Organ-specific microbiota enhances the terrestrial lifestyle of a brachyuran
crab

Authors: Giovanni Bacci[1]*, Sara Fratini[1]*, Niccolò Meriggi[1]*, Christine L. Y. Cheng[2], Ka Hei Ng[2],
Alessio Iannucci[1], Alessio Mengoni[1], Duccio Cavalieri[1]†, Stefano Cannicci[1,2]†

Affiliations:
[1] Department of Biology, University of Florence, Sesto Fiorentino, IT50019, Italy

[2] The Swire Institute of Marine Science and the Division of Ecology and Biodiversity, The
University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

* These authors contributed equally to this work

† Corresponding author. Email: cannicci@hku.hk (S.C.); duccio.cavalieri@unifi.it (D.C.)

Abstract

The transition to terrestrial environments has occurred repeatedly and at different geological

times in arthropods, but almost no information is available about the role of symbiotic

microbiota in such process. Here we investigated the associated microbiota of a terrestrial

brachyuran crab, *Chiromantes haematocheir*, using a targeted metagenomic approach.

Bacterial 16S rRNA gene and fungal ITS sequences were obtained from selected crab organs

and environmental matrices to profile microbial communities. We found stable and organ-

specific communities of microorganisms associated to the gut and the gills of the crabs, the

former involved in the digestion of vascular plant tissues. These communities were mainly

composed by prokaryotic organisms and significantly differed from the fungi-dominated ones

present in the environment. Our results suggest that the establishment of a specific, stable

microbiota may be crucial to drive evolutionary transitions, as colonization of terrestrial

environments.

Introduction

The evolution of a terrestrial lifestyle independently occurred multiple times across and within most of the metazoan phyla (*1–4*). Since the marine and terrestrial realms are ecologically very different, these several transitions involved profound morphological, physiological and behavioural adaptations, often converging towards similar structures and metabolic pathways (*1, 4*). Among land adapted phyla, Arthropoda contribute the most to species diversity, which is the evolutionary outcome of a series of independent land invasions started in the Ordovician and still in progress at present (*4, 5*). Indeed, insects and arachnids represent the most successful arthropods on land, in terms of biomass, functional roles and diversity, but crustaceans are also well represented. This largely marine group shows many examples of semi-terrestrial and terrestrial forms, mostly represented by Amphipoda, Isopoda and Decapoda, the latter including the largest extant terrestrial arthropod of the world, the iconic coconut crab *Birgus latro* (*6*).

In chronological order, the true crabs (Decapoda; Brachyura) were among the last groups of arthropods to perform the sea-land transition (*5*), but they have been particularly successful with more than twenty phylogenetically unrelated families that include species with intertidal, semiterrestrial and truly terrestrial lifestyles (*5, 7*). Adaptations to terrestrial environments involved several morphological and physiological changes in sensory, locomotory, respiratory, excretory, osmoregulatory, digestive and reproductive systems (*8, 9, 18, 10–17*). Interestingly, brachyuran gills have not proved to be fully functional for respiration in terrestrial environment (*1*) and are involved in osmotic regulation, urine dilution, nitrogen excretion and ion-regulation in most terrestrially adapted families (*10, 19, 20*). Other essential adaptations include herbivory and immune system recognition of potentially fungal pathogens, which are rare in marine environment but abundant on land,

where they are commonly known pathogens of terrestrial arthropods, including

semiterrestrial mangrove crabs (*21, 22*).

Due to the relatively high diversity of terrestrial forms, when related to their recent history of

attempts to conquer the land, brachyurans have been considered an excellent animal model

for the study of the evolution of terrestrial adaptations (*2, 5, 8, 23*). They are, however, rarely

surveyed in studies investigating the involvement of commensal and symbiotic microbiota in

such a dramatic transition. Indeed, metazoans groups have adapted to different habitats also

by creating mutualistic and symbiotic relationships with the microorganisms that inhabit

their tissues and organs (*24*). These relationships can drive the evolution and Darwinian

selection of both sides, as predicted by the hologenome concept of evolution (*25–27*).

Within this theoretical frame, we investigated the role of associated microbial communities in

the terrestrial adaptations of a semi-terrestrial brachyuran crab, *Chiromantes haematocheir*, a

common inhabitant of lowland forests of East Asia (*28, 29*). We applied targeted

metagenomics approaches to profile both prokaryotic and fungal communities isolated from

1) organs (i.e., gills, gonads and gut) collected from specimens belonging to different

populations and 2) relevant environmental matrixes (i.e., soil, leaf litter, water and water

debris) sampled in their microhabitats. Our null hypothesis was that microbial composition of

crab organs could show the same microbial signature displayed by the matrices from the

surrounding environments. This would indicate that the crab organs would host bacterial and

fungal populations acquired from the environments without any specific selection and could

be explained by a dynamic "loss and acquire" balance that ultimately would prevent the

development of stable host-microbe associations. Our alternative hypothesis was that crab

organs may show exclusive bacterial and/or fungal communities, in terms of diversity and

composition, when compared to those present in environment. This would indicate, for the

first time for terrestrial brachyurans, a selection process in favour of specific microorganisms

and possibly the evolution of adaptive symbioses able to contribute to the baseline life

processes of this semiterrestrial crab and to drive its adaptations to the terrestrial habitat it

inhabits. Thus, our final aim was to shed light on the possible role of animal-microbiome

symbioses involved in the evolution of adaptive traits coupled with the ongoing transition of

crabs from the sea to terrestrial environments.

Results

Microbial composition of crab's organs and environmental samples

Sequencing produced 25,875,448 sequences for prokaryotic 16S rRNA amplicon and

15,788,842 sequences for the fungal internal transcribed region 1 (ITS1) that were clustered

according to DADA2 pipeline (see Supplementary methods for additional details). After the

clustering pipeline two fungal samples (namely GI_TKP_5 and MG_SH_1) produced no counts

in any of the fungal ASVs and were therefore removed from subsequent analyses. Since

several fungal samples reported a low number of reads in crab's organs, two samples were

replicated and tested for correlation and accuracy to evaluate the presence of fungal cells in

the crabs' organs (Figure S1 and Table S1). Technical replicates showed an average

correlation coefficient (Spearman's rho) between replicates ranging between 0.74 and 0.99,

with an accuracy higher than 75% in all contrasts. These results mean that the low recovery in

biotic samples was not due to technical or analytical issues but corresponded to the scarce

presence of fungal DNA (Figure S2, Table S2 and Supplementary method section).

Single nucleotide clustering detected a total of 56,233 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs)

including both 16S rRNA gene and ITS1 amplicons. The 16S rRNA gene amplicons produced

55,036 ASVs (97.87%), whereas the ITS1 region was clustered into 1,197 ASVs (2.13%). Most

variants were assigned to the Bacteria domain (54,800 ASVs, 97.45% of the total ASVs

detected) and Fungi kingdom, even if a sporadic presence of Archaea was found (236 ASVs,

0.42% of the total ASVS detected). In general, microbial diversity was higher in the

environmental samples than in crab's organs, except for the gills where we found a high

diversity of 16S rRNA amplicons (Figure 2a and b, Table S3). The fungal diversity was low in

all crab's organs, whereas environmental samples were characterised by a highly diverse

fungal assemblage, with soil, water, and water debris reporting the highest diversities (Figure

2c & d). For each sample category and at each site, the difference between extrapolated

diversity—namely the inverse Simpson index calculated by simulating a higher sequencing

depth than the observed depth for each sample—and observed diversity was really low

(Table 1), meaning that our sampling effort was effective in assessing the natural microbial

diversity for each category. Even the Good's coverage estimator was higher than 99.9% in all

sample types highlighting that only 1‰ of clustered sequences came from ASVs detected only

once in the whole dataset (Table 1 and Table S3). Microbial diversity was rather uniform

across sampling sites, except for To Kwa Peng (TKP), which showed a significantly lower

diversity with respect to the other sites in terms of ITS1 data (Figure S3).

Microbial distribution across sample types

The multidimensional ordination showed that both environmental samples and crab's organs

contributed to shape microbial community distribution, but their effect varied according to

both the amplicon type and the category of sample considered (Figure 3 and S4). In terms of

composition, the microbial communities found in the environmental samples overlapped with

each other more than the ones characteristic of the crab's organs (Figure 3a). The PCoA built

on 16S rRNA gene amplicons (Bacteria and Archaea) showed that soil (S) and litter (L), and

water (W) and water debris (WD), respectively, formed two distinct clusters, although

differences in microbial communities found across the three Hong Kong sites increased the

intra-cluster variability (Figure S4). On the other hand, the same 16S rRNA amplicon dataset

showed a clear separation across crab's organs, with a very limited influence of the collection

sites (Figure 3 and S4). The gut's microbiome composition was similar across the two

sampled sections (mid- and hindgut), while the gills and gonads sharply separated from each

other and the gut itself. These significant differences were highlighted also by the

permutational analysis of variance (Figure 3b, Table S4). With respect to 16S rRNA data,

fungal distribution produced more overlap, especially across crab's organs, with the gonads

being the only organ to show significant differences when compared to the other organs

(Figure 3b, Table S4).

Both environmental samples and crab's organs possessed a large set of microbial species

which were unique to the category considered (Figure S5). As already mentioned, sampling

sites also contributed to shape microbial communities, but they predominantly affected

environmental samples distribution and showed a low effect on crab's organs (Figure S4a and

b, Figure S5a and c). In addition, the community composition of both prokaryotic and fungal

communities resulted to be more variable and dispersed at site level than at organ level

(Figures S4 and S6, Table S5), showing a higher specificity of such assemblages within the

different organs and across sites. The microbiota found on the gills appeared to be less organ-

specific and shared a consistent portion of their microbiomes with soil and water, as well as

gut samples (Figure S5b and d).

Defining characteristic patterns across sample types

To inspect microbial distribution in different sample categories, we performed log-likelihood

ratio test on both prokaryotic and fungal communities using DESeq2. We found 250 ASVs

(218 bacteria and 32 fungi) reporting a different distribution across crab organs and/or

environmental samples (Figure 4 and Table S6). Even if significant ASVs corresponded to

0.45% of the ASVs profiled in the whole community (250 on 55819), they accounted for more

than 50% of the total microbial abundance (with a mean in each sample of 56.5% and a

standard error of 2.10%) reflecting the presence of many rare and sporadic species

throughout sample types and sites. Divisive analysis of hierarchical clustering obtained using

variance-stabilized counts produced four distinct clusters, which show a peculiar pattern of

abundance of ASVs clearly linked to the ecological and biological settings of the study. Indeed,

clusters 1 and 2 (Figures 4 and S7) were composed by microbial assemblages highly

represented in the crab's organs, while the other two clusters, (namely, cluster 3 and 4)

represented the microorganisms mostly found in the environmental samples (Figures 4 and

S7). The clusters representing the microorganisms more abundant in the crab's organs were

represented by bacterial ASVs only and were split into two groups, formed by the bacteria of

the gut (cluster 1) and of the gills (cluster 2), respectively, with the latter being the smaller

one.

Taxonomic and functional enrichments in crab's organs and environmental
samples

An exploration of the distribution of the scaled variance-stabilized counts within each sample

group is shown in Figure 5a. Pairwise Wilkoxon test revealed that the ASVs present in the

cluster 1 were significant enriched in the gut, while ASVs included in cluster 2 were

significantly enriched in the gills (Figure 5a). Clusters 3 and 4 were significantly related to

environmental matrices, cluster 3 to the water and water debris samples, while cluster 4 with

litter and soil samples (Figure 5a).

Enrichment analysis estimated using $\log_2$ fold-changes allowed to assign a fair number of

ASVs to a specific taxon present in the previously described clusters (Figure 5).

Proteobacteria was the most represented phylum of the whole 16S dataset and comprised

ASVs belonging to clusters 1, 2 and 3 (Figure 5). The results of this analysis are displayed in

the sunburst plot of Figure 5b, which shows that some taxa were enriched in one or more

clusters. Significantly enriched taxa were reported from the highest taxonomic rank

considered (namely the domain level, the centre of the plot) to the lowest available taxonomic

level (namely genus, for bacteria/archaea and species for fungi) and were hierarchically

ordered. The intestinal cluster (i.e., cluster 1) was enriched in members of the Firmicutes

phylum, more specifically members of Bacilli class including *Candidatus Hepatoplasma*,

Candidatus Bacilloplasma, *Lactovum* and *Lactococcus* (Figure 5b). Members of the phylum

Bacteroidota and some taxa related to Protebacteria and Actinobacteriota were enriched in

both clusters 1 and 2. Within the phylum Bacteroidota, genera *Roseimarinus* and *Niabella*

were associated with cluster 1, *Fluviicola* and *Moheibacter* were related to cluster 2, and

Leadbetterella was shared between both clusters (Figure 5b). Other clear associations were

highlighted, with cluster 3 mainly associated with Proteobacteria and Ascomycota, while

cluster 2 mainly related to Actinobacteriota. The genera of these latter phyla are not

exclusively associated to a single cluster and are present in multiple clusters. Cluster 4

showed a taxonomic assignment totally related to the Fungi kingdom, in particular to the

phylum Ascomycota.

Functional profiling obtained from 16S rRNA gene amplicons confirmed that the conserved

bacterial structures associated with crab's organs are not just composed by a defined set of

taxa but also by a defined set of molecular functions (Figure 6a). Clusters of bacterial variants

were mainly enriched/depleted by a unique set of molecular functions that did not report the

same effect in ASVs from other clusters. The inferred genomic content of variants detected in

crab's gut (Cluster 1) was enriched by 359 GO terms and depleted by 21, with more than a half

(218 terms, 18 depleted and 200 enriched, Table S8) peculiar only to this cluster. Molecular

functions, as cellullases and xylanases, commonly associated to commensal microorganisms

present in the gut of model herbivorous arthropods, were not enriched in the gut microbiome

of the crab (Table S9). The gills (Cluster 2) had a population of bacteria enriched by 231 GO

terms and depleted by 14 terms, with roughly one third (88 terms, 12 depleted and 76

enriched) significantly found only in these organs. Functions associated to biofilm formation

such as cellulose synthase (*30, 31*) were enriched only in this cluster (GO:0016760), whereas

functions associated to the nitrogen cycle - such as nitric- and nitrous-oxide reductase activity

- were shared between the gills and the environmental cluster 3. The latter - mainly composed

of ASVs mostly found in water and water debris samples - had the largest set of GO terms (401

terms, 22 depleted and 379 enriched) with more than a half (223 terms, 19 depleted and 204

enriched) exclusively present in the inferred genome of ASVs highly abundant in that cluster.

Only 4.87% of the total GO terms was significantly enriched in all clusters (37 terms out of

759, with no terms significantly depleted), indicating a functional role of microbial

communities both in crab's organs and in environmental samples (Figure 6b and c, and Table

S8). Amplicon sequence variants significantly enriched in crab's gut and gills shared more

functions (GO terms) with the environmental cluster 3 (water and water debris) than

between themselves. In particular, 73 and 68 functions (with only 2 and 1 depleted terms)

were shared between the gut and the gills, respectively, and the ASVs detected in the

environmental cluster 3 (Figure 6b, Table S8). Considering the total number of terms

significantly enriched/depleted, the gills were the organs more impacted by the environment

with roughly one third (27.8%) of molecular functions shared with cluster 3. In contrast, the

more populated organ, the gut, shared only 19.2% of the total number of functions.

Discussion

Our results show a dramatic difference between the microbiome of the semi-terrestrial

brachyuran crab *C. haematocheir*, which represents one of the most recent attempts of land

colonisation by an arthropod, and the surrounding environmental microbiome. This

difference is more pronounced for the bacterial component than for the fungal one. Further,

the crab microbiome analysis shows the presence of consistent organ-specific microbial

communities. The degree of specificity of the taxonomic and functional microbial signatures

we found at organ level can be explained by the adaptive evolution of symbiotic host-microbe

associations, which now represent a single biological unit under selection from the recently

colonised terrestrial habitat, i.e., a holobiont (*sensu 32*). Temporary host-microbiota

associations can be created, retained or lost over time, due to the large number of organisms-

microbe interactions. Thus, a crucial verification needed to define the holobiont as a single

selection unit is to define the degree of specificity of the microbial signature of an organism.

Our sampling design and analytical approach were able to define stable, organ-specific

microbiota in both the gut and gills of individuals belonging to different populations,

suggesting a tight and persistent association between those prokaryotic communities and *C.*

haematocheir. The organ specificity is supported by the observation that such microbiota

were consistently dissimilar among the different organs but their composition did not

significantly change across sampling sites, where local microbial assemblages proved to be

site specific and different from the ones associated with the crabs. The most enriched

microorganisms in the gut microbiota of our model species are shared with terrestrial

isopods, which moved to the land roughly 300 million years ago, and are supposed to play a

central role in their adaptation to a diet based on vascular plant tissues (*33*). One of the

general assumptions of the hologenome concept, however, is that both the host genome and

the genetic information of the associated microbiome are transferred from one generation to

the next. This postulation underlies the critical role of mothers in transferring

microorganisms to their offspring (*34*), a challenging process for our model organism that has

highly dispersive planktonic larval stages followed by a recruitment phase. Another crucial

result is that fungal communities are exclusive to the environmental matrices while almost

absent in *C. haematocheir* organs. This suggests a specific selection process that favours

bacteria versus fungi, possibly supported by selection against yeasts and fungi since several

fungi are known pathogens for arthropods and crabs (*21, 35*). To the best of our knowledge,

the present integrated set of results support the novel idea that semi-terrestrial crabs, only

recently migrated onto the land, are associated with microbial communities that play specific

functional roles in response to the dramatic environmental pressures posed by the sea-to-

land transition. The next questions are where these microbes were acquired and where are

their reservoirs?

In the last decades there has been a proliferation of experimental studies supporting the view

of holobionts (hosts and their associated microbiota) as units of selection (*36*). These studies

showed how associated microbes have a central role in the host biology, ecology, and

evolution, since microbiota are genetically more dynamic and can change more rapidly than

the host genome in response to environmental pressures (*32*, *37*). Undeniably, stable

symbiotic insect-microbe interactions have been extensively investigated and proved to be

crucial for the evolution of many of the several lifestyles of insects (*38*, *39*). Studies on insects,

however, cannot shed light on the importance of such associations for the first steps towards

land colonisation, which was carried out by insects as far as the Ordovician (*4*). To bridge this

knowledge gap, in this study we focused on a semi-terrestrial brachyuran crab that, from a

paleontological point of view, just recently had to face the dramatic challenges represented by

excretion, breathing and digestion in terrestrial habitats (for a review see *8*).

The digestive system of brachyuran crabs comprises different tracts according to their

embryological origin and functional role. We intentionally focused on the midgut, where

central digestion and absorption occur, and the hindgut, which has a role in water and ion

transport (*8*, *16*). In contrast with the intestinal bacterial communities found in the

freshwater Chinese mitten crab, *Eriocheir sinensis* (*40*), and notwithstanding the different

functions, the selected intestinal tracts of *C. haematocheir* were homogeneous in terms of

microbial communities, suggesting, at least for this terrestrial crab species, a similar role of

such traits.

Host-associated microbiota are known to play a crucial role in the digestive process of many

terrestrial arthropods (*41*). Thus, it is not surprising that the highly diverse microbial

community found in *C. haematocheir* gut is clearly distinguished from all the other internal

and environmental assemblages. This semi-terrestrial species mainly relies on leaf litter and

integrates its diet with small arthropod preys (*28*, *29*). The ability to cope with difficult-to-

digest vascular plant compounds (i.e., cellulose, lignin, and polyphenols) has been brought

forward as a fundamental trait in the adaptive processes related to terrestrialisation (*16*, *42*,

43). The termites' ability to degrade lignocellulose is classical example of high gut microbiome

specialization (*41*). Conversely to the termites' gut microbiome, however, the bacterial

assemblages inhabiting the gut of *C. haematocheir* were not enriched in known functions that

would help the host to selectively digest cellulose products. Indeed, no gene with cellulase

activity were enriched in the gut, possibly reflecting a more variable diet of these crabs, not

strictly specialized on plant material digestion (*28*). The gut microbiome shared limited

similarities with the gut core microbiome of a marine predatory brachyuran, the

commercially important mud crab *Scylla paramamosain* (*44*). Core Proteobacteria shared by

S. paramamosain and *C. haematocheir* include only *Shewanella*, while Firmicutes include

Lactococcus, Candidatus Hepatoplasma and *Candidatus_Bacilloplasma* (hereafter

Hepatoplasma and *Bacilloplasma*). *Hepatoplasma,* and *Bacilloplasma* are rare in marine

environments, while they were previously described as colonizers of the hepatopancreas of

the terrestrial isopod *Porcellio scaber* (*33*, *45*). *Hepatoplasma* was detected in *P. scaber*

specimens collected from very different geographical areas (Germany and western Canada),

proving the strong host-microbe association (*45*, *46*)., Other bacterial genera found in our

intestinal cluster (such as *Niabella*, *Paracoccus* and *Shewanella*) were also isolated from

terrestrial isopods (*42*). Of particular interest is also the presence of *Lactococcus*, which is a

genus of lactic acid bacteria known as homofermenters, producing a single product, lactic

acid, as the main, or only product of glucose fermentation. Lactic acid has a selective role since

it lowers the pH and selects the environmental microbes that can potentially thrive in the gut.

These microorganisms, common in the dairy industry, are also known to colonize the gut of

termites, *Lactococcus nasutitermitis* (*47*)and do not include marine species, although they are

occasionally described as pathogens of aquacultured species (*48*, *49*). Another microorganism

we found in the intestinal cluster that has never been described in the marine environment,

thus suggesting acquisition from terrestrial microorganisms, is *Lactovum*, a genus of bacteria

within the family Streptococcaceae. The genus includes a single species, *Lactovum miscens*, an

aerotolerant, anaerobic species originally isolated from soil of the Stiegerwald forest in

Germany (*50*). In addition, the intestinal cluster was enriched in *Erwiniaceae*, a family that

includes insect's symbionts such as *Buchnera aphidicola* (*51*). The gut microbiota we found in

the mid- and hindgut of *C. haematocheir* then shared similarities with the gut microbiota of

other terrestrial crustaceans and insects, suggesting the presence of functions/preferences of

those taxa that could help arthropods digestive systems, a hypothesis that deserves further

functional investigations.

The possibilities of a vertical transmission vs. acquisition from the environment of this

specialized gut microbiota deserves a deeper discussion and further experiments. First, land

and terrestrial crabs are neither social or gregarious and only few of them show some basic

degrees of parental care (*17*, *52*). Second, *C. haematocheir*, as well as the most land-adapted

family of brachyuran crabs, the Gecarcinidae, still retain an indirect development strategy and

release planktonic larvae in coastal waters. Consequently, *C. haematoicher*, as well as the land

crabs with planktonic larvae in general, may have developed intimate gut-specific symbiotic

associations only through pseudo-vertical transmission (sensu *53*), i.e., by acquiring and

selecting their gut microbiota directly from food or, most probably, through consumption of

adults' faeces (coprophagy) found in or around their burrows. The megalopae of various

terrestrial and land crabs are known to specifically recruit at their spawning grounds, as

showed by the iconic mass recruitment of the Christmas Island red crab *Gecarcoidea natalis*

(*54*), or in areas where they can chemically detect adult populations, such as the case of the

swamp ghost crab *Ucides cordatus* (*55*). In some species, this selective recruitment towards

populations of conspecific adults ultimately results in the occurrence of juveniles and sub-

adults within secondary branches excavated along the burrows of adult crabs (*56–58*). Indeed,

these behaviours maximise the chances of finding adult populations and, consequently, a

successful pseudo-vertical transmission of microbiota through coprophagy. Further

metagenomic analyses on faeces are needed to clarify this point.

The gonads host a low microbial diversity with respect to the other organs and environmental

matrices we analysed, as expected for an internal organ in no direct contact with the

environment and not morphologically connected to the gut and the gills. Their microbial

community, moreover, is shared with both the other organs and the environment,

determining the absence of a specific taxonomic and functional cluster associated with them.

Microorganisms are known to be associated with the reproductive system of arthropods, in

both insects (*59*) and crustaceans (*60*). Few studies, however, have specifically characterised

these gonad-associated microbiota and most of them were focused on specific pathogens of

sexually transmitted infections or reproductive parasites, with the most notable example

being *Wolbachia* (*61*). When present, the vertical transmission of specific microbiota during

oogenesis or at birth is known to determine a colonization of the gonads that ultimately

affects the microbial composition of the offspring (*60*). In this view, the absence of an

exclusive microbiota in *C. haematoicher* gonads can be explained, as for the gut microbiota, by

the lack of vertical transmission through the parental-offspring pathways, as a result of the

presence of planktonic stages.

Although protected in the gill chambers, *C. haematocheir* gills are in direct communication

with the external environment, but they do show a unique resident microbiota, which is

different from the microbial communities detected in the environmental matrices. These gill-

associated microbial assemblages are only enriched in prokaryotes, unlike the soil/litter and

the water communities, which are composed almost entirely by fungi and a mix of

Proteobacteria and fungi, respectively. The prokaryotic cluster associated with the gills is

characterised by a strong uniformity in terms of both taxonomy and functions. Actinobacteria

associated to the gills include Microbacteriacea and *Illumatobacter*, which are known to play

an important role in marine organisms by producing bioactive compounds crucial in the

defence against pathogens (*62*). Some of the bacteria detected on the gills of *C. haematocheir*,

such as *Ilumatobacter* and *Albimonas*, were also found in the gills of the Chinese mitten crab

Eriocheir sinensis (*40*), but at this stage their metabolic functions are not clear. In contrast to

the gut, genes associated with cellulose synthase activity were found in the microbiota

associated with these organs (GO:0016760). This activity is typical of biofilm-forming bacteria

that use cellulose both as a physical barrier against armful molecules such as antibiotics but

also biocides and metallic cations, and as a molecular glue to help their interaction with the

host (*31*). Since the gills of *C. haematocheir* are exposed to external perturbations, the

presence of biofilm-forming functions may help to boost the resilience of bacteria stabilizing

host-microbiome interactions. Genes related to the reduction of nitric compounds (namely:

nitric oxide reductase activity, GO:0016966, and nitrous-oxide reductase activity,

GO:0050304) were enriched in both the gills and environmental water and water debris

samples. Besides their role in the anaerobic metabolism of nitrogen, these functions are

involved into pathogenesis and antibiotic resistance in bacteria (*63*) and may help tissue

colonization. In addition, the covalent incorporation of a nitric oxide molecule (nitrosylation)

of cytochrome c and quinol oxidases inhibits cellular respiration acting as an antimicrobial

molecule. We speculate that this mechanism may be used by bacteria inhabiting the gills of *C.*

haematocheir as a possible molecular defence against external pathogens helping the crab,

and themselves, to thrive in different terrestrial environments.

Our results show that fungi are strongly depleted in all crab's organs and that gills should be

considered an efficient selective filter between the environment and the host. In our opinion,

the substantial absence of fungal associations can be explained by different hypotheses that

all converge on the existence of defences from potential pathogens. We may hypothesize that

some physiological and anatomical characteristics of specific organs, such as gut and gills, may

inhibit adhesion and development of fungi. In insects, for instance, physiochemical

characteristics of the cuticle, or feeding habits, can counteract the entomopathogenic fungi

cuticular adhesion, germination of spores and hyphal growth (*64*). Moreover, prokaryotes-

fungi direct competition through bacterial production of antifungal molecules may limit the

growth of the latter. Events of fungal exclusion by direct competition mediated by symbiotic

bacteria have been extensively described in beetles (*65*). *Streptomyces* sp. SPB74, symbiont of

the beetle *Dendroctonus frontalis*, produces an active compound, mycangimycin, which

specifically inhibits antagonist fungi without acting on the mutual ones (*65*). This protective

role may be extended to the offspring. Antifungal molecules produced by the symbiotic

bacterium *Burkholderia gladioli* are known to protect the eggs of the beetle *Lagria villosa*

against pathogenic fungi (*35, 66*). Pathogenic fungi have been reported in intertidal and

terrestrial crabs, such as the case of the swamp ghost crab, *U. cordatus*, infected by species of

black yeast, *Exophiala cancerae*, and *Fonsecaea brasiliensis*, which cause a condition called

"lethargic crab disease" (*21, 22*). The role of prokaryotic associations in the defence against

pathogenic fungi can be of critical importance for terrestrial crabs, since the immune

molecules of crustaceans are less efficient than the ones of insect against fungal infections

(*67*).

In conclusion, this is the first attempt to ascertain the role of host-microbiome associations in

the transition to land of brachyuran crabs, the most recent arthropod taxa to perform such an

evolutionary leap. Using a targeted metagenomic approach, we demonstrated persistent

organ-specific crab-microbiome associations which help a semi-terrestrial crab coping with

novel environmental challenges posed by its recently occupied habitats, i.e., the lowland

forests or East Asia. We found clear differentiations among the microbiota associated with

different crab's organs and the ones found in the environmental matrices, suggesting a

selective acquisition of the microbiota through "gain" and "loss" mechanisms. The differences

found among the organ-related and environmental clusters were not merely taxonomical

since the different clusters harbour different metabolic profiles. These results corroborate the

hypothesis that the recorded differences can be due to the presence of metabolic

complementation mechanisms that took place in those organs mostly impacted by the

challenges posed by the terrestrial environment. Our data also show possible evolutionary

convergences towards a uniform 'terrestrial intestinal microbiota' across different lineages of

arthropods, suggesting the presence of bacterial associations linked to terrestrial life. The

present study strongly supports the hypothesis that semi- and terrestrial crabs are an

appropriate model system to study the evolution of arthropod-microbe interaction under the

selective pressures posed by the sea-land transition, which is happing right now in Brachyura

(*23*).

Material and methods

Study species

Chiromantes haematocheir (Decapoda; Brachyura; Sesarmidae) is a semi-terrestrial crab colonising the coastal vegetated areas from Taiwan to South East Asia (*28, 68*). In Hong Kong, it forms large populations in areas of lowland secondary forest adjacent to mangroves and in pockets of riverine forests, where it was also observed climbing trees. Very little is known about its ecology, apart from the fact that it digs deep burrows and, as in many sesarmids, it releases pelagic larvae into the ocean. This species was selected because it is the most land adapted among the Hong Kong brachyuran crabs.

Sample collection and total DNA extraction

We selected three large populations of *C. haematocheir* that colonised distant catchments across the Hong Kong territory. The selected populations were sampled at Shui Hau (SH, Southern Lantau Island), To Kwa Peng (TKP, Eastern coast of Sai Kung Country Park, New Territories), and Sai Keng (SK, Three Fathoms Cove, Tolo Harbour New Territories). From each site, eleven sexual mature adult crabs (carapace width range between 13.4 mm and 32.2 mm) were collected in October 2018. To explore differences in microbial community composition across the various crab's organs and the surrounding environment, we collected and analysed four organ samples (gills, hindgut, midgut and gonads) and four environmental matrices (sediment, leaf litter, freshwater and freshwater debris). Due to the intensive sampling, all environmental samples and alive crabs were immediately frozen and subsequently transported to the laboratories of the Division of Ecology and Biodiversity (The University of Hong Kong). The dissections were then performed under sterile conditions. All dissection instruments were sterilized over an open flame to eliminate residual DNA and washed with 75% EtOH to prevent cross-contamination. After removing the carapace, gills, gonads, hindgut and midgut from each crab were excised under a stereomicroscope and

stored at -20°C in RNAlater (Thermo Fisher Scientific) stabilization solution until DNA

extraction.

Total DNA extraction from crab organs, sediment, leaf litter and freshwater debris was

performed using the DNeasy PowerLyzer PowerSoil Kit (QIAGEN) following manufacturer's

protocol. Total DNA extraction from water samples was performed using the DNeasy

PowerWater Kit (QIAGEN) following manufacturer's protocol, after having filtered 100ml of

freshwater through 0.2μm Thermo Scientific™ Nalgene™ Sterile Analytical Filter Units

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Extracted DNA samples were stored at -20°C. Before the DNA

library's preparation, DNAs were quantified fluorometrically by using Qubit dsDNA HS Assay

Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

16S (V3-V4) rRNA gene amplification and sequencing

The preparation and sequencing of the 16S library were performed at Laboratory of

Advanced Genomics, Department of Biology, University of Florence (Firenze, Italy). PCR

amplifications of the bacterial V3-V4 16S rRNA gene fragments were performed using KAPA

HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Roche) and the primer pair 341F (5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3) and

805R (5'-GACTACNVGGGTWTCTAATCC-3') (*69*) with overhang Illumina adapters. 16S

amplicon PCR protocol was set on 25 μl of final volume. In detail for each reaction, 12.5 μl of

2× KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Roche), 10 μl of 1μM forward and reverse primers and

2.5 μl of template DNA (5–20 ng/μl) were combined (PCR cycling conditions are reported in

Supplementary materials). Amplicon PCR reaction was performed using the GeneAmp PCR

System 2700 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the following cycling conditions: denaturation

step at 95 °C for 3 minutes; 35 (gonads, water and water debris) and 25 (hindgut, midgut,

gills, soil and litter) cycles: at 95 °C for 30 seconds, 55 °C for 30 seconds, 72 °C for 30 seconds;

final extension step at 72 °C for 5 minutes. All PCR products were checked through

electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel and then purified using KAPA Pure Beads (Roche)

following the manufacturer's instructions. To apply the Illumina adapters sequencing

indexing using Nextera XT Index Kit V2 (Illumina), a second PCR amplification was then

performed by preparing a reaction mix in accordance with the Illumina 16S metagenomic

library preparation protocol (70). An indexing step was made for all samples by seven PCR

cycles. Amplicon products from indexing PCR were purified using KAPA Pure Beads (Roche)

and their quality check was performed using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies)

with Agilent DNA 1000 Kit (Agilent Technologies). Subsequently, concentration check was

performed by Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Finally, the barcoded

libraries were balanced and pooled at equimolar concentration, before being sequenced on an

Illumina MiSeq (PE300) platform (MiSeq Control Software 2.6.2.1).

ITS1 rDNA region sequencing

ITS1 library preparation and sequencing were performed at the Research and Innovation

Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach (FEM) (S. Michele all'Adige, Trento, Italy). Fungal ITS1

fragments were amplified by PCR using the FastStart High Fidelity PCR System (Roche) for

environment matrixes and the Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) for animal

matrixes following the manufacturer instructions using the primers ITS1F (5'-

CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-3') (71) and ITS2 (5'-GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC-3') (72) with

overhang Illumina adapters. ITS1 PCRs were performed in 25 µl of final volume. In detail for

each reaction, 2ul of 10uM forward and reverse primers were used in combination with 1 µl

of template DNA (5-20 ng/ul). Amplicon PCR reaction was performed using the GeneAmp PCR

System 9700 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the following cycling conditions: denaturation

step at 95 °C for 3 minutes; 25 (litter) and 33 (all other samples) cycles: at 95 °C for 20

seconds, 50 °C for 45 seconds, 72 °C for 90 seconds; final extension step at 72 °C for 10

minutes. All PCR products were checked on 1.5% agarose gel and purified using the CleanNGS

kit (CleanNA, the Netherlands) following the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently a

second PCR was performed to apply the Illumina sequencing adapters Nextera XT Index

Primer (Illumina). An indexing step was made for all samples by seven PCR cycles. After

Indexing PCR amplicon libraries were purified using the CleanNGS kit (CleanNA, the

Netherlands), and the quality control was performed on a Typestation 2200 platform (Agilent

Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Afterwards all barcoded libraries were mixed at

equimolar concentration, quantified by qPCR Kapa Library quantification kit (Roche) and

sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq (PE300) platform (MiSeq Control Software 2.5.0.5 and Real-

Time Analysis software 1.18.54.0).

Amplicon sequence variant inference

The DADA2 pipeline version 1.14.1 (*73*) was used to infer amplicon sequence variants (ASVs)

from raw sequences. Primers used for PCR amplification were removed using cutadapt

version 1.15 (*74*) in paired-end mode. If a primer was not found, the sequence was discarded

together with its mate to reduce possible contamination. For ITS amplicon sequences reads

containing both the forward and reverse primers were considered valid only if concordant

(one of the two primers must be present but reverse and complemented) with a cut-off length

of 70bp. Low quality reads were discarded using the "filterAndTrim" function with an

expected error threshold of 2 for both forward and reverse read pairs (namely only reads

with more than 2 expected errors were removed). Denoising was performed using the "dada"

function after error rate modelling ("learnErrors" function). Denoised reads were merged

discarding those with any mismatches and/or an overlap length shorter than 20bp

("mergePairs" function). Chimeric sequences were removed using the

"removeBimeraDenovo" function whereas taxonomical classification was performed using

DECIPHER package version 2.14.0 against the latest version of the pre-formatted Silva small-

subunit reference database (*75*) (SSU version 138 available at:

http://www2.decipher.codes/Downloads.html) and the Warcup database for fungal ITS1

(*76*). All variants not classified as Bacteria, Archaea or Fungi were removed together with

sequences classified as chloroplasts or mitochondria (16S rRNA sequences only). Additional

information on the sequence variant inference pipeline used were reported in Supplementary

Materials.

Inferring functional content of amplicon variants

The genome content of bacterial ASVs was inferred using PICRUSt2 pipeline (*77*). Enzyme

Commission Numbers (EC numbers) were converted into Gene Ontology terms (GO terms)

using the mapping file available at: http://www.geneontology.org/external2go/ec2go. Gene

abundance was retrieved using the "--strtified" option to report gene abundances at species

level (ASVs. Additional information about functional content inference was reported in

Supplementary Material.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in the R environment (version 3.6). Briefly, alpha- and

beta-diversity analyses were conducted using the vegan package version 2.5 (*78*) in

combination with the iNEXT package version 2.0 (*79*). Normalization and differential

abundance analyses were performed with DESeq2 version 1.28 (*80*) whereas enrichment

analysis of taxa and functions was performed using hypergeometric test ("phyper" function of

R stats package). For additional details about tests data manipulation see Supplementary

Materials.

References

1.   C. Little, *The Terrestrial Invasion. An Ecophysiological Approach to the Origins of Land*
Animals (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990).

2.   C. Little, *The Colonisation of Land. Origins and Adaptations of Terrestrial Animals*
(Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

3.   D. J. Randall, W. W. Burggren, A. P. Farrell, M. S. Haswell, *The Evolution of Air Breathing*
in Vertebrates (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

4. J. Lozano-Fernandez, R. Carton, A. R. Tanner, M. N. Puttick, M. Blaxter, J. Vinther, J.
Olesen, G. Giribet, G. D. Edgecombe, D. Pisani, A molecular palaeobiological exploration
of arthropod terrestrialization. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **371**, 20150133 (2016).

5. F. Giomi, M. Fusi, A. Barausse, B. Mostert, H.-O. Pörtner, S. Cannicci, Improved heat
tolerance in air drives the recurrent evolution of air-breathing. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*
281, 20132927 (2014).

6. M. M. Drew, S. Harzsch, M. Stensmyr, S. Erland, B. S. Hansson, A review of the biology
and ecology of the Robber Crab, Birgus latro (Linnaeus, 1767) (Anomura:
Coenobitidae). *Zool. Anz.* **249**, 45–67 (2010).

7. J. Krieger, P. Braun, N. T. Rivera, C. D. Schubart, C. H. G. Müller, S. Harzsch, Comparative
analyses of olfactory systems in terrestrial crabs (Brachyura): Evidence for aerial
olfaction? *PeerJ.* **3**, e1433 (2015).

8. W. W. Burggren, B. R. McMahon, *Biology of the land crabs* (Cambridge University Press,
Cambridge, 1988).

9. C. A. Farrelly, P. Greenaway, Land crabs with smooth lungs: Grapsidae, Gecarcinidae,
and Sundathelphusidae ultrastructure and vasculature. *J. Morphol.* **215**, 245–260
(1993).

10. C. A. Farrelly, P. Greenaway, Gas Exchange Through the Lungs and Gills in Air-Breathing
Crabs. *J. Exp. Biol.* **187**, 113–130 (1994).

11. F. Paoli, C. S. Wirkner, S. Cannicci, The branchiostegal lung of Uca vocans (Decapoda:
Ocypodidae): Unreported complexity revealed by corrosion casting and MicroCT
techniques. *Arthropod Struct. Dev.* **44**, 622–629 (2015).

12. C. M. Wood, R. G. Boutilier, Osmoregulation, ionic exchange, blood chemistry, and
nitrogenous waste excration in the land crab Cardisoma carnifex: a field and laboratory
study. *Biol. Bull.* **169**, 267–290 (1985).

13. P. Greenaway, in *Biology of land crabs*, W. W. Burggren, B. R. McMahon, Eds. (Cambridge
University Press, New York, ed. 1, 1988), pp. 211–248.

14. S. Cannicci, R. Simoni, F. Giomi, Role of the embryo in crab terrestrialisation: an
ontogenetic approach. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **430**, 121–131 (2011).

15. R. Simoni, F. Giomi, D. Spigoli, H.-O. Pörtner, S. Cannicci, Adaptations to semi-terrestrial
life in embryos of East African mangrove crabs: a comparative approach. *Mar. Biol.* **160**,
2483–2492 (2013).

16. E. S. Lindquist, K. W. Krauss, P. T. Green, D. J. O'Dowd, P. M. Sherman, T. J. Smith III, Land
crabs as key drivers in tropical coastal forest recruitment. *Biol. Rev.* **84**, 203–223
(2009).

17. K. Anger, The conquest of freshwater and land by marine crabs: Adaptations in life-
history patterns and larval bioenergetics. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **193**, 119–145 (1995).

18. S. C. Faria, R. O. Faleiros, F. A. Brayner, L. C. Alves, A. Bianchini, C. Romero, R. C.
Buranelli, F. L. Mantelatto, J. C. McNamara, Macroevolution of thermal tolerance in
intertidal crabs from Neotropical provinces: A phylogenetic comparative evaluation of

critical limits. *Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 3167–3176 (2017).

19.   S. Morris, Neuroendocrine regulation of osmoregulation and the evolution of air-
breathing in decapod crustaceans. *J. Exp. Biol.* **204**, 979–989 (2001).

20.   D. Weihrauch, S. Morris, D. W. Towle, Ammonia excretion in aquatic and terrestrial
crabs. *J. Exp. Biol.* **207**, 4491–504 (2004).

21.   V. A. Vicente, R. Orélis-Ribeiro, M. J. Najafzadeh, J. Sun, R. S. Guerra, S. Miesch, A.
Ostrensky, J. F. Meis, C. H. Klaassen, G. S. de Hoog, W. A. Boeger, Black yeast-like fungi
associated with Lethargic Crab Disease (LCD) in the mangrove-land crab, Ucides
cordatus (Ocypodidae). *Vet. Microbiol.* **158**, 109–122 (2012).

22.   W. A. Boeger, M. R. Pie, A. Ostrensky, L. Patella, Lethargic crab disease: Multidisciplinary
evidence supports a mycotic etiology. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz.* **100**, 161–167 (2005).

23.   S. Cannicci, S. Fratini, N. Meriggi, G. Bacci, A. Iannucci, A. Mengoni, D. Cavalieri, To the
Land and Beyond: Crab Microbiomes as a Paradigm for the Evolution of
Terrestrialization. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 575372 (2020).

24.   L. Margulis, R. Fester, Eds., *Symbiosis as a Source of Evolutionary Innovation. Speciation*
and Morphogenesis (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991).

25.   I. Zilber-Rosenberg, E. Rosenberg, Role of microorganisms in the evolution of animals
and plants: The hologenome theory of evolution. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **32**, 723–735
(2008).

26.   E. Rosenberg, I. Zilber-Rosenberg, The hologenome concept of evolution after 10 years.
Microbiome. **6**, 78 (2018).

27.   E. Rosenberg, O. Koren, L. Reshef, R. Efrony, I. Zilber-Rosenberg, The role of
microorganisms in coral health, disease and evolution. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **5**, 355–362
(2007).

28.   K. F. Abd El-Wakeil, Trophic structure of macro- and meso-invertebrates in Japanese
coniferous forest: Carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes analyses. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **37**,
317–324 (2009).

29.   K. Miyake, K. Ura, S. Chida, Y. Ueda, Y. Baba, T. Kusube, S. Yanai, Guaiacol oxidation
activity of herbivorous land crabs, Chiromantes haematocheir and Chiromantes
dehaani. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **128**, 316–322 (2019).

30.   R. V Augimeri, A. J. Varley, J. L. Strap, Establishing a Role for Bacterial Cellulose in
Environmental Interactions: Lessons Learned from Diverse Biofilm-Producing
Proteobacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* **6**, 1282 (2015).

31.   H.-C. Flemming, J. Wingender, The biofilm matrix. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8**, 623–633
(2010).

32.   J.-C. Simon, J. R. Marchesi, C. Mougel, M.-A. Selosse, Host-microbiota interactions: from
holobiont theory to analysis. *Microbiome.* **7**, 5 (2019).

33.   S. Fraune, M. Zimmer, Host-specificity of environmentally transmitted Mycoplasma-like
isopod symbionts. *Environ. Microbiol.* **10**, 2497–2504 (2008).

34. E. Rosenberg, I. Zilber-Rosenberg, The hologenome concept of evolution: do mothers
matter most? *BJOG An Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **127**, 129–137 (2020).

35. L. V Flórez, K. Scherlach, I. J. Miller, A. Rodrigues, J. C. Kwan, C. Hertweck, M. Kaltenpoth,
An antifungal polyketide associated with horizontally acquired genes supports
symbiont-mediated defense in Lagria villosa beetles. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2478 (2018).

36. E. Rosenberg, G. Sharon, I. Zilber-Rosenberg, The hologenome theory of evolution
contains Lamarckian aspects within a Darwinian framework. *Environ. Microbiol.* **11**,
2959–2962 (2009).

37. A. Brune, C. Dietrich, The Gut Microbiota of Termites: Digesting the Diversity in the
Light of Ecology and Evolution. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **69**, 145–166 (2015).

38. T. Kuriwada, T. Hosokawa, N. Kumano, K. Shiromoto, D. Haraguchi, T. Fukatsu,
Biological Role of Nardonella Endosymbiont in Its Weevil Host. *PLoS One.* **5**, e13101
(2010).

39. N. Nikoh, T. Tsuchida, T. Maeda, K. Yamaguchi, S. Shigenobu, R. Koga, T. Fukatsu,
Genomic Insight into Symbiosis-Induced Insect Color Change by a Facultative Bacterial
Endosymbiont, "Candidatus Rickettsiella viridis." *MBio.* **9**,
e00890-18 (2018).

40. J. Dong, X. Li, R. Zhang, Y. Zhao, G. Wu, J. Liu, X. Zhu, L. Li, Comparative analysis of the
intestinal bacterial community and expression of gut immunity genes in the Chinese
Mitten Crab (Eriocheir sinensis). *AMB Express.* **8** (2018), doi:10.1186/s13568-018-
0722-0.

41. A. Brune, C. Dietrich, The Gut Microbiota of Termites: Digesting the Diversity in the
Light of Ecology and Evolution. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **69**, 145–166 (2015).

42. D. Bouchon, M. Zimmer, J. Dittmer, The Terrestrial Isopod Microbiome: An All-in-One
Toolbox for Animal–Microbe Interactions of Ecological Relevance. *Front. Microbiol.* **7**,
1472 (2016).

43. M. Zimmer, Nutrition in terrestrial isopods (Isopoda: Oniscidea): An evolutionary-
ecological approach. *Biol. Rev.* **77**, 455–493 (2002).

44. H. Wei, H. Wang, L. Tang, C. Mu, C. Ye, L. Chen, C. Wang, High-throughput sequencing
reveals the core gut microbiota of the mud crab (Scylla paramamosain) in different
coastal regions of southern China. *BMC Genomics.* **20**, 1–12 (2019).

45. Y. Wang, U. Stingl, F. Anton-erxleben, S. Geisler, A. Brune, M. Zimmer, "Candidatus
Hepatoplasma crinochetorum," a New, Stalk-Forming Lineage of. *Appl. Environ.*
Microbiol. **70**, 6166–6172 (2004).

46. Y. Wang, A. Brune, M. Zimmer, Bacterial symbionts in the hepatopancreas of isopods:
Diversity and environmental transmission. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **61**, 141–152 (2007).

47. S. Yan Yang, Y. Zheng, Z. Huang, X. Min Wang, H. Yang, Lactococcus nasutitermitis sp.
nov. isolated from a termite gut. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **66**, 518–522 (2016).

48. A. Williams, Lactococcus piscium sp. nov. a new Lactococcus species from salmonid fish.
FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **68**, 109–113 (1990).

49.   R. M. Sakala, H. Hayashidani, Y. Kato, C. Kaneuchi, M. Ogawa, Isolation and
characterization of Lactococcus piscium strains from vacuum-packaged refrigerated
beef. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **92**, 173–179 (2002).

50.   C. Matthies, A. Gößner, G. Acker, A. Schramm, H. L. Drake, Lactovum miscens gen. nov.,
sp. nov., an aerotolerant, psychrotolerant, mixed-fermentative anaerobe from acidic
forest soil. *Res. Microbiol.* **155**, 847–854 (2004).

51.   X. Chen, M. D. Hitchings, J. E. Mendoza, V. Balanza, P. D. Facey, P. J. Dyson, P. Bielza, R.
Del Sol, Comparative Genomics of Facultative Bacterial Symbionts Isolated from
European Orius Species Reveals an Ancestral Symbiotic Association . *Front. Microbiol.* .
8 (2017), p. 1969.

52.   E. Hornung, Evolutionary adaptation of oniscidean isopods to terrestrial life: Structure,
physiology and behavior. *Terr. Arthropod Rev.* **4**, 95–130 (2011).

53.   D. M. Wilkinson, The Role of Seed Dispersal in the Evolution of Mycorrhizae. *Oikos.* **78**,
394 (1997).

54.   A. M. Adamczewska, S. Morris, Ecology and Behavior of Gecarcoidea natalis , the
Christmas Island Red Crab, During the Annual Breeding Migration. *Biol. Bull.* **200**, 305–
320 (2001).

55.   K. Diele, D. J. B. Simith, Effects of substrata and conspecific odour on the metamorphosis
of mangrove crab megalopae, Ucides cordatus (Ocypodidae). *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* **348**,
174–182 (2007).

56.   L. E. Agusto, S. Fratini, P. J. Jimenez, A. Quadros, S. Cannicci, Structural characteristics of
crab burrows in Hong Kong mangrove forests and their role in ecosystem engineering.
Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. **248**, 106973 (2021).

57.   M. Vannini, S. Cannicci, R. Berti, G. Innocenti, Cardisoma carnifex (Brachyura): Where
have all the babies gone? *J. Crustac. Biol.* **23**, 55–59 (2003).

58.   T. Stieglitz, P. V. Ridd, P. Müller, Passive irrigation and functional morphology of
crustacean burrows in a tropical mangrove swamp. *Hydrobiologia.* **421**, 69–76 (2000).

59.   C. M. Gibson, M. S. Hunter, Extraordinarily widespread and fantastically complex:
comparative biology of endosymbiotic bacterial and fungal mutualists of insects. *Ecol.*
Lett. **13**, 223–234 (2010).

60.   J. I. Perlmutter, S. R. Bordenstein, Microorganisms in the reproductive tissues of
arthropods. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **18**, 97–111 (2020).

61.   F. Chevalier, J. Herbinière-Gaboreau, D. Charif, G. Mitta, F. Gavory, P. Wincker, P. Grève,
C. Braquart-Varnier, D. Bouchon, Feminizing Wolbachia: a transcriptomics approach
with insights on the immune response genes in Armadillidium vulgare. *BMC Microbiol.*
12 Suppl 1, S1–S1 (2012).

62.   K. Valliappan, W. Sun, Z. Li, Marine actinobacteria associated with marine organisms
and their potentials in producing pharmaceutical natural products. *Appl. Microbiol.*
Biotechnol. **98**, 7365–7377 (2014).

63.   A. Vázquez-Torres, A. J. Bäumler, Nitrate, nitrite and nitric oxide reductases: from the

last universal common ancestor to modern bacterial pathogens. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*
29, 1–8 (2016).

64. A. Ortiz-Urquiza, N. Keyhani, Action on the Surface: Entomopathogenic Fungi versus the
Insect Cuticle. *Insects.* **4**, 357–374 (2013).

65. D.-C. Oh, J. J. Scott, C. R. Currie, J. Clardy, Mycangimycin, a Polyene Peroxide from a
Mutualist Streptomyces sp. *Org. Lett.* **11**, 633–636 (2009).

66. L. V Flórez, K. Scherlach, P. Gaube, C. Ross, E. Sitte, C. Hermes, A. Rodrigues, C. Hertweck,
M. Kaltenpoth, Antibiotic-producing symbionts dynamically transition between plant
pathogenicity and insect-defensive mutualism. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15172 (2017).

67. T. H. Ng, J. Kurtz, Dscam in immunity: A question of diversity in insects and crustaceans.
Dev. Comp. Immunol. **105**, 103539 (2020).

68. C. D. Schubart, P. K. L. Ng, Revision of the intertidal and semiterrestrial crab genera
Chiromantes Gistel , 1848 , and Pseudosesarma Serène & Soh , 1970 (Crustacea :
Brachyura : Sesarmidae), using morphology and molecular phylogenetics , with the
establishment of nine new genera a. *Raffles Bull. Zool.* **68**, 891–994 (2020).

69. F. Rosso, V. Tagliapietra, D. Albanese, M. Pindo, F. Baldacchino, D. Arnoldi, C. Donati, A.
Rizzoli, Reduced diversity of gut microbiota in two Aedes mosquitoes species in areas of
recent invasion. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 16091 (2018).

70. Illumina, *16S metagenomic sequencing library preparation protocol: preparing 16S*
ribosomal RNA gene amplicons for the Illumina MiSeq system. Part no. 15044223 Rev B
(Illumina, San Diego, CA., 2013; https://www.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-
support/documents/documentation/chemistry_documentation/16s/16s-
metagenomic-library-prep-guide-15044223-b.pdf).

71. M. Gardes, T. D. Bruns, ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes -
application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Mol. Ecol.* **2**, 113–118 (1993).

72. T. J. White, T. Bruns, S. Lee, J. Taylor, in *PCR Protocols* (Elsevier, 1990;
https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780123721808500421), pp. 315–322.

73. B. J. Callahan, P. J. McMurdie, M. J. Rosen, A. W. Han, A. J. A. Johnson, S. P. Holmes,
DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods.*
13, 581–583 (2016).

74. M. Martin, Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. *EMBnet.journal.* **17**, 10 (2011).

75. C. Quast, E. Pruesse, P. Yilmaz, J. Gerken, T. Schweer, P. Yarza, J. Peplies, F. O. Glöckner,
The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-
based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D590–D596 (2012).

76. V. Deshpande, Q. Wang, P. Greenfield, M. Charleston, A. Porras-Alfaro, C. R. Kuske, J. R.
Cole, D. J. Midgley, N. Tran-Dinh, Fungal identification using a Bayesian classifier and the
Warcup training set of internal transcribed spacer sequences. *Mycologia.* **108**, 1–5
(2016).

77. G. M. Douglas, V. J. Maffei, J. R. Zaneveld, S. N. Yurgel, J. R. Brown, C. M. Taylor, C.

Huttenhower, M. G. I. Langille, PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. *Nat.*
Biotechnol. **38**, 685–688 (2020).

78.   J. Oksanen, F. G. Blanchet, M. Friendly, R. Kindt, P. Legendre, D. McGlinn, P. R. Minchin, R.
B. O'Hara, G. L. Simpson, P. Solymos, M. H. H. Stevens, E. Szoecs, H. Wagner, *Vegan:*
community ecology package (2019; http://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan).

79.   T. C. Hsieh, K. H. Ma, A. Chao, iNEXT: an R package for rarefaction and extrapolation of
species diversity (Hill numbers). *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 1451–1456 (2016).

80.   M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

Acknowledgments

We thank the friends of the Integrate Mangrove Ecology laboratory of the Swire Institute of

Marine Science, HKU for their help in sampling and lab work. We thank Massimo Pindo

(Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach) for the support in the

metagenomic library preparation. We also thank Prof Colin Little and Gray A. Williams for

inspiring and discussing the original idea behind the study.

Author contributions: G.B. performed bioinformatics analyses and wrote the manuscript;

S.F. supervised lab work and wrote the manuscript; N.M. performed 16S laboratory analyses

and wrote the manuscript; C.L.Y.C. and K.H.N carried out the samplings and performed DNA

extractions; D.C. and A.M. helped conceiving the original idea; and S.C. conceived the original

idea, collected the samples and wrote the manuscript. S.C. and D.C provided funding for the

experiments. All the authors commented and validated the manuscript, participated to plan

the sampling design and critically discussed the results.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: data needed to evaluate the conclusions are present in the

paper and in Supplementary Materials. All codes used in the work have been uploaded to a

public   Github   repository   available   at:

https://github.com/GiBacci/Chiromantes_haematocheir_microbiome. Sequencing data were

uploaded to the European Nucleotides Archive (ENA) under the project ID: PRJEB43930.

Funding: This work was supported by the project "The sky's the limit: the irresistible ascent

to land and trees by crabs", sponsored by TUYF Charitable Trust funds, Hong Kong, (HKU no

260008686.088562.26000.400.01) and by the Eighth Government Matching Grant, Hong

Kong Government (HKU no 207080320.088562.26020.430.01) to S.C. and by the RAE

Improvement   Fund   from   the   Faculty   of   Science,   HKU   (HKU   no

000250449.088562.26000.100.01) to S.C and D.C.

Figures

Figure 1. **Geographical distribution of the sampled populations of *C. haematocheir*.** The
map shows the names and location of the three sampling sites visited for the study in the New
Territories and on Lantau Island and an adult male *C. haematocheir* in its natural environment
(top left).

Figure 2: Microbial diversity in crab's organs and environmental samples. The average of the inverse Simpson index was reported with increasing sampling effort for all types of samples. Interpolated and extrapolated diversity was reported in panel a and c (16S rRNA gene and ITS-1 region, respectively), whereas observed diversity was reported in panel b and d (16S rRNA gene and ITS-1 region respectively). Significant differences in microbial diversity (Wilcoxon non-parametric test) were reported using lowercase letters (panel b and d) whereas colours and acronyms on the x-axis correspond to different sample types (MG, midgut; HG, hindgut; GO, gonads; GI, gills; L, litter; S, soil; W, water; WD, water debris). If two means were significantly different, all letters on top of the two boxes must be different; if two means were equal, at least one letter must be the same.

Figure 3: Microbial distribution according to Bray-Curtis distance. a) Principal coordinates analyses on Bray-Curtis distances inferred form 16S rRNA and ITS-1 metabarcoding. Environmental samples and crab's organs (top side of the panels) were reported separately as distribution obtained with the two markers reported (right side of each panel). Solid-coloured points represent different samples whereas white-filled points represent centroids. The variance of the objects along each axis has been reported between squared brackets (from the lowest to the highest) and different sample types were reported using different colours (MG, midgut; HG, hindgut; GO, gonads; GI, gills; L, litter; S, soil; W, water; WD, water debris). b) Permutational analysis of variance on ordinations reported in panel a. For each pair of organs and environmental samples a permutational analysis of variance was performed. R-squared values of significant contrasts were reported for both 16S (upper triangle) and ITS (lower triangle) counts.

816
Figure 4: Sequence variant clustering according to their abundance along sample types.
Amplicon sequence variants reporting a different abundance pattern in one or more sample
types (loglikelihood ratio test of DESeq2) were clustered according to their mean variance-
stabilized abundance. Abundance values were reported using different colours after
clustering based on Kendall correlation (right side of the plot). Clusters were coloured
according to the scheme reported in the legend whereas removed clusters (namely those
composed with less than 10 variants) where reported in black. Sequence variants inferred
from ITS-1 amplicon sequencing were reported using a solid dot.

825
Figure 5: Taxonomic enrichment in clusters. a) Scaled variance-stabilized counts were
tested for differences along sample types within all clusters detected. A pairwise Wilcoxon
test was performed, and results were reported highlighting significant differences with one
asterisk (p-value < 0.05) or two (p-value < 0.01). A complete overview of significant
differences was reported in Figure S7. b) Sunburst plot of taxa showing a significant
enrichment in a given cluster were coloured according to the colour scale showed in panel a. If
a given taxonomy was significantly enriched in more than one cluster, the corresponding
sector of the plot was coloured using a striped pattern (as reported in the legend). Leaves
represent the most specific level at which a given variant has been classified, namely genus
level for 16S rRNA amplicons (Bacteria and Archaea) and species level for ITS-1 region
amplicons (Fungi).

Figure 6: Enrichment analysis of "Gene Ontology" terms associated with detected functions. a) Number of GO terms associated to bacterial function detected in each cluster (cluster 4 was not reported since it was entirely composed of Fungi). b) Venn diagram of GO terms detected in the ASVs of each cluster. Diagram sections in common between two or more clusters were coloured by interpolating colours reported in panel a. c) Median taxon abundance of GO terms (y-axis) in all ASVs detected in each cluster (x-axis). The median taxon abundance was reported using different shades of red—for enriched terms, namely those detected with a higher frequency in respect to the whole population—and blue—for depleted terms, namely those detected with a lower frequency than the rest of the population. The plot was vertically divided according to ASV clusters whereas it was horizontally divided according to venn diagram sections reported in panel b. d) Mean enrichment fold changes associated to each term. Fold-changes were transformed using the logarithmic function (with base equal two) to report enriched and depleted terms symmetrically around the zero.

 Tables

Table 1: Mean differences between extrapolated diversity and observed diversity in
each sample type and site. The differences between extrapolated Simpson diversity (Inverse
Simpson index computed for a sequencing depth higher than the observed one) and observed
diversity (Inverse Simpson index computed for a sequencing depth equal to the real
sequencing depth of the sample) was reported using the average value ± the standard error
on the mean for each sample type and site. Good's coverage estimator was also reported using
the same notation used for Simpson diversity. Abbreviations as in Figures 1 and 2.

		Inverse Simpson (ext - obs)	Good's coverage estimator
Sample type			
	MG	0.051 ± 0.040	99.995 ± 0.001
	HG	0.132 ± 0.126	99.997 ± 0.001
	GO	0.085 ± 0.029	99.987 ± 0.005
	GI	0.575 ± 0.230	99.994 ± 0.002
	L	0.185 ± 0.114	99.963 ± 0.007
	S	2.741 ± 0.809	99.993 ± 0.001
	W	0.246 ± 0.066	99.997 ± 0.001
	WD	1.149 ± 0.293	99.992 ± 0.002
Site			
	SH	0.705 ± 0.236	99.987 ± 0.003
	SK	0.502 ± 0.146	99.990 ± 0.002
	TKP	0.240 ± 0.067	99.996 ± 0.001

a

Environmental samples | Crab's organs

16S

ITS

PCoA2 (8.80 – 13.07%)

PCoA1 (11.68 – 17.94%)

● MG ● HG ● GO ● GI ● L ● S ● W ● WD

b

Environmental samples | Crab's organs

PERMANOVA R²

● 0.05
● 0.10
● 0.15
● 0.20

0.2

Scaled mean
abundance (VST)

−1

−2

Cluster

■ Removed
■ Cluster 1
■ Cluster 2
■ Cluster 3
■ Cluster 4

MG HG GO GI L S W WD

a

Environmental samples | Crab's tissues

Mean scaled abundance (VST)

Cluster 1 (106 ASVs)
Cluster 2 (44 ASVs)
Cluster 3 (50 ASVs)
Cluster 4 (22 ASVs)

L S W WD MG HG GO GI

b

a1: Alteromonadales
b1: Amphisphaeriaceae
c1: Arcobacteraceae
d1: Botryosphaeriaceae
e1: Botryosphaeriales
f1: Campilobacterota
g1: Campylobacterales
h1: Campylobacteria
i1: Capnodiales
j1: Chitinophagaceae
k1: Chitinophagales

l1: Cladosporium
m1: Cordycipitaceae
n1: Crocinitomicaceae
o1: Cryptococcus
p1: Didymellaceae
q1: Dokmaia
r1: Dothideales
s1: Dothideomycetes
t1: Dothioraceae
u1: Engyodontium
v1: Enterobacterales

w1: Entomoplasmatales
x1: Eurotiales
y1: Eurotiomycetes
z1: Flavobacteriaceae
a2: Gibellulopsis
b2: Glomerella
c2: Glomerellaceae
d2: Gracilibacteria
e2: Hypocreales
f2: Ilumatobacteraceae
g2: Lactobacillales

h2: Leptosphaerulina
i2: Malassezia
j2: Micrococcales
k2: Microtrichales
l2: Moraxellaceae
m2: Mycoplasmataceae
n2: Mycosphaerellaceae
o2: Nectriaceae
p2: Patescibacteria
q2: Penicillium
r2: Plectosphaerellaceae

s2: Pleosporales
t2: Prolixibacteraceae
u2: Propionibacteriales
v2: Pseudomonadales
w2: Saccharimonadaceae
x2: Saccharimonadales
y2: Saccharimonadia
z2: Shewanellaceae
a3: Sordariomycetes
b3: Streptococcaceae
c3: Tremellaceae

d3: Tremellales
e3: Tremellomycetes
f3: Trichocomaceae
g3: Weeksellaceae
h3: Xanthobacteraceae
i3: Xylariales

Clusters
1
2
3
4
1&2
2&4
3&4
n.s.

a

Cluster 3
Cluster 2
Cluster 1

N° of GO terms
0   100   200   300   400

b

Cluster 1
218
52   73
37
88   68   223
Cluster 2   Cluster 3

c

median taxon abundance (log₁₀)

Depleted | Enriched GO terms

Enriched ASVs

d

Fold-change enrichment (log2)
-3   0   3   6