Nucleosome patterns in four plant pathogenic fungi with contrasted genome structures

Colin Clairet[1], Nicolas Lapalu[1], Adeline Simon[1], Jessica L. Soyer[1,2], Muriel Viaud[1], Enric Zehraoui[3], Berengère Dalmais[1], Isabelle Fudal[1,*] and Nadia Ponts[3,*]

[1]UMR1290 BIOGER, Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Avenue Lucien Brétignières, BP 01, F-78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France

[2]Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, August-Thienemann-Str. 2, 24306 Plön, and Christian-Albrechts University of Kiel, Am Botanischen Garten 1-9, 24118 Kiel, Germany

[3]INRAE, MycSA, F-33882 Villenave d'Ornon, 33140, France

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Nadia Ponts Tel: +33557122482; Email: nadia.ponts@inrae.fr;

Isabelle Fudal Tel: +33130814590; Email: isabelle.fudal@inrae.fr;

ABSTRACT

Fungal pathogens represent a serious threat towards agriculture, health, and environment. Control of fungal diseases on crops necessitates a global understanding of fungal pathogenicity determinants and their expression during infection. Genomes of phytopathogenic fungi are often compartmentalized: the core genome contains housekeeping genes whereas the fast-evolving genome mainly contains transposable elements and species-specific genes. In this study, we analysed nucleosome landscapes of four phytopathogenic fungi with contrasted genome organizations to describe and compare nucleosome repartition patterns in relation with genome structure and gene expression level. We combined MNase-seq and RNA-seq analyses to concomitantly map nucleosome-rich and transcriptionally active regions during fungal growth in axenic culture; we developed the MNase-seq Tool Suite (MSTS) to analyse and visualise data obtained from MNase-seq experiments in combination with other genomic data and notably RNA-seq expression data. We observed different characteristics of nucleosome profiles between species, as well as between genomic regions within the same species. We further linked nucleosome repartition and gene expression. Our findings support that nucleosome positioning and occupancies are subjected to evolution, in relation with underlying genome sequence modifications. Understanding genomic organization and its role in expression regulation is the next gear to understand complex cellular mechanisms and their evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Fungi account for a huge part of the Earth biodiversity with a current estimate of 2.2 to 3.8 million species (1). Fungi are organisms of major environmental importance as they develop beneficial

symbiotic associations with plants and are able to decay dead organic matter (2). Unfortunately, fungi
are also very efficient pathogens causing important damages in agriculture, human health, and the
environment (3). Control of fungal diseases on crops necessitates a global understanding of fungal
pathogenicity determinants and the control of their expression during infection. Among these
pathogenicity determinants, fungi secrete an arsenal of molecules known as effectors, key elements of
pathogenesis which modulate innate immunity of the plant and facilitate infection. Effectors can be small
proteins, secondary metabolites and small RNAs (4–6). Upon plant infection, fungi undergo a tightly
controlled transcriptional reprogramming, and different sets of effectors are expressed at specific stages
of pathogen development and host colonization (7–9). Plant-associated fungi generally show contrasted
genomic landscapes including 'plastic' *loci* with a high prevalence of transposable elements (TE). These
genomes either show an overall large proportion of TE evenly distributed throughout the genome, or
TE clustered in specific regions such as long TE-rich blocks, accessory chromosomes or subtelomeric
areas (10). Effector genes are over-represented in these TE-rich regions. TE-rich compartments have
heterochromatin properties contrary to TE-poor regions which have euchromatin properties. The
location of effector genes in regions enriched in TEs has been shown to provide a tight control of their
expression through chromatin remodeling. Indeed, several recent studies pointed out the potential role
of chromatin remodeling in the regulation of effector-encoding genes and the control of secondary
metabolism (reviewed in (11, 12)).

Eukaryotic chromatin is packaged into nucleosomes, each composed of DNA wrapped around a
histone octamer associated with various other proteins, and separated by linker DNA (13). These
histone proteins are composed of histone core where the DNA is wrapped and histone tails which can
be chemically modified by specific enzymes changing the chromatin 3D-structure and DNA accessibility
to polymerases and transcription factors (TF). Nucleosome assembly is further stabilized by the binding
of a linker histone H1. Positioning of nucleosomes throughout the genome and post-translational
modifications of histones have a significant regulatory function by modifying availability of binding sites
to TF and to polymerases, affecting DNA-dependent processes such as transcription, DNA repair,
replication and recombination (14, 15). Nucleosome positioning (*i.e.,* the position of the nucleosome
along the DNA sequence) and occupancy (*i.e.,* a measure of the actual level of occupation of a given
position by a nucleosome in a pool of cells) are determined by a combination of DNA sequence features,
TF, chromatin remodelers and histones modifiers (see (16) for a review). Genome-wide maps of
nucleosome occupancy and positioning are still sparse in fungi and have only been developed in a few
Hemiascomycota yeast species, including *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (17, 18), in the ascomycete
Aspergillus fumigatus (19) and the basidiomycete *Mixia osmundae* (20). The studies revealed that
promoter, enhancer and terminator regions were depleted in nucleosomes, allowing access to TF, and
that the nucleosomal DNA length distribution was similar in *M. osmundae* and *A. fumigatus* but differed
from that of hemiascomycetous yeasts. No comparative genome-wide analyses of nucleosome
positioning have been performed in ascomycetes and notably not in plant pathogenic fungi.

In the present study, we investigated genome-wide nucleosome localization in four different plant
pathogenic ascomycetes showing different genomic organizations: i) *Leptosphaeria maculans*

'brassicae' (Lmb), a hemibiotrophic pathogen of *Brassica* species, including oilseed rape; ii) the most

closely related species of Lmb, *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'lepidii' (Lml), a pathogen of *Lepidium* spp.; iii)

Fusarium graminearum, a hemibiotrophic pathogen of cereals and iv) *Botrytis cinerea*, a polyphagous

necrotrophic pathogen causing grey mould on more than 1,400 plant species. The genome of Lmb has

been invaded by TE (which represent more than 30 % of its genome) and is composed of alternating

compartments: gene-rich GC-equilibrated and TE-rich AT-rich genomic regions (21, 22). In contrast,

the Lml genome presents only 4 % of repeats which are evenly distributed throughout the genome (23).

Genomes of *F. graminearum* and *B. cinerea* have a very low to low TE-content (24–27). The genome

of the reference strain of *B. cinerea*, B05.10, contains 4 % of TE, which are localized essentially in the

telomeric and centromeric regions of the core chromosomes, or on the two dispensable chromosomes

(24, 28), *i.e.,* chromosomes not essential to immediate survival and missing in some or most individuals

(29). The genome of *F. graminearum* contains very little TE identified to date (0.3 % (25–27)).

In this study, we compare nucleosome repartition patterns in relation with genome structure and

gene expression level in these four phytopathogenic Ascomycota. To gain insight into the role of

nucleosome positioning and occupancy in regulating fungal pathogen transcription, we applied

micrococcal nuclease digestion of mono-nucleosomes couple with high-throughput sequencing

(MAINE-seq or MNase-seq) with regards to mRNA abundance to concomitantly map nucleosome-rich

regions and transcriptionally active regions during fungal growth.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Strains and culture conditions

The studied fungi were cultured independently in the media and conditions classically used for each

of them. *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'brassicae' v23.1.3 and *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'lepidii' IBCN84

mycelia were inoculated into 100 mL of Fries liquid medium (1 g/L NH_4NO_3, 5 g/L $C_4H_{12}N_2O_6$, 1 g/L

KH_2PO_4, 0.5 mg/L $MgSO_4$ $7H_2O$, 130 mg/L $CaCl_2$, 100 mg/L NaCl, 30 g/L $C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}$ and 5 g/L Yeast

extract). Tissues were harvested after growing for seven days in the dark at 25°C. *Botrytis cinerea* strain

B05.10 (10^6 spores/mL) was grown for two days on solid Malt Medium (MM, 20 g/L malt extract, 5 g/L

yeast extract and 15 g/L agar) covered with a cellophane layer (30, 31). The plates were incubated in

a growth chamber (Sanyo MLR-350H) at 23°C with an alternation of 14 h of white light and 10 h of

darkness. After two days of culture, mycelia were ground in liquid nitrogen and stored to -80°C until

further processing. *Fusarium graminearum* strain CBS185.32 (Centraal Bureau voor Schimmelcultures,

Utrecht, the Netherlands) was grown for three days in modified liquid MS (glucose was substituted with

sucrose) as previously described (32). All cultures were done in three biological replicates.

Preparation of nucleosomal DNA

Fungal material was harvested and treated with microccocal nuclease (MNase, cat. #MS0247S,

New England BioLabs). For Lmb and Lml, ~300 mg of mycelium were digested with 5 µL of MNase for

10 min at 37°C (33), directly followed by DNA purification as previously described (34). For *F.*
graminearum, mycelia were harvested by filtering and immediately homogenized for 1 min at 30 Hz
using a TissueLyzer (Qiagen). Then, 100 mg of ground mycelium was digested for 10 min at 37°C with
15 µL of MNase in 600 µL of digestion buffer (0.6% v/v IGEPAL, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM Pefabloc, 50 mM
Tris-HCl pH8, 10 mM CaCl$_2$). The reactions were stopped with 10mM EDTA and the samples treated
with RNAse followed by proteinase K prior DNA purification with phenol/chloroform and ethanol
precipitation. For *B. cinerea*, we digested 100-200 mg of mycelium per sample with 1 µL MNase at 37°C
(33) for 10 min. The reactions were stopped by adding 10mM EDTA and samples treated with RNAse
A followed by proteinase K. DNA purification was realized with the "Nucleospin Gel and PCR clean up
kit" (Macherey Nagel, cat #740609.250). For all samples, nucleic acid quantification was performed by
UV spectrometry using a Nanodrop-ND 1000 apparatus, and digestion profiles were checked by 2%
agarose gel electrophoresis. Nucleosomal DNA was stored at -20°C until DNA library preparation.

Extraction of total RNA

For Lmb and Lml, total RNA was extracted from mycelium grown for one week in Fries liquid medium
as previously described (35). For *F. graminearum*, mycelia were harvested by filtering, rinsed twice with
sterile deionized water, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. One milliliter of TRIzol™ Reagent (Thermo
Fischer Scientific) was added to 200 mg of mycelium before grinding for 1.5 min at 30 Hz using a
TissueLyzer (Qiagen). Total RNA was then extracted using a previously published protocol (36). For *B.*
cinerea, total RNA was extracted from frozen ground mycelium using a previously published protocol
(30). All total RNA samples were stored at -80°C until preparation of RNA library.

Preparation of sequencing libraries, high-throughput sequencing, and read pre-processing

MNase-seq libraries were prepared from purified nucleosomal DNA using the kit NEBNext Ultra DNA
Library Prep Kit for Illumina (cat. # E7370L New England BioLabs) following the manufacturer's
instructions. The NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (cat. # E7420L New
England BioLabs) was used to prepare all RNA-seq libraries, following the manufacturer's instructions.
Sequencing was performed by the GenomEast platform, a member of the 'France Génomique'
consortium (ANR-10-INBS-0009). Samples were run in 9-plex on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 in paired
mode, 2x50 bp. Initial read quality check was performed using FastQC
(https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Raw reads were then pre-processed with
Trimmomatic v0.32 (37) to clip out any remaining sequencing adapter sequence and crop low quality
nucleotides (minimum accepted Phred score of 30). Reads in pairs of 40 bp or more in length were
used in the present analysis.

Transcriptome analyses

RNA-seq reads were mapped against their respective reference genomes (see Table 1) using STAR
v2.5.1 (38). TPM counts (Transcripts Per Million reads, (39)) were computed using the count TPM tool
provided with the MNase-Seq Tool Suite (MSTS; Supplementary Figure 1) that was developed in-house

to analyse genome-wide nucleosome positioning data combined with RNA-seq data
(https://github.com/nlapalu/MSTS).

MNase-seq analyses

MNase-seq paired-end reads were mapped using Bowtie2 software ran in very-sensitive mode (40).
MSTS (MNase-seq Tool Suite) was used to compute all phasograms, dinucleotide composition, as well
as nucleosome density profiles of genomic compartments and/or gene lists (Supplementary Figure 1).
Lists of near-universal single copy orthologs were obtained by running BUSCO3 for Fungi (41, 42) on
each reference genome studied. Graphical visualisations were computed with MSTS and Matlab
R2020b (MathWorks). Frequency distributions of read coverage per base, obtained with the *Phasogram*
function of MSTS were scaled (z-score) and plotted with Matlab. For each replicate, phases, standard
errors (se), R^2 (coefficient of determination), and *p*-values (*F*-test) were determined after linear
regression fitting to the first four successive peak positions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Establishing nucleosome landscapes of the Pezizomycotina *L. maculans* 'brassicae', *L.*
maculans* 'lepidii', *B. cinerea*, and *F. graminearum

We investigated the nucleosome landscapes of four fungal species of the Ascomycota subdivision
Pezizomycotina (*L. maculans* 'brassicae', *L. maculans* 'lepidii', *B. cinerea*, and *F. graminearum*) by
MNase-seq (see Supplementary Table 1 for descriptive sequencing metrics). Each experiment was
performed using three biological replicates that were sequenced independently at more than 70-fold
coverage depths by 147 bp-long nucleosome footprints (defined as the core coverage depths of
sequence sufficient for in-depth characterization of nucleosome positioning (43)). In order to explore
and visualise NGS data obtained from MNase-seq experiments, we developed a collection of utility
tools, called MSTS for "MNase-Seq Tool Suite", assembled in a workflow aiming at profiling nucleosome
landscapes in relation to genomic features as well as gene expression (Supplementary Figure 1).

Several tools were previously developed to explore and analyse MNase-seq data such as DANPOS
(44), nucleR (45) or CAM (46). Among the full list of available tools maintained at
https://generegulation.org, several tools do not handle paired-end data, were developed for ATAC-Seq,
or do not provide visualization, that led us to implement previously published methods in the Python
package MSTS. The main features of MSTS are establishment of nucleosome map with nucleosome
categorization, comparison with annotation features, Phasogram correlated with gene expression levels
or dinucleotides pattern analysis. All tools export results in broad range of graphics and their associated
raw data allowing post-process combining several experiments by scaling such as z-score. MSTS is
able to consider gene density of small eukaryote genomes like fungal phytopathogens, limiting analysis
of phasograms to specific annotation features and avoiding analysis of bases collapsing with other
annotated features. This is particularly interesting for NFR analysis at Transcription Start Site (TSS),

where the signal could be biased due to the short distance between genes or the overlap of UTRs between adjacent genes. MSTS workflow was applied to our datasets, beginning with the exploration of nucleosome distribution at the genome scale.

Genome-wide nucleosome spacing

We first explored nucleosome landscapes in the four fungal genomes by measuring the average distance between nucleosomes genome-wide; we computed phasograms, *i.e.,* frequency distributions of coverage per base genome-wide for all four species (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 2). Phasograms obtained in nucleosome mapping resemble oscillating sine wave signals, for which period is the length of DNA bound to the histone octamer plus the length of the DNA stretch to the next nucleosome, averaged genome wide (43). Phasing signals were observed genome-wide over 1,200 bp sliding windows revealing six to seven nucleosome peaks in a wave signal decaying in intensity with increasing distance and significant linear regression on peak apex positions, as previously described (43). We found that, in the fungi studied, nucleosomes are 161 to 172 bp distant from each other (centre to centre), also called nucleosome repeat length or NRL (*i.e.,* the length of DNA wrapped around the histone octamer plus linker DNA), depending on the considered species and culture condition. In *B. cinerea*, average NRL is estimated at 169 bp (Figure 1A). In *F. graminearum*, this distance reaches 172 bp (Figure 1B). In Lmb and Lml (Figures 1C and 1D), average NRL is 166 bp and 161 bp, respectively. Considering these values and the canonical length of nucleosomal DNA (147 bp), linker DNA length can be estimated to stand, in average, between 14 to 19 bp for respectively Lml and Lmb, 22 bp for *B. cinerea*, and 25 bp for *F. graminearum*. Nucleosome phasing genome-wide seems to be particularly tight in *F. graminearum*, with very little deviation in the measured phases (Figure 1B and Supplementary Table 2). In contrast, higher deviations are observed for *B. cinerea* (Figure 1A and Supplementary Table 2).

Nucleosome spacing influences the formation of the higher order chromatin fibre, often referred to as the 30-nm chromatin fibre (47). Several structural models of the chromatin fibre have been proposed, all underlining nucleosome-nucleosome interactions including the length of linker DNA fragments as major driving factors (48). Notably, the chromatin fibre was found to be narrower (21-nm in diameter) for a short NRL of 167 bp (49). Similarly, increasing NRLs lead to increasingly wider fibres, reaching a highly compact 30-nm solenoid structure for an NRL of 197 bp. Typically, NRLs are ~175-200 bp in plants, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and humans (43, 50–52), and ~165 bp and 154 bp in the yeasts *S. cerevisiae* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, respectively (17, 53). In the present study, we found NRL values remarkably constant between biological replicates, a phenomenon sometimes referred to as clamping that involves ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers in purified experimental systems (54). The species *B. cinerea* and *F. graminearum* show similar NRLs in the middle range (169 bp to 172 bp), possibly indicating intermediate levels of compaction of the higher order chromatin fibre. These values are similar to those obtained for the Pezizomycotina *A. fumigatus*, *i.e.,* linker length ranging from 21 to 27 bp, using an MNase treatment similar to the one used in the present study (19). Lml and Lmb distinguish themselves with shorter NRLs of only 161-166 bp, suggesting a narrower chromatin fibre structure. In the yeast *S. cerevisiae*, linker length was shown to be the result of the competition for

binding between the chromatin remodeling factors ISW1a (Imitation SWItch) and CHD1
(Chromodomain Helicase DNA-binding), the latter mediating shorter length after the eviction of histone
H1 (55). Considering that sequence polymorphisms in CHD1 has been previously associated with
variations of linker length (56), a seducing possibility is that such variation at the protein level may
account for a portion for the inter-species differences observed here. Regarding the very closely related
species Lmb and Lml, Lmb presents longer NRLs than Lml. We hypothesized this peculiarity may be
explained by large AT-rich regions displayed by the Lmb genome, not encountered in the Lml genome
(21, 23). Indeed, DNA sequence is a major determinant of nucleosome landscapes (15), in particular
AT stretches that confer more rigidity to the chromatin fiber.

Nucleosome distribution profiles

Read density was plotted genome-wide in one kb-long sliding non-overlapping windows along
chromosomes for all four fungi (Figures 2 to 4, and Supplementary Figures 2 to 6). The density profiles
obtained for *B. cinerea* show remarkable regularity of nucleosome density genome-wide (Figure 2A and
Supplementary Figure 2). Nevertheless, we could observe that almost all occasional thin peaks of
density were correlated with the positions of BOTY retro-transposons (28). Out of 48 complete copies
of BOTY in the genome, 31 show a peak of nucleosome density. Notably, they correspond to the BOTY
elements with an equilibrated percentage of GC (43-45%) while the 17 copies that do not show such a
peak are those with a lower percentage of GC (14-24%) probably because they have undergone
Repeat-Induced Point mutation, or RIP (28). Peaks of density were rarely observed for TE other than
BOTY (Supplementary Figure 3). We also investigated nucleosome spacing in regions occupied by
BOTY and non-BOTY TE. Phasograms were plotted as described above restricting our analysis to
BOTY or other TE (Figures 2B and 2C, respectively). Much larger phases can be observed in other TE
regions (178.4-187.5 bp) when compared to BOTY regions (171.3-172.5 bp) or genome-wide (168.2-
169.4 bp, Figure 1A), indicating larger nucleosome spacing in TE-occupied regions. BOTY-containing
regions, which positions correlate with discrete peaks of nucleosome density, exhibit slightly larger
phases than genome-wide. Thus, the observed peaks of read density may be the result of increased
nucleosome occupancy, *i.e.,* a measure of the stability of a nucleosome at a given position in a multiple
cell sample, rather than a denser deposition of nucleosomes. BOTY is one of the largest TE identified
in the B05.10 strain (6.4-6.6 kb), and that's definitively the TE with the largest genome coverage *i.e.,*
0.96% (28). Notably, the majority of *B. cinerea* small interfering RNA (siRNA) predicted to silence host
plant genes are derived from the copies of BOTY and related elements that show an equilibrated
percentage in GC (5, 57). As the production of these siRNA effectors is activated during the early phase
of plant infection, we could speculate that the high nucleosome occupancy on the *loci* of production (*i.e.*
un-RIPped BOTY TEs) is a mechanism to restrict their production during saprophytic growth. The
observation of two distinct chromatin states characterizing TEs in *Verticillium dahliae* supports this
proposition (58). This hypothesis remains to be tested by investigating nucleosome occupancy during
in planta development.

In *F. graminearum*, regions equally packed with nucleosomes are interspaced with areas with
lower density (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure 4). Strikingly, this profile mirrors the previously

described SNP density profiles in *F. graminearum* (59). We investigated whether or not this profile was
the result of increased spacing between nucleosomes in regions found denser in SNPs. Phasograms
were plotted restricting our analysis to the SNP-enriched polymorphic islands or the rest of the genome,
as defined by Laurent et al. (2017) (59). Wave signals similar to the ones observed genome-wide were
obtained, with phases of 172.3-172.4 bp in polymorphic islands (Figure 3B, Supplementary Table 3)
and 171.6-171.9 bp outside these regions (Figure 3C, Supplementary Table 3). These results indicate
that nucleosomes appear well-arrayed genome-wide, with very similar phases in polymorphic islands
vs. non-polymorphic islands. Thus, the observed drops in read density profile cannot be explained by a
depletion in nucleosomes but may rather be the result of reduced nucleosome occupancy. This
observation suggests increased frequencies of transient nucleosome positioning events in *F.*
graminearum fast evolving polymorphic islands (59) and thus more relaxed chromatin structures. Here,
nucleosome dynamics may enable fast evolution of particular genome segments while regions defined
by higher occupancies may secure sequence conservation.

In Lmb, numerous "islands" of nucleosome-dense regions can be observed at various locations
of the genome, including the dispensable chromosome (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure 5). Aside
from couple of contigs displaying higher nucleosome density, such characteristics were not observed
for the closely related species Lml (Supplementary Figure 6). The locations of these nucleosome-dense
islands in Lmb parallel those of AT-rich regions of the genome (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure
5), features not visible in the genome of Lml (Supplementary Figure 6), suggesting that AT-rich regions
are particularly dense in nucleosomes. Considering the remarkable compartmentalized organization of
the genome of Lmb (21), absent from Lml, differences of nucleosome phasing and occupancy in TE-
and AT-rich *vs.* GC-equilibrated and gene-rich regions were investigated. A region was considered AT-
rich if it contained less than 40 % of GC. As described earlier, AT-rich regions represent one-third of
the Lmb genome divided in 419 regions of 1 to 320 kb in length. Examination of unprocessed mapping
outputs reveals that the number of fragments (read pairs) mapped in AT-rich and GC-equilibrated
regions were very similar, with 23.8 million and 24.8 million fragments, respectively, which is far from
the 1/3 *vs.* 2/3 ratio expected. In terms of coverage depth, mean coverage is 207 *vs.* 135 fragments for
AT-rich and GC-equilibrated regions, respectively, which could suggest higher nucleosome occupancy
in the former. We explored this hypothesis and compared phasograms for AT-rich *vs.* GC-equilibrated
regions (Figure 4B and 4C, Supplementary Table 3). Average NRLs were found larger in AT-rich than
GC-equilibrated compartments, measured at 169.2 bp and 164.2 bp respectively, suggesting lower
nucleosome frequencies in AT-rich regions than in GC-equilibrated regions. Nonetheless, coverage
density is higher in AT-rich regions (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure 5), consistently with our
hypothesis of higher nucleosome occupancy in these regions and thus less accessible genome
compartment, in heterochromatic state. This is in accordance with the recent genome-wide mapping of
histone modifications performed by Soyer et al. (2020) on Lmb and Lml in which the Histone H3 Lysine9
tri-methylation heterochromatin mark was found associated with TE- and AT-rich regions of Lmb
including on the dispensable chromosome (34). Finally, signal intensity in phasograms appeared more
stable on the long nucleotide range in GC-equilibrated than in AT-rich regions, an observation in line
with the well-known destabilizing effect of AT stretches on nucleosome positioning leading to fuzzier

signals (60–65). All together, these data support a heterochromatic state of Lmb AT-rich regions
mediated by strong nucleosome occupancy during axenic growth. Since the AT-rich regions host many
fungal effector genes expressed during primary infection of oilseed rape leaves, we may assume that
these regions are decondensed during infection, allowing the action of specific transcription factors. We
tried to perform MNase-seq experiments at an early stage of oilseed rape infection by Lmb but the
number of fungal reads was too low to be able to reliably analyze fungal nucleosome positioning. To go
further, techniques to enrich in fungal material prior to MNase treatment should be considered.

Sequence composition and nucleosome positioning

Literature data report that distribution of bases in nucleosome core DNA is non-random and exhibits a
~10 bp AA/TT/AT/TA offset with GG/CC/GC/CG dinucleotide frequency (66–68). Here, we investigated
di-nucleotide frequencies – *i.e.,* the incidence of a given neighbouring pair of nucleotides in a sequence
– in nucleosomal DNA segments in all four fungi. Averaged di-nucleotide contents centred around all
fragments (read pairs) were plotted (Figure 5) and periodicities investigated by autocorrelation analyses
(Supplementary Figure 7). Autocorrelation plots reveal the previously described ~10 bp-periodicities
(66–68) for all studied fungi while showing differences in instant autocorrelation coefficient profiles
(Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Figure 7). Signal is indeed very regular in *F. graminearum*
and, to a lesser extent, Lmb, whereas Lml and *B. cinerea* show more irregular autocorrelation profiles.
These results are consistent with our previous observation that nucleosomes are tightly phased in *F.*
graminearum whereas somehow fuzzier (higher deviation) in *B. cinerea* and Lml (Figure 1A and 1D).

Di-nucleotide frequency graphs display A+T dinucleotides frequency waves oscillating out of phase
with G+C ones. For all studied fungi, GC dinucleotides are centred on nucleosome dyads (Figure 5).
Considering that there are 16 possible combinations of di-nucleotides, equilibrated distribution of di-
nucleotide contents should contain 25% of AT/TA/AA/TT and 25% of GG/CC/CG/GC. Our observations
reveal a skewed distribution in favour of AT dimers marked for *B. cinerea* and Lmb (Figure 5A and 5C.
The presence of AT-rich regions in Lmb and, to a lesser extent, in *B. cinerea* genomes may explain
such a result (21, 28). Di-nucleotide frequencies of Lmb AT-rich and GC-equilibrated regions were thus
inspected (Supplementary Table 5 and Supplementary Figure 8). As one would expect, A+T
frequencies are particularly high in AT-rich regions, while maintaining alternance with G+C di-
nucleotides and ~10 bp periodicity.

Nucleosome positioning is believed to be particularly hard-wired to DNA sequence, and especially
the largely documented anti-nucleosome effect of Poly(dA:dT) tracts (15, 69). Here, while nucleosome
phase was indeed found 5 bp longer in Lmb AT-rich regions than in GC-equilibrated regions, occupancy
was nonetheless higher in the former leading to the formation of the previously suggested
heterochromatic state of these regions, which has consequences on gene expression and
recombination (21, 34, 70, 71). These observations suggest the mobilisation of trans-acting chromatin
remodeling factors to maintain heterochromatin structures on such disfavouring sequences. Importantly,
we found that GC periodicity at nucleosome dyads is preserved even within AT-rich regions, suggesting
such pattern in an AT-rich environment is sufficient to permit efficient wrapping of DNA around

nucleosomes and strong occupancy. This longer NRLs in addition with high nucleosome density in AT-
rich regions should have an impact on gene expression in these regions enriched in effector gene (21,
34).

Nucleosome landscapes of fungal gene units

Nucleosome occupancy profiles of gene units were investigated in all fungi (Figure 6). As previously
reported in other eukaryotes, translation start sites (the ATG codon) are preceded by a nucleosome-
depleted region (NDR) and immediately followed by a well-positioned +1 nucleosome (Figure 6A).
Variations of the exact position of these features relative to the ATG start codon, as well as variations
in the intensity of the NDR valley, are nonetheless observed between fungal species. For example, the
NDR and the centre of the +1 nucleosome (or +1Nucl) are found at -154 bp and +14 bp, respectively,
in *F. graminearum* whereas they are located at -99 bp and + 26 bp, respectively, in *B. cinerea*. The
fungus *B. cinerea* shows the deepest NDR valley among all observed profiles. In Lmb and Lml, NDRs
are found at -129 and -144 bp from ATG, respectively, and +1Nucl at +19 bp and +55 bp. Finally,
nucleosome profiles upstream of NDRs appear fuzzy for all fungi but Lmb, with varying degrees of
fuzziness. This fuzziness is no longer visible when nucleosome profiles are centred on TSS for *F.*
graminearum (N_{TSS} = 6,212 genes) and *B. cinerea* (N_{TSS} = 11,701 genes) (Figure 6C). The NDR is more
defined, and located immediately upstream of the TSS, with a minimum detected at -58 bp and -20 bp
upstream of the TSS of *F. graminearum* and *B. cinerea*, respectively. These values are consistent with
the binding of the RNA polymerase II ~50 bp upstream of TSS, observed in active promoters of
mammalian and Drosophila cells (72–74).

Considering nucleosome environments at stop codons (Figure 6B), strongly arrayed nucleosomes
are particularly found upstream the stop codon, fewer signal variations being observed downstream.
Here, the stop codon is a clear boundary for nucleosome arraying and occupancy in all fungi and all
conditions investigated. A nucleosome seems remarkably well positioned exactly on stop codons in *F.*
graminearum in particular. The analysis was repeated on TTS in *F. graminearum* (N_{TTS} = 5,292 genes)
and *B. cinerea* (N_{TTS} = 11,701 genes) (Figure 6D). In *B. cinerea*, signal appeared strong and well-
arrayed, decaying downstream of the TTS. In *F. graminearum*, strong positioning of nucleosomes -2
and -1 (-178 bp and -16 bp relative to TTS, respectively) followed by a deep 3' end NDR (+100 bp
downstream of TTS) can be observed. Nucleosome positioning on TSS and TTS could not be analyzed
for *Lmb* and *Lml* since TSS and TTS annotations are not supported by experimental data for these
species' genomes as it is the case for *F. graminearum* (27, 75), or by collaborative annotation as for *B.*
cinerea (76).

For comparison purposes, nucleosome profiling was repeated restricting our analysis to genes
identified as BUSCO lineage-specific single-copy evolutionary conserved orthologs in Fungi (41, 42).
Overall broad patterns remain similar to those obtained while investigating whole genomes, with notably
somehow more regular oscillations patterns (Figure 7). In *F. graminearum*, whilst the distance NDR-to-
TSS (- 60 bp) remains very similar to the one measured earlier genome-wide (Figure 7C), distance
NDR-to-ATG increases by 29 bp (Figure 7A), whereas in *B. cinerea* the distance NDR-to-TSS reduces

to 0 bp and nearly no increase in the distance NDR-to-ATG is observed (+ 3 bp). Similarly, the distance
NDR-to-ATG is only 5 bp longer than genome-wide in Lmb whereas it increases by 23 bp in Lml.
Towards the 3' end of the gene unit, nucleosome signals around stop codons are similar to those
obtained genome-wide for all fungi (Figure 7B). However, the deep NDR found downstream of TTS of
F. graminearum genome-wide can no longer be observed at "Fungi" TTS *loci* (Figure 7D). Similar to
genome-wide profile, strongly positioned -1Nucl and -2Nucl are still visible at -5 bp and -209 bp,
respectively, the latter being more intense and defined.

The general profile of a fungal gene unit shares similarities with those previously described in various
eukaryotes: the ATG codon is decorated by a well-positioned +1 nucleosome and preceded by an NDR.
This "+1 nucleosome" is an extremely well-conserved feature among eukaryotes spread across the tree
of life, and nucleotide sequence only is not sufficient to explain such consistency. Such stability requires
the intervention of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers, belonging to one of the families CHD, INO80,
ISWI, or SWI/SNF (77). Nucleosome landscapes can thus be viewed as the final result of active
positioning forces (the action of chromatin remodelers) combined with destabilizing nucleotide content,
including poly(A+T) tracts (see above). Recently, this scenario was proposed to be species-specific (78,
79), supporting that several combinatorial nucleosome arraying rules can form during the course of
evolution. Indeed, when we restricted our analysis to conserved single-copy orthologous fungal genes,
the overall profiles and the intensities of "+1 nucleosome" and NDRs were more homogenous between
fungi. Similarly, while an NDR can be observed downstream of *F. graminearum* TTS, it is no longer
visible when the analysis is restricted to conserved fungal genes, indicating again an evolutionary
component. Promoters are typically found in NDRs upstream of +1 nucleosomes (17, 80). NDR sizes
largely depend on the action of the SWI/SNF ATP-dependent remodeler RSC (Remodeling the
Structure of Chromatin) complex (81–83) that seem to facilitate initiation of transcription by preventing
the filling of NDRs with nucleosomes (84).

Nucleosome landscapes of gene units according to gene expression

Same analyses were repeated for genes categorised according to their expression levels (expressed
in TPM counts, see Materials and Methods). The general variations in nucleosome profiles around
translation start sites are similar for all expression categories in all considered fungi and culture
conditions: ATG codons are immediately followed by a well-positioned +1 nucleosome and preceded
by a dip in nucleosome density (Figure 8). Remarkable variations are nonetheless observed with regard
to positions of +1 nucleosomes and NDRs, as well as the amplitude of the nucleosome signal difference
between them (here defined as Δnucl = |signal$_{+1nucl}$ - signal$_{NDR}$|), depending on gene expression. ATG-
centred nucleosome profiles for genes not expressed in our conditions (TPM = 0) show remarkably
reduced Δnucl when compared to those measured for genes more expressed, and a distance to the
ATG reduced (Figure 8 and Supplementary Table 6). Conversely, highly expressed genes (TPM50)
display the deepest NDRs located at the furthest upstream the ATG. Similar trends are observed when
profiles are centred on *B. cinerea* and *F. graminearum* TSS (Figures 8E and 8F, respectively). Moreover,
NDRs were usually found further from the ATG site than those in genes expressed at lower levels or
not expressed. Finally, the nucleosome wave signal decay phenomenon was observed at distances

from the ATG codons shorter in poorly expressed genes than in highly expressed genes, although the
+1 nucleosome remained fairly well conserved. Nucleosome depletion can be the side-effect of active
transcription with the binding of pre-initiation complex resulting in nucleosome eviction as previously
shown in yeast (85). Indeed, we evidenced valleys of nucleosome signals upstream of ATG codons
found deeper in highly expressed genes. On the contrary, genes not expressed showed little or no NDR,
depending on the considered species or culture condition. In our conditions, the amplitude between the
NDR and the +1 nucleosome seemed to be an informative measure of gene expression level: the higher
this value is, the more genes are expressed. This feature was less strict when TSS were considered,
raising the question of different mechanisms of transcription regulation depending on gene unit
structures. A possible scenario is that other factors, such as the general regulator Reb1 (RNA
polymerase Enhancing Binding protein), that modulate the action of remodeling factors (86) may
contribute to the profiles observed here. Strikingly, a recent study evidenced the role of such factors as
barriers to fine-tune the action of remodelers, with the consequence of modulating nucleosome spacing
and phasing distances (87). Oberbeckmann and Colleagues proposed a model consisting in promoter
NDRs (maintained by the RSC complex, see above) insulated upstream by the barrier factor Reb1 and
downstream by the +1 nucleosome, the spacing between the two landmarks being controlled by the
remodelers INO80 or ISW2, maintaining longer *vs.* shorter distances respectively (87). In this model
and consistently with our observations, gene bodies are characterized by high nucleosome density with
shorter NRLs. Here, the marked oscillations of the signal obtained for highly expressed genes suggests
that the presence of well-arrayed nucleosomes around ATG combined with high amplitudes and longer
distances of nucleosome signal between the NDR valley and the +1 nucleosome peak could be a
hallmark of robust active gene expression. On the contrary, an absent or virtually absent NDR upstream
of ATG may mark genes with variable expression levels, often exhibiting a TATA-box in their promoters
(88). Such promoters displayed enhanced sensitivity to mutations in yeast, an observation arguing for
a link between chromatin structure and the evolution of gene expression (89). A further confounding
element is the observation that introducing Reb1 binding sites in such promoters reduced sensitivity to
mutation (89), which was interpreted in 2012 (when the work was published) as blocking nucleosome
formation and introducing an NDR. In the light of the model recently proposed by Oberbeckmann *et al.*,
(2021; see above), this analysis may now be re-visited as providing the necessary binding factor that
constraints NDR formation and maintenance by RSC and INO80/ISW2.

At the end of gene units, wave signal decay was also observed downstream of stop codons in all
studied fungi (Supplementary Figure 9). In Lmb and Lml, strong nucleosome positioning was found
immediately upstream the stop codon, directly followed by a strong nucleosome valley in the case of
Lml or a general decrease in signal in Lmb (Supplementary Figure 9A and 9B). In *B. cinerea* and *F.*
graminearum, nucleosome signal was more defined with clear oscillations decaying past the stop codon
(Supplementary Figures 9C and 9D). In *F. graminearum*, a lesser signal intensity seemed to
characterise highly to moderately expressed genes (Supplementary Figure 9D), a feature visible only
for highly expressed genes in *B. cinerea* (Supplementary Figure 9C). Altogether, our observations
highlight the conservation of a nucleosome immediately before the stop codon, followed by a decrease
in signal as a mark of gene expression in the four studied fungi. Markedly, when TTS of *B. cinerea* were

considered, not expressed genes revealed a remarkably regular signal of weak amplitude. On the contrary, nucleosome signal around *F. graminearum* TTS of genes not expressed were characterized by a strong wave signal of well-arrayed nucleosomes (Supplementary Figure 9F). Recently, RSC was found to also play a positive effect on transcription termination, in addition to initiation (84). Considering that residence time of RNA polymerase II at the NDR downstream the TTS facilitates fast re-initiation of transcription (by recycling the RNA pol II; (90, 91), a scenario could be that RSC may be a transcription tuning knob, its presence stimulating transcription initiation while promoting RNA pol II dissociation to terminate transcription. Accordingly, the signal fuzziness we observed around the stop codons and TTS may reflect the highly dynamic nature of nucleosome positioning/removal catalyzed by RSC to regulate transcription termination. Overall, our results showed strong association of nucleosome landscapes at gene unit boundaries with expression levels in the four studied ascomycetes.

CONCLUSION

The present study explored nucleosome landscapes of four phytopathogenic filamentous fungi with the aim of unravelling common features as well as potential specificities. Our general observation of nucleosome positioning genome-wide revealed shorter nucleosome-repeat lengths in *L. maculans* 'brassicae' and *L. maculans* 'lepidii' compared to *B. cinerea* and *F. graminearum*, suggesting a more compact chromatin fibre. High nucleosome occupancy was further observed in AT-rich regions of *L. maculans* 'brassicae', a feature *a priori* unexpected considering the well-described destabilising effect of AT-stretches but in line with the heterochromatic nature of these peculiar regions. High nucleosome occupancy was also observed at the *loci* of BOTY retrotransposons in the genome of *B. cinerea*. On the contrary, regions with reduced occupancy were observed in *F. graminearum* and co-localised with highly polymorphic regions described as prone to genetic evolution. As a whole, our results plead in favour of evolution of not only the positions of nucleosomes but also their occupancy, both likely hard-wired to genome sequence evolution, with regions defined by higher occupancies possibly securing sequence conservation. Evolution of genome sequences marked with peculiar chromatin signature profiles in relation with host adaptation has been previously described in the fungal pathogen *V. dahliae* (58). Considering how gene expression may relate on nucleosome patterning, an element of fungal specialization may rely on how chromatin remodeling proteins as well as promoter and other underlying genomic sequences have diversified.

AVAILABILITY

The MSTS (MNase-seq Tool Suite) is an open-source collection of tools developed by the BioinfoBIOGER platform by N. Lapalu and A. Simon, and available in the GitHub repository (https://github.com/nlapalu/MSTS).

ACCESSION NUMBERS

All sequenced reads have been deposited with the Short Read Archive (SRA; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under project accession number PRJNA580372. RNA-Seq data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus Database (GEO) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under the entries GSE150127, GSE162838, and GSE162839.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Sequencing was performed by the GenomEast platform, a member of the "France Génomique" consortium (ANR-10-INBS-0009). We particularly thank B. Jost for his help with setting up this project. We are grateful to the Genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees for providing computing resources.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Plant Health and Environment division of the French National Institute for Agricultural Research (TACTIC Project AAP 2014). Funding for open access charge: Plant Health and Environment department of the French National Institute for Agricultural Research. J. L. Soyer was funded by a "Contrat Jeune Scientifique" grant from INRA. The BIOGER Unit benefits from the support of Saclay Plant Sciences-SPS (ANR-17-EUR-0007).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors of this article declare that they have no financial conflict of interest with the content of this article. N. PONTS is one of the PCI Genomics recommenders.

REFERENCES

1. Hawksworth,D.L. and Lücking,R. (2017) Fungal Diversity Revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 Million Species. *Microbiol. Spectr.*, **5**.

2. Taylor,T.N. and Osborn,J.M. (1996) The importance of fungi in shaping the paleoecosystem. *Rev.*
Palaeobot. Palynol., **90**, 249–262.

3. Fisher,M.C., Henk,D.A., Briggs,C.J., Brownstein,J.S., Madoff,L.C., McCraw,S.L. and Gurr,S.J.
(2012) Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. *Nature*, **484**, 186–194.

4. Lo Presti,L., Lanver,D., Schweizer,G., Tanaka,S., Liang,L., Tollot,M., Zuccaro,A., Reissmann,S.
and Kahmann,R. (2015) Fungal effectors and plant susceptibility. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, **66**, 513–
545.

5. Weiberg,A., Wang,M., Lin,F.-M., Zhao,H., Zhang,Z., Kaloshian,I., Huang,H.-D. and Jin,H. (2013)
Fungal small RNAs suppress plant immunity by hijacking host RNA interference pathways. *Science*,
342, 118–123.

6. Collemare,J., O'Connell,R. and Lebrun,M.-H. (2019) Nonproteinaceous effectors: the terra
incognita of plant-fungal interactions. *New Phytol.*, **223**, 590–596.

7. Zhao,J., Duan,W., Xu,Y., Zhang,C., Wang,L., Wang,J., Tian,S., Pei,G., Zhan,G., Zhuang,H., *et al.*
(2021) Distinct Transcriptomic Reprogramming in the Wheat Stripe Rust Fungus During the Initial
Infection of Wheat and Barberry. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. MPMI*, **34**, 198–209.

8. Ding,Y., Gardiner,D.M. and Kazan,K. (2022) Transcriptome analysis reveals infection strategies
employed by Fusarium graminearum as a root pathogen. *Microbiol. Res.*, **256**, 126951.

9. Toruño,T.Y., Stergiopoulos,I. and Coaker,G. (2016) Plant-Pathogen Effectors: Cellular Probes
Interfering with Plant Defenses in Spatial and Temporal Manners. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, **54**, 419–
441.

10. Sánchez-Vallet,A., Fouché,S., Fudal,I., Hartmann,F.E., Soyer,J.L., Tellier,A. and Croll,D. (2018)
The Genome Biology of Effector Gene Evolution in Filamentous Plant Pathogens. *Annu. Rev.*
Phytopathol., **56**, 21–40.

11. Soyer,J.L., Rouxel,T. and Fudal,I. (2015) Chromatin-based control of effector gene expression in
plant-associated fungi. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, **26**, 51–56.

12. Collemare,J. and Seidl,M.F. (2019) Chromatin-dependent regulation of secondary metabolite
biosynthesis in fungi: is the picture complete? *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, **43**, 591–607.

13. Richmond,T.J. and Davey,C.A. (2003) The structure of DNA in the nucleosome core. *Nature*,
423, 145–150.

14. Radman-Livaja,M. and Rando,O.J. (2010) Nucleosome positioning: how is it established, and why
does it matter? *Dev. Biol.*, **339**, 258–266.

15. Struhl,K. and Segal,E. (2013) Determinants of nucleosome positioning. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, **20**,
267–273.

16. Singh,A.K. and Mueller-Planitz,F. (2021) Nucleosome Positioning and Spacing: From
Mechanism to Function. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **433**, 166847.

17. Yuan,G.-C., Liu,Y.-J., Dion,M.F., Slack,M.D., Wu,L.F., Altschuler,S.J. and Rando,O.J. (2005)
Genome-scale identification of nucleosome positions in S. cerevisiae. *Science*, **309**, 626–630.

18. Tsankov,A.M., Thompson,D.A., Socha,A., Regev,A. and Rando,O.J. (2010) The role of
nucleosome positioning in the evolution of gene regulation. *PLoS Biol.*, **8**, e1000414.

19. Nishida,H., Motoyama,T., Yamamoto,S., Aburatani,H. and Osada,H. (2009) Genome-wide maps
of mono- and di-nucleosomes of Aspergillus fumigatus. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.*, **25**, 2295–2297.

20. Nishida,H., Kondo,S., Matsumoto,T., Suzuki,Y., Yoshikawa,H., Taylor,T.D. and Sugiyama,J.
(2012) Characteristics of nucleosomes and linker DNA regions on the genome of the basidiomycete
Mixia osmundae revealed by mono- and dinucleosome mapping. *Open Biol.*, **2**, 120043.

21. Rouxel,T., Grandaubert,J., Hane,J.K., Hoede,C., van de Wouw,A.P., Couloux,A., Dominguez,V.,
Anthouard,V., Bally,P., Bourras,S., *et al.* (2011) Effector diversification within compartments of the
Leptosphaeria maculans genome affected by Repeat-Induced Point mutations. *Nat. Commun.*, **2**, 202.

22. Dutreux,F., Da Silva,C., d'Agata,L., Couloux,A., Gay,E.J., Istace,B., Lapalu,N., Lemainque,A.,
Linglin,J., Noel,B., *et al.* (2018) De novo assembly and annotation of three Leptosphaeria genomes
using Oxford Nanopore MinION sequencing. *Sci. Data*, **5**, 180235.

23. Grandaubert,J., Lowe,R.G.T., Soyer,J.L., Schoch,C.L., Van de Wouw,A.P., Fudal,I.,
Robbertse,B., Lapalu,N., Links,M.G., Ollivier,B., *et al.* (2014) Transposable element-assisted
evolution and adaptation to host plant within the Leptosphaeria maculans-Leptosphaeria biglobosa
species complex of fungal pathogens. *BMC Genomics*, **15**, 891.

24. Amselem,J., Cuomo,C.A., van Kan,J.A.L., Viaud,M., Benito,E.P., Couloux,A., Coutinho,P.M., de
Vries,R.P., Dyer,P.S., Fillinger,S., *et al.* (2011) Genomic analysis of the necrotrophic fungal
pathogens Sclerotinia sclerotiorum and Botrytis cinerea. *PLoS Genet.*, **7**, e1002230.

25. Cuomo,C.A., Güldener,U., Xu,J.-R., Trail,F., Turgeon,B.G., Di Pietro,A., Walton,J.D., Ma,L.-J.,
Baker,S.E., Rep,M., *et al.* (2007) The Fusarium graminearum genome reveals a link between
localized polymorphism and pathogen specialization. *Science*, **317**, 1400–1402.

26. King,R., Urban,M., Hammond-Kosack,M.C.U., Hassani-Pak,K. and Hammond-Kosack,K.E.
(2015) The completed genome sequence of the pathogenic ascomycete fungus Fusarium
graminearum. *BMC Genomics*, **16**, 544.

27. King,R., Urban,M. and Hammond-Kosack,K.E. (2017) Annotation of Fusarium graminearum
(PH-1) Version 5.0. *Genome Announc.*, **5**.

28. Porquier,A., Morgant,G., Moraga,J., Dalmais,B., Luyten,I., Simon,A., Pradier,J.-M., Amselem,J.,
Collado,I.G. and Viaud,M. (2016) The botrydial biosynthetic gene cluster of Botrytis cinerea displays
a bipartite genomic structure and is positively regulated by the putative Zn(II)2Cys6 transcription
factor BcBot6. *Fungal Genet. Biol. FG B*, **96**, 33–46.

29. Soyer,J.L., Balesdent,M.-H., Rouxel,T. and Dean,R.A. (2018) To B or not to B: a tale of
unorthodox chromosomes. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, **46**, 50–57.

30. Kelloniemi,J., Trouvelot,S., Héloir,M.-C., Simon,A., Dalmais,B., Frettinger,P., Cimerman,A.,
Fermaud,M., Roudet,J., Baulande,S., *et al.* (2015) Analysis of the Molecular Dialogue Between Gray
Mold (Botrytis cinerea) and Grapevine (Vitis vinifera) Reveals a Clear Shift in Defense Mechanisms
During Berry Ripening. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. MPMI*, **28**, 1167–1180.

31. Simon,A., Dalmais,B., Morgant,G. and Viaud,M. (2013) Screening of a Botrytis cinerea one-

hybrid library reveals a Cys2His2 transcription factor involved in the regulation of secondary
metabolism gene clusters. *Fungal Genet. Biol. FG B*, **52**, 9–19.

32. Boutigny,A.-L., Barreau,C., Atanasova-Penichon,V., Verdal-Bonnin,M.-N., Pinson-Gadais,L. and
Richard-Forget,F. (2009) Ferulic acid, an efficient inhibitor of type B trichothecene biosynthesis and
Tri gene expression in Fusarium liquid cultures. *Mycol. Res.*, **113**, 746–753.

33. Soyer,J.L., Möller,M., Schotanus,K., Connolly,L.R., Galazka,J.M., Freitag,M. and
Stukenbrock,E.H. (2015) Chromatin analyses of Zymoseptoria tritici: Methods for chromatin
immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq). *Fungal Genet. Biol. FG B*,
79, 63–70.

34. Soyer,J.L., Clairet,C., Gay,E.J., Lapalu,N., Rouxel,T., Stukenbrock,E.H. and Fudal,I. (2020)
Genome-wide mapping of histone modifications in two species of Leptosphaeria maculans showing
contrasting genomic organization and host specialization. *bioRxiv*, 10.1101/2020.05.08.084566.

35. Fudal,I., Ross,S., Gout,L., Blaise,F., Kuhn,M.L., Eckert,M.R., Cattolico,L., Bernard-Samain,S.,
Balesdent,M.H. and Rouxel,T. (2007) Heterochromatin-like regions as ecological niches for
avirulence genes in the Leptosphaeria maculans genome: map-based cloning of AvrLm6. *Mol. Plant-*
Microbe Interact. MPMI, **20**, 459–470.

36. Hallen,H.E., Huebner,M., Shiu,S.-H., Güldener,U. and Trail,F. (2007) Gene expression shifts
during perithecium development in Gibberella zeae (anamorph Fusarium graminearum), with
particular emphasis on ion transport proteins. *Fungal Genet. Biol. FG B*, **44**, 1146–1156.

37. Bolger,A.M., Lohse,M. and Usadel,B. (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.*, **30**, 2114–2120.

38. Dobin,A., Davis,C.A., Schlesinger,F., Drenkow,J., Zaleski,C., Jha,S., Batut,P., Chaisson,M. and
Gingeras,T.R. (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.*, **29**, 15–21.

39. Li,B., Ruotti,V., Stewart,R.M., Thomson,J.A. and Dewey,C.N. (2010) RNA-Seq gene expression
estimation with read mapping uncertainty. *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 493–500.

40. Langmead,B. and Salzberg,S.L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods*,
9, 357–359.

41. Simão,F.A., Waterhouse,R.M., Ioannidis,P., Kriventseva,E.V. and Zdobnov,E.M. (2015) BUSCO:
assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics*,
31, 3210–3212.

42. Waterhouse,R.M., Seppey,M., Simão,F.A., Manni,M., Ioannidis,P., Klioutchnikov,G.,
Kriventseva,E.V. and Zdobnov,E.M. (2018) BUSCO Applications from Quality Assessments to Gene
Prediction and Phylogenomics. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **35**, 543–548.

43. Valouev,A., Johnson,S.M., Boyd,S.D., Smith,C.L., Fire,A.Z. and Sidow,A. (2011) Determinants
of nucleosome organization in primary human cells. *Nature*, **474**, 516–520.

44. Chen,K., Xi,Y., Pan,X., Li,Z., Kaestner,K., Tyler,J., Dent,S., He,X. and Li,W. (2013) DANPOS:
Dynamic analysis of nucleosome position and occupancy by sequencing. *Genome Res.*, **23**, 341–351.

45. Flores,O. and Orozco,M. (2011) nucleR: a package for non-parametric nucleosome positioning.

Bioinformatics, **27**, 2149–2150.

46. Hu,S., Chen,X., Liao,J., Chen,Y., Zhao,C. and Zhang,Y. (2017) CAM: A quality control pipeline
for MNase-seq data. *PLOS ONE*, **12**, e0182771.

47. Szerlong,H.J. and Hansen,J.C. (2011) Nucleosome distribution and linker DNA: connecting
nuclear function to dynamic chromatin structure. *Biochem. Cell Biol.*, **89**, 24–34.

48. Zhu,P. and Li,G. (2016) Structural insights of nucleosome and the 30-nm chromatin fiber. *Curr.*
Opin. Struct. Biol., **36**, 106–115.

49. Robinson,P.J.J., Fairall,L., Huynh,V.A.T. and Rhodes,D. (2006) EM measurements define the
dimensions of the '30-nm' chromatin fiber: evidence for a compact, interdigitated structure. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., **103**, 6506–6511.

50. Zhang,T., Zhang,W. and Jiang,J. (2015) Genome-Wide Nucleosome Occupancy and Positioning
and Their Impact on Gene Expression and Evolution in Plants. *Plant Physiol.*, **168**, 1406–1416.

51. Locke,G., Haberman,D., Johnson,S.M. and Morozov,A.V. (2013) Global remodeling of
nucleosome positions in C. elegans. *BMC Genomics*, **14**, 284.

52. Valouev,A., Ichikawa,J., Tonthat,T., Stuart,J., Ranade,S., Peckham,H., Zeng,K., Malek,J.A.,
Costa,G., McKernan,K., *et al.* (2008) A high-resolution, nucleosome position map of C. elegans
reveals a lack of universal sequence-dictated positioning. *Genome Res.*, **18**, 1051–1063.

53. Lantermann,A.B., Straub,T., Strålfors,A., Yuan,G.-C., Ekwall,K. and Korber,P. (2010)
Schizosaccharomyces pombe genome-wide nucleosome mapping reveals positioning mechanisms
distinct from those of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, **17**, 251–257.

54. Lieleg,C., Ketterer,P., Nuebler,J., Ludwigsen,J., Gerland,U., Dietz,H., Mueller-Planitz,F. and
Korber,P. (2015) Nucleosome spacing generated by ISWI and CHD1 remodelers is constant
regardless of nucleosome density. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **35**, 1588–1605.

55. Ocampo,J., Chereji,R.V., Eriksson,P.R. and Clark,D.J. (2016) The ISW1 and CHD1 ATP-
dependent chromatin remodelers compete to set nucleosome spacing in vivo. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **44**,
4625–4635.

56. Hughes,A.L. and Rando,O.J. (2015) Comparative Genomics Reveals Chd1 as a Determinant of
Nucleosome Spacing in Vivo. *G3 Bethesda Md*, **5**, 1889–1897.

57. Porquier,A., Tisserant,C., Salinas,F., Glassl,C., Wange,L., Enard,W., Hauser,A., Hahn,M. and
Weiberg,A. (2021) Retrotransposons as pathogenicity factors of the plant pathogenic fungus Botrytis
cinerea. *bioRxiv*, 10.1101/2021.04.13.439636.

58. Cook,D.E., Kramer,H.M., Torres,D.E., Seidl,M.F. and Thomma,B.P.H.J. (2020) A unique
chromatin profile defines adaptive genomic regions in a fungal plant pathogen. *eLife*, **9**, e62208.

59. Laurent,B., Moinard,M., Spataro,C., Ponts,N., Barreau,C. and Foulongne-Oriol,M. (2017)
Landscape of genomic diversity and host adaptation in Fusarium graminearum. *BMC Genomics*, **18**,
203.

60. Kaplan,N., Moore,I.K., Fondufe-Mittendorf,Y., Gossett,A.J., Tillo,D., Field,Y., LeProust,E.M.,

Hughes,T.R., Lieb,J.D., Widom,J., *et al.* (2009) The DNA-encoded nucleosome organization of a eukaryotic genome. *Nature*, **458**, 362–366.

61. Tillo,D., Kaplan,N., Moore,I.K., Fondufe-Mittendorf,Y., Gossett,A.J., Field,Y., Lieb,J.D., Widom,J., Segal,E. and Hughes,T.R. (2010) High nucleosome occupancy is encoded at human regulatory sequences. *PloS One*, **5**, e9129.

62. Russell,K., Cheng,C.-H., Bizzaro,J.W., Ponts,N., Emes,R.D., Le Roch,K., Marx,K.A. and Horrocks,P. (2014) Homopolymer tract organization in the human malarial parasite Plasmodium falciparum and related Apicomplexan parasites. *BMC Genomics*, **15**, 848.

63. Bunnik,E.M., Polishko,A., Prudhomme,J., Ponts,N., Gill,S.S., Lonardi,S. and Le Roch,K.G. (2014) DNA-encoded nucleosome occupancy is associated with transcription levels in the human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. *BMC Genomics*, **15**, 347.

64. Jin,H., Finnegan,A.I. and Song,J.S. (2018) A unified computational framework for modeling genome-wide nucleosome landscape. *Phys. Biol.*, **15**, 066011.

65. Ponts,N., Harris,E.Y., Prudhomme,J., Wick,I., Eckhardt-Ludka,C., Hicks,G.R., Hardiman,G., Lonardi,S. and Le Roch,K.G. (2010) Nucleosome landscape and control of transcription in the human malaria parasite. *Genome Res.*, **20**, 228–238.

66. Satchwell,S.C., Drew,H.R. and Travers,A.A. (1986) Sequence periodicities in chicken nucleosome core DNA. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **191**, 659–675.

67. Segal,E., Fondufe-Mittendorf,Y., Chen,L., Thåström,A., Field,Y., Moore,I.K., Wang,J.-P.Z. and Widom,J. (2006) A genomic code for nucleosome positioning. *Nature*, **442**, 772–778.

68. Segal,E. and Widom,J. (2009) What controls nucleosome positions? *Trends Genet. TIG*, **25**, 335–343.

69. Segal,E. and Widom,J. (2009) Poly(dA:dT) tracts: major determinants of nucleosome organization. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, **19**, 65–71.

70. Soyer,J.L., El Ghalid,M., Glaser,N., Ollivier,B., Linglin,J., Grandaubert,J., Balesdent,M.-H., Connolly,L.R., Freitag,M., Rouxel,T., *et al.* (2014) Epigenetic control of effector gene expression in the plant pathogenic fungus Leptosphaeria maculans. *PLoS Genet.*, **10**, e1004227.

71. Gay,E.J., Soyer,J.L., Lapalu,N., Linglin,J., Fudal,I., Da Silva,C., Wincker,P., Aury,J.-M., Cruaud,C., Levrel,A., *et al.* (2020) Large-scale transcriptomics to dissect two years of the life of a fungal phytopathogen interacting with its host plant. *bioRxiv*, 10.1101/2020.10.13.331520.

72. Kwak,H., Fuda,N.J., Core,L.J. and Lis,J.T. (2013) Precise maps of RNA polymerase reveal how promoters direct initiation and pausing. *Science*, **339**, 950–953.

73. Core,L.J., Waterfall,J.J. and Lis,J.T. (2008) Nascent RNA sequencing reveals widespread pausing and divergent initiation at human promoters. *Science*, **322**, 1845–1848.

74. Min,I.M., Waterfall,J.J., Core,L.J., Munroe,R.J., Schimenti,J. and Lis,J.T. (2011) Regulating RNA polymerase pausing and transcription elongation in embryonic stem cells. *Genes Dev.*, **25**, 742–754.

75. Basenko,E.Y., Pulman,J.A., Shanmugasundram,A., Harb,O.S., Crouch,K., Starns,D.,

Warrenfeltz,S., Aurrecoechea,C., Stoeckert,C.J., Kissinger,J.C., *et al.* (2018) FungiDB: An Integrated
Bioinformatic Resource for Fungi and Oomycetes. *J. Fungi Basel Switz.*, **4**.

76. Pedro,H., Yates,A.D., Kersey,P.J. and De Silva,N.H. (2019) Collaborative Annotation Redefines
Gene Sets for Crucial Phytopathogens. *Front. Microbiol.*, **10**, 2477.

77. Reyes,A.A., Marcum,R.D. and He,Y. (2021) Structure and Function of Chromatin Remodelers. *J.*
Mol. Biol., **433**, 166929.

78. Barnes,T. and Korber,P. (2021) The Active Mechanism of Nucleosome Depletion by Poly(dA:dT)
Tracts In Vivo. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, **22**, 8233.

79. Oberbeckmann,E., Krietenstein,N., Niebauer,V., Wang,Y., Schall,K., Moldt,M., Straub,T.,
Rohs,R., Hopfner,K.-P., Korber,P., *et al.* (2021) Genome information processing by the INO80
chromatin remodeler positions nucleosomes. *Nat. Commun.*, **12**, 3231.

80. Jiang,C. and Pugh,B.F. (2009) A compiled and systematic reference map of nucleosome positions
across the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome. *Genome Biol.*, **10**, R109.

81. Krietenstein,N., Wal,M., Watanabe,S., Park,B., Peterson,C.L., Pugh,B.F. and Korber,P. (2016)
Genomic Nucleosome Organization Reconstituted with Pure Proteins. *Cell*, **167**, 709-721.e12.

82. Yague-Sanz,C., Vázquez,E., Sánchez,M., Antequera,F. and Hermand,D. (2017) A conserved role
of the RSC chromatin remodeler in the establishment of nucleosome-depleted regions. *Curr. Genet.*,
63, 187–193.

83. Wagner,F.R., Dienemann,C., Wang,H., Stützer,A., Tegunov,D., Urlaub,H. and Cramer,P. (2020)
Structure of SWI/SNF chromatin remodeller RSC bound to a nucleosome. *Nature*, **579**, 448–451.

84. Ocampo,J., Chereji,R.V., Eriksson,P.R. and Clark,D.J. (2019) Contrasting roles of the RSC and
ISW1/CHD1 chromatin remodelers in RNA polymerase II elongation and termination. *Genome Res.*,
29, 407–417.

85. Venters,B.J. and Pugh,B.F. (2009) A canonical promoter organization of the transcription
machinery and its regulators in the Saccharomyces genome. *Genome Res.*, **19**, 360–371.

86. Ghassabi Kondalaji,S. and Bowman,G.D. (2021) Reb1, Cbf1, and Pho4 bias histone sliding and
deposition away from their binding sites. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 10.1128/MCB.00472-21.

87. Oberbeckmann,E., Niebauer,V., Watanabe,S., Farnung,L., Moldt,M., Schmid,A., Cramer,P.,
Peterson,C.L., Eustermann,S., Hopfner,K.-P., *et al.* (2021) Ruler elements in chromatin remodelers
set nucleosome array spacing and phasing. *Nat. Commun.*, **12**, 3232.

88. Tirosh,I. and Barkai,N. (2008) Two strategies for gene regulation by promoter nucleosomes.
Genome Res., **18**, 1084–1091.

89. Hornung,G., Oren,M. and Barkai,N. (2012) Nucleosome organization affects the sensitivity of
gene expression to promoter mutations. *Mol. Cell*, **46**, 362–368.

90. Cole,H.A., Ocampo,J., Iben,J.R., Chereji,R.V. and Clark,D.J. (2014) Heavy transcription of yeast
genes correlates with differential loss of histone H2B relative to H4 and queued RNA polymerases.
Nucleic Acids Res., **42**, 12512–12522.

91. Shandilya,J. and Roberts,S.G.E. (2012) The transcription cycle in eukaryotes: from productive

initiation to RNA polymerase II recycling. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **1819**, 391–400.

92. Balesdent,M.H., Attard,A., Ansan-Melayah,D., Delourme,R., Renard,M. and Rouxel,T. (2001)

Genetic Control and Host Range of Avirulence Toward Brassica napus Cultivars Quinta and Jet Neuf

in Leptosphaeria maculans. *Phytopathology*, **91**, 70–76.

93. Van Kan,J.A.L., Stassen,J.H.M., Mosbach,A., Van Der Lee,T.A.J., Faino,L., Farmer,A.D.,

Papasotiriou,D.G., Zhou,S., Seidl,M.F., Cottam,E., *et al.* (2017) A gapless genome sequence of the

fungus Botrytis cinerea. *Mol. Plant Pathol.*, **18**, 75–89.

TABLE AND FIGURES LEGENDS

Table 1. Characteristics of reference genomes for the four fungal species studied.

Species	*Leptosphaeria maculans* 'brassicae'	*Leptosphaeria maculans* 'lepidii	*Botrytis cinerea*	*Fusarium graminearum*
Strain	v23.1.3	IBCN84	B05.10	CBS85.32
Reference genome	v23.1.3 (92)	IBCN84 (23)	B05.10 (93)	PH-1 RR1 (25–27)
Availability	EMBL/Genbank	EBI	EnsemblFungi v1	FungiDB v44
Genome size (Mb)	45.1	31.5	42	38.1
Number of protein-coding genes	12,635	11,272	11,701	14,145
GC content (%)*	44.1	50.9	42	48.2
Transposable elements content (%)	34.2	4.0	3.7	0.29

756   *excluding N's and mitochondrial genomes

Figure 1. Nucleosome phasing in the four fungi studied. Main graphs display scaled (z-score) phase

frequencies (y-axis) as a function of position (in base pair; x-axis). Graphs in inserts show peak positions

(in base pairs; y-axis) as a function of peak order (x-axis). For each replicate, phases, standard errors

(se), R^2 (coefficient of determination), and *p*-values (*F*-test) are determined after linear regression fitting

to the first four successive peak positions (see Supplementary Table 2). Repl = replicate. A. *Botrytis*

cinerea; B. *Fusarium graminearum*; here, phase value in replicate #2 was measured for four successive

peaks excluding peak #1 for which an apex was not clearly visible at the beginning of the profile; C.

Leptosphaeria maculans 'brassicae'; D. *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'lepidii'.

Figure 2. Nucleosome density profiles in *Botrytis cinerea*. A. Coverage density profiles were computed

for non-overlapping 1 kb-long bins along the chromosomes of *B. cinerea*. In green are plotted BOTY

transposable elements (TE) density profiles. In blue are plotted the z-scored average nucleosome

density profile (see Supplementary Figure 2 for individual replicate plots). Black arrows indicate putative

positions of centromeres (93); B and C. Nucleosome phasing in BOTY TE (B) or TE other than BOTY

TE (C). Main graphs display phase frequencies (y-axis) as a function of position (in base pair; x-axis).

Graphs in inserts show peak positions (in base pairs; y-axis) as a function of peak order (x-axis); Phases

+/- standard errors (se), R^2 (coefficient of determination), and *p*-values (*F*-test) are determined after

linear regression fitting to the first four successive peak positions (see Supplementary Table 3). Repl =

replicate.

Figure 3. Nucleosome density profiles in *Fusarium graminearum*. A. Coverage density profiles were

computed for non-overlapping 1 kb-long bins along the four chromosomes of *F. graminearum*. In green

are plotted SNP density profiles as previously described (59). "nd" indicates the highly variable 3' end

of chromosome 4 for which SNP were not called. In blue are plotted the z-scored average nucleosome

density profile (see Supplementary Figure 2 for individual replicate plots). Black arrows indicate

centromeres (26); B and C. Nucleosome phasing in polymorphic islands (B) or outside polymorphic

islands (C) as previously defined (59). Main graphs display phase frequencies (y-axis) as a function of

position (in base pair; x-axis). Graphs in inserts show peak positions (in base pairs; y-axis) as a function

of peak order (x-axis); Phases +/- standard errors (se), R^2 (coefficient of determination), and *p*-values

(*F*-test) are determined after linear regression fitting to the first four successive peak positions (see

Supplementary Table 3). Repl = replicate.

Figure 4. Nucleosome density profiles in *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'brassicae'. A. Coverage density
profiles were computed for non-overlapping 1 kb-long bins along all supercontigs, separated by black
lines. (G+C)/(A+T+G+C) content is plotted in green. In blue are plotted the z-scored average
nucleosome density profile (see Supplementary Figure 3 for individual replicate plots). B and C.
Nucleosome phasing in TE and AT-rich regions previously described in (21) (B) and GC-equilibrated
regions (C). Main graphs display phase frequencies (y-axis) as a function of position (in base pair; x-
axis). Graphs in inserts show peak positions (in base pairs; y-axis) as a function of peak order (x-axis);
for each replicate, phases, standard errors (se), R^2 (coefficient of determination), and *p*-values (*F*-test)
are determined after linear regression fitting to the first four successive peak positions (see
Supplementary Table 3). Repl = replicate.

Figure 5. Repeated di-nucleotide patterns in nucleosomal DNA. Di-nucleotides frequency plots (average of three biological replicates) for *Botrytis cinerea* (A), *Fusarium graminearum* (B), *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'brassicae' (C), and *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'lepidii' (D).

Figure 6. Nucleosome organization of fungal gene units. A-D. Scaled (z-scored) averages (three biological replicates for each fungus/condition) of nucleosome signals as a function of position (in base pairs) relative to the start codon ATG (A), the stop codon (B), TSS (C), TTS (D). Fg = *Fusarium graminearum*; Lmb = *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'brassicae'; Lml = *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'lepidii; Bcin = *Botrytis cinerea*; NDR = nucleosome-depleted region.

Figure 7. Nucleosome organization of near-universal single copy orthologous gene units in Fungi
(BUSCO3). A-D. Scaled (z-scored) averages (three biological replicates for each fungus/condition) of
nucleosome signals as a function of position (in base pairs) relative to the start codon ATG (A), the stop
codon (B), TSS (C), and TTS (D). Fg = *Fusarium graminearum*; Lmb = *Leptosphaeria maculans*
'brassicae'; Lml = *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'lepidii; Bcin = *Botrytis cinerea*; NDR = nucleosome-depleted
region.

Figure 8. Nucleosome organization at start codons/TSS *vs.* gene expression. Average (three biological

replicates for each fungus/condition) nucleosome signal as a function of position (in base pairs) relative

to the start codon ATG (and TSS for *Fusarium graminearum* and *Botrytis cinerea*). TPM = Transcripts

Per Million. (A), In *Leptosphaeria maculans* 'brassicae', ATG-centred; (B), In *Leptosphaeria maculans*

'lepidii', ATG-centred; (C), In *B. cinerea*, ATG-centred; (D), *F. graminearum*, ATG-centred; (E). In *B.*

cinerea, TSS-centred; (F). *F. graminearum*, TSS-centred.