Auditory processing remains sensitive to environmental experience during adolescence

Kelsey L. Anbuhl[1], Justin D. Yao[1], Robert A. Hotz[1], Todd M. Mowery[1,5], Dan H. Sanes[1,2,3,4]

[1] Center for Neural Science, New York University, 4 Washington Place, New York, NY 10003
[2] Department of Psychology, New York University
[3] Department of Biology, New York University
[4] Neuroscience Institute at NYU Langone School of Medicine
[5] Department of Otolaryngology, Rutgers University

Contact: Kelsey L. Anbuhl: kla7@nyu.edu; Dan H. Sanes; dhs1@nyu.edu

Pages 50
Figures 13 (9 main figures, 4 supplemental)
Tables 0

Key Words
        hearing loss, auditory cortex, auditory perception, developmental plasticity

Abbreviations
        auditory cortex (AC), hearing loss (HL), amplitude modulation (AM), inhibitory postsynaptic potential (IPSP), excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP)

Acknowledgements
        This work was supported by NIMH T32-MH019524 (KLA), NIDCD F32-DC018195-02 (KLA), and NIDCD R01-DC011284 (DHS). We would also like to acknowledge the Endocrine Technologies Core (ETSC) at the Oregon National Primate Research Center (ONPRC), which is supported by NIH Grant P51 OD011092 (ONPRC). Research reported in this publication through ETSC at the ONPRC was supported by the Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number S10OD026701. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. We also thank Lisa Ramirez for assistance in histological preparation and analyses. We are grateful to Melissa Caras and members of the Sanes lab for support and insightful feedback regarding analyses and interpretation of the data.

Author Contributions
        KLA and DHS designed research and secured funding; KLA collected behavioral and *in vivo* physiological data; RAH collected behavioral control data in Figure 9; TMM collected *in vitro* physiology data; KLA, TMM, and JDY analyzed data. KLA and DHS wrote the manuscript.

Conflict of interest
        The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Abstract

Development is a time of great opportunity. A heightened period of neural plasticity contributes to dramatic improvements in perceptual, motor, and cognitive skills. However, developmental plasticity poses a risk: greater malleability of neural circuits exposes them to environmental factors that may impede behavioral maturation. While these risks are well-established prior to sexual maturity (i.e., critical periods), the degree of neural vulnerability during adolescence remains uncertain. To address this question, we induced a transient period of hearing loss (HL) spanning adolescence in the gerbil, confirmed by assessment of circulating sex hormones, and asked whether behavioral and neural deficits are diminished. Wireless recordings were obtained from auditory cortex neurons during perceptual task performance, and within-session behavioral and neural sensitivity were compared. We found that a transient period of adolescent HL caused a significant perceptual deficit (i.e., amplitude modulation detection thresholds) that could be attributed to degraded auditory cortex processing, as confirmed with both single neuron and population-level analyses. In contrast, perceptual deficits did not occur when HL of the same duration was induced in adulthood. To determine whether degraded auditory cortex encoding was attributable to an intrinsic change, we obtained auditory cortex brain slices from adolescent HL animals, and recorded synaptic and discharge properties from auditory cortex pyramidal neurons. There was a clear and novel phenotype, distinct from critical period HL: excitatory postsynaptic potential amplitudes were elevated in adolescent HL animals, whereas inhibitory postsynaptic potentials were unchanged. This is in contrast to critical period deprivation, where there are large changes to synaptic inhibition. Taken together, these results show that diminished adolescent sensory experience can cause long-lasting behavioral deficits that originate, in part, from a dysfunctional cortical circuit.

Summary of experimental design and main findings.

Main text / Introduction

The adolescent brain is distinguished by structural and functional changes that coincide with late-developing behavioral skills. In humans, synaptic pruning, myelination and cortical evoked-potentials each continue to mature well into the second or third decade of life (Sharma et al., 1997; Giedd et al., 1999; Moore and Guan, 2001; Bishop et al., 2007; Sussman et al., 2008; Pinto et al., 2010; Lebel and Beaulieu, 2011; Petanjek et al., 2011; Mahajan and McArthur, 2012b, 2012a; Shafer et al., 2015). Similarly, many behavioral skills are late to mature, including auditory perceptual learning (Huyck & Wright, 2011, 2013), temporal processing skills required for aural language (Banai et al., 2011; McMurray et al., 2018), face recognition (Carey et al., 1980; Germine et al., 2011), and fine motor control (Dayanidhi et al., 2013). Furthermore, the transition from childhood to adulthood is characterized by prolonged development of executive function (Selemon, 2013; Downes et al., 2017; Simmonds et al., 2017), emotion regulation (Guyer et al., 2008; Cohen Kadosh et al., 2013; Kadosh et al., 2013), and social skills (Blakemore, 2012). At the same time, adolescence is associated with risk factors, such as stress (Eiland and Romeo, 2013) or substance abuse (Davidson et al., 2015), and is a time during which many psychiatric disorders emerge (Paus et al., 2008). Here, we ask whether adolescent plasticity poses a risk for neural and behavioral development of sensory function, similar to postnatal critical periods (Hubel and Wiesel, 1970; Van der Loos and Woolsey, 1973; Knudsen et al., 1984b; Hensch, 2005; de Villers-Sidani et al., 2007; Popescu and Polley, 2010; Cheetham and Belluscio, 2014; Mowery et al., 2015).

There is broad agreement that, prior to sexual maturity, sensory deprivation can permanently disrupt central nervous system function when initiated during brief epochs, termed developmental critical periods (Hubel and Wiesel, 1970; Van der Loos and Woolsey, 1973; Knudsen et al., 1984b; Hensch, 2005; de Villers-Sidani et al., 2007; Popescu and Polley, 2010; Cheetham and Belluscio, 2014; Mowery et al., 2015). For example, a brief period of mild hearing loss (HL) can induce long-lasting changes to gerbil auditory cortex inhibitory synapses when it occurs before postnatal day (P) 19, but not after (Mowery et al., 2015, 2017). Furthermore, a similar period of HL induces perceptual deficits (Caras and Sanes, 2015), which can be rescued by restoring synaptic inhibition (Mowery et al., 2019). A perceptual deficit has also been reported for 5-year-old children with a history of transient HL (McKenna Benoit et al., 2018), in agreement with other human studies suggesting critical periods prior to sexual maturity (Sharma et al., 2002; Svirsky et al., 2004; Putzar et al., 2007, 2010).

While non-human research has focused on early critical periods, childhood HL can often emerge

after birth (Lü et al., 2011; Barreira-Nielsen et al., 2016) and extend through adolescence (Niskar

et al., 2001; Shargorodsky et al., 2010), resulting in more severe language deficits than those with

brief HL (Yoshinaga-Itano et al., 1998; Tomblin et al., 2015). In fact, a majority of adolescents

exhibit a mild-minimal high-frequency hearing loss that coincides with poorer speech perception

in noise (Zadeh et al., 2019). Adolescents with HL are at risk for social isolation (Patel et al.,

2020), and higher rates of psychiatric, depressive, or anxiety disorders (Theunissen et al., 2014).

Thus, adolescence may be associated with greater vulnerability to even a transient period of

auditory deprivation. To address this question, we asked whether a transient period of auditory

deprivation, beginning after the auditory cortex (AC) critical period closes (Mowery et al., 2015,

2017, 2019) and extending throughout the period of sexual maturation, disrupts perceptual and

neural auditory function. By comparing this to an identical manipulation that began in adulthood,

we found that a temporary period of adolescent HL selectively impaired detection of amplitude

modulations (AM), a foundational sound cue for aural communication including speech (Singh

and Theunissen, 2003). These perceptual deficits were linked to poorer AC neuron encoding

during task performance, and a change to AC synaptic properties distinct from that observed

following critical period deprivation (Mowery et al., 2015, 2017, 2019). Taken together, our study

suggests a sensitive period for sensory function during adolescent development.

Results

Transient sensory deprivation spans adolescence.

To determine whether auditory function is vulnerable during adolescence, we induced transient hearing loss (HL) at postnatal (P) day 23, after a well-defined AC critical period ends (Mowery et al., 2015). Normal hearing was restored (i.e., earplugs were removed) at P102, after the animals had passed through adolescence and reached sexual maturity (Figure 1A). To confirm the time course of sexual maturation, we tracked testosterone levels across development, both in normal hearing animals (n=12) and littermates with transient auditory deprivation during adolescence (n=14). Figure 1B shows serum testosterone levels for male (solid line) and female (dotted line) gerbils from P35 to P102. For males, testosterone levels were negligible at P35 (<0.2 ng/mL), rose sharply at P54 (2.42±0.8 ng/mL), and remained elevated thereafter. A two-way repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significant effect of age on testosterone levels ($F_{(4,39)}$ = 3.7, p=0.01), no effect of hearing loss ($F_{(1,39)}$ = 0.07, p=0.8), and no interaction between the two variables ($F_{(4,39)}$ = 0.56, p=0.7). For females, there was a small, transient rise in testosterone between P35 and P54, with no significant effect of hearing loss on testosterone levels ($F_{(1,38)}$ = 3.13, p=0.08). Therefore, the auditory deprivation between P23-102 likely spans the entirety of adolescence. Furthermore, we examined estradiol, another sex hormone, in normal hearing and HL animals at P90-102. We found comparable levels of serum estradiol in the females of both groups (Ctrl: 0.004 ng/mL; HL: 0.005 ng/mL) and negligible amounts in the males of both groups (Ctrl: <0.003 ng/mL; HL: <0.003 ng/mL). This suggests that both normal hearing and HL groups had similar levels of sex hormones by P102, the age at which the earplugs were removed (for the HL group).

Figure 1. Transient sensory deprivation during adolescence. (**A**) Experimental timeline of manipulation and behavioral, physiological assessment. Gerbils either received transient hearing loss (HL; via bilateral earplugs) from postnatal (P) day 23, after the auditory cortex (AC) critical period ends, through P102, after sexual maturity (n=14) or no earplugs (littermate controls, n=12). Following earplug removal, animals recovered for 21 days prior to behavioral training and perceptual testing. Following behavioral assessment, auditory brainstem responses (ABRs) were collected in all animals. After ABR collection, animals were either chronically implanted in the AC and used for awake-behaving recordings (Ctrl: n=7; HL: n=9), or had brain slices collected for *in vitro* AC recordings (Ctrl: n=5; HL: n=5). (**B**) Serum testosterone levels across age for male (solid line) and female (dotted line) gerbils. The auditory deprivation induced spanned the entire time course of sexual maturation (estradiol not shown).

<u>Adolescent hearing loss did not alter procedural learning.</u>

Following transient HL and earplug removal at P102, gerbils recovered for 21 days prior to

behavioral training on the amplitude modulation (AM) depth detection task (Figure 2A). Control

and adolescent HL animals learned the AM detection task procedure at a similar rate (Figure 2B).

A two-way mixed-model ANOVA revealed a significant effect of trial number on performance

($F_{(74,1776)}$ = 19.8, p<0.0001), but no effect of earplug experience ($F_{(1,24)}$ = 0.2685, p = 0.61), and no

interaction between the two variables ($F_{(74, 1776)}$ = 1.18, p = 0.1413). Thus, normal hearing controls

and adolescent HL animals required a comparable number of Warn trials to reach the criterion for

training performance (d' ≥ 1.5; Figure 2C). A one-way ANOVA revealed that the HL manipulation

had no effect on the number of trials required to reach criterion during training ($t_{(24)}$ = -0.55; p =

0.59). In addition, the HL manipulation had no effect on the average d' achieved during the last

20 trials of procedural training (one-way ANOVA: $t_{(24)}$ = -0.23; p = 0.82; Figure 2D). Therefore,

the two groups were equally proficient at task performance prior to psychometric testing.

Figure 2. Hearing loss (HL) during adolescence did not alter procedural training performance in adulthood. (**A**) Schematic of the amplitude modulation (AM) detection task. Animals were trained to drink from a water spout in the presence of continuous broadband noise (Safe trials; classified as a "correct reject") and to cease drinking when the noise transitioned to a modulated "Warn" signal (0 dB relative to 100% AM noise; 5 Hz rate; classified as a "Hit"). Failure to withdraw from the spout during Warn trials (classified as a "Miss") resulted in a mild aversive shock. Withdrawing from the spout during Safe trials is classified as a "False Alarm". Behavioral performance is quantified by utilizing the signal detection metric, d'. (**B**) Procedural training sessions (3-4 separate sessions) were combined to compute behavioral performance (d') as a function of warn trial number using a 5-trial sliding window. Dotted line boxes correspond to data shown in C, D. Data are depicted as the mean ± SEM. (**C**) Number of warn trials to reach performance criterion (d'≥1.5; see horizontal line in **B**) for control (Ctrl) and HL-reared animals. (**D**) Average d' for the last 20 trials of procedural training for each group.

159 <u>Adolescent hearing loss impaired amplitude modulation detection thresholds.</u>

160 AM depth detection thresholds were assessed over 10 separate days of psychometric testing.

161 Figure 3A shows psychometric functions on the first day of perceptual testing for normal-hearing

162 animals (n=12) and those that experienced adolescent HL (n=14). HL animals displayed

163 significantly poorer AM detection thresholds on the first day of testing (Ctrl: -11±0.5, HL: -8±0.7

dB re: 100% AM; $t_{(24)}$ = 2.98, p = 0.007; Figure 3B). The AM detection deficit did not resolve with perceptual learning (Sarro and Sanes, 2010, 2011; Fitzgerald and Wright, 2011; Caras and Sanes, 2015, 2017, 2019) over 10 consecutive sessions. In fact, adolescent HL animals displayed poorer AM detection thresholds across all testing days, even though both groups exhibited perceptual learning (*initial*: HL: -8 dB; Ctrl: -11 dB re: 100% AM; *final*: HL: -13 dB; Ctrl: -16 dB re: 100% AM; Figure 3B). An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA; hearing status × log[test day]) revealed a significant effect of task experience ($F_{(1,206)}$ = 58.1, p<0.0001), such that AM detection thresholds decreased by 5 dB (re: 100% AM depth) per log(test day). There was no significant interaction between log(test day) and hearing status ($F_{(1,206)}$ = 0.72, p=0.4), but there was a significant effect of HL alone ($F_{(1,206)}$ = 53.2, p<0.0001) with AM detection thresholds 2.9 dB higher than controls across all testing days.

Elevated detection thresholds could be attributed to poorer hit rates (i.e., fewer correct responses to AM Warn trials) and/or elevated false alarm (FA) rates (i.e., incorrectly withdrawing from spout during Safe trials). Here, we find that FA rates consistently remain very low (< 0.06) across all testing sessions for both control and HL animals (grand average across testing sessions, Ctrl: 0.05; HL: 0.06; FA data not shown). An ANCOVA reveals no significant effect of testing day on FA rate ($F_{(1,254)}$ = 0.01, p=0.92), and no effect of HL ($F_{(1,254)}$ = 1.15, p=0.28). Therefore, the elevated detection thresholds in adolescent HL animals are due to poorer hit rates during AM Warn trials (Hit rate data not shown).

To determine whether there was a significant difference in perceptual learning, we plotted perceptual improvement across the 10 testing days (i.e., initial threshold – final threshold) as a function of each animal's initial detection thresholds (Figure 3C). The slopes of the linear regression fits to each group were not significantly different from one another (ANCOVA: $F_{(1,21)}$ = 0.07, p=0.8), but the adolescent HL curve had a significantly smaller y-axis intercept parameter (p<0.0001), showing that adolescent HL impaired perceptual learning. Figure 3D compares the mean improvement over 10 days of practice: Controls improved significantly more than transient HL animals (ANCOVA; initial threshold as a covariate; df=1, F=6.5769, p=0.018).

Figure 3. Transient hearing loss during adolescence impairs amplitude modulation (AM) depth detection in adulthood. (**A**) Psychometric functions on the first day of perceptual testing for control (Ctrl, n=12) and hearing loss (HL, n=14) animals. Threshold was defined as the depth at which d'=1 (dotted line). AM depths are presented on a dB scale (re: 100% depth), where 0 dB corresponds to 100% modulation, and decreasing values indicate smaller depths (e.g., see grey depth stimuli visualized below x-axes. Circle indicates the average group detection threshold. (**B**) HL animals display significantly poorer AM detection thresholds than controls on the first day of testing (Ctrl: -11±0.5, HL: -8±0.7 dB re: 100%; p=0.007). The AM detection deficit for HL animals persisted across 10 consecutive testing days. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) revealed the effect of HL alone to be significant (p<0.0001). Filled circles and lines indicate the group mean±SEM. Open circles indicate individual data points for the first, middle, and last psychometric testing session to highlight between-subject variablity between control and adolescent HL animals. (**C**) Perceptual improvement (initial subtracted from final threshold) as a function of initial threshold. Values above the dotted line indicate an improvement in thresholds, whereas values below indicate a worsening of thresholds. Dotted lines indicate fitted linear regressions (R^2=0.3-34). The slopes (m) of the regression fits were not significantly different from one another (p=0.8), whereas the y-axis intercepts were significantly different indicating the average amount of improvement was lower in adolescent-HL animals (p<0.0001). (**D**) The mean improvement from the initial to the final session for each group. Control animals improved significantly more than transient HL animals (ANCOVA; p=0.018).

Given the role that sex hormones play in the development and maintenance of auditory pathways

(e.g., Canlon and Frisina, 2009), and the between-sex differences in hormones measured in this

study (Figure 1), we examined whether there were sex-specific differences in procedural learning

or psychometric performance that might account for some of the between-subject variability

observed in Figures 2-3. Therefore, we plotted the procedural training data separately for the

males and females within each group, we find no significant differences in the rate at which males

or females learned the task procedure (Supplemental Figure 1A; mixed-model ANOVA: $F_{(1,4)}$ =

0.144, p = 0.72). Further, males and females within each group required a comparable number

of Warn trials to reach criterion for training performance (d' ≥ 1.5; Supplemental Figure 1B; mixed-

model ANOVA: $F_{(3, 14)}$ = 0.212, p = 0.89), and achieved comparable performance (average d')

during the last 20 trials of procedural training (Supplemental Figure 1C; mixed-model ANOVA: $F_{(3, 14)}$ = 0.33, p = 0.14). Therefore, we did not find sex-specific differences in procedural training on

the behavioral task. Next, we examined whether there were male and female differences in
perceptual thresholds across the 10 days of psychometric testing. A two-way mixed-model
ANOVA followed by a Tukey's test for multiple comparisons revealed no significant effect of sex
in control animals (p = 0.43) or in HL animals (p = 0.97). See Supplemental Figure 1D for depth
detection thresholds on the final day of testing for male and female Control and HL animals.

Chronic stress during adolescence can have adverse effects on central nervous system structure
and function (Isgor et al., 2004). To determine whether the perceptual deficits that we observed
were due, in part, to elevated stress associated with earplugs, we obtained cortisol levels in each
animal (Supplemental Figure 2) at five timepoints across development (P35, P55, P65, P90, and
P102). We found no significant differences in cortisol levels between normal-hearing and
adolescent HL animals at any of the ages tested (Supplemental Figure 2A). In addition, we found
no significant correlations between cortisol level at any developmental age with perceptual
performance on the first day of testing (Pearson's r = -0.4 – 0.3; p-values of linear regression = -
0.2-0.7), validating that the deficits observed were not due to elevated early life stress
(Supplemental Figure 2B).

<u>Adult-onset hearing loss did not alter procedural learning or amplitude modulation detection.</u>
Next, we asked whether the perceptual deficits observed following adolescent hearing loss was
specifically due to the developmental period, or whether the long duration of deprivation itself
caused the effect. To test this, we induced the same duration of HL (80 days) beginning in
adulthood (≥P102) in a separate set of animals (Adult controls, n=8; Adult-onset HL, n=8).
Following 80 days of HL, earplugs were removed and animals experienced the same duration of
recovery (3 weeks) prior to training and testing on the AM depth detection task. Adult controls and
adult-onset HL animals learned the task procedure at the same rate (Figure 4A). A two-way mixed
model ANOVA revealed a significant effect of trial number on performance ($F_{(159,318)}$ = 3.18,
p<0.001), no effect of earplug experience ($F_{(1,2)}$ = 0.002, p=0.97), and no interaction between the
two variables ($F_{(159,\ 318)}$ = 0.96, p=0.62). Both groups took the same number of warn trials to reach
criterion for training performance (Figure 4B; $F_{(1,14)}$ = 0.0013 p = 0.97), and both groups achieved
comparable performance (d') on the last 20 trials of procedural training prior to psychometric
testing (Figure 4C; $F_{(1,14)}$ = 0.61 p = 0.45).

AM depth detection thresholds were then assessed over 10 consecutive days of psychometric
testing for Adult controls and Adult-onset HL animals (Figure 4D). Both groups exhibited nearly

identical detection thresholds on the first day of testing (Adult control: -8.33±1.09; Adult-onset HL:

-8.17±0.7 dB re: 100% AM) and the final testing day (Adult control: -14.83±0.8; Adult-onset HL: -

14.9±1.1 dB re: 100% AM). An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA; hearing status × log[test day])

revealed a significant effect of testing day ($F_{(1,154)}$ = 84.3, p<0.0001), but no significant interaction

between log(test day) and hearing status ($F_{(1,154)}$ = 0.25, p=0.62), and no significant effect of HL

alone ($F_{(1,154)}$ = 0.03, p=0.87). This in stark contrast to the detection and perceptual learning

deficits that were observed following the same HL duration in adolescence. Therefore, we

attribute the perceptual deficits observed to adolescent vulnerability, and not the long duration of

deprivation itself.

Figure 4. Adult-onset hearing loss (>P102) of the same duration (80 days) does not impair procedural training or amplitude modulation (AM) depth detection following earplug removal and recovery. (**A**) Procedural training sessions (3-4 separate sessions) were combined and behavioral performance (d') was computed as a function of warn trial number using a 5-trial sliding window. Data for Adult controls (blue; n=8) and Adult-onset HL (red; n=8) are depicted as the mean ± SEM. (**B**) Number of warn trials to reach performance criterion (d'≥1.5). (**C**) Average d' for the last 20 trials of procedural training prior to psychometric testing. (**D**) Psychometric functions were collected for 10 consecutive testing days for Adult controls and Adult-onset HL animals. Detection thresholds, defined as the depth at which d'=1, were computed for each testing session. AM depths are presented on a dB scale (re: 100% depth), where 0 dB corresponds to 100% modulation, and decreasing values indicate smaller depths. Circles indicate the average group detection threshold (±SEM) and lines show individual animal performance. Both groups exhibit comparable thresholds on the first day of testing (Adult Ctrl: -8.33±1; Adult HL: -8.17±0.7 dB re: 100%) and last day of testing (Adult control: -14.83±0.8; Adult-onset HL: -14.9±1.1 dB re: 100% AM). An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) revealed a significant effect of testing day (p<0.0001), but no significant effect of Adult-onset HL alone (p=0.87).

<u>Diminished auditory cortex (AC) neuron detection thresholds during task performance in</u>

<u>adolescent HL animals.</u>

Since perceptual deficits persisted long after peripheral input was restored, we asked whether it

could be attributed to impaired auditory cortex (AC) encoding. A subset of behaviorally-tested

gerbils (Ctrl: n=7; HL: n=9) were implanted with chronic 64 channel silicone electrode arrays in

the AC, and wireless neural recordings were collected as they performed the AM detection task

(Figure 5A-B). We recorded from a total of 2,183 multi- and single-cortical units (Ctrl: n=846; HL:

n=1337). Since individual sensory neurons have been shown to be predictive of behavior in
perceptual discrimination (Pitkow et al., 2015) and detection tasks (Caras and Sanes, 2017), we
opted to first examine detection thresholds for individual auditory cortical neurons. Multi- and
single-units were selected for further analysis if they met the criteria for AM responsiveness (see
Methods). We found 265 AM responsive units from control animals (multi-units: n=188; single-
units: n=77) and 216 AM responsive units from adolescent HL animals (multi-units: n=154; single-
units: n=62). The relatively low yield of AM responsive units included in the analysis allowed us
to determine whether sensory encoding of AM can be explained by the sparse coding of a small
subset of neurons and whether those neurons drive perceptual ability. Figure 5C shows raster
plots and corresponding post-stimulus time histograms (PSTHs) for two example single units
collected from a control and an adolescent HL animal. The plots display neural responses to
unmodulated noise (Safe signal; *bottom panel*) and to a range of AM depths (Warn signal), from
steeply modulated (-6 dB re: 100%; *top panel*) to shallow modulations (-18 dB re: 100%). Both
example neurons display an increase in firing rate activity for modulated trials compared to
unmodulated trials. In addition, firing rate increases with larger AM depths (Supplemental Figure
3A).

To determine whether adolescent HL alters AM detection thresholds, the firing rate of multi- and
single-units that met the criteria for AM responsivity were transformed into d' values (see
Methods). Neural d' was plotted as a function of AM depth and fit with a logistic function to
generate neurometric functions for each cell. Figure 5D,E shows neurometric functions for a
population of single units from control and HL animals. Similar to psychometric functions, neural
detection thresholds were defined as the depth at which the neurometric fit crossed a *d'=1*. AC
neurons from HL animals displayed significantly poorer thresholds, as compared to control
neurons (Ctrl: -8.01±0.5; HL: -5.65±0.5 dB re: 100%; Figure 5F). A one-way ANOVA reveals a
significant effect of HL on single unit neural thresholds ($F_{(1,128)}$ = 12.1, *p* = 0.0007). We find a
similar effect of adolescent HL when we examine multi-unit neural thresholds (Ctrl: -6.8±0.2; HL:
-5.7±0.2 dB re: 100%; $F_{(1,321)}$ = 8.71, *p* = 0.003; Supplemental Figure 3F). A two-way mixed model
ANOVA reveals a significant effect of hearing status on neural thresholds ($F_{(1,449)}$ =
23.61, *p*<0.001), but no effect between unit type (single- or multi-units; $F_{(1,449)}$ = 2.85, *p*=0.09).
Though only the "best" neurons were included in the analysis (i.e., met strict criteria for AM-
responsivity), the AC neurons from adolescent HL animals still display poorer neural detection to
AM.

Figure 5. Single-unit analysis reveals poorer neural detection thresholds in the auditory cortex. (**A**) Chronic 64 channel electrode arrays were implanted into the auditory cortex (AC) of a subset of control (n=7) and HL (n=9) animals, and wireless neural recordings were collected as they performed the AM depth detection task. (**B**) Representative Nissl-stained coronal section from one implanted animal. Inset shows electrode track through primary AC (see arrows). (**C**) Raster plots with poststimulus time histograms are shown for two example single units from a control (left panel; Subject ID F276484) and HL (right panel; Subject ID M277481) animal. Plots are arranged in order from larger AM depths (top) to smaller depths (towards bottom; see depth stimulus in grey for reference). (**D, E**) The firing rate of single units that met the criteria for AM sensitivity were transformed into d' values (see Methods). Neural d' values were fit with a logistic function and plotted as a function of AM depth for a population of control (n=77) and HL (n=62) single units. Thin lines indicate individual fits, and the thick line indicates the population mean of the fits. Neural thresholds were defined as the AM depth at which the fit crossed d'=1. Circle indicates the mean neural depth threshold for each population. (**F**) Neural thresholds are plotted for control and HL animals. Individual thresholds are shown (circles), along with a half-violin plot indicating the probability density function. Horizontal lines indicate the mean±SEM. Single units from HL animals exhibit poorer neural depth thresholds than control single units (p = 0.0007).

Poorer AC neuron detection thresholds could be attributed to alterations in basic response
properties to AM depth stimuli. We found no effect of HL on the firing rate of single-units to the
depths presented (two-way mixed-model ANOVA: $F_{(1,135)}$ = 3.383, p = 0.07), though there is a
significant effect of AM depth on firing rate ($F_{(1,135)}$ = 5.643, p=0.02) and no interaction between
the two variables ($F_{(1, 135)}$ = 2.013, p = 0.16; Supplemental Figure 3D). However, we found that
AC neurons from HL animals displayed weaker temporal coding of AM stimuli. We quantified how
well single-unit neurons phase-locked to the AM stimulus by computing the vector strength (VS)
at each AM depth (Supplemental Figure 3E) and find that AC neurons from HL animals displayed

significantly lower VS values at each depth compared to control neurons (two-way mixed-model
ANOVA: $F_{(1,135)}$ = 5.26, p = 0.023).

Next, we explored whether detection thresholds for the sparse population of individual AC
neurons aligned with perceptual performance. When we plot the behavioral threshold as a
function of neural threshold, we find a positive correlation for both control and HL animals (Ctrl:
Pearson's r: 0.36, p=0.06; HL: r: 0.58, p=0.0006; Supplemental Figure 4). This suggests that the
neural AM detection thresholds for individual units can explain behavioral performance, but in
general underestimates perceptual d' values. It is therefore likely that animals use more than the
information provided by the most sensitive cortical neurons, leading us to explore whether AM
detection performance can be better explained by population-level activity.

<u>An auditory cortex population decoder can explain hearing loss-related behavioral deficits.</u>
To determine whether AC population encoding could account for perceptual performance on the
AM detection task, we used a previously described procedure (Yao and Sanes, 2018) to construct
linear classifiers using support vector machines (SVM) (see Methods). Briefly, AM detection was
calculated across our AC neuron population with a linear population readout scheme. The
population linear classifiers were trained to decode responses from a proportion of trials to each
individual Warn (AM) versus Safe (unmodulated) signal (Figure 6A). Cross-validated
classification performance metrics included the proportion of correctly classified Warn trials
("Hits") and misclassified Safe trials ("False Alarms"). Similar to the psychometric and individual
unit neurometric analyses, we converted population decoder performance metrics into d' values.

We applied the population decoder to the data in two ways. First, we assessed decoder
performance for single- and multi-units within a behavioral session (i.e., within-session analysis,
Figure 6B,C), and second, we assessed decoder performance for single units pooled across all
behavioral sessions (Figure 6D). For the within-session analysis, we included sessions that had
a minimum of 8 trials per depth recorded from a minimum of 10 multi- and/or single units. Figure
6B shows the population decoder results for an example session from a control and an adolescent
HL animal. Similar to the psychometric functions and neurometric data collected for individual
single units, the neural d' values are fit with a sigmoid to calculate neural threshold (where the fit
crosses d'=1). Here, the neural thresholds for the example sessions show close alignment with
behavioral threshold (vertical bars). When we compared behavioral threshold as a function of
neural population threshold for all sessions that meet our criteria (Ctrl: 20 sessions; HL: 34

sessions), we found a strong correlation between behavioral and neural performance (Ctrl:

Pearson's *r*: 0.58, p=0.008; HL: *r*: 0.44, p=0.01; Both groups combined: *r*: 0.6, p<0.001; Figure

6C).

Next, we assessed population decoder performance for single units pooled across behavioral

sessions. Units were included in the decoder if behavioral sessions had a minimum number of 8

trials per depth. We opted to restrict the range of depths to -6 to -18 dB re: 100% for both control

and HL groups to ensure neurons contributed equally to each depth for both groups. Figure 6D

shows the results of the decoder for a population of single units pooled across 85 behavioral

sessions (Ctrl: n=34 sessions; HL: 51 sessions) for control and adolescent HL animals (Ctrl: n=5

animals; HL: n=8 animals). Neural thresholds measured from population decoder performance

was poorer for single units from adolescent HL animals as compared to control animals (Ctrl: ≤ -

18 dB; HL: -11 dB re: 100%). Furthermore, the neural thresholds measured from population

decoder performance closely aligned with perceptual thresholds.

In summary, we find that at the population-level, auditory cortical neurons from adolescent HL

animals displayed poorer AM detection thresholds that were closely associated with psychometric

performance. This indicates that both individual (Figure 5F) and population-level (Figure 6C,D)

activity of AC single units reflect the HL-related AM detection deficits (Figure 3), though with more

accuracy at the population-level. Overall, despite over ~8 weeks of normal audibility following

transient adolescent HL, both the neural and behavioral deficit persisted in post-adolescent

animals.

Figure 6. AC population decoder analysis can explain hearing-loss related behavioral deficits. (**A**) Schematic of AC population AM depth encoding with a linear population readout procedure. Hypothetical population responses for individual trials of a Safe (gray; unmodulated) and Warn (black; modulated) stimulus. Spikes were counted across the entire stimulus duration (1 sec) such that spike firing responses from *N* neurons to *T* trials of *S* stimuli ("Warn" and "Safe") formed a population "response vector". A proportion of trials ("leave-one-out" procedure) from each neuron were randomly sampled (without replacement) and fitted to a linear hyperplane that was determined by a support vector machine (SVM) procedure ("train" set). Symbols represent "support vectors", which are points used to create the linear boundary. Cross-validated classification performance was assessed on the remaining trials ("test" set). Performance metrics included the proportion of correctly classified Warn trials ("Hits") and misclassified Safe trials ("False Alarms"). Similar to the psychometric and individual unit neurometric analyses, we converted population decoder performance metrics into d' values. This procedure was conducted across 500 iterations with a new randomly drawn train and test set for each iteration. (**B**) Population decoder performance (d') for two example individual recording sessions as a function of AM depth from Ctrl and HL neuron populations. Neural d-prime values were fit with a logistic function (solid line), and threshold is defined as the AM depth where the fit crosses d'=1. Sample size indicates the number of single and/or multi-units included within that session. Shaded vertical bars indicate the behavioral threshold for that session. (**C**) Within-session correlations of behavioral threshold plotted as a function of multi-and single-unit population thresholds for that session. Dotted lines indicate the fitted linear regression for Ctrl and HL thresholds, and the solid line indicates the linear regresssion for both groups combined (grey). Pearson's *r* and statistical significance of each fit are noted in the bottom right corner of each plot. Behavioral sessions were included if they had at least 10 single and/or multi-units for the decoder analysis. (**D**) Average population decoder performance as a function of AM depth from Ctrl and HL single unit populations pooled across recording sessions.

<u>Adolescent hearing loss induced long-lasting changes to auditory cortex excitatory synapses.</u>

Transient adolescent HL induced persistent perceptual deficits that were correlated with

diminished AC neuron sensitivity, but this effect could have been inherited from lower auditory

centers. To test whether poorer neural encoding could be attributed, in part, to the AC, we

obtained current clamp recordings in AC thalamocortical brain slices from a subset of

behaviorally-tested animals (Ctrl: n=5; HL: n=5).

We first recorded electrical stimulus-evoked inhibitory postsynaptic potentials (IPSPs) from L2/3

pyramidal cells (Ctrl: n=16; HL: n=16) in the presence of ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists

(AP-5; DNQX; Figure 7A,B). Transient adolescent HL did not alter short-latency (putative $GABA_A$)

IPSP amplitude (one-way ANOVA: $F_{(1,30)}$ = 0.31, p = 0.584; Figure 7C), or long-latency (putative

$GABA_B$) IPSP amplitude ($F_{(1,30)}$ = 0.76, p = 0.39; Figure 7D). Total IPSP duration was also

unaffected by HL ($F_{(1,30)}$ = 2.76, p = 0.11; Figure 7E).

We next recorded electrically-evoked excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) from L2/3

pyramidal cells (Ctrl: n=16; HL: n=16) at a holding potential of -80 mV (Figure 7F,G). As shown in

Figure 7H, neurons from HL animals required a much lower stimulus current to evoke an action

potential (AP). Therefore, a higher proportion of cells from adolescent HL animals fires APs at

lower afferent stimulation levels than control cells (Figure 7I), with the average afferent stimulus

required to elicit APs of 0.4 mA compared to 0.9 mA in control cells. A two-way mixed model

ANOVA revealed a significant effect of HL on EPSP maximum amplitude ($F_{(1,30)}$ = 5.74, p = .0231),

a significant effect of stimulus level ($F_{(9,22)}$ = 37.52, p<.0001), and no interaction between the two

variables ($F_{(9,22)}$ = 0.853, p = 0.58).Finally, we recorded L2/3 pyramidal cell firing rate in response

to current injection (Ctrl: n=32; HL: n=31; Figure 7J,K). Adolescent HL did not alter maximum

firing rate ($F_{(1,61)}$ = 0.649, p = .4274; Figure 7L), or first spike latency ($F_{(1,21)}$ = 1.21, p=0.29; Figure

7M).

In summary, we find that adolescent HL induces intrinsic and synaptic changes to cortical

properties that are distinctly different than the changes observed with critical period HL (Mowery

et al., 2015).

Figure 7. Hearing loss spanning adolescence induces long-lasting changes to auditory cortical properties. (**A**) Schematic of perihorizontal brain slices collected from control (n=5) and HL-reared animals (n=5; inset). Slices contain the auditory cortex (AC), where recordings from layer 2/3 pyramidal cells were made following electrical stimulation (Stim) of fast-spiking inhibitory interneurons to examine synaptic inhibition (IPSPs). Evoked IPSPs were collected in the presence of DNQX and AP-5 to isolate inhibitory potentials. (**B**) Example IPSP traces from control (Ctrl) and HL-reared (HL) cortical cells. Labels a, b indicate putative GABA$_A$ and GABA$_B$ components, respectively. (**C-E**) GABA$_A$ receptor-mediated IPSP amplitudes, GABA$_B$ receptor-mediated IPSP amplitudes, and overall IPSP duration are not altered by adolescent HL. (**F**) Recordings from layer 2/3 pyramidal cells were made following electrical stimulation of local L4 excitatory interneurons to examine synaptic excitation (EPSPs). (**G**) Example evoked potentials in response to increasing afferent stimulus levels (0.1-1 mA). EPSP traces are in bold and action potentials (APs) elicited are transparent. Stimulus artifact was removed for clarity. (**H**) Max amplitudes were determined from EPSP waveforms for each stimulation level plotted as input-output functions. Transparent lines indicate individual data, and bold lines with circles indicate mean±SEM if n≥3. (**I**) Proportion of cells that fire APs as a function of afferent stimulation level (mA) for each group. Adolescent HL led to a higher proportion of cells firing APs at lower afferent stimulation levels compared to control cells. (**J**) The firing rate of AC cells were collected via current injection into the cell. (**K**) Example traces of current-evoked responses to a depolarizing current injection (600pA). (**L**) Input-output function for maximum firing rate in response to current injection steps (100-600pA). HL during adolescence did not significantly impact current-evoked firing rate. (**M**) First spike latency with increasing current injection (pA).

<u>Behavioral and central AM processing deficits were unrelated to peripheral function.</u>

One alternative explanation for the AM detection behavioral deficits is that a long duration of HL

could induce changes to auditory peripheral structures at, or below, the level of the auditory

brainstem. To explore this possibility, we collected auditory brainstem response (ABR)

measurements from Control (n=12) and HL (n=13) animals after they completed psychometric

testing (Figure 3), and prior to chronic electrode implantation and/or thalamocortical brain slice

collection (e.g., see timeline in Figure 1A). Figure 8B shows ABR thresholds in response to a

range of tone frequencies (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 16 kHz) for Control and HL animals (i.e., the ABR

"audiogram"). The average tone ABR thresholds for HL animals were slightly elevated compared

to controls (two-way mixed model ANOVA: $F_{(1,21)}$ = 8.98, p = 0.01). To determine whether this was

due to a residual conductive loss or was sensorineural in origin, we examined ABR amplitude

input-output functions because subtle damage to auditory nerve synapses has been associated

with shallower ABR input-output functions, even when ABR thresholds are normal (Liberman and

Kujawa, 2017). Figure 8C shows 4 kHz wave I amplitude (µV) as a function of sound level (dB

SPL) for two example ABRs from a control and HL animal. A linear regression was fit to each set

of data points (Pearson's r>0.9) which gives rise to a slope value, indicating the wave I amplitude

increment of change, or growth, with sound level (µV/dB). For these two examples, the slope is

nearly identical (Ctrl: 0.011; HL: 0.012 µV/dB). When we examined all the data collected, we found

no differences in the 4 kHz ABR amplitude wave I growth (µV/dB) between control animals (mean

± SEM: 0.0083±0.002 µV/dB) and HL animals (0.0085±0.002 µV/dB). A one-way ANOVA verifies

that HL experience did not affect ABR amplitude growth ($F_{(1,23)}$ = 0.03, p = 0.87).

Finally, if ABR thresholds were causally related to behavioral thresholds, then we would expect a

correlation between them. Figure 8E plots individual behavioral thresholds as a function of 4 kHz

ABR threshold for Control and adolescent HL animals. Fitted linear regressions displayed no

correlation (Ctrl, Pearson's r = -0.08; HL, r = 0.04).

Taken together, Control and HL animals both exhibit comparable ABR wave I amplitude growth

with sound level indicating that the auditory nerve fibers were not compromised following

adolescent HL. Moreover, we found no relationship between tone ABR thresholds and behavioral

performance, suggesting that the perceptual deficit we observed was due to central changes at

the level of the auditory cortex, not peripheral changes at, or below, the level of the auditory

brainstem.

Figure 8. Control experiment: HL-related AM detection deficits are not due to status of auditory periphery. (**A**) Auditory brainstem response (ABR) waveforms in response to 4kHz tone pips for example control (Ctrl, Subject ID: M274129) and HL-reared animal (HL, Subject ID: M274131). Vertical dotted line indicates stimulus onset. Asterisk (*) indicates ABR wave 1. (**B**) Tone ABR Thresholds (mean±SEM) as a function of frequency (kHz). (**C**) 4kHz ABR wave 1 amplitude (µV) as a function of sound level (dB SPL) for two example Ctrl and HL animals. Solid line indicates linear fit; m indicates the slope of the fit. Pearson's *r* value for each regression are noted on the top left corner. (**D**) 4kHz ABR wave 1 amplitude growth is comparable between Ctrl and HL-reared animals. Amplitude growth values are calculated from the slope of the linear regression to wave 1 amplitude with sound level (i.e., in C). (**E**) Individual behavioral threshold as a function of ABR threshold at 4 kHz. Behavioral thresholds were from the final day of psychometric testing, closest to when the ABR was collected. Solid line indicates fitted linear regression. Horizontal linear fits indicate no correlation (Pearson's $r \leq 0.04$ for both groups) between tone ABR thresholds and behavioral thresholds.

<u>AM depth detection thresholds remained stable across relevant sound levels.</u>

To further test the possibility that a residual conductive loss could contribute to AM detection

deficits, we determined how behavioral depth detection thresholds vary with sound level. If

thresholds do not vary across a large range of sound levels, then that would suggest a small

residual conductive loss could not explain the behavioral findings.

A group of normal hearing animals (n=4) were trained on the AM depth detection task (Figure

9A). After obtaining AM detection thresholds at the same sound level used for the experimental

groups (45 dB SPL; Figure 9B), the sound level was varied from 10-60 dB SPL across 24 testing

sessions, with at least 3 sessions per level (Figure 9C). Figure 9D shows depth detection

thresholds as a function of sound level for all animals. A one-way ANOVA revealed a significant

effect of sound level on detection thresholds ($F_{(7,87)}$ = 3.25, p = 0.004), and a Tukey HSD *post hoc*

test for pairwise comparisons revealed 10 dB SPL was significantly different from all other levels

(p<0.0001), and 15 dB SPL was significantly different from 40 dB SPL (t = 3.21, p = 0.04), 45 dB

SPL (t = 3.92, p = 0.004), and 60 dB SPL (t = 3.73, p = 0.008). All other sound levels were not

significantly different from one another (p=0.3-1.0). To determine the level at which thresholds

remained consistent with sound level, a 3-parameter exponential was fit to the data (dotted line

in Figure 9D). We found that thresholds asymptote at -15.8 dB (re: 100% AM), which corresponds

to 27 dB SPL. This indicates that depth detection thresholds remain stable for sound levels greater

than 27 dB SPL, which is well below the sound level used in the behavioral and awake-behaving

experiments (45-60 dB SPL). This suggests that even if an animal has a mild peripheral HL (<20

dB), behavioral detection thresholds would not be significantly impacted. This further supports the

notion that the behavioral deficit we observed in animals after adolescent HL is due to central

changes at the level of the AC, and not due to peripheral auditory mechanisms.

Figure 9. Control experiment: AM depth detection thresholds remain consistent with varying sound levels. (**A**) Animals (n=4) were trained on the AM depth detection task at 45 dB SPL. (**B**) Depth detection thresholds as a function of 10 testing days. Once detection thresholds stabilize (i.e., consistent for 3 consecutive sessions: dotted rectangle), then the sound level was varied from 10-60 dB SPL (**C**). (**D**) Behavioral AM depth detection thresholds across sound level (dB SPL). Open circles indicate individual performance (3 sessions per animal) and solid circles indicate the group mean (± SEM). A 3-parameter exponential was fit to the data (dotted line). Thresholds asymptote at -15.8 dB (re: 100% AM), which corresponds to 27 dB SPL. Therefore, depth detection thresholds remain consistent for sound levels greater than 27 dB SPL.

Discussion

The extent to which perceptual skills are influenced by adolescent sensory experience remains uncertain. Before sexual maturity, the influence of sensory experience is profound, and brief periods of diminished or augmented stimulation can permanently alter central nervous system function (Hubel and Wiesel, 1970; Van der Loos and Woolsey, 1973; Knudsen et al., 1984b; Hensch, 2005; de Villers-Sidani et al., 2007; Han et al., 2007; Zhou and Merzenich, 2009; Popescu and Polley, 2010; Christakis et al., 2012; Cheetham and Belluscio, 2014; Mowery et al., 2015). However, both CNS function and perception continue to mature after the onset of sexual maturation (Carey et al., 1980; Sharma et al., 1997; Giedd et al., 1999; Moore and Guan, 2001; Bishop et al., 2007; Sussman et al., 2008; Guyer et al., 2008; Pinto et al., 2010; Banai et al., 2011; Huyck and Wright, 2013; Lebel and Beaulieu, 2011; Petanjek et al., 2011; Germine et al., 2011; Huyck and Wright, 2011; Mahajan and McArthur, 2012b, 2012a; Kadosh et al., 2013; Selemon, 2013; Cohen Kadosh et al., 2013; Dayanidhi et al., 2013; Shafer et al., 2015; Simmonds et al., 2017; Downes et al., 2017; McMurray et al., 2018), suggesting that sensory plasticity could remain heightened during adolescence. Here, we report that a period of mild auditory deprivation during adolescence, but not adulthood, induced long-lasting perceptual deficits that could be explained by intrinsic changes to auditory cortex (AC) excitatory synapse function and degraded AC population encoding during task performance.

Comparison between juvenile and adolescent plasticity

Mammals display enhanced plasticity from early infancy through juvenile development, allowing for both positive and negative environmental influences. Foremost among these is the early influence of sensory experience: finite periods of monocular deprivation shortly after eye opening result in long-term visual acuity deficits that are closely associated with degraded cortical processing (Hubel and Wiesel, 1970). Early critical periods are found in humans: children born with dense cataracts exhibit long-term visual acuity deficits even after cataract removal (Lewis and Maurer, 2005; Jain et al., 2010) and, similarly, those born with profound hearing loss display a neonatal epoch during which cochlear prostheses provide maximal restoration of neural and behavioral performance (Sharma et al., 2002).

Adolescent plasticity displays some unique characteristics, as neural function and behavioral skills slowly transition to an adult phenotype (Sawyer et al., 2018). For example, there is a transient reduction in some forms of learning or memory. This includes slower rates of auditory

perceptual learning (Huyck and Wright, 2011; Caras and Sanes, 2019), reduced voice or face

recognition (Mann et al., 1979; Carey et al., 1980), and diminished extinction learning (McCallum

et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2011; Pattwell et al., 2012). There are also indications that adolescents

are vulnerable to environmental factors. For instance, social experience during early adolescence

is particularly important for the subsequent development of these skills (Burke et al., 2017). Rats

that experience social isolation from a late juvenile to early adolescent age exhibit abnormal

exploratory behavior when placed in a novel environment (Einon and Morgan, 1977), while social

deprivation before or after this age range is not damaging. In addition, rats that experience chronic

stress throughout adolescent development exhibit long lasting structural changes in the

hippocampus that coincide with spatial navigation impairments (Isgor et al., 2004). Our results

suggest that adolescent vulnerability noted above extends to skill learning. We found that

transient adolescent HL led to significantly poorer perceptual learning of the auditory task (Figure

3C).

<u>Effects of adolescent sensory deprivation</u>

The current findings suggest that adolescent neural plasticity differs dramatically from that

observed during the AC critical period. One novel outcome from this study is that critical period

and adolescent HL induce similar behavioral deficits, but each are associated with unique

synaptic mechanisms. Transient HL during the critical period (P11-23) causes reduced inhibitory

synaptic strength and a decrease in membrane excitability in AC neurons (Kotak et al., 2005,

2008; Takesian et al., 2010, 2012; Mowery et al., 2015, 2019). In contrast, we found that transient

HL spanning adolescence had no effect on synaptic inhibition or current-evoked firing rates.

Rather, adolescent HL led to elevated excitatory postsynaptic potential amplitudes in AC

pyramidal neurons (Figure 7). A second novel outcome is that adolescent HL leads to a significant

deterioration of AC stimulus encoding during task performance (Figures 5 and 6), despite having

no effect on neural discharge rate (Supplemental Figure 3). This is in marked contrast to critical

period HL which causes a significant decrease of AC neuron firing rate (Rosen et al., 2012; Yao

and Sanes, 2018). Taken together, these findings suggest that adolescent neural plasticity in

response to sensory deprivation is mechanistically distinct from that observed during early critical

periods.

A third novel finding is that the effects of adolescent HL are more persistent than those observed

following brief HL during the AC critical period. For example, restoring normal auditory input at

P17 in the gerbil, before closure of the AC critical period (P23), led to a near-complete recovery

of intrinsic cellular properties whereas restoring auditory input after the critical period ends (P23)

led to persistent AC alterations (Mowery et al., 2015). A transient period of developmental HL can

also cause perceptual deficits, though these often resolve gradually (Hall et al., 1995; Moore et

al., 1999; Caras and Sanes, 2015; McKenna Benoit et al., 2018). Thus, we previously reported

that brief HL during an AC critical period (P11-23) led to a perceptual deficit, while the same

manipulation had no effect when initiated after the critical period closed (P23) (Caras and Sanes,

2015). In contrast, our current study found significant and persistent perceptual impairments

following HL that was induced at the same postnatal age, P23. This suggests that auditory

function is susceptible to deprivation after the AC critical period, but only if the deprivation persists

throughout adolescence. In fact, we found that adolescent HL induced longer lasting perceptual

deficits than those observed with critical period HL. Following adolescent HL, neural and

behavioral deficits were still present for over ~8 weeks following restoration of normal auditory

input, whereas the critical period-induced perceptual deficits largely resolved over the same

recovery period (Caras and Sanes, 2015).

<u>Alternative explanations for the effect of auditory deprivation</u>

We find that adolescent HL induces intrinsic changes to AC neurons that contributes to poorer

AM detection thresholds long after normal hearing is restored. However, it is also possible that

the long duration of the hearing deprivation compromised peripheral auditory structures, resulting

in decreased audibility. For instance, subtle damage to auditory nerve synapses is evidenced by

shallower ABR input-output functions even with normal ABR thresholds (Furman et al., 2013;

Liberman and Kujawa, 2017). However, our ABR measurements reveal no significant differences

in ABR wave I rate of amplitude growth with sound level (Figure 8D), indicating intact auditory

nerve function. Although we find a modest difference in tone-ABR thresholds following adolescent

HL, this does not account for poorer perceptual performance (Figure 8E). Furthermore, we find

that AM detection thresholds are largely independent of sound level (above 27 dB), consistent

with other assessments of AM depth sensitivity (Viemeister, 1979). This suggests that even if an

animal has a mild residual peripheral HL, AM detection thresholds would not be significantly

impacted as the sound level used in the behavioral and awake-behaving experiments far exceed

the potential HL. In addition, permanent conductive HL (~35-40 dB) does not appreciably alter

frequency tuning in the cochlea, suggesting that associated perceptual dysfunction is due to

central mechanisms (Ye et al. (2021). Although we did not examine AM-following responses (i.e.,

an assessment of brainstem temporal processing) in the current study, we previously found that

permanent conductive HL does not alter this property, despite causing perceptual and cortical
deficits (Yao and Sanes, 2018).

A second possibility is that the adolescence, itself, was disrupted by the manipulation. To directly
identify the period of adolescence in the gerbil, and confirm that it was not altered by our
manipulation, we measured testosterone levels from each subject throughout development. We
identified a developmental window during which testosterone levels increased, and found it to be
identical between normal hearing and earplugged animals, and consistent with a previous report
(Pinto-Fochi et al., 2016). Since female gerbils generally reach sexual maturity concurrently with
or prior to their male counterparts (Norris and Adams, 1974), it is plausible that the HL
manipulation spanned sexual development for both sexes. Furthermore, we found estradiol levels
to be comparable between normal hearing and earplugged animals at P90-102, indicating that
the manipulation did not alter estradiol levels by the time adulthood was reached. Therefore, a
change to adolescent maturation was unlikely to account for our results. In addition, sex hormones
can give rise to sex-specific differences in auditory processing and perceptual skills (e.g., Canlon
and Frisina, 2009). However, we did not find sex-specific differences in procedural learning or
psychometric task performance between male and female control or adolescent HL gerbils
(Supplemental Figure 1). It was also possible that the earplug manipulation caused chronic stress
during adolescence which adversely effects the CNS (Isgor et al., 2004). However, cortisol levels
did not differ between treatment groups (Supplemental Figure 2), and displayed no correlation
with perceptual performance. Therefore, chronic stress was unlikely to explain our findings.

Another potential explanation for our behavioral findings is that adolescent HL may influence the
functional properties of neurons downstream from AC that mediate cognitive processing. In fact,
transient HL during the auditory cortex critical period causes persistent change to auditory striatal
neurons (Mowery et al., 2017). Areas such as the prefrontal cortex that support cognitive and
executive function continue to mature into young adulthood, and may remain vulnerable to
deprivation (Kolb et al., 2012). Furthermore, HL is thought to be a risk factor for cognitive
dysfunction in humans: Childhood HL can delay maturation of cognitive skills such as auditory
working memory and attention (Reichman and Healey, 1983; Pisoni and Cleary, 2003; Holmes et
al., 2017; Moore et al., 2020). Thus, it is possible that HL may influence the development of both
sensory and non-sensory circuits.

The timing and duration of developmental hearing loss

Sensory manipulations that target adolescence are somewhat uncommon. In the auditory system,

manipulations have primarily targeted either early development, shortly after hearing onset, or

adults, well after sexual maturity. For instance, transient HL (i.e., using earplugs, ear canal

ligation, or poloxamer hydrogel) has been induced at hearing onset in the guinea pig (Clements

and Kelly, 1978), gerbil (Caras and Sanes, 2015; Mowery et al., 2015, 2017, 2019; Green et al.,

2017), barn owl (Knudsen et al., 1982, 1984b, 1984a; Knudsen, 1983, 1985; Mogdans and

Knudsen, 1992, 1993), ferret (Moore et al., 1999; Keating et al., 2013, 2015a), rat (Popescu and

Polley, 2010), and mouse (Polley et al., 2013; Zhuang et al., 2017). Permanent forms of mild-

moderate HL (i.e., conductive HL through ossicle disruption or surgical intervention of the ear

canal) have also been induced at hearing onset of a variety of species (gerbil: Xu et al., 2007;

Rosen et al., 2012; Takesian et al., 2012; Buran et al., 2014a; Gay et al., 2014; Ihlefeld et al.,

2016; von Trapp et al., 2017; Yao and Sanes, 2018; rat: Clopton and Silverman, 1977; Silverman

and Clopton, 1977; mouse: Xu and Jen, 2001; cat: Moore and Irvine, 1981; Brugge et al., 1985).

The duration of developmental HL manipulations vary widely, as does its relationship to the age

of sexual maturity. For instance, Polley et. al. (2013) induced a ~7 day auditory deprivation

beginning at P12 in the mouse, a species that reaches sexual maturity around postnatal day 49

(Danneman et al., 2012). A similar duration of HL would represent a much smaller fraction of

development for species with longer periods of adolescence (e.g., sexual maturity in the ferret is

4-6 months or ~1 year in the barn owl). Though the majority of transient HL studies focus on

relatively brief deprivation early in development, there are a few that span the late juvenile through

adolescent period. A reversible HL was induced in barn owls beginning at posthatch day 28,

approximately 20 days after hearing onset, and normal audibility was restored between days 120-

213, prior to the age of sexual maturity at ~1 year (Knudsen et al., 1984a; Knudsen et al., 1985;

Mogdans and Knudsen, 1992; Mogdans and Knudsen, 1993). Similarly, a transient HL was

induced in the ferret at P24-25, just before hearing onset, and audibility was restored at P126 or

P213, after sexual maturity at ~P121-152 (Moore et al., 1999; Keating et al., 2013; Keating et al.,

2015b). The relatively long duration developmental HL in both the barn owl and ferret studies

induced long-lasting neural and behavioral deficits, similar to our current findings. However, none

of the previously reported studies exclusively targeted adolescence after the critical period.

Our results are also consistent with clinical evidence that suggests longer durations of

developmental HL can induce more harmful effects. Children with progressive, late-onset, or

acquired HL (Smith et al., 2005) often pass newborn hearing screenings, leading to long periods
of undetected HL. Those with an extended period of unresolved HL display more severe language
deficits than those with a brief HL (Yoshinaga-Itano et al., 1998; Tomblin et al., 2015). Here, we
find that a long duration HL that spans adolescence disrupts perceptual performance on an
auditory detection task, whereas HL of the same duration induced in adulthood did not alter
detection performance. While we did not observe deficits following adult-onset HL, it is possible
that long duration HL would be detrimental if induced in older adult animals as HL in aging humans
is linked with deficits in cognitive and central auditory processing (Henkin et al., 2014; Finke et
al., 2015) that pose a greater risk for cognitive decline and dementia if left untreated (Lin et al.,
2013). We then found that long duration HL that spans adolescence led to AC neural changes
that are distinctive from critical-period plasticity during juvenile development. We conclude that
the changes to AC synaptic excitability observed following adolescent HL contributes to the AC
encoding deficits observed with the awake behaving neural recordings (Figures 5-6). Taken
together, these results reveal that transient HL spanning adolescence alters AC cellular properties
long-term, thereby diminishing sensory encoding of AM stimuli during the detection task, and
explains the long-term auditory perceptual limitations observed in adolescent HL animals.

Methods
633
<u>Experimental subjects</u>

A total of 46 Mongolian gerbils (*Meriones unguiculatus,* 26 females, 20 males) were used in the

study. Pups were weaned from commercial breeding pairs (Charles River Laboratories) at

postnatal (P) day 30 and housed on a 12-hour light / 12-hour dark cycle with full access to food

and water, unless otherwise noted. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care

and Use Committee at New York University.

<u>Hormone assessment</u>

Estradiol, testosterone, and cortisol hormone levels were collected in developing gerbils through

blood sample collection at five timepoints spanning development (P35, P55, P65, P90, and P102).

Animals were briefly anesthetized with isoflurane/O2 and placed on a heating pad. The fur at the

base of the tail was removed using a scalpel blade for greater visualization and access to the

lateral tail vein. A small incision was made and the blood from the lateral tail vein was collected

in Eppendorf vials (100-300µl). To reduce acute stress-induced changes to cortisol levels, all

blood collection was obtained within 3 minutes of placing animals under anesthesia. Blood

collection was also collected at the same time of the day for each animal at each testing age

(early afternoon) to avoid circadian-related hormonal fluctuations. Blood samples were

centrifuged (for 9 minutes, 8,000g) within 30 minutes to avoid hemolysis of the blood. After

spinning, the serum component of the blood was extracted into a separate vial and stored in a -

80° freezer until samples were ready to be processed.

Serum samples were shipped to the Endocrine Technologies Core (ETC) at the Oregon National

Primate Research Center (ONPRC) for quantification of hormone levels. Serum concentrations

of estradiol (E2), testosterone (T), and cortisol (F) were simultaneously measured by liquid

chromatography-tandem triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) using a previously

established method(Bishop et al., 2019). Briefly, serum samples were combined with a mixture of

deuterium-labeled internal standards for E2, T, and F. Steroids were extracted using supported

liquid extraction (SLE) and analyzed on a Shimadzu Nexera-LCMS-8050 LC-MS/MS platform. 9-

point calibration curves for each hormone were linear throughout the assay range (13 pg/ml –

26.67 ng/ml; R>0.99). The lower limit of quantitation for each hormone was 13 pg/ml. Intra-assay

CVs were 15% for E2, 2.9% for T, and 3.8% for F. Serum testosterone and cortisol levels were

successfully obtained using the LC-MS/MS approach; however, estradiol was not detected in any

of the serum samples indicating that the assay was not sensitive enough to determine estradiol

levels (i.e., values were below the lowest calibration level and could not be quantified). To quantify

estradiol levels, the remaining serum samples for the P90 and P102 timepoints were then pooled

to be tested on a more sensitive LC/MS system. The serum samples were pooled as follows: (1)

Control, Male, age: P90-P102 (12 total samples; 5 subjects), (2) Control, Female, age: P90-102

(11 total samples; 7 subjects), (3) Hearing loss (P23-102), Male, age: P90-102 (12 total samples;

5 subjects), (4) Hearing loss (P23-102), Female, age: P90-102 (16 samples; 9 subjects). The

pooled samples were then sent to the Endocrine Technologies Core (ETC) at the Oregon National

Primate Research Center (ONPRC) for quantification of estradiol using a more sensitive LC/MS

instrument, the Shimadzu Nexera-LCMS-8060 LC-MS/MS, where the lower limit of quantification

for estradiol was 3 pg/ml.

<u>Transient auditory deprivation</u>

Custom earplugs (BlueStik adhesive putty, super glue, and KwikSil silicone adhesive) were used

to induce a reversible, transient hearing loss (HL). Earplugs provided a mild-moderate temporary

HL, attenuating ~10-20 dB at frequencies ≤ 1 kHz and 30-50 dB at frequencies ≥2 kHz (Caras

and Sanes, 2015). To assess vulnerability in adolescence, gerbils either received bilateral

earplugs from P23 (after the auditory cortex critical period) through P102 (after sexual maturity;

n=14) or no earplugs (littermate controls, n=12). To assess vulnerability in adulthood, gerbils

either received bilateral earplugs beginning ≥P102 (after sexual maturity; n=8) for the same

duration as the adolescent HL animals (80 days) or no earplugs (littermate controls, n=8).

Animals were briefly placed under isoflurane anesthesia and the ear canal and tympanic

membrane were visualized under a stereomicroscope (Olympus). A small adhesive putty "disk"

was gently inserted into the ear canal and compressed into the bony portion of the canal. Care

was taken to ensure that the earplug material did not go near the tympanic membrane. A small

drop of super glue was placed onto the putty and allowed to fully dry before covering the earplug

with a layer of KwikSil silicone adhesive. Earplugs were checked 1-2x daily and replaced as

needed. Following earplug removal, the tympanic membrane was visualized (at the time of

removal as well as post-mortem) to ensure the membrane was intact and free from debris.

Littermate control animals also underwent daily handling and had comparable isoflurane exposure

with similar manipulations of their pinna (i.e., used forceps to mimic earplug placement) as their

earplugged counterparts. Animals were allowed to recover for 21 days prior to behavioral and

physiological testing.

Behavioral training and testing

Behavioral apparatus

All behavioral experiments were performed in a sound-attenuating booth (Industrial Acoustics)

and were observed through a closed-circuit video monitor (Logitech HD C270 Webcam). Animals

were placed in a custom-made, acoustically-transparent plastic test cage with a stainless steel

water spout positioned above a metal platform. Sound stimuli were delivered from a calibrated

free-field speaker (DX25TG0504; Vifa) positioned 1 m above the test cage. Contact with the

waterspout was assessed via infrared sensor (OP550 NPN Silicone phototransistor, Digikey) and

emitter (940 nm; LTE-302, Digikey) housed within a custom apparatus encasing the waterspout.

Acoustic stimuli, water reward delivery, experimental parameters, and data acquisition were

controlled using custom MATLAB scripts developed by Dr. Daniel Stolzberg

(https://github.com/dstolz/epsych) and using a multifunction processor (RZ6; Tucker-Davis

Technologies).

Procedural training

Animals were trained on an amplitude modulation (AM) depth detection task using an aversive

conditioning Go/Nogo procedure used in the laboratory (Sarro and Sanes, 2010; Sarro et al.,

2011; Sarro and Sanes, 2011; Rosen et al., 2012; Buran et al., 2014a; Caras and Sanes, 2015,

2017). Gerbils were placed on controlled water access and trained to drink from a waterspout in

the presence of continuous unmodulated noise (60 dB SPL; band-limited white noise, 3-20 kHz;

Nogo/"Safe" stimulus), and to refrain from drinking when the unmodulated noise transitioned to a

5 Hz AM rate signal (Go/"Warn" stimulus). This was done by pairing the AM signal with a mildly

aversive shock (300 ms; 0.5-1.0 mA; Lafayette Instruments) delivered through the waterspout.

Modulated, "warn" trials (1 sec AM stimulus) were randomly interspersed with 3-5 unmodulated,

"safe" trials (1 sec trial duration) to deter temporal conditioning. First, animals were trained on the

most salient AM cue (100% modulated depth). Behavioral responses were classified as a "hit" if

the animal correctly withdrew from waterspout during modulated warn trials and as a "false alarm"

if the animal incorrectly withdrew from waterspout during unmodulated safe trials (see schematic

in Figure 2A). Behavioral performance was quantified using the signal detection metric, *d'*, defined

as *d' = z(hit rate) – z(false alarm rate)* (Green and Swets, 1966). Animals achieved task

proficiency when *d'* ≥ 1.5 and completed three separate training sessions with a sufficient number

of warn trials (≥ 50). On the final session of procedural training, the sound level was lowered to

45 dB SPL.

Perceptual testing

Once animals reached the training performance criterion ($d' \geq 1.5$), animals were then
perceptually tested on a range of AM depths, from fully modulated (100% AM) to shallow
modulations (> 0% AM). Note, AM depths were presented on a dB scale (re: 100% depth), where
0 dB (re: 100% AM) refers to fully modulated noise (100%) and decreasing (negative) values refer
to smaller depths. These values are not to be confused with overall stimulus intensity, which
remained at 45 dB SPL during perceptual testing. Furthermore, the gain of the AM stimuli was
adjusted to accommodate for changes in average power across modulation depth (Wakefield and
Viemeister, 1990). Perceptual performance was assessed over ≥10 testing sessions. For each
session, the range of AM depths presented was adjusted to bracket the animal's detection
threshold, which was defined as the AM depth at which *d'=1*.

Psychometric analysis

Classified behavioral responses (i.e., Hits, False alarms) were used to generate psychometric
functions used to determine AM depth detection thresholds. First, psychometric functions based
on the percentage of Hits were plotted as a function of AM depth and fit with cumulative Gaussian
using Bayesian inference (open-source MATLAB package psignifit 4) (Schütt et al., 2016; Caras
and Sanes, 2017; Yao and Sanes, 2018). The default priors in psignifit 4 were used as it fit the
behavioral data with high accuracy. Psychometric fits were then transformed to *d'* values (*d' =*
z(hit rate) – z(false alarm rate)(Green and Swets, 1966). Psychometric functions using d' were
used to determine AM depth detection thresholds, which was defined as the AM depth at which
d'=1. When computing *d'*, we constrained the hit and false alarm rates (floor: 0.05; ceiling: 0.95)
to avoid *d'* values that approached infinity (Caras and Sanes, 2017; Yao and Sanes, 2018).

<u>In vivo neurophysiology</u>

Electrode implantation

The procedural details for electrode implantation were identical to the procedures used in previous
studies from our laboratory (Buran et al., 2014b; von Trapp et al., 2016; Caras and Sanes, 2017;
Yao and Sanes, 2018). The procedures are briefly described below.

Following behavioral assessment, a subset of animals (Control: n=7; HL: n=9) were implanted
with a multichannel electrode array in the primary auditory cortex (AC). Animals were
anesthetized with isoflurane/O2 and secured on a stereotaxic apparatus (Kopf). The skull was
exposed and a craniotomy was made on the dorsal skull at established coordinates for targeting

the left core AC. The left cortex was targeted as it is more responsive to temporal acoustic features

(Heffner and Heffner, 1984) A 64 channel silicone probe array (Neuronexus: Buzsaki64-

5x12_H64LP_30mm

or A4x16-Poly2-5mm-23s-200-177-H64LP_30mm) was implanted into the AC and oriented such

that the array spanned the tonotopic axis (Ter-Mikaelian et al., 2007; Rosen et al., 2012; von

Trapp et al., 2016). The array was positioned at a 25º angle in the mediolateral plane, and the

rostral-most probe shank was positioned at 3.9 mm rostral and 4.6-4.9 mm lateral to lambda. The

array was fixed to a custom-made microdrive to allow for later advancement of the electrode

across multiple behavioral testing days. Following recovery, neural recordings were made while

animals performed the AM detection task. When all testing was complete, animals were deeply

anesthetized, perfused with fixative (phosphate-buffered saline, 4% paraformaldehyde), brain

extracted, sectioned (Leica vibratome), and stained with Nissl dye. Electrode track position in the

core AC was verified using a gerbil brain atlas (Radtke-Schuller et al., 2016).

Data acquisition

Extracellular recordings were acquired using a wireless recording system (Triangle BioSystems)

while animals performed the AM detection task. Signals from all channels were pre-amplified,

digitized (24.4 kHz; PZ5; Tucker Davis Technologies, TDT), and sent to the RZ2 (TDT) for filtering

and processing. All recordings were pre-processed and sorted offline. First, recordings were pre-

processed using custom MATLAB scripts and initial spike thresholding was performed. Spikes

were semi-automatically sorted using Kilosort, a spike sorting framework based on template

matching of spike waveforms (Pachitariu et al., 2016). Spike waveforms were manually inspected

and refined in Phy (Rossant et al., 2016). Units were classified as single units if they were well-

isolated, displayed clear separation in PC space, and had few refractory period violations (<10%).

Units that did not meet criteria for single unit classification were classified as multi-units. Sorted

spike data were then analyzed using custom MATLAB scripts.

Neurometric analysis

Procedures for assessment of neural AM detection are similar to that described previously in the

laboratory (Caras and Sanes, 2017). Briefly, the firing rate (spikes / second) for each unit was

calculated over a 1 second duration for modulated and unmodulated stimuli. The 1 sec time period

corresponds to the duration of each trial. Neural *d'* values were computed based on the firing rate,

where for each AM depth, the firing rate was normalized by the standard deviation pooled across

all the stimuli (i.e., z-score), and subtracting the unmodulated value from each AM stimulus ($d'_{FR}=$

z(AM Depth) - z(Unmodulated)).

The neural d' values were used to generate neurometric functions, where the data were fit with a

logistic function using a nonlinear least-squares regression procedure (MATLAB function *nlinfit*).

See Supplementary Figure 3C for fit of neural d' data for two example neurometric functions. The

neurometric fit was deemed appropriate if the correlation between the predicted and actual d'

values were statistically significant (Pearson's *r*). As with the perceptual definition, AM detection

thresholds for each unit were defined as the AM depth at which $d'=1$. Units were classified as AM

responsive if (1) the neurometric fit was significant and (2) the maximum neural d' value was ≥1.

All other units that did not meet the criteria for AM responsivity were classified as unresponsive.

In addition, the strength of synchronization of unit responses to the envelope of each AM stimulus

was quantified using a vector strength (VS) metric (Goldberg and Brown, 1969). Spikes occurring

at the same phase of the AM resulted in high synchrony (VS=1) whereas spikes out of phase

resulted in low synchrony (VS=0). The Rayleigh test of uniformity was used to determine statistical

significance of the VS (Mardia, 1972) at the level of p<0.001.

Population coding

We used a previously employed linear classifier readout procedure (Yao and Sanes, 2018) to

assess AM detection across a population of AC neurons. A linear classifier was trained to decode

responses from a proportion of trials to each stimulus set (e.g., "Warn" and "Safe"; Figure

6A). Specifically, spike count responses from all neurons were counted across 1000 ms from "T"

trials of "S" stimuli (e.g., AM depth) and formed the population "response vector". Since the

number of trials were unequal across all units, we randomly subsampled a proportion of trials

(i.e., 8 trials) from each unit. 7 of the 8 trials were then randomly sampled (without replacement)

across all neurons. A support vector machine (SVM) procedure was used to fit a linear hyperplane

to the data set ("training set"). Cross-validated classification performance was assessed on the

remaining single trial (1 of the 8 trials) by computing the number of times this test set was correctly

classified and misclassified based on the linear hyperplane across 500 iterations with a new

randomly drawn sampled train and test sets for each iteration. Performance metrics were

computed to determine the "Hit" rate (proportion of correctly classified Warn trials) and "False

Alarm" rate (proportion of misclassified Safe trials). Similar to the psychometric and individual unit

neurometric analyses, we converted population decoder performance metrics into d' values. The

SVM procedure was implemented in MATLAB using the "fitcsvm" and "predict" functions with the
"KernelFunction" set to "linear".

Histology
After all electrophysiology experiments, animals were given an overdose of sodium pentobarbital
(150 mg/kg, intraperitoneal injection) and perfused with 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline
followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. The brains were extracted, post-fixed in 4%
paraformaldehyde, and embedded in 6% agar and sectioned at 60 µm on a vibratome (Lieca).
Tissue sections were mounted on gelatin-subbed glass slides, dried, and stained for Nissl.
Brightfield images were acquired using an upright microscope (Revolve, Echo) and electrode
tracks were reconstructed offline and compared with a gerbil brain atlas (Radtke-Schuller et al.,
2016) to confirm the electrodes targeted core auditory cortex (Figure 5B).

<u>In vitro electrophysiology</u>

Thalamocortical brain slice preparation
Following behavioral data collection, a subset of animals (Control: n=5; HL: n=5) were used for *in*
vitro auditory cortex (AC) recordings. The procedures for brain slice physiology experiments have
been established in prior studies from our laboratory (Kotak, 2005; Xu et al., 2007; Takesian et
al., 2012; Mowery et al., 2015). Animals were deeply anesthetized (400 mg/kg, chloral hydrate,
intraperitoneal) and brains extracted and placed into 4°C oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid
(ACSF, in mM: 125 NaCl, 4 KCl, 1.2 KH2PO4, 1.3 MgSO4, 26 NaHCO3, 15 glucose, 2.4 CaCl2,
and 0.4 L-ascorbic acid, pH=7.4 after bubbling with 95% O2/5% CO2). Brains were sectioned
horizontally (500 µm) to preserve the ventral medial geniculate (MGv) to AC projections.
Recording electrodes were fabricated from borosilicate glass microcapillaries with a micropipette
puller (Sutter). Before each whole-cell recording, the AC was identified by extracellular field
responses to MGv stimulation.

Whole-cell current clamp recordings
Current-clamp recordings were obtained (Warner, PC-501A) to assess inhibitory postsynaptic
potentials (IPSPs), excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs), and intrinsic firing rate in L2/3 AC
pyramidal neurons. IPSPs were evoked via local biphasic stimulation of layer 4 (1 ms, 1-10 mV)
in the presence of ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists (20 µm DNQX; 50 µm AP-5).
Antagonists were applied at least 8 min prior to IPSP recordings. Peak IPSP amplitudes of the

short-latency hyperpolarization (putative GABA$_A$ component) and long-latency hyperpolarization

(putative GABA$_B$ component) were measured from each response at a holding potential (V$_{hold}$)

of -50 mV. EPSPs were electrically evoked from L2/3 pyramidal cells at a holding potential (V$_{hold}$)

of -80 mV. EPSP waveforms were collected with increasing afferent stimulation levels (0.1 – 1

mA). Maximum amplitudes were determined from EPSP waveforms for each stimulation level to

compute input-output functions. Action potential threshold was determined by delivering

incremental current pulses (1500 ms; 10 pA steps; 0.2 Hz) until a spike was evoked. Frequency-

current curves were collected by injecting depolarizing current steps (100-600 pA, 100 pA steps).

Recordings were digitized at 10 kHz and analyzed offline using custom Igor-based macros (IGOR,

WaveMetrics).

<u>Auditory brainstem response (ABR) measurements</u>

ABRs were collected from all control and adolescent HL animals to determine whether the long

duration of earplug insertion resulted in changes to the auditory periphery. ABR measurement

procedures were identical to those used in previous studies in our laboratory (Rosen et al., 2012;

Caras and Sanes, 2015; Yao and Sanes, 2018). ABRs were collected in animals following

behavioral data collection and prior to electrophysiological experiments (see timeline in Figure

1A). Animals were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injections of ketamine (30 mg/kg; Bioniche

Pharma) and pentobarbital (50 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich) and placed in a sound attenuation booth

(Industrial Acoustics). Recordings were made with subdermal needle electrodes inserted at the

apex of the skull (positive electrode), the nape of the neck (inverting electrode; caudal to right

pinna), and ground in hind leg. Sound-evoked ABR signals were preamplified (10,000x) and band-

pass filtered (0.3-3 kHz) using a P55 model preamplifier (Grass Technologies). Signals were

additionally amplified (32 dB gain; Brownlee 440 amplifier) before digitizing at a 24.4 kHz sampling

rate (RZ6, TDT). Acoustic stimuli and data acquisition were controlled via custom Python scripts

running on a PC (scripts provided by Brandon Warren and Edwin Rubel, University of

Washington, Seattle). All stimuli were presented from a calibrated free-field speaker positioned

26 cm above the animal. Tone-evoked ABRs were collected by presenting tone pips (5 ms

duration; 2 ms linear rise-fall times) with frequencies that spanned the range of the gerbil

audiogram (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 16 kHz) (Ryan, 1976). Responses were averaged across 250-500

repetitions for each stimulus condition. Tone-ABR audiograms were generated by assessing the

ABR threshold at each test frequency. We defined the ABR threshold to be the lowest sound level

required to elicit a visually discernable ABR peak waveform. ABR waveforms exhibit stereotyped

peaks that are representative of summed peripheral auditory nuclei electrical activity. Here, we

focused on wave I of the ABR, which is thought to be generated by the auditory nerve (Jewett,

1970). We determined the peak of wave I amplitude, which is calculated as the absolute voltage

difference between the peak and the following trough. Wave I amplitude was computed as a

function of sound level to generate ABR input-output functions, which allowed us to assess

whether earplugs induced subtle damage to auditory nerve synapses (Liberman and Kujawa,

2017).

Statistics

Statistical analyses and procedures were performed using JMP Pro 15.0 (SAS) or custom-written

MATLAB scripts utilizing the Statistics and Curve Fitting Toolboxes. For normally distributed data

(assessed using Shapiro-Wilk Goodness of Fit Test), values are given as mean ± SEM. Non-

normally distributed data are given as median ± Quartile. Unless otherwise noted, all normally

distributed data were assessed using parametric procedures (i.e., ANOVA) followed by

appropriate *post hoc* controls for multiple comparisons (Tukey-Kramer HSD) when necessary.

Code availability

All behavior data were collected using custom MATLAB scripts developed by Dr. Daniel Stolzberg

(https://github.com/dstolz/epsych). Psychometric fitting performed using Psignifit4

(https://github.com/wichmann-lab/psignfit/wiki). For *in vivo* physiology data: Semi-automatic spike

sorting was performed using Kilosort (https://github.com/cortex-lab/KiloSort) and manually

inspected and refined in Phy (https://github.com/cortex-lab/phy). For *in vitro* physiology data: All

data were acquired using a custom-designed IGOR (version 4.08; WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego,

OR) macro (Slice™). Software is available here: http://www.cns.nyu.edu/~sanes/slice_software/.

References

Banai K, Sabin AT, Wright BA (2011) Separable developmental trajectories for the abilities to

detect auditory amplitude and frequency modulation. Hear Res 280:219–227.

Barreira-Nielsen C, Fitzpatrick E, Hashem S, Whittingham JA, Barrowman N, Aglipay M (2016)

Progressive hearing loss in early childhood. Ear Hear 37:e311–e321.

Bishop DVM, Hardiman M, Uwer R, Von Suchodoletz W (2007) Maturation of the long-latency

auditory ERP: step function changes at start and end of adolescence. Dev Sci 10:565–575

Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2007.00619.x.

Bishop C V, Reiter TE, Erikson DW, Hanna CB, Daughtry BL, Chavez SL, Hennebold JD,

Stouffer RL (2019) Chronically elevated androgen and/or consumption of a Western-style

diet impairs oocyte quality and granulosa cell function in the nonhuman primate

periovulatory follicle. J Assist Reprod Genet 36:1497–1511 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-019-01497-8.

Blakemore S-J (2012) Development of the social brain in adolescence. J R Soc Med 105:111–

116 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1258/jrsm.2011.110221.

Brugge JF, Orman SS, Coleman JR, Chan JCK, Phillips DP (1985) Binaural interactions in

cortical area AI of cats reared with unilateral atresia of the external ear canal. Hear Res

20:275–287.

Buran BN, Sarro EC, Manno FAM, Kang R, Caras ML, Sanes DH (2014a) A Sensitive Period for

the Impact of Hearing Loss on Auditory Perception. J Neurosci 34:2276–2284 Available at:

http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0647-13.2014.

Buran BN, von Trapp G, Sanes DH (2014b) Behaviorally Gated Reduction of Spontaneous

Discharge Can Improve Detection Thresholds in Auditory Cortex. J Neurosci 34:4076 LP –

4081 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/content/34/11/4076.abstract.

Burke AR, McCormick CM, Pellis SM, Lukkes JL (2017) Impact of adolescent social

experiences on behavior and neural circuits implicated in mental illnesses. Neurosci

Biobehav Rev 76:280–300 Available at:

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0149763416300501.

Canlon B, Frisina RD (2009) Sex hormones and hearing: a pioneering area of enquiry. Hear

Res 252:1.

Caras ML, Sanes DH (2015) Sustained Perceptual Deficits from Transient Sensory Deprivation.

J Neurosci 35:10831–10842 Available at:

http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0837-15.2015.

Caras ML, Sanes DH (2017) Top-down modulation of sensory cortex gates perceptual learning.

Proc Natl Acad Sci 114:201712305 Available at:

http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1712305114.

Caras ML, Sanes DH (2019) Neural Variability Limits Adolescent Skill Learning. J Neurosci

39:2889 LP – 2902 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/content/39/15/2889.abstract.

Carey S, Diamond R, Woods B (1980) Development of face recognition: A maturational

component? Dev Psychol 16:257–269.

Cheetham CE, Belluscio L (2014) An Olfactory Critical Period. Science (80-) 344:157 LP – 158

Available at: http://science.sciencemag.org/content/344/6180/157.abstract.

Christakis DA, Ramirez JSB, Ramirez JM (2012) Overstimulation of newborn mice leads to

behavioral differences and deficits in cognitive performance. Sci Rep 2:546 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00546.

Clements M, Kelly JB (1978) Auditory Spatial Responses of Young Guinea-Pigs (Cavia-

Porcellus) During and After Ear Blocking. J Comp Physiol Psychol 92:34–44.

Clopton BM, Silverman MS (1977) Plasticity of binaural interaction. II. Critical period and

changes in midline response. J Neurophysiol 40:1275–1280.

Cohen Kadosh K, Johnson MH, Dick F, Cohen Kadosh R, Blakemore S-J (2013) Effects of Age,

Task Performance, and Structural Brain Development on Face Processing. Cereb Cortex

23:1630–1642 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs150.

Danneman PJ, Suckow MA, Brayton C (2012) The laboratory mouse. CRC Press.

Davidson LL, Grigorenko EL, Boivin MJ, Rapa E, Stein A (2015) A focus on adolescence to

reduce neurological, mental health and substance-use disability. Nature 527:S161–S166

Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16030.

Dayanidhi S, Hedberg Å, Valero-Cuevas FJ, Forssberg H (2013) Developmental improvements

in dynamic control of fingertip forces last throughout childhood and into adolescence. J

Neurophysiol 110:1583–1592 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00320.2013.

de Villers-Sidani E, Chang EF, Bao S, Merzenich MM (2007) Critical Period Window for Spectral

Tuning Defined in the Primary Auditory Cortex (A1) in the Rat. J Neurosci 27:180 LP – 189

Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/content/27/1/180.abstract.

Downes M, Bathelt J, De Haan M (2017) Event-related potential measures of executive

functioning from preschool to adolescence. Dev Med Child Neurol 59:581–590 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.13395.

Eiland L, Romeo RD (2013) Stress and the developing adolescent brain. Neuroscience

249:162–171 Available at:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030645221201072X.

Einon DF, Morgan MJ (1977) A critical period for social isolation in the rat. Dev Psychobiol J Int
Soc Dev Psychobiol 10:123–132.

Finke M, Sandmann P, Kopp B, Lenarz T, Büchner A (2015) Auditory distraction transmitted by
a cochlear implant alters allocation of attentional resources. Front Neurosci 9:68.

Fitzgerald MB, Wright BA (2011) Perceptual learning and generalization resulting from training
on an auditory amplitude-modulation detection task. J Acoust Soc Am 129:898–906
Available at: https://doi.org/10.1121/1.3531841.

Furman AC, Kujawa SG, Charles Liberman M (2013) Noise-induced cochlear neuropathy is
selective for fibers with low spontaneous rates. J Neurophysiol 110:577–586.

Gay JD, Voytenko S V, Galazyuk A V, Rosen MJ (2014) Developmental hearing loss impairs
signal detection in noise: putative central mechanisms   . Front Syst Neurosci   8:162
Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00162.

Germine LT, Duchaine B, Nakayama K (2011) Where cognitive development and aging meet:
Face learning ability peaks after age 30. Cognition 118:201–210 Available at:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010027710002611.

Giedd JN, Blumenthal J, Jeffries NO, Castellanos FX, Liu H, Zijdenbos A, Paus T, Evans AC,
Rapoport JL (1999) Brain development during childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal
MRI study. Nat Neurosci 2:861–863 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/13158.

Goldberg JM, Brown PB (1969) Response of binaural neurons of dog superior olivary complex
to dichotic tonal stimuli: some physiological mechanisms of sound localization. J
Neurophysiol 32:613–636.

Green DB, Mattingly MM, Ye Y, Gay JD, Rosen MJ (2017) Brief Stimulus Exposure Fully
Remediates Temporal Processing Deficits Induced by Early Hearing Loss. J Neurosci
37:7759 LP – 7771 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/content/37/32/7759.abstract.

Green DM, Swets JA (1966) Signal detection theory and psychophysics. New York-London-
Sydney: John Wiley& Sons.

Guyer AE, Monk CS, McClure-Tone EB, Nelson EE, Roberson-Nay R, Adler AD, Fromm SJ,
Leibenluft E, Pine DS, Ernst M (2008) A Developmental Examination of Amygdala
Response to Facial Expressions. J Cogn Neurosci 20:1565–1582 Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2008.20114.

Hall JW, Grose JH, Pillsbury HC (1995) Long-term effects of chronic otitis media on binaural
hearing in children. Arch Otolaryngol Neck Surg 121:847–852.

Han YK, Köver H, Insanally MN, Semerdjian JH, Bao S (2007) Early experience impairs
perceptual discrimination. Nat Neurosci 10:1191–1197 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1941.

Heffner HE, Heffner RS (1984) Temporal lobe lesions and perception of species-specific

vocalizations by macaques. Science (80-) 226:75–76.

Henkin Y, Yaar-Soffer Y, Steinberg M, Muchnik C (2014) Neural correlates of auditory-cognitive

processing in older adult cochlear implant recipients. Audiol Neurotol 19:21–26.

Hensch TK (2005) Critical period plasticity in local cortical circuits. Nat Rev Neurosci 6:877–888.

Holmes E, Kitterick PT, Summerfield AQ (2017) Peripheral hearing loss reduces the ability of

children to direct selective attention during multi-talker listening. Hear Res 350:160–172.

Hubel DH, Wiesel TN (1970) The period of susceptibility to the physiological effects of unilateral

eye closure in kittens. J Physiol 206:419–436 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1970.sp009022.

Huyck JJ, Wright BA (2011) Late maturation of auditory perceptual learning. Dev Sci 14:614–

621 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2010.01009.x.

Huyck JJ, Wright BA (2013) Learning, worsening, and generalization in response to auditory

perceptual training during adolescence. J Acoust Soc Am 134:1172–1182 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1121/1.4812258.

Ihlefeld A, Chen Y-W, Sanes DH (2016) Developmental Conductive Hearing Loss Reduces

Modulation Masking Release. Trends Hear 20:1–14 Available at:

http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2331216516676255.

Isgor C, Kabbaj M, Akil H, Watson SJ (2004) Delayed effects of chronic variable stress during

peripubertal-juvenile period on hippocampal morphology and on cognitive and stress axis

functions in rats. Hippocampus 14:636–648.

Jain S, Ashworth J, Biswas S, Lloyd IC (2010) Duration of form deprivation and visual outcome

in infants with bilateral congenital cataracts. J AAPOS 14:31–34 Available at:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaapos.2009.11.016.

Jewett DL (1970) Volume-conducted potentials in response to auditory stimuli as detected by

averaging in the cat. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 28:609–618 Available at:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0013469470902038 [Accessed June 7,

2017].

Kadosh KC, Linden DEJ, Lau JYF (2013) Plasticity during childhood and adolescence:

innovative approaches to investigating neurocognitive development. Dev Sci 16:574–583

Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12054.

Keating P, Dahmen JC, King AJ (2013) Context-Specific Reweighting of Auditory Spatial Cues

following Altered Experience during Development. Curr Biol 23:1291–1299 Available at:

http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=23810532&retmod

e=ref&cmd=prlinks%5Cnpapers3://publication/doi/10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.045.

Keating P, Dahmen JC, King AJ (2015a) Complementary adaptive processes contribute to the

developmental plasticity of spatial hearing. Nat Neurosci 18:185–187 Available at:

http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nn.3914.

Keating P, Dahmen JC, King AJ (2015b) Complementary adaptive processes contribute to the

developmental plasticity of spatial hearing. Nat Neurosci 18:185 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3914.

Kim JH, Li S, Richardson R (2011) Immunohistochemical Analyses of Long-Term Extinction of

Conditioned Fear in Adolescent Rats. Cereb Cortex 21:530–538 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhq116.

Knudsen EI (1983) Early auditory experience aligns the auditory map of space in the optic

tectum of the barn owl. Science (80-) 222:939 LP – 942 Available at:

http://science.sciencemag.org/content/222/4626/939.abstract.

Knudsen EI (1985) Experience alters the spatial tuning of auditory units in the optic tectum

during a sensitive period in the barn owl. J Neurosci 5:3094–3109.

Knudsen EI, Esterly SD, Knudsen PF (1984a) Monaural occlusion alters sound localization

during a sensitive period in the barn owl. J Neurosci 4:1001–1011.

Knudsen EI, Knudsen PF, Esterly SD (1982) Early auditory experience modifies sound

localization in barn owls. Nature 295:238–240 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1038/295238a0.

Knudsen EI, Knudsen PF, Esterly SD (1984b) A critical period for the recovery of sound

localization accuracy following monaural occlusion in the barn owl. J Neurosci 4:1012–

1020.

Kolb B, Mychasiuk R, Muhammad A, Li Y, Frost DO, Gibb R (2012) Experience and the

developing prefrontal cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109:17186–17193.

Kotak VC (2005) Hearing Loss Raises Excitability in the Auditory Cortex. J Neurosci 25:3908–

3918 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5169-04.2005.

Lebel C, Beaulieu C (2011) Longitudinal Development of Human Brain Wiring Continues from

Childhood into Adulthood. J Neurosci 31:10937 LP – 10947 Available at:

http://www.jneurosci.org/content/31/30/10937.abstract.

Lewis TL, Maurer D (2005) Multiple sensitive periods in human visual development: Evidence

from visually deprived children. Dev Psychobiol 46:163–183 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20055.

Liberman MC, Kujawa SG (2017) Cochlear synaptopathy in acquired sensorineural hearing

loss: Manifestations and mechanisms. Hear Res 349:138–147 Available at:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378595516302507.

Lin FR, Yaffe K, Xia J, Xue QL, Harris TB, Purchase-Helzner E, Satterfield S, Ayonayon HN,

Ferrucci L, Simonsick EM (2013) Hearing loss and cognitive decline in older adults. JAMA

Intern Med.

Lü J, Huang Z, Yang T, Li Y, Mei L, Xiang M, Chai Y, Li X, Li L, Yao G, Wang Y, Shen X, Wu H

(2011) Screening for delayed-onset hearing loss in preschool children who previously

passed the newborn hearing screening. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 75:1045–1049

Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2011.05.022.

Mahajan Y, McArthur G (2012a) Maturation of visual evoked potentials across adolescence.

Brain Dev 34:655–666 Available at:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0387760411003019.

Mahajan Y, McArthur G (2012b) Maturation of auditory event-related potentials across

adolescence. Hear Res 294:82–94 Available at:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037859551200247X.

Mann VA, Diamond R, Carey S (1979) Development of voice recognition: Parallels with face

recognition. J Exp Child Psychol 27:153–165 Available at:

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022096579900675.

Mardia K V (1972) Statistics of directional data Academic Press. New York 357.

McCallum J, Kim JH, Richardson R (2010) Impaired Extinction Retention in Adolescent Rats:

Effects of D-Cycloserine. Neuropsychopharmacology 35:2134–2142 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2010.92.

McKenna Benoit M, Orlando M, Henry K, Allen P (2018) Amplitude Modulation Detection in

Children with a History of Temporary Conductive Hearing Loss Remains Impaired for

Years After Restoration of Normal Hearing. J Assoc Res Otolaryngol 20:89–98 Available

at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10162-018-00699-8.

McMurray B, Danelz A, Rigler H, Seedorff M (2018) Speech categorization develops slowly

through adolescence. Dev Psychol 54:1472–1491.

Mogdans J, Knudsen EI (1992) Adaptive adjustment of unit tuning to sound localization cues in

response to monaural occlusion in developing owl optic tectum. J Neurosci 12:3473 LP –

3484 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/content/12/9/3473.abstract.

Mogdans J, Knudsen EI (1993) Early monaural occlusion alters the neural map of interaural

level differences in the inferior colliculus of the barn owl. Brain Res 619:29–38.

Moore DR, Hine JE, Jiang ZD, Matsuda H, Parsons CH, King AJ (1999) Conductive Hearing
Loss Produces a Reversible Binaural Hearing Impairment. 19:8704–8711.

Moore DR, Irvine DRF (1981) Plasticity of binaural interaction in the cat inferior colliculus. Brain
Res 208:198–202.

Moore DR, Zobay O, Ferguson MA (2020) Minimal and mild hearing loss in children:
Association with auditory perception, cognition, and communication problems. Ear Hear
41:720–732.

Moore JK, Guan YL (2001) Cytoarchitectural and axonal maturation in human auditory cortex.
JARO - J Assoc Res Otolaryngol 2:297–311.

Mowery TM, Caras ML, Hassan SI, Wang DJ, Dimidschstein J, Fishell G, Sanes DH (2019)
Preserving Inhibition during Developmental Hearing Loss Rescues Auditory Learning and
Perception. J Neurosci 39:8347 LP – 8361 Available at:
http://www.jneurosci.org/content/39/42/8347.abstract.

Mowery TM, Kotak VC, Sanes DH (2015) Transient Hearing Loss Within a Critical Period
Causes Persistent Changes to Cellular Properties in Adult Auditory Cortex. Cereb Cortex
25:2083–2094.

Mowery TM, Penikis KB, Young SK, Ferrer CE, Kotak VC, Sanes DH (2017) The Sensory
Striatum Is Permanently Impaired by Transient Developmental Deprivation. Cell Rep
19:2462–2468 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.05.083.

Niskar AS, Kieszak SM, Holmes AE, Esteban E, Rubin C, Brody DJ (2001) Estimated
Prevalence of Noise-Induced Hearing Threshold Shifts Among Children 6 to 19 Years of
Age: The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994, United
States. Pediatrics 108:40 LP – 43 Available at:
http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/108/1/40.abstract.

Norris M, Adams C (1974) Sexual development in the Mongolian gerbil, Meriones unguiculatus,
with particular reference to the ovary. Reproduction 36:245–248 Available at:
https://rep.bioscientifica.com/view/journals/rep/36/1/jrf_36_1_034.xml.

Pachitariu M, Steinmetz N, Kadir S, Carandini M, Harris K (2016) Fast and accurate spike
sorting of high-channel count probes with KiloSort. Adv Neural Inf Process Syst:4455–
4463.

Patel SR, Bouldin E, Tey CS, Govil N, Alfonso KP (2020) Social Isolation and Loneliness in the
Hearing-Impaired Pediatric Population: A Scoping Review. Laryngoscope n/a Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.29312.

Pattwell SS, Duhoux S, Hartley CA, Johnson DC, Jing D, Elliott MD, Ruberry EJ, Powers A,

Mehta N, Yang RR (2012) Altered fear learning across development in both mouse and
human. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109:16318–16323.

Paus T, Keshavan M, Giedd JN (2008) Why do many psychiatric disorders emerge during
adolescence? Nat Rev Neurosci 9:947–957 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2513.

Petanjek Z, Judaš M, Šimić G, Rašin MR, Uylings HBM, Rakic P, Kostović I (2011)
Extraordinary neoteny of synaptic spines in the human prefrontal cortex. Proc Natl Acad
Sci 108:13281 LP – 13286 Available at:
http://www.pnas.org/content/108/32/13281.abstract.

Pinto-Fochi ME, Negrin AC, Scarano WR, Taboga SR, Góes RM (2016) Sexual maturation of
the Mongolian gerbil (*Meriones unguiculatus*): a histological, hormonal and spermatic
evaluation. Reprod Fertil Dev 28:815–823 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1071/RD14074.

Pinto J, Hornby K, Jones D, Murphy K (2010) Developmental changes in GABAergic
mechanisms in human visual cortex across the lifespan. Front Cell Neurosci 4:1–12
Available at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fncel.2010.00016/abstract.

Pisoni DB, Cleary M (2003) Measures of working memory span and verbal rehearsal speed in
deaf children after cochlear implantation. Ear Hear 24:106S.

Pitkow X, Liu S, Angelaki DE, DeAngelis GC, Pouget A (2015) How Can Single Sensory
Neurons Predict Behavior? Neuron 87:411–423 Available at:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0896627315005966.

Polley DB, Thompson JH, Guo W (2013) Brief hearing loss disrupts binaural integration during
two early critical periods of auditory cortex development. Nat Commun 4:2547.

Popescu M V., Polley DB (2010) Monaural Deprivation Disrupts Development of Binaural
Selectivity in Auditory Midbrain and Cortex. Neuron 65:718–731 Available at:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.019.

Putzar L, Hötting K, Röder B (2010) Early visual deprivation affects the development of face
recognition and of audio-visual speech perception. Restor Neurol Neurosci 28:251–257.

Putzar L, Hötting K, Rösler F, Röder B (2007) The development of visual feature binding
processes after visual deprivation in early infancy. Vision Res 47:2616–2626 Available at:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0042698907002921.

Radtke-Schuller S, Schuller G, Angenstein F, Grosser OS, Goldschmidt J, Budinger E (2016)
Brain atlas of the Mongolian gerbil (Meriones unguiculatus) in CT/MRI-aided stereotaxic
coordinates. Brain Struct Funct 221.

Reichman J, Healey WC (1983) Learning disabilities and conductive hearing loss involving otitis
media. J Learn Disabil 16:272–278.

Rosen MJ, Sarro EC, Kelly JB, Sanes DH (2012) Diminished behavioral and neural sensitivity to

sound modulation is associated with moderate developmental hearing loss. PLoS One 7.

Rossant C, Kadir SN, Goodman DFM, Schulman J, Hunter MLD, Saleem AB, Grosmark A,

Belluscio M, Denfield GH, Ecker AS, Tolias AS, Solomon S, Buzsáki G, Carandini M,

Harris KD (2016) Spike sorting for large, dense electrode arrays. Nat Neurosci 19:634–641

Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4268.

Ryan A (1976) Hearing sensitivity of the mongolian gerbil, Meriones unguiculatis. J Acoust Soc

Am 59:1222–1226 Available at: https://asa.scitation.org/doi/abs/10.1121/1.380961.

Sarro EC, Rosen MJ, Sanes DH (2011) Taking advantage of behavioral changes during

development and training to assess sensory coding mechanisms. Ann N Y Acad Sci

1225:142–154 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06023.x.

Sarro EC, Sanes DH (2010) Prolonged maturation of auditory perception and learning in gerbils.

Dev Neurobiol 70:636–648.

Sarro EC, Sanes DH (2011) The Cost and Benefit of Juvenile Training on Adult Perceptual Skill.

J Neurosci 31:5383 LP – 5391 Available at:

http://www.jneurosci.org/content/31/14/5383.abstract.

Sawyer SM, Azzopardi PS, Wickremarathne D, Patton GC (2018) The age of adolescence.

Lancet Child Adolesc Heal 2:223–228 Available at:

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352464218300221.

Schütt HH, Harmeling S, Macke JH, Wichmann FA (2016) Painfree and accurate Bayesian

estimation of psychometric functions for (potentially) overdispersed data. Vision Res

122:105–123 Available at:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0042698916000390.

Selemon LD (2013) A role for synaptic plasticity in the adolescent development of executive

function. Transl Psychiatry 3:e238–e238 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2013.7.

Shafer VL, Yu YH, Wagner M (2015) Maturation of cortical auditory evoked potentials (CAEPs)

to speech recorded from frontocentral and temporal sites: Three months to eight years of

age. Int J Psychophysiol 95:77–93 Available at:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.08.1390.

Shargorodsky J, Curhan SG, Curhan GC, Eavey R (2010) Change in prevalence of hearing loss

in US adolescents. JAMA - J Am Med Assoc 304:772–778.

Sharma A, Dorman MF, Spahr AJ (2002) A Sensitive Period for the Development of the Central

Auditory System in Children with Cochlear Implants: Implications for Age of Implantation.

Ear Hear 23 Available at: https://journals.lww.com/ear-

1234   hearing/Fulltext/2002/12000/A_Sensitive_Period_for_the_Development_of_the.4.aspx.

1235 Sharma A, Kraus N, J. McGee T, Nicol TG (1997) Developmental changes in P1 and N1 central

1236   auditory responses elicited by consonant-vowel syllables. Electroencephalogr Clin

1237   Neurophysiol Potentials Sect 104:540–545 Available at:

1238   http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168559797000506.

1239 Silverman MS, Clopton BM (1977) Plasticity of binaural interaction. I. Effect of early auditory

1240   deprivation. J Neurophysiol 40:1266–1274.

1241 Simmonds DJ, Hallquist MN, Luna B (2017) Protracted development of executive and

1242   mnemonic brain systems underlying working memory in adolescence: A longitudinal fMRI

1243   study. Neuroimage 157:695–704 Available at:

1244   http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811917300162.

1245 Singh NC, Theunissen FE (2003) Modulation spectra of natural sounds and ethological theories

1246   of auditory processing. J Acoust Soc Am 114:3394–3411 Available at:

1247   http://asa.scitation.org/doi/10.1121/1.1624067.

1248 Smith RJH, Bale JF, White KR (2005) Sensorineural hearing loss in children. Lancet 365:879–

1249   890.

1250 Sussman E, Steinschneider M, Gumenyuk V, Grushko J, Lawson K (2008) The maturation of

1251   human evoked brain potentials to sounds presented at different stimulus rates. Hear Res

1252   236:61–79.

1253 Svirsky MA, Teoh S-W, Neuburger H (2004) Development of Language and Speech Perception

1254   in Congenitally, Profoundly Deaf Children as a Function of Age at Cochlear Implantation.

1255   Audiol Neurotol 9:224–233 Available at: https://www.karger.com/DOI/10.1159/000078392.

1256 Takesian AE, Kotak VC, Sanes DH (2012) Age-dependent effect of hearing loss on cortical

1257   inhibitory synapse function. J Neurophysiol 107:937–947.

1258 Ter-Mikaelian M, Sanes DH, Semple MN (2007) Transformation of Temporal Properties

1259   between Auditory Midbrain and Cortex in the Awake Mongolian Gerbil. J Neurosci

1260   27:6091–6102 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4848-

1261   06.2007.

1262 Theunissen SCPM, Rieffe C, Netten AP, Briaire JJ, Soede W, Schoones JW, Frijns JHM (2014)

1263   Psychopathology and Its Risk and Protective Factors in Hearing-Impaired Children and

1264   Adolescents: A Systematic Review. JAMA Pediatr 168:170–177 Available at:

1265   https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.3974.

1266 Tomblin JB, Harrison M, Ambrose SE, Walker EA, Oleson JJ, Moeller MP (2015) Language

1267   outcomes in young children with mild to severe hearing loss. Ear Hear 36:76S-91S.

Van der Loos H, Woolsey TA (1973) Somatosensory Cortex: Structural Alterations following

Early Injury to Sense Organs. Science (80-) 179:395 LP – 398 Available at:

http://science.sciencemag.org/content/179/4071/395.abstract.

Viemeister NF (1979) Temporal modulation transfer functions based upon modulation

thresholds. J Acoust Soc Am 66:1364–1380 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1121/1.383531.

von Trapp G, Aloni I, Young S, Semple MN, Sanes DH (2017) Developmental hearing loss

impedes auditory task learning and performance in gerbils. Hear Res 347:3–10 Available

at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2016.07.020.

von Trapp G, Buran BN, Sen K, Semple MN, Sanes DH (2016) A Decline in Response

Variability Improves Neural Signal Detection during Auditory Task Performance. J Neurosci

36:11097–11106 Available at: http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1302-

16.2016.

Wakefield GH, Viemeister NF (1990) Discrimination of modulation depth of sinusoidal amplitude

modulation (SAM) noise. J Acoust Soc Am 88:1367–1373.

Xu H, Kotak VC, Sanes DH (2007) Conductive Hearing Loss Disrupts Synaptic and Spike

Adaptation in Developing Auditory Cortex. J Neurosci 27:9417–9426 Available at:

http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1992-07.2007.

Xu L, Jen PH-S (2001) The effect of monaural middle ear destruction on postnatal development

of auditory response properties of mouse inferior collicular neurons. Hear Res 159:1–13.

Yao JD, Sanes DH (2018) Developmental deprivation-induced perceptual and cortical

processing deficits in awake-behaving animals. Elife 7.

Ye Y, Ihlefeld A, Rosen MJ (2021) Conductive hearing loss during development does not

appreciably alter the sharpness of cochlear tuning. Sci Rep 11:3955 Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-83115-1.

Yoshinaga-Itano C, Sedey AL, Coulter DK, Mehl AL (1998) Language of early- and later-

identified children with hearing loss. Pediatrics 102:1161–1171.

Zadeh LM, Silbert NH, Sternasty K, Swanepoel DW, Hunter LL, Moore DR (2019) Extended

high-frequency hearing enhances speech perception in noise. Proc Natl Acad Sci

116:23753–23759.

Zhou X, Merzenich MM (2009) Developmentally degraded cortical temporal processing restored

by training. Nat Neurosci 12:26–28 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2239.

Zhuang X, Sun W, Xu-Friedman MA (2017) Changes in Properties of Auditory Nerve Synapses

following Conductive Hearing Loss. J Neurosci 37 Available at:

http://www.jneurosci.org/content/37/2/323 [Accessed July 9, 2017].

Supplemental Figure 1.   Transient hearing loss during adolescence did not alter procedural training or psychometric testing performance in a sex-specific manner. (**A**) Procedural training sessions (3-4 separate sessions) were combined separately for male (M) and female (F) control (Ctrl; left panel) and hearing loss-reared (HL; right panel) animals. Behavioral performance (d') was computed as a function of warn trial number using a 5-trial sliding window. Data are depicted as the mean ± SEM. (**B**) Number of warn trials to reach performance criterion (d'≥1.5) for male (M) and female (F) control (Ctrl) and HL-reared animals. (**C**) Average d' for the last 20 trials of procedural training for each group. (**D**) Control (Ctrl) and adolescent hearing loss (HL) males (M; closed circles) and females (F; open circles) exhibit comparable AM detection thresholds on the final testing session, with no significant differences between males or females within each group (controls: p=0.43; HL: p=0.97). Therefore, transient hearing loss during adolescence impairs amplitude modulation (AM) depth detection for both male and female adults.

Supplementary Figure 2. Poorer behavioral detection thresholds are not due to elevated stress during adolescence. (**A**) Serum cortisol levels across age for male (solid line) and female (dotted line) gerbils. (**B**) Behavioral depth thresholds for the first day of perceptual testing (~P126; dB re: 100%) as a function of cortisol levels collected at P35, P54, P65, P90, and P102. Solid line indicates the linear fit for each group (Control, adolescent HL), along with the associated Pearson's *r* correlation value. The p-values of each linear fit are listed in the top left corner of each plot. Elevated cortisol levels at any of the ages collected do not correlate with poorer detection thresholds at P126.

Supplementary Figure 3. Basic response properties and detection thresholds for individual auditory cortex neurons. (**A-C**) Firing rate (s/s), vector strength (VS), and d' values for example single units shown in Figure 4C. (**D-E**) Firing rate (s/s) and vector strength for a population of single units that met the criteria for AM sensitivity (same neurons from Figure 5). (**F**) Neural thresholds for multi units are plotted for control and HL animals. Individual thresholds are shown (circles), along with a half-violin plot indicating the probability density function. Horizontal lines indicate the mean±SEM. Individual multi units from HL animals exhibit poorer neural depth thresholds than control single units (p=0.003).

Supplementary Figure 4. Neural sensitivity of individual cortical neurons correlate with perceptual performance. Behavioral threshold as a function of neural thresholds for individual single units that meet the criteria for AM sensitivity (see Methods). The neural thresholds are the average threshold for single units per session (i.e., 1 avg / session). Dotted lines indicate a fitted linear regression, with shaded areas indicating the (± 1 SD) of the prediction error. Pearson's *r* and statistical significance of each fit are noted in the top left corner of each plot.