Genome of the estuarine oyster provides insights into climate impact

and adaptive plasticity

Subtitle: Insights into climate impact and adaptive plasticity

Ao Li[1,2,3†], He Dai[4†], Ximing Guo[5†], Ziyan Zhang[1,6], Kexin Zhang[1,6], Chaogang

Wang[1,6], Wei Wang[1,3,7], Hongju Chen[4], Xumin Li[4], Hongkun Zheng[4], Guofan

Zhang[1,3,7*] and Li Li[1,2,3*]

[1]CAS and Shandong Province Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology,

Center for Ocean Mega-Science, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of

Sciences, Qingdao, China. [2]Laboratory for Marine Fisheries Science and Food

Production Processes, Pilot National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology,

Qingdao, China. [3]National and Local Joint Engineering Key Laboratory of Ecological

Mariculture, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China.

[4]Biomarker Technologies Corporation, Beijing, China. [5]Haskin Shellfish Research

Laboratory, Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences, Rutgers University, Port

Norris, NJ, USA. [6]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

[7]Laboratory for Marine Biology and Biotechnology, Pilot National Laboratory for

Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, China. [†]These authors contributed equally:

A. Li, H. Dai and X. Guo. [*]e-mail: lili@qdio.ac.cn and gzhang@qdio.ac.cn

Abstract

Understanding the roles of genetic divergence and phenotypic plasticity in adaptation is central to evolutionary biology and important for assessing adaptive potential of species under climate change. Analysis of a chromosome-level assembly and resequencing of individuals across wide latitude distribution in the estuarine oyster (*Crassostrea ariakensis*) revealed unexpectedly low genomic diversity and population structures shaped by historical glaciation, geological events and oceanographic forces. Strong selection signals were detected in genes responding to temperature and salinity stress, especially of the expanded *solute carrier* families, highlighting the significance of gene expansion in environmental adaptation. Genes exhibiting high plasticity showed strong selection in upstream regulatory regions that modulate transcription, indicating selection favoring plasticity. Our findings suggest that genomic variation and population structure in marine bivalves are heavily influenced by climate history and physical forces, and gene expansion and selection may enhance phenotypic plasticity that is critical for the adaptation to rapidly changing environments.

Climate change poses a serious threat to global biodiversity and the stability of ecosystems. Oceans bear the blunt of climate change as they absorb most of the energy and about one-third of the carbon dioxide created by human activities (*1*). World oceans become warmer and more acidic. Sea levels are rising, and ocean circulation patterns are changing. With the rising of global temperature, dry regions are getting drier, while wet regions become wetter (*2*), increasing salinity difference among estuaries (*3*). These rapid changes in world's oceans are having a significant impact on marine ecosystems, much of which remains poorly understood. The impact may vary among different organisms, and changes in keystone species may have a large effect on stability of an ecosystem. Understanding how organisms adapt to environmental shifts is fundamental to evolutionary biology and critical in assessing their adaptive potential under climate change.

The ability of an organism to survive and adapt to sudden environmental changes depends on available genetic variation and phenotypic plasticity. The standing genetic variation of a species is the outcome of its evolutionary history shaped by mutation, selection and genetic drifts (*4-6*). Past and on-going climate and geological events may leave signatures of selection or bottleneck in the genome and influence the geographic distribution of genetic variation (*7-9*). Many recent studies have revealed the significance of phenotypic plasticity in environmental adaptation (*10-15*). Phenotypic plasticity may buffer against sudden environmental changes and provides time for adaptation to occur (*10, 13, 14, 16*). Phenotypic plasticity is particularly pronounced and important for sessile organisms inhabiting estuarine and intertidal zones that cannot use avoidance to cope with wide fluctuations in environmental conditions (*10, 11, 17*), although the genetic basis of the enhanced plasticity is not clear (*18, 19*).

Oysters are keystone species in coastal and estuarine ecology providing critical ecological services as filter-feeders and habitat engineers. As sessile bivalves thriving in the coastal zone, oysters are well adapted to highly dynamic environmental conditions, as reflected in their high genetic diversity and plasticity (*10, 20-23*),

making them interesting models for studying responses to climate change. In addition

to threats from climate change, oyster populations worldwide have been decimated by

over-fishing, habitat destruction and infectious diseases (*24, 25*). The estuarine

(Jinjiang or Suminoe) oyster (*Crassostrea ariakensis*, Fig. 1a) is broadly distributed in

estuaries of East Asia over large latitude and experiences wide ranges of temperature

and salinity (*26-28*). Unlike the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*), a sympatric

sister-species that is highly abundant in high-salinity waters of higher latitude, the

estuarine oyster is only found in estuaries at low abundance within its wide

distribution range. The evolutionary pathways leading to the stark differences in

abundance, distribution and salinity preference are not clear but important to our

understanding of adaptive evolution.

While genetic changes can be inferred with genetic markers, whole-genome analysis

is essential for exploring all genetic variation and identifying genes and selection

events that are critical for adaption. Using multi-omic analyses, we previously showed

that the Pacific oyster has a highly polymorphic genome with extensive expansion of

environment-responsive genes, and plasticity is positively correlated with local

adaptation (*10, 22*). In this study, we produced a chromosome-level assembly of the

estuarine oyster genome, re-sequenced 264 wild oysters collected from 11 estuaries,

and conducted transcriptomic studies of environmental response to understand its

genetic variation, population structure, phenotypic plasticity and genomic signatures

of selection or bottleneck that may be linked to environmental changes. Our results

show that the estuarine oyster has significantly lower genetic diversity than its

sister-species probably due to the impact of past glaciation on its unique estuarine

lifestyle. Its population structure is heavily influenced by geological events and ocean

currents. Expansion and selection in regulatory regions of environment-responsive

genes may enhance phenotypic plasticity that is critical for the adaptation to rapidly

changing environments.

Results and Discussion

Genome assembly and annotation. We produced a chromosome-level assembly of the estuarine oyster genome of an estimated size of 583.42 Mb (fig. S1, table S1), using a combination of nanopore long-reads (184 Gb), Illumina paired-end short-reads (64 Gb) and Hi-C (106 Gb) sequences and a hierarchical assembly approach. The final assembly consisted of 630 contigs with a N50 of 6.97 Mb, spanning 613.89 Mb, 99.6% of which or 416 scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 62.26 Mb were assembled into 10 linkage groups corresponding to the haploid chromosome number (Fig. 1b and c, table S2). To our knowledge, this is the most contiguous assembly produced with nanopore long-read sequencing for a bivalve mollusc (*29-31*). Over 97.9% of genomic short-reads and 97.2% RNA-seq reads were mapped to the assembly that also captured 92.54% of the Benchmarking Universal Single Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) datasets (Fig. 1d, table S3), indicating the assembly has a high level of completeness. The accuracy of genome sequence was 98.32% as compared with Sanger sequencing (table S4). These results indicate that the estuarine oyster genome assembly is of high quality and can be used for down-stream analyses. The estuarine oyster genome encoded 29,631 protein-coding genes as indicated by homology, *de novo* prediction and mRNA transcripts, and 96.13% of which were functionally annotated (table S5). Various non-coding RNA sequences were also identified and annotated in the genome, including 1,077 transfer RNAs, 20 microRNAs and 131 ribosomal RNAs. A total of 332.40 Mb (54.14%) of repetitive elements were identified (table S6), which is higher than the 43% observed in the Pacific oyster (*32*). Gene density was inversely correlated to the repetitive element content across all chromosomes (fig. S2, ρ = -0.308, p < 0.001). Genome-wide polymorphism was 0.58%, which is less than half as that of the Pacific oyster (*22*), probably due to population bottleneck caused by past glaciations more severely impacting its estuarine lifestyle (see below).

Genome resequencing, variation calling and population structure. We generated

3.81 Tb clean whole-genome resequencing data from 264 wild oysters collected from

11 estuaries covering most of the distribution range (*26, 27*) (Fig. 2a). The genome

mapping rate averaged 95.3% varied from 86.5% to 96.7%, and the mapped read

depth averaged 19.89×. The data generated 145,271,754 SNPs (ranging from 487,881

to 640,962 per individual) and 103,080,822 indels (ranging from 342,486 to 443,381

per individual). Overall, there were 0.47 heterozygous SNPs per Kb per individual

(table S7), which was ~35-fold lower than that in Pacific oyster populations (*10*).

Structure analysis of genome-wide SNPs revealed significant differentiation among

different geographic populations, consistent with previously analyses of

fitness-related traits, neutral markers and transcriptomic data (*26, 33-35*), but with

higher resolution. The optimal number of population clusters was identified as $k = 3$

(fig. S3), representing three main regions along the coast of northern China (NC, 5

sites), middle China (MC, 2 sites) and southern China (SC, 4 sites) (fig. S4). Principle

component analysis (PCA), explaining 16% of genetic variance by two PCs,

consistently revealed three distinct populations corresponding to NC, MC and SC.

Further, fine-scale subpopulations were detected where oysters from Qingdao (QD)

and southern subpopulation (SC-b) including Taishan (TS) and Qinzhou (QZh) were

separated from NC and SC, respectively (Fig. 2b). Moreover, phylogenetic analysis

using neighbor-joining (NJ) method supported PCA clustering, by first distinguishing

southern populations from others and then identified another subpopulation (NC-a)

within NC located in estuaries of southern Bohai Sea including Binzhou (BZ) and

Dongying (DY) (Fig. 2c). A total of six subpopulations were identified for 11

estuaries, greatly improving the resolution of the fine-population structure. Pairwise

F_{ST} using all polymorphic positions revealed exceptionally high divergence between

SC and other populations, ranged from 0.143 to 0.225 (Fig. 2d), while divergence

within SC, MC and NC populations was much lower (table S8). Oysters from MC and

NC were clustered together in phylogenetic tree with a lower genetic divergence (F_{ST}

< 0.05), which is comparable with the divergence among populations of the Pacific

oyster in north China (*10*). Linkage disequilibrium (LD, measured as r^2) decreased to

half of its maximum value around 2.54 - 3.00 kb in the three populations (fig. S5),

which is substantially slower than the LD decay in the Pacific oyster (~0.1 kb) (*10*).

These results provide unprecedented insights into the fine-scale population structure

of the estuarine oyster. The finding of exceptionally low sequence diversity, slow LD

decay and high population divergence in the estuarine oyster compared with the

Pacific oyster suggests that the two species experienced different evolutionary forces.

Historical glaciation, geological events and oceanographic forces shaping

diversity and distribution. Population structure of the estuarine oyster was largely

concordant with the direction of ocean currents during the summer, where the

northern or southern coastal currents are not crossed except over middle populations

near the Yangtze River estuary (Fig. 2a and fig. S6). Nucleotide diversity (π) was

highest in middle populations (3.56×10^{-4}) and QD oysters (3.59×10^{-4}), likely

because converging southern and northern currents bring increased genetic diversity

to the middle populations (Fig. 3a). These findings indicate that ocean currents play

important role in shaping and maintaining population structure of the estuarine oyster

(*10, 36*). The exceptionally high divergence between SC and NC populations can be

explained by the fact that currents from the south and north do not cross over and

cannot facilitate gene flow. Freshwater discharge from Yangtze River is considered as

a major barrier limiting gene flow between north and south populations of marine

organisms (*8, 37-40*). For the estuarine oyster, however, populations on the north (NT)

and south (SH) side of Yangtze River were clustered together as MC, indicating

Yangtze River is not a barrier to gene flow for the estuarine oyster that thrives in low

salinity water of estuaries.

To reconstruct the demographic history, Pairwise Sequentially Markovian Coalescent

(PSMC) method were used to assess fluctuations in effective population size (*Ne*) in

response to Quaternary climatic change using genome data of two or three estuarine

oysters from each of the three populations, as well as three previously sequenced

Pacific oysters as comparison (*10*). Both of species were severely affected by

glaciation events during the past million year as their *Ne* peaked at ~0.90 mya before

the Mindel glaciation (MG, 0.68~0.80 mya) and then was substantially decreased over

three periods of glaciation including MG, Riss glaciation (RG, 0.24~0.37 mya) and

Würm glaciation (WG, 10,000~120,000 years ago). The *Ne* of both species reached

their bottom during the last glaciation WG, but Pacific oyster populations rebounded

early and to higher levels than the estuarine oyster, suggesting the last ice age had a

greater impact on the estuarine oyster. Also, during the interglacial period before the

last glaciation at ~0.2 mya, the SC and NC populations of the estuarine oyster started

to diverge (Fig. 3b). We also estimated divergence time between SC and NC based on

cytochrome oxidase I (COI) sequence data (*29*) using divergence time between *C.*

gigas and *C. angulata* as reference (*39, 41*). The COI-based estimate placed the

divergence time at 0.14~0.63 mya, which is close to the 0.2 mya estimated by PSMC

with whole-genome data (Fig. 3b). The divergence time coincided with the formation

land bridge between Taiwan and mainland China from 0.2 mya to 25,000 years ago by

tectonic movement (*42*), creating a physical barrier to gene flow between southern

and northern populations that is now maintained by ocean currents.

The nucleotide diversity of all three populations of estuarine oyster was 25-fold lower

than that of the Pacific oyster ($\pi_{\_C.\ gigas}$ = 9.27 × 10^{-3}, Fig. 3c). Similarly, stickleback

fishes adapted to freshwater areas exhibited lower π and *Ne* values than counterparts

dwelling in brackish areas (*43*). These findings suggest that climate change may affect

species with different lifestyles differently and the estuarine oyster may be more

sensitive to climate change than the Pacific oyster that inhabits more open waters of

high salinity. Further, *Ne* curves of middle and northern populations split ~90,000

years ago, which corresponded to the great sea level fall at the sub-glaciation of WG

when Bohai Sea dried up (*44*). The lower *Ne* and nucleotide diversity of northern

population (Fig. 3a, b) support that a stronger bottleneck occurred in estuarine oysters

of Bohai Sea. Relatively lower nucleotide diversity was also found in Bohai

populations of the Pacific oyster, possibly due to the same sea level fall (*10*). The role

of bottlenecks and geographic isolation resulting from historical glaciations and

tectonic events in shaping phylogeography has been demonstrated in other molluscs,

such as the divergence between Atlantic coast and Gulf of Mexico populations of the

eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* (*7*). Our results provide putative divergence times

among estuarine oyster populations linked to historical events and suggest past

climate and tectonic movement played an important role in shaping genetic diversity

and population differentiation in the estuarine oyster.

To explore the effects of selection and genetic drift, we compared highly heterozygous

SNPs in northern and southern populations. The number of SNPs that are highly

heterozygous in NC only (14,373) were 1.89-fold higher than those highly

heterozygous in SC only (7,595) across 10 chromosomes (Fig. 3d). Both directional

selection and genetic bottleneck can decrease the number of heterozygous SNPs. The

finding that southern oysters had significantly higher ratio of genes with

non-synonymous SNPs (35.67% ± 0.35%) than the middle (33.33% ± 0.22%) and

northern (31.82% ± 0.29%) oysters ($p < 0.01$, Fig. 3e), suggests that the southern

oysters experienced stronger selection. Stronger selection, potentially from strong

environmental disturbance, decreased the numbers of heterozygous SNPs in southern

oysters. Thus, in addition to isolation and bottlenecks from historical glaciation,

geological events and oceanographic forces, selection or local adaptation may also

play an important role in shaping variation and phylogeography of the estuarine

oyster.

Signatures of selection. Oysters inhabiting northern and southern estuaries

experience significantly different environmental conditions. Southern habitats are

characterized by high temperature and low salinity. Satellite remote sensing data from

2000 to 2017 indicated that the monthly average sea surface temperature (SST) of

southern habitats was 10.35 □ higher than that of northern habitats (fig. S7). Salinity

at the northern BZ site was 10.98 ‰ higher than the southern TS site (*3*). Climate

change may enhance the difference and cause salinity to increase at north habitats but

decrease at south habitats (*45, 46*). Thus, temperature and salinity are the two most

important environmental factors driving adaptive divergence between northern and

southern populations. With discontinuous distribution and limited gene flow, the

southern population became locally adapted and evolved higher tolerance to high

temperature and low salinity (*3*). We expect that some genomic regions were

subjected to selection and contributed to adaptation to higher temperature and lower

salinity conditions in the southern population.

To identify signatures of selection sweeps, we calculated the fixation index (F_{ST}) and

selection statistics (Tajima's *D*) between two population pairs (SC vs. NC and SC vs.

MC) and identified F_{ST} outliers (top 1%, $F_{ST\_north\ vs.\ south} >= 0.693$, $F_{ST\_middle\ vs.\ south} >=$

0.637). Only genomic regions surrounding selective peaks that overlapped between

the two population pairs and located at the valleys of Tajima's *D* values in one of the

three populations were considered as under selection. A total of 24 selective regions

spanning 51 candidate genes (44 annotated) were identified along chromosomes 2, 3,

4, 6, 8 and 9 among three oyster populations (Fig. 4a, b and fig. S8-13, table S9).

Most of these candidate genes are involved in response to environmental disturbances

in salinity and temperature (*47-54*).

To assess transcriptomic response of the estuarine oyster to temperature and salinity

disturbances, we conducted RNA-seq analysis of oysters exposed to elevated

temperature (6 hours under 37 □) and high salinity (7 days under 60 ‰). Only genes

with 10 or more aligned reads in >90% samples were used for subsequent analyses.

About 44.17% (10,279 of 23,270) and 11.7% (2,757 of 23,650) of genes were

differentially expressed under high salinity and temperature stresses, respectively,

with 1,088 genes responsive to both stressors (fig. S14). For the 51 candidate genes

from regions under selection, a total of 29 genes were expressed, and 75.9% (22 of 29)

and 58.6% (17 of 29) of which were differentially expressed under high salinity and

high temperature, respectively ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 4c), indicating most genes under

selection are involved in response to environmental disturbances in these two factors.

Thirteen genes responded to both thermal and salinity stresses, while only three genes

were not sensitive to the two stressors. Nine and four genes highly responsive to high

salinity and high temperature, respectively. The finding that most of genes from

regions under selection are involved in response to temperature and salinity

disturbances suggest that these two environmental factors are important drivers of

adaptive evolution in the estuarine oyster.

Expansion of genes contributing to temperature and salinity adaptation. Among

the 24 regions under selection, we found two clusters of tandemly duplicated genes

belonging to *solute carrier* families, 10 copies of *Slc23a2* and four copies of

monocarboxylate transporter 12 (*Mct12*, also known as *Slc16a12*) (fig. S13c), located

in the two regions of chromosome 9 with high divergence (F_{ST} = 0.81 and 0.76)

among the three oyster populations (fig. S13d). The genomic region spanning *Slc23a2*

gene families exhibited extremely low Tajima's *D* values in northern oysters, while

these spanning *Mct12* gene families had extremely low Tajima's *D* values in southern

oysters (fig. S13e). These findings indicate that *Slc23a2* and *Mct12* genes were under

directional selection in northern and southern environments respectively, highlighting

the critical role of *Slc* family genes in adaptation of marine species, as also reported in

porpoises and coral (*47, 55-57*), to salinity and temperature variations.

The ten copies of *Slc23a2* family belong to three orthogroups, where two of them are

annotated as purine permease (a: OG0011985 and c: OG0000489) and the other is

annotated as uric acid transporter (OG0000633). Four copies of *Mct12* gene family

belong to one orthogroup annotated as purine efflux pump (OG0000571). All these

orthogroups were extensively expanded in the estuarine oyster, as well as in other two

other oyster species (*Crassostrea virginica* and *Crassostrea hongkongensis*) that occur

in warm and low-salinity estuarine environments, but not so in *C. gigas* that inhabit

cool, open and high-salinity waters (fig. S15). Three copies of *Slc23a2* (*Slc23a2_a1*,

Slc23a2_a2 and *Slc23a2_c2*) in two expanded orthogroups responded to both

temperature and salinity challenges, while three copies of *Mct12* (*Mct12_1*, *Mct12_2*

and *Mct12_3*) responded to salinity challenge (Fig. 4c). The expansion of these

environment-responsive genes may enhance transcriptional complexity or plasticity

and play an important role in adaption to diverse temperature and salinity conditions

in the estuarine oyster and two other species inhabiting similar environments. This

finding provides further evidence that gene duplication is critical for stress adaptation,

as previously demonstrated for the expansion of *heat shock protein* and *inhibitor of*

apoptosis gene families in the Pacific oyster and other marine bivalves (*22, 31, 58*).

Stronger selection in upstream regulatory regions of environment-responsive

genes with high plasticity. To understand the interaction between divergence and

plasticity, we examined divergence in different genomic regions (genic, upstream and

downstream) with F_{ST} and transcriptional plasticity of the 29 genes from regions

under selection in response to environmental translocations. F_1 progeny produced

from northern and southern populations were acclimatized at both northern and

southern habitats for three months before transcriptional analysis. Fourteen of the 29

genes exhibited higher plasticity (HP) where they showed significantly differentiated

expression when translocated to nonnative environments in both oyster populations (*p*

< 0.05), while other genes had lower plasticity (LP) and their expression didn't

strongly respond to environmental translocation/change (Fig. 5a). The transcriptional

plasticity of the HP genes was 19.15% higher than that of LP genes (*p* = 0.0255, fig.

S16).

For the whole genome, genic regions showed significantly higher F_{ST} values (mean

F_{ST_genic} = 0.163) than that of intergenic regions ($F_{ST\_intergenic}$ = 0.138, *p* < 0.001,

Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, Fig. 5b), indicating stronger selection in genic regions

driving differentiation between northern and southern populations. For all 29 genes

from regions under selection, both genic (F_{ST} = 0.7745) and intergenic (F_{ST} = 0.7585)

regions showed strong selection signals or divergence compared with all genes in the

genome, and the difference between genic and intergenic regions was not significant

(*p* > 0.05). For both genic and intergenic regions, genes with high plasticity showed

significantly (*p* = 0.0230) higher divergence (F_{ST} = 0.7816) between northern and

southern oysters than LP genes (F_{ST} = 0.7274) (Fig. 5b). Specifically, the upstream

intergenic regions of HP genes exhibited significantly (p = 0.01512) higher

divergence (F_{ST} = 0.7958) between northern and southern populations than that of LP

genes (F_{ST} = 0.7402), while there was no significant difference between the two type

of genes for genic and downstream intergenic regions ($p > 0.05$, Fig. 5c). Further, LP

genes showed higher divergence in genic regions. While selection preferentially

targeted genic regions at genome level and for LP genes, HP genes showing high

plasticity exhibited stronger selection in upstream intergenic regions, where critical

regulatory elements such as promoter and enhancers reside, potentially regulate gene

expression and enhance transcriptional plasticity (*19*). These results suggest that

selection and divergence in upstream regulatory regions may enhance phenotypic

plasticity that contributes to the survival and adaption of organisms facing

environmental disturbances. The mechanism of selection favoring plasticity is not

clear at this time. Directional selection tends to reduce genetic variation and facilitate

local adaptation. Selection acting on regulatory elements may create divergence and

transcriptional complexity that enhance phenotypic plasticity (*18, 19*) at species level.

Directional selection acting on duplicated genes can potentially direct duplicated

member genes to express under different conditions and therefore enhance overall

phenotypic plasticity. It is also possible that balancing selection retains diversity in

regulatory elements and increase plasticity. It has been suggested that balancing

selection is pervasive in oysters and important for their evolution (*59*).

In conclusion, analysis of the estuarine oyster genome revealed exceptionally low

genetic diversity and fine-scale population structures, which were shaped and

maintained by climate history, geological events and oceanographic forces.

Integration of genomic and transcriptomic analyses revealed genes in regions under

selection are mostly involved in environmental response including the expanded

solute carrier gene families that are important for environmental adaptation.

Expansion and selection in upstream regulatory regions of environment-responsive

genes may enhance transcriptional complexity and phenotypic plasticity that are

critical for the adaptation to rapidly changing environments.

Materials and Methods

Genome sequencing. A wild adult estuarine oyster *Crassostrea ariakensis* was obtained from Binzhou, Bohai Sea, northern China. Four tissue samples (gill, mantle, adductor muscle and labial palp) were collected and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Genomic DNA was extracted from the adductor muscle and used to construct libraries for Oxford Nanopore Technologies' long-read sequencing. In addition, libraries with insert size of ~350 bp were prepared and sequenced using Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform.

Genome size and heterozygosity. Trimmed Illumina short reads were used to generate the k-mer copy number (KCN) distribution. We selected 21-mer for KCN distribution which showed two distinct peaks (fig. S1). The first peak (KCN = 45) represents heterozygous single copy k-mers while the second peak (KCN = 90) represents homozygous single copy k-mers in the genome. Genome size was estimated by the formula G = K_num/peak depth.

Contig-level assembly using long-reads. Nanopore long reads, with a read N50 of 33,230 and a mean read length of 23,240 bp, were used for initial genome assembly. Error correction of clean data was conducted using Canu (*60*) v1.5, and then were assembled using Canu, WTDBG2 (*61*) and SMARTdenovo tools. Quickmerge (*62*) v0.2.2 was used to join the three assemblies, and the assembly was corrected for 3 cycles using long reads by Racon (*63*) and for 3 cycles using Illumina reads by Pilon (*64*) v1.22 with default parameters. The initial assembly of the estuarine oyster genome was 613,892,480 bp in length with a contig N50 of 6,967,240 bp.

Chromosome-level assembly with Hi-C. The same genomic DNA extracted from the adductor muscle was used to construct Hi-C library for with the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. Contigs and scaffolds which were then sorted and oriented into super scaffolds using LACHESIS (*65*) with the following parameters: cluster_min_re_sites

= 47, cluster_max_link_density = 2, cluster_noninformative_ratio = 2,

order_min_n_res_in_trun = 40, order_min_n_res_in_shreds = 41.

Genome evaluation. Hi-C contact heatmap of the number of Hi-C links between 100

kb windows on the pseudochromosomes was used to assess the accuracy of the Hi-C

assembly. Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) v3.0.2 with 978

conserved genes were used to assess the completeness and accuracy of gene coverage.

The completeness and accuracy of the assembly was also evaluated by mapping rate

of RNA-seq reads (see **Protein coding gene annotation**) and Illumina genomic reads

with Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (*66*) v.0.7.8.

Repeat annotation. Transposable elements (TEs) were identified and classified using

homology and *de novo*-based approaches. RepeatScout and LTR_FINDER were used

to construct *de novo* repeat libraries. The *de novo*-based libraries were further

classified with PASTEClassifier (*67*) to obtain a consensus library, and combined with

the repeat library of Repbase data. RepeatMasker (*68*) v4.0.5 was used to identify TEs

in the estuarine oyster genome with the combined library.

Protein-coding gene annotation. Protein-coding genes were identified with three

methods: *de novo* prediction, homology-based prediction and RNA-seq-based

prediction. For *de novo* prediction, five *ab initio* gene prediction programs, Genscan

(*69*) v1.0, Augustus (*70*) v2.4, GlimmerHMM (*71*) v3.0.4, GeneID (*72*) v1.4 and

SNAP(*73*), were used to predict genes in the repeat-masked genome. For

homolog-based prediction, protein sequences from 10 well-annotated species,

including *Homo sapiens*, *Danio rerio*, *Aplysia californica*, *Strongylocentrotus*

purpuratus, *C. gigas*, *C. virginica*, *Biomphalaria glabrata*, *Lingula anatina*, *Octopus*

bimaculoides and *Mizuhopecten yessoensis*, were downloaded from GenBank and

aligned to the repeat-masked estuarine oyster genome using tblastn (*74*) with E-value

≤ 1E-05. We used GeMoMa (*75*) v1.3.1 to predict gene models based on alignment

sequences. For RNA-seq-based prediction, RNA-seq data generated from four tissues (gill, mantle, adductor muscle and labial palp) using ONT long-read sequencing platform were filtered to remove adaptors and then trimmed to remove low-quality bases. Clean reads were aligned to reference genome using TopHat2 (*76*) and then assembled using Trinity (*77*). Full transcriptome-based genome annotation was predicted using PASA (*78*) v2.2.2. Finally, EVidenceModeler (*79*) (EVM) v1.1.1 was used to generate a weighted and non-redundant gene set by integrating all gene models predicted by the three methods.

Homologous sequences in the genome were identified with genBlastA (*80*) v1.0.4 using the integrated gene set, and GeneWise (*81*) was used to identify pseudogenes. Transfer RNAs (tRNAs) were defined using tRNAscan-SE (*82*) v1.3.1 software with eukaryote default parameters. MicroRNA and rRNA were identified with Infernal BLASTN (*83*) against the Rfam (*84*) database v12.0.

Functional annotation of protein-coding genes was conducted by aligning them to the NCBI non-redundant protein (*85*) (NR), SwissProt (*86*), KOG (*87*) and TrEMBL (*86*) databases using BLAST (*83*) v2.2.31 with a maximal e-value of 1e-05. Domains were identified by searching against Pfam (*88*) database using HMMER (*89*) v3.0. Genes were mapped to Gene Ontology (GO) terms and KEGG pathways to identify their best functional classification.

Whole-genome resequencing and mapping. We collected 264 wild estuarine oysters from 11 estuaries (Fig. 3a), covering most of its distribution range (*26, 27*). Genomic DNA was isolated from gill tissue with standard phenol-chloroform extraction and used to construct paired-end libraries with an insert size of ~350 bp according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) for sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq X Ten Sequencer. We obtained ~14.42 Gb of clean data for each sample, giving an average depth of 19.9X coverage (15-28X) (table S8). The 150-bp paired-end reads were mapped to the estuarine oyster reference genome (PRJNA715058) with the BWA (*66*) using default parameters (bwa mem –M -t 10 -T

20). Mapping data were then converted into the BAM format and sorted by SAMtools

v.1.3.1 (*90*) to remove duplicate reads. Read pairs with the highest mapping quality

were retained if multiple pairs have identical external coordinates.

Variant calling and annotation. The Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) v.3.7 (*91*)

module HaplotypeCaller was used to obtain high-quality variation calling of each

sample. SNPs were further filtered with parameters 'QD<2.0 || FS>60.0 || MQ<40.0'.

Similarly, indels were called and filtered using parameters 'QD<2.0 || FS>60.0'.

Filtered SNPs were annotated by SnpEff (*92*) and then classified into regions of exon,

intron, splicing site, and upstream and downstream intergenic regions, and as

heterozygous or homozygous variants. To characterize the types of variants in

northern and southern oysters, Plink (*93*) was used to filter raw SNPs using

parameters of MAF > 0.05 and Int > 0.8. The retained SNPs were singly classified as

highly heterozygous in a population if more than half individuals were heterozygous

in that population but not in the other population. Variations in exons were further

categorized as synonymous or non-synonymous SNPs. Two-sided two-sample

Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were conducted to test whether the ratios of genes with

nonsynonymous mutations were different between northern and southern populations,

using the function *wilcoxsign-test* in R package "coin".

Population analysis. Population structure was inferred using ADMIXTURE v.1.23

(*94*) with default settings. The number of assumed genetic clusters *K* ranged from 2 to

5, and the optimum *K* was assessed with cross-validation errors. The individual-based

neighbor-joining (NJ) phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA (*95*) under

Kimura 2-Parameter model with 1000 bootstraps, and visualized using FigTree. PCA

was performed with whole-genome SNPs of all 264 individuals using Eigensoft (*96*).

To evaluate LD decay, parameter r^2 between any two loci was calculated within each

chromosome using Plink v.1.07 (*93*) with the command –ld-window-r2 0 –ld-window

99999 –ld-window-kb 500. The average r^2 values were calculated for each length of

distance and the genome-wide LD was averaged across all chromosomes. The LD

decay was plotted against the length of distance. Popgenome R package (*97*) was used

to calculate Tajima's *D*, global F_{ST} and nucleotide diversity (*p*) using a 100-kb sliding

window with the step size of 10-kb.

Demographic history. We implemented PSMC (*98*) to estimate dynamics of effective

population size (*Ne*) and possible divergence time over the past several million years.

A total of eight estuarine oysters from northern (n = 3), middle (n = 2) and southern (n

= 3) populations and three Pacific oysters (*10*) with high sequencing depth (20 - 28×)

were used. To minimize the probability of false positives, sequencing depth of SNPs

was filtered with parameters: MinDepth = average depth/3, MaxDepth = average

depth×2. The PSMC parameters were set as: -N25 -t15 -r5 -p '4 + 25*2 + 4 + 6' to

estimate historical *Ne*. The estimated generation time (*g*) was set as 1 for both species,

while mutation rates (*μ*) were calculated, following the formula $T_{\_divergence} = Ks/2\mu$, as

$0.3×10^{-8}$ and $0.2×10^{-8}$ for estuarine and Pacific oysters, respectively.

Selection signature detection. To identify selection sweeps potentially contributing

to adaptation to southern environments, we calculated fixation (F_{ST}) and selection

statistics (Tajima's *D*) between two pairs of populations, north vs. south and middle vs.

south, in a 100-kb sliding window with a step size of 10-kb. Genomic regions

showing strong selection signals were defined as: 1) regions with top 1% F_{ST} values

that overlapped in both pair comparisons; and 2) regions located at valleys of Tajima's

D values distribution along the chromosome in one of the three populations.

Response to temperature and salinity disturbance. To investigate responses of

estuarine oysters to challenges of elevated temperature and high salinity, we collected

wild oysters and exposed them to different temperatures (20 □ and 37 □ for 6 hours)

and salinities (20 and 60 for 7 days), respectively. Gills from five oysters were

individually sampled and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent transcriptomic

analysis.

Reciprocal transplantation experiments. To explore the plasticity of candidate

genes from regions under selection, we measured transcriptional changes in oysters

subjected to native and non-native environments after reciprocal transplantation (*3*).

Briefly, wild oysters derived from northern (Binzhou: BZ, Bohai Sea) and southern

(Taishan: TS, East China Sea) environments were collected and used to produce F_1

progeny. For each population, 40 males and 40 females were selected as parents. Eggs

were pooled and then divided into 40 beakers, each fertilized with sperm from one of

the 40 males to create all possible matings. Zygotes fathered by every eight males

were combined and cultured as one group, and the five groups were reared in separate

tanks in the hatchery and nursery to juvenile stage. Two-month-old F_1 juveniles from

each of the two populations were outplanted at two source habitats to assess their

responses to reciprocal transplantation or environmental change. After three months

of acclimation at northern and southern environments, gills of five oysters were

sampled from each of population at both habitats *in situ* and flash-frozen in liquid

nitrogen for subsequent transcriptomic analysis.

Transcriptomic analysis. Total RNA was isolated from gills sampled from laboratory

challenge experiment (high temperature and high salinity) and field reciprocal

transplantation experiment, using the RNAprep Pure Tissue Kit (Tiangen) following

the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA integrity and concentration were examined

with 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis and Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer,

respectively. DNA contamination was removed with DNAse I treatment. RNA

integrity was further assessed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 and the RNA Nano

6000 Assay Kit. One μg RNA per sample was used to construct sequencing libraries

using NEBNext Ultra^{TM} RNA Library Prep Kit for 150-bp paired-end sequencing on

an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. Clean data were obtained by removing reads

containing adapters, reads containing ploy-N and low-quality reads. TopHat2 was

used to map clean reads to the estuarine oyster genome. StringTie v2.0 was used for read assembly. Only reads with perfect match or one mismatch were further analyzed and annotated. Gene expression levels were estimated by fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM). We used DESeq2 to determine differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between different populations or treatments. Genes with an adjusted p-value < 0.01 using the Benjamin and Hochberg's approach were accepted as DEGs. For genes from regions under selection, those significantly differentially expressed between northern and southern habitats in both oyster populations were defined as high plasticity genes (HP), while others were defined as low plasticity genes (LP). A hierarchical cluster analysis was performed to show differential expression of these genes, using the *pheatmap* package in R software.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material for this article is available at the end of this file.

References and Notes

1. O. Hoegh-Guldberg, J. F. Bruno, The impact of climate change on the world's marine ecosystems. *Science* **328**, 1523-1528 (2010).

2. C. Chou, J. C. H. Chiang, C.-W. Lan, C.-H. Chung, Y.-C. Liao, C.-J. Lee, Increase in the range between wet and dry season precipitation. *Nature Geoscience* **6**, 263-267 (2013).

3. A. Li, C. Wang, W. Wang, Z. Zhang, M. Liu, Z. She, Z. Jia, G. Zhang, L. Li, Molecular and Fitness Data Reveal Local Adaptation of Southern and Northern Estuarine Oysters (Crassostrea ariakensis). *Frontiers in Marine Science* **7**, (2020).

4. R. F. Schneider, A. Meyer, How plasticity, genetic assimilation and cryptic genetic

variation may contribute to adaptive radiations. *Molecular ecology* **26**, 330-350 (2017).

5.   E. Crispo, The Baldwin effect and genetic assimilation: revisiting two mechanisms of

evolutionary change mediated by phenotypic plasticity. *Evolution* **61**, 2469-2479

(2007).

6.   D. W. Pfennig, M. A. Wund, E. C. Snell-Rood, T. Cruickshank, C. D. Schlichting, A. P.

Moczek, Phenotypic plasticity's impacts on diversification and speciation. *Trends in*

ecology & evolution **25**, 459-467 (2010).

7.   N. E. Buroker, W. K. Hershberger, K. K. Chew, Population Genetics of the Family

Ostreidae. II. Interspecific Studies of the Genera *Crassostrea* and *Saccostrea. Marine*

Biology **54**, 171-184 (1979).

8.   G. Ni, E. Kern, Y. W. Dong, Q. Li, J. K. Park, More than meets the eye: The barrier

effect of the Yangtze River outflow. *Molecular ecology* **26**, 4591-4602 (2017).

9.   Y. Xiao, D. Ma, M. Dai, Q. Liu, Z. Xiao, J. Li, J. Liu, S. Xu, Q. Cheng, The impact of

Yangtze River discharge on the genetic structure of a population of the rock

bream,Oplegnathus fasciatus. *Marine Biology Research* **12**, 426-434 (2016).

10.   L. Li, A. Li, K. Song, J. Meng, X. Guo, S. Li, C. Li, P. De Wit, H. Que, F. Wu, W. Wang,

H. Qi, F. Xu, R. Cong, B. Huang, Y. Li, T. Wang, X. Tang, S. Liu, B. Li, R. Shi, Y. Liu, C.

Bu, C. Zhang, W. He, S. Zhao, H. Li, S. Zhang, L. Zhang, G. Zhang, Divergence and

plasticity shape adaptive potential of the Pacific oyster. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2**, 1751-1760

(2018).

11.   C. D. Kenkel, M. V. Matz, Gene expression plasticity as a mechanism of coral

adaptation to a variable environment. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **1**, 0014 (2016).

12.   T. Armarego-Marriott, Climatic selection and gene expression plasticity. *Nature*

Climate Change **11**, 4-4 (2021).

13.   C. A. Vargas, N. A. Lagos, M. A. Lardies, C. Duarte, P. H. Manriquez, V. M. Aguilera,

B. Broitman, S. Widdicombe, S. Dupont, Species-specific responses to ocean

acidification should account for local adaptation and adaptive plasticity. *Nature*

Ecology & Evolution **1**, 84 (2017).

14.   L. Y. Yampolsky, T. M. Schaer, D. Ebert, Adaptive phenotypic plasticity and local

adaptation for temperature tolerance in freshwater zooplankton. *Proceedings.*

Biological sciences / The Royal Society **281**, 20132744 (2014).

15.   J. Sandoval-Castillo, K. Gates, C. J. Brauer, S. Smith, L. Bernatchez, L. B.

Beheregaray, Adaptation of plasticity to projected maximum temperatures and across

climatically defined bioregions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**,

17112-17121 (2020).

16.   D. W. Whitman, A. A. Agrawal, *What is phenotypic plasticity and why is it important?*

(Phenotypic Plasticity of Insects, Science Publishers, 2009).

17.   L. E. Eierman, M. P. Hare, Reef-Specific Patterns of Gene Expression Plasticity in

Eastern Oysters (Crassostrea virginica). *The Journal of heredity* **107**, 90-100 (2016).

18.   M. Kelly, Adaptation to climate change through genetic accommodation and

assimilation of plastic phenotypes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*

Biological Sciences **374**, 20180176 (2019).

19.   V. Grishkevich, I. Yanai, The genomic determinants of genotype x environment

interactions in gene expression. *Trends in genetics : TIG* **29**, 479-487 (2013).

20.   A. Li, L. Li, K. Song, W. Wang, G. Zhang, Temperature, energy metabolism, and

adaptive divergence in two oyster subspecies. *Ecology and evolution* **7**, 6151-6162

(2017).

21.   P.-A. Gagnaire, J.-B. Lamy, F. Cornette, S. Heurtebise, L. Degremont, E. Flahauw, P.

Boudry, N. Bierne, S. Lape`gue, Analysis of Genome-Wide Differentiation between

Native and Introduced Populations of the Cupped Oysters *Crassostrea gigas* and

Crassostrea angulata. Genome biology and evolution **10**, 2518-2534 (2018).

22.   G. Zhang, X. Fang, X. Guo, L. Li, R. Luo, F. Xu, P. Yang, L. Zhang, X. Wang, H. Qi, Z.

Xiong, H. Que, Y. Xie, P. W. Holland, J. Paps, Y. Zhu, F. Wu, Y. Chen, J. Wang, C.

Peng, J. Meng, L. Yang, J. Liu, B. Wen, N. Zhang, Z. Huang, Q. Zhu, Y. Feng, A.

Mount, D. Hedgecock, Z. Xu, Y. Liu, T. Domazet-Loso, Y. Du, X. Sun, S. Zhang, B. Liu,

P. Cheng, X. Jiang, J. Li, D. Fan, W. Wang, W. Fu, T. Wang, B. Wang, J. Zhang, Z.

Peng, Y. Li, N. Li, J. Wang, M. Chen, Y. He, F. Tan, X. Song, Q. Zheng, R. Huang, H.

Yang, X. Du, L. Chen, M. Yang, P. M. Gaffney, S. Wang, L. Luo, Z. She, Y. Ming, W.

Huang, S. Zhang, B. Huang, Y. Zhang, T. Qu, P. Ni, G. Miao, J. Wang, Q. Wang, C. E.

Steinberg, H. Wang, N. Li, L. Qian, G. Zhang, Y. Li, H. Yang, X. Liu, J. Wang, Y. Yin, J.

Wang, The oyster genome reveals stress adaptation and complexity of shell formation.

Nature **490**, 49-54 (2012).

23.   A. Li, L. Li, W. Wang, G. Zhang, Evolutionary trade-offs between baseline and plastic

gene expression in two congeneric oyster species. *Biology Letters* **15**, 20190202

(2019).

24.   M. W. Beck, R. D. Brumbaugh, L. Airoldi, A. Carranza, L. D. Coen, C. Crawford, O.

620   Defeo, G. J. Edgar, B. Hancock, M. C. Kay, H. S. Lenihan, M. W. Luckenbach, C. L.

621   Toropova, G. Zhang, X. Guo, Oyster Reefs at Risk and Recommendations for

622   Conservation, Restoration, and Management. *BioScience* **61**, 107-116 (2011).

623   25.   X. Guo, S. E. Ford, Infectious diseases of marine molluscs and host responses as

624   revealed by genomic tools. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London.*

625   *Series B, Biological sciences* **371**,   (2016).

626   26.   H. Wang, X. Guo, G. Zhang, F. Zhang, Classification of jinjiang oysters Crassostrea

627   rivularis (Gould, 1861) from China, based on morphology and phylogenetic analysis.

628   *Aquaculture* **242**, 137-155 (2004).

629   27.   M. F. Zhou, S. K. Allen, A review of published work on *Crassostrea ariakensis*. *Journal*

630   *of Shellfish Research* **22**, 1-20 (2003).

631   28.   H. Wang, L. Qian, G. Zhang, X. Liu, A. Wang, Y. Shi, N. Jiao, X. Guo, Distribution of

632   *Crassostrea ariakensis* in China. *Journal of Shellfish Research* **25**, 789-790 (2006).

633   29.   C. M. Bai, L. S. Xin, U. Rosani, B. Wu, Q. C. Wang, X. K. Duan, Z. H. Liu, C. M. Wang,

634   Chromosomal-level assembly of the blood clam, Scapharca (Anadara) broughtonii,

635   using long sequence reads and Hi-C. *GigaScience* **8**,   (2019).

636   30.   J. Peng, Q. Li, L. Xu, P. Wei, P. He, X. Zhang, L. Zhang, J. Guan, X. Zhang, Y. Lin, J.

637   Gui, X. Chen, Chromosome-level analysis of the Crassostrea hongkongensis genome

638   reveals extensive duplication of immune-related genes in bivalves. *Molecular ecology*

639   *resources* **20**, 980-994 (2020).

640   31.   H. Song, X. Guo, L. Sun, Q. Wang, F. Han, H. Wang, G. A. Wray, P. Davidson, Q.

641   Wang, Z. Hu, C. Zhou, Z. Yu, M. Yang, J. Feng, P. Shi, Y. Zhou, L. Zhang, T. Zhang,

The hard clam genome reveals massive expansion and diversification of inhibitors of

apoptosis in Bivalvia. *BMC Biol* **19**, 15 (2021).

32.   C. Penaloza, A. Gutierrez, L. Eory, S. Wang, X. Guo, A. Archibald, T. Bean, R.

Houston, A chromosome-level genome assembly for the Pacific oyster Crassostrea

gigas. *GigaScience* **10**,   (2021).

33.   J. Xiao, J. F. Cordes, H. Wang, X. Guo, K. S. Reece, Population genetics of

Crassostrea ariakensis in Asia inferred from microsatellite markers. *Marine Biology*

157, 1767-1781 (2010).

34.   W.-J. Kim, S. T. Dammannagoda, H. Jung, I. S. Baek, H. S. Yoon, S. D. Choi,

Mitochondrial DNA sequence analysis from multiple gene fragments reveals genetic

heterogeneity of Crassostrea ariakensis in East Asia. *Genes Genom.* **36**, 611-624

(2014).

35.   X. Liu, L. Li, A. Li, Y. Li, W. Wang, G. Zhang, Transcriptome and Gene Coexpression

Network Analyses of Two Wild Populations Provides Insight into the High-Salinity

Adaptation Mechanisms of Crassostrea ariakensis. *Marine biotechnology* **21**, 596-612

(2019).

36.   C. Li, M. Olave, Y. Hou, G. Qin, R. F. Schneider, Z. Gao, X. Tu, X. Wang, F. Qi, A.

Nater, A. F. Kautt, S. Wan, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, H. Zhang, B. Zhang, H. Zhang, M. Qu, S.

Liu, Z. Chen, J. Zhong, H. Zhang, L. Meng, K. Wang, J. Yin, L. Huang, B. Venkatesh,

A. Meyer, X. Lu, Q. Lin, Genome sequences reveal global dispersal routes and

suggest convergent genetic adaptations in seahorse evolution. *Nature*

communications **12**, 1094 (2021).

37. Y. Dong, H. Wang, G. Han, C. Ke, X. Zhan, T. Nakano, G. A. Williams, The Impact of

Yangtze River Discharge, Ocean Currents and Historical Events on the Biogeographic

Pattern of *Cellana toreuma* along the China Coast. *PloS ONE* **7**, e36178 (2012).

38. G. Ni, Q. Li, L. Kong, X. D. Zheng, Phylogeography of bivalve *Cyclina sinensis*: testing

the historical glaciations and Changjiang River outflow hypotheses in northwestern

Pacific. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e49487 (2012).

39. H. Wang, L. Qian, X. Liu, G. Zhang, X. Guo, Classification of a common cupped oyster

from southern China. *Journal of Shellfish Research* **29**, 857-866 (2010).

40. H. Wang, G. Zhang, X. Liu, X. Guo, Classification of Common Oysters from North

China. *Journal of Shellfish Research* **27**, 495-503 (2008).

41. J. Ren, X. Liu, F. Jiang, X. Guo, B. Liu, Unusual conservation of mitochondrial gene

order in *Crassostrea* oysters: evidence for recent speciation in Asia. *BMC evolutionary*

biology **10**, 394 (2010).

42. M. Kimura, Paleogeography of the Ryukyu Islands. *Tropics* **10**, 5-24 (2000).

43. J. A. M. Raeymaekers, A. Chaturvedi, P. I. Hablutzel, I. Verdonck, B. Hellemans, G. E.

Maes, L. De Meester, F. A. M. Volckaert, Adaptive and non-adaptive divergence in a

common landscape. *Nature communications* **8**, 267 (2017).

44. Y. Qin, Y. Zhao, S. Zhao, *Geology of the Bohai Sea* (Science Press, Beijing, 1985).

45. N. Skliris, R. Marsh, S. A. Josey, S. A. Good, C. Liu, R. P. Allan, Salinity changes in

the World Ocean since 1950 in relation to changing surface freshwater fluxes. *Climate*

Dynamics **43**, 709-736 (2014).

46. M. M. Mekonnen, A. Y. Hoekstra, Four billion people facing severe water scarcity.

Science Advances **2**, e1500323 (2016).

47.   X. Zhou, X. Guang, D. Sun, S. Xu, M. Li, I. Seim, W. Jie, L. Yang, Q. Zhu, J. Xu, Q.

Gao, A. Kaya, Q. Dou, B. Chen, W. Ren, S. Li, K. Zhou, V. N. Gladyshev, R. Nielsen,

X. Fang, G. Yang, Population genomics of finless porpoises reveal an incipient

cetacean species adapted to freshwater. *Nature communications* **9**, 1276 (2018).

48.   C. A. Ribeiro, F. Balestro, V. Grando, M. Wajner, Isovaleric acid reduces Na+,

K+-ATPase activity in synaptic membranes from cerebral cortex of young rats. *Cellular*

and molecular neurobiology **27**, 529-540 (2007).

49.   Y. Huang, J. Niwa, G. Sobue, G. E. Breitwieser, Calcium-sensing receptor

ubiquitination and degradation mediated by the E3 ubiquitin ligase dorfin. *The Journal*

of biological chemistry **281**, 11610-11617 (2006).

50.   H. Vienken, N. Mabrouki, K. Grabau, R. F. Claas, A. Rudowski, N. Schomel, J.

Pfeilschifter, D. Lutjohann, G. van Echten-Deckert, D. Meyer Zu Heringdorf,

Characterization of cholesterol homeostasis in sphingosine-1-phosphate

lyase-deficient fibroblasts reveals a Niemann-Pick disease type C-like phenotype with

enhanced lysosomal Ca(2+) storage. *Scientific reports* **7**, 43575 (2017).

51.   M. Pagano, M. A. Clynes, N. Masada, A. Ciruela, L.-J. Ayling, S. Wachten, D. M. F.

Cooper, Insights into the residence in lipid rafts of adenylyl cyclase AC8 and its

regulation by capacitative calcium entry. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **296**, C607–C619

(2009).

52.   E. J. Weinman, W. P. Dubinsky, S. Shenolikar, Reconstitution of cAMP-Dependent

Protein Kinase Regulated Renal Na+-H+ Exchanger. *J. Membr. Biol.* **101**, 11-18

(1988).

53.   M. C. Fonteles, R. N. Greenberg, H. S. A. Monteiro, M. G. Currie, L. R. Forte,

Natriuretic and kaliuretic activities of guanylin and uroguanylin in the isolated perfused

rat kidney. *The American Journal of Physiology* F191-F197, (1998).

54.   D. B. Stern, C. E. Lee, Evolutionary origins of genomic adaptations in an invasive

copepod. *Nat Ecol Evol* **4**, 1084-1094 (2020).

55.   A. M. Barrio, S. Lamichhaney, G. Fan, N. Rafati, M. Pettersson, H. Zhang, J. Dainat, D.

Ekman, M. Hoppner, P. Jern, M. Martin, B. Nystedt, X. Liu, W. Chen, X. Liang, C. Shi,

Y. Fu, K. Ma, X. Zhan, C. Feng, U. Gustafson, C.-J. Rubin, M. S. Almen, M. C. Martina

Blass10, A. Folkvord, L. Laikre, N. Ryman, S. M.-Y. Lee, X. Xu, L. Andersson, The

genetic basis for ecological adaptation of the Atlantic herring revealed by genome

sequencing. *eLife* **5**, e12081 (2016).

56.   C. D. Kenkel, E. Meyer, M. V. Matz, Gene expression under chronic heat stress in

populations of the mustard hill coral (*Porites astreoides*) from different thermal

environments. *Molecular ecology* **22**, 4322-4334 (2013).

57.   P. J. Hoglund, K. J. Nordstrom, H. B. Schioth, R. Fredriksson, The solute carrier

families have a remarkably long evolutionary history with the majority of the human

families present before divergence of Bilaterian species. *Mol Biol Evol* **28**, 1531-1541

(2011).

58.   X. Guo, Y. He, L. Zhang, C. Lelong, A. Jouaux, Immune and stress responses in

oysters with insights on adaptation. *Fish & shellfish immunology* **46**, 107-119 (2015).

59.   X. Guo, C. Li, H. Wang, Z. Xu, Diversity and Evolution of Living Oysters. *Journal of*

Shellfish Research **37**, 755-771 (2018).

60.   S. Koren, B. P. Walenz, K. Berlin, J. R. Miller, N. H. Bergman, A. M. Phillippy, Canu:

scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat

separation. *Genome research* **27**, 722-736 (2017).

61.   V. Jayakumar, Y. Sakakibara, Comprehensive evaluation of non-hybrid genome

assembly tools for third-generation PacBio long-read sequence data. *Briefings in*

bioinformatics **20**, 866-876 (2019).

62.   M. Chakraborty, J. G. Baldwin-Brown, A. D. Long, J. J. Emerson, Contiguous and

accurate de novo assembly of metazoan genomes with modest long read coverage.

Nucleic acids research **44**, e147 (2016).

63.   R. Vaser, I. Sovic, N. Nagarajan, M. Sikic, Fast and accurate de novo genome

assembly from long uncorrected reads. *Genome research* **27**, 737-746 (2017).

64.   B. J. Walker, T. Abeel, T. Shea, M. Priest, A. Abouelliel, S. Sakthikumar, C. A. Cuomo,

Q. Zeng, J. Wortman, S. K. Young, A. M. Earl, Pilon: An Integrated Tool for

Comprehensive Microbial Variant Detection and Genome Assembly Improvement.

PLoS ONE **9**, e112963 (2014).

65.   J. N. Burton, A. Adey, R. P. Patwardhan, R. Qiu, J. O. Kitzman, J. Shendure,

Chromosome-scale scaffolding of de novo genome assemblies based on chromatin

interactions. *Nature biotechnology* **31**, 1119-1125 (2013).

66.   H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760 (2009).

67.   C. Hoede, S. Arnoux, M. Moisset, T. V. Chaumier, O. Inizan, V. Jamilloux, H.

Quesneville, PASTEC: An Automatic Transposable Element Classification Tool. *PLoS*

ONE **9**, e91929 (2014).

68.   M. Tarailo-Graovac, N. Chen, Using RepeatMasker to identify repetitive elements in

genomic sequences. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* **Chapter 4**, Unit 4 10 (2009).

69.   C. Burge, S. Karlin, Prediction of complete gene structures in human genomic DNA.

Journal of molecular biology **268**, 78-94 (1997).

70.   M. Stanke, S. Waack, Gene prediction with a hidden Markov model and a new intron

submodel. *Bioinformatics* **19 Suppl 2**, ii215-225 (2003).

71.   W. H. Majoros, M. Pertea, S. L. Salzberg, TigrScan and GlimmerHMM: two open

source ab initio eukaryotic gene-finders. *Bioinformatics* **20**, 2878-2879 (2004).

72.   T. Alioto, E. Blanco, G. Parra, R. Guigo, Using geneid to Identify Genes. *Curr Protoc*

Bioinformatics **64**, e56 (2018).

73.   I. Korf, Gene finding in novel genomes. *Bmc Bioinformatics* **5**, 59 (2004).

74.   S. F. Altschul, T. L. Madden, A. A. Schäffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller, D. J.

Lipman, Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database

search programs. *Nucleic acids research* **25**, 3389-3402 (1997).

75.   J. Keilwagen, F. Hartung, M. Paulini, S. O. Twardziok, J. Grau, Combining RNA-seq

data and homology-based gene prediction for plants, animals and fungi. *Bmc*

Bioinformatics **19**, 189 (2018).

76.   D. Kim, G. Pertea, C. Trapnell, H. Pimentel, R. Kelley, S. L. Salzberg, TopHat2:

accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene

fusions. *Genome biology* **14**, R36 (2013).

77.   M. G. Grabherr, B. J. Haas, M. Yassour, J. Z. Levin, D. A. Thompson, I. Amit, X.

Adiconis, L. Fan, R. Raychowdhury, Q. Zeng, Z. Chen, E. Mauceli, N. Hacohen, A.

Gnirke, N. Rhind, F. di Palma, B. W. Birren, C. Nusbaum, K. Lindblad-Toh, N.

Friedman, A. Regev, Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without

a reference genome. *Nature biotechnology* **29**, 644-652 (2011).

78.   M. A. Campbell, B. J. Haas, J. P. Hamilton, S. M. Mount, C. R. Buell, Comprehensive

analysis of alternative splicing in rice and comparative analyses with Arabidopsis.

BMC genomics **7**, 327 (2006).

79.   B. J. Haas, S. L. Salzberg, W. Zhu, M. Pertea, J. E. Allen, J. Orvis, O. White, C. R.

Buell, J. R. Wortman, Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using

EVidenceModeler and the Program to Assemble Spliced Alignments. *Genome biology*

9, R7 (2008).

80.   R. She, J. S. Chu, K. Wang, J. Pei, N. Chen, GenBlastA: enabling BLAST to identify

homologous gene sequences. *Genome research* **19**, 143-149 (2009).

81.   E. Birney, M. Clamp, R. Durbin, GeneWise and Genomewise. *Genome research* **14**,

(2004).

82.   T. M. Lowe, S. R. Eddy, tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer

RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic acids research* **25**, 955-964 (1997).

83.   S. F. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, D. J. Lipman, Basic Local Alignment

Search Tool. *Journal of molecular biology* **215**, 403-410 (1990).

84.   S. Griffiths-Jones, S. Moxon, M. Marshall, A. Khanna, S. R. Eddy, A. Bateman, Rfam:

annotating non-coding RNAs in complete genomes. *Nucleic acids research* **33**,

D121-124 (2005).

85.   A. Marchler-Bauer, S. Lu, J. B. Anderson, F. Chitsaz, M. K. Derbyshire, C.

DeWeese-Scott, J. H. Fong, L. Y. Geer, R. C. Geer, N. R. Gonzales, M. Gwadz, D. I.

Hurwitz, J. D. Jackson, Z. Ke, C. J. Lanczycki, F. Lu, G. H. Marchler, M. Mullokandov,

M. V. Omelchenko, C. L. Robertson, J. S. Song, N. Thanki, R. A. Yamashita, D. Zhang,

N. Zhang, C. Zheng, S. H. Bryant, CDD: a Conserved Domain Database for the

functional annotation of proteins. *Nucleic acids research* **39**, D225-229 (2011).

86.   B. Boeckmann, A. Bairoch, R. Apweiler, M. C. Blatter, A. Estreicher, E. Gasteiger, M. J.

Martin, K. Michoud, C. O'Donovan, I. Phan, S. Pilbout, M. Schneider, The

SWISS-PROT protein knowledgebase and its supplement TrEMBL in 2003. *Nucleic*

acids research **31**, 365-370 (2003).

87.   R. L. Tatusov, D. A. Natale, I. V. Garkavtsev, T. A. Tatusova, U. T. Shankavaram, B. S.

Rao, B. Kiryutin, M. Y. Galperin, N. D. Fedorova, E. V. Koonin, The COG database:

new developments in phylogenetic classification of proteins from complete genomes.

Nucleic acids research **29**, 22-28 (2001).

88.   R. D. Finn, J. Mistry, B. Schuster-Bockler, S. Griffiths-Jones, V. Hollich, T. Lassmann,

S. Moxon, M. Marshall, A. Khanna, R. Durbin, S. R. Eddy, E. L. Sonnhammer, A.

Bateman, Pfam: clans, web tools and services. *Nucleic acids research* **34**, D247-251

(2006).

89.   J. Mistry, R. D. Finn, S. R. Eddy, A. Bateman, M. Punta, Challenges in homology

search: HMMER3 and convergent evolution of coiled-coil regions. *Nucleic acids*

research **41**, e121 (2013).

90.   H. Li, B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G.

Abecasis, R. Durbin, S. Genome Project Data Processing, The Sequence

Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078-2079 (2009).

91.   A. McKenna, M. Hanna, E. Banks, A. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis, A. Kernytsky, K.

Garimella, D. Altshuler, S. Gabriel, M. Daly, M. A. DePristo, The Genome Analysis

Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data.

Genome research **20**, 1297-1303 (2010).

92.   P. Cingolani, A. Platts, L. Wang le, M. Coon, T. Nguyen, L. Wang, S. J. Land, X. Lu, D.

M. Ruden, A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide

polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain

w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly* **6**, 80-92 (2012).

93.   S. Purcell, B. Neale, K. Todd-Brown, L. Thomas, M. A. Ferreira, D. Bender, J. Maller,

P. Sklar, P. I. de Bakker, M. J. Daly, P. C. Sham, PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome

association and population-based linkage analyses. *American journal of human*

genetics **81**, 559-575 (2007).

94.   D. H. Alexander, J. Novembre, K. Lange, Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in

unrelated individuals. *Genome research* **19**, 1655-1664 (2009).

95.   S. Kumar, G. Stecher, M. Li, C. Knyaz, K. Tamura, MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary

Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**, 1547-1549 (2018).

96.   A. L. Price, N. J. Patterson, R. M. Plenge, M. E. Weinblatt, N. A. Shadick, D. Reich,

Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association

studies. *Nature genetics* **38**, 904-909 (2006).

97.   B. Pfeifer, U. Wittelsburger, S. E. Ramos-Onsins, M. J. Lercher, PopGenome: an

efficient Swiss army knife for population genomic analyses in R. *Mol Biol Evol* **31**,

1929-1936 (2014).

98.   H. Li, R. Durbin, Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome

sequences. *Nature* **475**, 493-496 (2011).

Acknowledgments: We thank X. Wang, Z. Jia, Z. She, Y. Zhang, Z. Yu, W. Quan, Z.

Zeng and Y. Ning for sample collection, and B. Yin and J. Qi for information on

marine currents. **Funding:** L.L. is supported by the National Key R&D Program of

China (No. 2018YFD0900304) and the Strategic Priority Research Program of the

Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. XDA23050402). A.L. is supported by the

Distinguished Young Scientists Research Fund of Key Laboratory of Experimental

Marine Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. KLEMB-DYS04) and by China

Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2019TQ0324). A.L. and L.L. are supported by

Key Deployment Project of Centre for Ocean Mega-Research of Science, Chinese

Academy of Sciences (No. COMS2019Q06). L.L. is also supported by the National

Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31572620) and the Technology and the

Modern Agro-industry Technology Research System (No. CARS-49). **Author**

contributions: L.L., G.Z. and X.G. conceived the study and participated in final data

analysis, interpretation and manuscript writing. A.L. carried out data analysis and

drafted the manuscript. H.D., A.L., H.C., X.L. and H.Z. contributed to the selective

sweep analysis. A.L., Z.Z., K.Z. and C.W. collected and sampled oyster specimens.

A.L. and W.W. produced the F_1 progeny. A.L., L.L., X.G. and G.Z. revised the

manuscript. All authors approved the manuscript for publication. **Competing**

interests: The authors declare no competing interests. **Data and materials**

availability: The genome, whole-genome re-sequencing and transcriptome datasets

were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under the accession

number PRJNA715058.

Figures

Characteristic	Statistic
Assembled genome size	613.89 Mb
Number of chromosomes	10
Number of contigs	630
Contig N50 length	6.97 Mb
Number of scaffolds	416
Scaffold N50 length	62.26 Mb
Total gap length in the assembly	21.50 Kb
Percentage GC	33.41%
Repeat content	54.14%
Number of protein coding genes	29,631
Complete BUSCOs	92.54%

Fig. 1 | Chromosome-level assembly of the estuarine oyster *Crassostrea ariakensis* genome. a, Estuarine oyster (photograph by Lumin Qian). **b**, Hi-C interaction heatmap showing 10 chromosomes of the estuarine oyster. **c**, CIRCOS plot showing 10 chromosomes (a), the distribution of GC content (b), transposable elements (c), coding sequences (d), and duplicated gene cluster of the *solute carrier* families showing selection signals (e, also see **Fig. 4**). **d**, Summary statistics of the genome assembly.

Fig. 2 | Geographic distribution and genetic differentiation of the estuarine oyster populations. a, Sampling locations of 264 resequenced wild oysters from 11 estuaries along the coast of China. The arrowed curves represent ocean currents in summer. a: Kuroshio Current, b: Yellow Sea Warm Current, c: Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass, d: Bohai Sea Circulation, e: China Coastal Current, f: South China Sea Warm Current. SCS: South China Sea, ECS: East China Sea, YS: Yellow Sea, BS: Bohai Sea. DD: Dandong, YK: Yingkou, BZ: Binzhou, DY: Dongying, QD: Qingdao, NT: Nantong, SH: Shanghai, JLJ: Jiulongjiang, ZhJ: Zhangjiang, TS: Taishan, QZh: Qinzhou. **b**, Plots of principal components 1 and 2 of 264 resequenced oysters based on whole-genome data. **c**, Phylogenetic tree of estuarine oysters inferred from genome-wide SNPs by the neighbour-joining (NJ) method. NC-a: northern China, including BZ and DY; NC-b: northern China including DD and YK; MC: middle China including NT and SH; SC-a: southern China including JLJ and ZhJ; and SC-b: southern China including TS and QZh. **d**, Nucleotide diversity (π, under or above the circles) and genetic divergence (F_{ST}, between populations) among four populations.

Fig. 3 | Effects of gene flow, historical glaciation and natural selection on diversity and differentiation of the estuarine oyster. a, Nucleotide diversity (π) in 11 geographic populations along the coast of China. **b**, Demographic histories of three populations of the estuarine oyster (ar) (SC, MC and NC), and Pacific oyster (*C. gigas* or gi) inferred by Pairwise Sequentially Markovian Coalescent. Periods of the Mindel glaciation (MG, 0.68~0.80 mya), Riss glaciation (MG, 0.24~0.37 mya) and Würm glaciation (WG, 10,000~120,000 years ago) were shaded by yellow. **c**, Nucleotide diversity (π) of three populations of the estuarine oyster, and Pacific oyster (*C. gigas*). **d**, The ratio of SNPs that are highly heterozygous only in northern (N_het) or only in southern (S_het) populations across 10 chromosomes. **e**, The ratio of genes with non-synonymous SNPs in three oyster populations. Asterisks indicate significant difference (*** $p < 0.001$).

Fig. 4 | Genome-wide distribution of selective sweep signals and transcriptional responses of associated genes to thermal and salinity challenges in the estuarine oyster. a, Global F_{ST} values (top 1%, red lines) between two population pairs: northern vs. southern (up) and middle vs. southern (bottom). **b**, Global Tajima's D values in northern (up), middle (middle) and southern (bottom) populations. **c**, Expression of genes under selection exposed to thermal (6 hours at 37 □) and high-salinity (7 days at 60 ‰) challenges. Asterisks indicate significant difference (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$).

Fig. 5 | Transcriptional and genomic divergence of genes with selection signals in the estuarine oyster. a, Expression level of genes under selection in northern (N) and southern (S) populations (capital letters) acclimated to northern (n) and southern (s) environments (lowercase letters), showing low plasticity (LP, orange) and high plasticity (HP, green) genes. **b**, Genetic divergence (F_{ST}) for genic and intergenic regions at genome level (left) and for 29 genes under selection (middle), and for LP and HP genes in both genic and intergenic regions (Total, right). **c**, Genetic divergence (F_{ST}) for intergenic [Up: upstream (left) and Down: downstream (right)] and genic (middle) regions of LP and HP genes. Asterisks indicate significant difference (* $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$).

Supplementary Material for

Genome of the estuarine oyster provides insights into climate impact and adaptive plasticity

Subtitle: Insights into climate impact and adaptive plasticity

Ao Li, He Dai, Ximing Guo, Ziyan Zhang, Kexin Zhang, Chaogang Wang, Wei Wang, Hongju Chen, Xumin Li, Hongkun Zheng, Guofan Zhang[*] and Li Li[*]

[*]Corresponding author. Email: lili@qdio.ac.cn and gzhang@qdio.ac.cn

The file includes:
Figs. S1 to S16

Other Supplementary Material for this manuscript includes the following:
Table S1-9

Fig. S1 | Distribution of 21-mer frequency in the sequencing reads used to estimate genome size.

Fig. S2 | Negative correlation between gene density and repetitive element content per 1 Mb across the genome of estuarine oyster.

Fig. S3 | Cross-validation error plot for populations of the estuarine oyster.

Fig. S4 | Model-based clustering analysis of estuarine oyster populations with ADMIXTURE under different number of groups (K = 2, 3, 4 and 5). SC: southern China. NC: northern China (Nb indicates NC-b). MC: middle China. QD: Qingdao population.

Fig. S5 | Decay of linkage disequilibrium in northern (NC), middle (MC) and southern (SC) populations of the estuarine oyster.

Fig. S6 | Dynamics of average monthly sea surface temperature (SST) and ocean currents along Chinese coastlines. Data for SST and ocean currents were downloaded from MODIS (Jan 2003 to Dec 2019) and OSCAR (Jan 2001 to Dec 2019), respectively.

Fig. S7 | Monthly average sea surface temperature (SST) from satellite remote sensing data of six sampling sites from each of six subpopulations during 2000 to 2017.

Fig. S8 | Signatures of selection along chromosome 2 in northern, middle and southern populations of the estuarine oyster. a and **b**, Selective sweep signatures identified by top 1% F_{ST} value (dash lines) between northern and southern (**a**), and between middle and southern populations (**b**). **c**, F_{ST} values surrounding selective regions om chromosome 2 between population pairs. **d**, Tajima's D surrounding selective regions on chromosome 2 in northern, middle and southern populations of *C. ariakensis*. Noncoding regions were marked with black, and coding regions were green.

Fig. S9 | Signatures of selection along the chromosome 3 in northern, middle and southern populations of the estuarine oyster. a and **b**, Selective sweep signatures identified by top 1% F_{ST} value (dash lines) between northern and southern (**a**), and between middle and southern populations (**b**). **c**, Models of annotated genes located within selective regions along chromosome 3. **d**, F_{ST} values surrounding selective regions om chromosome 3 between population pairs. **e**, Tajima's D surrounding selective regions on chromosome 3 in northern, middle and southern populations. Noncoding regions were marked with black, and coding regions were green.

Fig. S10 | Signatures of selection along chromosome 4 in northern, middle and southern populations of the estuarine oyster. a and **b**, Selective sweep signatures identified by top 1% F_{ST} value (dash lines) between northern and southern populations (**a**), and between middle and southern populations (**b**). **c**, Models of annotated genes located within selective regions along chromosome 4. **d**, F_{ST} values surrounding selective regions om chromosome 4 between population pairs. **e**, Tajima's D surrounding selective regions on chromosome 4 in northern, middle and southern populations. Noncoding regions were marked with black, and coding regions were green.

Fig. S11 | Signatures of selection along chromosome 6 in northern, middle and southern populations of the estuarine oyster. a and **b**, Selective sweep signatures identified by top 1% F_{ST} value (dash lines) between northern and southern (**a**), and between middle and southern populations (**b**). **c**, Models of annotated genes located within selective regions along chromosome 6. **d**, F_{ST} values surrounding selective regions om chromosome 6 between population pairs. **e**, Tajima's D surrounding selective regions on chromosome 6 in northern, middle and southern populations. Noncoding regions were marked with black, and coding regions were green.

Fig. S12 | Signatures of selection along chromosome 8 in northern, middle and southern populations of the estuarine oyster. a and **b**, Selective sweep signatures identified by top 1% F_{ST} value (dash lines) between northern and southern (**a**), and between middle and southern populations (**b**). **c**, Models of annotated genes located within selective regions along chromosome 8. **d**, F_{ST} values surrounding selective regions om chromosome 8 between population pairs. **e**, Tajima's D surrounding selective regions on chromosome 8 in northern, middle and southern populations. Noncoding regions were marked with black, and coding regions were green.

Fig. S13 | Signatures of selection along chromosome 9 in northern, middle and southern populations of the estuarine oyster. a and **b**, Selective sweep signatures identified by top 1% F_{ST} value (dash lines) between northern and southern (**a**), and between middle and southern populations (**b**). **c**, Models of annotated genes located within selective regions along chromosome 9. Models with red lines are responsive to temperature and salinity challenges. **d**, F_{ST} values surrounding selective regions om chromosome 9 between population pairs. **e**, Tajima's *D* surrounding selective regions on chromosome 9 in northern, middle and southern populations. Noncoding regions were marked with black, and coding regions were green.

Fig. S14 | Venn diagram of genes expressed in response to high temperature and high salinity stresses in the estuarine oyster. Heat-high and salinity-high indicate genes expressed under elevated temperature (6 hours under 37□ seawater) and high salinity (7 days under 60‰ seawater) excluding genes with low expression. Heat-sig and salinity-sig indicate genes significantly up- or down-regulated in response to high-temperature and high-salinity stresses.

Fig. S15 | Copy numbers of orthogroups of *Slc23a2* and *Mct12* gene families in four *Crassostrea* oyster species. OG0000633: uric acid transporter, OG0011985 and OG0000489: purine permease, OG0000571: purine efflux pump. Cvi: *C. virginica*, Car: *C. ariakensis*, Cho: *C. hongkongensis* and Cgi: *C. gigas*.

Fig. S16 | Expression changes of estuarine oyster genes showing low plasticity (LP) and high plasticity (HP) when reciprocally transplanted to non-native habitats.