PKR-MEDIATED STRESS RESPONSE ENHANCES DENGUE AND ZIKA VIRUS REPLICATION

Taissa Ricciardi-Jorge[1*], Edroaldo Lummertz da Rocha[1], Edgar Gonzalez-Kozlova[1,2], Gabriela Flavia Rodrigues-Luiz[1], Brian J Ferguson[2], Nerea Irigoyen[2], Daniel Santos Mansur[1*]

[1] Departamento de Microbiologia, Imunologia e Parasitologia, Centro de Ciências Biológicas (CCB), Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis, SC, Brasil.
[2] Present address, Icahn School of Medicine, New York, USA.
[3] Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, UK.

* corresponding authors: daniel.mansur@ufsc.br; taissarj@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The mechanisms by which *Flaviviruses* use non-canonical translation to support their replication in host cells are largely unknown. Here we investigated how the integrated stress response (ISR), which promotes translational arrest by eIF2α phosphorylation (p–eIF2α), regulates *Flavivirus* replication. During Dengue virus (DENV) and Zika virus (ZIKV) infection, eIF2α activation peaked at 24 hours post infection and was dependent on PKR but not type I interferon. The ISR is activated downstream of p-eIF2α during infection with either virus, but translation arrest only occurred following DENV infection. Despite this difference, both DENV and ZIKV replication was impaired in cells lacking PKR, independent of IFN-I/NF-kB signaling or cell viability. By using a ZIKV 5'UTR reporter system as a model, we found that this region of the genome is sufficient to promote an enhancement of viral mRNA translation in the presence of an active ISR. Together we provide evidence that *Flaviviruses* escape ISR translational arrest and co-opt this response to increase viral replication.

INTRODUCTION

The Flavivirus genus is part of the *Flaviviridae* family and contains the causative agents of several human diseases of high global impact, such as: DENV, ZIKV, Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), yellow fever virus (YFV), West Nile virus (WNV) and others. Flaviviruses are enveloped ssRNA(+)viruses of about 50 nm in diameter with 10-11 kb genome containing a single ORF flanked by two non-coding segments (UTRs) 5´- (capped) and 3'- (non-polyadenylated) (Chambers *et al*, 1990). Flavivirus replication is cytoplasmic in strong association with ER, forming viral replication complexes by convolution of ER membrane (Gillespie *et al*, 2010).

Despite the presence of a 5´-cap on its genome, DENV has been shown to use a cap-independent mechanism for protein synthesis under the suppression of cap-binding protein, eIF4E (Edgil *et al*, 2006). Using DENV and ZIKV, this mechanism was later shown to be mediated by an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES localized in viral -UTR (Song *et al*, 2019). A recent report has confirmed the existence of the IRES element (Fernández-García *et al*, 2020), indicating, along with previous suggestions (Hou *et al*, 2017; Edgil *et al*, 2006; Roth *et al*, 2017) that a cap-dependent translation would be used for viral polyprotein synthesis until the suppression of canonical translation initiation when a switch to cap-independent translation would take place. This alternative cap-independent mechanism, including cell conditions to promote the switch in translation mode, remains to be fully characterized.

A well-known cellular control over the translation machinery is coordinated by four kinases of the integrated stress response (ISR), that act as sensors of different stressors. They are: (i) PKR, activated by dsRNA; (ii) HRI, activated by oxidative stress and/or heme deficiency; (iii) PERK, activated by reticulum stress; and GCN2, (iv) activated by deprivation of amino acids (Donnelly *et al*, 2013; Pakos-Zebrucka *et al*, 2016; Wek *et al*, 2006). Therefore, ISR is capable of detecting viral infections directly by dsRNA or indirectly via structural or metabolic stresses. A shared target of these four kinases is the subunit alpha of the translation factor eIF2 (eIF2α), which is part of the ternary complex (TC, eIF2-GTP-tRNA$_i^{Met}$), necessary for translation initiation. Phosphorylation of eIF2α (p-eIF2α) prevents the exchange of the associated inactive GDT for the active GTP, blocking its recycling for participation in the formation of new 43S complexes, thus inhibiting general cell translation (Krishnamoorthy *et al*, 2001; Jackson *et al*, 2010).

In the present work, we investigated how ISR impacts Flavivirus replication. We show that PKR is the main kinase leading to eIF2α phosphorylation and cellular protein translation arrest during infection. This event, however, is linked to the establishment of an optimal cellular environment for virus replication. Using ZIKV as a model, we suggest that the ability to use ISR pathway activation to enhance replication is restricted to the 5´-UTR. We hence demonstrate that eIF2α phosphorylation is required for optimal viral replication.

RESULTS

DENV4 and ZIKV can induce ISR activation during infection

RESULTS

DENV4 and ZIKV can induce ISR activation during infection

Interferon stimulated genes are central for Flavivirus restriction, therefore we used

human lung A549 cells, as they support DENV and ZIKV replication and also produce and

respond to type one interferons (IFN-I) (Wang *et al*, 2018; Whelan *et al*, 2019; Gullberg *et al*,

2018; Zhang *et al*, 2018; Song *et al*, 2019; Espada *et al*, 2019). We evaluated eIF2α

phosphorylation during DENV4 and ZIKV infection as a marker of ISR activation by these

viruses. By immunoblot analysis, we show that both viruses induce p–eIF2α by 24 hours post

infection (h.p.i.) (Figure 1A). This time point coincides with PKR activation by

autophosphorylation (Thr451), which suggests the participation of this kinase in ISR activation.

Quantification by flow cytometry enables us to determine that eIF2α phosphorylation happens

after 12 h p.i. reaching a peak at 24 h p.i. (Figure 1B). This time frame is surprisingly late,

considering that from at least 12 h p.i. infectious virus particles can already be detected in cell

supernatant under these experimental conditions (data not shown).

To further investigate the context of eIF2α phosphorylation, cells were co-stained with

a monoclonal antibody directed against the flavivirus envelope protein (Figure 1C-D). At 24 h

p.i. DENV4 infected cells exhibited a significant double-positive population, with about 90% of

p–eIF2α+ cells being infected. However, during ZIKV infection, there is a lower proportion of

double-positive cells, with about 40% of envelope positive cells in the p–eIF2α+ population.

These cells may be infected with ZIKV, but they do not yet express enough envelope protein

to allow detectable staining. The same profile of co-staining quantified by cytometry could also

be visualized by immunofluorescence (Figure 1D).

In summary, although both infections led to different profiles of anti–p–eIF2α and

anti–flavivirus co-staining, both viruses promote stress responses within the same time frame.

Figure 1 – DENV4 and ZIKV promote phosphorylation of eIF2α in A549 cells. A549 cells

were infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at the indicated times for

analysis. (A) Immunoblot analysis of cell extracts resolved in denaturing SDS-PAGE. (B) Flow

cytometry analysis for quantification of cells expressing p–eIF2α within the total population (C)

Dot plot and Venn diagram of representative results from co-staining with anti-Flavivirus E

protein and anti-p–eIF2α at 24 h p.i. analysed by flow cytometry and (D) immunofluorescence

of infections under the same conditions. In the column chart, the bars represent the means ±

SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by paired *t* test comparing infected samples to the

respective uninfected control. *: p ≤0.05; **: p ≤0.01; ***: p ≤0.001; ns / no markup: no statistical

difference; h.p.i: hours post-infection.

Phosphorylation of eIF2α during DENV4/ZIKV infection is PKR-dependent and IFN-I independent

To investigate which ISR kinase promotes eIF2α phosphorylation in our model, gene deletion by CRISPR-Cas9 was used to produce A549 PKR and IFNAR knockout cell lines. In PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 or ZIKV p–eIF2α was abrogated indicating that ISR activation promoted by both viruses is mostly dependent on this kinase (Figure 2A,B). Since PKR is an IFN-stimulated gene (ISG), and considering the late ISR activation, the participation of IFN-I signalling in eIF2α phosphorylation was assessed. However, there was no change in the level of p–eIF2α in infected IFNAR^{-/-} A549s compared to WT cells (Figure 2A,B). This result also suggests that constitutive expression levels of PKR are sufficient for ISR activation. Likewise, experiments using a PKR/IFNAR double-knockout lineage confirmed the requirement for constitutive PKR expression to drive eIF2α phosphorylation.

The persistence of a small population p–eIF2α+ in the PKR^{-/-} cells infected with ZIKV, indicates the activation of other ISR kinase by this virus. To investigate PERK activity, a selective inhibitor, GSK2656157 (Axten *et al*, 2013), was used. GSK2656157 treatment did not alter the induction of p–eIF2α in DENV4 infected cells but promoted a small decrease in p–eIF2α population in ZIKV infected cells (Figure 2C). This demonstrates a minor participation of PERK in the ISR activation in the ZIKV model.

In summary, the phosphorylation of eIF2α during infection by DENV4 or ZIKV is mainly PKR dependent and IFN-I independent in this model.

Figure 2 – Phosphorylation of eIF2α during DENV4 or ZIKV infections is PKR-dependent and IFN-independent. (A) Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of cells expressing p–eIF2α within the total population of the indicated lineages of A549 cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) at 24 h p.i.; and (B) same experiment using ZIKV (MOI 3) (C) Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of cells expressing p–eIF2α within the total population A549 WT and PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) or treated with thapsigargin 2μM for 45 minutes in the presence or absence of the PERK inhibitor GSK2656157 (5 μM) for 24h; and (D) immunoblot analysis of cell extracts from the same experiment resolved in denaturing SDS-PAGE. DKO: double IFNAR^{-/-}/PKR^{-/-} knockout. In column charts, the bars represent the mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons.*: p ≤0.05; **: p ≤0.01; ***: p ≤0.001; ns / no markup: no statistical difference.

PKR is not blocked by DENV4 or ZIKV but shows delayed recognition dsRNA

The late PKR activation during infection with both viruses is not explained by the need of type I IFN signaling to boost PKR levels. Therefore, we tested whether PKR activity is blocked by infection at earlier time points. To test this, the dsRNA analogue, poly (I:C), was used to stimulate PKR. Poly (I:C) transfection was performed at 3 h p.i. and cells were analyzed at 9 h p.i., that is, before virus-promoted eIF2α phosphorylation (Figure 3A). The comparable levels of p–eIF2α between mock and infected cells stimulated with poly(I:C) suggest that PKR is not blocked by DENV4 or ZIKV in the early stages of infection (Figure 3B). The absence of p–eIF2α in PKR^{-/-} cells demonstrates that eIF2α phosphorylation induced by poly (I:C) is also dependent on this kinase.

If PKR is not blocked in the early stages of infection by DENV or ZIKV, the late ISR activation could be due to the inability of RNA sensors such as PKR to access viral dsRNA, as suggested previously (Fredericksen & Gale, 2006; Espada-Murao & Morita, 2011; Overby *et al*, 2010). To test this hypothesis, infected cells were incubated in a semisolid medium so viral spread happens from cell to cell by juxtaposition allowing temporal inferences of events based on cell location from the centre of the viral plaque. Immunofluorescence of DENV4 infected cells shows that cells at the edge of the viral plaque do not phosphorylate eIF2α despite the presence of dsRNA (Figure 3C). This result indicates that ISR activation is delayed, occurring in infected cells after the establishment of viral replication complexes.

Figure 3 – PKR is not blocked by DENV4 or ZIKV but shows delayed recognition dsRNA.
(A) Schematic representation of the assay design. Cells were infected with
MOCK/DENV4/ZIKV and incubated for 1h30 before wash, then stimulated with poly(I:C) at 10
µg/ml for 6 hours. (B) Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of cell population expressing
p–eIF2α after stimulation with poly (I:C). Data obtained from two independent experiments.
(C) Immunofluorescence of A549 WT cells infected with DENV4 for 48 h p.i. in semisolid
medium. Cells fixed, permeabilized and co-stained with DAPI, anti-dsRNA and anti-p–eIF2α.
In the column chart, the bars represent the means ± SEM from three independent
experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test
for multiple comparisons. *: p ≤0.05; **: p ≤0.01; ***: p ≤0.001; ns / no markup: no statistical
difference.

DENV4, but not ZIKV, induces translation arrest in infected cells

To investigate the consequences of eIF2α phosphorylation on cellular and viral
protein translation, viral infections were performed in semi-solid medium and a puromycin
labelling protocol was used to assess general translation activity (Schmidt *et al*, 2009). By
immunofluorescence analysis, it was possible to observe that p–eIF2α in WT lineage
promotes cellular translation arrest during DENV4 infection, evidenced by the absence of

puromycin incorporation in the centre of viral plaques (Figure 4A). At the edges of the plaque,
however, anti-puromycin and anti-flavivirus simultaneous staining reinforces data presented
previously (Fig 3C) that translation arrest happens relatively late during DENV4 infection. In
PKR$^{-/-}$ cells, however, co-staining is present throughout the viral plaque, suggesting cell
translation downregulation during DENV4 infection is caused by ISR activation via PKR.

Surprisingly for ZIKV, there is a different profile, with more intense incorporation of
puromycin by infected WT cells than bystander cells (Figure 4B). Bystander cells are here
defined as cells that were in the same environment of infected cells (i.e. same well or
organism) but a viral protein or nucleic acid was not detected. Anti-puromycin signal is
stronger in the perinuclear region which coincides with viral replication sites (Figure 4C). The
phenotype of increased translation activity in infected cells is lost in PKR$^{-/-}$ cell lines,
suggesting that PKR activation allows increased viral translation.

Figure 4 - DENV4, but not ZIKV, induces PKR-dependent translation arrest in infected

cells. Immunofluorescence of A549 WT or PKR^{-/-} cells infected with DENV4 (A) or ZIKV (B)

for 48 h p.i. in semisolid medium. Cells labelled with puromycin before fixation and

permeabilization. Co-staining with DAPI, anti-puromycin, anti-flavivirus envelope (E) and

secondary antibodies. (C) Zoom on WT cells infected with ZIKV for a better appreciation of

the immunostaining pattern. Representative images of three different experiments.

PKR is required for expression of eIF2α-downstream genes and proteins during DENV4/ZIKV infections

Phosphorylation of eIF2α also leads to transcriptional up-regulation of genes from cellular stress resolution pathways (Harding *et al*, 2000; Novoa *et al*, 2001). To evaluate the impact of PKR deficiency in events downstream of eIF2α phosphorylation, the expression of *DDIT3* (CHOP) and *GADD34* was analysed. Gene transcription was evaluated by qPCR (Figure 5A) and both *DDIT3* and *GADD34* were less expressed in PKR^{-/-} cells during DENV4/ZIKV infection than in WT cells. This downregulation is subtle probably due to control of these genes by other pathways such as IRF3/7 (Clavarino *et al*, 2012; Dalet *et al*, 2017) and STAT3-NFkB or NF-YA (Huang *et al*, 2010; Canino *et al*, 2015). However, when GADD34 expression is analyzed by immunoblot (Figure 5B) or flow cytometry (Figure 5C) the downregulation of this protein follows p–eIF2α profile. These results are in line with the literature since GADD34 mRNA translation requires p–eIF2α to suppress uORF2 reading, which in turn inhibits the CDS translation (Lee *et al*, 2009; Young *et al*, 2015), demonstrating the transcriptional and post-transcriptional cellular control over eIF2α downstream signalling.

In summary, these results show that during infection by DENV4/ZIKV the activation of the stress response is not restricted to phosphorylation of PKR and eIF2α; and reinforces that the activation of this pathway is abolished in the PKR^{-/-} cells.

Figure 5 – PKR is required for expression of eIF2α-downstream genes and proteins during DENV4/ZIKV infections. A549 WT or PKR-/- cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at 24 h p.i. for analysis. (A) Quantification by RT-qPCR of DDIT3 and GADD34 gene expression. Relative expression calculated by 2-ΔΔCt methods using mock WT cells as reference. (B) Immunoblot analysis of GADD34 protein expression. (C) Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of cells expressing GADD34 within the total population. In the column chart, the bars represent the means ± SEM from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by paired *t* test comparing the two cell lineages under the same conditions. *: p ≤0.05; **: p ≤0.01; ***: p ≤0.001; ns / no markup: no statistical difference.

Disruption of the PKR–eIF2α pathway impairs DENV4 and ZIKV replication

Considering the efficacy of p-eIF2α in blocking cellular translation and the dependency of PKR for this phosphorylation, we evaluated the impact of PKR deletion on virus replication. Surprisingly, replication of both viruses was impaired in PKR$^{-/-}$ cells, shown by lower virus titres (Figure 6A) and smaller plaque sizes and number of cells/plaque (Figure 6B-C), but no difference in genome replication as assessed by qPCR (Figure 6D).

The lower virus titres and diminished plaque sizes in PKR$^{-/-}$ cells are particularly counterintuitive since this cell line is defective in activating the whole antiviral pathway coordinated by PKR. This finding indicated the possibility that the PKR deletion has a negative impact on other antiviral pathways and/or these viruses could have co-opted the ISR to promote viral replication.

Figure 6 - Disruption of the PKR–eIF2α pathway impairs DENV4 and ZIKV replication. (A) Viral titration from A549 WT or PKR$^{-/-}$ cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at 24 h p.i. for analysis. . Plaque size comparison of virus in A549 WT or PKR-/- cells infected with DENV4 and incubated for 8 days or infected with ZIKV and incubated for 5 days: measurements of (B) plaque areas and (C) number of cells per plaque from immunofluorescence. (D) Quantification by RT-qPCR of DENV4 or ZIKV viral RNA from A549 WT or PKR$^{-/-}$ cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at 24 h p.i. for analysis. Relative expression calculated by $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method using mock WT cells as a reference. PFU: plate forming units; FFU: focus forming units. In the column chart, the bars represent the means ± SEM from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was

253 performed by paired *t* test comparing the two cell lineages under the same conditions. *: p
254 ≤0.05; **: p ≤0.01; ***: p ≤0.001; ns / no markup: no statistical difference.
255

PKR deletion does not affect the innate immune response or cell viability

The phenotype of reduced viral replication in PKR^{-/-} lineage could be explained by
changes in the cellular innate immune response, as several studies report the role of PKR in
sustaining NF-kB and IFN signalling (Gilfoy & Mason, 2007; Zamanian-Daryoush *et al*, 2000;
Czerkies *et al*, 2018; Deb *et al*, 2001; Kårehed *et al*, 2007) and promoting IFNγ and TNFα
expression (Kaempfer *et al*, 2019; Ben-Asouli *et al*, 2002). To investigate the possibility of
alterations in innate immune pathways as a result of PKR deletion, gene and protein
expression of elements of this response was evaluated.

Genes activated by IRF3/7, IFN-I or NF-κB did not show any significant difference in
transcription between WT and PKR^{-/-} lineages during virus infections (Figure 7A).
Immunoblotting corroborated this transcriptional analysis, as no differences were observed in
STAT1 activation or IFIT1 and ISG15 expression levels between the two cell lines, thus
demonstrating virus-induced IFN-I signalling is not affected by PKR deletion (Figure 7B).

As PKR can also play a role in cell survival during viral infections (Donzé *et al*, 2004),
cell viability was analyzed at 24 h p.i. by cytometry. Also in this assay, no difference between
WT and PKR^{-/-} lineages was observed during virus infections (Figure 7C).

Together, this set of results allow us to conclude that the PKR deletion does not
significantly affect the innate immune response or cell survival during DENV4 or ZIKV
infection. Therefore, alterations in these pathways do not explain the lower viral replication
seen in the PKR^{-/-} cells.

Figure 7 - PKR deletion does not affect the innate immune response or cell viability.
A549 WT or PKR-/- cells infected with DENV4 (MOI 2) or ZIKV (MOI 3) and harvested at 24 h
p.i. for analysis. (A) Quantification by RT-qPCR of IFNB, IFNL, ISG15 and TNFA1 gene
expression. Relative expression calculated by 2-ΔΔCt methods using mock WT cells as a
reference. (B) Immunoblot analysis of cell extracts resolved in denaturing SDS-PAGE. (C)
Flow cytometry analysis for quantification of living cells by staining with Zombie-NIR viability
dye. Mock WT cells set as 100% reference. In the column chart, the bars represent the means
± SEM from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by paired *t*
test comparing the two cell lineages under the same conditions. *: p ≤0.05; **: p ≤0.01; ***: p
≤0.001; ns / no markup: no statistical difference.

ZIKV coopts PKR–eIF2α pathway to increase viral translation through its 5´-UTR

ISR control over cell translation can promote the expression of certain mRNAs as a
consequence of their 5´-UTR sequence and/or structure (Young *et al*, 2015; Young & Wek,
2016). Therefore, we next sought to investigate whether viral 5´-UTR could subvert the ISR to
promote replication. Hence, a translation activity assay was performed based on the ZIKV
genome using a luciferase reporter readout. For this assay, a reporter plasmid was designed
with the first 194 nucleotides of ZIKV, this includes the 5´-UTR followed by the first 87 nt from
the viral polyprotein fused in frame with the firefly luciferase gene (Figure 8A). A control
plasmid for expression of *Renilla* luciferase with the same backbone that the firefly luciferase

reporter was co-transfected for data normalization. Results are presented in Relative Translation Activity (RTA), given in percentage, using values obtained with WT mock cells as reference (100%).

Cells were infected with ZIKV for 12 hours before plasmid transfection, then analysed at 36 h p.i. to maximize the time of luciferase expression. The comparison between mock and infected conditions for each cell line reveals that virus infection promotes a 2-fold increase in translation activity by WT and IFNAR^{-/-} cells (Figure 8B). In contrast, in PKR^{-/-} and double knockout cells this effect is suppressed as relative translation activity in these cells, under infected and uninfected conditions, is similar or lower to WT uninfected cells. These results suggest that the ZIKV 5´-UTR is sufficient to promote a relative advantage of mRNA translation in the presence of p–eIF2α.

Figure 8 - ZIKV 5´-UTR is sufficient to increase relative reporter translation in PKR–eIF2α competent cells. (A) Diagram of reporters constructs used for the assay. (B) Relative translation of ZIKV reporter in A549 (WT/PKR^{-/-}/IFNAR^{-/-}/DKO) cells infected with ZIKV (MOI 3), transfected at 12 h p.i. and analysed at 36 h p.i. C: first 87 nt of viral capsid protein. In the column chart, the bars represent the means ± SEM from three independent experiments. *: p ≤0.05; **: p ≤0.01; ***: p ≤0.001; ns / no markup: no statistical difference.

DISCUSSION

Given the *Flavivirus* genome structure, its is suggested that these viruses would use a cap-dependent translation mechanism for viral polyprotein synthesis until the suppression of canonical initiation machinery when a switch to cap-independent translation would take place (Edgil *et al*, 2006; Fernández-García *et al*, 2020). Cell conditions to promote the switch in translation mode and the required auxiliary factors (eIFs) for alternative translation remain to be fully characterized.

In the present work, we investigated how ISR, known to promote translation arrest through eIF2α phosphorylation, would play a role in this elusive non-canonical translation mechanism employed by flaviviruses. Using A549 cells we verified that basal levels of PKR are sufficient to promote p-eIF2α during DENV4 and ZIKV infections. In accordance, previous reports have also detected p-eIF2α in A549 cells during DENV (Umareddy *et al*, 2007), ZIKV (HOU, S. et al., 2017; ZHOU et al., 2019) and JEV (TU et al., 2012) infections; as well as

studies using other cell lines (Peña & Harris, 2011; Tan *et al*, 2018). Further characterization of p-eIF2α was performed by flow cytometry to allow quantification and co-staining for other markers. Although DENV4 and ZIKV promote eIF2α phosphorylation within the same time frame, these viruses displayed different profiles of anti–p–eIF2α and anti–flavivirus co-staining, indicating distinct dynamics between viral replication and activation of stress response for each virus. Therefore, cytometry is here proposed as a complementary method to investigate ISR activation, in addition to traditionally used immunoblot and immunofluorescence, as it can provide more detailed information.

It is worth noting that a few studies attribute to PERK/UPR the phosphorylation of eIF2α observed during flavivirus infections without excluding the participation of other ISR kinases in the phenotype (Gladwyn-Ng *et al*, 2018; Datan *et al*, 2016; Wang *et al*, 2019; Sharma *et al*, 2017; Ambrose & Mackenzie, 2011). However, in the present model, PERK plays a minor role in ZIKV-induced p-eIF2α. Here, PKR is clearly accountable for ISR activation during infection with both DENV4 and ZIKV. This response was shown to be activated from PKR to p-eIF2α-downstream genes in WT lineage but abolished in PKR$^{-/-}$ lineage.

An increased expression of p-eIF2α downstream genes was also found in Huh7 cells infected with DENV (Zanini *et al*, 2018a). In this study, single-cell RNA-seq analysis showed upregulation of *DDIT3* and *GADD34* (aka *PPP1R15A*) mRNAs, as well as of other ATF4-downstream genes (*ASNS, CTH, HERPUD1, SOD2, TRIB3*) (Gjymishka *et al*, 2009; Dickhout *et al*, 2012; Ma & Hendershot, 2004; Fusakio *et al*, 2016; Ohoka *et al*, 2005) within the infected population (Supplementary Figure 1A-B). A second study using the same approach in PBMC (Zanini *et al*, 2018b) revealed an upregulation of *GADD34* mRNA in DENV-infected monocytes and T cells (Supplementary Figure 1C-D). The upregulation of these mRNAs suggests ISR activation after DENV infection in these cell models. However, as shown here, the expression of these genes are also regulated by post-transcriptional mechanisms dependent on the presence of p-eIF2α.

In our model, a PKR-dependent translation arrest was confirmed in DENV-infected cells as expected, but curiously not in ZIKV-infected cells. For the latter, increased puromycin incorporation in perinuclear areas indicates a possible viral escaping mechanism from ISR translation control. This difference might be related to the distinct dynamics between viral replication and activation of stress response for each virus seen in the co-staining assay. Therefore, it calls for further investigation using methods such as live-cell imaging, to track down the sequence of events during infection.

Roth et al, reported a general translation arrest after infection of Huh7 cells with several *Flavivirus* species and strains despite the absence of p-eIF2α (Roth *et al*, 2017). This conflicting result could be due to the different cell lineage used. Although we do not exclude

the possibility that other translation suppression mechanisms, such as RIDD (HOLLIEN;

WEISSMAN, 2006), RNase L (DONOVAN et al., 2017) and 4E-BP dephosphorylation (Pause

et al, 1994; Poulin *et al*, 1998), can also take place in our model in later times after infection.

Despite the differences in translational activity under activated ISR, both DENV4 and

ZIKV presented an approximated 50% less viral replication in PKR$^{-/-}$ cells. This decrease

suggests that ISR activation is not essential for viral replication but rather co-opted by both

viruses to counter a major cellular antiviral pathway for their own benefit. Indeed, after

discarding the hypothesis of PKR deletion disturbing common antiviral pathways (e.g. type I

IFN expression, NFkB activation and apoptosis) translation activity assay has shown that ZIKV

5´-UTR promotes a relative advantage of mRNA translation in the presence of p–eIF2α. This

finding reveals that ZIKV not only escapes ISR translation arrest, but also uses this response

to increased viral replication. Moreover, it also indicates that viral alternative translation does

not require eIF2/TC for initiation. The independence of eIF2 for translation initiation under

stress conditions has been previously reported within *Flaviviridae* family, since HCV

(*Hepacivirus* genus) and *Pestivirus C* (formerly CSFV, *Pestivirus* genus) IRES present similar

functioning (Pestova *et al*, 2008; Robert *et al*, 2006; Rivas-Estilla *et al*, 2002).

Here we demonstrate that DENV and ZIKV induce eIF2α phosphorylation in a PKR

dependent manner and that its absence is detrimental for optimal virus replication. Using ZIKV

as a model we showed that this effect maps to the 5'UTR of the viral genome. Altogether

these results suggest that the activation of the PKR/p-eIF2α axis may be necessary for the

engagement of the non-canonical translation employed by Flaviviruses.

Supplementary figure 1 – p–eIF2α-downstream genes are upregulated in DENV-infected Huh7 cells and PBMCs. (A) Dataset from (Zanini *et al*, 2018a), of DENV-infected Huh7 cells, analysed by single-cell RNA-seq. Heatmap representing expression variability of stress-related genes in uninfected, bystander and infected cells; and (B) violin plot representing the expression of p-eIF2α- and ATF4-downstream genes in uninfected, bystander and infected cell populations. (C) Dataset from (Zanini *et al*, 2018b), of PBMCs from patients infected with DENV, analysed by single-cell RNA-seq. Dot plot representing the expression of PPP1R15A/GADD34 in different cell population and infection conditions; and (D) violin plot representing the expression of PPP1R15A/GADD34 the whole PBMC population under uninfected, bystander and infected conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses. VERO-E6 (ATCC® CRL-1586™) A549 (ATCC® CCL-185™) and derived cell lines were grown at 37° C/5% CO2 in DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 1 U/ml penicillin /streptomycin and 5% fetal bovine serum. C6/36 cells (ATCC® CRL-1660 were grown at 28° C in Leibovitz's L-15 medium supplemented with 1 U/ml penicillin /streptomycin and 5% fetal bovine serum. IFNAR1 knockout cell line has been previously

described (Antunes *et al*, 2019; Guimarães *et al*, 2019). PKR$^{-/-}$ and DKO (IFNAR$^{-/-}$/PKR$^{-/-}$) were

produced with a pair of sgRNA guides (PKR1-FWD: CACCGATTATGAACAGTGTGCATCG;

PKR1-REV: AAACCGATGCACACTGTTCATAATC; PKR2-

FWD:CACCGAAACAGTTCTTCGTTGCTTA; PKR2-REV:

AAACTAAGCAACGAAGAACTGTTTC) cloned into pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459) vector

(Addgene) according to developer's protocol (Ran *et al*, 2013).

DENV-4 virus, TVP-360 strain (KUCZERA et al., 2016) and ZIKV, strain BR

2015/15261 (STROTTMANN et al., 2019) were kindly provided by Dr Claudia N. Duarte dos

Santos (Fiocruz-PR, Brazil). Viral stocks were produced in C6/36 cells and all viral titrations

were performed in VERO cells by plaque-forming units (PFU) or focus-forming units (FFU).

Poly (I:C)Transfection. Cell stimulation with poly (I:C) ("polyinosinic: polycytidylic

acid") was performed with Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's

instructions for A459 cells at the proportion of 1 ug of poly(I:C) in 1 ml of medium in a 12-well

plate.

Western-blots. Samples were lysed on ice with RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl; 50 mM

Tris-HCl pH8; 1% NP40; 0.1% SDS; 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) supplemented with

cOmplete™ and PhosSTOP inhibitors™ (Roche) according to manufacturer's

recommendations. Protein extracts were heat-denatured in loading buffer and resolved in

SDS-PAGE. Antibodies used for immunoblot reactions were: PKR antibody (Santa Cruz

Biotechnology, Inc, sc-707, dilution 1:100); phospho-PKR (Thr451) polyclonal antibody,

Invitrogen, 44-668G, dilution 1:100); phospho-eIF2α (Ser51) antibody (Cell Signaling

Technology, Inc., #9721, dilution 1:1000); GADD34 antibody (Proteintech, 10449-1-AP,

dilution 1:500); α-tubulin (DM1A) mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., #3873, dilution

1:1000); STAT1 antibody (AbCam, ab3987, dilution 1:1000); phospho-STAT1(Y701) M135

(Abcam, ab29045, dilution 1:1000); IFIT1 polyclonal antibody (Thermo/Pierce, PA3-848,

dilution 1:1000); ISG15 mouse mAb (R&D System, MAB4845, dilution 1:1000); anti-mouse

IgG, HRP-linked Antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., #7076, dilution 1:5000); anti-rabbit

IgG, HRP-linked Antibody, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., #7074, dilution 1:5000). The

imaging of blots was carried out on the Chemidoc MP (Bio-Rad).

Flow cytometry. Cells were fixed in BD-Phosflow Lyse/Fix buffer. Primary and

secondary antibodies were diluted in permeabilization buffer (0.1% saponin; 1% fetal bovine

serum, in PBS) for staining. Between each step, samples were washed in blocking buffer (1%

fetal bovine serum in PBS). Antibodies and dyes used for flow cytometry were: phospho-eIF2α

(Ser51) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., #3597, dilution 1:300); human monoclonal

antibody DV 18.4 (Beltramello *et al*, 2010)(dilution 1:100); GADD34 antibody (Proteintech,

10449-1-AP, dilution 1:250 (IF/FACS); Zombie-NIR fixable viability dye (BioLegend, 423105,

dilution 1:750); Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated (Invitrogen, A-21245,

dilution 1:500); Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Invitrogen, A-11008,

dilution 1:500); Goat anti-Human IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Invitrogen, A-11013,

dilution 1:500). Data acquisition was performed with FACSVerse™ (BD) and data analysis

with FlowJo software (BD).

Immunofluorescence: Cells were grown and infected on 13 mm cover slips in 24-

wells plates and incubated in liquid or semisolid medium according to experiment design.

Fixation in 3% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes and permeabilization with Triton X-100 0,5%

in PBS for 5 minutes. Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer (2%

bovine serum albumin in PBS) for staining. Between each step, samples were washed in PBS.

Antibodies and dyes used for immunofluorescence were: DAPI (Invitrogen); anti-dsRNA MAb

J2 (SCICONS, 10010500, dilution 1:200); human monoclonal antibody DV 18.4 (Beltramello

et al, 2010)(dilution 1:100); anti-puromycin 12D10 (Millipore, MABE343, dilution 1:20,000);

phospho-eIF2α (Ser51) antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., #3597, dilution 1:300); Goat

anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated (Invitrogen, A-21245, dilution 1:500); Goat

anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Invitrogen, A-11008, dilution 1:500); Goat

anti-Human IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated (Invitrogen, A-11013, dilution 1:500);

Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG H&L Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated (Abcam, ab150107, dilution 1:500).

Image acquisition was performed in the confocal microscope Leica DMI6000 B.

RT-qPCR:RNA was extracted and purified with HiYield TotalRNA mini kit (RBC Real

Biotech Corporation, YRB50) according to manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription

reaction was performed with MMLV "in house" kit from 1 μg of total RNA. Quantitative PCRs

were performed with GoTaq ® qPCR Master mix (Promega) with the following primer sets:

h18S (F: 5'-TAGAGGGACAAGTGGCGTTC-3', R: 5'-CGCTGAGCCAGTCAGTGT-3'); hIFNL1

(F: 5'-TTCCAAGCCCACCACAACTG-3', R: 5'-GAGTGACTCTTCCAAGGCGT-3'); hIFNB1 (F:

5'-AAACTCATGAGCAGTCTGCA-3', R: 5'-AGGAGATCTTCAGTTTCGGAGG-3'); hTNFA (F:

5'-TCTTCTCGAACCCCGAGTGA-3', R: 5'-CCTCTGATGGCACCACCAG-3'); hISG15 (F: 5'-

TCCTGGTGAGGAATAACAAGGG-3', R: 5'-TCAGCCAGAACAGGTCGTC-3'); hDDIT3 (F: 5'-

GGAGCATCAGTCCCCCACTT-3', R: 5'-TGTGGGATTGAGGGTCACATC-3'); hGADD34 (F:

5'-CTGGCTGGTGGAAGCAGTAA-3', R: 5'-TATGGGGGATTGCCAGAGGA-3'); DENV4

vRNA (F: 5'-TTGTCCTAATGATGCTGGTCG-3', R: 5'-TCCACCTGAGACTCCTTCCA-3');

ZIKV vRNA (F: 5'-CTGTGGCATGAACCCAATAG-3', R: 5'-ATCCCATAGAGCACCACTCC-

3'). Data acquisition with StepOne Plus real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) and

relative mRNA expression was calculated by $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

ZIKV Luciferase reporter vectors: The pSGDLuc vector previously described

(Loughran *et al*, 2017), was used as a template to design the *Renilla* luciferase and the ZIKV

firefly luciferase reporters. The *Renilla* luciferase was amplified using the following

oligonucleotides   5´-   TCCGCCCAGTTCCGCCCATTCTCCGC-3´   and   5´-

GCGCTCTAGATTATCTCGAGGTGTAGAAATAC- 3´ and then cloned back into the
pSGDLuc previously digested with *AvrII* and *XbaI* This will create a plasmid only expressing
the *Renilla* luciferase gene under the T7 or SV40 promoter.

The first 194 nucleotides of the ZIKV genome were cloned first in the pSGD plasmid using the
set of primers 5´-GCGCCTCGAGATAAGTTGTTGATCTGTGTGAATCAGAC-3´ and 5´-
GCGCAGATCTGCCCCCAAAGGGGCTCACACGGGCTAC-3´ in order to introduce this
fragment in frame with the 2A-firefly luciferase gene. Using this intermediate plasmid as a
template, the ZIKV-2A-firefly luciferase gene was later amplified with oligonucleotides 5´-
TCCGCCCAGTTCCGCCCATTCTCCGC-3´and 5´-
GATTCACACAGATCAACAACTccctatagtgagtc to introduce the T7 promoter upstream of the
ZIKV coding region; and 5´-GCTTTACTGGGGCTACGATCTTTTGC-3´ and 5´-
gactcactatagggAGTTGTTGATCTGTGTGAATC-3´ to amplify the ZIKV region. This was
cloned back into the pSGD plasmid previously digested with *AvrII* and *BglII* . This will create
a plasmid expressing the ZIKV-2A-firefly luciferase fused gene under the T7 or SV40
promoter.

Luciferase assays: Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen)
with firefly and *Renilla* luciferase plasmids at the proportion of 98 ng and 2 ng respectively for
every $2x10^4$ cells in a 96-wells plate. For cell lysis and luminescence reaction, Dual-
Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (Promega) was used following the manufacturer's
instructions.

Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis: Processed, publicly available single-cell
RNA-seq data are available through the GEO accession number GSE110496 and
GSE116672. We downloaded processed single-cell data and metadata from the
supplementary information from the respective publication (Zanini *et al*, 2018a, 2018b).

Then, we used CellRouter (Lummertz da Rocha *et al*, 2018) to perform quality control,
normalization, analysis and visualize the expression of selected genes with log2 fold changes
> 0.15.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
Conceptualization, T.R.J. and D.S.M.; Methodology, T.R.J. and D.S.M.; Formal Analysis and
Investigation, T.R.J.; *In silico* analysis, E.L.R., E.G.K., G.F.R.L.; Resources, D.S.M., B.F., N.I.
, Writing-Original Draft, T.R.J. and D.S.M., Writing-Review and editing, T.R.J., B.F., N.I. and
D.S.M., Visualization, T.R.J. and D.S.M., Supervision, D.S.M., Project Administration and
Funding Acquisition, D.S.M.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Comissão de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível

Superior (CAPES) Computational Biology programme (23038.010048/2013-27), Conselho

Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (1 311406/2017-3 and

407609/2018-0) and the Academy of Medical Sciences/U.K. (NAF004/1005) to D.S.M.,

Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (41907/2017-7) to

T.R.J., BBSRC grant BB/S001336/1 and Wellcome Trust grant 201946/Z/16/Z to B.J.F; and

an Isaac Newton Trust Grant (18.40r), a Royal Society Research Grant (RGS\R1\191137) and

an Isaac Newton Trust/Wellcome Trust ISSF/University of Cambridge Joint Research Grant

to NI.

Multi-User Laboratory in Biological Studies (LAMEB) and LCME at UFSC.

REFERENCES

Ambrose RL & Mackenzie JM (2011) West Nile virus differentially modulates the unfolded
protein response to facilitate replication and immune evasion. *J Virol* 85: 2723–2732

Antunes KH, Fachi JL, de Paula R, da Silva EF, Pral LP, Dos Santos AÁ, Dias GBM, Vargas
JE, Puga R, Mayer FQ, *et al* (2019) Microbiota-derived acetate protects against
respiratory syncytial virus infection through a GPR43-type 1 interferon response. *Nat
Commun* 10: 3273

Axten JM, Romeril SP, Shu A, Ralph J, Medina JR, Feng Y, Li WHH, Grant SW, Heerding
DA, Minthorn E, *et al* (2013) Discovery of GSK2656157: An Optimized PERK Inhibitor
Selected for Preclinical Development. *ACS Med Chem Lett* 4: 964–968

Beltramello M, Williams KL, Simmons CP, Macagno A, Simonelli L, Quyen NTH, Sukupolvi-
Petty S, Navarro-Sanchez E, Young PR, de Silva AM, *et al* (2010) The human immune
response to Dengue virus is dominated by highly cross-reactive antibodies endowed
with neutralizing and enhancing activity. *Cell Host Microbe* 8: 271–283

Ben-Asouli Y, Banai Y, Pel-Or Y, Shir A & Kaempfer R (2002) Human interferon-gamma
mRNA autoregulates its translation through a pseudoknot that activates the interferon-
inducible protein kinase PKR. *Cell* 108: 221–232

Canino C, Luo Y, Marcato P, Blandino G, Pass HI & Cioce M (2015) A STAT3-
NFkB/DDIT3/CEBPβ axis modulates ALDH1A3 expression in chemoresistant cell
subpopulations. *Oncotarget* 6: 12637–12653

Chambers TJ, Hahn CS, Galler R & Rice CM (1990) Flavivirus genome organization,
expression, and replication. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 44: 649–688

Clavarino G, Cláudio N, Couderc T, Dalet A, Judith D, Camosseto V, Schmidt EK, Wenger
T, Lecuit M, Gatti E, *et al* (2012) Induction of GADD34 is necessary for dsRNA-
dependent interferon-β production and participates in the control of Chikungunya virus
infection. *PLoS Pathog* 8: e1002708

Czerkies M, Korwek Z, Prus W, Kochańczyk M, Jaruszewicz-Błońska J, Tudelska K, Błoński
S, Kimmel M, Brasier AR & Lipniacki T (2018) Cell fate in antiviral response arises in the
crosstalk of IRF, NF-κB and JAK/STAT pathways. *Nat Commun* 9: 493

Dalet A, Argüello RJ, Combes A, Spinelli L, Jaeger S, Fallet M, Vu Manh T-P, Mendes A,
Perego J, Reverendo M, *et al* (2017) Protein synthesis inhibition and GADD34 control
IFN-β heterogeneous expression in response to dsRNA. *EMBO J* 36: 761–782

Datan E, Roy SG, Germain G, Zali N, McLean JE, Golshan G, Harbajan S, Lockshin RA &
Zakeri Z (2016) Dengue-induced autophagy, virus replication and protection from cell
death require ER stress (PERK) pathway activation. *Cell Death Dis* 7: e2127

Deb A, Haque SJ, Mogensen T, Silverman RH & Williams BR (2001) RNA-dependent
protein kinase PKR is required for activation of NF-kappa B by IFN-gamma in a STAT1-
independent pathway. *J Immunol* 166: 6170–6180

Dickhout JG, Carlisle RE, Jerome DE, Mohammed-Ali Z, Jiang H, Yang G, Mani S, Garg SK,
Banerjee R, Kaufman RJ, *et al* (2012) Integrated stress response modulates cellular
redox state via induction of cystathionine γ-lyase: cross-talk between integrated stress
response and thiol metabolism. *J Biol Chem* 287: 7603–7614

Donnelly N, Gorman AM, Gupta S & Samali A (2013) The eIF2α kinases: their structures
and functions. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 70: 3493–3511

Donzé O, Deng J, Curran J, Sladek R, Picard D & Sonenberg N (2004) The protein kinase
PKR: a molecular clock that sequentially activates survival and death programs. *EMBO
J* 23: 564–571

Edgil D, Polacek C & Harris E (2006) Dengue virus utilizes a novel strategy for translation
initiation when cap-dependent translation is inhibited. *J Virol* 80: 2976–2986

Espada CE, da Rocha EL, dos Santos AA, Soares ZG, Malaquias G, Patrício DO, Kozlova
EG, dos Santos PF, Bordignon J, Sanford TJ, *et al* (2019) ISG15/USP18/STAT2 is a
molecular hub regulating autocrine IFN I-mediated control of Dengue and Zika virus
replication. *bioRxiv*

Espada-Murao LA & Morita K (2011) Delayed cytosolic exposure of Japanese encephalitis
virus double-stranded RNA impedes interferon activation and enhances viral
dissemination in porcine cells. *J Virol* 85: 6736–6749

Fernández-García L, Angulo J, Ramos H, Barrera A, Pino K, Vera-Otarola J & López-Lastra
M (2020) The internal ribosome entry site of the Dengue virus mRNA is active when
cap-dependent translation initiation is inhibited. *J Virol*

Fredericksen BL & Gale M Jr (2006) West Nile virus evades activation of interferon
regulatory factor 3 through RIG-I-dependent and -independent pathways without
antagonizing host defense signaling. *J Virol* 80: 2913–2923

Fusakio ME, Willy JA, Wang Y, Mirek ET, Al Baghdadi RJT, Adams CM, Anthony TG & Wek
RC (2016) Transcription factor ATF4 directs basal and stress-induced gene expression
in the unfolded protein response and cholesterol metabolism in the liver. *Mol Biol Cell*

27: 1536–1551

Gilfoy FD & Mason PW (2007) West Nile virus-induced interferon production is mediated by
the double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase PKR. *J Virol* 81: 11148–11158

Gillespie LK, Hoenen A, Morgan G & Mackenzie JM (2010) The endoplasmic reticulum
provides the membrane platform for biogenesis of the flavivirus replication complex. *J*
Virol 84: 10438–10447

Gjymishka A, Su N & Kilberg MS (2009) Transcriptional induction of the human asparagine
synthetase gene during the unfolded protein response does not require the ATF6 and
IRE1/XBP1 arms of the pathway. *Biochem J* 417: 695–703

Gladwyn-Ng I, Cordón-Barris L, Alfano C, Creppe C, Couderc T, Morelli G, Thelen N,
America M, Bessières B, Encha-Razavi F, *et al* (2018) Stress-induced unfolded protein
response contributes to Zika virus-associated microcephaly. *Nat Neurosci* 21: 63–71

Guimarães ES, Gomes MTR, Campos PC, Mansur DS, Dos Santos AA, Harms J, Splitter G,
Smith JA, Barber GN & Oliveira SC (2019) Cyclic Dinucleotides Trigger STING-
Dependent Unfolded Protein Response That Favors Bacterial Replication. *J Immunol*
202: 2671–2681

Gullberg RC, Steel JJ, Pujari V, Rovnak J, Crick DC & Perera R (2018) Stearoly-CoA
desaturase 1 differentiates early and advanced dengue virus infections and determines
virus particle infectivity. *PLoS Pathog* 14: e1007261

Harding HP, Novoa I, Zhang Y, Zeng H, Wek R, Schapira M & Ron D (2000) Regulated
translation initiation controls stress-induced gene expression in mammalian cells. *Mol*
Cell 6: 1099–1108

Hou J-N, Chen T-H, Chiang Y-H, Peng J-Y, Yang T-H, Cheng C-C, Sofiyatun E, Chiu C-H,
Chiang-Ni C & Chen W-J (2017) PERK Signal-Modulated Protein Translation Promotes
the Survivability of Dengue 2 Virus-Infected Mosquito Cells and Extends Viral
Replication. *Viruses* 9

Huang Y, Chuang AY, Romano R-A, Liégeois NJ, Sinha S, Trink B, Ratovitski E & Sidransky
D (2010) Phospho-DeltaNp63alpha/NF-Y protein complex transcriptionally regulates
DDIT3 expression in squamous cell carcinoma cells upon cisplatin exposure. *Cell Cycle*
9: 328–338

Jackson RJ, Hellen CUT & Pestova TV (2010) The mechanism of eukaryotic translation
initiation and principles of its regulation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 11: 113–127

Kaempfer R, Ilan L, Cohen-Chalamish S, Turgeman O, Namer LS & Osman F (2019)
Control of mRNA Splicing by Intragenic RNA Activators of Stress Signaling: Potential
Implications for Human Disease. *Front Genet* 10: 464

Kårehed K, Dimberg A, Dahl S, Nilsson K & Oberg F (2007) IFN-gamma-induced
upregulation of Fcgamma-receptor-I during activation of monocytic cells requires the
PKR and NFkappaB pathways. *Mol Immunol* 44: 615–624

Krishnamoorthy T, Pavitt GD & Zhang F (2001) Tight binding of the phosphorylated α
subunit of initiation factor 2 (eIF2α) to the regulatory subunits of guanine nucleotide
exchange factor eIF2B is required for …. *and cellular biology*

Lee Y-Y, Cevallos RC & Jan E (2009) An upstream open reading frame regulates translation

of GADD34 during cellular stresses that induce eIF2alpha phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem*
284: 6661–6673

Loughran G, Howard MT, Firth AE & Atkins JF (2017) Avoidance of reporter assay
distortions from fused dual reporters. *RNA* 23: 1285–1289

Lummertz da Rocha E, Rowe RG, Lundin V, Malleshaiah M, Jha DK, Rambo CR, Li H, North
TE, Collins JJ & Daley GQ (2018) Reconstruction of complex single-cell trajectories
using CellRouter. *Nat Commun* 9: 892

Ma Y & Hendershot LM (2004) Herp is dually regulated by both the endoplasmic reticulum
stress-specific branch of the unfolded protein response and a branch that is shared with
other cellular stress pathways. *J Biol Chem* 279: 13792–13799

Novoa I, Zeng H, Harding HP & Ron D (2001) Feedback Inhibition of the Unfolded Protein
Response by GADD34-Mediated Dephosphorylation of eIF2α. *J Cell Biol* 153: 1011–
1022

Ohoka N, Yoshii S, Hattori T, Onozaki K & Hayashi H (2005) TRB3, a novel ER stress-
inducible gene, is induced via ATF4-CHOP pathway and is involved in cell death. *EMBO*
J 24: 1243–1255

Overby AK, Popov VL, Niedrig M & Weber F (2010) Tick-borne encephalitis virus delays
interferon induction and hides its double-stranded RNA in intracellular membrane
vesicles. *J Virol* 84: 8470–8483

Pakos-Zebrucka K, Koryga I, Mnich K, Ljujic M, Samali A & Gorman AM (2016) The
integrated stress response. *EMBO Rep* 17: 1374–1395

Pause A, Belsham GJ, Gingras AC, Donzé O, Lin TA, Lawrence JC Jr & Sonenberg N
(1994) Insulin-dependent stimulation of protein synthesis by phosphorylation of a
regulator of 5'-cap function. *Nature* 371: 762–767

Peña J & Harris E (2011) Dengue virus modulates the unfolded protein response in a time-
dependent manner. *J Biol Chem* 286: 14226–14236

Pestova TV, de Breyne S, Pisarev AV, Abaeva IS & Hellen CUT (2008) eIF2-dependent and
eIF2-independent modes of initiation on the CSFV IRES: a common role of domain II.
EMBO J 27: 1060–1072

Poulin F, Gingras AC, Olsen H, Chevalier S & Sonenberg N (1998) 4E-BP3, a new member
of the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding protein family. *J Biol Chem* 273: 14002–
14007

Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, Agarwala V, Scott DA & Zhang F (2013) Genome engineering
using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat Protoc* 8: 2281–2308

Rivas-Estilla AM, Svitkin Y, Lopez Lastra M, Hatzoglou M, Sherker A & Koromilas AE (2002)
PKR-dependent mechanisms of gene expression from a subgenomic hepatitis C virus
clone. *J Virol* 76: 10637–10653

Robert F, Kapp LD, Khan SN, Acker MG, Kolitz S, Kazemi S, Kaufman RJ, Merrick WC,
Koromilas AE, Lorsch JR, *et al* (2006) Initiation of protein synthesis by hepatitis C virus
is refractory to reduced eIF2.GTP.Met-tRNA(i)(Met) ternary complex availability. *Mol*
Biol Cell 17: 4632–4644

Roth H, Magg V, Uch F, Mutz P, Klein P, Haneke K, Lohmann V, Bartenschlager R, Fackler

OT, Locker N, *et al* (2017) Flavivirus Infection Uncouples Translation Suppression from
Cellular Stress Responses. *MBio* 8

Schmidt EK, Clavarino G, Ceppi M & Pierre P (2009) SUnSET, a nonradioactive method to
monitor protein synthesis. *Nat Methods* 6: 275–277

Sharma M, Bhattacharyya S, Sharma KB, Chauhan S, Asthana S, Abdin MZ, Vrati S & Kalia
M (2017) Japanese encephalitis virus activates autophagy through XBP1 and ATF6 ER
stress sensors in neuronal cells. *J Gen Virol* 98: 1027–1039

Song Y, Mugavero J, Stauft CB & Wimmer E (2019) Dengue and Zika Virus 5' Untranslated
Regions Harbor Internal Ribosomal Entry Site Functions. *MBio* 10

Tan Z, Zhang W, Sun J, Fu Z, Ke X, Zheng C, Zhang Y, Li P, Liu Y, Hu Q, *et al* (2018) ZIKV
infection activates the IRE1-XBP1 and ATF6 pathways of unfolded protein response in
neural cells. *J Neuroinflammation* 15: 275

Umareddy I, Pluquet O, Wang QY, Vasudevan SG, Chevet E & Gu F (2007) Dengue virus
serotype infection specifies the activation of the unfolded protein response. *Virol J* 4: 91

Wang K, Zou C, Wang X, Huang C, Feng T, Pan W, Wu Q, Wang P & Dai J (2018)
Interferon-stimulated TRIM69 interrupts dengue virus replication by ubiquitinating viral
nonstructural protein 3. *PLoS Pathog* 14: e1007287

Wang Q, Xin X, Wang T, Wan J, Ou Y, Yang Z, Yu Q, Zhu L, Guo Y, Wu Y, *et al* (2019)
Japanese Encephalitis Virus Induces Apoptosis and Encephalitis by Activating the
PERK Pathway. *J Virol* 93

Wek RC, Jiang H-Y & Anthony TG (2006) Coping with stress: eIF2 kinases and translational
control. *Biochem Soc Trans* 34: 7–11

Whelan JN, Li Y, Silverman RH & Weiss SR (2019) Zika Virus Production Is Resistant to
RNase L Antiviral Activity. *J Virol* 93

Young SK & Wek RC (2016) Upstream Open Reading Frames Differentially Regulate Gene-
specific Translation in the Integrated Stress Response. *J Biol Chem* 291: 16927–16935

Young SK, Willy JA, Wu C, Sachs MS & Wek RC (2015) Ribosome Reinitiation Directs
Gene-specific Translation and Regulates the Integrated Stress Response. *J Biol Chem*
290: 28257–28271

Zamanian-Daryoush M, Mogensen TH, DiDonato JA & Williams BR (2000) NF-kappaB
activation by double-stranded-RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR) is mediated through
NF-kappaB-inducing kinase and IkappaB kinase. *Mol Cell Biol* 20: 1278–1290

Zanini F, Pu S-Y, Bekerman E, Einav S & Quake SR (2018a) Single-cell transcriptional
dynamics of flavivirus infection. *Elife* 7

Zanini F, Robinson ML, Croote D, Sahoo MK, Sanz AM, Ortiz-Lasso E, Albornoz LL, Rosso
F, Montoya JG, Goo L, *et al* (2018b) Virus-inclusive single-cell RNA sequencing reveals
the molecular signature of progression to severe dengue. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115:
E12363–E12369

Zhang J, Lan Y, Li MY, Lamers MM, Fusade-Boyer M, Klemm E, Thiele C, Ashour J &
Sanyal S (2018) Flaviviruses Exploit the Lipid Droplet Protein AUP1 to Trigger
Lipophagy and Drive Virus Production. *Cell Host Microbe* 23: 819–831.e5