The causal role of transcranial alternating current stimulation at alpha frequency in boosting visual perceptual learning

Qing He[1, 2, 3, 4], Baoqi Gong[1, 2, 3, 4], Keyan Bi[1, 2, 3, 4], Fang Fang[1, 2, 3, 4 *]

[1]School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences and Beijing Key Laboratory of

Behavior and Mental Health;

[2]Key Laboratory of Machine Perception, Ministry of Education;

[3]IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research;

[4]Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences;

Peking University, 100871 Beijing, China

* Corresponding author: Fang Fang (ffang@pku.edu.cn)

Declaration of interests:

No.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Rui-Ling Cai for her help in data collection, and Dr. Qian Wang for her

helpful comments on the draft. This work was supported by the National Natural

Science Foundation of China (31930053), Beijing Municipal Science and Technology

Commission (Z181100001518002) and Beijing Academy of Artificial Intelligence

(BAAI).

Abstract (250 words maximum, including citations)

Extensive training improves our ability to perceive visual contents around us, a

phenomenon known as visual perceptual learning (VPL). Numerous studies have been

conducted to understand the mechanisms of VPL, while the neural oscillatory

mechanisms underpinning VPL has yet to be elucidated. To this end, we adopted

transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS), a neuromodulatory technique that

can alter ongoing brain rhythms in a frequency-specific manner by applying external

weak electric fields, to stimulate targeted cortical areas in human subjects while they

performed an orientation discrimination learning task. Five groups of subjects

undertook five daily training sessions to execute the task. Four groups received

occipital tACS stimulation at 10 Hz (alpha band), 20 Hz (beta band), 40 Hz (gamma

band), or sham 10 Hz (sham), and one group was stimulated at the sensorimotor

regions by 10 Hz tACS. Compared with the sham stimulation, occipital tACS at 10

Hz, but not at 20 Hz or 40 Hz, increased both the learning rate and performance

improvement. However, when 10 Hz tACS was delivered to the sensorimotor areas,

the modulatory effects of tACS were absent, suggesting that tACS modulated the

orientation discrimination learning in a frequency- and location-specific manner.

Moreover, the tACS-induced enhancement lasted at least two months after the

termination of training. Our findings provide strong evidence for the causal role of

alpha oscillations in VPL and shed new light on the design of effective

neuromodulation protocols that might facilitate rehabilitation for patients with

neuro-ophthalmological disorders.

Significance Statement (120 words maximum)

Performance of visual tasks can be enhanced substantially by training, which is

known as visual perceptual learning (VPL). However, little is known about the neural

oscillatory mechanisms underlying VPL. To probe the causal link between a given

oscillatory frequency band and VPL, transcranial alternating current stimulation

(tACS) was applied while subjects performed an orientation discrimination learning

task. Our results revealed that tACS modulates VPL in a frequency- and

location-specific manner. Specifically, only training coupled with 10 Hz tACS over

the occipital cortex speeded up the learning process and amplified the performance

gain. Our findings demonstrate the causal role of alpha oscillations in VPL, and

provide insight into developing more effective and efficient remediation protocols for

clinical applications, e.g., amblyopia.

Keywords: perceptual learning, non-invasive brain stimulation, tACS, alpha

oscillations, visual plasticity, orientation

Introduction (650 words maximum, including citations)

Repetitive visual experience or practice results in a dramatic and long-lasting improvement in perceiving visual contents, which is referred to as visual perceptual learning (VPL) (Maniglia & Seitz, 2018; Watanabe & Sasaki, 2015). VPL is usually viewed as a manifestation of experience-dependent neural plasticity in human brain (Lu *et al.*, 2021). Numerous studies have been conducted to explore the neural substrates of VPL. It has been suggested that VPL occurs at multiple loci in the brain, from subcortical nuclei to high-level cortical areas involved in decision-making or attention (Bi *et al.*, 2014; Gold *et al.*, 2008; Mayhew *et al.*, 2012; Mukai *et al.*, 2007; Sanayei *et al.*, 2018; Yu *et al.*, 2016), and is manifested in various forms, such as enhanced neural response (Furmanski *et al.*, 2004; Hua *et al.*, 2010), refined neural representation (Chen *et al.*, 2016; Jehee *et al.*, 2012), sharpened tuning curve (Schoups *et al.*, 2001; Yang & Maunsell, 2004), channel reweighting from sensory inputs to decision units (Chen *et al.*, 2015; Dosher & Lu, 1998; Law & Gold, 2008; Yin Yan *et al.*, 2014).

Neural oscillations, characterized by rhythmic changes in neural activity in a wide range of frequencies, play a critical role in cognitive functioning (Buzsaki & Draguhn, 2004; Thut *et al.*, 2012). Aberrant neural oscillations might be associated with some neurological and psychiatric disorders (Schnitzler & Gross, 2005). However, the neural oscillatory mechanisms of VPL remain poorly understood and controversial. To date, only sparse studies have explored the neural oscillatory mechanisms of VPL, and results of these studies are not consistent. The focus of these studies is whether alpha- and/or gamma-band oscillations are associated with VPL. Human electroencephalogram (EEG) studies found that there was increased alpha power in parietal-occipital areas after training on visual tasks, such as visual search

(Bays *et al.*, 2015), perceptual grouping (Nikolaev *et al.*, 2016), and orientation

categorization (Muller-Gass *et al.*, 2017). Pre-training resting-state alpha power could

predict one's learning performance (Muller-Gass *et al.*, 2017). On the other hand,

some studies showed that training induced an increase in gamma power (Gruber *et al.*,

2001; Gruber *et al.*, 2002; La Rocca *et al.*, 2020), or changes in both alpha and

gamma power (Hamame *et al.*, 2011). In these studies, oscillatory neural activity was

recorded before and after training, but was not manipulated during training to

modulate VPL, which could not reveal the causal link between neural oscillations and

VPL.

Transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) is a neuromodulation

technique that can non-invasively alter brain excitability in a frequency-specific

manner by delivering weak alternating currents to the scalp (Kanai *et al.*, 2008;

Kasten *et al.*, 2019; Krause *et al.*, 2019; Liu *et al.*, 2018; Voroslakos *et al.*, 2018). It is

a wide-used tool for establishing a causal link between neural oscillations and

cognition (Cabral-Calderin & Wilke, 2019; Johnson *et al.*, 2020), across a broad range

of frequencies and tasks (Antal *et al.*, 2008; Helfrich *et al.*, 2014; Kar & Krekelberg,

2014; Pogosyan *et al.*, 2009; Reinhart & Nguyen, 2019; Y. Zhang *et al.*, 2019).

However, tACS has not been applied to modulate VPL yet.

To this end, we stimulated human subjects' visual cortex by using tACS at a

given frequency (10 Hz, 20 Hz, or 40 Hz) while they were practicing an orientation

discrimination task subserved by early visual cortex (Bang *et al.*, 2018; Jia *et al.*,

2020). We hypothesized that if neural oscillations of a given frequency band play an

important role in the orientation discrimination learning, then applying tACS at that

frequency band to the visual cortex will modulate the learning process and

performance improvement.

Materials and Methods

Subjects.

A total of 87 healthy subjects (58 females, 22.03 $\pm$ 3.96 years) participated in the present study. Subjects were assigned to one of five groups (Table 1): Group 1 received occipital tACS at 10 Hz (the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group), Group 2 received occipital tACS at 20 Hz (the 20 Hz occipital stimulation group), Group 3 received occipital tACS at 40 Hz (the 40 Hz occipital stimulation group), Group 4 received bilateral sensorimotor tACS at 10 Hz (the 10 Hz sensorimotor stimulation group), and Group 5 received occipital sham stimulation (the sham occipital stimulation group). A screening questionnaire was administered before starting the study for each subject. Subjects were included if they met the following criteria: 1) were right-handed; 2) were aged between 18–40 years; 3) had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. Subjects were excluded if they met the following criteria: 1) had a history of neural surgery or epileptic seizures, any psychiatric or neurological disorders; 2) had sleep disorders or a total sleep time less than eight hours per night over the last two weeks; 3) were during the menstrual cycle or pregnancy (Fertonani *et al.*, 2011; He *et al.*, 2019). The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences, Peking University. Written consent was obtained from each subject prior to the beginning of this study.

137   Table1 Demographic information of subjects

Group	Sample size	Female/Male	Mean age (SD)	Age range
Group 1	17	13/4	22.35 (5.24)	18-37
Group 2	17	11/6	21.47 (4.29)	18-34
Group 3	18	11/7	20.50 (2.07)	18-24
Group 4	18	12/6	23.83 (4.16)	19-37
Group 5	17	11/6	22.00 (2.98)	18-28

Apparatus and Stimulation protocol.

Visual stimuli were generated and controlled using MATLAB (version 7.0) and

Psychotoolbox-3 extensions (Brainard, 1997; Pelli, 1997) and were presented on a

19-inch Sony Trinitron color monitor (spatial resolution = 1600 $\times$ 1200 pixels, frame

rate = 85 Hz) with a grey background (mean luminance = 47.59 cd/m^2). The

experiments were run in a dimly lit room. The monitor was the only source of light in

the room. A chin-and-head rest was used to stabilize the head at a viewing distance of

65 cm. Subject's eye movements were monitored by an Eyelink 1000 plus

eye-tracking system (SR Research Ltd., Ontario, Canada) throughout the whole

experiment.

Electrical stimulation was delivered using the DC-STIMULATOR MC

(neuroConn GmbH, Ilmenau, Germany) through a pair of rubber electrodes of 5×5

cm^2. The electrodes inserted in two soaked sponges (0.9% saline solution) were

attached to the subjects' scalp using elastic bandages. A sinusoidal current with a

peak-to-peak   intensity of 1.5 mA was administrated while subjects performed the

training task. Both the DC offset and the phase difference between the two stimulation

electrodes were set at zero. The impedance was constantly lower than 8 KΩ during

all stimulation sessions.

Visual stimulus and task.

Oriented Gabor patches (diameter = 1.25°; spatial frequency = 3.0 cycle/°;

Michelson contrast = 0.5; standard deviation of Gaussian envelope = 0.42°; random

spatial phase) were located 5° from fixation in the lower left quadrant of the visual

field. Gabor patches were spatially masked by noise using a pixel replacement method

at a given signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio (Shibata *et al.*, 2017). In our study, the S/N ratio

was 75%, which meant that 25% of the pixels in the Gabor patch were replaced with

random noise. In each trial, a small fixation point was displayed for 500 ms firstly,

and then two Gabor patches with orientations of 26° and 26° + θ were presented

successively for 100 ms each and were separated by a 500 ms blank interval (Figure

1A). These two Gabor patches were presented in random order. Subjects were

instructed to make a two-alternative forced-choice (2AFC) judgment of the

orientation of the second Gabor patch relative to the first one (clockwise or

counter-clockwise) by pressing a key. The θ varied trial by trial and was adaptively

controlled by a QUEST staircase to estimate subjects' discrimination threshold at 75%

correct (Watson & Pelli, 1983). Subjects were asked to have a rest with their eyes

closed after each staircase. Feedback was not provided in all test and training

sessions.

.

Figure 1. Stimuli, experimental protocol, and electrical stimulation protocol. (A)

Schematic description of a 2AFC trial in a QUEST staircase for measuring orientation

discrimination thresholds. Subjects were instructed to make a judgment of the

orientation in the second interval relative to that in the first interval (clockwise or

counter-clockwise), while gazing at the central fixation point. There was no feedback

after each trial. (B) Experimental protocol. Subject underwent one pre-training test,

five daily training sessions, and two post-training tests. The pre-training test (Pre) and

post-training test 1 (Post1) and test 2 (Post2) took place on the days before,

immediately after, and two months after training. The tACS was concurrently

administrated during each training session. (C) Electrical stimulation protocol and

montage. TACS at different frequencies (10 Hz, 20 Hz, and 40 Hz) were applied over

different cortical regions. For occipital stimulation groups, stimulation electrodes

were positioned over the occipital cortex (O2) and the vertex (Cz), while for the

sensorimotor stimulation group, stimulation electrodes were positioned over the

sensorimotor regions of both hemispheres, i.e., C1 and C2. The electrode positions

were identified by the international 10-20 EEG system. The red square and blue

square denoted the anodal electrode and cathodal electrode, respectively. These heads

were generated by FaceGen Modeller (version 3.4).

Design.

A single-blind, sham-controlled, between-subject design was adopted to explore

the role of tACS in modulating the orientation discrimination learning. Subjects

underwent five daily training sessions of the orientation discrimination task, which

was preceded by a pre-training test (Pre) and was followed by two post-training tests .

One of the post-training tests was completed immediately after training, i.e., Post1,

and the other one (Post2) was conducted at least two months after Post1 (Figure 1B).

Each participant completed six QUEST staircases of 50 trials at Pre, Post1, and Post2,

and nine QUEST staircases during each training session.

First, to investigate which stimulation frequency is able to modulate the

orientation discrimination learning, we recruited three groups of subjects to take part

in this study, i.e., the 10 Hz, 20 Hz, and 40 Hz occipital stimulation groups. The

choice of stimulation frequency of tACS was based on the controversy of underlying

neural oscillatory mechanisms of VPL (Bays *et al.*, 2015; Gruber *et al.*, 2002;

Hamame *et al.*, 2011) and the study that found visual plasticity could be induced by

20 Hz tACS (Kanai *et al.*, 2010). Two electrodes were placed over subjects' visual

cortex and the vertex (i.e., O2 and Cz in the international 10-20 EEG system),

respectively (de Graaf *et al.*, 2020; Fertonani *et al.*, 2011; Hubel & Wiesel, 1968;

Jehee *et al.*, 2012; Jia *et al.*, 2020) (Figure 1C). The stimulated hemisphere was

contralateral to the visual field where the Gabor patches were presented. Subjects

received electrical stimulation at a given frequency for about 27 minutes with a

ramp-up of 30 s during each training session.

Second , we asked whether the observed modulatory effects by the 10 Hz tACS

(see Results) were due to potential indirect effects, such as placebo effect. To this end,

a fourth group of subjects were recruited to participate in our study, i.e., the sham

occipital stimulation group. The electrical stimulation parameters were the same as

those in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group, except that subjects in the sham

occipital stimulation group received the 10 Hz tACS for 15 seconds with a ramp

up/down of 30 s at the beginning of each training session.

Finally, to exam whether the 10 Hz tACS modulated the orientation

discrimination learning in a stimulation location-specific manner, a fifth group of

subjects were recruited, i.e., the 10 Hz sensorimotor stimulation group. The

stimulation setting was similar to that in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group, except

that two electrodes were positioned over the bilateral sensorimotor cortical regions

(i.e., C1 and C2).

Statistical analysis methods

For each test or training session, the estimated threshold was defined as the

geometric mean of thresholds from all QUEST staircases. Percent improvement,

which describes changes in performance after training, was calculated as (*pre-training*

threshold − *post-training threshold*)/*pre-training threshold* × *100%.* To illustrate

the threshold dynamics during the training course, a power function was used to fit

the learning curves of orientation discrimination across all test and training sessions

(Jeter *et al.*, 2009; P. Zhang *et al.*, 2018):

$$Th(t) = \lambda \times (t)^{-\rho} + \alpha$$

Where *Th* is the predicted orientation discrimination threshold, *t* is the number of

training sessions, λ is the initial threshold, ρ is the learning rate, and α denotes the

minimum threshold achieved after training. A nonlinear least-square method,

implemented in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA), was used to minimize the sum

of squared differences between model predictions and observed values.

Orientation discrimination thresholds were analyzed using a mixed-design

analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a between-subjects factor *Group* (occipital 10 Hz,

20 Hz, 40 Hz, sham, and sensorimotor 10 Hz) and a within-subjects factor *Test* (Pre,

Post1 and Post2). Learning rates and percent improvements were analyzed using

ANOVA with a between-subjects factor of *Group*. For multiple comparisons,

Benjamini-Hochberg method (BH) was used to control false discovery rate

(FDR)(Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995; Benjamini & Yekutieli, 2001). For ANOVAs,

η_p^2 was computed as a measure of effect size. For t-tests, Cohen's d was computed as

a measure of effect size. Statistical analyses were conducted using R (R Core Team,

2020).

254   Results

255   Occipital tACS modulated orientation discrimination learning in a
256   frequency-specific manner

First, subjects stimulated by occipital tACS at 10 Hz, 20 Hz, and 40 Hz started

with comparable performance at Pre ($F(2, 49) = 0.33$, $p = 0.72$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.01$). As

shown in Figure 2 (A), subjects' orientation discrimination thresholds declined with

training for all the training groups. For each subject, the orientation discrimination

thresholds across all test and training sessions were fitted with a power function. A

one-way ANOVA with *Group* (10 Hz, 20 Hz, and 40 Hz) as a between-subjects factor

revealed that there were significant group differences in learning rate, i.e., the

estimated ρ-value of the power function ($F(2, 49) = 7.38$, $p = 0.002$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.23$).

Post-hoc analysis showed that the learning rate of the 10 Hz occipital stimulation

group was significantly greater than those of the other two groups (10 Hz vs. 20 Hz:

$t(32) = 3.37$, $p_{adj} = 0.004$, Cohen's d =0.98; 10 Hz vs. 40 Hz: $t(33) = 3.30$, $p_{adj} = 0.004$,

Cohen's d = 1.09), and there was no significant difference in learning rate between the

20 Hz occipital stimulation and 40 Hz occipital stimulation groups ($t(33) = -0.124$,

$p_{adj} = 0.90$) (Figure 2(B)). These results demonstrated that, relative to beta and gamma

frequencies, tACS at alpha frequency accelerated the learning process.

Then subjects' orientation discrimination thresholds were submitted to a

mixed-design ANOVA with *Test* (Pre and Post1) as a within-subjects factor and *Group*

(10 Hz, 20Hz, and 40 Hz) as a between-subjects factor to examine differences in

performance. We found a significant main effect of *Test* ($F(1, 49) = 83.48$, $p = 3.69 \times$

10^{-12}, $\eta_p^2 = 0.63$), and a significant interaction between *Test* and *Group* ($F(2, 49) =$

5.32, $p = 0.008$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.18$), but no significant main effect of *Group* ($F(2, 49) = 0.46$,

$p = 0.63$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.02$). Further analyses showed that the thresholds at Post1 were

lower than that those at Pre for all groups (all ts < -3.78, all p_{adj}s < 0.002, and all

Cohen's ds > 0.92, by paired *t*-test), demonstrating significant learning effects

occurred in an unsupervised manner here because no feedback was provided during

learning (Loewenstein *et al.*, 2021; Tsodyks & Gilbert, 2004; Weiss *et al.*, 1993). At

Post1, the threshold in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group was significantly lower

than those in the 20 Hz occipital stimulation group ($t(32) = -2.58$, $p_{adj} = 0.039$,

Cohen's d = 0.87) and the 40 Hz occipital stimulation group ($t(33) = -2.57$, $p_{adj} =$

0.039, Cohen's d = 1.13). The threshold difference between the 20 Hz and 40 Hz

occipital stimulation groups was not significant ($t(33) = 0.05$, $p = 0.96$) (Figure 2 C).

Figure 2. Results of the main experiment in which visual cortex was stimulated using tACS at different frequencies. (A) Learning curves. Dots represent averaged thresholds across subjects at different test and training days, and lines represent fitted learning curves using a power function. (B) Learning rates. (C) Orientation discrimination thresholds at Pre and Post1. (D) Percent improvements in orientation discrimination performance at Post1, relative to Pre. *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p <$ 0.001. Error bars denote the standard error of the mean (SEM) across subjects.

The above results suggested that there was greater performance improvement in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group. To confirm this, we performed a one-way ANOVA on percent improvement. The statistical results showed that the differences in percent improvement among the three stimulation groups s were significant (F(2,

49) = 5.82, p = 0.005, η_p^2 = 0.19). *Post-hoc* analysis revealed that the percent

improvement in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group was higher than those in the 20

Hz occipital stimulation group ($t(32)$ = 2.96, p_{adj} = 0.014, Cohen's d = 1.00) and the

40 Hz occipital stimulation group (($t(33)$ = 2.97, p_{adj} = 0.014 , Cohen's d = 1.13), and

the difference between the 20 Hz and the 40 Hz occipital stimulation groups was not

significant ($t(33)$ = 0.03, p = 0.98) (Figure 2 (D)). These results demonstrated that,

relative to beta and gamma frequencies, tACS at alpha frequency enabled subjects to

acquire a greater improvement in the learning task.

To examine whether the observed modulatory effects from the 10 Hz tACS were

due to possible indirect effects (e.g., placebo effect), one more group of subjects

received the sham occipital stimulation. Note that the learning effect in the sham

occipital stimulation group is presumably equivalent to the learning effect without

electrical stimulation. Compared with the sham occipital stimulation group, only the

10 Hz occipital stimulation group showed a faster learning rate ($t(32)$ = 3.79, p_{adj} =

0.002, Cohen's d = 1.13), a lower threshold at Post1 ($t(32)$ = -2.58, p_{adj} = 0.02,

Cohen's d = 1.06), and a greater improvement ($t(32)$ = 3.48, p_{adj} = 0.005, Cohen's d =

1.24), and these beneficial effects were absent in the 20 Hz and 40 Hz occipital

stimulation groups (all ps > 0.90).

Previous studies have shown that the after-effects of tACS on behavioral

performance and neural activity are temporally short (Clancy *et al.*, 2018; Kasten *et*

al., 2016; Neuling *et al.*, 2013; Struber *et al.*, 2015), while one remarkable

characteristics of VPL is the long-term persistence of learning effects after the

termination of training (Bi *et al.*, 2014; Frank *et al.*, 2020; P. Zhang *et al.*, 2018). To

examine whether the facilitatory effects on the orientation discrimination learning by

the 10 Hz tACS is long-lasting, subjects in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group and

the sham occipital stimulation group were retested at least two months after Post1 (i.e.,

Post2). For subjects in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group, their thresholds at Post2

were not significantly different from those at Post1 ($t(16) = -0.37, p = 0.72$). Similarly,

there was no significant difference in threshold between Post1 and Post2 in the sham

occipital stimulation group ($t(16) = -5.2 \times 10^{-3}, p = 0.99$). Notably, some subjects (N

= 9 and N = 12 in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group and the sham occipital

stimulation group, respectively) were retested at least 14 months after training, i.e.,

Post3. There was no significant difference in threshold between Post1 and Post3 (10

Hz: $t(8) = 1.51, p = 0.17$; sham: $t(11) = 0.76, p = 0.47$) (Figure 3). Therefore, the

modulatory effect of 10 Hz tACS on the orientation discrimination learning was

remarkably long-lasting.

Figure 3. Retention of the learning effect. (A) Retest results two months after training

for subjects in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group and the sham occipital

stimulation group. (B) Retest results 14 months after training for part of the subject

cohort. Each red dot and black dot represent a single subject in the 10 Hz occipital

stimulation group and the sham occipital stimulation group, respectively. The insets

depict the mean thresholds for the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group (red and pink

bars) and the sham occipital stimulation group (black and grey bars). Error bars

denote the standard error of the mean (SEM) across QUEST staircases or subjects.

10 Hz tACS modulated orientation discrimination learning in a location-specific

manner

To explore whether the 10 Hz tACS modulated the orientation discrimination

learning in a location-specific manner, one more group of subjects (i.e., the 10 Hz

sensorimotor stimulation group) were trained on the orientation discrimination task

while their bilateral sensorimotor regions were stimulated by the 10 Hz tACS. For the

three groups of subjects (the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group, the 10 Hz

sensorimotor stimulation group, and the sham occipital stimulation group), they had

about equal thresholds at Pre ($F(2, 49) = 0.12$, $p = 0.88$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.005$). Extensive

training reduced subjects' orientation discrimination thresholds gradually for all

groups (Figure 4(A)). Again, there were significant differences in learning rate among

the three groups ($F(2, 49) = 10.60$, $p = 1.49 \times 10^{-4}$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.30$). The learning rate of

the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group was greater than that of the 10 Hz sensorimotor

stimulation group ($t(32) = 4.21$, $p_{\mathrm{adj}} = 3.26 \times 10^{-4}$, Cohen's d = 1.27), but the

difference in learning rate between the 10 Hz sensorimotor stimulation group and the

sham occipital stimulation group was not significant ($t(33) = -0.41$, $p = 0.68$) (Figure

4(B)). These results on learning rate demonstrated that the orientation discrimination

learning efficiency was not modulated by the 10 Hz tACS applied at sensorimotor

areas.

.

Figure 3. Results of the control experiment in which the 10 Hz tACS was applied to bilateral sensorimotor areas. (A) Learning curves. Dots represent averaged thresholds across subjects at different test and training days, and lines represent fitted learning curves using a power function. (B) Learning rates. (C) Orientation discrimination thresholds at Pre and Post1. (D) Percent improvements in orientation discrimination performance at Post1, relative to Pre . ***$p < 0.001$. Error bars denote the standard error of the mean (SEM) across subjects.

Regarding orientation discrimination thresholds, a 2 × 3 mixed-design ANOVA with a within-subjects factor of *Test* (Pre and Post1) and a between-subjects factor of *Group* (occipital, sensorimotor, and sham) showed that the main effect of *Test* ($F(1, 49) = 63.04$, $p = 2.36 \times 10^{-10}$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.56$) and the interaction between *Test* and

Group (F(2, 49) = 4.33, p = 0.019, η_p^2 = 0.15) were significant, but the main effect of

Group (F(2, 48) = 1.77, p = 0.18) was not. Paired *t*-test showed that the thresholds at

Post1 were lower than those at Pre for all the three groups (all ts ≤-3.44, all p_{adj}s ≤

0.003, and all Cohen's ds ≥ 0.83). A simple main effect analysis revealed that, at

Post1, the threshold in the 10 Hz occipital stimulation group was lower than that in

the 10 Hz sensorimotor stimulation group (t(33) = -4.94, p_{adj} = 1.50 × 10^{-4}, Cohen's

d = 1.64), but the threshold difference between the 10 Hz sensorimotor stimulation

group and the sham occipital stimulation group was not significant (t(33) = 1.43, p =

0.16) (Figure 4 (C)). Accordingly, there were significant differences in percent

improvement between the three groups (F(2, 49) = 8.69, p = 5.88 × 10^{-4}, η_p^2 = 0.15).

Post-hoc analysis showed that the percent improvement in the 10 Hz occipital

stimulation group was higher than that in the 10 Hz sensorimotor stimulation group

(t(33) = 4.13, p_{adj} = 0.0013, Cohen's d = 1.38), while there was no significant

difference between the 10 Hz sensorimotor stimulation group and the sham occipital

stimulation group (t(33) = -0.25, p = 0.81) (Figure 4(D)). These results demonstrated

that the 10 Hz tACS delivered over sensorimotor areas did not result in more

performance improvements in the orientation discrimination learning.

Discussion (1,500 words maximum, including citations)

To better understand the neural oscillatory mechanisms underlying VPL, tACS was administered while subjects were undertaking an orientation discrimination learning task. Our study showed that, relative to sham stimulation, 10 Hz tACS applied to visual cortex accelerated learning and led to greater improvement in task performance. The facilitatory effects were absent when visual cortex was stimulated by tACS at other frequencies (20 Hz and 40 Hz) or when other cortical areas (sensorimotor cortical areas) were stimulated by 10 Hz tACS, indicating that tACS modulated VPL in a frequency- and location-specific manner. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating the effects of tACS on VPL. Our results provide strong evidence of the causal role of occipital alpha band oscillations in VPL, updating our knowledge on the role of alpha oscillations in neural plasticity. Moreover, it is also the first study showing that the enhanced performance by combining perceptual training and non-invasive brain stimulation could last at least one year after training, which provides a promising and feasible way for clinical applications.

Our finding of the causal role of occipital alpha oscillations in VPL is consistent with previous human EEG studies across different visual learning tasks (Bays *et al.*, 2015; Muller-Gass *et al.*, 2017; Nikolaev *et al.*, 2016; Toosi *et al.*, 2017), and is also consistent with tactile perceptual learning studies (Brickwedde *et al.*, 2019; Freyer *et al.*, 2013; Freyer *et al.*, 2012). These EEG learning studies suggested that the alpha power enhancement in parietal-occipital regions is a manifestation of the neural mechanisms of perceptual learning. Furthermore, both the resting-state alpha power before learning (Freyer *et al.*, 2013; Muller-Gass *et al.*, 2017) and the learning-induced alpha power changes during task execution (Brickwedde *et al.*, 2019;

Freyer *et al.*, 2013) correlated with individual learning outcome, demonstrating a

close association between alpha oscillations and perceptual learning. Taken together,

all these studies point to a pivotal role of alpha oscillations in perceptual learning.

We note that our study is different from some previous studies in scientific

finding and method. First, several human EEG studies found that training induced

increases in gamma or beta band oscillations (Gruber *et al.*, 2001; Gruber *et al.*, 2002;

Hamame *et al.*, 2011; La Rocca *et al.*, 2020; Theves *et al.*, 2020). Several factors

could explain this discrepancy. For instance, different stimuli and tasks, which

engaged distinctive processing mechanisms, were used in these learning studies

(Brunet & Fries, 2019; Gruber *et al.*, 2002). Also, in these studies, EEG was recorded

before and after training, while tACS was administrated during training in our study.

Second, previous studies found that transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and

transcranial random noise stimulation (tRNS) were able to modulate VPL (Cappelletti

et al., 2013; Contemori *et al.*, 2019; Fertonani *et al.*, 2011; Frangou *et al.*, 2018;

Herpich *et al.*, 2019; Van Meel *et al.*, 2016). However, both tDCS and tRNS, with less

understood working mechanisms, cannot alter brain oscillations in a

frequency-specific manner, which limits our understanding of the neural oscillatory

mechanisms of VPL.

Although we did not record subjects' brain activity during electrical stimulation

in the present study, previous studies on the biophysical mechanisms of tACS provide

strong support for the efficacy of tACS on altering neural oscillations. TACS applied

over the scalp is able to generate changes in intracerebral electric fields in non-human

primates (Johnson *et al.*, 2020; Kar *et al.*, 2017; Krause *et al.*, 2019; Vieira *et al.*,

2020) and humans (Opitz *et al.*, 2016; Voroslakos *et al.*, 2018), thereby modifying

ongoing neural oscillations in the targeted brain region (Helfrich *et al.*, 2014; Kar *et*

al., 2017; Vossen *et al.*, 2015; Zaehle *et al.*, 2010; Y. Zhang *et al.*, 2019). The tACS

effects are usually manifested as increased neural spectral power and/or phase

synchronization (Helfrich *et al.*, 2014). It is noteworthy that, in our study, tACS at

alpha frequency modulated VPL in a location-specific manner. This ruled out possibly

indirect effects, such as retinal stimulation, transcutaneous stimulation of peripheral

nerves, and placebo effects (Asamoah *et al.*, 2019; Liu *et al.*, 2018; Turi *et al.*, 2013).

Therefore, our results suggest that occipital tACS at 10 Hz entrained endogenous

alpha oscillations in visual cortex and thereby facilitated VPL causally.

The functional role of alpha band neural oscillations in visual perception,

attention, and other cognitive functions has been recognized for a long time. Rather

than only reflecting an idling state of the brain (Clayton *et al.*, 2018; Sigala *et al.*,

2014), it functions to gate ongoing sensory information processing (Jensen &

Mazaheri, 2010; VanRullen, 2016). According to a dominant view about the function

of alpha oscillations - the inhibition hypothesis, alpha oscillations are assumed to

actively suppress processing of irrelevant sensory information and therefore direct

computational neural resources to task-relevant events of higher priority (Klimesch *et*

al., 2007). Specifically, alpha power is correlated with neural excitability negatively

(Lange *et al.*, 2013; Romei *et al.*, 2008), and higher alpha power is predictive of

decreased visual detection and discrimination performance (Ergenoglu *et al.*, 2004;

Mathewson *et al.*, 2014; Roberts *et al.*, 2014; van Dijk *et al.*, 2008; Zazio *et al.*, 2020).

Since many studies have demonstrated that alpha tACS is able to increase ongoing

alpha power (Clayton *et al.*, 2019; Helfrich *et al.*, 2014; Kasten *et al.*, 2019;

Nakazono *et al.*, 2020; Zaehle *et al.*, 2010; Y. Zhang *et al.*, 2019), the inhibition

hypothesis cannot explain our finding here straightforwardly. Notably, it has been

argued that alpha oscillations also reflect cortical feedback mechanisms in visual

cortex (Keller *et al.*, 2020; Mejias *et al.*, 2016; Michalareas *et al.*, 2016; van

Kerkoerle *et al.*, 2014). For example, using intracranial multi-array recording in

monkeys, researchers found that   neural activity in primary visual area V1 was

driven by feedback signals   in the alpha band from visual area V4 (Bastos *et al.*,

2015; van Kerkoerle *et al.*, 2014). This interregional feedback projections from V4 to

V1 was also confirmed in a human magnetoencephalography (MEG) study

(Michalareas *et al.*, 2016). Recently, studies from several research groups proposed

that VPL could be implemented, at least partially, by cortical feedback connections

that propagated neural signals from higher to lower areas (Crist *et al.*, 2001; Jia *et al.*,

2020; Moldakarimov *et al.*, 2014; Mukai *et al.*, 2007; Schafer *et al.*, 2007; Y. Yan *et

al.*, 2018). Under this framework, entrained alpha oscillations by 10 Hz tACS might

boost VPL by strengthening cortico-cortical feedback connections, which can be

investigated in the future

Our findings not only deepen our understanding of the neural mechanisms

underlying VPL, but also offer a promising guide for future clinical intervention to

impaired visual functions. In clinical practice, efficacy/effectiveness, efficiency, and

persistence are three of the most remarkable factors that constrain the application of

perceptual enhancement methods or techniques (Herpich *et al.*, 2019; Rodan *et al.*,

2020). In our study, we found that applying tACS at 10 Hz over the visual cortex is

able to help observers obtain more benefits within a shorter time. What is more, the

modulatory effect of occipital 10 Hz tACS on visual performance was able to last for

a very long period. Therefore, our training and stimulation protocol can be used as a

potential treatment to help rehabilitate impaired visual functions in clinical

populations, such as, patients with neuro-ophthalmological disorders (Levi & Li,

2009).

Reference

Antal, A., Boros, K., Poreisz, C., Chaieb, L., Terney, D., & Paulus, W. (2008). Comparatively weak after-effects of transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) on cortical excitability in humans. *Brain Stimul, 1*(2), 97-105.

Asamoah, B., Khatoun, A., & Mc Laughlin, M. (2019). tACS motor system effects can be caused by transcutaneous stimulation of peripheral nerves. *Nat Commun, 10*(1), 266.

Bang, J. W., Sasaki, Y., Watanabe, T., & Rahnev, D. (2018). Feature-Specific Awake Reactivation in Human V1 after Visual Training. *J Neurosci, 38*(45), 9648-9657.

Bastos, A. M., Litvak, V., Moran, R., Bosman, C. A., Fries, P., & Friston, K. J. (2015). A DCM study of spectral asymmetries in feedforward and feedback connections between visual areas V1 and V4 in the monkey. *Neuroimage, 108*, 460-475.

Bays, B. C., Visscher, K. M., Le Dantec, C. C., & Seitz, A. R. (2015). Alpha-band EEG activity in perceptual learning. *J Vis, 15*(10), 1-12.

Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. B, 57*(1), 289-300.

Benjamini, Y., & Yekutieli, D. (2001). The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *Ann. Statist., 29*(4), 1165-1188.

Bi, T., Chen, J., Zhou, T., He, Y., & Fang, F. (2014). Function and structure of human left fusiform cortex are closely associated with perceptual learning of faces. *Curr Biol, 24*(2), 222-227.

Brainard, D. H. (1997). The Psychophysics Toolbox. *Spat Vis, 10*(4), 433-436.

Brickwedde, M., Kruger, M. C., & Dinse, H. R. (2019). Somatosensory alpha oscillations gate perceptual learning efficiency. *Nat Commun, 10*(1), 263.

Brunet, N. M., & Fries, P. (2019). Human visual cortical gamma reflects natural image structure. *Neuroimage, 200*, 635-643.

Buzsaki, G., & Draguhn, A. (2004). Neuronal oscillations in cortical networks. *Science, 304*(5679), 1926-1929.

Cabral-Calderin, Y., & Wilke, M. (2019). Probing the Link Between Perception and Oscillations: Lessons from Transcranial Alternating Current Stimulation. *Neuroscientist, 26*(1), 57-73.

Cappelletti, M., Gessaroli, E., Hithersay, R., Mitolo, M., Didino, D., Kanai, R., . . . Walsh, V. (2013). Transfer of cognitive training across magnitude dimensions achieved with concurrent brain stimulation of the parietal lobe. *J Neurosci, 33*(37), 14899-14907.

Chen, N., Bi, T., Zhou, T., Li, S., Liu, Z., & Fang, F. (2015). Sharpened cortical tuning and enhanced cortico-cortical communication contribute to the long-term neural mechanisms of visual motion perceptual learning. *Neuroimage, 115*, 17-29.

Chen, N., Cai, P., Zhou, T., Thompson, B., & Fang, F. (2016). Perceptual learning modifies the functional specializations of visual cortical areas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 113*(20), 5724-5729.

Clancy, K. J., Baisley, S. K., Albizu, A., Kartvelishvili, N., Ding, M., & Li, W. (2018). Lasting connectivity increase and anxiety reduction via transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci, 13*(12), 1305-1316.

Clayton, M. S., Yeung, N., & Cohen Kadosh, R. (2018). The many characters of visual alpha oscillations. *Eur J Neurosci, 48*(7), 2498-2508.

Clayton, M. S., Yeung, N., & Cohen Kadosh, R. (2019). Electrical stimulation of alpha oscillations

stabilizes performance on visual attention tasks. *J Exp Psychol Gen, 148*(2), 203-220.

Contemori, G., Trotter, Y., Cottereau, B. R., & Maniglia, M. (2019). tRNS boosts perceptual learning in
peripheral vision. *Neuropsychologia, 125*, 129-136.

Crist, R. E., Li, W., & Gilbert, C. D. (2001). Learning to see: experience and attention in primary visual
cortex. *Nat Neurosci, 4*(5), 519-525.

de Graaf, T. A., Thomson, A., Janssens, S. E. W., van Bree, S., Ten Oever, S., & Sack, A. T. (2020).
Does alpha phase modulate visual target detection? Three experiments with tACS-phase-based
stimulus presentation. *Eur J Neurosci, 51*(11), 2299-2313.

Dosher, B. A., & Lu, Z. L. (1998). Perceptual learning reflects external noise filtering and internal
noise reduction through channel reweighting. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 95*(23), 13988-13993.

Ergenoglu, T., Demiralp, T., Bayraktaroglu, Z., Ergen, M., Beydagi, H., & Uresin, Y. (2004). Alpha
rhythm of the EEG modulates visual detection performance in humans. *Brain Res Cogn Brain
Res, 20*(3), 376-383.

Fertonani, A., Pirulli, C., & Miniussi, C. (2011). Random noise stimulation improves neuroplasticity in
perceptual learning. *J Neurosci, 31*(43), 15416-15423.

Frangou, P., Correia, M., & Kourtzi, Z. (2018). GABA, not BOLD, reveals dissociable
learning-dependent plasticity mechanisms in the human brain. *eLife, 7*, e35854.

Frank, S. M., Qi, A., Ravasio, D., Sasaki, Y., Rosen, E. L., & Watanabe, T. (2020). Supervised Learning
Occurs in Visual Perceptual Learning of Complex Natural Images. *Curr Biol, 30*(15),
2995-3000 e2993.

Freyer, F., Becker, R., Dinse, H. R., & Ritter, P. (2013). State-dependent perceptual learning. *J
Neurosci, 33*(7), 2900-2907.

Freyer, F., Reinacher, M., Nolte, G., Dinse, H. R., & Ritter, P. (2012). Repetitive tactile stimulation
changes resting-state functional connectivity-implications for treatment of sensorimotor
decline. *Front Hum Neurosci, 6*, 144.

Furmanski, C. S., Schluppeck, D., & Engel, S. A. (2004). Learning strengthens the response of primary
visual cortex to simple patterns. *Curr Biol, 14*(7), 573-578.

Gold, J. I., Law, C. T., Connolly, P., & Bennur, S. (2008). The relative influences of priors and sensory
evidence on an oculomotor decision variable during perceptual learning. *J Neurophysiol,
100*(5), 2653-2668.

Gruber, T., Keil, A., & Muller, M. M. (2001). Modulation of induced gamma band responses and phase
synchrony in a paired associate learning task in the human EEG. *Neurosci Lett, 316*(1), 29-32.

Gruber, T., Muller, M. M., & Keil, A. (2002). Modulation of induced gamma band responses in a
perceptual learning task in the human EEG. *J Cogn Neurosci, 14*(5), 732-744.

Hamame, C. M., Cosmelli, D., Henriquez, R., & Aboitiz, F. (2011). Neural mechanisms of human
perceptual learning: electrophysiological evidence for a two-stage process. *PLoS One, 6*(4),
e19221.

He, Q., Lin, B. R., Zhao, J., Shi, Y. Z., Yan, F. F., & Huang, C. B. (2019). No effects of anodal
transcranial direct current stimulation on contrast sensitivity function. *Restor Neurol Neurosci,
37*(2), 109-118.

Helfrich, R. F., Schneider, T. R., Rach, S., Trautmann-Lengsfeld, S. A., Engel, A. K., & Herrmann, C. S.
(2014). Entrainment of brain oscillations by transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Curr
Biol, 24*(3), 333-339.

Herpich, F., Melnick, F., Agosta, S., Huxlin, K. R., Tadin, D., & Battelli, L. (2019). Boosting learning

efficacy with non-invasive brain stimulation in intact and brain-damaged humans. *J Neurosci*, 3248-3218.

Hua, T., Bao, P., Huang, C. B., Wang, Z., Xu, J., Zhou, Y., & Lu, Z. L. (2010). Perceptual learning improves contrast sensitivity of V1 neurons in cats. *Curr Biol, 20*(10), 887-894.

Hubel, D. H., & Wiesel, T. N. (1968). Receptive fields and functional architecture of monkey striate cortex. *J Physiol, 195*(1), 215-243.

Jehee, J. F., Ling, S., Swisher, J. D., van Bergen, R. S., & Tong, F. (2012). Perceptual learning selectively refines orientation representations in early visual cortex. *J Neurosci, 32*(47), 16747-16753a.

Jensen, O., & Mazaheri, A. (2010). Shaping functional architecture by oscillatory alpha activity: gating by inhibition. *Front Hum Neurosci, 4*(186), 186.

Jeter, P. E., Dosher, B. A., Petrov, A., & Lu, Z. L. (2009). Task precision at transfer determines specificity of perceptual learning. *J Vis, 9*(3), 1 1-13.

Jia, K., Zamboni, E., Kemper, V., Rua, C., Goncalves, N. R., Ng, A. K. T., . . . Kourtzi, Z. (2020). Recurrent Processing Drives Perceptual Plasticity. *Curr Biol*, 4177 - 4187.

Johnson, L., Alekseichuk, I., Krieg, J., Doyle, A., Yu, Y., Vitek, J., . . . Opitz, A. (2020). Dose-dependent effects of transcranial alternating current stimulation on spike timing in awake nonhuman primates. *Sci Adv, 6*(36), eaaz2747.

Kanai, R., Chaieb, L., Antal, A., Walsh, V., & Paulus, W. (2008). Frequency-dependent electrical stimulation of the visual cortex. *Curr Biol, 18*(23), 1839-1843.

Kanai, R., Paulus, W., & Walsh, V. (2010). Transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) modulates cortical excitability as assessed by TMS-induced phosphene thresholds. *Clin Neurophysiol, 121*(9), 1551-1554.

Kar, K., Duijnhouwer, J., & Krekelberg, B. (2017). Transcranial Alternating Current Stimulation Attenuates Neuronal Adaptation. *J Neurosci, 37*(9), 2325-2335.

Kar, K., & Krekelberg, B. (2014). Transcranial alternating current stimulation attenuates visual motion adaptation. *J Neurosci, 34*(21), 7334-7340.

Kasten, F. H., Dowsett, J., & Herrmann, C. S. (2016). Sustained Aftereffect of alpha-tACS Lasts Up to 70 min after Stimulation. *Front Hum Neurosci, 10*, 245.

Kasten, F. H., Duecker, K., Maack, M. C., Meiser, A., & Herrmann, C. S. (2019). Integrating electric field modeling and neuroimaging to explain inter-individual variability of tACS effects. *Nat Commun, 10*(1), 5427.

Keller, A. J., Roth, M. M., & Scanziani, M. (2020). Feedback generates a second receptive field in neurons of the visual cortex. *Nature, 582*(7813), 545-549.

Klimesch, W., Sauseng, P., & Hanslmayr, S. (2007). EEG alpha oscillations: the inhibition-timing hypothesis. *Brain Res Rev, 53*(1), 63-88.

Krause, M. R., Vieira, P. G., Csorba, B. A., Pilly, P. K., & Pack, C. C. (2019). Transcranial alternating current stimulation entrains single-neuron activity in the primate brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 116*(12), 5747-5755.

La Rocca, D., Ciuciu, P., Engemann, D. A., & van Wassenhove, V. (2020). Emergence of beta and gamma networks following multisensory training. *Neuroimage, 206*, 116313.

Lange, J., Oostenveld, R., & Fries, P. (2013). Reduced occipital alpha power indexes enhanced excitability rather than improved visual perception. *J Neurosci, 33*(7), 3212-3220.

Law, C.-T., & Gold, J. I. (2008). Neural correlates of perceptual learning in a sensory-motor, but not a

sensory, cortical area. *Nat Neurosci, 11*(4), 505-513.

Levi, D. M., & Li, R. W. (2009). Improving the performance of the amblyopic visual system. *Philos*
Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 364(1515), 399-407.

Liu, A., Voroslakos, M., Kronberg, G., Henin, S., Krause, M. R., Huang, Y., . . . Buzsaki, G. (2018).
Immediate neurophysiological effects of transcranial electrical stimulation. *Nat Commun, 9*(1),
5092.

Loewenstein, Y., Raviv, O., & Ahissar, M. (2021). Dissecting the Roles of Supervised and
Unsupervised Learning in Perceptual Discrimination Judgments. *J Neurosci, 41*(4), 757-765.

Lu, J., Luo, L., Wang, Q., Fang, F., & Chen, N. (2021). Cue-triggered activity replay in human early
visual cortex. *Sci China Life Sci, 64*(1), 144-151.

Maniglia, M., & Seitz, A. R. (2018). Towards a whole brain model of Perceptual Learning. *Curr Opin*
Behav Sci, 20, 47-55.

Mathewson, K. E., Beck, D. M., Ro, T., Maclin, E. L., Low, K. A., Fabiani, M., & Gratton, G. (2014).
Dynamics of alpha control: preparatory suppression of posterior alpha oscillations by frontal
modulators revealed with combined EEG and event-related optical signal. *J Cogn Neurosci,*
26(10), 2400-2415.

Mayhew, S. D., Li, S., & Kourtzi, Z. (2012). Learning acts on distinct processes for visual form
perception in the human brain. *J Neurosci, 32*(3), 775-786.

Mejias, J. F., Murray, J. D., Kennedy, H., & Wang, X. J. (2016). Feedforward and feedback
frequency-dependent interactions in a large-scale laminar network of the primate cortex. *Sci*
Adv, 2(11), e1601335.

Michalareas, G., Vezoli, J., van Pelt, S., Schoffelen, J. M., Kennedy, H., & Fries, P. (2016). Alpha-Beta
and Gamma Rhythms Subserve Feedback and Feedforward Influences among Human Visual
Cortical Areas. *Neuron, 89*(2), 384-397.

Moldakarimov, S., Bazhenov, M., & Sejnowski, T. J. (2014). Top-down inputs enhance orientation
selectivity in neurons of the primary visual cortex during perceptual learning. *PLoS Comput*
Biol, 10(8), e1003770.

Mukai, I., Kim, D., Fukunaga, M., Japee, S., Marrett, S., & Ungerleider, L. G. (2007). Activations in
visual and attention-related areas predict and correlate with the degree of perceptual learning.
J Neurosci, 27(42), 11401-11411.

Muller-Gass, A., Duncan, M., & Campbell, K. (2017). Brain states predict individual differences in
perceptual learning. *Pers Individ Dif, 118*, 29-38.

Nakazono, H., Ogata, K., Takeda, A., Yamada, E., Kimura, T., & Tobimatsu, S. (2020). Transcranial
alternating current stimulation of α but not β frequency sharpens multiple visual functions.
Brain Stimul, 13(2), 343-352.

Neuling, T., Rach, S., & Herrmann, C. S. (2013). Orchestrating neuronal networks: sustained
after-effects of transcranial alternating current stimulation depend upon brain states. *Front*
Hum Neurosci, 7, 161.

Nikolaev, A. R., Gepshtein, S., & van Leeuwen, C. (2016). Intermittent regime of brain activity at the
early, bias-guided stage of perceptual learning. *J Vis, 16*(14).

Opitz, A., Falchier, A., Yan, C. G., Yeagle, E. M., Linn, G. S., Megevand, P., . . . Schroeder, C. E.
(2016). Spatiotemporal structure of intracranial electric fields induced by transcranial electric
stimulation in humans and nonhuman primates. *Sci Rep, 6*, 31236.

Pelli, D. G. (1997). The VideoToolbox software for visual psychophysics: Transforming numbers into

670   movies. *Spat Vis, 10*(4), 437-442.

671 Pogosyan, A., Gaynor, L. D., Eusebio, A., & Brown, P. (2009). Boosting cortical activity at Beta-band
672   frequencies slows movement in humans. *Curr Biol, 19*(19), 1637-1641.

673 R Core Team. (2020). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (Version 4.0.3).
674   Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from
675   http://www.R-project.org/

676 Reinhart, R. M. G., & Nguyen, J. A. (2019). Working memory revived in older adults by synchronizing
677   rhythmic brain circuits. *Nat Neurosci, 22*(5), 820-827.

678 Roberts, D. M., Fedota, J. R., Buzzell, G. A., Parasuraman, R., & McDonald, C. G. (2014). Prestimulus
679   oscillations in the alpha band of the EEG are modulated by the difficulty of feature
680   discrimination and predict activation of a sensory discrimination process. *J Cogn Neurosci,*
681   *26*(8), 1615-1628.

682 Rodan, A., Candela Marroquin, E., & Jara Garcia, L. C. (2020). An updated review about perceptual
683   learning as a treatment for amblyopia. *J Optom*.

684 Romei, V., Brodbeck, V., Michel, C., Amedi, A., Pascual-Leone, A., & Thut, G. (2008). Spontaneous
685   fluctuations in posterior alpha-band EEG activity reflect variability in excitability of human
686   visual areas. *Cereb Cortex, 18*(9), 2010-2018.

687 Sanayei, M., Chen, X., Chicharro, D., Distler, C., Panzeri, S., & Thiele, A. (2018). Perceptual learning
688   of fine contrast discrimination changes neuronal tuning and population coding in macaque V4.
689   *Nat Commun, 9*(1), 4238.

690 Schafer, R., Vasilaki, E., & Senn, W. (2007). Perceptual learning via modification of cortical top-down
691   signals. *PLoS Comput Biol, 3*(8), e165.

692 Schnitzler, A., & Gross, J. (2005). Normal and pathological oscillatory communication in the brain. *Nat*
693   *Rev Neurosci, 6*(4), 285-296.

694 Schoups, A., Vogels, R., Qian, N., & Orban, G. (2001). Practising orientation identification improves
695   orientation coding in V1 neurons. *Nature, 412*(6846), 549-553.

696 Shibata, K., Sasaki, Y., Bang, J. W., Walsh, E. G., Machizawa, M. G., Tamaki, M., . . . Watanabe, T.
697   (2017). Overlearning hyperstabilizes a skill by rapidly making neurochemical processing
698   inhibitory-dominant. *Nat Neurosci, 20*(3), 470-475.

699 Sigala, R., Haufe, S., Roy, D., Dinse, H. R., & Ritter, P. (2014). The role of alpha-rhythm states in
700   perceptual learning: insights from experiments and computational models. *Front Comput*
701   *Neurosci, 8*, 36.

702 Struber, D., Rach, S., Neuling, T., & Herrmann, C. S. (2015). On the possible role of stimulation
703   duration for after-effects of transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Front Cell Neurosci, 9*,
704   311.

705 Theves, S., Chan, J. S., Naumer, M. J., & Kaiser, J. (2020). Improving audio-visual temporal
706   perception through training enhances beta-band activity. *Neuroimage, 206*, 116312.

707 Thut, G., Miniussi, C., & Gross, J. (2012). The functional importance of rhythmic activity in the brain.
708   *Curr Biol, 22*(16), R658-663.

709 Toosi, T., E, K. T., & Esteky, H. (2017). Learning temporal context shapes prestimulus alpha
710   oscillations and improves visual discrimination performance. *J Neurophysiol, 118*(2),
711   771-777.

712 Tsodyks, M., & Gilbert, C. (2004). Neural networks and perceptual learning. *Nature, 431*(7010),
713   775-781.

Turi, Z., Ambrus, G. G., Janacsek, K., Emmert, K., Hahn, L., Paulus, W., & Antal, A. (2013). Both the cutaneous sensation and phosphene perception are modulated in a frequency-specific manner during transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Restor Neurol Neurosci, 31*(3), 275-285.

van Dijk, H., Schoffelen, J. M., Oostenveld, R., & Jensen, O. (2008). Prestimulus oscillatory activity in the alpha band predicts visual discrimination ability. *J Neurosci, 28*(8), 1816-1823.

van Kerkoerle, T., Self, M. W., Dagnino, B., Gariel-Mathis, M. A., Poort, J., van der Togt, C., & Roelfsema, P. R. (2014). Alpha and gamma oscillations characterize feedback and feedforward processing in monkey visual cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 111*(40), 14332-14341.

Van Meel, C., Daniels, N., de Beeck, H. O., & Baeck, A. (2016). Effect of tDCS on task relevant and irrelevant perceptual learning of complex objects. *J Vis, 16*(6), 1-15.

VanRullen, R. (2016). Perceptual Cycles. *Trends Cogn Sci, 20*(10), 723-735.

Vieira, P. G., Krause, M. R., & Pack, C. C. (2020). tACS entrains neural activity while somatosensory input is blocked. *PLoS Biol, 18*(10), e3000834.

Voroslakos, M., Takeuchi, Y., Brinyiczki, K., Zombori, T., Oliva, A., Fernandez-Ruiz, A., . . . Berenyi, A. (2018). Direct effects of transcranial electric stimulation on brain circuits in rats and humans. *Nat Commun, 9*(1), 483.

Vossen, A., Gross, J., & Thut, G. (2015). Alpha Power Increase After Transcranial Alternating Current Stimulation at Alpha Frequency (α-tACS) Reflects Plastic Changes Rather Than Entrainment. *Brain Stimul, 8*(3), 499-508.

Watanabe, T., & Sasaki, Y. (2015). Perceptual learning: toward a comprehensive theory. *Annu Rev Psychol, 66*, 197-221.

Watson, A. B., & Pelli, D. G. (1983). QUEST: a Bayesian adaptive psychometric method. *Percept Psychophys, 33*(2), 113-120.

Weiss, Y., Edelman, S., & Fahle, M. (1993). Models of Perceptual Learning in Vernier Hyperacuity. *Neural Computation, 5*(5), 695-718.

Yan, Y., Rasch, M. J., Chen, M., Xiang, X., Huang, M., Wu, S., & Li, W. (2014). Perceptual training continuously refines neuronal population codes in primary visual cortex. *Nat Neurosci, 17*(10), 1380-1387.

Yan, Y., Zhaoping, L., & Li, W. (2018). Bottom-up saliency and top-down learning in the primary visual cortex of monkeys. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 115*(41), 10499-10504.

Yang, T., & Maunsell, J. H. (2004). The effect of perceptual learning on neuronal responses in monkey visual area V4. *J Neurosci, 24*(7), 1617-1626.

Yu, Q., Zhang, P., Qiu, J., & Fang, F. (2016). Perceptual Learning of Contrast Detection in the Human Lateral Geniculate Nucleus. *Curr Biol, 26*(23), 3176-3182.

Zaehle, T., Rach, S., & Herrmann, C. S. (2010). Transcranial alternating current stimulation enhances individual alpha activity in human EEG. *PLoS One, 5*(11), e13766.

Zazio, A., Schreiber, M., Miniussi, C., & Bortoletto, M. (2020). Modelling the effects of ongoing alpha activity on visual perception: The oscillation-based probability of response. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev, 112*, 242-253.

Zhang, P., Hou, F., Yan, F. F., Xi, J., Lin, B. R., Zhao, J., . . . Huang, C. B. (2018). High reward enhances perceptual learning. *J Vis, 18*(8), 1-21.

Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Cai, P., Luo, H., & Fang, F. (2019). The causal role of alpha-oscillations in feature binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 116*(34), 17023-17028.