**Novel amiloride derivatives that inhibit bacterial motility across multiple strains and**

**stator types**

MI Islam[1]*, JH Bae[1]*, T Ishida[2], P Ridone[1], J Lin[1], MJ Kelso[3,4], Y Sowa[2,5],  BJ Buckley[3,4],

MAB Baker[1,6].

*joint contribution

1. School of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, University of New South Wales,
Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia.
2. Department of Frontier Bioscience, Hosei University, Tokyo, Japan.
3. Molecular Horizons and School of Chemistry and Molecular Bioscience, University of
Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia
4. Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia.
5. Research Center for Micro-Nano Technology, Hosei University, Tokyo, Japan.
6. CSIRO Synthetic Biology Future Science Platform, Brisbane, Australia.
Correspondence: matthew.baker@unsw.edu.au

**Keywords:**

Bacterial motility, bacterial flagellar motor, amiloride, sodium channel blocker, stator.

**Abstract:**

The bacterial flagellar motor (BFM) is a protein complex that confers motility to cells and

contributes to survival and virulence. The BFM consists of stators that are ion-selective

membrane protein complexes and a rotor that directly connects to a large filament, acting as a

propeller. The stator complexes couple ion transit across the membrane to torque that drives

rotation of the motor. The most common ion gradients that drive BFM rotation are protons ($H^+$)

and sodium ions ($Na^+$). The sodium-powered stators, like those in the PomAPomB stator

complex of *Vibrio* spp, can be inhibited by sodium channel inhibitors, in particular, by

phenamil, a potent and widely used inhibitor. However, relatively few new sodium-motility

inhibitors have been described since the discovery of phenamil. In this study, we discovered

two motility inhibitors HM2-16F and BB2-50F from a small library of previously reported

amiloride derivatives. Using a tethered cell assay, we showed that both HM2-16F and BB2-

50F had inhibition comparable to that of phenamil on Na+ driven motors at matching

concentrations, with an additional ability to inhibit rotation in $H^+$ driven motors.

The two compounds did not exhibit adverse effects on bacterial growth at the motility-

inhibiting concentration of 10 µM, however toxicity was seen for BB2-50F at 100 µM. We

performed higher resolution measurements to examine rotation inhibition at moderate (1 µm

polystyrene bead) and low loads (60 nm gold bead) and in both the presence and absence of

stators. These measurements suggested that the compounds did not inhibit rotation via direct

association with the stator, in contrast to the established mode of action of phenamil. Overall,

HM2-16F and BB2-50F showed reversible inhibition of motility across a range of loads, in

both $Na^+$ and $H^+$ stator types, and in pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains.

**Importance:**

Here we characterised two novel amiloride derivatives in the search for antimicrobial

compounds that target bacterial virulence. Our two compounds were shown to inhibit flagellar

motility at 10 µM across multiple strains, from non-pathogenic *E. coli* with flagellar rotation

driven by proton or chimeric sodium powered stators, to proton-powered pathogenic *E. coli*

(EHEC/UPEC) and lastly in sodium-powered *Vibrio alginolyticus*. Broad anti-motility

compounds such as these are important tools in our efforts control virulence of pathogens in

health and agricultural settings, as well as providing new compounds to study the inhibited

rotation of the flagellar motor.

**Introduction:**

Motility is essential for many bacteria to find nutrients and escape unfavourable conditions (1).

Bacterial cells move through liquids or over moist surfaces using rotating flagella, which are

driven by the bacterial flagellar motor (BFM) (2). In peritrichous bacteria such as *E. coli*, when

the majority of BFMs rotate counter-clockwise, bacteria move linearly (i.e., run) and when one

or more BFM rotates clockwise, the helical filament-bundle unravels and bacteria change

direction (i.e., tumble) (3). The BFM contains the stator proteins (e.g., MotA and MotB in *E.*

*coli* and PomA and PomB in *Vibrio* spp.), which form an ion transporter on the inner cell

membrane, and the rotor proteins (e.g., FliG, FliM, and FliN), that are driven to rotate by torque

generation between the stator and rotor (4). Rotation of the BFM is powered by translocation

of specific ions (e.g., $H^+$ in *E. coli* and $Na^+$ in *Vibrio* spp.) across the cell membrane through

the stator complex (4).

Beside locomotion, motility is crucial during the initial infection and colonisation processes of

different pathogenic bacteria (5). Locomotion reduces repulsion between the bacterial cell wall

and host tissues, promoting attachment to host cells (6). The importance of motility as a

virulence factor was first noted in *Campylobacter jejuni,* when only the motile strain of *C.*

*jejuni* was recovered from an infection site where a mixture of motile and non-motile *C. jejuni*

phase variants were used to initiate infection (7). It has since been demonstrated that flagellum-

mediated motility promotes the virulence of several gram-negative pathogens, such as for

*Bordetella bronchiseptica, B. pertussis* (8)*, Helicobacter sp.* (9)*, Legionella pneumophila* (10),

pathogenic *E. coli* strains (11)*, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (12)*, Salmonella typhimurium* (13)*,*

and *Vibrio cholerae* (14)*.* Aside from host attachment, flagella also contribute to the formation

of biofilms by multiple bacterial pathogens, which promote the development of persistent and

chronic infections and antibiotic resistance (1).

Amiloride is a diuretic drug and well-characterised inhibitor of the $Na^+$ bacterial flagellar motor

(15). Amiloride inhibits rotation of the sodium powered flagellar motor by blocking the

translocation of $Na^+$ ions through the channel in a competitive and rapidly reversible manner

(16).  However, amiloride is of limited utility due to its weak anti-motility activity and adverse

effects on other metabolic processes (17). The *N*-phenyl substituted amiloride analogue,

phenamil, has been identified as a better inhibitor of sodium powered flagellar motors (17).

Phenamil is markedly more potent than amiloride and shows non-competitive inhibition of

bacterial motility with slow dissociation (18). Phenamil stops flagellar rotation by binding to a

site predicted to lie at the cytoplasmic face of the PomAPomB stator complex (19). Phenamil

is a useful tool for flagellar research, but requires high concentrations (>20 µM) and resistant

stators can arise from a single point mutation (20, 21). More potent and specific compounds

may assist research into stator function and potentially offer leads for new antivirulence drugs.

While classical uncouplers can be used to inhibit proton powered motors, they also have

adverse effects on cells resulting from collapse of the membrane potential. For example the

commonly used uncoupler, carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP), is toxic to

both prokaryote and eukaryote cells (22). Here, we report that two recently reported 6-

substituted amiloride analogues,  BB2-50F and HM2-16F(23, 24) (Fig. 1A), inhibit motility in

known phenamil-resistant strains and affect both $Na^+$ and $H^+$ powered flagellar motors.

**Results:**

*Inhibition of motility*

We initially evaluated the anti-motility activity of BB2-50F and HM2-16F along with the

control compounds HMA and phenamil using a tethered cell assay (Fig. 1B). We examined the

effect of each compound on rotational speed at increasing concentrations (0, 1, 10, and 100

µM) against $Na^+$ powered stator, PomAPotB in *E. coli* SYC35 ($\Delta motAmotB\ fliC^{sticky}$) strains.

Both BB2-50F and HM2-16F inhibited rotation speed in a dose-dependent manner and showed

complete inhibition at 10 µM and above (Fig. 1B). The positive control for $Na^+$ -inhibition,

phenamil showed similar dose-dependency, with complete inhibition at 10 µM (Fig. 1B). HMA

was less potent, with 65% of cells still rotating at 10 µM (Fig. 1B), in agreement with previous

findings with other 5-substituted amiloride analogues (16).

We further characterised the activity of BB2-50F and HM2-16F alongside phenamil over the

concentration range 0−10 µM (Fig. 1C). BB2-50F showed a 2% reduction in the number of

rotating cells at 1 µM, 4% at 2.5 µM, 12% at 5 µM, 20% at 7.5 µM and 79% at 10 µM (Fig

1C). HM2-16F showed a 6% reduction in the number of rotating cells at 1 µM, 15% at 2.5 µM,

28% at 5 µM, 62% at 7.5 µM and 100% at 10 µM (Fig. 1C).  In comparison, phenamil produced

a 27% reduction in the number of rotating cells at 1 µM, 36% at 2.5 µM, 51% at 5 µM, 58% at

7.5 µM and 74% at 10 µM  (Fig. 1C). The effect of each compound was reversed following

washing with motility buffer.

*Effect on bacterial growth*

We measured the growth of *E. coli* SYC35 cells expressing sodium powered stators

(PomAPotB) (Supplementary Fig. 1A) and proton powered stators (MotAMotB)

(Supplementary Fig. 1B) in the presence of 10 µM and 100 µM BB2-50F and HM2-16F. The

rate of growth in both strains was not inhibited relative to vehicle controls for either HM2-16F

or BB2-50F at 10 µM (Supplementary Fig. 1). However, at 100 µM the compounds differed in

toxicity. HM2-16F reduced the growth rate relative to control over the first five hours in both

strains, but did not significantly decrease growth at 15 hr, while BB2-50F completely inhibited

growth at 100 µM.

*Effect of $Na^+$ concentration on motility inhibition*

We evaluated the inhibition of rotation for BB2-50F, HM2-16F and phenamil while varying

the concentration of external sodium to test for $Na^+$ competitive effects (17). Compounds were

tested at concentrations of 1−10 µM using motility buffers containing 1, 5, 20, and 85 mM $Na^+$.

No significant differences in inhibition were observed for HM2-16F and BB2-50F at the $Na^+$

concentrations tested (Fig. 1D). Inhibition was generally flat for BB2-50F between 0-7.5 µM,

with a sharp drop seen at  10 µM, whereas HM2-16F inhibition showed a more gradual effect

across the range of concentrations tested. In contrast, an exponential decay was seen for

phenamil across the concentration range, with the fitted decay constant indistinguishable at all

sodium concentrations (Fig 1D, left).

*Activity against phenamil-resistant variants and the proton powered MotA/MotB complex*

To assess whether the inhibition of rotation was affected by point mutations known to confer

resistance to phenamil, we tested BB2-50F and HM2-16F against known phenamil-resistant

mutant constructs at 1, 10 and 100 µM using the tethered cell assay. Four different stator

mutants were tested in SYC35: PomA(D148Y) PotB, PomA PotB(P16S), PomA(D148Y)

PotB(P16S) and PomA PotB(F22Y/L28Q) (68, 69). Phenamil at 10 µM exhibited no

significant effect on the resistant strains (Fig. 2A). In contrast, both compounds showed

significant inhibition, completely stopping motility at 10 µM in all phenamil-resistant strains

(Fig. 2BC).

To further profile the specificity of the inhibitors, we evaluated BB2-50F and HM2-16F against

the proton-powered stator complex (MotAMotB) in SYC35. Both HM2-16F and BB2-50F

reduced rotation of the proton-powered motor at 10 µM and completely inhibited rotation at

100 µM (Fig. 2). In contrast, phenamil did not inhibit MotAMotB stators at concentrations up

to 100 µM (Fig. 2).

### *Inhibition of motility in other bacterial strains*

Inhibition of motility by BB2-50F and HM2-16F was tested in three additional bacterial strains

using a free swimming assay that measures the speed of individual cells by videography(25).

We first demonstrated inhibition of swimming in MotAMotB and PomAPotB expressing cells

(Fig. 3AB), followed by three additional strains: uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC)(26) (Fig. 3C),

an attenuated enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC)(27, 28) (Fig. 3D) and a native sodium

swimmer

*Vibrio alginolyticus* (29) (Fig. 3E). Both BB2-50F and HM2-16F significantly reduced

swimming speed at 10 µM in all strains (p < 0.05 in all cases).

### *Characterising mechanism of motility inhibition*

The activity of BB2-50F and HM2-16F was measured in a bead rotation assay using 1 µm

polystyrene bead attached to sticky flagellar filament of JHC36 ($\Delta motAmotB$ $\Delta cheY$ $fliC^{sticky}$).

This approach reduced the potential for confounding factors that may have affected the tethered

cell assay, such as cell-surface adhesion, while also allowing examination of rotation at lower

load and at higher speed.  Phenamil reduced PomAPotB-driven rotation from 98 ± 7 Hz at 0

µM to 7± 3 Hz at 10 µM (Fig. 4A), but had no effect on the proton powered MotAMotB (Fig.

4D). In contrast, BB2-50F and HM2-16F reduced the rotation speed of both sodium powered

(PomAPotB) and proton powered (MotAMotB) motors to zero at 10 µM (Fig. 4BCF).

To assess whether the effects of HM2-16F or BB2-50F were due to direct targeting of the stator

complex, we measured rotational diffusion in the presence of drug using the statorless strain.

This approach allowed interrogation of whether the compounds were targeting another

component of the flagellar motor such as the LP ring or bushing to inhibit rotation. Rotational

diffusion for statorless cells remained free in the presence of 10 µM phenamil, indicating no

effect on non-stator proteins (Fig. 4G). However, the position of the bead was fixed with

minimal rotational or spatial diffusion in the presence of 10 µM BB2-50F (Fig.4H) and HM2-

16F (Fig. 4I). This suggested a difference in the mode of action of HM2-16F and BB2-50F

compared to phenamil, as these compounds appeared to lock the rotational movement of a 1

µm PS bead.

To reduce the possibility of measurements being influenced by lingering surface effects from

these comparatively large beads, we reduced bead size and composition, and thus mechanical

load, by using a 60 nm gold-nanoparticle attached directly to a straightened hook (30). Given

the smaller size of the gold bead, and the straightened hook, this system removed possible bead-

cell interactions that may have influenced the earlier PS bead assays. Furthermore, the gold

nanoparticle assay allows precise measurement of rotation speed at a load close to zero,

providing more direct measure of rotor/stator dynamics free from signal damping caused by

viscous drag and mechanical loading (31, 32). For this experiment, we used plasmids

expressing proton-powered stator MotAMotB (either pSYC28 or pYC409) and the sodium-

powered stator PomAPotB (pSHU1234) in SHU174 (Δ$motAmotB$ Δ$fliC$ Δ$cheY$ $flgE_{+GSS+3Cys}$

$fliK2798$). The gold nanoparticle measurements indicated that there was no effect of the

compounds on motor rotation in either strain at 10 µM (Fig 5D and 5H). However, complete

inhibition of rotation was seen for BB2-50F at 100 µM in both systems; the rotation speed was

reduced from 770 ±115 Hz to 0 Hz (Fig 5B) and from 270 ± 25 Hz to 0 Hz (Fig. 5D)

in PomAPotB and MotAMotB motors respectively. In contrast, HM2-16F did not reduce

rotation in either strain up to 100 µM (Fig. 5F and 5H). This result suggests that both BB2-50F

and HM2-16F do not directly target the bushing or the rod of the BFM. Upon observing this,

tethered cell assays were repeated in the presence of 0.02% w/v Tween-20 to test whether

detergent could remove possible hydrophobic interactions between the bead and cell surface

that might underlie the broad antimotility effect, but no reduction in inhibition was observed

(Supplementary Fig. 2). Overall, this suggests that the effect was not an artefact of

non-specific interactions between the bead and cell-surface in the presence of each inhibitor.

In contrast, phenamil significantly reduced the rotation speed of PomAPotB containing cells in

the gold bead assay at 10 µM (Fig. 5A), in accordance with its direct, non-competitive mode

of inhibition described previously.

***Fluorescence of HM2-16F***

We expected HM2-16F in particular to have improved fluorescence properties relative to

phenamil due to the additional benzofuran group conjugated to the pyrazine. We measured

excitation and emission spectra (Supplementary Fig. 4A), and imaged cells in epifluorescence

to observe staining with 10 µM HM2-16F (Supplementary Fig. 4B). We further tested HM2-

16F using lifetime fluorescence imaging as the quantum yield appeared low (unsuitable for single molecule imaging). We found that the fluorescence lifetime of HM2-16F was $5.4 \pm 0.1$ ns, enabling clear resolution against cellular autofluorescence ($1.5 \pm 0.1$ ns) (Supplementary Fig. 4C).

**Discussion**

Motility is a potential target for new anti-virulence agents (33). Inhibitors are expected to exert less selective pressure than current antibiotics (34), and typically inhibit bacteria at lower concentrations than conventional antibiotics (35). This potentially allows more specific pathogen targeting (e.g. sodium only) as well as lower dosage requirements. Amiloride and its analogues are the best known inhibitors of sodium driven bacterial flagellar motors (16, 17, 36, 37). In this study, we evaluated the anti-motility activity of two new amiloride derivatives, BB2-50F and HM2-16F using four methods: 1) tethered cell rotation; 2) free-swimming, 3) 1 µm bead rotation, and 4) 60 nm gold bead rotation.

Complete inhibition of sodium-driven bacterial rotation was observed at 10 µM for BB2-50F, HM2-16F and phenamil, indicating that these compounds show similar potency. The new compounds showed better anti-motility activity at lower concentrations than other reported motility inhibitors for sodium powered motor system, e.g. amiloride and arginine (16, 38). Furthermore, the inhibitory effect of both BB2-50F and HM2-16F (Fig 1D) appeared to be sodium independent, implying that both compounds worked in a non-competitive manner like phenamil, but unlike the $Na^+$ competitive parent amiloride (17). In addition to its activity at low concentrations, HM2-16F also showed increased green fluorescence properties relative to phenamil, enabling the use of epifluorescence and lifetime imaging to measure uptake and release of HM2-16F in treated cells (Supplementary Fig. 4). This finding bolsters its potential applications in, for example, infection diagnostics (39).

BB2-50F and HM2-16F did not affect cellular growth at the motility-blocking concentration of 10 µM (Supplementary Fig. 1). Previously a similar result was reported for the motility inhibitor carvacrol, where even at concentrations up to 200 µM, growth remained uninhibited. (35). Restoration of motility following washing supported their non-lethality and reversible inhibition properties. HM2-16F at 100 µM slowed bacterial growth over the first five hours but was no different from untreated cells after 15 hours (Supplementary Fig. 1). In contrast, BB2-50F was toxic at 100 µM, completely inhibiting growth, and likely explaining its consistent anti-motility effect at these concentrations in multiple strains. However total inhibition of rotation in tethered cell assays was observed at a concentration 10-fold lower than this, where

no adverse effects on growth were observed (Supplementary Fig. 1). Additionally, BB2-50F

and HM2-16F both inhibited phenamil-resistant stator mutants in *E. coli*, and native stators in

other strains, both $Na^+$ and $H^+$ powered. This property represents an improvement over other

reported proton-powered motility inhibitors that are effective only in *E. coli* and require high

concentrations (up to 600 μM) (40).

The mechanism of action of these compounds and the reason(s) for their anti-motility activity

across multiple bacterial strains is not clear. The tethered cell assay is an excellent approach

for measuring variations in the response of individual cells to treatment and for initial

compound screening, however it does not provide positional information for the rotor or assess

performance at low load (41). To examine the mechanism of inhibition, and to rule out

potentially confounding cell-surface effects that may have influenced earlier experiments using

tethered cells, we used a 1 μm polystyrene bead assay (42) to examine the effects of BB2-50F

and HM2-16F on rotation. We observed that phenamil in some instances slowed, but did not

stop, rotation, whereas BB2-50F and HM2-16F periodically stopped rotation (Fig. 4). We

further examined the effect on statorless constructs (Fig. 4GHI) and noted that phenamil had

no effect on rotational diffusion in the absence of stators, whereas BB2-50F and

HM2-16F locked free rotational diffusion of the bead. The likely explanation is that phenamil

directly associates with the stator complex, reducing active torque generation when bound, and

thus has no effect in the absence of stators. In contrast, the two compounds appear to jam the

motor or adhere the bead to the cellular surface, creating transient pauses, or complete stops at

higher concentrations.

We used the highest resolution measurement currently available for rotation, a 60-nm gold-

nanoparticle affixed directly to a straightened hook (30), to further probe the possible

mechanism of action of the compounds. The smaller gold nanoparticle, attached to a

straightened hook of ~115 nm length, should not interact with cell surface due to the size of

the particle and its distance from the cell exterior. Thus, any observed inhibition would

potentially indicate drug binding to the LP ring or bushing of the flagellar motor. However, no

inhibition of the rotation speeds of the tested strains were seen in the gold bead assay with

BB2-50F or HM2-16F at 10 μM, indicating that the effect of both compounds was likely not

due to an on-target interaction with the motor. The interaction is presumably not, however, a

simple hydrophobic interaction, as the use of detergent to control for confounding hydrophobic

interactions or compound precipitation did not change the potency of BB2-50F and HM2-16F

in inhibiting rotation (Supplementary Fig. 2). One potential upside to the proposed surface-

based interaction of these compound is that presumably these drugs not incorporated into the

cytoplasm. This restricts the possibility that they will be targets for efflux pumps and thus will

remain effective as anti-motility comppounds against multi-drug resistant bacteria that rely on

such pumps(43). Furthermore, considering these compounds have some known anti-metastatic

effects(23, 24), these compounds could potentially show promise not only in the treatment of

disease but also simultaneously in reducing the virulence of infections that may arise during

treatment.

**Conclusions**

We have identified two new amiloride analogues with anti-motility effects at 10 μM

concentration in multiple bacterial strains. Whilst the exact mechanism of action of each proved

elusive, both compounds were able to inhibit motility in known phenamil-resistant mutants.

We have used a wide range of biophysical techniques to rule out possible mechanisms of

action: these compounds do not target the stators, the LP ring, or the bushing. Future work can

integrate fluorescence measurements with these compounds, and other new derivatives, for

targeted drug discovery that aids flagellar research and the development of novel

antimicrobials.

**Material and Methods:**

**Chemical:**

5-(*N*,*N*-hexamethylene)amiloride (HMA) (UOW), HM2-16F (UOW) and BB2-50F (UOW)

were synthesised as described previously (23, 24). Phenamil methanesulfonate salt (Sigma-

Aldrich), Chloramphenicol (Sigma-Aldrich), Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich)

and D-Arabinose (Sigma-Aldrich).

**Bacterial Strains and Plasmids and Growth Conditions**

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are shown in full in Supplementary Table

1. The primary *E. coli* strains used in this work are SYC35 and RP6894. Pathogenic strains

include *Vibrio alginolyticus,* Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC) and Uropathogenic

*Escherichia coli* (UPEC). All the strains were cultured in LB broth and LB agar [1% (w/v)

Bacto tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) Bacto yeast extract, 0.5% (w/v) NaCl, and 2% (w/v) Bacto agar for

solid media] at 37°C. According to the selective antibiotic resistance pattern of the plasmids,

chloramphenicol (CAM), ampicillin (AMP) or kanamycin (KAN) were added to a final

concentration of 25 μg/mL, 50 μg/mL, and 25 μg/mL, respectively. The *V. alginolyticus* strain,

NMB136, was grown in VPG medium (1% (w/v) polypeptone, 0.4% (w/v) $K_2HPO_4$, 3% (w/v)

NaCl, 0.5% (w/v) glycerol) and was washed by TMN85 (85 mM NaCl, 215 mM KCl, 50 mM

Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 5 mM glucose) with appropriate inhibitors.

**Tethered cell assay:**

We measured the rotation speed of the test strains in the presence of different concentration of test compounds and control drugs using tethered cell assays following a previous protocol with some modifications (44). *E. coli* strain SYC35 ($\Delta motAmotB$ *fliC*[sticky]) containing plasmids encoding sodium powered PomAPotB (pSHU1234), proton powered MotAMotB (pDB108) and phenamil resistant PonAPotB (pSHU1235, pSHU1236, pSHU1237, and pSHU1249) were inoculated into TB broth [1% (w/v) Bacto tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) NaCl] containing 0.02% (w/v) arabinose and 25 µg/ml chloramphenicol and were grown overnight (17 h) with 180 rpm at 30 °C. The overnight cultures were sub-cultured with a 50-fold dilution into fresh TB broth and incubated for 5 h with 180 rpm at 30°C to get more motile cells. At $OD_{600}$ ~0.80, the flagella of the cells were sheared by passing the culture multiple times (~35) through a 26G needle syringe. After shearing the flagella, the cells were washed three times with motility buffer [10 mM potassium-phosphate, 10 mM lactic acid, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.0]. Cells were then attached on tunnel slides and washed sequentially with motility buffer that contained different concentration test compounds (0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, and 100 µM). According to the experiment purpose we used different concentrations NaCl in the motility buffer. The rotational speed of the cells was observed using phase-contrast microscopy (Nikon) and was recorded at 20 frames per second (FPS) through the 40X objective with a camera (Chameleon3 CM3, Point Grey Research). Rotational motion of the cells was analysed using Lab view 2019 software (National Instruments).

**Growth Curve Assays**

Growth assays were performed in the presence of predetermined concentration of test compound to evaluate the effect of the compounds on bacterial growth following the previous protocol (45) with slight modification. *E. coli* strain SYC35 transformed with sodium powered (PomAPotB) and protonpowered (MotAMotB) was used. Briefly, overnight cultures of the test strains with $OD_{600}$ 1.0 were diluted 1:100 in 96-well plates (Corning) with fresh LB broth containing different concentrations of HM2-16F and BB2-50F and incubated at 37°C. Plasmid containing strains (pSHU1234 and pDB-108) were grown in presence of 0.02% (w/v) arabinose and 25 µg/mL chloramphenicol, whereas EHEC and UPEC were grown in LB without arabinose or antibiotic. The $OD_{600}$ were measured in a microplate reader (FLUOstar OPTIMA, BMG LABTECH) every hour for 15 h with a brief shaking interval before each measurement. Measurements in 10 µM were repeated and averaged over three measurements, measurements for 100 µM were repeated and averaged over six measurements.

**Polystyrene bead assay:**

Briefly, *E. coli* strain JHC36 ($\Delta motAmotB$ $\Delta cheY$ $fliC^{sticky}$) transformed with plasmids encoding sodium powered (PomAPotB) and proton powered (MotAMotB) was grown overnight in TB broth and then sub-cultured with a 50-fold dilution into fresh TB broth and incubated for 5 h with 180 rpm at 30°C to get more motile cells. At $OD_{600}$ $\sim$0.80, the flagella of the cells were sheared by passing the culture multiple times ($\sim$35) through a 26G needle syringe. After shearing the flagella, the cells were washed three times with motility buffer [10 mM potassium-phosphate, 85 mM NaCl, and 0.1 mM EDTA, 1% DMSO, pH 7.0]. Then, the glass slide was coated with poly-L-lysine (PLL) (Sigma Aldrich) and incubated for 10 mins and washed with motility buffer and flushed with 1 μm polystyrene bead (Polysciences, Inc) solution. The sample was then washed with motility buffer containing test compound (10 and 100 μM) and the rotational speed was recorded and analyzed using Lab view 2019 software (National Instruments).

**Gold-nanoparticle assay:**

We used the 60 nm gold-nanoparticle assay to get the more accurate flagellar rotation speed at lowered load using the previous protocol (30) with slight modification. Briefly, the SHU174 *E. coli* ($\Delta motAmotB$ $\Delta fliC$ $\Delta cheY$ $flgE_{+GSS+3Cys}$ $fliK2798$) containing plasmids encoding stators was grown and washed with motility buffer [10 mM potassium-phosphate, 10 mM lactate-Na, 67 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1% DMSO, pH 7.0] and prepared as a cell suspension. The cell suspension was later added to the precipitation of gold nano particle (BBI solutions) and incubated for 30 min. After incubation, the cell mixture was washed with motility buffer and put into PLL coated glass chamber. The sample was then washed with motility buffer containing test compound (10 and 100 μM) and the rotational speed was recorded and analyzed using Lab view 2019 software (National Instruments).

**Evaluation of fluorescence property of HM2-16F:**

The fluorescence of HM2-16F was analysed using fluorescence microscopy. The emission and excitation spectra of the drug was measured using a spectrophotometer (Cary Eclipse). Briefly, the overnight culture of *E. coli* SYC35 strain transformed with pSHU1234 was prepared and washed with motility buffer. Later, the cell suspension was added to PLL-coated glass slide and incubated for 10 mins and again washed with motility buffer. Cells were treated with test compounds by washing with test compound (10 and 100 μM) containing motility buffer. Finally, drug treated cells were imaged in epifluorescence mode using a Elyra Microscope (Zeiss) using a Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.40 Oil DIC M27 objective, 405 nm illumination and BP495-575 + L750 filter sets. Lifetime imaging was executed on Picoquant MicroTime 200

376 STED equipped with a PMA Hybrid Series Hybrid Photomultiplier Detector. Illumination was
377 provided by 405 nm laser (Diode 450), with a 60x/1.2 UPlanSApo Water Objective.
378

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**
MIM, JB, PR, BJB and MABB designed and executed experiments in, medicinal chemistry,
microbiology, microscopy, and rotational measurement. JL and MIM, MJK, BJB, MABB
executed initial compound screening. TI and YS designed and executed experiments in
rotational measurement with polystyrene beads and gold nanoparticles. MABB and BJB
supervised the design, execution and writing of the project. All authors contributed to writing
and revision of the manuscript.
386
387 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**
388 We would like to thank Tohru Minamino, Yong-Suk Che, Myu Yoshida and Rie Ito for helping
389 a construction of strains and plasmids. We would like to thank Jai Tree for providing the EHEC
390 and UPEC strains and thank Seiji Kojima and Michio Homma for providing *V. alginolyticus*
391 strain.
392

**FUNDING**
MJK was supported by Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)
Project Grant (APP1100432). YS was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (JP18H02475 and
JP20K06564), MEXT KAKENHI (JP19H05404) and Takeda Science Foundation. BJB
acknowledges salary support from the Illawarra Cancer Carers. MABB was supported by a
UNSW Scientia Research Fellowship, a CSIRO Synthetic Biology Future Science Platform
2018 Project Grant, and ARC Discovery Project DP190100497.
400

**References:**
1. Duan Q, Zhou M, Zhu L, Zhu G. 2013. Flagella and bacterial pathogenicity. J Basic
Microbiol 53:1–8.

2. Armitage JP. 2007. Bacterial TaxisEncyclopedia of Life Sciences.

3. Berg HC. 2008. E. coli in Motion. Springer Science & Business Media.

4. Sowa Y, Berry RM. 2008. Bacterial flagellar motor. Q Rev Biophys 41:103–132.

5. Xiu P, Liu R, Zhang D, Sun C. 2017. Pumilacidin-Like Lipopeptides Derived from
Marine Bacterium Bacillus sp. Strain 176 Suppress the Motility of Vibrio alginolyticus.
Appl Environ Microbiol 83.

6.    Yang Q, Defoirdt T. 2015. Quorum sensing positively regulates flagellar motility in
pathogenic Vibrio harveyi. Environ Microbiol 17:960–968.

7.    Black RE, Levine MM, Clements ML, Hughes TP, Blaser MJ. 1988. Experimental
Campylobacter jejuni Infection in Humans. J Infect Dis 157:472–479.

8.    Josenhans C, Suerbaum S. 2002. The role of motility as a virulence factor in bacteria. Int
J Med Microbiol 291:605–614.

9.    Kao C-Y, Sheu B-S, Wu J-J. 2016. Helicobacter pylori infection: An overview of bacterial
virulence factors and pathogenesis. Biomed J 39:14–23.

10.   Arslan-Aydoğdu          EÖ,          Kimiran          A.          2018.
http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_abstract&pid=S1517-
83822018000100189&lng=en&nrm=iso&tlng=en. Braz J Microbiol 49:189–199.

11.   Kao CY, Lin WH, Tseng CC, Wu AB, Wang MC, Wu JJ. 2014. The complex interplay
among bacterial motility and virulence factors in different Escherichia coli infections. Eur
J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 33:2157–2162.

12.   DRAKE D, MONTIE TC. 1988. Flagella, Motility and Invasive Virulence of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiology, 134:43–52.

13.   Allen-Vercoe E, Sayers AR, Woodward MJ. 1999. Virulence of Salmonella enterica
serotype Enteritidis aflagellate and afimbriate mutants in a day-old chick model.
Epidemiol Infect 122:395–402.

14.   McCarter LL. 2001. Polar Flagellar Motility of theVibrionaceae. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev
65:445–462.

15.   Simchowitz L, Cragoe EJ. 1986. Inhibition of chemotactic factor-activated Na+/H+
exchange in human neutrophils by analogues of amiloride: structure-activity relationships
in the amiloride series. Mol Pharmacol 30:112–120.

16.   Sugiyama S, Cragoe EJ, Imae Y. 1988. Amiloride, a specific inhibitor for the Na+-driven
flagellar motors of alkalophilic Bacillus. J Biol Chem 263:8215–8219.

17.   Atsumi T, Sugiyama S, Cragoe EJ, Imae Y. 1990. Specific inhibition of the Na(+)-driven
flagellar motors of alkalophilic Bacillus strains by the amiloride analog phenamil. J
Bacteriol 172:1634–1639.

18.   Guia A, Leblanc N, Bose R. 1995. Effects of phenamil on potassium and calcium channels
of guinea pig ventricular myocytes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 274:649–656.

19.   Kojima S, Asai Y, Atsumi T, Kawagishi I, Homma M. 1999. Na+-driven flagellar motor
resistant to phenamil, an amiloride analog, caused by mutations in putative channel
components. J Mol Biol 285:1537–1547.

20.   Jaques S, Kim Y-K, McCarter LL. 1999. Mutations conferring resistance to phenamil and
amiloride, inhibitors of sodium-driven motility of Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Proc Natl
Acad Sci 96:5740–5745.

21. Ishida T, Ito R, Clark J, Matzke NJ, Sowa Y, Baker MAB. 2019. Sodium-powered stators
of the bacterial flagellar motor can generate torque in the presence of phenamil with
mutations near the peptidoglycan-binding region. Mol Microbiol 111:1689–1699.

22. Terada H. 1990. Uncouplers of oxidative phosphorylation. Environ Health Perspect
87:213–218.

23. Buckley BJ, Aboelela A, Minaei E, Jiang LX, Xu Z, Ali U, Fildes K, Cheung C-Y, Cook
SM, Johnson DC, Bachovchin DA, Cook GM, Apte M, Huang M, Ranson M, Kelso MJ.
2018. 6-Substituted Hexamethylene Amiloride (HMA) Derivatives as Potent and
Selective Inhibitors of the Human Urokinase Plasminogen Activator for Use in Cancer. J
Med Chem 61:8299–8320.

24. Buckley BJ, Majed H, Aboelela A, Minaei E, Jiang L, Fildes K, Cheung C-Y, Johnson D,
Bachovchin D, Cook GM, Huang M, Ranson M, Kelso MJ. 2019. 6-Substituted amiloride
derivatives as inhibitors of the urokinase-type plasminogen activator for use in metastatic
disease. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 29:126753.

25. Nishiyama M, Sowa Y. 2012. Microscopic Analysis of Bacterial Motility at High
Pressure. Biophys J 102:1872–1880.

26. Tree JJ, Ulett GC, Ong C-LY, Trott DJ, McEwan AG, Schembri MA. 2008. Trade-off
between iron uptake and protection against oxidative stress: deletion of cueO promotes
uropathogenic Escherichia coli virulence in a mouse model of urinary tract infection. J
Bacteriol 190:6909–6912.

27. Sy BM, Lan R, Tree JJ. 2020. Early termination of the Shiga toxin transcript generates a
regulatory small RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:25055–25065.

28. Dahan S, Knutton S, Shaw RK, Crepin VF, Dougan G, Frankel G. 2004. Transcriptome
of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157 adhering to eukaryotic plasma membranes.
Infect Immun 72:5452–5459.

29. Kojima S, Atsumi T, Muramoto K, Kudo S, Kawagishi I, Homma M. 1997. Vibrio
alginolyticus mutants resistant to phenamil, a specific inhibitor of the sodium-driven
flagellar motor. J Mol Biol 265:310–318.

30. Nakamura S, Hanaizumi Y, Morimoto YV, Inoue Y, Erhardt M, Minamino T, Namba K.
2020. Direct observation of speed fluctuations of flagellar motor rotation at extremely
low load close to zero. Mol Microbiol 113:755–765.

31. Nord AL, Sowa Y, Steel BC, Lo C-J, Berry RM. 2017. Speed of the bacterial flagellar
motor near zero load depends on the number of stator units. Proc Natl Acad Sci
114:11603–11608.

32. Wang B, Zhang R, Yuan J. 2017. Limiting (zero-load) speed of the rotary motor of
Escherichia coli is independent of the number of torque-generating units. Proc Natl Acad
Sci 114:12478–12482.

33. Allen RC, Popat R, Diggle SP, Brown SP. 2014. Targeting virulence: can we make
evolution-proof drugs? 4. Nat Rev Microbiol 12:300–308.

34. Barczak AK, Hung DT. 2009. Productive steps toward an antimicrobial targeting
virulence. Curr Opin Microbiol 12:490–496.

35. van Alphen LB, Burt SA, Veenendaal AKJ, Bleumink-Pluym NMC, van Putten JPM.
2012. The natural antimicrobial carvacrol inhibits Campylobacter jejuni motility and
infection of epithelial cells. PloS One 7:e45343.

36. Mohr K. 1993. Amiloride and Its Analogs Unique Cation Transport Inhibitors. Edward J.
Cragoe jr., Thomas R. Kleyman, Louis Simchowitz. VCH Weinheim — New York —
Basel — Cambridge — Tokyo. Date of publication: December 1992. XV, 388 pages. 62
figures. 25 tables. DM 189,—, £ 78,—. Pharm Unserer Zeit 22:273–273.

37. McCarter LL. 2004. Dual Flagellar Systems Enable Motility under Different
Circumstances. Microb Physiol 7:18–29.

38. Atsumi T, Yoshimura F, Sugiyama S. 2006. Arginine inhibits Na-driven flagellar motors
of alkaliphilic Bacillus. FEMS Microbiol Lett 256:224–228.

39. Stone MRL, Butler MS, Phetsang W, Cooper MA, Blaskovich MAT. 2018. Fluorescent
Antibiotics: New Research Tools to Fight Antibiotic Resistance. Trends Biotechnol
36:523–536.

40. Adams LA, Sharma P, Mohanty B, Ilyichova OV, Mulcair MD, Williams ML, Gleeson
EC, Totsika M, Doak BC, Caria S, Rimmer K, Horne J, Shouldice SR, Vazirani M,
Headey SJ, Plumb BR, Martin JL, Heras B, Simpson JS, Scanlon MJ. 2015. Application
of Fragment-Based Screening to the Design of Inhibitors of Escherichia coli DsbA.
Angew Chem Int Ed 54:2179–2184.

41. Nirody JA, Sun Y-R, Lo C-J. 2017. The biophysicist's guide to the bacterial flagellar
motor. Adv Phys X 2:324–343.

42. Kasai T, Sowa Y. 2017. Measurements of the Rotation of the Flagellar Motor by Bead
Assay. Methods Mol Biol Clifton NJ 1593:185–192.

43. Alcalde-Rico M, Hernando-Amado S, Blanco P, Martínez JL. 2016. Multidrug Efflux
Pumps at the Crossroad between Antibiotic Resistance and Bacterial Virulence. Front
Microbiol 7.

44. Sowa Y, Homma M, Ishijima A, Berry RM. 2014. Hybrid-fuel bacterial flagellar motors
in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci 111:3436–3441.

45. Islam MI, Lin A, Lai Y-W, Matzke NJ, Baker MAB. 2020. Ancestral Sequence
Reconstructions of MotB Are Proton-Motile and Require MotA for Motility. Front
Microbiol 11.

**Fig. 1: Structures and effects of compounds on bacterial rotation in tethered cell assays.**

**(A)** Chemical structures of controls 5-*N,N*-(hexamethylene)-amiloride (HMA) and phenamil

and test compounds BB2-50F and HM2-16F. **(B)** Tethered cell rotation speed (Hz) after

treatment with drugs (µM). Cells were *E. coli* SCY35 strain (ΔMotAB, FliC-sticky) with

pSHU1234 (pomApotB). Cells were treated with 0, 1, 10 and 100 µM of each compound.

Boxes represent the 25th and 75th percentile, with median indicated by dividing line. Whiskers

cover the full range from minimum to maximum

(n ≥ 30). The lime green bar = HMA, salmon = phenamil, blue = BB2-50F and violet = HM2-

16F. **(C)** Dose characterisation of compounds. Cells were treated with 0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10

µM of each compound. The line graphs (bottom) indicate the tracking of individual cells'

change in rotation speed. **(D)** Effects of sodium concentration ($[Na^+]$) on inhibitory activity.

Cells were treated with 0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10 µM drugs diluted in motility buffer containing

different $Na^+$ concentrations (1, 5, 20 and 85 mM). Total ionic concentration was held constant

and balanced with 84, 80, 65 and 0 mM KCl respectively.

**Fig. 2:** Activity of compounds against phenamil-resistant stators and proton-powered

MotAMotB.

Tethered cell speed (Hz) in response to 0, 1, 10, and 100 μM of: **A)** phenamil, **(B)** BB2-50F

and

(**C**) HM2-16F. Cell used for all assays were *E. coli* SCY35 strain (ΔmotAB, FliC-sticky) with

pSHU1235  (PomA (D148Y),  PotB),  pSHU1236  [PomA,  PotB (P16S)],  pSHU1237

[PomA (D148Y), PotB (P16S)] and pSHU149 [PomA, PotB (F22Y/L28Q)] and pDB-108

[MotAMotB] respectively. Boxes represent the $25^{th}$ and $75^{th}$ percentile, with median indicated

by dividing line. Whiskers depict the full range from minimum to maximum (n≥ 20).

**Fig. 3**: Inhibition of swimming speed by BB2-50F and HM2-16F. Bacterial swimming speed was measured by tracking individual cells using videography in the presence of different concentrations

(0, 10, 100 µM) of each compound. Swimming speed of: **(A)** PomAPotB expressed via plasmid in ΔmotAB strain, **(B)** MotAMotB expressed via plasmid in ΔmotAB strain, **(C)** MotAMotB in uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) and **(D)** MotAMotB in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC), and **(E)** PomAPomB in *Vibrio alginolyticus,* all following wash with drug.

Speeds of individual cells shown in µm/s with the scattered plots, median speed indicated by line (n ≥ 30).

**Fig. 4: Bead assay results for phenamil, BB2-50F and HM2-16F. (top)** 1 μm Polystyrene bead assay in *E. coli* strain JHC36 with plasmid expressed PomAPotB stator (pSHU1234) in presence of (A) phenamil, (B) BB2-50F and (C) HM2-16F. Each panel shows three X-Y scatter plots (over 1 s) of the position a bead attached to the rotating flagellar filament of a single cell before exposure to drug, after exposure to drug, and after wash to remove drug. Raw speed vs time and XY vs time plots shown in Supplementary Fig. 3. **(middle)** Bead assay in *E. coli* strain JHC36 with plasmid expressed MotAMotB stator in presence of (D) phenamil, (E) BB2-50F and (F) HM2-16F. **(Bottom)** X-Y position (over 60 s) for *E. coli* strain JHC36 with no stators expressed. The Brownian diffusion of the bead for 60-120 s is shown before and after treatment with (G) phenamil, (H) BB2-50F and (I) HM2-16F, respectively. All drugs washed in as 50 μL of 10 μM drug, washed out with 200 μL of 85MTB. All lengths in μm.

**Fig. 5: Gold bead nanoparticle assay results of test compounds.** Speed versus time plots for 60 nm gold nanoparticle attached directly to rotating, straightened hook of bacterial flagellar motor before, during and after exposure to drug. Gold nanoparticle assay was performed in

SHU174 *E. coli* strain in presence of 10/100 µM BB2-50F (left) and HM2-16F (right) for both PomAPotB and MotAMotB stators, with phenamil action on PomAPotB as a positive control. Red arrow indicates drug flowed in as 50 µL of stated concentration (10 µM or 100 µM), green arrow indicates washout of drug with 200 µL of 67MTB. (A) Positive control for 10 µM phenamil against rotation driven by PomAPotB. Left side: BB2-50F against PomAPotB at (B) 10 µM and (C) 100 µM. BB2-50F against MotAMotB at (D) 10 µM and (E) 100 µM. Right side: HM2-16F against PomAPotB at (F) 10 µM and (G) 100 µM**.** HM2-16F against MotAMotB at (H) 10 µM and (I) 100 µM.