Competition is a determinant of multidrug resistant plasmid acquisition in *Salmonella*

Running Title: Commensal bacteria can competitively exclude *Salmonella* Heidelberg

Adelumola Oladeinde[1,2,7*], Zaid Abdo[2*], Benjamin Zwirzitz[3,4¶], Reed Woyda[2¶], Steven M. Lakin[2¶], Maximilian O. Press[5¶], Kimberly Cook[6], Nelson A. Cox[1], Jesse C. Thomas IV[7], Torey Looft[8], Michael J. Rothrock[1], Gregory Zock[9], Jodie Plumblee Lawrence[1], Denice Cudnik[1], Casey Ritz[9] and Samuel E. Aggrey[9]

Authors' Affiliation

[1]U.S. National Poultry Research Center, USDA-ARS, Athens, GA, USA. [2]Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA. [3]Institute of Food Safety, Food Technology and Veterinary Public Health, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria. [4]Austrian Competence Centre for Feed and Food Quality, Safety, and Innovation FFoQSI GmbH, Tulln, Austria.[5]Phase Genomics Inc, Seattle, WA, 98109, USA. [6]Office of National Programs, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, Maryland, USA. [7]Division of STD Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD and TB Prevention, Center for Disease and Control, Atlanta, Georgia, USA. [8]National Animal Disease Center, USDA-ARS, Ames, IA, USA,[9]Poultry Science Dept, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

Corresponding authors* ade.oladeinde@usda.gov; zaid.abdo@colostate.edu

[¶] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Host microbiome homeostasis ensures that gut conditions are unfavorable to an invading pathogen such as *Salmonella enterica*. Consequently, fostering a "balanced" gut microbiome through the administration of microbes that can competitively exclude pathogens has gained a lot of attention and use in human and animal medicine. However, little is known on how competitive exclusion affects the transfer of antibiotic resistance. To shed more light on this question, we challenged neonatal broiler chicks raised on reused broiler chicken litter – a complex environment comprising of decomposing pine shavings, feces, uric acid, feathers, and feed, with *Salmonella* Heidelberg (S. Heidelberg), a model pathogen. We show that chicks raised on reused litter carried lower abundance of *Salmonella* and harbored a more uniform and diverse microbiome comprising of bacterial species that are known to provide colonization resistance towards *Salmonella* compared to chicks raised on fresh bedding composed of pine shavings. Additionally, these bacterial species were associated with a lower horizontal transfer of multidrug resistance genes to *S*. Heidelberg. Using in vitro competition experiments, we confirmed that conjugation between *S.* Heidelberg and *E. coli* strains from chicks raised on fresh litter resulted in the acquisition of multidrug resistant plasmids. Contrastingly, bacteriophage-mediated recombination between *S*. Heidelberg and *E. coli* strains made the acquisition of plasmid-mediated β-lactamase gene (bla_{CMY-2}) possible. Collectively, this study demonstrates that competitive exclusion can reduce the transfer of antibiotic resistance and provides information on the bacterial species that can be explored for their benefits to reduce antibiotic resistance transfer.

Importance/Significance

Antimicrobial resistance spread is a worldwide health challenge, stemming in large part, from the ability of microorganisms to share their genetic material through horizontal gene transfer. To address this issue, many countries and international organization have adopted a one health approach to curtail the proliferation of antibiotic resistant bacteria. This includes the removal and reduction of antibiotics used in food animal production and the development of alternatives to antibiotics. However, there is still a significant knowledge gap in our understanding of how antimicrobial resistance spreads in the absence of antibiotic selection and the role commensal bacteria play in reducing antibiotic resistance transfer. In this study, we demonstrate that commensal bacteria play a key role in reducing the horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance to *Salmonella* and provide the identity and characteristics of the bacterial species that performs this function in broiler chickens.

Introduction

Horizontal gene transfer is recognized as the main way bacteria acquire antibiotic resistance (AR) and exposure to antibiotics has been shown to be a driver of antibiotic resistance gene (ARG) transfer (1). Consequently, the rise in AR bacteria in hospital settings has been linked to the overuse of antibiotics in humans and its use in food animal production (2, 3). These public health concerns have led to a reduction in antibiotics used for raising food animals (4, 5), including a ban on antibiotics use in Europe (6). However, how much reduction in AR transfer is achievable from removal is still poorly understood. We previously showed that neonatal broiler chicks challenged with a susceptible *S. Heidelberg* (SH) strain and raised antibiotic-free on fresh bedding composed of pine shavings acquired multidrug resistant (MDR) SH strains at a high rate (7). We also demonstrated that horizontal transfer of a MDR plasmid from *E. coli* strains to SH conferred the MDR phenotype (7). We selected SH as the model pathogen because of its promiscuity to plasmids carrying AR (8, 9).

There is limited research on if and how competitively exclusion reduces AR in food-borne pathogens (10-16) such as *Salmonella enterica* or the role it plays in AR reduction and transfer. Therefore, the goal of this study was to determine the role competitive exclusion plays in limiting *Salmonella enterica* from acquiring AR and provide information on the bacterial species and mechanism involved. To do this, we compared the dynamics of AR transfer in neonatal broiler chicks raised on fresh bedding composed of pine shavings to chicks raised on reused litter. We chose reused litter because it is a complex environment made up of decomposing plant-based bedding (e.g., wood shavings, sawdust and rice or peanut hulls) mixed with chicken feces, uric acid, feathers, feed,

insects, and other broiler-sourced materials. Therefore, reused litter carries a unique and

complex population of bacteria, fungi, viruses (17-19), interacting with various forms of

eukaryotes, making it a suitable environment to study competitive exclusion. Broiler

chickens are commonly raised on litter but how litter is managed differs between

countries, producers, and farmers. For instance, the practice of reusing litter over multiple

flock of broiler chickens is a widespread practice in the US and Brazil while Canada (20)

and European counterparts (21) recommend fresh litter bedding for every flock. One

argument against litter reuse is that it harbors pathogenic bacteria that can be horizontally

transferred to the next flock (22). Contrastingly, proponents of litter reuse argue it confers

competitive exclusion against pathogens when effectively managed and it is cost-effective

(23-26). Beyond broiler chicken production, competitive exclusion by "beneficial"

microbes has received enormous attention in the 20th century, resulting in the bloom of

commercially marketed probiotics (27) and the underlying theory behind the application

of fecal microbiota transplantation in human medicine (28).

Results

Neonatal broiler chicks raised on reused litter did not acquire antibiotic resistant

***S*. Heidelberg**

Total *Salmonella* concentration in the ceca of broiler chicks was higher for chicks on fresh

litter compared to chicks on reused litter using both culture (p=0.15) and qPCR methods

(p = 0.29) (Fig. 1a and b). However, *Salmonella* concentration in the litter was variable

and differed between culture and qPCR method. For instance, *Salmonella* was higher in

fresh litter compared to reused litter using qPCR (p = 0.34) but higher in reused litter (p =

0.08) using culture method. The number of cecal samples positive for *Salmonella* after

enrichment in buffered peptone water (BPW) was 76% (n =34) for fresh litter and 63% for

reused litter (n =35). Chicks inoculated via the cloaca were all positive for *Salmonella*

after ceca was enriched in BPW regardless of the litter type. A few cecal (n = 5) and litter

(n = 2) samples from the uninfected control chicks were positive for *Salmonella* with

qPCR and after enrichment in BPW. Lastly, 36 % of SH isolates (n = 158) from the ceca

and litter of chicks from fresh litter acquired MDR (i.e., resistance to gentamicin,

tetracycline, and streptomycin), compared to 0.7 % of the isolates (n =141) from reused

litter (Fig. 1e).

Reused litter carried lower abundance of transferable plasmids than fresh litter

We used qPCR to target selected genes present on the MDR IncI1-pMLST26 plasmid

that was acquired by SH (7). There was no significant difference in the abundance of

IncI1, *aadA* and *tetA* (p>0.2) between fresh and reused litter but reused litter carried lower

levels of these genes (Fig. 1c). Class -1 integron gene (*IntI1*) was significantly higher in

reused litter compared to fresh litter (p = 0.03). In the ceca, *tetA (p=0.01) and aadA*

(p=0.04) were higher for chicks on reused litter compared to chicks on fresh litter.

To determine the plasmid and ARG types in the ceca, we aligned metagenome

assembled contigs of cecal samples (n = 4) to plasmid and ARG reference sequences

available on PlasmidFinder and ResFinder databases (see methods for description). This

revealed that chicks from fresh litter carried more plasmids (n = 6±4) than chicks on

reused litter (n = 3±2), including the MDR IncI1 plasmid that was acquired by SH (7) (Fig.

1d). Similarly, the ceca of broiler chicks from reused litter carried fewer ARG contigs (n =

2±2) than chicks on fresh litter (n = 16±3). The majority of the ARG's found confer

resistance to various drugs in the aminoglycoside class of antibiotics. Together, this data

suggests that reused litter harbored fewer MDR plasmids that could be transferred to

Salmonella compared to fresh litter.

Neonatal chicks raised on reused litter harbored a diverse population of beneficial

microbes and upregulated metabolic pathways

The alpha diversity of the bacterial community present in the ceca and litter of chicks on

reused litter was higher for the observed species measure (Ceca $p<0.001$, litter $p=0.001$)

but not for Shannon (Ceca $p=0.25$, litter $p=0.05$) and Simpson (Ceca $p=0.969$, litter

$p=0.28$) index (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Chicks on reused litter carried a more uniform

bacterial community in their ceca and litter compared to chicks on fresh litter and beta

diversity was affected by the route chicks were inoculated with SH (Supplementary Fig.

1b and c). Actinobacteria was higher in reused litter compared to fresh litter while

Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes were higher in fresh litter compared to reused litter (Fig.

2a; Supplementary Fig. 2). In the ceca, Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria were higher in

the ceca of broiler chicks on reused litter compared to chicks on fresh litter. We

determined that members of the genus *Bifidobacterium*, *Brevibacterium*,

Brachybacterium, *Corynebacterium*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Escherichia/Shigella*,

Halomonas, and Salinococcus among others contributed significantly to the higher

diversity of litter and ceca of chicks raised on reused litter compared to fresh litter (Fig.

2b).

To determine the species associated with the 16S rRNA amplicon sequence variants

found in reused litter, we aligned shot gun reads from the ceca of broiler chicks on fresh

(n =2) and reused (n = 2) litter (~111 million reads per sample) to Kraken database (30).

We identified ten species of *Bifidobacterium* including *B. longum* (21% of the genera),

and *B. catenulatum (*11% of the genera*)* that had the most abundant reads in the genus

(Fig. 2c; Supplementary File 1 - All supplementary files are available in Dryad repository:

https://datadryad.org/stash/share/tRAj54_iZbJ52xnBIakiN0czHPSoXqWcCdAd6FWamv

o). *Escherichia/Shigella* were represented by *E. coli* (~98% of the genera, *E. albertii*, *E.*

marmotae, *E. fergusonii*, *S. dysenteriae*, *S. boydii*, *S. flexneri* and *S. sonnei*.

Faecalibacterium   prausnitzii,   *Salinicoccus   halodurans   and   Jeotgalicoccus*

saudimassiliensis were the only species identified using shot gun reads. We identified

between 18 – 59 species for the genera *Halomonas*, *Streptococcus*, *Lactobacillus* and

Corynebacterium (Supplementary File 1).

Next, we used metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) to assess functional

pathways that were enriched in the ceca of chicks from fresh compared to reused litter.

The average assembly size and number of contigs was 1,238,395,273±167,820 bp and

1,797,423±281253 for the four samples. The number of MAGs found in reused litter was

higher (754±409) than fresh litter (156±98). Pathways related to biosynthesis of organic

and antimicrobial molecules were enriched to a greater degree in reused litter samples

than in fresh litter samples (Fig. 2d). Of note was the enrichment of antimicrobial

biosynthesis pathways in reused litter (macrolides, tetracyclines, and carbapenems),

suggesting an increased level of competition between bacterial species as the litter

became more used. Increased competition in reused litter was supported by a

simultaneous increase in molecules that are targets of antimicrobials, such as folate,

which is the target of the sulfonamides. Contrastingly, pathways associated with the

biosynthesis of secondary metabolites of plants including flavonoids and indole-alkaloids

were enriched in samples from fresh litter.

Neonatal chicks raised on reused litter carried fewer MDR *E. coli* strains

Microbiota analyses revealed that SH invasion of the chicken gut resulted in a reduction

of *E. coli* populations in the ceca of chicks raised on fresh and reused litter, while the

cecal metagenome revealed that *E. coli* was the main reservoir of multidrug resistant IncI1

plasmids (7). Furthermore, *E. coli* population was higher for broiler chicks on reused litter

compared to chicks on fresh litter (Fig. 3a). Therefore, we retrospectively screened the

ceca of broiler chicks from fresh and reused litter for susceptible and multidrug resistance

E. coli strains and sequenced the genomes of nineteen selected strains.

We observed that the phylogeny of the *E. coli* strains could be explained by the litter

used for raising broiler chicks. For instance, phylogroups A, D and F were only found in

the ceca of chicks raised on fresh litter, whereas phylogroups B1 were restricted to the

ceca of chicks from reused litter, except for one strain that belonged to phylogroup A (Fig.

3b and c). Likewise, multi locus sequence type (MLST) classification showed that ST 69,

2705 and 6858 were associated with *E. coli* strains originating from fresh litter. The only

ARG carried by *E. coli* strains from reused litter was bla_{TEM-1B}, except for one MDR isolate.

On the other hand, *E. coli* strains from fresh litter harbored multiple ARG on their

chromosome and plasmids, including the MDR IncI1 plasmid that was acquired by SH.

Competition experiments between SH and *E. coli* strains resulted in the acquisition

of MDR IncI1 plasmids.

To confirm the transfer of MDR plasmids from *E. coli* to SH and to determine the

mechanism involved, we performed a competition experiment on solid CHROMagar™

with an antibiotic susceptible SH strain and four *E. coli* strains (n = 2 *E. coli* strains from

fresh and reused litter, respectively) that differed in their virulence and AR genes (Fig.4a,

Supplementary File 2). To decipher the role of transduction (bacteriophage-mediated

transfer) in MDR acquisition, we added either Ca^{2+} to CHROMagar™, a cation required

for phage adsorption to bacterial cells, or citrate which chelates Ca^{2+} (31). Additionally,

we used synthetic 2-hexadecynoic acid (2-HDA), a potent inhibitor of conjugal plasmid

transfer (32) to determine if cell-to-cell contact (conjugation) was needed for MDR plasmid

transfer from *E. coli* to SH (Fig. 4a).

Adding citrate to CHROMagar™ reduced the population of SH (X^2=13.03, df =3, *P* =

0.004) and *E. coli* (X^2=19.83, df =3, *P* = 0.0002) and SH did not acquire MDR (Fig. 4b

and c). However, SH acquired gentamicin (gen^R) and ampicillin (amp^R) resistance in the

absence of citrate, 2-HDA and $CaCl_2$. Gentamicin resistant SH population appeared at a

lower a rate when 2-HDA was added to CHROMagar™ compared to controls (X^2=15.71,

df =3, *P* = 0.001) (Fig. 4b). We observed a 24 h delay in the appearance of amp^R SH and

colony counts did not follow the expected dilution-fold differences or were too numerous

to count (n = 9) (Supplementary Fig. 3a). Furthermore, none of the amp^R SH isolates (n

= 9) selected from $CaCl_2$ plates were resistant to ampicillin after a second susceptibility

screen. For amp^R isolates that passed the second screen, 8 of 35 displayed AmpC β-

lactamase resistance phenotype by broth microdilution (these eight ampR isolates are

thereafter referred to as amp^R recombinants) while 13 were resistant to cefoxitin. This

suggested that amp^R was not stable in SH after acquisition.

To determine the genetic determinants associated with amp^R and gen^R, we

sequenced nineteen SH recombinants including susceptible isolates. Amp^R recombinants

harbored IncI1-pMLST12 (pST12) encoding *bla*$_{CMY-2}$, whereas gen^R recombinants carried

IncI1-pMLST26 encoding multidrug resistance. In addition, SH recombinants that

acquired pST12 lost parental resistance to nalidixic acid. It is noteworthy to mention that

none of the *E. coli* strains harbored pST12 or *bla*$_{CMY-2}$ and suggests that recombination

of genes caused an emergence of pST12.

The acquisition of IncI1 plasmid carrying *bla*$_{CMY-2}$ gene altered the genome of *S.*

Heidelberg.

To determine the HGT mechanism involved in the emergence and transfer of pST12, we

compared mutations found in gen^R to amp^R recombinants. Amp^R recombinants had

parallel/hotspot mutations in 107 loci on the chromosome and 3 loci on IncX1 plasmid

(Supplementary File 3). A KEGG orthology analysis examining proteins affected by these

mutations suggests they are involved in enzyme metabolism, amino acid and secondary

transport, and transcription of DNA to RNA (Supplementary Table 1). In addition, 20%

(18 of 87) of proteins affected by mutations are associated with P22 bacteriophage

infection (33) (Supplementary File 3). For instance, we found mutations in genes that are

part of an O-antigen gene cluster (*rfbBCP)*. The *rfb* cluster genes are either required for

or aid in P22 infection (33). Surprisingly, the *gyrA* mutation that conferred nal resistance

was reverted in all amp^R recombinants. In comparison, we did not find *gyrA* reversion or

hot spot mutations in gen^R or susceptible recombinants, but all recombinants carried 6

mutations in the intergenic region between P4-like prophage DNA-binding transcriptional

regulator AlpA (Supplementary Table 2) and virulence factor PgtE. Furthermore, the

MLST (34) of the core genome of amp^R recombinants (cgST=114049) differed from that

of the ancestor (cgST=171516) and genR or susceptible recombinants (cgST=186980).

A ML tree with chromosomal SNPs and accessory genes (Fig. 5a and b) grouped

amp^R recombinants on the same clade with agar lysate and distantly separated them from

gen[R] and/or susceptible recombinants. Furthermore, a whole genome alignment with

protein sequences of two complete chromosomal contigs showed that inversion occurred

in the amp[R] recombinant and not in gen[R] recombinant (Supplementary Fig. 4). This

inversion affected a 700 Kbp region and deletions in the intergenic regions of 5S-23S and

5S-16S rRNA gene contributed to *oriC* reorientation.

The clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs) and their

associated cas immunological system is supposed to supply memory of the foreign

genetic elements that have invaded the host bacteria. In SH, there are two CRISPR I-E

systems (CRISPR1 and 2) known to perform this function (35). Therefore, we compared

the CRISPR-Cas genes in SH recombinants to determine if acquisition of protospacers

associated with CRISPR adaptation occurred. We found a 305-bp CRISPR repeat in

CRISPR2 for all amp[R] recombinants that was absent in gen[R] recombinants or the SH

ancestor (Supplementary Fig. 5a and d). This included 5 direct-repeats (29 bp each)

interspersed by 5 spacers (32 bp) – hereafter referred to as spacer 1 – 5. The extra

repeats were inserted between spacer 3 and repeat 4 of the ancestral CRISPR2

(Supplementary Fig. 5a). Furthermore, the extra repeat had higher DNA sequence

coverage than the surrounding CRISPR2 DNA sequence (n = 7, V = 28, *P* = 0.015)

(Supplementary Fig. 5b).

To decipher the biogenesis of this repeat, we performed BLAST alignment against

the genome of *E. coli* donors. We reasoned that SH could add spacers against non-self-

foreign DNA. We found regions of homology in the repeat region of all *E. coli* donors, but

no homology was found for the spacers (Supplementary Fig. 5c). To determine the targets

of the spacers, we performed searches against curated phage, plasmid and transposon

databases using NCBI or CRISPRtarget (36). We found no match for spacer 1, 3 and 5,

albeit spacer 2 matched IncFIB plasmids present in *Raoultella Orthinolytica* (RefSeq:

NZ_CP012557.1) and *Pantoea* sp*.* (RefSeq: NZ_CP022519) and spacer 4 matched

CRISPR2 spacers in several *S*. Heidelberg and *S*. Typhimurium genomes. The matching

spacer 2 was found in a ParB/RepB/Spo0J family plasmid partition protein (SopB)

encoded by Inc (F4: A-: B1) (59 % pairwise DNA identity i.e., 19 of 32 bases were 100%

identical) and IncI1 plasmids (pST12 and pSTnovel are 52% identical to spacer 2) present

in *E. coli* donor strains from fresh litter (Supplementary Fig. 5d and e). These results

suggested that acquisition of IncI1 plasmid carrying bla_{CMY-2} gene changed the genome

and sequence type of SH recombinants.

Identifying how a bacteriophage facilitated the transfer of IncI1 plasmid carrying

bla_{CMY-2} gene

Compared to conjugation, transduction is more likely to lead to a change in bacterial gene

content, genetic structure, and mutations. To determine if a bacteriophage mediated

pST12 transfer, we sequenced the lysate extracted from citrate treated CHROMagar™

and performed long read sequencing on a gen^R and amp^R recombinant to achieve

complete genomes. For amp^R recombinant, complete circular contigs were assembled

for IncX1 and ColpVC plasmid, while the longest chromosomal contig measured 4.7Mb

(Supplementary Table 3). The rest of the contigs were determined to be part of pST12

(~101 kb). Contrastingly, the gen^R recombinant assembly resulted in a complete

chromosome, IncX1 and Col8282 and the remaining contigs were mapped to pMLST26

(~107 kb).

Next, we aligned the sequence reads of the lysate to SH genome and de-novo

assembled the unmapped reads. Our hypothesis was that SH transcription would be

directed towards transducing phage proteins and therefore would have greater number

of sequenced read coverage. After read alignment, we found a ~40 kb CDS region

encoding *Salmonella* P22 phage with twice the coverage of the SH chromosome

(Supplementary Fig. 6; supplementary figures 6-13 are available in Dryad repository:

https://datadryad.org/stash/share/tRAj54_iZbJ52xnBIakiN0czHPSoXqWcCdAd6FWamv

o). Additionally, the assembled unmapped reads were pST12, suggesting the lysate

carried a transducing particle (Supplementary Table 3). Furthermore, SH strains that

acquired pST12 carried mutations affecting P22 including a mutation downstream of *gtrC*

(serotype conversion gene) and phage tail (endorhamnosidase) (Supplementary File 3).

To determine how the pST12 plasmid backbone evolved, we performed multiple

alignment of all chromosome and plasmid contigs from *E. coli* donors except IncX1

(present in the SH, thus incompatible) and Col plasmids and used pST12 contigs as the

reference genome (Fig. 5c). This revealed that pST12 was a chimera of plasmids and

chromosomal genes with a pST26 and novel IncI1 (pSTnovel) backbone (pST12 is ~40%

identical to pMLST26 and ~30% to pSTnovel). Other plasmids that were determined to

be part of pST12 were *inc* groups FIB/FII and IncHI1B/p0111. For example, *inc*FIB/FII

present in Ec-RL-1X was the only plasmid harboring the five uncharacterized genes

located between *ccdA* and *cia,* and no plasmid was identified for regions encoding *ISEc9-*

*sugE-blc-amp*C.

After comparing the pST12 from this study with identical plasmids (n = 93), we found

only twenty-four genes to make up its core genome. These included genes encoding

conjugal transfer (*tra, dot and icm)* and tyrosine recombinase *(xerD)*. (Supplementary

Table 4). A ML tree reconstructed with accessory genes revealed that the closest MLST

to pST12 comprised of non-typeable plasmids, ST24, ST26 and ST36 (Supplementary

Fig. 7). Furthermore, a KEGG orthology analysis of the Incl1 plasmids from this study

revealed they share gene modules for secretion system, cytoskeleton, and prokaryotic

defense system, but pST26 and pST12 have additional modules for transport and AR

(Supplementary Table 5). These results suggest that recombination between plasmids

and chromosomal genes present in strains of *E. coli* and SH gave rise to Incl1 plasmid

carrying bla_{CMY-2} gene and a P22 bacteriophage aided its transfer to SH.

Identifying recombination events between SH and *E. coli* that gave rise to a

transferable bla_{CMY-2} gene.

We found an AmpC region with identical DNA sequences to bla_{CMY-2} on the

chromosome of *E. coli* and SH. This region encodes translation elongation factor (*efP*),

quaternary ammonium compound-resistance (*sugE),* outer membrane lipoprotein (*blc*),

AmpC β-lactamase (*blaMIR)* and fumarase reductase genes (*frdABCD*) in *E. coli*, but in

SH a *luxR* regulator and an uncharacterized protein replaced AmpC. A phylogenetic tree

built with the AmpC/Frd operon showed that *E. coli* donors (Ec-FL-1X and Ec-FL-2X) were

the closest relative (78%) to the bla_{CMY-2} region in pST12 (Supplementary Fig. 8). The *frd*

proteins of SH and *E. coli* (*frd* of SH is 87 % identical to *frd* of *E. coli*) are more closely

related compared to *blc-sugE (blc-sugE* of SH is 70% identical to *blc-SugE* of *E. coli)*.

The results suggested that AmpC was mobilized from the chromosome to Incl1.

We did not find the *ISEc9* transposon that was co-mobilized with AmpC in *E. coli*

donor strains or SH recipient but *ISEc9* was present on the chromosome of agar lysate

and amp^R recombinants (Supplementary Fig. 9a). *ISEc9* was inserted into the

chromosome of amp^R recombinants, and it truncated an uncharacterized protein (*ynfC*)

located downstream of spermidine N (1)-acetyltransferase (*speG*) (Supplementary Fig.

8). Similarly, *ISEc9* was inserted into a hypothetical protein (*yagA*) in pST12 and in so

doing truncated the *yagA* gene (Supplementary Fig. 9b). This suggested that *ISEc9* was

first encoded on the chromosome before it was mobilized to IncI1. A BLAST search

against the NCBI RefSeq database revealed that multiple chromosomal regions in

Enterobacteriaceae encode *ISEc9* (n= 50) including *Salmonella* (n = 19) and *E. coli* (n

=9). For ~ 60% of the occurrences, *bla*$_{CTX-M}$ or *bla*$_{CMY-2}$ was the neighboring gene to *ISEc9*

(Supplementary File 4). For example, *S.* Typhimurium strains (Genbank: CP022062 and

CP028314) carried *ISEc9* and *bla*$_{CMY-2}$ on their chromosome and on a IncI1 plasmid.

In *E. coli*, the promoter of *ampC* overlaps *frdD* and serves as a transcriptional

terminator of the Frd operon. Therefore, AmpC and Frd operon are tightly coupled and

changes in the overlapping region are expected to affect both operons (37). The Frd

operon is important for anaerobic respiration and requirement for fumarate can drive the

transcription of a cascade of genes (38) and lead to the formation of antibiotic resistant

bacterial persisters (39). This selection for fumarate may explain the reports of plasmids

encoding Frd and AmpC operon in *Enterobacteriaceae* species (39-41). We searched the

cecal metagenomes for pST12 and found contigs with identical protein sequences (n

=119, mean contig size = 7,858 bp, smallest contig = 1,000 bp, largest contig = 103,724

bp) including a ~ 18 kb contig encoding AmpC/Frd operon in chicks from fresh litter

(Supplementary Fig. 9a ; Supplementary File 5). Likewise, we found contigs matching

pST12 (n =121, mean contig size = 22,643 bp, smallest contig = 1,017 bp, largest contig

= 243,272 bp) in the cecal metagenome of chicks from reused litter and one contig (~18

kb) also carried AmpC/Frd operon. We did not find any contig encoding *ISEc9* in the cecal

metagenome but when we restricted our BLAST search to viral genomes available on

NCBI, we found *ISEc9* on two bacteriophages - *Enterobacter* phage LAU1 and

Salmonella phage SJ46.

E. coli donor strains carried multiple lambda phages and SJ46 (Supplementary Fig.

11a) and some shared proteins with significant homology with P22 phage in SH. For

instance, donor Ec-FL-1X harbored two lambda phages with one encoding lysozyme R

(*rrrD*), superinfection exclusion protein B (*sieB*) and recombination proteins (*ninBE*)

(Supplementary Fig. 11b). These genes are important for bacterial host lysis, resistance

to phage re-infection and phage recombination, and their presence suggests P22 can

lysogenize the *E. coli* genomes used in this study and vice versa. Similarly, P22 encodes

two regions of homology with pSTnovel, including its coat protein (~9% identical to *parA*

in pSTnovel) that is required for P22 packaging and Rha family transcriptional regulator

(~12% identical to *repZ* in pSTnovel). Together, our results suggest that selection for

fumarate genes encoded by *E. coli* and SH gave rise to recombinants carrying bla_{CMY-2}

on an IncI1 plasmid, and bacteriophages aided its mobilization from chromosome to

plasmid.

Proposing a transduction model for IncI1 plasmid carrying bla_{CMY-2} gene

Competition resulted in the lysis of some *E. coli* and SH strains and our model suggests

that P22 erroneously acquired part of their genome during procapsid packaging and

transduced this DNA after re-infecting SH (Supplementary Fig. 12a). P22 can transduce

DNA that are between 2- 5% of the size of its genome i.e., < 750 - 2,000 bp (42). Further,

DNA regions in multiple copies and under selection are expected to be transduced at a

higher rate than low copy DNA (43, 44). In this study, we determined this region to encode

AmpC/Frd operon in *E. coli* donors. We could not identify the origin of *ISEc9* transposon

or why it is co-located with *blc-sugE*-AmpC in IncI1, but our results suggest it was formed

during transduction. By inserting itself next to *speG*, an indispensable protein for

polyamine detoxification, *ISEc9* was maintained in the chromosome and transferred to a

plasmid by a bacteriophage.

Amp^R recombinants carried a high copy small ColpVC plasmid and an IncX1 with 3

hotspot mutations including a 22-bp iteron deletion. When we compared the hotspot

mutations of amp^R recombinants to other SH genomes carrying ColpVC, we found that

all genomes carried 50 and 3 hotspot mutations on their chromosome and IncX1,

respectively (Supplementary File 6; Supplementary Fig. 12b). In our previous work (45),

we demonstrated that SH strains that had a deleted iteron carried a higher copy of IncX1,

which we speculated was needed for mobilizing ColE1 plasmids. This was also true in

this study, Amp^R recombinants carried higher copies of IncX1 (1.81 $\pm$ 0.05 copies per cell)

than gen^R and susceptible recombinants (1.16 $\pm$ 0.17 copies per cell) (W = 0, *P* < 0.001).

The non-coding region downstream of the origin of replication of ColpVC (84 bp) is

94% identical to the origin of transfer (*oriT*) of the relaxosome (*nikA*) of pST12 and

pSTnovel (~ 110 bp region upstream of *nikA*). In addition, we found the region upstream

of *nikA* to have the highest sequence coverage (8X for pST12 and 5X for pSTnovel) when

compared to the rest of the IncI1 genomes (Supplementary Fig. 12c). Likewise, ColpVC

had the highest genome coverage (~13,000X) (Supplementary Fig. 12c) and the

chromosomal region encoding P22 had twice the coverage of the chromosome

(Supplementary Fig. 6). This suggests that IncI1 and P22 bacteriophages are capable of

mobilizing ColpVC plasmids.

It is plausible that carriage of ColpVC provides conjugative plasmids with extra *oriT*

that is required for conjugation, while ensuring their own mobilization. Consequently,

encapsulation of ColpVC by bacteriophages not only enhances the stability of ColpVC

but also helps maintain IncI1 plasmids in the bacterial host. Indeed, early studies on P22

transduction suggested that P22 infection aids productive rolling circle replication of

ColE1 plasmids by providing a substrate for headful packaging (43). The 2.2kb size of

ColpVC puts it in the upper end of the predicted genome size P22 can transduce. There

is no reported benefit ColpVC gives the bacterial host, but it is prevalent in SH strains

harboring IncX1 and/or pST12 (9). Rodriguez-Rubio et al (44) showed that encapsulation

of multicopy Col plasmids by bacteriophages increased AR transfer 10,000-fold

compared to encapsulation of low copy plasmids.

Discussion

Establishing a "balanced" gut microbiome through the administration of probiotics has

gained a lot of attention and use in human and animal medicine, but its effect on AR

transfer is not fully understood. Casaburi et al (46) showed that infants fed a probiotic

strain of *Bifidobacterium longum* exhibited a change in their gut microbiome, resulting in

a 90 % lower level of ARG compared to non-fed controls. In this study, we show that

commensal bacterial populations from reused broiler chicken litter provided colonization

resistance against the conjugal transfer of multidrug resistant plasmid to *S*. Heidelberg

present in the gut of neonatal chicks. We identified several bacterial species from the

genera *Bifidobacterium*, *Faecalibacterium, Corynebacterium* and *Escherichia* to be

involved in the competitive exclusion of antibiotic resistant *Salmonella* and determined

the metabolic pathways used. Though we measured only two cross-sectional timepoints

for reused and fresh litter, the relative abundance of plant-metabolic related pathways in

fresh litter compared to the enrichment of competitive molecules in reused litter suggests

that a selective pressure gradient exists over time for the colonizing microbial populations

in reused litter. Together, these characteristics suggests that reused litter is a highly

competitive environment for *Salmonella* to successfully colonize.

Although neonatal chicks raised on reused litter did not acquire MDR S. Heidelberg,

our analysis of the cecal metagenome and whole genome sequencing of *E. coli* strains

originating from reused litter confirmed that transferable plasmids were indeed present in

reused litter. Additionally, a competition experiment involving *E. coli* strains from fresh

and reused litter suggested that selection for fumarate encoding genes led to the

development of β-lactam resistant *S*. Heidelberg populations and not antibiotic selection.

The ampC/frd region is part of a large mobile genomic island-like (~140kb) chromosome

region encoding P4 bacteriophage in some *Enterobacteriaceae* species (47). We

confirmed the location of the island in one of the *E. coli* donor strain from fresh litter

(Supplementary Fig. 13). The mobility of this region following *E. coli* lysis was key to the

emergence and transfer of the most reported (48, 49) plasmid-mediated AmpC β-

lactamase gene (*bla$_{CMY-2}$*) gene to *S*. Heidelberg in our study.

The vertebrate host uses multiple defense mechanisms to protect the gut against an

invading pathogen including its microbiome. The microbiome competes with pathogens

for space and nutrients, and through this process confers colonization resistance against

an invader. Consequently, the microbiome regulates a variety of host immune responses

and has been demonstrated to shape the gut-brain communication (50, 51). This

communication between the host and its microbiome ensures that gut conditions are

unfavorable to a pathogen such as *Salmonella*. This can be achieved by reducing the

stomach pH and oxygen levels to levels that only favor short chain fatty acid producers

(52) and oxygen-sensitive bacterial species (53) including members of the phylum

Firmicutes. However, some strains/serotypes of non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* have

developed mechanisms to evade the host microbiome-imposed colonization resistance.

Through evolution, these strains have acquired repertoire of genes for acid resistance

and anaerobic metabolism. Furthermore, *Salmonella* has been shown to modulate the

microbiome present in the gut of broiler and layer chickens after challenge with

Salmonella Enteritidis or Typhimurium (52, 54).

Pathogen induced gut modulation can create an imbalance in the abundance of

bacterial species i.e., some species outgrow known resident bacteria, leading to a

dysbiosis of the gut microbiome and successful *Salmonella* colonization and antibiotic

transfer (55, 56). In this study, we observed that competition from commensal bacteria in

reused litter significantly reduced *S.* Heidelberg population that acquired AR from *E. coli*

strains harbored by neonatal chicks. However, when we performed competition

experiments with a mixture of divergent *E. coli* strains originating from fresh and reused

litter, *S.* Heidelberg strains emerged with newly acquired antibiotic resistance genes. This

result suggests that competition for space and nutrients between closely related species

that differ in virulence and resistome can lead to a negative outcome i.e., the development

of new multidrug resistant pathogenic strains. Thus, identifying gut conditions that

maintains an abundance of beneficial microbes while limiting such "adverse" competition

warrants further study.

Materials and Methods

The details of the methods used for preparing S. Heidelberg (SH) inocula, challenging

neonatal chicks, bacterial and DNA analyses, whole genome, and metagenome

sequencing and bioinformatics have been described before for neonatal chicks raised on

fresh pine shavings (7). Therefore, detailed methods are only provided for experiments

involving reused litter.

SH inoculum preparation. The SH strain was made resistant to 200 ppm of nalidixic

acid (nal^R) for selective enumeration. The inoculum was grown overnight in poultry litter

extract, centrifuged, and resuspended in 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The

resuspended cells were used as inocula. The complete genome of the ancestor to SH-

2813nal^R is available under GenBank accession number : CP066851.

Challenging broiler chicks with SH Two hundred one-day-old Cobb 500 broiler chicks

were either uninoculated, gavaged or cloacally inoculated with ~10^6 colony forming units

(CFU) of SH-2813nal^R. We included a seeder-bird colonization method, whereby five

chicks were gavaged and mingled with twenty uninoculated chicks. Afterwards, chicks

were placed in floor pens at a stocking density of 0.65 m^2/chick on fresh pine shavings (n

=100) or reused litter (n =100). The reused litter was previously used to raise three flocks

of broiler chicken's antibiotic-free under simulated commercial poultry production

conditions and was top dressed with 0.5 cm of fresh pine shavings before the placement

of each flock. Broiler chicks on fresh (FL) and reused litter (RL) were housed separately.

Broiler chicks were raised for 14 days on antibiotic-free starter diet and water. At 14

days, forty-five chicks from FL and RL (ten chicks from gavaved, cloacal and uninoculated

groups and fifteen chickens from the seeder method) were sacrificed to determine the

extent of SH colonization in ceca. Additionally, litter samples were collected as grab

samples from each pen after chicks were culled. The experiments were performed in April

2018. The study was approved by the University of Georgia Office of Animal Care and

Use under Animal Use Protocol: A2017 04-028-A2.

Determination of cecal and litter *Salmonella* counts Ceca were removed from the

eviscera of broiler chicks and stomached for 60s after the addition 3X volume to the

weight (v/w) of buffered peptone water. Serial dilutions of the stomached cecal slurry were

plated onto Brilliant Green Sulfa agar (BGS) (BD Difco, MD, USA) containing 200-ppm

nal. Plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C. After incubation, colonies were counted and

used for CFU/g calculation. When no colonies appeared, pre-enriched cecal slurry was

streaked onto BGS agar supplemented with nal and incubated overnight. BGS plates

were examined for the presence/absence of *Salmonella* colonies after overnight

incubation. In addition, thirty grams of litter was processed in duplicates from each pen

and serial dilutions were plated and analyzed as described for cecal slurry. Colony counts

were reported per gram litter dry weight.

Real-time qPCR. Quantitative real-time PCR amplification was performed on DNA

extracted from 250 mg of cecal slurry and litter using a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR

Detection System (Bio-Rad Inc., Hercules, CA). Reaction mixtures contained 1X

SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Inc., Hercules, CA), 600 nM

(each) primers) and 2 µl of DNA (7).

16S rRNA gene sequencing. The V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene was

sequenced using the paired-end (250 × 2) method on the Illumina MiSeq platform. The

samples were part of a larger sequencing run and processed together with other samples.

Thus, detailed sequence processing parameters are described in (7). To avoid bias

introduced by spurious amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) or from samples with low

sequencing depth, any ASVs with less than 5 reads and samples with less than 5000

reads were removed from the dataset before further analysis.

Statistical analysis of microbial communities was performed in the R environment

using the packages "phyloseq", "Ampvis2", and "vegan". Alpha diversity indices were

calculated with a dataset rarefied to the minimum sample size (8740 sequences). After

assessing normal distribution by qqplots, histograms, and the Shapiro-Wilk normality test,

the not normal distributed groups were compared using the Wilcoxon Signed Rank test.

The principal coordinates analysis based on Bray-Curtis distances was calculated with

no initial data transformation and ASVs that were not present in more than 0.1% relative

abundance in any sample have been removed. All raw FASTQ files for 16S rRNA gene

sequences have been deposited under NCBI accession number: PRJNA669215.

Cecal metagenome sequencing Two hundred and fifty milligrams of cecal slurry from

chicks cloacally challenged with SH and raised on fresh (n = 2) or reused litter (n = 2)

were used for generating shotgun and Hi-C DNA library. For shotgun library, cecal DNA

was extracted with Qiagen DNeasy Power-Soil DNA kit (Hilden, Germany) and Nextera™

XT library preparation kit was used to make the library. Hi-C library was made using

Phase Genomics (Seattle, WA, USA) ProxiMeta Hi-C Microbiome Kit following the

manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were sequenced by Novogene corporation

(Sacramento, CA, USA) on the Illumina HiSeq platform using 150bp paired end reads.

Two libraries were sequenced per HiSeq flowcell lane resulting in a total of ~111 million

shotgun reads, and ~213 million Hi-C reads per sample.

Shotgun reads were filtered and trimmed for quality and normalized before assembly

was performed with metaSPAdes (57) using default options. Hi-C reads were then aligned

to the assembly following the Hi-C kit manufacturer's recommendations

(https://phasegenomics.github.io/2019/09/19/hic-alignment-and-qc.html).   Metagenome

deconvolution was performed with ProxiMeta (58, 59), resulting in the creation of putative

genome and genome fragment clusters. Hi-C clusters were assessed for quality using

CheckM (59) and assigned taxonomic classifications with Mash v2.1. Quality controlled

shotgun reads were additionally classified using Kraken2 (v2.0.8 beta) (30) to create a

count profile of the metagenome. To identify the plasmids and ARGs present in

metagenome assembled genomes, we used Minimap2 (61) to align assembled

metagenome contigs to PlasmidFinder (29) and ResFinder (62) database. For contigs

aligned using Minimap, a 75% identity threshold was used for the alignment match,

whereas contigs with significant homology (E value < 0.05) were considered contigs of

the respective plasmid or ARG using Geneious Prime®.

Functional Pathway Analysis To assess enrichment of functional pathways, both the

shotgun sequences and the Hi-C deconvoluted genomes were utilized for each sample.

The shotgun metagenomic sequences were aligned to the Hi-C genomic contigs using

the BWA-MEM algorithm as implemented in the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner software

(v0.7.17) (63). The Hi-C genomic contigs were then annotated using Prokka (v1.13) (64).

For each annotated region on the Hi-C genomic contigs, sequences overlapping the

annotated region in the sequence alignments were counted using a custom Python script

to produce a count matrix of genes for all samples. Gene counts were normalized by gene

length and using total sum scaling normalization to control for differences in sequencing

depth (65).

Enzyme Commission identifiers (EC ID) were extracted from the Prokka annotations

for each gene and used to translate counts for genes into counts for each EC ID. Genes

that did not have an annotated EC ID were not included in the analysis. For ambiguous

EC IDs (e.g., 3.6.3.-), counts were divided evenly into the lowest-level, non-ambiguous

EC IDs that were children of that EC ID. For example, if 3.6.3.- included 3.6.3.1, 3.6.3.2,

and 3.6.3.3, then a count of 9 for the ambiguous EC ID 3.6.3.- would result in each of the

three children EC IDs having a count of 3. EC IDs were then mapped to KEGG functional

pathways according to the KEGG ontology. KEGG pathway XML files were retrieved from

the KEGG database via HTTP REST query using a custom R script. For each pathway,

the adjacency graph was reconstructed from the KEGG XML files, and connected

components were determined using depth-first search (66). Scores were calculated for

pathways and connected components for each sample using the following equation:

586
$$\text{Score} = \frac{1}{K}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{x_k - \bar{x}}{\sigma}$$

where K is the number of nodes in each pathway or connected component, x_k is the

integer count for node k in that pathway, $\bar{x}$ is the mean of the node counts in that pathway,

and σ is the standard deviation of the node counts in that pathway. Positive scores

indicate average increased "flux" through the pathway or connected component, while

negative scores indicate average decreased "flux."   This approach is equivalent to

averaging Z-scores for each node in each pathway or connected component. Statistical

testing was then performed by comparing scores to the Z-distribution. All codes, database

files, and analytic data used for functional pathway analysis are available on GitHub :

https://github.com/lakinsm/oladeinde-competitive-exclusion.

Isolation of *E. coli* from the ceca of broiler chicks. The method used for screening

frozen cecal contents has been reported (7). Briefly, two cecal slurry from challenged

chicks raised on fresh and reused litter were spread plated onto CHROMagar™ plates

supplemented with or without gentamicin (8 ppm) and tetracycline (8 ppm), ampicillin (16

ppm) and cefoxitin (16 ppm) (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA). After 24 h incubation, blue

green and blue-cream colonies were counted as presumptive *E. coli* and 4 - 5 colonies

(n = 27) from each spread plate were picked and restruck for colony purification.

After incubation, a colony representing the different morphologies observed was

struck on Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) (Remel Inc., KS, USA) and incubated overnight

at 37 °C. A characteristic green metallic sheen growth on EMB was used to confirm an

isolate as *E. coli*. Colonies were preserved in Luria Bertani broth containing glycerol and

frozen before they were used further including solid agar competition with SH.

Solid agar competition between SH and *E. coli*. Four *E. coli* strains

(GenBank/Sample accession numbers: CP066836, JAFCXR000000000, CP066839,

SAMN17168891) were selected as AR donors and SH-2813nal^R (Sample accession

number: SAMN17171078) was used as the recipient (see Fig. 4a for experimental

design). Four colonies from SH-2813nal^R and one colony from each *E. coli* donor strain

($\sim 10^8$ CFU in a colony) were selected from overnight cultures grown on sheep blood

agar (Remel Inc, San Diego, CA) and resuspended in 1.7 ml microcentrifuge tubes

containing 900 µl of 1× PBS. The four SH and *E. coli* colonies were resuspended in

separate microcentrifuge tubes i.e., one tube for SH and one tube for all four *E. coli*.

Afterwards, recipient and donor were diluted 10,000- and 100,000-fold, respectively,

using 1× PBS. Thereafter, 100 µl from the 1:10,000 recipient dilution was spread onto

CHROMagar™ ECC (Paris, France) supplemented with or without 10mM $CaCl_2$ (Sigma-

Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 20mM sodium chloride citrate buffer ($Na_3C_6H_5O$) (Sigma-

Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or 1mM 2-hexadecynoic acid (2-HDA) (MJM chemical LLC,

Athens, GA) and incubated for 6 h. For details of 2-HDA preparation, see

supplementary methods (https://datadryad.org/stash/downloads/file_stream/1003254).

Following incubation, 100 µl from the 1:100,000 donor dilution was spread onto the

recipient bacterial lawn and incubation continued overnight (n = 3 CHROMagar™ plates

per treatment).

After incubation, two areas of equal sizes ($\sim 0.3\,cm^2$) were excised from each

CHROMagar™ plate and transferred to 900 µl of 1× PBS. Vigorous shaking was done at

1,800 rpm with a Fastprep-96 bead beater (MP Biomedicals, Solon, Ohio) for 1 min to

suspend the cells from the agar plug. Serial dilutions were then spread onto BGS agar

and CHROMagar™ supplemented with or without gentamicin (gen) (16 ppm) or amp (8

ppm). Experiments were performed twice, and colonies were counted 18 - 48 h after

incubation using a calibrated automated ProtoCOL 3 HD colony counter (Synoptics Inc,

MD, USA). Additionally, three SH colonies per replicate were selected from BGS agar

plates supplemented with either gen or amp for antibiotic susceptibility testing.

DNA extraction from agar plug lysate We modified a rapid method developed for

extracting DNA from bacteriophages (66). First, we combined triplicates of the agar plug

resuspension from the sodium citrate treated agar i.e., excised agar and 1X PBS (~ 1.2

ml) and added 120 µl of 10X DNAse buffer before incubating in a dry water bath at 40ºC

for 30 min. Afterwards, we passed the resuspended agar through a 0.22 µm syringe filter

and added 2U of DNase (TURBO DNA-free™ Kit, Thermo Fisher) to the lysate recovered.

The DNAse-treated lysate was incubated at 37 ºC for 30 min before the DNase was

inactivated with 10 µl of 50 mM EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO).

Next, we used a 30kDa Amicon® Ultra-15 centrifugal filter unit (Thermo Fisher) to

concentrate the lysate for 5 min at 4,000 x g in a swing bucket centrifuge (Eppendorf ;

model 5804 R, Hamburg, Germany) . We added 10 µl of 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate

solution (Media Tech Inc., VA, USA) and 5 µl of proteinase K (Thermo Fisher) to the

concentrated lysate, followed by incubation at 55 ºC for 30 min. Afterwards, lysate DNA

was incubated at 70 ºC for 10 min to inactivate proteinase K and reconcentrated in an

Eppendorf vacufuge plus concentrator (Thermo Fisher) at 30 °C. Pelleted DNA was

reconstituted in 10 µl 1× Tris-EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris-HCL, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5), and

purified with Zymogen PCR inhibitor removal kit (Zymo Research Corp., CA, USA) as per

the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was used for making Nextera XT (Illumina, Inc., CA,

USA) libraries in duplicates and sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq platform

with 150 paired end reads.).

Antibiotic resistance phenotype determination. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

(AST) was done on SH and *E. coli* isolates by following the National Antimicrobial

Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS) protocol for Gram-Negative bacteria. Results

were interpreted according to clinical and laboratory standards institute guidelines and

breakpoints established by NARMS. For presumptive SH recombinants selected from

mating experiments, we screened sixty colonies on BGS agar supplemented with 8 ppm

amp or 16 ppm gen before forty-eight isolates were selected for AST using the NARMS

protocol.

Whole genome sequencing and analyses. DNA was extracted and purified from

bacterial colonies using FastDNA spin Kit (MpBio) and Illumina short read sequencing

was performed on DNA extracted. Libraries were prepared using either Nextera™ XT or

Nextera™ DNA Flex library preparation kits (Illumina, Inc., CA, USA) following the

manufacturers protocol. Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform with

150 or 250-bp paired end reads. Additionally, two SH and two *E. coli* isolates were

selected for long read sequencing using Sequel II System (PacBio Biosciences Inc., CA,

USA). Preparation and sequencing of long read libraries were done by sequencing core

centers of University of Georgia and Colorado State University. The method used for read

quality control and demultiplexing has been reported (7).

Genome assembly, resistome characterization, and quality assessment of assemblies

was done using Reads2Resistome pipeline v.1.1.1 (68). We assembled bacterial

genomes using either Illumina reads only or Illumina and PacBio reads (Supplementary

File 7). Genome annotation was done using Prokka (64), Rapid Annotation using

Subsystem Technology (RAST) (69) and BlastKOALA (70). We subtyped the *E. coli*

strains using ClermonTyping (71) and Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (MLST) (72),

whereas S. Heidelberg was subtyped using cgMLSTFinder v. 1.1 (73). For plasmid typing,

we used plasmid MLST (29). To identify prophages, we used PHAST(74).

ProgressiveMAUVE v. 1.1.1 (75) and MAFFT v. 1.4.0 (76) implemented in Geneious

Prime® v 2020.0.1 were used to align and compare sequences. Roary (77) was used for

pan genome analysis and phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using the maximum

likelihood (ML) method implemented in RAxML-NG v 1.0.0 (78). IslandViewer (79) was

used to predict genomic islands. BLAST database of the bacterial genomes sequenced

in this study was made using Geneious Prime®.

SNP and Indel identification Illumina reads were used to determine single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) and indels present in SH isolates. Alignment of raw FASTQ reads

to the genome of SH-ancestor was done using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) (63) and

SNPs/indels were called using Genome Analysis Toolkit (80). Variant call format (VCF)

files of SNPs/Indels are available in Dryad Digital

Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.c866t1g6c. BlastKOALA was used to identify

KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathways that sequenced genomes

or proteins are capable of. For other bioinformatic analyses performed including read

coverage determination through FASTQ alignment and CRISPR array identification, see

supplementary methods (Supplementary materials and methods). All raw FASTQ reads

for sequenced bacterial genomes and agar lysate are publicly available under NCBI

accession numbers: PRJNA683658, PRJNA684578 and PRJNA684580.

Statistical analyses. Continuous variables did not meet the assumption of a normal

distribution; therefore, non-parametric testing for direct comparisons was performed using

Wilcoxon rank sum and signed rank tests, and the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test was used

for one-way analysis of variance tests. Furthermore, continuous variables were log-

transformed before any statistical tests were performed. Statistical analyses were

performed using R (v 3.4.1).

Data availability. All raw short and long FASTQ reads are publicly available under NCBI

accession numbers: PRJNA683658, PRJNA684578 and PRJNA684580. Shotgun and

Hi-C reads are publicly available under NCBI accession number: PRJNA688069 and 16S

rRNA gene sequences under NCBI accession number: PRJNA669215. Complete

genomes for *E. coli* strain Ec-RL-1X is available under GenBank accession number:

CP066839. Variant call format (VCF) files of identified SNPs/indels and the Linux/Unix

shell script used have been deposited in Dryad Digital Repository:

https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.c866t1g6c.

Ethics statement. All animal experiments were approved by the University of Georgia

Office of Animal Care and Use under Animal Use Protocol: A2017 04-028-A2.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Marlo Sommers, Carolina Hall, Jeromey

Jackson, and Latoya Wiggins for their logistical and technical assistance. We also thank

Nikki Shariat for reviewing the manuscript. This work was supported by USDA Agricultural

Research Service (Project Number: 6040-32000-010-00-D), non-assistance cooperative

agreement (58-6040-6-030) between USDA Agricultural Research Service and University

of Georgia, Research Foundation, and research service agreement (58-6040-8-035)

between USDA Agricultural Research Service and Colorado State University. B.Z was

supported by the competence center. The competence center (FFoQSI) is funded by the

Austrian ministries BMVIT, BMDW, and the Austrian provinces Niederoesterreich, Upper

Austria and Vienna within the scope of COMET-Competence Centers for Excellent

Reference:

1. C. J. Von Wintersdorff et al., Dissemination of antimicrobial resistance in microbial ecosystems through horizontal gene transfer. Frontiers in microbiology 7, 173 (2016).

2. C. Ventola (2015) The antibiotic resistance crisis: part 1: causes and threats. PT 40 (4): 277–283.

3. F. J. Angulo, N. L. Baker, S. J. Olsen, A. Anderson, T. J. Barrett (2004) Antimicrobial use in agriculture: controlling the transfer of antimicrobial resistance to humans. in Seminars in pediatric infectious diseases (Elsevier), pp 78-85.

4. K. L. Tang et al., Restricting the use of antibiotics in food-producing animals and its associations with antibiotic resistance in food-producing animals and human beings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Planetary Health 1, e316-e327 (2017).

5. R. Singer, L. Porter (2019) Estimates of On-Farm Antimicrobial Usage in Broiler Chicken and Turkey Production in the United States, 2013-2017. . (Mindwalk Consulting Group, LLC. Falcon Heights, MN, 55113, USA.).

6. C. Kirchhelle, Swann Song: Antibiotic Regulation in British Livestock Production (1953-2006). B Hist Med 92, 317-351 (2018).

7. A. Oladeinde et al., Gene flux and acid-imposed selection are the main drivers of antimicrobial resistance in broiler chicks infected with Salmonella enterica serovar Heidelberg. bioRxiv 10.1101/2021.02.25.432983, 2021.2002.2025.432983 (2021).

8.   L. Gieraltowski et al., National Outbreak of Multidrug Resistant Salmonella

Heidelberg Infections Linked to a Single Poultry Company. PLoS One 11,

e0162369 (2016).

9.   R. Edirmanasinghe et al., A Whole-Genome Sequencing Approach To Study

Cefoxitin-Resistant Salmonella enterica Serovar Heidelberg Isolates from Various

Sources. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61 (2017).

10.   D. Ceccarelli et al., Competitive Exclusion Reduces Transmission and Excretion

of Extended-Spectrum-beta-Lactamase-Producing Escherichia coli in Broilers.

Appl Environ Microbiol 83 (2017).

11.   I. Chantziaras et al., The effect of a commercial competitive exclusion product on

the selection of enrofloxacin resistance in commensal E. coli in broilers. Avian

Pathology 47, 443-454 (2018).

12.   M. Raffatellu, Learning from bacterial competition in the host to develop

antimicrobials. Nature medicine 24, 1097-1103 (2018).

13.   C. S. Achard et al., Variability of the ability of complex microbial communities to

exclude microbes carrying antibiotic resistance genes in rabbits. Frontiers in

microbiology 10, 1503 (2019).

14.   M. Baumgartner, F. Bayer, K. R. Pfrunder-Cardozo, A. Buckling, A. R. Hall,

Resident microbial communities inhibit growth and antibiotic-resistance evolution

of Escherichia coli in human gut microbiome samples. PLoS Biol 18, e3000465

(2020).

15.   A. Dame-Korevaar et al., Early life supply of competitive exclusion products

reduces colonization of extended spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia

coli in broilers. Poultry science 99, 4052-4064 (2020).

16.   U. Methner, U. Rösler, Efficacy of a competitive exclusion culture against

extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli strains in broilers

using a seeder bird model. BMC veterinary research 16, 1-7 (2020).

17.   L. Wang, M. Lilburn, Z. Yu, Intestinal Microbiota of Broiler Chickens As Affected by

Litter Management Regimens. Front Microbiol 7, 593 (2016).

18.   A. Figueroa et al., Persistence of low and highly pathogenic avian influenza virus

in reused poultry litter, effects of litter amendment use, and composting

temperatures. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 100096 (2020).

19.   S. Wadud et al., Bacterial and fungal community composition over time in chicken

litter with high or low moisture content. British poultry science 53, 561-569 (2012).

20.   National Farm Animal Care Council. Code of Practice: For the care and handling

of hatching eggs, breeders, chickens, and turkeys. Retrieved from

https://www.nfacc.ca/codes-of-practice/chickens-turkeys-and-breeders. (2016).

21.   ADAS UK Ltd. Comparison of the Regulatory Framework and Key Practices in the

Poultry Meat Supply Chain in the EU and USA : a study for the association of

poultry processors and poultry trade in the EU Countries. (2016) Retrieved from

https://www.britishpoultry.org.uk/identity-cms/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/2016-

ADAS-EU-US-comparison.pdf.22.

22   K. C. Dornelas et al., Chicken bed: a review on reuse, treatment and influence on

ambience. Poultry Science (2020).

23. E. Muniz, D. Mesa, R. Cuaspa, A. M. Souza, E. Santin, Presence of Salmonella

spp. in reused broiler litter. Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Pecuarias 27, 12-17

(2014).

24. U. S. Yamak, M. Sarica, M. A. Boz, A. Ucar, Effect of reusing litter on broiler

performance, foot-pad dermatitis and litter quality in chickens with different growth

rates. Kafkas Univ. Vet. Fak. J 22, 85-91 (2016).

25. R. Casey, B. Fairchild, M. Lacy (2005) Litter quality and broiler performance. ed C.

e. S. Bulletin.

26. V. F. Roll, M. A. Dai Pra, A. P. Roll, Research on Salmonella in broiler litter reused

for up to 14 consecutive flocks. Poult Sci 90, 2257-2262 (2011).

27. J. Zucko et al., Probiotic–friend or foe? Current Opinion in Food Science 32, 45-

49 (2020).

28. S. Gupta, E. Allen-Vercoe, E. O. Petrof, Fecal microbiota transplantation: in

perspective. Therapeutic advances in gastroenterology 9, 229-239 (2016).29.

29   A. Carattoli *et al.*, In Silico Detection and Typing of Plasmids using PlasmidFinder

and Plasmid Multilocus Sequence Typing. *Antimicrob Agents Ch* **58**, 3895-3903

(2014).

30. D. E. Wood, J. Lu, B. Langmead, Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2.

Genome biology **20**, 257 (2019).

31. J. Haaber *et al.*, Bacterial viruses enable their host to acquire antibiotic resistance

genes from neighbouring cells. *Nature Communications* **7** (2016).

32. M. Getino *et al.*, Synthetic Fatty Acids Prevent Plasmid-Mediated Horizontal Gene

Transfer. *mBio* **6**, e01032-01015 (2015).

33.   K. Bohm *et al.*, Genes affecting progression of bacteriophage P22 infection in
Salmonella identified by transposon and single gene deletion screens. *Mol*
Microbiol **108**, 288-305 (2018).

34.   N.-F. Alikhan, Z. Zhou, M. J. Sergeant, M. Achtman, A genomic overview of the
population structure of Salmonella. *PLoS genetics* **14**, e1007261 (2018).

35.   N. Shariat, C. H. Sandt, M. J. DiMarzio, R. Barrangou, E. G. Dudley, CRISPR-
MVLST subtyping of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovars Typhimurium
and Heidelberg and application in identifying outbreak isolates. *BMC Microbiol* **13**,
254 (2013).

36.   A. Biswas, J. N. Gagnon, S. J. Brouns, P. C. Fineran, C. M. Brown, CRISPRTarget:
bioinformatic prediction and analysis of crRNA targets. *RNA Biol* **10**, 817-827
(2013).

37.   S. Bergstrom, F. P. Lindberg, O. Olsson, S. Normark, Comparison of the
overlapping frd and ampC operons of Escherichia coli with the corresponding DNA
sequences in other gram-negative bacteria. *J Bacteriol* **155**, 1297-1305 (1983).

38.   H. M. Jones, R. P. Gunsalus, Regulation of Escherichia coli fumarate reductase
(frdABCD) operon expression by respiratory electron acceptors and the fnr gene
product. *J Bacteriol* **169**, 3340-3349 (1987).

39.   J.-S. Kim *et al.*, Fumarate-mediated persistence of Escherichia coli against
antibiotics. *Antimicrob Agents Ch* **60**, 2232-2240 (2016).

40.   R. Nakano *et al.*, CFE-1, a novel plasmid-encoded AmpC beta-lactamase with an
ampR gene originating from Citrobacter freundii. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*
48, 1151-1158 (2004).

41.   C. M. Chen, M. Huang, H. J. Wu, M. K. Guo, L. T. Wu, Identification of CFE-2, a
862   new plasmid-encoded AmpC beta-lactamase from a clinical isolate of Citrobacter
863   freundii. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **52**, 421-424 (2018).

864   42.   S. Casjens, P. Weigele, "DNA packaging by bacteriophage P22" in Viral Genome
865   Packaging Machines: Genetics, Structure, and Mechanism. (Springer, 2005), pp.
866   80-88.

867   43.   B. A. Mann, J. M. Slauch, Transduction of low-copy number plasmids by
868   bacteriophage P22. *Genetics* **146**, 447-456 (1997).

869   44.   L. Rodriguez-Rubio *et al.*, Extensive antimicrobial resistance mobilization via
870   multicopy plasmid encapsidation mediated by temperate phages. *J Antimicrob*
871   *Chemother* **75**, 3173-3180 (2020).

872   45.   A. Oladeinde *et al.*, Hotspot mutations and ColE1 plasmids contribute to the fitness
873   of Salmonella Heidelberg in poultry litter. *PLoS One* **13**, e0202286 (2018).

874   46.   S. Rao *et al.*, Pathogen-mediated inhibition of anorexia promotes host survival and
875   transmission. *Cell* **168**, 503-516. e512 (2017).

876   47.   K. V.-A. Johnson, K. R. Foster, Why does the microbiome affect behaviour? *Nature*
877   *reviews microbiology* **16**, 647-655 (2018).

878   48.   S. Khan, K. K. Chousalkar, Salmonella Typhimurium infection disrupts but
879   continuous feeding of Bacillus based probiotic restores gut microbiota in infected
880   hens. *Journal of animal science and biotechnology* **11**, 1-16 (2020).

881   49.   Y. Litvak *et al.*, Commensal Enterobacteriaceae Protect against Salmonella
882   Colonization through Oxygen Competition. *Cell Host Microbe* **25**, 128-139 e125
883   (2019).

50.   K. K. Mon *et al.*, Salmonella enterica serovars Enteritidis infection alters the indigenous microbiota diversity in young layer chicks. *Frontiers in veterinary science* **2**, 61 (2015).

51.   B. Stecher *et al.*, Gut inflammation can boost horizontal gene transfer between pathogenic and commensal Enterobacteriaceae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 1269-1274 (2012).

52.   A. W. Rogers, R. M. Tsolis, A. J. Bäumler, Salmonella versus the Microbiome. *Microbiol Mol Biol R* **85** (2021).

53.   G. Casaburi *et al.*, Early-life gut microbiome modulation reduces the abundance of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control* **8**, 1-18 (2019).

54.   Nepal, Suruchi, et al. "An ancient family of mobile genomic islands introducing cephalosporinase and carbapenemase genes in Enterobacteriaceae." Virulence 9.1 (2018): 1377-1389.

55.   S. Meini, C. Tascini, M. Cei, E. Sozio, G. M. Rossolini, AmpC β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales: what a clinician should know. *Infection* **47**, 363-375 (2019).

56.   F. Maguire, M. A. Rehman, C. Carrillo, M. S. Diarra, R. G. Beiko, Identification of primary antimicrobial resistance drivers in agricultural nontyphoidal Salmonella enterica serovars by using machine learning. *Msystems* **4** (2019).

57.   S. Nurk, D. Meleshko, A. Korobeynikov, P. A. Pevzner, metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. *Genome Res* **27**, 824-834 (2017).

58.   M. O. Press *et al.*, Hi-C deconvolution of a human gut microbiome yields high-
quality draft genomes and reveals plasmid-genome interactions. *biorxiv*, 198713
(2017).

59.   R. D. Stewart *et al.*, Assembly of 913 microbial genomes from metagenomic
sequencing of the cow rumen. *Nat Commun* **9**, 870 (2018).

60.   D. H. Parks, M. Imelfort, C. T. Skennerton, P. Hugenholtz, G. W. Tyson, CheckM:
assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells,
and metagenomes. *Genome Res* **25**, 1043-1055 (2015).

61.   H. Li, Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**,
3094-3100 (2018).

62.   E. Zankari *et al.*, Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *J*
Antimicrob Chemother **67**, 2640-2644 (2012).

63.   H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760 (2009).

64.   T. Seemann, Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**,
2068-2069 (2014).

65.   J. N. Paulson, O. C. Stine, H. C. Bravo, M. Pop, Differential abundance analysis
for microbial marker-gene surveys. *Nature methods* **10**, 1200-1202 (2013).

66.   G. Csardi, T. Nepusz, The igraph software package for complex network research.
InterJournal, complex systems **1695**, 1-9 (2006).

67.   W. Kot, F. K. Vogensen, S. J. Sorensen, L. H. Hansen, DPS - a rapid method for
genome sequencing of DNA-containing bacteriophages directly from a single
plaque. *J Virol Methods* **196**, 152-156 (2014).

68.   R. R. Woyda, A. Oladeinde, Z. Abdo, Reads2Resistome: An adaptable and high-
930   throughput whole-genome sequencing pipeline for bacterial resistome
931   characterization. *bioRxiv* 10.1101/2020.05.18.102715, 2020.2005.2018.102715
932   (2020).

933   69.   T. Brettin *et al.*, RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST
934   algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of
935   genomes. *Sci Rep* **5**, 8365 (2015).

936   70.   M. Kanehisa, Y. Sato, K. Morishima, BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG Tools
937   for Functional Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. *J Mol*
938   *Biol* **428**, 726-731 (2016).

939   71.   J. Beghain, A. Bridier-Nahmias, H. Le Nagard, E. Denamur, O. Clermont,
940   ClermonTyping: an easy-to-use and accurate in silico method for Escherichia
941   genus strain phylotyping. *Microb Genom* **4** (2018).

942   72.   M. V. Larsen *et al.*, Multilocus sequence typing of total-genome-sequenced
943   bacteria. *J Clin Microbiol* **50**, 1355-1361 (2012).

944   73.   P. T. Clausen, F. M. Aarestrup, O. Lund, Rapid and precise alignment of raw reads
945   against redundant databases with KMA. *BMC bioinformatics* **19**, 1-8 (2018).

946   74.   Y. Zhou, Y. Liang, K. H. Lynch, J. J. Dennis, D. S. Wishart, PHAST: a fast phage
947   search tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, W347-352 (2011).

948   75.   A. E. Darling, B. Mau, N. T. Perna, progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment
949   with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. *PLoS One* **5**, e11147 (2010).

950   76.   K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version
951   7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**, 772-780 (2013).

77. A. J. Page *et al.*, Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis.

Bioinformatics **31**, 3691-3693 (2015).

78. A. M. Kozlov, D. Darriba, T. Flouri, B. Morel, A. Stamatakis, RAxML-NG: a fast,

scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference.

Bioinformatics **35**, 4453-4455 (2019).

79. Bertelli, Claire, et al. "IslandViewer 4: expanded prediction of genomic islands for

larger-scale datasets." Nucleic acids research 45.W1 (2017): W30-W35.

80. A. McKenna *et al.*, The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for

analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* **20**, 1297-1303

(2010).

Fig 1. Neonatal chicks raised on reused litter carried lower levels of multidrug resistant *S.* Heidelberg. SH load in the ceca and litter (dry weight of litter) of broiler chicks (n = 70) raised on fresh or reused litter was determined using direct culture method (**a**) and real-time quantitative PCR (reported as gene copies) **(b**). **(c)** Gene copies of IncI1 encoded genes in the ceca (n =70) and litter (n = 12) of neonatal chicks raised on fresh or reused litter. (*P < 0.05 (Kruskal-Wallis test, Error bar = Standard error)). (**d**) Relative number of transferrable Enterobacteriaceae plasmids and ARG's found in the metagenome assembled genomes of chicks raised on fresh (n=2) and reused litter (n =2). **(e)** Percentage of SH isolates in the ceca and litter that acquired multidrug resistance in fresh (n = 158) and reused litter (n = 141).

Fig 2. Reused litter is diverse in beneficial bacteria and antimicrobial metabolic pathways. (**a**) Phylum-level classification of 16S rRNA gene sequence reads in ceca and litter grouped by litter type. Data represent average of amplicon sequence variant (ASV) counts from replicate libraries for each category. (**b**) Plots of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) that were significantly differentially abundant in the ceca and litter of chicks raised on fresh compared to reused litter ($p_{adj} < 0.05$). Significant ASVs are plotted individually and colored according to their family-level classification. (**c**) Relative abundance of bacterial species found using cecal metagenome shot gun reads to be associated with 16S rRNA amplicon sequence variants of the genera (i) *Bifidobacterium* (ii) *Brachybacterium* (iii) *Brevibacterium* and (iv) *Escherichia*. (**d**) Functional metabolic pathways that were enriched in the ceca of chicks from fresh compared to reused litter using metagenome assembled genomes. For a pathway or connected component within a pathway (clique), the flux is the Z-score deviation from the mean taken across all samples (n = 4). Pathway scores for each connected component are shown for each sample, and the difference of used minus fresh litter scores is shown on the right column labelled "effect size. Higher effect size indicates upregulation of the pathway in the reused litter, while lower effect size indicates upregulation in fresh litter.

1002
1003
Fig 3. *Escherichia coli* from fresh litter was the main reservoir of transferable ARG. a) *E. coli* load in
the ceca and litter (dry weight of litter) of broiler chicks of raised on fresh or reused litter was determined
using direct culture method (**a**) and real-time quantitative PCR (reported as gene copies). Primers targeting
the housekeeping gene (*gapA*) was used for *E. coli* quantification, (**b and c**) Whole genome sequencing
were performed on *E. coli* strains (n =19) recovered from the ceca of four broiler chicks challenged with SH
and raised on fresh (FL) or reused litter (RL). Maximum likelihood tree reconstructed using the core (**b**) and
accessory **(c)** genes of the *E. coli* strains. GTR model of nucleotide substitution and GAMMA model of rate
heterogeneity was used for sequence evolution prediction. Numbers shown next to the branches represent
the percentage of replicate trees where associated isolates cluster together based on ∼100 bootstrap
replicates. Tree was rooted with Ec-RL2-1X. (Note: ST – Multi locus Sequence Type). Blue rectangular box
shows the *E. coli* donor strain carrying IncI1-pMLST26 that was acquired by SH. Black box denotes strains
of *E. coli* where the ARG's were encoded on the chromosome.

1016

1017
1018
Fig 4. **Competition gave rise to ampicillin and gentamicin resistance *S*. Heidelberg population**. **(a)**
Experimental design used for solid agar competition experiment between SH and *E. coli*. SH-2813nal[R]
recipient was spread plated onto CHROMagar™ supplemented with or without 2-Hexadecynoic acid (2-
HDA), sodium citrate or calcium chloride and incubated for 6 h. Afterwards, four *E. coli* strains were spread
plated onto SH -2813nal[R] bacterial lawn and incubation continued for another 20 – 24 h. Thereafter, agar
plugs were used for bacterial enumeration and agar lysate extraction. **(b and c)** SH recombinants (**b**) and
E. coli donor population (**c**) in agar plugs was determined on Brilliant Green Sulfur agar and CHROMagar™
supplemented with or without gentamicin (16 ppm) or ampicillin (8 ppm) (total = bacterial population on
agar with no antibiotic, amp[R]/gen[R] – bacterial population on agar supplemented with ampicillin or
gentamicin). Colonies were counted using a calibrated automatic colony counter (ProtoCOL 3- Synoptics
Ltd) (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 (Kruskal-Wallis test, multiple comparison correction; Error bar = Standard
deviation)).
1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1040

Fig 5. Multidrug resistance strains of *S*. Heidelberg evolved through genetic recombination with *E. coli*. (**a and b**) Maximum likelihood tree reconstructed using SNPs/Indel (**a**) found on the chromosome of SH recombinants and agar lysate, and the accessory genes they carried (**b**) GTR and JC model of nucleotide substitution was used for SNP and accessory gene tree, respectively and the GAMMA model of rate heterogeneity was used for sequence evolution prediction. Rectangular blue box is to highlight the four *E. coli* donor strains used for mating and asterisk denotes one β-lactam resistant SH recombinant that lost the *bla*$_{CMY-2}$ genetic determinants prior to sequencing. (Legend: Nal – nalidixic acid, Gen – gentamicin, Str – streptomycin, Tet – tetracycline, Fis – sulfisoxazole, Amc - amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, Amp – ampicillin, Fox – cefoxitin, Axo – Ceftriaxone, pMLST – plasmid multilocus sequence type). Numbers shown next to the branches represent the percentage of replicate trees where associated isolates cluster together based on ~100 bootstrap replicate. Tree was rooted with agar lysate. (**c**) BLASTn alignment of chromosome and all plasmids harbored by *E. coli* donors except IncX1 and Col-like plasmids. The IncI1 plasmid encoding *bla*$_{CMY-2}$ (pST12 -102 kb) found in amp^R recombinants was used as the reference genome. Red dashed vertical lines highlights pST12 region encoding the *bla*$_{CMY-2}$ operon whereas blue rectangular box highlights regions encoded by IncFII/FIB and IncH1B plasmids.

1056

1057

1058

1059
Supplementary Fig. 1. **Reused litter harbored a more uniform bacterial community with higher**
diversity (**a**) Average alpha diversity indices of ceca and litter samples grouped by litter type and route of
SH challenge. Boxes indicate the interquartile range (75th to 25th) of the data. Whiskers extend to the
most extreme value within 1.5 * interquartile range and dots represent outliers beyond that range. (**b**)
Variability in bacterial community structure (assessed by analysis of β dispersion, a metric of variability)
between fresh and reused litter (**c**) Principal coordinates analysis of Bray-Curtis distances based on 16S
rRNA gene libraries. Each point represents values from individual libraries with shapes denoting litter type
and colors expressing the route of SH challenge for respective samples.

1073
1074 **Supplementary Fig. 2.** Phylum-level classification of 16S rRNA gene sequence reads in ceca and litter
1075 grouped by litter type and route of SH challenge. Data represent average of amplicon sequence variant
1076 counts from replicate libraries for each category.

Supplementary Fig. 3. Amp^R *S.* Heidelberg recombinants displayed delayed spontaneous growth.
SH colony counts after 48 h of incubation on brilliant green sulfur agar supplemented with 8 ppm of ampicillin. Counts were made from serial dilutions of agar plugs excised from the CHROMagar™ used for competition between SH and *E. coli*. Counting of colonies and photograph of plate counted were done using a preconfigured automated colony counter.

Supplementary Fig. 4. Chromosome inversion occurred in S. Heidelberg amp^R recombinant. Whole genome comparison of amp^R and gen^R recombinants against the chromosome of SH-ancestor. A colored similarity plot is shown for each genome, the height of which is proportional to the level of sequence identity in that region. When the similarity plot points downward it indicates an alignment to the reverse strand of the genome of SH-ancestor i.e., inversion. Segment highlighted with horizontal blue box denotes regions with relevant mutations.

Supplementary Fig. 5. S. Heidelberg amp[R] recombinants that acquired IncI1 plasmid encoding *bla*$_{CMY-2}$ adapted new CRISPR spacers. (a) Pairwise alignment of CRISPR2 loci (395 of the ~1.1kb CRISPR2 region) of amp[R] recombinant and SH-ancestor. The repeat was inserted after spacer 4 and before repeat 4 present in the ancestral CRISPR2. Black rectangular box highlights extra "G" nucleotide that is not part of the CRISPR2 repeat consensus sequence. (b) Sequence read coverage (x) of the CRISPR2 loci (~1.1 kb) of a selected amp[R] and gen[R] recombinant. Red rectangular box shows the extra 305-bp CRISPR repeat present in ampR recombinants. (c) DNA alignment of the new CRISPR array (305 bp) added to CRISPR2 of SH amp[R] recombinant and identical CRISPR regions in *E. coli* donor strains used for competition experiment. The numbering of the CRISPR repeat and spacer are arbitrary and should not be interpreted to mean the order of acquisition. (d) Alignment of identical DNA sequences in spacer 2 of the new CRISPR array of SH amp[R] recombinant and *sopB* gene (plasmid partition protein) of IncFIB/FII and IncI1 plasmids (pST26, pSTnovel and pST12). RNA consensus sequence was determined using RNAifold implemented in Jalview v. 2.11.1.3. (e) A maximum likelihood tree reconstructed from the aligned DNA sequences in "d". Taxa text color in tree matches color of sequence name in DNA sequence alignment.

Supplementary Fig. 1. **Reused litter harbored a more uniform bacterial community with higher**
diversity (**a**) Average alpha diversity indices of ceca and litter samples grouped by litter type and route of
SH challenge. Boxes indicate the interquartile range (75th to 25th) of the data. Whiskers extend to the
most extreme value within 1.5 * interquartile range and dots represent outliers beyond that range. (**b**)
Variability in bacterial community structure (assessed by analysis of β dispersion, a metric of variability)
between fresh and reused litter (**c**) Principal coordinates analysis of Bray-Curtis distances based on 16S
rRNA gene libraries. Each point represents values from individual libraries with shapes denoting litter type
and colors expressing the route of SH challenge for respective samples.
1200
1201 **Supplementary Fig. 2.** Phylum-level classification of 16S rRNA gene sequence reads in ceca and litter
1202 grouped by litter type and route of SH challenge. Data represent average of amplicon sequence variant
1203 counts from replicate libraries for each category.
1204
1205 **Supplementary Fig. 3. Amp^R *S*. Heidelberg recombinants displayed delayed spontaneous growth.**
1206 SH colony counts after 48 h of incubation on brilliant green sulfur agar supplemented with 8 ppm of
1207 ampicillin. Counts were made from serial dilutions of agar plugs excised from the CHROMagar™ used for
1208 competition between SH and *E. coli*. Counting of colonies and photograph of plate counted were done using
1209 a preconfigured automated colony counter.
1210
Supplementary Fig. 4. Chromosome inversion occurred in S. Heidelberg amp^R recombinant. Whole
genome comparison of amp^R and gen^R recombinants against the chromosome of SH-ancestor. A colored
similarity plot is shown for each genome, the height of which is proportional to the level of sequence identity
in that region. When the similarity plot points downward it indicates an alignment to the reverse strand of
the genome of SH-ancestor i.e., inversion. Segment highlighted with horizontal blue box denotes regions
with relevant mutations.
1217
Supplementary Fig. 5. S. Heidelberg amp^R recombinants that acquired IncI1 plasmid encoding
***bla$_{CMY-2}$* adapted new CRISPR spacers.** (**a**) Pairwise alignment of CRISPR2 loci (395 of the ~1.1kb
CRISPR2 region) of amp^R recombinant and SH-ancestor. The repeat was inserted after spacer 4 and before
repeat 4 present in the ancestral CRISPR2. Black rectangular box highlights extra "G" nucleotide that is not
part of the CRISPR2 repeat consensus sequence. (**b**) Sequence read coverage (x) of the CRISPR2 loci
(~1.1 kb) of a selected amp^R and gen^R recombinant. Red rectangular box shows the extra 305-bp CRISPR
repeat present in ampR recombinants. (**c**) DNA alignment of the new CRISPR array (305 bp) added to
CRISPR2 of SH amp^R recombinant and identical CRISPR regions in *E. coli* donor strains used for
competition experiment. The numbering of the CRISPR repeat and spacer are arbitrary and should not be
interpreted to mean the order of acquisition. (**d**) Alignment of identical DNA sequences in spacer 2 of the
new CRISPR array of SH amp^R recombinant and *sopB* gene (plasmid partition protein) of IncFIB/FII and
IncI1 plasmids (pST26, pSTnovel and pST12). RNA consensus sequence was determined using RNAifold
implemented in Jalview v. 2.11.1.3. (**e**) A maximum likelihood tree reconstructed from the aligned DNA
sequences in "d". Taxa text color in tree matches color of sequence name in DNA sequence alignment.
1232
1233
1234
1235

1236

1237

1238

1239