Clusterin in Alzheimer's disease: an amyloidogenic inhibitor of amyloid

formation

Panagiotis M. Spatharas[1], Georgia I. Nasi[1], Paraskevi L. Tsiolaki[1, †], Ioannis P. Trougakos[1],

Vassiliki A. Iconomidou[1, *]

[1] Section of Cell Biology and Biophysics, Department of Biology, School of Sciences, National and

Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, Athens 157 01, Greece

[†] Present Address: Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San

Francisco, California 94143-2240, USA

[*] Corresponding author: veconom@biol.uoa.gr

e-mails:

Panagiotis M. Spatharas: panspatharas@biol.uoa.gr

Georgia I. Nasi: gnasi@biol.uoa.gr

Paraskevi L. Tsiolaki: etsiolaki@biol.uoa.gr

Ioannis P. Trougakos: itrougakos@biol.uoa.gr

Vassiliki A. Iconomidou: veconom@biol.uoa.gr

Total word count: 7,069 words (Including all 29 pages of text, figures, tables and respective

legends)

Keywords: Clusterin, Molecular chaperones, Aggregation-prone regions, Amyloid, Amyloid-*β*,

Amyloid inhibitors, Alzheimer's disease

Abstract

Clusterin is a heterodimeric glycoprotein (α- and β-chain), which has been described as an extracellular molecular chaperone. In humans, clusterin is an amyloid associated protein, co-localizing with fibrillar deposits in several amyloidoses, including Alzheimer's disease. To clarify its potential implication in amyloid formation, we located aggregation-prone regions within the sequence of clusterin α-chain, via computational methods. We had peptide-analogues of each region chemically synthesized and experimentally demonstrated that all of them can form amyloid-like fibrils. We also provide evidence that the same peptide-analogues can inhibit amyloid-β fibril formation. These findings elucidate parts of the molecular mechanism in which clusterin inhibits amyloid formation. At the same time, they hint that molecular chaperones with amyloidogenic properties might have a role in the regulation of amyloid formation, essentially acting as functional amyloids.

Introduction

Since the 17th century, physicians had already started to describe clinical disorders that were later included in a broad group of diseases, nowadays known as amyloidoses (1). Prime examples are Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease, type 2 diabetes and AL amyloidosis (2). It is widely considered that these diseases are closely associated with the accumulation and close packing of normally soluble proteins, which end up creating highly ordered, insoluble aggregates. The so called amyloid fibrils, are deposited extracellularly in organs or tissues, causing significant damage (2).

Molecular chaperones can inhibit amyloid formation in its early stages, thus preventing amyloid-related cytotoxicity (3). Recent studies though, have shown that molecular chaperones can have amyloidogenic properties (4-7), despite their seemingly contradicting physiological function. *In vitro* experiments performed by our team have hinted that clusterin could be such a protein (7). Clusterin is a ubiquitous, conserved mammalian glycoprotein, whose main isoform is secreted and has been described as an extracellular molecular chaperone (8). Human clusterin precursor is a 449 residue-long polypeptide chain, which, after having its signal peptide removed, is reduced to 427 residues, and goes through several post-translational modifications (8). Mature clusterin is cleaved in two chains —α-chain, consisting of 222 residues and β-chain, consisting of 205 residues— with five disulfide bonds forming between them (8). Its molecular weight is approximately 80 kDa, almost 30% of it being carbohydrates, added by glycosylation (8). Clusterin's structure has yet to be experimentally determined, but is believed to contain three long molten globule-like regions and several amphipathic α-helices, which allow hydrophobic interactions with its client-proteins (9).

Under normal circumstances, clusterin exists in solution as heterogeneous aggregates (10). It has been suggested that, at mildly acidic pH (10), these aggregates disassociate and the disassociated chaperone-active subunits function in an ATP-independent manner (11). They

bind misfolded client-proteins and form a high molecular weight complex. The formation of the

complex allows for stabilization of the misfolded proteins, which are consequently refolded by

other molecular chaperones (9) (visualized in Figure 1). Clusterin's ever-growing list of known

clients includes a variety of proteins, such as cellular receptors, apolipoproteins, the

complement complex, immunoglobulins, amyloid-forming proteins and non-protein molecules,

like lipids and heparin (12).

Having such a broad range of clients, it is only logical that clusterin contributes in many

physiological and pathological processes (13). AD is one of the most notable pathologies in

which clusterin is involved (12). Consistent with its chaperone function, clusterin keeps the

amyloid-β (Aβ) peptide soluble while transporting it in biological fluids, modulates its

permeation through the blood-brain barrier and contributes to its clearance, effectively halting

amyloid formation (14). In direct contradiction to that, it has been reported that clusterin

contributes to the early stages of AD pathogenesis (15, 16). To this day, clusterin's role in AD is

yet to be fully understood. Despite the mystery surrounding clusterin's implication in AD, it is a

known fact that it co-localizes with Aβ fibrillar deposits (12). This is also true for other

amyloidoses, in which clusterin is an amyloid associated protein (17).

It can be assumed that clusterin is found in the amyloid deposits because it is drifted along by its

client-proteins. In this study, we examined a different scenario, one that doesn't rule out the

possibility of clusterin being amyloidogenic itself. We used AMYLPRED (18), a consensus

algorithm for the prediction of amyloid propensity, in order to identify aggregation-prone

regions in clusterin α-chain. We had peptide-analogues of each region chemically synthesized

and experimentally demonstrated that all of them can form amyloid-like fibrils *in vitro*. The

same peptide-analogues, despite being amyloidogenic, can inhibit Aβ fibril formation. Based on

our findings, we proposed a putative mechanism in which clusterin prevents amyloid formation.

The suggested mechanism could also explain the contradictory reports that hint at clusterin's

implication in the acceleration of the appearance of AD symptoms. At the same time, we hope

that the basis of clusterin's amyloid inhibiting activity could give insight into the implementation

of peptide-based amyloidogenesis inhibitors in the treatment of amyloidoses.

Results & Discussion

All wild-type clusterin α-chain peptide-analogues form amyloid-like fibrils *in vitro*

According to the prediction of AMYLPRED, clusterin α-chain has five aggregation-prone regions.

The peptide-analogues that correspond to those regions are NFHAMFQ, AMDIHF, ILSVD,

YYLRVTT and EVVVKLF, as depicted in Figure 2. NFHAMFQ has previously been studied by our

lab and proved to exhibit amyloidogenic properties *in vitro* (7). Our current findings reveal that

AMDIHF, ILSVD, YYLRVTT, and EVVVKLF can also be characterized as amyloidogenic *in vitro*,

making a total of five experimentally verified aggregation-prone regions in clusterin α-chain.

Characterization was based on the tinctorial criteria, which are commonly used for the

identification of amyloid fibrils (transmission electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction from protein

fibers, ATR FT-IR spectroscopy and Congo Red birefringence assay) (19).

Transmission electron micrographs show that AMDIHF, ILSVD, YYLRVTT, and EVVVKLF self-

assemble into amyloid-like fibrils (Figure 3 a-d, respectively). AMDIHF fibrils exhibit

considerable polymorphism, forming both supercoils and tapes (Figure 3a, red and blue arrows,

respectively), while EVVVKLF fibrils form very wide and prominent tapes (Figure 3d, blue arrow).

ILSVD forms amyloid-like fibrils that are packed more densely when compared to the other

peptides (Figure 3b), indicating that it could be the most aggregation-prone region in clusterin

α-chain.

Oriented fibers formed by each peptide solution, produce X-ray diffraction patterns, indicative

of the presence of the "cross-β" structure. Most reflections are ring-like, most likely because

the fibrils are not perfectly aligned in a parallel manner (20). The exact measurements for each

of the four diffraction patterns can be seen in Figure 3 (e-h). It is worth pointing out, that

EVVVKLF diffraction pattern has two equatorial reflections. This could be due to the β-sheets

being antiparallel and each β-strand having residues with a considerable difference in side-chain

length at each side. The dominance of the β-sheet secondary structure is supported by ATR FT-

IR spectroscopy data, since the spectra of all the peptide-analogues reveal bands that can be

assigned to β-sheets (21) (Table 1 and Figure 3 m-o).

Every peptide binds Congo Red, as seen under bright field illumination in a polarizing

stereomicroscope (Figure 3 i-l, upper). When the polars are crossed, the peptides exhibit the

apple-green birefringence (Figure 3 i-l, lower) that amyloids typically exhibit.

Full-length clusterin could also have amyloidogenic properties

Recent studies have shown that short aggregation-prone segments, known as amyloidogenic

determinants, can lead to amyloid formation, even when they are inserted in non-

amyloidogenic proteins, via protein engineering (22). The fact that clusterin has five such

segments in its α-chain, provides potent indication that the α-chain and consequently the full-

length protein, may intrinsically exhibit amyloidogenicity. This argument has fascinating

implications, especially considering clusterin's role as a molecular chaperone.

Molecular chaperones and their involvement in amyloid formation and inhibition

One of the fundamental functions of molecular chaperones is considered to be the prevention

of protein aggregation and consequently, amyloid fibril formation (3). Based on that notion, it

has been suggested that molecular chaperones could be used as anti-amyloid drugs (23). In

direct contradiction to that, clusterin has the potential to form amyloid-like fibrils. In fact, there

have been reports of other proteins that function as molecular chaperones and exhibit

amyloidogenicity *in vitro* (5, 6) or *in vivo* (4). Despite lacking any sequence similarity, this group

of proteins shares a significant number of similar features, besides having the potential to form

amyloid-like fibrils.

A prominent example is α-crystallin, a small heat shock protein (sHSP), which is highly expressed

in the eye lens. Full-length α-crystallin has been found to form amyloid-like fibrils *in vitro*(5) and

is believed to be implicated in the formation of cataract (24). As most sHSPs, α-crystallin

exhibits remarkable structural plasticity, which is intertwined with its chaperone activity (25).

Interestingly, α-crystallin's chaperone activity is exhibited in a very similar manner to that of

clusterin (26). sHSPs exist in solution as oligomeric structures, ranging from 9 to 50 subunits.

Those structures disassociate under stress and release functional subunits (27). α-crystallin in

particular, possesses a dynamic oligomeric structure, which, under increasing temperature,

allows exchange of subunits within proximate oligomers. The so-called "traveling subunits" are

believed to play a fundamental role in α-crystallin's chaperone activity, by exposing hydrophobic

surfaces and consequently, allowing hydrophobic interactions with misfolded proteins, including

Aβ (28-30). Even though this is the physiological mechanism in which α-crystallin functions, it is

reminiscent of how amyloidogenic proteins behave on their way to self-assembly and formation

of amyloid fibrils (31). This might explain the reports of α-crystallin forming amyloid-like fibrils

in vitro (5).

Another relevant example is that of the caseins, a group of proteins that comprise the largest

part of the total protein content in milk (32) and are usually found in aggregates, known as

casein micelles. The micelles are primarily composed of four major proteins, namely α_{s1}-, α_{s2}-, β-

and κ-casein (33). All four of them have been classified as intrinsically disordered proteins,

because of the considerable structural flexibility that they exhibit (34). In consistence with

clusterin and α-crystallin, the major casein proteins are believed to function as molecular

chaperones (35), while κ-casein forms amyloid fibrils *in vitro* (6) and α_{s2}-casein forms amyloid

fibrils *in vivo*, in corpora amylacea in the bovine mammary gland (2, 4). Yet, in physiological

conditions, α_{s1}-casein interacts with α_{s2}-casein, forming α_s-casein, effectively halting the

formation of amyloid fibrils (36). Similarly, α_s-casein and β-casein inhibit κ-casein amyloid

formation, by shielding monomeric κ-casein hydrophobic surfaces with similar regions of their

respective sequences (37).

In summary, clusterin, α-crystallin, and the casein proteins share common features:

(1) They function as molecular chaperones, by exposing hydrophobic regions, interacting with and

stabilizing misfolded proteins on their way to aggregation (9, 11, 28, 29, 35-38).

(2) They show structural plasticity, either having molten globule-like or intrinsically disordered

regions on their sequence (11, 25, 34).

(3) They are found in solution as heterogeneous aggregates, oligomers or micelles, which

dissociate under conditions of stress (10, 27, 33).

(4) They all interact with one, or more amyloidogenic proteins (7, 12, 30, 36, 37).

(5) Parts of their sequence, or the full-length proteins, are known to form amyloid-like fibrils *in*

vitro or *in vivo* (4-7).

These similarities may underlie a common mechanism in which the cell prevents toxic amyloid

formation under different conditions of stress, by utilizing the intrinsic amyloidogenicity of

specific molecular chaperones. For clusterin, amyloidogenesis inhibition could be activated

under pH-induced stress and achieved through the interaction of its aggregation-prone regions

with amyloidogenic proteins, on their way to aggregation. Likewise, α-crystallin could act under

temperature-induced stress and the casein proteins may present a more specific system of

amyloid inhibition, present primarily at the mammary gland.

Clusterin α-chain peptide-analogues inhibit Aβ_{42} amyloid formation *in vitro*

To reinforce the claim that clusterin mediates amyloidogenesis inhibition, via its aggregation-

prone regions, we investigated the *in vitro* effects of the clusterin α-chain peptide-analogues on

Aβ_{42} fibril formation. Each clusterin peptide was co-incubated with equimolar amounts of Aβ_{42}

and the aggregation kinetics were evaluated with Thioflavin T fluorescence measurements over

time and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging. It is important to note that, full-

length clusterin is known to inhibit Aβ fibril formation *in vitro (39)*, thus, our purpose is to

pinpoint segments of its sequence that could as catalysts for said inhibition.

ThT fluorescent measurements reveal that individual $A\beta_{42}$ starts forming fibrils almost

immediately and reaches maximal fluorescence signal after approximately 7 hours. When co-

incubated with the clusterin peptide-analogues ($A\beta_{42}$+NFHAMFQ, $A\beta_{42}$+AMDIHF, $A\beta_{42}$+ILSVD,

$A\beta_{42}$+YYLRVTT, and $A\beta_{42}$+EVVVKLF), $A\beta_{42}$ exhibits decreased emission. ILSVD and especially

EVVVKLF seem to be the most potent inhibitors (Figure 4j and 4p, respectively). As previously

shown, individual ILSVD is incredibly amyloidogenic, as is $A\beta_{42}$. The fact that interaction

between the two leads to decreased fibril formation, hints that peptides with high

amyloidogenic potential, could negate each other's fibril-forming properties. EVVVKLF bears

some sequence similarity with [16]KLVFFA[21], a key amyloid-forming segments of $A\beta_{42}$ (40).

Considering that peptide-analogues derived from [16]KLVFFA[21] have been suggested as potential

therapeutic agents for the treatment of AD (41), it is not surprising that EVVVKLF has the highest

inhibiting effect among the five peptides. NFHAMFQ and AMDIHF also seem to inhibit $A\beta_{42}$ fibril

formation (Figure 4f and 4h, respectively), but their potential as inhibitors is less significant than

that of ILSVD and EVVVKLF. YYLRVTT effectively delays fibril formation, but after approximately

20 hours, fluorescence signal begins to rise (Figure 4n). After 40 hours, $A\beta_{42}$+YYLRVTT reaches

fluorescence intensity levels which are comparable to those of individual $A\beta_{42}$.

TEM confirms that all five peptide-analogues inhibit or delay $A\beta_{42}$ amyloid formation, after 7

days of incubation. When individually incubated, $A\beta_{42}$ forms straight and unbranched fibrils

with indefinite length and a diameter of approximately 80 Å (Figure 4 b-d). A small number of

fibrils is observed after 1 day of incubation (Figure 4b). The population of fibrils increases

significantly after 3 days (Figure 4c) and reaches its maximum after 7 days (Figure 4d). As

expected, ILSVD and EVVVKLF prove to be the most potent inhibitors (Figure 4i and 4o,

respectively). The entire grids were thoroughly examined, and no fibrils were observed.

NFHAMFQ and AMDIHF also inhibit $A\beta_{42}$ fibril formation, as fibrils were not observed anywhere

on the grid (Figure 4e and 4g, respectively). However, both seem to induce the formation of

amorphous aggregates. AMDIHF seems to delay, but not inhibit fibril formation. In contrast to

the other peptides, fibrils can be observed (Figure 4m). The number of fibrils is similar to that of

individual Aβ_{42}, after 1 day of incubation. Much like NFHAMFQ and AMDIHF, YYLRVTT also

seems to induce the formation of amorphous aggregates.

A putative mechanism for clusterin-mediated inhibition of Aβ amyloidogenesis

Having established that clusterin α-chain peptide analogues can inhibit Aβ amyloidogenesis *in*

vitro, we opted to propose a mechanism in which clusterin could use its aggregation-prone

regions to exert its molecular chaperone activity on Aβ.

It is believed that Aβ amyloidogenesis is promoted by acidic pH (42). As previously mentioned,

mildly acidic pH also allows the disassociation of clusterin's heterogeneous aggregates, releasing

chaperone-active subunits (10).   In its disassociated form, clusterin is assumed to

hydrophobically interact with its client-proteins through amphipathic α-helices, which are

surrounded by molten globule-like regions (9). [12]NFHAMFQ[18], one of clusterin's aggregation-

prone regions, is located on a putative amphipathic α-helix. However, we have already proved

that NFHAMFQ also has the propensity to form β-strands (7), hinting that the broader region has

the intrinsic conformational properties of a chameleon sequence. When in proximity with an Aβ

fibril, the α-helical [12]NFHAMFQ[18] could unfold and then refold into a β-strand, allowing

interaction with Aβ's β-stranded regions and temporarily halting fibril growth. This process

could trigger the exposition of clusterin's other aggregation-prone regions and allow it to attach

itself at the edge of the Aβ fibril, via β-strand hydrogen bonding. In this manner, it would not

allow Aβ monomers to elongate the fibril, effectively blocking further polymerization. At the

same time, free clusterin subunits could bind to Aβ monomers, forming complexes and

stabilizing their structure, until it passes the baton to other molecular chaperones, once again,

halting fiber growth and minimizing the possibility of toxic secondary nucleation (43).   The

suggested mechanism is visualized in Figure 5.

The putative mechanism that was just described, is also supported by recent studies, which have

identified a novel, pathological mutation on clusterin's gene, in AD patients. In particular,

p.I360N changes the aggregation-prone region ^{81}ILSVD^{85} to ^{81}NLSVD^{85} (44). The resulting

sequence is not predicted by AMYLPRED, hinting that it is not aggregation-prone anymore. To

experimentally confirm that the mutated region is not aggregation-prone, we had NLSVD

chemically synthesized. Indeed, TEM shows that NLSVD does no longer self-assemble into

amyloid fibrils (data not shown). Also, NLSVD does not form oriented fibers, suitable for X-ray

diffraction, hinting that there are no fibrils in its solution. Finally, when stained with Congo Red

and stereoscopically observed between crossed polars, it does not exhibit apple-green

birefringence (data not shown). Considering that out of the peptides we examined, ILSVD is

hinted to be one of the better Aβ fibril formation inhibitors, this mutation could lead to a

malfunction in the clusterin-mediated amyloidogenesis inhibition system.   Thus, the

pathogenicity of the phenotype could be explained by clusterin's inability to inhibit amyloid

formation, due to the absence of its most aggregation-prone region, that being ^{81}ILSVD^{85}, which

would normally act as a breaker to Aβ fibril elongation. As expected, ThT kinetics and TEM

imaging show that NLSVD does not inhibit Aβ_{42} amyloid formation *in vitro*. Aβ_{42} and Aβ_{42}+NLSVD

fluorescence curves mostly overlap, while Aβ_{42}+NLSVD show a slightly enhanced fluorescence

peak (Figure 4k). Transmission electron micrographs reveal that Aβ_{42} fibril morphology is vastly

different to that of Aβ_{42}+NLSVD. Aβ_{42}+NLSVD fibrils after 7 days of incubation, are wider, not as

linear and are more densely packed, than those of Aβ_{42}, after the same incubation period

(Figure 4l).   Furthermore, Aβ_{42}+NLSVD fibrils interact with each other and create bulky

structures, which are not formed by individual Aβ_{42}.

The aforementioned mechanism can explain why clusterin is found co-localized with the Aβ

fibrillar deposits (12) and is consistent with reports of clusterin decelerating Aβ fibril formation

(43, 45). However, clusterin's occasional contribution to the appearance of AD symptoms (15,

16) needs further elucidation. It is logical to assume that, when Aβ misfolded monomers are

produced faster than what clusterin's existing population can process, the resulting complexes

would fail to stabilize A𝛽's structure, essentially bringing remote misfolded monomers into

proximity. This could accelerate amyloid fibril formation (Figure 5), while clusterin would end

up co-localized with the fibrillar deposits. This hypothesis is supported by the findings of

Yerbury *et al*., which show that clusterin's enhancing effect on amyloid formation is caused by

low clusterin:substrate ratio (39). A relevant example could be found in patients with familial

Alzheimer's disease (FAD), where A𝛽 is produced much faster than usual (46). In fact, most pre-

clinical studies on AD use FAD animals as models, because it is a guaranteed method of

acquiring early-onset AD test subjects (47). This could also be the case for Oh *et. al*, who

showed that clusterin-null 5XFAD mice, show AD symptoms later than their littermate

counterparts (15).   In the end, molecular chaperone-mediated amyloidogenesis inhibition,

seems to be a double-edged sword for the cell, effective, but at the same time, conditionally

harmful. The inadvertent bias that pre-clinical studies introduce, using test subjects with FAD,

rather than sporadic AD, could make the inhibition system seem much more flawed than it

really is.

Peptide-based amyloidogenesis inhibitors, derived from aggregation-prone protein regions

We ultimately proposed that the cell can prevent amyloid formation by utilizing the intrinsic

amyloidogenicity of specific molecular chaperones, similar to how functional amyloids work. If

that statement proves to be true, harnessing the ability of molecular chaperones to halt amyloid

formation could be essential to tackling AD and other amyloidoses. The key to inhibiting

amyloid formation lies in the aggregation-prone regions of said proteins, thus synthesizing and

studying peptides from such regions could provide novel inhibitors of A𝛽 amyloidogenesis and

amyloid formation in general (48).

It is worth mentioning that, the ability of molecular chaperones to inhibit amyloid formation

doesn't seem to be substrate-specific, meaning that one chaperone could act as an

amyloidogenesis inhibitor for a variety of different amyloidogenic proteins, even if they are not

known to interact *in vivo*. For example, though *α*-crystallin is known to interact with A*β* (30), the

casein proteins are not. Despite that, all of them can inhibit A*β* amyloidogenesis *in vitro (49,*

50). Furthermore, this also seems to be the case for aggregation-prone peptides that are not

derived from regions of molecular chaperones (40). Mimicking the physiological system for

amyloidogenesis prevention and extending it to aggregation-prone peptide-analogues of non-

chaperone-active proteins could provide us with a much greater variety of potential inhibitors.

Despite the potential that specific peptides show as amyloidogenesis inhibitors, it is of vital

importance to ensure that they are non-toxic for the cell. It is noteworthy that, even though

some molecular chaperones have amyloidogenic properties, they seem to not have negative

effects on the survival of the cell. In a similar manner, functional amyloids are not harmful to

the organisms in which they appear. It is believed that controlling the expression of

amyloidogenic proteins, regulating fibril formation with co-localized proteins-molecules and the

fact that they are often found isolated inside membrane bound compartments, are some of the

reasons why functional amyloids are not toxic (51). For the peptide-inhibitors to be usable,

these questions must be accurately answered, and the findings should be incorporated in their

implementation as potential drugs for amyloidoses, especially when it comes to peptide

concentration and the complementary substances that should be administered.

Materials and Methods

Prediction of potential aggregation-prone regions in human clusterin

AMYLPRED(18), a consensus algorithm for the prediction of amyloid propensity, was used for

the identification of aggregation-prone regions in wild-type, mature clusterin (Uniprot AC:

P10909). The *α*-chain, which is our focus in the current study, has five such regions. The first

one ([12]NFHAMFQ[18]) has previously been studied by our lab and proved to exhibit amyloidogenic

properties *in vitro* (7). This time, we opted to study the remaining four, those being [31]MDIHF[35],

[82]LSV[84], [155]YYLRVTT[161] and [177]EVVVKLF[183].

Peptide synthesis

All peptide-analogues were synthesized and lyophilized by GeneCust© Europe, France. The exact sequences for the peptide-analogues were NFHAMFQ, AMDIHF, ILSVD, NLSVD, YYLRVTT and EVVVKLF. The regions that were used for the second and third peptide-analogues were [30]AMDIHF[35] and [81]ILSVD[85], which are extensions of the original predicted regions. NLSVD is a mutant counterpart of ILSVD. The purity of all the synthesized peptides was higher than 98%, with the N- and C-terminals being free.

Aβ_{42} was also synthesized and lyophilized by GeneCust© Europe, France. The exact sequence is DAEFRHDSGYEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVVIA. The purity of the peptide was higher than 95%, with the N- and C-terminals being free.

Sample preparation

Disaggregation of pre-existing aggregates: Each lyophilized peptide was dissolved in 1,1,1,3,3,3-Hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP; Sigma©) at a concentration of 1 mg/mL. All clusterin peptides were mixed with equimolar amounts of Aβ_{42}. Individual peptide solutions and Aβ_{42}-clusterin peptide solutions were left to dry overnight in a fume hood, at room temperature, until thin peptide-containing films were created. The peptide-containing films were stored at -20 °C.

In vitro **fibril formation:** The peptide-containing films were left at room temperature for 30 minutes and dissolved in 0.1 M HEPES buffer (pH=7.4; Sigma©) or dH$_2$O (pH=5.57), at concentrations ranging from 10 μM to 1 mM. The peptide solutions were incubated for 1 week at 37 °C, unless stated otherwise.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).

A 3 μL droplet of 100 μM peptide solution was applied to glow-discharged 400-mesh carbon-coated copper grids for 60 seconds. Directly afterwards, the grids were stained with a 3 μL droplet of 2% (w/v) aqueous uranyl acetate for another 60 seconds. Excess stain was blotted away with filter paper and air-dried. The grids were examined with a Morgagni™ 268

transmission electron microscope, operated at 80 kV. Digital acquisitions were performed with

an 11 Mpixel side mounted Morada CCD camera (Soft Imaging System, Muenster, Germany).

X-ray diffraction from oriented protein fibers.

Oriented fiber formation: 1 mM aqueous peptide solutions were incubated for two weeks, to

form viscous solutions, which facilitate the formation of oriented fibers. A 10 μL droplet of each

peptide solution was placed between two quartz capillaries covered with wax, spaced

approximately 1.5 mm apart and mounted horizontally on a glass substrate, as collinearly as

possible. The droplet was allowed to dry for 3 days, until oriented fibers were formed.

X-ray diffraction and analysis: The oriented fibers were shot with X-rays and diffracted. The X-

ray diffraction pattern was collected using a SuperNova-Agilent Technologies X-ray generator,

equipped with a 135 mm ATLAS CCD detector and a 4-circle kappa goniometer, at the Institute

of Biology, Medicinal Chemistry and Biotechnology, National Hellenic Research Foundation

(CuKα high intensity X-ray micro-focus source, λ = 1.5418 Å), operated at 50 kV, 0.8 mA. The

specimen-to-film distance was set at 52mm and the exposure time was set to 200s. The X-ray

patterns were initially viewed using CrysAlisPro (52) and afterwards measured with iMosFLM

(53).

Attenuated total reflectance Fourier-transform infrared (ATR FT-IR) spectroscopy.

A 10 μL droplet of 1 mM aqueous peptide solution was cast on a front-coated Au mirror and left

to dry slowly at room temperature, until a thin peptide-containing film was created. Infrared

spectra were obtained from these films at a resolution of 4 cm^{-1}, utilizing an IR microscope

(IRScope II by Bruker Optics) equipped with a Ge attenuated total reflectance (ATR) objective

lens (20x) and attached to a Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer (Equinox 55, by

Bruker Optics). Ten 32-scan spectra were collected from each sample and averaged to improve

the sound/noise (S/N) ratio. Both are shown in the absorption mode after correction for the

wavelength dependence of the penetration depth (pd-λ). Absorption band maxima were

determined from the minima in the second derivative of the corresponding spectra. Derivatives

were computed analytically using routines of the Bruker OPUS/OS2 software, including

smoothing over a ±13 cm^{-1} range around each data point, performed by the Savitzky–Golay (54)

algorithm. Data was visualized using OriginPro 7. Individual clusterin peptide solutions were

also examined at a concentration of 500 µM.

Congo Red Birefringence Assay.

A 3 µL droplet of 500 µM peptide solution was applied to glass slides and stained with a 10 mM

Congo Red (Sigma$^{©}$) solution in PBS (137 mM NaCl, 27 mM KCl, 100 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 18 mM

KH$_2$PO$_4$, pH=7.4) or dH$_2$O for approximately 30 minutes. Then, they were washed several times

with 90% ethanol and were left to dry approximately for 10 minutes. The samples were

observed under bright field illumination and between crossed polars, using a Leica MZ7.5

polarizing stereomicroscope, equipped with a Sony α6000 camera.

Thioflavin T (ThT) Kinetic Assay

ThT fluorescence measurements were conducted at 37 °C, in black 96-well plates with flat, clear

bottoms, using a Tecan Spark microplate reader. The top of the plates was sealed with

microplate covers and the fluorescence readings were performed through the bottom. A 444

nm filter was used for excitation and a 484 nm filter for emission. The reaction solutions

contained freshly prepared 10 µM disaggregated peptide solutions (individual Aβ_{42} or Aβ_{42}-

clusterin peptide solutions) and 25 µM ThT (Sigma$^{©}$) in dH$_2$O. ThT fluorescence was also

measured in the absence of peptide solutions. Each experiment was conducted in triplicates.

Measurement lasted for 50 hours and fluorescence readings were collected every 15 minutes.

ThT background fluorescence was subtracted from the peptide fluorescence readings at each

time point. Standard deviation was calculated, and the data was normalized. 100 arbitrary units

correspond to maximum individual Aβ_{42} fluorescence intensity. Data was visualized using

RStudio (package ggplot2).

Acknowledgments

This research has been co-financed by the European Union and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation", under the call "RESEARCH – CREATE – INNOVATE" (project code: T1EDK-00353). We acknowledge support of this work by the project "INSPIRED-The National Research Infrastructures on Integrated Structural Biology, Drug Screening Efforts and Drug target functional characterization" (MIS 5002550) which is implemented under the Action "Reinforcement of the Research and Innovation Infrastructure", funded by the Operational Program "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation" (NSRF 2014-2020) and co-funded by Greece and the European Union (European Regional Development Fund). We also acknowledge Dr. George Baltatzis for kindly assisting us with handling the Morgagni Microscope at the 1st Department of Pathology, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens. Finally, we acknowledge the support of Dr. Aimilia Sklirou, who kindly assisted with handling the Tecan Spark microplate reader.

Author contributions

P.M.S. performed sample preparation, Congo Red birefringence and ThT kinetics assays, acquired and processed TEM, X-ray diffraction and ATR FT-IR spectroscopy data, helped in conceiving the project and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. G.I.N. participated and assisted in all the experiments. P.L.T co-supervised and helped in conceiving the project. I.P.T. helped in conceiving the project and supervised the ThT kinetics assays. V.A.I. supervised and helped in conceiving the project. All authors discussed the results and edited or commented on the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1.   Kyle RA. Amyloidosis: a brief history. Amyloid : the international journal of experimental

and clinical investigation : the official journal of the International Society of Amyloidosis.

2011;18 Suppl 1:6-7.

2.   Benson MD, Buxbaum JN, Eisenberg DS, Merlini G, Saraiva MJM, Sekijima Y, et al.

Amyloid nomenclature 2020: update and recommendations by the International Society of

Amyloidosis (ISA) nomenclature committee. Amyloid : the international journal of experimental

and clinical investigation : the official journal of the International Society of Amyloidosis.

2020;27(4):217-22.

3.   Wentink A, Nussbaum-Krammer C, Bukau B. Modulation of Amyloid States by Molecular

Chaperones. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology. 2019.

4.   Niewold TA, Murphy CL, Hulskamp-Koch CA, Tooten PC, Gruys E. Casein related amyloid,

characterization of a new and unique amyloid protein isolated from bovine corpora amylacea.

Amyloid : the international journal of experimental and clinical investigation : the official journal

of the International Society of Amyloidosis. 1999;6(4):244-9.

5.   Ecroyd H, Carver JA. Crystallin proteins and amyloid fibrils. Cellular and molecular life

sciences : CMLS. 2009;66(1):62-81.

6.   Ecroyd H, Thorn DC, Liu Y, Carver JA. The dissociated form of kappa-casein is the

precursor to its amyloid fibril formation. The Biochemical journal. 2010;429(2):251-60.

7.   Tsiolaki PL, Nastou KC, Louros NN, Hamodrakas SJ, Iconomidou VA. Exploring

Amyloidogenicity of Clusterin: A Structural and Bioinformatics Analysis. Advances in

experimental medicine and biology. 2017;989:93-107.

8.   Jones SE, Jomary C. Clusterin. The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology.

2002;34(5):427-31.

9.   Bailey RW, Dunker AK, Brown CJ, Garner EC, Griswold MD. Clusterin, a binding protein

with a molten globule-like region. Biochemistry. 2001;40(39):11828-40.

10.   Poon S, Rybchyn MS, Easterbrook-Smith SB, Carver JA, Pankhurst GJ, Wilson MR. Mildly

acidic pH activates the extracellular molecular chaperone clusterin. The Journal of biological

chemistry. 2002;277(42):39532-40.

11.   Poon S, Easterbrook-Smith SB, Rybchyn MS, Carver JA, Wilson MR. Clusterin is an ATP-

independent chaperone with very broad substrate specificity that stabilizes stressed proteins in

a folding-competent state. Biochemistry. 2000;39(51):15953-60.

12.   Calero M, Rostagno A, Frangione B, Ghiso J. Clusterin and Alzheimer's disease. Sub-

cellular biochemistry. 2005;38:273-98.

13.   Rohne P, Prochnow H, Koch-Brandt C. The CLU-files: disentanglement of a mystery.

Biomolecular concepts. 2016;7(1):1-15.

14.   Matsubara E, Soto C, Governale S, Frangione B, Ghiso J. Apolipoprotein J and

Alzheimer's amyloid beta solubility. The Biochemical journal. 1996;316 (Pt 2):671-9.

15.   Oh SB, Kim MS, Park S, Son H, Kim SY, Kim MS, et al. Clusterin contributes to early stage

of Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis. Brain pathology. 2019;29(2):217-31.

16.   DeMattos RB, O'Dell M A, Parsadanian M, Taylor JW, Harmony JA, Bales KR, et al.

Clusterin promotes amyloid plaque formation and is critical for neuritic toxicity in a mouse

model of Alzheimer's disease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United

States of America. 2002;99(16):10843-8.

17.   Nastou KC, Nasi GI, Tsiolaki PL, Litou ZI, Iconomidou VA. AmyCo: the amyloidoses

collection. Amyloid : the international journal of experimental and clinical investigation : the

official journal of the International Society of Amyloidosis. 2019;26(3):112-7.

18.   Frousios KK, Iconomidou VA, Karletidi CM, Hamodrakas SJ. Amyloidogenic determinants

are usually not buried. BMC structural biology. 2009;9:44.

19.   Sunde M, Blake CC. From the globular to the fibrous state: protein structure and

structural conversion in amyloid formation. Quarterly reviews of biophysics. 1998;31(1):1-39.

20.   Makin OS, Serpell LC. X-ray diffraction studies of amyloid structure. Methods in

molecular biology. 2005;299:67-80.

21.   Surewicz WK, Mantsch HH, Chapman D. Determination of protein secondary structure

by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy: a critical assessment. Biochemistry. 1993;32(2):389-

94.

22.   Teng PK, Eisenberg D. Short protein segments can drive a non-fibrillizing protein into the

amyloid state. Protein engineering, design & selection : PEDS. 2009;22(8):531-6.

23.   Yerbury JJ, Kumita JR. Protein chemistry of amyloid fibrils and chaperones: implications

for amyloid formation and disease. Current Chemical Biology. 2010;4(2):89-98.

24.   Meehan S, Berry Y, Luisi B, Dobson CM, Carver JA, MacPhee CE. Amyloid fibril formation

by lens crystallin proteins and its implications for cataract formation. The Journal of biological

chemistry. 2004;279(5):3413-9.

25.   Basha E, O'Neill H, Vierling E. Small heat shock proteins and alpha-crystallins: dynamic

proteins with flexible functions. Trends in biochemical sciences. 2012;37(3):106-17.

26.   Carver JA, Rekas A, Thorn DC, Wilson MR. Small heat-shock proteins and clusterin: intra-

and extracellular molecular chaperones with a common mechanism of action and function?

IUBMB life. 2003;55(12):661-8.

27.   Haslbeck M, Buchner J. Chaperone function of sHsps. Progress in molecular and

subcellular biology. 2002;28:37-59.

28.   Inoue R, Takata T, Fujii N, Ishii K, Uchiyama S, Sato N, et al. New insight into the

dynamical system of alphaB-crystallin oligomers. Scientific reports. 2016;6:29208.

29.   Doss EW, Ward KA, Koretz JF. Preliminary studies on the aggregation process of alpha-

crystallin. Experimental eye research. 1997;65(2):255-66.

30.   Narayan P, Meehan S, Carver JA, Wilson MR, Dobson CM, Klenerman D. Amyloid-beta

oligomers are sequestered by both intracellular and extracellular chaperones. Biochemistry.

2012;51(46):9270-6.

31.   Cheon M, Chang I, Mohanty S, Luheshi LM, Dobson CM, Vendruscolo M, et al. Structural

reorganisation and potential toxicity of oligomeric species formed during the assembly of

amyloid fibrils. PLoS computational biology. 2007;3(9):1727-38.

32.   Kunz C, Lonnerdal B. Human-milk proteins: analysis of casein and casein subunits by

anion-exchange chromatography, gel electrophoresis, and specific staining methods. The

American journal of clinical nutrition. 1990;51(1):37-46.

33.   McMahon DJ, Oommen BS. Supramolecular structure of the casein micelle. Journal of

dairy science. 2008;91(5):1709-21.

34.   Redwan EM, Xue B, Almehdar HA, Uversky VN. Disorder in milk proteins: caseins,

intrinsically disordered colloids. Current protein & peptide science. 2015;16(3):228-42.

35.   Morgan PE, Treweek TM, Lindner RA, Price WE, Carver JA. Casein proteins as molecular

chaperones. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry. 2005;53(7):2670-83.

36.   Thorn DC, Ecroyd H, Sunde M, Poon S, Carver JA. Amyloid fibril formation by bovine milk

alpha s2-casein occurs under physiological conditions yet is prevented by its natural

counterpart, alpha s1-casein. Biochemistry. 2008;47(12):3926-36.

37.   Thorn DC, Meehan S, Sunde M, Rekas A, Gras SL, MacPhee CE, et al. Amyloid fibril

formation by bovine milk kappa-casein and its inhibition by the molecular chaperones alphaS-

and beta-casein. Biochemistry. 2005;44(51):17027-36.

38.   Parcellier A, Schmitt E, Brunet M, Hammann A, Solary E, Garrido C. Small heat shock

proteins HSP27 and alphaB-crystallin: cytoprotective and oncogenic functions. Antioxidants &

redox signaling. 2005;7(3-4):404-13.

39.   Yerbury JJ, Poon S, Meehan S, Thompson B, Kumita JR, Dobson CM, et al. The

extracellular chaperone clusterin influences amyloid formation and toxicity by interacting with

prefibrillar structures. FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies

for Experimental Biology. 2007;21(10):2312-22.

40.   Lu J, Cao Q, Wang C, Zheng J, Luo F, Xie J, et al. Structure-Based Peptide Inhibitor Design

of Amyloid-beta Aggregation. Frontiers in molecular neuroscience. 2019;12:54.

41.   Chafekar SM, Malda H, Merkx M, Meijer EW, Viertl D, Lashuel HA, et al. Branched KLVFF

tetramers strongly potentiate inhibition of beta-amyloid aggregation. Chembiochem.

2007;8(15):1857-64.

42.   Su Y, Chang PT. Acidic pH promotes the formation of toxic fibrils from beta-amyloid

peptide. Brain research. 2001;893(1-2):287-91.

43.   Beeg M, Stravalaci M, Romeo M, Carra AD, Cagnotto A, Rossi A, et al. Clusterin Binds to

Abeta1-42 Oligomers with High Affinity and Interferes with Peptide Aggregation by Inhibiting

Primary and Secondary Nucleation. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2016;291(13):6958-66.

44.   Bettens K, Vermeulen S, Van Cauwenberghe C, Heeman B, Asselbergh B, Robberecht C,

et al. Reduced secreted clusterin as a mechanism for Alzheimer-associated CLU mutations.

Molecular neurodegeneration. 2015;10:30.

45.   de Retana SF, Marazuela P, Sole M, Colell G, Bonaterra A, Sanchez-Quesada JL, et al.

Peripheral administration of human recombinant ApoJ/clusterin modulates brain beta-amyloid

levels in APP23 mice. Alzheimer's research & therapy. 2019;11(1):42.

46.   Wu L, Rosa-Neto P, Hsiung GY, Sadovnick AD, Masellis M, Black SE, et al. Early-onset

familial Alzheimer's disease (EOFAD). The Canadian journal of neurological sciences Le journal

canadien des sciences neurologiques. 2012;39(4):436-45.

47.   LaFerla FM, Green KN. Animal models of Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harbor

perspectives in medicine. 2012;2(11).

48.   Connelly S, Choi S, Johnson SM, Kelly JW, Wilson IA. Structure-based design of kinetic

stabilizers that ameliorate the transthyretin amyloidoses. Current opinion in structural biology.

2010;20(1):54-62.

49.   Carrotta R, Canale C, Diaspro A, Trapani A, Biagio PL, Bulone D. Inhibiting effect of

alpha(s1)-casein on Abeta(1-40) fibrillogenesis. Biochimica et biophysica acta. 2012;1820(2):124-

32.

50.   Raman B, Ban T, Sakai M, Pasta SY, Ramakrishna T, Naiki H, et al. AlphaB-crystallin, a

small heat-shock protein, prevents the amyloid fibril growth of an amyloid beta-peptide and

beta2-microglobulin. The Biochemical journal. 2005;392(Pt 3):573-81.

51.   Jackson MP, Hewitt EW. Why are Functional Amyloids Non-Toxic in Humans?

Biomolecules. 2017;7(4).

52.   CrysAlis[PRO]. Agilent Technologies. Version 1.171.37.31 ed. Oxford, UK: Agilent

Technologies UK Ltd; 2014. p. Software system.

53.   Battye TG, Kontogiannis L, Johnson O, Powell HR, Leslie AG. iMOSFLM: a new graphical

interface for diffraction-image processing with MOSFLM. Acta crystallographica Section D,

Biological crystallography. 2011;67(Pt 4):271-81.

54.   Savitzky A, Golay MJE. Smoothing and Differentiation of Data by Simplified Least

Squares Procedures. Analytical Chemistry. 1964;36(8):1627-39.

Figure 1.   Representation of clusterin's molecular chaperone activity.   (1) Under stress, native proteins (NP) may

partially unfold and become misfolded. (2) At slightly acidic pH, clusterin's (CLU) oligomers start disassociating and

the chaperone-active clusterin subunits get released. (3) The free clusterin subunits bind the misfolded proteins (MP)

and form a complex, effectively stabilizing them, (4) while other molecular chaperones refold them to their native

state. (5) If this mechanism proves ineffective, the misfolded proteins may form aggregates.

Figure 2. A simple representation of clusterin's two chains. Each chain is colored grey, with a black outline, and its

sequence is numbered as found in the mature protein. The α-chain consists of 222 amino acid residues. The β-chain

is slightly shorter, consisting of 205 amino acid residues. The two chains are joined by five disulfide bonds, depicted

as black dots. The aggregation-prone regions of the α-chain are depicted as black rectangles on clusterin's sequence.

Figure 3. All the peptide-analogues fulfill the experimental criteria. (a-d) Transmission electron micrographs of amyloid-like fibrils derived from self-assemblies of peptides AMDIHF, ILSVD, YYLRVTT and EVVVKLF at 500 μM, respectively. The white arrows mark single fibrils with the diameter of typical amyloid fibrils, the red arrow marks a supercoil and the blue arrows mark tapes. (e-h) X-ray diffraction patterns of oriented fibers, derived from the four peptides at 1 mM, respectively. Reflections marked with arrows are indicative of the "cross-β" structure, which amyloids typically have. (i-l) Photomicrographs of gels, derived from self-assemblies of the four peptides at 500 μM, respectively. The Congo red dye is bound, as seen under bright field illumination (upper). The apple-green birefringence that amyloids typically exhibit is observed under crossed polars (lower). (m-p) ATR FT-IR spectra (1100–1800 cm^{-1}) produced from thin hydrated films created by solutions of the four peptides at 500 μM, respectively. Fibrils derived from each peptide has β-sheet secondary structure, as hinted by the presence of strong amide I and II bands.

Figure 4. Clusterin peptide-analogues inhibit or delay Aβ_{42} fibril formation. (a-d) Transmission electron micrographs of Aβ_{42}, incubated for 0, 1, 3 and 7 days, respectively. Amyloid fibrils are observed from day 1 to day 7. The number of fibrils raises as incubation time increases. (e, f) Transmission electron micrograph and Thioflavin T fluorescence emission spectrum of Aβ_{42} co-incubated with NFHAMFQ for 7 days and over a period of 50 hours, respectively. (g, h) Transmission electron micrograph and Thioflavin T fluorescence emission spectrum of Aβ_{42} co-incubated with AMDIHF for 7 days and over a period of 50 hours, respectively. (i, j) Transmission electron micrograph and Thioflavin T fluorescence emission spectrum of Aβ_{42} co-incubated with ILSVD for 7 days and over a period of 50 hours, respectively. (k, l) Transmission electron micrograph and Thioflavin T fluorescence emission spectrum of Aβ_{42} co-incubated with the mutant peptide, NLSVD, for 7 days and over a period of 50 hours, respectively. (m, n) Transmission electron micrograph and Thioflavin T fluorescence emission spectrum of Aβ_{42} co-incubated with YYLRVTT for 7 days and over a period of 50 hours, respectively. (o, p) Transmission electron micrograph and Thioflavin T fluorescence emission spectrum of Aβ_{42} co-incubated with EVVVKLF for 7 days and over a period of 50 hours, respectively. Error bars in ThT fluorescence emission spectra represent standard deviation among triplicates. 100 µM solutions were used for TEM, while 10 mM solutions were used for the ThT kinetics assays.

Figure 5. Putative mechanism of clusterin-mediated inhibition of Aβ amyloidogenesis. (1) Under acidic pH-induced stress, Aβ may partially unfold, exposing hydrophobic regions with the propensity to form β-strands. At the same time, the acidic pH triggers the disassociation of clusterin's heterogeneous aggregates. (2) Misfolded Aβ begin to form amyloid fibrils, but (3) the disassociated clusterin subunits (CLU) halt fibril growth, utilizing their aggregation-prone regions, which tend to form β-strands as well. (4) Clusterin binds misfolded Aβ monomers, forming complexes and stabilizes their structure, until (5) they are refolded by other molecular chaperones. (6) In case misfolded Aβ species are produced faster than clusterin can stabilize their structure, it essentially brings them into proximity with remote monomers, accelerating amyloid formation.

601 Table 1. Bands observed in the ATR FT-IR spectra obtained from thin hydrated films produced by AMDIHF, EVVVKLF,

602 YYLRVTT and ILSVD solutions, respectively, and their tentative assignments.

AMDIHF bands	ILSVD bands	YYLRVTT bands	EVVVKLF bands	Band assignments
1134	1140	1138	1138	TFA
1186	1186	1188	1182	TFA
1201	1202	1200	1202	TFA
-	-	1516	-	Tyrosine
1543	1537	1537	1537	β-sheet
1631	1624	1624	1626	β-sheet
1668	1668	1666	1676	TFA
-	1692	1693	1690	Antiparallel β-sheet