Expanding Asgard members in the domain of Archaea shed new light on the origin of

eukaryotes

Ruize Xie[1,#], Yinzhao Wang[2,#], Danyue Huang[1], Jialin Hou[2], Liuyang Li[2], Haining Hu[2],

Xiaoxiao Zhao[2], Fengping Wang[1,2,3]*

[1]School of Oceanography, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, China

[2]State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, School of Life Sciences and Biotechnology,

Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

[3]Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai), Zhuhai,

Guangdong, China

# These authors contributed equally to this paper

*Corresponding author:

Fengping Wang

School of Oceanography, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

800 Dongchuan Road, Minhang District, Shanghai 200240, China

fengpingw@sjtu.edu.cn

20
21

Abstract

The hypothesis that eukaryotes originated from within the domain Archaea has been strongly supported by recent phylogenomic analyses placing Heimdallarchaeota from the Asgard superphylum as the closest known archaeal sister-group to eukaryotes. At present, only six phyla are described in the Asgard superphylum, which limits our understanding of the relationship between eukaryotes and archaea, as well as the evolution and ecological functions of the Asgard archaea. Here, we describe five previously unknown phylum-level Asgard archaeal lineages, tentatively named Tyr-, Sigyn-, Freyr-, Njord- and Balderarchaeota. Comprehensive phylogenomic analyses further supported the origin of eukaryotes within Archaea and a new Asgard lineage Njordarchaeota was supposed as the known closest branch with the eukaryotic nuclear host lineage. Metabolic reconstruction suggests that the Asgard archaea described here have potential to fix inorganic carbon via the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway and degrade organic matters except Njordarchaeota, which may possess a heterotrophic lifestyle with capability of peptides and amino acids utilization. Additionally, the Ack/Pta pathway for homoacetogenesis and *de novo* anaerobic cobalamin biosynthesis pathway were found in Balderarchaeota and Tyrarchaeota, respectively. This study largely expands the Asgard superphylum, provides additional evidences to support the 2-domain life tree and sheds new light on the evolution of eukaryotes.

Keywords: Asgard archaea, eukaryotic origin, metagenome

Introduction

The origin of eukaryotes is considered as a critical biological evolutionary event on Earth (Embley and Martin 2006, López-García and Moreira 2015, Rochette et al., 2014, Lopez-Garcia and Moreira 2006). The common ancestor of eukaryotes is generally believed to have evolved from a symbiotic process (López-García and Moreira 1999, Martin et al., 2015) in which one endosymbiotic bacterium within the Proteobacteria phylum evolved into a mitochondrion (Esser et al., 2004, Moreira and López-García 1998) and one endosymbiotic host cell became the cell nucleus (Spang et al., 2015, Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a, Spang et al., 2019a). The identity of the host cell ancestor has been vigorously debated, and two hypotheses regarding 2- or 3-domain trees of life have been raised (Williams et al., 2013, Woese et al., 1990). However, increasing evidence provided by phylogenomic analyses (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a, Williams et al., 2020), as well as the presence of eukaryotic signature proteins (ESPs) (Hartman and Fedorov 2002) in the Asgard archaea, has supported the idea that eukaryotic cells originated from an archaeal host cell related to archaeal Asgard superphylum (Spang et al., 2015, Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a, Bulzu et al., 2019). The identification of Lokiarchaeota in the Loki's Castle hydrothermal vent field provided pivotal genomic and phylogenetic evidence that eukaryotes originated within the domain Archaea, supporting a 2-domain tree of life, which is consistent with the eocyte hypothesis (Spang et al., 2015). Further discovery and proposal of the Asgard superphylum have provided new insights into the transition of archaea to eukaryotes and into the origin of eukaryotic cell complexity (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a). Within the Asgard superphylum, Heimdallarchaeota had been identified to be the closest Asgard archaeal

lineage to the eukaryotic branch on the phylogenetic tree on the basis of carefully selected

conserved protein sequences (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a, Williams et al., 2020).

Recently, Imachi *et al.* cultivated one Asgard archaeon, *Candidatus* Prometheoarchaeum

syntrophicum strain MK-D1, in the laboratory and observed, for the first time, the

intertwining of this archaeon with bacterial cells via extracellular protrusions under a

transmission electron microscope (Imachi et al., 2020). The idea of an archaeal origin of

eukaryotes and a 2-domain tree of life has recently become increasingly favorable (Williams

et al., 2020, Akıl et al., 2020).The Asgard archaea are described as mixotrophic or

heterotrophic (Spang et al., 2019a, Liu et al., 2018a) and are ubiquitously distributed in

various   environments,   such   as   hydrothermal   vents   (Spang   et   al.,   2015,

Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a); lake, river and marine sediments (Seitz et al., 2016);

microbial mats (Wong et al., 2018); and mangroves (Liu et al., 2018a). These organisms

potentially play important roles in global geochemical cycling (MacLeod et al., 2019);

nevertheless, our understanding of the evolution of Asgard archaea, the archaea-eukaryote

transition, and the ecological and geochemical roles of these evolutionarily important archaea

remains incomplete. This lack of understanding is largely due to the limited number of

high-quality genomes of Asgard archaea, which are considered highly diverse as revealed by

16S rRNA gene surveys (MacLeod et al., 2019, Zhang et al., 2020); yet only a small fraction

have   representative   genomes.   In   this   study,   we   described   five   previously   unknown

phylum-level Asgard archaeal group, greatly expanding the Asgard genomic diversity within

the domain of Archaea and shed new light on the origin of eukaryotes.

Results and Discussion

Expanded Asgard archaea support 2-domain tree of life

In total, 11 metagenomic datasets were used in this study, including two samples from hydrothermal sediment of Guaymas Basin, six samples from Tengchong hot spring sediment, as well as 3 metagenomic datasets from the publicly available National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database (Permission granted, Supplementary Table 1). After subsequent assembling, binning and classification as described in the Methods section, 128 Asgard metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) were obtained and in-depth phylogenomic analyses were performed with 37 concatenated conserved proteins (Wang et al., 2019) under LG+C60+F+G4 model to confirm the placement of these MAGs on phylogenomic tree. The analysis revealed that, in addition to the previously described Loki-, Thor-, Odin-, Heimdall-, Hela- and Hermodarchaeota clades (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017b, Zhang et al., 2021), there are five additional monophyletic branching clades (Fig. 1a), here tentatively named Tyr-, Sigyn-, Freyr-, Njord- and Balderarchaeota after the Asgard gods in the Norse mythology (Tyr, the god of war; Sigyn, the god of victory; Freyr, the god of peace; Njord, the god of seas; and Balder, the god of light). The MAGs of these new Asgard lineages were recovered from different environments: Njordarchaeota and Freyrarchaeota were derived from hydrothermal sediment; Tyrarchaeota were found in estuary sediments; Sigynarchaeota were reconstructed from hot spring sediments and Balderarchaeota were retrieved from hot spring and hydrothermal sediments. Additionally, a clade of Hermodarchaeota was identified in high temperature habitats (~85℃), similar with Odinarchaeota, which were considered as the only thermophilic member of Asgard archaea to date (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a). Near-complete

MAGs ranging in size from 2.1 to 5.5 Mb with completeness ranging from 87.38 to 97.20%

were constructed for representatives of each new Asgard clade (Supplementary Table 2). To

further assess their distinctiveness compared to the Asgard members already defined, we

calculated the average nucleotide identity (ANI) (Supplementary Fig. 1) and average amino

acid identity (AAI) (Supplementary Fig. 2) between them and other Asgard MAGs. The AAI

values showed that all the MAGs of new lineages discovered here share a low AAI with the

known Asgard archaea (<50%) and fall within the phylum-level classification range

(40%~52%) (Luo et al., 2014), providing additional support for the uniqueness of these new

Asgard lineages.

To determine the phylogenetic positions of these new Asgard lineages in relation to

eukaryotes, we performed comprehensive phylogenetic analyses using 21 conserved marker

proteins carefully selected by Williams *et al*. (Williams et al., 2020). The taxa included in

these analyses were also selected on the basis of the instructions of Williams *et al.* (Williams

et al., 2020): a representative taxon set was constructed comprising 85 archaeal genomes (53

within Asgard), 19 eukaryotic genomes, and 36 bacterial genomes (Supplementary Table 3).

Single-gene datasets were also carefully inspected with single protein trees to avoid potential

phylogenetic artifacts resulting from HGT, long branch attraction (LBA) and BLASTp

inspection was performed to remove eukaryotic genes from the mitochondria or plastids.

After concatenating, the maximum likelihood tree under the LG+C60+F+G4 model for 21

conserved marker proteins was inferred. The phylogenetic analysis of 21 marker genes

suggested that eukaryotes are the sister group of Njordarchaeota with high support (bootstrap

support (BS) = 93, Fig. 1b). The phylogenomic analyses provide strong support for a

2-domain tree with Njordarchaeota as the closest potential relatives to eukaryotes.

ESP-encoding genes widely shared by the Asgard archaea

The potential ESPs were identified from the newly reconstructed Asgard MAGs (Fig. 2).

Consistent with previous reports (Spang et al., 2015, Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a,

Bulzu et al., 2019, Seitz et al., 2019), different key subunits of informational processing

machinery were found. For example, topoisomerase IB protein-encoding genes were

identified in Balderarchaeota and Hermodarchaeota, while all MAGs of Balderarchaeota were

found to encode a RNA polymerase subunit G. Homologues of eukaryotic ribosomal protein

L22e were identified in Freyr-, Hermodarchaeota and Tyrarchaeota. The new clades of

Asgard archaea were also found to contain genes related to cell division and the cytoskeleton,

but tubulin-encoding genes were not detected. With regard to actin-related proteins, two to

three related subunits were detected in Tyr- and Balderarchaeota, whereas profilin domain

protein-encoding genes were identified in all Asgard lineages described here.

Ubiquitin-based signaling is an important cellular process in eukaryotes (Raiborg and

Stenmark 2009). Previous studies have reported the presence of the related protein domains in

Loki-, Odin-, Hel- and Heimdallarchaeota but not in Thorarchaeota (Spang et al., 2015, Seitz

et al., 2019, Grau-Bové et al., 2015). Here, we identified ubiquitin system-related

protein-encoding genes in nearly all newly assembled MAGs including several

ubiquitin-related domains, zinc fingers, ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1),

ubiquitin-conjugating protein (E2), and UFM1-protein ligase 1 (E3), indicating that the

ubiquitin system is widespread in Asgard archaea.

The endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery consisting of

complexes I-III and associated subunits (Spang et al., 2015, Leung et al., 2008, Field and

Dacks 2009) were identified in the newly recovered MAGs. Genes coding for Vps28

domain-containing proteins previously found in Loki-, Odin-, Hel- and Heimdallarchaeota

(Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017b, Seitz et al., 2019) were also identified in Tyr-, Freyr-,

Balder- and Hermodarchaeota but were absent in Sigynarchaeota and Njordarchaeota. The

Sigynarchaeota MAGs also lack genes for both EAP30 domain- and steadiness box

domain-containing proteins. Notably, all new Asgard MAGs contain cyclin-like

protein-encoding genes, whereas ESCRT complexes I-III were only identified in

Freyrarchaeota.

ESPs with intracellular trafficking and secretion functions were also identified in MAGs

here. However, only Tyrarchaeota contains genes coding for proteins with homology to

TRAPP-domain protein and Sec23/24-type protein-encoding gene was only found in

Balderarchaeota. All MAGs reported in present study possess genes coding RLC7 roadblock

domain protein. Genes coding for both the N- and C-termini of arrestins were found in

Hermodarchaeota; the organization resembles one previously reported in the genome of

Lokiarchaeote CR-4, in which the C- and N-terminal domain proteins are separated from each

other by one gene (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017b).

We also analyzed the oligosaccharyltransferase (OST) complex in the reconstructed

MAGs, and the results showed that OST complex-encoding genes were present in the MAGs

of all five Asgard clades. Ribophorin I homolog-encoding genes were found in the Hermod-,

Freyr-, Sigyn- and Tyrarchaeota MAGs. Homologs of OST3/6, which have been

demonstrated to influence yeast glycosylation efficiency (Schulz et al., 2009), were also

identified in several Asgard MAGs, while STT32 subunit protein-encoding genes were

detected all MAGs, consistent with previous reports on Loki-, Odin-, Thor-, Hel- and

Heimdallarchaeota (Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017b).

In addition to reported ESPs, we identified a potential ESP belonging to mu/sigma

subunit of AP (adaptor protein) complex-encoding genes in Balder- and Freyrarchaeota

MAGs (Fig. 2). Homologues of mu/sigma subunit of AP complex contain IPR022775 domain.

AP complexes are classified into AP-1, AP-2, AP-3, AP-4 and AP-5 and all AP complexes

are heterotetramers consisting of two large subunits (adaptins), one medium-sized subunit

(mu) and one small-sized subunit (sigma) (Park and Guo 2014, Hirst et al., 2011). AP

complexes play a vital role in mediating intracellular membrane trafficking (Tan and Gleeson

2019). Taken together, the identification of potential ESP provides further insight into the

origins of eukaryotic cellular complexity.

Metabolic reconstructions of the five new Asgard lineages

Balderarchaeota MAGs contain genes coding for complete glycolysis via

Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway, major steps of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle

and β-oxidation pathway, suggesting that members of Balderarchaeota may have potential to

metabolize organic compounds including carbohydrates and fatty acids (Fig. 3). The

ADP-dependent acetyl-CoA synthetase (ACD) for acetogenesis, which is widely found in

archaea (Lazar et al., 2016), was identified in Balderarchaeota MAGs. Meanwhile, phosphate

acetyltransferase (Pta) and acetate kinase (Ack) were also found in all Balderarchaeota MAGs

(Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 5). Although the *pta* gene was found in Sigynarchaeota as well,

all of their MAGs lack the *ack* gene. The Pta/Ack pathway for acetate production, which is

common in bacteria, was so far only found in Bathyarchaeota and the methanogenic genus

Methanosarcina in archaea (He et al., 2016, Rother and Metcalf 2004) and it is the first case

that genes coding for Pta and Ack were discovered in the Asgard archaea. The archaeal

pta/ack genes were considered HGT from bacteria donors. For example, the genes in

Methanosarcina were postulated to acquire from a cellulolytic Clostridia group (Fournier and

Gogarten 2008) whereas the *pta/ack* genes donor of Bathyarchaeota was still unclear, possibly

transferring independently from unknown clades of Bacteria (He et al., 2016). For

Balderarchaeota, the phylogenetic analysis of the *ack* gene sequences revealed that *ack* genes

of Balderarchaeota form a monophylogenetic branch (Supplementary Fig. 3), but the

phylogenetic tree of *pta* genes shows that the Balderarchaeota clade are within

Bathyarchaeota branch (Supplementary Fig. 4), indicating that *pta* genes in Balderarchaeota

and Bathyarchaeota probably evolved from the same bacterial donor. Taken together, the

Pta/Ack pathway in Balderarchaeota may have acquired from different bacterial donors by

two separate HGT events. Additionally, genes coding for the nitrite reductase (NADH) large

subunit (*nir*B) were detected in MAGs of Balderarchaeota, implying a potential nitrite

reduction capability.

The Wood Ljungdahl (WL) pathway enables organisms to reduce two molecules of CO_2

to form acetyl-CoA, and then to acetate to produce ATP in anaerobic conditions and Archaea

normally utilize tetrahydromethanopterin (THMPT) as C_1 carrier. Complete gene sets

encoding for the THMPT-WL pathway were found in Freyrarchaeota, suggesting the ability to

reduce CO_2 through autotrophic acetogenesis (Fig. 3). Tetrahydrofolate (THF) could be as C1

carrier as well, which was generally found in acetogenic bacteria. Sigynarchaeota MAGs

contain genes for both types of the WL pathway but 5,10-methylenetetrahydromethanopterin

reductase (Mer) which converts 5-methyltetrahydromethanopterin to

5,10-methylenetetrahydromethanopterin was missing in all MAGs identified here, suggesting

that Sigynarchaeota probably use the THF-WL pathway for acetate production (Fig. 3,

Supplementary Table 5). Sigynarchaeota contain not only all genes responsible for glycolysis

but also abundant genes coding for extracellular carbohydrate-degrading enzymes, including

α-amylase, cellulase, α-mannosidases and β-glucosidases (Supplementary Table 4), indicating

that archaea in Sigynarchaeota have the capacity to degrade complex carbohydrates.

Sigynarchaeota MAGs contain neither NADH dehydrogenase nor type 4 [NiFe] hydrogenase

(Supplementary Table 5), nevertheless, they probably use membrane-bound heterodisulfide

reductase (Hdr) to generate proton motive force as described in their sister-lineage

Lokiarchaeota (Spang et al., 2019a).

Among genes associated with glycolysis, the specific enzymes catalyzing these steps is

different in the three lineages. In the first step of glycolysis, for example, Sigynarchaeota use

ATP-dependent ROK (repressor, open reading frame, kinase) family enzymes while

Balderarchaeota utilizes ADP-dependent glucokinase. Likewise, Sigynarchaeota encode

ATP-dependent phosphofructokinase (PfkB) but fructose 6-phosphate (F6P) to fructose

1,6-bisphosphate (F1,6P) was catalyzed by ADP-dependent phosphofructokinase (ADP-PFK)

in Balderarchaeota.

We also compared differences of metabolic characteristic between the newly identified

Hermodarchaeota members and Odinarchaeota since both of them were recovered from

high-temperature environments. Hermodarchaeota encodes all enzymes for the complete

THMPT-WL pathway, while Odinarchaeota lack several key genes of THMPT-WL pathway.

Furthermore, only group 3 [NiFe]-hydrogenases were found in Hermodarchaeota genomes,

lacking group 4 [NiFe]- hydrogenases which were identified in Odinarchaeota (Spang et al.,

2019a). The presence of THMPT-WL pathway and group 3 [NiFe]-hydrogenases in

Hermodarchaeota indicate that they could grow lithoautotrophically by using H_2 as an

electron donor. DnaK-DnaJ-GrpE chaperone system, one of the characteristics of

hyperthermophilic archaea (Richter et al., 2010), were found in Hermodarchaeota and

Odinarchaeota but genes coding for reverse gyrase were absent in both of them.

In Tyrarchaeota MAGs, glycolysis and TCA pathways are not complete, however, the

presence of genes coding for THMPT-WL pathway and group 3 [NiFe] hydrogenases implies

its potential to harness energy from H_2 oxidation, possibly for lithoautotrophic growth,

depending on environmental conditions, as suggested for Lokiarchaeota and Thorarchaeota

(Spang et al., 2019b, Liu et al., 2018b). Moreover, *de novo* anaerobic cobalamin (vitamin B_{12})

biosynthesis pathway was found in Tyrarchaeota (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 5), suggesting

that Tyrarchaeota harbor the potential of cobalamin synthesis. In nature, only limited

members of bacteria and archaea possess capacity of *de novo* cobalamin synthesis using one

of two alternative pathways: aerobic or anaerobic pathway (Fang et al., 2018). Within

Archaea, some members within Euryarchaeota, Thaumarchaeota, Crenarchaeota and

Bathyarchaeota have been reported possessing cobalamin synthesizing pathway (Doxey et al.,

2015, Pan et al., 2020), whereas only Tyrarchaeota seems to have this ability in the Asgard

archaea reported so far.

Njordarchaeota MAGs contain limited genes coding for major carbon metabolic

repertoire. Key genes coding for EMP pathway, TCA, the WL pathway and β-oxidation

pathway were missing (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 5). But genes coding for amino acids

utilization were found in Njordarchaeota, including aminotransferases and

2-oxoacid:ferredoxin oxidoreductases (the former catalyzes the interconversion of amino

acids and 2-oxoacids and the latter oxidates 2-oxoacids to acyl-CoA), indicating that

Njordarchaeota has potential to metabolize amino acids. The amino acid carboxylate is

transferred to CO_2 and reducing ferredoxin during 2-oxoacid oxidation, which further could

be oxidized into formate and H_2 by formate dehydrogenase (Fdh) and [NiFe] hydrogenases,

respectively (Imachi et al., 2020). [NiFe]-hydrogenases were detected in Njordarchaeota but

lacking Fdh, indicating that Njordarchaeota might have a fermentative life style by produce

acetate or H_2 via degrading amino acids. Nevertheless, cultivation experiments are necessary

to verify all these predictions described here.

Several models for the origin of eukaryotes have been proposed, based on a metabolic

symbiosis between one archaeon and bacterial partner, which was fostered by the discovery of

natural syntrophy between *Candidatus* Prometheoarchaeum syntrophicum (that can degrade

amino acids to H_2 or formate) and Deltaproteobacteria (that can utilize H_2 or Formate and

provide amino acids or vitamin B_{12} to partner). Compared with other members of Asgard

archaea, Njordarchaeota possess limited pathway for carbon metabolism (Supplementary

Table 6), implying that they prone to grow in symbiosis with other organisms to adapt

complicated and volatile environment. Combining the phylogenetic affiliation with

eukaryotes and metabolic characteristic of Njordarchaeota, we speculate that the archaeal

ancestor of eukaryotes probably has potential to degrade amino acids to produce acetate or H_2

which can further benefit to the bacterial partner, although other additional lifestyles could not

be excluded.

Conclusion

Undoubtedly, the origin of eukaryotes is one of the most important evolutionary events. The

discovery of Asgard archaea has boosted the eocyte hypothesis that eukaryotes derive from

within archaea because the Asgard archaea possess two remarkable features: robust

evolutionary affinity with eukaryotes and various ESPs existence. In the present study, five

novel Asgard lineages were discovered based on phylogenetic analyses and AAI value

comparison, which significantly expand the phylogenetic and metabolic diversity of the

Asgard archaea. Our analyses support a 2-domain tree of life and demonstrate that the

eukaryotes lineage cluster with Njordarchaeota alone, suggesting that Njordarchaeota lineage

is the closest relatives to eukaryotes than Heimdallarchaeota. Metabolic characteristic of

Njordarchaeota shows different carbon metabolic pathways from Heimdallarchaeota that were

considered living in anoxic or oxic environment using various organic substrates such as

carbohydrates and fatty acids (Spang et al., 2019a, Bulzu et al., 2019, Cai et al., 2020),

whereas Njordarchaeota lack both complete glycolysis and WL pathway and the most

possibility of metabolism type is using amino acids in anoxic niches. This finding does not

contradict to the hypothesis by Spang *et al.* inferring metabolic feature of the archaeal

ancestor of eukaryotes (Spang et al., 2019a) that it used organic substrates to produce acetate,

formate, H_2, which might be beneficial for symbiosis. In general, the characterization of

additional genomes and continuous efforts to cultivate Asgard archaea will provide additional

insights into the evolution of archaea and their potential evolution into eukaryotes. Such

insights will enable greater understanding of the ecological and geochemical roles of archaea

in Earth's history.

Propose type of new taxa

Candidatus **Tyrarchaeum** (Tyr.ar.chae'um. N.L. neut. n. *archaeum* archaeon; N.L. neut. n.

Tyrarchaeum an archaeon named after Tyr, the god of war in North mythology). Type species:

Candidatus Tyrarchaeum oakense.

Candidatus **Tyrarchaeum oakense** (oak'ense N.L. neut. adj. pertaining to white oak river,

North Carolina in the United States). This uncultured lineage is represented by the genome

"WOR_431" consisting of 2.4 Mbps in 246 contigs with an estimated completeness of

91.56%, an estimated contamination of 2.95% and 20 tRNAs The MAG recovered from white

oak river sediment.

Candidatus **Freyrarchaeum** (Freyr.ar.chae'um. N.L. neut. n. *archaeum* archaeon; N.L. neut.

n. *Freyrarchaeum* an archaeon named after Freyr, the god of peace in North mythology).

Type species: Candidatus Freyrarchaeum guaymasis.

Candidatus **Freyrarchaeum guaymasis** (guayma'sis N.L. neut. adj. pertaining to Guaymas

Basin, located in the Gulf of California, México). This uncultured lineage is represented by

the genome "GB_236" consisting of 2.0 Mbps in 104 contigs with an estimated completeness

of 91.59%, an estimated contamination of 6.54% and 19 tRNAs The MAG recovered from

Guaymas Basin sediment.

Candidatus **Sigynarchaeum** (Sigyn.ar.chae'um. N.L. neut. n. *archaeum* archaeon; N.L. neut.

n. *Sigynarchaeum* an archaeon named after Sigyn, the god of victory in North mythology).

Type species: Candidatus Sigynarchaeum springense.

Candidatus **Sigynarchaeum springense** (spring'ense N.L. neut. adj. pertaining to hot spring,

Tengchong, China). This uncultured lineage is represented by the genome "SQRJ_234"

consisting of 5.9 Mbps in 269 contigs with an estimated completeness of 91.59%, an

estimated contamination of 4.67% and 21 tRNAs The MAG recovered from hot spring

sediment.

Candidatus **Balderarchaeum** (Balder.ar.chae'um. N.L. neut. n. *archaeum* archaeon; N.L.

neut. n. *Balderarchaeum* an archaeon named after Balder, the god of light in North

mythology). Type species: Candidatus Balderarchaeum guaymasis.

Candidatus **Balderarchaeum guaymasis** (guayma'sis N.L. neut. adj. pertaining to Guaymas

Basin, located in the Gulf of California, México). This uncultured lineage is represented by

the genome "GB_128" consisting of 3.8 Mbps in 131 contigs with an estimated completeness

of 97.2%, an estimated contamination of 4.21% and 20 tRNAs The MAG recovered from

Guaymas Basin sediment.

Candidatus **Njordarchaeum** (Njord.ar.chae'um. N.L. neut. n. *archaeum* archaeon; N.L. neut.

n. *Njordarchaeum* an archaeon named after Njord, the god of seas in North mythology). Type

species: Candidatus Njordarchaeum guaymasis.

Candidatus **Njordarchaeum guaymasis** (guayma'sis N.L. neut. adj. pertaining to Guaymas

Basin, located in the Gulf of California, México). This uncultured lineage is represented by

the genome "GB_154" consisting of 2.1 Mbps in 191 contigs with an estimated completeness

of 87.38%, an estimated contamination of 4.67% and 20 tRNAs The MAG recovered from

Guaymas Basin sediment.

Candidatus **Tyrarchaeaceae** (Tyr.ar.chae.ace'ae. N.L. neut. n. *Tyrarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -aceae ending to denote the family; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Tyrarchaeaceae*, the

Tyrarchaeum family).

The family is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Tyrarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Tyrarchaeales** (Tyr.ar.chae.a'les. N.L. neut. n. *Tyrarchaeum*, Candidatus generic

name; -ales ending to denote the order; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Tyrarchaeales*, the *Tyrarchaeum*

order).

The order is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Tyrarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Tyrarchaeia** (Tyr.ar.chae'i.a. N.L. neut. n. *Tyrarchaeum*, Candidatus generic

name; -ia ending to denote the class; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Tyrarchaeia*, the *Tyrarchaeum* class).

The class is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Tyrarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Tyrarchaeota** (Tyr.ar.chae.o'ta. N.L. neut. n. *Tyrarchaeum*, Candidatus generic

name; -ota ending to denote the phylum; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Tyrarchaeota*, the *Tyrarchaeum*

phylum).

The phylum is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Tyrarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Freyrarchaeaceae** (Freyr.ar.chae.ace'ae. N.L. neut. n. *Freyrarchaeum*,

Candidatus generic name; -aceae ending to denote the family; N.L. fem. pl. n.

Freyrarchaeaceae, the *Freyrarchaeum* family).

The family is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Freyrarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Freyrarchaeales** (Freyr.ar.chae.a'les. N.L. neut. n. *Freyrarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ales ending to denote the order; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Freyrarchaeales*, the

Freyrarchaeum order).

The order is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Freyrarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Freyrarchaeia** (Freyr.ar.chae'i.a. N.L. neut. n. *Freyrarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ia ending to denote the class; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Freyrarchaeia*, the

Freyrarchaeum class).

The class is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Freyrarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Freyrarchaeota** (Freyr.ar.chae.o'ta. N.L. neut. n. *Freyrarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ota ending to denote the phylum; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Freyrarchaeota*, the

Freyrarchaeum phylum).

The phylum is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Freyrarchaeum.

Candidatus **Sigynarchaeaceae** (Sigyn.ar.chae.ace'ae. N.L. neut. n. *Sigynarchaeum*,

Candidatus generic name; -aceae ending to denote the family; N.L. fem. pl. n.

Sigynarchaeaceae, the *Sigynarchaeum* family).

The family is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Sigynarchaeum.

Candidatus **Sigynarchaeales** (Sigyn.ar.chae.a'les. N.L. neut. n. *Sigynarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ales ending to denote the order; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Sigynarchaeales*, the

Sigynarchaeum order).

The order is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Sigynarchaeum.

Candidatus **Sigynarchaeia** (Sigyn.ar.chae'i.a. N.L. neut. n. *Sigynarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ia ending to denote the class; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Sigynarchaeia*, the

Sigynarchaeum class).

The class is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Sigynarchaeum.

Candidatus **Sigynarchaeota** (Sigyn.ar.chae.o'ta. N.L. neut. n. *Sigynarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ota ending to denote the phylum; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Sigynarchaeota*, the

Sigynarchaeum phylum).

The phylum is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Sigynarchaeum.

Candidatus **Balderarchaeaceae** (Balder.ar.chae.ace'ae. N.L. neut. n. *Balderarchaeum*,

Candidatus generic name; -aceae ending to denote the family; N.L. fem. pl. n.

Balderarchaeaceae, the *Balderarchaeum* family).

The family is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Balderarchaeum.

Candidatus **Balderarchaeales** (Balder.ar.chae.a'les. N.L. neut. n. *Balderarchaeum*,

Candidatus generic name; -ales ending to denote the order; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Balderarchaeales*,

the *Balderarchaeum* order).

The order is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Balderarchaeum.

Candidatus **Balderarchaeia** (Balder.ar.chae'i.a. N.L. neut. n. *Balderarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ia ending to denote the class; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Balderarchaeia*, the

Balderarchaeum class).

The class is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Balderarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Balderarchaeota** (Balder.ar.chae.o'ta. N.L. neut. n. *Balderarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ota ending to denote the phylum; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Balderarchaeota*, the

Balderarchaeum phylum).

The phylum is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Balderarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Njordarchaeaceae** (Njord.ar.chae.ace'ae. N.L. neut. n. *Njordarchaeum*,

Candidatus generic name; -aceae ending to denote the family; N.L. fem. pl. n.

Njordarchaeaceae, the *Njordarchaeum* family).

The family is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Njordarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Njordarchaeales** (Njord.ar.chae.a'les. N.L. neut. n. *Njordarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ales ending to denote the order; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Njordarchaeales*, the

Njordarchaeum order).

The order is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Njordarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Njordarchaeia** (Njord.ar.chae'i.a. N.L. neut. n. *Njordarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ia ending to denote the class; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Njordarchaeia*, the

Njordarchaeum class).

The class is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Njordarchaeum.

***Candidatus* Njordarchaeota** (Njord.ar.chae.o'ta. N.L. neut. n. *Njordarchaeum*, Candidatus

generic name; -ota ending to denote the phylum; N.L. fem. pl. n. *Njordarchaeota*, the

Njordarchaeum phylum).

The phylum is described based on 37 concatenated conserved marker genes phylogeny. The

description is the same as that of its sole genus and species. Type genus is *Candidatus*

Njordarchaeum.

Methods

Sampling and processing. Detailed methods for collection, DNA extraction, and

metagenome sequencing of Guaymas Basin samples has been described in previous study

(Feng et al., 2019). Six sediment samples of hot spring were taken from Tengchong, Yunnan,

China on September, 2019 (24.95°N, 98.44°E). DNA was extracted from 10 g of each sample

by using PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (Mo Bio). Metagenomic sequence data for the six

samples were generated using Illumina HiSeq 2500 instruments.

Data collection. Asgard archaea are distributed mainly in different environmental sediments,

including estuary sediments (Seitz et al., 2016), mangrove sediments (Liu et al., 2018a),

hydrothermal   sediments   (Dombrowski   et   al.,   2018),   hot   spring   sediments

(Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a), marine sediments (Spang et al., 2015), and freshwater

sediments (Narrowe et al., 2018). According to the environmental distributions of Asgard

archaea, metagenomic data were collected and downloaded from the SRA database

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/).

Metagenomic assembly and genomic binning. The raw reads were trimmed using

Trimmomatic (v.0.38) (Bolger et al., 2014) to remove adapters and low-quality reads. After

trimming, the reads of each sample were *de novo* assembled using Megahit (v.1.2.5) (Li et al.,

2015) with a k-step of 6. Samples from the same location or similar environments were

assembled together. Contigs were binned separately using MetaBAT (v.2.12.1) (Kang et al.,

2019), MaxBin (v.2.2.7) (Wu et al., 2016), and Concoct (v.1.1.0) (Alneberg et al., 2014) with

the default parameters, and the initial taxonomic classification of each MAGs was performed

using GTDB-Tk (v.1.2.0) (Chaumeil et al., 2019) to extract Asgard MAGs. The completeness

and contamination of Asgard MAGs were evaluated with the CheckM lineage_wf workflow

(v.1.0.12) (Parks et al., 2015). Finally, Asgard MAGs with completeness above 50% and

contamination below 10% were selected for further analyses, and Prodigal (v.2.6.1) (Hyatt et

al., 2010) was used to predict protein-coding genes for these selected Asgard MAGs.

Phylogenetic analyses of Asgard MAGs. To determine the exact phylogenetic affiliations in

the Asgard superphylum, 37 conserved marker genes were selected as described in the

literature (Wang et al., 2019, Jay et al., 2018). Homologs of the 37 conserved marker proteins

were identified using Diamond (v.2.0.4) (Buchfink et al., 2015). Each dataset of marker

proteins was aligned with MAFFT-L-INS-i (v.7.313) (Katoh and Standley 2013) and trimmed

by trimAl (v.1.4.22) (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009) with the "automated1" option.

Maximum-likelihood phylogenies for the 37 conserved marker proteins was built using

IQ-TREE (v.2.0.5) (Nguyen et al., 2015) under the model "LG+F+G4+C60". The support

values were calculated using 1000 ultrafast bootstraps.

Phylogenetic tree of life. To confirm the phylogenetic affiliations of eukaryotes and the novel

Asgard lineages, 21 taxonomic marker genes shared among three domains selected by

Williams (Williams et al., 2020) were used for phylogenetic analyses. Single-gene trees were

inferred for all the markers using IQ-TREE with the LG+G4+F model to exclude

phylogenetic artefacts such as long branch attraction, HGT (eukaryotic genes falling into

bacterial clade or scattering in archaeal clade were considered as HGT) and a BLASTp

inspection was further performed to identify all eukaryotic genes that originated from the

nuclear genome and to remove genes of mitochondrial or chloroplastic origin. The

maximum-likelihood tree was built with IQ-TREE under the LG+C60+F+G4 model with

1000 ultrafast bootstraps.

Identification of ESPs. All predicted proteins encoded by the MAGs of the five novel Asgard

lineages and Hermodarchaeota were analyzed using InterProScan (Jones et al., 2014)

(v.5.47-82.0) with default parameters to annotate protein domains and were assigned to

archaeal clusters of orthologous genes (arCOGs) (Makarova et al., 2015) by eggnog-mapper

(v. 2.0.1b) (Huerta-Cepas et al., 2019) with default settings.. The lists of InterPro accession

numbers (IPRs) and arCOG identifiers previously published by Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka *et al.*

(Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka et al., 2017a) and Bulzu *et al.* (Bulzu et al., 2019) were used to

identify potential ESPs. Some key words related to eukaryote-specific processes or cell

structures were used to search annotation information of interProScan to identify potential

ESPs previously not reported in Asgard archaea. Several candidate ESPs were further

examined using HHpred (Zimmermann et al., 2018) with default parameters.

Metabolic reconstruction. The proteome of each MAG reported in the present study was

uploaded to the KEGG Automatic Annotation Server (KAAS) (Moriya et al., 2007) and run

with several settings: the GHOSTX, Prokaryotes and Bidirectional Best Hit (BBH) settings.

Additionally, proteins were queried against the nonredundant (NR) protein database

(downloaded from NCBI on February 2020) using the Diamond (v.2.0.4) BLASTp search

(e-value cutoff <1e−5). Metabolic pathways were reconstructed based on combination of the

NR annotations, protein domain information and KEGG Ontology (KO) numbers.

The dbCAN2 (Zhang et al., 2018) web server was used to identify carbohydrate-degrading

enzymes with the default settings. The putative large subunits of [NiFe] hydrogenases were

identified by querying against a local database based on HydDB (Søndergaard et al., 2016)

using Diamond (v.2.0.4) with an E-value cutoff of $1e^{-20}$ and sequences containing CxxC

motifs in both N-terminal and C-terminal were considered as hydrogenases. Additionally, a

local MEROPS database (downloaded September 2020) (Rawlings et al., 2016) searched for

peptidases by Diamond (v.2.0.4) with an E-value cutoff of 1×10^{-20}, and PSORT (v.3.0.2) was

used to identify protein localization (Horton et al., 2007).

Calculation of ANI and average AAI. The ANI and AAI values were calculated using

OrthoANI   (v.1.2)   (Lee   et   al.,   2016)   and   CompareM

(https://github.com/dparks1134/CompareM), respectively, with the default parameters.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for Dr. Tom A. William for his suggestions regarding phylogenetic analysis.

We thank Brett Baker for allowing us use their metagenomic data freely. These sequence data

were   produced   by   the   US   Department   of   Energy   Joint   Genome   Institute

http://www.jgi.doe.gov/ in collaboration with the user community.

Data availability

The genomes of Asgard archaea generated in this study have been made available at the

eLibrary   of   Microbial   Systematics   and   Genomics   (eLMSG;

https://www.biosino.org/elmsg/index)   under   accession   numbers   LMSG_G000000610.1   -

LMSG_G000000611.1 for Njordarchaeota, LMSG_G000000612.1 - LMSG_G000000613.1

for Tyrarchaeota, LMSG_G000000614.1 - LMSG_G000000616.1 and LMSG_G000000628.1

for Sigynarchaeota, LMSG_G000000617.1 - LMSG_G000000618.1 for Hermodarchaeota,

LMSG_G000000622.1 for Freyrarchaeota, LMSG_G000000625.1 - LMSG_G000000627.1

for Sigynarchaeota.

The initial phylogenetic trees have been deposited at figshare and can be accessed at the

following link:

https://figshare.com/s/c20d9eccb7e4591b429c.

Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 91751205,

41525011), the National Key Research and Development Project of China (Grant No.

2016YFA0601102), the Senior User Project of RV KEXUE (KEXUE2019GZ06).

Author contributions

R.Z.X., Y.Z.W. and F.P.W. conceived the study. R.Z.X., Y.Z.W., D.Y.H., H.J.L., H.N.H., L.Y.L.

and X.X.Z. analyzed the data. R.Z.X., Y.Z.W. and F.P.W. wrote the paper.

Compliance and ethics

The author(s) declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

References

Embley T M, Martin W. 2006. Eukaryotic evolution, changes and challenges. *Nature*, **440**, 623-630.

López-García P, Moreira D. 2015. Open questions on the origin of eukaryotes. *Trends in ecology &*
evolution, **30**, 697-708.

Rochette N C, Brochier-Armanet C, Gouy M. 2014. Phylogenomic test of the hypotheses for the
evolutionary origin of eukaryotes. *Molecular biology and evolution*, **31**, 832-845.

Lopez-Garcia P, Moreira D. 2006. Selective forces for the origin of the eukaryotic nucleus. *Bioessays*,
28, 525-533. doi,10.1002/bies.20413.

López-García P, Moreira D. 1999. Metabolic symbiosis at the origin of eukaryotes. *Trends in*
biochemical sciences, **24**, 88-93.

Martin W F, Garg S, Zimorski V. 2015. Endosymbiotic theories for eukaryote origin. *Philosophical*
Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, **370**, 20140330.

Esser C, Ahmadinejad N, Wiegand C, Rotte C, Sebastiani F, Gelius-Dietrich G, Henze K, Kretschmann
E, Richly E, Leister D. 2004. A genome phylogeny for mitochondria among α-proteobacteria
and a predominantly eubacterial ancestry of yeast nuclear genes. *Molecular biology and*
evolution, **21**, 1643-1660.

Moreira D, López-García P. 1998. Symbiosis between methanogenic archaea and δ-proteobacteria as
the origin of eukaryotes: the syntrophic hypothesis. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **47**,
517-530.

Spang A, Saw J H, Jorgensen S L, Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka K, Martijn J, Lind A E, van Eijk R, Schleper
C, Guy L, Ettema T J G. 2015. Complex archaea that bridge the gap between prokaryotes and
eukaryotes. *Nature*, **521**, 173-179. doi,10.1038/nature14447.

Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka K, Caceres E F, Saw J H, Bäckström D, Juzokaite L, Vancaester E, Seitz K W,
Anantharaman K, Starnawski P, Kjeldsen K U. 2017a. Asgard archaea illuminate the origin of
eukaryotic cellular complexity. *Nature*, **541**, 353.

Spang A, Stairs C W, Dombrowski N, Eme L, Lombard J, Caceres E F, Greening C, Baker B J, Ettema
T J. 2019a. Proposal of the reverse flow model for the origin of the eukaryotic cell based on
comparative analyses of Asgard archaeal metabolism. *Nature microbiology*, 1.

Williams T A, Foster P G, Cox C J, Embley T M. 2013. An archaeal origin of eukaryotes supports only
two primary domains of life. *Nature*, **504**, 231-236.

Woese C R, Kandler O, Wheelis M L. 1990. Towards a natural system of organisms: proposal for the

domains Archaea, Bacteria, and Eucarya. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,

87, 4576-4579.

Williams T A, Cox C J, Foster P G, Szollosi G J, Embley T M. 2020. Phylogenomics provides robust

support for a two-domains tree of life. *Nat Ecol Evol*, **4**, 138-147.

doi,10.1038/s41559-019-1040-x.

Hartman H, Fedorov A. 2002. The origin of the eukaryotic cell: a genomic investigation. *Proceedings*

of the National Academy of Sciences, **99**, 1420-1425.

Bulzu P-A, Andrei A-Ş, Salcher M M, Mehrshad M, Inoue K, Kandori H, Beja O, Ghai R, Banciu H L.

2019. Casting light on Asgardarchaeota metabolism in a sunlit microoxic niche. *Nature*

microbiology, **4**, 1129-1137.

Liu Y, Zhou Z, Pan J, Baker B J, Gu J-D, Li M. 2018a. Comparative genomic inference suggests

mixotrophic lifestyle for Thorarchaeota. *The ISME journal*, **12**, 1021-1031.

Seitz K W, Lazar C S, Hinrichs K-U, Teske A P, Baker B J. 2016. Genomic reconstruction of a novel,

deeply branched sediment archaeal phylum with pathways for acetogenesis and sulfur

reduction. *The ISME journal*, **10**, 1696-1705.

Wong H L, White R A, Visscher P T, Charlesworth J C, Vázquez-Campos X, Burns B P. 2018.

Disentangling the drivers of functional complexity at the metagenomic level in Shark Bay

microbial mat microbiomes. *The ISME journal*, **12**, 2619-2639.

MacLeod F, Kindler G S, Wong H L, Chen R, Burns B P. 2019. Asgard archaea: diversity, function, and

evolutionary implications in a range of microbiomes. *AIMS microbiology*, **5**, 48.

Imachi H, Nobu M K, Nakahara N, Morono Y, Ogawara M, Takaki Y, Takano Y, Uematsu K, Ikuta T,

Ito M, Matsui Y, Miyazaki M, Murata K, Saito Y, Sakai S, Song C, Tasumi E, Yamanaka Y,

Yamaguchi T, Kamagata Y, Tamaki H, Takai K. 2020. Isolation of an archaeon at the

prokaryote-eukaryote interface. *Nature*, **577**, 519-525. doi,10.1038/s41586-019-1916-6.

Akıl C, Tran L T, Orhant-Prioux M, Baskaran Y, Manser E, Blanchoin L, Robinson R C. 2020. Insights

into the evolution of regulated actin dynamics via characterization of primitive gelsolin/cofilin

proteins from Asgard archaea. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

Zhang R-Y, Zou B, Yan Y-W, Jeon C O, Li M, Cai M, Quan Z-X. 2020. Design of targeted primers

based on 16S rRNA sequences in meta-transcriptomic datasets and identification of a novel

635    taxonomic group in the Asgard archaea. *BMC microbiology*, **20**, 25.

636 Wang Y, Wegener G, Hou J, Wang F, Xiao X. 2019. Expanding anaerobic alkane metabolism in the

637    domain of Archaea. *Nat Microbiol*, **4**, 595-602. doi,10.1038/s41564-019-0364-2.

638 Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka K, Caceres E F, Saw J H, Backstrom D, Juzokaite L, Vancaester E, Seitz K W,

639    Anantharaman K, Starnawski P, Kjeldsen K U, Stott M B, Nunoura T, Banfield J F, Schramm

640    A, Baker B J, Spang A, Ettema T J. 2017b. Asgard archaea illuminate the origin of eukaryotic

641    cellular complexity. *Nature*, **541**, 353-358. doi,10.1038/nature21031.

642 Zhang J W, Dong H P, Hou L J, Liu Y, Ou Y F, Zheng Y L, Han P, Liang X, Yin G Y, Wu D M, Liu M,

643    Li M. 2021. Newly discovered Asgard archaea Hermodarchaeota potentially degrade alkanes

644    and aromatics via alkyl/benzyl-succinate synthase and benzoyl-CoA pathway. *ISME J*.

645    doi,10.1038/s41396-020-00890-x.

646 Luo C, Rodriguez-r L M, Konstantinidis K T. 2014. MyTaxa: an advanced taxonomic classifier for

647    genomic and metagenomic sequences. *Nucleic acids research*, **42**, e73-e73.

648 Seitz K W, Dombrowski N, Eme L, Spang A, Lombard J, Sieber J R, Teske A P, Ettema T J G, Baker B

649    J. 2019. Asgard archaea capable of anaerobic hydrocarbon cycling. *Nat Commun*, **10**, 1822.

650    doi,10.1038/s41467-019-09364-x.

651 Raiborg C, Stenmark H. 2009. The ESCRT machinery in endosomal sorting of ubiquitylated membrane

652    proteins. *Nature*, **458**, 445-452.

653 Grau-Bové X, Sebé-Pedrós A, Ruiz-Trillo I. 2015. The Eukaryotic Ancestor Had a Complex Ubiquitin

654    Signaling System of Archaeal Origin. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **32**, 726-739.

655    doi,10.1093/molbev/msu334.

656 Leung K F, Dacks J B, Field M C. 2008. Evolution of the multivesicular body ESCRT machinery;

657    retention across the eukaryotic lineage. *Traffic*, **9**, 1698-1716.

658    doi,10.1111/j.1600-0854.2008.00797.x.

659 Field M C, Dacks J B. 2009. First and last ancestors: reconstructing evolution of the endomembrane

660    system with ESCRTs, vesicle coat proteins, and nuclear pore complexes. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*,

661    **21**, 4-13. doi,10.1016/j.ceb.2008.12.004.

662 Schulz B L, Stirnimann C U, Grimshaw J P, Brozzo M S, Fritsch F, Mohorko E, Capitani G,

663    Glockshuber R, Grutter M G, Aebi M. 2009. Oxidoreductase activity of

664    oligosaccharyltransferase subunits Ost3p and Ost6p defines site-specific glycosylation

efficiency. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **106**, 11061-11066. doi,10.1073/pnas.0812515106.

Park S Y, Guo X. 2014. Adaptor protein complexes and intracellular transport. *Bioscience reports*, **34**.

Hirst J, Barlow L D, Francisco G C, Sahlender D A, Seaman M N, Dacks J B, Robinson M S. 2011.

The fifth adaptor protein complex. *PLoS Biol*, **9**, e1001170.

Tan J Z A, Gleeson P A. 2019. Cargo sorting at the trans-Golgi network for shunting into specific

transport routes: role of Arf small G proteins and adaptor complexes. *Cells*, **8**, 531.

Lazar C S, Baker B J, Seitz K, Hyde A S, Dick G J, Hinrichs K U, Teske A P. 2016. Genomic evidence

for distinct carbon substrate preferences and ecological niches of B athyarchaeota in estuarine

sediments. *Environmental microbiology*, **18**, 1200-1211.

He Y, Li M, Perumal V, Feng X, Fang J, Xie J, Sievert S, Wang F. 2016. Genomic and enzymatic

evidence for acetogenesis among multiple lineages of the archaeal phylum Bathyarchaeota

widespread in marine sediments. *Nature microbiology*, **1**, 1-9.

Rother M, Metcalf W W. 2004. Anaerobic growth of Methanosarcina acetivorans C2A on carbon

monoxide: an unusual way of life for a methanogenic archaeon. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences, **101**, 16929-16934.

Fournier G P, Gogarten J P. 2008. Evolution of acetoclastic methanogenesis in Methanosarcina via

horizontal gene transfer from cellulolytic Clostridia. *Journal of bacteriology*, **190**, 1124-1127.

Sousa F L, Martin W F. 2014. Biochemical fossils of the ancient transition from geoenergetics to

bioenergetics in prokaryotic one carbon compound metabolism. *Biochimica et Biophysica*

Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics, **1837**, 964-981.

Ma K, Schicho R N, Kelly R M, Adams M W. 1993. Hydrogenase of the hyperthermophile Pyrococcus

furiosus is an elemental sulfur reductase or sulfhydrogenase: evidence for a sulfur-reducing

hydrogenase ancestor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **90**, 5341-5344.

doi,10.1073/pnas.90.11.5341.

Richter K, Haslbeck M, Buchner J. 2010. The heat shock response: life on the verge of death.

Molecular Cell, **40**, 253-266.

Spang A, Stairs C W, Dombrowski N, Eme L, Lombard J, Caceres E F, Greening C, Baker B J, Ettema

T J G. 2019b. Proposal of the reverse flow model for the origin of the eukaryotic cell based on

comparative analyses of Asgard archaeal metabolism. *Nat Microbiol*, **4**, 1138-1148.

doi,10.1038/s41564-019-0406-9.

Liu Y, Zhou Z, Pan J, Baker B J, Gu J D, Li M. 2018b. Comparative genomic inference suggests

mixotrophic lifestyle for Thorarchaeota. *ISME J*, **12**, 1021-1031.

doi,10.1038/s41396-018-0060-x.

Fang H, Li D, Kang J, Jiang P, Sun J, Zhang D. 2018. Metabolic engineering of Escherichia coli for de

novo biosynthesis of vitamin B 12. *Nature communications*, **9**, 1-12.

Doxey A C, Kurtz D A, Lynch M D, Sauder L A, Neufeld J D. 2015. Aquatic metagenomes implicate

Thaumarchaeota in global cobalamin production. *The ISME journal*, **9**, 461-471.

Pan J, Zhou Z, Béjà O, Cai M, Yang Y, Liu Y, Gu J-D, Li M. 2020. Genomic and transcriptomic

evidence of light-sensing, porphyrin biosynthesis, Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle, and urea

production in Bathyarchaeota. *Microbiome*, **8**, 1-12.

Cai M, Liu Y, Yin X, Zhou Z, Friedrich M W, Richter-Heitmann T, Nimzyk R, Kulkarni A, Wang X, Li

W. 2020. Diverse Asgard archaea including the novel phylum Gerdarchaeota participate in

organic matter degradation. *Science China Life Sciences*, 1-12.

Feng X, Wang Y, Zubin R, Wang F. 2019. Core metabolic features and hot origin of Bathyarchaeota.

Engineering, **5**, 498-504.

Dombrowski N, Teske A P, Baker B J. 2018. Expansive microbial metabolic versatility and biodiversity

in dynamic Guaymas Basin hydrothermal sediments. *Nature communications*, **9**, 1-13.

Narrowe A B, Spang A, Stairs C W, Caceres E F, Baker B J, Miller C S, Ettema T J. 2018. Complex

evolutionary history of translation Elongation Factor 2 and diphthamide biosynthesis in

Archaea and parabasalids. *Genome biology and evolution*, **10**, 2380-2393.

Bolger A M, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.

Bioinformatics, **30**, 2114-2120.

Li D, Liu C-M, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam T-W. 2015. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for

large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. *Bioinformatics*, **31**,

1674-1676.

Kang D D, Li F, Kirton E, Thomas A, Egan R, An H, Wang Z. 2019. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning

algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ*,

7, e7359.

Wu Y-W, Simmons B A, Singer S W. 2016. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to recover

genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics*, **32**, 605-607.

Alneberg J, Bjarnason B S, De Bruijn I, Schirmer M, Quick J, Ijaz U Z, Lahti L, Loman N J, Andersson

A F, Quince C. 2014. Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. *Nature*

methods, **11**, 1144-1146.

Chaumeil P A, Mussig A J, Hugenholtz P, Parks D H. 2019. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes

with the Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics*. doi,10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848.

Parks D H, Imelfort M, Skennerton C T, Hugenholtz P, Tyson G W. 2015. CheckM: assessing the

quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome*

research, **25**, 1043-1055.

Hyatt D, Chen G-L, LoCascio P F, Land M L, Larimer F W, Hauser L J. 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic

gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC bioinformatics*, **11**, 119.

Jay Z J, Beam J P, Dlakić M, Rusch D B, Kozubal M A, Inskeep W P. 2018. Marsarchaeota are an

aerobic archaeal lineage abundant in geothermal iron oxide microbial mats. *Nature*

microbiology, **3**, 732-740.

Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson D H. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nature*

methods, **12**, 59-60.

Katoh K, Standley D M. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements

in performance and usability. *Molecular biology and evolution*, **30**, 772-780.

Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez J M, Gabaldón T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment

trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1972-1973.

Nguyen L-T, Schmidt H A, Von Haeseler A, Minh B Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic

algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular biology and evolution*,

32, 268-274.

Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka

G. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics*, **30**,

1236-1240.

Makarova K S, Wolf Y I, Koonin E V. 2015. Archaeal clusters of orthologous genes (arCOGs): an

update and application for analysis of shared features between Thermococcales,

Methanococcales, and Methanobacteriales. *Life*, **5**, 818-840.

Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund S K, Cook H, Mende D R,

Letunic I, Rattei T, Jensen L J. 2019. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and

phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses.

Nucleic acids research, **47**, D309-D314.

Zimmermann L, Stephens A, Nam S-Z, Rau D, Kübler J, Lozajic M, Gabler F, Söding J, Lupas A N,

Alva V. 2018. A completely reimplemented MPI bioinformatics toolkit with a new HHpred

server at its core. *Journal of molecular biology*, **430**, 2237-2243.

Moriya Y, Itoh M, Okuda S, Yoshizawa A C, Kanehisa M. 2007. KAAS: an automatic genome

annotation and pathway reconstruction server. *Nucleic acids research*, **35**, W182-W185.

Zhang H, Yohe T, Huang L, Entwistle S, Wu P, Yang Z, Busk P K, Xu Y, Yin Y. 2018. dbCAN2: a meta

server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. *Nucleic acids research*, **46**,

W95-W101.

Søndergaard D, Pedersen C N, Greening C. 2016. HydDB: a web tool for hydrogenase classification

and analysis. *Scientific reports*, **6**, 1-8.

Rawlings N D, Barrett A J, Finn R. 2016. Twenty years of the MEROPS database of proteolytic

enzymes, their substrates and inhibitors. *Nucleic acids research*, **44**, D343-D350.

Horton P, Park K-J, Obayashi T, Fujita N, Harada H, Adams-Collier C, Nakai K. 2007. WoLF PSORT:

protein localization predictor. *Nucleic acids research*, **35**, W585-W587.

Lee I, Kim Y O, Park S-C, Chun J. 2016. OrthoANI: an improved algorithm and software for

calculating average nucleotide identity. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary*

microbiology, **66**, 1100-1103.

Figure 1. Phylogenetic analyses of Asgard archaea. a, Maximum-likelihood tree of 37 concatenated

marker proteins inferred with the LG+F+C60+G4 model in IQ-TREE rooted in DPANN, 19

representatives of DPANN, 55 representatives of Euryarchaeota, 29 representatives of TACK and 68

genomes (including five new lineages) of Asgard were used to infer phylogenetic tree. **b,** Maximum

likelihood inference of 21 concatenated conserved protein sequences under the LG+F+C60+G4 model

rooted in bacteria, 85 archaeal genomes (53 within Asgard), 19 eukaryotic genomes, and 36 bacterial

genomes were used to infer phylogenetic tree. The bootstrap support values above 90 were shown with

black filled circles.

Figure 2. Comparison of the distributions of ESPs in the five new Asgard lineages and other representative Asgard clades. Colored stars indicate the presence of ESPs, whereas empty stars indicate the absence of ESPs. The grey box highlights ESP identified in this study. The new ESP, mu/sigma subunit of AP complex, was detected in Balderarchaeote SQRJ26 and Balderarchaeote SQRJ82.

Figure 3. Inferred metabolic pathways of the five new Asgard lineages and the new clade of Hermodarchaeota based on genes identified using the KEGG database and the NCBI NR protein database. A black line indicates that a component/process is present in representative MAGs, a grey line indicates that a component/process is present in other MAGs, and a dashed line indicates that a certain pathway or enzyme is absent from all genomes. The representatives of the different lineages are as follows: Balderarchaeota, SQRJ26; Freyrarchaeota, GB236; Njordarchaeota, GB154; Sigynarchaeota, SQRJ79; and Tyrarchaeota, WOR431; Hermodarchaeota, LGG330. Details about the genes are provided in Supplementary Table 5. Hdr, heterodisulfide reductase; TCA, tricarboxylic acid cycle; THMPT-WL, tetrahydromethanopterin Wood-Ljungdahl pathway; THF-WL, tetrahydrofolate Wood-Ljungdahl; Mrp, Mrp Na^+/H^+ antiporters; hyd, sulfhydrogenase; AMP, AMP phosphorylase.