Novel amiloride derivatives that inhibit bacterial motility across multiple strains and stator

types

MI Islam[1]*, JH Bae[1]*, T Ishida[2], P Ridone[1], J Lin[1], MJ Kelso[3,4], Y Sowa[2,5], BJ Buckley[3,4], MAB

Baker[1,6].

*joint contribution

1. School of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney,

NSW 2052, Australia.

2. Department of Frontier Bioscience, Hosei University, Tokyo, Japan.

3. Molecular Horizons and School of Chemistry and Molecular Bioscience, University of Wollongong,

Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

4. Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia.

5. Research Center for Micro-Nano Technology, Hosei University, Tokyo, Japan.

6. CSIRO Synthetic Biology Future Science Platform, Brisbane, Australia.

Correspondence: matthew.baker@unsw.edu.au

Keywords:

Bacterial motility, bacterial flagellar motor, amiloride, sodium channel blocker, stator.

Abstract:

The bacterial flagellar motor (BFM) is a protein complex that confers motility to cells and contributes

to survival and virulence. The BFM consists of stators that are ion-selective membrane protein

complexes and a rotor that directly connects to a large filament, acting as a propeller. The stator

complexes couple ion transit across the membrane to torque that drives rotation of the motor. The most

common ion gradients that drive BFM rotation are protons (H^+) and sodium ions (Na^+).

The sodium-powered stators, like those in the PomAPomB stator complex of *Vibrio* spp, can be

inhibited by sodium channel inhibitors, in particular, by phenamil, a potent and widely used inhibitor.

However, relatively few new sodium-motility inhibitors have been described since the discovery of

phenamil. In this study, we discovered two motility inhibitors HM2-16F and BB2-50F from a small

library of previously reported amiloride derivatives. Using a tethered cell assay, we showed that both

HM2-16F and BB2-50F had inhibition comparable to that of phenamil effect on Na+ driven motors at

matching concentrations, with an additional ability to inhibit rotation in H^+ driven motors.

The two compounds did not exhibit adverse effects on bacterial growth at the motility-inhibiting

concentration of 10 μM, however toxicity was seen for BB2-50F at 100 μM. We performed higher

resolution measurements to examine rotation inhibition at moderate (1 μm polystyrene bead) and low

loads (60 nm gold bead) and in both the presence and absence of stators. These measurements suggested

that the compounds did not inhibit rotation via direct association with the stator, in contrast to the

established mode of action of phenamil. Overall, HM2-16F and BB2-50F showed reversible inhibition

of motility across a range of loads, in both Na^+ and H^+ stator types, and in pathogenic and

non-pathogenic strains.

Introduction:

Motility is essential for many bacteria to find nutrients and escape unfavourable conditions (1).

Bacterial cells move through liquids or over moist surfaces using rotating flagella, which are driven by

the bacterial flagellar motor (BFM) (2). In peritrichous bacteria such as *E. coli*, when the majority of

BFMs rotate counter-clockwise, bacteria move linearly (i.e., run) and when one or more BFM rotates

clockwise, the helical filament-bundle unravels and bacteria change direction (i.e., tumble) (3). The

BFM contains the stator proteins (e.g., MotA and MotB in *E. coli* and PomA and PomB in *Vibrio* spp.),

which form an ion transporter on the inner cell membrane, and the rotor proteins (e.g., FliG, FliM, and

FliN), that are driven to rotate by torque generation between the stator and rotor (4). Rotation of the

BFM is powered by translocation of specific ions (e.g., H^+ in *E. coli* and Na^+ in *Vibrio* spp.) across the

cell membrane through the stator complex (4).

Beside locomotion, motility is crucial during the initial infection and colonisation processes of different

pathogenic bacteria (5). Locomotion reduces repulsion between the bacterial cell wall and host tissues,

promoting attachment to host cells (6). The importance of motility as a virulence factor was first noted

in *Campylobacter jejuni,* when only the motile strain of *C. jejuni* was recovered from an infection site

where a mixture of motile and non-motile *C. jejuni* phase variants were used to initiate infection (7).

It has since been demonstrated that flagellum-mediated motility promotes the virulence of several

gram-negative pathogens, such as for *Bordetella bronchiseptica, B. pertussis* (8)*, Helicobacter sp.* (9)*,

Legionella pneumophila (10), pathogenic *E. coli* strains (11), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (12)*,

Salmonella typhimurium (13)*, and *Vibrio cholerae* (14)*. Aside from host attachment, flagella also

contribute to the formation of biofilms by multiple bacterial pathogens, which promote the development

of persistent and chronic infections and antibiotic resistance (1).

Amiloride is a diuretic drug and well-characterised inhibitor of the Na^+ bacterial flagellar motor (15).

Amiloride inhibits rotation of the sodium powered flagellar motor by blocking the translocation of Na^+

ions through the channel in a competitive and rapidly reversible manner (16). However, amiloride is

of limited utility due to its weak anti-motility activity and adverse effects on other metabolic processes

(17). The *N*-phenyl substituted amiloride analogue, phenamil, has been identified as a better inhibitor

of sodium powered flagellar motors (17). Phenamil is markedly more potent than amiloride and shows

non-competitive inhibition of bacterial motility with slow dissociation (18). Phenamil stops flagellar

rotation by binding to a site predicted to lie at the cytoplasmic face of the PomAPomB stator complex

(19). Phenamil is a useful tool for flagellar research, but requires high concentrations (>20 µM) and

resistant stators can arise from a single point mutation (20, 21). More potent and specific compounds

may assist research into stator function and potentially offer leads for new antivirulence drugs.

While classical uncouplers can be used to inhibit proton powered motors, they also have adverse effects

on cells resulting from collapse of the membrane potential. For example the commonly used uncoupler,

carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP), is toxic to both prokaryote and eukaryote cells

(22). Here, we report that two recently reported 6-substituted amiloride analogues,

BB2-50F and HM2-16F(23, 24) (Fig. 1A), inhibit motility in known phenamil-resistant strains

and affect both Na^+ and H^+ powered flagellar motors.

Results:

Inhibition of motility

We initially evaluated the anti-motility activity of BB2-50F and HM2-16F along with the control

compounds HMA and phenamil using a tethered cell assay (Fig. 1B). We examined the effect of each

compound on rotational speed at increasing concentrations (0, 1, 10, and 100 µM) against Na^+ powered

stator, PomAPotB in *E. coli* SYC35 (Δ*motAmotB fliC^sticky*) strains. Both BB2-50F and HM2-16F

inhibited rotation speed in a dose-dependent manner and showed complete inhibition at 10 µM and

above (Fig. 1B). The positive control for Na^+-inhibition, phenamil showed similar dose-dependency,

with complete inhibition at 10 µM (Fig. 1B). HMA was less potent, with 65% of cells still rotating at

10 µM (Fig. 1B), in agreement with previous findings with other 5-substituted amiloride analogues

(16).

We further characterised the activity of BB2-50F and HM2-16F alongside phenamil over the

concentration range 0−10 µM (Fig. 1C). BB2-50F showed a 2% reduction in the number of rotating

cells at 1 µM, 4% at 2.5 µM, 12% at 5 µM, 20% at 7.5 µM and 79% at 10 µM (Fig 1C). HM2-16F

showed a 6% reduction in the number of rotating cells at 1 µM, 15% at 2.5 µM, 28% at 5 µM, 62% at

7.5 µM and 100% at 10 µM (Fig. 1C). In comparison, phenamil produced a 27% reduction in the

number of rotating cells at 1 µM, 36% at 2.5 µM, 51% at 5 µM, 58% at 7.5 µM and 74% at 10 µM

(Fig. 1C). The effect of each compound was reversed following washing with motility buffer.

Effect on bacterial growth

We measured the growth of *E. coli* SYC35 cells expressing sodium powered stators (PomAPotB)

(Supplementary Fig. 1A) and proton powered stators (MotAMotB) (Supplementary Fig. 1B) in the

presence of 10 µM and 100 µM BB2-50F and HM2-16F. The rate of growth in both strains was not

inhibited relative to vehicle controls for either HM2-16F or BB2-50F at 10 µM (Supplementary Fig. 1).

However, at 100 µM the compounds differed in toxicity. HM2-16F reduced the growth rate relative to

control over the first five hours in both strains, but did not significantly decrease growth at 15 hr, while

BB2-50F completely inhibited growth at 100 µM.

Effect of Na$^+$ concentration on motility inhibition

We evaluated the inhibition of rotation for BB2-50F, HM2-16F and phenamil while varying the

concentration of external sodium to test for Na$^+$ competitive effects (17). Compounds were tested at

concentrations of 1−10 µM using motility buffers containing 1, 5, 20, and 85 mM Na$^+$. No significant

differences in inhibition were observed for HM2-16F and BB2-50F at the Na$^+$ concentrations tested

(Fig. 1D). Inhibition was generally flat for BB2-50F between 0-7.5 µM, with a sharp drop seen at

10 µM, whereas HM2-16F inhibition showed a more gradual effect across the range of concentrations

tested. In contrast, an exponential decay was seen for phenamil across the concentration range, with the

fitted decay constant indistinguishable at all sodium concentrations (Fig 1D, left).

Activity against phenamil-resistant variants and the proton powered MotA/MotB complex

To assess whether the inhibition of rotation was affected by point mutations known to confer resistance

to phenamil, we tested BB2-50F and HM2-16F against known phenamil-resistant mutant constructs at

1, 10 and 100 µM using the tethered cell assay. Four different stator mutants were tested in SYC35:

PomA(D148Y) PotB, PomA PotB(P16S), PomA(D148Y) PotB(P16S) and PomA PotB(F22Y/L28Q)

(68, 69). Phenamil at 10 µM exhibited no significant effect on the resistant strains (Fig. 2A). In contrast,

both compounds showed significant inhibition, completely stopping motility at 10 µM in all

phenamil-resistant strains (Fig. 2BC).

To further profile the specificity of the inhibitors, we evaluated BB2-50F and HM2-16F against the

proton-powered stator complex (MotAMotB) in SYC35. Both HM2-16F and BB2-50F reduced rotation

of the proton-powered motor at 10 µM and completely inhibited rotation at 100 µM (Fig. 2). In contrast,

phenamil did not inhibit MotAMotB stators at concentrations up to 100 µM (Fig. 2).

Inhibition of motility in other bacterial strains

Inhibition of motility by BB2-50F and HM2-16F was tested in three additional bacterial strains using a

free swimming assay that measures the speed of individual cells by videography(25).

We first demonstrated inhibition of swimming in MotAMotB and PomAPotB expressing cells

(Fig. 3AB), followed by three additional strains: uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC)(26) (Fig. 3C), an

attenuated enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC)(27, 28) (Fig. 3D) and a native sodium swimmer

Vibrio alginolyticus (29) (Fig. 3E). Both BB2-50F and HM2-16F significantly reduced swimming speed

at 10 µM in all strains ($p < 0.05$ in all cases).

Characterising mechanism of motility inhibition

The activity of BB2-50F and HM2-16F was measured in a bead rotation assay using 1 μm polystyrene

bead attached to sticky flagellar filament of JHC36 ($\Delta motAmotB\ \Delta cheY\ fliC^{sticky}$). This approach reduced

the potential for confounding factors that may have affected the tethered cell assay, such as cell-surface

adhesion, while also allowing examination of rotation at lower load and at higher speed.

Phenamil reduced PomAPotB-driven rotation from 98 ± 7 Hz at 0 μM to 7 ± 3 Hz at 10 μM (Fig. 4A),

but had no effect on the proton powered MotAMotB (Fig. 4D). In contrast, BB2-50F and HM2-16F

reduced the rotation speed of both sodium powered (PomAPotB) and proton powered (MotAMotB)

motors to zero at 10 μM (Fig. 4BCF).

To assess whether the effects of HM2-16F or BB2-50F were due to direct targeting of the stator

complex, we measured rotational diffusion in the presence of drug using the statorless strain.

This approach allowed interrogation of whether the compounds were targeting another component of

the flagellar motor such as the LP ring or bushing to inhibit rotation. Rotational diffusion for statorless

cells remained free in the presence of 10 μM phenamil, indicating no effect on non-stator proteins

(Fig. 4G). However, the position of the bead was fixed with minimal rotational or spatial diffusion in

the presence of 10 μM BB2-50F (Fig.4H) and HM2-16F (Fig. 4I). This suggested a difference in the

mode of action of HM2-16F and BB2-50F compared to phenamil, as these compounds appeared to lock

the rotational movement of a 1 μm PS bead.

To reduce the possibility of measurements being influenced by lingering surface effects from these

comparatively large beads, we reduced bead size and composition, and thus mechanical load, by using

a 60 nm gold-nanoparticle attached directly to a straightened hook (30). Given the smaller size of the

gold bead, and the straightened hook, this system removed possible bead-cell interactions that may have

influenced the earlier PS bead assays. Furthermore, the gold nanoparticle assay allows precise

measurement of rotation speed at a load close to zero, providing more direct measure of rotor/stator

dynamics free from signal damping caused by viscous drag and mechanical loading (31, 32).

For   this   experiment,   we   used   plasmids   expressing   proton-powered   stator

MotAMotB (either pSYC28 or pYC409) and the sodium-powered stator PomAPotB (pSHU1234) in

SHU174 ($\Delta motAmotB\ \Delta fliC\ \Delta cheY\ flgE_{+GSS+3Cys}\ fliK2798$). The gold nanoparticle measurements

indicated that there was no effect of the compounds on motor rotation in either strain at 10 μM (Fig 5D

and 5H). However, complete inhibition of rotation was seen for BB2-50F at 100 μM in both systems;

the rotation speed was reduced from 770 ± 115 Hz to 0 Hz (Fig 5B) and from 270 ± 25 Hz to 0 Hz (Fig.

5D)

in PomAPotB and MotAMotB motors respectively. In contrast, HM2-16F did not reduce rotation in

either strain up to 100 μM (Fig. 5F and 5H). This result suggests that both BB2-50F and HM2-16F do

not directly target the bushing or the rod of the BFM. Upon observing this, tethered cell assays were

repeated in the presence of 0.02% w/v Tween-20 to test whether detergent could remove possible

hydrophobic interactions between the bead and cell surface that might underlie the broad antimotility

effect, but no reduction in inhibition was observed (Supplementary Fig. 2). Overall, this suggests that the effect was not an artefact of non-specific interactions between the bead and cell-surface in the presence of each inhibitor. In contrast, phenamil significantly reduced the rotation speed of PomAPotB containing cells in the gold bead assay at 10 µM (Fig. 5A), in accordance with its direct, non-competitive mode of inhibition described previously.

Fluorescence of HM2-16F

We expected HM2-16F in particular to have improved fluorescence properties relative to phenamil due to the additional benzofuran group conjugated to the pyrazine. We measured excitation and emission spectra (Supplementary Fig. 4A), and imaged cells in epifluorescence to observe staining with 10 µM HM2-16F (Supplementary Fig. 4B). We further tested HM2-16F using lifetime fluorescence imaging as the quantum yield appeared low (unsuitable for single molecule imaging). We found that the fluorescence lifetime of HM2-16F was 5.4 ± 0.1 ns, enabling clear resolution against cellular autofluorescence (1.5 ± 0.1 ns) (Supplementary Fig. 4C).

Discussion

Motility is a potential target for new anti-virulence agents (33). Inhibitors are expected to exert less selective pressure than current antibiotics (34), and typically inhibit bacteria at lower concentrations than conventional antibiotics (35). This potentially allows more specific pathogen targeting (e.g. sodium only) as well as lower dosage requirements. Amiloride and its analogues are the best known inhibitors of sodium driven bacterial flagellar motors (16, 17, 36, 37). In this study, we evaluated the anti-motility activity of two new amiloride derivatives, BB2-50F and HM2-16F using four methods: 1) tethered cell rotation; 2) free-swimming, 3) 1 µm bead rotation, and 4) 60 nm gold bead rotation.

Complete inhibition of sodium-driven bacterial rotation was observed at 10 µM for BB2-50F, HM2-16F and phenamil, indicating that these compounds show similar potency. The new compounds showed better anti-motility activity at lower concentrations than other reported motility inhibitors for sodium powered motor system, e.g. amiloride and arginine (16, 38). Furthermore, the inhibitory effect of both BB2-50F and HM2-16F (Fig 1D) appeared to be sodium independent, implying that both compounds worked in a non-competitive manner like phenamil, but unlike the Na^+ competitive parent amiloride (17). In addition to its activity at low concentrations, HM2-16F also showed increased green fluorescence properties relative to phenamil, enabling the use of epifluorescence and lifetime imaging to measure uptake and release of HM2-16F in treated cells (Supplementary Fig. 4). This finding bolsters its potential applications in, for example, infection diagnostics (39).

BB2-50F and HM2-16F did not affect cellular growth at the motility-blocking concentration of 10 µM

(Supplementary Fig. 1). Previously a similar result was reported for the motility inhibitor carvacrol,

where even at concentrations up to 200 µM, growth remained uninhibited. (35). Restoration of motility

following washing supported their non-lethality and reversible inhibition properties.

HM2-16F at 100 µM slowed bacterial growth over the first five hours but was no different from

untreated cells after 15 hours (Supplementary Fig. 1). In contrast, BB2-50F was toxic at 100 µM,

completely inhibiting growth, and likely explaining its consistent anti-motility effect at these

concentrations in multiple strains. However total inhibition of rotation in tethered cell assays was

observed at a concentration 10-fold lower than this, where no adverse effects on growth were observed

(Supplementary Fig. 1). Additionally, BB2-50F and HM2-16F both inhibited phenamil-resistant stator

mutants in *E. coli*, and native stators in other strains, both Na^+ and H^+ powered. This property represents

an improvement over other reported proton-powered motility inhibitors that are effective only in *E. coli*

and require high concentrations (up to 600 µM) (40).

The mechanism of action of these compounds and the reason(s) for their anti-motility activity across

multiple bacterial strains is not clear. The tethered cell assay is an excellent approach for measuring

variations in the response of individual cells to treatment and for initial compound screening, however

it does not provide positional information for the rotor or assess performance at low load (41).

To examine the mechanism of inhibition, and to rule out potentially confounding cell-surface effects

that may have influenced earlier experiments using tethered cells, we used a 1 µm polystyrene bead

assay (42) to examine the effects of BB2-50F and HM2-16F on rotation. We observed that phenamil in

some instances slowed, but did not stop, rotation, whereas BB2-50F and HM2-16F periodically stopped

rotation (Fig. 4). We further examined the effect on statorless constructs (Fig. 4GHI) and noted that

phenamil had no effect on rotational diffusion in the absence of stators, whereas BB2-50F and

HM2-16F locked free rotational diffusion of the bead. The likely explanation is that phenamil directly

associates with the stator complex, reducing active torque generation when bound, and thus has no

effect in the absence of stators. In contrast, the two compounds appear to jam the motor or adhere the

bead to the cellular surface, creating transient pauses, or complete stops at higher concentrations.

To gain further insight into the possible mode of the novel inhibitors, we then employed the highest

resolution assay currently available for rotation, a 60-nm gold-nanoparticle affixed directly to a

straightened hook (30). The smaller gold nanoparticle, attached to a straightened hook of ~115 nm

length, should not interact with cell surface due to the size of the particle and its distance from the cell

exterior. Thus, any observed inhibition would potentially indicate drug binding to the LP ring or bushing

of the flagellar motor. However, no inhibition of the rotation speeds of the tested strains were seen in

the gold bead assay with BB2-50F or HM2-16F at 10 µM, indicating that the effect of both compounds

was likely not due to an on-target interaction with the motor. The interaction is presumably not,

however, a simple consequence of hydrophobic interactions between the compounds and cells, as the

use of detergent had no effect on the potency of BB2-50F and HM2-16F in inhibiting rotation in theterd cell assays (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Conclusions

We have identified two new amiloride analogues with anti-motility effects at 10 µM concentration in multiple bacterial strains. Whilst the exact mechanism of action of each proved elusive, both compounds were able to inhibit motility in known phenamil-resistant mutants. We have used a wide range of biophysical techniques to rule out possible mechanisms of action: these compounds do not target the stators, the LP ring, or the bushing. Future work can integrate fluorescence measurements with these compounds, and other new derivatives, for targeted drug discovery that aids flagellar research and the development of novel antimicrobials.

Material and Methods:

Chemical:

5-(*N*,*N*-hexamethylene)amiloride (HMA) (UOW), HM2-16F (UOW) and BB2-50F (UOW) were synthesised as described previously (23, 24). Phenamil methanesulfonate salt (Sigma-Aldrich), Chloramphenicol (Sigma-Aldrich), Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich) and D-Arabinose (Sigma-Aldrich).

Bacterial Strains and Plasmids and Growth Conditions

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are shown in full in Supplementary Table 1. The primary *E. coli* strains used in this work are SYC35 and RP6894. Pathogenic strains include *Vibrio alginolyticus,* Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC) and Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC). All the strains were cultured in LB broth and LB agar [1% (w/v) Bacto tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) Bacto yeast extract, 0.5% (w/v) NaCl, and 2% (w/v) Bacto agar for solid media] at 37°C. According to the selective antibiotic resistance pattern of the plasmids, chloramphenicol (CAM), ampicillin (AMP) or kanamycin (KAN) were added to a final concentration of 25 µg/mL, 50 µg/mL, and 25 µg/mL, respectively. The *V. alginolyticus* strain, NMB136, was grown in VPG medium (1% (w/v) polypeptone, 0.4% (w/v) K_2HPO_4, 3% (w/v) NaCl, 0.5% (w/v) glycerol) and was washed by TMN85 (85 mM NaCl, 215 mM KCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 5 mM glucose) with appropriate inhibitors.

Tethered cell assay:

We measured the rotation speed of the test strains in the presence of different concentration of test compounds and control drugs using tethered cell assays following a previous protocol with some

modifications (44). *E. coli* strain SYC35 (Δ*motAmotB fliC*sticky) containing plasmids encoding sodium powered PomAPotB (pSHU1234), proton powered MotAMotB (pDB108) and phenamil resistant PonAPotB (pSHU1235, pSHU1236, pSHU1237, and pSHU1249) were inoculated into TB broth [1% (w/v) Bacto tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) NaCl] containing 0.02% (w/v) arabinose and 25 μg/ml chloramphenicol and were grown overnight (17 h) with 180 rpm at 30 °C. The overnight cultures were sub-cultured with a 50-fold dilution into fresh TB broth and incubated for 5 h with 180 rpm at 30°C to get more motile cells. At OD_{600} ~0.80, the flagella of the cells were sheared by passing the culture multiple times (~35) through a 26G needle syringe. After shearing the flagella, the cells were washed three times with motility buffer [10 mM potassium-phosphate, 10 mM lactic acid, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.0]. Cells were then attached on tunnel slides and washed sequentially with motility buffer that contained different concentration test compounds (0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, and 100 μM). According to the experiment purpose we used different concentrations NaCl in the motility buffer. The rotational speed of the cells was observed using phase-contrast microscopy (Nikon) and was recorded at 20 frames per second (FPS) through the 40X objective with a camera (Chameleon3 CM3, Point Grey Research). Rotational motion of the cells was analysed using Lab view 2019 software (National Instruments).

Growth Curve Assays

Growth assays were performed in the presence of predetermined concentration of test compound to evaluate the effect of the compounds on bacterial growth following the previous protocol (45) with slight modification. *E. coli* strain SYC35 transformed with sodium powered (PomAPotB) and protonpowered (MotAMotB) was used. Briefly, overnight cultures of the test strains with OD_{600} 1.0 were diluted 1:100 in 96-well plates (Corning) with fresh LB broth containing different concentrations of HM2-16F and BB2-50F and incubated at 37°C. Plasmid containing strains (pSHU1234 and pDB-108) were grown in presence of 0.02% (w/v) arabinose and 25 μg/mL chloramphenicol, whereas EHEC and UPEC were grown in LB without arabinose or antibiotic. The OD_{600} were measured in a microplate reader (FLUOstar OPTIMA, BMG LABTECH) every hour for 15 h with a brief shaking interval before each measurement. Measurements in 10 μM were repeated and averaged over three measurements, measurements for 100 μM were repeated and averaged over six measurements.

Polystyrene bead assay:

Briefly, *E. coli* strain JHC36 (Δ*motAmotB* Δ*cheY fliC*sticky) transformed with plasmids encoding sodium powered (PomAPotB) and proton powered (MotAMotB) was grown overnight in TB broth and then sub-cultured with a 50-fold dilution into fresh TB broth and incubated for 5 h with 180 rpm at 30°C to get more motile cells. At OD_{600} ~0.80, the flagella of the cells were sheared by passing the culture multiple times (~35) through a 26G needle syringe. After shearing the flagella, the cells were washed three times with motility buffer [10 mM potassium-phosphate, 85 mM NaCl, and 0.1 mM EDTA, 1%

DMSO, pH 7.0]. Then, the glass slide was coated with poly-L-lysine (PLL) (Sigma Aldrich) and incubated for 10 mins and washed with motility buffer and flushed with 1 μm polystyrene bead (Polysciences, Inc) solution. The sample was then washed with motility buffer containing test compound (10 and 100 μM) and the rotational speed was recorded and analyzed using Lab view 2019 software (National Instruments).

Gold-nanoparticle assay:

We used the 60 nm gold-nanoparticle assay to get the more accurate flagellar rotation speed at lowered load using the previous protocol (30) with slight modification. Briefly, the SHU174 *E. coli* ($\Delta motAmotB$ $\Delta fliC$ $\Delta cheY$ $flgE_{+GSS+3Cys}$ $fliK2798$) containing plasmids encoding stators was grown and washed with motility buffer [10 mM potassium-phosphate, 10 mM lactate-Na, 67 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1% DMSO, pH 7.0] and prepared as a cell suspension. The cell suspension was later added to the precipitation of gold nano particle (BBI solutions) and incubated for 30 min. After incubation, the cell mixture was washed with motility buffer and put into PLL coated glass chamber. The sample was then washed with motility buffer containing test compound (10 and 100 μM) and the rotational speed was recorded and analyzed using Lab view 2019 software (National Instruments).

Evaluation of fluorescence property of HM2-16F:

The fluorescence of HM2-16F was analysed using fluorescence microscopy. The emission and excitation spectra of the drug was measured using a spectrophotometer (Cary Eclipse). Briefly, the overnight culture of *E. coli* SYC35 strain transformed with pSHU1234 was prepared and washed with motility buffer. Later, the cell suspension was added to PLL-coated glass slide and incubated for 10 mins and again washed with motility buffer. Cells were treated with test compounds by washing with test compound (10 and 100 μM) containing motility buffer. Finally, drug treated cells were imaged in epifluorescence mode using a Elyra Microscope (Zeiss) using a Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.40 Oil DIC M27 objective, 405 nm illumination and BP495-575 + L750 filter sets. Lifetime imaging was executed on Picoquant MicroTime 200 STED equipped with a PMA Hybrid Series Hybrid Photomultiplier Detector. Illumination was provided by 405 nm laser (Diode 450), with a 60x/1.2 UPlanSApo Water Objective.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MIM, JB, PR, BJB and MABB designed and executed experiments in, medicinal chemistry, microbiology, microscopy, and rotational measurement. JL and MIM, MJK, BJB, MABB executed initial compound screening. TI and YS designed and executed experiments in rotational measurement with polystyrene beads and gold nanoparticles. MABB and BJB supervised the design, execution and writing of the project. All authors contributed to writing and revision of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Tohru Minamino, Yong-Suk Che, Myu Yoshida and Rie Ito for helping a construction of strains and plasmids. We would like to thank Jai Tree for providing the EHEC and UPEC strains and thank Seiji Kojima and Michio Homma for providing *V. alginolyticus* strain.

FUNDING

MJK was supported by Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Project Grant (APP1100432). YS was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (JP18H02475 and JP20K06564), MEXT KAKENHI (JP19H05404) and Takeda Science Foundation. BJB acknowledges salary support from the Illawarra Cancer Carers. MABB was supported by a UNSW Scientia Research Fellowship, a CSIRO Synthetic Biology Future Science Platform 2018 Project Grant, and ARC Discovery Project DP190100497.

References:

1. Duan Q, Zhou M, Zhu L, Zhu G. 2013. Flagella and bacterial pathogenicity. J Basic Microbiol 53:1–8.

2. Armitage JP. 2007. Bacterial TaxisEncyclopedia of Life Sciences.

3. Berg HC. 2008. E. coli in Motion. Springer Science & Business Media.

4. Sowa Y, Berry RM. 2008. Bacterial flagellar motor. Q Rev Biophys 41:103–132.

5. Xiu P, Liu R, Zhang D, Sun C. 2017. Pumilacidin-Like Lipopeptides Derived from Marine Bacterium Bacillus sp. Strain 176 Suppress the Motility of Vibrio alginolyticus. Appl Environ Microbiol 83.

6. Yang Q, Defoirdt T. 2015. Quorum sensing positively regulates flagellar motility in pathogenic Vibrio harveyi. Environ Microbiol 17:960–968.

7. Black RE, Levine MM, Clements ML, Hughes TP, Blaser MJ. 1988. Experimental Campylobacter jejuni Infection in Humans. J Infect Dis 157:472–479.

8. Josenhans C, Suerbaum S. 2002. The role of motility as a virulence factor in bacteria. Int J Med Microbiol 291:605–614.

9. Kao C-Y, Sheu B-S, Wu J-J. 2016. Helicobacter pylori infection: An overview of bacterial virulence factors and pathogenesis. Biomed J 39:14–23.

10. Arslan-Aydoğdu EÖ, Kimiran A. 2018. http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_abstract&pid=S1517-83822018000100189&lng=en&nrm=iso&tlng=en. Braz J Microbiol 49:189–199.

11. Kao CY, Lin WH, Tseng CC, Wu AB, Wang MC, Wu JJ. 2014. The complex interplay among bacterial motility and virulence factors in different Escherichia coli infections. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 33:2157–2162.

378   12.   DRAKE D, MONTIE TC. 1988. Flagella, Motility and Invasive Virulence of Pseudomonas
379   aeruginosa. Microbiology, 134:43–52.

380   13.   Allen-Vercoe E, Sayers AR, Woodward MJ. 1999. Virulence of Salmonella enterica serotype
381   Enteritidis aflagellate and afimbriate mutants in a day-old chick model. Epidemiol Infect
382   122:395–402.

383   14.   McCarter LL. 2001. Polar Flagellar Motility of theVibrionaceae. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev
384   65:445–462.

385   15.   Simchowitz L, Cragoe EJ. 1986. Inhibition of chemotactic factor-activated Na^+/H^+ exchange in
386   human neutrophils by analogues of amiloride: structure-activity relationships in the amiloride
387   series. Mol Pharmacol 30:112–120.

388   16.   Sugiyama S, Cragoe EJ, Imae Y. 1988. Amiloride, a specific inhibitor for the Na^+-driven
389   flagellar motors of alkalophilic Bacillus. J Biol Chem 263:8215–8219.

390   17.   Atsumi T, Sugiyama S, Cragoe EJ, Imae Y. 1990. Specific inhibition of the Na(+)-driven
391   flagellar motors of alkalophilic Bacillus strains by the amiloride analog phenamil. J Bacteriol
392   172:1634–1639.

393   18.   Guia A, Leblanc N, Bose R. 1995. Effects of phenamil on potassium and calcium channels of
394   guinea pig ventricular myocytes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 274:649–656.

395   19.   Kojima S, Asai Y, Atsumi T, Kawagishi I, Homma M. 1999. Na^+-driven flagellar motor
396   resistant to phenamil, an amiloride analog, caused by mutations in putative channel components.
397   J Mol Biol 285:1537–1547.

398   20.   Jaques S, Kim Y-K, McCarter LL. 1999. Mutations conferring resistance to phenamil and
399   amiloride, inhibitors of sodium-driven motility of Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Proc Natl Acad Sci
400   96:5740–5745.

401   21.   Ishida T, Ito R, Clark J, Matzke NJ, Sowa Y, Baker MAB. 2019. Sodium-powered stators of the
402   bacterial flagellar motor can generate torque in the presence of phenamil with mutations near the
403   peptidoglycan-binding region. Mol Microbiol 111:1689–1699.

404   22.   Terada H. 1990. Uncouplers of oxidative phosphorylation. Environ Health Perspect 87:213–218.

405   23.   Buckley BJ, Aboelela A, Minaei E, Jiang LX, Xu Z, Ali U, Fildes K, Cheung C-Y, Cook SM,
406   Johnson DC, Bachovchin DA, Cook GM, Apte M, Huang M, Ranson M, Kelso MJ. 2018. 6-
407   Substituted Hexamethylene Amiloride (HMA) Derivatives as Potent and Selective Inhibitors of
408   the Human Urokinase Plasminogen Activator for Use in Cancer. J Med Chem 61:8299–8320.

409   24.   Buckley BJ, Majed H, Aboelela A, Minaei E, Jiang L, Fildes K, Cheung C-Y, Johnson D,
410   Bachovchin D, Cook GM, Huang M, Ranson M, Kelso MJ. 2019. 6-Substituted amiloride
411   derivatives as inhibitors of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator for use in metastatic
412   disease. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 29:126753.

413   25.   Nishiyama M, Sowa Y. 2012. Microscopic Analysis of Bacterial Motility at High Pressure.
414   Biophys J 102:1872–1880.

415   26.   Tree JJ, Ulett GC, Ong C-LY, Trott DJ, McEwan AG, Schembri MA. 2008. Trade-off between
416   iron uptake and protection against oxidative stress: deletion of cueO promotes uropathogenic
417   Escherichia coli virulence in a mouse model of urinary tract infection. J Bacteriol 190:6909–
418   6912.

27. Sy BM, Lan R, Tree JJ. 2020. Early termination of the Shiga toxin transcript generates a
regulatory small RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:25055–25065.

28. Dahan S, Knutton S, Shaw RK, Crepin VF, Dougan G, Frankel G. 2004. Transcriptome of
enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157 adhering to eukaryotic plasma membranes. Infect
Immun 72:5452–5459.

29. Kojima S, Atsumi T, Muramoto K, Kudo S, Kawagishi I, Homma M. 1997. Vibrio alginolyticus
mutants resistant to phenamil, a specific inhibitor of the sodium-driven flagellar motor. J Mol
Biol 265:310–318.

30. Nakamura S, Hanaizumi Y, Morimoto YV, Inoue Y, Erhardt M, Minamino T, Namba K. 2020.
Direct observation of speed fluctuations of flagellar motor rotation at extremely low load close
to zero. Mol Microbiol 113:755–765.

31. Nord AL, Sowa Y, Steel BC, Lo C-J, Berry RM. 2017. Speed of the bacterial flagellar motor
near zero load depends on the number of stator units. Proc Natl Acad Sci 114:11603–11608.

32. Wang B, Zhang R, Yuan J. 2017. Limiting (zero-load) speed of the rotary motor of Escherichia
coli is independent of the number of torque-generating units. Proc Natl Acad Sci 114:12478–
12482.

33. Allen RC, Popat R, Diggle SP, Brown SP. 2014. Targeting virulence: can we make evolution-
proof drugs? 4. Nat Rev Microbiol 12:300–308.

34. Barczak AK, Hung DT. 2009. Productive steps toward an antimicrobial targeting virulence.
Curr Opin Microbiol 12:490–496.

35. van Alphen LB, Burt SA, Veenendaal AKJ, Bleumink-Pluym NMC, van Putten JPM. 2012. The
natural antimicrobial carvacrol inhibits Campylobacter jejuni motility and infection of epithelial
cells. PloS One 7:e45343.

36. Mohr K. 1993. Amiloride and Its Analogs Unique Cation Transport Inhibitors. Edward J.
Cragoe jr., Thomas R. Kleyman, Louis Simchowitz. VCH Weinheim — New York — Basel —
Cambridge — Tokyo. Date of publication: December 1992. XV, 388 pages. 62 figures. 25
tables. DM 189,—, £ 78,—. Pharm Unserer Zeit 22:273–273.

37. McCarter LL. 2004. Dual Flagellar Systems Enable Motility under Different Circumstances.
Microb Physiol 7:18–29.

38. Atsumi T, Yoshimura F, Sugiyama S. 2006. Arginine inhibits Na-driven flagellar motors of
alkaliphilic Bacillus. FEMS Microbiol Lett 256:224–228.

39. Stone MRL, Butler MS, Phetsang W, Cooper MA, Blaskovich MAT. 2018. Fluorescent
Antibiotics: New Research Tools to Fight Antibiotic Resistance. Trends Biotechnol 36:523–536.

40. Adams LA, Sharma P, Mohanty B, Ilyichova OV, Mulcair MD, Williams ML, Gleeson EC,
Totsika M, Doak BC, Caria S, Rimmer K, Horne J, Shouldice SR, Vazirani M, Headey SJ,
Plumb BR, Martin JL, Heras B, Simpson JS, Scanlon MJ. 2015. Application of Fragment-Based
Screening to the Design of Inhibitors of Escherichia coli DsbA. Angew Chem Int Ed 54:2179–
2184.

41. Nirody JA, Sun Y-R, Lo C-J. 2017. The biophysicist's guide to the bacterial flagellar motor.
Adv Phys X 2:324–343.

42.   Kasai T, Sowa Y. 2017. Measurements of the Rotation of the Flagellar Motor by Bead Assay.
Methods Mol Biol Clifton NJ 1593:185–192.

43.   Alcalde-Rico M, Hernando-Amado S, Blanco P, Martínez JL. 2016. Multidrug Efflux Pumps at
the Crossroad between Antibiotic Resistance and Bacterial Virulence. Front Microbiol 7.

44.   Sowa Y, Homma M, Ishijima A, Berry RM. 2014. Hybrid-fuel bacterial flagellar motors in
Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci 111:3436–3441.

45.   Islam MI, Lin A, Lai Y-W, Matzke NJ, Baker MAB. 2020. Ancestral Sequence Reconstructions
of MotB Are Proton-Motile and Require MotA for Motility. Front Microbiol 11.

46.   Block SM, Blair DF, Berg HC. 1989. Compliance of bacterial flagella measured with optical
tweezers. Nature https://doi.org/10.1038/338514a0.

47.   Inoue Y, Lo C-J, Fukuoka H, Takahashi H, Sowa Y, Pilizota T, Wadhams GH, Homma M,
Berry RM, Ishijima A. 2008. Torque–Speed Relationships of Na+-driven Chimeric Flagellar
Motors in Escherichia coli. J Mol Biol 376:1251–1259.

Fig. 1: Structures and effects of compounds on bacterial rotation in tethered cell assays.
(A) Chemical structures of controls 5-*N,N*-(hexamethylene)-amiloride (HMA) and phenamil and test

compounds BB2-50F and HM2-16F. **(B)** Tethered cell rotation speed (Hz) after treatment with drugs (μM). Cells were *E. coli* SCY35 strain (ΔMotAB, FliC-sticky) with pSHU1234 (pomApotB). Cells were treated with 0, 1, 10 and 100 μM of each compound. Boxes represent the 25th and 75th percentile, with median indicated by dividing line. Whiskers cover the full range from minimum to maximum (n ≥ 30). The lime green bar = HMA, salmon = phenamil, blue = BB2-50F and violet = HM2-16F. **(C)** Dose characterisation of compounds. Cells were treated with 0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10 μM of each compound. The line graphs (bottom) indicate the tracking of individual cells' change in rotation speed. **(D)** Effects of sodium concentration ($[Na^+]$) on inhibitory activity. Cells were treated with 0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10 μM drugs diluted in motility buffer containing different Na^+ concentrations (1, 5, 20 and 85 mM). Total ionic concentration was held constant and balanced with 84, 80, 65 and 0 mM KCl respectively.

Fig. 2: Activity of compounds against phenamil-resistant stators and proton-powered MotAMotB. Tethered cell speed (Hz) in response to 0, 1, 10, and 100 µM of: **A)** phenamil, **(B)** BB2-50F and

492   (**C**) HM2-16F. Cell used for all assays were *E. coli* SCY35 strain (ΔmotAB, FliC-sticky) with

493   pSHU1235 (PomA (D148Y), PotB), pSHU1236 [PomA, PotB (P16S)], pSHU1237 [PomA (D148Y),

494   PotB (P16S)] and pSHU149 [PomA, PotB (F22Y/L28Q)] and pDB-108 [MotAMotB] respectively.

495   Boxes represent the 25th and 75th percentile, with median indicated by dividing line. Whiskers depict

496   the full range from minimum to maximum (n≥ 20).

Fig. 3: Inhibition of swimming speed by BB2-50F and HM2-16F. Bacterial swimming speed was measured by tracking individual cells using videography in the presence of different concentrations (0, 10, 100 µM) of each compound. Swimming speed of: **(A)** PomAPotB expressed via plasmid in ΔmotAB strain, **(B)** MotAMotB expressed via plasmid in ΔmotAB strain, **(C)** MotAMotB in uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) and **(D)** MotAMotB in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC), and **(E)** PomAPomB in *Vibrio alginolyticus,* all following wash with drug. Speeds of individual cells shown in µm/s with the scattered plots, median speed indicated by line (n ≥ 30).

Fig. 4: Bead assay results for phenamil, BB2-50F and HM2-16F. (top) 1 μm Polystyrene bead assay in *E. coli* strain JHC36 with plasmid expressed PomAPotB stator (pSHU1234) in presence of (A) phenamil, (B) BB2-50F and (C) HM2-16F. Each panel shows three X-Y scatter plots (over 1 s) of the position a bead attached to the rotating flagellar filament of a single cell before exposure to drug, after exposure to drug, and after wash to remove drug. Raw speed vs time and XY vs time plots shown in Supplementary Fig. 3. **(middle)** Bead assay in *E. coli* strain JHC36 with plasmid expressed MotAMotB stator in presence of (D) phenamil, (E) BB2-50F and (F) HM2-16F. **(Bottom)** X-Y position (over 60 s) for *E. coli* strain JHC36 with no stators expressed. The Brownian diffusion of the bead for 60-120 s is shown before and after treatment with (G) phenamil, (H) BB2-50F and (I) HM2-16F, respectively. All drugs washed in as 50 μL of 10 μM drug, washed out with 200 μL of 85MTB. All lengths in μm.

Fig. 5: Gold bead nanoparticle assay results of test compounds. Speed versus time plots for 60 nm gold nanoparticle attached directly to rotating, straightened hook of bacterial flagellar motor before, during and after exposure to drug. Gold nanoparticle assay was performed in SHU174 *E. coli* strain in

presence of 10/100 µM BB2-50F (left) and HM2-16F (right) for both PomAPotB and MotAMotB stators, with phenamil action on PomAPotB as a positive control. Red arrow indicates drug flowed in as 50 µL of stated concentration (10 µM or 100 µM), green arrow indicates washout of drug with 200 µL of 67MTB. (A) Positive control for 10 µM phenamil against rotation driven by PomAPotB. Left side: BB2-50F against PomAPotB at (B) 10 µM and (C) 100 µM. BB2-50F against MotAMotB at (D) 10 µM and (E) 100 µM. Right side: HM2-16F against PomAPotB at (F) 10 µM and (G) 100 µM. HM2-16F against MotAMotB at (H) 10 µM and (I) 100 µM.