Emergent RNA-RNA interactions can promote stability in a nascent phototrophic endosymbiosis

Benjamin H. Jenkins[1,2]*, Finlay Maguire[3], Guy Leonard[1,2], Joshua D. Eaton[1], Steve West[1], Benjamin E. Housden[1], David, S. Milner[1,2], & Thomas A. Richards[1,2]*

[1]Living Systems Institute and Biosciences, University of Exeter, Devon EX4 4QD, UK

[2]Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, 11a Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3SZ, UK

[3]Faculty of Computer Science, Dalhousie University, 6050 University Ave, Halifax NS B3H 1W5, Canada

*Correspondence: thomas.richards@zoo.ox.ac.uk & ben.jenkins@zoo.ox.ac.uk

KEYWORDS

Paramecium bursaria, algae, mutualism, symbiosis, RNAi, Dicer, RDR, siRNA

ABSTRACT

Eukaryote-eukaryote endosymbiosis was responsible for the spread of photosynthetic organelles. Interaction stability is required for the metabolic and genetic integration that drives the establishment of new organelles, yet the mechanisms which act to stabilise nascent endosymbioses – between two fundamentally selfish biological organisms – are unclear. Theory suggests that enforcement mechanisms, which punish misbehaviour, may act to stabilise such interactions by resolving conflict. However, how such mechanisms can emerge in a nascent eukaryote-eukaryote endosymbiosis has yet to be explored. Here, we propose that endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA interactions, arising from digestion of endosymbionts, can result in a cost to host growth for breakdown of the endosymbiosis. Using the model nascent endosymbiosis, *Paramecium bursaria – Chlorella* spp., we demonstrate that this mechanism is dependent on the host RNA-interference (RNAi) pathway. We reveal through small RNA (sRNA) sequencing that endosymbiont-derived mRNA released upon endosymbiont digestion can be processed by the host RNAi system into 23-nt sRNA. We additionally identify multiple regions of shared sequence identity between endosymbiont and host mRNA, and demonstrate, through delivery of synthetic endosymbiont sRNA, that exposure to these regions can knock-down expression of complementary host genes, resulting in a cost to host growth. This process of host gene knock-down in response to endosymbiont-derived RNA

processing by the host, which we term 'RNAi-collisions', represents a mechanism which can promote stability in a nascent eukaryote-eukaryote endosymbiosis. By imposing a cost for breakdown of the endosymbiosis, endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA interactions may drive maintenance of a symbiosis across fluctuating ecologies and symbiotic states.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Stable endosymbiosis between eukaryotic microbes was a pre-requisite for the spread of photosynthetic organelles. Yet the mechanisms which act to stabilise a nascent eukaryote-eukaryote endosymbiosis are unclear. Using the model nascent endosymbiosis, *Paramecium bursaria – Chlorella* spp., we demonstrate that endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA interactions can drive a cost to host growth for endosymbiont digestion, punishing the host for misbehaviour. These RNA-RNA interactions are facilitated by the host RNA-interference system. For endosymbiont mRNA sharing a high-level of sequence identity with host transcripts, this processing can result in host gene knock-down. We propose that these endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA interactions – 'RNAi collisions' – represent a viable enforcement mechanism to sanction the host for breakdown of the endosymbiosis, promoting the stability of a nascent endosymbiotic interaction.

INTRODUCTION

The formation of a nascent endosymbiotic interaction between eukaryotes was a pre-requisite for the spread of photosynthetic organelles[1–5]. While textbook endosymbiotic theory typically depicts a prokaryote-eukaryote endosymbiosis, the occurrence of stable eukaryote-eukaryote interactions that result in the acquisition of organelles (specifically plastids), are more numerous[2–4,6]. This transition from transiently engulfed cells to established organelles is driven by metabolic and genetic integration. Yet, to become manifest, a stable intermediary state must exist upon which this process of integration can proceed[1,3,5,7–9]. Conflict is an inevitable outcome of all symbioses, the resolution of which can significantly impact the stability of an interaction. Partner switching offers a simple yet effective escape from conflict. However, this often results in a reduced pattern of co-evolution between symbiont and host that can inhibit the process of metabolic and genetic integration, stalling the evolution of stable interactions which are needed to produce new organelles[10–14]. Enforcement offers a viable alternative, whereby cellular mechanisms act to

punish misbehaviour and therefore stabilise an interaction[15,16]. However, we know little
about how enforcement mechanisms can emerge in a nascent endosymbiotic interaction.

The ciliate protist, *Paramecium bursaria*, and its intracellular green algae, *Chlorella* spp.,
represents a model phototrophic endosymbiosis[10,17]. Hundreds of alga cells, many of which
are clonal[11,18,19], are harboured within modified host phagosomes called perialgal vacuoles[20].
The algae provide sugar and oxygen derived from photosynthesis, in exchange for amino
acids, CO_2, divalent cations, and protection from viruses and a range of predators[6,21–27]. While
the interaction is heritable, the *P. bursaria – Chlorella* system is described as a 'nascent' or
'facultative' endosymbiosis as both host and endosymbiont can typically survive
independently[18,28–31]. Disruption of the endosymbiosis can lead to fusion of host lysosomes
with the perialgal vacuoles and lysis of the algal endosymbiont within[29]. Manipulation of the
endosymbiont population, including partner switching, is believed to have allowed *P. bursaria*
to maintain control over the interaction[32–34]. Yet it is unclear how this endosymbiotic system
is protected from over-exploitation by the host which would ultimately lead the interaction
to collapse[35–40].

RNA-RNA interactions can play a role in host-pathogen symbiotic systems[41–45], whereby
RNA is passed between interacting partners in order to modulate the expression of genes
involved in virulence or resistance[41,44,45]. Many of these interactions utilise or 'hijack' the
small interfering RNA (siRNA) pathway of the symbiotic partner[46]. These systems represent
antagonistic symbioses[47,48]; whether analogous RNA-RNA interactions could occur in an
endosymbiotic system has yet to be elucidated.

The presence of a functional siRNA pathway in *Paramecium*, and its role in RNA-
interference (RNAi), has been validated as a tool for gene silencing in both *Paramecium*
bursaria[49] and non-photo-endosymbiotic congener, *Paramecium tetraurelia*[50–54]. In both
species, RNAi can be initiated through the provision of bacterial food transformed to express
double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) with high sequence similarity to a target transcript[49,51].
Evidence suggests that *Paramecium* can additionally process single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) –
including ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and messenger RNA (mRNA) – derived from a prokaryotic cell
acquired through phagocytosis[50]. This processing is mediated by conserved RNAi protein
components which also function in endogenous transcriptome regulation[49,50,52,55].

Significantly, these studies propose that mRNA, derived both exogenously[50] and

endogenously[49,52], can act as substrates for siRNA generation in *Paramecium*.

Here, we demonstrate that in *P. bursaria*, RNA released upon digestion of the algal

endosymbiont is processed by the host RNAi system, giving rise to endosymbiont-derived

sRNAs. For endosymbiont-derived mRNA sharing a high-level of sequence identity with host

transcripts, these generated sRNAs may interfere with endogenous host gene expression,

resulting in a cost to host growth (**Figure S1**). We track the interaction through sRNA

sequencing, recapitulate the effect through *in vivo* experiments, and demonstrate that this

mechanism is mediated by host Dicer, Piwi (AGO-Piwi), Pds1 and Rdr (RdRP) proteins. By

demonstrating a physiological cost to the host for mass digestion of the endosymbiont

population, we reveal a viable enforcement mechanism in the *P. bursaria-Chlorella* spp.

endosymbiotic system. Such sanctions to the host would impose a fitness cost for the rapid

and near-complete breakdown of the endosymbiosis, driving maintenance of the interaction

across time and fluctuating ecological conditions. This process of host gene knock-down in

response to endosymbiont-derived RNA processing by host RNAi factors, which we term

'RNAi-collisions', therefore represents a mechanism which can promote stability in a nascent

eukaryote-eukaryote endosymbiotic cell-cell interaction.

RESULTS

Endosymbiont digestion in *P. bursaria* results in an RNAi-mediated 'physiological cost' to

the host

P. bursaria can be purged of endosymbiotic algae via treatment with cycloheximide: an RNA

translational inhibitor to which *Paramecium* is known to exhibit a high degree of

resistance[20,29,56]. Exposure to cycloheximide to disrupt algal function results in swelling of the

perialgal vacuole and fusion with host lysosomes, triggering digestion of the algal

endosymbionts[29]. A specific single nucleotide polymorphism in the ribosomal protein (RP)

L29A has previously been identified as a determinant of cycloheximide resistance[57,58]. In order

to corroborate cycloheximide resistance in both *P. bursaria* and non-photo-endosymbiotic *P.*

tetraurelia, we compared predicted protein sequences of RPL29A in several species exhibiting

cycloheximide resistance or susceptibility. All *Paramecium* strains, including *P. bursaria*,

encoded leucine (L) in place of glutamine (Q) at this position (amino acid 38), inferring host

resistance. This amino acid residue substitution is absent from the equivalent position in all

algal RPL29A proteins compared (including broader green algal species *Micractinium conductrix, Chlorella sorokiniana,* and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*), inferring that the endosymbiotic *Chlorella* spp. has selective susceptibility to cycloheximide (**Figure S2**).

Upon treatment with cycloheximide, *P. bursaria* cultures experienced a significant reduction in average algal-chlorophyll fluorescent intensity per host cell after only two-to-three days (**Figure 1A**). Quantification via live cell imaging revealed a clear de-coupling of *P. bursaria* cell number and loss of algal-chlorophyll fluorescence in cycloheximide treated cultures. This response is consistent with translational inhibition in the algae, but not in the host, and demonstrates that loss of a photosynthetic endosymbiont population does not immediately result in a decline in host Paramecia cell number. *P. bursaria* cells were additionally stained with LysoTracker Green to mark acidic vacuoles such as lysosomes. Assessment of LysoTracker fluorescence within the *P. bursaria* cytoplasmic environment during the first three days of cycloheximide treatment demonstrates that the loss of algal-chlorophyll fluorescence is linked to increased lysosomal activity in the host (**Figure 1B**). This indicates that the host is using phagocytic-like functions to break down the algae, confirming that elimination of the endosymbiont population in response to cycloheximide treatment[20,29] is triggered by host digestion.

To investigate the effect of continued cycloheximide treatment on *Paramecium* culture growth, both *P. bursaria* and non-photo-endosymbiotic *P. tetraurelia* were treated daily with cycloheximide over 8 days of exponential growth. A significant retardation to *P. bursaria* culture growth, but not *P. tetraurelia* culture growth, was observed during extended cycloheximide treatment (**Figure 1C**). Importantly, both *Paramecium* species harbour the same cycloheximide resistance conferring leucine-38 substitution in RPL29A (**Figure S2**), suggesting that elimination of endosymbiotic algae is costly to *P. bursaria* culture growth during continued cycloheximide treatment. Loss of algal derived photosynthate and plastid derived metabolites represents an obvious cost of endosymbiont elimination in *P. bursaria*. However, we sought to explore whether a part of this cost may be attributed to host exposure to endosymbiont-derived RNA during digestion of the endosymbiont population.

To investigate whether a cost to host growth upon endosymbiont digestion was mediated by the host RNAi system, we performed RNAi knock-down of host components known to be essential for RNAi function. Knock-down of *Dcr1* (a host-encoded

endoribonuclease Dicer required for siRNA generation) had previously been shown to
attenuate the effect of *E. coli* vector-based RNAi feeding in *P. bursaria*[49]. We therefore sought
to assess whether disruption of Dicer-mediated RNAi function could similarly attenuate a
putative RNAi effect arising from endosymbiont digestion. *P. bursaria* were fed with *E. coli*
expressing *Dcr1* (Dicer) dsRNA to compare the change in cell number between untreated and
cycloheximide treated conditions (**Figure 1D**; see also **Figure S3A/B**). We observed a
significant rescue of *P. bursaria* culture growth upon treatment with cycloheximide in cultures
exposed to Dicer dsRNA, compared to a 450-nt non-hit 'scramble' dsRNA[49] or empty vector
control. This indicates that partial knock-down of host Dicer was able to attenuate the cost to
P. bursaria growth associated with endosymbiont digestion. Importantly, the near complete
rescue observed suggests that host Dicer processing, rather than loss of algal derived
metabolites, may be a more significant factor in preventing host over-exploitation of the
endosymbiotic interaction under these conditions.

To further assess whether a physiological cost to host growth upon endosymbiont
digestion is mediated by the host RNAi machinery, *P. bursaria* cells were fed with *E. coli*
expressing *PiwiA1, PiwiC1* or *Pds1* dsRNA[49] in both untreated and cycloheximide treated
conditions. Knock-down of host-encoded *PiwiA1, PiwiC1* (AGO-Piwi effectors required for
targeted RNA cleavage) and *Pds1* (a *Paramecium*-specific component with an unknown but
essential role in exogenously induced RNAi) have previously been shown to attenuate the
effect of *E. coli* feeding-based RNAi in *P. bursaria*[49]. Here, knock-down of *PiwiA1* and *Pds1* also
attenuated the cost to *P. bursaria* culture growth upon treatment with cycloheximide,
compared to a non-hit 'scramble' dsRNA control (**Figure 1E**; see also **Figure S3C/D**). No
significant rescue of *P. bursaria* culture growth was observed in cultures exposed to *PiwiC1*
dsRNA, suggesting either that this component does not function in endosymbiont-digestion
induced RNA processing in this system, or that individual component knock-down was not
sufficient to attenuate this effect. These data suggest that the physiological cost to *P. bursaria*
growth incurred during cycloheximide treatment, in which the endosymbiotic algae are being
broken down and digested by the host, is dependent upon host-encoded *Dcr1* (Dicer), *PiwiA1*
and *Pds1* function. Importantly, the involvement of *Pds1* confirms that the observed RNAi
effect is mediated by the host, as the green algae sampled do not encode an identifiable
homologue of this RNAi pathway component[49,59]. Furthermore, it excludes the possibility that

off-target effects arising from host Dicer knock-down, including potential compensatory

function of broader Dicer or Dicer-like paralogues in *P. bursaria*[48], could be responsible for

the RNAi effect observed. Taken together, these data demonstrate a cost for endosymbiont

digestion that is mediated by host-encoded RNAi components, supporting the occurrence of

putative RNAi-mediated RNA-RNA interactions between endosymbiont and host.

Endosymbiont breakdown triggers an abundance of Dicer-dependent endosymbiont

derived sRNA within *P. bursaria*

To investigate the occurrence of RNA-RNA interactions between endosymbiont and host, we

tracked the abundance of endosymbiont-derived, host-processed sRNA in *P. bursaria* during

endosymbiont digestion. As above, *P. bursaria* cultures were treated with cycloheximide to

trigger endosymbiont elimination, compared to untreated control cultures, and fed with *E.*

coli expressing Dicer dsRNA (to disrupt host RNAi function) or a 'scramble' dsRNA control.

Dicer was chosen to disrupt RNAi function as knock-down of this component resulted in the

greatest rescue to *P. bursaria* culture growth during cycloheximide treatment (**Figure 1D**).

Total sRNA from each condition were isolated and sequenced, and the resulting forward and

reverse reads mapped to a manually curated dataset of transcripts identified as either algal-

derived cytoplasmic mRNA (excluding organellar mRNA - **Figure 2**; see also **Figure S4**) or algal-

derived cytoplasmic rRNA (excluding organellar rRNA - **Figure S5**). Reads were mapped with

100% identity, allowing no mismatches, to ensure that the subset of sRNA detected was of

definitive algal origin. This allowed us to directly test for an increase in endosymbiotic algal-

derived 23-nt sRNA (the size associated with host Dicer processing[49,50,60]) as a result of

cycloheximide induced endosymbiont breakdown, and assess the effect of knocking down

Dicer-mediated RNAi function on relative sRNA abundance.

We identified an increased abundance in all endosymbiont mRNA-derived 21-29 nt

reads upon treatment with cycloheximide, compared to the untreated control cultures

(**Figure 2A**; see also **Figure S4**). This same trend was observed for reads mapping to algal

endosymbiont rRNA-derived sRNA (**Figure S5**). This general increase in total algal sRNA

abundance upon treatment with cycloheximide indicates that this may be a result of

endosymbiont breakdown and degradation by the host during digestion. While not all of

these values were deemed to be statistically significant due to the high variance associated

with the sRNA datasets, a significant increase in endosymbiont mRNA-derived 23-nt sense

and antisense sRNA demonstrates an increased abundance of potential RNAi substrates during endosymbiont digestion[49,50,60]. Importantly, the peak in endosymbiont mRNA-derived 23-nt antisense sRNA was significantly ablated in *P. bursaria* cultures exposed to Dicer dsRNA during cycloheximide treatment, compared to a 'scramble' dsRNA control (**Figure 2B**; see also **Figure S4**). This observation is supported by results from a previous study, which had demonstrated that exposure to Dicer dsRNA was effective in specifically reducing both host *Dcr1* expression and host-derived 23-nt sRNA abundance in *P. bursaria*[48]. Here, our results suggest that an increase in endosymbiont mRNA-derived 23-nt antisense sRNA abundance during endosymbiont digestion is also dependent on host-Dicer function, supporting the hypothesis that endosymbiont-derived mRNA can be processed by the host RNAi machinery. This is consistent with the observation that *Paramecium* RNAi factors are capable of processing both endogenously and exogenously derived mRNA[50,52].

It should be noted that this Dicer-dependent increase in endosymbiont mRNA-derived 23-nt antisense sRNA was observed at both day two and day three of cycloheximide treatment (**Figure 2** & **S4**), coinciding with the changes in algal chlorophyll / LysoTracker Green fluorescence observed in an earlier experiment (**Figure 1A/B**). However, due to the high variance associated with these sRNA datasets, a significant effect of 23-nt antisense sRNA ablation upon Dicer knock-down could only be detected after three days of cycloheximide treatment. This is consistent with a gradual increase in Dicer processed amplification products following exposure to algal sRNA over multiple days. It is also important to note that this effect likely under-represents the full extent of host Dicer processing, due to the paradoxical and incomplete nature of host Dicer perturbation through RNAi knock-down[49]. Furthermore, these data represent only a subset of the sRNA potentially present due to the stringent mapping approach used to identify algal endosymbiont-derived sRNA, as any reads that additionally mapped to the host with 100% sequence identity were excluded from this analysis. While these excluded reads with a high level of shared sequence identity are undoubtedly the most important subset of sRNA for the identification of putative mRNA-mRNA interactions between endosymbiont and host, their exclusion here has allowed us to comprehensively identify host RNAi processing of definitively algal endosymbiont-derived transcripts. Interestingly, one of these host-derived transcripts that mapped with 100% identity to an endosymbiont-derived 23-nt sRNA was *P. bursaria* heat shock protein 90

(*HSP90*). The importance of this putative endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA interaction, and a

broader investigation of these regions of high shared sequence identity between

endosymbiont and host RNA, is explored below.

To corroborate these findings, we conducted a series of control observations. Firstly,

we explored the possibility that cycloheximide treatment was altering host derived sRNA

production despite inferred host resistance (**Figure S2**). To ensure that host transcripts were

unaffected by an increased rate of putative RNA-RNA interactions derived from the

endosymbiont, we manually identified 20 host transcripts that contained <87% sequence

similarity to any identified algal endosymbiont transcripts, and checked that they had no

potential 23-nt overlap (allowing for ≤2-nt mismatches). All 21-25 nt forward and reverse

sRNA reads were then mapped to these control host transcripts (**Figure S6**). These data

demonstrate that for host mRNA transcripts which do not share a high-level of sequence

identity with endosymbiont RNA, 23-nt sRNA abundance does not increase significantly

during cycloheximide treatment. This is consistent with host resistance to cycloheximide not

altering the general host derived population of sRNAs. Secondly, we sought to investigate

whether free-living endosymbiont-derived algal strains would produce excess 23-nt sRNAs as

a consequence of cycloheximide treatment. Two algal strains cultured under free-living

conditions (*Chlorella variabilis* F36-ZK and *Chlorella variabilis* NC64A: both known

endosymbionts of *P. bursaria*[61]) were cultured with and without cycloheximide treatment and

subject to sRNA isolation and sequencing. (**Figure S7**). Importantly, no clear increase in 21-25

nt algal sRNA abundance was observed, with no 23-nt sRNA peak evident during treatment

with cycloheximide under standard free-living growth conditions. This is consistent with data

from a previous study which found that the algal endosymbiont of *P. bursaria* was not actively

generating sRNA >20-nt[49].

These results suggest that increased algal endosymbiont-derived 23-nt sRNA

abundance upon treatment with cycloheximide is dependent on host sRNA processing within

the *P. bursaria*-algal endosymbiotic system. Taken together, these data indicate that an

abundance of endosymbiont-derived sRNA are released during endosymbiont digestion, and

that these may act as substrates for host RNAi processing. Importantly, processing of

endosymbiont-derived sRNA in a host Dicer-dependent manner indicates that these

interactions are occurring in the host cytoplasm, supporting the hypothesis that endosymbiont-host mRNA-mRNA interactions are possible as a result.

Comparison of transcriptome data reveals the potential for host transcript interaction by endosymbiont-derived RNAs

To understand the extent of possible RNA-RNA interactions between endosymbiont and host, we built a bioinformatic transcriptome processing tool, eDicer (https://github.com/fmaguire/eDicer), which allows identification of all possible Dicer-generated sense and antisense oligonucleotides produced from a given transcriptome dataset. By mapping 23-nt reads to a second ('host') dataset allowing for ≤2-nt mismatches, potential RNA-RNA interactions between an input (endosymbiont, vector or food) and a host RNA population can be identified. Inclusion of reads with ≤2-nt mismatches were based on the tolerance for mismatching complementarity reported during RNAi-mediated knock-down of gene expression in other systems[62–66]. Confirmation of a similar mismatch tolerance in *P. bursaria* is shown later in **Figures 3E** & **S12**. For a full overview of the eDicer comparative analysis process, please refer to the **Supplementary Methods**.

Using a dataset consisting of transcripts binned as either 'endosymbiont' or 'host' (using a curated *P. bursaria* transcriptome[67]), we identified 35,703 distinct 23-nt putative mRNA-mRNA interactions between the *P. bursaria* 'host' and 'endosymbiont' RNA populations, representing 0.121% of the total inventory of distinct host 23-nt k-mers identified (**Figure S8A**; see also **Table S2** & **Supplementary Methods**). This was found to be 120-fold greater than the number of putative 23-nt mRNA-mRNA interactions predicted between bacterial food and host transcripts (from two different bacterial sources). Furthermore, the ratio of total:'potentially-lethal' putative 23-nt mRNA-mRNA interactions (determined by cross-referencing genes known to be conditionally essential in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*[68]) was found to be greater between endosymbiont-and-host transcripts (1:1.4) than between bacterial food-and-host transcripts (1:0.16/0.17). Similar patterns were observed for predicted rRNA-rRNA interactions (**Figure S8B,** see also **Table S2** & **Supplementary Methods**). These *in silico* analyses demonstrate that there is far greater potential for the occurrence of both mRNA-mRNA and rRNA-rRNA interactions between the algal endosymbiont and host (eukaryote-eukaryote) RNA populations, than there is between bacterial food and host (prokaryote-eukaryote) RNA populations.

In vivo exposure to a synthetic endosymbiont-derived RNA chimera generates simultaneous knock-down of homologous host genes in *P. bursaria*

Having identified the occurrence of putative host transcript interactions by endosymbiont-derived mRNA *in silico*, we sought to investigate whether *in vivo* exposure to endosymbiont RNA could recapitulate the cost to host growth observed during endosymbiont digestion (**Figure 1E**). We identified ten endosymbiotic mRNA interaction fragments that shared >91% (or 21-nt) sequence identity with host transcripts across a 23-nt region, selected at random from the analysis reported in **Figure S8A**. Each mRNA interaction fragment was chosen to contain at least 1 SNP specific to the endosymbiont to ensure that any identifiable effect could be attributed to the endosymbiont-derived transcript, rather than the host. We predicted that these interaction fragments should still act as effective templates for RNAi-mediated knock-down in *P. bursaria* based on the degree of tolerance for mismatching complementarity observed in RNAi-mediated knock-down in other eukaryotic systems[62–66] (and confirmed below in *P. bursaria*; **Figures 3E** & **S12**). Six of these mRNA interaction fragments showed putative homology to 'non-lethal' yeast genes (including *EF1-α* and *HSP90*); two showed putative homology to 'lethal' yeast genes (including *tub-β*); and the remaining two had no identifiable homologues in yeast (**Table S1**). Significantly, heat shock protein 90 (*HSP90*) was the host transcript previously identified as a candidate for putative endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA interaction in the sRNA analysis of **Figure 2**. However, since the region identified in **Figure 2** shared 100% sequence identity with the host, this newly identified interaction fragment (with 2 SNPs specific to the endosymbiont) allowed us to ensure that any identifiable effect could be attributed to endosymbiont-derived transcript. All ten endosymbiont transcript fragments were composed of the predicted interacting 23-nt sRNA sequence (**Figure S8A**) flanked by 11-nt of contiguous 'non-hit' endosymbiont transcript as a filler on each side (**Table S3**). These ten 45-nt fragments were combined into a single 450-nt synthetic endosymbiotic algal chimera (**Figure 3A**), cloned into an L4440 plasmid, and transformed into *E. coli* for RNAi.

Using the same *E. coli* vector feeding-based approach to induce RNAi, exposure to endosymbiotic algal chimera dsRNA resulted in significant retardation of *P. bursaria* culture growth (**Figure 3B**). Once more, this effect was partially rescued by knock-down of host Dicer, demonstrating an RNAi effect as a result of synthetic endosymbiont-host mRNA-mRNA

interactions that partially resembled the host response to endosymbiont elimination observed in **Figure 1C**-**E**. It is important to note, however, that synthetic exposure to endosymbiont-derived RNA via an *E. coli* feeding vector could be expected to over-represent these putative RNA interactions. To address this issue, we designed a non-hit 'nonsense' control composed of a tandem assembly of the 11-nt filler regions of contiguous 'non-hit' algal transcript present in the chimera. A relative dilution of endosymbiont chimera dsRNA delivery alongside the 'nonsense' control from 1, to 1:1 and 1:3, also resulted in *P. bursaria* culture growth retardation (**Figure S9**), demonstrating that a reduced relative delivery of synthetic endosymbiont RNA can also result in a cost to host growth. Similar experiments were conducted to investigate the possibility of endosymbiont-host rRNA-rRNA interactions, but showed that there was no equivalent effect on host growth (**Figure S10**, see also **Table S4**).

In order to explore which of these identified putative mRNA-mRNA interactions were capable of facilitating the cost to host growth observed in **Figure 3B**, we identified the ten 450-nt host transcripts predicted to be hit by each endosymbiont derived 23-nt mRNA interaction fragment used in the chimera (**Figure 3C** - three examples are shown). Using the same feeding-based approach to induce RNAi, individual exposure to dsRNA corresponding to each wider *P. bursaria* transcript identified three which resulted in significant *P. bursaria* culture growth retardation, relative to Dicer knock-down controls (**Figure 3D**; see **Figure S11** for all 10). These *P. bursaria* transcripts correspond to elongation factor-1α (*EF-1α*), heat shock protein 90 (*HSP90*; the host transcript identified as a candidate for putative endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA interaction in the sRNA analysis of **Figure 2**), and tubulin-β chain (*tub-β*). From these findings, it can be inferred that these are the 23-nt mRNA interaction fragment targets of the chimera (**Figure 3A/C**) that were likely to result in the cost to host growth observed upon synthetic endosymbiont chimera dsRNA exposure (**Figure 3B**).

We next sought to discern whether feeding on *E. coli* expressing synthetic endosymbiont chimera dsRNA (in **Figure 3B**) led to a reduction of host *EF-1α, HSP90* and *tub-β* mRNA levels. Using mRNA extracted from *P. bursaria* during chimera-RNAi feeding (**Figure 3B**), qPCR revealed a reduction in host transcript expression of *EF-1α* and *tub-β* in response to endosymbiont chimera dsRNA exposure (**Figure 3E**). Host transcript expression of *HSP90* appears inconclusive, however reduction in mRNA levels of all three host transcripts was

partially rescued upon simultaneous knock-down of host Dicer (**Figure S12**). From these data
we can conclude that only 23-nt of >91% complementary 'endosymbiont' transcript is
required to facilitate detectable knock-down of the corresponding host transcript, suggesting
that sRNAs with ≤2-nt mismatches are effective during RNAi-mediated knock-down of gene
expression in *P. bursaria* (see rationale for **Figure S8**). Importantly, this also demonstrates
that exposure to endosymbiont derived RNA containing a 23-nt region of overlap with host
transcripts is capable of impacting host gene expression.

It is important to consider that delivery of a synthetic endosymbiont-derived RNA
chimera in this manner represents only an approximation of the putative 23-nt RNA-RNA
interactions that may be occurring in the *P. bursaria – Chlorella spp.* system. As we have
already mentioned, exposure to endosymbiont RNA via an *E. coli* feeding vector would likely
over-represent these putative RNA interactions. Nonetheless, the random generation of 23-
nt Dicer substrates across the dsRNA chimera (only three regions of which were shown to
result in a detectable cost to host growth; **Figure 3A/D** & **Figure S11**) represents only part of
the wider *E. coli*-derived RNA population delivered to the host during RNAi-feeding. Coupled
with evidence of the efficiency of 'diluted' endosymbiont chimera dsRNA delivery (**Figure S9**),
these observations support the hypothesis that only a relatively small quantity of 23-nt RNA-
RNA interactions may be sufficient to induce a cost to host growth in *P. bursaria.* Importantly,
the observation that a 23-nt fragment with >91% sequence identity to a target transcript is
capable of facilitating detectable knock-down in *P. bursaria* indicates that the sRNA analysis
in **Figure 2** likely under-represents the true scale of endosymbiont-derived sRNAs that may
result in RNA-RNA interactions between endosymbiont and host. We also note that the
occurrence of identified endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA interactions does not exclude a wider
cost to the host arising from mass processing of a large population of non-target algal derived
RNAs, a process which must surely pose a cost to host cellular economics and transcriptional
fidelity. For further justification of this 'synthetic' approach, and a consideration of the results
that we can reliably draw from these data, see **Discussion S1**.

Single-stranded delivery of synthetic endosymbiont-derived RNA, analogous to
endosymbiont mRNA, results in a cost to *P. bursaria* growth

As RNA derived naturally from the endosymbiont was unlikely to be double-stranded, two
further constructs were designed to assess the efficacy of synthetic endosymbiont-derived

chimera single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) exposure in *P. bursaria* (**Figure 4**; for confirmation of ssRNA expression see also **Figure S13**). Using an *E. coli* vector feeding-based approach to induce RNAi, exposure to endosymbiont chimera ssRNA also resulted in significant retardation to *P. bursaria* culture growth when delivered in the sense orientation ([+]ssRNA). Notably, chimera [+]ssRNA exposure resulted in greater retardation to *P. bursaria* culture growth than chimera dsRNA exposure. However, delivery of chimera ssRNA in the antisense orientation ([-]ssRNA) did not result in significant retardation to *P. bursaria* culture growth. This [+]ssRNA orientation bias was also observed during Dicer knock-down of cycloheximide treated cultures in a prior experiment, in which delivery of Dicer [+]ssRNA resulted in a stronger rescue phenotype ($p > 0.05$) compared to delivery of Dicer [-]ssRNA or Dicer dsRNA (**Figure S14**). Importantly, the orientation of [+]ssRNA represents the same orientation as the mRNA transcripts from which each of these target templates was identified.

Simultaneous knock-down of host Dicer (dsRNA delivery) was able to partially rescue *P. bursaria* culture growth in endosymbiont chimera [+]ssRNA exposed cultures (**Figure 4**). In an additional attempt to disrupt host RNAi function, two further constructs were designed to target two RdRP encoding transcripts (*Rdr1, Rdr2*) present in *P. bursaria* (**Dataset S1B**). RdRP is an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase known to be essential for triggering a siRNA-based RNAi response through the generation of dsRNA from ssRNA[50,54]. RdRP proteins are implicated in the production of 'secondary siRNAs' from cleaved mRNA degradation products, and have recently been suggested to function in endogenous transcriptome regulation by generating mRNA-associated primary siRNAs[50,52–54]. These studies indicate that RdRP processes single-stranded (sense) RNA precursors into dsRNA substrates for Dicer. Here, simultaneous knock-down of *Rdr1* and *Rdr2* was able to rescue *P. bursaria* culture growth in endosymbiont chimera [+]ssRNA exposed cultures, though the effect (while still significant) was less than the near-complete rescue achieved through Dicer knock-down (**Figure 3B** & **Figure 4**). As for Dicer, knock-down of host RdRP through RNAi represents a potential paradox, and so constitutes only partial or transient loss of function[49]. However, we are again confident that disruption in this manner would be sufficient to perturb RdRP-mediated RNAi in *P. bursaria* after 14 days, as seen in **Figure 4**. While the mechanism of down-stream Dicer processing of RdRP-generated dsRNA substrates remains unknown (see **Discussion S1**), we

can nonetheless infer from these data that single-stranded RNA-induced RNAi knock-down in

P. bursaria is partially dependent upon host RdRP function.

Irrespective of the mechanistic basis for a sense oriented ssRNA knock-down bias, it is

important to note that delivery of chimera [+]ssRNA demonstrated here represents the same

orientation as the endosymbiont derived mRNA interaction fragments from which these

putative endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA interactions were identified. Once more, this is

consistent with the observation that *Paramecium* RNAi factors are capable of processing both

endogenously and exogenously derived mRNA[50,52]. The ability to facilitate a cost to host

growth through delivery of single-stranded RNA in the same orientation as the host target

mRNA, supports the hypothesis that a cost to host growth upon endosymbiont digestion can

be facilitated by host RNAi-mediated RNA-RNA interactions between endosymbiont and host

mRNA populations.

DISCUSSION

Through manipulation of the model endosymbiotic system, *P. bursaria-Chlorella* spp., we

have demonstrated that endosymbiotic algal-derived RNA is released upon digestion of the

endosymbiont population. In a mechanism mediated by the host-encoded protein, *Dcr1*

(Dicer) these endosymbiont derived RNAs are processed into sRNAs, which can subsequently

act as substrates for the host RNAi system. This mechanism is additionally mediated by host-

encoded *PiwiA1* (AGO-Piwi), *Pds1* and *Rdr* (RdRP) components. In cases where a high level of

sequence identity exists between endosymbiont and host transcripts across a 23-nt region,

we propose that these processed endosymbiont derived sRNAs are capable of inducing knock-

down of complementary host transcripts. We postulate that these RNA-RNA interactions are

of importance in eukaryote-eukaryote endosymbioses where partners are likely to share

greater sequence similarity, especially among conserved transcripts. Through processing of

'exogenous' endosymbiont-derived RNA that results in knock-down of endogenous host gene

expression, a physiological cost to the host for large-scale elimination of the endosymbiont

population may be imposed. We demonstrate that these endosymbiont-host RNA-RNA

interactions can be recapitulated *in vivo* using an *E. coli* RNAi feeding vector expressing small

fragments of synthetic endosymbiotic algal mRNA. We also show that this effect is most

potent when the substrate for RNAi is delivered in a single-stranded sense orientation, in a

mechanism mediated by the host-encoded protein, RdRP. This is important, because it is

analogous to the manner of RNA exposure (mRNA) experienced by the host upon interaction with the endosymbiont. Due to the inherent difficulty in characterising such mechanisms directly, we have relied on this multiple experimental approach to demonstrate the viability of putative RNA-RNA interactions at each stage of the process. Collectively, this mechanism demonstrates the capacity for putative endosymbiont-host mRNA-mRNA interactions as a result of host RNAi processing – a mechanism which we term RNAi mediated RNA-RNA collisions (or 'RNAi collisions'; defined in **Figure S1**).

The long-term maintenance of symbiotic interactions represents a quandary for evolutionary theory[35–40]. How do such relationships avoid over-exploitation by one partner that would ultimately lead the interaction to collapse? Partner switching is one option, however the result is typically a reduced pattern of co-evolution between symbiont and host that can inhibit the process of metabolic and genetic integration, stalling the evolution of stable interactions which are needed to produce new organelles[10–14]. Previous studies have suggested that when selfish behaviours arise, the evolution of enforcement to punish or suppress the exploitative partner can act to restore cooperation[15,16]. These mechanisms have been identified in systems that span vast biological scales, from selfish elements in genomes[69] to conflict between partners in legume-rhizobium symbioses[70]. Indeed, enforcement is argued to be one of the most effective drivers of cooperation in egalitarian alliances between different species, such as that between *P. bursaria* and its algal endosymbiont, by encouraging maintenance of the symbiosis that acts to stabilise the interaction[15]. The results presented here suggest that 'RNAi collisions' between endosymbiont and host, which are capable of imposing a cost to host growth for breakdown of the symbiosis, could provide an emergent mechanism to discourage over-exploitation of the endosymbiont population by the host.

Interestingly, the emergence of this mechanism appears to be a by-product of pre-existing biological features that are already likely to be under strong selective pressure. For instance, the widely functional RNAi system of the host[50,51,53], or the conserved gene repertoire and sequence composition of the host and endosymbiont transcriptomes (which include many transcripts which are conditionally essential in model systems[68]) from which some putative 'RNAi collisions' have so far been identified. In addition, unlike comparable mechanisms of RNA-RNA interactions that have been studied in host-pathogen symbioses[41–45], these 'RNAi collisions' appear to be untargeted and, hence, emergent. In the

aforementioned host-pathogen systems, targeted RNA is passed from one partner to the other in order to modulate expression of transcripts involved in virulence or resistance[41,44,45]. However, in order for such targeted systems to evolve, they must first exist in an untargeted form upon which selection is able to act, allowing the emergence of specific RNA factors[42,43]. Identification of undirected 'RNAi collisions' in the *P. bursaria – Chlorella* system represents one such intermediary state, emergent in nature and untargeted, upon which sustained cellular interaction coupled with the potential for host-symbiont conflict could drive the selection of targeted RNA-RNA interactions. The reduced pattern of co-evolution between endosymbiont and host in the *P. bursaria – Chlorella* system, resulting from the potential for repeated partner switching by the host[11,34], offers a possible explanation for why this mechanism has remained emergent.

We propose that 'RNAi collisions' represent a putative mechanism to discourage over-exploitation of the endosymbiont population by the host. In a nascent eukaryote-eukaryote endosymbiotic system this process would stabilise the interaction and, therefore, promote cooperation. Here we have used the example of mass endosymbiont digestion in response to drug treatment to simulate this effect in the extreme. In natural interactions between *P. bursaria* and its algal endosymbiont, such a cost would only need to occur in the drastic occurrence of mass endosymbiont digestion in order to drive stability of the interaction. Importantly, the endosymbiotic algal population within *P. bursaria* is largely composed of closely related or clonal lineages[11,18,19], and as such, the fate of the algal population should be considered as a collective unit. This cost need only act to supress large-scale, rapid destruction by the host in order to drive the maintenance of a surviving subsection of the endosymbiont population. Previous studies have demonstrated how *P. bursaria* is capable of manipulating endosymbiont load in response to varying light conditions to better suit its own ends[32,33], however, in these examples, reduction of endosymbiont number through digestion is slow and partial. By providing a system that selects against rapid and near-complete digestion of the endosymbiont population, 'RNAi collisions' effectively buffer the endosymbiotic interaction against total breakdown. We suggest that this has allowed the relationship to be maintained across time and varying ecological conditions, even in the event of host-symbiont conflict[32,33] and fluctuating endosymbiont numbers[20,29,32]. As an alternate route to conflict resolution that avoids partner switching, we propose that such a mechanism would facilitate

greater co-evolution between endosymbiont and host. Over time, this would allow the

metabolic and genetic integration that drives the formation of obligate symbioses to become

manifest. We therefore present 'RNAi collisions' as a new mechanism in this endosymbiotic

system – a factor which can promote stability in the face of conflict in an endosymbiotic

eukaryote-eukaryote cell-cell interaction.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

B.H.J., D.S.M., and T.A.R. conceived and designed the experiments. F.M. and T.A.R. conceived

and designed the eDicer computational analysis. B.H.J., D.S.M., and T.A.R. wrote the

manuscript. B.H.J., D.S.M., and G.L. conducted experimental work and analysed the data.

B.E.H., S.W. and J.D.E aided in conceptual and experimental design, and in conducting

experimental work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was primarily supported by an EMBO YIP award and a Royal Society University

Research Fellowship (UF130382) and latterly by an ERC Consolidator Grant (CELL-in-CELL) to

T.A.R. S.W. and J.D.E. were supported by awards from the Wellcome Trust

(WT107791/Z/15/Z) and the Lister institute. F.M. was supported by a Donald Hill Family

Fellowship in Computer Science. We thank Karen Moore and the University of Exeter

Sequence Service for support with the various sequencing projects. We thank Éric Meyer,

Institut de Biologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris, for advice during set up of the *P.*

bursaria RNAi approach.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

FIGURES

Figure 1. Endosymbiont digestion in *P. bursaria* results in an RNAi-mediated 'physiological cost' to the host. (**A**) *Paramecium* cell number (grey) vs algal chlorophyll fluorescent intensity (pink) in *P. bursaria* cultures treated with cycloheximide (50 µgmL^{-1}) compared to an untreated control. Cultures were maintained in the stationary phase. Loss of algal fluorescent intensity indicates algal death in response to cycloheximide treatment. Data are represented as mean ± SD of six biological replicates. (**B**) Stages of endosymbiont elimination in *P. bursaria* cells at days 1, 2 and 3 of cycloheximide treatment (50 µgmL^{-1}). Algal chlorophyll fluorescence (pink) highlights the presence of endosymbiotic algae within the *P. bursaria* cell. LysoTracker Green fluorescence (green) indicates increased host lysosomal activity in response to cycloheximide treatment. Scale bar – 50 µm. (**C**) *Paramecium* cell number in *P. bursaria* or *P. tetraurelia* cultures after 8 days of treatment with cycloheximide (50 µgmL^{-1}), compared to untreated controls. *Paramecium* cultures were fed with *E. coli* transformed with an empty RNAi vector. (**D**) Percentage change in *P. bursaria* cell number in cultures treated with cycloheximide (50 µgmL^{-1}), compared to untreated control cultures, after 12 days of feeding with *E. coli* expressing; Dicer dsRNA (dark grey) to induce knockdown (KD), non-hit 'scramble' dsRNA or an empty vector control (green). The relative effect of Dicer dsRNA exposure compared to scramble and empty vector controls indicates partial rescue of the growth retardation induced by cycloheximide treatment and loss of endosymbiotic algae. (**E**) Percentage change in *P. bursaria* cell number in cultures treated with cycloheximide (50 µgmL^{-1}), compared to untreated control cultures, after 12 days of feeding with *E. coli* expressing; *PiwiA1, PiwiC1* or *Pds1* dsRNA (dark grey) to induce transcript knockdown (KD), or a non-hit 'scramble' dsRNA control (green). *P. bursaria* cultures were simultaneously treated with cycloheximide (50 µgmL^{-1}) for 8 days (starting four days into feeding). (**C-E**) All boxplot data are represented as max, upper quartile (Q3), mean, lower quartile (Q1) and min values of six biological replicates. Individual data points are shown. All significance calculated as *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, and ***p ≤ 0.001, using a generalized linear model with quasi-Poisson distribution. See also **Figure S3** for the raw count data used to calculate the percentage change in cell number presented in **1D**-**E**.

Figure 2. Endosymbiont breakdown triggers an influx of dicer-dependent endosymbiont-derived sRNA within *P. bursaria*. (A) Size distribution of sRNA mapped to endosymbiont-derived cytoplasmic mRNA. sRNA was extracted from *P. bursaria* cultures over two days (day 2 and 3) of cycloheximide treatment (50 µgmL^{-1}), or from an untreated control. Note the relative increase in 23-nt abundance between untreated and cycloheximide treated cultures. *P. bursaria* cultures were fed with HT115 *E. coli* transformed to express 'scramble' dsRNA. Data are represented as mean ± SD of six biological replicates, and normalised against total endosymbiont mRNA-mapping 21-29-nt reads per dataset. **(B)** Size distribution of sRNA mapped to endosymbiont-derived cytoplasmic mRNA. sRNA was extracted from *P. bursaria* cultures on day 3 of cycloheximide treatment (50 µgmL^{-1}). *P. bursaria* cultures were fed with

HT115 *E. coli* transformed to express 'scramble' or *Dcr1* (Dicer) dsRNA. Note the relative increase in 23-nt abundance during cycloheximide treatment in *P. bursaria* cultures exposed to scramble dsRNA, compared to Dicer dsRNA. Data are represented as mean ± SD of three biological replicates, and normalised against total endosymbiont mRNA-mapping 21-29-nt reads per dataset. (**A-B**) For all cultures, feeding was conducted daily for four days prior to cycloheximide treatment, and continued throughout. Significance calculated as *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, and ***p ≤ 0.001 using a generalised linear model with quasi-Poisson distribution. Here and elsewhere, the term 'KD' is used in figure to denote 'knock-down'. All curated 'endosymbiont' mRNA transcript bins used for sRNA mapping are available on Figshare (10.6084/m9.figshare.12301736).

Figure 3. *In Vivo* exposure to a synthetic endosymbiont-derived RNA chimera generates simultaneous knock-down of homologous host genes in *P. bursaria*. (**A**) Schematic showing chimeric construct design. Ten endosymbiont-derived 45-nt transcript sequences (**Table S3**), featuring a 23-nt region with >91% sequence identity between endosymbiont and host (yellow) flanked by 11-nt of 'non-hit' algal transcript (white). Numbers in brackets denote sequence identity between endosymbiont and host. (**B**) *P. bursaria* cell number after 12 days of feeding with *E. coli* expressing: chimera dsRNA (yellow); chimera dsRNA mixed with Dicer dsRNA (light grey; rescue); or an empty vector control (dark grey). Multiple vector delivery was conducted at a 50:50 ratio during feeding. See **Figure S9** for an experimental dilution of dsRNA chimera delivery. Here and elsewhere, the term 'KD' is used in figure to denote 'knock-down'. (**C**) Schematic figure demonstrating the degree of overlap between three

endosymbiont (endo) derived transcripts from the chimeric construct (white/yellow) and

respective 450-nt homologous region of the host (*P. bursaria*) transcript (blue). Each region

of 23-nt overlap (yellow) represents putative RNAi 'collisions' between endosymbiont and

host. The remaining 11-nt sections are endosymbiont specific and were duplicated for all 10

collision fragments and used to construct the 'nonsense' template. (**D**) *P. bursaria* cell number

after 12 days of feeding with *E. coli* expressing 450-nt dsRNA corresponding to *EF1-α*, *HSP90*

and *tub-β* host homologues from the *P. bursaria* transcriptome (blue), to determine which

dsRNA chimera targeted regions (**3A/C**) could facilitate a detectable phenotype using the

wider corresponding host gene as an RNAi substrate, compared to Dicer dsRNA mixed

controls (grey; rescue phenotype). For individual knock-down of all ten chimera constituent

targets using longer regions of the *P. bursaria* homologues, see **Figure S11**. Multiple vector

delivery was conducted at a 50:50 ratio during feeding. (**E**) qPCR of mRNA extracted from day

3 of chimera-RNAi feeding (**3B**), revealing knockdown of *EF1-α*, *HSP90* and *tub-β* host gene

expression in *P. bursaria* in response to endosymbiont derived chimera dsRNA exposure.

Standardised expression of an Actin housekeeping gene was used for normalisation. Data are

represented as mean ± SD of three biological replicates. These three genes were assessed for

knock-down as a result of endosymbiont derived chimera exposure, based on evidence that

directed knock-down of the wider corresponding host gene led to retardation of *P. bursaria*

culture growth (**3D**). For an extended figure showing the effect of Dicer knock-down on the

expression of these three host genes, see **Figure S12**. All boxplot data are represented as max,

upper quartile (Q3), mean, lower quartile (Q1) and min values of six biological replicates.

Individual data points are shown. Significance for boxplot data calculated as *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤

0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001, using a generalized linear model with quasi-Poisson distribution.

Figure 4. Single-stranded delivery of synthetic endosymbiont-derived RNA, analogous to endosymbiont mRNA, results in a cost to *P. bursaria* growth. *P. bursaria* cell number after 14 days of feeding with *E. coli* expressing; chimera RNA in ds, [+]ss or [-]ss orientation (yellow); chimera RNA in ds, [+]ss or [-]ss orientation mixed with Dicer or RdRP dsRNA (light grey; rescue); or an empty vector control (dark grey). Multiple vector delivery was conducted at a 50:50 ratio of *E. coli* strain exposure during feeding. Asterisks displayed in the grid above denote pairwise significance values. All boxplot data are represented as max, upper quartile (Q3), mean, lower quartile (Q1) and min values of six biological replicates. All significance for boxplot data calculated as *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001, 'ns' no significance, using a generalized linear model with quasi-Poisson distribution. Here and elsewhere, the term 'KD' is used in figure to denote 'knock-down'.

METHODS

Culture conditions and media

In all RNAi experiments, *Paramecium bursaria* 186b (CCAP 1660/18) strain was used. For experiments requiring a non-photo-endosymbiotic *Paramecium* system for comparison, *Paramecium tetraurelia* nd7 strain was used. For eDicer analysis, *Paramecium bursaria* 186b and Yad1g1N strains were used[49].

     Paramecium cells were cultured in New Cereal Leaf – Prescott Liquid media (NCL). NCL media was prepared by adding 4.3 mgL^{-1} CaCl$_2$.2H$_2$O, 1.6 mgL^{-1} KCl, 5.1 mgL^{-1} K$_2$HPO$_4$, 2.8 mgL^{-1} MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O to deionised water. 1 gL^{-1} wheat bran was added, and the solution boiled for 5 minutes. Once cooled, media was filtered once through Whatman Grade 1 filter paper and then through Whatman GF/C glass microfiber filter paper. Filtered NCL media was autoclaved at 121°C for 30 mins to sterilise prior to use.

     NCL medium was bacterized with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* SMC and supplemented with 0.8 mgL^{-1} β-sitosterol prior to propagation. *Paramecium* cells were sub-cultured 1:9 into fresh bacterized NCL media once per month for *Paramecium bursaria* 186b, and once every two weeks for *Paramecium tetraurelia* nd7. *Paramecium* cultures were maintained at 18°C with a light-dark (LD) cycle of 12:12h.

LysoTracker staining and fluorescent imaging

Paramecium cells were fixed using 0.5% paraformaldehyde and incubated for 20 mins at RT. Cells were then treated with 2 μM LysoTracker Green DND-26 (Invitrogen) to stain acidic vesicles such as the lysosome, and incubated in constant darkness for 2 hours at RT prior to imaging. Stained *Paramecium* cells were imaged on an ImageXpress Pico Automated Cell Imaging System at 10x magnification, using the Cy5 (absorbance – 630/40 nm, emission – 695/45 nm) and FITC (absorbance – 465/40 nm, emission – 525/30 nm) channels to capture algal chlorophyll autofluorescence and LysoTracker Green DND-26 fluorescence respectively.

Gene synthesis and construct design

Sequences for plasmid constructs were synthesised *de novo* by either Genscript or SynBio Technologies, and cloned into an L4440 plasmid vector. Sequences and cloning sites for each

674   plasmid construct are detailed in **Table S1**. All modified constructs were confirmed by Sanger

675   sequencing (Eurofins Genomics).

RNAi feeding

Paramecium was fed with *E. coli* transformed with an L4440 plasmid construct with paired

IPTG-inducible T7 promoters, facilitating targeted gene knock-down through the delivery of

complementary double-stranded RNA (dsRNA). L4440 plasmid constructs were transformed

into *E. coli* HT115 competent cells and grown overnight on LB agar (50 µgmL^{-1} Ampicillin and

12.5 µgmL^{-1} Tetracycline) at 37°C. Positive transformants were picked and grown overnight in

LB (50 µgmL^{-1} Ampicillin and 12.5 µgmL^{-1} Tetracycline) at 37°C with shaking (180 rpm).

Overnight pre-cultures were back-diluted 1:25 into 50 mL of LB (50 µgmL^{-1} Ampicillin and 12.5

µgmL^{-1} Tetracycline) and incubated for a further 2 hours under the same conditions, until an

OD$_{600}$ of between 0.4 and 0.6 was reached. *E. coli* cultures were then supplemented with 0.4

mM IPTG to induce template expression within the L4440 plasmid, and incubated for a further

3 hours under the same conditions. *E. coli* cells were pelleted by centrifugation (3100 x *g* for

2 mins), washed with sterile NCL media, and pelleted once more. *E. coli* cells were then re-

suspended in NCL media supplemented with 0.4 mM IPTG, 100 µgmL^{-1} Ampicillin, and 0.8

µgmL^{-1} β-sitosterol, and adjusted to a final OD$_{600}$ of 0.1.

Paramecium cells were pelleted by gentle centrifugation in a 96-well plate (10 mins at

800 x *g*), taking care not to disturb the cell pellet by leaving 50 µl of supernatant, and re-

suspended 1:4 into 200 µl of induced *E. coli* culture media (to make 250 µl total). Feeding was

conducted daily for up to 14 days using freshly prepared bacterized media.

Single-stranded construct design and confirmation

To create a version of L4440 which expressed only single-stranded (ssRNA), L4440 was

digested with KpnI and PvuII (Promega), gel-purified (Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up

System, Promega) and blunted using *PfuUltra* HF DNA polymerase (Agilent Technologies). The

blunt vector was re-ligated using T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Scientific) and confirmed by

sequencing (Eurofins Genomics), generating plasmid pDM004 which contains only a single T7

promoter. Fragments were then excised from their respective L4440 plasmids, or amplified

by PCR (Q5 Polymerase; New England Biolabs) to swap the restriction sites, digested, and

ligated into pDM004 to generate plasmids containing inserted fragments in sense [+] or

antisense [-] orientation. Generated plasmids were transformed into HT115 *E. coli* for use in RNAi feeding experiments.

To confirm that these plasmid constructs generated only ssRNA, cultures of *E. coli* HT115 containing pDM005-1 ([-]ssRNA chimera) or pDM005-2 ([+]ssRNA chimera) were grown overnight at 37°C, 180 rpm in LB supplemented with 50 μgmL^{-1} ampicillin and 12.5 μgmL^{-1} tetracycline. Cultures were diluted 1:25 in fresh medium and grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.4-0.6. Expression was then induced with 400 μM IPTG for 3 hrs, after which 1 mL of culture was pelleted by centrifugation for 2 mins at 3,100 x *g*. RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's protocol for Total RNA Purification from Animal Cells. 20 μl of RNA was then treated with 10 μgmL^{-1} RNase A (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 hour at 30°C in the presence of 300 mM NaCl (stabilising dsRNA and allowing RNase A to degrade only ssRNA[71]). A separate aliquot was left untreated, with 300 mM NaCl added to facilitate precipitation. All samples were then extracted with 1:1 phenol:chloroform and precipitated with 2 volumes of ethanol. Pellets were washed twice with 80% ethanol and re-suspended in nuclease-free water. RNA samples were then cleared of residual genomic DNA using the TURBO DNA-*free* Kit (Ambion), following the manufacturer's protocol for routine DNase treatment. RT-PCR was then performed using the Qiagen OneStep RT-PCR kit following the manufacturer's instructions, with 0.5 μL template RNA and 0.6 μM each primer (pDM005_RT_F: 5'-ACTTCAATGATTCGCAGCGG-3' and pDM005_RT_R: 5'-AAGTAGCTGCTGTTCTCGGT-3'), generating an 85-nt PCR product. Cycling conditions were as detailed in the manufacturer's protocol (30 cycles), with 1 min annealing at 50°C. PCR products were then resolved on a 2% agarose gel to assess for the presence/absence of amplification in each sample (**Figure S11**).

qPCR analysis

RNA was extracted from *P. bursaria* 186b for gene expression analysis after three days of RNAi feeding. *Paramecium* cells (~10^3 per culture) were pelleted by gentle centrifugation (800 x *g* for 10 mins), snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. RNA extraction was performed using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's protocol after re-suspending each pellet in 900 μl TRIzol reagent. RNA was precipitated using GlycoBlue Co-precipitant (Invitrogen) to aid RNA pellet visualisation, and then cleared of residual DNA using

the TURBO DNA-*free* Kit (Ambion), following the manufacturer's protocol for routine DNase

treatment.

RNA was reverse transcribed into single stranded cDNA using the SuperScript® III First-

Strand Synthesis SuperMix (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's protocol. Quantitative

PCR (qPCR) was performed in a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Reaction conditions were optimised using a gradient PCR, with a standard curve determined

using 10-fold dilutions of *P. bursaria* cDNA: *EF-1α* (slope: -3.353; R^2: 0.999; efficiency

98.740%), *HSP90* (slope: -3.319; R2: 0.998; efficiency 100.131%), *tub-β* (slope: -3.378; R^2:

0.992; efficiency 97.692%), and *actin* (slope: -3.349; R^2: 0.983; efficiency 98.866%), using

StepOne software v2.3. Each 20 μL reaction contained 10 μL PowerUp SYBR Green Master

Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 500 nM each primer (300 nM for *tub-β*) and 1 μL (50 ng) cDNA.

Each reaction was performed in duplicate for each of 3 biological replicates, alongside a 'no-

RT' (i.e. non-reverse transcribed RNA) control to detect any genomic DNA contamination.

Cycling conditions were as follows: UDG activation, 2 mins at 50°C and DNA polymerase

activation, 2 mins at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 secs, 95°C and 1 min at 55-65°C (*EF-1α*

(58°C), *HSP90* (58°C), *tub-β* (57°C) and *actin* (58°C)). Primers pairs for each reaction are listed

in **Table S5**. Each reaction was followed by melt-curve analysis, with a 60-95°C temperature

gradient ($0.3°C\ s^{-1}$), ensuring the presence of only a single amplicon, and ROX was used as a

reference dye for calculation of C_T values. C_T values were then used to calculate the change

in gene expression of the target gene in RNAi samples relative to control samples, using a

derivation of the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ algorithm[72].

sRNA isolation and sequencing

Total RNA for sRNA sequencing was extracted from *P. bursaria* (or free-living algal) cultures

using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), as detailed above. To isolate sRNA from total RNA, samples

were size separated on a denaturing 15% TBE-UREA polyacrylamide gel. Gels were prepared

with a 15 mL mix with final concentrations of 15% Acrylamide/Bis (19:1), 8M UREA, TBE (89

mM Tris, 89 mM Borate, 2 mM EDTA), and the polymerisation started by the addition of 150

μL 10% APS (Sigma-Aldrich) and 20 μL TEMED (Sigma-Aldrich). Gels were pre-equilibrated by

running for 15 mins (200 V, 30 mA) in TBE before RNA loading. The ladder mix consisted of

500 ng ssRNA ladder (50-1000nt, NEB#N0364S), and 5-10 ng of each 21 & 26-nt RNA oligo

loaded per lane. The marker and samples were mixed with 2X RNA loading dye (NEB) and heat

denatured at 90 °C for 3 mins before snap cooling on ice for 2 min prior to loading. Blank lanes

were left between samples/replicates to prevent cross-contamination during band excision.

Gels were then run for 50 mins (200V, 30 mA).

Once run, gels were stained by shaking (60 rpm) for 20 mins at RT in a 40 mL TBE

solution containing 4 µL SYBR® Gold Nucleic Acid Gel Stain. Bands of the desired size range

(~15-30 nt) were visualised under blue light, excised and placed into a 0.5 mL tube pierced at

the bottom by a 21-gauge needle, resting within a 1.5 mL tube, and centrifuged (16,000 x g

for 1 min). 400 µL of RNA elution buffer (1M Sodium acetate pH 5.5 and 1mM EDTA) was

added to the 1.5 mL tube containing centrifuged gel slurry, and the empty 0.5 mL tube

discarded. Gel slurry was manually homogenized until dissolved using a 1 mL sterile plunger

and incubated at RT for 2 hours with shaking at 1,400 rpm.

Solutions containing RNA elution buffer and gel slurry were transferred to a Costar Spin-

X 0.22 µm filter column and centrifuged (16,000 x g for 1 min). The filter insert containing

acrylamide was discarded. 1 mL of 100% EtOH was added to each solution, alongside 15 µg of

GlycoBlue™ Coprecipitant (Invitrogen) to aid sRNA pellet visualisation, and stored overnight

at -80°C to precipitate. Precipitated solutions were centrifuged at 4°C (12,000 x g for 30 mins),

and the supernatant discarded. sRNA pellets were washed with 500 µL of cold 70% EtOH

(12,000 x g for 15 mins at 4°C), and air dried in a sterile PCR hood for 10 mins, before re-

suspending in 15 µL of RNAse-free water and storage at -80°C.

sRNA-seq and read processing

sRNA concentrations were determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer, following the

Agilent Small RNA kit protocol, and all samples matched to 0.7 ngmL^{-1} prior to sequencing.

Library preparation and subsequent RNA-seq was performed for 54 samples using 50-bp

paired-end, rapid run across four lanes on an Illumina HiSeq 2500, yielding ~120-150 million

paired-end reads per lane (~9-11 million paired-end reads per sample).

The raw paired-end reads from the RNA-seq libraries were trimmed using Trim Galore

in order to remove barcodes (4-nt from each 3'- and 5'- end) and sRNA adaptors, with

additional settings of a phred-score quality threshold of 20 and minimum length of 16-nt.

Result were subsequently checked with FastQC.

Assigning sRNAs to the algal endosymbiont transcript bins

Trimmed reads were mapped against the 'endosymbiont' dataset of assembled transcripts

using the HISAT2 alignment program with default settings. Post-mapping, the BAM files were

processed   using   SAMTOOLS   and   a   set   of   custom   scripts

(https://github.com/guyleonard/paramecium) to produce a table of mapped read accessions

and their respective read lengths. Using these exported count tables, of mapped reads per

read length, transcripts with >10 hits for read lengths between 21-25 nt were searched using

reciprocal BLASTX against the NCBI non-redundant 'nr' proteins sequence database, in order

to assign taxonomic identity to each transcript. This allowed filtering of the main

'endosymbiont' dataset into subsets corresponding to either: algal cytoplasmic mRNA, algal

cytoplasmic rRNA, algal plastid RNA or algal mitochondrial RNA; host RNA contamination;

bacterial RNA contamination; or vector RNA contamination. All identified algal cytoplasmic

rRNA (28 transcripts), plastid RNA (56 transcripts) or mitochondrial RNA (18 transcripts)

sequences were sorted into new datasets representing each RNA species. Host (5 transcripts)

and bacterial (34 transcripts) contamination were sorted into respective 'host' or 'bacterial'

datasets.   Vector (1 transcript) and all unidentifiable sequences (20 transcripts) were

separated into a dataset labelled as 'other'. All remaining transcripts with >10 sRNA hits for

read sizes 21-25 nt in the original dataset now represented putative algal mRNA transcripts

(i.e. for 23-nt reads this represented 3,659 sRNA reads mapping to 148 transcripts). In this

algal mRNA dataset, we also included transcripts that fell below the >10 sRNA hit threshold

for manual curation, and which therefore may correspond to reads mapping to all of the

above bins (i.e. for 23-nt reads this represented 1,949 reads mapping to 605 transcripts in

total, of which 1,408 reads were mapping to 468 transcripts which were not manually curated,

so could be affected by contamination). This manual binning process was carried out in order

to double check the original automated binning post transcriptome assembly, and to check

for possible chimeric host-algal transcript sequences produced as a by-product of cDNA

synthesis and transcriptome sequencing and assembly. This process collectively allowed

accurate segregation of the existing 'endosymbiont' transcript bin into discrete subsets based

on RNA 'species'.

Using the HISAT2 alignment program with default settings, trimmed reads were

mapped once more against the newly filtered algal mRNA, rRNA, plastid and mitochondrial

datasets from above. Count tables of mapped reads per read length were once again

generated from the BAM files, and used to plot a size distribution of 21-25 nt endosymbiont

derived sRNA abundance per RNA species. Size distributions of sRNA abundance for each

sample were plotted using the R programming language packages; tidyverse, grid.extra and

ggplot2 in R Studio.

eDicer methods for identifying putative RNA-RNA interactions

To predict putative mRNA-mRNA interactions using eDicer

(https://github.com/fmaguire/eDicer), both the 'host' and 'endosymbiont' transcript bins

processed from transcriptome data for *P. bursaria* Yad1g1N[67] were further filtered to

minimise the risk of false positives for host and endosymbiont cross-comparisons. For the

'host' bins, any transcript with >90% ID BLASTN hit to the following genome assemblies were

removed: *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* cc503 cw92 mt, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* v3,

Chlorella sorokiniana 1228 v2, *Chlorella sorokiniana* DOE1412 v3, or *Chlorella sorokiniana*

utex1230 lanl v2 assemblies from Los Alamos National Labs Greenhouse genome database.

Similarly, for the 'endosymbiont' bins, any transcript with >90% ID BLASTN hit to the following

ParameciumDB genome assemblies were removed: *P. biaurelia* V1-4 v1, *P. caudatum* 43c3d

v1, *P. bursaria* MAC 110224 v1, *P. decaurelia* MAC 223 v1, *P. dodecaurelia* MAC 274 v1, *P.*

jenningsi MAC M v1, *P. novaurelia* MAC TE v1, *P. octaurelia* K8 CA1, *P. quadecaurelia* MAC

NiA v1, *P. primaurelia* Ir4-2 v1, *P. tetraurelia* MAC 51 with and without IES, or *P. tetraurelia*

MAC. Additionally, the reference (refseq) genome of *Escherichia coli* MG1655 (NCBI acc.:

NZ_CP012868.1) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* HS11286 (NCBI acc.: NC_016845.1) were used as

'food' comparison datasets.

The filtered 'endosymbiont' transcript bin, *E. coli* CDS and *K. pneumoniae* CDS were

decomposed into all possible 21-23 nt reads with jellyfish v2.2.10 and aligned with 95%

identity to the 'host' bin using Bowtie v1.2.3 (recommended for short alignments). These *in-*

silico RNA-RNA interaction simulations were performed using a wrapper tool we created

named eDicer (v1.0.0) (https://github.com/fmaguire/eDicer). The number of distinct aligning

k-mers (i.e. putative interactions of unique sequences) for each pair of bins were then

normalised by dividing by the total number of distinct k-mers in both bins, and x 100, to

calculate a Jaccard Index % (i.e. normalised set similarity).

To predict putative 'lethal' RNA-RNA interactions, a separate analysis was conducted

using a subset of each dataset that was putatively homologous to a yeast 'lethal' gene

database[68]. This 'lethal' dataset contained genes known to be conditionally essential in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. These putative 'lethal' homologues for each dataset were

identified using a tBLASTx search of the yeast 'lethal' database[68] with a gathering threshold

set at 1e-10 with a minimum of 50% sequence identity. Once curated, each 'lethal' dataset

was subject to eDicer analysis as described above.

To predict putative rRNA-rRNA interactions using eDicer, a further analysis was

conducted using a dataset consisting of full-length ribosomal RNA (rRNA) clusters for

Microactinium conductrix [NCBI acc.: ASM224581v2][73], *K. pneumoniae* [NCBI acc.:

NC_016845.1][74], *E. coli* [NCBI acc.: NZ_CP012868.1], *P. bursaria* Yad1g1N[67] and *P. bursaria*

186b. Once again, each dataset was subject to eDicer analysis as described above. All *in-silico*

RNA-RNA interaction predictions were plotted using the R programming language packages;

tidyverse, grid.extra and ggplot2 in R Studio.

Manual curation of additional host transcript bins

In order to identify host transcripts that could be impacted by putative RNA-RNA interactions

as a result of endosymbiont derived sRNA exposure, trimmed Illumina reads for all sRNA

sequencing samples were mapped to the 'endosymbiont mRNA' transcript dataset. Resulting

mapping   files   were   filtered   with   custom   scripts

(https://github.com/guyleonard/paramecium) producing tables of mapped hits with their

respective read lengths. Reads from all tables, of length 23-nt only, were then extracted with

SEQTK (resulting in 3,690 total). A BLASTn search of these 23-nt endosymbiont reads was

conducted against the 'host' transcript dataset, to identify host transcripts with ≥ 95% identity

over a 23-nt region. This resulted in three candidate host transcripts. These three host

transcripts were searched using BLASTx against the NCBI non-redundant 'nr' protein

database, resulting in one transcript with 100% sequence identity to both endosymbiont and

host HSP90. For the remaining two transcripts, SNPs present in the identified 23-nt mapped

sequence indicate that these are putative host sequences with 2-nt of mismatch compared

to the respective endosymbiont sequence, and were therefore unlikely to represent a product

of RNA-RNA interactions between endosymbiont and host as a result of endosymbiont

derived 23-nt sRNA exposure.

In order to identify host transcripts that could be classified as 'non-hit' transcripts (i.e.

host transcripts sharing a low level of sequence identity with algal transcripts over 23-nt

regions), the 'host' dataset was searched using an organism specific BLASTn (Chlorellaceae

[NCBI: taxid35461]) against the NCBI nucleotide 'nr/nt' database with a minimum expectation

of 1e-05. Any host transcripts sharing >20-nt sequence identity with algal transcripts over a

23-nt region were rejected. This process was repeated until a dataset of 20 'non-hit-algal' host

transcripts were identified. These putative 'non-hit-algal' host transcripts were then searched

using BLASTx against the NCBI non-redundant 'nr' protein database, to confirm that these 20

transcripts were derived from *Paramecium* and were not bacterial contamination.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The raw reads generated during sRNA sequencing are available on the NCBI Sequence Read

Archive (accessions: SAMN14932981, SAMN14932982). All other datasets are available on

Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.4978160.v1), under the relevant headings.

Custom scripts for sRNA read processing (https://github.com/guyleonard/paramecium,

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4638888) and eDicer comparative analysis

(https://github.com/fmaguire/eDicer, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4659378) are

available on GitHub and archived within the Zenodo repository..

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Archibald, J. M. Endosymbiosis and Eukaryotic Cell Evolution. *Curr. Biol.* **25**, R911-921

(2015).

2. Baurain, D. *et al.* Phylogenomic Evidence for Separate Acquisition of Plastids in

Cryptophytes, Haptophytes, and Stramenopiles. *Molecular biology and evolution* **27**,

1698–709 (2010).

3. Keeling, P. J. The Number, Speed, and Impact of Plastid Endosymbioses in Eukaryotic

Evolution. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **64**, 583–607 (2013).

4. Archibald, J. M. The puzzle of plastid evolution. *Curr Biol* **19**, R81-8 (2009).

5. Howe, C. J., Barbrook, A. C., Nisbet, R. E. R., Lockhart, P. J. & Larkum, A. W. D. The

origin of plastids. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **363**, 2675–2685 (2008).

6. Esteban, G. F., Fenchel, T. & Finlay, B. J. Mixotrophy in Ciliates. *Protist* **161**, 621–641

(2010).

7. Timmis, J. N., Ayliffe, M. A., Huang, C. Y. & Martin, W. Endosymbiotic gene transfer:

organelle genomes forge eukaryotic chromosomes. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **5**, 123–135 (2004).

8. Gray, M. W. Mitochondrial Evolution. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **4**, (2012).

9. Moran, N. A. Symbiosis as an adaptive process and source of phenotypic complexity.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **104 Suppl 1**, 8627–8633 (2007).

10. Fujishima, M. & Kodama, Y. Endosymbionts in paramecium. *Eur J Protistol* **48**, 124–37

(2012).

11. Zagata, P., Greczek-Stachura, M., Tarcz, S. & Rautian, M. The Evolutionary

Relationships between Endosymbiotic Green Algae of Paramecium bursaria Syngens

Originating from Different Geographical Locations. *Folia Biol (Krakow)* **64**, 47–54

(2016).

12. Matthews, J. L. *et al.* Partner switching and metabolic flux in a model cnidarian-

dinoflagellate symbiosis. *Proc Biol Sci* **285**, (2018).

13. Matsuura, Y. *et al.* Recurrent symbiont recruitment from fungal parasites in cicadas.

PNAS **115**, E5970–E5979 (2018).

14. Husnik, F. & McCutcheon, J. P. Repeated replacement of an intrabacterial symbiont in

the tripartite nested mealybug symbiosis. *PNAS* **113**, E5416–E5424 (2016).

15. Ågren, J. A., Davies, N. G. & Foster, K. R. Enforcement is central to the evolution of

cooperation. *Nat Ecol Evol* **3**, 1018–1029 (2019).

16. West, S. A., Kiers, E. T., Simms, E. L. & Denison, R. F. Sanctions and mutualism

stability: why do rhizobia fix nitrogen? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.*

Series B: Biological Sciences **269**, 685–694 (2002).

17. Siegel, R. W. Hereditary endosymbiosis in Paramecium bursaria. *Exp Cell Res* **19**, 239–
52 (1960).

18. Achilles-Day, U. E. & Day, J. G. Isolation of clonal cultures of endosymbiotic green
algae from their ciliate hosts. *J Microbiol Methods* **92**, 355–7 (2013).

19. Hoshina, R. & Kusuoka, Y. DNA Analysis of Algal Endosymbionts of Ciliates Reveals
the State of Algal Integration and the Surprising Specificity of the Symbiosis. *Protist*
167, 174–184 (2016).

20. Kodama, Y. & Fujishima, M. Infectivity of Chlorella species for the ciliate Paramecium
bursaria is not based on sugar residues of their cell wall components, but on their ability
to localize beneath the host cell membrane after escaping from the host digestive vacuole
in the early infection process. *Protoplasma* **231**, 55–63 (2007).

21. Brown, J. A. & Nielsen, P. J. Transfer of photosynthetically produced carbohydrate from
endosymbiotic Chlorellae to Paramecium bursaria. *J. Protozool.* **21**, 569–570 (1974).

22. Johnson, M. D. Acquired phototrophy in ciliates: a review of cellular interactions and
structural adaptations. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* **58**, 185–95 (2011).

23. Kato, Y. & Imamura, N. Amino acid transport systems of JapaneseParamecium symbiont
F36-ZK. *Symbiosis* **47**, 99–107 (2009).

24. Kato, Y. & Imamura, N. Effect of sugars on amino acid transport by symbiotic Chlorella.
Plant Physiol Biochem **46**, 911–7 (2008).

25. Kawakami, H. & Kawakami, N. Behavior of a Virus in a Symbiotic System, Paramecium
bursaria—Zoochlorella. *The Journal of Protozoology* **25**, 217–225 (1978).

26. Parker, R. C. Symbiosis in Paramecium bursaria. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* **46**, 1–
12 (1926).

27. Ziesenisz, E., Reisser, W. & Wiessner, W. Evidence of de novo synthesis of maltose

excreted by the endosymbiotic Chlorella from Paramecium bursaria. *Planta* **153**, 481–

485 (1981).

28. Kodama, Y. & Fujishima, M. Symbiotic Chlorella variabilis incubated under constant

dark conditions for 24 hours loses the ability to avoid digestion by host lysosomal

enzymes in digestive vacuoles of host ciliate Paramecium bursaria. *FEMS Microbiol.*

Ecol. **90**, 946–955 (2014).

29. Kodama, Y. & Fujishima, M. Cycloheximide induces synchronous swelling of perialgal

vacuoles enclosing symbiotic Chlorella vulgaris and digestion of the algae in the ciliate

Paramecium bursaria. *Protist* **159**, 483–94 (2008).

30. Omura, G. *et al.* A bacteria-free monoxenic culture of Paramecium bursaria: its growth

characteristics and the re-establishment of symbiosis with Chlorella in bacteria-free

conditions. *Jpn J Protozool* **37**, (2004).

31. Tanaka, M. *et al.* Complete elimination of endosymbiotic algae from Paramecium

bursaria and its confirmation by diagnostic PCR. *Acta Protozool* **41**, 255–261 (2002).

32. Lowe, C. D., Minter, E. J., Cameron, D. D. & Brockhurst, M. A. Shining a Light on

Exploitative Host Control in a Photosynthetic Endosymbiosis. *Curr Biol* **26**, 207–211

(2016).

33. Sørensen, M. E. S. *et al.* The role of exploitation in the establishment of mutualistic

microbial symbioses. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **366**, (2019).

34. Sørensen, M. E. S., Wood, A. J., Cameron, D. D. & Brockhurst, M. A. Rapid

compensatory evolution can rescue low fitness symbioses following partner-switching.

bioRxiv 2020.11.06.371401 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.11.06.371401.

35. Axelrod, R. & Hamilton, W. D. The evolution of cooperation. *Science* **211**, 1390–1396

(1981).

36. Foster, K. R. & Wenseleers, T. A general model for the evolution of mutualisms. *J. Evol.*

Biol. **19**, 1283–1293 (2006).

37. Frank, S. A. *Foundations of Social Evolution*. (Princeton University Press, 1998).

38. Herre, E. A., Knowlton, N., Mueller, U. G. & Rehner, S. A. The evolution of mutualisms:

exploring the paths between conflict and cooperation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **14**,

49–53 (1999).

39. Szathmáry, E. & Smith, J. M. The major evolutionary transitions. *Nature* **374**, 227–232

(1995).

40. West, S. A., Fisher, R. M., Gardner, A. & Kiers, E. T. Major evolutionary transitions in

individuality. *PNAS* **112**, 10112–10119 (2015).

41. Buck, A. H. *et al.* Exosomes secreted by nematode parasites transfer small RNAs to

mammalian cells and modulate innate immunity. *Nat Commun* **5**, 5488 (2014).

42. Guo, Z., Li, Y. & Ding, S. W. Small RNA-based antimicrobial immunity. *Nat Rev*

Immunol **19**, 31–44 (2019).

43. Huang, C. Y., Wang, H., Hu, P., Hamby, R. & Jin, H. Small RNAs - Big Players in Plant-

Microbe Interactions. *Cell Host Microbe* **26**, 173–182 (2019).

44. Wang, M. *et al.* Bidirectional cross-kingdom RNAi and fungal uptake of external RNAs

confer plant protection. *Nat Plants* **2**, 16151 (2016).

45. Weiberg, A. *et al.* Fungal small RNAs suppress plant immunity by hijacking host RNA

interference pathways. *Science* **342**, 118–23 (2013).

46. Fire, A. *et al.* Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in

Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* **391**, 806–11 (1998).

47. Keeling, P. J. & McCutcheon, J. P. Endosymbiosis: The feeling is not mutual. *J. Theor.*

Biol. **434**, 75–79 (2017).

48. Oulhen, N., Schulz, B. J. & Carrier, T. J. English translation of Heinrich Anton de Bary's

1878 speech, 'Die Erscheinung der Symbiose' ('De la symbiose'). *Symbiosis* **69**, 131–

139 (2016).

49. Jenkins, B. H. *et al. Characterisation of the RNA-interference pathway as a Tool for*

Genetics in the Nascent Phototrophic Endosymbiosis, Paramecium bursaria.

http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.12.16.423098 (2020)

doi:10.1101/2020.12.16.423098.

50. Carradec, Q. *et al.* Primary and secondary siRNA synthesis triggered by RNAs from food

bacteria in the ciliate Paramecium tetraurelia. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, 1818–33 (2015).

51. Galvani, A. & Sperling, L. RNA interference by feeding in Paramecium. *Trends Genet*

18, 11–2 (2002).

52. Karunanithi, S. *et al.* Exogenous RNAi mechanisms contribute to transcriptome

adaptation by phased siRNA clusters in Paramecium. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, 8036–8049

(2019).

53. Marker, S., Carradec, Q., Tanty, V., Arnaiz, O. & Meyer, E. A forward genetic screen

reveals essential and non-essential RNAi factors in Paramecium tetraurelia. *Nucleic Acids*

Res **42**, 7268–80 (2014).

54. Marker, S., Le Mouël, A., Meyer, E. & Simon, M. Distinct RNA-dependent RNA

polymerases are required for RNAi triggered by double-stranded RNA versus truncated

transgenes in Paramecium tetraurelia. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**, 4092–107 (2010).

55. Karunanithi, S. *et al.* Feeding exogenous dsRNA interferes with endogenous sRNA

accumulation in Paramecium. *DNA research : an international journal for rapid*

publication of reports on genes and genomes **27**, (2020).

56. Ayala, A. & Weis, D. S. The Effect of Puromycin and Cycloheximide on the Infection of

Algae-Free Paramecium bursaria by Symbiotic Chlorellae1. *The Journal of Protozoology*

34, 377–381 (1987).

57. Stevens, D. R., Atteia, A., Franzén, L. G. & Purton, S. Cycloheximide resistance

conferred by novel mutations in ribosomal protein L41 of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii.

Mol Gen Genet **264**, 790–5 (2001).

58. Yao, M. C. & Yao, C. H. Transformation of Tetrahymena to cycloheximide resistance

with a ribosomal protein gene through sequence replacement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

88, 9493–7 (1991).

59. Cerutti, H., Ma, X., Msanne, J. & Repas, T. RNA-Mediated Silencing in Algae:

Biological Roles and Tools for Analysis of Gene Function ▽. *Eukaryot Cell* **10**, 1164–

1172 (2011).

60. Hoehener, C., Hug, I. & Nowacki, M. Dicer-like Enzymes with Sequence Cleavage

Preferences. *Cell* **173**, 234-247.e7 (2018).

61. Hoshina, R., Kato, Y., Kamako, S. & Imamura, N. Genetic Evidence of "American" and

"European" Type Symbiotic Algae of Paramecium bursaria Ehrenberg. *Plant biol (Stuttg)*

7, 526–532 (2005).

62. Ahmed, F. & Raghava, G. P. S. Designing of Highly Effective Complementary and

Mismatch siRNAs for Silencing a Gene. *PLoS One* **6**, (2011).

63. Du, Q., Thonberg, H., Wang, J., Wahlestedt, C. & Liang, Z. A systematic analysis of the

silencing effects of an active siRNA at all single-nucleotide mismatched target sites.

Nucleic Acids Res **33**, 1671–1677 (2005).

64. Jackson, A. L. *et al.* Widespread siRNA "off-target" transcript silencing mediated by

seed region sequence complementarity. *RNA* **12**, 1179–1187 (2006).

65. Doench, J. G., Petersen, C. P. & Sharp, P. A. siRNAs can function as miRNAs. *Genes*

Dev **17**, 438–442 (2003).

66. Ameres, S. L., Martinez, J. & Schroeder, R. Molecular basis for target RNA recognition

and cleavage by human RISC. *Cell* **130**, 101–112 (2007).

67. Kodama, Y. *et al.* Comparison of gene expression of Paramecium bursaria with and

without Chlorella variabilis symbionts. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 183 (2014).

68. Cotton, J. A. & McInerney, J. O. Eukaryotic genes of archaebacterial origin are more

important than the more numerous eubacterial genes, irrespective of function.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **107**, 17252–17255 (2010).

69. Hickey, D. A. Selfish DNA: a sexually-transmitted nuclear parasite. *Genetics* **101**, 519–

531 (1982).

70. Kiers, E. T., Rousseau, R. A., West, S. A. & Denison, R. F. Host sanctions and the

legume–rhizobium mutualism. *Nature* **425**, 78–81 (2003).

71. Hansen, D. R., Van Alfen, N. K., Gillies, K. & Powell, W. A. Naked dsRNA Associated

with Hypovirulence of Endothia parasitica Is Packaged in Fungal Vesicles. *Journal of*

General Virology, **66**, 2605–2614 (1985).

72. Zhang, J. D., Biczok, R. & Ruschhaupt, M. *ddCt: The ddCt Algorithm for the Analysis of*

Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR). (Bioconductor version: Release (3.11), 2020).

doi:10.18129/B9.bioc.ddCt.

73. Arriola, M. B. *et al.* Genome sequences of Chlorella sorokiniana UTEX 1602 and

Micractinium conductrix SAG 241.80: implications to maltose excretion by a green alga.

The Plant Journal **93**, 566–586 (2018).

74. Liu, P. *et al.* Complete genome sequence of Klebsiella pneumoniae subsp. pneumoniae

HS11286, a multidrug-resistant strain isolated from human sputum. *J. Bacteriol.* **194**,

1841–1842 (2012).

1084