FENBENDAZOLE RESISTANCE IN *HETERAKIS GALLINARUM*

Fenbendazole resistance in *Heterakis gallinarum*, the vector of *Histomonas meleagridis*, the

causative agent of Blackhead Disease in poultry.

James B. Collins[*], Brian Jordan[†], Andrew Bishop[‡], Ray M. Kaplan[*]

*University of Georgia, Dept. of Infectious Diseases, College of Veterinary Medicine, 501 DW

Brooks Dr, Athens, GA, USA 30602

1. Corresponding Author: 501 DW Brooks Dr, Athens, GA 30601, Work:7065420742,

Cell:7062470020

†University of Georgia, Poultry Diagnostic and Research Center, Department of Population

Health, College of Veterinary Medicine and the Department of Poultry Science, College of

Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, 953 College Station Rd, Athens, GA, USA 30602

‡Amick Farms, Lexington, SC

Corresponding Author: James B. Collins, 501 DW Brooks Dr, Athens, GA 30601,

Work:7065420742, Cell:7062470020

Scientific Section: Health and Disease

ABSTRACT

Due to their ubiquity, management of parasites is a common and important factor for

profitable production of poultry. *Heterakis gallinarum*, the cecal nematode, is the most common

nematode parasite of poultry. While typically causing no pathology on its own, *H. gallinarum* is

the vector of *Histomonas meleagridis*, a protozoan parasite that causes blackhead disease.

Histomonas meleagridis is highly pathogenic in turkeys, potentially causing high mortality. In

contrast, disease caused by *H. meleagridis* is much less severe in chickens, where it primarily

reduces productivity without manifestations of clinical disease. There are no approved treatments

for *H. meleagridis*, making control reliant on control of the helminth vector through the use of

fenbendazole (FBZ) the only drug labeled for treatment of *H. gallinarum* in the United States We

were contacted by an industry veterinarian regarding health-related concerns in a breeder-broiler

house due to histomoniasis, despite frequent anthelmintic treatments. Since we had recently

diagnosed resistance to FBZ in *Ascaridia dissimilis*, a closely related nematode of turkeys, we

were interested to determine if *H. gallinarum* had also evolved resistance to FBZ. *Heterakis*

gallinarum eggs were isolated from litter collected from the house and used to infect 108

chickens. Treatment groups included a non-treated control, a label-dose and a 2X-label dose of

FBZ, with 36 birds per group divided into two replicate pens of 18 birds each. Birds were placed

at 1-day post hatch, and at 3 weeks of age were infected with 150 embryonated eggs via oral

gavage. Two weeks post infection, treated birds were administered a minimum of either a label-

or 2X label-dose of FBZ in water for 5 days (SafeGuard® Aquasol, 1mg/kg BW). To ensure that

all birds consumed the full intended dose at a minimum, the dosage was calculated using 1.25

times the average body weight. One-week post treatment, birds were euthanized, ceca removed,

and parasites enumerated. Efficacy was calculated by comparing the total numbers of worms

recovered from each treatment group to the numbers recovered in the non-treated control group.

There were no significant differences in worm numbers recovered from any of the three groups

(p-value=0.81). There also was no efficacy benefit to treatment with a 2X dose; *H. gallinarum*

worm counts were reduced by 42.7% and 41.4%, for the label and 2X dosages, respectively.

These data provide strong evidence that *H. gallinarum* has developed resistance to FBZ.

Consequently, in houses infected with FBZ-resistant *H. gallinarum*, *H. meleagridis* will be able

to cycle through the birds in an unrestricted manner. Further investigation is needed to determine

the prevalence of resistance in *H. gallinarum on* chicken farms, but it is clear this has the

potential to have a large-scale economic impact on the poultry industry. These data when viewed

together with our recent findings of FBZ resistance in *A. dissimilis*, suggest that drug resistance

in ascarid nematodes may be an important emerging problem on poultry operations.

KEYWORDS

52   *Heterakis, Histomonas,* fenbendazole, resistance

1. INTRODUCTION

 The near ubiquity of parasites on poultry farms makes the management of parasites a

 common and important factor effecting profitable production of poultry. A study of birds from

 10 different production companies Southeastern United Stated reported that 98.6% of birds are

 infected by parasitic helminths, with 96% being infected with the cecal worm, *Heterakis*

 gallinarum (Yazwinski, et al., 2013). *Heterakis gallinarum* belongs to the Family Ascarididae,

 which also contains the closely related *Ascaridia galli* and *Ascaridia dissimilis*, important small

 intestinal nematodes of chickens and turkeys, respectively. Helminth eggs from this family are

 resistant to environmental pressures such as temperature, dehydration, and pH extremes, causing

 a cycle of continuous infection and transmission within the house environment (Cauthen, 1931;

 Tarbiat, et al., 2015). *Heterakis gallinarum* is a small nematode that rarely causes significant

 direct pathology, but it serves as the vector for *Histomonas meleagridis*, a highly pathogenic

 protozoal parasite that is the causative agent of Blackhead disease in poultry.

 Histomonas meleagridis currently ranks as the highest research priority in broilers of any

 parasite of poultry (Armour, et al., 2020). *Histomonas meleagridis* is carried within the eggs of

 H. gallinarum, and Histomonads are released into the gut when the larvae hatch from the

 nematode egg in the intestine. *Histomonas meleagridis* causes the disease histomoniasis, which

 is characterized by necrosis in the mucosal tissues of the ceca and liver, and may cause dark

 discoloration of the head, hence the name Blackhead. Historically, infections in turkeys often

 produced high levels of mortality, whereas in chickens, infection was largely asymptomatic.

 Recently, this view has shifted as studies show that both chickens and turkeys demonstrate

 clinical signs such as apathy, depression, and ruffled feathers, together with decreased feed and

 water uptake (Liebhart, et al., 2017). *Histomonas meleagridis* has now been shown to impact

chickens in multiple different production systems, including reduced feed conversion in broilers,

and decreased egg quality and production in layers and breeders, both caged and cage-free (Clark

and Kimminau, 2017; Grafl, et al., 2011; Liebhart, et al., 2013) Despite these significant effects

on health and production, there are currently no FDA approved treatments for histomoniasis,

making control of this diseases dependent on control of the *H. gallinarum* vector.

Currently, fenbendazole (FBZ) is the only anthelmintic approved for use against ascarids of

poultry in the United States. Fenbendazole belongs to the benzimidazole class of anthelmintics, a

drug class used widely across multiple livestock species. In registration studies for SafeGuard®

Aquasol®, a formulation of FBZ that is suspended in water for delivery, average efficacy against

H. gallinarum was 96.2%, similar to that of *Ascaridia galli*, at 97.6% (United States Food and

Drug Administration, 2018). Likewise, in registration studies for the feed additive formulation of

SafeGuard®, average efficacy against *H. gallinarum* was 97.85% in growing turkeys (United

States Food and Drug Administration, 2000). Both formulations are delivered from a central

ration, or medication tank, and then distributed throughout the house. These methods of

administration, along with human error, may result in poor delivery of treatment, leading to

underdosing. Underdosing is recognized as one of the major contributors to the development of

anthelmintic resistance (Jackson and Coop, 2000; Silvestre, et al., 2001), and combined with the

high frequency of treatment, in as little as every four weeks, development of resistance is a major

concern (Smith, et al., 1999).

Resistance to benzimidazoles in many of the most economically important strongylid

nematodes of livestock is highly prevalent (Howell, et al., 2008; Kaplan, 2004; Kaplan and

Vidyashankar, 2012), however resistance in ascarid nematodes appears to be rare. In poultry,

reduced efficacy was first reported in the turkey nematode *Ascaridia dissimilis*, leading to

speculation that drug resistance may have developed (Yazwinski, Tucker et al. 2013). This

suspicion was recently confirmed in a controlled efficacy study, where FBZ resistance was

clearly demonstrated in *A. dissimilis* (Collins, et al., 2019). This confirmation of resistance

highlights the potential of ascarid nematodes of poultry to develop resistance to FBZ, and since

birds treated with FBZ may be infected with both *A. dissimilis* and *H. gallinarum,* FBZ

resistance in *H. gallinarum* may already exist.

Given the recent concern of increased infection and disease from *H. meleagridis* in breeder

chickens and having demonstrated FBZ resistance in one ascarid species of poultry, we wanted

to determine if *H. gallinarum*, had also developed resistance. Through collaboration with

industry veterinarians, we identified a farm with suspected-resistant *H. gallinarum* and

conducted a controlled efficacy trial to determine if the worms on that farm were in fact resistant

to FBZ.

2.MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Chickens

129   One hundred eighteen, Cobb 500, chicks were hatched and placed the following day in

130 housing at the Poultry Science Farm at the University of Georgia. Nipple drinkers and hanging

131 feeders were used to provide water and feed *ad libitum*. Birds were fed a diet of non-medicated

132 Nutrena® NatureWise® Chick Starter Grower feed.

2.2 Parasite Isolates

A potentially resistant isolate of *Heterakis gallinarum*, AmFa 1.0, was identified through

collaboration with an industry veterinarian. Prior to May of 2017, the farm of origin for this

isolate, treated birds with a variety of treatments including FBZ, but after May of 2017,

exclusively treated six flocks, four treatments per flock, with FBZ. Litter was obtained from the

suspect farm, and eggs were isolated using previously established protocols (Collins et al., 2019).

Briefly, litter was washed through a series of sieves to remove debris, and then the remaining

sediment was added to a solution with specific gravity of 1.15 and centrifuged at 433g for 7

mins. Eggs within the fluid phase were collected on a 32uM sieve, rinsed with deionized water,

and stored in tissue culture flasks in deionized water containing 0.5% formalin at $10^{o}C$. Prior to

infecting the birds, flasks were incubated at $30^{o}C$ for four weeks, until eggs were fully

developed, and then stored at $10\,^{o}C$ until infection.

145   *2.3 Infection & Treatment*

Birds were divided into two replicates of 18 birds each for the following three treatments:

non-treated control, label dosage of FBZ, and 2x label dosage of FBZ. An additional 10 birds

remained uninfected as environmental controls to confirm that there was no prior contamination

of the study environment with *H. gallinarum* eggs. Birds were allowed to grow to three weeks of

age before being infected with approximately 150 embryonated eggs via oral gavage. Mesh

curtains were placed between pens to prevent any cross-over of birds between treatment groups.

Two weeks post infection, birds were treated with either the label or a 2x dose of

SafeGuard® Aquasol® (Label Dosage: 1mg/kg BW), a FBZ formulation designed for delivery in

water. To increase the likelihood that every bird received the target dosage at a minimum, the

average bird weight of the groups on the day before treatment plus 25% was used to calculate the

dose administered. Calculated volumes of FBZ were mixed into 90% of the volume of water

estimated to be consumed, as per production guidelines. For delivery of the FBZ, water lines

were connected to carboys with both replicates of each treated group receiving water from the

same carboy. As per label directions, treatment was administered over the course of five days,

resuspending the drug daily.

2.4 Worm Recovery

Seven days post-treatment, all birds were humanely euthanized for worm recovery. Ceca

were removed, opened, and placed in physiological saline. Samples were incubated overnight at

37C to aid in the recovery of tissue associated nematodes. Cecal contents were then washed over

a 50uM mesh sieve to remove small debris, and cecal cores if present were manually disrupted.

Contents were then examined under a dissecting microscope, and all nematodes were recovered

and enumerated.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Differences between the three groups were evaluated using Kruskal–Wallis test by ranks

with Dunn's correction, a non-parametric analysis of variance with multi-comparisons (Graph

Pad Prism 8, San Diego,CA). Analysis of control vs. label dosage and control vs. 2x dosage

were done with the following parameter: Two-samples unpaired with zero-inflation, and a

correction factor of 1. Efficacy was calculated using eggCounts, an R package using a Bayesian

hierarchical model to determine anthelmintic efficacy (Wang, et al., 2018).

2.   RESULTS

No significant differences were observed between the three groups ($p > 0.81$). Model adjusted

efficacies for the label dose and 2x label dose groups were 42.7% and 41.4%, with upper 95% CI

of 74.2 and 74.1%, respectively (Table 1). Both of these upper 95% CIs are well below reported

efficacies of 96.2% and 97.85%, for the water and feed formulations, respectively (United States

Food and Drug Administration, 2000; United States Food and Drug Administration, 2018).

These data provide strong evidence that these *H. gallinarum* are resistant to FBZ.

<div align="center">**4. DISCUSSION**</div>

We confirm here for the first time, resistance to FBZ in the poultry nematode, *Heterakis*

gallinarum. Efficacies of the label dosage and 2X the label dosage were 42.7% and 41.4%

respectively, with no significant difference between the two dose levels, nor between these two

treated groups and the non-treated controls (p>.9999). The lack of differentiation in efficacy for

the two dose levels indicate not only that resistance has developed, but there appears to be a

virtual total lack of efficacy. The observed efficacies are likely a due to random variation

between birds and groups, as the worm counts were highly over-dispersed with many zeros in all

three groups (Supplementary table 1). Similar to the resistant isolate of *A. dissimilis* we

previously identified, this farm of origin has a history of FBZ use, further highlighting the risks

for resistance associated with having only one approved compound for use against helminths of

poultry. As compared to *A. dissimilis, H. gallinarum*, by itself, poses less disease risk to its host.

However, due to its role as a vector for *H. meleagridis,* FBZ resistance in *H. gallinarum* poses

important health challenges in poultry operations.

Histomonas *meleagridis* is one of the most concerning disease pathogens of poultry

production today, due to its severe impact on animal productivity and welfare. Since there are no

approved drugs for the treatment and control of *H. meleagridis,* prevention of histomoniasis

relies heavily on the control of *H. meleagridis* using anthelmintics. Consequently, failure to

control FBZ-resistant *H. gallinarum* would lead to a continuous cycle of infection and disease

with *H. meleagridis*. This then presents a scenario of production loss and animal welfare

concerns that cannot be readily prevented.

In conclusion, we now have identified resistance to FBZ in two separate species of

poultry nematodes in two successive trials. This highlights the possibility that FBZ resistance is

much more common on poultry farms than is currently appreciated. Drug resistance in poultry

ascarids may have important impacts both directly and, in the case of the *H. meleagridis* life

cycle, indirectly on animal welfare and production loss. Given the ease with which we have

found farms with drug-resistant ascarids, there is an important need to determine the scope and

magnitude of this problem by investigating the prevalence of FBZ resistance in nematodes of

poultry.

If the prevalence of resistance is as high as we believe it could be, it is possible that

anthelminthic resistance is playing a role in the recent resurgence of *H. meleagridis* as a concern

in chickens While historically not seen as a significant problem, recent evidence shows

significant production impacts in broilers in layers due to histomoniasis. There are likely many

complex factors contributing to this resurgence, but a lack of vector control due to anthelminthic

resistance may play an important role, further highlighting the need for methods for surveillance.

Currently, we are investigating the genetic mechanisms of FBZ resistance in poultry

ascarids, which appear to differ from that of strongylid nematodes. Identifying the genetic

mechanisms of resistance is important, as this would facilitate the development of a diagnostic

test, which would facilitate the measurement of resistance prevalence on a wide geographic

scale. In addition, new alternative treatments for both for *H. gallinarum* and *H. meleagridis* are

greatly needed.

223   **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

224   We would like to think the producers and industry veterinarians for their help in

225 identifying and procuring this isolate. We would also like to thank Pablo Jimenez Castro, Leonor

226 Sicalo Gianechini, Kayla Dunn, and Natalie Wilson for their assistance in the study.

228 This project was supported by a grant from the US Poultry and Egg Association (project #F081).

230   **ETHICAL STATEMENT**

231 All birds were handled under protocols approved by the University of Georgia Institutional

232 Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) under animal use policy A2019 01-005-Y2-A3.

234   **REFERENCES**

Armour, N., S. McCarter, E. Gingerich, J. Tourville, T. Schaal, S. D.;, D. Heard, and N.

Ferguson-Noel. 2020. 2020 Research Priorities of the American Association of Avian

Pathologists. American Association of Avian Pathologists.

Cauthen, G. E. 1931. Some studies on the viability and development of the ova of Ascaridia

lineata (Schneiler).

Clark, S., and E. Kimminau. 2017. Critical Review: Future Control of Blackhead Disease

(Histomoniasis) in Poultry. Avian Diseases 61:281-288, 288.

Collins, J. B., B. Jordan, L. Baldwin, C. Hebron, K. Paras, A. N. Vidyashankar, and R. M.

Kaplan. 2019. Resistance to fenbendazole in Ascaridia dissimilis, an important nematode

parasite of turkeys. Poultry science 98:5412-5415. doi 10.3382/ps/pez379

Grafl, B., D. Liebhart, M. Windisch, C. Ibesich, and M. Hess. 2011. Seroprevalence of

Histomonas meleagridis in pullets and laying hens determined by ELISA. Veterinary Record

168:160. doi 10.1136/vr.c6479

Howell, S. B., J. M. Burke, J. E. Miller, T. H. Terrill, E. Valencia, M. J. Williams, L. H.

Williamson, A. M. Zajac, and R. M. Kaplan. 2008. Prevalence of anthelmintic resistance on

sheep and goat farms in the southeastern United States. Journal of the American Veterinary

Medical Association 233:1913-1919. doi 10.2460/javma.233.12.1913

Jackson, F., and R. L. Coop. 2000. The development of anthelmintic resistance in sheep

nematodes. Parasitology 120 Suppl:S95-107. doi 10.1017/S0031182099005740

Kaplan, R. M. 2004. Drug resistance in nematodes of veterinary importance: a status report.

TRENDS in Parasitology 20:476-481.

Kaplan, R. M., and A. N. Vidyashankar. 2012. An inconvenient truth: global worming and

anthelmintic resistance. Veterinary parasitology 186:70-78. doi 10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.11.048

Liebhart, D., P. Ganas, T. Sulejmanovic, and M. Hess. 2017. Histomonosis in poultry: previous

and current strategies for prevention and therapy. Avian Pathol 46:1-18. doi

10.1080/03079457.2016.1229458

Liebhart, D., T. Sulejmanovic, B. Grafl, A. Tichy, and M. Hess. 2013. Vaccination against

histomonosis prevents a drop in egg production in layers following challenge. Avian Pathology

42:79-84. doi 10.1080/03079457.2012.760841

Silvestre, A., J. Cabaret, and J. F. Humbert. 2001. Effect of benzimidazole under-dosing on the

resistant allele frequency in Teladorsagia circumcincta (Nematoda). Parasitology 123:103-111.

doi 10.1017/s0031182001008009

Smith, G., B. T. Grenfell, V. Isham, and S. Cornell. 1999. Anthelmintic resistance revisited:

under-dosing, chemoprophylactic strategies, and mating probabilities. International Journal for

Parasitology 29:77-91. doi https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(98)00186-6

Tarbiat, B., D. S. Jansson, and J. Hoglund. 2015. Environmental tolerance of free-living stages of

the poultry roundworm Ascaridia galli. Veterinary parasitology 209:101-107. doi

10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.01.024

United States Food and Drug Administration. 2000. New Animal Drug Application 131-675. O.

o. N. A. D. Application ed. US Food & Drug Administration, Rockville, MD.

United States Food and Drug Administration. 2018. New Animal Drug Application 141-449. O.

o. N. A. D. Evaluation ed. US Food & Drug Administration, Rockville, MD.

Wang, C., P. R. Torgerson, R. M. Kaplan, M. M. George, and R. Furrer. 2018. Modelling

anthelmintic resistance by extending eggCounts package to allow individual efficacy.

International Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance 8:386-393. doi

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2018.07.003

Yazwinski, T., C. Tucker, E. Wray, L. Jones, Z. Johnson, S. Steinlage, and J. Bridges. 2013. A

survey on the incidence and magnitude of intestinal helminthiasis in broiler breeders originating

from the southeastern United States1. The Journal of Applied Poultry Research 22:942-947. doi

10.3382/japr.2013-00776

Table 1. Zero-inflated adjusted average worm count and efficacy.

Treatment	Mean Number of Worms	% Reduction	95%CI
Control	12.2	-	-
Label Dosage	6.8	42.7%	(4.5%,74.2%)
2x Dosage	6.8	41.4%	(3.4%,74.1%)

288