig. 6B) the NifL-NifA complex dissociates, enabling NifA to activate *nif* transcription (2, 4, 14, 37). Upon 325 326 a switch to excess nitrogen conditions, GInK becomes de-uridylylated allowing the formation of a ternary GlnK-NifL-NifA complex that inactivates NifA irrespective of the 327 level of 2-oxoglutarate (Fig. 6C and 6D). Hence in the wild-type NifL-NifA system, the 328 nitrogen status signal overrides the metabolic signal of the carbon status, when excess 329 330 fixed nitrogen is available. In contrast, in the variant NifA-E356K protein studied here, 331 the integration between nitrogen and carbon control is disrupted. Although this 332 substitution in the AAA+ domain of NifA (red star, Fig. 6E) perturbs the interaction with 333 NifL, the GInK-NifL-NifA-E356K ternary complex still forms if 2-oxoglutarate is limiting 334 (Fig. 6E). In contrast, when 2-oxoglutarate levels are sufficient, conformational changes triggered by its binding to the GAF domain disrupt the ternary complex, 335 336 enabling NifA-E356K to be active in the presence of excess fixed nitrogen (Fig. 6F).

337 Therefore, although the E356K substitution escapes nitrogen control, conferred by resistance to the GlnK bound form of NifL, this is contingent upon the binding of 2-338 oxoglutarate to the GAF domain of this variant protein. The response of the NifL-NifA 339 340 system to 2-oxoglutarate thus emphasises the key role of this metabolite as a master signalling molecule (15). Consequently, the ability of the NifA-E356K variant to bypass 341 nitrogen regulation in vivo in both A. vinelandii and P. stutzeri is dependent on the 342 343 carbon status. The crucial role of carbon-mediated signalling in the regulation of nitrogen fixation was evident from reduced *nifH* transcripts and activity of nitrogenase 344 345 when the A. vinelandii nifA-E356K strain was cultured under nitrogen excess conditions with acetate as sole carbon source, which correlated with a significant 346 decrease in the level of 2-oxoglutarate and a 6-fold reduction in ammonium excretion 347 348 compared with sucrose as carbon source. Similarly, in *P. stutzeri* where lactate 349 appears to be a preferred carbon source to support nitrogen fixation in comparison to glucose, the *nifA-E356K* mutant exhibited the highest level of *nifH* transcripts and 350 351 nitrogenase activity under nitrogen excess conditions when lactate was provided as a carbon source. Not surprisingly, amongst the carbon sources tested, the P. stutzeri 352 EK^C strain only excreted ammonia when provided with lactate under our experimental 353 conditions. The capacity for runaway expression of *nif* genes, constitutive nitrogenase 354 355 activity and ammonia excretion is therefore dependent on the nature of the carbon 356 source. Our studies with A. vinelandii and P. stutzeri therefore demonstrate the 357 potential to exploit the intrinsic carbon-sensing mechanism of the NifL-NifA system to provide conditional release of fixed nitrogen and hence alleviate the fitness penalty 358 359 associated with constitutive expression of nitrogenase.

360 Bypassing nitrogen regulation of the NifL-NifA system to activate constitutive 361 expression of nitrogenase would not by itself be anticipated to promote ammonia

362 release, if the excess ammonia can be assimilated by the GS-GOGAT pathway. We have demonstrated that overexpression of nitrogenase in the A. vinelandii nifA-E356K 363 strain leads to feedback regulation of GS activity via co-valent modification by the 364 365 adenylyl transferase activity of GInE. This reduction of nitrogen assimilation via posttranslational modification of glutamine synthetase is a key factor in enabling ammonia 366 excretion, which is not observed in the *nifA-E356K* strain when the *glnE* gene is 367 368 deleted. Hence, in A. vinelandii, ammonia excretion is also dependent on the native feedback regulation of GS activity, exacerbated by higher rates of nitrogen fixation in 369 370 the nifA-E356K strain when grown on a carbon source that sustains high levels of 2-371 oxoglutarate under excess nitrogen conditions. This uncoupling of nitrogen fixation from ammonium assimilation is somewhat analogous to what is observed in 372 373 differentiated nitrogen-fixing bacteroids in the legume-rhizobium symbiosis, where the 374 flux through the ammonia assimilation pathway is severely restricted to enable release of most of the nitrogen fixed by the symbiont (38, 39). Analogous strategies to 375 376 decrease the activity of GS in non-symbiotic bacteria have resulted in ammonia 377 excretion (40–42), but to date have not been combined with mutations that express high levels of nitrogenase on a conditional basis as deployed here. 378

Since introduction of the reciprocal E356K substitution into NifA proteins from 379 380 other members of the Proteobacteria also results in nitrogen-insensitive activators 381 when analysed either in a heterologous chassis, E. coli (Fig. 4), or in P. stutzeri (Fig. 382 5), this strategy may allow generation of new diazotrophic strains with conditional excretion of ammonia. The carbon responsive control mechanism could present an 383 384 opportunity for activation of ammonium excretion contingent upon carbon sources provided by root exudates of crops, a feature highly desirable in the engineering of a 385 386 synthetic symbiosis (43, 44). However, given the regulatory complexities associated

387 with fine-tuning nitrogen regulation in diverse Proteobacteria, additional manipulations to disrupt transcriptional control of NifL-NifA expression itself or the coupling between 388 nitrogen fixation and assimilation may be needed to achieve ammonia excretion (3, 389 390 45). One such example explored in this study was the need to remove native nitrogen regulation from the P. stutzeri A1501 nifLA promoter. Serendipitously, we 391 demonstrated that providing relatively low levels of the *nifA-E356K* transcripts in *P*. 392 393 stutzeri generated a strain with carbon-regulated ammonia excretion without the severe growth penalties observed in A. vinelandii. Furthermore, we demonstrated that 394 395 the levels of ammonia excretion are directly correlated with specific nitrogenase 396 activity rates in both organisms analysed. Under optimal conditions of carbon and 397 oxygen supply, the A. vinelandii nifA-E356K mutant sustained a very high rate of 398 nitrogenase activity (200-300 nmol C_2H_4 .mg protein⁻¹.min⁻¹) under nitrogen excess 399 conditions. On the other hand, in *P. stutzeri* the levels of nitrogenase activity conferred by nifA-E356K were at least 100-fold lower under our experimental conditions. Hence, 400 401 A. vinelandii excreted millimolar levels of ammonia in contrast to the micromolar levels observed in P. stutzeri. As the ammonium excreting strain from P. stutzeri was not 402 403 subject to the same growth penalty observed in the A. vinelandii counterpart, we anticipate that these studies will guide future efforts to define more precise trade-offs 404 405 to engineer nitrogen releasing strains that do not have a competitive disadvantage in 406 the rhizosphere. Moreover, the addition of multi-layered regulatory control of ammonia 407 excretion by expressing activator variants under the control of promoters that respond to specific signalling molecules exchanged between the plant and the bacteria, may 408 409 deliver the required level of specificity for the establishment of an efficient synthetic 410 symbiosis (46, 47).

411

412 Materials and Methods

Detailed methods are available in the Supplementary Material. Bacterial stains are listed in Table S1, plasmids are listed in Table S2 and primers are listed in Table S3.

416 Author Contributions

M.B.B, Y-P.W and R.D designed research, M.B.B, P.B. and C.A-A. performed
experiments and M.B.B, Y-P.W and R.D. wrote the manuscript

419

420 Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Brett Barney for the strain AZBB163 and to Adriano Stefanello for the plasmid pAAS1544. We are grateful to all members of the laboratory support team at the John Innes Centre for their excellent assistance. This study was supported by the UKRI Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (grants BB/N013476/1 and BB/N003608/1), the Royal Society (ICA\R1\180088), the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC, grant 32020103002), and the National Key R&D Program of China (grant 2019YFA0904700).

428

429

430 **References**

- 431 1. G. P. Roberts, W. J. Brill, Genetics and regulation of nitrogen fixation. *Annu.*
- 432 *Rev. Microbiol.* **35**, 207–235 (1981).
- 433 2. R. Dixon, D. Kahn, Genetic regulation of biological nitrogen fixation. *Nat. Rev.*434 *Microbiol.* 2, 621–31 (2004).
- 435 3. M. Bueno Batista, R. Dixon, Manipulating nitrogen regulation in diazotrophic
 436 bacteria for agronomic benefit. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 47, 603–614 (2019).

437	4	I Martinez-Argudo	R Little	N Shearer	P Johnson	R Dixon	The Nifl -NifA
437	ч .	1. martinez-Arguuu,			, 1 . 301113011	, 11. DIAUH	

438 System: a Multidomain Transcriptional Regulatory Complex That Integrates

439 Environmental Signals. *J. Bacteriol.* **186**, 601–610 (2004).

- 440 5. I. Martinez-Argudo, R. Little, N. Shearer, P. Johnson, R. Dixon, Nitrogen
- fixation: key genetic regulatory mechanisms. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 33, 152–6
 (2005).
- 6. S. Hill, S. Austin, T. Eydmann, T. Jones, R. Dixon, Azotobacter vinelandii NIFL
 is a flavoprotein that modulates transcriptional activation of nitrogen-fixation
 genes via a redox-sensitive switch. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**, 2143–2148
- 446 (1996).
- P. Macheroux, *et al.*, Electron donation to the flavoprotein NifL, a redoxsensing transcriptional regulator. *Biochem. J.* **332**, 413–419 (1998).
- 8. R. Little, I. Martinez-Argudo, S. Perry, R. Dixon, Role of the H domain of the
 histidine kinase-like protein NifL in signal transmission. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282,
 13429–13437 (2007).
- 452 9. P. Slavny, R. Little, P. Salinas, T. A. Clarke, R. Dixon, Quaternary structure
- 453 changes in a second Per-Arnt-Sim domain mediate intramolecular redox signal
- relay in the NifL regulatory protein. *Mol. Microbiol.* **75**, 61–75 (2010).
- 455 10. T. Eydmann, *et al.*, Transcriptional activation of the nitrogenase promoter in
- 456 vitro: Adenosine nucleotides are required for inhibition of NIFA activity by NIFL.
- 457 *J. Bacteriol.* **177**, 1186–1195 (1995).
- 458 11. E. Söderbäck, *et al.*, The redox- and fixed nitrogen-responsive regulatory
- 459 protein NIFL from Azotobacter vinelandii comprises discrete flavin and
- 460 nucleotide-binding domains. *Mol. Microbiol.* **28**, 179–192 (1998).
- 461 12. R. Little, V. Colombo, A. Leech, R. Dixon, Direct interaction of the NifL

462		regulatory protein with the GInK signal transducer enables the Azotobacter
463		vinelandii NifL-NifA regulatory system to respond to conditions replete for
464		nitrogen. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 15472–15481 (2002).
465	13.	P. Rudnick, C. Kunz, M. K. Gunatilaka, E. R. Hines, C. Kennedy, Role of Glnk
466		in NifL-mediated regulation of NifA activity in Azotobacter vinelandii. J.
467		<i>Bacteriol.</i> 184 , 812–820 (2002).
468	14.	R. Little, R. Dixon, The amino-terminal GAF domain of Azotobacter vinelandii
469		NifA binds 2-oxoglutarate to resist inhibition by NifL under nitrogen-limiting
470		conditions. <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> 278 , 28711–8 (2003).
471	15.	L. F. Huergo, R. Dixon, The Emergence of 2-Oxoglutarate as a Master
472		Regulator Metabolite. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 79, 419–435 (2015).
473	16.	A. Bali, G. Blanco, S. Hill, C. Kennedy, Excretion of ammonium by a nifL
474		mutant of Azotobacter vinelandii fixing nitrogen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58,
475		1711–8 (1992).
476	17.	B. Brewin, P. Woodley, M. Drummond, The basis of ammonium release in nifL
477		mutants of Azotobacter vinelandii. J. Bacteriol. 181, 7356–62 (1999).
478	18.	J. C. F. Ortiz-Marquez, M. Do Nascimento, M. de los A. Dublan, L. Curatti,
479		Association with an ammonium-excreting bacterium allows diazotrophic culture
480		of oil-rich eukaryotic microalgae. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78, 2345–52 (2012).
481	19.	B. M. Barney, L. J. Eberhart, J. M. Ohlert, C. M. Knutson, M. H. Plunkett, Gene
482		Deletions Resulting in Increased Nitrogen Release by Azotobacter vinelandii:
483		Application of a Novel Nitrogen Biosensor. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 4316-

484 4328 (2015).

485 20. F. Reyes-Ramirez, R. Little, R. Dixon, Mutant Forms of the Azotobacter

486 vinelandii Transcriptional Activator NifA Resistant to Inhibition by the NifL

487 Regulatory Protein. J. Bacteriol. **184**, 6777–6785 (2002).

- 488 21. I. Martinez-Argudo, R. Little, R. Dixon, Role of the amino-terminal GAF domain
- 489 of the NifA activator in controlling the response to the antiactivator protein NifL.
- 490 *Mol. Microbiol.* **52**, 1731–1744 (2004).
- 491 22. J. Lalucat, A. Bennasar, R. Bosch, E. Garcia-Valdes, N. J. Palleroni, Biology of
 492 Pseudomonas stutzeri. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 70, 510–547 (2006).
- 493 23. Y. Yan, et al., Nitrogen fixation island and rhizosphere competence traits in the
- 494 genome of root-associated Pseudomonas stutzeri A1501. Proc. Natl. Acad.
- 495 *Sci.* **105**, 7564–7569 (2008).
- 496 24. R. Raina, U. K. Bageshwar, H. K. Das, The Azotobacter vinelandii nifL-like
- 497 gene: nucleotide sequence analysis and regulation of expression. *Mol. Gen.*
- 498 *Genet.* **237**, 400–6 (1993).
- 499 25. G. Blanco, M. Drummond, P. Woodley, C. Kennedy, Sequence and molecular
 500 analysis of the nifL gene of Azotobacter vinelandii. *Mol. Microbiol.* 9, 869–879
 501 (1993).
- 502 26. E. R. Stadtman, The Story of Glutamine Synthetase Regulation. *J. Biol. Chem.*503 **276**, 44357–44364 (2001).
- W. C. van Heeswijk, H. V Westerhoff, F. C. Boogerd, Nitrogen assimilation in
 Escherichia coli: putting molecular data into a systems perspective. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 77, 628–95 (2013).
- 507 28. B. M. Shapiro, E. R. Stadtman, Glutamine Synthetase (Escherichia coli).
 508 *Methods Enzymol.* **17**, 910–922 (1970).
- 509 29. R. B. Goldberg, R. Hanau, Relation between the adenylylation state of
- 510 glutamine synthetase and the expression of other genes involved in nitrogen
- 511 metabolism. *J. Bacteriol.* **137**, 1282–1289 (1979).

- 512 30. R. A. Bender, *et al.*, Biochemical parameters of glutamine synthetase from
- 513 Klebsiella aerogenes. J. Bacteriol. **129**, 1001–9 (1977).
- 514 31. F. Mus, A. Tseng, R. Dixon, J. W. Peters, Diazotrophic Growth Allows
- 515 Azotobacter vinelandii To Overcome the Deleterious Effects of a glnE Deletion.
- 516 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **83**, e00808-17 (2017).
- 517 32. D. Yan, P. Lenz, T. Hwa, Overcoming fluctuation and leakage problems in the
- 518 quantification of intracellular 2-oxoglutarate levels in Escherichia coli. *Appl.*
- 519 *Environ. Microbiol.* **77**, 6763–6771 (2011).
- 520 33. F. Reyes-Ramirez, R. Little, R. Dixon, Role of Escherichia coli Nitrogen
- 521 Regulatory Genes in the Nitrogen Response of the Azotobacter vinelandii NifL-
- 522 NifA Complex. J. Bacteriol. **183**, 3076–3082 (2001).
- 523 34. H. Faoro, et al., The oil-contaminated soil diazotroph Azoarcus olearius DQS-
- 524 4T is genetically and phenotypically similar to the model grass endophyte

525 Azoarcus sp. BH72. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **9**, 223–238 (2017).

- 526 35. R. Dixon, A. Hartmann, Novel insights into ecological distribution and plant
- 527 growth promotion by nitrogen-fixing endophytes. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **9**,

528 179–181 (2017).

529 36. N. Desnoues, et al., Nitrogen fixation genetics and regulation in a

530 Pseudomonas stutzeri strain associated with rice. *Microbiology* 149, 2251–
531 2262 (2003).

532 37. R. Little, F. Reyes-Ramirez, Y. Zhang, W. C. van Heeswijk, R. Dixon, Signal

- 533 transduction to the Azotobacter vinelandii NIFL-NIFA regulatory system is
- 534 influenced directly by interaction with 2-oxoglutarate and the PII regulatory
- 535 protein. *EMBO J.* **19**, 6041–6050 (2000).
- 536 38. E. J. Patriarca, R. Tatè, M. Iaccarino, Key role of bacterial NH(4)(+)

- 537 metabolism in Rhizobium-plant symbiosis. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 66, 203–
- 538 22 (2002).
- 539 39. M. Udvardi, P. S. Poole, Transport and Metabolism in Legume-Rhizobia
 540 Symbioses. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 64, 781–805 (2013).
- 541 40. H. B. Machado, S. Funayama, L. U. Rigo, F. O. Pedrosa, Excretion of
- 542 ammonium by Azospirillum brasilense mutants resistant to ethylenediamine.
- 543 *Can. J. Microbiol.* **37**, 549–553 (1991).
- 41. J. C. F. Ortiz-Marquez, M. Do Nascimento, L. Curatti, Metabolic engineering of
- 545 ammonium release for nitrogen-fixing multispecies microbial cell-factories.
- 546 *Metab. Eng.* **23**, 154–164 (2014).
- R. Ambrosio, J. C. F. Ortiz-Marquez, L. Curatti, Metabolic engineering of a
 diazotrophic bacterium improves ammonium release and biofertilization of
 plants and microalgae. *Metab. Eng.* 40, 59–68 (2017).
- B. A. Geddes, *et al.*, Use of plant colonizing bacteria as chassis for transfer of
 N2-fixation to cereals. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **32**, 216–222 (2015).
- 44. F. Mus, *et al.*, Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation and the Challenges to Its Extension
- 553 to Nonlegumes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**, 3698–3710 (2016).
- 45. S. E. Bloch, *et al.*, Biological nitrogen fixation in maize: optimizing nitrogenase expression in a root-associated diazotroph. *J. Exp. Bot.* (2020)
- 556 https:/doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa176.
- 557 46. B. A. Geddes, *et al.*, Engineering transkingdom signalling in plants to control
- 558 gene expression in rhizosphere bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1–11 (2019).
- 559 47. M. H. Ryu, *et al.*, Control of nitrogen fixation in bacteria that associate with 560 cereals. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**, 314–330 (2020).

561

Figures



Fig. 1 – Constitutive expression and activity of nitrogenase results in ammonia excretion by the *nifA-E356K* strain (EK) with sucrose as the carbon source. (A) Absolute levels of *nifH* transcripts in the wild type (DJ) and (B) *nifA-E356K* (EK) under three different nitrogen regimes: 25 mM (N25), 5 mM (N5) or 0 mM (N0) ammonium acetate. (C) Relative levels of *nifH*, *nifL* and *nifA* transcripts between the strains EK and DJ. The graph is presented on a log_{10} scale to emphasize that the relative levels of *nifL* and *nifA* transcripts are close to 1 in all conditions. (D) *In vivo* nitrogenase specific activities in the absence (-N) or presence (+N) of 20 mM ammonium chloride. Activity was determined by the acetylene reduction assay using cultures grown to an $O.D_{600nm}$ between 0.3-0.4 as described in the methods. N.D: not detected. (E) Ammonia from the culture supernatant was quantified in the EK strain (left y axis, box blots) in the growth phases indicated by the bars shaded in blue (right y axis, closed circles).



Fig. 2 – **Ammonia excretion is dependent upon lower glutamine synthetase biosynthetic activity in the** *nifA-E356K* **strain (EK).** (A) Glutamine synthetase (GS) biosynthetic and (B) transferase activities were measured in the wild type (DJ, blue box plots) and E356K (EK, white box plots) strains in three different phases of growth, corresponding to an $O.D_{600 \text{ nm}}$ of 0.4, 0.8 and 1.4 as indicated. Ratio between GS transferase (GST) and GS biosynthetic (GSB) activities is presented in (C) on a log_{10} scale to emphasize that the ratio between GST and GSB activities are close to 1 in the wild type (DJ) in all growth phases. (D) Glutamine synthetase (GS) biosynthetic and (E) transferase activities measured in the EK Δ E strain (*nifA-E356K* with a *glnE* deletion) at an $O.D_{600 \text{ nm}}$ of 0.8. The charts shaded in green represent the activities under diazotrophic conditions (-N), while those shaded in yellow represent the activity in the presence of excess fixed nitrogen, (20 mM NH₄Cl, +N). (F) Ammonia from the culture supernatant was quantified in both EK and EK Δ E strains grown under diazotrophic conditions.



Fig. 3 – Carbon status regulation of nitrogenase expression and ammonia excretion in *A. vinelandii.* (A) Nitrogenase expression reported from a *nifH::lacZ* fusion, (B) nitrogenase activity and (C) internal 2-oxoglutarate levels are compared in the wild type (DJ) in acetate and sucrose in the absence (-N) or presence (+N) of 20 mM ammonium chloride. (D-F) The same comparisons as in (A-C) were done for the *nifA-E356K* strain (EK). For experiments in (A-F), strains were cultured to an O.D_{600nm} between 0.2-0.3 in 30 mM acetate or 60 mM sucrose. To facilitate direct comparison of nitrogenase expression and activity, strain DJHZ was used in panels (A-B) whereas strain EKHZ was used in panels (D-E). These strains are isogenic to DJ and EK, respectively, except that they encode a *nifH::lacZ* fusion in the *algU* locus, a neutral site in the *A. vinelandii* genome (see Supplementary Material and Table S1). (G) Ammonia levels detected in the *nifA-E356K* (EK) strain when grown in either acetate or sucrose until cultures reached stationary phase. Plots followed by different letters are statistically different according to ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey's HSD or a paired t-test in (G).



Fig. 4 - Reciprocal amino acid changes (related to nifAE356K in A. vinelandii) yield constitutively active NifA in Proteobacteria. (A) Diagram of the A. vinelandii NifA domains. (B) Alignment of residues close to E356 (black arrow) in the central AAA+ domain of NifA proteins. Sequence numbers refer to A. vinelandii NifA. Sequences used in the alignment are Av: A. vinelandii DJ, Ps: Pseudomonas stutzeri A1501 (Gammaproteobacteria), Ao: Azoarcus olearius DQS4, SI: Sideroxydans lithotrophicus ES-1 (Betaproteobacteria), Me: Martelella endophytica YC6887 (Alphaproteobacteria) and Mf: Mariprofundus ferrooxydans M34 (Zetaproteobacteria). Panels C to E show β -galactosidase activities in the *E. coli* ET8000 chassis resulting from activation of a nifH::lacZ fusion (plasmid pRT22) by wild type and variant NifL-NifA systems from three different diazotrophs. Plasmids used to express NifL-NifA variants are as follows. (C) pPR34: Av-NifL-NifA, pPMA: Av-NifL-NifA-E356K; (D) pMB1804: Ps-NifL-NifA, pMB1805: Ps-NifL-NifA-E356K; (E) pMB1806: Ao-NifL-NifA, pMB1807: Ao-NifL-NifA-E351K. The assays were performed in NFDM media supplemented with 2% glucose in either nitrogen-limiting (200 µg/ml of casein hydrolysate, -N) or nitrogen excess (7.56 mM ammonium sulphate, +N) conditions.



Fig. 5 – The Ps-NifA-E356K protein is able to escape NifL inhibition in *P. stutzeri* **leading to carbon-dependent ammonia excretion.** (A) Diagram depicting the modifications in the *P. stutzeri nifA-E356K* strain (Ps_EK^c) compared to wild type *P. stutzeri* (Ps_WT). Drawings are not to scale. (B) Levels of *nifH* transcripts in the *P. stutzeri* Ps_EK^c strain in the presence of 5 mM NH₄Cl (+N). (C) Nitrogenase activity in the *P. stutzeri* strain (Ps_EK^c) in the presence of 5 mM NH₄Cl (+N). (D) Comparison of ammonia excretion profiles in different carbon sources. In all cases, the Ps_EK^c strain was grown in UMS-PS supplemented with 30 mM glucose, 45 mM malate, 60 mM lactate or 60 mM glycerol (to provide balanced carbon equivalents). N.D: not detected. Plots followed by different letters are statistically different according to ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey's HSD.



Fig. 6. Model for 2-oxoglutarate regulation of NifA activity based on genetic and biochemical experiments. (A) When 2-oxoglutarate levels are low, NifL can inhibit NifA even under nitrogen limiting conditions when GlnK is uridylylated (GlnK-UMP) and unable to interact with NifL. (B) Binding of 2-oxoglutarate (2-OG, yellow hexagon) to the GAF domain of NifA, disrupts the binary NifL-NifA interaction activating NifA. (C) Under nitrogen excess conditions, non-covalently modified GlnK, interacts with the GHKL domain of NifL, stimulating the formation of a ternary complex between GlnK, NifL and NifA that inhibits NifA activity. (D) The GlnK-NifL-NifA ternary complex is stable even if the GAF domain in NifA is saturated with 2-OG. (E) The E356K substitution in the AAA+ domain of NifA (red star), perturbs the interaction with NifL in the absence of the non-modified form of GInK. However, under nitrogen excess conditions, the GInK-NifL-NifA-E356K ternary complex is formed when 2-OG in limiting. (F) When 2-OG levels are sufficient, conformational changes triggered by 2-OG binding to the GAF domain disrupt the ternary complex, activating NifA-E356K. Physiologically, interactions depicted in (E), may arise upon growth in vivo under carbon limiting conditions. A switch to a preferred carbon source, will lead to an increase in 2-OG, triggering the conformation change that allows NifA-E356K to escape from GInK-NifL inhibition (F).