# Synthetic biology meets proteomics: Construction of *à la carte* QconCATs for absolute protein quantification

James Johnson[1], Victoria M Harman[2], Catarina Franco[2], Nicola Rockliffe[1], Yaqi Sun[3], Lu-Ning Liu[3], Ayako Takemori[4], Nobuaki Takemori[4] & Robert J. Beynon[2,¶]

[1]GeneMill, Institute of Systems Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Crown Street, Liverpool, L69 7ZB, UK

[2]Centre for Proteome Research, Institute of Systems and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Crown Street, L697ZB, Liverpool, United Kingdom

[3]Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Crown Street, L697ZB, Liverpool, United Kingdom

[4]Division of Analytical Bio-Medicine, Advanced Research Support Center, Ehime University, Shitsukawa, Toon, Ehime, Japan

¶Corresponding author: Robert J. Beynon (r.beynon@liverpool.ac.uk)

Keywords: synthetic biology, QconCAT, quantitative proteomics, loop assembly,

Short title: *À la carte* QconCATs

## ABSTRACT

We report a new approach to the assembly and construction of QconCATs, quantitative concatamers for proteomic applications that yield stoichiometric quantities of sets of stable isotope-labelled internal standards. The new approach is based on synthetic biology precepts of biobricks, making use of loop assembly to construct larger entities from individual biobricks. It offers a major gain in flexibility of QconCAT implementation and enables rapid and efficient editability that permits, for example, substitution of one peptide for another. The basic building block (a Qbrick) is a segment of DNA that encodes two or more quantification peptides for a single protein, readily held in a repository as a library resource. These Qbricks are then assembled in a one tube ligation reaction that enforces the order of assembly, to yield short QconCATs that are useable for small quantification products. However, the DNA context of the short also allows a second cycle of assembly such that five different short QconCATs can be assembled into a longer QconCAT in a second, single tube ligation. From a library of Qbricks, a bespoke QconCAT can be assembled quickly and efficiently in a form suitable for expression and labelling i*n vivo* or *in vitro*. We refer to this approach as the ALACAT strategy as it permits *à la carte* design of quantification standards.

## INTRODUCTION

Absolute quantification of proteins by mass spectrometry is typically based on the use of accurately quantified stable isotope labelled internal standards, usually peptides, as surrogates for the protein quantification. There are many ways to generate these labelled peptides, including direct chemical synthesis (AQUA peptides, [1, 2] or are derived from full length labelled proteins (PSAQ, [3–5] or shorter epitopic fragments (PrEST, [6, 7]). An additional approach is the use of QconCAT technology [4, 8, 9]. QconCATs are multiplexed protein standards for proteomics, products of artificial genes designed to encode concatamers of peptides, wherein each peptide or more commonly, a pair of peptides, is chosen to act as mass spectrometric standard(s) for absolute quantification of multiple peptides. The initial publications on QconCATs [10–12] have received over 1,000 citations and the methodology is well known and embedded in the community. At a typical size of about 60-70 kDa, a QconCAT encodes approximately 50 tryptic peptides, permitting the quantification of around 25 proteins at a ratio of two peptides per protein. The genes are then expressed as recombinant proteins in bacteria grown in the presence of SIL amino acids, usually lysine and arginine, ensuring a single labelling position for every standard tryptic peptide. Because the genes are designed *de novo*, it is feasible to introduce additional features, such as purification tags, sacrificial peptides to protect the QconCAT from exoproteolysis and peptide sequences, common to each QconCAT as a quantification standard, permitting absolute quantification of each standard within the proteomics workflow – in effect, an 'internally standardised standard'. We have demonstrated that the QconCAT approach can be used successfully in large scale proteome quantification studies and have reported the absolute

quantification of approx. 1,800 proteins in the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* proteome [13], by far the largest absolute quantification study conducted to date. Because each peptide derived by complete excision from a QconCAT are present in equal quantities, QconCATs also have utility in determination of subunit stoichiometry of multiprotein complexes, such as the proteinaceous bacterial metabolosomes for propanediol degradation in *Salmonella* [14].

Although QconCATs have been widely adopted, their broader deployment can be challenging. First, QconCAT expression requires skills in molecular biology and facilities for bacterial expression of heterologous proteins. We have addressed this in part through the introduction of cell-free synthesis of QconCATs, which brings added advantages of concurrent, single tube synthesis that we have extended to over 100 QconCATs simultaneously, a strategy we have dubbed MEERCAT [15, 16]. Secondly, QconCATs cover a set of target proteins based on the needs of one research group, which may not always match the requirements of subsequent research groups. Thirdly, the choice of peptides is often obliged to be made without knowledge of the performance of these peptides in absolute quantification. Lastly, editing of any QconCAT, for example, the removal or addition of a single protein, has required complete resynthesis and expression of the gene.

To overcome these complications, we now introduce the concept of 'ALACATS' - *'à la carte*' QconCATs, the term reflecting the ability to design a QconCAT of any length that encodes peptides for a user-specified set of target proteins. ALACATS are assembled from 'Qbricks', oligonucleotides that encode (typically) two quantotypic peptides for a single target protein, together with short flanking peptides to recapitulate the correct primary sequence context, and thus normalise digestion rates. Each Qbrick (one for each target protein in the proteome) is a discrete entity, a double stranded DNA construct that can be readily synthesised, stored, catalogued and accessed to enable the synthesis of an ALACAT to order. These are the fundamental building blocks in the ALACAT workflow.

## RESULTS

### Design, synthesis and assembly of Qbricks

A Qbrick ('quantification brick', a type of biobrick [17]) is defined as a short, double stranded oligonucleotide that encodes two Qpeptides that are quantotypic for a single protein and is thus the smallest building block (Figure 1). The Qbrick also encodes interspersed peptide sequences that recapitulate the primary sequence context of the two peptides, thus equalising digestion rates of standard and analyte. Each Qbrick has asymmetric overhangs at each end, creating sticky ended DNA molecules that permit assembly by a strategy called 'Loop Assembly', driven by sequential use of two Type IIS restriction endonucleases [18]. Different unique overhang sequences (A, B, C, D, E and F) flank the Qbricks. Five Qbricks are assembled in a single reaction – the 'odd' cycle [18]. The annealing

during assembly maintains the reading frame through the QconCAT, adding two amino acids to the interspersed linker with little or no effect on the peptides generated from the QconCAT (Figure 1a). These *short QconCATs*, assemblies of five Qbricks that encode 10 peptides, are perfectly useable when expressed as a five-target protein standard suitable for small, focused studies. A short QconCAT, containing 10 Qpeptides (from five Qbricks), interspersed linker peptides, quantification and purification peptides as well as suitable sacrificial sequences at either end, totals approximately 170-220 amino acids, of a size suitable for expression and deployment.

For more wide-reaching quantification studies, individual short ALACATs are subsequently concatenated in a second reaction. The initial 5-Qbrick constructs are cloned into plasmids that introduce a second set of six overhanging linker sequences, distinct from those used in the 'odd' cycle. These linkers ('even cycle', α, β, γ, δ, ε, λ; Figure 1b) allow assembly of the five short ALACATs into a complete, '*long ALACAT*', capable of encoding Qpeptides for approximately 25 proteins. These QconCATs would be 75-90 kDa (relatively shorter because of the single instances of N-terminal and C-terminal features), typical for cell-free or bacterial expression. Of course, any variant, from two to five short ALACATs, encoding quantification standards for any number of proteins between 5 and approximately 30 is possible using this approach. This greatly expands the flexibility of the QconCAT approach. The sequences of the constructs used in this paper, and the cloning syntaxes, are provided in Supplementary Sequence File A.




**Figure 1| Overall strategy for building block assembly of short and long ALACATs**

The smallest unit of an ALACAT is a single double stranded oligonucleotide encoding peptides (one, two, more) for quantification of a single target protein, flanked on either side by tripeptides that preserve the natural primary sequence context. These oligonucleotides include linker regions compatible with a Type IIS restriction enzyme (*BsaI*) that allows all five Qbricks to be assembled in the correct order in a single ligation reaction (**panel a**, odd cycle assembly) to form short ALACATs. In turn, these short ALACATs contain DNA sequences that are compatible with a second Type IIS restriction enzyme (*SapI*) and can be similarly assembled in a one tube reaction to create a long ALACAT (**panel b**, even cycle assembly). The vectors for the odd and even cycles both include in frame fusions to glu-fibrinopeptide and c-myc encoding regions (quantification) and a hexahistidine tag (purification).

As proof of concept, we built an ALACAT from a series of Qbricks encoding standards for 25 human plasma proteins (Supplementary Table 1). We first assembled five short ALACATs and then, in turn, assembled these into

a long ALACAT. Each short ALACAT was expressed independently in a wheat germ cell free system (CFS), as well as the long ALACAT and yields of all were high (Figure 2a). Typically, yields were of the order of 500 pmol, which is a substantial quantity for LC-MS/MS based quantification (typically, a single LC-MSMS run would require 50 fmol on column). The expressed short and long QconCATs were then digested with trypsin and analysed by LC-MS/MS (Figure 2b). Further information on analysis of the short and long ALACATs is provided in Supplementary Material.




**Figure 2 | Construction of a human plasma protein QconCAT using the ALACAT strategy**

A series of Qbricks were designed, each encoding two peptides for each of 25 plasma proteins. Groups of five were assembled in an odd cycle reaction to short ALACATs (301-305) that were expressed *in vitro* using wheat germ lysate and purified by virtue of their hexahistidine tag. In addition, the short ALACATs were assembled in an even cycle reaction into a single long ALACAT that was also expressed and purified (**panel a**). Each of the ALACATs were then digested and analysed by LC-MSMS; all peptides were detected (**panel c**, infilled peptides are those visible by LC-MS/MS (**panel b**); different colours define the short ALACAT origins of the peptides in the long ALACAT). Using common peptides (glu fibrinopeptide and c-myc epitope) as normalisation controls, the peak areas for peptides in short ALACATs were compared to the areas of the same peptides in the long ALACATs (**panel d**)

Each QconCAT contained two common peptides - the glu fibrinopeptide (EGVNDNEEGFFSAR) that we have used previously for quantification of the QconCAT [8, 13] and a second peptide derived from the common c-myc peptide (LISEEDLGGR) to give a tag for monitoring expression by western blotting if necessary. We were able to use these two peptides to assess the consistency of the intensity of the quantification peptide, whether in long or short ALACATs (Figure 2c). The correlation was extremely high, confirming that the peptides were cleaved and released similarly, irrespective of the nature of the ALACAT.

We also demonstrated the synthesis of ALACAT in a *E. coli* cell-free system. The *E. coli* system couples transcription and translation in a single tube, which allows us to skip the *in vitro* transcription reaction required in the wheat cell-free system. In this study, we set up a small-scale reaction system using a microdialysis device (Figure 3). All prepared ALACAT genes were successfully synthesized in this system), and the efficiency of $^{13}C/^{15}N$ incorporation into their lysine and arginine residues was more than 99 %.




**Figure 3. ALACAT synthesis by *E. coli* cell-free system**

Experimental design for cell-free synthesis (**panel a**). Ten small ALACATs (belonging to two series; 'B' and 'H') and one long ALACAT were synthesized in a small-scale cell-free synthesis system using a microdialysis device (panel b). Lysine and arginine residues of the synthesized ALACATs were labelled with $^{13}C/^{15}N$. **Panel b)** Representative SDS-PAGE separation images of the synthesized ALACATs. His-tag purified ALACATs were separated by NuPAGE 4–12 % gradient gels and visualized by CBB staining. Asterisk: ALACAT band. **Panel c)** Selective reaction monitoring (SRM) analysis of ALACAT peptides. The efficiency of stable isotope incorporation was estimated by SRM for two tryptic digested peptides derived from one ALACAT.

141 Editability of ALACATs

142 One of the advantages of the ALACAT approach is the introduction of straightforward editability of the construct.
143 Previously, there was no simple route to exchange one peptide for another without extensive resynthesis of the
144 gene. However, with ALACATs, the editability simplifies the introduction of changes in the sequence and
145 embedded peptides. This editing process can take place at two levels. First, individual peptides can be replaced
146 in Qbricks, and a new short ALACAT could be constructed. The only new DNA required would be the sequence of
147 the Qbrick. Alternatively, an entire short ALACAT could be exchanged, replacing multiple peptides in a single
148 process. This might be of particular value, for example, in a multi-species construct, if some short ALACATs
149 contained species-specific peptide sequences, and others contained sequences that were identical in both
150 species. A simple switch from species A to species B would only require exchange of the relevant short ALACAT in
151 the one-step, even cycle ligation reaction. Further, about 10% of all traditionally synthesised QconCATs failed to
152 express in bacteria [16] and the ability to quickly create a large set of rearrangements of different Qbricks or short
153 ALACATs would be able to deliver a library of ALACATs, with equivalent function, that could be quickly screened
154 for expression potential. Alternatively, this type of combinatorial synthesis could be used to explore adjacency
155 and proximity effects. To test this possibility *in extremis* we therefore initiated a 'one pot' combinatorial ligation
156 of two families of Qbricks, or two families of short ALACATs.

157

158 We tested both levels of editability using the two ALACAT series (B, plasma and H for analysis of the stoichiometry
159 of a metabolic compartment; Supplementary Sequence File A) described above. First, we demonstrated the ease
160 of exchange of short ALACATs by building a combinatorial series of long ALACATs created from random
161 introduction of appropriate short ALACATs – the 'even' cycle. Each position in the long ALACAT could contain a
162 short ALACAT from either the B or H series. Rather than create one editing reaction to prove the swap of one
163 short ALACAT for another, we took a different approach and set up a single reaction, in which we mixed ten short
164 ALACATs derived from the two different families, prefixed B and H, such that B1 and H1 would share common
165 *Sap*I overhang sequences and similarly, the other four pairs (H2/B2 to H5/B5). Thus, short ALACATs H1 and B1
166 would represent a binary choice at position one. In this assembly, a random ligation process would generate $2^5$ =
167 32 different combinations, from H1:H2:H3:H4:H5 through, for example, H1:H2:B3:B4:B5 etc. to B1:B2:B3:B4:B5.
168 After the single tube ligation, 81 colonies were picked and the ligation product DNA was sequenced. Of the 32
169 combinations that could have been synthesised, we detected 26 different short ALACATs (80% of all possible
170 different combinations, Figure 4, Supplementary Sequence File B), confirming the ease of editing and
171 reconstruction of new short ALACATs. There was no indication of any systematic bias in the selection of one or
172 the other sets of Qbricks, establishing the ease of random ligation.

a) Combinatorial ligation of short ALACATs




b) Colony picking, DNA sequencing

Long ALACAT assemblies (81 clones)

c) Usage of short ALACATs




d) Distribution (first three short ALACAT positions)




**Figure 4| Combinatorial assembly of short ALACATs into long ALACATs**

To test the ease of editability and swapping of short ALACATs into long ALACATs, we created a ligation reaction in which there were two choices of short ALACAT at each of four or five positions (**panel a**). The reaction products were cloned and a total of 81 clones were selected for DNA sequencing, to establish the composition of the long ALACATs (from either the B or H series) were incorporated (**panel b**). There was an even representation of the short ALACATs across the entire structure (**panel c**) and the evenness of the creation of the products is evidenced by the split of the products through the first three positions (**panel d**).

173 To assess the equivalent combinatorial substitution of Qbricks, we performed essentially the same experiment in
174 an 'even' cycle but with two set of Qbricks from the two families (B and H), again picking multiple clones from a
175 single tube ligation reaction. To increase complexity, we created further potential by providing H4 and B5 with
176 two assembly contexts (Figure 5, Supplementary Sequence File C). After assembly, multiple ALACAT clones were
177 picked and sequenced. From this experiment, 26 unique short ALACATs were constructed, spread across 65
178 sequences that were sampled. Of these 65, seven were long variants of five Qbricks (made possible by our
179 construction strategy) but the majority comprised assemblies of four Qbricks, a total of 19 combinations from a
180 set of 24 possibilities were recovered. Further, 16 were unique, two were replicated once, three occurred thrice,
181 two were four-fold, up to one assembly that was sequenced in 16 (approx. 25%) of the clones. It is possible that
182 this bias reflected differences in the relative concentrations of the input DNA sequences, which would allow for
183 the possibility of a degree of tuning of the system to preferred assemblies.
184

a) Combinatorial ligation




b) Colony picking, DNA sequencing

Assemblies (65 clones)

Four Qbrick contructs

| A | B | C | D | E | Count |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| B1 | H2 | B3 | B4 | | 1 |
| H1 | B2 | H3 | B4 | | 1 |
| B1 | B2 | B3 | B5 | | 1 |
| H1 | B2 | B3 | B5 | | 3 |
| B1 | H2 | B3 | B5 | | 1 |
| H1 | H2 | B3 | B5 | | 1 |
| B1 | B2 | H3 | B5 | | 1 |
| H1 | B2 | H3 | B5 | | 1 |
| B1 | H2 | H3 | B5 | | 2 |
| H1 | H2 | H3 | B5 | | 1 |
| B1 | B2 | B3 | H4 | | 4 |
| H1 | B2 | B3 | H4 | | 1 |
| B1 | H2 | B3 | H4 | | 7 |
| H1 | H2 | B3 | H4 | | 16 |
| B1 | B2 | H3 | H4 | | 4 |
| H1 | B2 | H3 | H4 | | 3 |
| H1 | B2 | H3 | H4 | | 1 |
| B1 | H2 | H3 | H4 | | 3 |
| H1 | H2 | H3 | H4 | | 5 |

Five Qbrick contructs

| A | B | C | D | E | F | Count |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| H1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | B5 | | 1 |
| H1 | H2 | H3 | B4 | B5 | | 1 |
| B1 | B2 | B3 | H4 | B5 | | 1 |
| H1 | B2 | B3 | H4 | B5 | | 1 |
| H1 | H2 | B3 | H4 | B5 | | 1 |
| H1 | B2 | H3 | H4 | B5 | | 1 |
| B1 | H2 | H3 | H4 | B5 | | 2 |

c) Usage of Qbricks




**Figure 5| Combinatorial assembly of Qbricks into short ALACATs**

To test the ease of editability and swapping of Qbricks into short ALACATs, we created a ligation reaction in which there were two choices of Qbrick at each of four or five positions (**panel a**), encoding pairs of quantotypic peptides $P_1$ and $P_2$. The reaction products were cloned and a total of 65 clones were selected for DNA sequencing, to establish the composition of the long ALACATs (from either the B or H series) were incorporated (**panel b**). There was a reasonably even representation of the Qbricks across the entire structure (**panel c**).

185

186 ## Discussion

187 The Qbrick concept has multiple advantages over traditional QconCAT gene synthesis and expression. First, the
188 individual Qbrick DNA oligonucleotides can be drawn from an ever-expanding library, stored at the point of
189 synthesis, and thus, the clustering of Qpeptides into QconCATs becomes a late decision, driven by the interests
190 of specific users and programmes. Secondly, ALACATs can be designed and delivered at any size, according to the
191 focus and depth of individual quantitative proteomics studies. For example, two or three short ALACATs can be
192 combined to form highly efficient QconCATs of intermediate size. Lastly, if specific peptides are suboptimal for a
193 particular mass spectrometric approach, often an unknown factor before the construct is made, it is trivial to
194 replace one Qbrick, build a new short ALACAT, and if required, subsequently assemble the new short ALACAT into
195 the full length ALACAT, both steps being single-tube reactions. This is an exciting improvement in QconCAT
196 deployment, providing an efficiency and flexibility which, coupled with cell-free synthesis and MEERCAT, means
197 that large scale absolute proteome quantifications are now eminently feasible, sustainable and modifiable.
198 Moreover, many stages of the ALACAT workflow are suitable for delivery through laboratory automation, reducing
199 the need for human intervention. The Qbrick approach means that it would be possible to create an ever-
200 expanding resource of Qbrick DNA (in the form of double stranded oligonucleotides) that could be assembled 'to
201 order' in response to requests by any research group. There would be no reliance on prior clustering of peptides,
202 and the assembly would be a trivial additional step. Moreover, the ability to 'swap out' specific Qbricks without
203 having to redesign and build the QconCAT from scratch means that problematic peptides will be rapidly expunged
204 from the resource (Figure 6). The advantages of having 'editable QconCATs' cannot be overstated. This added
205 flexibility in standard design and optimisation, coupled with ever increasing selectivity and sensitivity of LC-MS/MS
206 platforms, makes absolute quantification of part, or even all, of a proteome increasingly feasible.

207

208 Lastly, QconCATs, assemblies of peptides generated by proteolysis, are a simple route to the generation of
209 stoichiometric quantities of a set of peptides that can be used for purposes other than absolute quantification,
210 such as instrument quality control or calibration of retention time index ([19–23]).The combinatorial experiments
211 described in this paper, for example, create the ability to build a large number of different combinations of
212 peptides from a common library, and could be used in the understanding of local influences on ionisation, or even
213 to test the emergent methods for prediction of precursor or product ion intensity [24–29]. The more
214 straightforward the production methodology, the more likely tests of such predictive methods can be created.




**Figure 6| A model for the creation of an ALACAT resource**

From a library of Qbricks (that may include redundant sequences to increase the choice of quantotypic peptides for specific proteins) assembly into short ALACATs would provide a test system to assess the suitability of peptides for quantification. Once the short ALACATs are optimised, sets of them could subsequently be assembled into long ALACATs. Long ALACAT DNA can be readily used to drive protein synthesis in the end user laboratory, labelled as appropriate.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/S020241/1, RJB) .This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/R003890/1, BB/M024202/1, BB/R01390X/1, L-NL) and the Royal Society (URF\R\180030, RGF\EA\181061, RGF\EA\180233, L-NL). We are grateful to Dr Philip Brownridge for excellent instrumentation support.

223 EXPERIMENTAL

224 **Materials and reagents.** All enzymes, competent cells and manual DNA purification kits were purchased from
225 New England Biolabs (Hitchin, UK), all oligonucleotides were purchased desalted and lyophilised from
226 Integrated DNA Technologies BVBA (Leuven, Belgium) or Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany). All
227 bacterial media and antibiotics were purchased from Formedium Ltd (Hunstanton, UK).

228

229 **Production of pOdd and pEven acceptor vectors.** Plasmid pEU01-MCS (CellFree Sciences, Ehime, Japan) was
230 domesticated via site-directed mutagenesis to remove unwanted *Bsa*I and *Sap*I restriction sites. pOdd
231 vectors were produced by inserting a lacZ cassette with *Sap*I and *Bsa*I sites as indicated with appropriate
232 syntaxes flanked N-terminally by GluFib and Myc tag linkers and C-terminally with 6x His-Tag and stop
233 codons. pEven vectors were produced similarly but the $Amp^R$ gene was exchanged for $Spec^R$ gene amplified
234 from pGM134_1 and cloned via an NEBuilder (NEB, UK) reaction. All lacZ cassettes were synthesised by Twist
235 Bioscience (San Francisco, USA) and cloned as single fragments into modified pEU01-MCS via NEBuilder,
236 producing pOdd vectors pGM247_2 – 6 and pEven vectors pGM247_8 – 12.

237

238 **Design of oligonucleotides and production of QBrick DNA Blocks.** QBrick peptide sequences were reverse
239 translated using Geneious software (Biomatters Ltd), set up to use the *Escherichia coli* K12 codon usage table
240 [30] and to avoid internal restriction sites of *Bsa*I, *Sap*I, *Bbs*I and *Bsm*BI and >5 nt homopolymers. These were
241 converted to overlapping oligonucleotides ($T_{ann}$ approx. 60°C). Required 5' overhangs for *Bsa*I or *Sap*I
242 recognition sequences and molecular syntaxes were then added. Pairs of overlapping oligonucleotides were
243 mixed at 2.5 µM ea. (final conc.) in Q5 2x mastermix in 20 µl total reaction. These were annealed and
244 extended using the following thermocycler parameters: 98°C for 60 s followed by five cycles of 98°C for 10
245 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 15 s and a final incubation at 72°C for 60 s. These reactions were diluted 1:100 in
246 water before added to cloning reactions below (approx. 25 fmol/µl).

247

248 **Odd level cloning reactions (Short ALACATs).** Required QBrick blocks (~25 fmol·$\mu L^{-1}$) were reacted with empty
249 pOdd vector in 10 µL total as follows: 0.5 µL each QBrick block, 10 ng pOdd vector, 1 µL T4 DNA Ligase Buffer,
250 0.5 µL T4 DNA Ligase (400 U·$\mu L^{-1}$), 0.5 µL *Bsa*I (10 U·$\mu L^{-1}$). These were incubated with the following conditions:
251 37°C for 10 min, followed by 50 cycles of 37°C for 1 min and 16°C for 1.5 min before final 50°C for 5 min. 1
252 µL of the reaction was transformed into 25 µL of NEB 5-alpha chemically competent cells. 20% were plated
253 onto LB agar plates containing 100 µg·$mL^{-1}$ Carbenicillin, 20 µg·$mL^{-1}$ X-Gal and 100 µM IPTG for blue/white
254 selection. White colonies were then screened by colony PCR using universal screening primers (Forward: 5'-
255 TAACCACCTATCTACATCACC-3' and Reverse: 5'-CGAGCTCGAGAACTAGTGAT-3'). PCR products were analysed
256 using QIAxcel DNA Screening Gel automated electrophoresis (QIAGEN, Manchester, UK). Correct PCR

257 products were cleaned with ExoCIP and Sanger sequenced (Source Bioscience Ltd, Nottingham, UK) to
258 confirm the sequence.
259
260 **Even level cloning reactions (Long ALACATs).** Required pOdd clones (10 ng·µL$^{-1}$) were reacted with empty
261 pEven vector in 10 µL total as follows: 0.5 µL each pOdd clone, 10 ng pEven vector, 1 µL 10x T4 Ligase Buffer,
262 0.5 µL T4 DNA Ligase (400 U·µL$^{-1}$), 0.5 µL *Sap*I (10 U·µL$^{-1}$). These were incubated at 37°C for 120 min. 1 µL of
263 the reaction was transformed into 25 µL of NEB 5-alpha chemically competent cells. 20% were plated onto
264 LB agar plates containing 50 µg·mL$^{-1}$ Spectinomycin, 20 µg·mL$^{-1}$ X-Gal and 100 µM IPTG. White colonies were
265 then screened by colony PCR using universal screening primers (Forward: 5'-TAACCACCTATCTACATCACC-3'
266 and Reverse: 5'-CGAGCTCGAGAACTAGTGAT-3'). PCR products were analysed using QIAxcel DNA Screening
267 Gel electrophoresis.
268
269 **Cell-free expression of short and long ALACATs.** For each ALACAT, 2 µg DNA in pEU-E01 vector (CellFree
270 Sciences Co., Ltd, Japan) was used for a single expression reaction. Synthesis was completed in 240 µL scale
271 using WEPR8240H full Expression kit (2BScientific Ltd, UK). A positive control (pEU-E01-DHFR coding
272 dihydrofolate reductase gene derived from *E. coli*) and negative control (pEU-E01-MCS empty vector) were
273 used, both supplied with the kit. Full kit instructions were followed, including preparation of WEPRO8240H
274 aliquots and 2 x SUB AMIX reagent. The Transcription Mix for each expression was prepared with 20 U RNase
275 inhibitor, 20 U SP6 RNA Polymerase, 50 nmol NTP mix and a 0.2 x dilution Transcription Buffer. DNA for the
276 ALACAT or controls, and nuclease-free water, were added to a final volume of 20 µL. The transcription
277 reaction occurred over six hours at 37 °C and the resulting mRNA was stored briefly at room temperature
278 before transcription.
279
280 A 1 x SUB AMIX was prepared with a 0.5 x dilution of 2 x SUB AMIX into nuclease-free water and 60 nmol of
281 each of the standard 20 amino acids (R, K, A, N, D, C, E, Q, G, H, I, L, M, F, P, S, T, W, Y, V), with substituted
282 stable isotope labelled [$^{13}C_6$],[$^{15}N_4$] arginine and [$^{13}C_6$],[$^{15}N_2$] lysine (CK Isotopes Ltd, UK). The Translation Mix
283 for each expression was prepared with 12 nmol of each of the same standard 20 amino acids, including $^{13}C_6$
284 $^{15}N$ Arg and Lys, combined with 0.8 µg creatinine kinase, 10 µL WEPRO8240H wheat germ lysate, 0.5 x
285 dilution of 2 x SUB AMIX, and 10 µL of mRNA for each ALACAT or standard. A 96-well plate was prepared with
286 200 µL of 1 x SUB AMIX in each well. The Translation Mixture was carefully pipetted beneath the SUB AMIX
287 in each well to form a bilayer. The plate was sealed and incubated at 16 °C for 16 hours.
288
289 *E. coli* cell-free synthesis was performed using a Musaibou-Kun protein synthesis kit (Catalog #A183-0242,
290 Taiyo Nippon Sanso Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). For ALACAT synthesis, an amino acid cocktail with lysine and

291 arginine universally labeled with $^{13}C$ and $^{15}N$ (Catalog # A91-0128, Taiyo Nippon Sanso Corporation) was used.
292 All synthetic reactions were performed using an Xpress micro-dialyzer MD100 with molecular weight cut-off
293 of 12–14 kDa (Scienova, Spitzweidenweg, Germany) inserted into a 2 mL microtube. Before synthesis, 825
294 µL of the outer solution was mixed with 75 µL amino acid cocktail and 100 µL distilled water, incubated at 30
295 °C, and added to the outside of the dialysis unit at the start of synthesis. Then, 77.5 µL of the internal solution
296 for synthesis was mixed with 10 µL template DNA (50 ng/µL), 7.5 µL amino acid cocktail, and 5 µL distilled
297 water, and added to the dialysis unit. The synthesis reaction was carried out at 30 °C for 18 hours. After the
298 synthesis was completed, all the solution in the dialysis unit was collected into a new tube.
299
300 ALACAT purification. Note that the positive control used for expression does not have a hexa-histidine tag
301 and therefore both controls were used as negative controls in this next stage of the protocol. The 240 µL
302 contents of each individual well of the 96 well plate was transferred to a low binding tube (Biotix Inc., US).
303 This was then combined with 400 µL Bind Buffer pH 7.4 (20 mM sodium phosphate, 0.5 M sodium chloride,
304 20 mM imidazole, 6 M guanidine hydrochloride), and incubated at room temperature for one hour using a
305 rotor mixer, before addition of 10 µL Ni Sepharose suspension (GE Healthcare Ltd, UK) and a further one
306 hour incubation. Centrifuge filters (Corning Costar Spin-X 0.45 um pore size cellulose acetate membrane,
307 Merck, UK) were washed once with 750 µL Bind Buffer and centrifuged, before addition of the sample and
308 Ni Sepharose, and further centrifugation; all centrifuge steps used 6,000 x *g* 2 minutes 4 °C. This was followed
309 by three further washes by centrifugation with Bind Buffer; two 400 µL washes and one 200 µL wash. Sample
310 was eluted by centrifugation from the resin with two additions of 15 µL Elution Buffer pH 7.4 (20 mM sodium
311 phosphate, 0.5 M sodium chloride, 1 M imidazole, 6 M guanidine hydrochloride), after each addition the
312 resin and buffer were agitated to mix before centrifugation.
313
314 The final 30 µL elution was transferred to a low binding tube for protein precipitation. To each tube 600 µL
315 HPLC grade methanol (Fisher Scientific Ltd, UK) was added and mixed well before addition of 150 µL
316 chloroform and 400 µL HPLC grade water (VWR International, UK) to precipitate proteins. Following
317 centrifugation at 13,000 x *g* for 3 minutes a bilayer was formed, the uppermost layer of which was carefully
318 removed. A further 600 µL methanol was added and gently mixed by inversion. After a second centrifugation
319 step the majority of the liquid was removed and discarded, with the remaining liquid allowed to evaporate.
320 The precipitate was resuspended in 30 µL 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, with 0.1 % (w/v) RapiGest™ SF
321 surfactant (Waters, UK) and protease inhibitors (Roche cOmplete™, Mini, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor
322 Cocktail, Merck, UK). Before tryptic digestions the protein concentration of each sample was determined
323 using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK).
324

325 **Tryptic digestion.** For digestion, 0.5 µg protein for each was treated with 0.05 % (w/v) RapiGest™ SF
326 surfactant at 80 °C for 10 minutes, reduced with 4 mM dithiothreitol (Melford Laboratories Ltd., UK) at 60 °C
327 for 10 minutes and subsequently alkylated with 14 mM iodoacetamide at room temperature for 30 minutes.
328 Proteins were digested with 0.01 µg Trypsin Gold, Mass Spectrometry Grade (Promega, US) at 37 °C
329 overnight. Digests were acidified by addition of trifluoroacetic acid (Greyhound Chromatography and Allied
330 Chemicals, UK) to a final concentration of 0.5 % (v/v) and incubated at 37 °C for 45 minutes before
331 centrifugation at 13,000 x *g* 4°C to remove insoluble non-peptidic material.
332
333 **LC-MS/MS.** Samples were analysed using an UltiMate™ 3000 RSLCnano system coupled to a Q Exactive™ HF
334 Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap™ Mass Spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK). Protein digests were loaded
335 onto a trapping column (Acclaim PepMap 100 C18, 75 µm x 2 cm, 3 µm packing material, 100 Å) using 0.1 %
336 (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid, 2 % (v/v) acetonitrile in water at a flow rate of 12 µL min-1 for 7 min. For samples
337 301, 302 and 304, 5 ng was loaded, and for the Long ALACAT, 303 and 305, 10ng was loaded. The peptides
338 were eluted onto the analytical column (EASY-Spray PepMap RSLC C18, 75 µm x 50 cm, 2 µm packing
339 material, 100 Å) at 30 °C using a linear gradient of 30 minutes rising from 3 % (v/v) acetonitrile/0.1 % (v/v)
340 formic acid (Fisher Scientific, UK) to 40 % (v/v) acetonitrile/0.1 % (v/v) formic acid at a flow rate of 300 nL
341 $min^{-1}$. The column was then washed with 79 % (v/v) acetonitrile/0.1 % (v/v) formic acid for 5 min, and re-
342 equilibrated to starting conditions. The nano-liquid chromatograph was operated under the control of Dionex
343 Chromatography MS Link 2.14.
344
345 The nano-electrospray ionisation source was operated in positive polarity under the control of QExactive HF
346 Tune (version 2.5.0.2042), with a spray voltage of 1.8 kV and a capillary temperature of 250 °C. The mass
347 spectrometer was operated in data-dependent acquisition mode. Full MS survey scans between m/z 350-
348 2000 were acquired at a mass resolution of 60,000 (full width at half maximum at m/z 200). For MS, the
349 automatic gain control target was set to $3e^6$, and the maximum injection time was 100 ms. The 16 most
350 intense precursor ions with charge states of 2-5 were selected for MS/MS with an isolation window of 2 m/z
351 units. Product ion spectra were recorded between m/z 200-2000 at a mass resolution of 30,000 (full width
352 at half maximum at m/z 200). For MS/MS, the automatic gain control target was set to $1e^5$, and the maximum
353 injection time was 45 ms. Higher-energy collisional dissociation was performed to fragment the selected
354 precursor ions using a normalised collision energy of 30 %. Dynamic exclusion was set to 30 s.
355
356 The raw MS data files were loaded into Thermo Proteome Discoverer v.1.4 (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK) and
357 searched against a custom ALACATs database using Mascot v.2.7 (Matrix Science London, UK) with trypsin
358 as the specified enzyme, one missed cleavage allowed, carbamidomethylation of cysteine, label

359 [$^{13}C_6$][$^{15}N_2$]lysine and [$^{13}C_6$][$^{15}N_4$]arginine set as fixed modifications and oxidation of methionine set as a
360 variable modification. A precursor mass tolerance of 10 ppm and a fragment ion mass tolerance of 0.01 Da
361 were applied.
362
363 REFERENCES


364 1. Gerber, S. A.; Rush, J.; Stemman, O.; Kirschner, M. W., Gygi, S. P.: Absolute quantification of proteins and
365 phosphoproteins from cell lysates by tandem MS. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003, 100:6940-6945, doi:
366 10.1073/pnas.0832254100
367 2. Kirkpatrick, D. S.; Gerber, S. A., Gygi, S. P.: The absolute quantification strategy: a general procedure for
368 the quantification of proteins and post-translational modifications. Methods 2005, 35:265-273, doi:
369 10.1016/j.ymeth.2004.08.018
370 3. Dupuis, A.; Hennekinne, J. A.; Garin, J., Brun, V.: Protein Standard Absolute Quantification (PSAQ) for
371 improved investigation of staphylococcal food poisoning outbreaks. Proteomics 2008, 8:4633-4636, doi:
372 10.1002/pmic.200800326
373 4. Brun, V.; Masselon, C.; Garin, J., Dupuis, A.: Isotope dilution strategies for absolute quantitative
374 proteomics. J Proteomics 2009, 72:740-749, doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2009.03.007
375 5. Picard, G.; Lebert, D.; Louwagie, M.; Adrait, A.; Huillet, C.; Vandenesch, F.; Bruley, C.; Garin, J.; Jaquinod,
376 M., Brun, V.: PSAQ™ standards for accurate MS-based quantification of proteins: from the concept to
377 biomedical applications. J Mass Spectrom 2012, 47:1353-1363, doi: 10.1002/jms.3106
378 6. Persson, A.; Hober, S., Uhlén, M.: A human protein atlas based on antibody proteomics. Curr Opin Mol
379 Ther 2006, 8:185-190, doi:
380 7. Zeiler, M.; Straube, W. L.; Lundberg, E.; Uhlen, M., Mann, M.: A Protein Epitope Signature Tag (PrEST)
381 library allows SILAC-based absolute quantification and multiplexed determination of protein copy
382 numbers in cell lines. Mol Cell Proteomics 2012, 11:O111.009613, doi: 10.1074/mcp.O111.009613
383 8. Brownridge, P.; Holman, S. W.; Gaskell, S. J.; Grant, C. M.; Harman, V. M.; Hubbard, S. J.; Lanthaler, K.;
384 Lawless, C.; O'Cualain, R.; Sims, P.; Watkins, R., Beynon, R. J.: Global absolute quantification of a
385 proteome: Challenges in the deployment of a QconCAT strategy. Proteomics 2011, 11:2957-2970, doi:
386 10.1002/pmic.201100039
387 9. Simpson, D. M., Beynon, R. J.: QconCATs: design and expression of concatenated protein standards for
388 multiplexed protein quantification. Anal Bioanal Chem 2012, 404:977-989, doi: 10.1007/s00216-012-
389 6230-1
390 10. Beynon, R. J.; Doherty, M. K.; Pratt, J. M., Gaskell, S. J.: Multiplexed absolute quantification in proteomics
391 using artificial QCAT proteins of concatenated signature peptides. Nat Methods 2005, 2:587-589, doi:
392 10.1038/nmeth774
393 11. Pratt, J. M.; Simpson, D. M.; Doherty, M. K.; Rivers, J.; Gaskell, S. J., Beynon, R. J.: Multiplexed absolute
394 quantification for proteomics using concatenated signature peptides encoded by QconCAT genes. Nat
395 Protoc 2006, 1:1029-1043, doi: 10.1038/nprot.2006.129
396 12. Rivers, J.; Simpson, D. M.; Robertson, D. H.; Gaskell, S. J., Beynon, R. J.: Absolute multiplexed quantitative
397 analysis of protein expression during muscle development using QconCAT. Mol Cell Proteomics 2007,
398 6:1416-1427, doi: 10.1074/mcp.M600456-MCP200
399 13. Lawless, C.; Holman, S. W.; Brownridge, P.; Lanthaler, K.; Harman, V. M.; Watkins, R.; Hammond, D. E.;
400 Miller, R. L.; Sims, P. F.; Grant, C. M.; Eyers, C. E.; Beynon, R. J., Hubbard, S. J.: Direct and Absolute
401 Quantification of over 1800 Yeast Proteins via Selected Reaction Monitoring. Mol Cell Proteomics 2016,
402 15:1309-1322, doi: 10.1074/mcp.M115.054288
403 14. Yang, M.; Simpson, D. M.; Wenner, N.; Brownridge, P.; Harman, V. M.; Hinton, J. C. D.; Beynon, R. J., Liu, L.
404 N.: Decoding the stoichiometric composition and organisation of bacterial metabolosomes. Nat Commun
405 2020, 11:1976, doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15888-4
406 15. Takemori, N.; Takemori, A.; Matsuoka, K.; Morishita, R.; Matsushita, N.; Aoshima, M.; Takeda, H.;
407 Sawasaki, T.; Endo, Y., Higashiyama, S.: High-throughput synthesis of stable isotope-labeled

transmembrane proteins for targeted transmembrane proteomics using a wheat germ cell-free protein synthesis system. Mol Biosyst 2015, 11:361-365, doi: 10.1039/c4mb00556b

16. Takemori, N.; Takemori, A.; Tanaka, Y.; Endo, Y.; Hurst, J. L.; Gómez-Baena, G.; Harman, V. M., Beynon, R. J.: MEERCAT: Multiplexed Efficient Cell Free Expression of Recombinant QconCATs For Large Scale Absolute Proteome Quantification. Mol Cell Proteomics 2017, 16:2169-2183, doi: 10.1074/mcp.RA117.000284
17. Shetty, R. P.; Endy, D., Knight, T. F.: Engineering BioBrick vectors from BioBrick parts. J Biol Eng 2008, 2:5, doi: 10.1186/1754-1611-2-5
18. Pollak, B.; Cerda, A.; Delmans, M.; Álamos, S.; Moyano, T.; West, A.; Gutiérrez, R. A.; Patron, N. J.; Federici, F., Haseloff, J.: Loop assembly: a simple and open system for recursive fabrication of DNA circuits. New Phytol 2019, 222:628-640, doi: 10.1111/nph.15625
19. Eyers, C. E.; Simpson, D. M.; Wong, S. C.; Beynon, R. J., Gaskell, S. J.: QCAL--a novel standard for assessing instrument conditions for proteome analysis. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2008, 19:1275-1280, doi: 10.1016/j.jasms.2008.05.019
20. Shinoda, K.; Tomita, M., Ishihama, Y.: Aligning LC peaks by converting gradient retention times to retention index of peptides in proteomic experiments. Bioinformatics 2008, 24:1590-1595, doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn240
21. Moruz, L.; Tomazela, D., Käll, L.: Training, selection, and robust calibration of retention time models for targeted proteomics. J Proteome Res 2010, 9:5209-5216, doi: 10.1021/pr1005058
22. Holman, S. W.; McLean, L., Eyers, C. E.: RePLiCal: A QconCAT Protein for Retention Time Standardization in Proteomics Studies. J Proteome Res 2016, 15:1090-1102, doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00988
23. Zolg, D. P.; Wilhelm, M.; Yu, P.; Knaute, T.; Zerweck, J.; Wenschuh, H.; Reimer, U.; Schnatbaum, K., Kuster, B.: PROCAL: A Set of 40 Peptide Standards for Retention Time Indexing, Column Performance Monitoring, and Collision Energy Calibration. Proteomics 2017, 17doi: 10.1002/pmic.201700263
24. Frank, A. M.: Predicting intensity ranks of peptide fragment ions. J Proteome Res 2009, 8:2226-2240, doi: 10.1021/pr800677f
25. Eyers, C. E.; Lawless, C.; Wedge, D. C.; Lau, K. W.; Gaskell, S. J., Hubbard, S. J.: CONSeQuence: prediction of reference peptides for absolute quantitative proteomics using consensus machine learning approaches. Mol Cell Proteomics 2011, 10:M110.003384, doi: 10.1074/mcp.M110.003384
26. Sun, S.; Yang, F.; Yang, Q.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Bu, D., Ma, B.: MS-Simulator: predicting y-ion intensities for peptides with two charges based on the intensity ratio of neighboring ions. J Proteome Res 2012, 11:4509-4516, doi: 10.1021/pr300235v
27. Jarnuczak, A. F.; Lee, D. C.; Lawless, C.; Holman, S. W.; Eyers, C. E., Hubbard, S. J.: Analysis of Intrinsic Peptide Detectability via Integrated Label-Free and SRM-Based Absolute Quantitative Proteomics. J Proteome Res 2016, 15:2945-2959, doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00048
28. Wichmann, C.; Meier, F.; Virreira Winter, S.; Brunner, A. D.; Cox, J., Mann, M.: MaxQuant.Live Enables Global Targeting of More Than 25,000 Peptides. Mol Cell Proteomics 2019, 18:982-994, doi: 10.1074/mcp.TIR118.001131
29. Gessulat, S.; Schmidt, T.; Zolg, D. P.; Samaras, P.; Schnatbaum, K.; Zerweck, J.; Knaute, T.; Rechenberger, J.; Delanghe, B.; Huhmer, A.; Reimer, U.; Ehrlich, H. C.; Aiche, S.; Kuster, B., Wilhelm, M.: Prosit: proteome-wide prediction of peptide tandem mass spectra by deep learning. Nat Methods 2019, 16:509-518, doi: 10.1038/s41592-019-0426-7
30. Nakamura, Y.; Gojobori, T., Ikemura, T.: Codon usage tabulated from international DNA sequence databases: status for the year 2000. Nucleic Acids Res 2000, 28:292, doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.292