Conserved organ-specific microbial assemblages in different populations of a terrestrial crab

Authors: Giovanni Bacci[1]*, Sara Fratini[1]*, Niccolò Meriggi[1]*, Christine L. Y. Cheng[2], Ka Hei Ng[2], Massimo Pindo[3], Alessio Iannucci[1], Alessio Mengoni[1], Duccio Cavalieri[1]†, Stefano Cannicci[1,2]†

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Biology, University of Florence, Sesto Fiorentino, IT50019, Italy

[2] The Swire Institute of Marine Science and the Division of Ecology and Biodiversity, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

[3] Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach, San Michele all'Adige, IT38098, Italy

* These authors contributed equally to this work

† Corresponding author. Email: cannicci@hku.hk (S.C.); duccio.cavalieri@unifi.it (D.C.)

Abstract

Brachyuran crabs originated in the oceans and evolved specific morphological and physiological adaptations to live in freshwater, intertidal and even terrestrial habitats but the role of a selection mechanism involving symbiotic microorganisms long these colonization processes are not known. In this work we investigated the associated microbiota of three populations of a terrestrial brachyuran crab, *Chiromantes haematocheir*, to find evidence of a conserved crab-specific microbiome unrelated to the population of origin and dissimilar from environmental microbial assemblages. Bacterial 16S rRNA gene and fungal ITS sequences were obtained from selected crab organs and environmental matrices to profile microbial communities. In spite of the presence of truly marine larval stages and no gregarious behaviour, favouring microbiota exchanges, we found common, organ-specific microbiota, associated to the gut and the gills of the crabs (with more than 15% of the genera detected specifically enriched only in one organ). Our results suggest an early establishment of a new common, stable microbiota in the transition from water to land.

Introduction

The evolution of a terrestrial lifestyle independently occurred multiple times across and within most of the metazoan phyla (*1–4*). Since the marine and terrestrial realms are ecologically very different, these several transitions involved profound morphological, physiological and behavioural adaptations, often converging towards similar structures and metabolic pathways (*1, 4*). Among land adapted phyla, Arthropoda contribute the most to species diversity, which is the evolutionary outcome of a series of independent land invasions started in the Ordovician and still in progress at present (*4, 5*). Indeed, insects and arachnids represent the most successful arthropods on land, in terms of biomass, functional roles and diversity, but crustaceans are also well represented. This largely marine group shows many examples of semi-terrestrial and terrestrial forms, mostly represented by Amphipoda, Isopoda and Decapoda, the latter including the largest extant terrestrial arthropod of the world, the iconic coconut crab *Birgus latro* (*6*).

In chronological order, the true crabs (Decapoda; Brachyura) were among the last groups of arthropods to perform the sea-land transition (*5*), but they have been particularly successful with more than twenty phylogenetically unrelated families that include species with intertidal, semiterrestrial and truly terrestrial lifestyles (*5, 7*). Adaptations to terrestrial environments involved several morphological and physiological changes in sensory, locomotory, respiratory, excretory, osmoregulatory, digestive and reproductive systems (*8–18*). Interestingly, brachyuran gills have not proved to be fully functional for respiration in terrestrial environment (*1*) and are involved in osmotic regulation, urine dilution, nitrogen excretion and ion-regulation in most terrestrially adapted families (*11, 19, 20*). Other essential adaptations include herbivory and immune system recognition of potentially fungal pathogens, which are rare in marine environment but abundant on land, where they are

commonly known pathogens of terrestrial arthropods, including semiterrestrial mangrove

crabs (*21*, *22*).

Due to the relatively high diversity of terrestrial forms, when related to their recent history of

attempts to conquer the land, brachyurans have been considered an excellent animal model

for the study of the evolution of terrestrial adaptations (*2*, *5*, *8*, *23*). They are, however, rarely

surveyed in studies investigating the involvement of commensal and symbiotic microbiota in

such a dramatic transition. Indeed, metazoans groups have adapted to different habitats also

by creating mutualistic and symbiotic relationships with the microorganisms that inhabit

their tissues and organs (*24*). These relationships can be so tight to drive the evolution and

Darwinian selection of both host and microbiome, as a single unit (*25–27*).

Within this theoretical frame, we investigated the role of associated microbial communities in

the terrestrial adaptations of a semi-terrestrial brachyuran crab, *Chiromantes haematocheir*, a

common inhabitant of lowland forests of East Asia (*28*, *29*). We applied targeted

metagenomics approaches to profile both prokaryotic and fungal communities isolated from

1) organs (i.e., gills, gonads and gut) collected from specimens belonging to different

populations and 2) relevant environmental matrixes (i.e., soil, leaf litter, water and water

debris) sampled in their microhabitats. Our null hypothesis was that microbial composition of

crab organs could show the same microbial signature displayed by the matrices from the

surrounding environments. This would indicate that the crab organs would host bacterial and

fungal populations acquired from the environments without any specific selection and could

be explained by a dynamic "loss and acquire" balance that ultimately would prevent the

development of stable host-microbe associations. Our alternative hypothesis was that crab

organs may show exclusive bacterial and/or fungal communities, in terms of diversity and

composition, when compared to those present in the environment. Thus, our goal was to

investigate, for the first time for terrestrial brachyurans, the presence of a selection process in

favour of specific microorganisms and, ultimately, the evolution of adaptive symbioses able to

contribute to the terrestrial life style of a bimodal crab only recently adapted to the land

environment.

79   Results

80   Microbial composition of crab's organs and environmental samples

Sequencing produced 25,875,448 sequences for prokaryotic 16S rRNA amplicon and

15,788,842 sequences for the fungal internal transcribed region 1 (ITS1) that were clustered

according to DADA2 pipeline (see Supplementary methods for additional details). After the

clustering pipeline two fungal samples (namely GI_TKP_5 and MG_SH_1) produced no counts

in any of the fungal amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) and were therefore removed from

subsequent analyses. Since several fungal samples reported a low number of reads in crab's

organs, two samples were replicated and tested for correlation and accuracy to evaluate the

presence of fungal cells in the crabs' organs (Figure S1 and Table S1). Technical replicates

showed an average correlation coefficient (Spearman's rho) between replicates ranging

between 0.74 and 0.99, with an accuracy higher than 75% in all contrasts. These results mean

that the low recovery in biotic samples was not due to technical or analytical issues but

corresponded to the scarce presence of fungal DNA (Figure S2, Table S2 and Supplementary

method section).

Single nucleotide clustering detected a total of 56,233 ASVs including both 16S rRNA gene and

ITS1 amplicons. The 16S rRNA gene amplicons produced 55,036 ASVs (97.87%), whereas the

ITS1 region was clustered into 1,197 ASVs (2.13%). Most variants were assigned to the

Bacteria domain (54,800 ASVs, 97.45% of the total ASVs detected) and Fungi kingdom, even if

a sporadic presence of Archaea was found (236 ASVs, 0.42% of the total ASVS detected). In

general, microbial diversity was higher in the environmental samples than in crab's organs,

except for the gills where we found a high diversity of 16S rRNA amplicons (Figure 2a and b,

Table S3). The fungal diversity was low in all crab's organs, whereas environmental samples

were characterised by a highly diverse fungal assemblage, with soil, water, and water debris

reporting the highest diversities (Figure 2c & d). For each sample category and at each site,

the difference between extrapolated diversity—namely the inverse Simpson index calculated

by simulating a higher sequencing depth than the observed depth for each sample—and

observed diversity was low (Table 1), meaning that our sampling effort was effective in

assessing the natural microbial diversity for each category. Even the Good's coverage

estimator was higher than 99.9% in all sample types highlighting that only 1‰ of clustered

sequences came from ASVs detected only once in the whole dataset (Table 1 and Table S3).

Microbial diversity was rather uniform across sampling sites, except for To Kwa Peng (TKP),

which showed a significantly lower diversity with respect to the other sites in terms of ITS1

data (Figure S3).

Microbial distribution across sample types

The multidimensional ordination showed that both environmental samples and crab's organs

contributed to shape microbial community distribution, but their effect varied according to

both the amplicon type and the category of sample considered (Figure 3 and S4). In terms of

composition, the microbial communities found in the environmental samples overlapped with

each other more than the ones characteristic of the crab's organs (Figure 3a). The PCoA built

on 16S rRNA gene amplicons (Bacteria and Archaea) showed that soil and litter, and water

and water debris, respectively, formed two distinct clusters.   The observed intra-cluster

variability is due to differences in microbial communities found across the three Hong Kong

sites (Figure S4). The same 16S rRNA amplicon dataset showed a clear separation across

crab's organs, with a very limited influence of the collection sites (Figure 3 and S4). The gut's

microbiome composition was similar across the two sampled sections (mid- and hindgut),

while the gills and gonads sharply separated from each other and the gut itself. These

significant differences were highlighted also by the permutational analysis of variance (Figure

3b, Table S4). With respect to 16S rRNA data, fungal distribution produced more overlap,

especially across crab's organs, with the gonads being the only organ to show significant

differences when compared to the other organs (Figure 3b, Table S4).

Both environmental samples and crab's organs possessed a large set of microbial species

which were unique to the category considered (Figure S5). As already mentioned, sampling

sites also contributed to shape microbial communities, but they predominantly affected

environmental samples distribution and showed a low effect on crab's organs (Figure S4a and

b, Figure S5a and c). In addition, the community composition of both prokaryotic and fungal

communities was more variable and dispersed at site level than at organ level (Figures S4 and

S6, Table S5), showing a higher specificity of such assemblages within the different organs

and across sites. Gills exchanged microbes with environmental matrices in direct contact with

them (soil and water) and even with the gut, which is in turn connected with the same

environmental matrices through defecation (Figure S5b and d).

Defining characteristic patterns across sample types

To inspect microbial distribution in different sample categories, we performed log-likelihood

ratio test on both prokaryotic and fungal communities using DESeq2. We found 250 ASVs

(218 bacteria and 32 fungi) reporting a different distribution across crab's organs and/or

environmental samples (Figure 4 and Table S6). Even if significant ASVs corresponded to

0.45% of the ASVs profiled in the whole community (250 on 55819), they accounted for more

than 50% of the total microbial abundance (with a mean in each sample of 56.5% and a

standard error of 2.10%) reflecting the presence of many rare and sporadic species

throughout sample types and sites. Divisive analysis of hierarchical clustering obtained using

variance-stabilized counts produced four distinct clusters, which show a peculiar pattern of

abundance of ASVs clearly linked to the ecological and biological settings of the study. Indeed,

clusters 1 and 2 (Figures 4 and S7) were composed by microbial assemblages highly

represented in the crab's organs, while the other two clusters, (namely, cluster 3 and 4)

represented the microorganisms mostly found in the environmental samples (Figures 4 and

S7). The clusters representing the microorganisms more abundant in the crab's organs were

represented by bacterial ASVs only and were split into two groups, formed by the bacteria of

the gut (cluster 1) and of the gills (cluster 2), respectively, with the latter being the smaller

one.

Taxonomic and functional enrichments in crab's organs and environmental samples

158
159

An exploration of the distribution of the scaled variance-stabilized counts within each sample

group is shown in Figure 5a. Pairwise Wilkoxon test revealed that the ASVs present in the

cluster 1 were significant enriched in the gut, while ASVs included in cluster 2 were

significantly enriched in the gills (Figure 5a). Clusters 3 and 4 were significantly related to

environmental matrices, cluster 3 to the water and water debris samples, while cluster 4 with

litter and soil samples (Figure 5a).

Enrichment analysis estimated using $\log_2$ fold-changes allowed to assign a fair number of

ASVs to a specific taxon present in the previously described clusters (Figure 5).

Proteobacteria was the most represented phylum of the whole 16S dataset and comprised

ASVs belonging to clusters 1, 2 and 3 (Figure 5). The results of this analysis are displayed in

the sunburst plot of Figure 5b, which shows that some taxa were enriched in one or more

clusters. Significantly enriched taxa were reported from the highest taxonomic rank

considered (namely the domain level, the centre of the plot) to the lowest available taxonomic

level (namely genus, for bacteria/archaea and species for fungi) and were hierarchically

ordered. The intestinal cluster (i.e., cluster 1) was enriched in members of the Firmicutes

phylum, more specifically members of Bacilli class including *Candidatus Hepatoplasma*,

Candidatus Bacilloplasma, *Lactovum* and *Lactococcus* (Figure 5b). Members of the phylum

Bacteroidota and some taxa related to Protebacteria and Actinobacteriota were enriched in

both clusters 1 and 2. Within the phylum Bacteroidota, genera *Roseimarinus* and *Niabella*

were associated with cluster 1, *Fluviicola* and *Moheibacter* were related to cluster 2, and

Leadbetterella was shared between both clusters (Figure 5b). Other clear associations were

highlighted, with cluster 3 mainly associated with Proteobacteria and Ascomycota, while

cluster 2 mainly related to Actinobacteriota. The genera of these latter phyla are not

exclusively associated to a single cluster and are present in multiple clusters. Cluster 4

showed a taxonomic assignment totally related to the Fungi kingdom, in particular to the

phylum Ascomycota.

Molecular functions inferred from 16S rRNA gene amplicons showed that bacterial structures

associated with crab's organs are not only taxonomically defined but also functionally defined

(Figure 6a). Clusters of bacterial variants were mainly enriched/depleted by a unique set of

molecular functions that were absent in other clusters. The inferred genomic content of ASVs

detected in crab's gut (Cluster 1) was enriched by 359 GO terms and depleted by 21, with

more than a half (218 terms, 18 depleted and 200 enriched, Table S8) peculiar only to this

cluster (Figure 6b). Enzymes involved in the hydrolysis of complex carbohydrates—such as

cellulases and xylanases—and commonly associated to the gut of model herbivorous

arthropods were not enriched in the gut microbiome of the crab (Table S9). The gills (Cluster

2) had a population of bacteria enriched by 231 GO terms and depleted by 14 terms, with

roughly one third (88 terms, 12 depleted and 76 enriched) significantly found only in these

organs (Figure 6b). Functions associated to biofilm formation such as cellulose synthase (*30*,

31) were enriched only in this cluster (GO:0016760), whereas functions associated to the

nitrogen cycle—such as nitric- and nitrous-oxide reductase activity—were shared between

the gills and the environmental cluster 3. The latter, mainly composed of ASVs mostly found in

water and water debris samples, had the largest set of GO terms (401 terms, 22 depleted and

379 enriched) with more than a half (223 terms, 19 depleted and 204 enriched) exclusively

present in the inferred genome of ASVs highly abundant in that cluster (Figure 6b). Only

4.87% of the total GO terms was significantly enriched in all clusters (37 terms out of 759,

with no terms significantly depleted), indicating a functional role of microbial communities

both in crab's organs and in environmental samples (Figure 6b and c, and Table S8). ASVs

enriched in crab's gut and gills shared more functions (GO terms) with the environmental

cluster 3 (water and water debris) than between themselves (Figure 6b). In particular, 73 and

68 functions (with only 2 and 1 depleted terms) were shared between the gut and the gills,

respectively, and the ASVs detected in the environmental cluster 3 (Figure 6b, Table S8).

Considering the total number of terms significantly enriched/depleted, the gills were the

organs more impacted by the environment with roughly one third (27.8%) of molecular

functions shared with cluster 3. In contrast, the more populated organ, the gut, shared only

19.2% of the total number of functions with the environmental cluster.

Discussion

Our results show the presence of a specific microbiome associate to the semi-terrestrial

brachyuran crab *C. haematocheir*, strikingly different from that of the surrounding

environment This difference is more pronounced for Bacteria than Fungi. Further, the crab

microbiome profiling reveals the presence of consistent organ-specific microbial

communities. *C. haematocheir* represents one of the most recent attempts of land colonisation

by an arthropod. Consequently, the presence of a specific microbiota suggests its possible role

in the host's adaptation to terrestrial environment. The degree of specificity for both the

taxonomic and functional attributes in different organs can be explained by the adaptive

evolution of symbiotic host-microbe associations, which now represent a single biological unit

under selection from the recently colonised terrestrial habitat, i.e., a holobiont (*sensu 32*).

Temporary host-microbiota associations can be created, retained, or lost over time due to the

large number of organisms-microbe interactions. Thus, a crucial test needed to verify the

holobiont as a single selection unit is to assess the degree of specificity between the microbial

community and its host organism. Our sampling design and analytical approach were able to

define stable, organ-specific microbiota in both the gut and gills of individuals belonging to

different populations, suggesting a tight and persistent association between those microbial

communities and *C. haematocheir*. The organ specificity is supported by the observation that

such microbiota were consistently different among the different organs but importantly their

composition remained similar across sampling sites, where local microbial assemblages

proved to be site specific and different from those associated with the crabs.

The most enriched microorganisms in the gut microbiota of our model species are shared

with terrestrial isopods, which moved to the land roughly 300 million years ago, and are

supposed to play a central role in their adaptation to a diet based on vascular plant tissues

(*33*). One of the general assumptions of the hologenome concept, however, is that both the

host genome and the genetic information of the associated microbiome are transferred from

one generation to the next. This postulation underlies the critical role of mothers in

transferring microorganisms to their offspring (*34*), a challenging process for our model

organism that has highly dispersive planktonic larval stages followed by a recruitment phase.

Another crucial result is that fungal communities are exclusive to the environmental matrices

while almost absent in *C. haematocheir* organs. This suggests a specific selection process that

favours bacteria versus fungi, possibly supported by selection against yeasts and fungi since

several fungi are known pathogens for arthropods and crabs (*21, 35*). To the best of our

knowledge, the present integrated set of results support the idea that semi-terrestrial crabs,

only recently migrated onto the land, are associated with microbial communities specifically

selected in response to the dramatic environmental pressures posed by the sea-to-land

transition. The next questions are where these microbes were acquired and where are their

reservoirs?

In the last decades there has been a proliferation of experimental studies supporting the view

of holobionts (hosts and their associated microbiota) as units of selection (*36*). These studies

showed how associated microbes have a central role in the host biology, ecology, and

evolution, since microbiota are genetically more dynamic and can change more rapidly than

the host genome in response to environmental pressures (*32*, *37*). Undeniably, stable

symbiotic insect-microbe interactions have been extensively investigated and proved to be

crucial for the evolution of many of the several lifestyles of insects (*38*, *39*). Studies on insects,

however, cannot shed light on the importance of such associations for the first steps towards

land colonisation, which was carried out by insects as far as the Ordovician (*4*). To bridge this

knowledge gap, in this study we focused on a semi-terrestrial brachyuran crab that, from a

paleontological point of view, just recently had to face the dramatic challenges represented by

excretion, breathing and digestion in terrestrial habitats (for a review see *8*).

The digestive system of brachyuran crabs comprises different tracts according to their

embryological origin and functional role. We intentionally focused on the midgut -where

central digestion and absorption occur- and the hindgut -which has a role in water and ion

transport (*8*, *17*). In contrast to the intestinal bacterial communities found in the freshwater

Chinese mitten crab, *Eriocheir sinensis* (*40*), and notwithstanding the different functions, the

selected intestinal tracts of *C. haematocheir* were homogeneous in terms of microbial

communities, suggesting, at least for this terrestrial crab species, a similar role of such

communities.

Host-associated microbiota are known to play a crucial role in the digestive process of many

terrestrial arthropods (*37*). Thus, it is not surprising that the highly diverse microbial

community found in *C. haematocheir* gut is clearly distinguished from all the other internal

and environmental assemblages. This semi-terrestrial species mainly relies on leaf litter and

supplements its diet with small arthropod preys (*28*, *29*). The ability to cope with difficult-to-

digest vascular plant compounds (i.e., cellulose, lignin, and polyphenols) has been brought

forward as a fundamental trait in the adaptive processes related to terrestrialisation (*17*, *41*,

42). The termites' ability to degrade lignocellulose is classical example of high gut microbiome

specialization (*37*). Conversely to the termites' gut microbiome, however, the bacterial

assemblages inhabiting the gut of *C. haematocheir* were not enriched in known functions that

would help the host to selectively digest cellulose products. Indeed, no gene with cellulase

activity were enriched in the gut, possibly reflecting a more variable diet of these crabs, not

strictly specialized on plant material digestion (*28*, *29*). The gut microbiome shared limited

similarities with the gut core microbiome of a marine predatory brachyuran, the

commercially important mud crab *Scylla paramamosain* (*43*). Core Proteobacteria shared by

S. paramamosain and *C. haematocheir* include only *Shewanella*, while Firmicutes include

Lactococcus, Candidatus Hepatoplasma and *Candidatus Bacilloplasma* (hereafter

Hepatoplasma and *Bacilloplasma*). *Hepatoplasma,* and *Bacilloplasma* are rare in marine

environments, while they were previously described as colonizers of the hepatopancreas of

the terrestrial isopod *Porcellio scaber* (*33*, *44*). *Hepatoplasma* was detected in *P. scaber*

specimens collected from very different geographical areas (Germany and western Canada),

proving the strong host-microbe association (*44*, *45*)., Other bacterial genera found in our

intestinal cluster (such as *Niabella*, *Paracoccus* and *Shewanella*) were also isolated from

terrestrial isopods (*41*). Of particular interest is also the presence of *Lactococcus*, which is a

genus of lactic acid bacteria known as homofermenters, producing a single product, lactic

acid, as the main, or only product of glucose fermentation. Lactic acid has a selective role since it lowers the pH and selects the environmental microbes that can potentially thrive in the gut. These microorganisms, common in the dairy industry, are also known to colonize the gut of termites, *Lactococcus nasutitermitis* (*46*) and do not include marine species, although they are occasionally described as pathogens of aquacultured species (*47*, *48*). Another microorganism we found in the intestinal cluster that has never been described in the marine environment, thus suggesting acquisition from terrestrial microorganisms, is *Lactovum*, a genus of bacteria within the family Streptococcaceae. The genus includes a single species, *Lactovum miscens*, an aerotolerant, anaerobic species originally isolated from soil of the Stiegerwald forest in Germany (*49*). In addition, the intestinal cluster was enriched in *Erwiniaceae*, a family that includes insect's symbionts such as *Buchnera aphidicola* (*50*). The gut microbiota we found in the mid- and hindgut of *C. haematocheir* then shared similarities with the gut microbiota of other terrestrial crustaceans and insects, suggesting the presence of functions/preferences of those taxa that could help arthropods digestive systems, a hypothesis that deserves further functional investigations.

The possibilities of a vertical transmission vs. acquisition from the environment of this specialized gut microbiota deserves a deeper discussion and further experiments. First, land and terrestrial crabs are neither social or gregarious and only few of them show some basic degrees of parental care (*18*, *51*). Second, *C. haematocheir*, as well as the most land-adapted family of brachyuran crabs, the Gecarcinidae, still retains an indirect development strategy and releases planktonic larvae in coastal waters. Consequently, *C. haematoicher*, as well as the land crabs with planktonic larvae in general, may have developed intimate gut-specific symbiotic associations only through pseudo-vertical transmission (sensu *53*), i.e., by acquiring and selecting their gut microbiota directly from food or, most probably, through consumption of adults' faeces (coprophagy) found in or around their burrows. The megalopae of various

terrestrial and land crabs are known to specifically recruit at their spawning grounds, as

showed by the iconic mass recruitment of the Christmas Island red crab *Gecarcoidea natalis*

(*53*), or in areas where they can chemically detect adult populations, such as the case of the

swamp ghost crab *Ucides cordatus* (*54*). In some species, this selective recruitment towards

populations of conspecific adults ultimately results in the occurrence of juveniles and sub-

adults within secondary branches excavated along the burrows of adult crabs (*55–57*). Indeed,

these behaviours maximise the chances of finding adult populations and, consequently, a

successful pseudo-vertical transmission of microbiota through coprophagy. Further

metagenomic analyses on faeces are needed to clarify this point.

The gonads host a low microbial diversity with respect to the other organs and environmental

matrices we analysed, as expected for an internal organ in no direct contact with the

environment and not morphologically connected to the gut and the gills. Their microbial

community, moreover, is shared with both the other organs and the environment,

determining the absence of a specific taxonomic and functional cluster associated with them.

Microorganisms are known to be associated with the reproductive system of arthropods, in

both insects (*58*) and crustaceans (*59*). Few studies, however, have specifically characterised

these gonad-associated microbiota and most of them were focused on specific pathogens of

sexually transmitted infections or reproductive parasites, with the most notable example

being *Wolbachia* (*60*). When present, the vertical transmission of specific microbiota during

oogenesis or at birth is known to determine a colonization of the gonads that ultimately

affects the microbial composition of the offspring (*59*). In this view, the absence of an

exclusive microbiota in *C. haematoicher* gonads can be explained, as for the gut microbiota, by

the lack of vertical transmission through the parental-offspring pathways, because of the

presence of planktonic stages.

Although protected in the gill chambers, *C. haematocheir* gills are in direct communication

with the external environment, but they do show a unique resident microbiota, which is

different from the microbial communities detected in the environmental matrices. These gill-

associated microbial assemblages are only enriched in prokaryotes, unlike the soil/litter and

the water communities, which are composed almost entirely by fungi and a mix of

Proteobacteria and fungi, respectively. The prokaryotic cluster associated with the gills is

characterised by a strong uniformity in terms of both taxonomy and functions. Actinobacteria

associated to the gills include Microbacteriacea and *Illumatobacter*, which are known to play

an important role in marine organisms by producing bioactive compounds crucial in the

defence against pathogens (*61*). Some of the bacteria detected on the gills of *C. haematocheir*,

such as *Ilumatobacter* and *Albimonas*, were also found in the gills of the Chinese mitten crab

Eriocheir sinensis (*40*), but at this stage their metabolic functions are not clear. In contrast to

the gut, genes associated with cellulose synthase activity were found in the microbiota

associated with these organs (GO:0016760). This activity is typical of biofilm-forming bacteria

that use cellulose both as a physical barrier against armful molecules such as antibiotics but

also biocides and metallic cations, and as a molecular glue to help their interaction with the

host (*31*). Since the gills of *C. haematocheir* are exposed to external perturbations, the

presence of biofilm-forming functions may help to boost the resilience of bacteria stabilizing

host-microbiome interactions. Genes related to the reduction of nitric compounds (namely:

nitric oxide reductase activity, GO:0016966, and nitrous-oxide reductase activity,

GO:0050304) were enriched in both the gills and environmental water and water debris

samples. Besides their role in the anaerobic metabolism of nitrogen, these functions are

involved into pathogenesis and antibiotic resistance in bacteria (*62*) and may help tissue

colonization. In addition, the covalent incorporation of a nitric oxide molecule (nitrosylation)

of cytochrome c and quinol oxidases inhibits cellular respiration acting as an antimicrobial

molecule. We speculate that this mechanism may be used by bacteria inhabiting the gills of *C.*

haematocheir as a possible molecular defence against external pathogens helping the crab,

and themselves, to thrive in different terrestrial environments.

Our results show that fungi are strongly depleted in all crab's organs and that gills should be

considered an efficient selective filter between the environment and the host. In our opinion,

the substantial absence of fungal associations can be explained by different hypotheses that

all converge on the existence of defences from potential pathogens. We may hypothesize that

some physiological and anatomical characteristics of specific organs, such as gut and gills, may

inhibit adhesion and development of fungi. In insects, for instance, physiochemical

characteristics of the cuticle, or feeding habits, can counteract the entomopathogenic fungi

cuticular adhesion, germination of spores and hyphal growth (*63*). Moreover, bacterial

production of antifungal molecules may limit the growth of fungi. Events of fungal exclusion

by direct competition mediated by symbiotic bacteria have been extensively described in

beetles (*64*). *Streptomyces* sp. SPB74, symbiont of the beetle *Dendroctonus frontalis*, produces

an active compound, mycangimycin, which specifically inhibits antagonist fungi without

acting on the mutual ones (*64*). This protective role may be extended to the offspring.

Antifungal molecules produced by the symbiotic bacterium *Burkholderia gladioli* are known to

protect the eggs of the beetle *Lagria villosa* against pathogenic fungi (*35*, *65*). Pathogenic fungi

have been reported in intertidal and terrestrial crabs, such as the case of the swamp ghost

crab, *U. cordatus*, infected by species of black yeast, *Exophiala cancerae*, and *Fonsecaea*

brasiliensis, which cause a condition called "lethargic crab disease" (*21*, *22*). The role of

prokaryotic associations in the defence against pathogenic fungi can be of critical importance

for terrestrial crabs, since the immune molecules of crustaceans are less efficient than those of

insects against fungal infections (*66*).

In conclusion, this is the first attempt to ascertain the role of host-microbiome associations in

the transition to land of brachyuran crabs, the most recent arthropod taxa to perform such an

evolutionary leap. We found clear differentiations among the microbiota associated with

different crab's organs and the ones found in the environmental matrices, suggesting a

selective acquisition of the microbiota through "gain" and "loss" mechanisms. The differences

found among the organ-related and environmental clusters were not merely taxonomical

since the different clusters harbour different metabolic profiles. These results corroborate the

hypothesis that the recorded differences can be due to the presence of metabolic

complementation mechanisms that took place in those organs mostly impacted by the

challenges posed by the terrestrial environment. Our data also show possible evolutionary

convergences towards a uniform 'terrestrial intestinal microbiota' across different lineages of

arthropods, suggesting the presence of bacterial associations linked to terrestrial life. The

present study demonstrates mechanisms of specific microbial selection in the sesarmid crab

C. heamatocheir and strongly supports the hypothesis that semi- and terrestrial crabs are an

appropriate model system to study the evolution of arthropod-microbe interaction under the

selective pressures posed by the sea-land transition, which is happing right now in Brachyura

(*23*).

Material and methods

Study species

Chiromantes haematocheir (Decapoda; Brachyura; Sesarmidae) is a semi-terrestrial crab colonising the coastal vegetated areas from Taiwan to South East Asia (*28*, *67*). In Hong Kong, it forms large populations in areas of lowland secondary forest adjacent to mangroves and in pockets of riverine forests, where it was also observed climbing trees. Very little is known about its ecology, apart from the fact that it digs deep burrows and, as in many sesarmids, it releases pelagic larvae into the ocean. This species was selected because it is the most land adapted among the Hong Kong brachyuran crabs.

Sample collection and total DNA extraction

We selected three large populations of *C. haematocheir* that colonised distant catchments across the Hong Kong territory. The selected populations were sampled at Shui Hau (Southern Lantau Island), To Kwa Peng (Eastern coast of Sai Kung Country Park, New Territories), and Sai Keng (Three Fathoms Cove, Tolo Harbour New Territories). From each site, eleven sexual mature adult crabs (carapace width range between 13.4 mm and 32.2 mm) were collected in October 2018. To explore differences in microbial community composition across the various crab's organs and the surrounding environment, we collected and analysed four organ samples (gills, hindgut, midgut and gonads) and four environmental matrices (sediment, leaf litter, freshwater and freshwater debris). Due to the intensive sampling, all environmental samples and alive crabs were immediately frozen and subsequently transported to the laboratories of the Division of Ecology and Biodiversity (The University of Hong Kong). The dissections were then performed under sterile conditions. All dissection instruments were sterilized over an open flame to eliminate residual DNA and washed with 75% EtOH to prevent cross-contamination. After removing the carapace, gills, gonads, hindgut and midgut

from each crab were excised under a stereomicroscope and stored at -20°C in RNAlater

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) stabilization solution until DNA extraction.

Total DNA extraction from crab organs, sediment, leaf litter and freshwater debris was

performed using the DNeasy PowerLyzer PowerSoil Kit (QIAGEN) following manufacturer's

protocol. Total DNA extraction from water samples was performed using the DNeasy

PowerWater Kit (QIAGEN) following manufacturer's protocol, after having filtered 100ml of

freshwater through 0.2µm Thermo Scientific™ Nalgene™ Sterile Analytical Filter Units

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Extracted DNA samples were stored at -20°C. Before the DNA

library's preparation, DNAs were quantified fluorometrically by using Qubit dsDNA HS Assay

Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

16S (V3-V4) rRNA gene amplification and sequencing

The preparation and sequencing of the 16S library were performed at Laboratory of

Advanced Genomics, Department of Biology, University of Florence (Firenze, Italy). PCR

amplifications of the bacterial V3-V4 16S rRNA gene fragments were performed using KAPA

HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Roche) and the primer pair 341F (5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3')

and 805R (5'-GACTACNVGGGTWTCTAATCC-3') (*68*) with overhang Illumina adapters. 16S

amplicon PCR protocol was set on 25 µl of final volume. In detail for each reaction, 12.5 µl of

2× KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Roche), 10 µl of 1µM forward and reverse primers and 2.5

µl of template DNA (5–20 ng/µl) were combined. Amplicon PCR reaction was performed using

the GeneAmp PCR System 2700 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the following cycling

conditions: denaturation step at 95 °C for 3 minutes; 35 (gonads, water and water debris) and

25 (hindgut, midgut, gills, soil and litter) cycles: at 95 °C for 30 seconds, 55 °C for 30 seconds,

72 °C for 30 seconds; final extension step at 72 °C for 5 minutes. All PCR products were

checked through electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel and then purified using KAPA Pure

Beads (Roche) following the manufacturer's instructions. To apply the Illumina adapters

sequencing indexing using Nextera XT Index Kit V2 (Illumina), a second PCR amplification

was then performed by preparing a reaction mix in accordance with the 16S metagenomic

library preparation protocol (*69*). An indexing step was made for all samples by seven PCR

cycles. Amplicon products from indexing PCR were purified using KAPA Pure Beads (Roche)

and their quality check was performed using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies)

with Agilent DNA 1000 Kit (Agilent Technologies). Subsequently, concentration check was

performed by Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Finally, the barcoded

libraries were balanced and pooled at equimolar concentration, before being sequenced on an

Illumina MiSeq (PE300) platform (MiSeq Control Software 2.6.2.1).

ITS1 rDNA region sequencing

ITS1 library preparation and sequencing were performed at the Research and Innovation

Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach (FEM) (S. Michele all'Adige, Trento, Italy). Fungal ITS1

fragments were amplified by PCR using the FastStart High Fidelity PCR System (Roche) for

environment matrixes and the Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) for animal

matrixes following the manufacturer instructions using the primers ITS1F (5'-

CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-3') (*70*) and ITS2 (5'-GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC-3') (*71*) with

overhang Illumina adapters. ITS1 PCRs were performed in 25 µl of final volume. In detail for

each reaction, 2ul of 10uM forward and reverse primers were used in combination with 1 µl

of template DNA (5-20 ng/ul). Amplicon PCR reaction was performed using the GeneAmp PCR

System 9700 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the following cycling conditions: denaturation

step at 95 °C for 3 minutes; 25 (litter) and 33 (all other samples) cycles: at 95 °C for 20

seconds, 50 °C for 45 seconds, 72 °C for 90 seconds; final extension step at 72 °C for 10

minutes. All PCR products were checked on 1.5% agarose gel and purified using the CleanNGS

kit (CleanNA, the Netherlands) following the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently a

second PCR was performed to apply the Illumina sequencing adapters Nextera XT Index

Primer (Illumina) (*69*). The indexing step was made for all samples by seven PCR cycles. After

Indexing PCR amplicon libraries were purified using the CleanNGS kit (CleanNA, the

Netherlands), and the quality control was performed on a Typestation 2200 platform (Agilent

Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Afterwards all barcoded libraries were mixed at

equimolar concentration, quantified by qPCR Kapa Library quantification kit (Roche) and

sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq (PE300) platform (MiSeq Control Software 2.5.0.5 and Real-

Time Analysis software 1.18.54.0).

Amplicon sequence variant inference

The DADA2 pipeline version 1.14.1 (*72*) was used to infer amplicon sequence variants (ASVs)

from raw sequences. Primers used for PCR amplification were removed using cutadapt

version 1.15 (*73*) in paired-end mode. If a primer was not found, the sequence was discarded

together with its mate to reduce possible contamination. For ITS amplicon sequences reads

containing both the forward and reverse primers were considered valid only if concordant

(one of the two primers must be present but reverse and complemented) with a cut-off length

of 70bp. Low quality reads were discarded using the "filterAndTrim" function with an

expected error threshold of 2 for both forward and reverse read pairs (namely only reads

with more than 2 expected errors were removed). Denoising was performed using the "dada"

function after error rate modelling ("learnErrors" function). Denoised reads were merged

discarding those with any mismatches and/or an overlap length shorter than 20bp

("mergePairs" function). Chimeric sequences were removed using the

"removeBimeraDenovo" function whereas taxonomical classification was performed using

DECIPHER package version 2.14.0 against the latest version of the pre-formatted Silva small-

subunit reference database (*74*) (SSU version 138 available at:

http://www2.decipher.codes/Downloads.html) and the Warcup database for fungal ITS1

(*75*). All variants not classified as Bacteria, Archaea or Fungi were removed together with

sequences classified as chloroplasts or mitochondria (16S rRNA sequences only). Additional

information on the sequence variant inference pipeline used were reported in Supplementary

Materials.

Inferring functional content of amplicon variants

The genome content of bacterial ASVs was inferred using PICRUSt2 pipeline (*76*). Enzyme

Commission Numbers (EC numbers) were converted into Gene Ontology terms (GO terms)

using the mapping file available at: http://www.geneontology.org/external2go/ec2go. Gene

abundance was retrieved using the "--strtified" option to report gene abundances at species

level (ASVs. Additional information about functional content inference was reported in

Supplementary Material.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in the R environment (version 3.6). Briefly, alpha- and

beta-diversity analyses were conducted using the vegan package version 2.5 (*77*) in

combination with the iNEXT package version 2.0 (*78*). Normalization and differential

abundance analyses were performed with DESeq2 version 1.28 (*79*) whereas enrichment

analysis of taxa and functions was performed using hypergeometric test ("phyper" function of

R stats package). For additional details about tests data manipulation see Supplementary

Materials.

References

1.   C. Little, *The Terrestrial Invasion. An Ecophysiological Approach to the Origins of Land*
Animals (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990).

2.   C. Little, *The Colonisation of Land. Origins and Adaptations of Terrestrial Animals*
(Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

3.   D. J. Randall, W. W. Burggren, A. P. Farrell, M. S. Haswell, *The Evolution of Air Breathing*
in Vertebrates (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

4.   J. Lozano-Fernandez, R. Carton, A. R. Tanner, M. N. Puttick, M. Blaxter, J. Vinther, J.
Olesen, G. Giribet, G. D. Edgecombe, D. Pisani, A molecular palaeobiological exploration

of arthropod terrestrialization. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **371**, 20150133 (2016).

5.   F. Giomi, M. Fusi, A. Barausse, B. Mostert, H.-O. Pörtner, S. Cannicci, Improved heat
tolerance in air drives the recurrent evolution of air-breathing. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*
281, 20132927 (2014).

6.   M. M. Drew, S. Harzsch, M. Stensmyr, S. Erland, B. S. Hansson, A review of the biology
and ecology of the Robber Crab, Birgus latro (Linnaeus, 1767) (Anomura:
Coenobitidae). *Zool. Anz.* **249**, 45–67 (2010).

7.   J. Krieger, P. Braun, N. T. Rivera, C. D. Schubart, C. H. G. Müller, S. Harzsch, Comparative
analyses of olfactory systems in terrestrial crabs (Brachyura): Evidence for aerial
olfaction? *PeerJ.* **3**, e1433 (2015).

8.   W. W. Burggren, B. R. McMahon, *Biology of the land crabs* (Cambridge University Press,
Cambridge, 1988).

9.   C. A. Farrelly, P. Greenaway, Land crabs with smooth lungs: Grapsidae, Gecarcinidae,
and Sundathelphusidae ultrastructure and vasculature. *J. Morphol.* **215**, 245–260
(1993).

10. S. C. Faria, R. O. Faleiros, F. A. Brayner, L. C. Alves, A. Bianchini, C. Romero, R. C.
Buranelli, F. L. Mantelatto, J. C. McNamara, Macroevolution of thermal tolerance in
intertidal crabs from Neotropical provinces: A phylogenetic comparative evaluation of
critical limits. *Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 3167–3176 (2017).

11. C. A. Farrelly, P. Greenaway, Gas Exchange Through the Lungs and Gills in Air-Breathing
Crabs. *J. Exp. Biol.* **187**, 113–130 (1994).

12. F. Paoli, C. S. Wirkner, S. Cannicci, The branchiostegal lung of Uca vocans (Decapoda:
Ocypodidae): Unreported complexity revealed by corrosion casting and MicroCT
techniques. *Arthropod Struct. Dev.* **44**, 622–629 (2015).

13. C. M. Wood, R. G. Boutilier, Osmoregulation, ionic exchange, blood chemistry, and
nitrogenous waste excration in the land crab Cardisoma carnifex: a field and laboratory
study. *Biol. Bull.* **169**, 267–290 (1985).

14. P. Greenaway, in *Biology of land crabs*, W. W. Burggren, B. R. McMahon, Eds. (Cambridge
University Press, New York, ed. 1, 1988), pp. 211–248.

15. S. Cannicci, R. Simoni, F. Giomi, Role of the embryo in crab terrestrialisation: an
ontogenetic approach. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **430**, 121–131 (2011).

16. R. Simoni, F. Giomi, D. Spigoli, H.-O. Pörtner, S. Cannicci, Adaptations to semi-terrestrial
life in embryos of East African mangrove crabs: a comparative approach. *Mar. Biol.* **160**,
2483–2492 (2013).

17. E. S. Lindquist, K. W. Krauss, P. T. Green, D. J. O'Dowd, P. M. Sherman, T. J. Smith III, Land
crabs as key drivers in tropical coastal forest recruitment. *Biol. Rev.* **84**, 203–223
(2009).

18. K. Anger, The conquest of freshwater and land by marine crabs: Adaptations in life-
history patterns and larval bioenergetics. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **193**, 119–145 (1995).

19.   S. Morris, Neuroendocrine regulation of osmoregulation and the evolution of air-
breathing in decapod crustaceans. *J. Exp. Biol.* **204**, 979–989 (2001).

20.   D. Weihrauch, S. Morris, D. W. Towle, Ammonia excretion in aquatic and terrestrial
crabs. *J. Exp. Biol.* **207**, 4491–504 (2004).

21.   V. A. Vicente, R. Orélis-Ribeiro, M. J. Najafzadeh, J. Sun, R. S. Guerra, S. Miesch, A.
Ostrensky, J. F. Meis, C. H. Klaassen, G. S. de Hoog, W. A. Boeger, Black yeast-like fungi
associated with Lethargic Crab Disease (LCD) in the mangrove-land crab, Ucides
cordatus (Ocypodidae). *Vet. Microbiol.* **158**, 109–122 (2012).

22.   W. A. Boeger, M. R. Pie, A. Ostrensky, L. Patella, Lethargic crab disease: Multidisciplinary
evidence supports a mycotic etiology. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz.* **100**, 161–167 (2005).

23.   S. Cannicci, S. Fratini, N. Meriggi, G. Bacci, A. Iannucci, A. Mengoni, D. Cavalieri, To the
Land and Beyond: Crab Microbiomes as a Paradigm for the Evolution of
Terrestrialization. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 575372 (2020).

24.   L. Margulis, R. Fester, Eds., *Symbiosis as a Source of Evolutionary Innovation. Speciation*
and Morphogenesis (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991).

25.   I. Zilber-Rosenberg, E. Rosenberg, Role of microorganisms in the evolution of animals
and plants: The hologenome theory of evolution. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **32**, 723–735
(2008).

26.   E. Rosenberg, I. Zilber-Rosenberg, The hologenome concept of evolution after 10 years.
Microbiome. **6**, 78 (2018).

27.   E. Rosenberg, O. Koren, L. Reshef, R. Efrony, I. Zilber-Rosenberg, The role of
microorganisms in coral health, disease and evolution. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **5**, 355–362
(2007).

28.   K. F. Abd El-Wakeil, Trophic structure of macro- and meso-invertebrates in Japanese
coniferous forest: Carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes analyses. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **37**,
317–324 (2009).

29.   K. Miyake, K. Ura, S. Chida, Y. Ueda, Y. Baba, T. Kusube, S. Yanai, Guaiacol oxidation
activity of herbivorous land crabs, Chiromantes haematocheir and Chiromantes
dehaani. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **128**, 316–322 (2019).

30.   R. V Augimeri, A. J. Varley, J. L. Strap, Establishing a Role for Bacterial Cellulose in
Environmental Interactions: Lessons Learned from Diverse Biofilm-Producing
Proteobacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* **6**, 1282 (2015).

31.   H.-C. Flemming, J. Wingender, The biofilm matrix. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8**, 623–633
(2010).

32.   J.-C. Simon, J. R. Marchesi, C. Mougel, M.-A. Selosse, Host-microbiota interactions: from
holobiont theory to analysis. *Microbiome.* **7**, 5 (2019).

33.   S. Fraune, M. Zimmer, Host-specificity of environmentally transmitted Mycoplasma-like
isopod symbionts. *Environ. Microbiol.* **10**, 2497–2504 (2008).

34.   E. Rosenberg, I. Zilber-Rosenberg, The hologenome concept of evolution: do mothers

matter most? *BJOG An Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **127**, 129–137 (2020).

35. L. V Flórez, K. Scherlach, I. J. Miller, A. Rodrigues, J. C. Kwan, C. Hertweck, M. Kaltenpoth,
An antifungal polyketide associated with horizontally acquired genes supports
symbiont-mediated defense in Lagria villosa beetles. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2478 (2018).

36. E. Rosenberg, G. Sharon, I. Zilber-Rosenberg, The hologenome theory of evolution
contains Lamarckian aspects within a Darwinian framework. *Environ. Microbiol.* **11**,
2959–2962 (2009).

37. A. Brune, C. Dietrich, The Gut Microbiota of Termites: Digesting the Diversity in the
Light of Ecology and Evolution. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **69**, 145–166 (2015).

38. T. Kuriwada, T. Hosokawa, N. Kumano, K. Shiromoto, D. Haraguchi, T. Fukatsu,
Biological Role of Nardonella Endosymbiont in Its Weevil Host. *PLoS One.* **5**, e13101
(2010).

39. N. Nikoh, T. Tsuchida, T. Maeda, K. Yamaguchi, S. Shigenobu, R. Koga, T. Fukatsu,
Genomic Insight into Symbiosis-Induced Insect Color Change by a Facultative Bacterial
Endosymbiont, "Candidatus Rickettsiella viridis." *MBio.* **9**,
e00890-18 (2018).

40. J. Dong, X. Li, R. Zhang, Y. Zhao, G. Wu, J. Liu, X. Zhu, L. Li, Comparative analysis of the
intestinal bacterial community and expression of gut immunity genes in the Chinese
Mitten Crab (Eriocheir sinensis). *AMB Express.* **8** (2018), doi:10.1186/s13568-018-
0722-0.

41. D. Bouchon, M. Zimmer, J. Dittmer, The Terrestrial Isopod Microbiome: An All-in-One
Toolbox for Animal–Microbe Interactions of Ecological Relevance. *Front. Microbiol.* **7**,
1472 (2016).

42. M. Zimmer, Nutrition in terrestrial isopods (Isopoda: Oniscidea): An evolutionary-
ecological approach. *Biol. Rev.* **77**, 455–493 (2002).

43. H. Wei, H. Wang, L. Tang, C. Mu, C. Ye, L. Chen, C. Wang, High-throughput sequencing
reveals the core gut microbiota of the mud crab (Scylla paramamosain) in different
coastal regions of southern China. *BMC Genomics.* **20**, 1–12 (2019).

44. Y. Wang, U. Stingl, F. Anton-erxleben, S. Geisler, A. Brune, M. Zimmer, "Candidatus
Hepatoplasma crinochetorum," a New, Stalk-Forming Lineage of. *Appl. Environ.*
Microbiol. **70**, 6166–6172 (2004).

45. Y. Wang, A. Brune, M. Zimmer, Bacterial symbionts in the hepatopancreas of isopods:
Diversity and environmental transmission. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **61**, 141–152 (2007).

46. S. Yan Yang, Y. Zheng, Z. Huang, X. Min Wang, H. Yang, Lactococcus nasutitermitis sp.
nov. isolated from a termite gut. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **66**, 518–522 (2016).

47. A. Williams, Lactococcus piscium sp. nov. a new Lactococcus species from salmonid fish.
FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **68**, 109–113 (1990).

48. R. M. Sakala, H. Hayashidani, Y. Kato, C. Kaneuchi, M. Ogawa, Isolation and
characterization of Lactococcus piscium strains from vacuum-packaged refrigerated
beef. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **92**, 173–179 (2002).

49.   C. Matthies, A. Gößner, G. Acker, A. Schramm, H. L. Drake, Lactovum miscens gen. nov.,
sp. nov., an aerotolerant, psychrotolerant, mixed-fermentative anaerobe from acidic
forest soil. *Res. Microbiol.* **155**, 847–854 (2004).

50.   X. Chen, M. D. Hitchings, J. E. Mendoza, V. Balanza, P. D. Facey, P. J. Dyson, P. Bielza, R.
Del Sol, Comparative Genomics of Facultative Bacterial Symbionts Isolated from
European Orius Species Reveals an Ancestral Symbiotic Association . *Front. Microbiol.* .
8 (2017), p. 1969.

51.   E. Hornung, Evolutionary adaptation of oniscidean isopods to terrestrial life: Structure,
physiology and behavior. *Terr. Arthropod Rev.* **4**, 95–130 (2011).

52.   D. M. Wilkinson, The Role of Seed Dispersal in the Evolution of Mycorrhizae. *Oikos.* **78**,
394 (1997).

53.   A. M. Adamczewska, S. Morris, Ecology and Behavior of Gecarcoidea natalis , the
Christmas Island Red Crab, During the Annual Breeding Migration. *Biol. Bull.* **200**, 305–
320 (2001).

54.   K. Diele, D. J. B. Simith, Effects of substrata and conspecific odour on the metamorphosis
of mangrove crab megalopae, Ucides cordatus (Ocypodidae). *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **348**,
174–182 (2007).

55.   L. E. Agusto, S. Fratini, P. J. Jimenez, A. Quadros, S. Cannicci, Structural characteristics of
crab burrows in Hong Kong mangrove forests and their role in ecosystem engineering.
Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. **248**, 106973 (2021).

56.   M. Vannini, S. Cannicci, R. Berti, G. Innocenti, Cardisoma carnifex (Brachyura): Where
have all the babies gone? *J. Crustac. Biol.* **23**, 55–59 (2003).

57.   T. Stieglitz, P. V. Ridd, P. Müller, Passive irrigation and functional morphology of
crustacean burrows in a tropical mangrove swamp. *Hydrobiologia.* **421**, 69–76 (2000).

58.   C. M. Gibson, M. S. Hunter, Extraordinarily widespread and fantastically complex:
comparative biology of endosymbiotic bacterial and fungal mutualists of insects. *Ecol.*
Lett. **13**, 223–234 (2010).

59.   J. I. Perlmutter, S. R. Bordenstein, Microorganisms in the reproductive tissues of
arthropods. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **18**, 97–111 (2020).

60.   F. Chevalier, J. Herbinière-Gaboreau, D. Charif, G. Mitta, F. Gavory, P. Wincker, P. Grève,
C. Braquart-Varnier, D. Bouchon, Feminizing Wolbachia: a transcriptomics approach
with insights on the immune response genes in Armadillidium vulgare. *BMC Microbiol.*
12 Suppl 1, S1–S1 (2012).

61.   K. Valliappan, W. Sun, Z. Li, Marine actinobacteria associated with marine organisms
and their potentials in producing pharmaceutical natural products. *Appl. Microbiol.*
Biotechnol. **98**, 7365–7377 (2014).

62.   A. Vázquez-Torres, A. J. Bäumler, Nitrate, nitrite and nitric oxide reductases: from the
last universal common ancestor to modern bacterial pathogens. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*
29, 1–8 (2016).

63.   A. Ortiz-Urquiza, N. Keyhani, Action on the Surface: Entomopathogenic Fungi versus the

Insect Cuticle. *Insects*. **4**, 357–374 (2013).

64.   D.-C. Oh, J. J. Scott, C. R. Currie, J. Clardy, Mycangimycin, a Polyene Peroxide from a
Mutualist Streptomyces sp. *Org. Lett.* **11**, 633–636 (2009).

65.   L. V Flórez, K. Scherlach, P. Gaube, C. Ross, E. Sitte, C. Hermes, A. Rodrigues, C. Hertweck,
M. Kaltenpoth, Antibiotic-producing symbionts dynamically transition between plant
pathogenicity and insect-defensive mutualism. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15172 (2017).

66.   T. H. Ng, J. Kurtz, Dscam in immunity: A question of diversity in insects and crustaceans.
Dev. Comp. Immunol. **105**, 103539 (2020).

67.   C. D. Schubart, P. K. L. Ng, Revision of the intertidal and semiterrestrial crab genera
Chiromantes Gistel , 1848 , and Pseudosesarma Serène & Soh , 1970 (Crustacea⬚:
Brachyura⬚: Sesarmidae), using morphology and molecular phylogenetics , with the
establishment of nine new genera a. *Raffles Bull. Zool.* **68**, 891–994 (2020).

68.   F. Rosso, V. Tagliapietra, D. Albanese, M. Pindo, F. Baldacchino, D. Arnoldi, C. Donati, A.
Rizzoli, Reduced diversity of gut microbiota in two Aedes mosquitoes species in areas of
recent invasion. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 16091 (2018).

69.   Illumina, *16S metagenomic sequencing library preparation protocol: preparing 16S*
ribosomal RNA gene amplicons for the Illumina MiSeq system. Part no. 15044223 Rev B
(Illumina, San Diego, CA., 2013; https://www.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-
support/documents/documentation/chemistry_documentation/16s/16s-
metagenomic-library-prep-guide-15044223-b.pdf).

70.   M. Gardes, T. D. Bruns, ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes -
application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Mol. Ecol.* **2**, 113–118 (1993).

71.   T. J. White, T. Bruns, S. Lee, J. Taylor, in *PCR Protocols* (Elsevier, 1990;
https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780123721808500421), pp. 315–322.

72.   B. J. Callahan, P. J. McMurdie, M. J. Rosen, A. W. Han, A. J. A. Johnson, S. P. Holmes,
DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods*.
13, 581–583 (2016).

73.   M. Martin, Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. *EMBnet.journal*. **17**, 10 (2011).

74.   C. Quast, E. Pruesse, P. Yilmaz, J. Gerken, T. Schweer, P. Yarza, J. Peplies, F. O. Glöckner,
The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-
based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D590–D596 (2012).

75.   V. Deshpande, Q. Wang, P. Greenfield, M. Charleston, A. Porras-Alfaro, C. R. Kuske, J. R.
Cole, D. J. Midgley, N. Tran-Dinh, Fungal identification using a Bayesian classifier and the
Warcup training set of internal transcribed spacer sequences. *Mycologia*. **108**, 1–5
(2016).

76.   G. M. Douglas, V. J. Maffei, J. R. Zaneveld, S. N. Yurgel, J. R. Brown, C. M. Taylor, C.
Huttenhower, M. G. I. Langille, PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. *Nat.*
Biotechnol. **38**, 685–688 (2020).

77.   J. Oksanen, F. G. Blanchet, M. Friendly, R. Kindt, P. Legendre, D. McGlinn, P. R. Minchin, R.

B. O'Hara, G. L. Simpson, P. Solymos, M. H. H. Stevens, E. Szoecs, H. Wagner, *Vegan:*
community ecology package (2019; http://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan).

78. T. C. Hsieh, K. H. Ma, A. Chao, iNEXT: an R package for rarefaction and extrapolation of
species diversity (Hill numbers). *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 1451–1456 (2016).

79. M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

Acknowledgments

We thank the friends of the Integrate Mangrove Ecology laboratory of the Swire Institute of

Marine Science, HKU for their help in sampling and lab work. We also thank Prof Colin Little

and Gray A. Williams for inspiring and discussing the original idea behind the study.

Author contributions: G.B. performed bioinformatics analyses and wrote the manuscript;

S.F. supervised lab work and wrote the manuscript; N.M. performed 16S laboratory analyses

and wrote the manuscript; C.L.Y.C. and K.H.N carried out the samplings and performed DNA

extractions; D.C. and A.M. helped conceiving the original idea; and S.C. conceived the original

idea, collected the samples and wrote the manuscript. S.C. and D.C provided funding for the

experiments. All the authors commented and validated the manuscript, participated to plan

the sampling design and critically discussed the results.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: data needed to evaluate the conclusions are present in the

paper and in Supplementary Materials. All codes used in the work have been uploaded to a

public   Github   repository   available   at:

https://github.com/GiBacci/Chiromantes_haematocheir_microbiome. Sequencing data were

uploaded to the European Nucleotides Archive (ENA) under the project ID: PRJEB43930.

Funding: This work was supported by the project "The sky's the limit: the irresistible ascent

to land and trees by crabs", sponsored by TUYF Charitable Trust funds, Hong Kong, (HKU no

260008686.088562.26000.400.01) and by the Eighth Government Matching Grant, Hong

Kong Government (HKU no 207080320.088562.26020.430.01) to S.C. and by the RAE

Improvement Fund from the Faculty of Science, HKU (HKU no

000250449.088562.26000.100.01) to S.C and D.C.

Figures

Figure 1. **Geographical distribution of the sampled populations of** *C. haematocheir*. The
map shows the names and location of the three sampling sites visited for the study in the New
Territories and on Lantau Island and an adult male *C. haematocheir* in its natural environment
(top left).

Figure 2: Microbial diversity in crab's organs and environmental samples. The average of the inverse Simpson index was reported with increasing sampling effort for all types of samples. Interpolated and extrapolated diversity was reported in panel a and c (16S rRNA gene and ITS-1 region, respectively), whereas observed diversity was reported in panel b and d (16S rRNA gene and ITS-1 region respectively). Significant differences in microbial diversity (Wilcoxon non-parametric test) were reported using lowercase letters (panel b and d) whereas colours and acronyms on the x-axis correspond to different sample types (MG, midgut; HG, hindgut; GO, gonads; GI, gills; L, litter; S, soil; W, water; WD, water debris). If two means were significantly different, all letters on top of the two boxes must be different; if two means were equal, at least one letter must be the same.

Figure 3: Microbial distribution according to Bray-Curtis distance. a) Principal coordinates analyses on Bray-Curtis distances inferred form 16S rRNA and ITS-1 metabarcoding. Environmental samples and crab's organs (top side of the panels) were reported separately as distribution obtained with the two markers reported (right side of each panel). Solid-coloured points represent different samples whereas white-filled points represent centroids. The variance of the objects along each axis has been reported between squared brackets (from the lowest to the highest) and different sample types were reported using different colours (MG, midgut; HG, hindgut; GO, gonads; GI, gills; L, litter; S, soil; W, water; WD, water debris). b) Permutational analysis of variance on ordinations reported in panel a. For each pair of organs and environmental samples a permutational analysis of variance was performed. R-squared values of significant contrasts were reported for both 16S (upper triangle) and ITS (lower triangle) counts.

807
Figure 4: Sequence variant clustering according to their abundance along sample types.
Amplicon sequence variants reporting a different abundance pattern in one or more sample
types (loglikelihood ratio test of DESeq2) were clustered according to their mean variance-
stabilized abundance. Abundance values were reported using different colours after
clustering based on Kendall correlation (right side of the plot). Clusters were coloured
according to the scheme reported in the legend whereas removed clusters (namely those
composed with less than 10 variants) where reported in black. Sequence variants inferred
from ITS-1 amplicon sequencing were reported using a solid dot.

816
Figure 5: Taxonomic enrichment in clusters. a) Scaled variance-stabilized counts were
tested for differences along sample types within all clusters detected. A pairwise Wilcoxon
test was performed, and results were reported highlighting significant differences with one
asterisk (p-value < 0.05) or two (p-value < 0.01). A complete overview of significant
differences was reported in Figure S7. b) Sunburst plot of taxa showing a significant
enrichment in a given cluster were coloured according to the colour scale showed in panel a. If
a given taxonomy was significantly enriched in more than one cluster, the corresponding
sector of the plot was coloured using a striped pattern (as reported in the legend). Leaves
represent the most specific level at which a given variant has been classified, namely genus
level for 16S rRNA amplicons (Bacteria and Archaea) and species level for ITS-1 region
amplicons (Fungi).

Figure 6: Enrichment analysis of "Gene Ontology" terms associated with detected functions. a) Number of GO terms associated to bacterial function detected in each cluster (cluster 4 was not reported since it was entirely composed of Fungi). b) Venn diagram of GO terms detected in the ASVs of each cluster. Diagram sections in common between two or more clusters were coloured by interpolating colours reported in panel a. c) Median taxon abundance of GO terms (y-axis) in all ASVs detected in each cluster (x-axis). The median taxon abundance was reported using different shades of red—for enriched terms, namely those detected with a higher frequency in respect to the whole population—and blue—for depleted terms, namely those detected with a lower frequency than the rest of the population. The plot was vertically divided according to ASV clusters whereas it was horizontally divided according to venn diagram sections reported in panel b. d) Mean enrichment fold changes associated to each term. Fold-changes were transformed using the logarithmic function (with base equal two) to report enriched and depleted terms symmetrically around the zero.

Tables

Table 1: Mean differences between extrapolated diversity and observed diversity in
each sample type and site. The differences between extrapolated Simpson diversity (Inverse
Simpson index computed for a sequencing depth higher than the observed one) and observed
diversity (Inverse Simpson index computed for a sequencing depth equal to the real
sequencing depth of the sample) was reported using the average value ± the standard error
on the mean for each sample type and site. Good's coverage estimator was also reported using
the same notation used for Simpson diversity. Abbreviations as in Figures 1 and 2.

		Inverse Simpson (ext - obs)	Good's coverage estimator
Sample type			
	MG	0.051 ± 0.040	99.995 ± 0.001
	HG	0.132 ± 0.126	99.997 ± 0.001
	GO	0.085 ± 0.029	99.987 ± 0.005
	GI	0.575 ± 0.230	99.994 ± 0.002
	L	0.185 ± 0.114	99.963 ± 0.007
	S	2.741 ± 0.809	99.993 ± 0.001
	W	0.246 ± 0.066	99.997 ± 0.001
	WD	1.149 ± 0.293	99.992 ± 0.002
Site			
	SH	0.705 ± 0.236	99.987 ± 0.003
	SK	0.502 ± 0.146	99.990 ± 0.002
	TKP	0.240 ± 0.067	99.996 ± 0.001

a

b

L1: Cladosporium
m1: Cordycipitaceae
n1: Crocinitomicaceae
o1: Cryptococcus
p1: Didymellaceae
q1: Dokmaia
r1: Dothideales
s1: Dothideomycetes
t1: Dothioraceae
u1: Engyodontium
v1: Enterobacterales

a1: Alteromonadales
b1: Amphisphaeriaceae
c1: Arcobacteraceae
d1: Botryosphaeriaceae
e1: Botryosphaeriales
f1: Campilobacterota
g1: Campylobacterales
h1: Campylobacteria
i1: Capnodiales
j1: Chitinophagaceae
k1: Chitinophagales

w1: Entomoplasmatales
x1: Eurotiales
y1: Eurotiomycetes
z1: Flavobacteriaceae
a2: Gibellulopsis
b2: Glomerella
c2: Glomerellaceae
d2: Gracilibacteria
e2: Hypocreales
f2: Ilumatobacteraceae
g2: Lactobacillales

h2: Leptosphaerulina
i2: Malassezia
j2: Micrococcales
k2: Microtrichales
l2: Moraxellaceae
m2: Mycoplasmataceae
n2: Mycosphaerellaceae
o2: Nectriaceae
p2: Patescibacteria
q2: Penicillium
r2: Plectosphaerellaceae

s2: Pleosporales
t2: Prolixibacteraceae
u2: Propionibacteriaceae
v2: Pseudomonadales
w2: Saccharimonadaceae
x2: Saccharimonadales
y2: Saccharimonadia
z2: Shewanellaceae
a3: Sordariomycetes
b3: Streptococcaceae
c3: Tremellaceae

d3: Tremellales
e3: Tremellomycetes
f3: Trichocomaceae
g3: Weeksellaceae
h3: Xanthobacteraceae
i3: Xylariales

Clusters
1
2
3
4
1&2
2&4
3&4
n.s.

a

Cluster 3
Cluster 2
Cluster 1

N° of GO terms

b

Cluster 1
218
52 | 73
37
88 | 68 | 223
Cluster 2 | Cluster 3

c

median taxon abundance (log₁₀)

Depleted | Enriched GO terms

Enriched ASVs

d

Fold−change enrichment (log2)