YhcB coordinates peptidoglycan and LPS biogenesis with phospholipid synthesis

during *Escherichia coli* cell growth.

Emily C. A. Goodall[a], Georgia L. Isom[b], Jessica L. Rooke[a], Christopher Icke[a], Karthik

Pullela[a], Bing Zhang[a], James Rae[a], Wee Boon Tan[c], Matthias Winkle[d], Antoine Delhaye[e],

Eva Heinz[f], Jean-Francois Collet[e], Adam F. Cunningham[b], Mark A. Blaskovich[a], Robert

G. Parton[a g], Jeff A. Cole[b], Shu-Sin Chng[c], Waldemar Vollmer[d], Jack A. Bryant[b], Ian R.

Henderson*[a]

[a] Institute for Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Australia

[b] Institute of Microbiology and Infection, University of Birmingham, UK

[c] Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, Singapore

[d] Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Biosciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle

upon Tyne, UK

[e] de Duve Institute, UCLouvain, Brussels, Belgium

[f] Departments of Vector Biology and Clinical Sciences, Liverpool School of Tropical

Medicine, Liverpool, UK

[g] Centre for Microscopy and Microanalysis, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia,

Australia

*Corresponding author: i.henderson@imb.uq.edu.au

Running title: YhcB coordinates peptidoglycan and LPS export with phospholipid

synthesis

Keywords: YhcB, cell envelope, polymyxin B, *Escherichia coli*, LPS, peptidoglycan,

phospholipid membrane, TraDIS, TIS, transposon mutagenesis

Abstract

The cell envelope is essential for viability in all kingdoms of life. It retains enzymes and substrates within a confined space while providing a protective barrier to the external environment. Destabilising the envelope of bacterial pathogens is a common strategy employed by antimicrobial treatment. However, even in one of the most well studied organisms, *Escherichia coli*, there remain gaps in our understanding of how the synthesis of the successive layers of the cell envelope are coordinated during growth and cell division. Here, we used a whole genome phenotypic screen to identify mutants with a defective cell envelope. We report that loss of *yhcB*, a conserved gene of unknown function, results in loss of envelope stability, increased cell permeability and dysregulated control of cell size. Using whole genome transposon mutagenesis strategies we report the complete genetic interaction network of *yhcB,* revealing all genes with a synthetic negative and a synthetic positive relationship. These genes include those previously reported to have a role in cell envelope biogenesis. Surprisingly, we identified genes previously annotated as essential that became non-essential in a Δ*yhcB* background. Subsequent analyses suggest that YhcB sits at the junction of several envelope biosynthetic pathways coordinating the spatiotemporal growth of the cell, highlighting YhcB as an as yet unexplored antimicrobial target.

Introduction

The bacterial cell envelope plays a fundamental role in protection, host interaction, energy generation, expulsion of toxic substances and coordination of growth and cell division. As a physical barrier, it has a central role in acquired and intrinsic antimicrobial resistance. The cell envelope biogenesis systems are therefore important drug targets. In Gram-negative bacteria, the tripartite cell envelope is composed of the cytoplasmic membrane (CM), peptidoglycan (PG) layer and an outer membrane (OM) (Silhavy et al.

2010). The OM is an asymmetrical bilayer of phospholipids and lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which forms a strong permeability barrier conferring resistance to many toxic antimicrobials. Both membranes are studded with integral membrane proteins and peripheral lipoproteins that facilitate cellular functions (Lugtenberg 1981; Molloy et al. 2000; Luirink et al. 2012). Each component of the cell envelope must be synthesised and assembled in a coordinated fashion to maintain cell envelope homeostasis and viability. Thus, understanding how this complex envelope is synthesised and maintained is instrumental to understanding how to disrupt its function, to kill the bacterium, or render the organism susceptible to otherwise ineffective treatments.

Over the last 50 years a variety of sophisticated and complex multiprotein machineries have been discovered that are required for the synthesis of the Gram-negative cell envelope. The Lpt machinery facilitates insertion of LPS into the OM (Sperandeo et al. 2008; Polissi and Sperandeo 2014; May et al. 2015; Simpson et al. 2015; Okuda et al. 2016; Sherman et al. 2018), the BAM complex coordinates OM protein assembly and insertion (Ruiz et al. 2005; Wu et al. 2005; Knowles et al. 2009), the Lol system traffics lipoproteins across the periplasm for incorporation into the OM (Matsuyama et al. 1995; Matsuyama et al. 1997; Yakushi et al. 2000; Okuda and Tokuda 2011), the Mla complex enables phospholipids transport between the two membranes (Malinverni and Silhavy 2009; Thong et al. 2016; Abellón-Ruiz et al. 2017; Powers and Trent 2018; Ercan et al. 2019; Hughes et al. 2019; Shrivastava and Chng 2019; Chi et al. 2020), and the elongasome and divisome are the architects of peptidoglycan assembly during cell elongation and division (Goehring and Beckwith 2005; Den Blaauwen et al. 2008). Each pathway in isolation is broadly understood. However, the precise molecular events that govern the crosstalk between the different biosynthetic pathways, both spatially and temporally during growth and cell division, remain to be elucidated.

Genetic screens to identify synthetically lethal interactions and suppressor

mutations have played a significant role in identifying the interconnected pathways of

envelope biosynthesis (Ogura et al. 1999; Klein et al. 2014). Transposon insertion

sequencing (TIS) is one approach that enables the study of genetic interactions on a

whole genome scale (DeJesus *et al*. 2017). Here we used a chemical genomics approach

to identify genes required for cell envelope homeostasis in *Escherichia coli,* coupling

TraDIS, a TIS method (Langridge et al. 2009; Cain et al. 2020), with the membrane

targeting antibiotic polymyxin B. We demonstrate that a poorly characterised protein,

YhcB, is crucial for tolerance to polymyxin B and for maintenance of cell envelope

homeostasis in *E. coli*. Previous studies revealed that YhcB is a CM protein widely

conserved in Gammaproteobacteria that physically interacts with the elongasome, the

machine that coordinates peptidoglycan synthesis along the cylindrical part of the cell,

and with LapA, a protein involved in regulating LPS biosynthesis (Mogi et al. 2006; Den

Blaauwen et al. 2008; Maddalo et al. 2011; Li et al. 2012; Klein et al. 2014; Mahalakshmi

et al. 2014; Fivenson and Bernhardt 2020). We demonstrate that loss of YhcB results in

dysregulation of cell length and width. We created a high-density transposon mutant

library in an *E. coli* Δ*yhcB* strain to gain a whole genome view of genetic interactions with

yhcB. Subsequent screening of this library against chemical stresses revealed all genes

that suppress the envelope defects associated with the loss of *yhcB.* These data suggest

that YhcB is stationed at the interface between PG and LPS synthesis, and phospholipid

membrane biogenesis, and has a role in coordinating the spatial and temporal assembly

of the cell envelope.

Results

Identification of envelope barrier-defective mutants

Previously, we described a high-density transposon-mutant library of *E. coli* K-12

(Goodall et al. 2018). To identify genes required for cell envelope biogenesis we applied

a chemical genomics approach exposing our library to sub-inhibitory concentrations of

the membrane-acting antibiotic polymyxin B (Fig. S1A). Polymyxins bind the lipid A

moiety of LPS, displacing divalent cations, disrupting the integrity of LPS-crosslinks, and

resulting in an increase in membrane permeability (Newton 1953; Dixon and Chopra

1986; Trimble et al. 2016; Li and Velkov 2019). We posited that if the transposon disrupts

a gene required for the maintenance of cell envelope integrity, the resulting mutant will

become more susceptible to polymyxin B, and the gene would be identified through our

TIS screen as these mutants will be outcompeted during growth; identifiable as a

depletion in transposon-insertions. We grew the transposon library in LB broth with or

without 0.2 µg/ml polymyxin B, in duplicate, and harvested cells after ~5 generations of

growth (Fig. 1A). The sequencing yielded a total of >4.2 M reads, estimated to sample

>99% of the possible unique insertion sites (Fig. S1B). We used the BioTraDIS pipeline

for data processing and analysis (Barquist et al. 2016), and mapped the processed reads

to the *E. coli* BW25113 reference genome obtained from NCBI (accession CP009273.1).

The insertion index scores (IISs; the number of insertions per gene, normalised for gene

length) between replicates were highly comparable (Fig. S1C). We identified 54 genes

required for growth in sub-inhibitory concentrations of polymyxin B (Fig. 1B; Table S1).

Comparison of the relative abundance of COG (Cluster of Orthologous Groups)

categories of these 54 genes showed a marked increase in genes involved in cell

wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis (COG category 'M'), supporting the validity of the

TIS screen (Fig. S1D). Many of these genes (e.g. *bamB*, *degP*, *galE*, *surA*, and

waaBCFGJPR) have been identified in a similar TIS screen using *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

or have previously been reported as mutants sensitive to polymyxin (Yethon et al. 2000;

Jana et al. 2017). The COG analysis identified only three genes (*ydbH*, *yqjA* and *yhcB*)

of unknown function (category 'S' in Fig. S1D) that were important for survival when

exposed to sub-inhibitory concentrations of polymyxin B (Fig. 1C and Fig. S1E). YdbH is

a putative autotransporter, while YqjA belongs to the DedA family of proteins that have

been suggested to be involved with membrane homeostasis (Keseler et al. 2017). Given

that *yhcB* is conserved in three out of the six ESKAPE pathogens, is required for tolerance

to polymyxin, an antibiotic of last resort, and is the target of a sRNA toxin that causes cell

death, we decided to investigate the role of YhcB further (Mogi et al. 2006; Choi et al.

2018).

Deletion of yhcB *confirms an envelope defect*

To confirm the barrier defect of the *yhcB* mutant, we grew the parent strain, *E. coli*

BW25113, and an isogenic Δ*yhcB* mutant on LB agar plates supplemented with either

vancomycin, a high molecular weight antibiotic that is typically unable to cross the Gram-

negative OM, or plates supplemented with SDS and EDTA (Nikaido and Vaara 1985;

Nikaido 2005). The Δ*yhcB* mutant was more sensitive than the parent strain to both

conditions (Fig. 2A). Ectopic expression of YhcB under arabinose induction on a pBAD

plasmid was able to complement sensitivity defects, consistent with previous reports

(Sung et al. 2020). These data confirm that loss of *yhcB* results in a severe envelope

defect (Fig. S2A).

Effect of YhcB on cell size

Despite normalising cultures by optical density, the number of viable colony

forming units (CFUs) of the Δ*yhcB* mutant were 10-fold fewer than the parent on the LB

control plate (Fig. 2A). Analysis of cellular morphology by DIC microscopy revealed that

the Δ*yhcB* mutant cells were significantly larger than the parent strain when grown in LB

(Fig. 2B). Expression of *yhcB* in the Δ*yhcB* mutant background substantially decreased

the cell dimensions relative to the Δ*yhcB*-pBAD empty vector strain but did not restore

cell size completely (Fig S2B). We also observed that the ectopic expression of *yhcB* in

the *E. coli* BW25113 parent strain resulted in longer cells than the control. Taken together,

these data suggest that the abundance of YhcB within the cell impacts cellular

dimensions, and that YhcB is important for maintaining cell size and shape.

Bacterial cell dimensions are influenced by a number of factors (reviewed by Cesar

and Huang 2017), but cell size is fundamentally determined by growth rate and nutrient

availability (Schaechter et al. 1958; Hill et al. 2013; Vadia and Levin 2015; Vadia et al.

2017). A shift from growth in nutrient-restricted to nutrient-rich medium results in an

increase in both cell length and cell width (Pierucci 1978). Therefore, we investigated the

effect of growth medium on the size of the Δ*yhcB* mutant. Overnight growth in M9 minimal

medium supplemented with glucose (M9-glucose) substantially decreased the cell size

of the Δ*yhcB* mutant compared to growth in LB, although a small subset of cells within

the population still exhibited a division defect and increased cell length (Fig. 2B-C).

Moreover, overnight growth in M9-glucose restored resistance to membrane-acting

compounds SDS and EDTA, but cells remained susceptible to vancomycin (Fig. 2A).

Changes in temperature alter growth rate but not size (Schaechter et al. 1958). To

understand whether the fitness advantage conferred by growth in M9-glucose was due

to a slower doubling time, or nutrient-dependent, we grew the Δ*yhcB* mutant in a range

of conditions. Overnight growth in M9-glucose and M9-glycerol at 37°C, and LB at 16°C,

restored resistance to both SDS and EDTA, but no growth condition conferred resistance

to vancomycin (Fig. S3). Altogether these results indicate that a slower growth rate

partially alleviates the defect caused by deletion of *yhcB* but does not restore the

envelope permeability barrier.

We hypothesised that there is an envelope synthesis defect in a Δ*yhcB* mutant that

becomes more severe over time during growth in LB. To investigate this, overnight

cultures (grown first in M9 medium) were sub-cultured in LB at a starting optical density

(OD) of 0.05. Bacteria were grown at 37˚C with aeration, and both the OD_{600} and the

corresponding number of CFUs were recorded hourly. The rate of increase in biomass of

the mutant, as assessed by optical density, was comparable to that of *E. coli* BW25113

(Fig. 3). In contrast, upon transition to stationary phase, the number of Δ*yhcB* CFUs

decreased 10-fold from ~3.5×10^8 to ~3.5×10^7. These data suggest that the fast-growing

mutant cells gradually expand in cell size, fail to divide, but lose viability when they enter

the stationary growth phase.

The domains of YhcB contribute to survival under different conditions

To gain a better understanding of how YhcB functions, we scrutinised the primary

structure of the protein. A prediction of YhcB secondary structure of YhcB by PSIPRED

supports the model put forward by Mogi et al. (2006; Jones 1999; Buchan and Jones

2019). YhcB has a single transmembrane (TM) domain, with a cytoplasmic helical domain

and a disordered cytoplasmic C-terminal region (Fig. S4A); the topology of which has

been confirmed by GFP- and PhoA-fusion analysis (Maddalo et al. 2011). A

multisequence alignment of the amino acid sequence of YhcB from 150 different species

was generated using the online program ConSurf (Ashkenazy et al. 2016). This revealed

two highly conserved amino acid motifs within the disordered C-terminus: a 'NPF' motif

after the long cytoplasmic helical domain, followed by a non-conserved linker region, and

a highly conserved 'PRDY' motif at the extreme C-terminus (Fig S4B). A large number of

transposon insertions were identified within the 3′ end of *yhcB* in the initial polymyxin TIS

screen; the *yhcB* gene only met the stringency criteria for identification when 20% of the

3′ end of the coding sequence (CDS) was discarded. We mapped the coordinates of

these domains to the original TIS data and the data suggest that the 'PRDY' domain is

dispensable for survival in the presence of polymyxin B (Fig. 4A). To confirm the

functional importance of the 'PRDY' domain, which is highly conserved (Fig. S5), we constructed complementation vectors encoding truncated variants of YhcB and repeated our plate-based envelope screens (Fig. 4B). Consistent with results reported by Sung *et al*. (2020), the ΔTM construct fully complemented both phenotypes. However, deletion of the 'PRDY' domain rendered the mutant sensitive to vancomycin, but not SDS-EDTA (Fig. 4C). These data suggest a dual function of the YhcB protein: the cytoplasmic helical domain is needed to suppress sensitivity to SDS and EDTA, while the function of the 'PRDY' domain is needed for survival in the presence of vancomycin, but not polymyxin B or SDS and EDTA.

***Identification of genetic interactions with* yhcB**

To identify all genes with a synthetically lethal relationship with *yhcB*, a transposon mutant library was constructed in a Δ*yhcB* background using a mini Tn*5* transposon encoding a kanamycin resistance gene. A second library was constructed in the *E. coli* BW25113 parent strain using the same transposon as a control. Approximately 800,000 transposon-mutants were collected and pooled for each library. Two technical replicates of each library were sequenced (Fig. S6A; Table S2). There was a high correlation coefficient between the gene insertion index scores of each replicate for both libraries (R^2 = 0.93 and R^2 = 0.96 for the WT and Δ*yhcB* library respectively). The insertion sites were evenly distributed around the genome (Fig. 5A and Fig. S6B), and the respective insertion density amounted to an average of one insertion every 6.28 bp in the WT library, and one insertion every 6.99 bp in the Δ*yhcB* library. We quantified insertions per CDS to identify genes that were sparsely disrupted by transposon insertion events i.e. essential genes in each genetic background (Barquist et al. 2016). There was an overlap of 382 essential genes between the two datasets and these were not considered further (Fig. 5B).

Previously, Li *et al.* (2012) reported that the deletion of both *rodZ* and *yhcB* in combination is lethal, genetically linking the elongasome with the function of YhcB. Our data confirmed a synthetic lethal interaction between *rodZ* and *yhcB*. However, we observed that only the 5′ end of the *rodZ* gene, corresponding with the cytoplasmic helix-turn-helix and transmembrane domains of the RodZ protein, is essential in a *yhcB* background (Fig. 5C). These domains are required for maintaining cell shape (Shiomi et al. 2008; Bendezú et al. 2009). This result supports the validity of our approach. Surprisingly, our bimodal analysis of the data revealed 28 genes that were predicted to be essential in a WT library but no longer essential when *yhcB* is deleted (Fig. 5B, Table S3). This list includes *ftsH* (Fig. S6C), which encodes a metalloprotease that degrades several proteins, including LpxC. Deletion of FtsH results in toxic accumulation of LPS via increased LpxC stability, which is lethal to the cell (Ogura et al. 1999). The increased number of transposons in *ftsH* in the Δ*yhcB* library suggest that an increase in LpxC stability in this background is less toxic.

The bimodal analysis revealed 121 genes that were predicted to be essential in a Δ*yhcB* background. However, this analysis pipeline does not identify the non-essential genes that contribute to fitness: such mutants are viable but either more or less fit. Consequently, when compared to the control library they are represented by higher or lower numbers of sequencing reads, respectively. Therefore we further analysed our data using the tradis_comparison.R script (Barquist et al. 2016). This analysis uses edgeR and measures the fold-change of sequence read depth between a condition and control (Robinson et al. 2009). The 382 genes reported to be essential in both libraries were removed from this analysis. After filtering our data, this method identified 22 genes that, when disrupted, confer a fitness advantage (Fig.5D, blue), and 163 genes that, when disrupted, confer a fitness defect in a Δ*yhcB* mutant (Fig. 5D, red; Table S4; Fold change > 2, Q-value < 0.01). By combining these analyses, we defined synthetically lethal genes

as those that are both significantly underrepresented by transposon insertion mutants

and that have a >2-fold decrease in reads when compared to the control with a Q-value

< 0.01. Altogether 87 genes met these criteria: we consider these genes to be

synthetically lethal with *yhcB* and they are discussed below (Fig. 5E).

Identification of pathways important for viability of a* yhcB *mutant

As YhcB interacts directly with components of the elongasome, and is synthetically

lethal with *rodZ,* we inspected the list of synthetic lethal genes for additional genes

involved in peptidoglycan synthesis, remodelling or recycling. Five genes (*mepM, mepS,*

dacA, dapF and *ldcA*) were identified (Fig. 6A). The genes *mepM* and *mepS* encode two

of the three peptidoglycan endopeptidases that cleave the 3-4 *meso*-Dap-D-Ala crosslink

and are collectively essential for cell elongation in *E. coli* (Singh et al. 2012). However, a

third endopeptidase of this group encoded by *mepH,* was not essential in a Δ*yhcB* mutant

(Fig. 6A-B). DapF is an epimerase that catalyses the conversion of L,L-diaminopimelate

(LL-DAP) to *meso*-diaminopimelate (*meso*-DAP), which is an integral component of the

peptidoglycan stem peptide and the primary residue from which cross-links are formed

(Richaud et al. 1987). Sacculi isolated from *dapF* mutants have fewer crosslinks (Mengin-

Lecreulx et al. 1988). The DD-carboxypeptidase PBP5, encoded by *dacA*, removes the

terminal D-alanine from peptidoglycan pentapeptides (Spratt and Strominger 1976), and

is the primary carboxypeptidase under standard laboratory growth conditions (Nelson and

Young 2000; Nelson and Young 2001). Finally, the cytosolic LD-carboxypeptidase LdcA

participates in the recycling of peptidoglycan turnover products (Fig. 6A). Deletion of *ldcA*

results in the lysis of cells during entry into the stationary growth phase due to the

accumulation of peptidoglycan crosslinking defects (Templin et al. 1999). From these

data, it could be inferred that a Δ*yhcB* mutant cannot survive when additional mutations

weaken the integrity of the peptidoglycan layer.

To determine whether other cellular processes or pathways are enriched among

the genes identified as synthetically lethal with *yhcB,* we used the PANTHER

overrepresentation test (Mi et al. 2021). This analysis compares the identified proportion

of genes in a given functional category to the expected number of genes in a pathway

derived from whole genome data (Table S6). Four processes were identified as

functionally enriched among the list of synthetic lethal genes: the 'enterobacterial

common antigen (ECA) biosynthetic pathway', 'LPS core biosynthesis', 'cell division', and

'response to abiotic stimulus' (Fig. 7A). With the exception of the *tol-pal* system (Fig.

S7A), the remaining genes listed within the 'cell division' and 'response to abiotic stimulus'

categories did not share overlapping functions and we did not pursue these further.

Upon closer inspection of LPS biosynthetic pathways, both the heptosyl

transferases WaaC and WaaF, and the entire heptose biosynthetic pathway were

synthetically lethal (Fig. 7B-C, Fig. S7B). The WaaP kinase, which phosphorylates the

first heptose of the inner core, was also essential in a *yhcB* mutant. Enzymes

WaaBGQRY were not essential in a ∆*yhcB* background, but mutants were less fit. In

contrast, ligation of the third Kdo moiety by WaaZ was non-essential. The acylation of

lipid A by LpxM was also essential in a ∆*yhcB* strain (Fig. 7C). Together these data

suggest that LPS stability, whether mediated by Lipid-A hexa-acylation or LPS

crosslinking interactions, is important for viability of a ∆*yhcB* strain.

Within the locus required for ECA biosynthesis the genes *wecCDEF*, *rffH* and

wzxE were synthetically lethal with *yhcB*, while disruption to *wecB* and *wecG* suggests

these genes were not synthetically lethal but the mutants were sick (Fig. 7D, Fig S7C).

There were no significant differences in the insertion frequency within genes *wzzE* and

wecA. WzzE mediates the length of the ECA chains by determining the number of

repeating units (Barr et al. 1999), and WecA catalyses the first committed step in ECA

biosynthesis: the transfer of *N*-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate onto undecaprenyl

phosphate (Und-P) to form ECA-lipid I. In short, an ECA-null mutant is viable and mutants

with variable ECA lengths are also viable; only mid-pathway blocks are lethal. Mutations

that introduce a mid-pathway block in ECA biogenesis are known to cause an aberrant

cell morphology (Jorgenson *et al*. 2016), due to accumulation of undecaprenyl-linked

ECA-lipid II intermediates creating limited availability of Und-P: a compound of limited

abundance that sits at the start of several cell envelope biosynthetic pathways (Jorgenson

et al. 2016). These observations suggest that the combined defects of *yhcB*-deletion and

Und-P sequestration are lethal, and indicate that the availability of Und-P might be limited

in a *yhcB* mutant.

Identification of mutations that suppress a* yhcB*-deletion defect

In addition to synthetic lethal interactions, mutations that restore a phenotypic

defect, so-called suppressor mutations, can assist in the identification of interconnected

cellular pathways (Ogura et al. 1999). We applied TIS to identify, at a whole genome

scale, all mutations that can restore tolerance to vancomycin or SDS and EDTA, and

therefore suppress a $\Delta yhcB$ phenotype. First, the $\Delta yhcB$ mutant library was screened on

LB agar supplemented with either SDS and EDTA or vancomycin at concentrations that

kill the $\Delta yhcB$ strain. Transposon mutants of the $\Delta yhcB$ library able to grow under these

conditions were identified as before (Fig. 8A-B). We used the recently published

AlbaTraDIS package to analyse our data (Page et al. 2020). We identified 28 gene-

deletion suppressor mutations shared between both conditions, and therefore considered

these to be universal suppressors (Fig. 8C; Table S7). Of note among the 28 universal

suppressors was the Mla pathway. All genes of the pathway have insertions along the full

length of each CDS indicating a disruption at any stage of the pathway is restorative to

both vancomycin and SDS and EDTA sensitivity (Fig. 8D). This was unexpected as *mla*

mutants are highly sensitive to SDS and EDTA (Malinverni and Silhavy 2009; Ekiert et al. 2017; Isom et al. 2017).

Deletion of *nlpI* was also identified as a universal suppressor (Fig. S8A). NlpI is an OM lipoprotein that functions as an adaptor protein for peptidoglycan endopeptidases (Banzhaf *et al.*, 2020) and mediates the degradation of MepS by the protease Prc (Tadokoro et al. 2004; Singh et al. 2015). In a Δ*nlpI* strain, MepS activity is significantly increased (Singh *et al*. 2015), which has been shown to enhance peptidoglycan synthesis by stimulating PBP1B-mediated peptidoglycan synthesis and directing peptidoglycan precursors away from the elongasome complex (Lai et al. 2017), presumably to facilitate the repair of defects in the PG (More et al., 2019). Consistent with this hypothesis, *mrcB* (PBP1B) was identified in the list of genes that confer a fitness defect on a *yhcB* mutant strain when deleted (Table S4), while deletion of *mrcA* (PBP1A) and *lpoA*, which encodes the PBP1A activator, were identified as universal suppressors. Suppressor analysis therefore supported a functional link between YhcB and PG synthesis.

Another universal suppressor we identified was deletion of *fabF*, genetically linking *yhcB* with fatty acid biosynthesis (Fig. S8B). FabF, together with FabB, are the two β-ketoacyl-[acyl carrier protein] synthases involved in fatty acid elongation (Garwin et al. 1980a). FabB is the major synthase and is essential while FabF is predominantly required at low temperatures to increase membrane fluidity by increasing the proportion of diunsaturated phospholipids (Garwin et al. 1980b), as FabF is more efficient than FabB at elongating palmitoleic acid (16:1Δ9) to cis-vaccinic acid (18:1Δ11). Therefore, loss of *fabF* might result in decreased membrane fluidity or a decreased rate of phospholipid synthesis, or both.

We plotted the total suppressor insertion data on a genome map to view relative mutant abundance; the assumption here is that read depth is representative of mutant abundance, which correlates with fitness and is an indicator of the strength of the

suppression. In addition to ∆*fabF*, disruption of the *mla* operon and ∆*nlpI* we observed a

substantial peak at the *uppS-cdsA* locus in both conditions (Fig. 9A). UppS, encoded by

uppS (*ispU*), is an undecaprenyl pyrophosphate synthase, which synthesises

undecaprenyl diphosphate (Und-PP), the only source of *de novo* synthesised Und-PP

and the precursor to Und-P (Apfel et al. 1999; Kato et al. 1999). CdsA sits before the

branchpoint in the synthesis of the major phospholipids and catalyses the synthesis of

cytidine diphosphate-diacylglycerol from phosphatidic acid (Kanfer and Kennedy 1964;

Ganong et al. 1980). Both UppS and CdsA are essential, as such, neither gene can be

disrupted by transposon insertion. However, we observed that disruption upstream of the

uppS-cdsA operon is significant in both suppressor conditions (Fig. 9B). It was unclear

from the insertion pattern the effect that disruption at this locus would have. However,

these data suggest that deregulation of the native level of expression of *uppS-cdsA* is

restorative in a *yhcB* mutant background.

Finally, during screening of the transposon mutant library on supplemented agar

plates, we also isolated six colonies of the parent ∆*yhcB* strain growing on the control

plates of LB supplemented with vancomycin, assumed to be natural revertant

suppressors of ∆*yhcB*. The revertant suppression was confirmed by plating on both

vancomycin and SDS-EDTA, as well as confirming gene-deletion by PCR of the *yhcB*

locus (Fig. S9A-B). We sequenced the genomes of these isolates and identified the

mutations listed in Table S8. Two isolates contained either the reported *mlaA** mutation

or a variation of this mutation (mut4 and mut6), while one isolate had a genome inversion

that resulted in separation of the *mla* operon from its promoter region (mut2). The *mla*

inversion mutation restored resistance to both vancomycin and SDS-EDTA, consistent

with the earlier TIS data, but did not fully restore cell size (Fig. S9A-C). The *mlaA**

mutation is well characterised and is toxic in a WT background as it results in LPS

overproduction as a consequence of increased LpxC stability (May and Silhavy 2018).

However, these mutations are not toxic in a ∆*yhcB* mutant and restore cell size and

resistance to vancomycin (Fig. S9A-C). We also identified a single nucleotide

polymorphism (SNP) in *lpxC* that restored resistance to vancomycin and SDS-EDTA but

did not restore cell size (mut1). These data are suggestive of either a defect in LPS

synthesis or export, or an imbalance in LPS to phospholipid at the outer membrane of a

∆*yhcB* mutant, rendering the *mlaA** mutation non-lethal in this background.

Finally, two isolates each had a SNP that resulted in a single amino acid

substitution in CdsA. We used Phyre2 to predict the structure of CdsA (Kelley et al. 2015).

The predicted structure was very similar to the experimentally determined structure of

CdsA, derived from *Thermotaga maritama*, with an RMSD value of 0.404 Å (Fig. S9D)

(Liu et al. 2014). The active site of CdsA is a conserved, negatively charged pocket

occupied by two cation cofactors that are critical for function (Fig. S9D). Mutations within

this region that hinder cation binding result in decreased enzyme activity (Liu et al. 2014).

The mutations identified within CdsA in our revertant suppressor mutants (S231L and

S239G, respectively) each result in substitution of a serine residue proximal to the metal

ion binding site (Fig. S9D). A mutation of S223C in *T. maritama* CdsA, which corresponds

with residue S239 in *E. coli*, results in severely reduced CdsA function (Liu et al. 2014).

The two mutations within CdsA in the revertant suppressor mutants likely result in

decreased function of CdsA. Both mutants with a SNP in CdsA (mut3 and mut5) restored

resistance to both vancomycin and SDS and EDTA, in addition to cell size (Fig. S10A-C).

Characterisation of a* yhcB*-mutant envelope

Our genetic screening revealed a connection with phospholipid biosynthesis (*fabF,*

cdsA) and trafficking (*mla*), Previous research has shown that fatty acid availability

determines the cell size via phospholipid biosynthesis (Vadia et al. 2017). Increased

phospholipid production resulted in a larger cell size that was reminiscent of a ∆*yhcB*

mutant (Vadia et al. 2017). As such, we hypothesised that phospholipid production might

be increased in a *yhcB* mutant. We first investigated whether membrane "lipid ruffles"

resulting from increased phospholipid synthesis, and resembling those reported by Vadia

et al. (2017), could be detected in a *yhcB* mutant. Following 3 hours of growth in LB, *E.*

coli BW25113 and an isogenic *yhcB* mutant were stained with MitoTracker to label

internal lipid structures (Vadia et al. 2017). Microscopic evaluation of these cells revealed

that *E. coli* BW25113 membranes stained uniformly. In contrast, intensely stained spots

indicative of accumulation of lipid structures were observed in the *yhcB* mutant (Fig.

S10A). Subsequent imaging of cells by transmission electron microscopy confirmed the

aberrant size of *yhcB* mutant cells and revealed the presence of excess membrane

structures (Fig. 10A, Fig. S10).

Given the increase in phospholipid biogenesis noted above we next investigated

the composition of phospholipids species. We extracted the total phospholipid species

using the Bligh and Dyer method (Bligh and Dyer 1959), and separated these by thin

layer chromatography (Matsumoto et al. 1998). The phospholipid composition of *E. coli*

is approximately 75% phosphatidyl ethanolamine, 20% phosphatidyl glycerol and 5%

cardiolipin with lysophospholipids typically making up <1% of total phospholipid species

(Rowlett et al. 2017; Zheng et al. 2017). The relative ratios of phosphatidylethanolamine,

phosphatidylglycerol and cardiolipin were similar in the parent and Δ*yhcB* strains.

However, during growth in LB, we observed an additional phospholipid species that was

present in both strains, but only the Δ*yhcB* mutant strain in stationary phase (Fig.10B and

S10B). This was not observed when the mutant was grown in M9-glucose (Fig. S10C).

By using known lipid standards, the additional spot in the Δ*yhcB* mutant stationary phase

was identified as most likely lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE; Fig. 10B).

LPE can be derived from PE hydrolysis or lipoprotein maturation. However, in a

cell with a stressed envelope, the primary source of LPE accumulation occurs via PE

hydrolysis (Zheng et al. 2017). Two OM proteins, PldA and PagP, maintain the OM

asymmetry by cleaving phospholipids that accumulate in the outer leaflet of the OM. PldA

degrades phospholipids while PagP, a Lipid A palmitoyltransferase, cleaves an acyl chain

from PE and catalyses its transfer to Lipid A resulting in hepta-acylated LPS (Bishop et

al. 2000). Both reactions result in an increase in free LPE. As PagP is usually inactive in

the OM and activity is stimulated in response to migration of phospholipids into the outer

leaflet (Jia et al. 2004), measuring the amount of hepta-acylated LPS is an established

proxy for detecting loss of OM asymmetry (Chong et al. 2015). We quantified the amount

of hepta-acylated lipid A relative to hexa-acylated lipid A and identified a significant

increase in hepta-acylated lipid A in the Δ*yhcB* mutant compared to the WT; a phenotype

that is exacerbated by prolonged growth (Fig. 10C). Together these data suggest that

excess phospholipid production in a *yhcB* mutant background results in an increase in

cell size and loss of OM asymmetry, resulting in hepta-acylation of lipid A and

accumulation of LPE.

Cell membrane synthesis must be coordinated with peptidoglycan biogenesis to

maintain cell envelope integrity. As the peptidoglycan layer defines cellular morphology,

and we had observed a swollen morphology of *yhcB* mutants, we hypothesised that the

peptidoglycan layer of a *yhcB* mutant may be compromised. Indeed, our genetic evidence

supports the hypothesis that mutations (*dapF, dacA*) that weaken integrity of the

peptidoglycan are lethal. We therefore analysed the muropeptide composition of the cell

wall. Cells were grown in LB and collected at both exponential and stationary phase.

Peptidoglycan was purified and digested by the muramidase cellosyl, and the resulting

muropeptides were analysed by HPLC (Glauner 1988). There were no significant

differences in the muropeptide species identified (Fig S11; Table S5). However, we did

identify differences in the amount of crosslinking between strains and growth phases (Fig.

10D). While the degree of peptidoglycan crosslinking in the WT strain was increased in

stationary phase compared to exponential phase, consistent with the literature (Templin

et al. 1999), this same transition was not observed in the *yhcB* mutant, suggesting either

a limitation in the rate of peptidoglycan crosslinking or an increased rate of crosslinking

hydrolysis in a *yhcB* mutant. The muropeptide analysis, together with the phospholipid

analysis, support our finding that a *yhcB* mutant is unable to sustain rapid exponential

growth and indicate a defect in the ability to transition into stationary phase.

In addition to a synthetically lethal relationship with genes involved in

peptidoglycan assembly, recycling and remodelling (*mepS, mepM, ldcA, nlpI*) our TIS

data also indicated that mutations in the ECA biosynthetic pathway that sequester

undecaprenol phosphate (Und-P) are lethal in a *yhcB* mutant. We hypothesised that the

availability of Und-P might be limited in a $\Delta yhcB$ mutant, affecting peptidoglycan synthesis

(Danese *et al*. 1998; Ramos-Morales *et al*. 2003; Jorgenson *et al*. 2016). In addition to

peptidoglycan and ECA biosynthesis, Und-P is also a lipid carrier for O-antigen, however,

most *E. coli* K-12 strains, including BW25113, do not have O-antigen as a result of an

insertion element in *wbbL* (Liu and Reeves 1994). Therefore, to investigate whether

sequestration of Und-P is toxic to a *yhcB* mutant, we tried to restore O-antigen. The

transformation efficiency of the *yhcB* mutant with *wbbL* to restore O-antigen was strikingly

reduced, suggesting that Und-P limitation is lethal to a *yhcB* mutant (Fig. S10D). One

mechanism to suppress Und-P limitation is to upregulate expression of *uppS,* the gene

required for Und-P synthesis. Indeed, our TIS data reveal insertions that suppress the

yhcB phenotype in the promoter region to *uppS*. Furthermore, complementation of a *yhcB*

mutant with ectopically expressed *uppS*, but not *cdsA,* suppressed both the vancomycin

and SDS-EDTA sensitivity defect of a *yhcB* mutant (Fig. 10E).

During our analysis we noted that *uppS* and *cdsA* are highly co-conserved across

the bacterial kingdom (Fig. S12) and share a conserved operon structure in many

bacterial phyla (Fig.S13) (Saha et al. 2020). We hypothesise that the upregulation of

uppS would result in increased expression of *cdsA*, and in turn give rise to excess

phospholipid synthesis. This hypothesis is supported by our finding that loss of function

mutations in the metal-binding pocket of CdsA restore all *yhcB* defective phenotypes (Fig.

9C).

Discussion

Here we have applied a high-density mutagenesis screen to comprehensively map

the genetic interactions of a single gene, *yhcB*. With thousands of deleterious and

restorative genetic interactions discovered, to the best of our knowledge no other gene

has been scrutinised genetically at such scale and resolution. Our data reveal that a

Δ*yhcB* mutant has a cell envelope permeability defect, dysregulated cell size, and

epistatic interactions with multiple pathways of cell envelope biogenesis. The defect

introduced by deletion of *yhcB* is most severe during rapid growth in rich media, when the

demand for synthesis of cell envelope material is greatest. In addition, toxicity progresses

with sustained growth in rich medium, with a decrease in cell viability observed upon

transition to stationary phase, a period of growth when *de novo* synthesis machineries

are switched off. Taken together, these observations indicate that a *yhcB* mutant is either

limited in the ability to recycle existing components of the envelope during synthesis, or

limited in *de novo* synthesis of one or more cell envelope components. This hypothesis

is supported by the cell growth model put forward by Harris and Theriot (2016) that when

cell envelope synthesis is impeded, an increase in cell width is observed decreasing the

surface area to cell volume ratio and enabling the same amount of cell volume to be

encapsulated by less material.

The pathways for synthesis of the different components of the Gram-negative cell

envelope are intrinsically linked: they share several common precursors and tightly

interconnected feedback mechanisms (Fig. 11A). If the rate of peptidoglycan biosynthesis

is decreased, the rate of LPS biosynthesis needs to be decreased accordingly to avoid

the toxic effects of LPS accumulation (Sullivan and Donachie 1984; May and Silhavy

2018). Moreover, a recent paper identified that deletion of the *mla* pathway confers a

fitness advantage in cells exposed to fosfomcin, a MurA inhibitor, connecting decreased

PG synthesis with a requirement for decreased PL trafficking (Turner et al. 2020).

Similarly, when LptC, a component of the LPS export system, is depleted the relative

abundance of proteins involved in phospholipid biosynthesis and trafficking are also

depleted indicating a feedback mechanism that couples phospholipid synthesis and

export with LPS demand (Martorana et al. 2014). In a *yhcB* mutant we observed

weakened peptidoglycan integrity and a loss of OM asymmetry, coupled with an increase

in cell size and internal phospholipid membrane structures (Fig. 11B). These findings

connect YhcB with every layer of the cell envelope.

Our observations of excess phospholipid membranes and an increased cell size

in a *yhcB* mutant resemble the phenotype reported by Vadia *et al.* (2017) that results from

increased phospholipid synthesis. We hypothesise that the increase in phospholipid

production results in loss of OM asymmetry, with substantial amounts of phospholipid

integrating into the outer leaflet of the OM to compromise the barrier function of the cell

envelope. This hypothesis is supported by several lines of evidence. First inhibition of

phospholipid synthesis by mutations within the metal ion binding site of CdsA, or loss of

FabF*,* which regulates membrane fluidity, are restorative to a *yhcB* mutant. Furthermore,

treatment with sub-inhibitory concentrations of cerulenin, an antibiotic that targets the

FabB and FabF elongation step of fatty acid synthesis, is beneficial to growth of a *yhcB*

mutant (Nichols et al. 2011). Second, a *mlaA** mutation, which increases mislocalisation

of phospholipids at the OM triggering subsequent phospholipid degradation by PldA and

is toxic in a wild-type background, is tolerated in a *yhcB* mutant, restores cell size, and

re-establishes the barrier function of the OM. Third, an increase in LPS production

through the loss of the essential protease FtsH, the introduction of *mlaA** which

upregulates LpxC production, or by mutation of its target LpxC can be tolerated. Overall,

mutations that result in a decrease of phospholipid biosynthesis and trafficking, or an

increase in LPS biosynthesis are restorative, indicative of an underlying imbalance in PL

to LPS levels.

We found that mutations that weaken PG integrity are lethal in a *yhcB* mutant

indicating that the rate of PG synthesis is also not coordinated with cell expansion. While

the composition of the PG in a *yhcB* mutant resembles that of the WT, our data reveal

that loss of PG synthesis by the elongasome is restorative. In contrast, loss of PG

synthesis by the divisome had a negative effect while mutations that stimulate PG

synthesis via the divisome are restorative. Given the location of YhcB and the phenotypes

of a deletion mutant, an attractive hypothesis is that YhcB is involved in switching PG

synthesis between the elongasome and divisome. In support of this hypothesis a recent

paper reported the interaction and co-purification of YhcB with proteins of the divisome

(Mehla et al. 2021).

Another attractive hypothesis is that YhcB has a role in sensing the availability of

Und-P, or recycling/synthesis of Und-P. This latter hypothesis is supported by several

observations. First, mutations in the ECA biosynthesis pathway that sequester Und-P and

limit the available pool are lethal. Second, restoration of WbbL activity is toxic in a *yhcB*

mutant, suggesting that Und-P availability is limited. Third, a *yhcB* mutant is reported to

be more sensitive to bacitracin (Nichols et al. 2011), which targets BacA. BacA is

responsible for recycling approximately three quarters of Und-PP back to Und-P following

release of its substrate in the periplasm. Fourth, deletion of *mrcB* in cells with low levels

of Und-P results in heterogenous cell lysis, and *mrcB* was identified in the list genes in

which an epistatic interaction with *yhcB* causes a fitness defect. These data are

consistent with the finding that only a sub-population of the Und-P depleted *mrcB* mutants

lyse (Jorgenson et al. 2019). Lastly, upregulation of *uppS*, but not *cdsA*, was universally

restorative in a *yhcB* mutant.

The observation that the *uppS-cdsA* operon structure is conserved across bacteria

reveals the tight linkage between phospholipid production and the synthesis of

polysaccharide components of the cell envelope. The observation that cell size,

resistance to vancomycin, and resistance to SDS-EDTA can be decoupled in suppressor

screens indicate that YhcB interacts with multiple cell envelope biogenesis pathways.

Indeed, in addition to forming a dimer and reports of larger homo-multimer structures

(Maddalo et al. 2011; Li et al. 2012; Mehla et al. 2021), YhcB is known to interact with

several components of the elongasome (Li et al. 2012), and has also been identified as

an interaction partner of LapA (Hu et al. 2009; Li et al. 2012). LapA (YciS) forms a

complex with LapB (YciM), FtsH and YejM to regulate LpxC stability and thus LPS

abundance (Clairfeuille et al. 2020; Fivenson and Bernhardt 2020; Guest et al. 2020).

The role of LapA is unclear but deletion of *lapA* results in minor accumulation of

incomplete LPS precursors (Klein et al. 2014). The interaction of YhcB with the

elongasome and the LpxC regulation complex via LapA positions YhcB at the interface

between two of the major complexes that coordinate envelope synthesis (Fig. 11C). This

hypothesis is supported by the observation that deletion of different YhcB domains gives

rise to different chemical sensitivity profiles.

In conclusion, YhcB plays an important regulatory function at the interface between

all of the cell envelope biogenesis pathways, and this function is mediated by physical

interactions between members of the elongasome and LPS regulation systems. While

the precise molecular events facilitating the regulation of these pathways has yet to be

elucidated, as *yhcB* is conserved among Gammaproteobacteria, is required for tolerance

to polymyxin B, colistin, and vancomycin, and is necessary for the colonisation of different

hosts (Harvey et al. 2011; Brooks et al. 2014; Jana et al. 2017), it provides a novel

antimicrobial target for exploitation against clinically important pathogens.

Materials and Methods

Strains, media and growth conditions

The parent strain for this work was *E. coli* K-12 strain BW25113. Gene deletion mutants

were constructed by P1 transduction and using the Keio library strains as donors (Baba

et al. 2006; Thomason et al. 2007). The kanamycin cassette was removed using the

pCP20 vector (Datsenko and Wanner 2000). The mutant was confirmed by PCR and

Sanger sequencing. Bacteria were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB: 10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast

extract, 10 g NaCl) medium or on LB plates (LB supplemented with 1.5% nutrient agar)

and incubated at 37°C. When required, media were supplemented with 50 µg/ml

kanamycin or 100 µg/ml carbenicillin. The M9-glucose recipe used was: 1x M9 salts

(Sigma Aldrich), 200 μl filter sterilised 1 M MgSO$_4$, 10 μl filter sterilised 1 M CaCl$_2$, and 2

ml of either a 20% (w/v) D-glucose or 20% (v/v) glycerol solution per 100 ml. For micro-

dilution spot plates, unless otherwise stated, bacteria were grown overnight in 5 ml LB

medium at 37°C with aeration. Cultures were normalised by optical density to an OD$_{600}$

of 1.00, 10-fold serially diluted in LB, and 2 µl of each dilution was inoculated onto LB

agar plates.

Complementation assays

The BW25113 and *yhcB* strains were transformed with a pBAD-Myc-His-A plasmid with

and without the *yhcB* coding sequence under the control of the arabinose promoter. O-

antigen was restored as described previously (Browning et al. 2013). In brief, cells were

transformed with a pET20b plasmid DNA encoding *wbbL* Additional copies of *cdsA* and

uppS were introduced, independently, on a pASK-IBA2C plasmid under the control of a

tetracyclin-inducible promoter.

Polymyxin B TIS screen

An amended version of the Andrews broth microdilution protocol in 96-well plate format,

adjusting the starting inoculum to an initial OD_{600} of 0.05 and the growth medium to LB,

was used to identify an initial inhibitory concentration range or polymyxin B (Andrews

2001). Growth curve experiments of *E. coli* BW25113 were then repeated in 50 ml LB

supplemented with polymyxin B in glass flasks, reflecting the conditions of the TIS screen.

A concentration of 0.2 µg/ml polymyxin B was identified as sub-inhibitory for growht of

BW25113. The transposon library was inoculated into 50 ml LB medium with and without

0.2 µg/ml polymyxin B (Sigma Aldrich), in duplicate, at a starting optical density of 0.05,

equivalent to a copy number of ~2,500 of each mutant, and grown to OD_{600} = 1.00, at

37˚C with aeration. Cells were harvested, and genomic DNA prepared for sequencing.

As we knew the density of this library (Goodall et al. 2018), we estimated the number of

mapped reads, and therefore sequencing coverage, needed to ensure sufficient sampling

of the library using the equation $I = s - s(\frac{s-1}{s})^{n}$, where I = insertions, and n = number of

mapped reads (Fig. S1B). For a theoretical library of 1 million mutants, assuming no loss

of mutants and an equal chance of each transposon junction being sampled, ~2.3M reads

are needed to ensure sampling of 90% of the library, with diminishing returns with further

sequencing. Obtaining >4.2 M reads should enable sampling of 99% of the possible

unique insertion sites. Therefore, we collected >2 M sequencing reads per replicate, and

a combined total of >4.2 M reads per condition, to give us confidence that any observed

loss of mutants is not due to insufficient sampling.

Microscopy

Samples were taken directly from overnight cultures grown at 37°C in their respective

media for 16 h and diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.10. 5 µl cells were spread on a 1 mm glass

slide pre-treated with 5 µl poly-L-lysine (Sigma Aldrich). A Nikon 90i eclipse microscope

was used to capture differential interference contrast (DIC) images of cells, using a 40x

objective lens with a Nikon immersion oil. These images were collected at the University

of Birmingham. Cell dimensions were measured using ImageJ (Schindelin et al. 2012).

Fluorescent labelling of lipids was previously described by Vadia *et al*. (2017). These

experiments were done at the University of Queensland. M9-glucose overnight cultures

were diluted into fresh LB medium and after 2 h of growth at 37°C, 0.2 µM MitoTracker®

Green FM (Invitrogen) was added to each sample to label lipids. After incubation for a

further 1 h, bacteria from 1 ml samples were harvested by centrifugation and

resuspended in 500 µL of FM™ 4-64FX (Invitrogen) at 5 µg/mL, to label *E. coli*

membranes, and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Bacteria were embedded on

1% agarose pads and imaged using a confocal microscope Inverted LSM 880 Fast

Airyscan (63x/1.40 OIL). Electron microscopy was performed at the University of

Queensland. Conventional fixation and embedding in resin was undertaken using a

protocol adapted from (Fassel et al. 1997). Briefly, bacteria were applied to dishes with

glass coverslips coated in poly-L-lysine and then fixed in 2% PFA, 2.5% Glutaraldehyde,

and 0.075% Ruthenium Red. Samples were then washed 3x10min in PBS and postfixed

in 1% osmium, then again in 1% osmium with 3% potassium ferricyanide. Bacteria

underwent serial dehydration in increasing concentrations of ethanol and were then

infiltrated and embedded with Epon resin. Fast freezing and freeze substitution of bacteria

was undertaken as described previously (Ariotti et al. 2012; Walser et al. 2012). Briefly,

bacteria were applied to carbon-coated poly-L-lysine treated sapphire discs and frozen in

a Leica EMPACT 2 high pressure freezer. They were then freeze substituted in a EM

AFS 2 system (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) and embedded into Epon

resin. Ultra-thin sections were obtained using a Leica Ultracut UC6 Ultramicrotome and

micrographs acquired on a JEOL 1011 transmission electron microscope equipped with

a Morada CCD camera.

Phospholipid extraction

Total phospholipids were extracted using an amended version of the Bligh-Dyer method

(Bligh and Dyer 1959). 10 ml of culture at an OD_{600} ~ 4.00, or 100 ml of culture at an

OD_{600} of 0.40 was centrifuged at 4°C to harvest cells. The supernatant was discarded,

and pellet resuspended in 1 ml ddH_2O and transferred to a glass tube. 1.25 ml chloroform

and 2.5 ml methanol were added to the sample using glass pipettes. The sample was

vortexed for 20 s to create a single-phase solution which was then incubated at 50°C for

30 min. A further 1.25 ml chloroform and 1.25 ml water was added to the sample to create

a 2-phase solution and incubated again at 50°C for 30 min. The sample was centrifuged

at 400 x *g* for 10 min at RT and the lower organic phase containing phospholipids was

transferred to a new glass tube using a glass Pasteur pipette. Chloroform was

evaporated by placing the glass tubes in a heat block at 50°C under a stream of nitrogen.

Thin Layer Chromatography

10 μl of sample was spotted onto the origin of a TLC silica gel membrane (Merck) using

a 5 μl glass capillary tube (Sigma Aldrich). Once dry, the membrane was transferred to

an equilibrated solvent system of 65:25:4 chloroform:methanol:water (Matsumoto et al.

1998). The samples were separated until the solvent front had migrated sufficiently from

the origin then the membrane was removed from the solvent tank and was air dried at

RT. Samples were stained with phosphomolybdic acid (PMA) 10% solution in ethanol

and heated with a heat gun to activate the PMA until lipid species were visible. Lipid

standards were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids and handled according to
manufacturer instructions.
698
699   *Lipid A palmitoylation assay*
700   Lipid A labelling, extraction and analysis were done as described and demonstrated
701   previously (Chong et al. 2015). As a positive control, BW25113 was exposed to 25 mM
702   EDTA for 10 min to induce PagP mediated palmitoylation of Lipid A, before harvesting
703   cells by centrifugation.
704
Peptidoglycan extraction and analysis
Cell cultures were grown in LB medium at 37˚C and harvested at an OD of 0.4 and 4.00,
in triplicate. After pelleting the cells and resuspending in ice-cold water, the cell
suspension was dropped into 8% boiling SDS solution. Peptidoglycan was purified, and
muropeptides were released with cellosyl and analysed by HPLC as described (Glauner
1988).
711
Construction of transposon-mutant libraries
10 ml of 2x TY broth was inoculated with a single colony and grown overnight at 37°C
with aeration. The 10 ml overnight culture was used to inoculate 800 ml 2x TY broth in a
2 L flask and grown at 37°C with aeration until OD_{600} 0.6-0.9. At the desired OD, cells
were collected and stored on ice for 30 min before centrifugation to pellet the cells.
Electrocompetent cells were prepared by repeatedly centrifuging cells and resuspending
in decreasing amounts of ice-cold 10% (v/v) glycerol. The final resuspension was in 1 ml
of 10% glycerol resulting in a dense ~2 ml cell culture. Aliquots of 200 μl cells were
distributed between 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes. 0.2 μl EZ-Tn5^{TM} transposome (Epibio)
was mixed with each aliquot of cells and incubated on ice for 30 min. Samples were

transferred to pre-chilled 2 mm gap electroporation cuvettes (Cell Projects Ltd.). Cells

were pulsed at 2200 V and 2 ml of pre-warmed SOC medium was immediately added to

the sample for recovery. Samples were transferred to a 15 ml falcon tube to allow for

maximum aeration and were incubated at 37°C for 2 h. 5 ml of LB broth was added to

each 15 ml falcon tube. ~4-5 drops of cells (equivalent to ~200 μl) were spread per LB

agar plate supplemented with 50 μg/ml kanamycin. Sufficient cells were inoculated per

plate to form non-touching single colonies. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C for

18 h. Following incubation, 500 μl 30% glycerol-LB broth was added to each plate and

using a 'hockey-stick' spreader, colonies were scraped off the surface of the agar plate

and pooled. Cells were mixed thoroughly before storing at -80°C.

Screening of the yhcB *transposon-mutant library to identify suppressor mutations*

Cell cultures were resuspended in 1 ml of OD_{600} = 1.00, 200 μl of culture was plated

across 5x LB agar plates with or without additional chemical stresses. Assuming a library

of ~500,000 mutants (as judged from the unique insertions), this number of cells equates

to approximately 2,000 independent copies of each individual transposon mutant. We

chose a plate-based screening method as this minimises competition between mutants,

allowing for identification of slow growing mutants in addition to strong suppressor

mutations. Cultures were diluted to such quantities that single colonies of transposon

mutants could be isolated on the plates supplemented with stresses to prevent the growth

of satellite colonies and therefore false positive results. Plates were incubated at 37˚C for

24 h. Colonies were scraped and pooled for sequencing.

TIS sequencing

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted and quantified using Qubit^{TM} dsDNA HS Assay kit

(Invitrogen). 1 μg of gDNA was fragmented by mechanical shearing using a bioruptor

(Diagenode) using the shearing profile 30 s ON, 90 s OFF at low intensity, resulting in

DNA fragments with an average length of ~300 bp. Fragmented DNA was end-repaired

using the NEBNext Ultra I kit (New England Biolabs). The fragments were then ligated

with an adapter, and the sample was purified in a size-selection step using AMPure XP

SPRI beads (Beckman Coulter) before transposon-junctions were enriched by PCR with

primers specific for the transposon and the adapter. The Transposon-gDNA junctions

were prepared for sequencing by PCR addition of Illumina adapters using the NEBNext

Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (New England Biolabs). However, the forward Universal

primer was replaced with custom primers that include the Universal primer sequence

followed by a 6-9 nucleotide barcode (to introduce complexity and stagger the start of the

transposon sequence) and a 22 nt sequence with homology to the transposon at the 3′

end of the primer. Samples were purified after each PCR step using SPRI beads at a

ratio of 0.9:1 beads to sample. Finally, the sample was quantified using the KAPA Library

Quant Kit (Illumina) Universal qPCR Mix (Kapa Biosystems). Samples were pooled,

denatured and diluted to 18 pM and sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq, with 5% (v/v)

20 pM PhiX (Illumina), using 150 cycle v3 cartridges. Data are available at the European

Nucleotide Archive (accession: PRJEB43420).

TIS analysis

Data were first demultiplexed using the Fastx barcode splitter, to remove the 5′ end

barcode (inline index) unique to each sample (Pearson et al. 1997). The BioTraDIS

analysis package (version 1.4.5) was used for the remaining data processing (Barquist

et al. 2016). We allow for up to 4 bp mismatches in the transposon pattern matching step.

When successfully identified transposon sequences have been identified, the transposon

is trimmed and the remaining read is mapped to the BW25113 reference genome using

bwa (accession CP009273.1) to generate insertion plot files. These data can be viewed

online at our browser: http://tradis-vault.qfab.org/. The plot files are input to the

tradis_gene_insert_sites script to calculate the number of insertions per gene (insertion

index score). Unless otherwise stated, 5% trim was applied to both the 5′ and 3′ end of

each gene. The tradis_essentiality.R script was used to calculate the probability of

belonging to each mode representing essential and non-essential gene populations

respectively. The tradis_comparison.R script was used to compare read depth between

control and condition samples, per gene, using a threshold of >2-fold change and a Q-

value < 0.01. Plot files generated by the BioTraDIS analysis were also used as inputs for

AlbaTraDIS. AlbaTraDIS (version 1.0.1) was used for comparative analysis between

suppressor TIS datasets (Page et al. 2020). The reference genome annotation was used

to define gene boundaries. We set the minimum log counts per million threshold at 10,

for all other settings the default conditions were used.

Whole genome sequencing

Whole genome sequencing was done by MicrobesNG, University of Birmingham, UK,

using Illumina platforms generating short read data. Sequencing data were aligned to the

E. coli BW25113 reference genome available from the NCBI database (CP009273.1)

using bwa mem and then converted to bam files and sorted and indexed using SAMtools

(Li et al. 2009; Langmead and Salzberg 2012). Data are available at the European

Nucleotide Archive (accession: PRJEB43420). The programs Snippy and VarScan were

used to identify SNPs and indels, BreSeq was used to identify large chromosomal

rearrangement events (Koboldt *et al*. 2012; Deatherage & Barrick 2014; Seeman 2015).

Phylogenetic analysis

All searches were performed against the UniProt database of Reference Proteomes

(v2020_06, 02-Dec-2020), which includes only complete proteomes of reference

organisms, to confidently determine presence as well as absence. Search was performed

with PF06295.13 using hmmsearch (3.1b2; (Eddy 2011)) with the --max setting. Search

results were analysed manually as well as by all-against-all blast and subsequent

clustering in cytoscape, to determine the search cutoff used. Taxonomy information was

equally obtained from the UniProt Reference Proteomes ftp site (v2020_06, 02-Dec-

2020). Phylogenetic inference was performed on the sequences aligned via mafft (Katoh

and Standley 2013), and tree calculation using IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015) with the

built-in model test (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017), which resulted in LG+G4. Tree

visualisation was performed using iTol (https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz239).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Eugenio Sanchez-Moran at UoB for use of his microscope, and we thank

the University of Queensland Microscopy department at the Institute of Molecular

Biosciences for assistance in setting up the lipid-labelling microscopy experiments. In

particular, thank you Dr. Nicholas Condon for your time and teaching. We thank

MicrobesNG for providing a fantastic service generating WGS data, especially Dr. Emily

Richardson for patience and sharing your expertise.

This research was supported by the Midlands Integrative Biosciences Training

Partnership (MIBTIP, BBSRC) Ph.D. program who sponsored E.G. This project received

funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme

under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 721484 awarded to WV and IRH.

B.Z. was supported by a CSC-UQ PhD Scholarship (China Scholarship Council). This

work was also supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of

Australia (grants APP1140064 and APP1150083 and fellowship APP1156489 to R.G.P.).

RGP is supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Centre of Excellence in

Convergent Bio-Nano Science and Technology CE140100036. The authors

acknowledge the use of the Microscopy Australia Research Facility at the Centre for

Microscopy and Microanalysis at The University of Queensland. Microscopy was

performed at the Australian Cancer Research Foundation (ACRF)/Institute for Molecular

Bioscience Cancer Biology Imaging Facility, which was established with the support of

the ACRF.

References

Abellón-Ruiz, J., S. S. Kaptan, A. Baslé, B. Claudi, D. Bumann, U. Kleinekathöfer, and B. van den Berg. 2017. Structural basis for maintenance of bacterial outer membrane lipid asymmetry. *Nature Microbiology* 2: 1616–1623. doi:10.1038/s41564-017-0046-x.

Andrews, J. M. 2001. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations. *The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy* 48 Suppl 1: 5–16.

Apfel, C. M., B. Takács, M. Fountoulakis, M. Stieger, and W. Keck. 1999. Use of genomics to identify bacterial undecaprenyl pyrophosphate synthetase: Cloning, expression, and characterization of the essential *uppS* gene. *Journal of Bacteriology* 181. American Society for Microbiology: 483–492. doi:10.1128/jb.181.2.483-492.1999.

Ariotti, N., S. Murphy, N. A. Hamilton, L. Wu, K. Green, N. L. Schieber, P. Li, S. Martin, et al. 2012. Postlipolytic insulin-dependent remodeling of micro lipid droplets in adipocytes. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 23. American Society for Cell Biology: 1826–1837. doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-10-0847.

Ashkenazy, H., S. Abadi, E. Martz, O. Chay, I. Mayrose, T. Pupko, and N. Ben-Tal. 2016. ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. *Nucleic acids research* 44. Oxford University Press: W344-50. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw408.

Baba, T., T. Ara, M. Hasegawa, Y. Takai, Y. Okumura, M. Baba, K. a Datsenko, M. Tomita, et al. 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. *Molecular systems biology* 2: 2006.0008. doi:10.1038/msb4100050.

Barquist, L., M. Mayho, C. Cummins, A. K. Cain, C. J. Boinett, A. J. Page, G. C. Langridge, M. A. Quail, et al. 2016. The TraDIS toolkit: Sequencing and analysis for dense transposon mutant libraries. *Bioinformatics* 32. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw022.

Barr, K., J. Klena, and P. D. Rick. 1999. The modality of enterobacterial common antigen polysaccharide chain lengths is regulated by o349 of the *wec* gene cluster of Escherichia coli K-12. *Journal of bacteriology* 181: 6564–8.

Bendezú, F. O., C. A. Hale, T. G. Bernhardt, and P. A. J. de Boer. 2009. RodZ (YfgA) is required for proper assembly of the MreB actin cytoskeleton and cell shape in *E. coli*. *The EMBO Journal* 28: 193–204. doi:10.1038/emboj.2008.264.

Bishop, R. E., H. S. Gibbons, T. Guina, M. S. Trent, S. I. Miller, and C. R. H. Raetz. 2000. Transfer of palmitate from phospholipids to lipid A in outer membranes of Gram-negative bacteria. *The EMBO Journal* 19: 5071–5080. doi:10.1093/emboj/19.19.5071.

Den Blaauwen, T., M. A. de Pedro, M. Nguyen-Distèche, and J. A. Ayala. 2008. Morphogenesis of rod-shaped sacculi. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 32. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00090.x.

Bligh, E. G., and W. J. Dyer. 1959. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology* 37. NRC Research Press Ottawa, Canada: 911–917. doi:10.1139/o59-099.

Brooks, J. F., M. C. Gyllborg, D. C. Cronin, S. J. Quillin, C. A. Mallama, R. Foxall, C. Whistler, A. L. Goodman, et al. 2014. Global discovery of colonization determinants in the squid symbiont *Vibrio fischeri*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111. National Academy of Sciences: 17284–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.1415957111.

Browning, D. F., T. J. Wells, F. L. S. França, F. C. Morris, Y. R. Sevastsyanovich, J. A. Bryant, M. D. Johnson, P. A. Lund, et al. 2013. Laboratory adapted *Escherichia coli*

K-12 becomes a pathogen of *Caenorhabditis elegans* upon restoration of O antigen
biosynthesis. *Molecular Microbiology* 87. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 939–950.
doi:10.1111/mmi.12144.

Buchan, D. W. A., and D. T. Jones. 2019. The PSIPRED Protein Analysis Workbench:
20 years on. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz297.

Cain, A. K., L. Barquist, A. L. Goodman, I. T. Paulsen, J. Parkhill, and T. van Opijnen.
2020. A decade of advances in transposon-insertion sequencing. *Nature Reviews*
Genetics. Nature Research. doi:10.1038/s41576-020-0244-x.

Cesar, S., and K. C. Huang. 2017. Thinking big: the tunability of bacterial cell size.
FEMS microbiology reviews. Oxford University Press. doi:10.1093/femsre/fux026.

Chi, X., Q. Fan, Y. Zhang, K. Liang, L. Wan, Q. Zhou, and Y. Li. 2020. Structural
mechanism of phospholipids translocation by MlaFEDB complex. *Cell Research*
30. Springer Nature: 1127–1135. doi:10.1038/s41422-020-00404-6.

Choi, J. S., W. Kim, S. Suk, H. Park, G. Bak, J. Yoon, and Y. Lee. 2018. The small
RNA, SdsR, acts as a novel type of toxin in *Escherichia coli*. *RNA Biology* 15.
Taylor and Francis Inc.: 1319–1335. doi:10.1080/15476286.2018.1532252.

Chong, Z. S., W. F. Woo, and S. S. Chng. 2015. Osmoporin OmpC forms a complex
with MlaA to maintain outer membrane lipid asymmetry in *Escherichia coli*.
Molecular Microbiology 98. Blackwell Publishing Ltd: 1133–1146.
doi:10.1111/mmi.13202.

Clairfeuille, T., K. R. Buchholz, Q. Li, E. Verschueren, P. Liu, D. Sangaraju, S. Park, C.
L. Noland, et al. 2020. Structure of the essential inner membrane
lipopolysaccharide–PbgA complex. *Nature* 584. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2597-x.

Danese, P. N., G. R. Oliver, K. Barr, G. D. Bowman, P. D. Rick, and T. J. Silhavy. 1998.
Accumulation of the enterobacterial common antigen lipid II biosynthetic
intermediate stimulates *degP* transcription in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of*
bacteriology 180: 5875–84.

Datsenko, K. A., and B. L. Wanner. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes
in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
of Sciences of the United States of America 97: 6640–5.
doi:10.1073/pnas.120163297.

Deatherage, D. E., and J. E. Barrick. 2014. Identification of mutations in laboratory-
evolved microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. *Methods in*
molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.) 1151. NIH Public Access: 165–88.
doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12.

DeJesus, M. A., S. Nambi, C. M. Smith, R. E. Baker, C. M. Sassetti, and T. R. Ioerger.
2017. Statistical analysis of genetic interactions in Tn-Seq data. *Nucleic acids*
research 45. Oxford University Press: e93. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx128.

Dixon, R. A., and I. Chopra. 1986. Leakage of periplasmic proteins from *Escherichia coli*
mediated by polymyxin B nonapeptide. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*
29. American Society for Microbiology (ASM): 781–788. doi:10.1128/AAC.29.5.781.

Eddy, S. R. 2011. Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Computational Biology* 7.
PLoS Comput Biol. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195.

Ekiert, D. C., G. Bhabha, G. L. Isom, G. Greenan, S. Ovchinnikov, I. R. Henderson, J.
S. Cox, and R. D. Vale. 2017. Architectures of Lipid Transport Systems for the
Bacterial Outer Membrane. *Cell* 169: 273-285.e17. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.019.

Ercan, B., W. Y. Low, X. Liu, and S. S. Chng. 2019. Characterization of Interactions and
Phospholipid Transfer between Substrate Binding Proteins of the OmpC-Mla
System. *Biochemistry* 58. American Chemical Society: 114–119.
doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00897.

Fassel, T. A., P. E. Mozdziak, J. R. Sanger, and C. E. Edmiston. 1997.
Paraformaldehyde effect on ruthenium red and lysine preservation and staining of

the staphylococcal glycocalyx. *Microscopy Research and Technique* 36. Microsc
Res Tech: 422–427. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0029(19970301)36:5<422::AID-
JEMT12>3.0.CO;2-U.

Fivenson, E. M., and T. G. Bernhardt. 2020. An essential membrane protein modulates
the proteolysis of LpxC to control lipopolysaccharide synthesis in *Escherichia coli*.
mBio 11. American Society for Microbiology. doi:10.1128/mBio.00939-20.

Ganong, B. R., J. M. Leonard, and C. R. H. Raetz. 1980. Phosphatidic acid
accumulation in the membranes of *Escherichia coli* mutants defective in CDP-
diglyceride synthetase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 255. doi:10.1016/S0021-
9258(19)86078-7.

Garwin, J L, A. L. Klages, and J. E. Cronan. 1980. Beta-ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein
synthase II of *Escherichia coli*. Evidence for function in the thermal regulation of
fatty acid synthesis. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 255: 3263–5.

Garwin, J. L., A. L. Klages, and J. E. Cronan. 1980. *Structural, enzymatic, and genetic
studies of beta-ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein synthases I and II of* Escherichia coli.
Journal of Biological Chemistry. Vol. 255. doi:10.1016/S0021-9258(19)70226-9.

Glauner, B. 1988. Separation and quantification of muropeptides with high-performance
liquid chromatography. *Analytical Biochemistry* 172. Anal Biochem: 451–464.
doi:10.1016/0003-2697(88)90468-X.

Goehring, N. W., and J. Beckwith. 2005. Diverse paths to midcell: Assembly of the
bacterial cell division machinery. *Current Biology*. Cell Press.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.06.038.

Goodall, E. C. A., A. Robinson, I. G. Johnston, S. Jabbari, K. A. Turner, A. F.
Cunningham, P. A. Lund, J. A. Cole, et al. 2018. The Essential Genome of
Escherichia coli K-12. Edited by Swaine L. Chen. *mBio* 9: e02096-17.
doi:10.1128/mBio.02096-17.

Guest, R. L., D. S. Guerra, M. Wissler, J. Grimm, and T. J. Silhavy. 2020. Yejm
modulates activity of the YciM/FtsH protease complex to prevent lethal
accumulation of lipopolysaccharide. *mBio* 11. American Society for Microbiology.
doi:10.1128/mBio.00598-20.

Harvey, P. C., M. Watson, S. Hulme, M. A. Jones, M. Lovell, A. Berchieri, J. Young, N.
Bumstead, et al. 2011. *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium colonizing the
lumen of the chicken intestine grows slowly and upregulates a unique set of
virulence and metabolism genes. *Infection and immunity* 79. American Society for
Microbiology (ASM): 4105–21. doi:10.1128/IAI.01390-10.

Hill, N. S., P. J. Buske, Y. Shi, and P. A. Levin. 2013. A Moonlighting Enzyme Links
Escherichia coli Cell Size with Central Metabolism. Edited by Josep Casadesús.
PLoS Genetics 9. Public Library of Science: e1003663.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003663.

Hu, P., S. C. Janga, M. Babu, J. J. Díaz-Mejía, G. Butland, W. Yang, O. Pogoutse, X.
Guo, et al. 2009. Global functional atlas of *Escherichia coli* encompassing
previously uncharacterized proteins. *PLoS biology* 7: e96.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000096.

Hughes, G. W., S. C. L. Hall, C. S. Laxton, P. Sridhar, A. H. Mahadi, C. Hatton, T. J.
Piggot, P. J. Wotherspoon, et al. 2019. Evidence for phospholipid export from the
bacterial inner membrane by the Mla ABC transport system. *Nature Microbiology* 4.
Nature Publishing Group: 1692–1705. doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0481-y.

Isom, G. L., N. J. Davies, Z.-S. Chong, J. A. Bryant, M. Jamshad, M. Sharif, A. F.
Cunningham, T. J. Knowles, et al. 2017. MCE domain proteins: conserved inner
membrane lipid-binding proteins required for outer membrane homeostasis.
Scientific Reports 7: 8608. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-09111-6.

Jana, B., A. K. Cain, W. T. Doerrler, C. J. Boinett, M. C. Fookes, J. Parkhill, and L.

Guardabassi. 2017. The secondary resistome of multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella*
pneumoniae. *Scientific Reports* 7. Nature Publishing Group: 42483.
doi:10.1038/srep42483.
Jia, W., A. El Zoeiby, T. N. Petruzziello, B. Jayabalasingham, S. Seyedirashti, and R. E.
Bishop. 2004. Lipid trafficking controls endotoxin acylation in outer membranes of
Escherichia coli. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 279. J Biol Chem: 44966–44975.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M404963200.
Jones, D. T. 1999. Protein secondary structure prediction based on position-specific
scoring matrices. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 292. Academic Press: 195–202.
doi:10.1006/jmbi.1999.3091.
Jorgenson, M. A., S. Kannan, M. E. Laubacher, and K. D. Young. 2016. Dead-end
intermediates in the enterobacterial common antigen pathway induce
morphological defects in *Escherichia coli* by competing for undecaprenyl
phosphate. *Molecular Microbiology* 100: 1–14. doi:10.1111/mmi.13284.
Jorgenson, M. A., W. J. MacCain, B. M. Meberg, S. Kannan, J. C. Bryant, and K. D.
Young. 2019. Simultaneously inhibiting undecaprenyl phosphate production and
peptidoglycan synthases promotes rapid lysis in *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular*
Microbiology 112. Blackwell Publishing Ltd: 233–248. doi:10.1111/mmi.14265.
Kalyaanamoorthy, S., B. Q. Minh, T. K. F. Wong, A. Von Haeseler, and L. S. Jermiin.
2017. ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates.
Nature Methods 14. Nature Publishing Group: 587–589. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4285.
Kanfer, J., and E. P. Kennedy. 1964. Metabolism and function of bacterial lipids. II.
Biosynthesis of phospholipids in *Escherichia coli*. *The Journal of biological*
chemistry 239. doi:10.1016/S0021-9258(18)91247-0.
Kato, J. I., S. Fujisaki, K. I. Nakajima, Y. Nishimura, M. Sato, and A. Nakano. 1999. The
Escherichia coli homologue of yeast Rer2, a key enzyme of dolichol synthesis, is
essential for carrier lipid formation in bacterial cell wall synthesis. *Journal of*
Bacteriology 181. American Society for Microbiology: 2733–2738.
doi:10.1128/jb.181.9.2733-2738.1999.
Katoh, K., and D. M. Standley. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software
version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and*
Evolution 30. Mol Biol Evol: 772–780. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010.
Kelley, L. A., S. Mezulis, C. M. Yates, M. N. Wass, and M. J. E. Sternberg. 2015. The
Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nature protocols*
10. Europe PMC Funders: 845–58. doi:10.1038/nprot.2015.053.
Keseler, I. M., A. Mackie, A. Santos-Zavaleta, R. Billington, C. Bonavides-Martínez, R.
Caspi, C. Fulcher, S. Gama-Castro, et al. 2017. The EcoCyc database: reflecting
new knowledge about *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45. Oxford
University Press: D543–D550. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1003.
Klein, G., N. Kobylak, B. Lindner, A. Stupak, and S. Raina. 2014. Assembly of
lipopolysaccharide in *Escherichia coli* requires the essential LapB heat shock
protein. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289. American Society for Biochemistry
and Molecular Biology Inc.: 14829–14853. doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.539494.
Knowles, T. J., A. Scott-Tucker, M. Overduin, and I. R. Henderson. 2009. Membrane
protein architects: the role of the BAM complex in outer membrane protein
assembly. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 7: 206–214. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2069.
Koboldt, D. C., Q. Zhang, D. E. Larson, D. Shen, M. D. McLellan, L. Lin, C. A. Miller, E.
R. Mardis, et al. 2012. VarScan 2: Somatic mutation and copy number alteration
discovery in cancer by exome sequencing. *Genome Research* 22: 568–576.
doi:10.1101/gr.129684.111.
Lai, G. C., H. Cho, and T. G. Bernhardt. 2017. The mecillinam resistome reveals a role
for peptidoglycan endopeptidases in stimulating cell wall synthesis in *Escherichia*

coli. PLoS Genetics 13. Public Library of Science.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006934.
Langmead, B., and S. L. Salzberg. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2.
Nature methods 9. NIH Public Access: 357–9. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923.
Langridge, G. C., M. D. Phan, D. J. Turner, T. T. Perkins, L. Parts, J. Haase, I. Charles,
D. J. Maskell, et al. 2009. Simultaneous assay of every Salmonella Typhi gene
using one million transposon mutants. *Genome Research* 19: 2308–2316.
doi:10.1101/gr.097097.109.
Li, G., K. Hamamoto, and M. Kitakawa. 2012. Inner Membrane Protein YhcB Interacts
with RodZ Involved in Cell Shape Maintenance in *Escherichia coli. ISRN molecular*
biology 2012: 304021. doi:10.5402/2012/304021.
Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G.
Abecasis, et al. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
Bioinformatics 25: 2078–2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
Li, Z., and T. Velkov. 2019. Polymyxins: Mode of Action. In *Advances in Experimental*
Medicine and Biology, 1145:37–54. Springer New York LLC. doi:10.1007/978-3-
030-16373-0_4.
Liu, D., and P. R. Reeves. 1994. *Escherichia coli* K12 regains its O antigen.
Microbiology 140: 49–57. doi:10.1099/13500872-140-1-49.
Liu, X., Y. Yin, J. Wu, and Z. Liu. 2014. Structure and mechanism of an intramembrane
liponucleotide synthetase central for phospholipid biosynthesis. *Nature*
Communications 5. Nature Publishing Group: 1–10. doi:10.1038/ncomms5244.
Lugtenberg, B. 1981. Composition and function of the outer membrane of *Escherichia*
coli. Trends in Biochemical Sciences. Elsevier Current Trends. doi:10.1016/0968-
0004(81)90095-5.
Luirink, J., Z. Yu, S. Wagner, and J.-W. de Gier. 2012. Biogenesis of inner membrane
proteins in *Escherichia coli. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*
1817. Elsevier: 965–976. doi:10.1016/J.BBABIO.2011.12.006.
Maddalo, G., F. Stenberg-Bruzell, H. Götzke, S. Toddo, P. Björkholm, H. Eriksson, P.
Chovanec, P. Genevaux, et al. 2011. Systematic Analysis of Native Membrane
Protein Complexes in *Escherichia coli. Journal of Proteome Research* 10: 1848–
1859. doi:10.1021/pr101105c.
Mahalakshmi, S., M. R. Sunayana, L. SaiSree, and M. Reddy. 2014. *yciM* is an
essential gene required for regulation of lipopolysaccharide synthesis in
Escherichia coli. Molecular Microbiology 91: 145–157. doi:10.1111/mmi.12452.
Malinverni, J. C., and T. J. Silhavy. 2009. An ABC transport system that maintains lipid
asymmetry in the Gram-negative outer membrane. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences 106: 8009–8014. doi:10.1073/pnas.0903229106.
Martorana, A. M., S. Motta, D. Di Silvestre, F. Falchi, G. Dehò, P. Mauri, P. Sperandeo,
and A. Polissi. 2014. Dissecting *Escherichia coli* Outer Membrane Biogenesis
Using Differential Proteomics. Edited by Riccardo Manganelli. *PLoS ONE* 9. Public
Library of Science: e100941. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100941.
Matsumoto, K., M. Okada, Y. Horikoshi, H. Matsuzaki, T. Kishi, M. Itaya, and I. Shibuya.
1998. Cloning, sequencing, and disruption of the *Bacillus subtilis psd* gene coding
for phosphatidylserine decarboxylase. *Journal of Bacteriology* 180. American
Society for Microbiology: 100–106. doi:10.1128/jb.180.1.100-106.1998.
Matsuyama, S., T. Tajima, and H. Tokuda. 1995. A novel periplasmic carrier protein
involved in the sorting and transport of Escherichia coli lipoproteins destined for the
outer membrane. *The EMBO journal* 14: 3365–72.
Matsuyama, S. I., N. Yokota, and H. Tokuda. 1997. A novel outer membrane
lipoprotein, LolB (HemM), involved in the LolA (p20)-dependent localization of
lipoproteins to the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. *EMBO Journal* 16. Wiley-

VCH Verlag: 6947–6955. doi:10.1093/emboj/16.23.6947.

May, J. M., D. J. Sherman, B. W. Simpson, N. Ruiz, and D. Kahne. 2015. Lipopolysaccharide transport to the cell surface: Periplasmic transport and assembly into the outer membrane. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. Royal Society of London. doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0027.

May, K. L., and T. J. Silhavy. 2018. The *Escherichia coli* Phospholipase PldA Regulates Outer Membrane Homeostasis via Lipid Signaling. Edited by Susan Gottesman. *mBio* 9. doi:10.1128/mBio.00379-18.

Mehla, J., G. Liechti, R. M. Morgenstein, J. H. Caufield, A. Hosseinnia, A. Gagarinova, S. Phanse, M. Brockett, et al. 2021. YhcB (DUF1043), a novel cell division protein conserved across gamma-proteobacteria 1. *bioRxiv*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory: 2020.12.31.425005. doi:10.1101/2020.12.31.425005.

Mengin-Lecreulx, D., C. Michaud, C. Richaud, D. Blanot, and J. van Heijenoort. 1988. Incorporation of LL-diaminopimelic acid into peptidoglycan of *Escherichia coli* mutants lacking diaminopimelate epimerase encoded by *dapF*. *Journal of bacteriology* 170: 2031–9.

Mi, H., D. Ebert, A. Muruganujan, C. Mills, L. P. Albou, T. Mushayamaha, and P. D. Thomas. 2021. PANTHER version 16: a revised family classification, tree-based classification tool, enhancer regions and extensive API. *Nucleic acids research* 49. NLM (Medline): D394–D403. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1106.

Mogi, T., E. Mizuochi-Asai, S. Endou, S. Akimoto, and H. Nakamura. 2006. Role of a putative third subunit YhcB on the assembly and function of cytochrome bd-type ubiquinol oxidase from *Escherichia coli*. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics* 1757: 860–864. doi:10.1016/j.bbabio.2006.05.043.

Molloy, M. P., B. R. Herbert, M. B. Slade, T. Rabilloud, A. S. Nouwens, K. L. Williams, and A. A. Gooley. 2000. Proteomic analysis of the *Escherichia coli* outer membrane. *European Journal of Biochemistry* 267. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 2871–2881. doi:10.1046/j.1432-1327.2000.01296.x.

Nelson, D. E., and K. D. Young. 2000. Penicillin binding protein 5 affects cell diameter, contour, and morphology of Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology* 182. American Society for Microbiology Journals: 1714–1721. doi:10.1128/JB.182.6.1714-1721.2000.

Nelson, D. E., and K. D. Young. 2001. Contributions of PBP 5 and DD-carboxypeptidase penicillin binding proteins to maintenance of cell shape in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 183. American Society for Microbiology Journals: 3055–3064. doi:10.1128/JB.183.10.3055-3064.2001.

Newton, B. A. 1953. Reversal of the antibacterial activity of polymyxin by divalent cations [2]. *Nature*. Nature Publishing Group. doi:10.1038/172160a0.

Nguyen, L. T., H. A. Schmidt, A. Von Haeseler, and B. Q. Minh. 2015. IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32. Oxford University Press: 268–274. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300.

Nichols, R. J., S. Sen, Y. J. Choo, P. Beltrao, M. Zietek, R. Chaba, S. Lee, K. M. Kazmierczak, et al. 2011. Phenotypic landscape of a bacterial cell. *Cell* 144: 143–56. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.11.052.

Nikaido, H. 2005. Restoring permeability barrier function to outer membrane. *Chemistry & biology* 12. Elsevier: 507–9. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2005.05.001.

Nikaido, H., and M. Vaara. 1985. Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 49.

Ogura, T., K. Inoue, T. Tatsuta, T. Suzaki, K. Karata, K. Young, L. H. Su, C. A. Fierke, et al. 1999. Balanced biosynthesis of major membrane components through

regulated degradation of the committed enzyme of lipid A biosynthesis by the AAA protease FtsH (HflB) in *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular microbiology* 31: 833–44.

Okuda, S., and H. Tokuda. 2011. Lipoprotein sorting in bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 65. Annu Rev Microbiol: 239–259. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-090110-102859.

Okuda, S., D. J. Sherman, T. J. Silhavy, N. Ruiz, and D. Kahne. 2016. Lipopolysaccharide transport and assembly at the outer membrane: The PEZ model. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. Nature Publishing Group. doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2016.25.

Page, A. J., S. Bastkowski, M. Yasir, A. K. Turner, T. Le Viet, G. M. Savva, M. A. Webber, and I. G. Charles. 2020. AlbaTraDIS: Comparative analysis of large datasets from parallel transposon mutagenesis experiments. Edited by Mihaela Pertea. *PLOS Computational Biology* 16. Public Library of Science: e1007980. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007980.

Pearson, W. R., T. Wood, Z. Zhang, and W. Miller. 1997. Comparison of DNA Sequences with Protein Sequences. *Genomics* 46: 24–36. doi:10.1006/geno.1997.4995.

Pierucci, O. 1978. Dimensions of *Escherichia coli* at various growth rates: model for envelope growth. *Journal of Bacteriology* 135. J Bacteriol: 559–574. doi:10.1128/jb.135.2.559-574.1978.

Polissi, A., and P. Sperandeo. 2014. The lipopolysaccharide export pathway in *Escherichia coli*: structure, organization and regulated assembly of the Lpt machinery. *Marine drugs* 12. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI): 1023–42. doi:10.3390/md12021023.

Powers, M. J., and M. S. Trent. 2018. Phospholipid retention in the absence of asymmetry strengthens the outer membrane permeability barrier to last-resort antibiotics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115. National Academy of Sciences: E8518–E8527. doi:10.1073/pnas.1806714115.

Ramos-Morales, F., A. I. Prieto, C. R. Beuzón, D. W. Holden, and J. Casadesús. 2003. Role for *Salmonella enterica* enterobacterial common antigen in bile resistance and virulence. *Journal of bacteriology* 185: 5328–32.

Richaud, C., W. Higgins, D. Mengin-Lecreulx, and P. Stragier. 1987. Molecular cloning, characterization, and chromosomal localization of *dapF*, the *Escherichia coli* gene for diaminopimelate epimerase. *Journal of bacteriology* 169: 1454–9.

Robinson, M. D., D. J. McCarthy, and G. K. Smyth. 2009. edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26. Oxford University Press: 139–140. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616.

Rowlett, V. W., V. K. P. S. Mallampalli, A. Karlstaedt, W. Dowhan, H. Taegtmeyer, W. Margolin, and H. Vitrac. 2017. Impact of Membrane Phospholipid Alterations in *Escherichia coli* on Cellular Function and Bacterial Stress Adaptation. *Journal of bacteriology* 199. American Society for Microbiology Journals: e00849-16. doi:10.1128/JB.00849-16.

Ruiz, N., B. Falcone, D. Kahne, and T. J. Silhavy. 2005. Chemical Conditionality: A GeneticStrategy to Probe Organelle Assembly. *Cell* 121. Cell Press: 307–317. doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2005.02.014.

Saha, C. K., R. Sanches Pires, H. Brolin, M. Delannoy, and G. C. Atkinson. 2020. FlaGs and webFlaGs: discovering novel biology through the analysis of gene neighbourhood conservation. Edited by Alfonso Valencia. *Bioinformatics*. Oxford University Press (OUP). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa788.

Schaechter, M., O. MaalOe, and N. O. Kjeldgaard. 1958. Dependency on Medium and

Temperature of Cell Size and Chemical Composition during Balanced Growth of *Salmonella* typhimurium. *Journal of General Microbiology* 19. Microbiology Society: 592–606. doi:10.1099/00221287-19-3-592.

Schindelin, J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C. Rueden, et al. 2012. Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019.

Sherman, D. J., R. Xie, R. J. Taylor, A. H. George, S. Okuda, P. J. Foster, D. J. Needleman, and D. Kahne. 2018. Lipopolysaccharide is transported to the cell surface by a membrane-to-membrane protein bridge. *Science*. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.AAR1886.

Shiomi, D., M. Sakai, and H. Niki. 2008. Determination of bacterial rod shape by a novel cytoskeletal membrane protein. *The EMBO journal* 27. European Molecular Biology Organization: 3081–91. doi:10.1038/emboj.2008.234.

Shrivastava, R., and S. S. Chng. 2019. Lipid trafficking across the Gram-negative cell envelope. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 294. American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Inc.: 14175–14184. doi:10.1074/jbc.AW119.008139.

Silhavy, T. J., D. Kahne, and S. Walker. 2010. The bacterial cell envelope. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* 2: a000414. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a000414.

Simpson, B. W., J. M. May, D. J. Sherman, D. Kahne, and N. Ruiz. 2015. Lipopolysaccharide transport to the cell surface: biosynthesis and extraction from the inner membrane. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences* 370. The Royal Society. doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0029.

Singh, S. K., L. SaiSree, R. N. Amrutha, and M. Reddy. 2012. Three redundant murein endopeptidases catalyse an essential cleavage step in peptidoglycan synthesis of *Escherichia coli* K12. *Molecular Microbiology* 86: 1036–1051. doi:10.1111/mmi.12058.

Singh, S. K., S. Parveen, L. SaiSree, and M. Reddy. 2015. Regulated proteolysis of a cross-link-specific peptidoglycan hydrolase contributes to bacterial morphogenesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112. National Academy of Sciences: 10956–61. doi:10.1073/pnas.1507760112.

Sperandeo, P., F. K. Lau, A. Carpentieri, C. De Castro, A. Molinaro, G. Dehò, T. J. Silhavy, and A. Polissi. 2008. Functional analysis of the protein machinery required for transport of lipopolysaccharide to the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 190. J Bacteriol: 4460–4469. doi:10.1128/JB.00270-08.

Spratt, B. G., and J. L. Strominger. 1976. Identification of the major penicillin binding proteins of *Escherichia coli* as D alanine carboxypeptidase IA. *Journal of Bacteriology* 127. American Society for Microbiology (ASM): 660–663. doi:10.1128/jb.127.1.660-663.1976.

Sullivan, N. F., and W. D. Donachie. 1984. Transcriptional organization within an *Escherichia coli* cell division gene cluster: Direction of transcription of the cell separation gene envA. *Journal of Bacteriology* 160: 724–732. doi:10.1128/jb.160.2.724-732.1984.

Sung, C. G., U. Choi, and C. R. Lee. 2020. Phenotypic characterization of a conserved inner membrane protein YhcB in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Microbiology* 58. Microbiological Society of Korea: 598–605. doi:10.1007/s12275-020-0078-4.

Tadokoro, A., H. Hayashi, T. Kishimoto, Y. Makino, S. Fujisaki, and Y. Nishimura. 2004. Interaction of the *Escherichia coli* Lipoprotein NlpI with Periplasmic Prc (Tsp) Protease. *Journal of Biochemistry* 135. J Biochem: 185–191. doi:10.1093/jb/mvh022.

Templin, M. F., A. Ursinus, and J. V. Höltje. 1999. A defect in cell wall recycling triggers autolysis during the stationary growth phase of *Escherichia coli*. *EMBO Journal* 18. EMBO J: 4108–4117. doi:10.1093/emboj/18.15.4108.

Thomason, L. C., N. Costantino, and D. L. Court. 2007. *E. coli* Genome Manipulation by
P1 Transduction. In *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Chapter 1:1.17.1-
1.17.8. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
doi:10.1002/0471142727.mb0117s79.
Thong, S., B. Ercan, F. Torta, Z. Y. Fong, H. Y. A. Wong, M. R. Wenk, and S.-S. Chng.
2016. Defining key roles for auxiliary proteins in an ABC transporter that maintains
bacterial outer membrane lipid asymmetry. *eLife* 5. eLife Sciences Publications,
Ltd. doi:10.7554/eLife.19042.
Trimble, M. J., P. Mlynárčik, M. Kolář, and R. E. W. Hancock. 2016. Polymyxin:
Alternative mechanisms of action and resistance. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives*
in Medicine 6. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: a025288.
doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a025288.
Turner, A. K., M. Yasir, S. Bastkowski, A. Telatin, A. J. Page, I. G. Charles, and M. A.
Webber. 2020. A genome-wide analysis of Escherichia coli responses to
fosfomycin using TraDIS-Xpress reveals novel roles for phosphonate degradation
and phosphate transport systems. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 75.
Oxford University Press: 3144–3151. doi:10.1093/jac/dkaa296.
Vadia, S., and P. A. Levin. 2015. Growth rate and cell size: A re-examination of the
growth law. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*. Elsevier Ltd.
doi:10.1016/j.mib.2015.01.011.
Vadia, S., J. L. Tse, R. Lucena, Z. Yang, D. R. Kellogg, J. D. Wang, and P. A. Levin.
2017. Fatty Acid Availability Sets Cell Envelope Capacity and Dictates Microbial
Cell Size. *Current Biology* 27: 1757-1767.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.05.076.
Walser, P. J., N. Ariotti, M. Howes, C. Ferguson, R. Webb, D. Schwudke, N. Leneva,
K.-J. Cho, et al. 2012. Constitutive Formation of Caveolae in a Bacterium. *Cell* 150:
752–763. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.042.
Wu, T., J. Malinverni, N. Ruiz, S. Kim, T. J. Silhavy, and D. Kahne. 2005. Identification
of a multicomponent complex required for outer membrane biogenesis in
Escherichia coli. *Cell* 121. Elsevier B.V.: 235–245. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2005.02.015.
Yakushi, T., K. Masuda, S. I. Narita, S. I. Matsuyama, and H. Tokuda. 2000. A new
ABC transporter mediating the detachment of lipid-modified proteins from
membranes. *Nature Cell Biology* 2. Nat Cell Biol: 212–218. doi:10.1038/35008635.
Yethon, J. A., J. S. Gunn, R. K. Ernst, S. I. Miller, L. Laroche, D. Malo, and C. Whitfield.
2000. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium waaP mutants show increased
susceptibility to polymyxin and loss of virulence in vivo. *Infection and Immunity* 68.
American Society for Microbiology (ASM): 4485–4491. doi:10.1128/IAI.68.8.4485-
4491.2000.
Zheng, L., Y. Lin, S. Lu, J. Zhang, and M. Bogdanov. 2017. Biogenesis, transport and
remodeling of lysophospholipids in Gram-negative bacteria. *Biochimica et*
Biophysica Acta - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids. Elsevier B.V.
doi:10.1016/j.bbalip.2016.11.015.
1288

1289

Figure 1. Screening the BW25113 transposon library in sub-inhibitory concentrations of polymyxin B

(A) Schematic showing the TIS experiment for identification of polymyxin B-sensitive mutants. (B) Volcano plot of the fold-change in mapped reads between conditions, calculated using BioTraDIS. Datapoints in red correspond with genes with >2-fold decrease in reads in the polymyxin B dataset relative to the LB outgrowth dataset, and with a Q-value > 0.01 (red horizontal line). While one datapoint in blue had a >2-fold increase in reads in polymyxin B dataset relative to the control, and a Q-value > 0.01. A label for the *yhcB* datapoint has been added above the point for clarity. (C) Image of the *yhcB* insertion data following outgrowth in LB only (grey, above) or in LB supplemented with polymyxin B (blue, below). The transposon insertion position along the gene is marked by a vertical line, with the vertical line size corresponding with read depth, with visibly fewer transposon-insertion sites identified within *yhcB* following outgrowth in LB supplemented with polymyxin B.

1304

1305

Figure 2. Validation of a *yhcB* mutant cell envelope defect and the effect of growth medium on cell size

(A) Overnight cultures of the WT strain BW25113 (BW) and Δ*yhcB* (Y) strain grown in LB or M9-glucose at 37˚C, normalised to an OD_{600} of 1.00 and 10-fold serially diluted before inoculating LB agar plates supplemented with various compounds. (B) The WT and Δ*yhcB* mutant were grown overnight in LB or M9-glucose media at 37˚C. Cells were visualised using a Nikon 90i microscope, a 5 μm bar is shown for scale. (C) Cell measurements for BW25113 and Δ*yhcB* mutant grown in LB and M9-glucose. Images were taken after overnight growth and are representative of n=4 experiments, data from 1 experiment is presented. Width and Length measurements were taken of 100 cells in each condition. A Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons was used to compare between samples: $p < 0.0001$ (****); $p < 0.0002$ (***).

1319

Figure 3. The effect of *yhcB*–deletion during growth in rich media
(A) The optical density (OD) and number of colony forming units (CFUs) were recorded hourly over 8 h during growth in LB at 37˚C. Strains were grown in triplicate, the mean is plotted and the s.d. represented by error bars. BW25113 is shown in blue (●), *yhcB* is shown in pink (▾).

1325

1326

Figure 4. The structural and functional contribution of the domains of YhcB
(A) Polymyxin B TIS screen data (blue insertions) with the domains of YhcB mapped underneath (dark blue boxes). (B) Schematics of the plasmid-based YhcB complementation constructs. (C) Overnight cultures grown in LB supplemented with carbenicillin (for plasmid selection), normalised to an OD_{600} of 1.00 and 10-fold serially diluted before inoculating LB agar plates supplemented with vancomycin or SDS and EDTA, with 0.2% arabinose or 0.2% glucose.

1334

1335
1336
Figure 5. Construction of a transposon library in a *yhcB* mutant and identification
of genes with a synthetic lethal relationship with *yhcB*
(A) The Δ*yhcB* transposon library. A genome map of BW25113 starting at the annotation
origin with the sense and antisense coding sequences of BW25113 shown in blue,
respectively, and the position and frequency of sequenced transposon insertion events
shown in pink. (B) Comparison of genes identified by bimodal analysis as significantly
underrepresented by transposon mutants in each library. (C) The insertion profile of *rodZ*
in the Δ*yhcB* library, with RodZ domains shown below in blue. (D) The log$_2$ fold-change
of read depth per gene between the parent BW25113 and the Δ*yhcB* transposon library.
Genes enriched for transposon insertions (>2-fold) in the *yhcB* library are shown in blue,
indicative of more fit mutants. Genes with a >2-fold decrease in insertions are shown in
red, indicative of sick mutants. A Q-value threshold of 0.01 was used (red horizontal line)
(E) Comparison of genes predicted to be essential (sparsely disrupted genes) with genes
identified as having a >2-fold decrease in reads compared to the control library (genes
that contribute to fitness). This is a comparison of the bi-modal and enrichment analyses
outputs. The overlap of these analyses are the 87 identified synthetic lethal genes (pink).
1353

Figure 6. *yhcB* is synthetically lethal with components of PG synthesis and recycling pathways

(A) TIS data of the BW25113 library (grey) and BW25113Δ*yhcB* library (pink) were plotted above and below the gene track, respectively. Insertion sites are represented by vertical bars and are capped at a frequency of 1. (B) Schematic representation of the target sites of the endopeptidases and PBP5 (encoded by *dacA*). Abbreviations: *meso*-diaminopimelate (*meso*-A$_2$pm); N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc); N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc); L-Ala, L-alanine; D-Glu, D-glutamic acid; D-Ala, D-alanine.

1365
Figure 7. Functional enrichment among synthetic lethal genes
(A) Functional enrichment of cellular processes among the genes that are synthetically
lethal with *yhcB*. Fisher's exact test with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. Results
displayed for $P < 0.05$. (B) A schematic of the structure of LPS. LPS biosynthesis
enzymes are indicated next to the linkage they form (central column) or component they
ligate (side branches). Synthetic lethal enzymes are labelled in dark pink, enzymes that
contribute to fitness are labelled in orange, core essential enzymes in black and non-
essential enzymes in grey. (C) TIS data for BW25113 (grey) and BW25113Δ*yhcB* (pink)
libraries were plotted above and below the gene track, respectively. Insertion sites are
represented by vertical bars and are capped at a frequency of 1. Insertions within genes
required for LPS core biosynthesis, and *lpxM*, are significantly underrepresented. (D) The
insertion profiles in genes of the enterobacterial common antigen biosynthetic pathway.
Abbreviations: Lipopolysaccharide (LPS); Enterobacterial Common Antigen (ECA).
1379

1380
Figure 8. Identification of suppressor mutations that restore a *yhcB*-deletion defect
(A) Experimental overview. (B) Cartoon of output. (C) Overlap between gene-deletion
suppressors identified in the lower concentration vancomycin and SDS + EDTA screens.
(D) Transposon insertion data for suppressor screens. Red and blue lines indicate the
transposon insertion position corresponding with the transposon orientation at the point
of insertion. The height of the bar corresponds with mapped sequencing read frequency.
The red boxes underneath each suppressor dataset represent significant differential
abundance of insertions of the condition compared to the control, identified by
AlbaTraDIS. Panel A and B schematics created with BioRender.com, Panel D insertion
plots visualised in Artemis (Rutherford et al. 2000).
1391

1392
Figure 9. The *uppS-cdsA* operon
(A) Genome map showing the location and frequency of transposon insertion events that
restore the viability of a *yhcB* mutant under toxic growth conditions: LB supplemented
with vancomycin, or SDS and EDTA. (B) TIS data of the *uppS (ispU)* and *cdsA* operon.
(Note that *uppS* is annotated as *ispU* in the BW25113 reference genome). Red and blue
data correspond with the transposon orientation at the point of insertion. The height of
the bar corresponds with mapped sequencing read frequency, capped at 20 in these
images. The red boxes underneath each suppressor dataset represent significant
differential abundance of insertions of the condition compared to the control, identified by
AlbaTraDIS.
1403

Figure 10. The *yhcB* mutant contains extra membrane and has an altered cell envelope

(A) Transmission electron micrograph of a Δ*yhcB* mutant cell with membrane ruffles indicated by red arrows. Scale bar = 1 μm. Additional whole cell images are in Fig. S10. (B) Phospholipid species extracted from BW25113 (BW) and the Δ*yhcB* mutant (ΔY) grown in LB broth until stationary phase and separated by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using a chloroform:methanol:water (65:25:4) solvent system. Standards of lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE), lysophosphatidylglycerol (LPG), phosphatidylserine (PS) and phosphatidic acid (PA) were loaded alongside for comparison. The additional spot in the ΔY sample is indicated with an arrow. (C) TLC/autoradiographic analysis of [^{32}P]-labeled lipid A extracted from mid-logarithmic growth and overnight growth cultures of BW25113 and Δ*yhcB* strains grown in LB. As a positive control for lipid A palmitoylation, BW25113 cells were treated with 25 mM EDTA for 10 min prior to extraction. The mean percentage of hepta-acylated lipid A and standard deviation were calculated from samples prepared in triplicate. Student's *t*-tests: *$P < 0.05$

compared with BW25113. (D) Comparison of the percentage of peptides in peptidoglycan crosslinks between strains at two stages of growth. Unpaired t-test with Welch's correction: *$P < 0.05$. Exponential phase cells were collected at an OD of 0.4, Stationary phase cells were harvested after 16 h at an OD of ~4.0. (E) Day cultures of BW25113; $\Delta yhcB$; $\Delta yhcB$ *PuppS* and $\Delta yhcB$ *PcdsA* strains grown in LB for 5 h, normalised to an OD_{600} of 1.00 and 10-fold serially diluted before inoculating LB agar plates supplemented with vancomycin or SDS and EDTA. Abbreviations: Phosphatidylethanolamine (PE); Phosphatidylglycerol (PG); Cardiolipin (CL); Exponential phase (Exp.); Stationary phase (Stat.).

1431
Figure 11. The pathways of cell envelope biosynthesis and relationship with YhcB
(A) Schematic overview of the relationships between the biosynthetic pathways of
individual components of the cell envelope. (B) A schematic of the imbalanced cell
envelope of a *yhcB* mutant in comparison to wildtype (WT). The *yhcB* mutant suffers from
defects in OM asymmetry, excessive phospholipid synthesis and altered crosslinking in
the peptidoglycan layer. (C) The positional context of YhcB in conjunction with the
reported protein interaction partners of YhcB *in situ*. YhcB interacts with both the
elongasome (depicted here as mediated via RodZ, but YhcB is reported to interact with
RodA, MreD and MreC in addition to RodZ) and LapA from the complex that regulates
LpxC degradation. YhcB is spatially positioned to coordinate peptidoglycan expansion
with LPS export. Abbreviations: Enterobacterial Common Antigen (ECA);
Lipopolysaccharide (LPS); Peptidoglycan (PG); Phospholipid (PL); Undecaprenyl
phosphate (Und-P); Undecaprenyl diphosphate (Und-PP); Uridine diphosphate (UDP);
N-acetylglucosamine (G); N-acetylmuramic acid (M); Acyl Carrier Protein (ACP).

1446

Supplementary Figure 1. Screening the BW25113 transposon library in sub-inhibitory concentrations of polymyxin B

(A) 0.2 μg/ml of polymyxin B in 50 ml LB does not inhibit growth of *E. coli* BW25113, under the conditions used to screen the library. One representative growth curve is shown, consistent with 5 repeats. (B) Calculation of the number of mapped reads needed to sample a given percentage of the transposon library. The equation $I = s - s(\frac{s-1}{s})^n$ was used to estimate the number of mapped reads required to sample a given proportion of the dataset. s = 1,000,000 was taken as the total number of possible mutants (total transposon insertion sites). I = insertions identified, n = number of mapped reads. This data was used to calculate the approximate percentage of unique insertions identified for a given number of mapped reads, for a library of 1,000,000 unique mutants. (C) Comparison of insertion index scores (a measure of insertion density) per gene for each replicate of the library either exposed to LB only, or LB supplemented with polymyxin B. The scatter plots show the correlation coefficient for insertion density of each gene between replicates. (D) The relative abundance of Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG) categories for all genes of BW25113 (grey) and for the 54 genes identified as required for growth in sub-inhibitory concentrations of polymyxin B (PxB; blue). (E) The transposon insertion profiles of *yqjA* and *ydbH* following outgrowth in LB only (grey, above) or in LB

1465 supplemented with polymyxin B (blue, below). The transposon insertion position along
1466 each gene is marked by a vertical line. The vertical line size corresponds with read depth,
1467 with visibly fewer transposon-insertion sites identified within *ydbH* and *yqjA* following
1468 outgrowth in polymyxin B.
1469

A

LB + 0.2%
arabinose

1% SDS +
0.5 mM EDTA +
0.2% arabinose

B

BW25113 pBAD   BW25113 pBAD-*yhcB*   Δ*yhc*B pBAD   Δ*yhc*B pBAD-*yhcB*

1470
1471
Supplementary Figure 2. Complementation of a Δ*yhcB* defect
(A) 10-fold serial-dilution of overnight cultures grown in LB, inoculated onto LB agar plates
supplemented with 0.2% arabinose, with and without 1% SDS + 0.5 mM EDTA. Strains
are carrying a pBad-Myc-His-A with or without the *yhcB* CDS under the control of an
arabinose promoter. (B) DIC microscopy images of day cultures grown in LB
supplemented with 0.4% arabinose and 100 µg/ml carbenicillin (to maintain plasmids),
with a 5 µm scale bar for reference.
1479

1480
1481
Supplementary Figure 3. The effect of different growth media on phenotype
10-fold serial-dilution of overnight cultures of the parent strain *E. coli* BW25113 (BW) and
Δ*yhcB* strain (Y) grown to late stationary phase under different conditions (LB at 37˚C;
M9 + 0.4% glucose at 37˚C; LB + 0.4% glucose at 37˚C; M9 + 0.4% glycerol at 37˚C; LB
at 16˚C) normalized to an OD_{600} of 1.00 and inoculated onto LB agar plates supplemented
with and without 1% SDS; 0.1 mM EDTA or 50 µg/ml vancomycin.
1488

1489
Supplementary Figure 4. Domains and conserved motifs of YhcB
(A) PSIPRED secondary structure prediction of YhcB (B) Conserved residues of YhcB
predicted by ConSurf. Conserved 'NPF' and 'PRDY' motifs are highlighted with amber
boxes in both panels.
1494

Tree scale: 10

Order
- Alteromonadales
- Burkholderiales
- Cellvibrionales
- Chromatiales
- Enterobacterales
- Microbacteriaceae
- Oceanospirillales
- Pasteurellales
- Pseudomonadales
- Thiotrichales
- Vibrionales
- other

motif
- PMDY
- PLDY
- PKDY
- PRDY
- other

1495
1496
Supplementary Figure 5. Conservation of the PRDY domain
Phylogenetic analysis displaying conservation of YhcB in bacterial reference genomes.
Branches of the tree, and second outermost track, are coloured according to taxonomic
Order. The outermost track is coloured according to the amino acid residues within the
'PXDY' domain conserved among species. The label 'other' represents those that had a
different sequence to the four listed.

1503
1504
Supplementary Figure 6. Construction of a transposon library in BW25113 parent strain
(A) Comparison of insertion index scores (IIS) between technical replicates of each library. (B) The BW25113 transposon library. A genome map of BW25113 starting at the annotation origin, with the sense and antisense coding sequences of BW25113 shown in blue, respectively, and the position and frequency of sequenced transposon insertion events shown in grey. (C) The transposon insertion profile of *ftsH* shown for both libraries. Peaks represent the abundance of detected transposon insertion events for each library: WT (grey, above) and *yhcB* (pink, below) with read frequency capped at 10.
1514

1515
1516
Supplementary Figure 7. Biosynthetic pathways required in a ∆*yhcB* background
Transposon insertion data of the yhcB library shown in pink, below, control library shown
in grey, above, the gene track with all insertion data capped at a frequency of 1. (A)
Transposon insertion profile of the *tol-pal* operon. (B) The heptose biosynthetic pathway,
required for LPS core biosynthesis. (C) Schematic of the ECA biosynthesis pathway
adapted from Jorgenson *et al*. (2016). Abbreviations: Enterobacterial Common Antigen
(ECA); Undecaprenyl phosphate (Und-P); Phosphate (P); Adenosine diphosphate (ADP);
deoxythymidine diphosphate (dTDP); Uridine diphosphate (UDP).
1525

1526
1527
Supplementary Figure 8. Transposon insertion sites within *nlpI* and *fabF* that
restore resistance of a *yhcB* mutant to vancomycin or SDS and EDTA.
Transposon insertion data for suppressor screens. Red and blue vertical lines indicate
the transposon insertion position and correspond with the transposon orientation at the
point of insertion. The height of the bar corresponds with mapped sequencing read
frequency. The top track represents data for the library plated on LB. The tracks
underneath represent the Δ*yhcB* transposon library plated on LB supplemented with SDS
and EDTA, or vancomycin, at lethal doses to the BW25113Δ*yhcB* parent strain. The red
boxes underneath each suppressor dataset represent significant differential abundance
of insertions in the condition sample compared to the control, identified by AlbaTraDIS.
1538

1541 **Supplementary Figure 9. Natural suppressor mutations that are restorative in a**

yhcB mutant

(A) Validation of natural suppressor mutants. 10-fold serial dilutions of E. coli K-12 BW25113, BW25113Δ*yhcB* and six BW25113Δ*yhcB* revertant suppressor mutants, grown on LB, LB supplemented with 200 µg/ml vancomycin, or 1% SDS + 0.5 mM EDTA. (B) PCR amplification of the *yhcB* locus to confirm *yhcB*-deletion in these strains. (C) DIC images of BW25113, BW25113Δ*yhcB* and six BW25113Δ*yhcB* revertant suppressor mutants grown overnight in LB. Scale bar of 5 µm. (D) Solved structure of *Thermatoga maritima* CdsA (blue) and the predicted structure of *E. coli* CdsA (orange), with the surface electrostatic potential of each shown below. The deep red and deep blue colours indicate electronegative and electropositive regions at -10 and $10\,\mathrm{kT\,e^{-1}}$, respectively. An overlay of the cation-binding pocket of CdsA from *T. maritima* and *E. coli* is shown in the bottom right panel. The equivalent mutated residues are annotated: shown in black, above for *T. maritima* and red, below for *E. coli*. Note the S223C residue mutated in *T. maritima* to achieve a resolved structure.

1557
1558
Supplementary Figure 10. TEM images of BW25113 and the Δ*yhcB* mutant
Transmission electron micrographs of (A) BW25113 and (B) the Δ*yhcB* mutant cells.
Scale bar = 1 μm in the top six images. A large Δ*yhcB* mutant cell is shown with a scale
bar of 5 μm and two regions of excess or ruffled membrane structures are highlighted by
red boxes (annotated in Fig. 10A); enlarged images of these sections are shown
underneath with a scale bar of 500 nm each.
1565

1566
1567
Supplementary Figure 11. The *yhcB* mutant contains extra membrane, has an
altered cell envelope and restoration of O-antigen is toxic
(A) *E. coli* K-12 BW25113 and BW25112Δ*yhcB* grown in LB, labelled with FM4-64FX and
MitoTracker Green FM. Images were taken at 3 h and are representative of n = 3
experiments. Lipid accumulation is indicated by the white arrows. Scale bar of 5 μm. (B-
C) Thin layer chromatography (TLC) separation of phospholipid species in
chloroform:methanol:water (65:25:4) solvent system, and stained with PMA. (B) Samples
grown in LB and collected at two stages of growth. (C) Samples grown overnight in LB or
M9-glucose. (D) Transformation efficiency of pET20b constructs +/- *wbbL* for restoring O-
antigen in a Δ*yhcB* mutant. Abbreviations: Phosphatidylethanolamine (PE);
Phosphatidylglycerol (PG); Cardiolipin (CL).
1579

1580
1581
Supplementary Figure 12. Analysis of the peptidoglycan composition
Representative HPLC chromatograms showing the muropeptide composition of
BW25113 and BW25113Δ*yhcB* at exponential and stationary phase. Purified
peptdoglycan was digested with cellosyl and the resulting muropeptides were reduced
with sodium borohydride and separated by HPLC. The major muropeptides (No. 1-17)
are quantified in Table S5.
1588

Supplementary Figure 13. UppS and CdsA conservation

(A) The number, and overlap, of bacterial genomes containing a UppS (K00806) or CdsA (K00981) homolog obtained from AnnoTree (v1.2.0) using the KEGG identifiers and the following search criteria: % identity: 30; E value: 0.00001; % subject alignment: 70; % query alignment: 70. (B) Tree representation of the phylogeny of bacterial genomes with species containing both UppS and CdsA highlighted in blue.

1596

1597 **Supplementary Figure 14. *uppS* and *cdsA* neighbourhood conservation across the bacterial kingdom**

1598 The conservation of the *uppS* gene neighbourhood represented by 62 diverse bacterial species. *uppS* is depicted in black, and *cdsA* in

1599 green (1). The remaining gene identifiers can be found in Supplementary Table 9. Data calculated using FlaGs (Saha et al. 2020).

1600 **Supplementary Tables**
1601
Supplementary Table 1. Polymyxin B TIS screen data
Supplementary Table 2. Transposon library construction metrics
Supplementary Table 3. Insertion index scores of the *yhcB* and WT transposon libraries
Supplementary Table 4. Gene fitness in a *yhcB* mutant strain
Supplementary Table 5. Peptidoglycan composition
Supplementary Table 6. PANTHER analysis
Supplementary Table 7. AlbaTraDIS identification of suppressor mutations
Supplementary Table 8. Mutations identified in spontaneous revertant suppressor
mutants
Supplementary Table 9. FlaGs gene identifiers