Title: Evolutionary differences in the ACE2 reveals the molecular origins of COVID-19 susceptibility

Authors: Ryan R. Cheng[1][*], Esteban Dodero-Rojas[1], Michele Di Pierro[1,2], José N. Onuchic[1,3,4,5,*]

Affiliations:

[1] Center for Theoretical Biological Physics, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005.

[2] Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115.

[3] Department of Chemistry, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005.

[4] Department of Physics & Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005.

[5] Department of Biosciences, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005.

*To whom the correspondence should be addressed: ryan.r.cheng@gmail.com, jonuchic@rice.edu

Abstract:

We explore the energetic frustration patterns associated with the binding between the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and the ACE2 receptor protein in a broad selection of animals. Using energy landscape theory and the concept of energy frustration—theoretical tools originally developed to study protein folding—we are able to identify interactions among residues of the spike protein and ACE2 that result in COVID-19 resistance. This allows us to identify whether or not a particular animal is susceptible to COVID-19 from the protein sequence of ACE2 alone. Our analysis predicts a number of experimental observations regarding COVID-19 susceptibility, demonstrating that this feature can be explained, at least partially, on the basis of theoretical means.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has affected the lives of millions of people in a worldwide pandemic. The hallmark of COVID-19 is its high degree of contagiousness between individuals.

SARS-CoV-2 is believed to gain entry in to the host cell through its interaction with the Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor on the host cell surface(Zhou et al., 2020), similar to SARS-CoV-1(Li et al., 2003). Recently, the structure of the SARS-CoV-2 viral spike glycoprotein bound to the human ACE2 receptor was determined using X-ray crystallography (Wang et al., 2020), providing a crucial starting point for any molecular modeling of the viral interaction with ACE2. The structure of this crucial complex has also been independently determined using cryo-EM(Yan et al., 2020) and X-ray crystallography(Shang et al., 2020).

What are the molecular interactions that give rise to interaction specificity between the viral spike and ACE2 receptor? Hints at the molecular origins of COVID-19 susceptibility can be found analyzing the susceptibility of different organisms to the coronavirus. The ACE2 receptors are found in a diverse span of the animal kingdom, including mammals, birds, and aquatic life, which have varying degrees of COVID-19 susceptibility(BS et al., 2021; Gautam, Kaphle, Shrestha, & Phuyal, 2020; Goldstein, 2020; Kumakamba et al., 2020; Muñoz-Fontela et al., 2020; Mykytyn et al., 2020; Oude Munnink et al., 2021; Palmer et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2020; Sia et al., 2020; Sit et al., 2020).

For example, it is known that mice are immune to COVID-19 while on the contrary the Bronx Zoo tiger Nadia(Goldstein, 2020) had tested positive for COVID-19 and also exhibited many of the symptoms observed in infected humans. To date, a number of animals have been classified as either being susceptible or immune to COVID-19(BS et al., 2021; Gautam et al., 2020; Goldstein, 2020; Kumakamba et al., 2020; Muñoz-Fontela et al., 2020; Mykytyn et al., 2020; Oude Munnink et al., 2021; Palmer et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2020; Sia et al., 2020; Sit et al., 2020).

The evolutionary divergence in the sequences of the ACE2 receptor found in different organisms

can be related to such susceptibility of infection(Becker et al., 2020; Damas et al., 2020; Frank,

Enard, & Boyd, 2020; Lam et al., 2020; Luan, Lu, Jin, & Zhang, 2020; Martínez-Hernández et

al., 2020; Melin, Janiak, Marrone, Arora, & Higham, 2020). Here, we explore the molecular

mechanisms by which some sequence variants of the ACE2 receptor appear to confer resistance

to infection by virtue of their reduced binding affinity to the viral spike protein. We examine the

sequences for ACE2 receptor across a selection of 63 representative animals and identify the

residue interactions that are responsible for the reduced binding affinity, and thus COVID-19

resistance, using the concept of energetic frustration from the theory of protein folding (Onuchic,

Luthey-Schulten, & Wolynes, 1997; Onuchic & Wolynes, 2004). Here, energetic frustration

refers to unfavorable interactions between residues in a given protein structure that cannot be

mitigated without structural rearrangement or residue level mutations. In the context of the

ACE2/spike complex, frustrated interactions between residues of the ACE2 and the spike

glycoprotein can also exist for a given structure of the protein complex.

The rarity of kinetics traps observed in the folding of proteins indicates that, in general, proteins

do not exhibit a high amount of energetic frustration, which would instead create those kinetic

traps(Onuchic et al., 1997; Onuchic & Wolynes, 2004). While folding kinetics suggest proteins

to be "minimally frustrated", some local frustration may be present; for example, local

frustration could be functionally useful for tuning conformational dynamics. In protein

complexes, a site frustrated in the monomeric protein may become less frustrated when the

protein is bound to its counterparts, thus guiding specific association(Ferreiro, Hegler, Komives,

& Wolynes, 2007; Parra et al., 2016).

In the case of the complex formed between the SARS-CoV-2 viral spike glycoprotein and the

ACE2 receptor, we use changes in energy frustration as a proxy for changes in binding affinity.

We use the crystal structure of the viral spike bound to the human ACE2 as a template to

construct molecular models of the interaction between the viral spike and the ACE2 receptors of

these different animals. We then calculate the changes in frustration with respect to the reference

point constituted by the human ACE2 sequence (Ferreiro et al., 2007; Parra et al., 2016). This

allows us to identify key residues of the ACE2 protein that appear to inhibit the binding of the

spike glycoprotein and to predict whether or not a particular animal will be susceptible to

COVID-19. The novelty of our approach and the key to our results resides in the fact that, while

our procedure is based on the only input of the protein sequences of ACE2 receptor, our

approach does incorporate a great deal of structural information about the protein complex,

which is extracted from the crystal structure(Wang et al., 2020), and physico-chemical details

about the energetics of protein folding and docking, which is synthetized in the energy function

and results from decades of developments(Onuchic & Wolynes, 2004).

Materials & Methods

ACE2 protein sequences

The majority of ACE2 protein sequences were previously annotated from the genome assembles

and sequencing data from the DNA Zoo Consortium(Dudchenko et al., 2017) (Available for

download: https://www.dnazoo.org/post/the-first-million-genes-are-the-hardest-to-make-r). The

full length protein sequences of the ACE2 proteins for mouse (*Mus musculus*), ferret (*Mustela*

putorius furo), chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*), pig (*Sus*), duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*), Syrian

golden hamster (*Mesocricetus auratus*), and mink (*Neovison vison*) were obtained from the

Uniprot database(The UniProt, 2021) to supplement the sequences derived from the DNA Zoo.

In total, 63 representative ACE2 sequences were used in our study (Table S1). For comparative

analysis, a multiple sequence alignment was generated for the ACE2 sequences using Clustal

Omega(Madeira et al., 2019).

Homology Modeling

112 The crystal structure of the SARS-Cov-2 glycoprotein spike bound to the human ACE2 protein

113 served as our starting template for constructing models of the glycoprotein spike bound to the

114 ACE2 protein of other animals. We used the SWISS-MODEL (Waterhouse et al., 2018) to create

115 homology models of 63 representative animals (Table S1) for a full list.

Frustration Analysis

We performed an energy landscape analysis on the predicted ACE2-spike complex for different

animals using the configurational frustration index(Ferreiro et al., 2007; Parra et al., 2016):

$$F_{ij} = \frac{\left(H_{ij} - \left\langle H_{i'j'}^{decoy}\right\rangle\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(H_{i'j'} - \left\langle H_{i'j'}^{decoy}\right\rangle\right)}} \tag{1}$$

Here, H_{ij} represents the pairwise interaction energy between residues i and j in a given structure

using the Associative Memory, Water Mediated, Structure and Energy Model

(AWSEM)(Davtyan et al., 2012), a coarse-grained model widely used to study problems of

protein folding and protein-protein association and assembly. The native energies H_{ij} are

compared directly to N number of different configurational realizations between residues i and j,

thereby generating a distribution of decoy energies with a mean of $\left\langle H_{i'j'}^{decoy}\right\rangle$ and a standard

deviation of $\sqrt{N^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(H_{i'j'} - \left\langle H_{i'j'}^{decoy}\right\rangle\right)}$. Hence, F_{ij} is a type of Z-score that measures how

favorable a particular pair of interactions are within a protein or protein complex with respect to

a distribution of decoys. Frustrated (unfavorable interactions) are denoted by $F_{ij} < 0$ while $F_{ij} > 0$

are considered favorable; in particular, $F_{ij} < -1$ is considered highly frustrated while $F_{ij} > 1$ is

considered minimally frustrated.

In our analysis, we found that it was useful to compare the configurational frustration between an

interprotein residue pair with the same pair from the human ACE2-spike complex:

$$\Delta F_{ij}^{(Species)} = F_{ij}^{(Species)} - F_{ij}^{(Human)} \tag{2}$$

We find that $\Delta F_{ij}^{(Species)} < -1.5$ robustly identifies highly frustrated interactions that result in

COVID-19 resistence. On the other hand, if all of the inter-protein residue interactions between

the ACE2 receptor and the spike do not exhibit high levels of frustration (i.e., $\Delta F_{ij}^{(Species)} > -1$)

we identify that species as being highly susceptible to COVID-19. For completeness, if the most

frustrated interprotein interactions fall between $-1.5 < \Delta F_{ij}^{(Species)} \leq -1$ that species is predicted to

be moderately susceptible.

Evolutionary distance between ACE2 proteins

The Jukes-Cantor distance is used to quantify the evolutionary distance between aligned ACE2

proteins in our study: $d = -\dfrac{19}{20} \log\left(1 - \dfrac{20}{19} p\right)$, where p is the p-distance—i.e., the number of

residue sites between two compared sequences that are different divided by the sequence length

of the multiple sequence alignment.

Results & Discussion

Comparative frustration analysis of ACE2-spike complex for different species

By examining the comparative differences between the inter-protein interactions with respect to

the human ACE2-spike complex, we are able to identify residue-interactions outliers that

represent a significant disruption to the ACE2/spike interaction relative to the human ACE2-

spike interaction.

Shown in Figure 1 are plots of our frustration analysis for mouse (*Mus musculus*) and tiger

(*Panthera tigris*), which are used as representative examples of animals have been

experimentally observed to be resistant(Muñoz-Fontela et al., 2020) and susceptible(Goldstein,

2020) to COVID-19, respectively.

We observe a single frustrated residue pair between 31N of the mouse ACE2 and 484E of the

spike protein on the map of configurational frustration (Figure 2A/2C), which appears as an

outlier in the histogram of $\Delta F_{ij}^{(Mouse)} \sim -2$. Similar highly frustrated outliers can be found for the

other animals that are known to resist COVID-19 (Figure S1), such as chicken (*Gallus gallus*

domesticus)(Shi et al., 2020) and duck (*Anas*)(Shi et al., 2020). We find that a threshold value of

$\Delta F_{ij}^{(Species)} < -1.5$ robustly identifies residue pairs that appear to confer COVID-19 resistence.

Likewise, a histogram of $\Delta F_{ij}^{(Tiger)}$ exhibits comparable levels of frustration to that of the human

ACE2 and spike (Figure 1B)—similar findings are obtained for other animals with known

susceptibilities to COVID-19 (Figure S2), such as white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus*

virginianus)(Palmer et al., 2021), European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*)(Mykytyn et al.,

2020), and pig (*Sus scrofa*)(BS et al., 2021).

We further apply this analysis for identifying frustrated outliers in our other modeled complexes,

thereby predicting whether a particular animal is susceptible to COVID-19. A detailed summary

of our results is shown in Figure 2, which includes experimental observations that corroborate or

are inconsistent with our predictions. Other animals that have been experimentally observed to

be susceptible to COVID-19, such as mink (*Neovison vison*) (Oude Munnink et al., 2021), and

Syrian golden hamster (*Mesocricetus auratus*)(Sia et al., 2020), are identified as being

moderately susceptible by our computational approach. Coronavirus consensus PCR-primer

sequences have been detected with high frequency in populations of straw-colored fruit bats

(*Eidolon helvum*)(Kumakamba et al., 2020), which have been predicted to exhibit moderate

susceptibility by the computational approach.

Taken together, our findings summarized in Figure 2 show that an energy landscape-based

approach can identify the molecular origins of COVID-19 susceptibility and resistance.

Experimental observations corroborate 10 out of 12 of the computational predictions. One

apparent inconsistency between the predictions and experimental observation regards the

susceptibility of ferrets (*Mustela putorius furo*), which have been observed to replicate SARS-

Cov-2 specifically in their upper respiratory tract(Shi et al., 2020). Our analysis of the ferret

ACE2-spike complex reveals a single highly frustrated inter-protein interaction between 34Y of

ACE2 and 403R of the spike protein. However, it has been noted(Damas et al., 2020; Shi et al.,

2020) that ferrets have a unique respiratory biology, which may offer an explanation for this

apparent discrepancy. Another apparent inconsistency is observed with our predictions for dogs

(*Canis lupus familiaris*). Our frustration analysis predicts two highly frustrated inter-protein

contacts within the ACE2/spike complex: 33Y of ACE2 with 417K of the spike and 325E of the

ACE2 with 502G of the spike. Yet the susceptibility of dogs still remains somewhat

controversial—while viral susceptibility and the production of antibody responses have been

detected in dogs(Sit et al., 2020), viral replication has been reported to be poor(Shi et al., 2020).

Our energy landscape-based predictions for COVID-19 susceptibility are closely related to

similar approaches that examined sequence differences in ACE2 sequences of different animals

in the context of a structural model of the ACE2/spike complex(Damas et al., 2020; Lam et al.,

2020; Luan et al., 2020). Frustration analysis yields a benefit to computational estimates of

binding affinity because it compares the interaction energies between ACE2 and the spike

glycoprotein with respect to alternative configurations (i.e., decoys) to assess how favorable a

particular interaction is in the binding interface. In particular, the majority of our predictions are

consistent with those of Damas et al(Damas et al., 2020), which makes predictions that are

consistent with the same 10 out of 12 experiment observations that are highlighted in Figure 2.

However, validation of the different models that exists is limited by the relatively small number

of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in animals.

By in large, we find that our simple model appears to be consistent with many experimental

observations of COVID-19 infections across different animals despite only considering the

ACE2-spike protein interaction.

A Mouse ACE2-spike

B Tiger ACE2-spike

C Predicted Structure of Mouse ACE2-spike Complex

Frustrated Interaction
ACE2: 31N
Spike: 484E

Figure 1. Comparative analysis of the frustration indices with respect to those observed in

human ACE2-spike complex reveals outliers that indicate COVID-19 resistance. The

configurational frustration index relative to the frustration in the human ACE2-spike complex is

shown for (A) mouse (*Mus musculus)* and (B) tiger (*Panthera tigris*) on a contact map

illustrating select contacts between the SARS-Cov-2 spike and the ACE2 protein. Corresponding

histograms of the frustration index between all contacts between the ACE2 and spike protein are

also shown. (A) and (B) are representative examples of animals that are resistant to COVID-19

and susceptible to COVID-19, respectively. Animals that resist COVID-19 appear to have

frustrated outliers that represent highly unfavorable residue interactions compared to the human

ACE2-spike complex (i.e., $\Delta F_{ij}^{(Species)} < -1.5$). For the mouse, a single frustrated interaction

between residue 31N of the ACE2 protein and 484E of the spike glycoprotein appears to confer

COVID-19 resistance. (C) The frustrated interaction is plotted on the modeled 3D structure of

the spike glycoprotein bound to the mouse ACE2 receptor.

Figure 2.

Phylogenic tree representing the evolutionary distance between ACE2 proteins of different

species. The lengths in the radial direction denote the Jukes-Cantor distance (See Materials &

Methods) as a measure of evolutionary distance between any two ACE2 proteins. The

experimental observation of SARS-CoV-2 resistance/susceptibility are plotted alongside the

computational predictions for resistance/susceptibility based on our frustration analysis of the

ACE2-spike complex. See the Legend for more details. There is a consistency between the

computational predictions and the experimental observations for mouse (*Mus musculus*), chicken

(*Gallus gallus domesticus*)(Shi et al., 2020), duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*)(Shi et al., 2020), mink

(*Neovison vison*)(Oude Munnink et al., 2021), bat (*Eidolon helvum*)(Kumakamba et al., 2020),

Syrian golden hamster (*Mesocricetus auratus*)(Sia et al., 2020), tiger (*Panthera tigris*), white-

tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*)(Palmer et al., 2021), European rabbit (*Oryctolagus*

cuniculus)(Mykytyn et al., 2020), and pig (*Sus scrofa*)(BS et al., 2021). However, apparent

inconsistencies are found for ferret (*Mustela putorius furo*)(Shi et al., 2020) and dog (*Canis lupis*

familiaris) (Shi et al., 2020; Sit et al., 2020)—however, SARS-Cov-2 has only been observed to

replicate in the upper respiratory tract of ferrets(Shi et al., 2020), and viral replication has been

observed to be low in dogs(Shi et al., 2020).

252   **Conclusion**

The COVID-19 pandemic and the spread of other coronaviruses in recent years requires an

indirect approach to understanding the molecular determinants behind susceptibility and

resistance. Here, we constructed structural models of the ACE2-spike glycoprotein complex for a

wide range of animals with ACE2 receptors. Using an energy landscape theory-based analysis

we are able to uncover specific inter-protein interactions between the ACE2 and spike that

appear to confer COVID-19 resistance. Our predictions appear to be consistent with many of the

experimental observations regarding animal susceptibility, providing a structural explanation to

those observations.

Our analysis reveals that the evolutionary distance between ACE2 proteins is not sufficient to

predict COVID-19 susceptibility (Figure 2). Rather, an energy landscape-based analysis appears

necessary to assess the interactions between the ACE2 protein and the SARS-Cov-2 spike

glycoprotein.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Matthew MacManes, Erez Aiden, and Olga Dudchenko for helpful discussions and providing data and resources through the DNA Zoo. This work was supported by the Center for Theoretical Biological Physics sponsored by the National Science Foundation NSF Grant PHY-2019745. J.N.O. was also supported by the NSF-CHE-1614101 and by the Welch Foundation (Grant C-1792). J.N.O. is a Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas. Scholar in Cancer Research.

276
277
278
279
Figure S1. Comparative analysis of the frustration indices with respect to those observed in

human ACE2-spike complex for additional examples of animals with known COVID-19

resistance. The configurational frustration index relative to the frustration in the human ACE2-

spike complex is shown for (A) chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus)* and (B) duck (*Anas*

platyrhynchos) on a contact map illustrating select contacts between the SARS-Cov-2 spike and

the ACE2 protein. Corresponding histograms of the frustration index between all contacts

between the ACE2 and spike protein are also shown. Animals that resist COVID-19 appear to

have frustrated outliers that represent highly unfavorable residue interactions compared to the

human ACE2-spike complex (i.e., $\Delta F_{ij}^{(Species)} < -1.5$). For the chicken, two highly frustrated

interactions are identified: between (1) 30E of the ACE2 and 484E of the spike and (2) 29A of

the ACE2 and 417K of the spike. For the duck, three highly frustrated interactions are identified:

between (1) 30E of the ACE2 and 484E of the spike, (2) 29A of the ACE2 and 417K of the

spike, and (3) 81N of the ACE2 and 486F of the spike. These frustrated interactions appear to

confer COVID-19 resistance.

296
297
298

A White-tailed Deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) ACE2-spike

B European Rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) ACE2-spike

C Pig (*Sus*) ACE2-spike

Figure S2. Comparative analysis of the frustration indices with respect to those observed in human ACE2-spike complex for additional examples of animals with known COVID-19 susceptibility. The configurational frustration index relative to the frustration in the human ACE2-spike complex is shown for (A) white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus)*, (B) European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), and (C) pig (*Sus*) on a contact map illustrating select contacts between the SARS-Cov-2 spike and the ACE2 protein. Corresponding histograms of the frustration index between all contacts between the ACE2 and spike protein are also shown. Animals that are susceptible to COVID-19 appear to have comparable levels of frustration compared to human ACE2-spike complex.

Becker, D. J., Albery, G. F., Sjodin, A. R., Poisot, T., Dallas, T. A., Eskew, E. A., . . . Carlson, C. J. (2020). Predicting wildlife hosts of betacoronaviruses for SARS-CoV-2 sampling prioritization: a modeling study. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2005.2022.111344. doi:10.1101/2020.05.22.111344

BS, P., G, S., MM, P., C, E.-H., E, M., P, M., & CE, L. (2021). Susceptibility of Domestic Swine to Experimental Infection with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. *Emerg Infect Dis., 27*(1), 104-112. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2701.203399

Damas, J., Hughes, G. M., Keough, K. C., Painter, C. A., Persky, N. S., Corbo, M., . . . Lewin, H. A. (2020). Broad host range of SARS-CoV-2 predicted by comparative and structural analysis of ACE2 in vertebrates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117*(36), 22311. doi:10.1073/pnas.2010146117

Davtyan, A., Schafer, N. P., Zheng, W., Clementi, C., Wolynes, P. G., & Papoian, G. A. (2012). AWSEM-MD: Protein Structure Prediction Using Coarse-Grained Physical Potentials and Bioinformatically Based Local Structure Biasing. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 116*(29), 8494-8503. doi:10.1021/jp212541y

Dudchenko, O., Batra, S. S., Omer, A. D., Nyquist, S. K., Hoeger, M., Durand, N. C., . . . Aiden, E. L. (2017). De novo assembly of the Aedes aegypti genome using Hi-C yields chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science, 356*(6333), 92. doi:10.1126/science.aal3327

Ferreiro, D. U., Hegler, J. A., Komives, E. A., & Wolynes, P. G. (2007). Localizing frustration in native proteins and protein assemblies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104*(50), 19819. doi:10.1073/pnas.0709915104

Frank, H. K., Enard, D., & Boyd, S. D. (2020). Exceptional diversity and selection pressure on SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 host receptor in bats compared to other mammals. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2004.2020.051656. doi:10.1101/2020.04.20.051656

Gautam, A., Kaphle, K., Shrestha, B., & Phuyal, S. (2020). Susceptibility to SARS, MERS, and
COVID-19 from animal health perspective. *Open Vet J, 10*(2), 164-177.
doi:10.4314/ovj.v10i2.6
Goldstein, J. (2020). Bronx Zoo Tiger Is Sick With the Coronavirus. *New York Times*. Retrieved
from https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/06/nyregion/bronx-zoo-tiger-coronavirus.html
Kumakamba, C., Niama, F. R., Muyembe, F., Mombouli, J.-V., Kingebeni, P. M., Nina, R. A., .
. Lange, C. E. (2020). Coronavirus surveillance in Congo basin wildlife detects RNA of
multiple species circulating in bats and rodents. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2007.2020.211664.
doi:10.1101/2020.07.20.211664
Lam, S. D., Bordin, N., Waman, V. P., Scholes, H. M., Ashford, P., Sen, N., . . . Orengo, C. A.
(2020). SARS-CoV-2 spike protein predicted to form complexes with host receptor
protein orthologues from a broad range of mammals. *Scientific Reports, 10*(1), 16471.
doi:10.1038/s41598-020-71936-5
Li, W., Moore, M. J., Vasilieva, N., Sui, J., Wong, S. K., Berne, M. A., . . . Farzan, M. (2003).
Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus.
Nature, 426(6965), 450-454. doi:10.1038/nature02145
Luan, J., Lu, Y., Jin, X., & Zhang, L. (2020). Spike protein recognition of mammalian ACE2
predicts the host range and an optimized ACE2 for SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Biochemical*
and Biophysical Research Communications, 526(1), 165-169.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.03.047
Madeira, F., Park, Y. M., Lee, J., Buso, N., Gur, T., Madhusoodanan, N., . . . Lopez, R. (2019).
The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. *Nucleic Acids*
Research, 47(W1), W636-W641. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz268
Martínez-Hernández, F., Isaak-Delgado, A. B., Alfonso-Toledo, J. A., Muñoz-García, C. I.,
Villalobos, G., Aréchiga-Ceballos, N., & Rendón-Franco, E. (2020). Assessing the
SARS-CoV-2 threat to wildlife: Potential risk to a broad range of mammals. *Perspectives*
in Ecology and Conservation. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2020.09.008
Melin, A. D., Janiak, M. C., Marrone, F., Arora, P. S., & Higham, J. P. (2020). Comparative
ACE2 variation and primate COVID-19 risk. *Communications Biology, 3*(1), 641.
doi:10.1038/s42003-020-01370-w
Muñoz-Fontela, C., Dowling, W. E., Funnell, S. G. P., Gsell, P.-S., Riveros-Balta, A. X.,
Albrecht, R. A., . . . Barouch, D. H. (2020). Animal models for COVID-19. *Nature,*
586(7830), 509-515. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2787-6
Mykytyn, A. Z., Lamers, M. M., Okba, N. M. A., Breugem, T. I., Schipper, D., van den Doel, P.
B., . . . Haagmans, B. L. (2020). Susceptibility of rabbits to SARS-CoV-2. *bioRxiv*,
2020.2008.2027.263988. doi:10.1101/2020.08.27.263988
Onuchic, J. N., Luthey-Schulten, Z., & Wolynes, P. G. (1997). THEORY OF PROTEIN
FOLDING: The Energy Landscape Perspective. *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry,*
48(1), 545-600. doi:10.1146/annurev.physchem.48.1.545
Onuchic, J. N., & Wolynes, P. G. (2004). Theory of protein folding. *Current Opinion in*
Structural Biology, 14(1), 70-75. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2004.01.009
Oude Munnink, B. B., Sikkema, R. S., Nieuwenhuijse, D. F., Molenaar, R. J., Munger, E.,
Molenkamp, R., . . . Koopmans, M. P. G. (2021). Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 on mink
farms between humans and mink and back to humans. *Science, 371*(6525), 172.
doi:10.1126/science.abe5901

Palmer, M. V., Martins, M., Falkenberg, S., Buckley, A., Caserta, L. C., Mitchell, P. K., . . . Diel,
D. G. (2021). Susceptibility of white-tailed deer (Odocoileus
virginianus) to SARS-CoV-2. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2001.2013.426628.
doi:10.1101/2021.01.13.426628
Parra, R. G., Schafer, N. P., Radusky, L. G., Tsai, M.-Y., Guzovsky, A. B., Wolynes, P. G., &
Ferreiro, D. U. (2016). Protein Frustratometer 2: a tool to localize energetic frustration in
protein molecules, now with electrostatics. *Nucleic Acids Research, 44*(W1), W356-
W360. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw304
Shang, J., Ye, G., Shi, K., Wan, Y., Luo, C., Aihara, H., . . . Li, F. (2020). Structural basis of
receptor recognition by SARS-CoV-2. *Nature, 581*(7807), 221-224. doi:10.1038/s41586-
020-2179-y
Shi, J., Wen, Z., Zhong, G., Yang, H., Wang, C., Huang, B., . . . Bu, Z. (2020). Susceptibility of
ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated animals to SARS–coronavirus 2. *Science,
368*(6494), 1016. doi:10.1126/science.abb7015
Sia, S. F., Yan, L.-M., Chin, A. W. H., Fung, K., Choy, K.-T., Wong, A. Y. L., . . . Yen, H.-L.
(2020). Pathogenesis and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in golden hamsters. *Nature,
583*(7818), 834-838. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2342-5
Sit, T. H. C., Brackman, C. J., Ip, S. M., Tam, K. W. S., Law, P. Y. T., To, E. M. W., . . . Peiris,
M. (2020). Infection of dogs with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature, 586*(7831), 776-778.
doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2334-5
The UniProt, C. (2021). UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. *Nucleic Acids
Research, 49*(D1), D480-D489. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1100
Wang, Q., Zhang, Y., Wu, L., Niu, S., Song, C., Zhang, Z., . . . Qi, J. (2020). Structural and
Functional Basis of SARS-CoV-2 Entry by Using Human ACE2. *Cell, 181*(4), 894-
904.e899. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.045
Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., . . . Schwede,
T. (2018). SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes.
Nucleic Acids Research, 46(W1), W296-W303. doi:10.1093/nar/gky427
Yan, R., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Xia, L., Guo, Y., & Zhou, Q. (2020). Structural basis for the
recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science, 367*(6485), 1444.
doi:10.1126/science.abb2762
Zhou, P., Yang, X.-L., Wang, X.-G., Hu, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., . . . Shi, Z.-L. (2020). A
pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature,
579*(7798), 270-273. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7
419