1 Pyrethroid and metal residues in different coffee bean preparing processes and their

2 human health risk assessments via consumption

3

4 Paphatsara Khunlert¹, Phanwimol Tanhan¹, Amnart Poapolathep¹, Saranya Poapolathep¹ &
5 Kanjana Imsilp^{1*}

6

¹ Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kasetsart University,
Bangkok, Thailand

9

10 *Corresponding author

11 email address fvetkni@ku.ac.th (KI)

12

13 Abstract

The study was conducted on 50 samples of coffee beans from various origins. The 14 samples included green coffee beans, roasted beans, brew coffee drinks and coffee sludge. 15 Three processes were used to prepare these samples: dried, semi-washed, and washed. Three 16 synthetic pyrethroid insecticides and nine heavy metals were subsequently analyzed using 17 modified Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe (QuEChERS) and acid digestion 18 methods, respectively. The quantification of pyrethroids was performed by $GC-\mu ECD$ whereas 19 those of metals were determined using flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer. According 20 to the results, concentrations of both pyrethroids and heavy metals were predominantly found 21 in green coffee beans except for Cr. Pyrethroid insecticides were not detectable in brew coffee 22 drink and heavy metal concentrations were below the acceptable daily intake (ADI) level. Risk 23 estimations for daily coffee intake using the health risk indices (HRIs) and target hazard 24 quotients (THQs) of normal and the 97.5 percentile Thai consumers were less than 1. This 25

indicated that the coffee drinks from studied samples could not cause potential health risk.

27

- 28 Keywords: coffee; consumption; health risk; heavy metals; pyrethroid
- 29

30 Introduction

Coffee is a worldwide favorite beverage. It has several beneficial antioxidants and is 31 32 one among the richest chlorogenic acid sources (1). However, coffee drinking can potentially lead human to expose to multiple toxicants. Those of which include pesticides, heavy metals, 33 34 organic solvents and pharmaceutical agents. These hazardous chemicals have abundantly been used to protect coffee trees from pests and diseases during cultivation and manufacturing 35 processes (2). Even though coffee beans are roasted, pesticide residues are still present. Recent 36 studies revealed that coffee beans and coffee wastes were contaminated by numerous pesticides 37 and metals (3-5). 38

Applications of pesticides and insecticides to coffee crops are either directly to soil or 39 to aerial parts of the plants (6). Chemical classes generally used are organophosphates, 40 pyrethorids, carbamates, chlorinated cyclodienes and organotins (6). The most favorite class 41 among those are pyrethroids and accounted for one fifth of total insecticide global market (7, 42 They are synthetic analogues of pyrethrin found in Tanacetum cinerariaefolium 8). 43 (Asteraceae) or pyrethrum daisy. Pyrethroids have extensively been used in agricultural crops 44 as well as in animals to reduce pests and decrease their transfers which can help improving the 45 coffee yield. Pyrethroids commonly used in coffee culture are cypermethrin, esfenvaleratem 46 fenpropathrin, permethrin and cyfluthrin (9). Human can be exposed to these pyrethroids via 47 inhalation, dermal contact, and ingestion (the major route) (10). The exposure can deteriorate 48 human health. Even though harmful health effects following coffee consumption are likely, 49 data are still limited. 50

Another group of toxicants that can be found in coffee beans is metals. They are 51 naturally occurred or resulted from anthropogenic activities. The metals, either trace or toxic, 52 have widely been dispersed in the environment and entered food chains at different 53 concentrations. Coffee plants can absorb these metals through their roots and translocate them 54 into the shoots as well as grains. Grains or beans usually accumulate metals at lower 55 concentration than other parts. However, lifetime consumption of contaminated beans may 56 57 pose adverse effects on human health. Coffee drinks commonly contain essential elements such as copper (Cu), manganese (Mn) and iron (Fe) at low concentrations. However, the 58 59 essential [e.g., Cu, chromium (Cr), Mn, nickel (Ni), zinc (Zn)] and toxic [e.g., arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), cobalt (Co)] elements can be harmful if their levels exceed human 60 tolerable limits. 61

Commonly, coffee is traded as green coffee beans prepared by either dry or washed 62 processes. Both processes have similar steps from cleaning, separation, drying, storage, 63 processing to classification. The differences are that, in the dry process, coffee fruits are sun 64 dried followed by mechanical removal of dried husk whereas in the washed process, the 65 damage and unripe coffee fruits are separated from the ripe ones by floatation technique. The 66 skin and pulp in washed process were mechanically removed by pressing coffee fruits into 67 water through metal sieve. Another method containing fewer steps is a semi-washed process. 68 In this process, the outer skins are mechanically removed, and coffee beans are washed then 69 dried. The quality of coffee beans in washed process generally scores higher than dried process 70 coffee. This is because washed coffee beans have a higher percentage of ripe fruit harvested, 71 while dry coffee beans have a wider range of ripeness from unripe to overripe. These also 72 resulted in measurably different effects of sugar and flavor precursors present (11). 73

Several studies reported the contents of elements including heavy metals in green (raw)
and roasted coffee varieties in different parts of the world (12) using a variety of techniques.

The elements present in the roasted and ground coffee samples and their infusions can differ (9, 13). In addition, brewing types and roasting conditions also affected the concentration of elements in resulting infusions (14, 15). de Queiroz, Azevedo (9) determined heavy metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn) in the roasted and ground coffee beans and brew. They reported that for all the infusions, the metals evaluated were found in lower concentrations with respect to the maximum permissible daily intake, except for Pb. Hence, it is important to determine heavy metal concentrations in various forms of coffee (raw, roasted, brew).

To the best of our knowledge, no research study has been conducted for analyzing the 83 heavy metals and pyrethroid insecticides in green coffee beans, roasted coffee, and brew in 84 Thailand. Therefore, the main goals of this study were 1) to determine the residues of 85 pyrethroid insecticides (flumethrin, cypermethrin, and cyfluthrin) and heavy metals (Cd, Co, 86 Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn) in various forms of coffee (green, roasted, brew, sludge) 87 originated from green coffee beans prepared by 3 processes (dry, semi-washed, washed); 2) to 88 evaluate the human health risk from insecticide and metal contaminated coffee drink using the 89 estimate daily intake/EDI, health risk index/HRI, and target hazard quotients/THOs. The 90 results of this study would provide useful baseline data on the levels of contaminants and 91 human health risks through coffee consumption. 92

93 Materials and Methods

94 Chemicals and reagents

All chemicals and reagents used in this study were $\geq 99\%$ purity analytical or pesticide grades. Acetonitrile, ethyl acetate, acetic acid and nitric acid were purchased from RCI Labscan, Ltd., Thailand. Magnesium sulfate anhydrous (MgSO₄; 98.0%), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium acetate anhydrous (C₂H₃NaO₂), tri-sodium citrate dihydrate (C₆H₉Na₃O₉), sodium citrate anhydrate (C₆H₅Na₃O₇) and alumina were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Solid phase extractants including primary secondary amine (PSA) and octadecyl carbon (C₁₈)

were purchased from Agela Technologies, China and Macherey-Nagel, USA, respectively.
Pyrethroid insecticide standards including cyfluthrin (4 isomers), flumethrin and cypermethrin
(4 isomers) and individual metal standard solutions (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn;
1000 mg/L each) were purchased from Supelco[®] Sigma-Aldrich, USA.

105

Sample collection and preparation

Fifty 1-kg green coffee bean samples were randomly purchased from local markets in 106 Bangkok, Thailand. They were from Asia, North America, South America, and South Africa. All 107 samples were divided by their preparation processes into three groups; i.e., dried, semi-washed, and 108 109 washed (Table 1). Each sample was aliquoted into two equal sub-samples. One sub-sample was ground and homogenized with miller and served as green coffee bean samples. Another sub-sample 110 was roasted at 230°C until it presented the aroma (3). The roasted beans were ground into fine 111 powder with a blender and 10 g were collected and added with 180 mL hot water (96°C). The brew 112 coffee drink was then collected using paper drip technique. Coffee sludge remained in the filtered 113 paper was also collected and oven dried at 65°C before storage. Green coffee beans from organic 114 cultivation in Thailand were used as a blank and for spiked samples. They were prepared using 115 similar processes as those of the samples. All samples were kept at -20°C until chemical analysis. 116

117

118

Pyrethroid residues

Sample extraction and clean-up

To assess the differences in pyrethroid insecticide residues among coffee bean processes, the extraction and clean-up of green coffee beans and processed coffee samples (roasted coffee powder, brew coffee drink and coffee sludge) were conducted using modified Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe (QuEChERS) method combined with dispersive solid phase extraction (d-SPE) clean-up method. The extraction and clean-up procedures for green coffee beans and processed coffee samples were as follows:

125

Green coffee beans and brew coffee drink: 2.5 g green coffee beans or 10 mL brew coffee

drink were added with 10 mL acetonitrile, and hand shaken for 1 min. The samples were then added with 4 g MgSO₄ and 1.5 g C₂H₃NaO₂, and shaken for 1 min. They were subsequently centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. A 2-mL upper organic layer was taken and translocated to a d-SPE tube containing 600 mg MgSO₄, 300 mg PSA and 100 mg alumina. The extract was shaken for 1 min and centrifuged. After centrifugation, 200 μ L of upper layer was taken and placed into a vial for the analysis of pyrethroid residues using gas chromatography equipped with a micro electron capture detector (GC- μ ECD).

Roasted coffee powder and coffee sludge: 2.5 g fine roasted coffee powder and 2.5 g 133 coffee sludge were added with 10 ml acetonitrile and shaken for 30 sec. Then 4 g of MgSO₄, 134 1 g of NaCl, 1 g of C₆H₉Na₃O₉ and 0.5 g C₆H₅Na₃O₇ were added and shaken for 30 sec. 135 Samples were later centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. Two mL upper organic layer was taken 136 and placed into d-SPE tube containing 600 mg magnesium sulfate anhydrous, 100 mg PSA and 137 100 mg alumina then shaken for 1 min and centrifuged thereafter at 5000 rpm for 5 min. After 138 centrifugation, 200 µL of upper layer of individual sample was taken and placed into a vial for 139 GC-uECD analysis. 140

141 Heavy metals

The acid digestion method was used to extract 9 metals: i.e., Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn in all samples. Each metal concentration was determined using a flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer (FAAS). The digestion procedures of each sample type were as follows:

Green coffee beans, roasted coffee powder and coffee sludge: 0.5 g of individual sample were digested with 5 mL mixture of 70% HNO_3 : 70% $HClO_4$ (3:1 v/v) at 180°C for at least 3 h. The digested solution was maintained under this condition until clear solution indicating complete digestion was observed. Each sample was filtered using No. 4 Whatman filter paper (Germany) and then adjusted to 25 mL using ultra-high purity water and kept at 4°C until

151 FAAS analysis.

Brew coffee drink: 25 mL of each sample was digested with 5 mL 70% HNO₃ at 180°C for at least 3 h. The digested samples were cooled to room temperature (25°C) and then filtered through No. 4 Whatman filter paper. The solution volume was adjusted to 25 mL using ultra-high purity water and kept at 4°C until FAAS analysis.

156 Sample analysis

157

Gas chromatographic analysis

Pyrethroid residues analyses were carried out using an Agilent gas chromatography 158 159 (GC 7890B, Agilent Technologies, Germany) with a micro-electron capture detector (µ-ECD). The system was equipped with a 30 m x 0.32 mm x 0.25 µm fused silica capillary 160 column (HP-5, Agilent Technologies, Germany). High purity (99.999%) helium and nitrogen 161 were used as carrier gas and make up gas at a constant flow rate of 20 mL/min and 60 162 mL/min, respectively. The GC oven was operated as follows: initial temperature at 150°C 163 held for 1 min, followed by the ramp of 15°C/min to 255°C and then 20°C/min to 300°C and 164 held for 5 min. The injector and detector temperatures were set at 260°C and 315°C. 165 respectively. The total run time was 28 min per sample. One microliter of each sample was 166 injected to the GC system under splitless mode. Pyrethroid concentrations were quantified 167 using standard calibration curves. Each sample was analyzed in triplicates to ensure reliable 168 results. 169

The linearity, percentage recovery, precision, limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) for pyrethroid insecticides were determined under the European Commission (SANCO/12571/2013) criteria. The linearity ($r^2 > 0.998$) was validated for concentration range of $0.001 - 1.000 \mu g/g$. The percentage recovery was determined using spiked known pyrethroid insecticide concentrations into each sample matrix. It was calculated by comparing the ratio of each spiked sample concentration to the analyzed concentration. The precision was obtained by spiking blank sample with each pyrethroid at 6
concentrations across the work range and express the variation in terms of relative standard
deviation (%RSD). The LOD and LOQ were determined by comparing the target signal to
noise ratio in blank sample spiked with known pyrethroid concentrations. For the LOD and
LOQ the minimum signal to noise ratio was 3 and 10, respectively. The analytical
performances were provided in Table 2.

182 He

183

Heavy metal analysis

184 (SpectrA 240B Agilent technologies, USA) equipped with deuterium background corrector.

Nine heavy metal concentrations were determined using an air-acetylene FAAS

All metals were analyzed in an absorbance mode at the optimal wavelength for each metal as

186 follows; Cd 228.8 nm, Co 240.7 nm, Cr 357.9 nm, Cu 324.8 nm, Fe 248.3 nm, Mn 279.5 nm,

187 Ni 232.0 nm, Pb 217.0 nm, and Zn 213.9 nm. The individual metal concentration was

calculated using its corresponding calibration curve.

The linearity ($r^2 > 0.999$) of calibration curve was evaluated using 5 replicates of 6 metal concentrations ranging from $0.05 - 2.00 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ for Cd, $0.05 - 5.00 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ for Co and Cu, $0.10 - 10.00 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ for Cr, $0.05 - 4.50 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ for Fe, $0.25 - 4.00 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ for Mn, $0.50 - 10.00 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ for Ni, $0.50 - 20.00 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ for Pb, and $0.10 - 2.00 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ for Zn. The accuracy of metal analysis was assessed using known spiked blank samples and the precision was also assessed by analyzing %RSD. The LOD and LOQ of each metal were calculated using signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3 and 10, respectively. The obtained values were presented in Table 2.

Determination of processing factor

The processing factor (PF) for each transformation step (roasting, brewing and sludge) was calculated as the ratio of pyrethroid insecticide or metal in processed samples (roasted coffee powder, brew coffee drink, coffee sludge) (μ g/kg) to those in non-processed samples (green coffee bean) (μ g/kg) using the following equation:

 $= \frac{\text{Level of pyrethroid insecticide or metal in processed sample (µg/kg)}}{\text{Level of pyrethroid insecticide or metal in unprocessed sample (µg/kg)}}$ The PF of < 1 (reduction factor) indicated that there was a sample reduction of 202 pyrethroid insecticide or metal by the processing step, whereas PF > 1 (concentration factor) 203 indicated that there was no reduction in those toxic residues (16). In addition, the percentage 204 reduction (% reduction) for individual processing step was calculated using the following 205 equation: 206

207

201

% reduction = $(1 - PF) \times 100$

Human health risk assessment 208

Processing factor (PF)

The estimate daily intake/EDI, health risk index/HRI (the ratio of calculated EDI to 209 ADI or RfD) and target hazard quotients (THOs) were used to evaluate human health risk from 210 insecticide- and metal-contaminated coffee drink. Risk determination was also calculated for 211 the average consumption of brew coffee drink and at the 97.5th percentiles of coffee drinker 212 (extreme consumer). These allowed more comprehensive evaluation of human health risk 213 associated with the consumption of pyrethroid and metal residues in coffee drink. The 214 calculation formulae of EDI, HRI and THQ were listed as follows: 215

$$EDI = \frac{C \times W_F}{W_{AB}}$$

where C = pyrethroid or metal concentration in brew coffee sample ($\mu g/mL$); W_F = 217 daily average consumption of eater only in Thailand (mL/person/day) (216.06 mL/person/day) 218 for normal and 330 mL/person/day for the 97.5th percentile) and W_{AB} = average body weight 219 (70 kg for adults). 220

2

HRI =
$$\frac{\text{EDI}}{\text{ADI or RfD}}$$

where ADI = the acceptable daily intake, RfD = oral reference dose (Σ cypermethrin 222 0.010 mg/kg bw/day, Σ cyfluthrin 0.004 mg/kg bw/day, Cd 0.001 mg/kg bw/day, Cr 0.003 223

mg/kg bw/day, Cu 0.500 mg/kg bw/day, Fe 0.800 mg/kg bw/day, Mn 0.140 mg/kg bw/day, Ni
0.020 mg/kg bw/day, Pb 0.025 mg/kg bw/day, and Zn 0.300 mg/kg bw/day)

226
$$THQ = \frac{E_F \times E_D \times F_{IR} \times C}{RfD \times W_{AB} \times T_A} \times 10^{-3}$$

where $E_F = exposure frequency (365 day/year)$, $E_D = exposure duration (70 years)$, F_{IR} food ingestion rate (mL/person/day) (216.06 mL/person/day for normal and 330 mL/person/day for the 97.5th percentile), RfD = oral reference dose (mg/kg bw/day) (Σ cyfluthrin 0.004 mg/kg bw/day, Cd 0.001 mg/kg bw/day, Cr 0.003 mg/kg bw/day, Cu 0.500 mg/kg bw/day, Fe 0.800 mg/kg bw/day, Mn 0.140 mg/kg bw/day, Ni 0.020 mg/kg bw/day, Pb 0.025 mg/kg bw/day, and Zn 0.300 mg/kg bw/day) (17, 18), W_{AB} = average body weight (70 kg for adults) and T_A = average exposure time (365 days/year x lifetime, assuming 70 years).

234 Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R statistical software version 3.4.3 (2017-11-30). The one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to test significant differences (p<0.05) and similarities among insecticide residues found in coffee samples undergone different processes.

239 **Results and Discussion**

240 Pyrethroid residues in coffee samples

Residues of pyrethroid insecticides in green coffee beans, roasted coffee powder, brew 241 coffee drink and coffee sludge are shown in Table 3. All studied pyrethorids were 242 predominantly found in green coffee beans from various processes (dried, semi-washed, and 243 washed) but not in brew coffee drink. The residues in green coffee beans differed significantly 244 (p < 0.05) among processing steps (Table 3). Cyfluthrin 2, 3, cypermethrin CisA, and 245 Σ cyfluthrin concentrations in dried processed coffee beans were the highest (p < 0.05). In 246 addition, concentrations of flumethrin and cypermethrin TransD found in semi-washed 247 processed coffee beans were significantly the highest (p < 0.05). Simple washing process was 248

proven to easily remove these residues (19). Pesticides and insecticides were removed from
the outer and silver skins of the beans following washed and semi-washed processes (3).
However, this residue removal may not always correlate to the water solubility of each residue
compound. The peeling and refrigeration storage may also affect residue reduction (20).

Concentrations of all studied pyrethroids in brew coffee drink (Table 3) were lower than their corresponding limits of detection (Table 2). The roasting and brew coffee steps reduced pyrethroid levels in coffee drink. Decreases in the amount of pyrethroids were via molecular structure breakdown (21) and physico-chemical properties e.g., evaporation, codistillation and thermal degradation which may vary with chemical nature of the individual compound (22). There were no statistical differences in pyrethroid concentrations (p > 0.05) among coffee bean processes in roasted coffee beans and brew coffee drinks.

Most pyrethroid residue levels and their detection percentages in coffee sludge samples were lower than those found in roasted coffee beans (Table 3). Cyfluthrin 1 concentration found in coffee sludge sample from semi-washed beans was the highest $(0.16 \pm 0.02 \ \mu g/g)$. However, all pyrethroid residue concentrations in coffee sludge did not significantly differ among coffee bean processes (p > 0.05).

265 Heavy metal residues in coffee samples

Most of the studied 9 metals were found in green coffee beans, roasted coffee powder, brew coffee drink, and coffee sludge except for Cr in green coffee beans and Cd in brew coffee drink (only in semi-washed process samples) (Table 3). In addition, the lowest and the highest metal concentrations were in brew coffee drink and green coffee beans, respectively. The significant differences (p > 0.05) of Cd and Fe were found among the brew coffee drink.

The highest trace and toxic metal concentrations in green coffee beans were Cu (42.56 $\pm 18.12 \ \mu g/g$) and Pb (20.71 $\pm 2.52 \ \mu g/g$) from semi-washed process. Copper is an inactive ingredient of some pesticides used in coffee culture. Its highest concentration was found in

coffee bean sample from Ethiopia with the concentration approximately 4 times higher than 274 those in previous reports (14, 15). Besides Cu, green coffee beans were contaminated with Pb 275 at higher concentration than those reported in other studies (14, 15, 23). 276 The metal concentration differences depend on cultivation areas characterized by different organoleptic 277 features and chemical compositions, which could be used as a tool for characterization coffee 278 variation (12, 24). The Pb residue can affect the neurological system resulting in central and 279 280 peripheral nervous system damages. It can also interfere with vitamin D conversion and calcium homeostasis, inhibit hemoglobin synthesis, as well as be a potential carcinogen (25). 281 282 While excess Cu level may alter the metabolic functions and cause Menkes and Wilson's disease (26). Organic fertilizers, organic manures and pesticides used in cultivation usually 283 were sources of different metal contaminations (12). However, there was no regulation of each 284 metal residue in green coffee beans. 285

No significant differences (p > 0.05) of metal residue concentrations in roasted coffee 286 powder existed among coffee bean processes (Table 3). This indicated that the roasting process 287 affected the metal concentrations in coffee beans. Mn concentration $(32.21 \pm 2.36 \mu g/g)$ was 288 the highest in washed process whereas Fe concentrations $(32.67 \pm 2.33 \ \mu g/g, 32.07 \pm 2.75)$ 289 $\mu g/g$) were the highest in dried and semi-washed processes in roasted powder samples, 290 respectively. Similar Mn and Fe concentrations in roasted coffee beans were previously 291 reported (27). Metal concentrations in roasted coffee powder were higher than those in green 292 coffee beans. It is likely that high temperature (up to 250°C) used in the roasting process 293 affected chemical compositions as well as biological activities of the green coffee beans (27). 294 Increased metal concentrations, thus, were apparent (15, 27, 28). Not only the coffee bean 295 origins and qualities but also the coffee bean processes could alter concentrations of both trace 296 and toxic metals. The Pb concentrations in roasted coffee beans found in this study were above 297 the EU permitted limit (0.2 mg/kg) (29). 298

The statistical differences of heavy metal concentrations (p > 0.05) among bean processes were found for Cd, Cu, Fe, Ni, and Pb in brew coffee drink. Most metals were detected except for Cd in semi-washed process. Concentrations of Mn ($0.62 \pm 0.09 \mu g/mL$ in washed process) and Pb ($0.28 \pm 0.02 \mu g/mL$ in dried process) were the highest among trace and toxic metals, respectively. The detected Mn concentrations were similar to the report of Nędzarek, Tórz (30) but slightly higher than those found in others. These differences were caused from a wide range of geographical areas in coffee culture (12, 24, 27).

There were no significant differences (p > 0.05) of metal concentrations in coffee 306 307 sludge among bean processes. The metal found at the highest concentration was Fe (39.72 \pm 5.23 μ g/g) in dried process coffee sludge. Coffee sludge has currently been used by several 308 means, e.g., organic fertilizer for agricultural crops including coffee, raw materials for 309 bioethanol and biogas production (31). If metal concentrations are high like those found in this 310 study, the transfer of toxic contaminants can be expected. Metal contaminated coffee sludge 311 for such purposes should be of concern. The regulation of metal residues in coffee sludge 312 should then be established. 313

314 Determination of processing factors

The processing factor (PF) and percentage reduction (%) for individual pesticide and metal from each process were determined (Table 4). No significant difference (p > 0.05) of PF was found among the bean processes. All PFs of pyrethroids from all coffee bean processes were less than 1. This indicated that coffee roasting and brewing decreased pyrethroid residues.

The results are in agreement with other studies whose PFs were decreased following food processing (3, 16). The roasting process plays the most important role in pyrethoriod reduction. However, the PF was not calculated for the pyrethroids not detected in samples. On the contrary, higher concentrations of most metals were observed after roasting indicated by PF greater than 1 (Table 4). The increased concentrations were likely from the evaporation of water and/or combustible mass in the coffee grain which concentrate metals in the coffee beans (27). The brew coffee drinks showed the opposite processing factors (PF < 1) with percentage reductions greater than 96%. This indicated that coffee brewing resulted in the reduction of all metal concentrations and were likely due to dilution effect as their weight reduction.

328

Human health risk assessment

Human health risks from pyrethroid insecticide and metal contamination in brew coffee 329 330 drink were assessed by considering two consumptions scenario, i.e., normal consumption and extreme consumption approaches (97.5th percentile) of Thai population. The estimate daily 331 332 intakes (EDIs) and their health risk indices (HRIs) were presented in Table 5. None of pyrethroid pesticides were detectable in brew coffee drinks whereas all metals were found but 333 the concentrations were lower than reference ADIs or RfDs (HRI < 1). The EDIs have shown 334 that toxic metals (Cd, Cr, Ni and Pb) and pyrethroid insecticides (cyfluthrin, cypermethrin and 335 flumethrin) contaminations in brew coffee drinks are safe for both normal and the 97.5th 336 percentiles of Thai population. Concentration of Pb found in brew coffee drink (0.31 µg/mL) 337 was the highest among toxic metals. Its highest EDIs via normal consumption (216.06 mL/day) 338 and the 97.5th percentile (330 mL/day) consumption for Thai coffee drinker (32) were 0.97 339 mg/kg bw/day (washed process) and 1.48 mg/kg bw/day (washed process), respectively. In 340 addition, all calculated HRIs which were less than 1 (Table 5) indicated that human 341 consumption of all studied samples was relatively safe. Trace metals such as Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, 342 Ni and Zn are cofactors of a large number of enzymes. Their trace but adequate amounts are 343 essential for normal body functions (Cu 0.9 mg/day; Fe 8-18 mg/day; Mn 1.8-2.3 mg/day; Ni 344 0.5 mg/day and Zn 8-11 mg/day) (33). The excess amount of these trace metals, however, could 345 cause adverse human health effects. The estimated consumptions of these metals in brew 346 coffee drink from this study were higher than normal human requirement but still safe for the 347 consumption. A few studies showed no health risk effects of mineral intake following coffee 348

consumption similar to this study (14, 27, 34). The THQ values were calculated and used to
assess for human health risk for long-time consumers (Table 6). In this study, the THQs of all
investigated analytes were less than 1. The consumption of brew coffee drinks from all studied
coffee bean samples, thus, are unlikely to pose adverse effects on human health.

353 Conclusion

Coffee is among the most popular beverages in all countries. Insecticides and metals 354 introduced during coffee cultures could result in their contaminations in the coffee beans. 355 These contaminants could be reduced during coffee bean processing including dried, semi-356 washed, and washed processes. In this study, 3 pyrethroid insecticides and 9 metals were 357 determined in coffee samples, i.e., green coffee beans, roasted coffee powder, brew coffee 358 drink, and coffee sludge. Coffee roasting and brewing could reduce the concentrations of both 359 investigated pyrethroid insecticides and metals indicated by the PF values which were less than 360 1. Based on the normal and the 97.5th percentile consumption of Thai population, it can be 361 concluded that the consumption of brew coffee drink is unlikely to pose adverse effects on 362 human health. Uses of coffee by-product (coffee sludge) as fertilizers or biogas raw materials 363 should be of concerns since it may contain toxic contaminants. 364

365 Conflict of interest

The authors report that there is no conflict of interest in the authorship and publication of this article.

368 Acknowledgements

This research is supported in part by the Graduate Program Scholarship from the Graduate School, Kasetsart University.

371 **References**

Clifford MN. Chlorogenic acids and other cinnamates – nature, occurrence and dietary
 burden. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 1999;79(3):362-72.

Hillocks RJ, Phiri NA, Overfield D. Coffee pest and disease management options for
 smallholders in Malawi. Crop Protection. 1999;18(3):199-206.

376 3. Mekonen S, Ambelu A, Spanoghe P. Effect of household coffee processing on pesticide 377 residues as a means of ensuring consumers' safety. Journal of Agricultural and Food 378 Chemistry. 2015;63(38):8568-73.

4. Dias CM, Oliveira FA, Madureira FD, Silva G, Souza WR, Cardeal ZL. Multi-residue method for the analysis of pesticides in Arabica coffee using liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A. 2013;30(7):1308-15.

5. Yang X, Wang J, Xu DC, Qiu JW, Ma Y, Cui J. Simultaneous determination of 69 pesticide residues in coffee by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. Food Analytical Methods. 2011;4(2):186-95.

6. Reis MRd, Fernandes FL, Lopes EA, Gorri JER, Alves FM. Chapter 26 - Pesticide
Residues in Coffee Agroecosystems. In: Preedy VR, editor. Coffee in Health and Disease
Prevention. San Diego: Academic Press; 2015. p. 235-44.

388 7. Khambay BPS. Pyrethroid Insecticides. Pesticide Outlook. 2002;13(2):49-54.

389 8. Zhang W. Global pesticide use: profile, trend, cost / benefit and more. 2018;8:1-27.

9. de Queiroz VT, Azevedo MM, da Silva Quadros IP, Costa AV, do Amaral AA, dos

391 Santos GMADA, et al. Environmental risk assessment for sustainable pesticide use in coffee

production. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology. 2018;219:18-27.

- 393 10. Saillenfait A-M, Ndiaye D, Sabaté J-P. Pyrethroids: exposure and health effects
 394 an update. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health. 2015;218(3):281-92.
- 395 11. Selmar D, Bytof G, Knopp S-E, Breitenstein B. Germination of coffee seeds
 and its significance for coffee quality. Plant Biology. 2006;8(2):260-4.

397 12. Ashu R, Chandravanshi BS. Concentration levels of metals in commercially
available Ethiopian roasted coffee powders and their infusions. Bulletin of the Chemical
399 Society of Ethiopia. 2011;25(1):11-24.

Stelmach E, Pawel P, Anna S-M. Evaluation of the bioaccessability of Ca, Fe,
Mg and Mn in ground coffee infusions by in vitro gastrointestical digestion. Journal of the
Brazilian Chemical Society. 2014;25(11):1993-9.

403 14. Şemen S, Mercan S, Yayla M, Açıkkol M. Elemental composition of green
404 coffee and its contribution to dietary intake. Food Chemistry. 2017;215:92-100.

Van Cuong T, Hong Ling L, Kang Quan G, Jin S, Shu Jie S, Le Linh T, et al.
Effect of roasting conditions on concentration in elements of Vietnam robusta coffee. Acta
Universitatis Cibiniensis Series E: Food Technology. 2014;18.

Bonnechere A, Hanot V, Jolie R, Hendrickx M, Bragard C, Bedoret T, et al.
Processing factors of several pesticides and degradation products in carrots by household and
industrial processing. Journal of Food Research. 2012;1(3).

411 17. EPA. Integrated Risk Information System Available 2019 [Available from:
412 www.cfpub.epa.gov/iris.

41318.WHO. Evaluation of Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives

414 (JECFA) 2018 [Available from: <u>www.apps.who.int/food-additives-contaminants-jecfa-</u>
415 <u>database</u>.

416 19. Ahmed A, Randhawa MA, Yusuf MJ, Khalid N. Effect of processing on
417 pesticide residues in food crops - a review. Journal of Agricultural Research. 2011;49(3):379418 90.

20. Cengiz MF, Certel M, Karakaş B, Göçmen H. Residue contents of captan and
procymidone applied on tomatoes grown in greenhouses and their reduction by duration of a

421 pre-harvest interval and post-harvest culinary applications. Food Chemistry.
422 2007;100(4):1611-9.

423 21. Bajwa U, Sandhu KS. Effect of handling and processing on pesticide residues
424 in food- a review. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2014;51(2):201-20.

425 22. Sharma J, Satya S, Kumar V, Tewary DK. Dissipation of pesticides during
426 bread-making. Chemical Health and Safety. 2005;12(1):17-22.

427 23. Adler G, Nędzarek A, Tórz A. Concentrations of selected metals (Na, K, Ca,
428 Mg, Fe, Cu, Zn, Al, Ni, Pb, Cd) in coffee *J. Slovenian* Journal of Public Health.
429 2019;58(4):187-93.

430 24. Grembecka M, Malinowska E, Szefer P. Differentiation of market coffee and
431 its infusions in view of their mineral composition. Sci Total Environ. 2007;383(1):59-69.

Liu P, Wang C-N, Song X-Y, Wu Y-N. Dietary intake of lead and cadmium by
children and adults – Result calculated from dietary recall and available lead/cadmium level in
food in comparison to result from food duplicate diet method. International Journal of Hygiene
and Environmental Health. 2010;213(6):450-7.

436 26. Gaetke LM, Chow-Johnson HS, Chow CK. Copper: toxicological relevance and
437 mechanisms. Arch Toxicol. 2014;88(11):1929-38.

438 27. Árvay J, Šnirc M, Hauptvogl M, Bilčíková J, Bobková A, Demková L, et al.
439 Concentration of micro- and macro-Elements in green and roasted coffee: influence of roasting
440 degree and risk assessment for the consumers. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2019;190(1):226-33.

28. Cruz R, Morais S, Casal S. Chapter 66 - Mineral Composition Variability of
Coffees: A Result of Processing and Production. In: Preedy V, editor. Processing and Impact
on Active Components in Food. San Diego: Academic Press; 2015. p. 549-58.

444 29. European Commission Regulation. Pesticide residues MRLs. In: Consumers
445 DGfH, editor. 2012.

446	30.	Nędzarek A	A, Tórz A	A, Kar	akiev	vicz	B, C	lark	JS, I	Lasz	czyńsk	a M, F	Kaleta A,	et al.
447	Concentrations	s of heavy	metals	(Mn,	Co,	Ni, (Cr, A	Ag,	Pb)	in c	offee.	Acta	Biochim	Pol.
448	2013;60(4):62	3-7.												

- 31. McNutt J, He Q. Spent coffee grounds: A review on current utilization. Journal 449 of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. 2019;71:78-88. 450
- 32. National Bureau of Agricultural Commodity and Food Standards. Food 451 consumption data of Thailand. Bangkok, Thailand: National Bureau of Agricultural 452 Commodity and Food Standards; 2016. 453
- 454 33. Nogaim QA, Al-Dalali S, Al-Badany A, Farh M. Determination of some heavy metals in Yemeni green coffee. Journal of Applied Chemistry. 2014;2(4):13-8. 455
- 34. Onianwa PC, Adetola IG, Iwegbue CMA, Ojo MF, Tella OO. Trace heavy 456 metals composition of some Nigerian beverages and food drinks. Food Chemistry. 457 1999;66(3):275-9. 458
- 459

Table 1 Description of three coffee bean processes.
 460

Process	Description
Dried (D)	The rip beans were removed after sundrying coffee fruits.
Semi-washed (SW)	The hybrid method combining washed and dried processes.
Washed (W)	The rip beans were removed after coffee fruit fermentation.

Table 2 Results of percentage recovery (% recovery), percentage relative standard diviation

463 (% RSD), limits of detection (LODs) and quatification (LOQs) of pyrethroid insecticides and 464 metals.

Pyrethroid insecticides/metals	% recovery	% RSD	LOD (µg/g)	LOQ (µg/g)
Cyfluthrin 1	86.37	9.27	0.005	0.030
Cyfluthrin 2	98.62	11.25	0.004	0.017
Cyfluthrin 3	79.29	12.02	0.003	0.010
Cyfluthrin 4	102.65	12.30	0.005	0.017
Flumethrin	98.35	8.41	0.020	0.056
Cypermethrin CisA	97.95	11.47	0.003	0.021
Cypermethrin CisB	94.11	9.47	0.001	0.065
Cypermethrin TransC	88.87	11.78	0.005	0.019
Cypermethrin TransD	87.08	17.11	0.006	0.020
Cd	99.71	2.94	0.0005	0.002
Со	98.55	4.08	0.0003	0.001
Cu	99.81	3.43	0.001	0.003
Cr	98.04	3.91	0.0008	0.002
Fe	98.52	3.54	0.002	0.005
Mn	97.76	4.46	0.002	0.002
Ni	98.77	5.22	0.0004	0.001
Pb	99.51	2.16	0.002	0.005
Zn	97.76	7.12	0.0008	0.002

Pyrethroid	G	reen coffee bea	ns	Roasted coffee powder			Rr	ew coffee d	rink	Coffee sludge			
insecticides/metals	0	SW	W	D	SW	W	D	SW	W	D	SW	W	
Cyfluthrin 1	0.25±0.16ª	0.11±0.04ª	0.04±0.01ª	0.28±0.07 ^b	0.16±0.02 ^{ab}	0.02±0.00ª	ND	ND	ND		0.01±0.00ª	0.01±0.00ª	
-)	(66.67%)	(60.00%)	(50.00%)	(26.67%)	(40.00%)	(16.67%)				(26.67%)	(40.00%)	(20.00%)	
Cyfluthrin 2	0.84±0.25 ^b	0.13±0.03ª	0.10±0.02ª	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
-	(100.00%)	(60.00%)	(90.00%)										
Cyfluthrin 3	0.19±0.04 ^b	0.04±0.02ª	0.03±0.01ª	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	(60.00%)	(60.00%)	(20.00%)										
Cyfluthrin 4	0.18±0.04 ^a	0.09±0.05ª	0.06±0.02ª	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	(86.67%)	(60.00%)	(33.33%)										
ΣCyfluthrin	1.28±0.33 ^b	0.23±0.11 ^a	0.14±0.03 ^a	0.28±0.07 ^b	0.16±0.02 ^{ab}	0.02±0.00 ^a	ND	ND	ND	0.01±0.00 ^a	0.01±0.00 ^a	0.01±0.00 ^a	
	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(93.33%)	(26.67%)	(40.00%)	(16.67%)				(26.67%)	(40.00%)	(20.00%)	
Flumethrin	0.39±0.06ª	0.75±0.01 ^b	0.24±0.04 ^a	0.06±0.00 ^a	0.06±0.02ª	0.06±0.03ª	ND	ND	ND	0.03±0.01ª	ND	0.02±0.01ª	
	(100.00%)	(40.00%)	(80.00%)	(6.67%)	(60.00%)	(53.33%)				(26.67%)		(16.67%)	
Cypermethrin CisA	0.06±0.01 ^b	0.01±0.00 ^a	0.02±0.00 ^a	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	(60.00%)	(20.00%)	(6.67%)										
Cypermethrin CisB	0.02±0.01ª	0.02±0.00 ^a	0.03±0.01ª	0.00 ^a	0.00 ^a	0.01±0.00ª	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.001±0.004	
	(33.33%)	(20.00%)	(66.67%)	(6.67%)	(20.00%)	(43.33%)						(100.00%)	
Cypermethrin	0.01±0.00 ^a	0.01ª	0.01±0.00 ^a	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
TransC	(20.00%)	(20.00%)	(53.33%)										
Cypermethrin	0.09±0.01 ^{ab}	0.11±0.05 ^b	0.06±0.01ª	ND	0.01±0.00 ^a	0.01±0.00ª	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.02±0.00ª	0.01±0.00ª	
TransD	(93.33%)	(40.00%)	(83.33%)		(60.00%)	(56.67%)					(20.00%)	(20.00%)	
ΣCypermethrin	0.12±0.02 ^a	0.09±0.04ª	0.08±0.01ª	0.00 ^a	0.01±0.00	0.01±0.00 ^a	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.01±0.00 ^a	0.01±0.00 ^a	
	(100.00%)	(60.00%)	(93.33%)	(6.67%)	(60.00%)	(33.33%)					(20.00%)	(26.67%)	
Cd	0.67±0.04 ^{ab}	0.64±0.02 ^a	$0.74{\pm}0.02^{b}$	0.50±0.03 ^a	0.56±0.01ª	0.56±0.02 ^a	0.01±0.00 ^b	ND	0.01±0.00 ^a	0.87±0.30 ^a	0.44±0.04 ^a	0.48±0.02ª	

Table 3 Average \pm SE (μ g/g or μ g/mL) and percentage detection (%) of pyrethroid insecticide and metal concentrations in coffee samples from 467 dried (D), semi-washed (SW) washed (W) processes.

Drusthusid		А	verage ± SE of	pyrethroid inse	cticide and meta	al concentration	s (µg/g or µg/ı	nL) and perce	ntage detectior	ı (in parenthesi	s)	
Pyrethroid insecticides/metals	G	Freen coffee bear	ns	Ro	asted coffee pov	vder	В	Brew coffee dri	nk		Coffee sludge	
msecticities/metais	D	SW	W	D	SW	W	D	SW	W	D	SW	W
	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(50.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)
Со	0.88±0.10 ^a	1.32±0.43ª	0.92±0.11ª	1.87±0.14 ^a	1.91±0.28ª	1.89±0.14 ^a	0.02±0.01ª	0.01±0.01ª	0.02±0.00 ^a	1.35±0.17 ^a	1.19±0.16 ^a	1.45±0.11 ^a
	(73.33%)	(80.00%)	(96.67%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(80.00%)	(40.00%)	(80.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)
Cu	35.79±16.66 ^a	42.56±18.12 ^a	22.00±5.23ª	12.65±2.89 ^a	12.41±1.69 ^a	15.19±1.60 ^a	$0.02{\pm}0.00^{b}$	0.02±0.01 ^{ab}	0.01±0.00 ^a	12.03±1.73ª	15.21±3.00 ^a	14.33±1.54 ^a
	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)
Cr	ND	ND	ND	0.13±0.00 ^b	0.97±0.19 ^a	0.55±0.12 ^{ab}	0.03±0.00 ^a	0.04±0.00 ^a	0.03±0.00 ^a	0.20±0.04ª	0.26±0.06 ^a	0.37±0.08 ^a
				(13.33%)	(80.00%)	(40.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(20.00%)	(100.00%)	(46.67%)
Fe	34.74±3.78 ^a	27.76±2.29ª	27.11±0.98ª	32.67±2.33 ^b	32.07±2.75 ^{ab}	27.07±0.45ª	0.15±0.01 ^b	0.14±0.02 ^b	0.11±0.01ª	39.72±5.23ª	34.97±2.66 ^a	28.78±0.76 ^a
	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)
Mn	20.40±1.99ab	19.61±3.40 ^a	30.56±2.39b	17.70±1.65 ^a	21.35±4.13ab	31.21±2.36 ^b	0.34±0.06ª	0.33±0.04ª	0.62±0.09 ^a	19.09±1.31ª	20.11±4.57 ^a	27.45±2.07ª
	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)
Ni	7.67±0.60 ^b	10.41±0.60 ^a	9.70±0.54 ^{ab}	4.70±0.38 ^a	4.28±0.53ª	3.71±0.23 ^a	0.07±0.01 ^b	0.05±0.02 ^{ab}	0.04±0.01ª	4.26±0.47 ^a	4.02±0.97 ^a	3.73±0.27 ^a
	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(96.67%)	(100.00%)	(80.00%)	(83.33%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)
Pb	20.14±2.16 ^a	20.71±2.52 ^a	16.92±0.75 ^a	23.32±0.90 ^a	23.44±0.98ª	21.19±0.54ª	0.28±0.02 ^{ab}	0.26±0.02ª	0.31±0.01 ^b	18.02±0.67 ^a	18.02±0.43 ^a	17.16±0.40 ^a
	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)
Zn	20.84±7.19 ^a	30.13±11.61ª	15.59±3.46ª	9.27±1.65 ^a	7.66±0.92ª	8.64±0.96 ^a	0.07±0.01ª	0.07±0.01ª	0.08±0.01ª	8.50±0.97ª	9.84±1.75 ^a	7.49±0.93ª
	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)	(100.00%)

Means followed by different letters indicated significant (p < 0.05) differences among coffee bean processes. Nd : Non detectable (concentrations < LOD)

	Processing factor (PF) and percentage reduction (%)													
Pyrethroid /		Dried process		Se	mi-washed proce	ess		Washed process						
metals residues	Roasted	Brew coffee	Coffee	Roasted	Brew coffee	Coffeee	Roasted	Brew coffee	Coffee					
	beans	drink	sludge	beans	drink	sludge	beans	drink	sludge					
Cyfluthrin 1	0.56	NA	0.01	0.00	NA	0.00	0.01	NA	0.12					
	(43.77%)		(99.06%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(99.19%)		(88.08%)					
Cyfluthrin 2	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00					
	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)					
Cyfluthrin 3	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00					
	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)					
Cyfluthrin 4	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00					
	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)					
ΣCyfluthrin	0.59	NA	0.01	0.28	NA	0.01	0.02	NA	0.06					
	(40.83%)		(99.81%)	(72.21%)		(98.81%)	(98.44%)		(94.50%)					
Flumethrin	0.02	NA	0.04	0.07	NA	0.00	0.27	NA	0.01					
	(98.05%)		(96.00%)	(93.16%)		(100.00%)	(73.26%)		(99.14%)					
Cypermethrin	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00					
CisA	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)					
Cypermethrin	0.04	NA	0.00	0.05	NA	0.00	0.10	NA	0.01					
CisB	(95.64%)		(100.00%)	(94.66%)		(100.00%)	(89.62%)		(98.68%)					
Cypermethrin	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00					
TransC	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(100.00%)		(100.00%)					
Cypermethrin	0.00	NA	0.00	0.12	NA	0.00	0.05	NA	0.01					
TransD	(100.00%)		(100.00%)	(88.39%)		(100.00%)	(95.14%)		(98.76%)					
ΣCypermethrin	0.01	NA	0.00	0.11	NA	0.00	0.97	NA	0.05					
	(99.85%)		(100.00%)	(89.27)		(100.00%)	(90.26%)		(95.32%)					
Cd	0.79	0.01	1.37	0.88	NA	0.69	0.77	0.00	0.65					
	(21.54%)	(98.99%)	(-36.89%)	(12.09%)		(30.89%)	(22.77%)	(99.78%)	(35.26%)					
Со	2.36	0.02	1.65	2.21	0.01	1.39	4.57	0.04	3.32					
	(-135.67)	(97.80%)	(-65.08%)	(-121.42%)	(99.74%)	(-39.25%)	(-356.99%)	(96.230%)	(-232.00%)					

 Table 4. Processing factor (PF) and percentage reduction (%) in coffee samples from dried, semi-washed and washed processes.

	Processing factor (PF) and percentage reduction (%)													
Pyrethroid /		Dried process		Se	mi-washed proce	ess		Washed process						
metals residues	Roasted	Brew coffee	Coffee	Roasted	Brew coffee	Coffeee	Roasted	Brew coffee	Coffee					
	beans	drink	sludge	beans	drink	sludge	beans	drink	sludge					
Cr	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
Cu	1.04	0.00	1.08	0.68	0.01	1.21	1.19	0.01	1.11					
	(-4.408%)	(99.79%)	(-7.76%)	(32.11%)	(99.92%)	(-20.92%)	(-18.59%)	(99.88%)	(-10.53%)					
Fe	1.03	0.01	1.25	1.16	0.01	1.27	1.02	0.01	1.10					
	(-3.27%)	(99.54%)	(-25.34%)	(-16.20%)	(99.50%)	(-26.88%)	(-2.16%)	(99.58%)	(-9.06%)					
Mn	0.88	0.02	0.98	1.11	0.02	1.03	1.05	0.02	0.93					
	(11.69%)	(98.45%)	(2.16%)	(-10.85%)	(98.23%)	(-2.97%)	(-4.68)	(98.06%)	(7.07%)					
Ni	0.97	0.02	0.86	0.41	0.01	0.38	0.39	0.014	0.41					
	(2.64%)	(98.24%)	(14.55%)	(59.29%)	(99.51%)	(61.56%)	(60.90%)	(99.60%)	(59.55%)					
Pb	1.28	0.02	1.00	1.20	0.013	0.91	1.31	0.029	1.06					
	(-28.42%)	(98.42%)	(0.20%)	(-20.35%)	(98.67%)	(8.80%)	(-31.33%)	(98.06%)	(-5.75%)					
Zn	0.99	0.01	0.86	0.50	0.01	0.77	1.23	0.01	1.10					
	(1.43%)	(99.35%)	(13.88%)	(49.56%)	(99.48%)	(23.35%)	(-22.85%)	(99.05%)	(-9.70%)					

473 NA (not available): the processing factor was not calculated due to residue of pesticides or metals was not detected.

474	Table 5. Estimated normal dietery (EDI) of exposure levels to residues of pesticides (µg/kg bw/day) and metals (µg/kg bw/day) detected in brew
475	coffee drink and Health Risk Index (HRI) based on normal consumption and the 97 th percentile consumption of Thai population.

Pyrethroid /			Normal c	onsumption			The 97.5 th percentile consumption						
metals residues	Dried	Dried process		Semi-washed process		Washed process		Dried process		Semi-washed process		Washed process	
	EDI	HRI	EDI	HRI	EDI	HRI	EDI	HRI	EDI	HRI	EDI	HRI	
ΣCyfluthrin	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
ΣCypermethrin	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Flumethrin	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Cd	0.02	0.02	ND	ND	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.03	ND	ND	0.01	0.01	
Co	0.06	NA	0.04	NA	0.05	NA	0.09	NA	0.06	NA	0.08	NA	
Cr	0.08	0.03	0.11	0.04	0.09	0.03	0.12	0.04	0.17	0.06	0.13	0.04	
Cu	0.07	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.07	0.00	
Fe	0.45	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.69	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.52	0.00	
Mn	1.04	0.01	1.02	0.01	1.91	0.01	1.58	0.01	1.56	0.01	2.91	0.02	
Ni	0.22	0.01	0.17	0.01	0.12	0.01	0.34	0.02	0.25	0.01	0.18	0.01	
Pb	0.87	0.04	0.79	0.03	0.97	0.04	1.33	0.05	1.21	0.05	1.48	0.06	
Zn	0.22	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.36	0.00	

NA : not available therefore the ADI was not calculated due to no reports on reference acceptable daily intake.

ND : Not detectable.

The reference acceptable daily intake: Σ cypermethrin 0.010 mg/kg bw/day; Σ cyfluthrin 0.004 mg/kg bw/day; Cd 0.001 mg/kg bw/day; Cr 0.003 mg/kg bw/day; Cu 0.500 mg/kg bw/day; Fe 0.800 mg/kg bw/day; Mn 0.140 mg/kg bw/day; Ni 0.020 mg/kg bw/day; Pb 0.025 mg/kg bw/day and Zn 0.300 mg/kg bw/day) (17, 18);

482	Table 6. Average target hazard quotient (THQ) with the consumption of brew coffee drink based on normal consumption and the 97 th percentile
483	consumption of Thai population.

Target hazard quotient (THQ)										
	Normal consumption		The 97.5 th percentile consumption							
Dried process	Semi-washed process	Washed process	Dried process	Semi-washed process	Washed process					
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
0.02	ND	0.01	0.03	ND	0.01					
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04					
< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01					
< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01					
0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02					
0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01					
0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06					
< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01					
	ND ND ND 0.02 NA 0.03 <0.01	Dried process Semi-washed process ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND 0.02 ND NA NA 0.03 0.05 <0.01	Normal consumption Dried process Semi-washed process Washed process ND ND ND 0.02 ND 0.01 NA NA NA 0.03 0.05 0.04 <0.01	Normal consumption T Dried process Semi-washed process Washed process Dried process ND ND ND ND ND 0.02 ND 0.01 0.03 0.03 NA NA NA NA NA 0.03 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.01 <0.01	Normal consumption The 97.5th percentile consumption Dried process Semi-washed process Washed process Dried process Semi-washed process ND ND					

NA : the THQ was not calculated due to no report on reference acceptable daily intake. ND : Not detectabl