Cooperation among *c*-subunits of F$_o$F$_1$-ATP synthase in rotation-coupled proton

translocation

Noriyo Mitome[1,2,3†*], Shintaroh Kubo[4†], Sumie Ohta[3], Hikaru Takashima[2], Yuto

Shigefuji[2], Toru Niina[4], Shoji Takada[4*]

[1]Faculty of Education, Tokoha University, Shizuoka 422-8581, Japan

[2]Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, National Institute of Technology,

Ube College, Ube 755-8555, Japan

[3]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, National Institute of Technology, Numazu

College, Numazu 410-8501, Japan

[4]Department of Biophysics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-

8502, Japan

[†]N.M. and S.K. contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors:

Noriyo Mitome

Faculty of Education, Tokoha University, Yayoicho

6-1, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8581, Japan

+81-54-297-6304

mitome@sz.tokoha-u.ac.jp

Shoji Takada

Department of Biophysics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University

Kitashirakawa-Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

+81-75-753-4220

takada@biophys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract

In F_oF_1-ATP synthase, proton translocation through F_o drives rotation of the *c*-subunit oligomeric ring relative to the *a*-subunit. Recent studies suggest that in each step of the rotation, key glutamic acid residues in different *c*-subunits contribute to proton release to and proton uptake from the *a*-subunit. However, no studies have demonstrated cooperativity among *c*-subunits toward F_oF_1-ATP synthase activity. Here, we addressed this using *Bacillus* PS3 ATP synthase harboring *c*-ring with various combinations of wild-type and *c*E56D, enabled by genetically fused single-chain *c*-ring. ATP synthesis and proton pump activities were significantly decreased by a single *c*E56D mutation and further decreased by double *c*E56D mutations. Moreover, activity further decreased as the two mutation sites were separated, indicating cooperation among *c*-subunits. Similar results were obtained for proton transfer-coupled molecular simulations. Simulations revealed that prolonged proton uptake in mutated *c*-subunits is shared between two *c*-subunits, explaining the cooperation observed in biochemical assays.

Keywords: F_oF_1-ATP synthase, single-chain *c*-ring, proton uptake, molecular simulations

Introduction

F_oF_1-ATP synthase (hereafter F_oF_1) is a ubiquitous enzyme that synthesizes or hydrolyzes ATP coupled with proton translocation at the inner mitochondrial membrane, chloroplast thylakoid membrane, and bacterial plasma membrane[1–3]. F_oF_1 synthesizes ATP via rotation of the central rotor driven by the proton motive force across the membrane. The enzyme comprises two rotary motors that share the rotor, i.e., the water soluble F_1, which has catalytic sites for ATP synthesis/hydrolysis[4], and the membrane-embedded F_o, which mediates proton translocation[5]. The F_o motor consists of a c oligomer ring (c-ring), which serves as the rotor, and the ab_2 stator portion located on the c-ring periphery. Downgradient proton translocation through F_o drives rotation of the central rotor composed of c-ring and $\gamma\varepsilon$ subunits, causing conformational changes in F_1 that result in ATP synthesis. Conversely, ATP hydrolysis in F_1 induces reverse rotation of the rotor, which forces F_o to pump protons in the reverse direction.

The c-ring is composed of 8–17 c-subunits depending on the species[6–12]. F_oF_1 from thermophilic *Bacillus* PS3 and yeast mitochondrial F_oF_1 contain 10 c-subunits in the c-ring, which is designated the c_{10}-ring[7,8,13,14] (Fig. 1). The F_o-c subunit harbors an essential proton-binding carboxyl group (c-Glu; cE56 in *Bacillus* PS3, cE59 in yeast mitochondria) located near the center of the membrane-embedded region; this group functions as the proton carrier (Fig. 1a). Protonation in the Glu allows the c_{10}-ring to bind a proton, whereas proton release leads to Glu deprotonation. Accordingly, bacterial F_oF_1 activity is drastically decreased when the corresponding key residue is modified by the inhibitor *N,N*-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCCD)[15] or mutated to other amino acids[16], and *Bacillus* PS3 F_oF_1 carrying a single cE56Q mutation in the c_{10}-ring does not catalyze

ATP-driven proton pumping or ATP synthesis[8].

The *a*-subunit comprises two separate half-channels, i.e., one connecting the *c*-

ring to the periplasm side of the bacteria or intermembrane space side of the mitochondria,

and the other connecting the *c*-ring to the cytoplasmic side of the bacteria or matrix side

of the mitochondria (Fig. 1b). Recent cryo-electron microscopy (EM) structural analyses

of F_oF_1 at near atomic resolution[17,18] have revealed two long tilted parallel α-helices in

the *a*-subunit at the interface with the c_{10}-ring. An essential Arg residue (*a*R169 in

Bacillus PS3, *a*R176 in yeast mitochondria) at the middle of the long parallel helices

plays a critical role in separating the two half-channels by preventing proton leakage[19],

and in the half-channels, two highly conserved Glu residues (*a*E223 and *a*E162 in yeast

mitochondria) are regarded as proton-relaying sites[20] (Fig. 1a). Because the essential Arg

(*a*-Arg) localizes near *c*-Glu in the c_{10}-ring, the attractive interaction between *a*-Arg and

deprotonated *c*-Glu is hypothesized to also contribute to F_o rotation[21].

In F_o rotation models proposed based on experimental studies[21–23], *c*-subunits

facing the *a*-subunit perform three functions (proton release, electrostatic interaction with

a-Arg, and proton uptake) depending on their positions relative to the *a*-subunit. A high-

resolution structure of yeast mitochondrial F_oF_1 showed four of the 10 *c*-subunits facing

the *a*-subunit[20]. Three key residues, i.e., *a*Glu162, *a*R173, and *a*Glu223, localize between

the *c*-Glu residues of the four *c*-subunits, suggesting that the *c*-Glu residues of adjacent *c*-

subunits could cooperate through the *a*-subunit residues. A more recent theoretical study

using a hybrid Monte Carlo/molecular dynamics (MC/MD) simulation based on a high-

resolution structure showed that there can be two or three deprotonated *c*-Glu residues

facing the *a*-subunit concurrently[23], suggesting potential synchronization; however, no

experimental studies have confirmed this cooperation between c-subunits.

87   To directly investigate the cooperation among c-subunits in the c_{10}-ring, we used

a genetically fused single-chain c-ring and analyzed the function of *Bacillus* PS3 F_oF_1

carrying hetero cE56D mutations. Biochemical assays showed that the ATP synthesis

activity was reduced, but not completely inhibited, by a single cE56D mutation and was

further reduced by double cE56D mutations. Importantly, among five double mutants, the

activity was decreased more as the distance between the two mutation sites increased.

Then, to clarify the molecular mechanisms, we performed proton transfer-coupled

molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of F_o, in which the mutations were mimicked,

reproducing the characteristics of the biochemical experiment. From the analysis of the

simulation trajectories, we found that prolonged duration times for proton uptake in the

two mutated c-subunits can be shared. As the distance between the two mutation sites

increases, the degree of time-sharing decreases. Taken together, these results reveal the

functional coupling between neighboring c-subunits.

Results

Biochemical assays using F_oF_1s with a fused c-ring harboring hetero mutations

To investigate potential cooperation among c-subunits in the c_{10}-ring rotation driven by

proton translocation, we generated F_oF_1 mutants harboring a hetero-mutated c_{10}-ring from

thermophilic *Bacillus* PS3. We previously produced a fusion mutant, c_{10} F_oF_1, in which

10 copies of the F_o-c subunit in the c_{10}-ring were fused into a single polypeptide, and

demonstrated that c_{10} F_oF_1 was active in proton-coupled ATP hydrolysis/synthesis[8].

Starting from c_{10} F_oF_1, we generated six mutant F_oF_1s harboring one or two hetero

cE56D-mutated c-subunits. The single mutant carries a cE56D mutation in the c(e)-

subunit (designated as mutant "e"), whereas the five double mutants, "ef," "eg," "eh,"

"ei," and "ej," harbor two cE56D mutations, each in the corresponding c-subunits (see

Fig. 1b for labeling of c-subunits).

F_oF_1 mutants carrying one or two cE56D substitutions in the c_{10}-ring were

expressed in host *Escherichia coli* cell membranes at approximately one-tenth the level of

wild-type (WT) F_oF_1. Western blotting with anti-c-subunit antibodies confirmed c-

subunit expression in all mutants (Fig. 2a). First, ATP synthesis activity was measured

using inverted membrane vesicles containing mutated F_oF_1s (Fig. 2b). The activity of

mutant "e" was decreased to 24% ± 1.8% of that of F_oF_1 carrying only the fusion

mutation. Moreover, among the five double mutants, "ef" showed the highest activity

(19.7% ± 2.3% of that of c_{10} F_oF_1), and the activity decreased as the distance between the

two mutations increased ("eg": 18.3% ± 1.3%; "eh": 15.6% ± 1.8%; "ei": 15.0% ± 0.6%;

"ej": 14.0% ± 0.5%). Next, ATP-driven proton pump activity was measured as quenching

of the fluorescence of 9-amino-6-chloro-2-methoxyacridine (ACMA) caused by proton

influx into the inverted membranes (Fig. 2c). In the case of mutant "e," fluorescence

quenching was decreased to 36.0% ± 6.2% of that measured for the c_{10} fusion mutant

lacking the cE56D mutation (Fig. 2d). Among the double-mutants, "ef" and "eg" showed

higher activity than the other mutants, with quenching to 22.6% ± 1.7% and 20.9% ±

2.2%, respectively, of that of c_{10} F_oF_1. The mutants "ei," "eh," and "ej" showed low

fluorescence   quenching;   however,   after   the   addition   of p-

trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP), the fluorescence increased with a time

constant of several seconds. This result indicated that protons were flowing into the

inverted membrane vesicles and then flowed out following FCCP addition. The

quenching ratios relative to c_{10} F_oF_1 calculated for mutants "ei," "eh," and "ej" were

$10.7\% \pm 1.9\%$, $11.6\% \pm 2.5\%$, and $11.6\% \pm 1.6\%$, respectively. Thus, proton pump

activity was high in the double mutants "ef" and "eg," in which the two mutations are

close to each other, but low in "eh," "ei," and "ej," in which the mutations are introduced

farther apart. Although the mutant F_oF_1s showed ATP hydrolysis activity, approximately

90% of the activity was insensitive to DCCD, a compound that inhibits F_o (Table 1).

DCCD-insensitive ATP hydrolysis indicates uncoupled F_oF_1 activity. All mutants showed

10–15% DCCD-sensitive ATP hydrolysis activity. Thus, a subtle difference in the

structure of the proton-binding site induced by the cE56D mutation may confer resistance

to DCCD binding or cause uncoupling. The rotation driven by ATP hydrolysis is affected

to a greater extent by the structure of the 3-fold symmetry of F_1 than by the rotation

during synthesis, and the DCCD-sensitive ATP hydrolysis activity indirectly reflects the

function of F_o.

MD simulation of hetero-mutated F_oF_1s

Biochemical assays showed that the decreased rotation speed of the double-mutant F_o

motor depends on the distance between the two mutation sites; however, the underlying

mechanism was not clear. To obtain mechanistic insights, we tested the mutated F_o motor

rotations by proton transfer-coupled molecular simulations[23]. Based on our previous

simulation setup for the WT yeast mitochondrial F_o, we introduced the cE59D mutation

in silico to one and two c-subunits corresponding to the biochemical assays (see Methods

for more details).

First, we demonstrated 10 trajectories for the single mutant "e" (Fig. 3a).

Although the mutated c_{10}-ring paused for a long period, the mutants still rotated in the

synthesis direction coupled with proton transportation.

Next, we simulated all five double mutants ("ef," "eg," "eh," "ei," and "ej") and

calculated the average rotational velocities over 10 trajectories (Fig. 3b). Fig. 3b shows

the mean values and standard errors of the rotational velocities of the WT and all

mutants. The rotational velocity of mutant "e" is almost two times slower than that of the

WT. The rotational velocities of double mutants tend to decrease as the distance between

the mutated chains increases. Thus, we were able to capture the characteristics of the

experimental results in our simulations qualitatively, but not quantitatively.

We then evaluated the molecular processes for the simulation. Each cE59 (or

cE59D) is protonated when the corresponding c-subunit is far from the a-subunit. This is

regarded as the resting state of cE59 (Fig. 4a). As counterclockwise rotation occurs, the

c-subunit approaches the half-channel of the a-subunit, which is connected to the matrix

(the matrix half-channel). When cE59 comes close to aE162, which is the relaying site to

the matrix half-channel, proton transfer from cE59 to aE162 occurs via the Monte Carlo

step. Depending on the transfer efficiency, several Monte Carlo steps may be required to

achieve proton release from cE59. We define the time from the first trial of the cE59-to-

aE162 proton transfer to the success of transfer as "the duration for proton release" (pink

in Fig. 4a). Once cE59 is deprotonated, the corresponding c-subunit can rotate

counterclockwise further into the *a*-subunit facing region. After some rotation, the *c*-

subunit approaches the other half-channel connected to the inner membrane space (IMS)

(the IMS half-channel). When *c*E59 comes close to *a*E223, which is the relaying site for

the IMS channel, *c*E59 attempts to take up a new proton from *a*E223 via the Monte Carlo

step. We define the time from the success of proton release to the arrival at the rotation

angle for proton uptake as "the duration for the deprotonated rotation" (green in Fig. 4a).

Again, several Monte Carlo steps may be required to achieve this proton uptake. We

define the time from the arrival at the proton uptake angle to success of proton uptake as

the "the duration for proton uptake" (blue in Fig. 4a). Then, the *c*-subunit returns to the

resting state. Thus, the entire time could be divided into three stages: stage 1, the duration

for proton release; stage 2, the duration for deprotonated rotation; and stage 3, the

duration for proton uptake, in addition to the resting time. Note that these durations are

defined for each *c*-subunit and that the durations in one *c*-subunit overlap with durations

in other *c*-subunits. For each mutant and for the WT, for each of the 10 *c*-subunits, we

analyzed these three durations.

First, we examined the time course of a representative trajectory and the average

durations for the WT for each *c*-subunit (Fig. 4b, c). The average durations for stages 1,

2, and 3 were approximately 500, 1100, and 200 MD frames, respectively. As expected,

there were no significant differences in the durations among the 10 *c*-subunits.

Next, we performed the same analysis using the single mutant "e" (Fig. 4d, e).

We found that the mutation in the *c*(e)-subunit clearly affects the duration for this

subunit; stages 1 and 2 did not differ much from those in the WT, whereas the duration

for stage 3 was much longer than that in the WT, as cE59D has a lower rate of proton

transfer, and the pKa value of cE59D is lower than that of cE59.

We then analyzed double mutants. For the "ef" mutant (Fig. 4f, g), similar to the

"e" mutant, the cE59D mutation in the c(e)-subunit prolongs the duration for proton

uptake. Additionally, mutation in the c(f)-subunit prolongs the duration for proton uptake.

Interestingly, as shown in Fig. 4f, these prolonged durations in c(e)- and c(f)-subunits are

shared. Thus, by overlapping the delayed steps, the overall slowdown in the "ef" double-

mutant system is lower than that if the effects of the two mutations were independent. In

other words, sharing the delayed times of multiple subunits reduces the overall delay. In

comparison, we examined the durations for the "ej" double-mutant (Fig. 4h). As

expected, mutations in the c(e)- and c(j)-subunits slow proton uptake in these subunits,

although the durations are not shared. Therefore, we expect that there is no coupling

between the c(e)- and c(j)-subunit mutations, resulting in additive effects of the two

mutations.

In summary, coarse-grained MD simulations qualitatively reproduced the effects

of single and double mutants found in biochemical assays and provided molecular

interpretations of the coupling between two mutations. When the two mutations are in

distant subunits of the c-ring, the effects of the two mutations are additive. In contrast,

two mutations in neighboring subunits can result in overlapping of delays by the two

mutations, leading to reduced effects of the two mutations.

Simple kinetic analysis of hetero-mutant experiments

Although the biochemical data suggested some coupling among *c*-subunits, it was still

not clear how the subunits were coupled. MD simulation results suggested that

cooperation can arise through sharing durations of proton release, deprotonated rotation,

and proton uptake among a few *c*-subunits. Therefore, guided by the insight from the

simulations, we next performed a simple kinetic analysis of the experimental data for the

ATP synthesis rate (Fig. 2b).

225   We began with a simple case in which the 360° rotation is composed of a series of

226   ten 36° rotation steps, with each step being independent. Then, for the WT, the rate

227   constant $k_{tot(WT)}$ for 360° rotation can be expressed as

$$k_{tot(WT)} = \frac{1}{\dfrac{1}{k_{Glu}} + \dfrac{1}{k_{Glu}} + \cdots + \dfrac{1}{k_{Glu}}} = \frac{k_{Glu}}{10}$$

228   where k_{Glu} is the rate constant for the WT *c*-subunit to rotate 36°. For the single mutant

229   "e" bearing *c*E56D substitution at the *c*(e)-subunit, the rate constant $k_{tot(e)}$ for 360°

230   becomes

$$k_{tot(e)} = \frac{1}{\dfrac{1}{k_{Glu}} + \dfrac{1}{k_{Glu}} + \cdots \dfrac{1}{k_{Glu}} + \dfrac{1}{k_{Asp}}} = \frac{1}{\dfrac{9}{k_{Glu}} + \dfrac{1}{k_{Asp}}}$$

231   where k_{Asp} is the rate constant for the *c*-subunit bearing the *c*E56D substitution to rotate

232   36°. From the measured ATP synthesis rates in Fig. 2b, we obtain

$$\frac{k_{tot(e)}}{k_{tot(WT)}} = \frac{0.008}{0.033}$$

233   which, together with the above expressions, leads to

$$k_{Asp} = \frac{1}{32.5} k_{Glu}$$

234   Using this relationship and the assumption of step independence, we can predict the rate

235   constant of the mutually independent double-mutant as

$$k_{tot(double)} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k_{Glu}} + \frac{1}{k_{Glu}} + \cdots \frac{1}{k_{Glu}} + \frac{1}{k_{Asp}} + \frac{1}{k_{Asp}}} = \frac{1}{\frac{8}{k_{Glu}} + \frac{2}{k_{Asp}}} = \frac{1}{73} k_{Glu}$$

236   which, together with the expression for the WT, leads to

$$k_{tot(WT)} : k_{tot(double)} = \frac{1}{10} k_{Glu} : \frac{1}{73} k_{Glu} = 1 : \frac{1}{7.3} = 0.033 : 0.0045$$

This rough estimate of $k_{tot(double)} \sim 0.0045\ U$ is consistent with the ATP synthesis rate

of the mutant "ej" (Fig. 2b). However, the rate of the mutant "ef" is clearly faster than the

predicted value. This result suggests that clear separation of the two c-subunits (i.e., "ej")

shows no detectable coupling, whereas neighboring c-subunits (i.e., "ef") show a

negative coupling; that is, the effects of cE56D substitutions are reduced compared to the

independent model.

Motivated by the suggestion from MD simulations that cooperation can arise by

sharing the duration times among a few c-subunits, we then introduced the fraction of

time shared between the mutated c-subunits as x. Then, the rate constant $k_{tot(coupled)}$ for

$360°$ rotation can be expressed as

$$k_{tot(coupled)} = \frac{1}{\dfrac{8}{k_{Glu}} + \dfrac{2}{k_{Asp}} - \dfrac{x}{k_{Asp}}} = \frac{1}{73 - 32.5x} k_{Glu}$$

247   which leads to

$$k_{tot(WT)} : k_{tot(coupled)} = \frac{1}{10} k_{Glu} : \frac{1}{73 - 32.5x} k_{Glu} = 1 : \frac{1}{7.3 - 3.25x}$$

$$k_{tot(coupled)} = \frac{0.033}{7.3 - 3.25x}$$

We can estimate the fraction of shared time of the hetero mutants "ef," "eg," "eh," "ei,"

and "ej" as 68%, 57%, 28%, 20%, and 5%, respectively. This simple analysis indicates

that there is cooperation between two or more of the c-subunits and that the cooperation

is weakened as the two subunits become further separated.

Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, we evaluated whether c-subunits function in a cooperative manner for the

rotation of the F_oF_1 c_{10}-ring and assessed the mechanistic role of c-Glu (cE56) in this

cooperativity. We experimentally demonstrated that the degree of cooperation between

two c-subunits depends on the distance between the cE56D hetero-mutation at the proton-

carrying site. The activity of F_oF_1 was significantly decreased, but not completely

abolished, by a single cE56D mutation. The activity was further decreased by the second

*c*E56D mutation; moreover, the activity was high when the two mutations were

introduced into nearby *c*-subunits, and the activity decreased as the distance between the

two mutations increased. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study providing

unambiguous evidence for the coupling between two *c*-subunits. Molecular simulations

reproduced the major features of biochemical experiments on single and double mutants

and further revealed the molecular mechanisms of the coupling. Sharing of the prolonged

durations by mutations in neighboring *c*-subunits leads to coupling.

267   When the *c*E56D substitution was introduced in one of the *c*-subunits, ATP

synthesis activity was decreased substantially. In *E. coli* F_oF_1, ATP-driven proton pump

activity was reported to be decreased after substitution of the conserved *c*Asp61 residue

with Glu[24]. Here, after *c*E56D substitution, we detected partial retention of not only

proton pump activity but also ATP synthesis activity. In contrast, *c*E56Q substitution in

one of the *c*-subunits was found to eliminate ATP synthesis activity, ATP-driven proton

pump activity, and DCCD-sensitive ATP hydrolysis activity[8]. In this study, ATP synthesis

activity and ATP-driven proton pump activity were not completely lost when the carboxyl

group of Glu was replaced with that of Asp. A comparison of this result with the *c*E56Q

substitution results suggested that the presence of a carboxyl group capable of undergoing

protonation and deprotonation is critical for rotation in the ATP synthesis direction

coupled with proton transfer and for the proton-transfer-coupled rotation induced by ATP

hydrolysis. As changing the Glu side chain to an Asp side chain decreased activity, we

concluded that subtle structural differences in the proton-binding site caused by the one-

methylene-group difference in the side-chain length, together with the change in pKa,

slowed the elementary process required for driving rotation.

In F_oF_1s, carrying the cE56D mutation in two c-subunits, ATP synthase activity

was high when the two introduced Asp residues were close to each other, and the activity

decreased as the distance between the two mutations increased. If the kinetic bottleneck

in the c_{10}-ring rotation was only in one step of one c-subunit, the same activity would

appear among double mutations with different relative separations. Alternatively, even if

the c-subunit plays multiple roles, if each role works independently, the same activity

would be obtained, irrespective of the mutational position. However, the experimental

results showed that the activity was decreased when the two mutations were introduced

farther apart. Thus, the data unambiguously indicate that the kinetic bottleneck in the c-

ring rotation contains multiple c-subunits.

According to previously proposed models, proton release at c-Glu, electrostatic

interaction between a-Arg and c-Glu, and proton binding at c-Glu drive c-ring

rotation[21,22]. Moreover, based on the crystal structure of mitochondrial F_oF_1, the c-

subunits that face the a-Glu223 residue bridging a proton from IMS, the a-Arg residue

involved in electrostatic interaction, and the a-Glu162 residue bridging a proton to the

matrix are located apart; therefore, we hypothesized that c-subunits on the a-Glu223 side

of a-Arg play a role in proton release, whereas c-subunits on the a-Glu162 side of a-Arg

play a role in proton uptake in the ATP synthesis rotation. MC/MD simulations based on

the F_oF_1 atomic structure have revealed that proton transfer causes c_{10}-ring rotation[23].

Here, MD simulation of c_{10}-ring rotation during ATP synthesis was performed based on

the aforementioned hypothesis that proton release and proton uptake are affected by the

cE56D mutation. Our results indicated that the rotation speed is higher when the mutation

is introduced at adjacent positions and that the rotation speed decreases as the distance

between the two mutants increases. These results, which are consistent with the findings

of our biochemical experiments, indicate cooperative proton uptake during the rotation of

the c_{10}-ring. Further analysis revealed that the waiting times for proton uptake in multiple

subunits are shared. However, as the distance between the mutations increases, the degree

of sharing of waiting time decreases, resulting in lower rotation speeds.

Overall, these findings suggested that at least three of the c-subunits on the a/c

interface cooperate during c_{10}-ring rotation in F_o. This is consistent with the presence of

two or three deprotonated carboxyl residues facing the a-subunit in the MC/MD

simulation of WT F_oF_1[23].

One limitation of this study is that we used the fusion mutation and the cE56D

mutation. These mutations may affect not only our hypothesized driving force but also

other activities. However, we consider our interpretations of the results to be valid based

on the comparison with the combination of the same mutation and the results of MD

simulations. Second, our MC/MD model includes only the a-subunit and c_{10}-ring,

whereas naturally occurring F_oF_1 also contains F_1 and b-subunit. As F_1 exhibits 3-fold

symmetry, which is mismatched with the 10-fold symmetry in the c_{10}-ring, the entire F_oF_1

is expected to exhibit more complex and asymmetric behaviors, which can represent a

direction for future investigation of the enzyme.

Methods

Preparation of F_oF_1s carrying hetero mutations using fused multimeric F_o-c

Plasmids for F_oF_1 mutants were generated from pTR19-ASDS[25] using the megaprimer

method and were then used for transformation of an F_o-deficient *E. coli* strain, JJ001[26]. A

plasmid for expressing the F_oF_1 mutant harboring a substitution of F_o-c Glu-56 with Asp

(cE56D) was prepared from pTR19-ASDS[25] using the megaprimer method; this yielded

pTR19-CE56D. The cE56D mutation sequence was verified through DNA sequencing.

F_oF_1 carrying a hetero-mutation of cE56D in a fused c_{10}-subunit prepared using Gly-Ser-

Ala-Gly linkers[8] was generated as follows. Briefly, an *Avr*II restriction site was

introduced immediately after the initial c-subunit codon in the pTR19-CE56D expression

plasmid, and new *Nhe*I and *Spe*I sites were introduced at downstream sites in the F_o-c

gene (to obtain pTR19-ACE56DN); pTR19-ACE56DN was digested with *Eco*RI and

*Nhe*I, and the 1.3 kb *Eco*RI-*Nhe*I fragment was ligated into an *Eco*RI-*Avr*II site in

pTR19-AC1N or pTR19-ACE56DN (to obtain pTR19-AC2DE or pTR19-AC2DD). Next,

pTR19-AC2DE was digested with *Eco*RI and *Nhe*I, and the *Eco*RI-*Nhe*I fragment was

ligated into an *Eco*RI-*Avr*II site in pTR19-AC1N or pTR19-ACE56DN (to obtain pTR19-

AC3DEE or pTR19-AC3DED). By using this procedure, *uncE* genes were singly fused to

generate plasmids expressing six F_oF_1s containing tandemly fused decamers carrying the

cE56D mutation at the first hairpin (mutant "e"), first and second hairpins ("ef"), first and

third hairpins ("eg"), first and fourth hairpins ("eh"), first and fifth hairpins ("ei"), and

first and sixth hairpins ("ej"). The multimer *uncE* genes of the mutants were verified

through plasmid restriction mapping. Plasmids generated for the WT and mutant F_oF_1s

were singly expressed in F_o-deficient *E. coli* strain JJ001 (*pyrE41*, *entA403*, *argHI*,

rspsL109, *supE44*, *uncBEFH*, *recA56*, *srl*::*Tn10*)[26]. Transformants were cultured, and

membrane vesicles were prepared as previously described[8].

Analytical procedures

ATPase activity was measured using an ATP-regenerating system at 37 °C in 50 mM Hepes-KOH buffer (pH 7.5), containing 100 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM ATP, 1 μg/mL FCCP, 2.5 mM KCN, 2.5 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 100 μg/mL pyruvate kinase, 100 μg/mL lactate dehydrogenase, and 0.2 mM NADH[8]. One unit of activity was defined as hydrolysis of 1 μmol of ATP per minute; the slopes of decreasing 340 nm absorbance in the steady-state phase (400–600 s) were used for calculating activity. The sensitivity of ATP hydrolysis activity to DCCD-induced inactivation was analyzed as previously reported[4]. The ATP hydrolysis activity in the presence of 0.1% lauryldimethylamine oxide was measured to estimate the amount of F_oF_1 in the membrane vesicles. ATP-driven proton pump activity was measured as the fluorescence quenching of ACMA (excitation/emission: 410/480 nm) at 37 °C in 10 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.5), 100 mM KCl, and 5 mM $MgCl_2$, supplemented with membrane vesicles (0.5 mg protein/mL) and ACMA (0.3 μg/mL)[8]. The reaction was initiated by adding 1 mM ATP, and quenching reached a steady level after 1 min; after 5 min, FCCP (1 μg/mL) was added, and fluorescence reversal was confirmed. The magnitude of fluorescence quenching at 3 min relative to the level after FCCP addition was recorded as the proton pump activity. ATP synthesis activity was measured at 37 °C using luciferase assays as previously described[27,28]. After incubating inverted membranes (5 mg/mL) with 5 mM N-ethylmaleimide for 15 min at room temperature, we added 1.6 mL PA3 buffer (10 mM Hepes-KOH [pH 7.5], 10% glycerol, and 5 mM $MgCl_2$), 2.5 mM KPi (pH 7.5), 0.53 mM ADP (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA), 26.6 μM P^1,P^5-di(adenosine-5□) pentaphosphate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 20 μL inverted membranes, and 0.125 volumes CLS II solution (ATP Bioluminescence Assay Kit CLS II; Sigma-

Aldrich) into the cuvettes; 0.5 mM NADH was added after starting the measurement.

Synthesized ATP amounts were calibrated using a defined amount of ATP at the end

of the measurement. Specific activity was calculated based on three parameters:

estimated F_oF_1 concentration; slope of ATP synthesis activity measured for 50 s

immediately after NADH addition (excluding slope of data recorded 100 s immediately

before NADH addition); and ATP calibration value. FCCP addition was confirmed to

prevent ATP synthesis. Protein concentrations were determined using a BCA assay kit

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), with bovine serum albumin serving as a

standard. Membrane vesicles were separated using sodium dodecyl sulfate

polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) with 15% gels containing 0.1% SDS,

and proteins were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250. F_oF_1 expression was

confirmed by immunoblotting with anti-β and anti-c polyclonal antibodies for F_oF_1 from

the thermophilic *Bacillus* PS3.

Basic simulation system

To represent the proton transfer-coupled rotational motion of the c_{10}-ring, protein motion

and proton jump were modeled using MD and MC, respectively, and these dynamics

were combined to reproduce c_{10}-ring rotational motion with proton hopping[23]. In our

simulation system, we included the a-subunit and c_{10}-ring (Fig. 1a) structure models of

yeast F_o based on the cryo-EM structure of a yeast mitochondrial ATP synthase (PDB ID:

6CP6)[20]. We used the AICG2+ coarse-grained model, where each amino acid is

represented as a single particle located at the corresponding Cα atom. While lipids were

not explicitly modeled, interactions between protein residues and the lipid membrane

were represented through implicit membrane potential. Water solvents were also treated

implicitly. The hybrid MC/MD simulations consisted of the MC phase, at which

protonation states of 12 protonatable sites (the glutamic acid [or aspartic acid in the case

of mutants] in 10 c-subunits, aE223, and aE162) are updated, and the MD phase, when

amino acid positions are updated by Langevin dynamics. Each round contained MC trial

moves for all the protons involved, followed by 10^5 MD steps. All simulation setups were

the same as those we have recently reported[23], except for the treatment of the cE59D

mutation.

Treatment of cE59D in the simulation

In the hybrid MC/MD simulation, we mimicked cE59D mutations in the following

manner. In the MD part, we simply changed the amino acid identity of the corresponding

residue from glutamic acid to aspartic acid using the mutagenesis feature of PyMol.

Given the nature of our coarse-grained representation, this results in minor changes. The

MC move represents proton transfer, which must be largely affected by the cE59D

mutations via two distinct mechanisms, i.e., the change in transfer efficiency and the

change in the free energy difference between protonated and deprotonated states. For the

former, the proton transfer efficiency is markedly reduced by the cE59D mutation

because aspartic acid has a shorter sidechain than glutamic acid by one methylene-group.

In our model, the transfer efficiency contains $\exp(-A(r - r_0))$ factor, where r is the

distance between Cα atoms of the donor and the acceptor, the offset distance r_0

represents the sum of sidechain lengths of the donor and acceptor, and A is the decay rate.

We used $r_0 = 0.8\ nm$ for cE59 (the same value as reported previously[23]) and set

$r_0 = 0.6\,nm$ for cE59D, representing its shorter sidechain of aspartic acid. The decay rate

A was set to 2.5 (1/nm) for cE59 (the same value as reported previously[23]) and 9.0 (1/nm)

for cE56D. Second, the free energy difference between the states before and after the

proton transfer is modulated by pKa differences in the donor and the acceptor amino

acids and thus is affected by the cE59D mutation. Although the pKa value specific to the

corresponding site is unknown, we empirically chose pKa = 8.0 for cE59 and 7.0 for

cE59D considering the intrinsic difference in pKa values.

Simulations and their analyses

For each of the WT $F_o\,ac_{10}$ and the six cE59D mutation patterns corresponding to the

biochemical assay, we carried out 10 independent simulation runs with different

stochastic forces. The mutants included the single mutant "e" and the five double-mutants

"ef," "eg," "eh," "ei," and "ej". The single mutant "e", for example, has the cE59D

substitution only in the "e" chain, whereas other chains contain the WT c-subunit

sequence. The double-mutant "ef" harbors substitutions in the two neighboring subunits.

Each simulation run contained 6,000 rounds of MC/MD cycles (twice as long as in our

previous paper[23]). Each round contained MC trial moves for all the protons involved and

10^5 MD steps. Thus, the entire trajectory corresponds to 6.0×10^8 MD (60,000 frames

saved).

Notably, due to limitations in the computation time, we could simulate only one

to a few turns of 360° rotations for each trajectory. As the mutant systems show

asymmetric arrangements, the unbiased estimate of average velocities requires the

rotation of multiples of 360°. Thus, we used the cumulative rotation angle and the MD

time step at which the c_{10}-ring returned to the initial orientation for the last time in each

trajectory. The rotation velocity was obtained as the ratio of the cumulative rotation angle

to the MD time. This velocity was then averaged over 10 trajectories.

References

1.   Boyer, P.D. The ATP synthase—a splendid molecular machine. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*

66, 717–749 (1997).

2.   Walker, J. E. The ATP synthase: the understood, the uncertain and the unknown.

Biochem. Soc. Trans. **41,** 1–16 (2013).

3.   Yoshida, M., Muneyuki, E. & Hisabori, T. ATP synthase — a marvellous rotary

engine of the cell. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2,** 669–677 (2001).

4.   Noji, H., Ueno, H. & McMillan, D.G.G. Catalytic robustness and torque generation

of the F1-ATPase. *Biophys. Rev.* **9,** 103–118 (2017).

5.   Kuhlbrandt, W. Structure and mechanisms of F-Type ATP synthases. *Annu. Rev.*

Biochem. **88,** 515–549 (2019).

6.   Watt, I.N. et al. Bioenergetic cost of making an adenosine triphosphate molecule in

animal mitochondria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107,** 16823–16827 (2010).

7.   Stock, D., Leslie, A.G.W. & Walker, J.E. Molecular architecture of the rotary motor

in ATP synthase. *Science* **286,** 1700–1705 (1999).

8.   Mitome, N. et al. Thermophilic ATP synthase has a decamer *c*-ring: Indication of

noninteger 10:3 H^+/ATP ratio and permissive elastic coupling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

U. S. A. **101,** 12159–12164 (2004).

9. Meier, T. et al. Structure of the rotor ring of F-type Na^+-ATPase from *Ilyobacter*

tartaricus. *Science* **308,** 659–662 (2005).

10. Matthies, D. et al. The c_{13} ring from a thermoalkaliphilic ATP synthase reveals an

extended diameter due to a special structural region. *J. Mol. Biol.* **388,** 611–618

(2009).

11. Vollmar, M. et al. Structure of the c_{14} rotor ring of the proton translocating

chloroplast ATP synthase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284,** 18228–18235 (2009).

12. Pogoryelov, D. et al. High-resolution structure of the rotor ring of a proton-

dependent ATP synthase. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **16,** 1068–1073 (2009).

13. Symersky, J. et al. Structure of the c_{10} ring of the yeast mitochondrial ATP synthase

in the open conformation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **19,** 485–491 (2012).

14. Guo, H., Suzuki, T. & Rubinstein, J.L. Structure of a bacterial ATP

synthase. *Elife* **8,** e43128 (2019).

15. Hermolin, J. & Fillingame, R.H. H^+-ATPase activity of *Escherichia coli* F_1F_0 is

blocked after reaction of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide with a single proteolipid (subunit

c) of the F_0 complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **264,** 3896–3903 (1989).

16. Dmitriev, O.Y., Altendorf, K. & Fillingame, R.H. Reconstitution of the F_0 complex

of *Escherichia coli* ATP synthase from isolated subunits: varying the number of

essential carboxylates by co-incorporation of wild-type and mutant subunit *c* after

purification in organic solvent. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **233,** 478–483 (1995).

17. Allegretti, M. et al. Horizontal membrane-intrinsic α-helices in the stator *a*-subunit

of an F-type ATP synthase. *Nature* **521,** 237–240 (2015).

18. Zhou, A. et al. Structure and conformational states of the bovine mitochondrial ATP

synthase by cryo-EM. *Elife* **4,** e10180 (2015).

19. Mitome, N. et al. Essential arginine residue of the F_o-*a* subunit in F_oF_1-ATP synthase

has a role to prevent the proton shortcut without *c*-ring rotation in the F_o proton

channel. *Biochem. J.* **430,** 171–177 (2010).

20. Srivastava, A.P. et al. High-resolution cryo-EM analysis of the yeast ATP synthase

in a lipid membrane. *Science* **360,** eaas9699 (2018).

21. Vik, S.B. & Antonio, B.J. A mechanism of proton translocation by F_1F_0 ATP

synthases suggested by double mutants of the *a* subunit. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269,** 30364–

30369 (1994).

22. Elston, T., Wang, H. & Oster, G. Energy transduction in ATP synthase. *Nature* **391,**

510–513 (1998).

23. Kubo, S., Niina, T. & Takada, S. Molecular dynamics simulation of proton-transfer

coupled rotations in ATP synthase F_o motor. *Sci. Rep.* **10,** 8225 (2020).

24. Miller, M.J., Oldenburg, M. & Fillingame, R.H. The essential carboxyl group in

subunit c of the F_1F_0 ATP synthase can be moved and H^+-translocating function

retained. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **87,** 4900–4904 (1990).

25. Suzuki, T. et al. F_o of ATP synthase is a rotary proton channel: Obligatory coupling

of proton translocation with rotation of *c*-subunit ring. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277,** 13281–

13285 (2002).

26. Mitome, N. et al. Identification of aqueous access residues of the sodium half

channel in transmembrane helix 5 of the F_o-*a* subunit of *Propionigenium*

modestum ATP synthase. *Biophys. Physicobiol.* **14,** 41–47 (2017).

27. Jones, P.C. & Fillingame, R.H. Genetic fusions of subunit *c* in the F_0 sector of H^+-

transporting ATP synthase: functional dimers and trimers and determination of

stoichiometry by cross-linking analysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273,** 29701–29705 (1998).

28. Suzuki, T. et al. The product of *uncI* gene in F_1F_o-ATP synthase operon plays a

chaperone-like role to assist *c*-ring assembly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104,**

20776–20781 (2007).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Toshiharu Suzuki and Dr. Masasuke Yoshida for providing us with the

expression plasmid for WT F_oF_1. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for

Scientific Research (C) [grant number 17K07922], Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research

(B) [grant number 19H02577], and the Cooperative Research Program of "NJRC Mater.

& Dev."

Author Contributions: N.M., S.K., and S.T. designed the research. N.M., S.O., H.T.,

and Y.S. performed experiments and analyzed the data. S.K. and T.N. developed the

simulation code, performed simulations, and analyzed the data. N.M., S.K., and S.T.

wrote the paper.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Schematic picture of the *a*-subunit and *c*-ring of F_o. (**a**) The ac_{10} part of the F_o

region is depicted as a ribbon diagram. Spheres represent cE59, which was substituted in

this study, aE223, aE162, and aR176 (blue) (the residue numbers are those from yeast).

(**b**) Schematic diagram of our simulation model. Green circles represent protonatable

glutamates. Those in 10 c-subunits are labeled a-j. The membrane drawn in gray is

modeled implicitly. Protons can hop between cE59 and glutamates in a-subunit, aE223,

and aE162. Additionally, aE223 and aE162 exchange their protons with the IMS and

matrix aqueous environment, respectively. Arrows in orange indicate the net proton flow.

We set the rotational axis of the c_{10}-ring as the z-axis, and the position of aR176 as the x-

axis. Clockwise rotation of the c-ring occurs in ATP hydrolysis mode, and

counterclockwise rotation of the c-ring occurs in ATP synthesis mode.

Figure 2. Expression of the mutated F_o-c subunit and proton pump and ATP synthesis

activities of membrane vesicles containing mutated F_oF_1s. (**a**) Proteins were separated

using SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-F_o-c antibodies. (**b**) ATP synthesis driven

by NADH oxidation. (**c**) ATP-driven proton pump activity was measured by monitoring

ACMA fluorescence quenching. (**d**) Percentage of fluorescence quenching for c_{10}.

Figure 3. Proton transfer-coupled MD simulation of the WT and hetero mutants with Asp

substitution of Glu. (**a**) Ten trajectories of the "e" mutant. The black line shows one

representative trajectory. Upper part: rotation angle from initial position of c(a); lower

part: the number of protons that entered from the IMS channel and were transported to

the matrix channel through rotation. (**b**) Average rotational velocities for WT and

mutants. Error bar: standard error.

Figure 4. Analysis of the molecular simulations. (**a**) Schematic graph of duration times. The entire time was divided into the duration for proton release, the duration for deprotonated rotation, the duration for proton uptake, and the resting time. (**b**) Representative time course of durations for the WT. (**c**) Histogram of durations for every *c*-subunit of the WT. (**d**) Representative time course of durations for the single mutant "e." (**e**) Histogram of durations for the single mutant "e." (**f**) Representative time course of durations for the double-mutant "ef." (**g**) Histogram of durations for the double-mutant "ef." (**h**) Representative time course of durations for the double-mutant "ej."

568   **Table 1.** Membrane ATPase activities from cells expressing hetero-mutated c-subunits.

Mutant	ATPase activity[*]	
	–DCCD	+DCCD
$c_{10\text{-fusion}}$	0.15	0.067
Mutant e	0.087	0.076
Mutant ef	0.090	0.065
Mutant eg	0.078	0.070
Mutant eh	0.086	0.073
Mutant ei	0.088	0.080
Mutant ej	0.083	0.068

569   [*]Membrane ATPase activity was measured after pre-incubation of membranes at 10

570   mg/mL in PA3 buffer with or without 50 μM DCCD for 20 min at 25 °C. Activity is

571   expressed as μmol/min/mg.