<u>Full title:</u>

<u>Herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2) evolves faster in cell culture than HSV-1 by</u>

<u>generating greater genetic diversity</u>

<u>Short title:</u>

Differential *in vitro* generation of genetic diversity of HSV

Alberto Domingo López-Muñoz[1,#a], Alberto Rastrojo[1,#b], Rocío Martín[1], Antonio Alcamí[1*]

[1] Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa (Consejo Superior de Investigaciones

Científicas and Universidad Autónoma de Madrid), Madrid, Spain

[#a]Current address: Cellular Biology Section, Laboratory of Viral Diseases, NIAID, NIH,

United States

[#b]Current address: Genetic Unit, Department of Biology, Universidad Autónoma de

Madrid, Madrid, Spain

* Corresponding author

E-mail: aalcami@cbm.csic.es

ABSTRACT

Herpes simplex virus type 1 and 2 (HSV-1 and HSV-2, respectively) are prevalent human

pathogens of clinical relevance that establish long-life latency in the nervous system.

They have been considered, along with the *Herpesviridae* family, to exhibit a low level

of genetic diversity during viral replication. However, the high ability shown by these

viruses to rapidly evolve under different selective pressures does not correlates with that

presumed   genetic   stability.   High-throughput   sequencing   has   revealed   that

heterogeneous or plaque-purified populations of both serotypes contain a broad range

of genetic diversity, in terms of number and frequency of minor genetic variants, both *in*

vivo and *in vitro*. This is reminiscent of the quasispecies phenomenon traditionally

associated with RNA viruses. Here, by plaque-purification of two selected viral clones of

each viral subtype, we reduced the high level of genetic variability found in the original

viral stocks, to more genetically homogeneous populations. After having deeply

characterized the genetic diversity present in the purified viral clones as a high

confidence baseline, we examined the generation of *de novo* genetic diversity under

culture conditions. We found that both serotypes gradually increased the number of *de*

novo minor variants, as well as their frequency, in two different cell types after just five

and ten passages. Remarkably, HSV-2 populations displayed a much higher raise of

nonconservative *de novo* minor variants than the HSV-1 counterparts. Most of these

minor variants exhibited a very low frequency in the population, increasing their

frequency over sequential passages. These new appeared minor variants largely

impacted the coding diversity of HSV-2, and we found some genes more prone to harbor

higher variability. These data show that herpesviruses generate *de novo* genetic diversity

differentially under equal *in vitro* culture conditions. This might have contributed to the

evolutionary divergence of HSV-1 and HSV-2 adapting to different anatomical niche,

boosted by selective pressures found at each epithelial and neuronal tissue.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Herpesviruses are highly human pathogens that establish latency in neurons of the

peripheral nervous system. Colonization of nerve endings is required for herpes simplex

virus (HSV) persistence and pathogenesis. HSV-1 global prevalence is much higher than

HSV-2, in addition to their preferential tendency to infect the oronasal and genital areas,

respectively. How these closely related viruses have been adapting and evolving to

replicate and colonize these two different anatomical areas remains unclear.

Herpesviruses were presumed to mutate much less than viruses with RNA genomes,

due to the higher fidelity of the DNA polymerase and proofreading mechanisms when

replicating. However, the worldwide accessibility and development of high-throughput

sequencing technologies have revealed the heterogenicity and high diversity present in

viral populations clinically isolated. Here we show that HSV-2 mutates much faster than

HSV-1, when compared under similar and controlled cell culture conditions. This high

mutation rate is translated into an increase in coding diversity, since the great majority

of these new mutations lead to nonconservative changes in viral proteins. Understanding

how herpesviruses differentially mutate under similar selective pressures is critical to

prevent resistance to anti-viral drugs.

INTRODUCTION

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) is well-known for being one of the most prevalent neurotropic pathogens worldwide, causing a broad range of diseases in humans. The latest epidemiological studies estimate around 66% and 13.2% of global seroprevalence for HSV-1 and HSV-2, respectively, depending on age, sex, and geographical region [1]. HSV-1 infects the oral mucosa preferentially, causing characteristic minor skin lesions and eventually, encephalitis. Genital herpes is more often caused by HSV-2. Nevertheless, both viruses can infect either mucosa, frequently as a consequence of oral-genital sex [2-5]. After initial replication and dissemination at epithelial tissue, HSV infects sensory neuronal endings innervating the tissue [6, 7]. Once the virus reaches the trigeminal ganglia or the dorsal root ganglia, the virus establishes latency for the host's lifetime. The virus periodically reactivates from latent to replicative stage, traveling anterogradely back to the epithelial tissue, where recurrent infection and transmission occur, exhibiting associated disease symptoms [8]. During these multiple cycles of latency and reactivation, the virus may reinfect the nervous system or be transmitted to a new host, finding numerous chances to expand its genetic repertoire for subsequent reactivation cycles [9, 10]. The genetic diversity of the reactivating viral population can evolve by genetic drift, allowing the virus to respond to the host selective pressures that it faces when replicating. Other than the selective pressures due to immunological surveillance at the replication site, the virus may undergo selection in response to the differences between epithelial and neuronal environments [11-13]. Since HSV-1 and HSV-2 exhibit a preference for infecting different anatomical areas, it is reasonable to think that the selective pressures during each cycle of latency and reactivation might have contributed differentially to their evolution in humans, adapting their life cycle to each epithelial and neuronal niche.

The HSV genome is 152-155 Kilobase pairs long of double-stranded DNA, varying slightly between subtypes and strains. The genome is organized as unique long (UL)

and unique short (US) coding regions, flanked by terminal/internal long/short structural

repeats (i.e., T/I-Repeat(R)-L/S). The "a" sequence is present at the IRL-IRS border, but

also at the termini of the TRL and TRS, enabling the inversion of the unique fragments

orientation and producing four genomic isomers in equal ratios and functionality [14, 15].

Genomic replication generates long concatemers of viral DNA, which are processed into

unit-length genomes after cellular endonuclease G cleavage in the "a" sequence [16].

Those concatemers are highly branched, promoting recombination events between

repeated regions and resulting in the inversion of the UL and US segments [17].

Interestingly, high recombination and inversion rates in the HSV genome have been

extensively described, assisted by repetitive regions with a high G + C content [18-20].

Fluctuations in copy number, tandem repeats, and homopolymeric areas have been

described as a frequent source of genetic variability in HSV-1 [21]. These mechanisms

are critical components in the generation of variability within the large structural repeats

of the HSV genome, which in fact contain immediate-early expressed genes essential to

productive replication [8]. Nonetheless, genetic variability can be generated by different

mechanisms other than recombination and copy number/length fluctuations, where

genetic drift driven by polymerase error plays a critical role. Misincorporation of

nucleotides during genome replication leads to the appearance of single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions/deletions (InDels) both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

Previous studies proposed a very low mutation rate for HSV-1 polymerase (1×10^{-7} to 1

$\times 10^{-8}$ mutations per base per infectious cycle), despite being performed on a single gene

analysis located at a unique coding region [11, 22, 23]. However, that mutation rate does

not correlate with the rapid ability observed when selecting HSV variants under drug

pressures, either by selection of preexisting minor variants (MVs) in the population or by

de novo mutations [22, 24-27].

Herpesviruses were presumed to generate lower genetic diversity when replicating and

then evolve with a slower rate than RNA viruses, due to their relatively more stable DNA

genome and proofreading mechanisms [12, 28, 29]. However, over the last decade, the

idea that herpesviruses exist as heterogenic and highly diverse populations *in vivo* has

gained increasing supportive evidence, aided by worldwide accessibility and cost

reduction of high-throughput sequencing technologies [30-33]. Nonetheless, how this

increasingly evident generation of genetic variability is generated remains not well

characterized. Shipley et al. reported that the genetic diversity of HSV-1 is able to change

over multiple cycles of latency and reactivation in the genital context [34]. Clinical isolates

of HSV-2 from a neonatal population showed extensive intra-host diversity, displaying

different *in vitro* phenotypes [31]. A similar level of generation of genetic diversity may

happen *in vitro* as well, where the method of preparation/propagation of HSV stocks

plays a key role [35]. In this context, multiple rounds of amplification in cell culture

increase the heterogenicity of viral stocks by genetic drift, where plaque-isolation has

been classically used to reduce the impact of this evolutionary force. HSV has been

routinely propagated in African green monkey kidney (Vero) cells, which are interferon

incompetent [36]. This, together with the fact that these cells are not of human origin and

just represent only one cell type among all the ones that HSV faces when infecting

humans, very likely impact the generation of genetic diversity *in vitro*. On the other hand,

in vitro studies of viral evolution can bring an ideal scenario with more controlled and

reduced selective pressures, where gaining insights into the mechanisms governing the

generation of genetic variability can be easier. Kuny et al. [37] have recently reported

that a heterogeneous population of HSV-1 increased its genetic diversity and changed

its phenotype more dramatically than a plaque-purified population of the same strain,

after ten passages in Vero cells. Certain genetic MVs already present in the

heterogeneous population appeared to be positively selected, whereas the purified

population did not appear to increase its MVs repertoire. However, the number and

frequency of the *de novo* mutations that appeared over those ten sequential passages

in Vero cells were not assessed. As far as we know, similar studies reporting how HSV-

2 *in vitro* evolves in terms of generation of genetic diversity have not been reported yet.

Thus, how differentially fast this genetic diversity is *de novo* generated *in vitro*, and how

it impacts the potential coding diversity (and thus, evolution) between HSV-1 and HSV-

2, remains unclear.

Here we performed sequential passage of two plaque-purified populations of both HSV-

1 and HSV-2 to characterize the differential ability and speed of these closely related

viruses to *in vitro* evolve in two different cell lines. We first assessed the genetic diversity

present in our original viral stocks by high-throughput sequencing, isolating plaque-

purified clones, and sequencing them. After nonconservative variant analysis, two

purified clones were ultra-deep re-sequenced, characterized in cell culture, and tested

in animal models of infection; in order to ensure that the genetic bottleneck of the plaque-

purification procedure did not alter the viral infectiousness due to a deleterious variant

unintentionally selected [38-40]. After establishing a high confident baseline of the

genetic diversity present in each purified population at passage 0 (P0), each of them was

subjected to ten serial passages in Vero and HaCaT cells, and ultra-deep sequenced at

passage 5 (P5) and passage 10 (P10). We detected the frequency and distribution of

preexisting and *de novo* genetic MVs, examining their impact on the coding capacity of

both HSV serotypes. These results have helped us to better understand how each HSV

subtype differentially evolves, depending on the selective pressures behind a given

cellular environment, and brings new insights into the different generation of genetic

diversity of the closely related HSV-1 and HSV-2.

RESULTS

The viral phenotype of plaque-purified clones may dramatically change just in ten passages in cell culture

To better understand the generation of genetic diversity in HSV-1 and HSV-2, we first isolated five viral clones from both HSV-1 strain SC16 and HSV-2 strain 333 original stocks after five rounds of sequential plaque purification in Vero cells (Fig 1A). This was done in order to reduce the preexisting genetic variability present in the original viral populations, which was used as the baseline to assess the generation of genetic variability.

Both original stock and purified clones, for each serotype, were deep-sequenced (S1-S3 Figs, see S1 Table for genome sequence statistics). After variant analysis, two purified clones for each subtype were selected: clones 2 and 3 for HSV-1, and clones 1 and 5 for HSV-2. This selection was based on the lowest degree of nonconservative variability compared to their corresponding reference sequence, previously described as *de novo* assembled consensus genomes of the same original stocks used in this study [41, 42]. We compared the virus growth kinetics in cell culture, as well as the infectivity and pathogenesis of these selected purified clones to their parental populations in mouse models of infection, in order to ensure that the MVs detected in the purified populations did not affect its viral fitness significantly (S4 Fig and S1 Text). These selected purified clones were then ultra-deep re-sequenced (referred to as P0, Fig 1A), allowing us to accurately identify very low frequency MVs present in these purified populations (S5 Fig). That was a critical step to establish a high confidence baseline in order to be able to discriminate between preexisting or *de novo* generated MVs, due to the intrinsic variability generation rate in each HSV serotype replication cycle.

Each purified clone was used to infect a separate monolayer of Vero and HaCaT cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1 PFU/cell. Once cytopathic effect was observed, cells and supernatant were harvested. This cycle of infection is considered as a passage

in the generation of variability experiments. The viral stock from the first passages of

each selected purified clone was used to infect the next monolayer of Vero and HaCaT

cells at the same estimated MOI, being this process repeated for ten sequential

passages (Fig 1A, lower part). The viral population of each purified clone in each cell line

was ultra-deep sequenced after five and finally ten sequential passages (S6-S9 Figs).

An MOI of 0.1 PFU/cell was selected to allow for multiple rounds of replication in each

passage, favoring the generation of genetic variability in the viral population.

Kuny et al. [37] did not find any changes in plaque phenotype in an HSV-1 purified

population passaged ten times in Vero cells. However, we observed dramatic changes

in plaque morphology in the purified HSV-1 clone 3 after ten passages in Vero cells (Fig

1B). After ultra-deep sequencing and variant analysis, we found a nonsynonymous SNP

previously described as the cause of the syncytial plaque phenotype [43-45]. The

frequency of the R858H variant in the UL27 gene (encoding glycoprotein B, gB) was

inexistent at P0 and P5, reaching 46.52% at P10, just in 5 passages in Vero cells (S9

Table, variant #38). In addition, the purified HSV-2 clone 1 also exhibited the same

variant at P5 in Vero cells, with a frequency of 1.75% and being undetected at P0 (S11

Table, variant #64). In contrast with HSV-1 clone 3, the frequency of this variant did not

increase at P10 for HSV-2 clone 1, not acquiring the syncytial plaque phenotype. Neither

this variant nor other syncytia-inducing MVs in gB, such as L817P [37], were detected

when purified clones were passaged in HaCaT cells, for both HSV subtypes. These

results suggested that even low genetically diverse purified viral populations are able to

quickly change or evolve just in a few passages in cell culture, being more prone to

happen in some cell lines than in others.

The high genetic diversity found in HSV-1 and HSV-2 original stocks was

significantly reduced in five rounds of plaque isolation in Vero cells

Genetic diversity can be defined as nucleotide alleles or variants present in a given

percent of the sequencing reads, at a given locus in a sequenced viral population. With

enough deep sequencing coverage, these MVs can be confidently detected, revealing

the genetic diversity present in the viral population. HSV-1 and HSV-2 original stocks

had an average coverage depth of 1783 and 1320 reads/position, respectively (S1

Table). We identified SNPs and InDels that were present in greater than 1 percent of the

sequencing reads (1 percent cut-off as the threshold of detection, plus additional

coverage-dependent filters, see "Material and methods" for detailed criteria). Both viral

populations from original stocks had MVs at different sites and frequencies, being evenly

distributed across highly repetitive areas and coding regions into their reference genome

(S1 Fig). As previously described for other mixed populations of HSV [31, 37], both HSV-

1 and HSV-2 original stocks displayed a significant number of MVs (Fig 2A), when

sequencing reads were aligned to each corresponding reference genome (*de novo*

assembled consensus genome of a purified clone from each same parental stock [41,

42]). HSV-1 original stock registered a total of 712 MVs, whereas HSV-2 stock, 1044

(Fig 2A, see S3 and S4 Tables for full list). Detailed analysis after variant calling showed

that the higher fraction of MVs corresponded to nonsynonymous SNPs for both HSV-1

and HSV-2, accounting for 452 and 701, respectively (S2 Table). In terms of frequency,

the major fraction of total detected MVs showed to be between 1% - 10% for both HSV

serotypes (Fig 2B).

Because we found a high level of genetic variability in sequenced viral population from

each original stock, we plaque-purified five viral clones from each parental stock in order

to use low genetically diverse viral populations as a baseline to evaluate the generation

of *de novo* variability in both HSV subtypes. After sequential plaque-isolation of

independent viral clones from their parental stocks, sequencing data from these purified

viral populations revealed a significant reduction in the number of total detected MVs, for

both HSV-1 and HSV-2 (Fig 2C, see S3 and S4 Tables for full list). In fact, every purified

clone showed a significant reduction in the total number of MVs, proportionally reflected

in the number of nonsynonymous SNPs, for both serotypes. With the exception of HSV-

1 clone 4, as well as HSV-2 clone 3, every other purified clone reduced its genetic

variability by 10-fold or higher, when the total detected number of MVs was compared to

the number registered for their original stocks. The total number of MVs detected from

each clone was grouped by HSV subtype and compared between them, showing no

statistically significant differences (Fig 2D), despite the differences in average coverage

depth among them (S1 Table). Based on the lowest number of nonconservative changes

(i.e., nonsynonymous SNPs and InDels in coding regions) observed among the five

purified clones for each HSV subtype, as well as their frequencies in the viral populations,

two purified clones of each serotype were selected for further characterization and *in*

vitro evolution studies. HSV-1 clones 2 and 3 were selected and tracked by a differential

SNP in the UL14 CDS (#162, S3 Table), while HSV-2 clones 1 and 5, by a differential

SNP in the UL13 CDS (#180, S4 Table).

The selected purified clones were used to perform replication kinetics in Vero cells, as

well as to infect mice as described in S1 Text, in order to confirm whether the MVs

detected could cause a deleterious effect in terms of infectivity and pathogenesis,

compared to their parental stocks (S4 Fig). No significant differences were found in the

replication and infectivity of the purified clones (S4A Fig). Despite finding some variability

in terms of survival when compared to original stocks, all four selected clones were able

to successfully infect and cause disease in both mouse models of infection tested (S4B

Fig), understanding that none of the unintentionally selected MVs during the plaque-

purification genetic bottleneck caused a significant deleterious effect. Thus, plaque-

isolation proved to be a successful approach to decrease the genetic diversity in the

purified viral populations before studying the generation of genetic variability in cell

culture. These purified viral populations of more uniformed genetic diversity constituted

the key starting point to determine with high confidence the generation of genetic

variability, particularly in terms of very low frequency MVs.

Depth of sequencing is critical to establish a high confidence baseline in order to detect very low frequency genetic diversity

Depth of sequencing coverage is instrumental in detecting MVs with high reliance and accuracy. Because the number of sequencing reads correlates directly with the frequency of alleles in the viral population, a higher depth of coverage allows a better resolution of the genetic diversity present in a given viral population. Notably, when identifying *de novo* appeared MVs, a high depth of coverage is crucial to detect the genetic diversity represented with a very low frequency in the viral population (i.e., theoretically at least an average coverage of 200 reads/position, a hundred paired-end reads, would be required in order to be able to detect a 1 percent frequency variant, supported by two reads contained the same allele).

Nonetheless, having an average coverage depth of 200X from a sequenced viral stock containing 10^6 PFU, it would only represent 0.02 percent of the viral population. To surmount the fact that the sequencing of a minute fraction of the whole viral population might seriously bias our ability to detect a given variant, and therefore to determine its novelty, we ultra-deep re-sequenced the previously selected purified viral clones. The average coverage depth increased approx. 2 logs for each purified viral clone, from 10^2 (standard-deep sequencing, SDS) to 10^4 (ultra-deep sequencing, UDS) (Fig 3A, S1 Table). In this context, this depth of coverage would represent a theoretical 1 percent of the viral population (assuming 10^6 PFU), having increased a hundred times the actual genetic diversity sampled from each viral population. Based on this, we understood this level of coverage depth constituted a high confidence representation of the genetic diversity present in each viral population.

A more detailed look at the sequencing statistics of the ten purified clones aforementioned showed that among each serotype, HSV-1 clone 4 and HSV-2 clone 3 showed the highest depth of average coverage, as well as the highest number of total MVs (Fig 2C, S1 Table). This could reasonably lead to think that the lower number of detected MVs in the selected purified clones was due to their lower average coverage.

Nevertheless, we did not find a dramatic increment in the total number of MVs obtained

from SDS versus UDS for any of the four selected purified viral clones (Fig 3B, S2 Table).

The total number of MVs from SDS versus UDS were grouped and compared, showing

no statistically significant differences (Fig 3C left graph), while grouped preexisting MVs

did (Fig 3C center graph). The number of preexisting MVs (i.e., variants already detected

in the original parental stock) increased in HSV-1 purified clones, being this increment

higher in HSV-2 clones (Fig 3B). However, when *de novo* MVs were grouped, there was

no statistically significant difference between them (Fig 3C right graph), despite all but

HSV-1 clone 3 showing an increment in the total accounted *de novo* MVs (Fig 3B, S2

Table). When we grouped these *de novo* MVs based on their frequency, from SDS

versus UDS data, we observed a consistent increase in the number of very low frequency

MVs (1% to 2%), as well as a reduction in the number of low frequency MVs (>2% to

<10%), across every purified clone (Fig 3D, S2 Table). This reduction in the number of

de novo low frequency MVs was particularly pronounced in the case of HSV-1 clone 3,

explaining the observed decrease in the total number of *de novo* MVs foresaid. Because

of the higher depth of average coverage from UDS, we were able to detect a significantly

higher number of *de novo* MVs with a very low frequency in the viral populations (Fig

3E), but also to better discriminate the actual frequency of these MVs in the viral

population. These improvements of UDS may explain the reduction in the number of low

frequency MVs, switching to be detected with a lower frequency when the deep

sequencing coverage increases. The fact that the number of preexisting MVs, but not

the number of de novo MVs, increased dramatically between SDS vs. UDS indicated

that higher depth of coverage helps to gain accuracy and resolution characterizing the

existing genetic diversity. Since all four purified clones showed a comparable level of

genetic diversity, in terms of total and *de novo* MVs (ranging from 98 to 139, S2 Table),

we determined this as a suitable, high confidence starting point to study the generation

of genetic variability of both HSV subtypes in cell culture.

HSV-2 *in vitro* evolves dramatically faster than HSV-1 in both Vero and HaCaTs cells

Having characterized the genetic diversity present in each purified viral clone with high confidence, we next investigated how HSV-1 and HSV-2 differentially evolve in cell culture. We conducted ten sequential passages of each purified viral clone separately in Vero and HaCaT cells, ultra-deep sequencing each viral population after 5 and 10 passages in each cell line. We obtained a broad range of average coverage depth, ranging from 872 to 25892 reads/position (S1 Table). Nonhuman primate kidney-derived epithelial (Vero) cells are widely and routinely used for HSV propagation, whereas human keratinocyte (HaCaT) cells are closer to the natural physiology of HSV infection in the skin. HSV plaque formation, cell-to-cell spread, and cell migration were reported to be significantly different when compared HaCaT to Vero cell infections [46]. Based on that, we sought to examine the effects of the differential selective pressures present in each cultured cell line to the generation of genetic diversity of each HSV subtype.

After variant analysis, we analyzed the total number and types of MVs that were present in each viral population at P5 and P10, for every purified clone passaged in each cell type. Most of the detected MVs corresponded to mutations impacting coding regions, including SNPs and InDels (see S2 Table). Nonetheless, both HSV-1 and HSV-2 populations displayed a similar fraction of the total number of MVs impacting coding regions, around 56 percent (+/- 0.094% SD) on average (i.e., nonsynonymous SNPs plus InDels in coding regions, divided by the total number of detected MVs, see S2 Table). We detected a consistently higher total number of MVs among HSV-2 than in HSV-1 viral populations, as well as a higher and increasing number of *de novo* MVs after sequential passages, not previously detected in the purified viral populations at P0 (Fig 4A, S1-S4 Animations). Preexisting variability in HSV-1 populations remained constant over the ten passages in both cell types, but neither a relevant increase in the total number of MVs nor in *de novo* generated MVs were observed (Fig 4A left graph, see S2 Table for more details). However, despite remaining the preexisting number of MVs

constant in HSV-2 populations over sequential passages, we found a consistent

increment in the appearance of *de novo* generated MVs after five, and even greater,

after ten passages for every purified clone (Fig 4A right graph). Both HSV-2 purified

clones showed the most drastic increment in the number of *de novo* MVs after being

subjected to ten sequential passages in HaCaT cells, dramatically higher than that found

when sequentially passaged in Vero cells (Fig 4A right graph). These results showed

that HSV-2 generates *de novo* genetic diversity faster than HSV-1, where the selective

pressures present in each cell type used for viral propagation may differentially affect

how the genetic diversity is generated. In a more detailed analysis of these *de novo* MVs,

we classified them as substitutions or SNPs and InDels. We found that the *de novo* MVs

generated over sequential passage of both HSV-1 and HSV-2 purified populations were

predominately nonconservative changes (Figs 4B and 4C). That was particularly

remarkable in the case of HSV-2 populations, where the number of detected

nonsynonymous *de novo* SNPs and InDels impacting coding regions gradually and

consistently increased, over the ten passages in both cell types and for both purified

clones (Figs 4B and 4C, right graphs). These data suggest that the generation of *de novo*

genetic diversity in HSV promotes predominantly nonconservative changes.

For each *de novo* variant, we also examined its frequency in the population. They were

clustered, based on their frequency, in very low frequency MVs (1% to 2%), low

frequency MVs (>2% to <10%), medium frequency MVs (10% to <50%), and high

frequency variants (equal to or greater than 50%). We observed that the major fraction

of *de novo* generated variability corresponded to very low frequency MVs, consistently

displayed by almost every purified clone after ten passages in both cell lines (Fig 4D).

These very low frequency MVs barely increased in HSV-1 populations after sequential

passages, even slightly decreasing as displayed by HSV-1 clone 3, whereas HSV-2

clones showed a consistent and significant increment of those. As each HSV-2

population was passaged, the very low frequency MVs increased their proportion in the

population as the predominant group of MVs. Additionally, we also observed that there

was a gradual and systematic increment of low-medium frequency MVs (taken together)

across every viral population of both HSV serotypes (Fig 4D). In this regard, we found

that both HSV-2 purified clones remarkably showed the highest increment of low-

medium frequency MVs when passaged in HaCaT cells, where HSV-2 clone 5 increased

the proportion of these low frequency MVs over the total number of *de novo* variants,

from 30% (at P5) to 67% (at P10) (Fig 4D right graph, see S2 Table for details). These

data identify these very low frequency MVs as the main source of generation of genetic

variability in HSV, gradually increasing as a percentage of the viral population over

sequential passage, where each HSV subtype changes with a different speed in

response to the same pressures of a given environment.

De novo variants increase the potential coding diversity of HSV-2

After observing that the *de novo* genetic diversity detected after sequential passages in

culture was predominantly translated into nonconservative changes for both HSV

subtypes, we further examined the distribution of *de novo* MVs impacting coding regions.

In accordance with the total number of *de novo* MVs aforementioned (Fig 4A), HSV-1

viral populations displayed an anecdotic low number of MVs impacting just a few genes,

while nearly every HSV-2 gene harbored *de novo* MVs (Fig 5). Despite finding an even

distribution of *de novo* MVs across the coding genome, some HSV-2 genes were

identified as hotspots of novel coding variability (e.g., UL16, UL27, UL28, UL29, UL36).

It is also remarkable to observe how the sequential passage of both HSV-2 purified

clones in HaCaT cell impacted much more heavily the coding capacity of the HSV-2

genome, as shown in Fig 5, where yellow and orange colors (purified clones passaged

in HaCaT cells) dominate over blue tones (passages in Vero cells). Although RL1, RL2,

and RS1 genes are located into repetitive areas of the genome, which have been

demonstrated to be regions of high variability [38, 40], we also identified a higher number

of *de novo* MVs present in these genes after sequential passage of HSV-2 clones in

HaCaT cells, compared to Vero cells (Fig 5). As shown in Figs 4B and 4C, for both *de*

novo appeared SNPs and InDels, intragenic MVs outnumbered those in intergenic

regions, despite thinking that higher selective pressures would reduce the appearance

of unfavorable mutations in coding regions. These data revealed the impact of very low

(1%-2%) and low frequency (<10%) *de novo* MVs in expanding the coding genetic

variability in HSV-2, which might have differentially contributed to HSV-2 evolution

depending on specific niches.

Frequency increase of *de novo* variants may depend on positive selective

pressure in cell culture

Finally, we examined how the frequency of nonconservative *de novo* variants changed

over sequential passages of each purified viral population in both cell types. We found

that most of these nonconservative *de novo* MVs did not increase their frequency in the

population, seeming to reach a stationary equilibrium or just disappearing after ten

passages (S7-S14 Tables). We did not detect any *de novo* InDel in coding regions

gradually increasing its frequency in the passaged viral populations, likely reflecting the

stronger selective pressure against missense mutations in coding regions. However, a

few nonsynonymous *de novo* SNPs were found increasing their frequency significantly

in the viral populations, which suggested that those might be conferring a selective

advantage. The clearest example for this outcome is illustrated by the syncytia-forming

MVs in UL27, as previously described by others [37, 43-45], also observed in the purified

HSV-1 clone 3 passaged in Vero cells (Fig 1B). That variant in UL27 (R858H) was not

high-confidently detected neither at P0 nor at P5 in Vero cells, *de novo* appearing after

P5 and reaching almost a frequency of 50% in the viral population at P10 (Fig 6 left

graph, S9 Table). On the other hand, we also observed an interesting positive selection

example of a *de novo* variant not conferring a selective advantage in cell culture. We

found a nonsynonymous *de novo* variant in the UL13 gene with a frequency of 67%

within the HSV-2 clone 1 population after five passages in Vero cells, reaching 90% of

the population at P10 (Fig 6 right graph, S11 Table). It has been described that UL13

kinase activity is required for axonal transport *in vivo* [47], but it is dispensable *in vitro*

[48]. Missense mutations in the UL13 gene have been reported to increase in frequency

in different strains of HSV-1 [19, 21, 37, 38]. Thus, it is reasonable to think that the UL13

variant found in HSV-2 clone 1 was then not selected based on a selective advantage,

but because of the previously observed high tolerance of UL13 inactive kinases. Other

MVs, such as those impacting UL14 and UL24 in HSV-2 populations (Fig 6), also

reached almost 80-90% of the population at P10. Different variants impacting those

genes in HSV-1 have been described, increasing their frequency over sequential

passage in cell culture [37], which suggests that nonconservative MVs affecting those

genes might confer a selective advantage, or just be more tolerable when HSV replicates

in vitro.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we assessed the *de novo* evolution of HSV-1 and HSV-2 generated over sequential passage in two different cell types, using the neurovirulent viral strains SC16 and 333 as a model for each HSV subtype. We characterized for the first time the whole-genome generation of new genetic diversity during viral replication *in vitro*, after having set a high confidence baseline of the preexisting genetic diversity by ultra-deep sequencing of plaque-purified viral populations. This approach allowed us to identify very low frequency *de novo* mutations within genetically homogeneous viral populations, and then examine how viral populations of both HSV serotypes drifted under equal cell culture conditions, in two different cell types. We found that both HSV-1 and HSV-2 increased the number and frequency of *de novo* MVs after five and ten passages, being most of those low frequency nonconservative mutations impacting coding regions. Interestingly, we observed that purified HSV-2 populations were much more prone to generate genetic diversity during passaging than HSV-1, despite displaying a similar number of total preexisting MVs before sequential passages. While the genetic diversity of HSV-1 clonal populations remained similarly stable after being passaged in both Vero and HaCaT cells, HSV-2 purified clones evolved significantly faster when passaged in HaCaT than in Vero cells. HaCaT cells are human skin keratinocytes, an epithelial cell type closer to the natural physiology of HSV infection in the skin. HSV plaque formation, cell-to-cell spread, and cell migration were reported to be significantly different when compared HaCaT to Vero cell infections [46]. In addition, HSV-1 clinical isolates circulating in Finland replicated to lower titers and produced fewer extracellular viral particles in Vero than in HaCaT cells [30]. Since HSV propagation in Vero cells has been the traditional method for viral stock production and *in vitro* studies in virology labs, the evolutionary dynamic shift shown here by HSV-2 in each cell type highlights how critical it is to understand and characterize viral evolution and adaptation in specific cell type cultures. It is instrumental to determine how specific cell-type-associated selective

pressures affect our experimental understanding of viral evolution and population

dynamics *in vitro*.

From these *in vitro* studies, we monitored how genetic drift happened faster in HSV-2

than in HSV-1, emphasizing the differential contribution to generate genetic diversity

under equal *in vitro* controlled conditions. This lower genetic variability showed by HSV-

1 seems to be strain-independent, as recently reported for HSV-1 strain F [37]. Both

heterogeneous and purified populations of this HSV-1 strain were sequentially passaged

ten times in Vero cells. We quantified the number of *de novo* mutations that appeared

over five and ten sequential passages using their available data, finding 19 (P5) and 7

(P10) *de novo* MVs (≥2%) not listed at P0 for the heterogeneous population (Mixed as

referred in the original article), while 3 (P5) and 4 (P10) *de novo* MVs were detected in

the purified population. These numbers are similar to the number of *de novo* mutations

(≥2%) that we found for HSV-1 strain SC16 purified populations in both cell types. Before

the high-throughput sequencing era, it was also reported that the spontaneous mutation

rate in laboratory strains of HSV-2 (including the 333 strain) was 9- to 16-fold more

frequent than that in HSV-1 SC16, when selecting drug-resistant mutants in cell culture

[25]. These data correlate with our findings observed from the genome-wide analysis of

genetic diversity, where HSV-2 populations generated a 5-fold higher number of variants

than HSV-1 counterparts in Vero cells, but 10-fold higher when passaged in HaCaT cells.

On the other hand, different studies describing the genetic diversity found in clinical

isolates of both serotypes, by high-throughput sequencing technologies, also support

that HSV-2 is more prone to generate nonconservative genetic diversity than HSV-1 also

in vivo. Seven uncultured swab specimens of genital HSV-1 showed a total of 114

summed variants (≥2%) [34], while 10 HSV-1 clinical isolates from Finland exhibited less

than 150 grouped variants, mainly localized at repeated regions [30]. However, when ten

neonatal HSV-2 isolates were examined, a total of 1,821 variants was found, of which

784 were found across 71 genes [31]. The degree of coding diversity was also similar

when compared to a different set of 10 adult HSV-2 isolates. Additionally, it was also

reported that HSV-2 isolates generated drug-resistant mutants 30 times faster than HSV-

1 clinical isolates [25]. It seems reasonable to attribute the higher mutation frequency of

HSV-2 to a lower fidelity of its polymerase during viral replication. Nevertheless, HSV-1

recombinants expressing HSV-2 polymerase were reported to have similar error rates to

HSV-1 parental homologs, suggesting that the polymerase would not be solely

responsible for these serotype-specific differences in mutation frequency, and other viral

proteins and secondary structures of the genome might contribute to explain it [49].

These experiments emphasized the critical value and usefulness of using *de novo*

assembled consensus genomes generated from the actual stocks under study [41, 42],

as well as of using purified homogeneous viral populations from the exact parental

stocks. These previously described consensus genomes for strains SC16 and 333

represented with higher accuracy the structural and genetic heterogenicity contained into

each parental stock, rather than having used reference strain genomes commonly used

for comparative genomics [35]. The reduction of the initial genetic variability contained

into each original viral stock by plaque-picked isolation of subclones, contributed to set

a more uniform baseline to identify new generated MVs during viral replication. Some

genome-wide studies of HSV-1 revealed approximately 3-4% of nucleotide variation

genome-wide, being reduced up to 1-2% after plaque-purification of subclones [21, 40,

50]. We reduced this genome-wide nucleotide variation rate from 0.47% (712 MVs /

150,000 bp) and 0.7% (1044 MVs) to an average of 0.08% (118 MVs) and 0.1% (145

MVs), between five times plaque-purified clones and their parental stocks for HSV-1 and

HSV-2, respectively. Nonetheless, it has been reported that the extreme genetic

bottleneck induced by this isolation technique can cause severe attenuation of mortality

in mice, even the complete loss of *in vitro* replicative capacity [39]. Characterizing the

biological phenotype of these plaque-isolated subclones is essential to ensure that the

genetic bottleneck exerted by the experimental approach has not altered the virus

biology. The measurement of these phenotypes may include classical measures, such

as plaque morphology or replication kinetics, and *in vivo* measures of pathogenesis. This

connection between comparative genomic studies to the measurement of biological

phenotypes is critical to integrate previous phenotypic effects of over-expression,

deletion, and modifications of defined loci with the new insights from comparative

genomic studies.

From a technical perspective, this study highlights the importance of ultra-deep

sequencing for the identification of *de novo* genetic diversity, since greater coverage

depth is directly translated into higher confidence when identifying MVs. Only a few

complete rounds of viral replication occur in a single passage in cell culture, where *de*

novo MVs would be represented with a very low frequency within the replicating

population. If these new variants are not beneficial or are just tolerable in terms of viral

fitness, they might not increase their frequency enough in the population to be detectable

by standard-deep sequencing, which average coverage ranges between 100-1000X [30,

31, 33, 34, 37]. Those and other studies generally reported only MVs above 2%,

accepted as the minimum frequency threshold cut-off. If so, with a 100X coverage, a 2%

threshold for MVs calling would mean that only two sequencing reads were required to

detect the minor variant. In order to be able to lower this threshold to 1%, we increased

the coverage cut-off greater than 200X. On top of these, we implemented an additional

filter to allow the call of those MVs with high frequency but below the 200X coverage

threshold, proportionally increasing the coverage needed for positive filtering as lower

the frequency was. These comprehensive quality controls, together with the benefits

provided by an ultra-deep sequence coverage, are instrumental in detecting very low

MVs and minimizing the chance of calling false positives. The integration of comparative

genomic and reverse genetic approaches will improve our understanding of fundamental

aspects of HSV biology, where studying the phenotypic effect of *in vitro* and *in vivo*

generated variants can complement previous discoveries on gene roles, as well as

explaining or predicting clinical outcomes.

The human herpesviruses literature shows how researchers have used cell lines,

commonly Vero cells, to generate high titer stocks of both laboratory-adapted strains but

also to amplify scarce clinical samples to decipher the *in vitro* and *in vivo* aspects of HSV

biology. Most of the HSV comparative genomic studies have also used *in vitro*

amplification to generate a high yield of viral genomic DNA for the preparation of high-

quality sequencing libraries. Other authors pointed out that growing HSV in cell culture

is clearly different from how the virus would replicate within its human host, where

selective pressures and genetic bottlenecks must be substantially different between

these two replicative scenarios [37, 40, 51]. However, here for the first time, we have

identified and characterized how the genetic diversity is differentially generated between

human herpesviruses when serially passaged in cell culture. The effects that this

differentially generated genetic diversity may have had on each aspect of HSV biology,

as well as on the clinical outcomes of infections, is currently an active area of research

[52].

Alphaherpesviruses are no longer seen as a static and homogeneous population but as

such presenting *in vivo* heterogeneous diversity [34, 53]. HSV-1 and HSV-2 exhibit a

remarkably unequal global seroprevalence and preference for infecting different

anatomical areas, where each cellular environment may have exerted differential

selective pressures over viral replication, latency and reactivation. These unique

selective pressures, found at each epithelial and neuronal tissue of the oronasal and

genital areas, might have contributed to their evolutionary divergence and differential

genetic drift rate. A better understanding of how human herpesviruses mutate during

each phase of their life cycle will provide a better knowledge on sequence determinants

of virulence factors and will help to monitor resistance to anti-viral drugs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses

Vero (*Cercopithecus aethiops* kidney epithelial) cells (ATCC, CCL-81) and HaCaT

(human epithelial keratinocytes) cells (Section of Virology, Department of Infectious

Disease, Imperial College London [54]) were maintained at 37ºC with 5 percent CO_2.

Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with

5% (v/v) Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine, and antibiotics (75 µg/ml

penicillin, 75 U/ml streptomycin, and 25 µg/ml gentamycin). Cells were regularly tested

for mycoplasma contaminations by standard PCR with primers Myco_Fw

(GGCGAATGGCTGAGTAACACG) and Myco_Rv (CGGATAACGCTTGCGACCTAT).

HSV-1 strain SC16 and HSV-2 strain 333 original stocks were kindly provided by Dr.

Helena Browne, University of Cambridge (UK). The genome sequence of plaque purified

viral clones from original stocks are GenBank available under accession no. KX946970

for HSV-1 strain SC16 [42], and under accession no. LS480640 for HSV-2 strain 333

[41].

High-throughput sequencing

High-throughput sequencing was performed in a similar manner as previously described

[41, 42]. Briefly, viral DNA was prepared by infection of one confluent P150-cm^2 plate of

Vero cells (MOI = 5 PFU/cell). Cells and supernatant were collected when reaching 90-

100% of cytopathic effect. Viral nucleocapsids were extracted by mechanical disruption

of the cellular pellet and clarified by cellular debris after 10 min of centrifugation at 300 x

g. Viral particles were treated with DNAse I, RNAse A, and nuclease S7 to eliminate

remaining cellular DNA/RNA, and nuclease activity was then inactivated with EDTA-

EGTA. Nucleocapsids were then lysed using sodium dodecyl sulfate and Proteinase K,

and viral genomic DNA was purified using phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol. Potential

contaminating DNA was checked by PCR against mycoplasma, prokaryotic 16S rRNA

(primers 16S_Fw: CCTACGGGNBGCASCAG, and 16S_Rv:

GACTACNVGGGTATCTAATCC) and eukaryotic 18S rRNA (primers 18S_Fw:

GCCAGCAVCYGCGGTAAY, and 18S_Rv: CCGTCAATTHCTTYAART) [55, 56].

Finally, viral DNA was tested by PCR to determine HSV-type cross-contamination

(primers Up_US4(1)_Fw: AGCGCCGTTGACTACATTCAC, and Dw_US4(1)_Rv:

GCGCACCGGTGATTTATACCA, for HSV-1; Up_US4(2)_Fw:

TCTTGAGCGCCATCGACTACG, and Dw_US4(2)_Rv:

CCGCTCCATAGCTGCTGTACC, for HSV-2). An aliquot of viral genomic DNA (100 ng)

was submitted to MicrobesNG, University of Birmingham (UK), to prepare barcode

sequencing libraries, according to the NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep kit instructions

(New England Biolabs). Libraries were quantified by Qubit (Invitrogen, CA), assessed by

Bioanalyzer (Agilent), and library adapter qPCR (KAPA Biosystems). Sequencing was

performed on an Illumina MiSeq device as paired-end reads (2 x 250 bp), according to

the manufacturer's recommendations. Sequencing statistics for every sample used in

this study can be found in S1 Table.

Selection of viral clones from original stocks

Five plaque-purified viral clones from both HSV-1 (SC16) and HSV-2 (333) original

stocks were isolated by plaque isolation. Vero cell monolayers with 5 x 10^5 cells/well in

6-well plates were infected at an MOI of 0.01 PFU/cell. After 48 hours post-infection (hpi),

defined and single viral plaques were carefully isolated by fine pipetting 10 µl of media

containing the selected plaque. Then, 30 µl of fresh media were added to each isolated

plaque, followed by three rounds of freezing and thawing. An aliquot of 1 µl was three

times serially diluted to infect fresh Vero cells monolayer in 6-well plates. After five

subsequent rounds, one confluent P150-cm^2 plate of Vero cells was infected in order to

produce a viral stock for sequencing and subsequent infections.

***In vitro* generation of genetic variability experiments**

Two selected plaque-purified clones from HSV-1 and HSV-2 original stocks were used

to infect a P60-cm^2 plate of Vero and HaCaT cells separately at an MOI of 0.1 PFU/cell.

After 2 hpi, the viral inoculum was removed and fresh DMEM with 2% FBS was added.

Forty-eight hpi viruses were harvested by collecting both cells and supernatant, followed

by three freezing and thawing cycles. Each cycle of infection and harvest was considered

a passage. The harvested viruses were then used to infect the next fresh plate of Vero

or HaCaT cells (estimated MOI of 0.1 PFU/cell). Each selected clone was passaged ten

times in each cell line. Passages from 4th to 5th and 9th to 10th were made by infecting

one confluent P150-cm^2 plate of corresponding cells (adjusting the MOI), in order to

obtain higher yields of viral DNA for sequencing.

Genetic variant analysis and identification of *de novo* variants

Reads from each sequenced sample were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.36 [57],

quality-filtered with PrinSeq v1.2 [58], and aligned against the reference sequence for

each case, by using Bowtie 2 v2.3.4.1 [59], with default settings. Alignments were

visualized using Integrative Genomics Viewer v2.8.2 [60] to detect large gaps and

rearrangements. MVs present in each sequenced viral population were detected by

using VarScan v2.4.3 [61], with settings intended to minimize sequencing-induced errors

from the raw calling of MVs: minimum variant allele frequency ≥0.01 (1%); minimum

coverage ≥20, base call quality ≥20, exclusion of variants supported on one strand by

>90 percent. Detected MVs from VarScan calling were then annotated onto their

corresponding genome to determine their mutational effects. MVs were then additionally

filtered by coverage >200. An additional filter was implemented in order to detect those

MVs with high frequency but low coverage, where read depth at the given position had

to be greater than the product obtained from dividing 200 (coverage threshold) by the

variant frequency (0-100) at the given position:

$$read\ depth\ at\ the\ given\ position > \left(\frac{200\ (Coverage\ threshold)}{frequency\ (0-100)} \right)$$

MVs were considered as *de novo* appearance when, after coverage filtering, its

frequency in the previous parental viral population was inexistent or < 0.01. Coverage

plots for each alignment, as well as detected MVs in each viral population, were

represented across their corresponding genome, according to their location and

frequency (S1-S3 and S5-S9 Figs). For a summary list of detected, filtered, and

categorized MVs for every sample sequenced in this study, see S2 Table. For a full list

of MVs detected in each viral population, see S3 Table (HSV-1 original stock and isolated

clones), S4 Table (HSV-2 original stock and isolated clones), S5 Table (ultra-deep

sequencing of HSV-1 clones 2 and 3), S6 Table (ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-2 clones

2 and 3), S7 and S8 Tables (HSV-1 clone 2 in Vero and HaCaT cells, respectively), S9

and S10 Tables (HSV-1 clone 3 in Vero and HaCaT cells, respectively), S11 and S12

Tables (HSV-2 clone 1 in Vero and HaCaT cells, respectively), and S13 and S14 Tables

(HSV-2 clone 5 in Vero and HaCaT cells, respectively).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8 (v8.4.3) software. Two-

tailed Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used with the number of MVs analyses ($p < 0.05$).

Data availability

Consensus genomes of a plaque purified viral clone from each original stock were

retrieved from GenBank as follows: KX946970 for HSV-1 strain SC16; LS480640 for

HSV-2 strain 333. Raw sequence reads are available at the European Bioinformatics

Institute (EMBL-EBI) European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) as Bioproject ID PRJEB32133

and PRJEB32148.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Anthony Minson and Helena Brown (University of Cambridge, UK) for kindly providing the HSV-1 strain SC16 and HSV-2 strain 333 viral stocks, respectively. We are grateful to the Genomics and Next Generation Sequencing Service at Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa for their support and advice. Genome sequencing was provided by MicrobesNG (http://www.microbesng.uk), which is supported by the BBSRC (grant no. BB/L024209/1).

REFERENCES

1.   James C, Harfouche M, Welton NJ, Turner KM, Abu-Raddad LJ, Gottlieb SL, et al. Herpes simplex virus: global infection prevalence and incidence estimates, 2016. Bull World Health Organ. 2020;98(5):315-29. doi: 10.2471/BLT.19.237149. PubMed PMID: 32514197.

2.   Forward KR, Lee SH. Predominance of herpes simplex virus type 1 from patients with genital herpes in Nova Scotia. Can J Infect Dis. 2003;14(2):94-6. doi: 10.1155/2003/168673. PubMed PMID: 18159431.

3.   Wald A, Ericsson M, Krantz E, Selke S, Corey L. Oral shedding of herpes simplex virus type 2. Sex Transm Infect. 2004;80(4):272-6. doi: 10.1136/sti.2003.007823. PubMed PMID: 15295123.

4.   Gupta R, Warren T, Wald A. Genital herpes. Lancet. 2007;370(9605):2127-37. Epub 2007/12/25. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61908-4. PubMed PMID: 18156035.

5.   Ayoub HH, Chemaitelly H, Abu-Raddad LJ. Characterizing the transitioning epidemiology of herpes simplex virus type 1 in the USA: model-based predictions. BMC Med. 2019;17(1):57. doi: 10.1186/s12916-019-1285-x. PubMed PMID: 30853029.

6.   Knipe DM, Cliffe A. Chromatin control of herpes simplex virus lytic and latent infection. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2008;6(3):211-21. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1794. PubMed PMID: 18264117.

7.   Kawaguchi Y, Mori Y, Kimura H. Human Herpesviruses: Springer; 2018.

8.   Roizman B, D.M. Knipe, and R. Whitley. Herpes Simplex Viruses. Fields Virology. 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2013. p. 1823-97.

9.   Kennedy PG, Rovnak J, Badani H, Cohrs RJ. A comparison of herpes simplex virus type 1 and varicella-zoster virus latency and reactivation. J Gen Virol. 2015;96(Pt 7):1581-602. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.000128. PubMed PMID: 25794504.

10.   Lieberman PM. Epigenetics and Genetics of Viral Latency. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;19(5):619-28. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2016.04.008. PubMed PMID: 27173930.

11.   Drake JW, Hwang CB. On the mutation rate of herpes simplex virus type 1.

Genetics. 2005;170(2):969-70. doi: 10.1534/genetics.104.040410. PubMed PMID:

15802515.

12.   Sanjuán R, Nebot MR, Chirico N, Mansky LM, Belshaw R. Viral mutation rates. J

Virol. 2010;84(19):9733-48. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00694-10. PubMed PMID: 20660197.

13.   McCrone JT, Lauring AS. Genetic bottlenecks in intraspecies virus transmission.

Curr Opin Virol. 2018;28:20-5. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2017.10.008. PubMed PMID:

29107838.

14.   Mahiet C, Ergani A, Huot N, Alende N, Azough A, Salvaire F, et al. Structural

variability of the herpes simplex virus 1 genome in vitro and in vivo. J Virol.

2012;86(16):8592-601. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00223-12. PubMed PMID: 22674981.

15.   Chou J, Roizman B. Isomerization of herpes simplex virus 1 genome:

identification of the cis-acting and recombination sites within the domain of the a

sequence. Cell. 1985;41(3):803-11. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(85)80061-1. PubMed

PMID: 2988789.

16.   Huang KJ, Zemelman BV, Lehman IR. Endonuclease G, a candidate human

enzyme for the initiation of genomic inversion in herpes simplex type 1 virus. J Biol

Chem. 2002;277(23):21071-9. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M201785200. PubMed PMID:

11912214.

17.   Muylaert I, Tang KW, Elias P. Replication and recombination of herpes simplex

virus DNA. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(18):15619-24. doi: 10.1074/jbc.R111.233981.

PubMed PMID: 21362621.

18.   Norberg P, Kasubi MJ, Haarr L, Bergstrom T, Liljeqvist JA. Divergence and

recombination of clinical herpes simplex virus type 2 isolates. J Virol.

2007;81(23):13158-67. doi: 10.1128/jvi.01310-07. PubMed PMID: 17881457.

19.   Lee K, Kolb AW, Sverchkov Y, Cuellar JA, Craven M, Brandt CR. Recombination

Analysis of Herpes Simplex Virus 1 Reveals a Bias toward GC Content and the Inverted

Repeat Regions. J Virol. 2015;89(14):7214-23. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00880-15. PubMed

PMID: 25926637.

20.   Koelle DM, Norberg P, Fitzgibbon MP, Russell RM, Greninger AL, Huang ML, et

al. Worldwide circulation of HSV-2 x HSV-1 recombinant strains. Sci Rep. 2017;7:44084.

doi: 10.1038/srep44084. PubMed PMID: 28287142.

21.   Szpara ML, Gatherer D, Ochoa A, Greenbaum B, Dolan A, Bowden RJ, et al.

Evolution and diversity in human herpes simplex virus genomes. J Virol.

2014;88(2):1209-27. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01987-13. PubMed PMID: 24227835.

22.   Hall JD, Almy RE. Evidence for control of herpes simplex virus mutagenesis by

the viral DNA polymerase. Virology. 1982;116(2):535-43. doi: 10.1016/0042-

6822(82)90146-5. PubMed PMID: 6278726.

23.   Brown J. Effect of gene location on the evolutionary rate of amino acid

substitutions in herpes simplex virus proteins. Virology. 2004;330(1):209-20. doi:

10.1016/j.virol.2004.09.020. PubMed PMID: 15527847.

24.   Parris DS, Harrington JE. Herpes simplex virus variants restraint to high

concentrations of acyclovir exist in clinical isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.

1982;22(1):71-7. doi: 10.1128/aac.22.1.71. PubMed PMID: 6289742.

25.   Sarisky RT, Nguyen TT, Duffy KE, Wittrock RJ, Leary JJ. Difference in incidence

of spontaneous mutations between herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2. Antimicrob

Agents Chemother. 2000;44(6):1524-9. doi: 10.1128/aac.44.6.1524-1529.2000.

PubMed PMID: 10817703.

26.   Burrel S, Deback C, Agut H, Boutolleau D. Genotypic characterization of UL23

thymidine kinase and UL30 DNA polymerase of clinical isolates of herpes simplex virus:

natural polymorphism and mutations associated with resistance to antivirals. Antimicrob

Agents Chemother. 2010;54(11):4833-42. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00669-10. PubMed PMID:

20733037.

27.   Sauerbrei A, Deinhardt S, Zell R, Wutzler P. Phenotypic and genotypic

characterization of acyclovir-resistant clinical isolates of herpes simplex virus. Antiviral

Res. 2010;86(3):246-52. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2010.03.002. PubMed PMID: 20211650.

28.   Renzette N, Bhattacharjee B, Jensen JD, Gibson L, Kowalik TF. Extensive

genome-wide variability of human cytomegalovirus in congenitally infected infants. PLoS

Pathog.   2011;7(5):e1001344.   doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001344.   PubMed   PMID:

21625576.

29.   Sanjuán R, Domingo-Calap P. Mechanisms of viral mutation. Cell Mol Life Sci.

2016;73(23):4433-48. doi: 10.1007/s00018-016-2299-6. PubMed PMID: 27392606.

30.   Bowen CD, Paavilainen H, Renner DW, Palomaki J, Lehtinen J, Vuorinen T, et

al. Comparison of Herpes Simplex Virus 1 Strains Circulating in Finland Demonstrates

the Uncoupling of Whole-Genome Relatedness and Phenotypic Outcomes of Viral

Infection. J Virol. 2019;93(8). doi: 10.1128/JVI.01824-18. PubMed PMID: 30760568.

31.   Akhtar LN, Bowen CD, Renner DW, Pandey U, Della Fera AN, Kimberlin DW, et

al. Genotypic and Phenotypic Diversity of Herpes Simplex Virus 2 within the Infected

Neonatal Population. mSphere. 2019;4(1). doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00590-18. PubMed

PMID: 30814317.

32.   Depledge DP, Gray ER, Kundu S, Cooray S, Poulsen A, Aaby P, et al. Evolution

of cocirculating varicella-zoster virus genotypes during a chickenpox outbreak in Guinea-

Bissau. J Virol. 2014;88(24):13936-46. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02337-14. PubMed PMID:

25275123.

33.   Hage E, Wilkie GS, Linnenweber-Held S, Dhingra A, Suarez NM, Schmidt JJ, et

al. Characterization of Human Cytomegalovirus Genome Diversity in

Immunocompromised Hosts by Whole-Genome Sequencing Directly From Clinical

Specimens. J Infect Dis. 2017;215(11):1673-83. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jix157. PubMed

PMID: 28368496.

34.   Shipley MM, Renner DW, Ott M, Bloom DC, Koelle DM, Johnston C, et al.

Genome-Wide Surveillance of Genital Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1 From Multiple

Anatomic Sites Over Time. J Infect Dis. 2018;218(4):595-605. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiy216.

PubMed PMID: 29920588.

35. Renner DW, Szpara ML. Impacts of Genome-Wide Analyses on Our

Understanding of Human Herpesvirus Diversity and Evolution. J Virol. 2018;92(1). doi:

10.1128/jvi.00908-17. PubMed PMID: 29046445.

36. Mosca JD, Pitha PM. Transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of

exogenous human beta interferon gene in simian cells defective in interferon synthesis.

Mol Cell Biol. 1986;6(6):2279-83. doi: 10.1128/mcb.6.6.2279. PubMed PMID: 3785197.

37. Kuny CV, Bowen CD, Renner DW, Johnston CM, Szpara ML. In vitro evolution

of herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) reveals selection for syncytia and other minor variants

in cell culture. Virus Evol. 2020;6(1):veaa013. doi: 10.1093/ve/veaa013. PubMed PMID:

32296542.

38. Szpara ML, Parsons L, Enquist LW. Sequence variability in clinical and laboratory

isolates of herpes simplex virus 1 reveals new mutations. J Virol. 2010;84(10):5303-13.

doi: 10.1128/jvi.00312-10. PubMed PMID: 20219902.

39. Jaramillo N, Domingo E, Munoz-Egea MC, Tabares E, Gadea I. Evidence of

Muller's ratchet in herpes simplex virus type 1. J Gen Virol. 2013;94(Pt 2):366-75. doi:

10.1099/vir.0.044685-0. PubMed PMID: 23100362.

40. Parsons LR, Tafuri YR, Shreve JT, Bowen CD, Shipley MM, Enquist LW, et al.

Rapid genome assembly and comparison decode intrastrain variation in human

alphaherpesviruses. MBio. 2015;6(2). doi: 10.1128/mBio.02213-14. PubMed PMID:

25827418.

41. Lopez-Munoz AD, Rastrojo A, Alcami A. Complete Genome Sequence of Herpes

Simplex Virus 2 Strain 333. Microbiol Resour Announc. 2018;7(9). doi:

10.1128/MRA.00870-18. PubMed PMID: 30553931.

42. Rastrojo A, Lopez-Munoz AD, Alcami A. Genome Sequence of Herpes Simplex

Virus 1 Strain SC16. Genome Announc. 2017;5(4). doi: 10.1128/genomeA.01392-16.

PubMed PMID: 28126930.

43.   Bzik DJ, Fox BA, DeLuca NA, Person S. Nucleotide sequence of a region of the

herpes simplex virus type 1 gB glycoprotein gene: mutations affecting rate of virus entry

and cell fusion. Virology. 1984;137(1):185-90. doi: 10.1016/0042-6822(84)90022-9.

PubMed PMID: 6089415.

44.   Engel JP, Boyer EP, Goodman JL. Two novel single amino acid syncytial

mutations in the carboxy terminus of glycoprotein B of herpes simplex virus type 1 confer

a unique pathogenic phenotype. Virology. 1993;192(1):112-20. doi:

10.1006/viro.1993.1013. PubMed PMID: 8390747.

45.   Diakidi-Kosta A, Michailidou G, Kontogounis G, Sivropoulou A, Arsenakis M. A

single amino acid substitution in the cytoplasmic tail of the glycoprotein B of herpes

simplex virus 1 affects both syncytium formation and binding to intracellular heparan

sulfate. Virus Res. 2003;93(1):99-108. doi: 10.1016/s0168-1702(03)00070-4. PubMed

PMID: 12727347.

46.   Abaitua F, Zia FR, Hollinshead M, O'Hare P. Polarized cell migration during cell-

to-cell transmission of herpes simplex virus in human skin keratinocytes. J Virol.

2013;87(14):7921-32. doi: 10.1128/jvi.01172-13. PubMed PMID: 23658449.

47.   Coller KE, Smith GA. Two viral kinases are required for sustained long distance

axon transport of a neuroinvasive herpesvirus. Traffic. 2008;9(9):1458-70. doi:

10.1111/j.1600-0854.2008.00782.x. PubMed PMID: 18564370.

48.   Gershburg S, Geltz J, Peterson KE, Halford WP, Gershburg E. The UL13 and

US3 Protein Kinases of Herpes Simplex Virus 1 Cooperate to Promote the Assembly

and Release of Mature, Infectious Virions. PLoS One. 2015;10(6):e0131420. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0131420. PubMed PMID: 26115119.

49.   Duffy KE, Quail MR, Nguyen TT, Wittrock RJ, Bartus JO, Halsey WM, et al.

Assessing the contribution of the herpes simplex virus DNA polymerase to spontaneous

mutations. BMC Infect Dis. 2002;2:7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-2-7. PubMed PMID:

12019036.

50. Bowen CD, Renner DW, Shreve JT, Tafuri Y, Payne KM, Dix RD, et al. Viral

forensic genomics reveals the relatedness of classic herpes simplex virus strains KOS,

KOS63, and KOS79. Virology. 2016;492:179-86. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2016.02.013.

PubMed PMID: 26950505.

51. Wilkinson GW, Davison AJ, Tomasec P, Fielding CA, Aicheler R, Murrell I, et al.

Human cytomegalovirus: taking the strain. Medical microbiology and immunology.

2015;204(3):273-84. doi: 10.1007/s00430-015-0411-4. PubMed PMID: 25894764.

52. Kuny CV, Szpara ML. Alphaherpesvirus Genomics: Past, Present and Future.

Curr Issues Mol Biol. 2020;42:41-80. doi: 10.21775/cimb.042.041. PubMed PMID:

33159012.

53. Minaya MA, Jensen TL, Goll JB, Korom M, Datla SH, Belshe RB, et al. Molecular

Evolution of Herpes Simplex Virus 2 Complete Genomes: Comparison between Primary

and Recurrent Infections. J Virol. 2017;91(23). doi: 10.1128/jvi.00942-17. PubMed

PMID: 28931680.

54. Boukamp P, Petrussevska RT, Breitkreutz D, Hornung J, Markham A, Fusenig

NE. Normal keratinization in a spontaneously immortalized aneuploid human

keratinocyte cell line. J Cell Biol. 1988;106(3):761-71. PubMed PMID: 2450098.

55. Hugerth LW, Muller EE, Hu YO, Lebrun LA, Roume H, Lundin D, et al. Systematic

design of 18S rRNA gene primers for determining eukaryotic diversity in microbial

consortia. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e95567. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095567. PubMed

PMID: 24755918.

56. Takahashi S, Tomita J, Nishioka K, Hisada T, Nishijima M. Development of a

prokaryotic universal primer for simultaneous analysis of Bacteria and Archaea using

next-generation sequencing. PLoS One. 2014;9(8):e105592. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0105592. PubMed PMID: 25144201.

57. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114-20. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170. PubMed PMID: 24695404.

58.   Schmieder R, Edwards R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic

datasets. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(6):863-4. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026. PubMed

PMID: 21278185.

59.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat

Methods. 2012;9(4):357-9. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923. PubMed PMID: 22388286.

60.   Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et

al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29(1):24-6. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1754.

PubMed PMID: 21221095.

61.   Koboldt DC, Zhang Q, Larson DE, Shen D, McLellan MD, Lin L, et al. VarScan

2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer by exome

sequencing. Genome Res. 2012;22(3):568-76. doi: 10.1101/gr.129684.111. PubMed

PMID: 22300766.

1000

 FIGURE CAPTIONS

 Fig 1. Experimental design of the *in vitro* generation of genetic variability studies

 for HSV-1 and HSV-2 subtypes and detected changes in plaque phenotype. (A)

 Five viral clones from each original stock were five times plaque-purified in Vero cells

 and then deep sequenced. Two clones were re-sequenced at ultrahigh depth, whose

 replication, infectivity, and pathogenesis were compared to their corresponding

 parental stocks in cell culture and animal models of infection (S4 Fig). Those two

 clones were used to infect Vero and HaCaT cells at an MOI of 0.1 PFU/cell. After 48

 hpi, viral progenies were harvested, referring to this infection cycle as a passage. Viral

 populations from each plaque-purified clone were ultra-deep sequenced after five and

 ten passages in each cell line. (B) Four representative pictures are showing the plaque

 morphology phenotype of HSV-1 clone 3 in Vero cells (48 hpi), before (passage 0, P0),

 and after ten passages (P10) in Vero cells. This syncytial plaque phenotype is due to

 the previously well-described syncytia-inducing mutation in UL27 CDS (see S9 Table,

 variant #38: R858H) [37]. Tiled images (4 x 4) were taken using a Leica DM IL LED

 inverted microscope equipped with a Leica DFC3000-G digital camera. Scale bars

 indicate 100 µm.

 Fig 2. Genetic diversity in viral populations from original stocks and five times

 plaque-isolated HSV-1 and HSV-2 clones. (A) Total number of MVs observed in each

 original stock, at a frequency equals or above a 1% limit of detection (see Materials

 and Methods for details). The total number of MVs (*y*-axis) is separated into variant

 type and genomic location (*x*-axis). Variant type distinguishes between SNPs and

 InDels, discriminating nonsynonymous SNPs. The genomic location of each variant is

 categorized as non-coding or coding region. (B) Histograms show the number of MVs

 in each frequency range for each original stock. The frequency of each variant was

 examined and grouped in shown ranges (e.g., 10% to <20% frequency, 20% to <30%

frequency and so on). SNPs and InDels were combined for this analysis. (C) Total

number of MVs observed in each HSV-1 (left, blue bars) and HSV-2 (right, red bars)

clones after five rounds of plaque-isolation, categorized by nonconservative changes

(SNPs and InDels). See S3 and S4 Tables for a full list of MVs position and frequency

data. (D) Total number of variants detected in each clone are grouped by subtype and

graphed showing mean +/- SEM (ns = not significant $p > 0.05$ by two-tailed Mann–

Whitney U-test).

1036

Fig 3. Comparative variant analysis from standard- and ultra-deep sequencing

data of two plaque-isolated HSV-1 and HSV-2 clones. (A) Histograms show the

average depth of coverage per genomic position of reads alignments from standard-

deep sequencing (SDS, white bars) and ultra-deep sequencing (UDS, black bars) for

each viral clone. (B) Histograms bar plot total number of MVs observed after variant

analysis of SDS and UDS data in each viral clone, discriminating between preexisting

MVs found in the corresponding original stock (white) and *de novo* appearance (red).

(C) The number of total, preexisting, and *de novo* MVs detected in each clone from

SDS, and UDS data are grouped and graphed (blue shapes for HSV-1, red for HSV-2

clones), showing mean +/- SEM (ns $p > 0.05$, * $p = 0.029$ by two-tailed Mann–Whitney

U-test). (D) Number of *de novo* MVs observed after variant analysis of SDS and UDS

data in each viral clone, stacked by frequency ranges. SNPs and InDels were

combined for this analysis. (E) *de novo* MVs with a frequency between 1% to 2%

detected in each clone from SDS, and UDS data are grouped and graphed (blue

shapes for HSV-1, red for HSV-2 clones), showing mean +/- SEM (* $p = 0.029$ by two-

tailed Mann–Whitney U-test). See S5 and S6 Tables for a full list of MVs position and

frequency data.

1054

Fig 4. Comparison of *de novo* generation of total and nonconservative genetic

diversity between HSV-1 and HSV-2 purified clones, after five (P5) and ten (P10)

passages in Vero and HaCaT cells. (A) Total number of MVs are plotted according to

variant analysis data for each clone, passage, and cell line, differentiating between

preexisting MVs observed in the corresponding passage zero (P0) in white, and *de*

novo generated MVs in red. (B) Number of *de novo* SNPs detected in each viral

population, categorized by nonsynonymous (black) and synonymous/non-coding

changes (white). (C) Number of de novo InDels detected among each viral population

are stacked by their location impacting coding regions (black) or non-coding regions

(white). (D) *De novo* MVs are stacked by frequency ranges. SNPs and InDels were

combined for this analysis. See S7-S14 Tables for a full list of MVs position and

frequency data.

1067

Fig 5. Stacked histograms show the number of *de novo* genic MVs (*x*-axis)

located in each HSV-1 (left) and HSV-2 (right) coding sequence (gene; *y*-axis),

after five (P5) and ten (P10) passages in Vero and HaCaT cells. Only coding

sequences registering at least one variant are included in the histogram. MVs found in

both copies of each RL1, RL2, and RS1 coding sequences are listed together. SNPs

and InDels were combined for this analysis. See S7-S14 Tables for a full list of MVs

position and frequency data.

1075

Fig 6. Dynamics of nonconservative *de novo* variants in each HSV-1 (left) and

HSV-2 purified populations (right), whose frequency increased over sequential

passages in cell culture. Nonsynonymous *de novo* SNPs were plotted by their

frequency in the sequenced viral population after five (P5) and ten (P10) passages in

Vero and HaCaT cells. SNPs, their encoded proteins (bold), as well as the change that

they would cause in the translated protein (italic), are listed in the legend according to

their frequency at P5 and P10.

1083

1084

SUPPORTING INFORMATION CAPTIONS

S1 Fig. Schematic of the HSV-1 strain SC16 (A) and HSV-2 strain 333 (B) sequenced genomes from original stocks. Each CDS is presented in forward (red) or reverse (blue) orientation. Detected MVs are mapped as black (not *de novo*) or red (*de novo*) dots across the genome, according to their location (*x*-axis) and frequency (*y*-axis). GC% plots (purple lines) and coverage plots from data alignments (blue/orange profiles) have also been mapped across each genome.

S2 Fig. Variant analysis of HSV-1 plaque-purified clones. Coverage plots from data alignments are represented in blue, for each individual case. Detected MVs are mapped as black (not *de novo*) or red (*de novo*) dots across the genome, according to their location (*x*-axis) and frequency (*y*-axis). MVs were considered as *de novo* when these were not previously found in the original stock (see Materials and Methods for details).

S3 Fig. Variant analysis of HSV-2 plaque-purified clones. Coverage plots from data alignments are represented in orange, for each individual case. Detected MVs are mapped as black (not *de novo*) or red (*de novo*) dots across the genome, according to their location (*x*-axis) and frequency (*y*-axis). MVs were considered as *de novo* when these were not previously found in the original stock.

S4 Fig. Replication kinetics and pathogenesis of HSV-1 and HSV-2 plaque-isolated clones compared to their corresponding original stocks. (A) Vero cells were infected with the indicated viruses at high MOI (5 PFU/cell) for one-step growth curves, and at low MOI (0.01 PFU/cell) for multi-step growth curves. Virus titers from fractions containing cell-associated virus were determined by plaque assay at 24 hpi in the one-step curves, and at the indicated times in the multi-step curves. (B) Female

BALB/c mice (n=5) were infected with the indicated virus and dose, by intranasal (i.n.)

or intravaginal (i.v.) inoculations. Mice were monitored daily for survival, body weight,

and signs of illness. Weight data are expressed as the mean +/- SEM of the five animal

weights compared to their original weight on the day of inoculation. Signs of illness, as

a score ranged from 1 to 4, is also expressed as the mean +/- SEM of the five animals.

A colored "1" indicates thereafter only one animal remained in that group. Statistical

analysis was performed for bodyweight data, using multiple *t*-tests with Sidak-

Bonferroni correction ($p < 0.05$).

1121

S5 Fig. Variant analysis of HSV-1 plaque-purified clones 2 and 3 (A) and HSV-2

clones 1 and 5 (B) from high-depth sequencing data. Coverage plots from

alignments are represented in blue or orange, for each case. Detected MVs are

mapped as black (not *de novo*) or red (*de novo*) dots across the genome, according to

their location (*x*-axis) and frequency (*y*-axis). MVs were considered as *de novo* when

these were not previously found in the corresponding original stock.

1128

S6 Fig. Variant analysis of HSV-1 plaque-purified clone 2, after 5 and 10

passages in Vero and HaCaT cells. Coverage plots from high-depth sequencing data

alignments are represented in blue. Detected MVs are mapped as black (not *de novo*)

or red (*de novo*) dots across the genome, according to their location (*x*-axis) and

frequency (*y*-axis). Mutations from passage 0 were considered as *de novo* when these

were not previously found in the original stock, whereas those from passage 5 and 10,

regarding passage 0.

1136

S7 Fig. Variant analysis of HSV-1 plaque-purified clone 3, after 5 and 10

passages in Vero and HaCaT cells. Coverage plots from high-depth sequencing data

alignments are represented in blue. Detected MVs are mapped as black (not *de novo*)

or red (*de novo*) dots across the genome, according to their location (*x*-axis) and

frequency (*y*-axis). Mutations from passage 0 were considered as *de novo* when these

were not previously found in the original stock, whereas those from passage 5 and 10,

regarding passage 0.

1144

S8 Fig. Variant analysis of HSV-2 plaque-purified clone 1, after 5 and 10

passages in Vero and HaCaT cells. Coverage plots from high-depth sequencing data

alignments are represented in orange. Detected MVs are mapped as black (not *de*

novo) or red (*de novo*) dots across the genome, according to their location (*x*-axis) and

frequency (*y*-axis). Mutations from passage 0 were considered as *de novo* when these

were not previously found in the original stock, whereas those from passage 5 and 10,

regarding passage 0.

1152

S9 Fig. Variant analysis of HSV-2 plaque-purified clone 5, after 5 and 10

passages in Vero and HaCaT cells. Coverage plots from high-depth sequencing data

alignments are represented in orange. Detected MVs are mapped as black (not *de*

novo) or red (*de novo*) dots across the genome, according to their location (*x*-axis) and

frequency (*y*-axis). Mutations from passage 0 were considered as *de novo* when these

were not previously found in the original stock, whereas those from passage 5 and 10,

regarding passage 0.

1160

1161   **S1 Animation. Variant analysis of HSV-1 plaque-purified clone 2, after 5 and 10**

1162   **passages in Vero and HaCaT cells.** See S5 Fig for additional details.

1163

1164   **S2 Animation. Variant analysis of HSV-1 plaque-purified clone 3, after 5 and 10**

1165   **passages in Vero and HaCaT cells.** See S6 Fig for additional details.

1166

1167   **S3 Animation. Variant analysis of HSV-2 plaque-purified clone 1, after 5 and 10**

1168   **passages in Vero and HaCaT cells.** See S7 Fig for additional details.

1169

1170 **S4 Animation. Variant analysis of HSV-2 plaque-purified clone 5, after 5 and 10**

1171 **passages in Vero and HaCaT cells.** See S8 Fig for additional details.

1172

1173 **S1 Table. Genome sequencing statistics for each sample sequenced in this**

1174 **study.**

1175 Legend: SRA (Sequence Read Archive), QF (quality-filtered).

1176

1177 **S2 Table. Categorized number of MVs for each sample sequenced in this study.**

1178 Legend: NCR (non-coding region), CR (coding region).

1179

1180 **S3 Table. List of detected MVs from deep sequencing of HSV-1 original stock and**

1181 **plaque-purified clones 1-5.**

1182 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1183 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1184 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1185

1186 **S4 Table. List of detected MVs from deep sequencing of HSV-2 original stock and**

1187 **plaque-purified clones 1-5.**

1188 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1189 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1190 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1191

1192 **S5 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-1 plaque-**

1193 **purified clones 2 and 3.**

1194 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1195 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1196 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1197

1198 **S6 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-2 plaque-**

1199 **purified clones 1 and 5.**

1200 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1201 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1202 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1203

1204 **S7 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-1 clone 2 at**

1205 **P0, P5, and P10 in Vero cells.**

1206 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1207 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1208 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1209

1210 **S8 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-1 clone 2 at**

1211 **P0 in Vero cells, and P5 and P10 in HaCaT cells.**

1212 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1213 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1214 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1215

1216 **S9 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-1 clone 3 at**

1217 **P0, P5, and P10 in Vero cells.**

1218 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1219 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1220 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1221

1222 **S10 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-1 clone 3 at**

1223 **P0 in Vero cells, and P5 and P10 in HaCaT cells.**

1224 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1225 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1226 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1227

1228 **S11 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-2 clone 1 at**

1229 **P0, P5, and P10 in Vero cells.**

1230 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1231 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1232 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1233

1234 **S12 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-2 clone 1 at**

1235 **P0 in Vero cells, and P5 and P10 in HaCaT cells.**

1236 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1237 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1238 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1239

1240 **S13 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-2 clone 5 at**

1241 **P0, P5, and P10 in Vero cells.**

1242 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1243 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1244 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1245

1246 **S14 Table. List of detected MVs from ultra-deep sequencing of HSV-2 clone 5 at**

1247 **P0 in Vero cells, and P5 and P10 in HaCaT cells.**

1248 Legend: Ref (reference allele), Var (variant allele), NCR (non-coding region), INS

1249 (insertion), DEL (deletion), *name*_freq (variant allele frequency), *name*_cov (total

1250 coverage), *name*_Ref (reference allele coverage), *name*_Var (variant allele coverage).

1251

1252 **S1 Text. Supporting Material and Methods for HSV replication kinetics and**

1253 **infection models shown in S4 Fig.**

1254 Virus growth curves protocol, ethical statement, description of procedures employed to

1255 infect and monitor mouse pathogenesis, and statistical analysis.

1256

1257

A

HSV-1 / HSV-2 original stocks → Deep sequencing (standard depth)

5 times plaque isolation in Vero cells

Purified viral clones → Deep sequencing (standard depth)

Replication/infectivity/pathogenesis compared to parental stocks → Ultradeep sequencing (high depth)

Passage #

Two selected purified HSV-1 / HSV-2 clones

P0

5 sequential passages

P5 → Ultradeep sequencing (high depth)

5 sequential passages

P10 → Ultradeep sequencing (high depth)

Vero cells   HaCaT cells

B

HSV-1 clone 3 (10X passaged in VERO cells)

Brightfield

P0

P10

Fig 1

Fig 2

A Average coverage

B Total no. of MVs

C Total no. of MVs   Preexisting MVs   *de novo* MVs

D Frequency of *de novo* MVs

E 1% to 2% *de novo* MVs

Fig 3

Fig 4

Fig 5

**Nonsynonymous *de novo* SNPs
that increase in frequency in HSV-1**

- HSV-1 clone 2 VERO: **UL44** (*R155C*)
- HSV-1 clone 2 HaCaT: **UL44** (*V22G*)
- HSV-1 clone 3 VERO: **UL27** (*R858H*)
- HSV-1 clone 3 VERO: **UL52** (*A732V*)
- HSV-1 clone 3 VERO: **UL53** (*L64P*)

**Nonsynonymous *de novo* SNPs
that increase in frequency in HSV-2**

- HSV-2 clone 1 VERO: **UL13** (*D231N*)
- HSV-2 clone 1 VERO: **UL14** (*A163T*)
- HSV-2 clone 1 VERO: **UL24** (*V189M*)
- HSV-2 clone 5 VERO: **UL24** (*Y37C*)
- HSV-2 clone 5 VERO: **UL24** (*L56R*)
- HSV-2 clone 5 HaCaT: **US12** (*R143H*)
- HSV-2 clone 5 HaCaT: **US12** (*A283V*)

Fig 6