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Abstract

Type I toxin-antitoxin (TITA) systems constitute a large class of genetic modules with antisense RNA (asRNA)-mediated regulation of gene expression. They are widespread in bacteria and consist of an mRNA coding for a toxic protein and a noncoding asRNA that acts as an antitoxin preventing the synthesis of the toxin by directly basepairing to its cognate mRNA. The co- and post-transcriptional regulation of TITA systems is intimately linked to RNA sequence and structure, therefore it is essential to have an accurate annotation of the mRNA and asRNA molecules to understand this regulation. However, most TITA systems have been identified by means of bioinformatic analyses solely based on the toxin protein sequences, and there is no central repository of information on their specific RNA features. Here we present the first database dedicated to type I TA systems, named TITAdb. It is an open-access web database (https://d-lab.arna.cnrs.fr/titadb) with a collection of ~1,900 loci in ~500 bacterial strains in which a toxin-coding sequence has been previously identified. RNA molecules were annotated with a bioinformatic procedure based on key determinants of the mRNA structure and the genetic organization of the TITA loci. Besides RNA and protein secondary structure predictions, TITAdb also identifies promoter, ribosome-binding, and mRNA-asRNA interaction sites. It also includes tools for comparative analysis, such as sequence similarity search and computation of structural multiple alignments, which are annotated with covariation information. To our knowledge, TITAdb represents the largest collection of features, sequences, and structural annotations on this class of genetic modules.

Introduction

Toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems are encoded within small genetic loci found in most of bacterial genomes including those of pathogens. They are usually composed of two adjacent genes: a stable toxin and a labile antitoxin that inhibits the toxin’s action or expression and whose depletion rapidly leads to cell death or growth arrest (Harms et al. 2018). Six types of TA systems have been described so far depending on the nature and mode of action of the antitoxin (reviewed in (Goeders and Van...
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Melderen (2013)). While the toxin is always a protein, the antitoxin can be either a protein (types II, IV, V and VI) or an RNA (types I and III).

Type II TA systems are by far the most well studied class of TA systems. Extensive data for these systems are available in two databases, TADB 2.0 (Xie et al. 2018) and TASmania (Akarsu et al. 2019), which also include limited data for other types of TA systems. These databases also provide a tool (TAfinder and TASer, respectively) to scan and predict TA systems (Akarsu et al. 2019; Xie et al. 2018). Other TA-specific resources include BtoxDB (Barbosa et al. 2015), a database of TA protein structural data, and RASTA_Bacteria (Sevin and Barloy-Hubler 2007), a tool to scan for toxins and antitoxins in bacterial genomes (unfortunately, both are no longer maintained). Overall, none of these existing databases contains expanded data about type I TA (T1TA) systems.

A T1TA system consists of a relatively short mRNA (150 to 400 nucleotides long) coding for a small protein (20-60 amino acids in length) whose expression is toxic to the host cell and an antisense RNA (asRNA; 60-200 nt in length) that serves as a counteracting antitoxin to prevent the synthesis of its cognate toxin by directly basepairing to the mRNA. Numerous aspects of T1TA systems have been studied including RNA structure, toxin-antitoxin interaction, regulatory gene expression mechanisms (transcription, translation, degradation, processing), mechanism of action of the toxin, and function in cell physiology (Masachis and Darfeuille, 2018). While TA systems located on plasmids have been demonstrated to contribute to plasmid maintenance (via the mechanism of postsegregational killing, (Greenfield et al. 2000; Gerdes et al. 1986)), their role on the chromosome has only been addressed for a few TA loci (Brielle et al. 2016; Peltier et al. 2020).

A few T1TA systems have been experimentally characterized, but hundreds have been identified by bioinformatic analyses (Arnion et al. 2017; Fozo et al. 2010). Most of them are not yet annotated in genome records. In addition, the majority of loci have been identified solely on the basis of the toxin peptide sequence, while it is necessary to have an accurate annotation of the complete mRNA and asRNA molecules to understand the co- and post-transcriptional regulation of T1TA systems, which is determined by RNA sequence and structure (Masachis and Darfeuille 2018). Thus,
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there is a deep need for a central repository of T1TA systems that would include RNA information.

In this work, we have built a database, named T1TAdb, which gathers all described and predicted loci of T1TA systems and where the mRNA and asRNA coordinates are annotated. We describe below the content and main features of T1TAdb, along with the procedure used to identify mRNAs and asRNAs.

Results and Discussion

We have developed T1TAdb, the first database dedicated to T1TA systems. It can be accessed by users through a graphical web interface. A preliminary, development version was put on-line in January 2019 and has been regularly updated, corrected, and improved (https://d-lab.arna.cnrs.fr/t1tadb). The database provides sequence, secondary structure, and genomic information on T1TA loci. In its current, initial version, it is limited to bacterial genomes that were reported in the literature to carry T1TA systems. It contains 1891 loci belonging to 23 families from 216 bacterial species and 486 strains. Data on toxin mRNA, antitoxin asRNA, and toxin peptides were taken from the current literature. Only a small number of loci have been experimentally characterized and the bulk of the data in T1TAdb are mainly based on the results of genome-wide bioinformatic studies. This includes the AapA/IsoA family that has been extensively curated in about 100 genomes of Helicobacter and Campylobacter (Arnion et al. 2017) and the large number of loci found in the study of (Fozo et al. 2010). By exhaustive amino acid sequence homology searches using PSI-BLAST and TBLASTN run with customized parameters, Fozo and coworkers identified ~900 sequences of ORFs coding for homologs of known type I toxin peptides from 7 families in 95 bacterial species and 229 strains (Suppl. Table S5 in (Fozo et al. 2010)). In addition, through searches based on characteristics of T1TA loci (such as tandem repeats and hydrophobicity) they proposed more than 2,000 novel toxin ORFs in hundreds of genomes (Suppl. Table S8 therein). These analyses were essentially protein-based and did not investigate the mRNA genes. Based on thermodynamic local free energies, they could detect the position of asRNA genes of a few known families, but the
Tourasse and Darfeuille coordinates spanned only a 100-nt window and the precise start and end boundaries of the full-length molecules were not obtained (Suppl. Table S9 therein). Therefore, in our work, a major effort to build the T1TAdb database has been devoted to the annotation of the genomic locations of the toxin mRNA and antitoxin asRNA corresponding to each toxin ORF of known family reported by (Fozo et al. 2010), in order to reconstruct the complete loci.

Annotation of toxin mRNA and antitoxin asRNA genomic localization based on RNA structural features

The genomic regions defining the mRNAs and asRNAs were predicted using RNAMotif (Macke et al. 2001) and RNASurface (Soldatov et al. 2014), respectively. RNAMotif is used to identify regions that can adopt a predefined secondary structure, while RNASurface predicts regions that are structurally more stable than the rest of the genome. In our case, a complete TA locus was obtained when a pair of mRNA and asRNA could be predicted, with lengths, orientations, and relative positions matching the genetic organization of a given known TA family (Figure 1 and Suppl. Table S1; see Materials and Methods for details). As can be seen in Table 1, using this procedure, we were able to recover RNA regions of the expected family for 83 to 89% (depending on the family) of the toxin ORFs belonging to five of the seven known families detected by (Fozo et al. 2010) (Suppl. Table S5 therein). The success rate was however lower for the TxpA/RatA family (72%), and particularly low for the Fst/RNAII family (27%). This could be accounted by the high heterogeneity of the latter two families, for which a set of slightly different structural descriptors may be needed to accommodate specific subfamilies. In a few additional cases (less than 10% for each family), a locus could be recovered, but was assigned to a different family. For example, locus TA06047 from Escherichia coli classified in the Ldr/Rdl family (based on peptide features) was identified by our procedure (based on RNA and genetic organization features) as a member of the Hok/Sok family. This discrepancy could be due to a wrong family assignment by either bioinformatic method or peculiarities in the locus that make it look more similar to another family. The structural descriptors
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that we used for RNAMotif searches were mainly based on key determinants of the toxin-encoding mRNA secondary structure, in particular Shine-Dalgaro (SD) and complementary anti-SD sequences, as well as terminator stem-loops in the 3’ end, stem-loops in the 5’ end, and regions involved in 5’-3’ long-distance interactions. Because transcription and translation are coupled in bacteria, cis-encoded regulatory elements prevent premature translation of the toxin mRNA by sequestering the SD sequence, either co- or post-transcriptionally. Depending on the T1TA system, the anti-SD motif is located either at a short distance upstream of the SD sequence, thus creating a sequestering stem-loop, or near the end of the mRNA occluding the SD sequence via a long-distance base-pairing interaction between the 5’ and 3’ extremities of the mRNA (Masachis and Darfeuille 2018). The overall success of our results demonstrate that taking into account these elements, which are essential for the expression and regulation of T1TA systems, is critical for identifying type I toxin mRNAs.

Overview of T1TAdb features and tools

Information in T1TAdb can be searched by entering one or several keywords via the “Keyword Search” tab. A given keyword may be matched against any field in the database or against a specific field such as toxin or antitoxin name, species, strain, taxonomy, locus ID, or genome accession number. Submitting the search form will return a table listing all loci that match the search criteria. The “Select loci” button in the upper left corner of the table allows to further refine the selection of loci by replicon (chromosome or plasmid), TA family or taxonomy, and to select/deselect all loci. The table indicates the locus identifier (TAnmnnn), TA family, host strain, and reference publication of each locus. For loci that were predicted using structural descriptors of a TA family different from that reported in the literature, the predicted family is indicated, and groups of loci from the same genomic region matching multiple family characteristics appear under a specific identifier (TAGmnnn; “Overlapping Locus Group”, see Materials and Methods for details). Clicking on a locus identifier leads to a “Locus Details” page that gives detailed information about the locus. The page is
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1 divided into four panels (Figure 2). The “Locus” panel provides genomic information with an
2 interactive full genome map (drawn with CGView; (Stothard and Wishart 2005)) of the host strain,
3 showing the location of all TA loci harbored by the strain, and an embedded interactive genome
4 browser (IGV; (Robinson et al. 2011); https://github.com/igvteam/igv.js/wiki) for viewing the
5 genomic context around the locus. The “mRNA” and “sRNA” panels provide the sequence and
6 secondary structure diagram of the toxin mRNA and antitoxin asRNA, respectively. The location of
7 relevant motifs (start/stop codon, SD sequence, mRNA-asRNA interaction region) are annotated on
8 the diagrams. The “Peptide” panel shows the sequence, secondary structure model and
9 hydrophobicity plot of the toxin peptide. The “mRNA”, “sRNA”, and “Peptide” panels include a
10 “BLAST Sequence” link for launching a sequence homology search (using BLAST+; (Camacho et
11 al. 2009)) of the selected query against every mRNA, asRNA, ORF, peptide, or genome sequence in
12 T1TAdb. The database also allows the user to input or upload one or several query sequences to
13 perform a BLAST search via the “Sequence Search” tab.

14 The T1TAdb home page contains links to a full list of organisms (“view organism list”) and
15 TA families (“view family list”) included in the database. Selecting a particular organism name or
16 family name will return a table listing all loci that belong to that organism or family.

17 A notable implementation of T1TAdb is that, in addition to homology searches by BLAST,
18 users can perform multiple sequence alignments (using MAFFT;(Katoh and Standley 2013)) to
19 compare mRNA, asRNA, or peptide sequences. Alignments can be launched via the “Align selected
20 sequences” button on top of the table that is returned after selecting loci by organism or family, or
21 following a keyword search. An embedded interactive alignment viewer (MSAViewer; (Yachdav et
22 al. 2016)) is provided to browse and download the alignments. For RNA sequences, structural
23 information is taken into account in MAFFT to produce a structural alignment (Katoh and Toh 2008),
24 and a consensus 2D structure is predicted (using RNAalifold; (Bernhart et al. 2008)) from the
25 alignment. Furthermore, a covariation analysis is performed (using R-chic; (Lai et al. 2012)) to
Tourasse and Darfeuille annotate the alignment with covariation information ((Tourasse and Darfeuille 2020); dedicated links are provided to download the consensus and covariation data). In addition to alignment results, the other data in T1TAdb are also available for download. Once a set of loci has been selected, the corresponding data can be downloaded using the “Download selected loci” menu at the top of the loci table. Users can obtain a spreadsheet file containing the detailed information about the loci (including host organism, genomic coordinates and sequences of the RNA, ORF, peptide, and promoter features) or sequence files in FASTA format. In the “Locus Details” page for a given locus the structure diagrams can be saved in various formats (SVG, PDF, PNG, or GIF).

The list of publications from which sequences, structures, and other information about the T1TA systems have been obtained is given in the “References” page. Links to other resources on TA systems, as well as the various software and tools used to build T1TAdb, are provided in the “Links” page.

Example of insight gained from the use of T1TAdb

We present below an example illustrating the usefulness and added value provided by T1TAdb. A structural alignment of the Sok antitoxin asRNAs from the Hok/Sok family, which is present in various Gram-negative bacteria, revealed two subgroups: one subgroup had a 2D structure conforming to that reported by (Franch et al. 1997) (Figure 3A), while the other carries an additional stem-loop element at the 5’ end (Figure 3B). This extra element is well supported by covarying positions that reveal compensatory substitutions in a number of sequences, and also by the presence of a -10 promoter box motif (TANNNT, where N means any nucleotide) at an appropriate location upstream of the stem-loop. Although these observations should be verified experimentally, it shows the potential of T1TAdb to reveal new features in RNA-mediated regulation of T1TA systems that could not be identified during the study of single T1TA loci.
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Conclusions and perspectives

In this work, we have built the first web database totally dedicated to T1TA systems, named T1TAdb. T1TA systems are widespread in bacteria, including pathogenic species, and are studied for numerous aspects including RNA and protein structure and function, regulation of gene expression and cell physiology. In addition to providing access to the collection of loci that have been reported in the literature, the database brings an added value by the annotation of toxin mRNAs and antitoxin asRNAs of loci that were predicted solely by analysis of the toxin peptide sequence. This information, together with a wealth of sequence, structure, and genomic data provided on T1TA systems, along with the other databases and tools dedicated to TA systems (such as TADB and TASmania), will certainly serve the scientific community to gain deeper insights of the distribution, evolution, structure, and function of TA systems. This could reveal to be particularly important for the TA systems located in bacterial chromosomes, whose function remains largely unknown.

In future developments of T1TAdb, we plan to expand the collection of T1TA systems by predicting TA loci in all bacterial genomes available. In addition, the procedure described in this work for the annotation of mRNAs and asRNAs of T1TA systems, which is based on structural features, can be turned into an automatic de novo prediction tool, most likely with additional steps to control the false-positive rate. The RNA data in T1TAdb may also be used to generate alignments and covariance models in order to search genome databases for conserved RNAs, as exemplified in the Rfam database (Kalvari et al. 2021). We also anticipate to incorporate transcriptome (RNA-Seq) data into T1TAdb. As the number of sequenced bacterial transcriptomes is increasing, such information would be valuable to check whether TA loci are expressed and to verify the genomic coordinates of the predicted RNAs. Further improvements to T1TAdb will also include tools for simultaneously visualizing and comparing the genomic context (gene neighborhoods) across multiple strains or for the reconstruction of phylogenetic trees, and will allow users to submit data for inclusion in T1TAdb.
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Availability

T1TAdb is freely available on-line at https://d-lab.arna.cnrs.fr/t1tadb.

Materials and Methods

Data collection

Sequence, secondary structure, and genomic localization of T1TA loci (including mRNA, asRNA, ORF, and promoter -10 boxes) from the various families were taken from the publications where they were initially discovered and/or characterized (Arnion et al. 2017; Durand et al. 2012; Fozo et al. 2010; Weaver et al. 2009; Maikova et al. 2018; Folli et al. 2017; Kristiansen et al. 2016; Wen and Fozo 2014; Pinel-Marie et al. 2014; Wen et al. 2014; Jahn and Brantl 2013; Weaver 2012; Fozo 2012; Sayed et al. 2012; Han et al. 2010; Sharma et al. 2010; Darfeuille et al. 2007; Pichon and Felden 2005; Kawano et al. 2002; Pedersen and Gerdes 1999; Franch et al. 1997; Masachis and Darfeuille 2018; Meißner et al. 2016; Peltier et al. 2020; Germain-Amiot et al. 2019). In most cases, reports were on one or few copies of a given TA system, identified or studied in one or a few bacterial strains. Information on TA systems belonging to the AapA/IsoA family identified by bioinformatic analyses and manual curation in ~100 genomes of Helicobacter and Campylobacter were taken from (Arnion et al. 2017). (Sharma et al. 2010) reported members of the AapB/IsoB, AapC/IsoC, and AapD/IsoD families in six Helicobacter strains. For the sake of consistency and completeness, we located the homologues of these loci in all other Helicobacter genomes screened by (Arnion et al. 2017) through sequence homology searches (using BLAST+ 2.2.31; (Camacho et al. 2009)) and multiple sequence alignment. (Kristiansen et al. 2016) identified mRNAs and ORFs belonging to the DinQ/AgrB family in 15 Gram-negative bacteria and we devised a procedure to predict the corresponding asRNAs (see below). The majority of the data in T1TAdb are based on the genome-wide bioinformatic searches by (Fozo et al. 2010) who identified sequences of ORFs coding for type I toxin peptides of known and novel families in hundreds of bacterial strains. For toxins belonging to known TA families, we performed computational analyses to locate the coordinates of the toxin
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mRNA and antitoxin asRNA in order to identify the complete TA locus corresponding to each
reported toxin ORF.

Prediction of toxin mRNA and antitoxin asRNA genomic localization

Identification of mRNAs and asRNAs was based on the characteristics of known examples. The prediction pipeline is summarized in Fig. 1. Genomic locations of toxin mRNAs were determined using RNAMotif 3.1.1 (Macke et al. 2001). RNAMotif takes as input a descriptor file that contains parameters describing the various elements that make up the secondary structure of a particular RNA (helices, loops, etc. and their respective lengths). Using this descriptor RNAMotif scans genome sequences to find regions that can adopt (i.e., can be folded into) the specified structure. RNAMotif descriptors for mRNAs belonging to 16 known T1TA families were written based on sequences and secondary structures reported in the literature cited above. We did not include every structural element in the descriptors, but rather focused on key determinants such as SD and anti-SD sequences (that sequester the SD motif), location of the ORF within the mRNA, stem-loops in the 5’ end or terminator stem-loops in the 3’ end, and regions implicated in 5’-3’ long-distance interactions (textual summary of RNAMotif parameters given in Suppl. Table S1). The remaining parts of the structure were specified as undefined regions. To avoid descriptors being too specific to a given mRNA instance, lengths and distances between the various elements were usually not set to a defined value but to a min./max. value or a relatively broad range of values. All genomes surveyed by (Fozo et al. 2010) (genome sequences downloaded from NCBI RefSeq; (Haft et al. 2018)) were scanned by RNAMotif with descriptors of all 16 TA families. Hits that spanned the coordinates of the ORFs reported by Fozo et al. on the same DNA strand were extracted (by means of the “intersectbed” utility from the BEDTools 2.24.0 package (Quinlan and Hall 2010)). Among those, hits that contained ORFs that were in-frame with the ORFs of Fozo et al. (ORFs may not always be identical and could differ slightly in length in some cases depending on the start codon used) and whose ORF and total mRNA
length matched the typical range of ORF and mRNA length for a given family were retrieved, whether
or not the family matched that reported by Fozo and coworkers.

Antitoxin asRNAs were localized using RNASurface 1.1 (Soldatov et al. 2014), which
predicts sequence regions that are structurally more stable than the rest of the genome by local folding
of segments up to a predefined size. Genome sequences were scanned with RNASurface (run with
options “--winmin 50 --winmax 300 -z -2 -d 500”) to identify structured segments of length 50-300
nt that have a z-score lower than -2 (corresponding to a false-positive rate of 5%, (Soldatov et al.
2014)). For a given TA family, RNASurface segments whose length corresponded to the typical
asRNA length for that family (+/- 20%) were retrieved.

Putative promoter -10 boxes were predicted by searching (by means of the PERL module
Regexp::Exhaustive) for the presence of the sequence motif TANNT (where N means any
nucleotide) in a window covering the region -20 to -6 upstream of the identified mRNAs and asRNAs.
In case where multiple motifs were present the 3’-most (i.e., the closest to the RNA start) was
selected.

In order to find the pair of mRNA and asRNA corresponding to the same TA locus, RNAMotif
and RNASurface results were intersected (using “intersectbed” from BEDTools) based on the defined
Genetic organization of the various T1TA families. In the ShoB/OhsC, TisB/IstR1, Zor/Orz, and
DinQ/AgrB families, found in Gram-negative bacteria, the asRNA is located 5’ to the mRNA and
does not overlap it, whereas in the Fst/RNAII, TxpA/RatA, YonT/as-YonT, SprA1/SprA1as,
SprG/SprF, and BsrE-G-H/as-BsrE-G-H families, all found in Gram-positive bacteria, the asRNA is
located on the 3’ side of the mRNA and partially overlaps it (overlaps also the ORF except in the
Fst/RNAII family); the asRNA is fully overlapped by the mRNA in the AapA/IsoA, Ibs/Sib,
Hok/Sok, and Ldr/Rdl families from Gram-negative organisms, but overlaps the ORF only in the
AapA/IsoA and Ibs/Sib families (spans the entire ORF in Ibs/Sib), whereas it is located 5’ of the ORF
in the Hok/Sok and Ldr/Rdl families ((Wen and Fozo 2014; Arnion et al. 2017; Pinel-Marie et al.
2014; Durand et al. 2012; Sayed et al. 2012); Suppl. Table S1). For families where the two RNAs do
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not overlap, a max. distance of 300 nt between the RNAs was allowed (except for the DinQ/AgrB family, see below), and for families where the two RNAs do overlap, min. and max. limits were set for the length of the overlap region based on known examples (Suppl. Table S1). In T1TA systems the mRNA and asRNA share a complementary region of interaction. In families with overlapping RNAs this region generally corresponds to the overlap region, but for the Fst/RNAII and SprA1/SprA1as families interaction occurs outside the overlap sequence (Weaver et al. 2009; Sayed et al. 2012). The software IntaRNA 3.1.0.2 (Mann et al. 2017) was used to find mRNA-asRNA pairs that share a complementary region at the expected location for these two families and to identify regions of interaction for families with non-overlapping RNAs (the interaction region was set to be at least 15 nt long). A specific processing was carried out for the DinQ/AgrB family because in some bacterial strains the locus includes an AgrA ncRNA gene that is homologous to the AgrB asRNA and that can be located in-between DinQ and AgrB. In Escherichia coli K-12 substr. MG1655 the sequence of the interaction region in AgrA contains a number of mismatches and it has been shown that AgrA does not bind the DinQ mRNA and that only AgrB, which shares a region almost fully complementary to DinQ, acts as an antitoxin (Kristiansen et al. 2016). Therefore, to accommodate for the possible presence of the AgrA and AgrB paralogs, the max. distance between the mRNA and asRNA was extended from 300 to 500 nt. In cases where two asRNAs were predicted in this region by RNASurface and for both of them a possible sequence of interaction (≥ 15 nt) with the mRNA was identified by IntaRNA, we selected the one for which the interaction was predicted to be the most stable (i.e., had the lowest free energy as computed by IntaRNA). The same procedure was followed to predict the asRNAs corresponding to the DinQ-like loci reported in (Kristiansen et al. 2016) where only mRNAs and ORFs were annotated.

Following the characteristics described above, all pairs of mRNAs and asRNAs that were in the correct orientation and distance and that shared a complementary interaction region were identified for each family. This set of pairs was then intersected with the set of mRNAs that were found to encode ORFs corresponding to those reported by (Fozo et al. 2010), to obtain the pairs that
Tourasse and Darfeuille include a known ORF (Fig. 1). For a given locus, there were usually several mRNAs and asRNAs matching these criteria because we used a relatively broad range of values for the parameters describing the length, structure, and organization of the RNAs. Due to the flexibility in the RNAMotif structural descriptors multiple overlapping mRNAs spanning the same ORF were always found, differing by their lengths and a few bases in their start/end coordinates. Among those, the one for which a promoter -10 box could be predicted was selected as the mRNA for the given locus. If a promoter was predicted for multiple mRNAs, or if no promoter was found for any of the mRNAs, then RNA sequences were folded using MFold 3.6 (Zuker 1989, 2003) and the minimum free energy (MFE) of the most stable structure of each RNA was normalized by sequence length (adjusted MFE; AMFE) to compare the stability among RNAs. The mRNA that had the smallest AMFE was taken as the mRNA for the locus. The use of AMFE was warranted by the fact that mRNA lengths of the different T1TA families are in the range 200-400 nt where AMFE is almost length-independent (Trotta 2014). For some loci, there were also several possible asRNAs matching the TA family characteristics as RNAsSurface often predicts multiple RNA segments of different lengths overlapping the same genomic region. Among those, the one for which a promoter -10 box was predicted was selected as the asRNA for the locus. If a promoter was predicted for multiple asRNAs, or if no promoter was found for any of the asRNAs, then the one with the lowest RNAsSurface z-score was chosen as the putative asRNA for the given locus. Z-score was used here as normalized folding stability measure because asRNA lengths are in the range 60-200 nt where AMFE is length-dependent and thus cannot be reliably used to compare stabilities among RNAs (Trotta 2014).

To verify that the correct asRNA was associated with a given mRNA, an alignment of the antitoxin sequences was made for each family to check the homology and completeness of the sequences. The alignment included only the RNAs whose family corresponded to the family of the ORF in (Fozo et al. 2010), in which case one should expect these sequences to be homologous to each other. Incomplete sequences or sequences whose coordinates were shifted relative to the others
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were manually corrected. No alignment was done for the mRNAs because they are constrained by
the location of the ORFs and thus are normally homologous.

If no suitable mRNA-asRNA pair spanning an ORF identified by (Fozo et al. 2010) could be
found, that particular ORF was not included in T1TAdb. However, in cases where no mRNA-asRNA
pair corresponding to the same family as that assigned to the ORF in (Fozo et al. 2010) could be
identified but the ORF was included in an RNA pair of a different family, the locus was retained and
a “predicted_family” flag was set to indicate that it matched an alternative family. This flag was also
set when ORFs from unknown or novel families were part of loci corresponding to known families.

If RNA pairs of multiple TA families spanned the same ORF, only the one matching the family of
the ORF was retained. If there were no such pair, then alternative loci were filtered according to the
type of organism in which the ORF was encoded, i.e., for a Gram-negative bacterium only the RNA
pairs from families found in Gram-negative bacteria were retained, and similarly for Gram-positive
organisms. If there were no such pairs, then all alternative mRNA-asRNA pairs covering the ORF
were retained. The multiple loci spanning a given ORF were organized into an “Overlapping Locus
Group”, which represents a group of loci that have been identified using characteristics of different
TA families and that overlap the same genomic region, but we could not determine which one is the
real locus in this region.

Database implementation

T1TAdb is implemented as a relational database in PostgreSQL 10.13
(https://www.postgresql.org/). The database schema was designed following the five rules of
normalization (http://www.barrywise.com/2008/01/database-normalization-and-design-techniques/)
to avoid data redundancy and inconsistent dependency among tables. The graphical web interface
was developed using the PERL Catalyst framework (http://www.catalystframework.org/) to control
and manage connections and SQL requests to the database. Graphical design of the web pages was
done in dynamic and responsive HTML, JavaScript, and Cascading Style Sheets (CSS)
Tourasse and Darfeuille (https://www.w3schools.com/), along with the PERL Template Toolkit 2.26 templating system (http://www.template-toolkit.org/). The TITAdb website is run via the Apache HTTP 2.4.6 server (https://httpd.apache.org/) under the Linux CentOS 7.8 operating system. Secondary structures of RNA were predicted using MFOLD 3.6 (Zuker 2003, 1989) and annotated diagrams highlighting the location of specific motifs (start/stop codon, SD sequence, interaction region) were generated with VARNA 3.93 (Darty et al. 2009). Secondary structures of toxin peptides were predicted using PSIPRED 4.02 (McGuffin et al. 2000) run with PSI-BLAST 2.2.26 against the UniRef90 protein sequence database (https://www.uniprot.org/help/uniref) and drawn with POLYVIEW-2D (Porollo et al. 2004). Hydrophobicity plots were computed with ProtScale (Gasteiger et al. 2005). Interactive genomic maps in SVG format showing the localizations of TA loci were drawn using CGView (Stothard and Wishart 2005). The embeddable JavaScript/CSS version of the IGV browser ((Robinson et al. 2011); https://github.com/igvteam/igv.js/wiki) was used to provide an interactive visualization of the genomic context flanking TA loci, and SVG images of the genomic context were generated by means of the Gviz package (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/Gviz.html) in R 3.5.3 ((R Development Core Team 2019); https://www.r-project.org/). Sequence similarity searches in TITAdb are done with BLAST+ 2.2.31 (Camacho et al. 2009) and multiple sequence alignments are computed by MAFFT 7.407 (Katoh and Standley 2013). For peptide sequences MAFFT is run with the method “mafft-linsi” and the option “--localpair”, whereas for RNA sequences MAFFT is run with the method “mafft-xinsi” and the option “--scarnapair” to incorporate structure information and produce a structural alignment (Katoh and Toh 2008). Alignments are visualized using the embeddable MSAViewer (Yachdav et al. 2016), which is part of the BioJS JavaScript tools (Yachdav et al. 2015). In addition, for RNA alignments, a consensus structure is predicted by means of RNAalifold from the ViennaRNA 2.1.9 package (Lorenz et al. 2011; Bernhart et al. 2008) and a covariation analysis is conducted using R-chic (Lai et al. 2012). Other bioinformatic software such as EMBOSS 6.6.0 (Rice et al. 2000), BioPERL (Stajich et al. 2002), and FASTX-Toolkit 0.0.14
Tourasse and Darfeuille (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/) were also employed to generate and/or process the data included in T1TAdb.
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Tables

Table 1. Identification by RNAMotif and RNASurface of toxin-antitoxin mRNA-asRNA pairs corresponding to toxin ORF loci predicted by (Fozo et al. 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA family</th>
<th># of ORFs predicted by (Fozo et al. 2010)</th>
<th># of mRNA-asRNA pairs identified at the corresponding loci and with the corresponding family</th>
<th># of mRNA-asRNA pairs identified at the corresponding loci but with an alternative family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ldr/Rdl, Fst/RNAII*</td>
<td>299 (162, 136)</td>
<td>173 (136, 37)</td>
<td>16 (5, 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hok/Sok</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibs/Sib</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ShoB/OhsC</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TisB/IstR1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxpA/RatA</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In (Fozo et al. 2010) members of the Ldr/Rdl and Fst/RNAII families were classified together due to remote similarity between toxin protein sequences of the two families (numbers in parentheses correspond to counts that would be obtained if systems from Gram-negative and Gram-positive organisms are assigned to the Ldr/Rdl and Fst/RNAII family, respectively).
Figure legends

Fig. 1. Automatic annotation of mRNAs and asRNAs of known type I TA systems.

Fig. 2. Screenshot of a “Locus Details” page in T1TAdb showing the various panels with sequence, structure, and genomic information.

Fig. 3. Structural alignments of two subgroups of Sok asRNAs from various Gram-negative bacteria. The structure of the subgroup shown in panel A matches that reported by (Franch et al. 1997) while the subgroup shown in panel B exhibits an additional stem-loop element at the 5’ end. Alignments were computed using MAFFT (and slightly corrected manually) and annotated with covariation information using R-chie. A region of 30 nt upstream of the RNAs was added that includes matches to the -10 promoter box motif (UANNNU, where N means any nucleotide) highlighted in dark orange.
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**Fig. 2**

**TITAdb Locus Details**

**Locus TA00126**

**Name**

AspA5

**Locus tag**

AspA5

**Gene coordinates**

1510685-1510861 (strand +)

**Length**

277 bp

**Sequence**

[RNA sequence]

**Gene product**

AspA5: RNA small subunit methyltransferase A.

**Predicted 2D structure**

[2D structure diagram]

**Antibody aRNA**

**Name**

AspA5

**Locus tag**

AspA5

**Gene coordinates**

1510685-1510861 (strand +)

**Length**

277 bp

**Sequence**

[RNA sequence]

**Gene product**

AspA5: RNA small subunit methyltransferase A.

**Predicted 2D structure**

[2D structure diagram]

**Testin Peptide**

**Name**

AspA5:1

**Locus tag**

AspA5:1

**Gene coordinates**

1510685-1510861 (strand +)

**Length**

277 bp

**Sequence**

[Peptide sequence]

**Gene product**

AspA5:1: RNA small subunit methyltransferase A.

**Predicted 2D structure**

[2D structure diagram]

**Hydropathy plot**

[Plot diagram]

Accession: NC_004925

Length: 1,867,887 bp

Helicobacter pylori: 24695 chromosome complete genome
Fig. 3A